De novo stem cell establishment in meristems requires repression of organ boundary cell fate
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ABSTRACT

Stem cells play important roles in animal and plant biology as they sustain morphogenesis and tissue replenishment following aging or injuries. In plants, stem cells are embedded in multicellular structures called meristems and the formation of new meristems is essential for the plastic expansion of the highly branched shoot and root systems. In particular, axillary meristems that produce lateral shoots arise from the division of boundary domain cells at the leaf base. The CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON (CUC) genes are major determinants of the boundary domain and are required for axillary meristem initiation. However, how axillary meristems get structured and how stem cells become established de novo remains elusive. Here, we show that two NGATHA-LIKE transcription factors, DPA4 and SOD7, redundantly repress CUC expression in the initiating axillary meristem. Ectopic boundary fate leads to abnormal growth and organisation of the axillary meristem and prevents de novo stem cell establishment. Floral meristems of the dpa4 sod7 double mutant show a similar delay in stem cell de novo establishment. Altogether, while boundary fate is required for the initiation of axillary meristems, our work reveals how it is later repressed to allow proper meristem establishment and de novo stem cell niche formation.
INTRODUCTION

Stem cells play a central role in animal and plant biology as they are the source of all cells that form organs and tissues during morphogenesis and allow cells to be replaced following injuries or at the end of their life cycle (Baurle and Laux, 2003; Birnbaum and Alvarado, 2008; Morrison and Spradling, 2008). In both animals and plants, stem cells are maintained in their undifferentiated and pluripotent state through interactions with a microenvironment that forms a niche (Comazzetto et al., 2021; Dinneny and Benfey, 2008; Janocha and Lohmann, 2018; Pardal and Heidstra, 2021; Xie and Spradling, 2000). However, in contrast to what occurs in animals, plant stem cells cannot move and, as a consequence, stem cell niches have to be formed de novo in plants (Laird et al., 2008). Indeed, de novo stem cell establishment is essential to support the formation of new growth axes (shoots or roots) that allows plants to plastically expand their shape enabling them to explore their environment.

In plants, stem cells and niches are embedded in multicellular structures called meristems. The shoot apical meristem (SAM), formed during embryogenesis, is the direct source of the main shoot, forming stem and leaves after germination (Long et al., 1996). The SAM is a dynamic, yet organized structure that is maintained through interactions between its different domains. In the apical part of the SAM lies a group of semi-permanent stem cells maintained by an underlying organizing centre (OC) that contributes to the stem cell niche function (Laux et al., 1996). The OC expresses the WUSCHEL (WUS) transcription factor that travels through cellular connections to the overlying layers to induce stem cell fate (Daum et al., 2014; Mayer et al., 1998; Perales et al., 2016; Sloan et al., 2020; Yadav et al., 2011). In turn, stem cells express the excreted CLAVATA3 (CLV3) peptide that through interaction with different receptor kinases including CLAVATA1 (CLV1) feedbacks to repress WUS activity in
the OC (Brand et al., 2000; Fletcher et al., 1999; Müller et al., 2008; Schlegel et al., 2021; Schoof et al., 2000). On this core WUS/CLV regulatory feedback circuit are grafted additional interacting regulators such as auxin and cytokinin signals or the Hairy Meristem (HAM) transcription factors and their regulatory miRNA, miR171 (Chickarmane et al., 2012; Gruel et al., 2016; Han et al., 2020a; Leibfried et al., 2005; Ma et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2015). Altogether, this network contributes to proper spatial positioning of the stem cell and stem cell niche and their fine tuning to allow meristem activity to respond to environmental signals (Landrein et al., 2018; Pfeiffer et al., 2016; Yoshida et al., 2011).

On the flanks of the meristem, new organ primordia are initiated following a spatial and temporal pattern that is orchestrated by auxin and cytokinin signaling (Besnard et al., 2014; Reinhardt et al., 2003). Proper initiation and separation of the organ primordia requires the establishment of an organ boundary domain by multiple factors in which the CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON (CUC) genes play a prominent role (Aida and Tasaka, 2006; Žádníková et al., 2014). This domain separates the leaf primordium from the meristem and will later give rise to the axillary region that lies on the inner base of the leaf. Multiple factors allow coordinating primordium initiation with stem cell activities. For instance, the CUC genes are both required for organ formation and meristem maintenance (Aida et al., 1997), the HD-ZIP III transcription factors contribute to leaf polarity and meristem function (Caggiano et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2008), and auxin and cytokinins are regulating both organ initiation and stem cell activity (Besnard et al., 2014; Chickarmane et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2019; Reinhardt et al., 2003).

While the root and shoot apical meristems formed during embryogenesis are generating respectively the primary root and the main shoot, the ramified architectures of the shoot and root systems result from the activity of meristems newly formed during post-embryonic development. These lateral root and shoot meristems arise from a group of
dividing cells originating respectively from the root pericycle layer or the leaf axillary region and acquire an organization and activity similar to the primary embryonic meristems, including a de novo established stem cell population and niche.

The formation of an axillary meristem (AM) between the developing leaf primordia and the SAM can be divided into three steps: the maintenance of a few meristematic cells at the leaf axil, the expansion of this cell population and the establishment of a functional meristem (Cao and Jiao, 2020; Wang and Jiao, 2018; Wang et al., 2016). Multiple factors regulating these events have been characterized during the formation of the AM formed in the rosette leaves of Arabidopsis thaliana. During the maintenance phase, a small group of cells located at the base of the developing leaf retains meristematic features while neighboring cells differentiate (Grbic and Bleecker, 2000; Long and Barton, 2000). Expression of the meristematic gene SHOOT MERISTEMLESS (STM) and the boundary domain genes CUC2 and CUC3 in these cells is required for AM initiation and, accordingly, stm, cuc2 or cuc3 mutants show defective AM formation (Grbic and Bleecker, 2000; Hibara et al., 2006; Long and Barton, 2000; Raman et al., 2008; Shi et al., 2016). Maintenance of STM expression requires auxin depletion from the axillary region by polar auxin transport (Wang et al., 2014a, 2014b) and involves at the molecular level a self-activation loop facilitated by a permissive epigenetic environment (Cao et al., 2020). These cells can remain latent during a long period of time and, upon receiving proper environmental or endogenous signals, switch to the activation phase during which their number rapidly increases by cell divisions to generate a small bulge. A strong increase in STM expression level is instrumental for the switch to the activation phase (Shi et al., 2016) and multiple transcription factors, such as REVOLUTA, DORNRÖSCHEN, DORNRÖSCHEN LIKE, REGULATOR OF AXILLARY MERISTEMS1, 2 and 3 and REGULATOR OF AXILLARY MERISTEM FORMATION provide spatial and temporal cues for the
local activation of STM expression (Greb et al., 2003; Keller et al., 2006; Müller et al., 2006; Raman et al., 2008; Shi et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2018). Furthermore, a local pulse of cytokinin signalling reinforces STM expression to promote the formation of the AM, possibly through a mutual positive feedback loop between STM and cytokinins (Wang et al., 2014b).

During the establishment phase, the bulge acquires progressively a typical meristem organization with functional sub-domains. Cytokinins promote de novo WUS expression, thus defining the OC (Wang et al., 2017). For this, the type-B Arabidopsis response regulator proteins (ARRs), which mediate the transcriptional response to cytokinin, directly bind to the WUS promoter. In turn, WUS expression initiates the activation of the stem cell population marked by the expression of the CLV3 gene (Xin et al., 2017). Interestingly, during the initial phase of WUS and CLV3 activation both genes are expressed in overlapping domains in internal layers of the AM and they only later discriminate into their proper expression patterns with CLV3 expression shifting to the upper layers (Xin et al., 2017). This spatial rearrangement of CLV3 expression requires an apical-basal gradient of HAM genes activities that results in part from the epidermis-specific expression of their negative regulators miR171 (Han et al., 2020a, 2020b; Zhou et al., 2018). Therefore, AM establishment is a gradual process, during which the expanding population of meristematic cells acquires specific identities including the specification of apical stem cells and an underlying stem cell niche combined with organ boundary domains at the meristem flanks.

Arabidopsis floral meristems are proposed to be modified AMs in which the subtending leaf is replaced by a cryptic bract whose development is suppressed (Long and Barton, 2000). Floral meristems also establish de novo a stem cell population marked by a rapid activation of WUS expression in stage 1 floral meristems (Mayer et al., 1998) and by
CLV3 expression by late stage 2 (Seeliger et al., 2016). However, in contrast to AM, in which stem cells are maintained, floral meristems are determined structures with only a transient maintenance of stem cells. Indeed, the C-class floral gene AGAMOUS directly repress WUS by recruiting the Polycomb Repressive Complex 1 factor TERMINAL FLOWER 2 and induces KNUCKLES which in turn represses WUS and interferes with WUS-mediated CLV3 activation (Lenhard et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2011; Shang et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2014).

Thus, it appears that while the molecular mechanisms allowing the preservation and the amplification of a pool of meristematic cells leading to AM emergence start to be deciphered, how the newly meristem becomes organized and activated remains far less understood. Here, we analyse AM establishment, concentrating on cauline AMs (CaAMs) that are poorly characterized compared to rosette AMs (RoAMs). We show that CaAMs are rapidly formed following floral induction and that this is associated with dynamic changes in gene expression. Accordingly, while the CUC genes are required for the maintenance and activation phase, they have to be cleared for meristem establishment and activation of the stem cell population. Indeed, ectopic expression of the CUC boundary genes leads to asynchronous AM development and delayed de novo stem cell formation. We provide a molecular mechanism for this dynamic regulation of the CUC genes by two members of the NGATHA-like (NGAL) family of transcriptional repressors. A similar delay in de novo stem cell establishment is observed during floral meristem formation. Altogether, we reveal a genetic circuit repressing boundary cell fate that is required for de novo stem cell formation.
RESULTS

Dynamic gene expression accompanies cauline AM establishment.

Cauline AMs are rapidly formed and grow out following floral transition (Burian et al., 2016; Grbic and Bleecker, 2000; Hempel and Feldman, 1994). To provide a framework for CaAM formation in Arabidopsis, we analysed morphological changes in calcofluor-stained samples and gene expression dynamics using reporter lines in the leaf axillary region following plant shifting from short-day (SD) to long-day (LD) conditions (Fig. 1). Six days after shifting to LD (6LD), the cauline leaf primordium was separated from the main meristem by a boundary containing small and narrow cells (Fig. 1A). At 8LD, a bulge emerged between the cauline leaf primordium and the i, defining the “dome stage” of the developing AM (Fig. 1B). At 10LD and 13LD leaf and flower primordia were formed by the AM (Fig. 1C,D), defining respectively the “leaf primordium” and “flower primordium” stages.

To trace back the formation of the organizing centre and stem cells during CaAM establishment, we first analysed the expression dynamics of WUSCHEL and CLAVATA3 transcriptional reporters (Pfeiffer et al., 2016). At 5LD, pWUS:3xVENUS-NLS expression appeared in a few cells in of P7, the 7th youngest visible primordia (Fig. 1E). The number of VENUS expressing cells progressively increased during later stages (Fig. 1F-H). pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS expression appeared only later: some CaAMs started to express CLV3 at 7LD while at 8 LD most of them expressed CLV3 (Fig.1 I-L). Longitudinal optical sections showed that at 7LD WUS expression expanded from the corpus into the L2 and sometimes L1 layer (Fig. 1F). Concomitant with the onset of CLV3 expression (Fig. 1L), WUS expression became progressively excluded from the 3 outermost layers to finally mimic the expression observed in the SAM (Fig. 1H). Therefore, as in the RoAMs, during de novo establishment of the stem cell niche in CaAM, WUS is first activated, while CLV3 is expressed later in a domain...
contained in the WUS-expressing cells. These two overlapping domains then resolve into an apical CLV3 domain and a central WUS domain. However, whereas in the RoAMs, CLV3 showed a dynamic shift from a central to an apical domain (Xin et al., 2017) (Fig. S1), in CaAMs, the WUS domain shifted from an apical to a central domain.

Next, we followed the dynamics of CUC2 and CUC3 expression as these genes are redundantly required for CaAM formation (Hibara et al., 2006; Raman et al., 2008). During the early stages (P1 to P6), pCUC2:erRFP and pCUC3er:CFP transcriptional reporters showed a compact domain of expression at the boundary between the cauline leaf primordia and the meristem (Fig. 1M,Q). These expression domains became progressively more elongated while the groove separating the primordium from the meristem formed (P5-P6, Fig. 1N,R). Such CUC2 and CUC3 expression dynamics were independent of CaAM formation as they were observed in apices of both plants shifted or not shifted to LD. However, while in non-induced plants, expression of CUC2 and CUC3 remained as a compact line, we observed that starting 5LD onwards, it split into an eye-shaped structure leaving a central region with reduced expression in P7-P8 primordia (Fig. 1O,S). The central domain depleted for CUC2 and CUC3 expression expanded during later stages (P9-P10 in plants at >6LD), the expression of the two reporters concentrating into a necklace-shaped structure around the outgrowing meristematic dome (Fig. 1P,T).

In conclusion, CaAM formation is a rapid process leading to de novo establishment of a novel functional meristem, containing an organizing center and stem cell population. CUC2 and CUC3 expression is dynamic during CaAM formation shifting from an expression throughout the meristem to an expression restricted around the meristem.
Identification of putative regulators of CUC gene dynamic expression.

To identify possible transcriptional regulators of the dynamic expression of the CUC2 and CUC3 genes during AM formation, we performed an enhanced yeast one-hybrid screen using the CUC2 and CUC3 promoter regions as baits (Gaudinier et al., 2011). Thus, we identified SOD7/NGAL2 as a protein binding to the CUC3 promoter. SOD7/NGAL2 is a member of the small family of NGATHA-like transcription factors (Romanel et al., 2009; Swaminathan et al., 2008). We did not detect any interaction with ABS2/NGAL1, while DPA4/NGAL3 was not present in the transcription factor collection we screened (See Supplementary Material). However, because the NGAL genes were shown to repress CUC genes during leaf and seedling development (Engelhorn et al., 2012; Shao et al., 2020), we next tested whether the NGAL genes could be involved in AM development.

The SOD7/NGAL2 and DPA4/NGAL3 genes are redundantly required for AM formation.

To determine if the NGAL genes had a role in AM development, we grew single and multiple ngal mutants for 5 weeks in LD conditions. Undeveloped or delayed CaAMs were frequently observed in the dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant and abs1 dpa4-2 sod7-2 triple mutant, compared to the CaAMs in WT and other single or double mutants (Fig. 2A-H). To quantify this phenotype more precisely, we performed a kinetics of CaAM development and calculated the time point after bolting at which half of the CaAMs were developed ($t_{50}$). We observed a delay in the development of CaAMs for the double dpa4-2 sod7-2 ($t_{50} = 6.9$ days) and triple abs1 dpa4-2 sod7-2 ($t_{50} = 6.6$ days) mutants compared to the WT and the other mutants ($t_{50} = 1.5$ days) (Fig. 2I, Fig. S2A). A delay in RoAM development was also observed for the dpa4-2 sod7-2 and abs1 dpa4-2 sod7-2 mutants (Fig. S2B-D). Finally, the double mutant dpa4-3 sod7-2 with another dpa4 mutant allele also showed a delayed CaAM
development (Fig. S2G,H). All together, these data show that the NGAL genes are redundantly required for CaAM and RoAM development and that DPA4 and SOD7 play a major role in this process, while ABS2 has only a minor contribution.

Next, we traced back the origin of the delayed AM development by looking at early stages of CaAMs and RoAMs in the WT and the dpa4-2 sod7-2 mutant. In the WT, all the CaAMs rapidly switched from the dome stage at 8LD, to the leaf primordium stage at 10LD and at the flower primodium stage at 12LD (Fig. 2J, top plot). In contrast, no meristem was visible in the majority of the dpa4-2 sod7-2 cauline leaves at 8LD, while meristems at the dome stage were present only in about half of the axils at 10LD (Fig. 2J, middle plot). The apparition of leaf primordium and flower primordium was also delayed compared to the WT. In addition, confocal observations of dpa4-2 sod7-2 meristems at the dome stage, showed that their shape was often abnormal, with a perturbed cellular organization as the L1 layer showed anticlinal divisions, and divisions in any orientation were observed in the underlying L2 layer (Fig. 2K). To quantify the morphodynamics of CaAMs, we measured their width and height and calculated meristem aspect ratio (height divided by width). Interestingly, we observed on small dpa4-2 sod7-2 CaAMs (width < 90µm), a higher meristem on average and a more important variability of its shape, compared to WT (Fig. 2M). Larger meristems tended to regain a normal shape when their size increased. We noticed an asynchronous development of the CaAM in dpa4-2 sod7-2, in contrast to what was observed in the WT, the size of the meristem was not correlated with the time spent by the plant under LD (Fig. 2N). Nevertheless, both mutant and wild-type meristems switched from the dome to the leaf primordium stage at a similar size. (Fig. 2O). RoAMs showed a delay of initiation between wild-type and dpa4-2 sod7-2 but no modification of growth dynamics as in CaAMs (Fig. S2E,F).

In conclusion, in the dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant CaAM formation is delayed, asynchronous,
and associated with an abnormal cellular organisation and shape at the dome stage that
reverts to a normal structure at the stage when leaf primordia are initiated.

The SOD7/NGAL2 and DPA4/NGAL3 genes are required for proper CUC2 and CUC3
expression in CaAMs.

Because the NGAL genes are known negative regulators of the CUC gene expression
(Engelhorn et al., 2012; Shao et al., 2020), we analysed CUC2 and CUC3 expression during
CaAM development in dpa4-2 sod7-2 and WT. Quantitative RT-qPCR showed that CUC2 and
CUC3 mRNAs levels are increased in developing axillary branches (Fig. S3H-I). To follow CUC2
and CUC3 expression during early stages of CaAMs, we introduced the pCUC2:erRFP and
pCUC3:erCFP transcriptional reporters into the dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant. In the WT
dome stage, pCUC2:erRFP and pCUC3:erCFP reporter expressions were excluded from the
meristem and were localized to its base (Fig. 3A,B). In contrast, strong and uniform expression
of the reporters was observed in dpa4-2 sod7-2 domes (Fig. 3C,D). At the leaf primordium
stage, pCUC2:erRFP and pCUC3:erCFP reporters were expressed at the boundary domain of
the developing leaf primordia in the WT (Fig. 3E,F). A similar expression pattern was observed
in the dpa4-2 sod7-2 mutant, with sometimes weak ectopic expression in the meristem (Fig.
3G,H). Whole mount in situ hybridization confirmed a similar localization of CUC2 and CUC3
mRNA in the organ primordia boundary domain of both wild type and mutant meristems at
the “leaf primordium” stage (Fig. 3M-R). CUC3 mRNA was distributed throughout the
meristem at the dpa4-2 sod7-2 dome stage, in agreement with the expression pattern of the
pCUC3:erCFP reporter (Fig. 3L). CUC2 mRNA was observed in the rib zone of dpa4-2 sod7-2
dome stage meristem (Fig. 3K), contrasting with the larger expression of the pCUC2:erRFP
reporter (Fig. 3C). Such a reduction of the pattern of CUC2 mRNA may be due to the post
transcriptional regulation of CUC2 by miR164 (Nikovics et al., 2006; Peaucelle et al., 2007;
Sieber et al., 2007). The hypothesis that indeed miR164 may negatively regulated CUC2 during
AM development is supported by the observation that the delay in CaAM development in the
dpa4-2 sod7-2 (t50 = 5.74 days) mutant is enhanced by the inactivation of MIR164A (t50 = 6.77
days for dpa4-2 sod7-2 mir164a-4), one of the 3 MIR164 genes (Nikovics et al., 2006)(Fig. S3A-
E). Moreover combining dpa4-2 sod7-2 with the miRNA resistant version of CUC2, CUC2g-m4
(Nikovics et al., 2006) lead to an even stronger phenotype than dpa4-2 sod7-2 mir164a-4 with
no development of CaAM (Fig. S3F-G). Together, these data show that DPA4 and SOD7 repress
CUC2 and CUC3 expression from the developing AM at the dome stage.

CUC2 and CUC3 are required for the delayed CaAM development in the dpa4-2 sod7-
2 double mutant

Because ectopic expression of CUC2 and CUC3 coincides with the developmental
defects of the dpa4-2 sod7-2 CaAMs, we next genetically tested the requirement of the CUC
genes to delay CaAM development in dpa4-2 sod7-2 (Fig. 4). Introducing the cuc2-1 (t50 = 1.54
days) or cuc3-105 null allele (t50 = 1.52 days) into dpa4-2 sod7-2 restored growth of the CaAMs
(Fig. 4A-J, L, N). The cuc2-3 weak allele (t50 = 1.79 days) also led to a restoration of CaAM
development, though to a slightly lower level than the cuc2-1 null allele (Fig. 4M). In contrast,
introducing the cuc1-13 null allele (t50 = 5.57 days) had no effect on CaAM development (Fig.
4G,K). Observation of early stages of CaAM development showed that an active meristem
with a proper cellular organization is more rapidly initiated in the dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105
triple mutant compared to dpa4-2 sod7-2 (Fig. 2J lower plot and Fig. 2K, L). Accelerated
meristem development has been reported in mutants affected in the strigolactone pathway or the growth repressor BRC1 (Aguilar-Martínez et al., 2007; Booker et al., 2004; Stirnberg et al., 2002). However, introducing a mutant allele of BRC1, MAX2 or MAX3 into the dpa4-2 sod7-2 led to no or weak restoration of CaAM growth (Fig. S4), suggesting that DPA4 and SOD7 do not control the strigolactone or BRC1 pathway. Together, these observations suggest that ectopic expression of the CUC2 and CUC3 genes is responsible for defective CaAM organization and delayed activity in dpa4-2 sod7-2.

DPA4 and SOD7 are expressed in the boundary domain and transiently in the stemistem

To follow the expression of the DPA4 and SOD7 genes, we generated transcriptional reporters and combined them with the pCUC3:erCFP or pCUC2:erRFP reporters (Fig. 5 and Fig. S5). During early stages, pSOD7:GFP and pDPA4:GFP expression overlapped with pCUC3:erCFP and pCUC2:erRFP in an elongated domain between the meristem and the cauline leaf primordium (Fig. 5A,F,K,P). At the “eye” and “dome” stage, pSOD7:GFP and pDPA4:GFP were maintained in the central domain from which the meristem emerged, while pCUC3:erCFP or pCUC2:erRFP disappeared (Fig. 5B,G,L,Q). At these stages, pDPA4:GFP tended to show a higher expression on the SAM side. Fluorescence quantification along a radial axis from the SAM to the leaf primordium confirmed a stronger depletion of pCUC2:erRFP than pSOD7:GFP in the meristematic dome (Fig. 5C,E,H,J) while pDPA4:GFP showed a peak of expression in the boundary domains closer to the SAM, with a weaker expression in the emerging meristem and on the leaf primordium side (Fig. 5M,O,R,T). Later, pSOD7:GFP and pDPA4:GFP also became excluded from the meristem and limited to the...
boundary domain where pCUC3:erCFP is expressed (Fig. 5D,I,N,S). A similar dynamic was observed when we compared pCUC2:erRFP with pDPA4:GFP or pCUC3:erRFP with pSOD7:GFP reporters (Fig. S5).

Disruption of putative NGAL binding sites in CUC3 is sufficient to phenocopy the delay of dpa4-2 sod7-2 secondary stem growth.

Next, we investigated the molecular interaction between NGAL proteins and the CUC genes. We and others have shown that DPA4 and SOD7 repress CUC2 and CUC3 expression and the ABS2/NGAL1 protein directly binds to the CUC2 promoter (Engelhorn et al., 2012; Shao et al., 2020). It is also known that SOD7 binds to the promoter of the KLUH gene through a CACTTG motif (Zhang et al., 2015). RAV1, a transcription factor of the same family as DPA4/SOD7 recognizes a CACCTG motif (Yamasaki et al., 2004) and we found that SOD7 was able to bind in vitro to such a sequence present in the CUC3 promoter (Fig. S6B). Altogether, we identified 3 CACTTG and 3 CACCTG motives in the CUC3 promoter and one CACCTG in the 5’ part of the CUC3 CDS that could be putative DPA4/SOD7 binding sites (Fig. S6A). In order to test the role of these motifs in CUC3 expression regulation, we generated a mutated version of CUC3 with all 7 putative binding sites mutated (pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m, the mutation in the CDS was silent). We introduced pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m or a pCUC3:CUC3 control construct in the cuc3-105 null mutant background. In contrast to what is observed under LD conditions (Fig. 4), cuc3-105 plants shifted from SD to LD conditions showed a strong defect in CaAM initiation (63% CaAM not initiated at 32LD, Fig. 6A,B). This CaAM initiation defect was suppressed in pCUC3:CUC3 cuc3-105 (4% CaAM not initiated) and pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m cuc3-105 lines (all CaAM initiated) (Fig 6D,E), suggesting that a functional CUC3 was produced.
from both constructs. However while growth of the secondary stems was similar to the wild
type in the complemented pCUC3:CUC3 cuc3-105 lines, cuc3-105 lines complemented with
the mutated pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m constructs showed a delayed development of secondary
stems similar to dpa4-2 sod7-2 (Fig. 6A-F). Furthermore, we observed a massive increase of
CUC3 transcript levels in pCUC3-6m:CUC3-m1 cuc3-105 lines compared to WT, cuc3-105 and
the mutant complemented with pCUC3:CUC3 (Fig. 6G). Those results suggest the putative
NGAL binding sites are required to repress CUC3 expression and CUC3 overexpression
resulting from their mutation lead to a delay in CaAM growth, thus partially phenocopying
the dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant.

Because in dpa4-2 sod7-2 we observed stronger CUC3 expression than in WT, we next
generated a pCUC3-6m reporter line to follow the pattern of the mutated promoter during
CaAM development. The control reporter pCUC3:mCherry-N7 showed a clear depletion of the
fluorescence in the initiating meristem at the eye and dome stages (Fig. 6H,I), as previously
described with the pCUC3:erCFP reporter (Fig. 1). In contrast, the fluorescence of the pCUC3-
m6:GFP-N7 reporter remained homogeneous and no clear depletion was observed at eye
stage (Fig. 6J) while ectopic fluorescence remained in the developing meristem at the dome
stage (Fig. 6K). Quantifications confirmed the diminution of the mean fluorescence intensity
inside the dome of in the pCUC3:mCherry-N7 line whereas it remained high in the pCUC3-
m6:GFP-N7 line (Fig. 6L-M). At the leaf primordium stage, both wild-type and mutated
reporter constructs showed a similar expression in the boundary domain (Fig. S6D,E). This
suggests that mutation of putative NGAL binding sites in pCUC3 delays its dynamic repression
in the developing meristem. Remarkably, the pCUC3-m6:GFP-N7 reporter has the same
dynamic as observed for CUC3 transcript or pCUC3:erCFP reporter in dpa4-2 sod7-2. All these
results suggest that disruption of putative NGAL binding sites on CUC3 can induce ectopic
expression of CUC3 in the center of the CaAM as observed in dpa4-2 sod7-2, which in turn delays secondary branch development.

Repression of the boundary identity is required for stem cell and stem cell niche establishment.

Because AM function is associated with de novo establishment of stem cells, we next investigated whether stem cell formation is perturbed in dpa4-2 sod7-2 CaAMs. For this, we first followed the dynamics of a pCLV3:GUS reporter activation in CaAM (Fig. 7A-D). While at 8LD, pCLV3:GUS was expressed in all the wild-type cauline leaf axils, none of the dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant had a visible GUS staining, and at 12LD, only about half of the axils of the double mutant expressed the pCLV3:GUS reporter. The dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3 triple mutant showed a faster pCLV3:GUS activation, confirming that CaAM formation was partly restored in this background compared to the dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant (Fig. 7C,D). To further test whether the delayed pCLV3:GUS was due to the delayed outgrowth of the CaAMs in the double mutant, we compared CLV3 expression by whole mount in situ hybridization in CaAMs of different genotypes at similar morphological stages (Fig. S7A-D). This showed that while at the dome stage most of the wild-type CaAMs expressed CLV3, only 23% of the dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant showed CLV3 expression (n=17). CLV3 was restored in all of the dome stage dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3 CaAMs (n=10). This suggested that ectopic expression of CUC3 in the dpa4-2 sod7-2 meristem at the dome stage prevents activation of CLV3, and that boundary fate needs to be repressed to allow stem cell establishment. Interestingly, when CLV3 was again observed at the dome stage CaAMs of dpa4-2 sod7-2 and dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105, its expression pattern was sometimes abnormal as CLV3 tended to be expressed in the centre of
the meristem as was observed during wild-type RoAM initiation (Fig. S7E,F, Fig. 1S).

Respectively 78% and 70% of dpa4-2 sod7-2 (n=14) and dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105 (n=10) CaAMs showed such central ectopic expression of CLV3. This ectopic central expression of CLV3 is likely to be a transition phase as it was mostly observed on small CaAM in dpa4-2 sod7-2 (width <90µm), while larger meristems showed a normal apical expression pattern (Fig. 7E). Interestingly, the ectopic expression of CLV3 in dpa4-2 sod7-2 can be correlated with the perturbed cellular organization observed at the dome stage in dpa4-2 sod7-2 (Fig. 2).

Next, because WUS is expressed earlier and activates CLV3, we wanted to know if WUS expression was also delayed in dpa4-2 sod7-2. For this, we compared the dynamics of the pWUS:VENUS-NLS and pCUC3:erCFP reporters in wild-type and dpa4-2 sod7-2 plants (Fig. 7G-P). In the wild-type background, at the eye-stage, we observed a few cells expressing pWUS:VENUS-NLS in the center of the developing CaAM where pCUC3:erCFP expression started to disappear (Fig. 7G,H). Later on during the dome stage, pWUS:VENUS-NLS expression pattern enlarged and was highest in the meristem part where pCUC3:erCFP expression was low (Fig. 7I,J). Interestingly, in the smallest dpa4-2 sod7-2, CaAM pWUS:VENUS-NLS was very strong in a few cells at the outer base of the meristem, forming a ring-shaped structure which was complementary to the pattern of pCUC3:erCFP inside the whole dome of the CaAM (Fig. 7K,L). Much weaker pWUS:VENUS-NLS expression was detected in a few cells within the meristem. Later on, pWUS:VENUS-NLS expression increased in the meristem of dpa4-2 sod7-2 mutants (Fig. 7M,N). Lastly, during leaf primordium stage, a normal expression of pWUS:VENUS-NLS was observed in dpa4-2 sod7-2 while pCUC3:erCFP expression returned to the boundary domains (Fig. 7O,P). Whole mount in situ hybridization confirmed ectopic WUS expression at the base of the dpa4-2 sod7-2 meristems while the dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105 triple mutant showed a wild-type WUS pattern (Fig. S7G-I).
Linking these WUS patterns with meristem size, confirmed that in the wild type, small
meristem had an enlarged WUS expression while at later stages it became restricted to the
centre of the meristem (Fig. 7F). In dpa4-2 sod7-2 mutants, WUS switched from an initial
expression in ring-shaped pattern around its base to an expression throughout the meristem
before becoming restricted to a central normal domain (Fig. 7F). Those results suggested that
ectopic expression of CUC2/CUC3 prevents activation of WUS in the meristem.

Together, our results lead to a scenario where the DPA4 and SOD7 transcription factors are
essential for a rapid repression of the CUC2/CUC3 genes from the developing AM during the
expansion phase in which the number of meristematic cells increases. If such a rapid
repression does not occur, ectopic CUC2/CUC3 expression would lead to defective meristem
growth and organisation, and delayed activation of WUS in the meristem, which in turn would
lead to a delayed activation of CLV3 and hence to defective de novo stem cell niche
establishment.

DPA4 and SOD7 facilitate the establishment of the stem cells in the floral meristem

To test whether DPA4 and SOD7 had a general role in de novo stem cell formation we analysed
stem cell establishment in newly formed floral meristems using the pWUS:VENUS-NLS and
pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS reporters. In agreement with previous reports (Mayer et al., 1998), in
the wild type, pWUS:VENUS-NLS was expressed in a small proportion of the floral meristems
at stage 1 and was expressed in all stage 2 flowers (Fig. 7S). Slightly less stage 1 and stage 2
dpa4-2 sod7-2 floral meristems expressed pWUS:VENUS-NLS, suggesting a small delay in WUS
activation which was also observed when the meristems were staged according to their size
(Fig. 7S). Interestingly, CLV3 expression was more affected than WUS. Indeed, while 44% of
wild-type stage 2 floral meristems expressed pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS, only 11% of the dpa4-2 sod7-2 expressed it (Fig 7Q,R,T). At stage 3, all wild-type meristems expressed the CLV3 reporter while it was absent from 18% of the dpa4-2 sod7-2 meristems (Fig. 7T). Accordingly, pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS started to be expressed in dpa4-2 sod7-2 floral meristems that were almost twice as big as the wild type (Fig. S8). Based on those results, we can conclude that DPA4 and SOD7 act together to facilitate de novo stem cell establishment in floral meristems.
DISCUSSION

Stem cells are important throughout the life of all living organisms and, in plants, new population of stem cells and their enclosing meristems have to be formed throughout their life to enable continuous growth and branching. Such meristems are formed in the axils of leaves from boundary domains that maintain meristematic features. Work in the recent years has shown that AM initiation requires the maintenance of a meristematic fate by a dense network of interacting transcription factors and hormones, in which the CUC boundary genes play a central role, and accordingly cuc mutants show strong defects in meristem initiation (Hibara et al., 2006; Keller et al., 2006; Müller et al., 2006; Raman et al., 2008; Tian et al., 2014). Here we show that the expression of the CUC genes has to be down-regulated for the initiating meristem to proceed to the establishment phase and become active. We show that the NGAL transcription factors DPA4 and SOD7 are required to effectively remove CUC expression from the initiating AM. CUC mis-expression in the developing AM leads to asynchronous and delayed meristem formation, associated with abnormal cellular organization. Notably, ectopic expression of these boundary cell fate genes prevents stem cell establishment that is required for meristem activity. Because we observed that delayed stem cell formation also occurs in floral meristems of the dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant, our work reveals a conserved genetic circuit by which the NGAL transcription factors repress the CUC boundary genes to allow de novo stem cell establishment in newly formed meristems.

Arabidopsis can form AMs from both its rosette and cauline leaves and our work highlights differences previously unknown between the development of these two structures. First, while the formation of the RoAMs is a slow process extending over numerous plastochrons, the formation of the CaAM is much faster. For instance, WUS expression is initiated in P13 in
RoAMs (Wang et al., 2017) while we observed \textit{WUS} expression as early as P7 in CaAMs. As a consequence, the balance between relative growth of the leaf and the associated AM is pushed towards the leaf in the rosette and towards the meristem in cauline leaves. Indeed, we observed within successive CaAMs a trend of the AM to develop even faster relative to the leaf primordium in the upper nodes before the reproductive stage. Interestingly, it has been suggested that in the case of the floral meristem (a modified AM), the growth of a cryptic bract (a modified leaf) is suppressed (Long and Barton, 2000; Ohno et al., 2004). Altogether, this suggests that bract suppression during flower development may not be such an abrupt event as previously thought but could be the culminating point of a progressive reduction of lateral organ growth relative to AM development as the plant further matures.

A second difference between RoAMs and CaAMs, is that CaAMs grow out directly after their initiation with no apparent phase of dormancy. As a consequence, mutations in genes inhibiting AM outgrowth such \textit{BRC1} or those of the strigolactone pathway like \textit{MAX2/3} (Aguilar-Martínez et al., 2007; Booker et al., 2004; Stirnberg et al., 2002) do not further increase CaAM branching. Our genetic analysis indicate that the slow outgrowth of the \textit{dpa4-2 sod7-2} CaAMs can be slightly sped-up by mutations in the strigolactone pathway components or \textit{brc1}, suggesting that these pathways may still be active in CaAMs. However, the level of phenotypic restoration observed in these mutants is much lower than the one observed with the \textit{cuc} mutations, suggesting that these pathways are not the ones primarily affected in the \textit{dpa4-2 sod7-2} mutants.

A third difference between CaAMs and RoAMs can be seen in the dynamics of gene activation leading to stem cell establishment. While in both organs, \textit{WUS} is activated before \textit{CLV3}, in CaAM, the \textit{WUS} domain shifts from an apical to a central position while in RoAM, \textit{WUS} is already expressed in the central domain. In turn, \textit{CLV3} is properly positioned in apical position
from the beginning in CaAM, while in RoAM it moves from a central to an apical position. (Xin et al., 2017). Further characterizing in CaAM cytokinin signaling or HAM gene spatial patterns, that have been shown to contribute to stem cell establishment in RoAMs, will be necessary to understand these differences (Han et al., 2020a, 2020b; Wang et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2018).

Our data show that while CUC genes are required for AM formation (Hibara et al., 2006; Raman et al., 2008), likely by preventing cell differentiation and maintaining cells in a meristematic fate, their expression has to be negatively regulated to allow proper meristem establishment. Their prolonged, ectopic expression in the meristem is associated with asynchronous growth, abnormal cellular and meristem organization and delayed organ initiation. These defects can be traced back to some roles of the CUC genes as these genes have been shown to affect cell proliferation and cell expansion (Kierzkowski et al., 2019; Larue et al., 2009; Peaucelle et al., 2007; Serra and Perrot-Rechenmann, 2020; Sieber et al., 2007) as well as auxin transport and signaling (Bilsborough et al., 2011; Heisler et al., 2005; Maugarny-Calès et al., 2019). However, following an initial phase during which dpa4-2 sod7-2 meristems are misshapen, they recover, restraining CUC2 and CUC3 to the boundary. Because this transition is accelerated in a cuc3 mutant background, it suggests that ectopic CUC activity may be limiting for this. Such a reversion to a recovering meristem could be controlled by genetic factors. For instance, ABS2, the third NGAL gene, may contribute to exclude CUC expression from the meristem. However, because no major differences were observed between AM phenotype in dpa4-2 sod7-2 double and dpa4-2 sod7-2 abs1 triple mutant, this suggests ABS2 role may be limited. Alternatively, miR164, which is a well-known repressor of CUC2 expression that acts independently of NGAL genes (Engelhorn et al., 2012)
may also be involved. Our genetic analysis with mutations modifying miR164 activity supports such a role.

An alternative hypothesis also emerges from the comparison with the patterning of the leaf margin that leads to teeth formation. In the case of the leaf margin, a pattern with discontinuous CUC expression stripes forms as an emergent property of interconnected feedback loops between CUC activity and auxin transport and signalling (Bilsborough et al., 2011). In addition to the dynamics of these feedback loops, growth is essential for this patterning process as it generates a cellular template large enough for the feedback loops to be deployed. In such a view, CUC expression patterns would be able to reorganize once the slowly growing meristems of the dpa4 sod7 mutants would reach a critical size threshold. Testing such an hypothesis would require further investigations of the interconnections between AM growth and gene expression dynamics for instance through combined modelling and experimental perturbation of growth.

The final step in meristem formation is the de novo establishment of an active stem cell niche. This is essential for the indeterminate fate of AM but is also required for proper floral morphogenesis as a reduction of the inner organs is observed in wus flowers in which the stem cell niche is not properly specified (Laux et al., 1996). In both axillary and floral meristems, WUS activation precedes the expression of the stem cell marker CLV3. Here, we show that in the wild-type initiating CaAM, WUS expression is rapidly induced in a few cells that are depleted for CUC3 expression. Later, the WUS domain progressively enlarges, occupying most of the developing meristem that is complementary to the CUC3-expressing cells. In dpa4-2 sod7-2, CUC2 and CUC3 mis-expression during the dome stage profoundly modifies WUS expression patterns, which becomes mostly restricted to a ring-shaped
structure at the base of the meristem and excluded from the meristem itself. Therefore, as in
the wild type, the expression patterns of the CUC genes and WUS are essentially mutually
exclusive in dpa4 sod7 double mutant. This observation suggests a scenario in which CUC3
represses WUS expression although alternative scenarios are possible. For instance, it has
been suggested that geometrical changes of an emerging meristem may be sufficient for the
activation of new WUS and CLV3 domains (Gruel et al., 2016). In such a view, defects in WUS
and CLV3 activation in the double dpa4-2 sod7-2 mutant could be a consequence of abnormal
meristem growth or shape.
While AM are initiated from a group of cells expressing the CUC2 and CUC3 organ boundary
genes, these boundary domains are located on one side of the initiating floral meristem
(Heisler et al., 2005). Indeed, in floral meristems, CUC genes are expressed at stage 1 forming
the boundary between the floral primordia and the SAM until their expression disappears at
stage 4 (Hibara et al., 2006). Despite these differences in the origin of the meristem relative
to the boundary domain, dpa4 sod7 mutants show a delayed stem cell specification in both
AM and floral meristems, suggesting that the NGAL/CUC regulatory module similarly controls
de novo stem cell formation in all aerial post-embryonically formed meristems.
MATERIALS & METHODS

Plant material and growth conditions

All genotypes are in the Columbia-0 (WT) ecotype. The *cuc2-1* mutant was isolated from Landsberg *erecta* ecotype but was backcrossed 5 times in Col-0 (Hasson et al., 2011). The mutant allele, *dpa4-2* (Engelhorn et al., 2012), *sod7-2* and *dpa4-3* (Zhang et al., 2015), *abs1* (Shao et al., 2012), *cuc1-13, cuc2-3, cuc3-105* (Hibara et al., 2006), *brc1-2* (Aguilar-Martínez et al., 2007), *max2-1* (Stirnberg et al., 2007) and *max3-11* (Booker et al., 2004) were previously described, as well as the pCUC3:erCFP (Gonçalves et al., 2015), pCUC2:erRFP (Gonçalves et al., 2017), CUC2g-m4 (Nikovics et al., 2006), pCLV3:GUS (Brand et al., 2002) and pCLV3::mCHERRY-NLS/pWUS::3X VENUS-NLS (Pfeiffer et al., 2016).

Seeds were soaked in water at 4°C for 48 hours prior to sowing. Plants were grown in soil either in long-day (LD) conditions [2 h dawn (19°C, 65% hygrometry, 80 μmol.m-2.s-1 light), 12h day (21°C, 65% hygrometry, 120 μmol.m-2.s-1 light), 2h dusk (20°C, 65% hygrometry, 80 μmol.m-2.s-1 light), 16 h dark (18°C, 65% hygrometry, no light)] or in short-day (SD) conditions [1 h dawn (19°C, 65% hygrometry, 80 μmol.m-2.s-1 light), 6 h day (21°C, 65% hygrometry, 120 μmol.m-2.s-1 light), 1 h dusk (20°C, 65% hygrometry, 80 μmol.m-2.s-1 light), 16 h dark (18°C, 65% hygrometry, no light)] and then shifted to LD. Seedlings from Fig. 7G were grown in vitro on Arabidopsis medium Duchefa in long day conditions [16h light / 8h dark at 21°C].

Enhanced Yeast One-Hybrid Analysis

CUC2 and CUC3 promoters were amplified by PCR using promCUC2 Fwd and promCUC2 Rv (3.7 kb) and prCuc3 – Fw and prCuc3 – R (4.3 kb) (see Primers in Supplemental Table 1). They were recombined with the 5’TOPO plasmid and then into pMW2 and pMW3 for HIS3 and
LACZ reporter selection, respectively. Bait constructs were transformed into yeast as described in Gaudinier et al. (2011) and selected for on -His and -Ura dropout media and for minimal auto-activation in the reporter assays. The prey transcription factor collection used is described in Gaudinier et al. (2011) and Truskina et al. (2021) (see full list in Supplemental Table 2). Bait and prey transcription factors were introduced into a diploid yeast colony using the mating method as described in Gaudinier et al. (2011). The interaction between SOD7 and pCUC3 led to LACZ reporter activation but no HIS3 activation.

**Generation of transgenic plants**

2.8 kb promoter of *DPA4* was amplified with Pdpa4-2FW and Pdpa4-2RV (Supplemental Table 1) and inserted in front of a GFP in the pMDC107 to generate pDPA4:GFP. 2.1 kb promoter of *SOD7* (Zhang et al., 2015) was amplified with SOD7Profwattb1 and SOD7Prorvattb2 primers and inserted in front of a GFP in the pMDC107 to generate pSOD7:GFP. The promoters of *DPA4* and *SOD7* were cloned using a Gateway strategy.

All the parts used by a Goldenbraid 2.0 strategy (Sarrion-Perdigones et al., 2013) are listed in Supplemental Table 3. 4.3 kb promoter of *CUC3* was amplified and domesticated with GB_S1pCUC3S2_F and GB_S1pCUC3S2_R and inserted in the pUPD2. 3 patches of *CUC3* coding sequence of respectively 175bp, 644bp and 273bp were amplified with CUC3_S2F and CUC3_dom1R for patch1, CUC3_dom1F and CUC3_dom2R for patch2 and CUC3_dom2F and CUC3_S7R for patch3, combined to obtain a 1kb fragment and then inserted in the pUPD2. To generate *CUC3-1m*, we used *CUC3* pUPD2 as a matrix and amplified with CUC3_S2F and CUC3_CDS_PF3_r a first patch and with CUC3_CDS_PF3_f and CUC3_S7R a second patch to generate a silent mutation into the *NGAL* binding site mutation BS3 (Fig. S7A). A 3.7 kb fragment of *CUC3* promoter with the six binding sites mutated (pCUC3-6m) (Fig. S7A) was
synthesized by Genewiz (https://www.genewiz.com/) in a pUC-GW-Kan vector. Then the 3.7 kb pCUC3-6m fragment was excised from pUC-GW-Kan with Nsil-PstI enzymes and inserted into the pCUC3 pUPD2 vector also digested Nsil-PstI enzymes to generate a pCUC3-6m pUPD2.

To form the transcriptional unit (T.U), the different parts into the pUPD2 vectors were inserted in an pDGB3_α1 binary vector. The differents T.U in pDGB3_α1 were combined either with pnos:hygro:tnos pDGB3_α2 or with pCMV:DSRed:tnos pDGB3_α2 into an pDGB3_Ω1 binary vector.

The resulting constructs (pMDC107 or pDGB3_Ω1) were sequence-verified and transferred into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101. Plants were transformed by floral dipping. Primary transformants were selected in vitro for their resistance to hygromycin (pMDC107, pDGB3_Ω1) or selected with the red selection marker (pDGB3_Ω1). Several primary transformants were analysed for their phenotype and for each construction at least two independent lines were selected based on resistance segregation.

RNA whole mount in situ hybridization

RNA in situ hybridization was completed as described in Chelysheva et al., (in preparation).

Primers used to amplified the probes are indicated in Supplemental Table 1. In situ signal was revealed using the Vector® Blue Substrate Kit, Alkaline Phosphatase (Vector Laboratories) and imaged by confocal microscopy (see Supplemental Table 4)

CaAM preparation for confocal imaging

Plants were grown for 4 weeks in SD and shifted to LD. All observations were done in CaAM between 5 and 16 days after shifting in LD. All the observations were on fresh samples except...
for Fig. 1A-D, 2K,L where samples were fixed on 4% paraformaldehyde under vacuum for 1h and clearing in Clearsee (xylitol 10%, urea 25%, deoxycholate15%) (Kurihara et al., 2015) and Calcofluor (0.1%) for at least 2 weeks. Hand dissected meristems were mounted between slide and coverslip with Tris HCl 10mM pH = 8,5, Triton 0,01%.

**Confocal imaging**

Confocal imaging was performed on a Leica SP5 inverted microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Lenses are Leica 40x HCX PL APO CS. Acquisition parameters are presented in Supplemental Table 4. Imaging was done from above for apices until 10-12 LD while older apices had to be imaged from the side. Figures were made using ImageJ and FigureJ (Mutterer and Zinck, 2013). All the confocal images are maximum projections.

**Signal normalisation and averaging.**

Fluorescence profiles were computed using Fiji, then spatially normalized and averaged based on the two major signal peaks. First, each peak localization was determined along each individual signal profile. For this, the profile was split into two, on either side of the profile median position. Each of the two peaks was localized as the position of the maximal signal value on the corresponding side. To register several profiles, resulting peaks were put in correspondence using linear scaling and translation of the profile axis. In the resulting referent axis, the distance between the two peaks can either be arbitrary chosen, e.g., by specifying that the two normalized peaks are separated one from each other by a distance of 1 unit, or automatically from input data, e.g., by using the average distance between peaks computed from the data. After individual data normalization, profiles were averaged to yield the mean.
signal intensity profile. A script was developed in R for this and used to generate Fig. 5E,JO,T and Fig. 6 L,M

**Scanning electron microscopy**

Freshly sampled tissues were cooled to -33°C by a peltier cooling stage (Deben) and observed with a Hirox SH-1500 benchtop scanning electron microscope.

**RNA extraction and RT-qPCR expression analysis**

Total RNA were isolated using RNAeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer’s instructions for plant tissue including DNAse treatment. Reverse transcription was performed using RevertAid H Minus M-MuLV Reverse transcriptase (Fermentas) followed by a RNAse H treatment for 20 min at 37°C to eliminate DNA-RNA duplexes. Real time PCR analysis was performed on a Bio-Rad CFX connect machine using the SsoAdvance Universal SYBR Green Supermix following manufacturer’s instruction. PCR conditions are as follows: Conditions: 95°C 3min; (95°C 10s; 63°C 10s; 72°C 10s) x45 cycles. Primers used for real time PCR analysis are available in Supplemental Table 1. Expression data were normalized using the ΔΔCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

**GUS staining**

GUS staining was performed as described (Sessions et al., 1999) in the presence of 0.2 mM potassium ferricyanide and potassium ferrocyanure. The reaction was stopped with 95% ethanol, which was also used to remove the chlorophyll from the tissues.

**Phenotypic analysis**
A count of CaAM and RoAM development was carried out over a period of twenty days after bolting (determined when the primary stem > 1 mm) on plants grown 5 weeks on LD. CaAM and RoAM were counted every 2 days. A meristem is considered present when it begins to grow and be sufficiently visible to the naked eye (> 3mm). In addition, the final number of stem leaves and rosettes was also counted. We calculated the time point after bolting at which half of the CaAMs or RoAM were developed ($t_{50}$) using a R script.

A count of the stages of development of CaAM was carried out over a period of twenty days after bolting (determined when the primary stem > 1 mm) on plants grown 4 weeks in SD and then shifted to LD. Observations were done on CaAM between 8 and 28 days after shifting in LD using a binocular microscope.
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Figure 1. Rapid morphological changes and dynamic gene expression accompany CaAM formation.

(A-D) Optical sections of calcofluor-stained axillary regions of wild-type following SD to LD transition. (A-B) Main panel: transverse optical section (with respect to the main stem axis), lower panel: reconstructed optical tangential section, right panel: reconstructed optical radial sections. Yellow lines mark the position of the tangential and radial sections. (C-D) Optical tangential sections.

The number of days in LD condition is indicated.

(E-L) Maximum projections of transverse (E,G,I,K) and tangential (F,H,J,L) optical sections of a pWUS:VENUS-NLS (E-H) and pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS (I-L) reporter line during CaAM formation.

(F,J,H and L) are a merge between reporter fluorescence and transmitted light. The number of days in LD condition is indicated.

(M-T) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of a pCUC2:erRFP (M-P) and pCUC3:erCFP (Q-T) reporter line during CaAM formation. Positions are numbered according to the rank of the primordium. Primordium number is indicated.

Scale bars = 50µm; sam: shoot apical meristem; clp: cauline leaf primordium; *: AM; lp: leaf primordium formed by the AM, fp: flower primordium formed by the AM. The dotted line corresponds to the outline of the cauline leaf primordium.
Figure 2. **DPA4** and **SOD7** are required for rapid development of cauline AMs.

(A–H) Inflorescence of WT, simple, double and triple *ngal* mutants. Plants were grown for 5 weeks in long-day conditions. White arrowheads point to developed CaAMs while the arrows point to delayed CaAMs. The time point after bolting at which half of the CaAM are developed (*t*<sub>50</sub>, in days) is indicated under the genotype.

(I) Kinetics of CaAM development after bolting. Development of the CaAM is indicated as the percentage of developed branches (≥ 3mm) reported to the total number of cauline leaves (*n*≥11).

(J) Kinetics of CaAM development in WT, *dpa4*-2 *sod7*-2 and *dpa4*-2 *sod7*-2 *cuc3*-105 grown 4 weeks in SD and transferred to LD (*n* ≥ 10).

(K,L) Tangential optical sections of calcofluor-stained WT of *dpa4*-2 *sod7*-2 (K) and *dpa4*-2 *sod7*-2 *cuc3*-105 (L) CaAM at 13LD. The wild-type control is shown in Fig1D

(M) Evolution of CaAM shape in WT and *dpa4*-2 *sod7*-2.

(N) CaAM height and width as a function of the number of LD in WT and *dpa4*-2 *sod7*-2.

(O) CaAM height and width as a function of the CaAM stage in WT and *dpa4*-2 *sod7*-2.

Scale bars : (A–H) = 5 cm ; (K,L) = 100 µm

Figure 3. **SOD7** and **DPA4** are required for proper **CUC2** and **CUC3** expression in CaAM

(A-H) Maximum projections of tangential optical sections of a pCUC2:erRFP and pCUC3:erCFP reporters in WT and *dpa4*-2 *sod7*-2 during CaAM development at dome stage (A-D) and leaf primordia stage (E-H).
(I-R) Maximum projections of tangential optical sections of whole mount *in situ hybridization* of *CUC2* and *CUC3* transcript in WT and *dpa4-2 sod7-2* during CaAM development at dome stage (I-L) and leaf primordia stage (M-R).

Plants were grown for 4 weeks in SD conditions and then shifted to LD. Scale bars: (A-R) = 50 µm. The dotted line corresponds to the outline of the meristems and leaf primordia.

**Figure 4.** *CUC2* and *CUC3* are required for delayed CaAM development in *dpa4-2 sod7-2* mutants

(A-J) Inflorescence of WT, simple *cuc* mutants, double mutant *dpa4-2 sod7-2* and triple mutant *dpa4-2 sod7-2-cuc*. Plants were grown for 5 weeks in LD. White arrowheads point to the developed CaAMs while the arrows point to delayed CaAMs. The time point after bolting at which half of the CaAM are developed (t$_{50}$, in days) is indicated under the genotype.

(K-N) Kinetics of CaAM development of WT (K-N), *dpa4-2 sod7-2* (K-N), *cuc1-13* and *dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc1-13* (K), *cuc1* and *dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc1* (L), *cuc3* and *dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3* (M) and *cuc3-105* and *dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105* (N) plants after bolting. Development of the CaAM is indicated as the percentage of developed branches (≥ 3mm) reported to the total number of cauline leaves (n≥7). All data were generated in the same experiments, therefore the same WT and *dpa4-2 sod7-2* data were used in panels K to N

Scale bars: (A-J) = 5 cm

**Figure 5.** *DPA4* and *SOD7* have overlapping expression patterns with *CUC2* and *CUC3* in the boundary domain and are transiently expressed in the early AM
(A-J) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of plants co-expressing pCUC2:erRFP and pSOD7:GFP reporter lines. Mean fluorescence along the radial axis of CaAM at the dome stage of the pCUC2:erRFP (E) or pSOD7:GFP (J) reporters. (n=6)

(K-T) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of plants co-expressing pCUC3:erCFP and pDPA4:GFP reporter line. Mean fluorescence along the radial axis of CaAM at the dome stage of the pCUC3:erCFP (O) or pDPA4:GFP (T) reporters. (n=6)

CaAMs are at the (A,F,K,P) line, (B,G,L,Q) eye,(C,H,M,R) dome and late dome stage (D,I,N,S)

Scale bars : (A-P) = 50 µm; sam: shoot apical meristem; clp: cauline leaf primordium; *: AM ;

The dotted line corresponds to the outline of the cauline leaf primordium.

Figure 6. Disruption of putative NGAL binding sites in CUC3 induces ectopic CUC3 expression and delay in CaAM development.

(A-E) Inflorescence of WT, cuc3-105 mutant, dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant, pCUC3:CUC3 cuc3-105 #1 and pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m cuc3-105 #13. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in SD and then shifted to LD for 3 weeks. White arrowheads point to the developed CaAMs while the arrows point to delayed CaAMs.

(F) Secondary stem length as a function of primary length stem for WT, cuc3-105 mutant, dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant , pCUC3:CUC3 cuc3-105 #1 and #25 and pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m cuc3-105 #13 and #14.

(G) Quantification of the transcript level of CUC3 by RT-qPCR on 10 day-old seedlings of WT, cuc3-105 mutant, dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant, pCUC3:CUC3 cuc3-105 #1 and #25 and pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m cuc3-105 #13 and #14. Expressions were normalized using the QREF and REFA genes. A Student’s test was performed to compare the expression levels of mutants in comparison to the wild type (p<0.05 *; p<0.01 **; p<0.001 ***).
(H-I) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of pCUC3:mCherry-N7 or pCUC3-6m:GFP-N7 reporters in wild-type plants during CaAM formation at eye (H,I) and (J,K) dome stage.

(L-M) Mean fluorescence along the radial axis of CaAM at the dome stage of the pCUC3:mCherry-N7 (L) or pCUC3-6m:GFP-N7 (M) reporters. (n ≥ 5)

Scale bars : (A-E) = 5 cm ; (H,I) = 50 µm. sam: shoot apical meristem; clp: cauline leaf primordium; *: AM; the dotted line corresponds to the edge of the cauline leaf primordium.

Figure 7. Stem cell specification is delayed in dpa4-2 sod7-2 AM and floral meristems

(A-C) Expression of a pCLV3:GUS reporter in (A) dpa4-2 sod7-2 (B) dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105 (C) inflorescences . Plants were grown for 4 weeks in SD and then shifted to LD for 10 days. The arrows point CaAM with pCLV3:GUS expression and sam indicate the shoot apical meristem

(D) Quantification of GUS positive CaAM with pCLV3:GUS expression in plants shifted to LD.

(E) CLV3 expression pattern as a function of CaAM width and height in WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2. “normal” is CLV3 expressed in the apical region as shown in Fig S7A,C,D,E, while “central” is CLV3 expression in the centre of the meristem as shown in Fig S7F.

(F) WUS expression pattern as a function of CaAM width and height in WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2. “enlarged” is WUS expressed in the entire meristem as shown in panels J and N, “ring-shaped” is WUS expressed at the base of the meristem as in panel L, and “normal” is WUS expressed in few cells in the centre of the meristem as in panel P.

(G-P) Maximum projections of transverse (G-J) and tangential (K-P) optical sections of pWUS:VENUS-NLS and pCUC3:erCFP in wild-type and dpa4-2 sod7-2 during CaAM development. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in SD conditions and then shifted to LD.

(Q-P). Maximum projections of transverse optical sections pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS in floral
meristems at stage 2 in WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2.

(S,T) WUS and CLV3 expression as a function of floral meristem stage. A Fisher’s test was performed to compare the expression levels of the mutants in comparison to the wild-type (p <0.05 *).

Scale bars : (A-C) = 0.5cm; (G-R) = 50µm; sam: shoot apical meristem; clp: cauline leaf primordium; *: AM ; the dotted line corresponds to the outline of the cauline leaf primordium (G-J), AM (K-P) or floral meristem (Q,R)

LEGENDS TO THE SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES

Figure 1 Supplemental. WUS and CLV3 expression in CaAM and RoAM

(A-C) Maximum projections of radial optical sections of a pWUS:VENUS-NLS (A) and pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS (B) reporter lines and the overlay (C) during CaAM formation.

(D-F) Maximum projections of radial optical sections of a pWUS:VENUS-NLS (D) and pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS (E) reporter lines and the overlay (F) during RoAM formation.

The number of days in LD conditions is indicated.

Scale bars : (A-F) = 50 μm

Figure 2 Supplemental. DPA4 and SOD7 are required for rapid development of cauline AMs.

(A,B) Kinetics of CaAM or RoAM development of all ngal simple and multiple mutants after bolting. Development of the meristems is indicated as the percentage of developed branches (≥ 3mm) reported to the total number of cauline or rosette leaves (n≥11).
(C,D) SEM observations of WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2 RoAM from leaf 7 on plants grown 4 weeks in SD.

(E) Quantification method for (F) on a maximum projection of transverse optical sections of pCUC3:erCFP reporter in WT SAM on plants grown 4 weeks in SD. The red line represents the width of the RoAM and the blue line the distance between the SAM and the RoAM.

(F) RoAM width as a function of the distance between the same RoAM and the SAM.

(G,H) Inflorescence of WT and dpa4-3 sod7-2 double mutants. Plants were grown for 5 weeks in LD. White arrowheads point to the developed CaAMs while the arrows point to delayed CaAMs.

Scale bars: (C,D) = 200 µm; (E) = 100 µm, (G,H) = 5cm

Figure 3 Supplemental. Genetic interaction between MIR164 and DPA4/SOD7 during CaAM development and CUC2/CUC3 mRNA quantification in dpa4-2 sod7-2.

(A-D) Inflorescence of WT, and mir164a-4, dpa4-2 sod7-2 and dpa4-2 sod7-2 mir164a-4 mutants. Plants were grown for 5 weeks in LD. White arrowheads point to the developed CaAMs while the arrows point to delayed CaAMs. The time point after bolting at which half of the CaAM are developed (t50) is indicated under the genotype.

(E) Kinetics of CaAM development after bolting. Development of the CaAM is indicated as the percentage of developed branches (≥ 3mm) reported to the total number of cauline leaves (n≥8).

(F) Inflorescence of dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc2g-m4 mutant. Plants were grown for 6 weeks in LD.

(G) Close-up view of the inflorescence of dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc2g-m4 mutant on CaAM. The plants were grown for 6 weeks in long-day-conditions. Arrows point to delayed CaAMs.
(H-I) Quantification of the transcript level of CUC3 and CUC2 by RT-qPCR in CaAM of wild-type plants and dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant grown for 5 weeks in LD. Expressions were normalized using the QREF and REFA genes. A Student’s test was performed to compare the expression levels of the mutants in comparison to the wild-type (p <0.05 *; p <0.01 **).

Scales bars : (A-D ; F-G) = 5 cm

Figure 4 Supplemental. Delayed development of dpa4-2 sod7-2 is not restored by mutations in BRC1/MAX genes.

(A-H) Inflorescence of WT, single max-brc1 mutants and dpa4-2 sod7-2-max/brc1 triple mutants. Plants were grown for 5 weeks in LD. White arrowheads point to the developed CaAMs while arrows point to delayed CaAMs. The time point after bolting at which half of the CaAM are developed (t50) is indicated under the genotype.

(I-K) Kinetics of CaAM development of single max-brc1 mutants and dpa4-2 sod7-2-max/brc1 triple mutant after bolting. Development of the CaAM is indicated as the percentage of developed branches (≥ 3mm) reported to the total number of cauline leaves (n≥8). All data were generated in the same experiments, therefore the same WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2 data were used in panels I to K.

Scales bars : (A-H) = 5 cm

Figure 5 Supplemental. DPA4 and SOD7 have overlapping expression patterns with CUC2 and CUC3 in the boundary domain and are transiently expressed in the early AM

(I-P) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of plant co-expressing pCUC2:erCFP and pDPA4:GFP reporters. CaAMs are at the (A,E,I,M) line, (B,F,J,N) eye, (C,G,K,O) dome and late dome stage (D,H,L,P).

Scale bars : (A-P) = 50µm; sam: shoot apical meristem; clp: cauline leaf primordium; *: AM; the dotted line corresponds to the outline of the cauline leaf primordium.

Figure 6 Supplemental. Putative NGAL binding sites in CUC3 and pCUC3/pCUC3-6m reporter expression in CaAM.

(A) Diagram of CUC3 promoter and CDS with all the putative NGAL binding sites identified (Swaminathan et al., 2008 ; Zhang et al., 2015).

A focus on the sequence of BS1 is shown. A and A-m indicate the wild-type probe and the mutated probe used in the EMSA, respectively.

(B) EMSA experiments showed that SOD7 directly binds to the promoter of CUC3. The biotin-labeled probe A and MBP-SOD7 formed a DNA-protein complex (lane 2), but the mutated probe A-m and MBP-SOD7 did not (lane 9). The biotin-labeled probe A and MBP did not form a DNA-protein complex (lane 1). The retarded DNA-protein complex was reduced by the competition using the unlabeled probe A (lane 3 to 5), but not reduced by the competition using the unlabeled mutated probe A-m (lane 6 to 8).

(C-D) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of pCUC3:mCherry-N7 or pCUC3-6m:GFP-N7 reporters in wild-type plants during CaAM formation at leaf primordium stage.

Scale bars : (B-C) = 50µm; the dotted line corresponds to the outline of the cauline meristem and leaf primordia.
Figure 7 Supplemental. **CLV3** and **WUS** expression patterns in CaAMs.

(A-D) Maximum projections of tangential optical sections of whole mount *in situ hybridization* of CLV3 transcript in WT and *dpa4-2 sod7-2* in CaAMs at dome stage (E-F) and leaf primordium stage (G-H).

(E,F) Maximum projections of tangential optical sections of the pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS reporter during CaAM development at dome stage.

(G-I) Maximum projections of optical sections of whole mount *in situ hybridization* of WUS transcript in CaAMs at dome stage.

Scale bars: 50µm

Figure 8 Supplemental. **WUS** and **CLV3** activation are delayed in *dpa4-2 sod7-2* floral meristems

(A,B) **WUS** and **CLV3** expression as a function of floral meristem size
Nicolas et al., Figure 1
Figure 1. Rapid morphological changes and dynamic gene expression accompany CaAM formation. (A-D) Optical sections of calcofluor-stained axillary regions of wild-type following SD to LD transition. (A-B) Main panel: transverse optical section (with respect to the main stem axis), lower panel: reconstructed optical tangential section, right panel: reconstructed optical radial sections. Yellow lines mark the position of the tangential and radial sections. (C-D) Optical tangential sections. The number of days in LD condition is indicated. (E-L) Maximum projections of transverse (E,G,I,K) and tangential (F,H,J,L) optical sections of a pWUS:VENUS-NLS (E-H) and pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS (I-L) reporter line during CaAM formation. (F,J,H and L) are a merge between reporter fluorescence and transmitted light. The number of days in LD condition is indicated. (M-T) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of a pCUC2:erRFP (M-P) and pCUC3:erCFP (Q-T) reporter line during CaAM formation. Positions are numbered according to the rank of the primordium. Primordium number is indicated. Scale bars = 50µm; sam: shoot apical meristem; clp: cauline leaf primordium; *: AM; lp: leaf primordium formed by the AM, fp: flower primordium formed by the AM. The dotted line corresponds to the outline of the cauline leaf primordium.
Nicolas et al., Figure 2

A, B, C, D: Images of WT, abs2-1, dpa4-2, and sod7-2 plants.

E, F, G, H: Images showing abs2-1 dpa4-2, abs2-1 sod7-2, dpa4-2 sod7-2, and abs2-1 dpa4-2 sod7-2 plants.

I: Graph showing the percentage of developed cauline axillary meristem over days after bolting.

K: Images showing meristem development under LD conditions.

L: Graph showing the relationship between meristem width (µm) and meristem height (µm) for WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2 plants.

M: Graph showing the relationship between meristem width (µm) and meristem height (µm) for WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2 plants.

N: Graph showing the relationship between meristem width (µm) and meristem height (µm) for WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2 plants.

O: Graph showing the relationship between meristem width (µm) and meristem height (µm) for WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2 plants.
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Figure 2. *DPA4* and *SOD7* are required for rapid development of cauline AMs.

(A–H) Inflorescence of WT, simple, double and triple *ngal* mutants. Plants were grown for 5 weeks in long-day conditions. White arrowheads point to developed CaAMs while the arrows point to delayed CaAMs. The time point after bolting at which half of the CaAM are developed (*t*₅₀, in days) is indicated under the genotype.

(I) Kinetics of CaAM development after bolting. Development of the CaAM is indicated as the percentage of developed branches (≥ 3mm) reported to the total number of cauline leaves (*n*≥11).

(J) Kinetics of CaAM development in WT, *dpa4-2 sod7-2* and *dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105* grown 4 weeks in SD and transferred to LD (*n* ≥ 10).

(K,L) Tangential optical sections of calcofluor-stained WT of *dpa4-2 sod7-2* (K) and *dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105* (L) CaAM at 13LD. The wild-type control is shown in Fig1D

(M) Evolution of CaAM shape in WT and *dpa4-2 sod7-2*.

(N) CaAM height and width as a function of the number of LD in WT and *dpa4-2 sod7-2*.

(O) CaAM height and width as a function of the CaAM stage in WT and *dpa4-2 sod7-2*.

Scale bars : (A–H) = 5 cm ; (K,L) = 100 µm
Figure 3. SOD7 and DPA4 are required for proper CUC2 and CUC3 expression in CaAM
(A-H) Maximum projections of tangential optical sections of a pCUC2:erRFP and pCUC3:erCFP reporters in WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2 during CaAM development at dome stage (A-D) and leaf primordia stage (E-H).
(I-R) Maximum projections of tangential optical sections of whole mount in situ hybridization of CUC2 and CUC3 transcript in WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2 during CaAM development at dome stage (I-L) and leaf primordia stage (M-R).
Plants were grown for 4 weeks in SD conditions and then shifted to LD.
Scale bars: (A-R) = 50 µm. The dotted line corresponds to the outline of the meristems and leaf primordia.
Nicolas et al., Figure 4

Figure 4. CUC2 and CUC3 are required for delayed CaAM development in dpa4-2 sod7-2 mutants
(A-J) Inflorescence of WT, simple cuc mutants, double mutant dpa4-2 sod7-2 and triple mutant dpa4-2 sod7-2 -cuc. Plants were grown for 5 weeks in LD. White arrowheads point to the developed CaAMs while the arrows point to delayed CaAMs. The time point after bolting at which half of the CaAM are developed (\(t_{50}\) in days) is indicated under the genotype.

(K-N) Kinetics of CaAM development of WT (K-N), dpa4-2 sod7-2 (K-N), cuc1-13 and dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc1-13 (K), cuc1 and dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc1 (L), cuc3 and dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3 (M) and cuc3-105 and dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105 (N) plants after bolting. Development of the CaAM is indicated as the percentage of developed branches (≥ 3mm) reported to the total number of cauline leaves (≥7). All data were generated in the same experiments, therefore the same WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2 data were used in panels K to N.

Scale bars : (A-J) = 5 cm
Nicolas et al., Figure 5

**Figure 5.** *DPA4* and *SOD7* have overlapping expression patterns with *CUC2* and *CUC3* in the boundary domain and are transiently expressed in the early AM.

(A-J) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of plants co-expressing pCUC2:erRFP and pSOD7:GFP reporter lines. Mean fluorescence along the radial axis of CaAM at the dome stage of the pCUC2:erRFP (E) or pSOD7:GFP (J) reporters. (n=6)

(K-T) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of plants co-expressing pCUC3:erCFP and pDPA4:GFP reporter line. Mean fluorescence along the radial axis of CaAM at the dome stage of the pCUC3:erCFP (O) or pDPA4:GFP (T) reporters. (n=6)

CaAMs are at the (A,F,K,P) line, (B,G,L,Q) eye, (C,H,M,R) dome and late dome stage (D,I,N,S)

Scale bars: (A-P) = 50 µm; sam: shoot apical meristem; clp: cauline leaf primordium; *: AM; The dotted line corresponds to the outline of the cauline leaf primordium.
Nicolas et al., Figure 6
Figure 6. Disruption of putative NGAL binding sites in CUC3 induces ectopic CUC3 expression and delay in CaAM development.

(A-E) Inflorescence of WT, cuc3-105 mutant, dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant, pCUC3:CUC3 cuc3-105 #1 and pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m cuc3-105 #13. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in SD and then shifted to LD for 3 weeks. White arrowheads point to the developed CaAMs while the arrows point to delayed CaAMs.

(F) Secondary stem length as a function of primary length stem for WT, cuc3-105 mutant, dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant, pCUC3:CUC3 cuc3-105 #1 and #25 and pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m cuc3-105 #13 and #14.

(G) Quantification of the transcript level of CUC3 by RT-qPCR on 10 day-old seedlings of WT, cuc3-105 mutant, dpa4-2 sod7-2 double mutant, pCUC3:CUC3 cuc3-105 #1 and #25 and pCUC3-6m:CUC3-1m cuc3-105 #13 and #14. Expressions were normalized using the QREF and REFA genes. A Student's t-test was performed to compare the expression levels of mutants in comparison to the wild type (p <0.05 *, p <0.01 **; p <0.001 ***).

(H-I) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections of pCUC3:mCherry-N7 or pCUC3-6m:GFP-N7 reporters in wild-type plants during CaAM formation at eye (H,I) and (J,K) dome stage.

(L-M) Mean fluorescence along the radial axis of CaAM at the dome stage of the pCUC3:mCherry-N7 (L) or pCUC3-6m:GFP-N7 (M) reporters. (n ≥ 5)

Scale bars: (A-E) = 5 cm; (H,I) = 50 µm. sam: shoot apical meristem; clp: cauline leaf primordium; *: AM; the dotted line corresponds to the edge of the cauline leaf primordium.
Nicolas et al., Figure 7
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Figure 7. Stem cell specification is delayed in dpa4-2 sod7-2 AM and floral meristems
(A-C) Expression of a pCLV3:GUS reporter in (A) dpa4-2 sod7-2 (B) dpa4-2 sod7-2 cuc3-105 (C) inflorescences. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in SD and then shifted to LD for 10 days. The arrows point CaAM with pCLV3:GUS expression and sam indicate the shoot apical meristem
(D) Quantification of GUS positive CaAM with pCLV3:GUS expression in plants shifted to LD.
(E) CLV3 expression pattern as a function of CaAM width and height in WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2. “normal” is CLV3 expressed in the apical region as shown in Fig S7A,C,D,E, while “central” is CLV3 expression in the centre of the meristem as shown in Fig S7F.
(F) WUS expression pattern as a function of CaAM width and height in WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2. “enlarged” is WUS expressed in the entire meristem as shown in panels J and N , “ring-shaped” is WUS expressed at the base of the meristem as in panel L, and “normal” is WUS expressed in few cells in the centre of the meristem as in panel P.
(G-P) Maximum projections of transverse (G-J) and tangential (K-P) optical sections of pWUS:VENUS-NLS and pCUC3:erCFP in wild-type and dpa4-2 sod7-2 during CaAM development. Plants were grown for 4 weeks in SD conditions and then shifted to LD.
(Q-P) Maximum projections of transverse optical sections pCLV3:mCHERRY-NLS in floral meristems at stage 2 in WT and dpa4-2 sod7-2.
(S,T) WUS and CLV3 expression as a function of floral meristem stage. A Fisher’s test was performed to compare the expression levels of the mutants in comparison to the wild-type (p <0.05 *).
Scale bars : (A-C) = 0.5cm; (G-R) = 50µm; sam: shoot apical meristem; clp: cauline leaf primordium; *: AM ; the dotted line corresponds to the outline of the cauline leaf primordium (G-J), AM (K-P) or floral meristem (Q,R)