Evolutionary responses of energy metabolism, development, and reproduction

to artificial selection for increasing heat tolerance in *Drosophila subobscura*.

Andrés Mesas[1,2] and Luis E. Castañeda[3]

[1]Millenium Institute of Oceanography (IMO), Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile.

[2]Departemento de Sistemas Acuáticos, Facultad de Ciencias Ambientales, Universidad de

Concepción, Concepción, Chile.

[3]Programa de Genética Humana, Instituto Ciencias Biomédicas, Facultad de Medicina,

Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile.

Corresponding authors:

Andrés Mesas: andresmesasp@gmail.com

Luis E. Castañeda: luis.castaneda@uchile.cl

Running Title: Heat tolerance evolution, metabolism, and fitness

Abstract

Adaptations to warming conditions exhibited by ectotherms include increasing heat tolerance but also metabolic changes to reduce maintenance costs (metabolic depression), which can allow them to redistribute the energy surplus to biological functions close to fitness. Currently, there is evidence that energy metabolism evolves in response to warming conditions but we know little about how the rate of temperature change during heat stress determines the evolutionary response of metabolism and the consequences on life-history traits. Here, we evaluated the evolutionary response of energy metabolism (metabolic rate and activity of enzymes of the glucose-6-phosphate branchpoint) and life-history traits to artificial selection for increasing heat tolerance in Drosophila subobscura, using two different thermal selection protocols for heat tolerance: slow and fast ramping protocols. We found that the increase in heat thermal tolerance was associated with a reduction of the hexokinase activity in the slow-ramping selected lines, and a slight reduction of the glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase activity in the fast-ramping selected lines. We also found that the evolution of increased heat tolerance increased the early fecundity in selected lines and increased the egg-to-adult viability only in the slow-ramping selected lines. However, heat tolerance evolution was not associated with changes in the metabolic rate in selected populations. This work shows heat tolerance can evolve under different thermal scenarios but with different evolutionary outcomes on associated traits depending on the intensity of thermal stress. Therefore, spatial and temporal variability of thermal stress intensity should be taken into account to understand and predict the adaptive response to ongoing and future climatic conditions.

Keywords: heat tolerance evolution, thermal stress, metabolic rate, G6P branchpoint, early fecundity, preadult viability.

Introduction

Environmental thermal stress involves strong selective pressures that can lead to important evolutionary changes depending on genetic variation exhibited by natural populations (Umina et al., 2005; Crozier & Hutchings, 2014; Chick et al., 2021). The increasing environmental temperatures cause fitness declines and consequently changes in abundance and distribution of ectotherm populations (Deutsch et al., 2008; Angilletta, 2009; Chen et al., 2011). Therefore, knowing and exploring how ectotherms will withstand global warming is crucial.

The ability to tolerate high temperatures implies that organisms must exhibit an array of responses at different levels of organization, allowing them to maintain their biological performance under these conditions (Huey & Kingsolver, 1993; Pörtner et al. 2006; Mesas et al. 2021; McGaughran et al. 2021). Among these responses, metabolic depression, defined as a reduction of the energy expenditure associated with the maintenance of organisms, is considered an important physiological mechanism related to resistance to environmental stress (Guppy & Withers, 1999; Storey & Storey, 2004). Plastic and evolutionary changes in energy metabolism should have important ecological and evolutionary consequences when organisms are exposed to stressful conditions because it should allow them to reduce their minimum energetic requirements and redistribute the energy surplus to biological functions close to fitness (Atkinson, 1977; Storey & Storey, 2004). For example, individuals with a low standard metabolic rate (SMR) have higher survival compared to those individuals with high SMR (Artacho & Nespolo, 2009). Additionally, the evolution of increased resistance to desiccation,

starvation, hypoxia, and high temperatures has been also accompanied by a reduction in

metabolic rate (Hoffmann & Parson, 1989; Padfield et al. 2016; Regan et al. 2017; Brown et al.

2019; but see Djawdan et al. 1997), suggesting that energy-saving phenotypes are favored under

stressful conditions.

On a broader scale, metabolic depression as a physiological response to high temperature

could explain the countergradient variation in metabolic rate proposed in the Metabolic Cold

Adaptation hypothesis (Addo-Bediako, 2002; Gaston et al. 2009), which establishes that

ectothermic species from temperate habitats have lower metabolic rates than those living in

colder environments (Addo-Bediako et al. 2002; Sylvestre et al. 2007; Schaefer & Walters 2010;

Sinnatamby et al. 2015; Pilakouta et al. 2020). Also, metabolic depression has represented an

adaptive response in phytoplankton populations, which evolved in warm environmental

conditions and showed an increase in their thermal tolerance accompanied by a reduced

metabolic rate (Padfield et al. 2016). However, there is also evidence that *Drosophila simulans*

showed an increase in metabolic rate after 60 generations of evolution in a hot environment

(Mallard et al. 2018). Regardless of the direction of the metabolic response to warm conditions,

these results indicate that metabolism can evolve when populations are exposed to stable warm

conditions. However, under natural conditions, organisms are exposed to fluctuating

environmental conditions, which can result in different evolutionary outcomes (Colinet et al

2015). For instance, Mesas et al (2021) found an evolutionary increase in heat tolerance in *D.*

subobscura regardless of the ramping rate used during thermal assays, but changes in the thermal

performance curve of the evolved populations did depend on the ramping rates used during the

selection. This evidence suggests that heat tolerance may evolve in response to global warming,

but responses of associated traits, including metabolic rate, would depend on the rate of

temperature change during heat stress (Santos et al 2012). Indeed, individuals with slow

metabolism are expected to have a higher heat tolerance than individuals with fast metabolism

because the latter depletes energy resources faster than the former during heat stress (Rezende et

al. 2011; Santos et al. 2012).

Metabolism is an emergent property of interactions between different cellular processes

and metabolic pathways dependent on temperature (Berrigan & Hoang, 1999; Montooth et al.

2003; Brown et al. 2004; Matoo et al. 2019). Then, selection under heat stress should involve

evolutionary changes not only in metabolic rate but also in the underlying metabolic pathways.

For example, several genes associated with the glucose-6-phosphate (G6P) branchpoint, an

important pathway in the storage and use of molecules such as glucose, glycogen, triglycerides,

and ATP, have shown clinal variation in their allelic frequencies along latitude (Oakeshott et al

1983; Duvernell & Eanes, 2000; Verrelli & Eanes, 2001; Rank & Dahlhoff, 2002, Sezgin et al.

2004). These findings suggest that the functional and structural properties of the enzymes

associated with energy metabolism are under selection, likely driven by environmental

temperature. Additionally, genetic variation in the G6P branchpoint results in variability in the

metabolic flux in ectotherms (Verrelli & Eanes, 2001), facilitating evolutionary responses among

fitness-related traits under stressful conditions (Zera & Zhao, 2003). Evidence from a laboratory

selection experiment suggests that the evolution under warm conditions results in a down-

regulation of metabolic pathways and an increase in fecundity in *D. simulans* (Mallard et al.

2018). Thus, adaptation to warming environments should involve metabolic readjustments to

reduce negative effects on fitness-related traits such as reproduction and development traits

(Sales et al., 2018; Parratt et al., 2021; García-Robledo & Baer, 2021).

In this work, we evaluated the evolutionary response of energy metabolism and life-

history traits to artificial selection for increasing heat tolerance in *D. subobscura*, using two

different thermal selection protocols for heat tolerance: slow and fast ramping protocols.

According to the assumption that individuals with low metabolic rates can withstand longer heat

stress, we hypothesized that increased heat tolerance evolves associated with a reduction of

energy metabolism, especially if the thermal selection is performed using a long (slow-ramping

rate) versus short (fast-ramping rate) protocols to estimate heat tolerance. Additionally, we

proposed that individuals with low metabolic rates will have an energy surplus, which should

have consequences on fitness-related traits (e.g., high developmental and reproductive success).

To evaluate these hypotheses, we measured the routine metabolic rate (RMR) and the activity of

enzymes associated with the G6P branchpoint as proxies of the energy metabolism in evolved

populations of *D. subobscura* previously selected for increasing heat tolerance (Mesas et al.

2021). We measured the activity of these enzymes in flies exposed to two different thermal

conditions (non-stress: 21 ºC, and stress: 32 ºC) to evaluate the thermal plasticity of G6P-related

enzymes. Additionally, we evaluated the early fecundity and egg-adult viability in the control

and selected populations to determine the effects of heat tolerance evolution on the life-history

traits of *D. subobscura*.

Materials and Methods

Heat knockdown temperature selection

A mass-bred population of *D. subobscura* was established from the offspring of 100 isofemale

lines derived from females collected in Valdivia, Chile (39.8 ºS 73.2 ºW). Specifically, we

collected inseminated females using banana-yeast traps and these females were individually

placed into vials with David's killed-yeast *Drosophila* medium (hereafter *Drosophila* medium)

to establish isofemale lines. At the next generation, 10 females and 10 males from each one of

the 100 isofemale lines were transferred to an acrylic cage (27 x 21 x 16 cm^3) to set up one large

outbred population (>1500 breeding adults). In the next generation, eggs were collected and

transferred to 150 ml bottles with fly food at a density of 150 eggs/bottle. The bottles were

divided into 3 groups (15 bottles/group) and the flies that emerged from each group were

transferred to one acrylic cage, resulting in a total of three population cages: R1, R2, and R3.

After three generations, when the population cages reached a large population size and

environmental effects were removed, each replicated cage (R1, R2, and R3) was divided into

four population cages. Thus, our experimental design had 12 population cages with more than

1000 individuals each, which were assigned to four different artificial selection protocols in

triplicate: fast-ramping selection, fast-ramping control, slow-ramping selection, and slow-

ramping control lines (Fig. S1). During all generations, the population cages were maintained at

21 ºC (12:12 light:dark cycle) and fed with *Drosophila* medium in Petri dishes before the

artificial selection experiment.

For each replicate line, we randomly took 160 four-day-old virgin females, which were

individually placed in a vial *Drosophila* medium together with two males from the same

replicate line. After two days of mating, males were discarded from each vial and the presence of

eggs or first-instar larvae was checked. Of these 160 mated females, 120 females were randomly

chosen to measure their knockdown temperature and the remaining females were discarded.

These 120 females were individually placed in a capped 5-mL glass vial, which was attached to

two racks, each one with a capacity to attach 60-capped vials. Racks were immersed in a water

tank with an initial temperature of 28 ºC, which was controlled by a heating unit (Model ED,

Julabo Labortechnik, Seelbach, Germany). After an equilibration time of 10 min, the temperature

was increased at a rate of 0.08 ºC min^{-1} for the slow-ramping selection protocol, or 0.4 ºC min^{-1}

for the fast-ramping selection protocol. Thermal assays ends when the temperature reached 45

ºC, the temperature at which all females collapsed. Each assay was video recorded with a high-

resolution camera (D5100, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Videos were visualized to score the

knockdown temperature for each female fly, which was defined as the temperature at which each

fly ceased to move. For the selection, we selected vials containing the offspring of the 40 most

tolerant flies (upper 30% of each assay) to found the next generation (offspring was never

exposed to heat stress). Specifically, from the offspring of the selected females (40) we collected

four virgin females to re-established the original number of 160 females. For the fast-control and

slow-control lines, we measured the heat tolerance of 40 females as mentioned above and

randomly select the offspring of 10 of them to found the next generation.

Artificial selection for heat tolerance was performed for 16 generations, after which flies

were dumped in acrylic cages and maintained without selection for heat tolerance at 21ºC (12:12

light-dark cycle) until the measurement of metabolic and life-history traits. For logistic reasons,

we used the fast-ramping control experimental line as the control line for statistical comparisons.

Metabolic rate

The routine metabolic rate (RMR) was measured as the amount of CO_2 produced by individuals

four-day-old virgin females from generation 26. RMR was measured in 15 females from each

replicate line, reaching a total of 135 flies (3 thermal selection protocols x 3 replicate lines x 15

flies). Before metabolic measurements, flies were ice-cold anesthetized and weighted using a

microbalance (MXA5, Radwag, Czech Republic). Then, flies were individually placed in a

metabolic chamber, which was made of 2 cm of a Bev-A-Line tube. The metabolic chambers

were connected to an eight-channel multiplexer system (RM8, Sable Systems International, NV,

USA) and a 5 mm^2 fabric mesh was placed between the connectors to avoid flies passing from

the metabolic chambers to the respirometry system. This system included seven chambers

containing individual flies and one empty chamber used for baseline. Metabolic chambers were

placed in dark conditions within a thermal cabinet (PC-1, Sable Systems International, NV,

USA) at a temperature of 21 ºC, which was controlled by a temperature controller (PELT-5,

Sable Systems International, NV, USA). CO2-free air was pumped into chambers at a flow of 15

mL/min (Sierra MFC-2, Sable Systems International, NV, USA) and after 30 min of

equilibration, CO2 production was sequentially measured in each chamber for 15 min using an

infrared CO_2 analyzer with a resolution of 1 ppm (Li-6251, LI-COR Bioscience, NE, USA).

Measurements of CO_2 were recorded, transformed to metabolic rate (μl CO_2/h), and analyzed

using the software Expedata (Sable Systems International, NV, USA).

Enzyme activity

The catabolic activities of enzymes from the G6P branchpoint were measured in four-day-old

females from generation 27 through the appearance of NADPH in kinetic assays (Montooth et

al., 2003). We evaluated the activity of hexokinase (HEX: E.C. 2.7.1.1) involved in glucose

metabolism, phosphoglucomutase (PGM; E.C. 5.4.2.2) involved in glycogen metabolism,

phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI; E.C. 5.3.1.9) involved in ATP metabolism; and glucose-6-

phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD; E.C. 1.1.1.49) involved in lipids metabolism.

For each replicate line, 100 females were collected from each population cage and pooled

in groups of 10 flies each. We measured the enzyme activity of the G6P branchpoint in flies

exposed to two thermal conditions: thermal-stressed flies (five replicates were exposed to 32 ºC

per 1 h and then, flies were allowed to recover at 21 ºC for 2 h); and non-stressed flies (five

replicates were maintained at 21 ºC for 3 h). Then, flies were quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen,

homogenized in 1 ml of homogenization buffer (0.01 M KH_2PO_4, 179 1.0 mM EDTA, pH 7.4),

and centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 2 min at 4 ºC. The supernatant was aliquoted and maintained at

-80 ºC until enzymatic assays. The buffers for each assayed enzyme were as follow. **HEX:** 20

mM TrisHCl, 0.5 mM NADP, 0.2 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.36 mM ATP, 5 mM D-glucose, 0.23 units/mL

G6PD, pH 8.0. **PGI:** 20 mM TrisHCl, 0.28 mM NADP, 3 mM fructose-6-phosphate, 1.37

units/mL G6PD, pH 8.0. **PGM:** 20 mM TrisHCl, 0.5 mM NADP, 1 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.83 mM

glucose-1-phosphate, 3.1 units/mL G6PD, pH 8.0. **G6PD:** 20 mM TrisHCl, 0.2 mM NADP, 18.8

mM $MgCl_2$, 3.5 mM glucose-6-phosphate, pH 8.0. Enzymatic assays were performed by adding

25 µL extracts of flies with a protein concentration of 3500 µg/mL and 200 µL of respectively

assay buffer in ultraviolet plates with flat bottom wells. Immediately, the optical density (OD) to

340 nm was measured with multiple reads per well in a microplate reader (Infinite 200 Pro,

Tecan) previously heated to 29 ºC. The OD was measured each 25 s during 10 min to HEX and

PGI, every 10 s during 10 minutes to PGM, and every 45 s during 15 min to G6PD. Enzymatic

activities were estimated in triplicated and mean OD was analyzed. Blank wells containing

buffer assays and double-distilled water (instead of fly extracts) were measured to establish the

basal optical density of each reaction. Finally, the enzymatic activity was calculated as the

change in optical density over time.

Early fecundity and egg-to-adult viability

Early fecundity was measured in females from generation 26. For each replicate line, we

collected 10 virgin females, which were individually placed in vials with *Drosophila* medium

together with two unrelated males from the same replicate line. After 24 h of mating, females

were transferred to new vials and the number of oviposited eggs in each vial was daily counted

using a stereomicroscope. This procedure was repeated every 24 h for eight days to obtain the

accumulated fecundity for each female. Additionally, we measured the egg-adult viability as

follows. Vials containing eggs oviposited by 5-day-old females were retained because *D.*

*subobscur*a reaches its peak fecundity between the age of 3 and 7 days (Foucaud et al., 2016).

For each vial, 20 eggs were randomly transferred to a new vial and the number of emerging

adults was counted.

Statistical analysis

Normality and homoscedasticity were tested for all variables, and only the enzyme activity of

HEX was squared-root transformed to meet the parametric assumptions. Then, a mixed linear

model (hereafter 'full model') was used to evaluate the effects of thermal selection (fixed effect)

and replicate lines nested within thermal selection (random effect) on heat knockdown

temperature (eq. 1), body mass (eq. 2) and RMR (including body mass as covariate) (eq. 3):

$\text{Knockdown temperature}_{ijk} = \mu + \text{Selection}_i + \text{Replicate(Selection)}_{ij} + \text{e}_{ijk}$ (eq. 1)

$\text{Body mass}_{ijk} = \mu + \text{Selection}_i + \text{Replicate(Selection)}_{ij} + \text{e}_{ijk}$ (eq. 2)

$\text{RMR}_{ijk} = \mu + \text{Selection}_i * \text{Body mass}_{ijk} + \text{Replicate(Selection)}_{ij} + \text{e}_{ijk}$ (eq. 3)

For the enzyme activities, the full model included the interaction between the thermal

exposure and thermal selection as fixed effects (eq. 4):

$$\text{Activity}_{ijkl} = \mu + \text{Selection}_i * \text{Exposure}_j + \text{Replicate(Selection)}_{ik} + \text{e}_{ijkl} \qquad \text{(eq. 4)}$$

Early fecundity was analyzed using a generalized linear model with a Poisson (link = log)

distribution with thermal selection, oviposition days and their interaction were considered as

fixed effects, while replicate lines nested within thermal selection were considered as a random

effect (eq. 5). As fecundity was measured for each female along 8 days, females' identity (ID)

was included in the model to accomplish for the repeated-measures design:

$$\text{Fecundity}_{ijkl} = \mu + \text{Selection}_i * \text{Day}_j + \text{Replicate(Selection)}_{ik} + \text{ID}_l + \text{e}_{ijkl} \qquad \text{(eq. 5)}$$

Finally, egg-to-adult viability was estimated as the proportion of emerging flies per vial,

considering that 20 eggs were placed in a vial. This proportion was analyzed using a generalized

linear model with a binomial distribution with thermal selection as fixed effect, and replicate

lines nested within thermal selection were considered as a random effect (eq. 6):

$$\text{Viability}_{ijk} = \mu + \text{Selection}_i + \text{Replicate(Selection)}_{ij} + \text{e}_{ijk} \qquad \text{(eq. 6)}$$

All statistical analysis was performed in R (R Core Team, 2021) using the function *lmer*

and *glmer* of the *lme4* package for the generalized mixed model (Bates et al. 2014). Post-hoc

analyses were performed using a Tukey-adjusted method using the *emmeans* package (Lenth,

2021).

Results

Knockdown temperature evolution

To test the evolutionary response of knockdown temperature to selection for higher thermal

tolerance, we compared the knockdown temperature for control and selected lines in the

generation 25. This comparison was independently performed for each thermal selection protocol

because thermal tolerance is always higher in fast ramping assays estimate than in slow ramping

assays (Rezende et al. 2011; Castañeda et al. 2015). We found that fast-ramping selected lines

evolved for significantly higher knockdown temperatures than fast-ramping control lines

(mean$_{fast-ramping}$ ± SD = 38.59 ± 0.98 ºC and mean$_{fast-control}$ ± SD = 37.61 ± 1.45 ºC; $F_{1,4}$ = 56.4, P =

0.002). Similarly, slow-ramping selected lines evolved significantly higher knockdown

temperatures than slow-ramping control lines (mean$_{slow-ramping}$ ± SD = 35.92 ± 0.67 ºC and

mean$_{slow-control}$ ± SD = 35.14 ± 0.81 ºC; $F_{1,4}$ = 83.5, P = 0.001). These results show that

differences in heat tolerance between selected and control lines were maintained after nine

generations without selection for heat tolerance (Mesas et al. 2021)

RMR and body mass

Body mass was not significantly different between the control and selected lines (χ^2_2 = 0.46, P =

0.794, Fig. 1A) and it did not differ between replicate lines (χ^2_1 = 0.03, P = 0.862). On the other

hand, as expected, RMR and body mass were significantly correlated (r = 0.29, t_{132} = 3.48, P =

0.0007, Fig. 1B). Then, body mass was included as covariate in the mixed linear model to test

the effects on RMR, which showed that RMR did not differ between thermal selection regimens

($\chi^2_2 = 0.28$, $P = 0.870$; Fig. 1C) and neither between the replicate lines ($\chi^2_1 = 0$, $P = 1$).

Enzyme activity

For HEX activity (Fig. 2A), we found a significant effect of the thermal selection regimens (χ^2_2

$= 9.32$, $P = 0.009$), a significant decrease of HEX activity after heat stress ($\chi^2_1 = 4.46$, $P =$

0.035), and a non-significant interaction between thermal selection and thermal exposure ($\chi^2_2 =$

2.28, $P = 0.320$). Looking for selection effects on each exposure treatment (Fig. 2A), we found

that HEX basal activity evolved to lower values in the slow-ramping selected lines (Tukey *P*-

adjusted value $= 0.02$), whereas fast-ramping selected lines showed similar HEX basal activity as

control lines (Tukey *P*-adjusted value $= 0.35$). On the other hand, the induced activity of HEX

was not different between thermal selection lines (Tukey *P*-adjusted value > 0.5). For PGI

activity (Fig. 2B), we did not find significant effects of thermal selection ($\chi^2_2 = 3.43$, $P = 0.180$),

thermal exposure ($\chi^2_1 = 0.42$, $P = 0.517$), neither significant interaction between these factors

($\chi^2_2 = 2.10$, $P = 0.349$). For PGM activity (Fig. 2C), we did not find significant effect of the

thermal selection regimens ($\chi^2_2 = 0.70$, $P = 0.706$), thermal exposure ($\chi^2_1 = 1.42$, $P = 0.233$),

neither significant interaction between these factors ($\chi^2_2 = 1.16$, $P = 0.560$). Finally, G6PD

activity was significantly different between the thermal selection regimens ($\chi^2_2 = 6.7$, $P = 0.035$;

Fig. 2D). On the other hand, G6PD activity did not show significant differences between thermal

exposure ($\chi^2_1 = 1.35$, $P = 0.245$) neither a significant interaction between thermal selection and

thermal exposure ($\chi^2_2 = 1.78$, $P = 0.411$). Looking for selection effects on each exposure

treatment, we did not find *a posteriori* significant differences between thermal selection

regimens within each thermal stress treatment (Tukey *P*-adjusted value > 0.05), probably

because differences between thermal selection regimens were close to the significance threshold

($\alpha = 0.05$).

Regarding the variability present among replicate lines, we found that the replicate lines

showed a non-significant effect on the activity of all studied enzymes ($\chi^2_1 = 0$, $P = 1$).

Early fecundity and egg-to-adult viability

Cumulative fecundity (Fig. 3) showed a significant effect of thermal selection ($\chi^2_2 = 17.83$, $P =$

0.0001), oviposition day ($\chi^2_1 = 9248.33$, $P < 2.2$ x 10^{-16}), and a significant interaction between

both factors ($\chi^2_2 = 30.51$, $P = 2.4$ x 10^{-7}). Specifically, the cumulative fecundity in the control

lines was lower than the slow-ramping selected lines (Tukey P-adjusted value = 0.02) and the

fast-ramping selected (Tukey P-adjusted value = 0.04). Whereas lines from both selection

protocols showed similar cumulative fecundity (Tukey P-adjusted value = 0.98). Looking for

selection effects on each day (Fig. 3), we observed that females of the selected lines lay more

eggs than females of the control lines from day 3 after mating. Additionally, cumulative

fecundity was not different between replicate lines ($\chi^2_1 = 2.03$, $P = 0.15$).

For egg-to-adult viability, we found significant effects of thermal selection ($\chi^2_2 = 16.24$,

$P = 0.0003$; Fig. 4). Specifically, slow-ramping selected lines showed a significantly higher egg-

to-adult viability than control lines (Tukey P-adjusted value = 0.0002), but not significantly

different than fast-ramping selected lines (Bonferroni P-adjusted value = 0.07). On the other

hand, fast-ramping selected lines showed similar egg-to-adult viability compared to control lines

(Bonferroni P-adjusted value = 0.17). Finally, egg-to-adult viability was significantly different

among replicate lines ($\chi^2_4 = 3.18$, $P = 1.4$ x 10^{-6}), but this among-replicates variability did not

blur the effects of thermal selection on the egg-to-adult viability.

Discussion

The mechanisms of tolerance to environmental stress are fundamental for the persistence of

natural populations and biological diversity. Temperature is a conspicuous environmental

variable that influences the evolution of metabolic and life-history traits in ectotherms (Gilloly et

al. 2001; Addo-Bediako et al. 2002; Brown et al. 2004; Padfield et al. 2016, Mallard et al. 2018).

In this work, we found that the experimental populations that were selected for an increasing

thermal tolerance showed changes in enzyme activities related to energy metabolism, and also

evolutionary responses of fitness-related traits in *D. subobscura*. However, heat tolerance

evolution was not associated with changes in the metabolic rate in selected populations.

Despite the ubiquitous effects of temperature on metabolism, our findings show no

evidence of metabolic depression associated with heat tolerance evolution in *D. subobscura*. To

explain this finding, we must recognize that there is contrasting evidence about the temperature

effects on the evolution of metabolism. For instance, different studies have reported that species

living in temperate environments have lower metabolic rates than species inhabiting cold habitats

(Addo-Bediako et al. 2002; Sylvestre et al. 2007; Schaefer & Walters, 2010; Sinnatamby et al.

2015). While several studies have found a reduction in metabolic rate in response to warm

conditions (Padfield et al. 2016; Mallard et al. 2017; Pilakouta et al. 2020). On the other hand,

and in agreement with our findings, there is evidence that does not support the effects of

temperature on the evolution of metabolism at intra- (Alton et al. 2017) and interspecific level

(Messamah et al. 2017). A plausible explanation is that the evolution of metabolic rate could

depend on the interaction between temperature and resource availability, which would difficult

the detection of metabolic changes under non-stressful maintenance conditions (Alton et al.

2017). In this way, our control and evolved populations were fed 'ad libitum' before measures,

which could hide correlated responses of metabolic rate to heat tolerance evolution. Additionally,

metabolic rate was only measured at a single temperature (21ºC), which does not allow us to test

the effect of heat tolerance evolution on the thermal sensitivity of metabolic rate (e.g., Q_{10}). If

this is true, it is expected that differences in metabolic rate between selected and control lines

would have been larger at higher temperatures (Colinet et al. 2015).

366   Another explanation for our findings is that metabolic rate is a complex trait that represents

the total flux of energy at an organismic level and it does not necessarily account for changes in

enzymes related to energy metabolism (O'Brien & Suarez, 2001). For branching pathways as the

G6P-branchpoint, there is evidence that selection acts on enzymes capable of controlling the flux

allocation in *Drosophila* species (Flowers et al., 2007). Here, we found evidence that artificial

selection for increasing heat tolerance changes the activity of the HEX and G6PD enzymes: HEX

evolved to lower enzyme activity in the slow-ramping selected lines, but this effect was only

detected when flies were exposed to non-stressful thermal conditions (21ºC); whereas the G6PD

activity showed significant differences between thermal selection regimens, but the *a posteriori*

analysis did not show differences between evolved and control lines (likely because of the *P*-

value was close to the significance threshold). These changes in the activity of the G6P

branchpoint enzymes agree with a study that found that populations evolving in thermally

fluctuating environments of *D. simulans* showed a reduction in the gene expression of enzymes

participating in energy processing (Mallard et al. 2018). Particularly, we think that the lower

enzyme activity could be an evolutionary consequence to heat tolerance evolution in slow-

ramping selected lines because flies with high heat tolerance should have had a low metabolism

to withstand heat stress for a longer time (Santos et al. 2012).

Our results for the fitness-related traits also provide indirect evidence for the adaptive

value of the energy-saving strategies (e.g., metabolic depression). Several studies have found

associations between metabolic enzymes and reproductive traits in insects (Kageyama &

Ohnishi, 1971; Clark & Fucito, 1998; Harshman et al. 1999; Mallard et al. 2018). We propose

that this association can be explained by the pace-to-life syndrome, which proposes that

individuals with a fast metabolism should grow faster than their counterparts with a slow

metabolism, but at the cost to have a shorter lifespan and lower fecundity (Stearns 1989;

Polverino et al. 2018; Tüzün et al. 2022). However, our findings only match with the pace-to-life

syndrome because a reduced metabolic activity was found only for the slow-ramping selected

lines. Whereas an explanation for the higher fecundity exhibited by the fast-ramping selected

lines is unclear, despite we can observe that fast-ramping selected lines exhibited a lower activity

of G6PD than control lines (but this difference was not significantly different between both

groups). Regarding egg-to-adult viability, slow-ramping selected lines exhibited viability 1.5

times higher than control lines with several vials reaching up to 100% viability, whereas fast-

ramping selected lines did not differ from control lines. Previous results have found that low

activities of HEX and G6PD were associated with short development times in *D. melanogaster*

and *D. subobcura* (Marinkovié et al. 1986), whereas *D. melanogaster* flies that exhibited low

activity of HEX (as well as other metabolic enzymes) showed longer lifespan (Talbert et al.,

2015).

In conclusion, heat tolerance evolution has positive consequences on fitness-related traits,

including increased fecundity and preadult survival. Despite some evidence showing that thermal

limits have limited evolutionary potential (Kellermann et al. 2012; Kelly et al. 2012), we have

found through several works that upper thermal limits have enough genetic variation to respond

to selection (Castañeda et al. 2019), which allows to *D. subobscura* populations adapt to local

conditions (Castañeda et al. 2015) and respond to selection (Mesas et al. 2021; but see Santos et

al. 2022 for no evolutionary response to warm temperatures). Thus, heat tolerance can evolve

under different thermal scenarios but with different outcomes on associated traits depending on

the intensity of thermal stress. Therefore, spatial and temporal variability of thermal stress

intensity should be taken into account in future studies (see Buckley et al., 2013; Rezende et al.

2020) if we want to understand and predict the adaptive response to ongoing and future climatic

conditions.

Acknowledgments

We thank Inês Fragata, Pedro Simões, Marija Savić Veselinović, and one anonymous reviewer

for their comments and suggestions during the review process. We also thank Angélica Jaramillo

for her valuable help in the fly maintenance and experiments, Roberto Nespolo for facilitating

the respirometry equipment, and Kristi Montooth for providing us with the protocols to measure

enzyme activities. A.M thanks a CONICYT fellowship no. 21140595 (Chile).

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest relating to the content of this article.

Author contributions

A.M. designed experiments, conducted experiments and statistical analyses and wrote the first

draft of the manuscript. L.E.C. conceived the original idea, designed experiments, conducted

statistical analyses, provided funds for all experiments, and edited and wrote the manuscript.

Data, script and code availability

Data and script are available in https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20373180.v1

Funding

This work was funded by Fondo Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica grant

number 1140066 (L.E.C.).

Supplementary Information

Supplementary information is available in https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20419821

References

Addo-Bediako, A., Chown, S. L., & Gaston, K. J. (2002). Metabolic cold adaptation in insects: a

large-scale perspective. Functional Ecology, 16(3), 332-338.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2002.00634.x

Alton, L. A., Condon, C., White, C. R., & Angilletta Jr, M. J. (2017). Colder environments did

not select for a faster metabolism during experimental evolution of *Drosophila*

melanogaster. Evolution, 71(1), 145-152. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13094

Angilletta Jr, M. J.(2009). Thermal Adaptation: a Theoretical and Empirical Synthesis. Oxford,

UK: Oxford University Press.

Artacho, P. & Nespolo, R.F. (2009). Natural selection reduces energy metabolism in the garden

snail, *Helix aspersa* (*Cornu Aspersum*). Evolution, 63, 1044-1050.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00603.x

Atkinson D.E. (1977). Cellular Energy Metabolism and Its Regulation. Academic Press. New

York.

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2014). Fitting linear mixed-effects models

using lme4. arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.5823

Berrigan, D., & Hoang, A. (1999). Correlation between enzyme activities and routine metabolic

rate in *Drosophila*. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 12(2), 258-262.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.1999.00027.x

Brown, J. H., Gillooly, J. F., Allen, A. P., Savage, V. M., & West, G. B. (2004). Toward a

metabolic theory of ecology. Ecology, 85(7), 1771-1789. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-9000

Brown, E. B., Slocumb, M. E., Szuperak, M., Kerbs, A., Gibbs, A. G., Kayser, M. S., & Keene,

A. C. (2019). Starvation resistance is associated with developmentally specified changes in

sleep, feeding and metabolic rate. Journal of Experimental Biology, 222(3), jeb191049.

https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.191049

Buckley, L. B., Miller, E. F., & Kingsolver, J. G. (2013). Ectotherm thermal stress and

specialization across altitude and latitude. Integrative and Comparative Biology, 53(4),

571-581. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ict026

Castañeda, L. E., Rezende, E. L., & Santos, M. (2015). Heat tolerance in *Drosophila subobscura*

along a latitudinal gradient: Contrasting patterns between plastic and genetic responses.

Evolution, 69, 2721-2734. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12757

Castañeda, L.E., Romero-Soriano, V., Mesas, A., Roff, D. A., & Santos, M. (2019). Evolutionary

potential of thermal preference and heat tolerance in *Drosophila subobscura*. Journal of

Evolutionary Biology, 32(8), 818-824. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13483

Chen, I. C., Hill, J. K., Ohlemüller, R., Roy, D. B., & Thomas, C. D. (2011). Rapid range shifts

of species associated with high levels of climate warming. Science, 333(6045), 1024-1026.

https://doi.org/doi/10.1126/science.1206432

Chick, L. D., Waters, J. S., & Diamond, S. E. (2021). Pedal to the metal: Cities power

evolutionary divergence by accelerating metabolic rate and locomotor performance.

Evolutionary applications, 14(1), 36-52. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13083

Clark, A. G., & Fucito, C. D. (1998). Stress tolerance and metabolic response to stress in

Drosophila melanogaster. Heredity, 81(5), 514-527. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-

2540.1998.00414.x

Colinet, H., Sinclair, B. J., Vernon, P. & Renault. D. (2015). Insects in fluctuation thermal

environments. Annual Review of Entomology, 60, 123-140.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010814-021017

Crozier, L. G., & Hutchings, J. A. (2014). Plastic and evolutionary responses to climate change

in fish. Evolutionary Applications, 7(1), 68-87. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12135

Deutsch, C. A., Tewksbury, J. J., Huey, R. B., Sheldon, K. S., Ghalambor, C. K., Haak, D. C., &

Martin, P. R. (2008). Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105(18), 6668-6672.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0709472105

Djawdan, M., Rose, M.R., & Bradley, T.J. (1997). Does selection for stress resistance lower

metabolic rate? Ecology, 78, 828-837. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-

9658(1997)078[0828:DSFSRL]2.0.CO;2

Duvernell, D. D., & Eanes, W. F. (2000). Contrasting molecular population genetics of four

hexokinases in *Drosophila melanogaster, D. simulans* and *D. yakuba*. Genetics, 156(3),

1191-1201. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/156.3.1191

Flowers, J. M., Sezgin, E., Kimagai, S., Duvernell, D. D., Matzkin, L. M. Schmidt, P. S., &

Eanes, W. F. (2007). Adaptive evolution of metabolic pathways in *Drosophila*. Molecular

Biology and Evolution, 24(6), 1347-1354. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm057

Foucaud, J., Moreno, C., Pascual, M., Rezende, E.L., Castañeda, L.E., Gibert, P., & Mery, F.

(2016). Introduced *Drosophila subobscura* populations perform better than native

populations during oviposition choice task due to increased fecundity but similar learning

ability. Ecology and Evolution, 6(6), 1725-1736. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2015

García-Robledo, C., & Baer, C.S. (2021). Positive genetic covariance and limited thermal

tolerance constrain tropical insect responses to global warming. Journal of Evolutionary

Biology, 34(9), 1432–1446. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13905

Gaston, K.J., Chown, S.L., Calosi, P., Bernardo, J., Bilton, D.T., Clarke, A., Clusella-Trullas, S.,

Ghalambor, C.K., Konarzewski, M., Peck, L.S., Porter, W.P., Pörtner, H.O., Rezende,

E.L., Schulte, P.M., Spicer, J.I., Stillman, J.H., Terblanche, J.S., & van Kleunen, M.

(2009). Macrophysiology: a conceptual reunification. The American Naturalist,174(5),

595-612. https://doi.org/10.1086/605982

Gillooly, J. F., Brown, J. H., West, G. B., Savage, V. M., & Charnov, E. L. (2001). Effects of

514  size and temperature on metabolic rate. Science, 293(5538), 2248-2251.

515  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1061967

516 Guppy, M., & Withers, P. (1999). Metabolic depression in animals: physiological perspectives

517  and biochemical generalizations. Biological Reviews, 74(1), 1-40.

518  https://doi.org/10.1017/S0006323198005258

519 Harshman, L. G., Hoffmann, A. A., & Clark, A. G. (1999). Selection for starvation resistance in

520  *Drosophila melanogaster*: physiological correlates, enzyme activities and multiple stress

521  responses. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 12(2), 370-379.

522  https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.1999.00024.x

523 Hoffmann, A. A., & Parsons, P. A. (1989). Selection for increased desiccation resistance in

524  *Drosophila melanogaster*: additive genetic control and correlated responses for other

525  stresses. Genetics, 122(4), 837-845. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/122.4.837

526 Huey, R. B., & Kingsolver, J. G. (1993). Evolution of resistance to high temperature in

527  ectotherms. The American Naturalist,142, S21-S46. https://doi.org/10.1086/285521

528 Kageyama, T., & Ohnishi, E. (1971). Carbohydrate metabolism in the eggs of the silkworm,

529  *Bombyx mori*. I. Absence of phosphofructokinase in the diapausing egg. Development,

530  Growth & Differentiation, 13(2), 97-106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-

531  169X.1971.00097.x

532 Kellermann, V., Loeschcke, V., Hoffmann, A.A., Kristensen, T.N., Fløjgaard, C., David, J.R.,

533  Svenning, J.C., & Overgaard, J. (2012). Phylogenetic constraints in key functional traits

534  behind species' climate niches: patterns of desiccation and cold resistance across 95

Drosophila species. Evolution, 66, 3377-3389. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-

5646.2012.01685.x

Kelly, M. W., Sanford, E., & Grosberg, R.K. (2012). Limited potential for adaptation to climate

change in a broadly distributed marine crustacean. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:

Biological Sciences, 279(1727), 349-356. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.0542

Lenth, R. (2021). Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. R Package version

1.3.4. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/index.

Mallard, F., Nolte, V., Tobler, R., Kapun, M., & Schlötterer, C. (2018). A simple genetic basis of

adaptation to a novel thermal environment result in complex metabolic rewiring in

Drosophila. Genome Biology, 19(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-018-1503-4

Marinkovié, D., Milošević, M., & Milanović, M. (1986). Enzyme activity and dynamics of

Drosophila development. Genetica, 70(1), 43-52. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00123213

Matoo, O.B., Julick, C. R., & Montooth, K. L. (2019). Genetic variation for ontogenetic shifts in

metabolism underlies physiological homeostasis in *Drosophila*. Genetics, 212(2), 537-552.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.119.302052

McGaughran, A., Laver, R., & Fraser, C. (2021). Evolutionary responses to warming. Trends in

Ecology & Evolution. 36, 591-600. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.02.014

Mesas, A., Jaramillo, A., & Castañeda, L. E. (2021). Experimental evolution on heat tolerance

and thermal performance curves under contrasting thermal selection in *Drosophila*

subobscura. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 34(5), 767-778.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13777

Messamah, B., Kellermann, V., Malte, H., Loeschcke, V., & Overgaard, J. (2017). Metabolic

cold adaptation contributes little to the interspecific variation in metabolic rates of 65

species of Drosophilidae. Journal of Insect Physiology, 98, 309-316.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2017.02.003

Montooth, K.L., Marden, J.H. & Clark, A.G. (2003). Mapping determinants of variation in

energy metabolism, respiration and flight in *Drosophila*. Genetics, 165(2), 623-635.

https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/165.2.623

Oakeshott, J. G., Chambers, G. K., Gibson, J. B., Eanes, W. F., & Willcocks, D. A. (1983).

Geographic variation in G-6-pd and Pgd allele frequencies in *Drosophila melanogaster*.

Heredity, 50(1), 67-72.

O'Brien, D. M., & Suarez, R. K. (2001). Fuel use in hawkmoth (*Amphion floridensis*) flight

muscle: enzyme activities and flux rates. Journal of Experimental Zoology, 290(2), 108-

114. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1040

Padfield, D., Yvon-Durocher, G., Buckling, A., Jennings, S., & Yvon-Durocher, G. (2016).

Rapid evolution of metabolic traits explains thermal adaptation in phytoplankton. Ecology

Letters, 19(2), 133-142. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12545

Parratt, S.R., Walsh, B.S., Metelmann, S., White, N., Manser, A., Bretman, A.J., Hoffmann,

A.A., Snook, R.R. & Price, T. A. (2021). Temperatures that sterilize males better match

global species distributions than lethal temperatures. Nature Climate Change, 11(6), 481-

484. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-021-01047-0

Pilakouta, N., Killen, S. S., Kristjánsson, B. K., Skúlason, S., Lindström, J., Metcalfe, N. B., &

Parsons, K. J. (2020). Multigenerational exposure to elevated temperatures leads to a

reduction in standard metabolic rate in the wild. Functional Ecology, 34(6), 1205-1214.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13538

Polverino, G., Santostefano, F., Díaz-Gil, C., & Mehner, T. (2018). Ecological conditions drive

pace-of-life syndromes by shaping relationships between life history, physiology and

behaviour in two populations of Eastern mosquitofish. Scientific Reports, 8(1), 14673.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33047-0

Pörtner, H. O., Bennett, A. F., Bozinovic, F., Clarke, A., Lardies, M. A., Lucassen, M., Pelster,

B., Schiemer, F., & Stillman, J. H. (2006). Trade-offs in thermal adaptation: the need for a

molecular to ecological integration. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology, 79(2), 295-

313. https://doi.org/10.1086/499986

R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing (version 4.0.2).

R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from https://www.R-

project.org

Rank, N. E., & Dahlhoff, E. P. (2002). Allele frequency shifts in response to climate change and

physiological consequences of allozyme variation in a montane insect. Evolution, 56(11),

2278-2289. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00151.x

Regan, M. D., Gill, I. S., & Richards, J. G. (2017). Metabolic depression and the evolution of

hypoxia tolerance in threespine stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. Biology Letters,

13(11), 20170392. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2017.0392

Rezende, E. L., Tejedo, M., & Santos, M. (2011). Estimating the adaptive potential of critical

thermal limits: methodological problems and evolutionary implications. Functional

Ecology, 25(1), 111-121. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01778.x

Rezende, E. L., Bozinovic, F., Szilágyi, A., & Santos, M. (2020). Predicting temperature

mortality and selection in natural *Drosophila* populations. Science, 369(6508), 1242-1245.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba9287

603 Sales, K., Vasudeva, R., Dickinson, M.E., Godwin, J.L., Lumley, A.J., Michalczyk, Ł.,

604   Hebberecht, L., Thomas, P., Franco, A., & Gage, M. (2018). Experimental heatwaves

605   compromise sperm function and cause transgenerational damage in a model insect. Nature

606   Communications, 9(1), 4771. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07273-z

607 Santos, M., Castañeda, L. E., & Rezende, E. L. (2012). Keeping pace with climate change: what

608   is wrong with the evolutionary potential of upper thermal limits? Ecology and Evolution,

609   2(11), 2866-2880. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.385

610 Santos, M. A., Carromeu-Santos, A., Quina, A.S., Santos, M., Matos, M., & Simões, P. (2022).

611   No evidence for short-term evolutionary response to a warming environment in drosophila.

612   Evolution, 75(11), 2816-2829. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14366

613 Schaefer, J., & Walters, A. (2010). Metabolic cold adaptation and developmental plasticity in

614   metabolic rates among species in the *Fundulus notatus* species complex. Functional

615   Ecology, 24(5), 1087-1094. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01726.x

616 Sezgin, E., Duvernell, D. D., Matzkin, L. M., Duan, Y., Zhu, C. T., Verrelli, B. C., & Eanes, W.

617   F. (2004). Single-locus latitudinal clines and their relationship to temperate adaptation in

618   metabolic genes and derived alleles in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics, 168(2), 923-

619   931. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.027649

620 Sinnatamby, R.N, Brian Dempson, J., Reist, J. D., & Power, M. (2015). Latitudinal variation in

621   growth and otolith-inferred field metabolic rates of Canadian young-of-the-year Arctic

622   charr. Ecology of Freshwater Fish, 24(3), 478-488. https://doi.org/10.1111/eff.12166

623 Stearns, S.C. (1989). Trade-Offs in Life-History Evolution. Functional Ecology, 3(3), 259-268.

624   https://doi.org/10.2307/2389364

Storey, K. B., & Storey, J. M. (2004). Metabolic rate depression in animals: transcriptional and

translational controls. Biological Reviews, 79(1), 207-233. http:// doi.org/10.1007/s00360-

007-0143-x

Sylvestre, E. L., Lapointe, D., Dutil, J. D., & Guderley, H. (2007). Thermal sensitivity of

metabolic rates and swimming performance in two latitudinally separated populations of

cod, *Gadus morhua* L. Journal of Comparative Physiology B, 177(4), 447-460.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-007-0143-x.pdf

Talbert, M. E., Barnett, B., Hoff, R., Amella, M., Kuczynski, K., Lavington, E., Koury, S., Brud,

E., & Eanes, W. F. (2015). Genetic perturbation of key central metabolic genes extends

lifespan in *Drosophila* and affects response to dietary restriction. Proceedings of the Royal

Society B, 282(1815), 20151646. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1646

Tüzün, N. & Robby, S. (2022). A fast pace-of-life is traded off against a high thermal

performance. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 289, 20212414.

http://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.2414

Umina, P. A., Weeks, A. R., Kearney, M. R., McKechnie, S. W., & Hoffmann, A. A. (2005). A

rapid shift in a classic clinal pattern in *Drosophila* reflecting climate change. Science,308

(5722), 691-693. https:/doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1109523

Verrelli, B. C., & Eanes, W. F. (2001). The functional impact of Pgm amino acid polymorphism

on glycogen content in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics, 159(1), 201-210.

https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/159.1.201

Zera, A. J., & Zhao, Z. (2003). Morph-dependent fatty acid oxidation in a wing-polymorphic

cricket: implications for the trade-off between dispersal and reproduction. Journal of Insect

Physiology, 49(10), 933-943. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1910(03)00152-5

Figure 1. Body mass (A), the relationship between body mass and metabolic rate (B), and

resting metabolic rate (C) for flies of control lines (black circles), slow-ramping selected lines

(red circles), and fast-ramping selected lines (blue circles) for increasing heat tolerance in

Drosophila subobscura. In panel B, the grey line represents the linear regression between both

traits. Non-significant differences between thermal selection regimens were found for body mass

and resting metabolic rate (see text).

Figure 2. The activity of enzymes related to G6P branchpoint of flies of control lines (black
circles), slow-ramping selected lines (red circles), and fast-ramping selected lines (blue circles)
for increasing heat tolerance in *Drosophila subobscura* exposed to non-stressful (21 ºC) and
stressful conditions (32 ºC): (A) hexokinase (HEX); (B) phosphoglucoisomerase (PGI); (C)
phophoglucomutase (PGM); and (D) glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD). Horizontal
lines show the mean for each group. *P*-values above whiskers show the results of *a posteriori*
comparisons between control and selected lines using Tukey tests.

Figure 3. Cumulative fecundity during the first 8 days after mating (early fecundity) for females

of control lines (black circles and boxplots), slow-ramping selected lines (red circles and

boxplots), and fast-ramping selected lines (blue circles and boxplots) for increasing heat

tolerance in *Drosophila subobscura*. Boxplots show the median, the interquartile range (IRQ)

and vertical whiskers represent the 1.5*IQR. *P*-values above horizontal whiskers show the

results of *a posteriori* comparisons between control and selected lines using Tukey tests (ns: P >

0.05, *: P < 0.05, **: P < 0.01, ***: P < 0.001).

Figure 4. Egg-to-adult viability of flies of control lines (black circles), slow-ramping selected

lines (red circles), and fast-ramping selected lines (blue circles) for increasing heat tolerance in

Drosophila subobscura. Horizontal lines show the mean for each group. P-values above

horizontal whiskers show the results of *a posteriori* comparisons between control and selected

lines using Tukey tests.