**Title:** Coexistence between similar invaders: The case of two cosmopolitan exotic insects

Matthew B. Arnold[1], Michael Back[1], Michael Daniel Crowell[2], Nageen Farooq[3], Prashant

Ghimire[1], Omon A. Obarein[2], Kyle E. Smart[3], Trixie Taucher[1], Erin VanderJeugdt[1], Kayla I.

Perry[1], Douglas A. Landis[4], Christie A. Bahlai[1],[5]*

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio, United States of

America, 44242

[2] Department of Geography, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio, United States of America, 44242

[3] Department of Geology, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio, United States of America, 44242

[4] Department of Entomology, and Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, Michigan State

University, East Lansing, Michigan, United States of America, 48824

[5] Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan State University, Hickory Corners, Michigan, United

States of America, 49060

*corresponding author, cbahlai@kent.edu

**Abstract**

Biological invasions have become widespread, threatening native biodiversity and ecosystem

services. However, most studies have focused on the impacts of exotic species on natives, and

fewer have examined dynamics between invaders, when multiple adventive species

successfully coexist in a novel environment. Exotic lady beetle species were introduced into

North America for biological control in agricultural systems and have since become dominant

species within many native communities. Competitive interactions have been primarily

investigated among native and exotic lady beetle species to assess mechanisms of decline and

the impact of invasion, and yet two species of invaders, *Harmonia axyridis* and *Coccinella*

*septempunctata* have become co-dominant in many of these communities. In this study, we

investigated coexistence via spatial and temporal niche partitioning among *H. axyridis* and *C.*

*septempunctata* using a 31-year dataset from southwestern Michigan, USA. We found evidence

of long-term coexistence of these two invaders through a combination of small-scale

environmental, habitat, and seasonal mechanisms. Across years, *H. axyridis* and *C.*

*septempunctata* experienced patterns of cyclical dominance likely related to yearly variation in

temperatures and precipitation. Within years, populations of *C. septempunctata* peaked early in

the growing season around 550 degree days, while *H. axyridis* populations peaked later in the

season around 1220 degree days. Habitat preferences for *C. septempunctata* were primarily

crops such as soybean, wheat, and alfalfa, whereas *H. axyridis* did not display strong habitat

preferences. These findings suggest that within this region *H. axyridis* is a broad habitat and

environmental generalist, while *C. septempunctata* has more specific environmental niche

preferences. These ecological differences have contributed to the continued coexistence among

these two invaders, seemingly via the storage effect. Understanding mechanisms that allow

coexistence and success of dominant exotic species contributes to native biodiversity

conservation management of invaded ecosystems.

**Keywords**: Biocontrol, biological control, *Coccinella septempunctata*, Coccinellidae, *Harmonia*

*axyridis*, invasion, niche partitioning

## Introduction

The establishment and spread of exotic species is a major driver of global environmental

change, threatening native biodiversity, ecosystem services and functions, and human well-

being (Vitousek et al. 1996, Wilcove et al. 1998, Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005,

Ricciardi 2007). Invasions of insect species have occurred on a global scale through intentional

or unintentional introductions, resulting in substantial economic and ecological impacts (Kenis et

al. 2009, Bradshaw et al. 2016). Ecological impacts of exotic insects are pervasive, affecting

native species and ecosystems directly and indirectly (Kenis et al. 2009). For example, when

exotic insects can successfully establish and spread within their introduced range, novel

communities are formed where native and exotic species may interact directly through

herbivory, predation, and parasitism (Liebhold et al. 1995, Boettner et al. 2000, Holway et al.

2002, Snyder and Evans 2006). Additionally, exotic species may cause indirect and/or

cascading ecological impacts via various mechanisms such as exploitative and apparent

competition, disease transmission, and alteration of habitat or food resources (Louda et al.

1997, Holway et al. 2002, Morin et al. 2007, Gandhi and Herms 2010, Klooster et al. 2018). As

the frequency of biological invasions continues to increase globally (Lockwood et al. 2013,

Seebens et al. 2017), research investigating novel interactions among native and exotic species

is essential for assessing impacts of invaders as well as developing management strategies to

conserve biodiversity.

Successful invasion of native communities by exotic species is context dependent and

influenced by multiple factors such as abiotic environmental conditions, properties of the native

community, and characteristics of the invading species (Blackburn et al. 2011, Lockwood et al.

2013). Because establishment success or failure is influenced by local niche processes and

interactions among species, hypotheses that have been proposed to explain the outcome of the

establishment stage in the invasion process draw on concepts within niche and coexistence

theory (Godoy 2019). For example, the diversity-invasibility hypothesis (i.e. the biotic resistance

hypothesis) predicts that more diverse native communities are more stable, and thus more

resistant to the establishment of exotic species than less diverse communities (Jeschke 2014).

This prediction is based on the premise that less diverse communities have more vacant niches

available to invaders and a lower probability of occurrence of strong competitors or predators

that could limit coexistence (Levine and D'Antonio 1999, Ricciardi et al. 2013). Long-term

coexistence rather than exclusion of exotic species within native communities is determined

through stabilizing (niche differences) and equalizing (fitness differences) mechanisms wherein

species vary in their environmental responses, resource acquisition, and/or competitive ability

(Chesson 2000a, HilleRisLambers et al. 2012). Research has primarily focused on

understanding interactions among native and exotic species within invaded communities to

discern ecological impacts (e.g. Ricciardi et al. 2013). However, biological invasions have

become widespread such that native communities are more commonly invaded by multiple

exotic species which then directly or indirectly interact with each other to promote or inhibit

coexistence.

Lady beetles (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) are predatory insects that have been

intentionally introduced for biological control in agricultural systems (Caltagirone and Doutt

1989, Obrycki and Kring 1998, Koch 2003, Rondoni et al. 2021). This has led to the successful

establishment and spread of several exotic lady beetle species, including the Asian species

*Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas) and the European species *Coccinella septempunctata* (Linnaeus) in

North America. Both species are considered habitat generalists and primarily aphidophagous

(Koch 2003, Hodek and Michaud 2008) but will feed on other arthropod prey and pollen if aphid

resources are scarce (Angalet et al. 1979, Ricci et al. 2005, Berkvens et al. 2008, 2010, Evans

2009), making these invaders strong competitors. The establishment and spread of *H. axyridis*

and *C. septempunctata* have coincided with declines in several native lady beetle species, while

both invaders have been found to coexist (Turnock et al. 2003, Alyokhin and Sewell 2004,

Harmon et al. 2007, Roy et al. 2016). For example, *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* were

highly abundant within native lady beetle communities that were sampled over 24 years in

southwestern Michigan, USA (Bahlai, Colunga-Garcia, et al. 2015). Because *H. axyridis* and *C.*

*septempunctata* have become the dominant lady beetles within many native communities

(Alyokhin and Sewell 2004, Harmon et al. 2007, Bahlai, Colunga-Garcia, et al. 2015), direct and

indirect forms of competition are hypothesized as drivers of declines in native species (Pell et al.

2008, Li et al. 2021).

Competitive interactions have been primarily investigated among native and exotic lady

beetle species to assess mechanisms of decline and the impact of invasion (Pell et al. 2008).

These generalist exotic species share similar preferences in habitat and prey as some native

lady beetles such that the degree of niche overlap with functionally similar invaders is

hypothesized to drive competitive interactions (Snyder 2009). Apparent competition (Smith and

Gardiner 2013) and intraguild predation (Gagnon et al. 2011, Thomas et al. 2013) have been

observed among native and exotic species in the field, lending some support to this hypothesis.

Evidence of exploitative competition also has been observed wherein competition from exotic

species for shared prey has shifted the habitat use patterns of native species from agricultural to

natural environments such as forests (Grez et al. 2013, Bahlai, Colunga-Garcia, et al. 2015).

Less is known about competitive interactions among the two invaders *H. axyridis* and *C.*

*septempunctata*, both of which are considered efficient competitors and habitat generalists

(Koch 2003, Hodek and Michaud 2008) but are known to coexist within similar environments.

High levels of intraguild predation among larvae of *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* have

been observed in the laboratory (Snyder et al. 2004) and in the field (Gagnon et al. 2011),

suggesting this competitive interaction may be common among these exotic species. Outcomes

of competitive interactions such as intraguild predation are influenced by the relative body size,

mobility, age, and diet specificity of larvae as well as prey density (Hironori and Katsuhiro 1997,

Yasuda et al. 2004). Larvae of *H. axyridis* tend to be larger and more aggressive than other lady

beetle species including *C. septempuncata* (Yasuda et al. 2001, Ware and Majerus 2008),

which may translate to an asymmetric competitive advantage. For example, larvae of *H. axyridis*

were more successful at escaping attacks from *C. septempunctata*, thereby having a greater

negative impact on the survival of *C. septempuncata* larvae (Yasuda et al. 2001). If high levels

of competition occur asymmetrically among these exotic species in favor of *H. axyridis*, other

forms of niche partitioning likely facilitate coexistence of these invaders over time.  Research

from other locales where these species co-occur have pointed to behaviors leading to

differential use of habitats and environment. For instance, *H. axyridis* may have better

overwintering survival in very cold environments than *C. septempunctata* due to their behavior

of retreating to more temperate locations found in buildings or hibernating under tree bark (Roy

et al. 2016, Cheng et al. 2020), leading to more substantial population numbers in spring and

earlier reproduction than *C. septempunctata* (Cheng et al. 2020). Improved understanding of the

mechanisms that allow coexistence and success of these dominant exotic species will inform

biological control programs as well as biodiversity conservation management of invaded

ecosystems.

To understand how *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* have coexisted within native lady

beetle communities over time, this study investigated environmental, habitat, and seasonal

niche partitioning among these two dominant exotic species using a 31-year dataset from

southwestern Michigan, USA. These long-term data allowed for the spatiotemporal analyses of

abundances of both exotic lady beetle species within 9 plant habitats embedded within an

agricultural landscape. Our goals were to evaluate environmental and ecological factors that

may facilitate coexistence among these two species. We hypothesized that coexistence of these

exotic species may occur via: 1) temporal niche partitioning with differing phenology among

species; or 2) spatial niche partitioning with differing habitat and/or environmental preferences

among species.

**Methods**

*Study system description*

Data for this study were collected at the Kellogg Biological Station Long-Term Ecological

Research (KBS LTER) site located in South-West Michigan, United States of America. Our

study focuses on data produced in the Main Cropping System Experiment (MCSE) at KBS

LTER, a long-term agronomic experiment started in 1989, and incorporates data from the forest

plots as well, where an identical monitoring scheme was initiated in 1993 to gain insight into

reference conditions adjacent to the MCSE site. The experiment consists of an annual crop

rotation (maize, soybean, wheat) maintained under four levels of management intensity, three

perennial cropping systems (alfalfa/switchgrass, poplar tree plantation, and early successional

vegetation maintained by yearly burnings), and three forest types (successional forest on

reclaimed cropland, old growth deciduous fragments, and conifer plantations) to form 9 total

plant communities. This research site has supported a wide variety of investigations of long term

ecological dynamics of agricultural systems, including agronomic, biogeochemical, and

population processes (Bhardwaj et al. 2011, Syswerda et al. 2012, Gelfand and Robertson

2015, Hermann et al. 2016, Cusser et al. 2020), providing unprecedented context for

interpretation of subtle ecological trends. Within each research plot, data were collected at five

subsampling stations, with most measurements taken within the growing season (May to

September of each year). Lady beetle populations are the focus of the insect survey, although

several other taxa have also been recorded in more recent years (Colunga-Garcia et al. 1997,

Colunga-Garcia and Gage 1998, Hermann et al. 2016).

The present study focused on the dynamics of two species of adventive coccinellids.

The KBS LTER insect survey was established in 1989, soon after the arrival of *C.*

*septempuntata* at the site (Maredia et al. 1992). *Coccinella septempunctata* is a large, generalist

lady beetle believed to have been intentionally introduced to North America from Europe

(Schaefer et al. 1987), but is now Holarctic in distribution (Hodek and Michaud 2008). Soon after

its establishment in the eastern United States in the late 1980s, it became the dominant species

of Coccinellidae, with its numbers being linked to decreasing numbers of native species in

several agroecosystems (Colunga-Garcia 1996, Evans 2004). However, by 1994, another

invading lady beetle arrived at KBS LTER. Like *C. septempunctata*, *Harmonia axyridis* is a

generalist predator that thrives in many habitat types with a now near-global distribution

(Adriaens et al. 2008, Roy et al. 2016). Originally native to the Asian continent from

northeastern China to Siberia (Roy et al. 2016), this species has been introduced as a biological

control agent since the early 20$^{th}$ century to both North America and Europe (Roy et al. 2016,

Sethuraman et al. 2018, Cheng et al. 2020). Although *C. septempunctata* is generally thought to

be a European species, some sources note that the natural, or at least naturalized range of both

*H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* have overlapped, and thus the two species have co-occurred

in parts of China for quite some time (Cheng et al. 2020).

Annual insect surveys were performed at the KBS LTER since 1989 using yellow sticky

traps, placed at pemanament sampling stations within the MSCE and adjacent forest sites.

Yellow sticky traps were placed on T-posts, held at 1.2 m above the ground at each sample

station during the growing season. Sample collection periods varied from 8-15 weeks during the

sampling period in a year depending upon management intervention (e.g crop management),

environmental conditions (e.g weather), and labor availability (Bahlai et al. 2013, Bahlai,

Colunga-Garcia, et al. 2015). Traps were inspected weekly, and lady beetles captured were

identified to species, and observations were recorded as the number of adults, by species, by

date.

In addition to the species count data, we compiled contextual data from the weather

station records available from the KBS site (https://lter.kbs.msu.edu/datatables/7). Because

some missing measurements occurred in these data, we adapted a gap-filling algorithm which

took the average of the proceeding and immediately following measurement, then substituted

this value for any blank observations (Hermann et al. 2016). This manipulation allowed

reasonable estimates of cumulative weather data metrics to be calculated.

*Analysis approach*

Insect data were examined at two different temporal resolutions. First, to match the

typical sampling frequency during the growing season, data were aggregated into weeks

(records taken within each Monday to Sunday period to account for differences in sampling day

which may have occurred due to weather, labor, *etc*.). These weekly data were also aggregated

across subsamples, and subsample numbers were tallied to account for any variation in

sampling effort due to lost traps. A typical sample represented all individuals of each target

species captured each week within a treatment by repetition combination, with the total actual

traps (usually 5) reported for this time recorded as a sampling effort covariate. Yearly data were

compiled in a similar way, except data were aggregated across sampling weeks as well, and

reported as total captures of a given species, per treatment by repetition combination, with a

covariate per sampling effort (~50 traps per year). Data were culled at the first week of

September (week of year = 35) as data collection usually ended by late August at the site over

the study period, so records beyond that point were sparse most years.

Weather data were aggregated at complementary resolutions to accompany each

temporal resolution of lady beetle data. For weekly data, we computed degree day and

precipitation accumulation (after Hermann et al 2016) within each week, and then aggregated

over the growing season, using a baseline development threshold of 10°C and a starting date of

the beginning of the calendar year (January 1). We also computed several derived weather

metrics appropriate to the resolution of the insect data (number of rainy days within an

observation week, minimum and maximum temperatures observed within that sampling week).

For yearly data, we computed several derived metrics to characterize weather at a coarser level

within a given year, through the growing season. For this, we used four key time points (week of

year 20, 25, 30, 35) and computed the degree day and precipitation accumulation at each,

allowing us to examine how periods of weather of a given type affect the overall number of each

species observed in a given year.

To examine patterns of habitat use between the two species by time period, we

conducted 2-D non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) analyses on each data resolution

(Oksanen et al. 2013). First, data were subjected to square root transformation and Wisconsin

double standardization, and then were subjected to a permutational ANOVA to determine if

statistical differences occurred between the two species in their habitat use at either time period

(Oksanen et al. 2013). Environmental variables were then fitted to the NMDS for each temporal

resolution. Parameters used in the final model were selected from a global model with all

computed weather parameters present, subject to backwards selection to simplify a final model

with parameters with the strongest explanatory results.

To examine the within-season dynamics between our two lady beetle species and the

roles of multiple environmental factors in driving abundances of each species, we constructed a

generalized additive model for the number of lady beetles observed, where each parameter

tested was allowed to interact with species, allowing us to directly examine how each species

response to a given parameter differed. All model structures tested included an offset for

trapping effort, used a quasi-Poisson error structure, and smoothing parameters were fitted

using restricted maximum likelihood (REML) (Wood 2006). Because of strong autocorrelation

between many weather parameters, we used a substitution-based model selection approach by

substituting a parameter of each type (temperature, precipitation) and evaluating model

performance. Our final model included parameters for degree day accumulation, number of

rainy days within the sampling week, and year, as well as a categorical variable for habitat of

capture.

Between-year dynamics of the two species were modeled similarly to within-year

dynamics, except in this case, because we wanted to allow different conditions to act as drivers

for each species, we constructed separate models and corresponding model selections for each

species. All models contained offset terms to account for sampling effort, a categorical variable

for plant community of capture, and used a quasi-Poisson error structure. Smoothing was

performed using REML. Each of these models for the number of adults of a given species also

included a covariate for the number of adults of the other species, to assess for apparent

competition. Because of collinearity and concurvity between several parameters, we first

conducted a Spearman Rank correlation analysis on all weather variables to determine

parameters most likely to pose concurvity issues when used simultaneously. Then we

completed a forward selection of all environmental variables, first running each parameter on its

own in a model, selecting the model containing the parameter with the best performance,

adding the next-best parameter that was not correlated with the best parameter ($\rho<0.3$), running

the model, and assessing fit and concurvity. This procedure was repeated until there were no

more parameters that could be added without causing a concurvity estimate >0.5 for any of the

existing parameters. For all environmental parameters tested, smooths were limited to a

maximum of three knots to minimize the impact of outlying data points on the overall trends

observed and to prevent overfitting.

All data manipulation and aggregation, and quality control, as well as all exploratory and

analytical approaches were completed in R 4.1.1.(R Development Core Team 2017). The

analysis code and the complete development history are available at

https://github.com/ReproducibleQM/space_invader

**Results**

*Descriptive*

For conciseness within the results, we have abbreviated *Coccinella septempunctata* as

C7 and *Harmonia axyridis* as HA. After culling data in the first week of September in all years

and in 1994, after HA arrived, we observed nearly equivalent total captures of the two species,

with approximately 20,000 individuals of each species collected at the site (specifically, 19,637

individuals of C7 and 20,412 of HA). Raw abundance and habitat use patterns varied by species

but highly overlapped (Fig. 1). At the initiation of the study, C7 dominated at higher numbers but

HA steadily increased until the mid 2000s, and then the two species appeared to undergo a

cyclical switching off in dominance for the most recent 15 years of the study (Fig. 1A). Both

species were present in all habitats examined, although C7 was more commonly captured in

wheat than HA, and HA was more common than C7 in all forest treatments (Fig 1B).

*Multivariate analysis*

Habitat use patterns varied between the two species at both the yearly (F=14.25,

$R^2$=0.04, p=0.001) and weekly (F=21.93, $R^2$=0.03, p=0.001) temporal resolution. Non-metric

multidimensional scaling (Fig 2) suggested a distinct but overlapping pattern of spatio-temporal

distribution between the two species at both temporal resolutions. Some measures of

precipitation and degree day accumulation were included in the best model for environmental

drivers of the distribution of observations in both cases, suggesting these drivers both impacted

the within-season and between-season dynamics of the two species. At the yearly resolution,

the final variables included in the environmental model were degree day accumulation at 35

weeks ($r^2$=0.086, p=0.001), precipitation accumulation at 35 weeks ($r^2$=0.064, p=0.001), and the

year (treated as a continuous variable; $r^2$=0.025, p=0.017). At the weekly resolution, the

environmental model included within-season degree day accumulation ($r^2$=0.0328, p=0.001),

within-season precipitation accumulation ($r^2$=0.014, p=0.001), and the year (treated as a

continuous variable; $r^2$=0.011, p=0.045). In both cases, year and precipitation accumulation

plotted as nearly perfectly collinear, but moving in opposite directions, but the degree day

accumulation factor plotting with a larger orthogonal component, more closely corresponding to

the axis of differentiation between the distributions of the two species.

*Within-season GAM model*

The final model for within season captures of lady beetles (-REML=27394, n=27432,

Deviance explained = 33.3%) included terms for the categorical variables of habitat (F= 144.42,

df=8, p<0.001), species (F= 15.04, df=1, p<0.001), and the interaction between habitat and

species (F= 79.09, df=8, p<0.001), as well as smoothing terms for degree day accumulation,

number of rainy days, and year, each interacting with species (Table 1, Fig. 3).

**Table 1:  Within-season multivariate model results explaining the spatio-temporal**

**distribution of the two lady beetle species from relative capture counts.**

| Term | Effective degrees of freedom | F | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Degree days : C7 | 6.28 | 169.63 | <0.001 |
| Degree days : HA | 6.84 | 94.75 | <0.001 |
| Rain days : C7 | 1.97 | 28.56 | <0.001 |
| Rain days : HA | 1.96 | 1.04 | 0.349 |
| Year : C7 | 8.21 | 120.41 | <0.001 |
| Year : HA | 8.18 | 121.10 | <0.001 |

The majority of within-season variation in both species was attributable to habitat of

capture and degree day accumulation. Both species exhibited strong, differential within-season

responses to degree day accumulation (Fig 3A). Using the instantaneous rate of change of the

line of best fit, we computed the approximate degree day accumulations where activity peaks

occurred. C7 had a large activity peak early in the season at approximately 550 degree days

accumulated, and a slight peak later in the season at an accumulation of 1210 degree days. HA

had a major late season activity peak near 1220 degree days accumulation, and populations

remained high thereafter, and we observed two lesser early season activity peaks near 410 and

690 degree days respectively. Although model selection favored the inclusion of a term

describing the number of rainy days in the week when observations were taken, this term

explained very little variation for HA, and suggested perhaps a slight increase in C7 activity in

weeks that were consistently rainy (Fig 3B). Once other factors were controlled for in the model,

residual habitat use patterns between the two species became strikingly differential: residual

captures of HA were relatively consistent in all habitats, but C7 was much more variable (Fig

3C). Finally, once within-year factors were controlled for, year-to-year dynamics of both species

still contributed considerable variation to the captures (Fig 3D).

*Between-year model*

In the examination of between-year dynamics of the two species, model selection

favored GAM models with four environmental parameters for both species (Table 2), with three

of these factors overlapping between models. The C7 model explained more variation in the

year-to-year abundance of this species (-REML=2092, n=1353, Deviance explained = 69.7%)

than the model for HA abundance (-REML=2219, n=1353, Deviance explained = 40.0%). In

general, relationships between HA and environmental parameters were slight, and HA

abundance peaked near the mean values of each parameter in 3 out of 4 environmental

parameters included in the model (Figure 4), suggesting that while HA is not affected strongly

by environmental conditions, it generally is most abundant in years when conditions are near

the mean. C7 was observed to have both generally stronger responses to environmental

conditions, but also, was more negatively associated with mean conditions, i.e. having higher

numbers in years associated with cooler later summer conditions and dryer conditions prior to

and early in the growing season.

**Table 2: Between-year multivariate model results explaining the spatio-temporal**

**distribution of the two lady beetle species from relative capture counts.**

| Term | Effective degrees of freedom | F | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|
| C7 model | | | |
| HA counts | 2.35 | 16.34 | <0.001 |
| Degree days at 35 weeks | 2.85 | 36.18 | <0.001 |
| Precipitation at 20 weeks | 2.89 | 20.25 | <0.001 |
| Precipitation at 25 weeks | 2.99 | 183.45 | <0.001 |
| Precipitation at 35 weeks | 2.99 | 84.29 | <0.001 |
| Habitat (parametric term) | 8 | 110.5 | <0.001 |
| HA model | | | |
| C7 counts | 2.86 | 13.66 | <0.001 |
| Degree days at 20 weeks | 1.99 | 5.00 | <0.001 |
| Degree days at 35 weeks | 1.97 | 39.46 | <0.001 |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Precipitation at 20 weeks | 1.98 | 15.36 | <0.001 |
| Precipitation at 25 weeks | 1.99 | 92.65 | <0.001 |
| Habitat (parametric term) | 8 | 41.41 | <0.001 |

## Discussion

Following their establishment and spread within North America, the dominant exotic lady

beetle species *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* have been commonly collected within similar

environments, but how these species are able to coexist is poorly understood. In this study, we

used a 31-year dataset from an agricultural landscape in southwestern Michigan, USA to

investigate environmental, habitat, and seasonal niche partitioning to understand mechanisms

of coexistence among these dominant species. We found evidence of long-term coexistence, as

both species were collected in similar abundance overall and found in all habitat types. Our

findings indicated that a combination of small-scale niche partitioning via environmental, habitat,

and seasonal mechanisms contributed to coexistence among these species. Overall, *H. axyridis*

seems to be a broad generalist in terms of habitat and abiotic environmental preferences, while

*C. septempunctata* tends to thrive under more specific ecological conditions which are not the

average for this region.

Evidence of temporal niche partitioning among *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* was

observed when patterns of abundance were assessed within and between years. Following the

arrival and establishment of *H. axyridis* within the region, these two species experienced

patterns of cyclical dominance in abundance across years, suggesting there is some degree of

overlap in their niches. This finding aligns with research that has documented overlap in

preferences for aphid prey among the two species (Koch 2003, Hodek and Michaud 2008, Roy

et al. 2016) as well as evidence of antagonistic competitive interactions in some environments

(Snyder 2009, Gagnon et al. 2011). These patterns of between year partitioning may suggest

coexistence of these two competitively dominant species via the storage effect. The storage

effect hypothesis posits that competitors may coexist if overlapping generations experience

temporal fluctuations in the recruitment of individuals due to species-specific responses to the

environment (Chesson and Huntly 1997, Chesson 2000b). Yearly fluctuations in environmental

conditions over the course of this long-term study may have differentially benefited one species

over the other, resulting in the patterns of cyclical dominance. This is supported by our findings

that populations of *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* responded differentially to abiotic

environmental conditions in relation to precipitation and temperature. Strong responses to these

environmental factors were observed with populations of *C. septempuncata*, as the abundance

of this species was more negatively associated with means of several different metrics

describing environmental conditions. Instead, populations of *C. septempunctata* were more

successful in years characterized by dryer conditions early in the growing season and cooler

temperatures in late summer. A study conducted over 28 years in northern China found that

daily minimum temperatures were important for populations of *C. septempunctata*, with

reductions in overwintering survival observed as minimum temperatures declined during the

winter months (Cheng et al. 2020). In comparison to *C. septempuncata*, *H. axyridis* was not

strongly affected by the abiotic environmental variables investigated in this study and was

generally most abundant in years when temperatures and the amount of precipitation were near

the mean. Within this region of the midwestern US, our findings suggest that *H. axyridis* may be

an environmental generalist, while *C. septempuncata* has more specific environmental niche

requirements, and these differences have resulted in cyclical patterns of species dominance

over time. These environmental niche responses may have implications for within-year

partitioning and tropic dynamics of the two species.

Within an average year, the population dynamics of *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata*

showed differing responses to seasonal change, as abundances of each species peaked at

different times during the growing season. Both species responded strongly to degree day

accumulation, with the abundance of *C. septempunctata* peaking earlier in the growing season,

while *H. axyridis* became more abundant later in the season. The differing phenological

responses likely allow these two competitors to locally coexist on short time scales by creating

temporal niche differences. Differences in phenology strongly influence when and at which

developmental stage species interactions occur within a community, and thus patterns of

coexistence among species (Rudolf 2019, Blackford et al. 2020). Unlike *H. axyridis*, *C.*

*septempuncata* requires aphid prey to successfully develop and reproduce (Berkvens et al.

2008, Hodek and Michaud 2008), and therefore, the phenology of this species may be strongly

tied to this prey resource. For example, in Europe where *C. septempuncata* is native, this

species exploits immigrating aphid populations in cereals beginning in May until the crop

matures in July (Honek et al. 2019). The breeding period of *H. axyridis* is greatly extended

compared to other lady beetle species, and populations will continue to grow into late summer

as individuals reproduce even at risk of later generations not completing development (Honek et

al. 2018). Indeed, work examining dynamics of *H. axyridis* to regional populations of soybean

aphid found that late season abundance was linked to prey availability early in the growing

season (Bahlai, vander Werf, et al. 2015). Although phenological differences among species

may promote local coexistence through increased niche differences (i.e. stabilizing

mechanisms) (Albrecht and Gotelli 2001), it is also possible that greater phenological

differences can lead to increased fitness differences (i.e. equalizing mechanisms) that promote

competitive exclusion (Godoy and Levine 2014, Blackford et al. 2020). For instance, in years

where *C. septempunctata* activity is favored early in the growing season, this species may

deplete local prey resources (Bianchi and Van der Werf 2004), negatively impacting early

generations of *H. axyridis*. A depletion of local prey resources by *C. septempuncata* may reduce

later season activity peaks of *H. axyridis*, resulting in the year-to-year tradeoffs in dominance we

observed between the two species. Additional research is needed to understand the relative

importance of these two temporal scales (between or within years) for contributing to niche

partitioning and coexistence among these exotic species.

Patterns of abundance of *H. axyridis* and *C. septempuncata* varied among plant

communities, indicating spatial niche partitioning among habitat types. Abundance of *C.*

*septempunctata* was highest in soybean, wheat, and alfalfa, which suggests a habitat

preference for these crop types. Other studies have reported high abundances of *C.*

*septempuncata* on various herbaceous plants, including cereals (Honek et al. 2014, 2019),

potato (Alyokhin and Sewell 2004), alfalfa, and maize (Elliott et al. 1996). Conversely, the

abundance of *H. axyridis* was more consistent across all plant communities, which aligns with

studies that have identified this exotic species as a habitat generalist (Koch 2003, Adriaens et

al. 2008). Although *H. axyridis* did not show a preference for a particular habitat type, this

species was more abundant than *C. septempuncata* in forests. Populations of *H. axyridis* have

been commonly reported in arboreal habitats in Europe (Adriaens et al. 2008, Vandereycken et

al. 2012, Honek et al. 2014, Panigaj et al. 2014), wherein their abundance was found to be 7.5x

higher on trees than other herbaceous plants including crops (Honek et al. 2019). Larvae of *H.*

*axyridis* are morphologically adapted for exploiting canopy environments compared to *C.*

*septempuncata* due to their well-developed anal disc for adhering to plant surfaces (Eigenbrode

et al. 2009). Although *C. septempuncata* displayed clear preferences for soybean, wheat, and

alfalfa, individuals of *H. axyridis* also were found in these habitat types. There is some evidence

that when these two species overlap in habitat, they partition resources at a finer spatial scale.

For example, *C. septempunctata* did not change its habitat use patterns on apple trees in the

presence of *H. axyridis*, and instead, these species limited niche overlap by vertically

partitioning resources (Lucas et al. 2002). Moreover, *C. septempunctata* has been shown to

remain in preferred habitats such as alfalfa and soybean for extended periods, while *H. axyridis*

frequently disperses among habitats especially later in the growing season (Forbes and Gratton

2011).

Anthropogenic disturbances such as climate change may alter the coexistence

mechanisms among these dominant exotic lady beetles via direct and indirect effects.

Phenological responses of species and the seasonal timing of interactions are not static but

vary yearly with environmental conditions (Singer and Parmesan 2010, Rudolf 2019). Climate

change is causing gradual increases in temperature on a global scale as well as altered

patterns of precipitation (IPCC 2014). Our findings documented that lady beetle activity and

thus, the interactions between these two dominant species were strongly related to

temperatures via daily degree day accumulation, and to a lesser extent, precipitation

accumulation. Warmer temperatures affect lady beetles directly by increasing metabolism and

rates of egg and larval development, but also require higher rates of prey consumption

(Banfield-Zanin and Leather 2016, Speights and Barton 2019). Although warmer temperatures

are generally associated with increased activity, there is evidence that increased temperatures

may cause high mortality of eggs, larvae, and some adults above a heat stress threshold (Acar

et al. 2001, Knapp and Nedvěd 2013, Auad et al. 2014). Prey responses to climate change also

are complex and can be affected by physiological tolerances and host plant quality, which may

indirectly affect interactions with natural enemies such as predators and parasitoids (Honek et

al. 2017, Sloggett 2021). Responses of aphids are often species-specific but warming

temperatures and milder winters generally shift aphid phenology such that early season flight

activity occurs sooner in the season (Bell et al. 2015, Wu et al. 2020). Under laboratory

simulated drought conditions, aphids tended to be less nutritious and smaller in size, requiring

higher consumption rates by lady beetle species to meet dietary requirements (Banfield-Zanin

and Leather 2016). Therefore, climate change may lead to directional shifts in species

phenology and the timing of trophic interactions such that predatory-prey interactions may

become more synchronous or asynchronous, with implications for biological control. Adding

complexity, future land use practices that continue to promote simplification rather than

diversification of landscapes may interact with climate change to influence coexistence

outcomes among lady beetle species and their ecosystem services (Schulte et al. 2021).

Opportunistic generalist species such as *H. axyridis* may be better adapted to respond to

environmental shifts associated with anthropogenic disturbances, which likely will give these

species a competitive advantage.

*Conclusions*

Lady beetles contribute broadly to biological control as predators of key arthropod pests

(Obrycki and Kring 1998, Evans 2009, Weber and Lundgren 2009, Rondoni et al. 2021), but the

introduction and establishment of exotic lady beetle species threaten native species and the

long-term resilience of this ecosystem service (Bahlai et al. 2021). Following their establishment

and spread within North America, the exotic species *H. axyridis* and *C. septempunctata* have

become dominant within many native lady beetle communities (Alyokhin and Sewell 2004,

Harmon et al. 2007, Bahlai, Colunga-Garcia, et al. 2015, Gardiner et al. 2021). In this study, we

found that these two dominant exotic species can coexist within agricultural landscapes in the

midwestern US due to a combination of spatial and temporal niche partitioning. Our findings

indicated that *H. axyridis* is a broad habitat and abiotic environmental generalist, while *C.*

*septempunctata* has more specific niche preferences. Ecological differences among these

species have promoted niche partitioning via environmental, seasonal, and habitat mechanisms

that have contributed to the continued coexistence of these two invaders within the region.

Understanding mechanisms that allow coexistence and success of dominant exotic species

contributes to native biodiversity conservation management of invaded ecosystems in the face

of global change.

**Acknowledgements**

This manuscript was prepared in part as a class project by students in CB's Reproducible

Quantitative Methods graduate class at Kent State University. We thank Lama Tawk for leading

a discussion of coexistence theory in the class. This work was supported by National Science

Foundation grants OAC 1838807  and DBI 2045721 to CB. Support for this research was also

provided by the NSF Long-term Ecological Research Program (DEB 1832042) at the Kellogg

Biological Station and by Michigan State University AgBioResearch. DAL acknowledges support

from The Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of

Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research (Award DE-SC0018409). The Mozilla

Foundation supported CB during the development of the course where this work was

completed. The long-term lady beetle experiment at KBS-LTER was initiated in 1989 by Stuart

Gage and Manuel Colunga-Garcia. The authors sincerely thank the ongoing efforts of the

research staff supporting the long-term study, particularly: Stacey Van Der Wulp, Sven Bohm,

Julia Perrone, Elizabeth D'Auria, and countless undergraduate assistants over the project's

three decade history.

**References**

Acar, E. B., B. N. Smith, L. D. Hansen, and G. M. Booth. 2001. Use of calorespirometry to

determine effects of temperature on metabolic efficiency of an insect. Environmental

Entomology. 30: 811–816.

Adriaens, T., G. San Martin y Gomez, and D. Maes. 2008. Invasion history, habitat preferences

and phenology of the invasive ladybird *Harmonia axyridis* in Belgium, pp. 69–88. *In* Roy,

H.E., Wajnberg, E. (eds.), From Biological Control to Invasion: The Ladybird *Harmonia*

*axyridis* as a Model Species. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

Albrecht, M., and N. J. Gotelli. 2001. Spatial and temporal niche partitioning in grassland ants.

Oecologia. 126: 134–141.

Alyokhin, A., and G. Sewell. 2004. Changes in a lady beetle community following the

establishment of three alien species. Biological Invasions. 6: 463–471.

Angalet, G. W., J. M. Tropp, and A. N. Eggert. 1979. *Coccinella septempunctata* in the United

States: Recolonizations and notes on its ecology. Environmental Entomology. 8: 896–

901.

Auad, A. M., J. C. Santos, and M. G. Fonseca. 2014. Effects of temperature development and

survival of *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and *Chrysoperla externa*

(Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) fed on *Rhopalosiphum padi* (Hempitera: Aphididae). The

Florida Entomologist. 97: 1353–1363.

Bahlai, C. A., M. Colunga-Garcia, S. H. Gage, and D. A. Landis. 2013. Long term functional

dynamics of an aphidophagous coccinellid community are unchanged in response to

repeated invasion. PLoS One. 8: e83407.

Bahlai, C. A., M. Colunga-Garcia, S. H. Gage, and D. A. Landis. 2015. The role of exotic

ladybeetles in the decline of native ladybeetle populations: evidence from long-term

monitoring. Biological Invasions. 17: 1005–1024.

Bahlai, C. A., C. Hart, M. T. Kavanaugh, J. D. White, R. W. Ruess, T. J. Brinkman, H. W.

Ducklow, D. R. Foster, W. R. Fraser, H. Genet, P. M. Groffman, S. K. Hamilton, J. F.

Johnstone, K. Kielland, D. A. Landis, M. C. Mack, O. Sarnelle, and J. R. Thompson.

2021. Cascading effects: insights from the U.S. Long Term Ecological Research

Network. Ecosphere. 12: e03430.

Bahlai, C. A., W. vander Werf, M. O'Neal, L. Hemerik, and D. A. Landis. 2015. Shifts in dynamic

regime of an invasive lady beetle are linked to the invasion and insecticidal management

of its prey. Ecological Applications. 25: 1807-1818.

Banfield-Zanin, J. A., and S. R. Leather. 2016. Prey-mediated effects of drought on the

consumption rates of coccinellid predators of *Elatobium abietinum*. Insects. 7.

Bell, J. R., L. Alderson, D. Izera, T. Kruger, S. Parker, J. Pickup, C. R. Shortall, M. S. Taylor, P.

Verrier, and R. Harrington. 2015. Long-term phenological trends, species accumulation

rates, aphid traits and climate: five decades of change in migrating aphids. Journal of

Animal Ecology. 84: 21–34.

Berkvens, N., J. Bonte, D. Berkvens, K. Deforce, L. Tirry, and P. De Clercq. 2008. Pollen as an

alternative food for *Harmonia axyridis*, pp. 201–210. *In* Roy, H.E., Wajnberg, E. (eds.),

From Biological Control to Invasion: The Ladybird *Harmonia axyridis* as a Model

Species. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

Berkvens, N., C. Landuyt, K. Deforce, D. Berkvens, L. Tirry, and P. De Clercq. 2010. Alternative

foods for the multicoloured Asian lady beetle *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera:

Coccinellidae). Eur. J. Entomol. 107: 189–195.

Bhardwaj, A. K., P. Jasrotia, S. K. Hamilton, and G. P. Robertson. 2011. Ecological

management of intensively cropped agro-ecosystems improves soil quality with

sustained productivity. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. 140: 419–429.

Bianchi, F. J. J. A., and W. Van der Werf. 2004. Model evaluation of the function of prey in non-

crop habitats for biological control by ladybeetles in agricultural landscapes. Ecological

Modelling. 171: 177–193.

Blackburn, T. M., P. Pyšek, S. Bacher, J. T. Carlton, R. P. Duncan, V. Jarošík, J. R. U. Wilson,

and D. M. Richardson. 2011. A proposed unified framework for biological invasions.

Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 26: 333–339.

Blackford, C., R. M. Germain, and B. Gilbert. 2020. Species differences in phenology shape

coexistence. The American Naturalist. 195: E168–E180.

Boettner, G. H., J. S. Elkinton, and C. J. Boettner. 2000. Effects of a biological control

introduction on three nontarget native species of saturniid moths. Conservation Biology.

14: 1798–1806.

Bradshaw, C. J. A., B. Leroy, C. Bellard, D. Roiz, C. Albert, A. Fournier, M. Barbet-Massin, J.-M.

Salles, F. Simard, and F. Courchamp. 2016. Massive yet grossly underestimated global

costs of invasive insects. Nature Communications. 7: 12986.

Caltagirone, L. E., and R. L. Doutt. 1989. The history of the vedalia beetle importation to

California and its impact on the development of biological control. Annu. Rev. Entomol.

34: 1–16.

Cheng, J., P. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. Zhan, and Y. Liu. 2020. Quantitative assessment of the

contribution of environmental factors to divergent population trends in two lady beetles.

Biological Control. 145: 104259.

Chesson, P. 2000a. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual Review of

Ecology and Systematics. 31: 343–366.

Chesson, P. 2000b. General theory of competitive coexistence in spatially-varying

environments. Theoretical Population Biology. 58: 211–237.

Chesson, P., and N. Huntly. 1997. The roles of harsh and fluctuating conditions in the dynamics

of ecological communities. The American Naturalist. 150: 519–553.

Colunga-Garcia, M. 1996. Interactions between landscape structure and ladybird beetles

(Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in field crop agroecosystems. Michigan State University.

Colunga-Garcia, M., and S. H. Gage. 1998. Arrival, establishment, and habitat use of the

multicolored Asian lady beetle (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in a Michigan landscape.

Environmental Entomology. 27: 1574–1580.

Colunga-Garcia, M., S. H. Gage, and D. A. Landis. 1997. Response of an assemblage of

Coccinellidae (Coleoptera) to a diverse agricultural landscape. Environmental

Entomology. 26: 797–804.

Cusser, S., C. Bahlai, S. M. Swinton, G. P. Robertson, and N. M. Haddad. 2020. Long-term

research avoids spurious and misleading trends in sustainability attributes of no-till.

Global Change Biology. 26: 3715–3725.

(Ecosystems and human well-being) . 2005. Ecosystems and human well-being. Island Press,

Washington, DC.

Eigenbrode, S. D., W. E. Snyder, G. Clevenger, H. Ding, and S. N. Gorb. 2009. Variable

attachment to plant surface waxes by predatory insects, pp. 157–181. *In* Gorb, S.N.

(ed.), Functional Surfaces in Biology: Adhesion Related Phenomena Volume 2. Springer

Netherlands, Dordrecht.

Elliott, N., R. Kieckhefer, and W. Kauffman. 1996. Effects of an invading coccinellid on native

coccinellids in an agricultural landscape. Oecologia. 105: 537–544.

Evans, E. W. 2004. Habitat displacement of North American ladybirds by an introduced species.

Ecology. 85: 637–647.

Evans, E. W. 2009. Lady beetles as predators of insects other than Hemiptera. Biological

Control. 51: 255–267.

Forbes, K. J., and C. Gratton. 2011. Stable isotopes reveal different patterns of inter-crop

dispersal in two ladybeetle species. Ecological Entomology. 36: 396–400.

Gagnon, A.-È., G. E. Heimpel, and J. Brodeur. 2011. The ubiquity of intraguild predation among

predatory arthropods. PLOS ONE. 6: e28061.

Gandhi, K. J. K., and D. A. Herms. 2010. Direct and indirect effects of alien insect herbivores on

ecological processes and interactions in forests of eastern North America. Biological

Invasions. 12: 389–405.

Gardiner, M. M., K. I. Perry, C. B. Riley, K. J. Turo, Y. A. Delgado de la flor, and F. S. Sivakoff.

2021. Community science data suggests that urbanization and forest habitat loss

threaten aphidophagous native lady beetles. Ecology and Evolution. 11: 2761–2774.

Gelfand, I., and G. P. Robertson. 2015. Mitigation of greenhouse gases in agricultural

ecosystems, pp. 310–339. *In* Hamilton, S.K., Doll, J.E., Robertson, G.P. (eds.), The

Ecology of Agricultural Landscapes: Long-Term Research on the Path to Sustainability.

Oxford University Press, New York.

Godoy, O. 2019. Coexistence theory as a tool to understand biological invasions in species

interaction networks: Implications for the study of novel ecosystems. Functional Ecology.

33: 1190–1201.

Godoy, O., and J. M. Levine. 2014. Phenology effects on invasion success: insights from

coupling field experiments to coexistence theory. Ecology. 95: 726–736.

Grez, A. A., T. A. Rand, T. Zaviezo, and F. Castillo-Serey. 2013. Land use intensification

differentially benefits alien over native predators in agricultural landscape mosaics.

Diversity and Distributions. 19: 749–759.

Harmon, J. P., E. Stephens, and J. Losey. 2007. The decline of native coccinellids (Coleoptera:

Coccinellidae) in the United States and Canada, pp. 85–94. *In* New, T.R. (ed.), Beetle

Conservation. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

Hermann, S. L., S. Xue, L. Rowe, E. Davidson-Lowe, A. Myers, B. Eshchanov, and C. A. Bahlai.

2016. Thermally moderated firefly activity is delayed by precipitation extremes. Royal

Society open science. 3: 160712.

HilleRisLambers, J., P. B. Adler, W. S. Harpole, J. M. Levine, and M. M. Mayfield. 2012.

Rethinking community assembly through the lens of coexistence theory. Annu. Rev.

Ecol. Evol. Syst. 43: 227–248.

Hironori, Y., and S. Katsuhiro. 1997. Cannibalism and interspecific predation in two predatory

ladybirds in relation to prey abundance in the field. Entomophaga. 42: 153–163.

Hodek, I., and J. P. Michaud. 2008. Why is *Coccinella septempunctata* so successful? (A point-

of-view). EJE. 105: 1–12.

Holway, D. A., L. Lach, A. V. Suarez, N. D. Tsutsui, and T. J. Case. 2002. The causes and

consequences of ant invasions. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 33: 181–233.

Honek, A., A. F. Dixon, A. O. Soares, J. Skuhrovec, and Z. Martinkova. 2017. Spatial and

temporal changes in the abundance and composition of ladybird (Coleoptera:

Coccinellidae) communities. Current Opinion in Insect Science. 20: 61–67.

Honek, A., Z. Martinkova, A. F. G. Dixon, J. Skuhrovec, H. E. Roy, M. Brabec, and S. Pekar.

2018. Life cycle of *Harmonia axyridis* in central Europe. BioControl. 63: 241–252.

Honek, A., Z. Martinkova, P. Kindlmann, O. M. C. C. Ameixa, and A. F. G. Dixon. 2014. Long-

term trends in the composition of aphidophagous coccinellid communities in Central

Europe. Insect Conservation and Diversity. 7: 55–63.

Honek, A., Z. Martinkova, H. E. Roy, A. F. G. Dixon, J. Skuhrovec, S. Pekár, and M. Brabec.

2019. Differences in the phenology of *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and

native coccinellids in central Europe. Environmental Entomology. 48: 80–87.

IPCC. 2014. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and

III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.

Jeschke, J. M. 2014. General hypotheses in invasion ecology. Diversity and Distributions. 20:

1229–1234.

Kenis, M., M.-A. Auger-Rozenberg, A. Roques, L. Timms, C. Péré, M. J. W. Cock, J. Settele, S.

Augustin, and C. Lopez-Vaamonde. 2009. Ecological effects of invasive alien insects.

Biol Invasions. 11: 21–45.

Klooster, W. S., K. J. K. Gandhi, L. C. Long, K. I. Perry, K. B. Rice, and D. A. Herms. 2018.

Ecological impacts of emerald ash borer in forests at the epicenter of the invasion in

North America. Forests. 9.

Knapp, M., and O. Nedvěd. 2013. Gender and timing during ontogeny matter: Effects of a

temporary high temperature on survival, body size and colouration in *Harmonia axyridis*.

PLOS ONE. 8: e74984.

Koch, R. L. 2003. The multicolored Asian lady beetle, *Harmonia axyridis*: A review of its biology,

uses in biological control, and non-target impacts. Journal of Insect Science. 3: 32.

Levine, J. M., and C. M. D'Antonio. 1999. Elton revisited: A review of evidence linking diversity

and invasibility. Oikos. 87: 15–26.

Li, H., B. Li, G. L. Lövei, T. J. Kring, and J. J. Obrycki. 2021. Interactions among native and non-

native predatory Coccinellidae influence biological control and biodiversity. Annals of the

Entomological Society of America. 114: 119–136.

Liebhold, A. M., W. L. MacDonald, D. Bergdahl, and V. C. Mastro. 1995. Invasion by exotic

forest pests: A threat to forest ecosystems. Forest Science. 41: a0001-z0001.

Lockwood, J. L., M. F. Hoopes, and M. P. Marchetti. 2013. Invasion Ecology. John Wiley &

Sons.

Louda, S. M., D. Kendall, J. Connor, and D. Simberloff. 1997. Ecological effects of an insect

introduced for the biological control of weeds. Science. 277: 1088–1090.

Lucas, É., I. Gagné, and D. Coderre. 2002. Impact of the arrival of *Harmonia axyridis* on adults

of *Coccinella septempunctata* and *Coleomegilla maculata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae).

Eur. J. Entomol. 99: 457–463.

Maredia, K., S. Gage, D. Landis, and T. Wirth. 1992. Ecological observations on predatory

Coccinellidae (Coleoptera) in southwestern Michigan. The Great Lakes Entomologist.

25: 265–270.

Morin, R. S., A. M. Liebhold, P. C. Tobin, K. W. Gottschalk, and E. Luzader. 2007. Spread of

beech bark disease in the eastern United States and its relationship to regional forest

composition. Can. J. For. Res. 37: 726–736.

Obrycki, J. J., and T. J. Kring. 1998. Predaceous Coccinellidae in biological control. Annu. Rev.

Entomol. 43: 295–321.

Oksanen, J., F. G. Blanchet, R. Kindt, M. J. Oksanen, and M. Suggests. 2013. Package 'vegan.'

Community ecology package Version. 2: 0–0.

Panigaj, L., P. Zach, A. Honěk, O. Nedvěd, J. Kulfan, Z. Martinková, D. Selyemová, S.

Viglášová, and H. E. Roy. 2014. The invasion history, distribution and colour pattern

forms of the harlequin ladybird beetle *Harmonia axyridis* (Pall.) (Coleoptera,

Coccinellidae) in Slovakia, Central Europe. Zookeys. 89–112.

Pell, J. K., J. Baverstock, H. E. Roy, R. L. Ware, and M. E. N. Majerus. 2008. Intraguild

predation involving *Harmonia axyridis*: a review of current knowledge and future

perspectives. BioControl. 53: 147–168.

R Development Core Team. 2017. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing

3.3.3. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Ricci, C., L. Ponti, and A. Pires. 2005. Migratory flight and pre-diapause feeding of *Coccinella*

*septempunctata* (Coleoptera) adults in agricultural and mountain ecosystems of Central

Italy. European Journal of Entomology. 102: 531.

Ricciardi, A. 2007. Are modern biological invasions an unprecedented form of global change?

Conservation Biology. 21: 329–336.

Ricciardi, A., M. F. Hoopes, M. P. Marchetti, and J. L. Lockwood. 2013. Progress toward

understanding the ecological impacts of nonnative species. Ecological Monographs. 83:

263–282.

Rondoni, G., I. Borges, J. Collatz, E. Conti, A. C. Costamagna, F. Dumont, E. W. Evans, A. A.

Grez, A. G. Howe, E. Lucas, J.-É. Maisonhaute, A. Onofre Soares, T. Zaviezo, and M. J.

W. Cock. 2021. Exotic ladybirds for biological control of herbivorous insects – a review.

Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata. 169: 6–27.

Roy, H. E., P. M. J. Brown, T. Adriaens, N. Berkvens, I. Borges, S. Clusella-Trullas, R. F.

Comont, P. De Clercq, R. Eschen, A. Estoup, E. W. Evans, B. Facon, M. M. Gardiner, A.

Gil, A. A. Grez, T. Guillemaud, D. Haelewaters, A. Herz, A. Honek, A. G. Howe, C. Hui,

W. D. Hutchison, M. Kenis, R. L. Koch, J. Kulfan, L. Lawson Handley, E. Lombaert, A.

Loomans, J. Losey, A. O. Lukashuk, D. Maes, A. Magro, K. M. Murray, G. S. Martin, Z.

Martinkova, I. A. Minnaar, O. Nedved, M. J. Orlova-Bienkowskaja, N. Osawa, W.

Rabitsch, H. P. Ravn, G. Rondoni, S. L. Rorke, S. K. Ryndevich, M.-G. Saethre, J. J.

Sloggett, A. O. Soares, R. Stals, M. C. Tinsley, A. Vandereycken, P. van Wielink, S.

Viglášová, P. Zach, I. A. Zakharov, T. Zaviezo, and Z. Zhao. 2016. The harlequin

ladybird, *Harmonia axyridis*: global perspectives on invasion history and ecology.

Biological Invasions. 18: 997–1044.

Rudolf, V. H. W. 2019. The role of seasonal timing and phenological shifts for species

coexistence. Ecology Letters. 22: 1324–1338.

Schaefer, P. W., R. J. Dysart, and H. B. Specht. 1987. North American distribution of *Coccinella*

*septempunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and Its mass appearance in coastal

Delaware. Environmental Entomology. 16: 368–373.

Schulte, L. A., B. E. Dale, S. Bozzetto, M. Liebman, G. M. Souza, N. Haddad, T. L. Richard, B.

Basso, R. C. Brown, J. A. Hilbert, and J. G. Arbuckle. 2021. Meeting global challenges

with regenerative agriculture producing food and energy. Nature Sustainability.

Seebens, H., T. M. Blackburn, E. E. Dyer, P. Genovesi, P. E. Hulme, J. M. Jeschke, S. Pagad,

P. Pyšek, M. Winter, M. Arianoutsou, S. Bacher, B. Blasius, G. Brundu, C. Capinha, L.

Celesti-Grapow, W. Dawson, S. Dullinger, N. Fuentes, H. Jäger, J. Kartesz, M. Kenis, H.

Kreft, I. Kühn, B. Lenzner, A. Liebhold, A. Mosena, D. Moser, M. Nishino, D. Pearman, J.

Pergl, W. Rabitsch, J. Rojas-Sandoval, A. Roques, S. Rorke, S. Rossinelli, H. E. Roy, R.

Scalera, S. Schindler, K. Štajerová, B. Tokarska-Guzik, M. van Kleunen, K. Walker, P.

Weigelt, T. Yamanaka, and F. Essl. 2017. No saturation in the accumulation of alien

species worldwide. Nature Communications. 8: 14435.

Sethuraman, A., F. J. Janzen, M. A. Rubio, Y. Vasquez, and J. J. Obrycki. 2018. Demographic

histories of three predatory lady beetles reveal complex patterns of diversity and

population size change in the United States. Insect Science. 25: 1065–1079.

Singer, M. C., and C. Parmesan. 2010. Phenological asynchrony between herbivorous insects

and their hosts: signal of climate change or pre-existing adaptive strategy? Philosophical

Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 365: 3161–3176.

Sloggett, J. J. 2021. Aphidophagous ladybirds (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and climate change:

a review. Insect Conservation and Diversity. 14: 709–722.

Smith, C. A., and M. M. Gardiner. 2013. Biodiversity loss following the introduction of exotic

competitors: Does intraguild predation explain the decline of native lady beetles? PLOS

ONE. 8: e84448.

Snyder, W. E. 2009. Coccinellids in diverse communities: Which niche fits? Biological Control.

51: 323–335.

Snyder, W. E., G. M. Clevenger, and S. D. Eigenbrode. 2004. Intraguild predation and

successful invasion by introduced ladybird beetles. Oecologia. 140: 559–565.

Snyder, W. E., and E. W. Evans. 2006. Ecological effects of invasive arthropod generalist

predators. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 37: 95–122.

Speights, C. J., and B. T. Barton. 2019. Timing is everything: Effects of day and night warming

on predator functional traits. Food Webs. 21: e00130.

Syswerda, S. P., B. Basso, S. K. Hamilton, J. B. Tausig, and G. P. Robertson. 2012. Long-term

nitrate loss along an agricultural intensity gradient in the Upper Midwest USA.

Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment. 149: 10–19.

Thomas, A. P., J. Trotman, A. Wheatley, A. Aebi, R. Zindel, and P. M. J. Brown. 2013.

Predation of native coccinellids by the invasive alien *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera:

Coccinellidae): Detection in Britain by PCR-based gut analysis. Insect Conservation and

Diversity. 6: 20–27.

Turnock, W. J., I. L. Wise, and F. O. Matheson. 2003. Abundance of some native coccinellines

(Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) before and after the appearance of *Coccinella*

*septempunctata*. The Canadian Entomologist. 135: 391–404.

Vandereycken, A., D. Durieux, É. Joie, É. Haubruge, and F. Verheggen. 2012. Habitat diversity

of the Multicolored Asian ladybeetle *Harmonia axyridis* Pallas (Coleoptera:

Coccinellidae) in agricultural and arboreal ecosystems: a review. Biotechnologie,

Agronomie, Societé et Environnement. 16: 553–563.

Vitousek, P. M., C. M. D'Antonio, L. L. Loope, and R. Westbrooks. 1996. Biological invasions as

global environmental change. American Scientist.

Ware, R. L., and M. E. N. Majerus. 2008. Intraguild predation of immature stages of British and

Japanese coccinellids by the invasive ladybird *Harmonia axyridis*, pp. 169–188. *In* Roy,

H.E., Wajnberg, E. (eds.), From Biological Control to Invasion: The Ladybird *Harmonia*

*axyridis* as a Model Species. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

Weber, D. C., and J. G. Lundgren. 2009. Assessing the trophic ecology of the Coccinellidae:

Their roles as predators and as prey. Biological Control. 51: 199–214.

Wilcove, D. S., D. Rothstein, J. Dubow, A. Phillips, and E. Losos. 1998. Quantifying threats to

imperiled species in the United States. BioScience. 48: 607–615.

Wood, S. N. 2006. Generalized additive models: an introduction with R. chapman and hall/CRC.

Wu, Y., J. Li, H. Liu, G. Qiao, and X. Huang. 2020. Investigating the impact of climate warming

on phenology of aphid pests in China using long-term historical data. Insects. 11.

Yasuda, H., E. W. Evans, Y. Kajita, K. Urakawa, and T. Takizawa. 2004. Asymmetric larval

interactions between introduced and indigenous ladybirds in North America. Oecologia.

141: 722–731.

Yasuda, H., T. Kikuchi, P. Kindlmann, and S. Sato. 2001. Relationships between attack and

escape rates, cannibalism, and intraguild predation in larvae of two predatory ladybirds.

Journal of Insect Behavior. 14: 373–384.

    **Figure captions**

    **Figure 1: Raw trends in the abundance of two adventive lady beetle species at Kellogg**

    **Biological Station in Southwestern Michigan, 1994-2020.** A) abundance of adult lady beetles

    of each species, captured per repetition treatment combination (*ca*. 5 traps per week) B) the

    same data given by plant community treatment, aggregated over time.*Coccinella*

    *septempunctata* (C7) captures are given in red, *Harmonia axyridis* (HA) in orange.

    **Figure 2: Non-metric multidimensional scaling of habitat use patterns by two adventive**

    **lady beetle species at Kellogg Biological Station in Southwestern Michigan, 1994-2020, at**

    **two temporal aggregations.** A) yearly resolution, 2-D stress= 0.21 B) weekly resolution, 2-d

    stress= 0.226. *Coccinella septempunctata* observations are given by red X, *Harmonia axyridis*

    observations are given by orange O.

    **Figure 3: Partial effects of terms included in a within-season Generalized Additive Model**

    **for two adventive lady beetle species at Kellogg Biological Station in Southwestern**

    **Michigan, 1994-2020**, using weekly observations of lady beetles captured in nine plant

    communities. A) Within-season degree day accumulation (base 10°C); B) Rainy days, ie

    number of days in the observation week when precipitation was recorded); C) residual habitat

    effects; and D) remaining year-to-year population variation. *Coccinella septempunctata* (C7)

    effects are given in red, *Harmonia axyridis* (HA) in orange.

    **Figure 4: Partial effects of environmental parameters from a between-season Generalized**

    **Additive Models for two adventive lady beetle species at Kellogg Biological Station in**

    **Southwestern Michigan, 1994-2020**.  Each species was modelled, and subjected to model

824    selection separately. *Coccinella septempunctata* (C7) model effects are given in red, *Harmonia*

825    *axyridis* (HA) in orange(left). Models also included terms for between-habitat variation and

826    captures of the other species of lady beetle.

    **Figure 1**

**Figure 2**

**Figure 4**