Chimeric a-subunit isoforms generate functional yeast V-ATPases with altered regulatory properties *in vitro* and *in vivo*

Farzana Tuli and Patricia M. Kane*

Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology

SUNY Upstate Medical University

Syracuse, NY

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: kanepm@upstate.edu

ABSTRACT

V-ATPases are highly regulated, multi-subunit proton pumps that acidify organelles. The V-ATPase a-subunit is a two-domain protein containing a C-terminal transmembrane domain that participates in proton transport and a N-terminal cytosolic domain (aNT) that acts as a regulatory hub, integrating environmental inputs to regulate assembly, localization, and V-ATPase activity. Tissue- and organelle-specific a-subunit isoforms exist in most organisms, but how regulatory inputs are decoded by aNT isoforms is unknown. The yeast *S. cerevisiae* encodes only two organelle-specific a-isoforms, Stv1 in the Golgi and Vph1 in the vacuole. Based on recent structures, we designed chimeric yeast aNTs in which the globular proximal and distal ends are exchanged. The Vph1 proximal-Stv1 distal (VPSD) aNT chimera binds to the glucose-responsive RAVE assembly factor *in vitro* but exhibits little binding to phosphoinositide lipids that activate V-ATPases. The Stv1 proximal-Vph1 distal (SPVD) aNT lacks RAVE binding but binds more tightly to phosphoinositides than Vph1 or Stv1. When attached to the Vph1 C-terminal domain *in vivo*, both chimeras complement growth defects of a *vph1Δ* mutant, but only the SPVD chimera exhibits wild-type V-ATPase activity. Cells containing the SPVD chimera adapt more slowly to a poor carbon source than wild-type cells but grow more rapidly than wild-type after a shift to alkaline pH. This is the first example of a "redesigned" V-ATPase with altered regulatory properties and adaptation to specific stresses.

INTRODUCTION

The intracellular compartments of eukaryotic cells maintain a unique pH range which is
critical for their function (Casey et al., 2010). The organelles of the secretory and endocytic
pathways become progressively more acidic, with the lysosome/vacuole as the most acidic
organelle (Huotari & Helenius, 2011). All acidic compartments in these pathways possess
Vacuolar H^+-ATPases (V-ATPases) which acidify their lumen. In addition, some specialized
cells have plasma membrane V-ATPases that secrete H^+ into the extracellular space. V-ATPases
play important roles in many cellular processes including vesicular transport, neurotransmitter
loading, ion homeostasis, urine acidification, and bone resorption (Breton & Brown, 2013;
Collins et al., 2020; Eaton et al., 2021; Forgac, 2007). Consistent with their diverse roles in cell
physiology, aberrant activity of V-ATPases has been associated with many pathological
conditions such as osteopetrosis (Frattini et al., 2000), renal tubular acidosis (Smith et al., 2000)
and metastatic cancer (Sennoune et al., 2004). However, complete loss of V-ATPase activity is
lethal in higher eukaryotes (Sun-Wada et al., 2003), which makes therapeutic targeting of V-
ATPases very difficult (Kane, 2012).

V-ATPases are ATP hydrolysis-driven proton pumps that are conserved from yeast to
humans. These multi-subunit protein complexes consist of two main subcomplexes where the
cytosolic, peripheral complex, V_1, hydrolyzes ATP and membrane-bound complex, V_o, contains
the proton pore (Forgac, 2007). V-ATPases undergo different modes of regulation to fine tune
their activity depending on cellular need (Forgac, 2007). One major mechanism of regulation of
activity is by reversible disassembly where the V_1 and V_o sectors reversibly disassemble in
response to many signals such as upon glucose deprivation (Kane, 1995) (Sumner et al., 1995).
Signal-dependent disassembly of V_1 from V_o leads to the inactivation of both ATP hydrolysis
(Parra et al., 2000) and proton pumping (Couoh-Cardel et al., 2015). Significant conformational
changes of the disassembled V_1 and V_o complexes prevent reassembly when enzyme activity is
not needed. The RAVE (Regulator of acidification in vacuole and endosome) complex is
required for rapid reassembly of yeast V-ATPase *in vivo* (Seol et al., 2001). V-ATPase activity
can also be modulated by phosphatidylinositol phosphate (PIP) lipids (Banerjee et al., 2019;
Vasanthakumar et al., 2019) which are enriched in organelle membranes and may stabilize the
assembled conformation of V-ATPase. In addition, isoforms of many V-ATPase subunits allow
for tissue-specific and organelle-specific regulation. How isoform composition endows V-
ATPases with distinct catalytic and regulatory properties is poorly understood.

The a-subunit from the V_o sector is encoded by multiple tissue- and organelle-specific
isoforms in most eukaryotic cells. In yeast, the only V-ATPase subunit isoforms are in the V_o a-
subunit and are encoded by the *VPH1* and *STV1* genes. Vph1-containing V-ATPases are
enriched in the vacuole (Manolson et al., 1992) , and Stv1-containing V-ATPases localize to the
Golgi (Manolson et al., 1994). These isoforms determine localization of their respective
complexes and confer different catalytic and regulatory properties. In all eukaryotes, a-subunit
isoforms consist of a cytosolic N-terminal domain (NT) and a membrane bound C-terminal
domain (CT). Chimeras of yeast a-subunits containing NT and CT of the opposite isoforms
revealed that the NT domain encodes information for localization and reversible disassembly
(Kawasaki-Nishi et al., 2001). Subsequent studies showed that the NT domains also dictate
binding to different organelle-enriched phosphoinositide lipids (Banerjee et al., 2019; Banerjee
& Kane, 2017; Li et al., 2014). The overall backbone structure of the NT domain of all a-subunit
isoforms is predicted to be similar, with 50% identity and 70% similarity at the amino acid level.

Cryo-EM structures reveal that the aNT domains are characterized by a proximal end (which
connects to the aCT domain) and a distal end; the two ends are connected by a long coiled-coil.
Recent structures of Vph1-containing V_o and Stv1-containing V_o revealed strong similarity in
overall structure but failed to resolve poorly conserved loops at both proximal and distal ends of
aNTs (Vasanthakumar et al., 2019). These loops are likely flexible and may contribute to unique
catalytic and regulatory properties of the isoforms. Indeed, sequences from these loop regions
have previously been implicated in lipid binding (Banerjee et al., 2019; Banerjee & Kane, 2017).

The aNT domain is an important regulatory hub of V-ATPase for several reasons. First, it
occupies a critical position at the V_1-V_o interface **(Figure 1A)**. It undergoes significant
conformational changes in the V-ATPase holoenzyme vs. disassembled V_o subcomplexes
(Couoh-Cardel et al., 2015). Second, the proximal and distal ends form quaternary interactions
with different V_1 subunits (Oot & Wilkens, 2012; Sharma et al., 2018); these interactions must
be supported by all isoforms to preserve V-ATPase assembly and activity. Third, the aNT
harbors many different regulatory interactions. Cellular factors important for V-ATPase
regulation such as the RAVE complex (Smardon et al., 2014), PIP lipids (Banerjee et al., 2019;
Banerjee & Kane, 2017; Li et al., 2014), glycolytic enzymes (Lu et al., 2007; Su et al., 2008),
and the Rab-GEF protein ARNO (Hurtado-Lorenzo et al., 2006) all interact with aNT domains.
However, it is not well understood where the information for these regulatory interactions resides
in the aNT domains.

Here, we aimed to understand where two of the regulatory interactions, RAVE and PIP
lipids, reside in the aNT domain and how the information from these two inputs is integrated to
control V-ATPase activity. Toward this goal, we have constructed chimeric aNTs by swapping
the proximal and distal ends between Vph1 and Stv1. *In vitro* studies with the resulting chimeras
suggest that the information for RAVE binding resides in the proximal end of Vph1NT. Lipid
binding experiments suggest that multiple sequences in Stv1NT from both ends promote PI(4)P
binding. Unlike previous chimeric a-subunits, a chimera containing proximal end of Stv1 in
combination with distal end of Vph1 assembles into fully functional V-ATPases in vacuoles
when attached to the Vph1 C-terminal domain. However, the altered regulatory properties of this
chimera have significant physiological consequences for yeast cells.

RESULTS

Design and expression of chimeric and mutant proteins

In order to better understand the regulatory information embedded in the cytosolic aNT
domains, we generated chimeras of Vph1NT and Stv1NT by swapping the proximal and distal
ends between the two isoforms **(Figure 1B, C)**. Although medium resolution cryo-EM structures
of intact V-ATPases containing both Vph1 (Benlekbir et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2015) and Stv1
(Vasanthakumar et al., 2019) are available, there are regions of the aNT domains that are missing
from the structures or poorly resolved, including the regions previously implicated in lipid
binding (Banerjee et al., 2019; Banerjee & Kane, 2017). We generated high confidence
homology models of Vph1NT (1-372) and Stv1NT (1-418) to help identify potential locations
for the junctions in the chimeras (**Figure 1B)**. As shown in **Figure 1B** both isoforms have a
similar coiled-coil backbone between the two globular domains. Pairwise alignment of the two
isoforms helped identify conserved regions in the coiled-coil that might be suitable as a junction.

Figure 1: Design and construction of chimeric and mutant a-NT's. A. Cryo-EM structure (3.1-Å resolution) of human V-ATPase holoenzyme (PDB 6WM2) where aNT is shown in dark blue polypeptide chain. Subunits interact with aNT domain are represented in color; V_1 E1 and E2-teal, V_1 G1 and G2-yellow, V_1 H-purple, V_1 C_{foot} -green. **B.** Phyre2 generated homology models of yeast Vph1NT (aa. 1-372) and Stv1NT (aa. 1-418) with the proximal and distal ends indicated. Amino acids previously implicated in PI(3,5)P_2 activation of Vph1-containing ATPases are indicated on Vph1NT in magenta, and the amino acids implicated in PI(4)P binding to Stv1 are shown in yellow and circled. **C.** Schematic diagram of chimeric proteins constructed by swapping the two ends between the isoforms. **D, E.** Coomassie stained SDS–PAGE showing MBP-tagged VPSD and SPVD chimeras and add-back mutant after the first (amylose) and second (anti-FLAG) affinity purification steps. Lines indicate positions where non-adjacent lanes from the same gel were moved together.

Finally, we placed the junction in a region of high sequence
similarity in the middle of the coiled-coil. Although this
region binds to the V_1 H subunit in the fully assembled
complex (Vasanthakumar et al., 2019), both Stv1 and Vph1
interact with subunit H, suggesting that a hybrid would also
support the interaction. Importantly, exchange at this
junction is predicted to preserve the structures of the two
ends. The resulting chimeras were designated Vph1NT$_{proximal}$ Stv1NT$_{distal}$ (VPSD chimera) and
Stv1NT$_{proximal}$ Vph1NT$_{distal}$ (SPVD chimera) **(Figure 1C).** VPSD chimera carries amino acids 1-
123 of Vph1NT, 133-342 of Stv1NT and 295-372 of Vph1NT, whereas the SPVD chimera
carries residues 1-132 of Stv1NT, 124-294 of Vph1NT and 343-418 of Stv1NT. In addition to
these chimeras, we constructed an "add-back mutant" in which we added the Stv1NT
W83KYILH sequence containing the identified PI(4)P recognition site (Banerjee & Kane, 2017;
Finnigan et al., 2012) into the corresponding loop of the Vph1NT proximal domain (**Figure 1B**,
shown in circle).

The chimeric and mutant aNT proteins were expressed in E. coli with a N-terminal
maltose binding protein (MBP) tag and a C-terminal FLAG tag and purified through sequential
amylose then anti-FLAG affinity chromatography **(Figure 1D, E)** followed by gel filtration. The

gel filtration profile of the chimeric and mutant proteins **(Figure 1-Supp. Figure S1)** was very
similar to that of the wild-type aNTs, including the characteristic monomer-dimer peak that is
observed for wild-type Vph1NT and Stv1NT (Banerjee & Kane, 2017; Oot & Wilkens, 2012).
The monomer fractions were used in the subsequent assays.

Figure 2: The proximal end of Vph1NT binds to Rav1 (679-898). Vph1NT, Stv1NT, and the VPSD and SPVD chimeras were expressed and purified as in Figure 1 except that the MBP tag was removed with Prescission protease. Rav1 (679-898)-His$_6$ was expressed and purified as described (Smardon et al., 2014). The aNT proteins were mixed with the Rav1 (679-898) at a 5:1 molar ratio. The mixtures were added to TALON resin and incubated at 4°C for 2 h. to allow binding. The pull-down assay was performed as described (Jaskolka & Kane, 2020). The Rav1 (679-898) fragment was used to pull-down: **A.** Vph1NT, **B.** Stv1NT, **C.** VPSD and **D.** SPVD, and the bound proteins eluted with imidazole in the indicated fractions. For each pull-down assay the indicated protein was also mixed with TALON resin in absence of Rav1 (no Rav1 control). Anti-FLAG monoclonal antibody was used to probe for Vph1NT, Stv1NT, VPSD and SPVD while anti-His$_6$ antibody was used to probe Rav1 (679-898). Lines indicate positions where non-adjacent lanes from the same gel were moved together. M: molecular mass markers, I: input.

The proximal end of Vph1NT binds to Rav1 (679-898)

The yeast RAVE complex is an isoform-specific assembly factor required only for the
assembly of Vph1-containing V-ATPases; Stv1-containing V-ATPases can assemble
independently of RAVE (Smardon et al., 2014). Vph1NT directly interacts with one RAVE
subunit, Rav1, in two-hybrid and pull-down assays (Smardon et al., 2014; Smardon et al., 2015)
(Jaskolka & Kane, 2020). A central fragment of Rav1 (aa 679-898) has a binding site for

Vph1NT (Smardon et al., 2015) and point mutations and small deletions in this region abolish
recruitment of RAVE to the vacuolar membrane *in vivo* (Jaskolka & Kane, 2020). Expressed
Vph1NT co-elutes with a Rav1(679-898)His$_6$ fragment from TALON resin (**Figure 2A**). As
described previously, (Jaskolka & Kane, 2020) there is a small amount of Vph1NT present in a
control lacking Rav1 but it is less than that in the presence of the Rav1 fragment, suggesting the
interaction is specific. In contrast, no coelution of Stv1NT with Rav1(679-898)His$_6$ was
observed in similar pull-down assay **(Figure 2B)**. Although these experiments support isoform-
specific binding, chimeric aNTs provide an opportunity to narrow down the RAVE binding
domain. We performed similar pull-down assays with the Rav1(679-898)His$_6$ fragment and
chimeric aNTs. We found that the VPSD chimera coeluted with Rav1(679-898) His$_6$ **(Figure**
2C) and there was no VPSD chimera detected in the no Rav1 control, indicating the interaction
is specific. In contrast, the SPVD chimera, which lacks the proximal end of Vph1NT, did not
coelute with Rav1(679-898) His$_6$ fragment **(Figure 2D)**. In the SPVD chimera, the proximal end
of Vph1 is replaced with the proximal end of Stv1NT but the distal end of Vph1NT is present.
These data suggest that the Vph1 proximal end present in the VPSD chimera has the information
for binding to this critical region of Rav1, whereas the distal end is not important for this
interaction.

Transfer of PIP specificity between aNT isoforms

Recently, it has become clear that PIP lipids interact with V-ATPase isoforms and
contribute to their activity, localization, and regulation (Banerjee et al., 2019; Banerjee & Kane,
2017; Li et al., 2014). We tested binding of the chimeric yeast aNT constructs to PI(4)P and
PI(3,5)P$_2$ in a quantitative liposome pelleting assay (Chandra et al., 2019). Liposomes with or
without phosphoinositide were incubated with a defined concentration of the aNT protein.
Liposomes and bound protein were isolated by centrifugation as described in Materials and
Methods, and binding was assessed by measuring the proportion of total protein in the pellet.
PIP-specific binding was determined by subtracting the protein bound in the absence of
phosphoinositide from that bound in the presence of phosphoinositide. All of the proteins were
tested for pelleting in the absence of lipid, and none pelleted, indicating that the presence of
protein in the pellet was liposome-dependent.

Stv1NT binds to Golgi-enriched PI(4)P *in vivo* and to PI(4)P-containing liposomes in a
liposome flotation assay (Banerjee & Kane, 2017). Mutation of K84, located in the proximal end
of Stv1NT, abolished binding to PI(4)P-containing liposomes *in vitro*, suggesting this region is
necessary for lipid binding. However, it was not determined whether this region is sufficient for
PI(4)P interaction, and the contribution of other regions of Stv1NT to lipid binding is not known.
As shown in **Figure 3A**, **B** Stv1NT showed much more binding to PI(4)P liposomes than
Vph1NT at a protein concentration of 0.5 μM. We tested whether we could transfer Stv1NT
PI(4)P specificity to Vph1NT by constructing an "add-back mutant" in which we added the
W83KYILH sequence containing the K84 residue from Stv1 (**Figure 1B**, shown in circle) at a
similar position in Vph1NT. As shown in **Figure 3A**, **B**, the add-back mutant bound to PI(4)P
liposomes comparably to Stv1NT and significantly better than Vph1NT at the lower protein
concentration. However, the SPVD chimera, in which the entire proximal end domain of
Vph1NT was replaced with Stv1NT, bound even more strongly to PI(4)P suggesting that other
sequences in the Stv1NT proximal domain can strongly enhance binding **(Figure 3A, B, C)**. The
VPSD chimera, in which the entire distal end domain of Vph1NT was replaced with the distal
end of Stv1NT also showed significantly better binding than Vph1NT alone at 0.5 μM protein

(Figure 3A, B, C). Together these data support the previously identified K84-containing
sequence as a major PI(4)P binding site in Stv1 which is sufficient to transfer PI(4)P binding to
Vph1NT. However, results with the chimeras indicate that both the entire proximal and the distal
ends of Stv1NT also have sequences that can further promote PI(4)P binding.

Figure 3: **PIP lipid binding of chimeric and mutant aNTs in liposome pelleting assays.** Liposomes were prepared as described (Banerjee & Kane, 2017). All proteins were expressed and purified as in Figure 1. Protein solutions at a final concentration of either 0.5 μM or 1 μM were incubated with control (no PIP), 5% PI(4)P or 5% PI(3,5)P₂ containing liposomes (final concentration of 0.33 mM) in separate centrifuge tubes as described in *Materials and Methods*. Following centrifugation, the supernatant (S) were collected, and pellet (P) fractions were resuspended in 100 μl of 50 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM NaCl (pH 7.4) before TCA precipitation and analysis by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with anti-FLAG antibody. **A., D.** Representative immunoblots showing distribution of the indicated proteins between the supernatant (S) and pellet (P) pellet fractions with control and PIP-containing liposomes. **A.** 0.5μM of each protein with control and PI(4)P-containing liposomes, **B.** 1 μM of each protein with control and PI(3,5)P₂ containing liposomes. In A and B, vertical lines represent movement of non-adjacent lanes from the same gel next to each other. **B, C, E, F.** At least three assays were performed for each protein-liposome combination and band intensities were determined using ImageJ. PIP-specific binding was determined by subtracting the proportion of total protein bound in the control pellets from the proportion in the PIP pellet, then multiplying by the total protein concentration to get PIP-specific binding. Bar graphs show the average concentration of each protein bound specifically to liposomes containing the indicated PIP lipid. **B, C.** PI(4)P-specific binding at total protein concentrations of 0.5μM **(B)** and 1μM **(C)**. **E, F.** PI(3,5)P₂-specific binding at total protein concentrations of 0.5μM **(E)** and 1μM **(F)**. Error bars represent standard deviation. In each graph, binding for each protein was compared to every other protein by ordinary one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test; significant differences between the strains are indicated: ****, $P<0.0001$ ***, $P<0.0005$; **, $P<0.005$; *, $P<0.05$; ns, $P>0.05$

Direct *in vitro* interaction between the vacuolar isoform Vph1NT and PI(3,5)P$_2$ has not been observed previously. However, addition of exogenous PI(3,5)P$_2$ specifically enhances the ATPase and proton pumping activities of Vph1-containing V-ATPases in isolated vacuolar vesicles and this enhancement is abolished by mutations in the Vph1 distal domain (Banerjee et al., 2019). In addition, Vph1NT shows PI(3,5)P$_2$-dependent recruitment to membranes *in vivo* (Li et al., 2014). We tested binding of Vph1NT and the chimeric proteins to PI(3,5)P$_2$-containing liposomes in a liposome pelleting assay under similar conditions to those used with PI(4)P-containing liposomes (i.e. 0.5 μM protein). Vph1NT pelleted poorly with PI(3,5)P$_2$ liposomes **(Figure 3D, E)** and there was no significant difference in binding between Vph1NT and the add back mutant or chimeras under these conditions. We also conducted the assay in the presence of 1 μM protein **(Figure 3E)**. At the higher protein concentration, the SPVD chimera, which contains the Stv1NT proximal domain and the Vph1NT distal domain, showed significantly higher binding than Vph1NT, the add-back mutant or VPSD chimera. The low affinity of Vph1NT for PI(3,5)P$_2$ is not surprising, as this is a regulatory interaction predicted to allow activation at high PI(3,5)P$_2$ concentrations. However, it was surprising that Stv1NT bound as well to PI(3,5)P$_2$ as it did to PI(4)P, even though it shows a preference for PI(4)P in the previous flotation assay and exhibited PI(4)P-dependent recruitment to membranes *in vivo* (Banerjee & Kane, 2017). The overall strong lipid binding of the SPVD chimera may arise from sequences the distal domain of Vph1NT previously implicated in PI(3,5)P$_2$ activation in combination with PIP lipid binding sequences in the proximal domain of Stv1NT.

Expression of chimeric aNTs in yeast

Although the expressed aNT domains are optimal for *in vitro* assays, they do not capture the full function of the aNT domains *in vivo*, where they are tethered in proximity to membranes by attachment to the integral membrane aCT domains and must bind to multiple V-ATPase subunits. To compare their functions as part of a full-length a subunit, chimeric aNT sequences were integrated into *VPH1*, replacing the Vph1NT sequence and placing them under control of *VPH1* promoter. The resulting strain still has wild-type Stv1 localized to the Golgi and would be expected to express the chimeric a-subunits at higher levels than *STV1* since the *VPH1* promoter is much stronger (Kawasaki-Nishi et al., 2001; Manolson et al., 1994). We performed growth assays to assess V-ATPase function in the yeast strains containing chimeric a-

Figure 4: Growth complementation by chimeric aNTs combined with Vph1CT. The VPSD and SPVD chimeric aNTs were integrated into the yeast genome, replacing Vph1NT, to generate VPSD and SPVD mutant strains. Growth of wild-type, VPSD and SPVD strains were compared to that of a *vph1Δ* strain by growing each strain to log phase in YEPD pH 5, diluting to an A$_{600}$ of 0.8 then serially diluting 10-fold in a microtiter plate. Cells were transferred to YEPD pH 5, YEPD pH 7.5 and YEPD Zn^{2+} plates and grown for 3 days at 30°C.

228 subunits. Mutants lacking V-ATPase activity grow best at pH 5. Loss of Vph1 function makes
229 yeast sensitive to high extracellular pH and elevated level Zn^{2+} concentrations (Manolson et al.,
230 1994) as shown for the *vph1Δ* mutant in **Figure 4**. However, both chimeric aNT mutations were
231 well tolerated *in vivo*, as they both complement the growth defects of the *vph1Δ* mutant **(Figure
232 4)**.
233
The V-ATPases containing SPVD chimera are fully assembled and active

We isolated vacuolar vesicles from wild-type cells and the strains containing the SPVD
and VPSD chimeras and measured V-ATPase activity and assembly at the vacuole. Vacuolar
vesicles from the VPSD chimera had significantly less V-ATPase activity than the wild-type or
SPVD vesicles (**Figure 5A**). In order to better understand the source of the defect in the VPSD
vesicles, we compared the levels of the V_1 subunits A, B, and C, V_o subunit d, and control
vacuolar membrane protein ALP between the vesicle preparations. Although alkaline
phosphatase (ALP) levels are similar between the vesicle preparations, indicating comparable
purity, all of the V_1 subunits and V_o subunit d are at lower levels in the VPSD vesicles,
suggesting a V-ATPase assembly or stability defect **(Figure 5B)**. The chimeric a-subunits
showed different interactions with antibodies against Vph1 and Stv1. One of the monoclonal
antibodies (7B1H1) raised against yeast vacuolar membranes (Kane et al., 1992) not only
recognized Vph1 as expected, but also cross-reacted with the SPVD chimera. In contrast, another
monoclonal antibody (10D7) only recognized Vph1 and VPSD, but not SPVD. A polyclonal
antibody against Stv1 recognized VPSD and SPVD but not Vph1.

Figure 5: The V-ATPases containing SPVD chimera are active and fully assembled: Vacuolar
vesicles were isolated from wild-type cells and cells containing the VPSD and SPVD chimera. **A.** V-
ATPase–specific activity (defined as the activity sensitive to 100 nM concanamycin A and expressed as
μmol/min/mg protein) was measured for at least four independent preparations of vacuolar vesicles,
and the mean ± SEM is shown. **B.** The vesicles were solubilized, separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred
to nitrocellulose, and probed with antibodies against V_1 subunits A, B, and C, V_o subunit d, or alkaline
phosphatase (ALP), a vacuolar integral membrane protease. Vesicles were also probed with two
monoclonal antibodies specific for Vph1 (7B1H1 and 10D7) as well as polyclonal antisera against
Stv1; none of these antibodies could detect the a-subunit from all three strains. For the detection of A-
and B-subunits 2.5 μg of vacuolar protein was loaded. 10 μg of protein was loaded to detect a and C
subunits, and 7.5 μg of protein was loaded for visualization of a-subunits and alkaline phosphatase.

In contrast to the VPSD chimera, vesicles from the SPVD chimera had a mean ATPase
activity slightly higher than those from wild-type cells, although the difference in activity was
not statistically significant. The levels of V_1 subunits in the SPVD vacuolar vesicles were also as
high, or slightly higher, as in wild-type vesicles, and the V_o d-subunit was at similar levels. We
also measured proton pumping in the wild-type and SPVD vesicles in order to assess the
coupling ratio (the ratio of the initial rate of proton pumping to the initial rate of ATP hydrolysis)
and found that the ratios were very similar between wild-type and SPVD vesicles (data not
shown). In summary, despite differences in regulatory properties, the SPVD mutant is as
assembled and active as the wild-type V-ATPase. This is remarkable because previous chimeras
of Stv1 and Vph1, including one containing the entire Stv1NT in combination with Vph1CT, had
assembly defects and less than 30% of wild-type activity (Kawasaki-Nishi et al., 2001).
261
The SPVD chimera is partially independent of RAVE function

The RAVE complex plays roles in both biosynthetic assembly of the V-ATPase and
reassembly after disassembly induced by glucose deprivation. *rav1Δ* mutants have very low V-
ATPase activity and assembly in vacuoles (Smardon et al., 2002), so it was somewhat surprising
that the SPVD chimera, which appears to lack Rav1 binding, had such high activity and
assembly. We investigated the degree of RAVE independence of SPVD chimera by making a
genomic deletion of *RAV1* in this mutant. The *rav1Δ* mutants grow well at pH 5 but grow poorly
in media containing 4 mM Zn^{2+} or elevated pH and calcium even at 30°C (Seol et al., 2001;
Smardon et al., 2002). We found that the *rav1Δ*SPVD chimera grew as well as wild type at high
pH and calcium concentration and quite well in zinc-containing medium at 30°C **(Figure 6)**. The
growth defect of *rav1Δ*SPVD mutants is milder than *rav1Δ* mutants indicating that V-ATPases
containing the SPVD chimera are at least somewhat independent of RAVE.

Figure 6: The SPVD chimera is partially independent of RAVE. Growth phenotypes of wild- type, SPVD, *rav1Δ* and *rav1Δ*SPVD strains were tested by growing strains to log phase in YEPD pH 5, diluting to an A_{600} of 0.8 and serially diluting 10-fold in a microtiter plate. Cells were transferred by pinning to YEPD pH 5 and YEPD + 4 mM $ZnCl_2$ (YEPD +Zn^{2+}) plates and grown for 3 days at 30°C. Cells transferred to YEPD pH 7.5 + 60 mM $CaCl_2$ (YEPD pH 7.5 +Ca^{2+}) plates were grown for 5 days at 30°C.

274
Is there a physiological cost of the SPVD mutation?

Although V-ATPases containing the SPVD chimera appear to be fully assembled and
functional, our *in vitro* experiments suggest that their regulatory properties may be quite different
than those of wild-type V-ATPases. Reversible disassembly is a major regulatory mechanism for
V-ATPases (Oot et al., 2017). In yeast, it is likely to be particularly important under conditions
of acute glucose deprivation (Parra & Kane, 1998). We first checked whether V-ATPase
regulation by reversible disassembly is retained in the SPVD chimera. We performed
immunoprecipitations from whole cell lysates of wild-type and the SPVD chimera maintained in

the presence of glucose, after 20 min of glucose deprivation, and 20 min after glucose readdition
to the glucose-deprived cells. A monoclonal antibody against the V_1 subunit B was previously
shown to immunoprecipitate both V_1 subcomplexes and fully assembled V_1-V_o complexes
(Doherty & Kane, 1993; Smardon et al., 2002). We used this antibody to immunoprecipitate
these complexes from both strains and assessed the ratio of V_1 to V_o by comparing levels of V_1
B-subunits to their respective V_o a-subunit, then calculating the percent assembly relative to the
starting (+glucose) samples.

Figure 7: Glucose-dependent disassembly and reassembly in wild-type and SPVD cells
A. Immunoprecipitation of V-ATPases from wildtype and SPVD from spheroplast lysates was performed as described in (Kane, 1995) and Materials and Methods. Yeast cells were converted to spheroplasts, which were then incubated for 30 min in YEP media with (+) or without (-) 2% glucose. A third sample (-/+) was incubated without glucose for 20 min followed by 30 min incubation with 2% glucose. V-ATPases were immunoprecipitated under each condition using the monoclonal antibody 13D11 against the V_1 B-subunit followed by Protein A-Sepharose. Immunoprecipitated proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose. Western blot analysis was performed using primary antibody 8B1F3 (against the V_1 A-subunit), 13D11 (against the V_1 B-subunit), 7B1H1 (against Vph1NT) or polyclonal anti-Stv1 antisera. Dissociation of the V-ATPase complex is reflected as a decrease in the amount of a-subunit immunoprecipitated. A representative experiment from three separate replicates is shown. **B.** Bar diagram showing mean assembly under each condition, relative to the assembly each strain maintained in glucose of wild type and SPVD cells. Error bars represent standard deviation. Comparison between the strains was performed by two-way ANOVA (without multiple comparison). Results for +glucose, -glucose and glucose addback (-/+) conditions were also compared for each strain by two-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparison test. Significance of differences is indicated as: ********, $P<0.0001$; *******, $P<0.0005$; ******, $P<0.005$; *****, $P<0.05$; ns, $P>0.05$

There are significant differences in
the behavior of the two strains **(Figure**
7A, B). V-ATPases in the SPVD
disassemble more completely than those
in the wild-type strain (a mean of 22%
relative assembly vs. 51% in wild-type).
Rapid reassociation of disassembled V_1
and V_o after glucose readdition to glucose-
deprived cells requires the RAVE
complex *in vivo* (Seol et al., 2001). In
wild type cells, the ratio of V_1 to V_o was
rapidly restored to a mean of 86% of the
pre-deprivation level after glucose
readdition **(Figure 7B)**. In the SPVD
chimera the average assembly after
glucose addition was less than 50% of the pre-deprivation level **(Figure 7B)**. Together, these
data suggest that V-ATPases in SPVD chimera disassemble more extensively during glucose
deprivation and cannot reassemble completely after a short time of glucose readdition.
Reversible disassembly of V-ATPases may help support cell growth by preserving ATP
during the periods of deprivation that arise during shifts in carbon source. We tested how cells
containing the SPVD chimera adjust during a transition to a less preferred carbon source. Wild

type and SPVD mutant cells were grown to log phase in YEPD (glucose) medium and diluted
into fresh medium containing glucose or raffinose, then growth was analyzed for next 24 hr.
Both strains exhibited similar growth rates in medium containing 2% glucose as shown in **Figure**
8A, but the SPVD strain had a somewhat longer lag before growth initiation. There was a longer
lag in growth of wild-type cells upon a shift to raffinose; this is not unexpected because both
transcriptome and proteome changes occur during a shift to raffinose metabolism (Paulo et al.,
2015). Interestingly, SPVD cells have a significantly longer lag than wild type cells when shifted
to raffinose than when shifted to glucose-containing medium. These data indicate that with
SPVD mutation cells cannot as readily adjust to changes in carbon sources as wild-type cells,
consistent with the incomplete V-ATPase reassembly in **Figure 7B**.

Figure 8: Growth of SPVD mutant under stress conditions. A-C. The wild-type and SPVD mutant strains were grown to log phase in YEPD, then diluted into the indicated medium to a density of A_{600}=0.1. Growth was then monitored for 24 hr. at 30°C. Growth curves for wild type and SPVD strains in **A.** YEPD (YEP containing 2% glucose) **B.** 2% raffinose (YEP containing 2% raffinose) **C.** 1.0 M NaCl (YEPD containing 1 M NaCl) **D, E.** Wild-type and SPVD strains were grown to log phase in YEPD buffered to pH 5, then diluted into: **D.** pH 5 (YEPD buffered to pH 5) or **E.** pH 7.5 (YEPD buffered to pH 7.5). Growth was observed over the span of 24 hr at 30°C. Mean growth rates $\pm$ S.D. from three independent experiments are shown. Multiple t-tests were performed and significant differences in growth between the strains are indicated: ***, $P<0.0005$, **, $P<0.005$; all other differences were not significant.

PI(3,5)P$_2$ is a signaling lipid that helps cells to adapt to multiple stresses (Jin et al., 2017;
McCartney et al., 2014). Mutants lacking PI(3,5)P$_2$ are sensitive to high salt (Bonangelino et al.,
2002) and *vph1* mutants defective in PI(3,5)P$_2$ activation also exhibit salt-dependent phenotypes
(Banerjee et al., 2019; Bonangelino et al., 2002). We hypothesized that the SPVD chimera might
have an advantage after a shift to medium containing high salt because it shows tighter binding
to PI(3,5)P$_2$. However, cells containing wild-type *VPH1* or the SPVD chimera showed very
similar lags and growth rates **(Figure 8C)**. Under alkaline growth conditions, V-ATPase activity
is increased and the enzyme shows little disassembly upon glucose deprivation (Diakov & Kane,
2010); this stabilization at alkaline pH is dependent on PI(3,5)P$_2$ (Li et al., 2014). We
hypothesized that increased PI(3,5)P$_2$ binding of the SPVD chimera might provide a growth
benefit when cells are shifted from low to high pH_{ext} (pH 5 to pH 7.5). The SPVD mutant again
had a somewhat longer lag when diluted into pH 5 medium **(Figure 8D)**. However, at pH 7.5 the
SPVD cells grew at a significantly faster rate than wild type cells after the initial lag **(Figure**

8E). These data indicate that the different regulatory interactions of the wild-type and SPVD aNT domains *in vitro* may also affect the response of cells to distinct stresses *in vivo*.

DISCUSSION

The cytosolic aNT domains of V_o a-subunit isoforms occupy a critical location at the interface of the V_1 and V_o subcomplexes and have long been recognized as a major locus of regulation. It is also clear that different a-subunit isoforms endow V-ATPases with distinct regulatory capacities. However, where regulatory responses reside in the aNT domains of different isoforms and how they decode distinct regulatory signals are not completely understood.

The aNT chimeras help define isoform-specific regulation in more detail. Binding of the Rav1(678-898) fragment to the VPSD chimera suggests that the specificity for RAVE binding, which is linked to the isoform-specific reversible disassembly of Vph1-containing V-ATPases (Smardon et al., 2014), resides in the proximal end of Vph1NT. This region of Rav1 is critical for recruitment of RAVE to the vacuolar membrane, as mutations in a small conserved sequence in the center completely prevent recruitment (Jaskolka & Kane, 2020). The RAVE complex has been proposed to template reassembly of the V-ATPase, and the proximal end of Vph1NT may be a "landing site" for the RAVE complex bearing the V_1 subcomplex and subunit C that could then orient the complexes for reassembly of the active complex (Jaskolka et al., 2021). Binding of RAVE to the proximal end of Vph1NT could leave the distal end free to assemble a quaternary complex with the foot domain of subunit C and the appropriate EG peripheral stalk, which is likely to be a critical step in reassembly (Oot et al., 2017). Alternatively, binding of the proximal domain to RAVE could help pull Vph1NT into the more peripheral position seen in the V-ATPase holoenzyme from the collapsed position adjacent to V_o subunit d seen in the disassembled V_o (Stam & Wilkens, 2017).

Although interactions of aNT isoforms with PIP lipids have been investigated previously, experiments with the chimeric aNTs provide new insights. Interestingly, potential lipid binding sites have been identified in poorly conserved loops of both the proximal domain (Stv1NT) (Banerjee & Kane, 2017) and the distal domain (Vph1NT) (Banerjee et al., 2019), suggesting both ends of the aNT domain can potentially contribute to PIP binding and altered V-ATPase activity. Consistent with previous results, we find that Vph1NT acquires PI(4)P binding *in vitro* upon addition of the lysine 84-containing sequence from Stv1NT. However, both the SPVD chimera, which contains this sequence, and the VPSD chimera, which does not, show better binding to PI(4)P than Vph1NT, suggesting that other Stv1NT sequences can contribute to PI(4)P binding. Previous attempts to determine direct interaction between Vph1NT and PI(3,5)P_2 in a liposome flotation assay were unsuccessful, and poor binding was also observed in the liposome pelleting assay used here **(Figure 3)**. This is not surprising, because PI(3,5)P_2 binding to Vph1NT appears to be a reversible, regulatory interaction *in vivo* (Li et al., 2014), and thus may be tuned to a lower affinity. In contrast to previous results from liposome flotation assays, Stv1NT showed similar binding to PI(4)P and PI(3,5)P_2 in the liposome pelleting assay in **Figure 3,** despite its strong preference for PI(4)P *in vivo* (Banerjee & Kane, 2017). Binding in the flotation assay is strongly influenced by off-rates, while the pelleting assay is an equilibrium assay. Interestingly, the SPVD chimera, which contains both of previously determined PIP binding sites, binds more tightly to both PI(4)P and PI(3,5)P_2 than either Stv1NT or Vph1NT *in*

vitro. Cryo-EM structures indicate that both the proximal and distal domains of the aNTs
collapse toward the center of the disassembled, inactive V_o sector (Mazhab-Jafari et al., 2016;
Roh et al., 2018). When the aNT is part of an intact a-subunit, lipid binding to either end could
help stabilize the subunit in a more peripheral configuration optimal for assembly and activity.
Thus, PIP binding to both ends of the SPVD chimera could potentially provide even greater
stability.

The SPVD chimera is the first fully functional chimera of Stv1 and Vph1. Kawasaki-
Nishi et al. constructed chimeras containing the entire NT domain of Stv1 and Vph1 in
combination with the CT domains of the opposite isoform (Kawasaki-Nishi et al., 2001).
However, both of those chimeras had <30% of the activity and assembly than the wild-type
enzyme, comparable to the 23% of wild-type activity in the VPSD mutant (**Figure 5A**). In
contrast, the activity, coupling, and assembly of the SPVD chimera in isolated vacuolar vesicles
is at least as good as those of the wild-type enzyme. This indicates that binding of the RAVE
complex to the proximal end of the chimeric aNT is either not essential for the biosynthetic
assembly V-ATPases containing the SPVD chimera, or that the proximal end of Stv1NT is
sufficient to support RAVE-independent biosynthesis. Stv1-containing V-ATPases can assemble
in the absence of RAVE, so this capability may be carried in the Stv1NT proximal end.
However, it is also interesting to speculate that the increased lipid binding to SPVD-containing
ATPases might circumvent the need for RAVE in biosynthetic assembly. In addition to its
biosynthetic role, RAVE is required for reassembly after glucose deprivation (Seol et al., 2001;
Smardon et al., 2002). The SPVD chimera actually disassembles to a greater extent than the
wild-type V-ATPase upon glucose deprivation, so it is difficult to determine whether there is any
specific defect in reassembly with glucose readdition. Biosynthetic assembly and reassembly
occur by different pathways, so RAVE interactions may differ between the two pathways (Kane,
2006).

The SPVD chimera provides novel insights into how V-ATPases integrate isoform-
specific regulatory inputs to achieve physiological responses. PIP binding and reversible
disassembly are associated with V-ATPase responses to different stresses (Kane, 1995; Li et al.,
2012), and their regulatory effects are separable. Reversible disassembly in response to glucose
deprivation still occurs in the absence of vacuolar $PI(3,5)P_2$, suggesting that this process is
independent of PIP binding (Li et al., 2014). However, V-ATPases responses to glucose are
strongly RAVE-dependent. V-ATPase disassembly can occur with acute glucose deprivation,
from exhaustion of glucose during growth, and in transitions to poor carbon sources (Kane,
1995; Parra & Kane, 1998). We found that despite having wild-type activity in isolated vacuoles,
the SPVD mutant was slow to resume growth after a shift from glucose to the poor carbon source
raffinose (**Figure 8B**). Thus, even if the SPVD chimera does not require RAVE for its initial
assembly, interaction with the RAVE complex may be critical for cells to readjust to shifts in
carbon source. In other physiological situations, RAVE binding may be less important. Vacuolar
$PI(3,5)P_2$ is implicated in stabilization and/or activation of assembled V-ATPases at the vacuole
under high salt and alkaline growth conditions (Diakov & Kane, 2010; Li et al., 2014). The
increased $PI(3,5)P_2$ binding to the SPVD chimera did not provide a growth advantage when cells
were shifted to high salt (**Figure 8C**). However, $PI(3,5)P_2$ stabilization of V-ATPases under
alkaline stress could help explain the higher growth rate of SPVD mutant at pH 7.5, after an
initial lag (**Figure 8E**). Further experiments will be needed to fully define the underlying

mechanism, but this is the first example of a chimeric V-ATPase with a growth advantage over
wild-type under specific stress conditions.

Total loss of V-ATPase activity is lethal in higher eukaryotes (Sun-Wada et al., 2003), so
targeting isoform-specific functions and regulatory mechanisms is an attractive route for
therapeutic modulation of V-ATPase activity. Generation of functional V-ATPases with altered
regulatory properties provides a means to better understand both the mechanism and underlying
balance of isoform-specific regulation. Importantly, our results indicate that it may be possible to
retain full V-ATPase activity under basal conditions, thus avoiding lethality, while changing the
enzyme's regulation and cellular responses to stress.
434
435

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials, media and cell growth

Amylose and TALON resin were purchased from New England Biolabs and Clontech,
respectively. Anti-FLAG M2 resin, mouse anti-FLAG antibody, and FLAG peptide were
purchased from Sigma. Lipids were purchased as lyophilized powder from Avanti Polar Lipids.
Sepharose A beads were purchased from GE Healthcare. DSP (dithiobis (succinimidyl
propionate)) crosslinker was obtained from Pierce.

Liquid medium for E. coli culture consisted of 2.5% Luria–Bertani broth (LB), Miller
powder (Fisher BioReagents). For expression of proteins from E. coli 0.2% dextrose was added
to LB. To maintain plasmids carrying an ampicillin- or chloramphenicol resistance genes, we
added 125 µg/ml ampicillin (Sigma-Aldrich) or 34 µg/ml chloramphenicol (Sigma-Aldrich),
respectively.

Yeast cells were grown on rich media containing 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone and 2%
dextrose (YEPD). YEPD was buffered to pH 5.0 or pH 7.5 by 50 mM potassium phosphate and
50 mM potassium succinate. For plates with added $CaCl_2$, YEPD was buffered to pH 7.5 with 50
mM Mes (2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid) 50 mM MOPS (3-(N-morpholino)
propanesulfonic acid) buffer, and 60 mM $CaCl_2$ was added (YEPD, pH 7.5, Ca^{2+}). YEPD+Zn^{2+}
containing plates consisted of 4 mM $ZnCl_2$ in unbuffered YEPD. YEPD+G418 contained 200
µg/ml G418 (Gibco Genticin, Thermo Fisher Scientific). For auxotrophic selections, fully
supplemented minimal medium with 2% dextrose (SD) lacking individual nutrients was used.
Yeast cells were grown to log phase in indicated media and collected near $A_{600}=1$ for
transformation as described (Gietz & Schiestl, 2007). For dilution growth assays, the indicated
wild-type or mutant yeast cells were grown to log phase in YEPD, pH 5. Cell suspensions were
adjusted to a single density, and 10-fold serial dilutions were made and then pinned onto the
desired plates.

Cloning, expression and purification of MBP-Vph1NT(1-372)-FLAG, VPSD chimera, SPVD
chimera and add-back mutant

MBP-Vph1NT(1-372)-FLAG: A single FLAG tag was inserted at the C-terminus of
MBP-Vph1NT (1-372) by TA cloning. Specifically, Vph1NT nucleotide sequence 1-1116
carrying a 5' BamH1 and a 3' FLAG was PCR amplified using oligonucleotides Vph1NT
5'BamH1 and Vph1NT 3'FLAG (Table 2) and a template of MBP-Vph1NT (1-372) plasmid

DNA. After addition of a non-templated A, the PCR product was then ligated in pGEM T-easy
vector. Subsequently, BamH1-Vph1NT-FLAG was cloned into pMal c2E Precision protease
vector that had been cut with restriction enzymes BamHI and PstI.

The VPSD chimera carrying amino acid residues 1-123 of Vph1NT, 133-342 of Stv1NT
and 295-372 of Vph1NT was generated by using a megaprimer PCR technique (Forloni et al.,
2019). A sequence of Vph1NT corresponding to amino acid 124-294 was replaced with sequence
corresponding to Stv1NT amino acid 133-342 using oligonucleotides Stv1NT 133F and Stv1NT
324R and a template of MBP-Vph1NT (1-372)-FLAG plasmid DNA.

The SPVD chimera carrying amino acid residues 1-132 of Stv1NT, 124-294 of Vph1NT
and 343-418 of Stv1NT was generated by using similar megaprimer PCR technique. A sequence
of Stv1NT corresponding to amino acid 133-342 was replaced with sequence corresponding to
Vph1NT amino acid 124-294 using oligonucleotides Vph1NT 124F and Vph1NT 294R and a
template of MBP-Stv1NT(1-418)-FLAG plasmid DNA.

For the add-back mutant, a mutant Vph1NT carrying Stv1NT W83KYILH amino acid
was generated by using oligonucleotide W83 Vph1F and Stv1W83Vph1R and a template of
MBP-Vph1NT (1-372)-FLAG plasmid DNA.

MBP-Vph1NT(1-372)-FLAG, MBP-Stv1NT(1-418)-FLAG, VPSD chimera, SPVD
chimera, add-back mutant and MBP-Rav1 (679-898)-His$_6$ cell were expressed, induced and
affinity purified on amylose columns as described previously (Jaskolka & Kane, 2020). All the
constructs were expressed in Rosetta competent cells (F$^-$ *ompT hsdS*$_B$(r_B^- m_B^-) *gal dcm* (DE3)
pRARE (Cam^R)) (Novagen). To induce protein expression 0.5mM isopropyl B-D-
thiogalactopyranoside was added to cells when they reached a density of 0.5-0.6 OD/ml, and
growth was continued for 16 hr at 18°C. Proteins were affinity purified on amylose columns. A
second round of affinity purification was performed using anti-FLAG M2 Affinity resin. Peak
fractions were pooled, and 5 mM DTT was added immediately. After concentration to at least 1
mg/ml, the proteins were run on a Sephadex 200 (S200) gel-filtration fast protein liquid
chromatography (FPLC) column on an AKTA FPLC system. The peak corresponding to the
monomeric molecular mass was collected and used for additional experiments.

Pull-down assay

Protein pull-down assays were performed as described (Jaskolka & Kane, 2020). The
only exception is that the two step affinity purified peak fractions of MBP-Vph1NT(1-372)-
FLAG, MBP-Stv1NT(1-418)-FLAG, VPSD chimera, SPVD chimera and pooled peak fractions
of Rav1 (679– 898)-His$_6$ from amylose column were dialyzed overnight in TALON equilibration
buffer. MBP was cleaved from the proteins with Prescission protease before affinity purification
on the FLAG column.

Liposome preparation and liposome pelleting assay

Control liposomes and liposomes of defined PIP lipid contents are prepared as described
(Banerjee & Kane, 2017). For pelleting assays, the protein of interest was mixed with a final
volume of 100 µl of control (0% PIP) or experimental (5% PIP) liposomes to give a final
concentration of protein of 0.5 or 1 µM, and final total lipid concentration of 0.33 mM. The
mixtures were left at room temperature for 30 min to allow for protein–liposome interaction.
After incubation, the solution was centrifuged at 400,000 g for 30 min at 4°C in a TLA-100 fixed

angle rotor using a Beckman Coulter mini-ultracentrifuge. Supernatant and pellet fractions were
separated, and the pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of 50 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM NaCl (pH 7.4).
Samples were then precipitated using 10% trichloroacetic acid. The pellets were washed with
cold acetone and dissolved in 50 µl of cracking buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 8 M urea, 5%
SDS, and 1 mM EDTA). 25 µl of each sample were then separated by SDS-PAGE and
transferred into nitrocellulose membrane. The resulting blots were then probed with anti-FLAG
M2 antibody, followed by alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-mouse antibody. A no liposome
control was also performed to ensure that the protein of interest did not pellet on its own. For
these samples, the protein was diluted to final volume of 100 µl in the same buffer and subjected
to centrifugation and SDS-PAGE as described above.

A least three separate pelleting assays were performed for each protein concentration and
liposome type. Immunoblots were analyzed using ImageJ to quantitate intensity of the
supernatant and pellet bands which was subsequently converted to percentage of the total
(supernatant + pellet). For each experiment, the percentage of protein in the control (no PIP)
pellets were subtracted from that in the PIP pellets and the resulting value of specific binding
was multiplied by the total protein concentration to determine the PIP-specific binding. The
mean and standard deviation across replicates were calculated, and significance was determined
using Ordinary one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons using GraphPad Prism 9.

Yeast strains

The genotype of the strains used in this study are listed in Table 1.

For genomic integration of the VPSD chimeric aNT into full length *VPH1* under the
VPH1 promoter, a fragment containing VPSD chimera was PCR amplified from the expression
plasmid described above using oligonucleotides SIV F and SIV R. This amplified PCR product
was then transformed into a strain in which Vph1NT sequence for amino acids 50-300 had been
replaced with *URA3* (Banerjee et al., 2019). Transformants capable of growth in presence of 5-
fluoro-orotic acid (FOA) were selected, analyzed for replacement of the *URA3* gene, and
sequenced to confirm introduction of the chimera sequence.

For genomic integration of SPVD chimeric aNT into full length *VPH1* under the *VPH1*
promoter, a plasmid containing 400 bp upstream of the *VPH1* open reading frame followed by
the SPVD chimera and 400 bp corresponding to Vph1CT amino acids 406-539 cloned into
pBluescript II KS(-) vector using BamHI and XhoI was purchased from Genscript. After
restriction digestion with BamH1 and Xho1, the fragment was then transformed into 5Aα Vph1
aa 50-300Δ::*URA3* strain and transformants were selected on FOA plates as described above.

To delete *RAV1* from the SPVD-containing yeast strain, nucleotide sequence of *URA3*
with ends homologous to *RAV1* was PCR amplified from a strain containing *rav1Δ::URA3* strain
using oligonucleotides rav1 Ura3F and Rav1 Ura3R. This amplified fragment was used to
transform 5Aα SPVD cells and transformants were selected on SD lacking uracil. Colony PCR
was performed to isolate transformants with the *RAV1* deletion.

Vacuole isolation and biochemical analysis

Vacuoles were isolated as described in (Li et al., 2014). Purified vacuolar vesicles were
tested for ATPase activity in a coupled ATPase enzyme assay as described in (Liu et al., 2005)
except that the cuvettes containing reaction mixture were preheated at 37°C. To assess V-

ATPase specific activity, concanamycin A was added to a final concentration of 200 nM. Protein
concentration was determined by Lowry assay (Lowry et al., 1951) to determine V-ATPase
specific activity. Proton pumping was observed using the 9-amino-6-chloro-2-methoxyacridine
quenching assay described previously (Liu et al., 2005). 20 μg of vacuolar vesicles were used for
each assay. Pumping was initiated by adding 0.5 mM ATP and 1.0 mM MgSO4.

Western blots of vacuolar proteins were performed as in (Li et al., 2014). Mouse
monoclonal antibodies 8B1F3 and13D11 were used against V_1 subunits A and B (Kane et al.,
1992). Vph1 was detected using mouse monoclonals 7B1H1 and 10D7 (Kane et al., 1992).
Alkaline phosphatase was detected with mouse monoclonal 1D3A10 (Invitrogen). Rabbit
polyclonal antisera, a generous gift from Tom Stevens, University of Oregon, were used to detect
V_1 C and V_o d and SPVD (via anti-Stv1p antisera). Representative immunoblots were scanned to
obtain images used for figures.

Immunoprecipitation

Immunoprecipitation was performed as described in (Kane, 1995) with the following
modifications. For each immunoprecipitation, volumes of cell culture equivalent to 20 OD_{600}
units were pelleted then converted to spheroplasts. The spheroplasts were incubated for 30 min
in YEP, 1.2 M sorbitol media with (+) or without (-) 2% glucose at 30°C. A third sample (-/+)
was incubated without glucose for 20 min followed by 30 min incubation with 2% glucose. The
spheroplasts were lysed, then complexes immunoprecipitated using the monoclonal antibody
13D11 against the B-subunit followed by Protein A Sepharose beads (Sigma). After elution with
cracking buffer, (8M urea, 50mM Tris HCL pH 6.8, 5% SDS, 1mM EDTA, 5% β-
mercaptoethanol) samples were vortexed and incubated for 20 min at 55°C. All samples were
then subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose. Western blot analysis was
performed using primary antibody 8B1F3 (V_1 A-subunit), 13D11 (V_1 B-subunit), 7B1H1 (Vph1
in wild type) and anti-Stv1 antibody (SPVD). Alkaline phosphatase conjugate secondary
antibody was used for the detection of Vph1 in wild type whereas HRP conjugate secondary
antibody was used for the detection of the SPVD a-subunit. The extent of V-ATPase assembly
was assessed from the ratio of V_o to V_1 and normalized to ratio in the sample maintained in
glucose.

Growth curve assay

581   For growth assays, yeast strains were grown overnight in YEPD and diluted to a density
582 of A_{600} = 0.05 in YEP containing 2% dextrose or 2% raffinose or YEPD containing 1 M NaCl. In
583 order to compare pH effects on growth, cells were grown overnight in YEPD buffered to pH 5,
584 then diluted to YEPD buffered to pH 5 or pH 7.5 as indicated. Growth over time was then
585 monitored on a SpectraMax i3X multi-mode multiplate reader for 24 hr.

Protein structure prediction

The homology models of Vph1NT (1-372) and Stv1NT (1-448) were obtained by
submitting the corresponding amino acid sequences of Phyre2 server (Kelley et al., 2015). From
the submitted sequence, 100% confidence models based on Vph1NT in the state 1 rotational
structure of the yeast V-ATPase (Zhao et al., 2015) (PDB 3j9t) were obtained with 93%
coverage (Vph1NT 1-372) and 88% coverage (Stv1NT 1-418). Structures were visualized using
UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by NIH R01 GM126020 to P.M.K. The authors thank Julianna
Bourgeios and Maureen Tarsio for excellent technical help, Dr. Tom Stevens (University of
Oregon) for antibodies, and Stephan Wilkens and his lab (Upstate Medical University) for
helpful discussion and use of their FPLC.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

Strains	Descriptions	Reference
SF838 5Aα	*Matα leu2-3, 112; ura3-52; ade6 gal2*	
vph1Δ	SF838 5Aα *vph1Δ:: LEU2*	(Zhao et al., 2015)
vph1 aa 50-300Δ	SF838 5Aα Vph1 aa 50-300Δ::*URA3*	(Banerjee et al., 2019)
VPSD	SF838 5Aα Vph1NT$_{proximal}$ Stv1NT$_{distal}$-Vph1CT	This study
SPVD	SF838 5Aα Stv1NT$_{proximal}$ Vph1NT$_{distal}$-Vph1CT	This study
rav1Δ SPVD	SF838 5Aα *rav1Δ:: URA3* Stv1NT$_{proximal}$Vph1NT$_{distal}$-Vph1CT	This study

Table 1: Yeast strains used in this study

Primer name	Sequence (5'→3')
SIV F	5'-GGCAGAGAAGGAGGAAGCGATTTTTCGCTCTGCTGAAATGGCTTTAGTC C-3'
SIV R	5'-CGAGCTTGCAAAGTAGCCAATTCGTCTCTTGGTATCCAACCTTCAGC-3'
Rav1Ura3F	5'-TTGAGAAAGTAAACAAACGTGCACACGCAAAGGTAGTTCTCATGTCATTGTGAGAGTGCACCACGCTTTTC-3'
Rav1Ura3R	5'-CAGAGTCGTTTGGCGCTTTTGGAGTTAAATTCTCTTTATGCTGAGAATATGAAGGAGAGAGTTTTGCTGGCCGCATCTTCT-3'
Vph1NT 5' BamH1	5'- GCGGATCCATGGCAGAGAAGGAGGAAGCG-3'
Vph1NT 3'FLAG	5'-CTACTTGTCATCGTCGTCCTTGTAGTCATCCAGGACTTGGATAATGGATGGGACATCAATACCCAATCTTGCGAT-3'
Stv1NT 133F	5'-GGAAGAAAGATTGATTCAATTAGATGAATCTTTAGATAGCCTT-3'
Stv1NT 324R	5'-GGCATATAAGGCATATAATTCACTTTCTAAAGTTTGTTCGGTAGTATCCAGG-3'
Vph1NT 124F	5'CGAATCCCGTGCAAGGCAAATGGAGGATGCAACCGATCAAATT-3'
Vph1NT 294R	5'-AACGAGCAGTTCTGTGTGTAAAGTGGTAGAAGTGGTTTTCAAAAC-3'
W83 Vph1F	5'- AAG AAA CAC GAT ATT AAG CTC TAC TGG AAG TAT ATT TTA CAT GAA GGA GAC ACG GAC AAA TAT TTG GAC GGC TCA GGT GAA-3'
Stv1W83Vph1R	5'-CGCCTTTTCACGGGTAACATCTTGGAACCAAGAGTCCAATTCTTTGGC-3'

Table 2: Oligonucleotides used in this study

REFERENCES

Banerjee, S., Clapp, K., Tarsio, M., & Kane, P. M. (2019). Interaction of the late endo-lysosomal lipid PI(3,5)P2 with the Vph1 isoform of yeast V-ATPase increases its activity and cellular stress tolerance. *J Biol Chem*, *294*(23), 9161-9171. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.008552

Banerjee, S., & Kane, P. M. (2017). Direct interaction of the Golgi V-ATPase a-subunit isoform with PI(4)P drives localization of Golgi V-ATPases in yeast. *Mol Biol Cell*, *28*(19), 2518-2530. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E17-05-0316

Benlekbir, S., Bueler, S. A., & Rubinstein, J. L. (2012). Structure of the vacuolar-type ATPase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae at 11-A resolution. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*, *19*(12), 1356-1362. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2422

Bonangelino, C. J., Nau, J. J., Duex, J. E., Brinkman, M., Wurmser, A. E., Gary, J. D., Emr, S. D., & Weisman, L. S. (2002). Osmotic stress-induced increase of phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate requires Vac14p, an activator of the lipid kinase Fab1p. *J Cell Biol*, *156*(6), 1015-1028. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200201002

Breton, S., & Brown, D. (2013). Regulation of luminal acidification by the V-ATPase. *Physiology (Bethesda)*, *28*(5), 318-329. https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00007.2013

Casey, J. R., Grinstein, S., & Orlowski, J. (2010). Sensors and regulators of intracellular pH. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, *11*(1), 50-61. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2820

Chandra, M., Chin, Y. K., Mas, C., Feathers, J. R., Paul, B., Datta, S., Chen, K. E., Jia, X., Yang, Z., Norwood, S. J., Mohanty, B., Bugarcic, A., Teasdale, R. D., Henne, W. M., Mobli, M., & Collins, B. M. (2019). Classification of the human phox homology (PX) domains based on their phosphoinositide binding specificities. *Nat Commun*, *10*(1), 1528. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09355-y

Collins, M. P., Stransky, L. A., & Forgac, M. (2020). AKT Ser/Thr kinase increases V-ATPase-dependent lysosomal acidification in response to amino acid starvation in mammalian cells. *J Biol Chem*, *295*(28), 9433-9444. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA120.013223

Couoh-Cardel, S., Milgrom, E., & Wilkens, S. (2015). Affinity Purification and Structural Features of the Yeast Vacuolar ATPase Vo Membrane Sector. *J Biol Chem*, *290*(46), 27959-27971. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.662494

Diakov, T. T., & Kane, P. M. (2010). Regulation of vacuolar proton-translocating ATPase activity and assembly by extracellular pH. *J Biol Chem*, *285*(31), 23771-23778. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M110.110122

Doherty, R. D., & Kane, P. M. (1993). Partial assembly of the yeast vacuolar H(+)-ATPase in mutants lacking one subunit of the enzyme. *J Biol Chem*, *268*(22), 16845-16851. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8344963

Eaton, A. F., Merkulova, M., & Brown, D. (2021). The H(+)-ATPase (V-ATPase): from proton pump to signaling complex in health and disease. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol*, *320*(3), C392-C414. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00442.2020

Finnigan, G. C., Cronan, G. E., Park, H. J., Srinivasan, S., Quiocho, F. A., & Stevens, T. H. (2012). Sorting of the yeast vacuolar-type, proton-translocating ATPase enzyme complex (V-ATPase): identification of a necessary and sufficient Golgi/endosomal retention signal in Stv1p. *J Biol Chem*, *287*(23), 19487-19500. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.343814

Forgac, M. (2007). Vacuolar ATPases: rotary proton pumps in physiology and pathophysiology. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, *8*(11), 917-929. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2272

Forloni, M., Liu, A. Y., & Wajapeyee, N. (2019). Megaprimer Polymerase Chain Reaction
(PCR)-Based Mutagenesis. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc*, *2019*(6).
https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot097824
Frattini, A., Orchard, P. J., Sobacchi, C., Giliani, S., Abinun, M., Mattsson, J. P., Keeling, D. J.,
Andersson, A. K., Wallbrandt, P., Zecca, L., Notarangelo, L. D., Vezzoni, P., & Villa, A.
(2000). Defects in TCIRG1 subunit of the vacuolar proton pump are responsible for a
subset of human autosomal recessive osteopetrosis. *Nat Genet*, *25*(3), 343-346.
https://doi.org/10.1038/77131
Gietz, R. D., & Schiestl, R. H. (2007). High-efficiency yeast transformation using the LiAc/SS
carrier DNA/PEG method. *Nat Protoc*, *2*(1), 31-34. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.13
Huotari, J., & Helenius, A. (2011). Endosome maturation. *EMBO J*, *30*(17), 3481-3500.
https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2011.286
Hurtado-Lorenzo, A., Skinner, M., El Annan, J., Futai, M., Sun-Wada, G. H., Bourgoin, S.,
Casanova, J., Wildeman, A., Bechoua, S., Ausiello, D. A., Brown, D., & Marshansky, V.
(2006). V-ATPase interacts with ARNO and Arf6 in early endosomes and regulates the
protein degradative pathway. *Nat Cell Biol*, *8*(2), 124-136.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1348
Jaskolka, M. C., & Kane, P. M. (2020). Interaction between the yeast RAVE complex and Vph1-
containing Vo sectors is a central glucose-sensitive interaction required for V-ATPase
reassembly. *J Biol Chem*. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.011522
Jaskolka, M. C., Winkley, S. R., & Kane, P. M. (2021). RAVE and Rabconnectin-3 Complexes
as Signal Dependent Regulators of Organelle Acidification. *Front Cell Dev Biol*, *9*,
698190. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.698190
Jin, N., Jin, Y., & Weisman, L. S. (2017). Early protection to stress mediated by CDK-dependent
PI3,5P2 signaling from the vacuole/lysosome. *J Cell Biol*, *216*(7), 2075-2090.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201611144
Kane, P. M. (1995). Disassembly and reassembly of the yeast vacuolar H(+)-ATPase in vivo. *J*
Biol Chem, *270*(28), 17025-17032. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7622524
Kane, P. M. (2006). The where, when, and how of organelle acidification by the yeast vacuolar
H+-ATPase. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev*, *70*(1), 177-191.
https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.70.1.177-191.2006
Kane, P. M. (2012). Targeting reversible disassembly as a mechanism of controlling V-ATPase
activity. *Curr Protein Pept Sci*, *13*(2), 117-123.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22044153
Kane, P. M., Kuehn, M. C., Howald-Stevenson, I., & Stevens, T. H. (1992). Assembly and
targeting of peripheral and integral membrane subunits of the yeast vacuolar H(+)-
ATPase. *J Biol Chem*, *267*(1), 447-454. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1530931
Kawasaki-Nishi, S., Bowers, K., Nishi, T., Forgac, M., & Stevens, T. H. (2001). The amino-
terminal domain of the vacuolar proton-translocating ATPase a subunit controls targeting
and in vivo dissociation, and the carboxyl-terminal domain affects coupling of proton
transport and ATP hydrolysis. *J Biol Chem*, *276*(50), 47411-47420.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M108310200
Kelley, L. A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C. M., Wass, M. N., & Sternberg, M. J. (2015). The Phyre2
web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat Protoc*, *10*(6), 845-858.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.053

Li, S. C., Diakov, T. T., Rizzo, J. M., & Kane, P. M. (2012). Vacuolar H+-ATPase works in
parallel with the HOG pathway to adapt Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells to osmotic stress.
Eukaryot Cell, *11*(3), 282-291. https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.05198-11
Li, S. C., Diakov, T. T., Xu, T., Tarsio, M., Zhu, W., Couoh-Cardel, S., Weisman, L. S., & Kane,
P. M. (2014). The signaling lipid PI(3,5)P(2) stabilizes V(1)-V(o) sector interactions and
activates the V-ATPase. *Mol Biol Cell*, *25*(8), 1251-1262.
https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E13-10-0563
Liu, M., Tarsio, M., Charsky, C. M., & Kane, P. M. (2005). Structural and functional separation
of the N- and C-terminal domains of the yeast V-ATPase subunit H. *J Biol Chem*,
280(44), 36978-36985. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M505296200
Lowry, O. H., Rosebrough, N. J., Farr, A. L., & Randall, R. J. (1951). Protein measurement with
the Folin phenol reagent. *J Biol Chem*, *193*(1), 265-275.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14907713
Lu, M., Ammar, D., Ives, H., Albrecht, F., & Gluck, S. L. (2007). Physical interaction between
aldolase and vacuolar H+-ATPase is essential for the assembly and activity of the proton
pump. *J Biol Chem*, *282*(34), 24495-24503. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M702598200
Manolson, M. F., Proteau, D., Preston, R. A., Stenbit, A., Roberts, B. T., Hoyt, M. A., Preuss,
D., Mulholland, J., Botstein, D., & Jones, E. W. (1992). The VPH1 gene encodes a 95-
kDa integral membrane polypeptide required for in vivo assembly and activity of the
yeast vacuolar H(+)-ATPase. *J Biol Chem*, *267*(20), 14294-14303.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1385813
Manolson, M. F., Wu, B., Proteau, D., Taillon, B. E., Roberts, B. T., Hoyt, M. A., & Jones, E.
W. (1994). STV1 gene encodes functional homologue of 95-kDa yeast vacuolar H(+)-
ATPase subunit Vph1p. *J Biol Chem*, *269*(19), 14064-14074.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7514599
Mazhab-Jafari, M. T., Rohou, A., Schmidt, C., Bueler, S. A., Benlekbir, S., Robinson, C. V., &
Rubinstein, J. L. (2016). Atomic model for the membrane-embedded VO motor of a
eukaryotic V-ATPase. *Nature*, *539*(7627), 118-122. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19828
McCartney, A. J., Zhang, Y., & Weisman, L. S. (2014). Phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate:
low abundance, high significance. *Bioessays*, *36*(1), 52-64.
https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201300012
Oot, R. A., Couoh-Cardel, S., Sharma, S., Stam, N. J., & Wilkens, S. (2017). Breaking up and
making up: The secret life of the vacuolar H(+) -ATPase. *Protein Sci*, *26*(5), 896-909.
https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3147
Oot, R. A., & Wilkens, S. (2012). Subunit interactions at the V1-Vo interface in yeast vacuolar
ATPase. *J Biol Chem*, *287*(16), 13396-13406. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.343962
Parra, K. J., & Kane, P. M. (1998). Reversible association between the V1 and V0 domains of
yeast vacuolar H+-ATPase is an unconventional glucose-induced effect. *Mol Cell Biol*,
18(12), 7064-7074. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9819393
Parra, K. J., Keenan, K. L., & Kane, P. M. (2000). The H subunit (Vma13p) of the yeast V-
ATPase inhibits the ATPase activity of cytosolic V1 complexes. *J Biol Chem*, *275*(28),
21761-21767. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M002305200
Paulo, J. A., O'Connell, J. D., Gaun, A., & Gygi, S. P. (2015). Proteome-wide quantitative
multiplexed profiling of protein expression: carbon-source dependency in Saccharomyces
cerevisiae. *Mol Biol Cell*, *26*(22), 4063-4074. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E15-07-0499

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Couch, G. S., Greenblatt, D. M., Meng, E. C., &
Ferrin, T. E. (2004). UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and
analysis. *J Comput Chem*, *25*(13), 1605-1612. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084
Roh, S. H., Stam, N. J., Hryc, C. F., Couoh-Cardel, S., Pintilie, G., Chiu, W., & Wilkens, S.
(2018). The 3.5-A CryoEM Structure of Nanodisc-Reconstituted Yeast Vacuolar ATPase
Vo Proton Channel. *Mol Cell*, *69*(6), 993-1004 e1003.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.02.006
Sennoune, S. R., Bakunts, K., Martinez, G. M., Chua-Tuan, J. L., Kebir, Y., Attaya, M. N., &
Martinez-Zaguilan, R. (2004). Vacuolar H+-ATPase in human breast cancer cells with
distinct metastatic potential: distribution and functional activity. *Am J Physiol Cell
Physiol*, *286*(6), C1443-1452. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00407.2003
Seol, J. H., Shevchenko, A., Shevchenko, A., & Deshaies, R. J. (2001). Skp1 forms multiple
protein complexes, including RAVE, a regulator of V-ATPase assembly. *Nat Cell Biol*,
3(4), 384-391. https://doi.org/10.1038/35070067
Sharma, S., Oot, R. A., & Wilkens, S. (2018). MgATP hydrolysis destabilizes the interaction
between subunit H and yeast V1-ATPase, highlighting H's role in V-ATPase regulation
by reversible disassembly. *J Biol Chem*, *293*(27), 10718-10730.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.002951
Smardon, A. M., Diab, H. I., Tarsio, M., Diakov, T. T., Nasab, N. D., West, R. W., & Kane, P.
M. (2014). The RAVE complex is an isoform-specific V-ATPase assembly factor in
yeast. *Mol Biol Cell*, *25*(3), 356-367. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E13-05-0231
Smardon, A. M., Nasab, N. D., Tarsio, M., Diakov, T. T., & Kane, P. M. (2015). Molecular
Interactions and Cellular Itinerary of the Yeast RAVE (Regulator of the H+-ATPase of
Vacuolar and Endosomal Membranes) Complex. *J Biol Chem*, *290*(46), 27511-27523.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M115.667634
Smardon, A. M., Tarsio, M., & Kane, P. M. (2002). The RAVE complex is essential for stable
assembly of the yeast V-ATPase. *J Biol Chem*, *277*(16), 13831-13839.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M200682200
Smith, A. N., Skaug, J., Choate, K. A., Nayir, A., Bakkaloglu, A., Ozen, S., Hulton, S. A.,
Sanjad, S. A., Al-Sabban, E. A., Lifton, R. P., Scherer, S. W., & Karet, F. E. (2000).
Mutations in ATP6N1B, encoding a new kidney vacuolar proton pump 116-kD subunit,
cause recessive distal renal tubular acidosis with preserved hearing. *Nat Genet*, *26*(1), 71-
75. https://doi.org/10.1038/79208
Stam, N. J., & Wilkens, S. (2017). Structure of the Lipid Nanodisc-reconstituted Vacuolar
ATPase Proton Channel: DEFINITION OF THE INTERACTION OF ROTOR AND
STATOR AND IMPLICATIONS FOR ENZYME REGULATION BY REVERSIBLE
DISSOCIATION. *J Biol Chem*, *292*(5), 1749-1761.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.766790
Su, Y., Blake-Palmer, K. G., Sorrell, S., Javid, B., Bowers, K., Zhou, A., Chang, S. H., Qamar,
S., & Karet, F. E. (2008). Human H+ATPase a4 subunit mutations causing renal tubular
acidosis reveal a role for interaction with phosphofructokinase-1. *Am J Physiol Renal
Physiol*, *295*(4), F950-958. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajprenal.90258.2008
Sumner, J. P., Dow, J. A., Earley, F. G., Klein, U., Jager, D., & Wieczorek, H. (1995).
Regulation of plasma membrane V-ATPase activity by dissociation of peripheral
subunits. *J Biol Chem*, *270*(10), 5649-5653. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.270.10.5649

Sun-Wada, G. H., Wada, Y., & Futai, M. (2003). Lysosome and lysosome-related organelles
responsible for specialized functions in higher organisms, with special emphasis on
vacuolar-type proton ATPase. *Cell Struct Funct*, *28*(5), 455-463.
https://doi.org/10.1247/csf.28.455
Vasanthakumar, T., Bueler, S. A., Wu, D., Beilsten-Edmands, V., Robinson, C. V., &
Rubinstein, J. L. (2019). Structural comparison of the vacuolar and Golgi V-ATPases
from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *116*(15), 7272-7277.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1814818116
Zhao, J., Benlekbir, S., & Rubinstein, J. L. (2015). Electron cryomicroscopy observation of
rotational states in a eukaryotic V-ATPase. *Nature*, *521*(7551), 241-245.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14365