Interactions between evolved pesticide resistance and pesticide exposure influence immunity against pathogens

Running Title: Pesticide and pathogen interactions

Stephanie S.L. Birnbaum[1], Nora K.E. Schulz[1,2], Ann T. Tate[1]

1. Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University
2. Current affiliation: Institute for Evolution & Biodiversity, University of Münster

Keywords: experimental evolution, RNAseq, stress, pathogens, xenobiotics

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank three anonymous reviewers for comments that helped improve the manuscript. We would like to thank William Galardi for assistance in preliminary dose response experiments and Siqin Liu for assistance with population maintenance. We are also grateful to Justin Critchlow and Justin Buchannan for guidance with *in vitro* bacterial growth curves. Last, we would like to thank Juan Luis Jurat-Fuentes for comments on the manuscript. This work is funded by USDA NIFA 2019-67012-29659 provided to S.S.L.B. N.K.E.S. and A.T.T. were supported in part by Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellowship FG-2020-12949 to A.T.T.

Abstract

Pesticide resistance readily evolves in natural insect populations and often coopts the same stress, detoxification, and immune pathways involved in physiological responses against primary pathogen and pesticide exposure. As a result, resistance evolution can alter antagonism or facilitation among chemical and biological pest control strategies in directions that remain difficult to predict. To investigate the interactive effects of chemical pesticide resistance, exposure, and bacterial infection on insect phenotypes, we experimentally evolved resistance to two different classes of pesticides (organophosphates and pyrethroids) in the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*. We exposed pesticide susceptible and resistant lines to pesticides, the entomopathogen and biocontrol agent *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt), or both. Pesticide resistance and Bt exposure were individually associated with slower development, indicating sub-lethal fitness costs of resistance and infection, respectively. After organophosphate exposure, however, beetles developed more quickly and were more likely to survive if also exposed to Bt. We used RNAseq to examine the interactive effects of pesticide resistance, pesticide exposure, and Bt exposure on gene expression. Pyrethroid-resistant insects exhibited dampened immune responses to Bt infection relative to susceptible ones. In a similar vein, simultaneous exposure to organophosphates and Bt resulted in muted stress-associated transcriptional responses compared to exposure with only one factor. Our results suggest that direct and host-mediated indirect interactions among pathogens and pesticides may buffer the cost of exposure to host fitness-associated traits within generations but exacerbate trade-offs over evolutionary time.

Introduction

Control of insect agricultural pests and disease vectors remains a central problem worldwide as widespread use of chemical pesticides has led to the rise of pesticide resistance amongst important insect species (Bass & Jones, 2018). Insect resistance management (IRM) and integrative pest management (IPM) strategies strive to overcome problems of evolved resistance by alternating or implementing the use of both chemical pesticides and biological control measures (Sappington & Miller, 2017; Zhu et al., 2016). Entomopathogens, including bacteria, fungi, and viruses, can complement or even replace the use of chemical pesticides by increasing the mortality rate and slowing development and reproduction in pest and vector populations (Cory, 2017; Liu et al., 2017). The physiological effects of chemical and microbial control agents are not completely independent, however, as both can activate stress, detoxification, and immune responses (James & Xu, 2012). Since the evolution of pesticide resistance also often arises through these mechanisms (Minetti et al., 2020), host responses to chemical and microbial control agents could mediate facilitation or antagonism among control strategies on both proximate and evolutionary time scales (Booton et al., 2019; Hite & Cressler, 2018; Tompkins et al., 2001; Wolz et al., 2021). A better understanding of the molecular basis and phenotypic outcomes of these interactions would improve the design and predictive power of pest and vector control strategies (Bass & Jones, 2018; Sappington & Miller, 2017) while also providing fundamental insight into the integration and evolution of organismal stress and immune responses.

Pesticides target a diverse set of physiological functions in insects ranging from neurotoxic activity to the regulation of growth and development. The mechanisms of pesticide resistance show a similarly diverse set of solutions within and among pesticide classes, including

target-site modifications, increased metabolic detoxification, and cuticular modifications (Boyer

et al., 2012; Rösner et al., 2020). Organophosphates (OP), which inhibit acetylcholinesterase

(AChE) to overexcite cholinergic synapses (Casida & Durkin, 2013), and pyrethroids (Pyr),

which disrupt voltage-gated sodium channel (vgsc) function (Davies et al., 2007), are two

pesticide classes widely used in agricultural systems and against disease vectors. Target-site

mutations and AChE gene duplications have been described for several OP-resistant insects

(Siegfried & Scharf, 2001), while Pyr resistance has been associated with mutations in the

voltage-gated sodium channel (Soderlund, 2011). Target site mutations are not the only path to

resistance, however. OP and Pyr pesticides are mainly detoxified through oxidation and

hydrolysis, and resistance associated with differential expression of diverse canonical

detoxification genes, including cytochrome P450s, esterases, and glutathione S-transferases

(GSTs), has also been described for both pesticide types (Boyer et al., 2012; Meinke et al., 2021;

Oakeshott et al., 2013; Pavlidi et al., 2018; Sogorb & Vilanova, 2002). Moreover, recent studies

have implicated changes in cuticle and serine endopeptidase gene expression in increased

resistance to penetration by OP and Pyr pesticides (David et al., 2014; Lilly et al., 2016;

Tandonnet et al., 2020; Zimmer et al., 2017).

Recent evidence suggests that the mechanisms of pesticide resistance could also impact

immune and physiological responses against parasites (Minetti et al., 2020). For example,

esterase-mediated pesticide resistance in *Culex pipiens* is associated with changes in immune

gene expression including constitutive upregulation of antimicrobial peptide (AMP) and nitric

oxide synthase (NOS) genes (Vézilier et al., 2013). Moreover, resistance-associated constitutive

changes in metabolic detoxification mechanisms like cytochrome P450s or GSTs can alter

concentrations of damaging reactive oxygen species (ROS) that a pathogen would encounter

within the host (Minetti et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2021) and influence the success of pathogen

colonization, growth, and transmission (Minetti et al., 2020; Ndo et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2016).

For example, changes in cap'n'collar transcription factor expression have been shown to alter

detoxification gene expression and increase ROS levels, thereby conferring pesticide resistance

in several species (Kalsi & Palli, 2017; Lu et al., 2020; Misra et al., 2011) while also modifying

vector competence in *Aedes aegypti* (Bottino-Rojas et al., 2018). Populations of OP and Pyr

resistant mosquito strains in possession of target-site mutant *ace-1* (AChE) and *kdr* (vgsc)

alleles, respectively, support a higher prevalence of *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites, but *kdr*

mutations were also associated with reduced midgut oocyst burden in infected individuals (Alout

et al., 2013).

Even in the absence of evolved resistance, host exposure to pesticides may impact

pathogen growth directly through contact with toxins or indirectly through the induction of insect

detoxification enzymes (Duke, 2018; Minetti et al., 2020). Exposure to pesticides can also have

complex effects on components of the cellular, humoral, and oxidative stress responses of host

immunity (James & Xu, 2012). For example, exposure to OP pesticides has been associated with

increased hemocyte numbers and phenoloxidase (PO) and encapsulation activity in wax moth

(*Galleria mellonella*) and Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata*) larvae (Dubovskiy

et al., 2014). However, dual exposure to OP and a pathogenic virus in silkworm larvae (*Bombyx*

mori) resulted in increased mortality and differential expression of oxidative stress and AMP

genes (Gu et al., 2016). Exposure to Pyr pesticides, meanwhile, has been associated with

increased melanization responses and decreased replication of *Escherichia coli* bacteria (Hauser

& Koella, 2020) and decreased *P. falciparum* infection prevalence and intensity in *A. gambiae*

(Kristan et al., 2018). Pyr exposure is also hypothesized to impact the production of other

immune responses including serine proteases, lytic enzymes including esterases and lysozymes,

and reactive oxidative stress responses (James & Xu, 2012).

Clearly, both pesticide resistance and exposure independently have important effects on

insect immunity against pathogens. However, it remains an open question whether resistance and

exposure influence host-microbe interactions in the same direction, and whether this influence

arises through the same or different mechanisms. To address this gap in knowledge, we

experimentally evolved resistance to two different classes of pesticides (OP and Pyr) in the red

flour beetle (*Tribolium castaneum*), an emerging model for studies on insect genomics,

immunity, and resistance (Herndon et al., 2020; Rösner et al., 2020). As a stored-product pest, *T.*

castaneum may be directly or indirectly exposed to the pesticides used to combat a variety of

pests that co-inhabit stored grain facilities and that impose selection for resistance.

To investigate the interactive effects of pesticide resistance, exposure, and infection, we

exposed our evolved lines to *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt), an entomopathogenic Gram-positive

bacterium that has been developed into a widely used biopesticide (Raymond, 2017; Burges &

Hurst, 1977; Sansinenea, 2012). While much of the research on host-Bt interactions focuses on

the lethal biocontrol aspects, natural strains also occur in the environment and vary in lethality,

thereby representing a selective force within natural populations (Milutinović et al., 2014;

Milutinović et al., 2013). Insect resistance to Bt commonly results from changes in specific

toxin-receptor interactions (Banerjee et al., 2017; Pardo-López et al., 2013), providing little

expectation of cross-resistance with chemical pesticides (Siegwart et al., 2015). However, Bt-

resistance has been associated with increased susceptibility to bacteria-derived pesticides (Xiao

et al., 2016), and exposure to Bt has been shown to increase susceptibility to viruses and

entomopathogenic nematodes (Carvalho et al., 2021; Mastore et al., 2021; Moltini-Conclois et

al., 2018). The immune responses of susceptible *T. castaneum* to oral Bt infection involve

significant upregulation of a suite of immune, stress, and developmental genes (Behrens et al.,

2014; Ferro et al., 2019; Greenwood et al., 2017; Tate & Graham, 2017; reviewed in Pinos et al.,

2021) that potentially overlap with the response to chemical pesticides and the mechanisms of

pesticide resistance. However, the mode of infection may have important implications for

interactions with pesticide resistance as *T. castaneum* demonstrated contrasting patterns of

expression of infection-related genes dependent on the route of infection, *i.e.,* oral compared to

septic infection (Behrens et al., 2014).

To explore the complex interactions between pesticide resistance and exposure to

pesticides and pathogens, we first investigated the main and interactive effects of selection

regime (pesticide resistant and susceptible) and pesticide exposure on host fitness-associated

phenotypes after Bt infection. Having established these phenotypes, we turned to transcriptional

data to identify potential mechanisms that could explain the observed phenotypes. We first asked

whether evolution regime, *i.e.,* evolved pesticide resistance, alone influences constitutive gene

expression and the transcriptional response to infection in the absence of pesticides. We next

included pesticide exposure into the regime-by-infection interaction to investigate whether

pesticides facilitate or antagonize the host response to infection, and whether differential gene

expression depends on the experimental evolution regime. For both investigations, we compared

the results of OP and Pyr treatments to determine whether evolved resistance or exposure to

pesticides with different physiological targets exert different effects on host-pathogen

interactions. Our study provides a comprehensive window into the physiological and

evolutionary processes that shape interactions among two important ecological stressors.

Methods and Materials

T. castaneum Control and Pesticide-selected Colony Maintenance

We used six *T. castaneum* populations (Adairville, Coffee, Dorris, RR, Snavely, WF Ware) collected from stored grain facilities in the southeast USA (Jent et al., 2019). In these environments with other stored product pests, *T. castaneum* are exposed to a variety of parasites, both within and between species in the community, and may be incidentally or intentionally exposed to a range of different pesticides. Beetle colonies had been maintained under laboratory control conditions (standard diet of whole wheat flour + 5% yeast; 30°C; 70% humidity; in the dark) for at least 20 generations prior to the start of the experiment.

For each of the six ancestral *T. castaneum* populations, we initially exposed approximately 200 larvae per population to control conditions (no pesticides) or to pesticides (organophosphate [OP] or pyrethroid [Pyr]) (Suppl. Fig. 1; 18 populations total). Initial exposure doses were calculated as one tenth the manufacturer's recommended dose (OP [Malathion 50% EC, Southern Ag]- 0.103 mg/ml; Pyr [Demon WP, 40% cypermethrin, Syngenta]- 0.0251 mg/ml). We approximated LC50 pesticide concentrations for ancestral populations using dose response curves (Birnbaum et al., 2021), and adjusted these after the second generation (LC50: 5.14 mg/ml OP and 0.188 mg/ml Pyr). To create pesticide exposure diets, we combined 0.15 g/ml standard diet with 10 ml of pesticide solutions diluted in DI water (Milutinović et al., 2013). This diet slurry was added to 1 L plastic colony containers and allowed to dry overnight at 55°C. For each pesticide-selected population, we allowed approximately 200 pupae and larvae to develop on the pesticide diets for one week before supplementing colonies with 100ml of fresh standard diet. We transferred individuals to new pesticide exposure containers every four weeks. After six generations of selection, we tested for increased pesticide resistance in F2 larvae

(reared for two generations without selection to avoid parental effects) from the pesticide-regime

(here, used interchangeably with evolution-regime) populations and found these populations had

significantly increased survival against pesticides compared to control-regime populations

(Birnbaum et al., 2021). Meanwhile, we continued selection in all populations for another two

generations (to generation 8).

Bt Spore Culture Preparation

To orally expose beetles to Bt, we created a spore culture supernatant according to

previously described protocols (Milutinović et al., 2013). Briefly, we inoculated 5 ml LB liquid

media with *Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. morrisoni bv. tenebrionis* (*Btt;* obtained from the

Bacillus Genetic Stock Center, Columbus, OH) from glycerol stock and incubated overnight at

30°C. We streaked liquid *Btt* from the overnight culture onto LB agar plates and incubated the

plates overnight at 30°C. The next day, 18 individual colonies were picked and each inoculated

into 5 ml of Bt medium (w/V–0.75% bacto peptone (Sigma), 0.1% glucose, 0.34% KH_2PO_4,

0.435% K_2HPO_4) with the addition of 25 µL of salt solution (w/V–2.46% $MgSO_4$, 0.04%

$MnSO_4$, 0.28% $ZnSO_4$, and 0.40% $FeSO_4$) and 6.25 µL of 1M $CaCl_2•2H_2O$; these cultures and a

negative control (Bt medium only) were allowed to grow overnight on a bacterial shaker at 30°C,

200 rpm. The following day, 1 ml of the liquid overnight culture was inoculated into 200 ml Bt

medium with the addition of 1 ml salt solution and 50 µl calcium chloride. These cultures and a

negative control were incubated for seven days in darkness on a bacterial shaker at 30°C, 200

rpm; additional 1 ml salts solution and 50 µl calcium chloride were added after four days of

incubation. Spore cultures were centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 15 minutes, washed once with

insect saline, and resuspended in Millipore H_2O. We calculated the final spore concentration of

$8.62*10^9$ cells/ml by counting spores using a Hausser Scientific counting chamber.

Pesticide and Bt Spore Oral Diet Preparation

We prepared control diet by mixing 0.15 mg/ml standard diet (described above) in DI

H_2O. We prepared pesticide diets by combining approximate LC50 pesticide dilutions in H_2O

with 0.15 mg/ml standard diet (5.14 mg/ml OP and 0.188 mg/ml Pyr). *Btt* diet was prepared by

mixing the previously described $8.62*10^9$ cells/ml spore culture suspension with 0.15 mg/ml

standard diet. Pesticide + *Btt* diet was prepared by diluting 9.75 µl/ml OP or 0.188 mg/ml Pyr in

the spore culture suspension with 0.15 mg/ml standard diet. Oral diet plates were prepared by

pipetting 50 µl of the appropriate treatment (Suppl. Fig. 1; control, control + *Btt*, OP, OP + *Btt*,

Pyr, Pyr + *Btt*) into 96-well cell culture plates. Plates were covered and allowed to dry overnight

at 55°C.

We investigated the effects of diet disk preparation and pesticide treatments on Bt spore

germination by preparing control, *Btt,* and *Btt* + pesticide diet disks as described above and

counting the number of formed colonies from each treatment (*Btt*, *Btt* + OP or *Btt* + Pyr n=10;

control n=6). We conducted the spore germination on two separate days. We stored dried diet

disks for four or five days respectively at 30°C in the dark and then dissolved individual disks in

1 mL ultrapure water. To initiate germination and aid in the dissolving of the disks, we heated

the samples to 50°C for ten minutes and then immediately plated the samples. For the bacteria

culture plates, we spread 100µl of the final dilution onto LB agar, included a negative control

with only water, incubated plates over night at 30°C and counted all *Btt* colonies after 15 hours.

On the first day, we cultured bacteria from a $1:10^7$ dilution in ultrapure water. On the second day,

we used a 1:10^6 dilution, to ensure that sufficient colonies would grow. We analyzed the effect of

treatment on the number of colony forming units (CFUs) using a generalized linear mixed model

with day as a random factor and a negative binomial distribution.

Btt in vitro Growth Curve Assays

To measure *in vitro* effects of pesticides on Bt growth, we performed growth curve

analyses for OP and Pyr exposure separately. For each analysis, *Btt* from a glycerol stock was

freshly streaked onto Nutrient Broth (NB) agar plates and allowed to grow overnight at 30°C.

We grew overnight cultures from single colony inoculates (n = 9) in 5 ml NB liquid media at

30°C, 200 rpm; cultures were incubated for only 16 hours to avoid entrance into sporulation

phase. The following day, we grew log phase bacterial cultures by inoculating 100 µl of the

overnight culture into 2.9 ml NB liquid media and incubated for 3.5 hours at 30°C, 200 rpm.

To replicate *in vivo* conditions, each growth curve analysis included control NB, 1:10

pesticide NB dilution, and 1:100 NB pesticide dilution treatments based on larval exposure OP

and Pyr pesticide concentrations (described above). We added 200 µl of the appropriate

treatment solution to a 96-well CYTO-One spectrophotometer plate. An aliquot of log phase

bacterial culture (n = 9) was added to each well to achieve an initial OD_{600} = 0.4 (3 technical

replicates/ culture). OD_{600} bacterial growth was measured every 15 minutes for 17 hours using a

BioTek Epoch 2 spectrophotometer (30°C). Three or four independent growth curve replicates

were performed for each pesticide.

We obtained growth curve metrics using the R package growthcurver (Sprouffske &

Wagner, 2016). For OP and Pyr separately, we analyzed the individual effects of replicate and

treatment on growth rate values (r) obtained from growthcurver using non-parametric Kruskal-

Wallis tests. We measured the effect of pesticide treatment separately for each replicate if the

effect of replicate was significant.

Exposure of Control and Pesticide-selected Populations to Pesticide and Bt Oral Diets

After eight generations of selection, we generated same-age F2 larvae from pesticide-

selected and susceptible colonies. Approximately 200 adults from Gen. 8 pesticide-selected and

susceptible control colonies were allowed to reproduce on control diet for three days. We

removed these F0 adults and allowed offspring to develop to F1 adults. To generate F2

experimental larvae, we placed 4-5 replicates of 80 adults per selection line in 100 mm petri

dishes with control diet and allowed them to reproduce for 24 hours. F2 larvae were allowed to

develop for 12 days.

We placed twelve day old larvae (~4 mm long) on oral diet plates prepared as described

in the previous section (Suppl. Fig. 1; n = 96/ population/ treatment). Half of the plate (n = 48)

was monitored daily for three weeks for survival and development. Individuals in the remaining

half were sampled after two days of exposure prior to the onset of mortality and used for

RNAseq analyses (described below).

Statistical Methods for Fitness Experiments

The effects of selection regime (Regime), pesticide exposure (PTx), Bt exposure (BtTx),

and interactions between the three factors on beetle survival and development were investigated

using population as a random factor in Cox mixed effects survival models with the package

coxme (Therneau, 2015) in R. For both time to death and time to develop into adulthood, we

evaluated the individual effects of pesticide exposure (PTx), selection regime (Regime), and Bt

exposure (BtTx), and the interactions between selection regime and pesticide exposure

(Reg:PTx), selection regime and Bt exposure (Reg:BtTx), and co-exposure to pesticides and Bt

(PTx:BtTx). The full model for both time to death and adult development time is as follows:

Surv(Day, Status) ~ (PTx + BtTx + Regime + Regime:PTx + Regime:BtTx + PTx:BtTx +

(1|Pop)). Hazard ratios were calculated from coxme survival models and were plotted using the

sjPlot package in R (Lüdecke , 2021).

Septic Infection Experiment

Given the impact of infection route on immune gene expression (Behrens et al., 2014),

we wanted to clarify whether the interaction between pesticides and infection outcomes might be

infection route-dependent. We selected two populations, Coffee and Dorris, as representative

populations that may demonstrate interactions with between pesticide resistance and exposure on

infection outcomes. Therefore, we exposed 14-day old larvae from control- and OP-regimes to

control and OP oral diets (as described above) for three days, and then septically infected

individuals with *Btt* and recorded their survival.

We produced a mixture of *Btt* cultures of vegetative cells from both the logistic growth

and early stationary phase for septic infections as previously described (Jent et al., 2019), which

caused around 50% mortality in preliminary experiments. We pricked larvae laterally between

the head and second segment with an ultra-fine borosilicate needle dipped in the bacterial

suspension and recorded survival after 24 hours. We repeated the experiment three times with 20

individuals per population (Coffee or Dorris), selection regime (OP selected and control) and

pesticide treatment (OP exposure and control) each (n = 480).

Differences in survival between the control group (control selection regime, no pesticide)

and the other groups were analyzed in a GLMM with experiment (block) and population as

random factors and a binomial error distribution (Bates et al., 2015).

RNA Extractions and Sequencing

Samples for 3' TagSeq (Lohman et al., 2016) were collected after two days of exposure

to oral diets for three representative populations (Coffee, Dorris, Snavely), prior to the main

onset of mortality. For each population, regime, and treatment, we collected nine surviving

larvae and immediately stored them at -80°C until processing, and subsequently pooled larvae

into three replicates of three larvae each. We extracted total RNA using a Qiagen RNeasy Mini

kit according to manufacturer protocols and confirmed nucleic acid concentration and purity

using a Nanodrop. We depleted remaining DNA using the Invitrogen RNaqueous Micro DNase

treatment according to manufacturer protocols. We confirmed the concentration and quality of

these DNA-depleted RNA samples using a Bioanlayzer (RIN > 9). We generated single indexed

3' TagSeq libraries from 1 μg total RNA using the Lexogen QuantSeq 3' mRNA-Seq Library

Prep Kit according to manufacturer's protocols; libraries were amplified using 15 PCR cycles.

Library concentration and quality were confirmed prior to PE100 sequencing on four runs on the

NextSeq platform (VANTAGE, Vanderbilt University).

RNAseq Analyses

Adapters were trimmed from the raw mRNAseq reads and low-quality reads were

removed using fastqc (Andrews 2010); an average of 10,869,280 reads per sample were retained

(Suppl. Table 1). Sequence reads are deposited in the NCBI SRA under the BioProject

Accessions: PRJNA753142, PRJNA756317. We took advantage of the well-annotated, published

genome for *T. castaneum* (Herndon et al., 2020) to align trimmed reads to the most recent *T.*

castaneum assembly (Tcas5.2) using BWA-MEM (avg. reads mapped = 84.89%, s.d. = 0.023;

Suppl. Table 1) (Li, 2013). Gene count files were generated using samtools (Li et al., 2009). We

used DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) to perform differential expression analyses.

First, to investigate the effects of Bt exposure and pesticide selection regime on gene

expression in the absence of pesticide exposure, we subset only pesticide-free and pesticide-free

+ Bt libraries and examined the effects of selection regime, Bt exposure, and their interaction on

gene expression (no pesticide model form: count matrix ~ Reg + BtTx + Reg:BtTx). Next, we

analyzed the main effects of pesticide exposure, pesticide selection regime, and Bt exposure and

the interactive effects between selection regime and pesticide exposure, selection regime and Bt

exposure, and pesticide and Bt exposure for OP and Pyr separately (OP and Pyr model form:

count matrix ~ PTx + Reg + BtTx + Reg:PTx + Reg:BtTx + PTx:BtTx). For each factor, the

control selection regime, control pesticide treatment, or control Bt treatment were set as the

baseline comparisons.

Differentially expressed transcripts were annotated using the Ensembl *T. castaneum*

database and the R package 'biomaRt' (Durinck et al., 2009). We manually curated the annotated

gene lists to categorize differentially expressed transcripts into groups potentially impacted by

pesticide resistance and exposure and Bt treatment such as those involved in detoxification,

immunity, development, and cuticle associated transcripts (Suppl. Table 2). Gene ontology (GO)

enrichment analyses for the subsets of significantly differentially expressed gene sets were

performed using the online Gene Ontology Resource (Ashburner et al., 2000; Gene Ontology

Consortium, 2021).

To analyze global patterns of gene expression, we used a weighted gene co-expression

network analysis with the R package 'wgcna' (Langfelder & Horvath, 2008). This approach

allows us to group genes with correlated expression patterns into modules and statistically

associate gene module expression with experimental factors. We constructed the initial network

using all replicate samples for all treatments (n = 126) with a variance stabilizing transformation.

A soft thresholding power of 6 was used to construct the topological overlap matrix, and we then

identified modules with highly correlated module expression patterns ($p < 0.05$).

Results

To investigate how evolved pesticide resistance and pesticide exposure impact host

interactions with pathogens, we exposed control-regime and pesticide-selected populations to

control and pesticide oral treatments, with and without Bt. We measured the main and interactive

effects of pesticide selection regime (Reg), pesticide treatment (PTx), and Bt treatment (BtTx) on

survival, development, and gene expression for OP and Pyr separately. In brief, we found that

pesticide selection resulted in increased resistance to pesticide exposure. Exposure to *Btt* did not

have an overall effect on survival but did delay development, and dual exposure to OP and *Btt*

resulted in improved survival compared to larvae exposed to only OP. Moreover, dual exposure

to either OP or Pyr and *Btt* resulted in faster development compared to individuals exposed to

only pesticides. Last, we identified antagonistic gene expression interactions between *Btt* and OP

exposure and *Btt* and Pyr selection that indicate changes in immune function in infected

individuals either exposed or selected with different pesticides.

Effects of Pesticide Selection and Exposure to Pesticides and Bt on Survival and Development

Overall, exposure to both pesticide types, OP (OP PTx) and Pyr (Pyr PTx), decreased

survival likelihood, while there was no main effect of Bt treatment (BtTx) on survival (Figs. 1A-

B; Suppl. Table 3). Compared to control-regime populations, pesticide selection significantly

improved survival after pesticide exposure, reinforcing previous observations of evolved

pesticide resistance (Figs. 1A-B, compare OP Reg:PTx and Pyr Reg:PTx; Suppl. Table 3;

Birnbaum et al., 2021). Interestingly, there was a positive significant interaction between OP and

Bt treatment (OP PTx:BtTx) indicating that larvae dually exposed to OP and *Btt* had improved

survival compared to larvae exposed to OP only (Fig. 1A; Suppl. Table 3). There was no

significant interaction between Pyr exposure and Bt treatment (Pyr PTx:BtTx) or between either

pesticide selection regime and Bt treatment (OP Reg:BtTx, Pyr Reg:BtTx) on survival (Figs. 1A-

B; Suppl. Table 3). Thus, while pesticide selection resulted in increased pesticide resistance,

pesticide selection regime did not interact with *Btt* exposure to influence survival compared to

control-regime populations. However, dual exposure to OP and *Btt* improved survival outcomes

compared to pesticide treatment alone.

Pesticide selection regime (OP Reg, Pyr Reg), pesticide exposure (OP PTx, Pyr PTx),

and Bt treatment (BtTx) individually delayed development, indicating a cost from each (Figs.

1C-D; Suppl. Table 3). There was no significant interaction between pesticide regime and Bt

treatment on development (OP Reg:BtTx, Pyr Reg:BtTx; Suppl. Table 3). However, resistant

individuals developed faster than susceptible individuals in the presence of pesticides, as

indicated by a significant interaction between pesticide regime and treatment (OP Reg:PTx, Pyr

Reg:PTx; Fig. 1C-D; Suppl Table 3). There was also a significant positive interaction effect

between both pesticide treatments and *Btt* exposure (OP PTx:BtTx, Pyr PTx:BtTx), indicating

that larvae exposed to both *Btt* and pesticide treatments developed faster than those exposed to

only pesticide or only *Btt* (Figs. 1C-D; Suppl. Table 3). Overall, exposure to pesticides delayed

development but this effect was mitigated in pesticide-selected individuals. *Btt* exposure

lengthened development time regardless of evolution regime but concurrent pesticide exposure

partially mitigated this effect.

Direct Effects of Pesticides on Bt

To investigate direct interactions between pesticides and Bt, we used *in vitro* growth

curves and viable spore counts of oral diet disks. *In vitro Btt* growth rate significantly increased

upon OP exposure, but there was no effect of Pyr exposure on *in vitro* growth rate (Suppl. Fig. 2;

Suppl. Table 4). The germination rates of *Btt* spores on the diet disks exhibited no significant

differences among control, OP, or Pyr pesticide diet treatments (Suppl. Fig. 3; Suppl. Table 5).

The influence of pesticide exposure on route-specific infection outcomes

While oral infection with *Btt* did not result in immediate excess mortality compared to

unexposed individuals, we considered the possibility that infection outcomes could be exposure

route specific. To determine whether the interaction between pesticide resistance, exposure to

pesticides, and infection outcomes might be route-dependent, we septically infected susceptible

and OP-selection regime larvae exposed to control and OP diets. Individuals from the susceptible

regime that were exposed to OP had lower survival against septic *Btt* infection compared to the

other groups (Fig. 2; Suppl. Table 6) indicating an outsized cost of combined OP exposure and

septic *Btt* infection in control regime larvae. This result contrasts with the improved survival

observed in the Bt x OP treatment interaction term after oral exposure in that after septic

infection, beetles co-exposed to OP do not have higher survival (Fig. 1A, OP PTx:BtTx).

Overall Effects of Btt Exposure, Pesticide Selection, and Pesticide Exposure on Gene Expression

Overall, we identified differentially expressed genes important in immunity in beetles exposed to *Btt* compared to unexposed beetles. We also identified differentially expressed genes implicated in detoxification and resistance processes in OP- and Pyr-regime populations compared to control-regime populations. Few genes were significantly differentially expressed in pesticide-regime populations exposed to *Btt* compared to control-regime populations. However, Pyr-regime larvae infected with *Btt* exhibited dampened expression of immune genes. Dual exposure to OP pesticides and *Btt* also resulted in dampened immune, cuticle, and detoxification gene expression compared to expression with *Btt* infection alone. We expand on these main results below.

Effects of Btt Exposure and Pesticide Selection on Gene Expression in the Absence of Pesticide Exposure

We investigated the interaction between pesticide selection regime and *Btt* exposure on gene expression in the absence of pesticides, as this provides information on the main host response to oral *Btt* infection as well as whether the evolution of pesticide resistance modifies the host response to *Btt*. Using only the data from individuals never exposed to pesticides, we identified 104 genes that were differentially expressed in *Btt*-exposed individuals compared to unexposed individuals (Fig. 3A; Bt Tx +). This gene set largely concurred with previously published results on Bt infection in *T. castaneum* (Behrens et al., 2014; Greenwood et al., 2017; Tate & Graham, 2017), and included the upregulation of AMPs (two attacins and two defensins), a pathogenesis-related protein, and a cytochrome P450 (Fig. 4, no pesticide model BtTx). GO

enrichment analyses revealed the importance of immune system processes and defense against

bacteria, among other immune related terms, in the genes differentially expressed with *Btt*

treatment in the absence of pesticide exposure (Suppl. Fig. 4A, no pesticide model BtTx).

Only two transcripts (an elastin and one unannotated transcript) were upregulated upon

Btt infection in OP-selection regime individuals relative to susceptible ones (Fig. 3A, no

pesticide model OP Reg:BtTx interaction), indicating that evolved resistance to OP minimally

affects the baseline response to infection in the absence of pesticides. Fourteen transcripts were

differentially expressed in Pyr-selected individuals relative to susceptible-regime individuals

after *Btt* infection (Fig. 3A; no pesticide model Pyr Reg:BtTx interaction), including the

downregulation of two defensins, a pathogenesis-related protein, and a histidine-rich

glycoprotein (Fig. 4B, no pesticide model Pyr Reg:BtTx). Notably, these genes were

differentially expressed in the opposite direction in Pyr regime-*Btt* infected larvae compared to

gene expression induced by the main effect of *Btt* exposure, indicative of dampened expression

of these genes (Fig. 4B, no pesticide model Pyr Reg:BtTx vs. BtTx, *e.g.* Def: TC006250). GO

enrichment analysis revealed significant enrichment of humoral immune response and defense

response among the differentially expressed genes from this interaction term supporting the

impact on immune processes associated with the interaction effect of Pyr-selection regime with

Btt infection (Suppl. Fig. 4A, Pyr Reg:BtTx).

Effects of Pesticide Selection and Dual Exposure to Pesticides and Pathogens on Gene

Expression

Next, we introduced the pesticide-exposed samples into the analysis, still treating OP and

Pyr-associated treatments separately, to investigate interactions between pesticide selection

regime, pesticide exposure, and *Btt* exposure on gene expression. For both pesticide class

analyses, pesticide exposure had the greatest effect on gene expression (Figs. 3B-C; Pesticide Tx

OP or Pyr) and induced differential expression of many canonical detoxification genes, including

several cytochrome P450s, esterases, GSTs, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs), and ABC

transporters (Suppl. Fig. 5; OP or Pyr PTx). Genes differentially expressed after both OP and Pyr

exposure were commonly enriched for GO processes involved in xenobiotic and detoxification

responses including oxidoreductase and serine hydrolase activity (Suppl. Fig. 4B).

Genes differentially expressed with respect to pesticide selection regime and to the

interaction between selection regime and pesticide treatment can identify genes associated with

pesticide resistance. Overall, Pyr-regime had a greater effect on differential gene expression

compared to OP-regime (Fig. 3; Regime OP or Pyr). Similarly, a greater number of genes were

differentially expressed with the interaction between Pyr regime and Pyr exposure compared to

OP regime and OP exposure (Figs. 3B [Regime OP, Pesticide Tx OP], 3C [Regime Pyr,

Pesticide Tx Pyr]). Both OP-regime and Pyr-regime induced differential expression of canonical

detoxification (e.g., P450s, esterases, GSTs, and ABC transporters) and cuticle-associated genes

(Suppl. Figs. 5, 6; OP or Pyr Reg). GO enrichment analyses revealed the importance of structural

constituent of cuticle for genes differentially expressed with OP regime and the interaction

between OP regime and OP exposure (Suppl. Fig. 4B; OP Reg and OP Reg:PTx). Pyr-regime

individuals differentially expressed genes enriched for molecular functions involved in

oxidoreductase activity, heme binding, and structural constituent of cuticle, while genes

differentially expressed with the interaction between Pyr regime and Pyr exposure were enriched

for these functions and also serine hydrolase activity (Suppl. Fig. 4B; Pyr Reg and Pyr Reg:PTx).

Thus, GO enrichment analyses revealed the common differential expression of cuticle-related

genes in both OP and Pyr resistance, while Pyr resistance was additionally associated with

differential expression of oxidoreductase metabolic detoxification processes.

In the models containing pesticide exposure samples, no genes were differentially

expressed with the interaction between OP regime and *Btt* treatment (Fig. 3B; Regime OP, Bt Tx

+) providing further evidence that, here, evolved OP resistance had minimal effects on immune

responses to *Btt*. In contrast, 61 genes were differentially expressed with the interaction between

Pyr selection regime and *Btt* treatment (Fig. 3C; Regime Pyr, Bt Tx +), although there was no

significant GO term enrichment. Mirroring patterns observed in the no-pesticide model, the

model that includes pesticide exposure samples also indicates that Pyr regime larvae had lower

induced expression of a defensin-like transcript compared to control-regime larvae when

exposed to *Btt* (Fig. 5B).

When considering the interaction effect between pesticide exposure and *Btt* infection, 175

genes were differentially expressed with dual OP and *Btt* exposure (Fig. 3B; Pesticide Tx OP, Bt

Tx +), and only one gene (an upregulated pupal cuticle protein) was differentially expressed with

dual exposure to Pyr and *Btt* (Fig. 3C; Pesticide Tx Pyr, Bt Tx +). OP pesticide exposure

interacted with *Btt* exposure to induce differential gene expression of immune, detoxification,

cuticle, and development genes compared to expression induced by the main effect of *Btt*

exposure (Fig. 4A; compare BtTx and OP PTx:BtTx). Further analysis revealed that these

interaction effects were mainly driven by significantly dampened upregulation upon combined

OP and *Btt* exposure compared to upregulation induced with *Btt* alone; transcripts upregulated

with *Btt* alone were not differentially expressed or were downregulated with combined OP and

Btt exposure (Fig. 4A). For example, while *Btt* exposure alone induced upregulation of two

attacins, three cytochrome P450s, and several cuticular proteins, larvae exposed to both OP and

Btt had more modest differential expression of these same transcripts (e.g. *attacin-1* in Fig. 5A).

Genes differentially expressed with the interaction between OP treatment and *Btt* exposure were

enriched for GO terms involved in the molecular functions of oxidoreductase activity and

structural constituent of cuticle (Suppl. Fig. 4B; OP PTx:BtTx).

Correlated patterns of gene expression using WGCNA

Weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA) allows for the identification of

genes with expression correlated and significantly associated with experimental factors. We

identified 25 modules containing genes with highly correlated expression, and several of these

modules significantly correlated with one or more experimental factors (OP Regime, Pyr

Regime, OP PTx, Pyr PTx, BtTx; Suppl. Fig. 7). We mapped differentially expressed transcripts

to each gene module and identified one that was significantly associated with all five

experimental factors (Suppl. Fig. 7; magenta module) and was also associated with a high

number of significantly differentially expressed transcripts (Fig. 4; Suppl. Fig. 7; 201 DE

transcripts/ 258 total). Thus, genes in this module displayed common expression patterns and

were overall upregulated with both OP and Pyr selection regimes and downregulated with OP,

Pyr, and *Btt* exposure (Suppl. Fig. 7). GO enrichment analysis of the magenta module transcripts

revealed the importance of genes involved in hydrolase activity (GO:0016787; FDR = $6.07*10^{-7}$)

and monooxygenase activity (GO:0004497; FDR = $1.81*10^{-6}$).

Discussion

Our study experimentally evolved pesticide resistance in flour beetles (*T. castaneum*) to

investigate the interactive effects of pesticide resistance and pesticide exposure on immunity and

host-pathogen interactions. Pesticide-resistant insects and insects exposed to *Btt* both developed

more slowly than their counterparts, indicating sub-lethal fitness costs to resistance and infection,

respectively. We found that Pyr-resistant insects exhibited dampened transcriptional immune

responses to *Btt* infection relative to their control-regime counterparts, although overall survival

and development did not differ among OP- and Pyr-resistant and susceptible insects after *Btt*

exposure. While the evolution of resistance did not substantially influence host infection

phenotypes, exposure to pesticides did. Specifically, we observed that larvae were more likely to

survive OP exposure if they were also orally exposed to *Btt*, with gene expression patterns

suggesting dampened transcriptional stress responses in those beetles. Taken together, our data

suggest that evolved resistance, pesticide exposure, and biopesticide infection all exert

contrasting effects on life history parameters that could ultimately influence the demography and

control of pest populations in complex ways. The factorial nature of our study design, moreover,

provides a new window into the physiological basis and fitness consequences of host responses

to overlapping environmental stressors.

Insects, including *T. castaneum*, can rapidly evolve resistance to pesticides through

mechanisms that enhance metabolic detoxification and cuticular modifications (Rösner et al.,

2020). Here, populations selected for pesticide resistance evolved it within 6-8 generations

(Birnbaum et al., 2021), demonstrating increased survival and faster development after pesticide

exposure compared to control-selected populations. Differential gene expression between

pesticide- and control- selection regimes suggested that OP resistance is associated with

constitutive changes in cuticle gene expression while Pyr resistance is associated with

oxidoreductase activity, heme binding, and cuticular modifications, consistent with previous

studies in OP and Pyr resistant insects (Carvalho et al., 2013; Tandonnet et al., 2020; Zimmer et

al., 2017). Thus, while both OP and Pyr resistance involved changes in cuticle gene expression,

Pyr resistance was also associated with changes in metabolic detoxification.

Oral *Btt* infection did not decrease survival in our study but was associated with slower

development in *T. castaneum* larvae, indicating a direct cost of infection or an indirect cost from

mounting an immune response, as previously observed in the same system (Tate & Graham,

2015) and in other insects challenged with Bt toxins (Hernández-Martínez et al., 2017; Rahman

et al., 2011). Previously documented variation in resistance in *T. castaneum* against Cry3

proteins effective against other Tenebrionid beetles may explain why *Btt* had negative effects on

development but did not instigate high mortality (Milutinović et al., 2013; Oppert et al., 2011).

Here, exposure to *Btt* induced the upregulation of AMPs (attacins and defensins) and one

pathogenesis-related protein as well as differential expression of detoxification genes, including

P450s and a CEH, GST, an ABC transporter, and several cuticle and development related genes.

Previous transcriptomic studies of oral Bt infection in *T. castaneum* have identified similar

transcripts, including attacin and defensin AMPs, a pathogenesis-related protein, a P450, and a

GST (Behrens et al., 2014; Greenwood et al., 2017), supporting the assumption that *Btt* oral

exposure in our experiments presented an immune challenge despite the lack of infection-

induced mortality.

Previous work has suggested that exposure to OP and Pyr pesticides can reduce defenses

against pathogens and stimulate an array of changes in humoral, cellular, and oxidative stress

components of immunity (Dubovskiy et al., 2014; Gu et al., 2016; Hauser & Koella, 2020). Our

results reveal a strong interaction effect between *Btt* and OP exposure that manifests at both

phenotype and gene expression levels. For example, dual oral exposure to *Btt* and OP improved

larval survival and partially rescued development time relative to OP exposure alone, without

exacerbating *Btt*-induced mortality. At the same time, *Btt* co-exposure modified the differential

expression of 175 genes relative to OP exposure alone (Fig. 3B). In contrast, Pyr exposure did

not influence survival with *Btt* infection, but larvae exposed to both Pyr and *Btt* also partially

rescued development time compared to those exposed only to pesticides. However, in contrast to

the effects on gene expression observed with dual OP and *Btt* exposure, *Btt* co-exposure with Pyr

had negligible effects on gene expression with the overexpression of only one cuticle-related

transcript. It is possible that the positive effects on development time seen with pesticide and *Btt*

co-exposure compared to single exposure to pesticides are part of an advantageous response to

increased risk (Roth & Kurtz, 2008) as has been seen in viral infected caterpillars reared on more

toxic diets (Smilanich et al., 2018).

There is also the potential for indirect antagonistic interactions between OP and *Btt*, e.g.

through induction of cross-protective host defenses. For example, while *Btt* exposure induced

upregulation of attacins, cytochrome P450s, and cuticle-related transcripts, combined OP and *Btt*

exposure dampened the expression of these transcripts. It is possible that this dampened

expression of immune and detoxification genes may underlie reductions in immunopathology or

ROS damage corresponding to increased fitness, or the benefits to fitness may be due to

differences in resource allocation when faced with dual pressures (Lazzaro & Tate, 2022).

Interestingly, single exposure to OP or *Btt* induced downregulation of an ABC transporter but

this transcript was upregulated with co-exposure to OP and *Btt*. ABC transporters have been

identified as receptors for Bt Cry proteins and aid in membrane pore formation (Güney et al.,

2021; Heckel, 2021; Pauchet et al., 2016), and the additional function of ABC transporters as

xenobiotic pumps may help to mediate Bt toxicity (Dermauw & Leeuwen, 2014; Wu et al.,

2019). It is also possible that OP and *Btt* interact directly, as would be the case if *Btt* actively

degrades OP for energy (Kumar et al., 2018) or if OP facilitates *Btt* germination rates. Our *in*

vitro data suggest that *Btt* vegetative cells in liquid medium replicate faster in the presence of

OP, lending credence to a direct interaction. On the other hand, there was no difference in

germinated spore counts between control-diet and OP-diet disks, suggesting that direct

interactions, if they matter *in vivo*, are not relevant until after the host ingests the diet.

Supporting the idea of localized cross-protective mechanisms, a previous study of oral vs.

septic *Btt* infection in *T. castaneum* demonstrated that oral infection induced greater changes in

cuticle-related gene expression compared to septic infection (Behrens et al., 2014). In our study,

OP-exposed individuals were not protected from greater mortality upon septic *Btt* infection,

suggesting that infection route has important implications for pesticide-pathogen interactions.

We found cuticle-associated gene expression changes induced by OP exposure, and cuticular

modifications of gut tissues may provide an additional layer of protection from oral Bt infection

(Raymond et al., 2010). Future work dissecting the relative importance of these direct and

indirect interactions will be crucial for refining integrative pest management (IPM) strategies

employing both chemical and biopesticides.

The impact of evolved pesticide resistance on immunity is still poorly understood

(Minetti et al., 2020), and in the absence of pesticides we did not observe any significant

interactions between pesticide resistance and Bt exposure on survival or development. Few genes

were differentially expressed between OP resistant and susceptible beetles after *Btt* exposure, but

Btt exposure in Pyr-resistant populations was associated with dampened upregulation of several

genes, including two defensins, a histidine-rich glycoprotein, and a pathogenesis-related protein,

that were more strongly upregulated in Pyr-susceptible populations after *Btt* exposure. It is also

possible that Pyr-resistant populations had constitutively higher immune gene expression

resulting in reduced immune activation upon Bt infection. Moreover, an apolipophorin-III

transcript, previously shown to be important in immunity against coleopteran-specific Bt toxins

(Contreras et al., 2013), was upregulated in Pyr-resistant populations. In contrast, cuticle-related

protein and neuropeptide transcripts were downregulated in Pyr-regime larvae exposed to *Btt*.

These immune gene changes associated with Pyr resistance may have important effects on

interactions with other pathogens, and future research into Pyr resistance interactions with other

pathogens may yield important insights.

While we did not observe any phenotypic differences with pesticide selection regime and

Btt infection here, we also did not observe mortality from *Btt* alone and it is possible that the

immune challenge was not strong enough to elicit an interaction with resistance mechanisms.

However, the differential expression of immune and cuticle functions in Pyr-regime larvae

exposed to *Btt* could have important implications for insect interactions with other pathogens or

xenobiotic pressures by modifying detoxification capabilities or providing an additional barrier

against infection or toxins (Erlandson et al., 2019; James & Xu, 2012; Lilly et al., 2016).

Furthermore, changes in oxidoreductase activity observed after Pyr selection are likely to alter

the status of reactive oxygen species (ROS) within the host and may impact immunity against

different pathogens (Minetti et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2016). Finally, it is possible that adaptation

to pesticides could constrain host evolutionary responses to pathogens, or vice versa. Future

experiments could simultaneously select for dual resistance to both pesticides and pathogens to

see if evolutionary trajectories are similar or constrained relative to selection under a single

stressor.

Conclusions

A better understanding of the complex interactions between pesticide exposure and

resistance for host-pathogen outcomes is critical for effective pest and vector control strategies

(James & Xu, 2012; Minetti et al., 2020; Orr et al., 2020). Here, we identified antagonistic

interactions between Bt and OP that mitigated the effects of pesticides on fitness-related host

traits. Our results suggest that both pesticides and Bt individually influence important

demographic parameters like development time and mortality rate, but that co-exposed hosts

may actually perform better than those exposed to pesticides alone. We also observed dampened

expression of important candidate genes upon dual exposure to OP and *Btt* and in Pyr-resistant

individuals exposed to *Btt* compared to the main effect of *Btt* exposure. Are these within-host

interaction effects sufficient to alter the dynamics of disease and economic damage in pest

populations? Mathematical models would be useful to address this question, as the answer will

likely depend on feedbacks from ecological variables like intraspecific competition, relative

transmission among single- and co-exposed hosts, and the relative costs of pesticides and

infection to host reproductive rates (Booton et al., 2019; Booton et al., 2018; Kuniyoshi &

Santos, 2017). Moreover, our data suggest that the rise of pesticide resistance within populations

could reduce the magnitude of the interaction effects, likely altering population outcomes.

Overall, our results shine light on the physiological and evolutionary processes that shape

interactions among two important ecological stressors and should prompt further study of their

interactions at multiple levels of biological organization on ecological and evolutionary time

scales.

Data Accessibility: Sequence reads are deposited in the NCBI SRA under the BioProject

Accessions: PRJNA753142, PRJNA756317.

Literature Cited

641
642

Alout, H., Ndam, N. T., Sandeu, M. M., Djégbe, I., Chandre, F., Dabiré, R. K., … Cohuet, A.
(2013). Insecticide Resistance Alleles Affect Vector Competence of Anopheles gambiae s.s.
for Plasmodium falciparum Field Isolates. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(5), e63849.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063849

Ashburner, M., Ball, C. A., Blake, J. A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J. M., … Sherlock, G.
(2000). Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium.
Nature Genetics, *25*(1), 25–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/75556

Bacillus thuringiensis and Lysinibacillus sphaericus, Characterization and use in the field of
biocontrol. (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56678-8

Banerjee, R., Hasler, J., Meagher, R., Nagoshi, R., Hietala, L., Huang, F., … Jurat-Fuentes, J. L.
(2017). Mechanism and DNA-based detection of field-evolved resistance to transgenic Bt
corn in fall armyworm (Spodoptera frugiperda). *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 10877.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09866-y

Bass, C., & Jones, C. M. (2018). Editorial overview: Pests and resistance: Resistance to
pesticides in arthropod crop pests and disease vectors: mechanisms, models and tools.
Current Opinion in Insect Science, *27*, iv–vii. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.04.009

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*(1). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Behrens, S., Peuß, R., Milutinović, B., Eggert, H., Esser, D., Rosenstiel, P., … Kurtz, J. (2014).
Infection routes matter in population-specific responses of the red flour beetle to the
entomopathogen Bacillus thuringiensis. *BMC Genomics*, *15*(1), 445–17.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-445

Birnbaum, S. S. L., Schulz, N. K. E., Garrett, D. S., & Tate, A. T. (2021). Experimental
evolution of insect resistance to two pesticide classes reveals mechanistic diversity and
context-dependent fitness costs. *BioRxiv*, 2021.09.03.458899.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.09.03.458899

Booton, R. D., Iwasa, Y., & Childs, D. Z. (2019). How do toxicants affect epidemiological
dynamics? *Oikos*, *128*(5), 729–740. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.05654

Booton, R. D., Yamaguchi, R., Marshall, J. A. R., Childs, D. Z., & Iwasa, Y. (2018). Interactions
between immunotoxicants and parasite stress: Implications for host health. *Journal of*
Theoretical Biology, *445*, 120–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2018.02.018

Bottino-Rojas, V., Talyuli, O. A. C., Carrara, L., Martins, A. J., James, A. A., Oliveira, P. L., &
Paiva-Silva, G. O. (2018). The redox-sensing gene Nrf2 affects intestinal homeostasis,
insecticide resistance, and Zika virus susceptibility in the mosquito Aedes aegypti. *Journal of*
Biological Chemistry, *293*(23), 9053–9063. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.ra117.001589

Boyer, S., Zhang, H., & Lempérière, G. (2012). A review of control methods and resistance
mechanisms in stored-product insects. *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, *102*(2), 213–229.
https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007485311000654

Burges, H. D., & Hurst, J. A. (1977). Ecology of Bacillus thuringiensis in storage moths. *Journal*
of Invertebrate Pathology, *30*(2), 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2011(77)90210-5

Carvalho, K. da S., Guedes, D. R. D., Crespo, M. M., Melo-Santos, M. A. V. de, & Silva-Filha,
M. H. N. L. (2021). Aedes aegypti continuously exposed to Bacillus thuringiensis svar.
israelensis does not exhibit changes in life traits but displays increased susceptibility for Zika
virus. *Parasites & Vectors*, *14*(1), 379. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-021-04880-6

Carvalho, R. A., Omoto, C., Field, L. M., Williamson, M. S., & Bass, C. (2013). Investigating
the Molecular Mechanisms of Organophosphate and Pyrethroid Resistance in the Fall
Armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(4), e62268-11.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062268

Casida, J. E., & Durkin, K. A. (2013). Neuroactive insecticides: targets, selectivity, resistance,
and secondary effects. *Annual Review of Entomology*, *58*, 99–117.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153645

Consortium, G. O. (2021). The Gene Ontology resource: enriching a GOld mine. *Nucleic Acids*
Research, *49*(D1), D325–D334. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1113

Contreras, E. a, Rausell, C., & Real, M. D. (2013). Tribolium castaneum Apolipophorin-III acts
as an immune response protein against Bacillus thuringiensis Cry3Ba toxic activity. *Journal*
of Invertebrate Pathology, *113*(3), 209–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2013.04.002

Cory, J. S. (2017). Evolution of host resistance to insect pathogens. *Current Opinion in Insect*
Science, *21*, 54–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2017.04.008

David, J.-P., Faucon, F., Chandor-Proust, A., Poupardin, R., Riaz, M. A., Bonin, A., … Reynaud,
S. (2014). Comparative analysis of response to selection with three insecticides in the dengue
mosquito Aedes aegypti using mRNA sequencing. *BMC Genomics*, *15*(1), 174.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-174

Davies, T. G. E., Field, L. M., Usherwood, P. N. R., & Williamson, M. S. (2007). DDT,
pyrethrins, pyrethroids and insect sodium channels. *IUBMB Life*, *59*(3), 151–162.
https://doi.org/10.1080/15216540701352042

Dermauw, W., & Leeuwen, T. V. (2014). The ABC gene family in arthropods: comparative
genomics and role in insecticide transport and resistance. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular*
Biology, *45*, 89–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.11.001

Dubovskiy, I. M., Yaroslavtseva, O. N., Kryukov, V. Y., Benkovskaya, G. V., & Glupov, V. V.
(2014). An increase in the immune system activity of the wax moth Galleria mellonella and of
the Colorado potato beetle Leptinotarsa decemlineata under effect of organophosphorus
insecticide. *Journal of Evolutionary Biochemistry and Physiology*, *49*(6), 592–596.
https://doi.org/10.1134/s0022093013060066

Duke, S. O. (2018). Interaction of Chemical Pesticides and Their Formulation Ingredients with
Microbes Associated with Plants and Plant Pests. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
Chemistry, *66*(29), 7553–7561. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.8b02316

Durinck, S., Spellman, P. T., Birney, E., & Huber, W. (2009). Mapping identifiers for the
integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. *Nature Protocols*,
4(8), 1184–1191. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.97

Erlandson, M. A., Toprak, U., & Hegedus, D. D. (2019). Role of the peritrophic matrix in insect-
pathogen interactions. *Journal of Insect Physiology*, *117*, 103894.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2019.103894

Ferro, K., Peuß, R., Yang, W., Rosenstiel, P., Schulenburg, H., & Kurtz, J. (2019). Experimental
evolution of immunological specificity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
the United States of America, *116*(41), 20598–20604.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904828116

Greenwood, J. M., Milutinović, B., Peuß, R., Behrens, S., Esser, D., Rosenstiel, P., … Kurtz, J.
(2017). Oral immune priming with Bacillus thuringiensis induces a shift in the gene
expression of Tribolium castaneum larvae. *BMC Genomics*, *18*(1), 1–14.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-3705-7

Gu, Z., Li, F., Hu, J., Ding, C., Wang, C., Tian, J., … Li, B. (2016). Sublethal dose of phoxim
and Bombyx mori nucleopolyhedrovirus interact to elevate silkworm mortality. *Pest*
Management Science, *73*(3), 554–561. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4326

Güney, G., Cedden, D., Hänniger, S., Heckel, D. G., Coutu, C., Hegedus, D. D., … Toprak, U.
(2021). Silencing of an ABC transporter, but not a cadherin, decreases the susceptibility of
Colorado potato beetle larvae to Bacillus thuringiensis ssp. tenebrionis Cry3Aa toxin.
Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology, *108*(2), e21834.
https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.21834

Hauser, G., & Koella, J. C. (2020). Larval exposure to a pyrethroid insecticide and competition
for food modulate the melanisation and antibacterial responses of adult Anopheles gambiae.
Scientific Reports, *10*(1), 1364. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58415-7

Heckel, D. G. (2021). The Essential and Enigmatic Role of ABC Transporters in Bt Resistance
of Noctuids and Other Insect Pests of Agriculture. *Insects*, *12*(5), 389.
https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12050389

Hernández-Martínez, P., Gomis-Cebolla, J., Ferré, J., & Escriche, B. (2017). Changes in gene
expression and apoptotic response in Spodoptera exigua larvae exposed to sublethal
concentrations of Vip3 insecticidal proteins. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 16245.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16406-1

Herndon, N., Shelton, J., Gerischer, L., Ioannidis, P., Ninova, M., Dönitz, J., … Bucher, G.
(2020). Enhanced genome assembly and a new official gene set for Tribolium castaneum.
BMC Genomics, *21*, 47. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6394-6

Hite, J. L., & Cressler, C. E. (2018). Resource-driven changes to host population stability alter
the evolution of virulence and transmission. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society
B: Biological Sciences*, *373*(1745), 20170087–11. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2017.0087

James, R. R., & Xu, J. (2012). Mechanisms by which pesticides affect insect immunity. *Journal
of Invertebrate Pathology*, *109*(2), 175–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2011.12.005

Jent, D., Perry, A., Critchlow, J., & Tate, A. T. (2019). Natural variation in the contribution of
microbial density to inducible immune dynamics. *Molecular Ecology*, *28*(24), 5360–5372.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15293

Kalsi, M., & Palli, S. R. (2017). Cap n collar transcription factor regulates multiple genes coding
for proteins involved in insecticide detoxification in the red flour beetle, Tribolium
castaneum. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, *90*, 43–52.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2017.09.009

Kristan, M., Abeku, T. A., & Lines, J. (2018). Effect of environmental variables and kdr
resistance genotype on survival probability and infection rates in Anopheles gambiae (s.s.).
Parasites & Vectors, *11*(1), 560. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-3150-8

Kumar, S., Kaushik, G., Dar, M. A., Nimesh, S., López-Chuken, U. J., & Villarreal-Chiu, J. F.
(2018). Microbial Degradation of Organophosphate Pesticides: A Review. *Pedosphere*, *28*(2),
190–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1002-0160(18)60017-7

Kuniyoshi, M. L. G., & Santos, F. L. P. dos. (2017). Mathematical modelling of vector-borne
diseases and insecticide resistance evolution. *Journal of Venomous Animals and Toxins
Including Tropical Diseases*, *23*(1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40409-017-0123-x

Langfelder, P., & Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network
analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *9*(1), 559–559. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

Lazzaro, B. P., & Tate, A. T. (2022). Balancing sensitivity, risk, and immunopathology in
immune regulation. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, *50*, 100874.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2022.100874

Li, H, Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., … Subgroup, 1000
Genome Project Data Processing. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(16), 2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Li, Heng. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-
MEM. *ArXiv.v*, 1–3. Retrieved from https://arxiv.org/pdf/1303.3997.pdf

Lilly, D. G., Latham, S. L., Webb, C. E., & Doggett, S. L. (2016). Cuticle Thickening in a
Pyrethroid-Resistant Strain of the Common Bed Bug, Cimex lectularius L. (Hemiptera:
Cimicidae). *PLoS ONE*, *11*(4), e0153302. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153302

Liu, F., Huang, W., Wu, K., Qiu, Z., Huang, Y., & Ling, E. (2017). *Exploiting Innate Immunity*
for Biological Pest Control (1st ed.). Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aiip.2017.02.001

Lohman, B. K., Weber, J. N., & Bolnick, D. I. (2016). Evaluation of TagSeq, a reliable low-cost
alternative for RNAseq. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, *16*(6), 1315–1321.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12529

Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, *15*(12), 550.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Lu, K., Cheng, Y., Li, W., Li, Y., Zeng, R., & Song, Y. (2020). Activation of CncC pathway by
ROS burst regulates cytochrome P450 CYP6AB12 responsible for λ-cyhalothrin tolerance in
Spodoptera litura. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, *387*, 121698.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121698

Lüdecke D 2021. sjPlot: Data Visualization for Statistics in Social Science. R package version
2.8. 9, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=sjPlot.

Mastore, M., Quadroni, S., & Brivio, M. F. (2021). Susceptibility of Drosophila suzukii larvae to
the combined administration of the entomopathogens Bacillus thuringiensis and Steinernema
carpocapsae. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 8149. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87469-4

Meinke, L. J., Souza, D., & Siegfried, B. D. (2021). The Use of Insecticides to Manage the
Western Corn Rootworm, Diabrotica virgifera virgifera, LeConte: History, Field-Evolved
Resistance, and Associated Mechanisms. *Insects*, *12*(2), 112.
https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12020112

Milutinović, B., Fritzlar, S., & Kurtz, J. (2014). Increased survival in the red flour beetle after
oral priming with bacteria-conditioned media. *Journal of Innate Immunity*, *6*(3), 306–314.
https://doi.org/10.1159/000355211

Milutinović, B., Stolpe, C., Peuβ, R., Armitage, S. A. O., & Kurtz, J. (2013). The Red Flour
Beetle as a Model for Bacterial Oral Infections. *PLoS ONE*, *8*(5), e64638-11.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0064638

Minetti, C., Ingham, V. A., & Ranson, H. (2020). Effects of insecticide resistance and exposure
on Plasmodium development in Anopheles mosquitoes. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*,
39, 42–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2019.12.001

Misra, J. R., Horner, M. A., Lam, G., & Thummel, C. S. (2011). Transcriptional regulation of
xenobiotic detoxification in Drosophila. *Genes & Development*, *25*(17), 1796–1806.
https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.17280911

Moltini-Conclois, I., Stalinski, R., Tetreau, G., Després, L., & Lambrechts, L. (2018). Larval
Exposure to the Bacterial Insecticide Bti Enhances Dengue Virus Susceptibility of Adult
Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes. *Insects*, *9*(4), 193. https://doi.org/10.3390/insects9040193

Ndo, C., Kopya, E., Irving, H., & Wondji, C. (2019). Exploring the impact of glutathione S-
transferase (GST)-based metabolic resistance to insecticide on vector competence of
Anopheles funestus for Plasmodium falciparum. *Wellcome Open Research*, *4*, 52.
https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15061.2

Oakeshott, J. G., Farnsworth, C. A., East, P. D., Scott, C., Han, Y., Wu, Y., & Russell, R. J.
(2013). How many genetic options for evolving insecticide resistance in heliothine and
spodopteran pests? *Pest Management Science*, *69*(8), 889–896.
https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.3542

Oppert, B., Morgan, T. D., & Kramer, K. J. (2011). Efficacy of Bacillus thuringiensis Cry3Aa
protoxin and protease inhibitors against coleopteran storage pests. *Pest Management Science*,
67(5), 568–573. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2099

Orr, J. A., Vinebrooke, R. D., Jackson, M. C., Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., Mantyka-Pringle,
C., … Piggott, J. J. (2020). Towards a unified study of multiple stressors: divisions and
common goals across research disciplines. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, *287*(1926),
20200421. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.0421

Pang, R., Xing, K., Yuan, L., Liang, Z., Chen, M., Yue, X., … Zhang, W. (2021). Peroxiredoxin
alleviates the fitness costs of imidacloprid resistance in an insect pest of rice. *PLOS Biology*,
19(4), e3001190. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001190

Pardo-López, L., Soberón, M., & Bravo, A. (2013). Bacillus thuringiensis insecticidal three-
domain Cry toxins: mode of action, insect resistance and consequences for crop protection.
FEMS Microbiology Reviews, *37*(1), 3–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2012.00341.x

Pauchet, Y., Bretschneider, A., Augustin, S., & Heckel, D. (2016). A P-Glycoprotein Is Linked
to Resistance to the Bacillus thuringiensis Cry3Aa Toxin in a Leaf Beetle. *Toxins*, *8*(12), 362–
12. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins8120362

Pavlidi, N., Vontas, J., & Leeuwen, T. V. (2018). The role of glutathione S-transferases (GSTs)
in insecticide resistance in crop pests and disease vectors. *Current Opinion in Insect Science*,
27, 97–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.04.007

Pinos, D., Andrés-Garrido, A., Ferré, J., & Hernández-Martínez, P. (2021). Response
Mechanisms of Invertebrates to Bacillus thuringiensis and Its Pesticidal Proteins.
Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews, *85*(1), e00007-20.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.00007-20

Rahman, M., Glatz, R., Roush, R., & Schmidt, O. (2011). Developmental Penalties Associated
with Inducible Tolerance in Helicoverpa armigera to Insecticidal Toxins from Bacillus
thuringiensis. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *77*(4), 1443–1448.
https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.01467-10

Raymond, B., Johnston, P. R., Nielsen-LeRoux, C., Lereclus, D., & Crickmore, N. (2010).
Bacillus thuringiensis: an impotent pathogen? *Trends in Microbiology*, *18*(5), 189–194.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2010.02.006

Rösner, J., Wellmeyer, B., & Merzendorfer, H. (2020). Tribolium castaneum : A Model for
Investigating the Mode of Action of Insecticides and Mechanisms of Resistance. *Current
Pharmaceutical Design*, *26*(29), 3554–3568.
https://doi.org/10.2174/1381612826666200513113140

Roth, O., & Kurtz, J. (2008). The stimulation of immune defence accelerates development in the
red flour beetle (Tribolium castaneum). *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *21*(6), 1703–1710.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01584.x

Sansinenea, E. (2012). *Bacillus thuringiensis Biotechnology* (E. Sansinenea, Ed.). Springer,
Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-3021-2

Sappington, T. W., & Miller, N. J. (2017). Editorial overview: Pests and resistance: Shedding the
albatross of resistance starts by embracing the ecological complexities of its evolution.
Current Opinion in Insect Science, *21*, v–viii. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2017.07.003

Siegfried, B. D., & Scharf, M. E. (2001). *Mechanisms of Organophosphate Resistance in Insects*.
In *Biochemical Sites of Insecticide Action and Resistance*: *Vol. 48* (pp. 269–291). Springer
Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-59549-3_13

Smilanich, A. M., Langus, T. C., Doan, L., Dyer, L. A., Harrison, J. G., Hsueh, J., & Teglas, M.
B. (2018). Host plant associated enhancement of immunity and survival in virus infected
caterpillars. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, *151*, 102–112.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2017.11.006

Soderlund, D. M. (2011). Molecular mechanisms of pyrethroid insecticide neurotoxicity: recent
advances. *Archives of Toxicology*, *86*(2), 165–181. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00204-011-0726-
x

Sogorb, M. A., & Vilanova, E. (2002). Enzymes involved in the detoxification of
organophosphorus, carbamate and pyrethroid insecticides through hydrolysis. *Toxicology*
Letters, *128*(1–3), 215–228. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-4274(01)00543-4

Sprouffske, K., & Wagner, A. (2016). Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining interpretable
metrics from microbial growth curves. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *17*(1), 172.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-016-1016-7

Tandonnet, S., Cardoso, G. A., Mariano-Martins, P., Monfardini, R. D., Cunha, V. A. S.,
Carvalho, R. A. de, & Torres, T. T. (2020). Molecular basis of resistance to organophosphate
insecticides in the New World screw-worm fly. *Parasites & Vectors*, *13*, 562.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04433-3

Tate, A. T., & Graham, A. L. (2015). Trans-generational priming of resistance in wild flour
beetles reflects the primed phenotypes of laboratory populations and is inhibited by co-
infection with a common parasite. *Functional Ecology*, *29*(8), 1059–1069.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12411

Tate, A. T., & Graham, A. L. (2017). Dissecting the contributions of time and microbe density to
variation in immune gene expression. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
Sciences, *284*(1859), 20170727–20170728. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2017.0727

Therneau, T. 2015. Package 'coxme'. Mixed Effects Cox Models. R package version, 2.24.
URL http://r-forge.r-project.org.

Tompkins, D. M., Dobson, A. P., Arneberg, P., Begon, M. E., Cattadori, I. M., Greenman, J. V.,
… Wilson, K. (2001). *Parasites and host population dynamics* (P. J. Hudson, Annapaola
Rizzoli, B T Grenfell, Hans Heesterbeek, & A. P. Dobson, Eds.). In (pp. 45–62). Retrieved
from
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Per_Arneberg/publication/291981752_Parasites_and_ho
st_population_dynamics/links/56ab6e7f08aed814bdea18a8/Parasites-and-host-population-
dynamics.pdf

Vézilier, J., Nicot, A., Lorgeril, J., Gandon, S., & Rivero, A. (2013). The impact of insecticide
resistance on Culex pipiens immunity. *Evolutionary Applications*, *6*(3), 497–509.
https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12037

Wang, X., Martínez, M.-A., Dai, M., Chen, D., Ares, I., Romero, A., … Yuan, Z. (2016).
Permethrin-induced oxidative stress and toxicity and metabolism. A review. *Environmental*
Research, *149*, 86–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.05.003

Wolz, M., Schrader, A., Whitelaw, E., & Müller, C. (2021). Gregarines modulate insect
responses to sublethal insecticide residues. *Oecologia*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-
021-05086-4

Wu, C., Chakrabarty, S., Jin, M., Liu, K., & Xiao, Y. (2019). Insect ATP-Binding Cassette
(ABC) Transporters: Roles in Xenobiotic Detoxification and Bt Insecticidal Activity.
International Journal of Molecular Sciences, *20*(11), 2829.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20112829

Xiao, Y., Liu, K., Zhang, D., Gong, L., He, F., Soberón, M., … Wu, K. (2016). Resistance to
Bacillus thuringiensis Mediated by an ABC Transporter Mutation Increases Susceptibility to
Toxins from Other Bacteria in an Invasive Insect. *PLoS Pathogens*, *12*(2), e1005450.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005450

Zhu, F., Lavine, L., O'Neal, S., Lavine, M., Foss, C., & Walsh, D. (2016). Insecticide Resistance
and Management Strategies in Urban Ecosystems. *Insects*, *7*(1), 2.
https://doi.org/10.3390/insects7010002

Zimmer, C. T., Panini, M., Singh, K. S., Randall, E. L., FIELD, L. M., Roditakis, E., … Bass, C.
(2017). Use of the synergist piperonyl butoxide can slow the development of alpha-
cypermethrin resistance in the whitefly Bemisia tabaci. *Insect Molecular Biology*, *26*(2), 152–
163. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12276

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Overall effects of organophosphate (OP) and pyrethroid (Pyr) factors on survival and development. Hazard ratios depicting the effects of selection regime (OP Reg or Pyr Reg), pesticide exposure (OP PTx or Pyr PTx), Bt treatment (BtTx), the interaction between selection regime and pesticide exposure (OP Reg:PTx or Pyr Reg:PTx), the interaction between selection regime and Bt treatment (OP Reg:BtTx or Pyr Reg:BtTx), and the interaction between pesticide exposure and Bt treatment (OP PTx:BtTx or Pyr PTx:BtTx) on survival (A, B) and development (C, D) are shown in the left panels. Raw data illustrating the proportion of surviving individuals (A, B) or the proportion of adults (C, D) are shown in the right panels. For hazard ratio plots depicting survival and development estimates, positive significant effects (higher survival or faster development) are colored blue, negative significant effects (lower survival or slower development) are red, and nonsignificant effects are black (Suppl. Table 2).

Figure 2. The influence of pesticide exposure on septic infection outcomes: control-regime larvae exposed to OP are more susceptible to *Btt* septic infection. The proportion of surviving individuals 24h post septic *Btt* infection are shown for control (Regime –) and OP selection regime (Regime OP) larvae exposed to control (Pesticide Tx –) or OP diet (Pesticide Tx OP) treatments. Circles indicate surviving proportions of individuals per block. Significant differences between groups are denoted with asterisks (p < 0.0005; Suppl. Table 6).

Figure 3. Number of significantly differentially expressed (sig. DE) genes (padj < 0.05) for factors within each DESeq2 model (number of sig. DE transcripts are denoted above bars; relative to control regime or no pesticide/ Bt treatments, positive counts are upregulated transcripts and negative counts are downregulated). A. No pesticide model: main and interaction effects of pesticide selection regime and Bt treatment in the absence of pesticide exposure.

Hatched bars indicate no pesticide treatment in the model. B. OP model: main and interaction

effects of OP selection regime, pesticide exposure, and Bt treatment. C. Pyr model: main and

interaction effects of Pyr selection regime, pesticide exposure, and Bt treatment. The table below

indicates the differentially expressed factor. Main effect of selection regimes = Regime OP/ Pyr.

Main effect of Bt treatment = Bt Tx +. Main effect of pesticide treatments = Pesticide Tx OP/

Pyr. Interactions between selection regimes and pesticide exposure = Regime OP/ Pyr &

Pesticide Tx OP/ Pyr. Interactions between selection regimes and Bt exposure = Regime OP/ Pyr

& Bt Tx +. Interactions between pesticide and Bt exposure = Pesticide Tx OP/ Pyr & Bt Tx +.

Figure 4. Profiles of differentially expressed transcripts demonstrate opposing responses in Bt-

infected individuals that were or were not also exposed to or selected with pesticides. Transcripts

significantly differentially expressed (padj < 0.05) with Bt treatment and interactions with **A.** OP

exposure, and **B.** Pyr selection regime and exposure. The DE Factor/ Model legend refers to the

factor corresponding to the differentially expressed gene set and the DESeq2 model used (no

pesticide- hatched bars; OP or Pyr- solid bars; BtTx = main effect of *Btt* treatment; OP PTx =

main effect of OP exposure; OP or Pyr PTx:BtTx = interactions between OP or Pyr exposure and

Btt treatment; Pyr Reg:BtTx: interaction between Pyr selection regime and *Btt* treatment).

Colored circles on the left indicate transcripts included in the magenta WGCNA module (Suppl.

Fig. 7). Transcript abbreviations are as follows: Stc = shuttle craft-like protein, Def = defensin,

Att = attacin, PR = pathogenesis-related protein, HRG = histidine-rich glycoprotein, SP = serine

protease, P450 = cytochrome P450, CEH = carboxylic ester hydrolase, GST = glutathione S-

transferase, ABC = ABC transporter, Chit = chitinase protein, CP = cuticle-related protein, PM =

peritrophic matrix related protein, Vit = vitellogenin, Ins = insulin-like peptide, LSP = larval

serum protein, AchE = acetylcholinesterase, KLF = Krüppel-like factor, ApoIII = apolipophorin-

III, Neur = neuropeptide.

Figure 5. Normalized gene counts illustrate dampening effects of Pyr selection regime and OP

treatment on immune gene expression induced with Bt expsoure. **A.** OP (OP model- OP PTx)

and Bt treatment (OP model- BtTx) effects are shown for TC007737 (attacin1), and **B.** Pyr

Regime (Pyr model- Pyr Reg) and Bt treatment (Pyr model- BtTx) effects are shown for

TC010517 (defensin-like).

Supplemental Table Legends

Suppl. Table 1. Read counts and alignment statistics for RNAseq libraries.

Suppl. Table 2. Significantly differentially expressed (DE) transcripts from the no pesticide

model (Conly), OP model (allOP), and Pyr model (allPyr) ($p < 0.05$). Fold change differences

(log2FC) and adjusted p-values (padj) are listed for each factor within each model (BtTx: main

effect of Bt; OPReg, PyrReg: main effect of OP and Pyr selection regime, respectively; OPPTx,

PyrPTx: main effect of OP and Pyr pesticide treatment; OPReg:PTx, PyrReg:PTx: interaction

effect between pesticide selection regime and exposure; OPReg:BtTx, PyrReg:BtTx: interaction

effect between selection regime and Bt expsoure; OPPTx:BtTx, PyrPTx:BtTx: interaction effect

between pesticide and Bt exposure. DE transcripts belonging to WGCNA modules are listed

(moduleColor).

Suppl. Table 3. Main and interaction effects of pesticide exposure, selection regime, and Bt

exposure on survival and adult development (coxme(Surv(Day, Status) ~ PTx+ Regime + BtTx

+ Reg:PTx + Reg:BtTx + PTx:BtTx +(1|Pop)); compared to control regime and treatments).

Suppl. Table 4. Effect of pesticide exposure on in vitro Bt growth. The effects of OP and Pyr

treatment were analyzed separately. The effect of treatment was analyzed for each; if replicate

was non-significant, all replicates were combined.

Suppl. Table 5. Effect of pesticide treatment on germinated spores from oral diet disks.

Suppl. Table 6. Survival after septic Bt infection.

1009

Supplemental Figure Legends

Suppl. Figure 1. Experimental design schematic. Larvae from six distinct populations were exposed to control, organophosphate (OP), or pyrethroid (Pyr) diets for at least eight generations. Adults from Gen. 8 pesticide-selected and control populations were allowed to reproduce on control diets, and twelve-day old F2 larvae from each population were exposed to control and pesticide diets with and without *Btt*.

Suppl. Figure 2. *In* vitro growth rate (r) of *Btt* under control and pesticide conditions. **A.** *In vitro* growth rate (r) of *Btt* under control and OP conditions. Data were not normally distributed, so non-parametric tests were used. There was a significant difference between replicates, so the effect of treatment was analyzed for each replicate separately (Suppl. Table 4). K-W test revealed significant positive effect of OP on *Btt* growth rate for three of the four replicates (reps 2-4; Suppl. Table 4). **B.** *In vitro* growth rate (r) of *Btt* under control and Pyr conditions. Data were not normally distributed, so non-parametric tests were used. There was no significant difference between replicates or with the effect of treatment (Suppl. Table 4).

Suppl. Fig. 3. Germination of spores from diet disks in the absence or presence of either pesticide (Bt, OP + *Btt* or Pyr + *Btt*: n=10, control: n=6). There was no significant difference between Bt control and Bt pesticide diet disks (Suppl. Table 5).

Suppl. Figure 4. Top significantly enriched GO terms for differentially expressed gene sets. **A.** Biological process GO terms, **B.** Molecular function GO terms. Differentially expressed gene sets are denoted on the x-axis and refer to the DESeq2 model (no P: = no pesticide model, OP: = OP model, Pyr: = Pyr model) and the factor corresponding to the differentially expressed gene set (BtTx = main effect of *Btt* treatment; OP Reg, Pyr Reg = main effect of OP and Pyr selection regimes, respectively; OP PTx, Pyr PTx = main effect of OP and Pyr exposure, respectively;

OPReg:PTx, PyrReg:PTx = interaction between OP and Pyr regime and exposure, respectively;

PyrReg:BtTx = interaction between Pyr regime and *Btt* treatment; OPTx:BtTx = interaction

between OP exposure and *Btt* treatment).

Suppl. Fig. 5. Canonical detoxification genes significantly differentially expressed (padj < 0.05)

with **A.** OP exposure (OP PTx), OP selection regime (OP Reg), *Btt* exposure (BtTx), and the

interaction between OP regime and exposure (OP Reg:PTx) and **B.** Pyr exposure (Pyr PTx), Pyr

selection regime (Pyr Reg), *Btt* exposure (BtTx), and the interaction between Pyr regime and

exposure (Pyr Reg:PTx).

Suppl. Fig. 6. Cuticle-associated genes significantly differentially expressed (padj < 0.05) with

A. OP exposure (OP PTx), OP selection regime (OP Reg), *Btt* exposure (BtTx), the interaction

between OP regime and exposure (OP Reg:PTx), and the interaction between OP and *Btt*

exposure (OP PTx:BtTx), and **B.** Pyr exposure (Pyr PTx), Pyr selection regime (Pyr Reg), *Btt*

exposure (BtTx), the interaction between Pyr regime and exposure (Pyr Reg:PTx), the interaction

between Pyr regime and *Btt* exposure (Pyr Reg:BtTx), and the interaction between Pyr and *Btt*

exposure (Pyr PTx:BtTx).

Suppl. Fig. 7. WGCNA gene modules and significant relationships with experimental factors.

The total number of transcripts (n) and number of differentially expressed (DE) transcripts in

each module are listed, and significant relationships with experimental factors are indicated with

black stars. Top values within each cell indicate the correlation strengths (corresponding to the

heat map colors) and bottom values in parentheses indicate p-value significance for the

relationship of each module with the different experimental factors. Significant (p < 0.05)

positive correlation values and red cells indicate that the transcripts within the module are

commonly upregulated; negative significant correlation values and green cells indicate that the

transcripts within the module are commonly downregulated.

Figures

Figure 1.

1061

1062 **Figure 2.**

Regime	OP	Pyr	–	OP	Pyr		–	OP	–	OP	OP	–		–	Pyr	–	Pyr	Pyr	–
Pesticide Tx	–	–	–	–	–		OP	–	–	OP	–	OP		Pyr	–	–	Pyr	–	Pyr
Bt Tx	–	–	+	+	+		–	–	+	–	+	+		–	–	+	–	+	+

1063

1064   **Figure 3.**

1065

1066　**Figure 4.**

1067

1068 **Figure 5.**

1069

1070 **Suppl. Figure 1.**

A. Btt growth rate in response to OP

B. Btt growth rate in response to Pyr

1071

1072 **Suppl. Figure 2.**

1073

1074 **Suppl. Fig. 3.**

1075

1076 **Suppl. Figure 4.**

A.

1077

B.

1078

1079   **Suppl. Fig. 5.**

A.

1080

B.

1082   **Suppl. Fig. 6.**

1083

1084   **Suppl. Fig. 7.**