1

Bacterioplankton taxa compete for iron along the early spring-summer transition in the Arctic Ocean

Running title: Competition for iron in the Arctic Ocean

Fernando Puente-Sánchez[1a] *, Luis Macías[1b] *, Karley L. Campbell[c], Marta Royo-Llonch[3], Vanessa Balagué[3], Pablo Sánchez[3], Javier Tamames[1], C.J. Mundy[2], and Carlos Pedrós-Alió[1#]

[1] Department of Systems Biology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, CSIC, Darwin 3, 28064 Madrid, Spain.

[2] Centre for Earth Observation Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada.

[3] Department of Marine Biology and Oceanography, Institut de Ciències del Mar, CSIC, Ps. Marítim de la Barceloneta 37-49, 08003 Barcelona, Spain.

* These authors contributed equally

[a] Present address: Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University for Agricultural Sciences (SLU), Lennart Hjelms väg 9, 756 51, Uppsala, Sweden.

[b] Present address: Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, College de France, CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence, France.

[c] Present address: UiT, The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway.

Corresponding author: cpedros@cnb.csic.es; phone +34 679293733

Abstract

Microbial assemblages under sea ice of Dease Strait, Canadian Arctic, were sequenced for metagenomes and metatranscriptomes of the small size fraction (0.2 to 3 μm). The community from early March was typical for this season, with *Alpha*- and *Gammaproteobacteria* as the dominant taxa, followed by *Thaumarchaeota* and *Bacteroidetes*. Towards summer, *Bacteroidetes* and particularly the genus *Polaribacter*, became increasingly dominant, followed by the *Gammaproteobacteria*. Analysis of genes responsible for microbial acquisition of iron showed an abundance of ABC transporters for divalent cations and ferrous iron. The most abundant transporters, however, were the outer membrane TonB dependent transporters of iron-siderophore complexes. The abundance of iron acquisition genes suggested this element was essential for the microbial assemblage. Interestingly, *Gammaproteobacteria* were responsible for most of the siderophore synthesis genes. On the contrary, *Bacteroidetes* did not synthesize siderophores but accounted for most of the transporters, suggesting a role as cheaters in the competition for siderophores as public goods. Likely, this cheating ability of the *Bacteroidetes* contributed to their dominance in summer.

Introduction

Climate change over the recent decades is evident across the Arctic Ocean through the

decline in seasonal sea ice coverage [(1), (2), (3)]. This reduction of sea ice impacts the

microorganisms living in the ice and underlying water. For example, a shift towards

smaller algae has been observed in phytoplankton [(4)]. The distinctive conditions for

life in polar environments involve prolonged periods of ice cover and darkness in

winter, generally low water temperatures, and extended irradiance in summer [(5)] The

transition from winter to spring and summer involves, thus, dramatic changes in the

environment and these are reflected in the composition of the microbial assemblages

[(6), (7)]. Prokaryotic taxa abundant in winter such as *Thaumarchaeota* or

Deltaproteobacteria disappear during the spring, while *Alpha* and

Gammaproteobacteria and in particular *Bacteroidetes* increase in abundance towards

the summer [(8)]. However, the traits that these different microbial taxa use to become

dominant are unknown. This is partially due to the logistic difficulties of sampling

during the early part of the year. Thus, we carried out a time series through the ice melt

transition from early March to late July in Dease Strait of the Canadian Arctic.

Iron is an essential nutrient that is scarce in surface waters due to the insolubility of the

most common form Fe(III) and to the fact that sources are of terrestrial origin. As a

result, between 15 and 40% of the world's oceans are limited by iron [(9), (10)]. Even in

areas where growth is limited by other nutrients (such as the Arctic Ocean)

microorganisms need to develop strategies to obtain iron. A common method to get iron

in aquatic media is to use siderophores [(11)]. These are small molecular weight

compounds that are soluble in water and have a very high affinity for Fe(III). They are

synthesized by some microorganisms and usually released in the environment. Then,

with the appropriate receptors, the iron-siderophore complexes can be taken up.

Siderophores, however, require a complex set of enzymes to be synthesized and

excreted in the medium. And, therefore, they require considerable amount of energy

[(12)]. Some bacteria do not synthesize siderophores but have the receptors for them.

These bacteria act as cheaters, exploiting "public goods" that they have not contributed

to create. Due to these peculiarities, the interactions between siderophore producers and

non-producers have been explored with cultures of marine *Vibrio* to illustrate

competition for public goods [(13)] and the evolutionary implications of these

interactions have been reviewed [(14)]. Most experimental information, however, comes

from studies with pure cultures of *Proteobacteria*, particularly of different *Vibrio* and

Pseudomonas strains. But the issue has not been analyzed in nature where the large

diversity of coexisting microorganisms may result in complex interactions.

In the Arctic, *Bacteroidetes* become the most abundant taxon of the assemblage in

summer. On the other hand, *Proteobacteria*, that are the most abundant taxa in winter

and early spring, decrease in abundance (8). One remarkable difference between

Proteobacteria and *Bacteroidetes* is the capability to synthesize siderophores.

Proteobacteria have been shown to synthesize dozens of different siderophores (15),

while almost no instances of siderophore synthesis are known among *Bacteroidetes*

(16). However, *Bacteroidetes* are known to have receptors for iron-siderophore

complexes (17), (18). Therefore, we examine the time evolution of the bacterial

assemblage and the presence and abundance of iron-related genes through the ice

melting period with the aim to test whether the iron acquisition strategies may have a

role in the outcome of the competition among taxa.

92
93

Materials and methods

Sampling

Samples were collected in Dease Strait, lower Northwest Passage, Nunavut, Canada

(69.03°N, 105.33°W; Figure 1). Water depth was 60 m and samples were collected

between 7 March and 24 June 2014, as part of the 2014 Ice Covered Ecosystem-

CAMbridge Bay Process Study (ICE-CAMPS). During the ICE-CAMPS campaign,

Dease Strait was covered by first-year ice (1.8-2.1 m thick) with drifted snow ranging

from 5 to < 25 cm in depth, until melt commenced on 8 June. Snow melt caused the ice

surface to flood by 16 June (19), followed by subsequent drainage and the formation of

melt ponds that persisted until ice break-up on 19 July. One week after ice break-up, the

site was sampled a final time in ice-free waters on 30 July aboard the *R/V Martin*

Bergmann.

Water samples were collected opportunistically thirteen times, through a 20-cm

diameter hole from 7 to 18 March 2014, protected by a portable tent. For the remainder

of the ice-covered season (21 April to 24 June 2014), samples were taken from a 2-m^2

sampling hole maintained within a heated tent. Finally, the 30 July sample was

collected, as mentioned, form a ship. A submersible water pump mounted on an under-

ice arm was used to collect ice-water interface at 2.5 m. Hydrographic profiles were also

taken alongside using a conductivity, temperature and depth probe (RBR XR-620 CTD)

equipped with a chlorophyll (chl) *a in vivo* fluorescence sensor. The region is in close

proximity to mainland Canada, and receives freshwater inputs from the nearby

Coppermine, Hood, Burnside and Ellice rivers (Figure 1). Further details about the

study site can be found in Campbell *et al.* (20), (21), (22).

Physico-chemical measurements

Approximately at 10 m from the location of water sampling, profiles of under-ice

photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) were collected between 10:00 am and 12:30

pm local time. This was done using a spherical underwater sensor (LI-193 LI-COR)

lowered through an auger hole that took measurements at 1-m depth intervals from the

ice-water interface down to 20 m. During measurements the sampling hole was covered

to limit contamination by surface radiation. Disturbance of this sites for light

measurement was kept to a minimum, where the hole was approached along a single

path from the north.

Following transport to laboratory facilities, water samples that were kept in darkness

close to, but not below, -2˚C, and were immediately processed for chl *a* and nucleic

acids. Subsamples for chl *a* were filtered onto GF/F filters (Whatmann) before

subsequent pigment extraction in 10 ml of 90% acetone for 24 h in darkness and at 4 ˚C.

Fluorescence was measured before and after acidification with 5% HCl (Turner Designs

Trilogy Fluorometer) according to Parsons et al. (1984) and chl *a* concentration was

calculated using the equations of Holm-Hansen et al. (23).

In order to collect nucleic acids, approximately 10 l of water was prescreened to remove

visibly identifiable plankton and then filtered through a tiered stainless steel large-

volume filtration system (Millipore) with a succession of 20, 3 and 0.22 µm filters.

Separate filters were prepared for RNA and DNA.   Filters were immediately frozen at

-80˚C. Here we present data from the 0.22 µm filters only.

Nucleic acid extraction

DNA was extracted according to the phenol/chloroform protocol detailed by Massana et

al. (24). After thawing, frozen filters were cut into small pieces and placed into sterile

cryovials. Lysis buffer and lysozyme (final concentration: 1 mg ml^{-1}) were added to the

cryovials and they were incubated at 37 °C for 45 min. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (10%)

and Proteinase K (0.2 mg ml^{-1}) were added and the mixture was incubated at 55°C for 1

h. The lysate was mixed twice with an equal volume of phenol/chloroform/isoamyl

alcohol (25:24:1, pH 8) and centrifuged at 12 000 × rpm (10 min). A second extraction

with chloroform/IAA (24:1) was carried out to remove the residual phenol. The aqueous

phase containing the DNA was concentrated and purified by cleaning up with sterile

purified water using Amicon Ultra Centrifugal filters (Millipore). The DNA yield and

integrity were quantified in a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 1000 Thermo

Fisher) and Qubit fluorimeter (Thermo Fisher). DNA extracts were stored at -80°C.

RNA was extracted after cutting the frozen filters into small pieces and using Qiagen's

RNeasy kit. After a DNase treatment with Ambion's Turbo DNA-free kit, RNA yield

and integrity were quantified with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop 1000

Thermo Fisher) and a Qubit fluorometer (Thermo Fisher). The RNA extracts were

stored at -80°C. Prior to sequencing, ribosomal RNA depletion was performed by

Sequentia Biotech (http://www.sequentiabiotech.com/) using Illumina's TruSeq

Stranded Total RNA LT – with RiboZero.

High-throughput sequencing (HTS)

DNA samples were sequenced in two batches. The first batch included most

metagenomic samples and was sequenced at CNAG (https://www.cnag.crg.eu) on the

Illumina HiSeq2000 sequencing platform using a TruSeq paired-end cluster kit, v3. The

second batch included four metagenomic samples (May 10, June 1, June 15, and July

30) and all metatranscriptomic samples. The numbers of reads per sample are shown in

Supplementary Table S1. We used the SqueezeMeta pipeline (v1.3) and the SQMtools

R package (25), (26) for all the bioinformatics analyses. Details of the assembly are

shown in Supplementary Table S2. Average gene copy numbers per genome were

calculated as described in Puente-Sánchez *et al.*, (26) using the median coverage of 10

universal single-copy marker genes (27). These markers are particularly suitable for

normalizing metagenomic and metatranscriptomic data to provide estimates of relative

per-cell gene copies, because they represent constitutively expressed single-copy

housekeeping genes (27). Furthermore, since average copy numbers are normalized

against the coverage of well conserved genes, they should be unaffected by the

percentage of mapped and/or annotated reads, making them better to compare the

abundances of the same function in different samples. Thus, throughout the manuscript

we will use average copy numbers per genome when discussing the normalized

abundance of individual functions in the microbiome, and percentages of reads assigned

to each taxon when discussing taxonomic distributions. Sequences were deposited under

NCBI BioProject ID PRJNA803814.

Taxonomic assignment of ORFs and contigs

For each ORF DIAMOND (v0.9.22) homology searches were performed with its amino acid sequence against the GenBank nr database (downloaded in September, 2019). An e-value cutoff of 1e-03 was set to discard poor hits. The best hit was obtained, and then we selected a range of hits (valid hits) having at least 80% of the bitscore of the best hit, and with an identity to the nr database no smaller than 10% of the identity of the best hit. The Last Common Ancestor (LCA) of all these hits was obtained at diverse taxonomic ranks (from phylum to species). In order to allow for some flexibility, the case of putative transfer events or incorrect annotations in the database, we reported a taxon as the LCA as long as it was supported by 90% of the valid hits.

To ensure trustworthy annotations we also required a minimum identity to the nr database in order to assign ORFs to the different taxonomic ranks. These identity cutoffs were based on Luo *et al.* (28), and were 85, 60, 55, 50, 46, 42 and 40% for the species, genus, family, order, class, phylum and superkingdom ranks, respectively.

Finally, for each contig, we obtained a consensus taxonomy from the annotations of all the ORFs encoded in the contig, such as 50% of all the genes (regardless of whether they could be annotated or not) and 70% of the annotated genes belonging to the same taxon. After mapping of the reads to the contigs using Bowtie2 v2.3.4.1 (29), contig taxonomies were used for calculating global taxon abundances in our samples, while ORF taxonomies were used to calculate the taxonomic distribution of particular functions.

Functional annotation of open reading frames (ORFs)

The ORFs were functionally annotated into Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) by downloading the eggNOG database (30), selecting the entries annotated as COGs, creating a DIAMOND database and using it for annotation as described in Tamames and Puente-Sánchez (25). COGs were used for functional annotation instead of KEGG orthologs (KOs) or PFAMs, since their finer granularity allowed for a more careful curation of the annotations (see next section).

Identification of siderophore synthesis genes and pathways

Pathways for siderophore synthesis usually include two types of enzymes. In the first steps, fairly common enzymes are used, such as for example the protein catalyzing the step chorismate to isochorismate. These enzymes are coded by genes that are common, not only to several siderophore synthesis pathways, but also to more general central metabolic pathways. And next, several additional steps that usually require nonribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPs), polyketide synthases, and NRPs-independent siderophore synthetases (NIS) that condense small and large molecules until the complex structures of the siderophores are completed. In many cases, several of these NRPs are common to different pathways and, thus, different gene names are classified in the same COG category. For example, COG3486 has representatives in at least 13 siderophore synthesis pathways (see Supplementary Figure S1). Thus, detecting this COG in metagenomes does not indicate which siderophore pathway is present. On the contrary, some genes are assigned to more than one COG, further complicating the issue. For example, gene *dhbE* in the bacillibactin synthesis pathway is assigned to COGs 0318 (AMP-dependent synthetase and ligase) and COG1021 (2,3-dihydroxybenzoate-AMP ligase). We checked manually all the siderophore synthesis

pathways in MetaCyc (31) and added a few more (Supplementary Table S3). We have

summarized this complexity in a network (Supplementary Figure S1) that will facilitate

identification of both common and unique genes in the synthesis pathways of the

different siderophores.

Since identifying the siderophore pathways that are present in metagenomes and

expressed in metatranscriptomes is complicated, we adopted the following criteria:

1. In order to state that the pathway for a given siderophore MAY BE present, all

the genes in the pathway must be present.

2. In order to state that the pathway IS present, besides presence of all the genes, at

least one of the genes must be unique to this pathway, one that is not shared

among different pathways.

3. If at least one gene of a pathway is absent, we consider the whole pathway

absent.

There was an additional difficulty. A substantial portion of the genes involved in

siderophore synthesis belonged to COG0318. This COG includes genes *entE* in

salmochelin and enterobactin pathways (three different steps in the pathways), *pvdI* and

pvdL in pyoverdin (first condensation step), *dhbE* in bacillibactin (two successive

steps), *asbC* in petrobactin (a ligase in two steps), and *acsA* in achromobactin (synthase,

four steps). It also includes several enzymes unrelated to siderophore synthesis. Thus,

we considered the presence of this COG necessary to fulfill criterion 1 above. However,

we did not count the reads assigned to this COG in the quantitation of siderophore

synthesis genes, because many of them might code for genes in other metabolic routes.

We followed a similar procedure for the rest of the COGs, using for quantitation only

those which could be unambiguously assigned to siderophore biosynthesis pathways

using the high quality – manually curated SwissProt database (32). Some of them,

however, should be involved in siderophore synthesis. Therefore, our counts of

siderophore synthesis genes are underestimates.

RESULTS

Changes in ecosystem parameters

Daylight increased from <12 h during early March to 24 h in 21 May. The consequent

increase in surface irradiance was intensified by the progressive melt of surface snow

and ice, with PAR transmitted to 2.5 m depth increasing steadily until 12 June,

thereafter, increasing nonlinearly (Figure 2a) as melt ponds formed on the surface. Chl *a*

increased steadily throughout the study following the increase in PAR, while salinity

decreased slightly at the surface due to ice melting (Figure 2b-c).

Taxonomic composition of the prokaryotic assemblage

Microbial populations changed along the study (Figure 3a). In early March

Proteobacteria dominated the assemblage. Specially, *Alphaproteobacteria* accounted

for 36% of the reads and *Gammaproteobacteria* for 20%. The two other main groups

were *Taumarchaeota* (12%) and *Bacteroidetes* (9%). Other minor components were

Deltaproteobacteria (5%), *Actinobacteria* (4%), *Betaproteobacteria* (2.5%),

Verrucomicrobia (2.5%), *Euryarchaeota* (2.5%), *Planctomycetes* (2%), and

Marinimicrobia (2%). Progressive changes eventually resulted in a different

composition in June. For example, on 23 June the main groups were *Bacteroidetes*

(55%), *Alphaproteobacteria* (21%), *Gammaproteobacteria* (18%), chloroplasts (12%),

and *Actinobacteria* (2%).

Alphaproteobacteria were, as mentioned, the most abundant group in late winter. The

most important orders were *Pelagibacterales* and *Rhodobacterales*, followed by

unclassified *Alphaproteobacteria*, and small contributions from *Rhodospirillales* and

Sphingomonadales (Figure 3b). This is a fairly usual composition in the oceans.

Gammaproteobacteria increased up to 1 June, when they reached 37% of the reads and

decreased slightly afterwards (Figure 3a). The most abundant group could not be

classified beyond the class level. The next taxa in abundance were *Cand*. Thioglobus

and *Cellvibrionales* (Figure 3b). Another unusual group was the Order

Pseudomonadales. In contrast, *Gammaproteobacteria* groups commonly abundant in

the oceans such as SAR86 and *Alteromonadales* had only small contributions.

Throughout the study, *Flavobacteriales* were the most abundant *Bacteroidetes* order,

followed by unclassified *Bacteroidetes*, unclassified *Flavobacteriia*, and *Cytophagales*

(Figure 3b). Most of the increment in *Bacteroidetes* was due to the genus *Polaribacter*

(Supplementary Figure S2). In effect, at the beginning of the study, the *Bacteroidetes*

reads were fairly well distributed among seven taxa: N55, NS9, *Marinoscillum*, NS4,

Polaribacter, *Owenweeksia* and *Sufflavibacter* in order of abundance and several other

minor components. In late June, on the other hand, 60% of the reads belonged to

Polaribacter and the rest to several other taxa. The increase in *Bacteroidetes* closely

followed the phytoplankton summer bloom, as indicated both by chl *a* (Figure 2) and

chloroplasts (Figure 3a).

Actinobacteria were present in small amounts throughout the study. Two more bacterial

taxa, *Verrucomicrobia* and *Deltaproteobacteria*, were significant at the beginning of the

time series, but eventually disappeared. Likewise, the two archaeal phyla

Thaumarchaeota and *Euryarchaeota* were abundant in March and almost disappeared

with the seasonal progression. The bloom of eukaryotic algae in June was reflected as a

slight increase in the chloroplast reads towards the end of the study period (Figure 3a).

Main iron transport genes

We searched for almost 250 unique genes grouped in 93 COG categories related to iron

acquisition and siderophore synthesis (Supplementary Table S3). We pooled the genes

into groups with similar functions (Table 1, see scheme in Supplementary Figure S3).

Thus, the main transporters of iron were classified into: i) ferrous iron ABC

transporters, ii) ferric iron siderophore outer membrane transporters (TonB dependent),

and iii) ferric iron ABC transporters. These are the proteins destined to transfer ferrous

iron across the cell membrane and ferric iron bound to siderophores across both

membranes. Other categories were iv) divalent cation ABC transporters (transporting

iron and other cations across the cell membrane), v) transporters of iron bound to

dicitrate, vi) transporters of heme groups, vii) storage of iron as ferritin, and viii)

regulators of iron metabolism. Finally, we also examined siderophore synthesis genes

(see next section).

Normalized abundance of iron related genes increased from a copy number (CN) of less

than 5 in March to higher than 8 in late June (Figure 4a). Thus, the CN of genes devoted

to iron acquisition almost doubled along the time series. Three of our categories, ferric

iron-siderophore ABC transporters, storage, and siderophore synthesis did not change

significantly. Two other, heme and divalent cation ABC transporters increased

moderately, while ferric iron TonB dependent, dicitrate and ferrous iron ABC

transporters increased substantially. Iron uptake regulation genes also increased and

were those with highest CN throughout the study (between 2 and 4, Figure 4a).

Globally, regulator genes accounted for about half of the copies in the category, ferric

iron (TonB dependent and ABC) for a quarter and the remaining genes for another

quarter.

The taxonomy of these genes generally corresponded to that of the community

(compare Figs. 3a and 4b). In other words, the most abundant taxa were responsible for

most of the iron related genes. There was only one obvious difference: while

Alphaproteobacteria were always the first or the second group in abundance, they were

always the third one when considering only the iron-related genes, with

Gammaproteobacteria and *Bacteroidetes* alternating as those with the most genes. This

underrepresentation was likely due to the fact that the most abundant member of the

Alphaproteobacteria was the order *Pelagibacterales* (Figure 3b), that is known to lack

all TonB dependent transporters and to have a very small percent of their genome

devoted to iron acquisition genes (Cobo-Simón *et al*., in preparation).

Ferric iron transport genes

There were large differences in abundance and taxonomy between the outer membrane

and cell membrane transporters (Figure 5a and b). The TonB dependent outer

membrane transporters increased from a CN of 0.3 in March to reach a maximum of 1.6

in late June. Most of this increase was due to *Bacteroidetes* (Figure 5a). In effect, this

group accounted for 90% of the reads of these genes in late June. On the contrary, the

inner membrane ABC transporters remained almost constant around a CN of 0.9. And

the taxonomy was also completely different. In order of importance the main groups

were *Alpha-*, *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Bacteoridetes* in the third place (Figure 5b).

An alternative path to obtain ferric iron is incorporating it bound to dicitrate. The genes

for this process increased in parallel to the outer membrane TonB dependent

transporters, although at lower CNs (always below 0.6, Supplementary Figure S4a). In

this case, *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were responsible for most of the

reads.

The last ferric iron transporter genes that we found were those devoted to transport

heme bound iron (Supplementary Figure S4b). These genes also increased with time,

mostly due to the *Gammproteobacteria*. *Bacteroidetes* did not have these genes. And

towards the end of the study *Actinobacteria* and *Chlorophyta* contributed significantly.

The CNs, however, were low, always below 0.15.

Siderophore synthesis genes

The CN of siderophore synthesis genes oscillated slightly around 0.025 (Figure 6).

These values are likely underestimated because we excluded all the reads of COG0318

(see M&M). Still, the CNs are very low compared to the genes to incorporate iron

bound to siderophores. Thus, the effort dedicated to siderophore synthesis was

moderate, and more or less constant. A portion of these genes could not be assigned to

particular bacterial groups. But, of those that could be assigned, most belonged to

Proteobacteria. Interestingly, *Beta-* and *Deltaproteobacteria* were significant

contributors in March, while *Gamma-* and to a lesser extent *Alphaproteobacteria* were

the main groups in June. A striking difference with previous groups of genes was that

Bacteroidetes almost did not contribute anything to siderophore synthesis.

With the criteria explained in M&M we could confidently state that pathways for eight

siderophores were present: alcaligin, enterobactin, mycobactin, myxochelin,

petrobactin, salmochelin, and staphyloferrins A and B, and that four were absent

(pyochelin, pyoverdin, yersiniabactin, and amphibactin). Again, considering our criteria,

the presence of the remaining studied siderophores could not be confirmed

(Supplementary Table S4). All eight siderophore synthesis pathways identified as

present were found in all the metagenomes except the earliest one on 9 March

(Supplementary Table S5).

Ferrous iron transport genes

Ferrous iron is able to diffuse through porins into the periplasmic space. There it can be

transported to the cytoplasm by ABC transporters, either iron specific or able to transfer

several divalent cations including ferrous iron. The ferrous iron specific ABC

transporters increased during the study from a copy number of 0.25 to almost 1 in June

(Figure 7a). Again, most of the increase was due to *Bacteroidetes* with

Gammaproteobacteria having a constant contribution. Several other bacterial groups

had significant contributions in March and disappeared along the study. These included

Actinobacteria, *Planctomycetes*, *Verrucomicrobia* and *Gemmatimonadetes*.

The divalent cation ABC transporters, on the other hand, increased very slightly from a

CN of 0.8 to 1.0 (Figure 7b). Interestingly, *Thaumarchaeota*, *Planctomycetes* and

Verrucomicrobia accounted for half of the reads in March and decreased through

spring. *Alphaproteobacteria* accounted for a constant proportion. *Gammaproteobacteria*

increased until 15 June and then decreased again. Finally, *Bacteroidetes* had the largest

increase becoming dominant in June (70% of the reads).

Other iron related genes

We also looked for iron storage genes, mostly related to ferritin (Supplementary Figure

S5a). There was only a moderate increase in CN, from 0.2 to 0.3. *Thaumarchaeota* were

abundant in March, while *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were abundant in

June. Finally, genes involved in the regulation of iron metabolisms were always the

most abundant ones (Supplementary Figure S5b), with CNs increasing slightly from 2.1

to 3.8 The three most abundant groups of bacteria were also responsible for most of the

reads belonging to these genes.

Gene expression

Taxonomic assignments of the metatranscriptomic reads are shown in Figs. S6 and S7.

On several dates more than half of the reads were either unmapped or unclassified. As a

result, the information they provide is limited. Thus, we have only used the

metatrancriptomes to show that, considering the mapped and classified reads,

expression was proportional to abundance of the taxa. Therefore, there were no

differences among the different taxa in the level of expression.

Discussion

Community composition

The observed change in microbial composition from early spring to summer has previously been reported for the Amundsen Gulf (6), which is approximately 600 km from our study site. The winter community is generally dominated by *Alpha-* and *Gammaproteobacteria*. *Archaea* are important in winter and decrease towards spring and summer (33). Other minor but significant groups in winter are *Betaproteobacteria*, *Verrucomicrobia* and *Actinobacteria*. All of these groups typically decrease and even disappear in summer (8). In contrast, *Bacteroidetes* increase along the spring and become one of the dominant taxa. Predominance of the genus *Polaribacter* is known to be common in polar waters (34). This general structure of groups *Alpha-* and *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* dominating is fairly typical of the Arctic Ocean (8), and is supported by this study. This is in contrast to the usual community composition in temperate waters, where *Alphaproteobacteria* are generally dominant accounting for around 50% of the community, and are followed by *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Cyanobacteria*, while *Bacteroidetes* usually account for only 4% and *Betaproteobacteria* are barely detectable (8).

In general, both abundance and expression of genes involved in iron uptake was proportional to abundance of the organisms. *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were the most important. Debeljak et al. (35) studying heterotrophic bacterial assemblages around Kerguelen Islands, found that *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were the main taxa in the uptake of iron-siderophore complexes, while *Alphaproteobacteria* had a more significant role in Fe(II)

and Fe(III) uptake, just like we found. Likewise, Fourquez et al. (36), using

microautoradiography, found that *Gammaproteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were the

main bacterial taxa active in iron uptake.

Iron in the Arctic Ocean

There have been several studies determining iron concentrations in the Arctic Ocean.

Most of these have been either in the Central Arctic close to the Eurasian coast ((37),

(38), concentrations between 0.4 and 0.6 nM) or in the Chuckhi Sea and the Canadian

Basin (39), (40), (41), (42). In the Bering Sea, Aguilar-Islas et al. (43) found iron to be

limiting in the outer shelf not influenced by ice, but it was sufficient in the outer shelf

influenced by ice. This was due to release of dissolved iron from the melting ice. To our

knowledge, Colombo *et al*. (44) presented the only study in the Canadian Archipelago.

Here, a higher concentration of iron was found than in the deep-water areas to the East

and West, with surface concentrations between 0.40 and 1.91 nM above 40 m and

between 1.10 and 1.15 between 70 and 100 m. They also noted the well-known inverse

correlation between iron concentration and salinity, due to the known influence that

freshwater river discharge and ice melt have on enriching sea water in iron. Therefore,

iron concentrations in the area are much higher than in most of the oceans. Moreover,

N:P ratios in the ice-water interface at our study site suggested nitrogen limitation (21).

Thus, iron was not expected to be limiting in these waters. Despite this, iron is an

essential nutrient for all microorganisms and it requires special proteins to acquire it.

While iron in ocean water could not be directly sampled in this study, our metagenomic

data showed presence of iron acquisition genes in all three dominant bacterial taxa, with

copy numbers larger than 1 in some cases.

Unlike the Arctic Ocean, the Southern Ocean is one of the main HNLC areas in the

world, where phytoplankton growth is limited by iron. However, there is considerable

variability. Thus, in studies of the waters around Kerguelen Islands, Obernosterer *et al.*

(45) could compare HNLC waters on the windward side of the islands with naturally

iron enriched waters on the leeward side. These authors found that heterotrophic

bacteria were limited by iron in both regions. Debeljak *et al.* (35) analyzed the

expression of several iron acquisition genes in the same area and found that transcripts

for Fe(II) and Fe(III) uptake genes were found in higher proportions at the HNLC

stations as compared to the Fe-fertilized sites. In contrast, no such pattern was observed

for siderophore-uptake (35). However, when they analyzed the taxa specific expression,

siderophore uptake transcripts were higher in the HNLC station than in the iron

enriched zones. In principle, we should expect in the Arctic Ocean a situation similar to

that in the iron enriched zone. That is, an abundance of iron uptake genes with those for

siderophores outnumbering those for Fe(II) and Fe(III) and, in effect, this is what we

found. Therefore, it seems a general feature of marine bacterial assemblages that iron

acquisition is a major need of bacteria even under non limiting conditions.

Siderophores

In the aerobic ocean, iron is predominantly in the Fe(III) oxidation state, which has a

very low solubility and is therefore hard to obtain. One of the most common ways for

microorganisms to thus acquire ferric iron is to synthesize siderophores, that can be

carried out by many marine microorganisms (11). The chemistry of siderophores in

marine microorganisms has been reviewed (46), (47). These studies involve pure culture

isolates of marine bacteria in which the siderophores are produced in large enough

quantities to be characterized chemically. Most of these belong to

Gammaproteobacteria genera *Halomonas*, *Vibrio*, *Marinobacter*, *Ochrobacter*,

Pseudoalteromonas, *Shewanella*, as well as cyanobacteria such as *Synechoccocus* (15).

Concentrations of siderophores have been measured in a vertical profile at ALOHA

station off Hawaii (48), transects from the coast offshore in the southeast Pacific (49),

the California Current System (50), and along a latitudinal transect in the oligotrophic

Atlantic Ocean (51). In all these studies, ferrioxamines were found in surface waters,

while amphibactins were typically more abundant in deep waters and in high nutrient

low chlorophyll zones. Extrapolating this distribution to the costal Arctic, we would

expect genes for ferrioxamines but not those of amphibactins in our 60 m deep study

region. Actually, we found the whole set of genes for ferrioxamines B and E, which

would be in agreement with the previous studies. However, none of these genes was

unique to these pathways and, therefore, we cannot assert whether these siderophores

were present in our samples or not.

The pathways for synthesis of amphibactins have not been as well characterized.

However, the pathway in *Vibrio neptunius*, a pathogen of bivalve mollusks has been

determined (52). In our metagenomes we found all the genes for this pathway but one.

Moreover, all the genes found were common to other siderophore synthesis pathways.

According to our conservative criteria, amphibactin synthesis pathways were not

present in our samples. This would agree with the absence of amphibactins from surface

ocean waters. Amphibactins have a polar head with the function of binding iron linked

to a fatty acid tail that may be anchored in the outer cell membranes, thus avoiding the

dispersion of the siderophore beyond the reach of the producing organism (53) (15).

This is a way to avoid utilization of siderophores by alien microorganisms and would

seem an adaptive strategy in the oceans. However, as discussed, they do not seem to be

abundant in surface marine waters and we did not find the complete pathway in our

study.

Siderophores in Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes

Proteobacteria is the bacterial phylum in which siderophore synthesis and uptake has

been most studied, particularly concerning pathogenic *Gammproteobacteria* (54), (55).

In contrast, experimental evidence of siderophore synthesis in *Bacteroidetes* is scarce.

Some pathogenic *Flavobacteria* can synthesize siderophores with genes in a plasmid

(56). Delmont *et al*. (57) found siderophore synthesis genes in three *Bacteroidetes*

metagenomic assembled genomes (MAGs), including two *Polaribacter* and one

Cryomorphaceae, in a bloom in Antarctica. The *Proteobacteria* MAGs they found did

not have these genes. Guan *et al*. (16) found a ferrichrome (*fhuA*) receptor in the fish

pathogen *Flavobacterium columnare* that was shown to also synthesize siderophores

with the chrome azurol assay. Chen *et al*. (47) reviewed siderophores from marine

bacteria and found over 80 of all the known siderophore families. Most siderophores

were synthesized by *Gammaproteobacteria* and a few by *Alpha-* and

Betaproteobacteria and *Actinomycetes*. Only one siderophore was synthesized by a

Bacteroidetes. This was bisucaberin B made by *Tenacibaculum mesophilum* isolated

from a tropical sponge. Fujita *et al*. (58) claimed this was the first siderophore made by

a *Bacteroidetes*. In *Allivibrio salmonicida* (*Gammaproteobacteria*) the gene cluster for

synthesis and uptake of this siderophore is flanked by transposases. This suggests that

this cluster may have been acquired by lateral gene transfer. The same might have

occurred in *Tenacibaculum*. Bisucaberin was one of the siderophores that might be

synthesized in the Arctic, but the reads did not belong to *Bacteroidetes*.

On the other hand, there is evidence of *Bacteroidetes* being able to use siderophores

made by other bacteria. D'Onofrio *et al.* (17) found that a *Maribacter polysiphoniae* (a

member of the family *Flavobacteriaceae*) could grow on solid agar when supplemented

with a siderophore from a producer bacterium such as *Micrococcus luteus* isolated from

the same sediment environment. Zhu *et al.* (18) showed that *Bacteroides*

thetaiotaomicron could use enterobactin and salmochellin from *E. coli* and

Pseudomonas in the intestine with *xusABC* genes. Our results are consistent with this

model of *Bacteroidetes* contributing very little to synthesis but considerably to uptake

of siderophores.

Iron-siderophore complexes as common goods

In our Arctic study, the time series followed the development of the seasonal

phytoplankton bloom (5), which is triggered by the increasing intensity and duration of

solar radiation available (59). In addition to the increased growth of primary producers

as ice melts, large amounts of polysaccharides made by ice-algae may be released in the

water column (60). For this reason, *Bacteroidetes* are known to grow better when

accompanying algal blooms and have a large array of outer cell membrane proteins to

take up polysaccharides from them (61), (62). This is likely the main factor in the

dominance by *Polaribacter* within this study. However, given the substantial amounts

of genes for siderophore uptake and their active expression, it seems that iron was a

desirable commodity. Our data on the siderophore synthesis and uptake genes are

consistent with competition for siderophores between *Gammaproteobacteria* and

Bacteroidetes. Both taxa dedicated most iron acquisition genes to the uptake of iron-

siderophores. *Bacteroidetes* seemed to act as cheaters while *Gammaproteobacteria* were

synthesizing them. It seems likely that this characteristic, among others, may have

helped *Bacteroidetes* to become the most abundant group at the end of the study. Our

results confirm the idea of siderophores as public goods, highlight the fact that iron-

siderophores are competitively sought after even in environments not limited by iron,

and open a fascinating avenue of research for future Arctic cruises.

Author contributions (not necessary in ISME journal)

Conceived and designed the work: C.J. Mundy, CPA.

Acquired and processed data: C.J. Mundy, K. Campbell, Marta Royo-Llonch, Vanessa

Balagué, P. Sánchez, L. Macías, F, Puente-Sánchez, J. Tamames.

Drafted the manuscript: L. Macías, F. Puente-Sánchez, CPA.

All authors contributed to discussion of the results, finalization and approval of the

manuscript.

Acknowledgements. Sampling in Dease Strait was funded by an NSERC Discovery

and Northern Research Supplement Grant to C.J.M., and in-kind support from the

Canadian High Arctic Research Station (CHARS). A. Delaforge assisted greatly in data

collection and sample processing. Sequencing and data analysis were funded by grant

PID2019-110011RB-C33 from the Agencia Estatal de Investigación of the Spanish

Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad to J.T. and C.P.-A. Initial computational

analyses were carried out at the Marine Bioinformatics Core facility MARBITS at ICM-

CSIC Barcelona, as well as nucleic acid extractions. Most computation and analyses

were carried out at CNB.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Steele M, Ermold W, Zhang J. Arctic Ocean surface warming trends over the past 100 years. Geophys Res Lett. 2008;35(2):1–6.

2. Stroeve J, Notz D. Changing state of Arctic sea ice across all seasons. Environ Res Lett. 2018;13(10).

3. Wang Z, Li Z, Zeng J, Liang S, Zhang P, Tang F, et al. Spatial and Temporal Variations of Arctic Sea Ice From 2002 to 2017. Earth Sp Sci. 2020;7(9):1–20.

4. Li WKW, McLaughlin F a, Lovejoy C, Carmack EC. Smallest algae thrive as the Arctic Ocean freshens. Science [Internet]. 2009 Oct 23 [cited 2013 Mar 19];326(5952):539. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19900890

5. Bunse C, Pinhassi J. Marine Bacterioplankton Seasonal Succession Dynamics. Trends Microbiol [Internet]. 2017;25(6):494–505. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2016.12.013

6. Alonso-Sáez L, Sánchez O, Gasol JM, Balagué V, Pedrós-Alio C. Winter-to-summer changes in the composition and single-cell activity of near-surface Arctic prokaryotes. Environ Microbiol. 2008;10(9).

7. Sala MM, Terrado R, Lovejoy C, Unrein F, Pedrós-Alió C. Metabolic diversity of heterotrophic bacterioplankton over winter and spring in the coastal Arctic Ocean. Environ Microbiol [Internet]. 2008 Apr [cited 2010 Jul

16];10(4):942–9. Available from:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18218033

8.   Pedrós-Alió C, Potvin M, Lovejoy C. Diversity of planktonic microorganisms

in the Arctic Ocean. Prog Oceanogr. 2015;139.

9.   Johnson KS, Gordon RM, Coale KH. What controls dissolved iron in the world

ocean? Mar Chem. 1997;57:137–61.

10.   Moore CM, Mills MM, Arrigo KR, Berman-Frank I, Bopp L, Boyd PW, et al.

Processes and patterns of oceanic nutrient limitation. Nat Geosci [Internet].

2013;6(9):701–10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1765

11.   Ahmed E, Holmström SJM. Siderophores in environmental research: Roles

and applications. Microb Biotechnol. 2014;7(3):196–208.

12.   Khan A, Singh P, Srivastava A. Synthesis, nature and utility of universal iron

chelator – Siderophore: A review. Vols. 212–213, Microbiological Research.

Elsevier GmbH; 2018. p. 103–11.

13.   Cordero OX, Ventouras LA, DeLong EF, Polz MF. Public good dynamics drive

evolution of iron acquisition strategies in natural bacterioplankton

populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012 Dec 4;109(49):20059–64.

14.   Kramer J, Özkaya Ö, Kümmerli R. Bacterial siderophores in community and

host interactions. Vol. 18, Nature Reviews Microbiology. Nature Research;

2020. p. 152–63.

15.   Vraspir JM, Butler A. Chemistry of marine ligands and siderophores. Vol. 1,

Annual Review of Marine Science. 2009. p. 43–63.

16.   Guan L, Santander J, Mellata M, Zhang Y, Curtiss R. Identification of an iron

acquisition machinery in Flavobacterium columnare. Dis Aquat Organ. 2013

Oct 11;106(2):129–38.

17.   D'Onofrio A, Crawford JM, Stewart EJ, Witt K, Gavrish E, Epstein S, et al.

Siderophores from Neighboring Organisms Promote the Growth of

Uncultured Bacteria. Chem Biol. 2010 Mar 26;17(3):254–64.

18.   Zhu W, Winter MG, Spiga L, Hughes ER, Chanin R, Mulgaonkar A, et al.

Xenosiderophore Utilization Promotes Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron

Resilience during Colitis. Cell Host Microbe. 2020 Mar 11;27(3):376-388.e8.

19.   Diaz A, Ehn JK, Landy JC, Else BGT, Campbell K, Papakyriakou TN. The

Energetics of Extensive Meltwater Flooding of Level Arctic Sea Ice. J Geophys

Res Ocean. 2018 Dec 1;123(12):8730–48.

20.   Campbell K, Mundy CJ, Barber DG, Gosselin M. Characterizing the sea ice

algae chlorophyll a-snow depth relationship over Arctic spring melt using

transmitted irradiance. J Mar Syst [Internet]. 2015;147:76–84. Available

from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.01.008

21.   Campbell K, Mundy CJ, Landy JC, Delaforge A, Michel C, Rysgaard S.

Community dynamics of bottom-ice algae in Dease Strait of the Canadian

Arctic. Prog Oceanogr. 2016 Dec 1;149:27–39.

22.   Campbell K, Mundy CJ, Gosselin M, Landy JC, Delaforge A, Rysgaard S. Net

community production in the bottom of first-year sea ice over the Arctic

spring bloom. Geophys Res Lett. 2017 Sep 16;44(17):8971–8.

23.   Holm-Hansen O, Lorenzen CJ, Holmes RW, Strickland JDH. Fluorometric

Determination of Chlorophyll. ICES J Mar Sci. 1965;30(1):3–15.

24.   Massana R, Balagué V, Guillou L, Pedrós-Alió C. Picoeukaryotic diversity in

an oligotrophic coastal site studied by molecular and culturing approaches.

FEMS Microbiol Ecol [Internet]. 2004 Nov [cited 2010 Jul 14];50(3):231–43.

Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19712363

25.   Tamames J, Puente-Sánchez F. SqueezeMeta, a highly portable, fully

automatic metagenomic analysis pipeline. Front Microbiol. 2019;10(JAN):1–

10.

26.   Puente-Sánchez F, García-García N, Tamames J. SQMtools: Automated

processing and visual analysis of'omics data with R and anvi'o. bioRxiv.

2020;2:1–11.

27.   Salazar G, Paoli L, Alberti A, Huerta-Cepas J, Ruscheweyh HJ, Cuenca M, et al.

Gene Expression Changes and Community Turnover Differentially Shape the

Global Ocean Metatranscriptome. Cell. 2019;179(5):1068-1083.e21.

28.   Luo C, Rodriguez-R LM, Konstantinidis KT. MyTaxa: An advanced taxonomic

classifier for genomic and metagenomic sequences. Nucleic Acids Res.

2014;42(8):1–12.

29.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat

Methods. 2012;9(4):357–9.

30.   Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Forslund K, Cook H, Heller D, Walter MC, et al.

EGGNOG 4.5: A hierarchical orthology framework with improved functional

annotations for eukaryotic, prokaryotic and viral sequences. Nucleic Acids

Res. 2016;44(D1):D286–93.

31.   Caspi R, Billington R, Keseler IM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, Midford PE, et

al. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes-a 2019

update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020 Jan 1;48(D1):D455–D453.

32.   Bateman A, Martin MJ, Orchard S, Magrane M, Agivetova R, Ahmad S, et al.

UniProt: The universal protein knowledgebase in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res.

2021;49(D1):D480–9.

33.   Alonso-Sáez L, Waller AS, Mende DR, Bakker K, Farnelid H, Yager PL, et al.

Role for urea in nitrification by polar marine Archaea. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S

A. 2012;109(44).

34.   Ghiglione J-F, Galand PE, Pommier T, Pedrós-Alió C, Maas EW, Bakker K, et al.

Pole-to-pole biogeography of surface and deep marine bacterial

communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012 Oct;109(43):17633–8.

35.   Debeljak P, Toulza E, Beier S, Blain S, Obernosterer I. Microbial iron

metabolism as revealed by gene expression profiles in contrasted Southern

Ocean regimes. Environ Microbiol. 2019;21(7):2360–74.

36.   Fourquez M, Beier S, Jongmans E, Hunter R, Obernosterer I. Uptake of

leucine, chitin, and iron by prokaryotic groups during spring phytoplankton

blooms induced by natural iron fertilization off Kerguelen Island (Southern

Ocean). Front Mar Sci. 2016;3(DEC):1–13.

37.   Klunder MB, Bauch D, Laan P, De Baar HJW, Van Heuven S, Ober S. Dissolved

iron in the Arctic shelf seas and surface waters of the central Arctic Ocean:

Impact of Arctic river water and ice-melt. J Geophys Res Ocean.

2012;117(1):1–18.

38.   Klunder MB, Laan P, Middag R, De Baar HJW, Bakker K. Dissolved iron in the

Arctic Ocean: Important role of hydrothermal sources, shelf input and

scavenging removal. J Geophys Res Ocean. 2012;117(4):1–17.

39.   Nakayama Y, Fujita S, Kuma K, Shimada K. Iron and humic-type fluorescent

dissolved organic matter in the Chukchi Sea and Canada Basin of the western

Arctic Ocean. J Geophys Res Ocean. 2011;116(7):1–16.

40.   Aguilar-Islas AM, Rember R, Nishino S, Kikuchi T, Itoh M. Partitioning and

lateral transport of iron to the Canada Basin. Polar Sci [Internet].

2013;7(2):82–99. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2012.11.001

41. Hioki N, Kuma K, Morita Y, Sasayama R, Ooki A, Kondo Y, et al. Laterally

spreading iron, humic-like dissolved organic matter and nutrients in cold,

dense subsurface water of the Arctic Ocean. Sci Rep. 2014;4:1–9.

42. Kondo Y, Obata H, Hioki N, Ooki A, Nishino S, Kikuchi T, et al. Transport of

trace metals (Mn, Fe, Ni, Zn and Cd) in the western Arctic Ocean (Chukchi

Sea and Canada Basin) in late summer 2012. Deep Res Part I Oceanogr Res

Pap. 2016 Oct 1;116:236–52.

43. Aguilar-Islas AM, Rember RD, Mordy CW, Wu J. Sea ice-derived dissolved

iron and its potential influence on the spring algal bloom in the Bering Sea.

Geophys Res Lett. 2008;35(24):10–4.

44. Colombo M, Jackson SL, Cullen JT, Orians KJ. Dissolved iron and manganese

in the Canadian Arctic Ocean: On the biogeochemical processes controlling

their distributions. Geochim Cosmochim Acta. 2020 May 15;277:150–74.

45. Obernosterer I, Fourquez M, Blain S. Fe and C co-limitation of heterotrophic

bacteria in the naturally fertilized region off the Kerguelen Islands.

Biogeosciences. 2015;12(6):1983–92.

46. Sandy M, Butler A. Microbial iron acquisition: Marine and terrestrial

siderophores. Chem Rev. 2009 Oct 14;109(10):4580–95.

47. Chen J, Guo Y, Lu Y, Wang B, Sun J, Zhang H, et al. Chemistry and biology of

siderophores from marine microbes. Vol. 17, Marine Drugs. MDPI AG; 2019.

48. Bundy RM, Boiteau RM, McLean C, Turk-Kubo KA, McIlvin MR, Saito MA, et

al. Distinct siderophores contribute to iron cycling in the mesopelagic at

station ALOHA. Front Mar Sci. 2018 Mar 1;5(MAR).

49. Boiteau RM, Mende DR, Hawco NJ, McIlvin MR, Fitzsimmons JN, Saito MA, et

al. Siderophore-based microbial adaptations to iron scarcity across the

eastern Pacific Ocean. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016 Dec 13;113(50):14237–

42.

50. Boiteau RM, Till CP, Coale TH, Fitzsimmons JN, Bruland KW, Repeta DJ.

Patterns of iron and siderophore distributions across the California Current

System. Limnol Oceanogr. 2019 Jan 1;64(1):376–89.

51. Mawji E, Gledhill M, Milton JA, Tarran GA, Ussher S, Thompson A, et al.

Hydroxamate siderophores: Occurrence and importance in the Atlantic

Ocean. Environ Sci Technol. 2008 Dec 1;42(23):8675–80.

52. Galvis F, Ageitos L, Martínez-Matamoros D, Barja JL, Rodríguez J, Lemos ML,

et al. The marine bivalve molluscs pathogen Vibrio neptunius produces the

siderophore amphibactin, which is widespread in molluscs microbiota.

Environ Microbiol. 2020 Dec 1;22(12):5467–82.

53. Martinez JS, Butler A. Marine amphiphilic siderophores: Marinobactin

structure, uptake, and microbial partitioning. J Inorg Biochem. 2007

Nov;101(11–12):1692–8.

54. Porcheron G, Garénaux A, Proulx J, Sabri M, Dozois CM. Iron, copper, zinc,

and manganese transport and regulation in pathogenic Enterobacteria:

Correlations between strains, site of infection and the relative importance of

the different metal transport systems for virulence. Front Cell Infect

Microbiol. 2013;3(DEC):1–24.

55. Holden VI, Bachman MA. Diverging roles of bacterial siderophores during

infection. Metallomics. 2015 Jun 1;7(6):986–95.

56. Møller JD, Ellis AE, Barnes AC, Dalsgaard I. Iron acquisition mechanisms of

Flavobacterium psychrophilum. J Fish Dis. 2005;28(7):391–8.

57.   Delmont TO, Murat Eren A, Vineis JH, Post AF. Genome reconstructions

indicate the partitioning of ecological functions inside a phytoplankton

bloom in the Amundsen Sea, Antarctica. Front Microbiol. 2015;6(OCT).

58.   Fujita MJ, Nakano K, Sakai R. Bisucaberin B, a linear hydroxamate class

siderophore from the marine bacterium tenacibaculum mesophilum.

Molecules. 2013;18(4):3917–26.

59.   Back DY, Ha SY, Else B, Hanson M, Jones SF, Shin KH, et al. On the impact of

wastewater effluent on phytoplankton in the Arctic coastal zone: A case

study in the Kitikmeot Sea of the Canadian Arctic. Sci Total Environ

[Internet]. 2021;764:143861. Available from:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143861

60.   Niemi A, Meisterhans G, Michel C. Response of under-ice prokaryotes to

experimental sea-ice DOM enrichment. Aquat Microb Ecol. 2014;73(1):17–

28.

61.   Fernández-Gómez B, Richter M, Schueler M, Pinhassi J, Acinas SG, González

JM, et al. Ecology of marine Bacteroidetes: a comparative genomics

approach. ISME J. 2013 May;7(5):1026–37.

62.   Reintjes G, Fuchs BM, Scharfe M, Wiltshire KH, Amann R, Arnosti C. Short-

term changes in polysaccharide utilization mechanisms of marine

bacterioplankton during a spring phytoplankton bloom. Environ Microbiol.

2020;22(5):1884–900.

     FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Map of study location near Cambridge Bay, Nunavut. Inset includes

approximate locations of the main rivers in the area.

Figure 2. (a) Integrated chlorophyll *a* (mg m^{-2}) and PAR (μEinst m^{-2} s^{-1}) along day of

year. (b) Time-depth diagram of chlorophyll *a* (μg L^{-1}). (c) Time-depth diagram of

salinity (‰).

Figure 3. Percent of reads in metagenomes at two different taxonomic levels: phyla and

Proteobacteria classes (a) and orders (b).

Figure 4. (a) Copy numbers of iron related genes through the study period in

metagenomes. (b) Percent of the reads assigned to different taxonomic groups (bars, left

hand scale) and copy numbers (line and right hand scale). **(b).** Taxonomy of iron related

genes.

Figure 5. Taxonomic assignments and copy numbers of ferric iron transporter genes

along the study: outer membrane ferric siderophore TonBD (above) and cell membrane

ABC (below).

Figure 6. Taxonomic assignments (bars) and copy numbers (line and right scale) of

siderophore synthesis genes.

Figure 7. Taxonomic assignments and copy numbers of (a) ferrous iron ABC

transporter genes and (b) divalent cation ABC transporter genes along the study.

771   **Table 1**. Categories of iron transport and siderophore synthesis genes used in the present

772   paper.

Category	Function	Transporter type	Location	Examples
Fe(II)	ferrous iron transport	ABC	Cell membrane	*efeUOB*
Fe(III)-OM	ferric iron +sideorphore transport	TonBD	Outer membrane	*fhuA*
Fe(III)-CM	ferric iron +sideorphore transport	ABC	cell	*fhuBCD*
Divalent cation	divalent cations transport including iron	ABC	cell	*sitABC*
Dicitrate	iron + dicitrate transport	TonBD, ABC	out, cell	*fecABCD*
Heme	iron + heme transport	TonBD, ABC	out, cell	*hemR, hemUV*
Storage	ferritin	-	cytoplasm	*bfr*
Regulators	regulation of iron related genes	-	cytoplasm	*fur, irr*
Siderophore synthesis	Synthesis of siderophores	-	cytoplasm	*entABCDF*

775 **FIGURES**

777 **Figure 1**. Map of study location near Cambridge Bay, Nunavut. Inset includes

778 approximate locations of the main rivers in the area.

Figure 2. (a) Integrated chlorophyll *a* (mg m⁻²) and PAR (µEinst m⁻² s⁻¹) along day of

year. (b) Time-depth diagram of chlorophyll *a* (µg L⁻¹). (c) Time-depth diagram of

salinity (‰).

786 **Figure 3.** Percent of reads in metagenomes at two different taxonomic levels: phyla and

787 Proteobacteria classes (a) and orders (b).

Figure 4. (a) Copy numbers of iron related genes through the study period in

metagenomes. (b) Percent of the reads assigned to different taxonomic groups (bars, left

hand scale) and copy numbers (line and right hand scale). **(b).** Taxonomy of iron related

genes.

Figure 5. Taxonomic assignments and copy numbers of ferric iron transporter genes

along the study: outer membrane ferric siderophore TonBD (above) and cell membrane

ABC (below).

Figure 6. Taxonomic assignments (bars) and copy numbers (line and right scale) of

siderophore synthesis genes.

Figure 7. Taxonomic assignments and copy numbers of (a) ferrous iron ABC

transporter genes and (b) divalent cation ABC transporter genes along the study.