Data-driven models of optimal chromatic coding in the outer retina

Luisa Ramirez[1] and Ronald Dickman[1]

[1] *Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, CEP 31270–901 Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brasil*

1 Abstract

The functional properties of the outermost retinal circuits involved in color discrimination are not well understood. Recent experimental work on zebrafish has elucidated the in-vivo activity of photoreceptors and horizontal cells as a function of the stimulus spectrum, highlighting the appearance of chromatic-opponent signals at the first synaptic connection between cones and horizontal cells. These findings, together with the observed lack of gap junctions, suggest that the mechanism yielding early color-opponency in zebrafish is dominated by inhibitory feedback. We discuss the observed neuronal activity in the context of efficient codification of chromatic information, hypothesizing that opponent chromatic signals provide optimal codification, minimizing signal redundancy. We examine whether these functional properties are general across species by studying the dynamic properties of dichromatic and trichromatic outer retinal networks. Our findings show that dominant inhibitory feedback mechanisms provide an unambiguous codification of chromatic stimuli, whereas this property is not guaranteed in networks with strong excitatory inter-cone connections, for example via gap junctions. This provides a plausible explanation for the absence of gap junctions observed in the outermost zebrafish retinal layers. In addition, our study suggests that the simplest zebrafish-like network with dominant inhibitory feedback capable of optimally codifying chromatic information

requires at least two successive inhibitory feedback layers. Finally, we contrast the chromatic codification performance of zebrafish-inspired retinal networks with networks having different opsin combinations. We find that optimal combinations lead to a chromatic codification improvement of only 13% compared with zebrafish opsins, suggesting that the zebrafish retina performs nearly optimal codification of chromatic information in its habitat.

2 Author summary

Recent experimental work has evidenced that outer neuronal circuits in the zebrafish retina use color-opponent mechanisms to codify and transmit chromatic information at the first synaptic contact between cones and horizontal cells. Inspired by these findings, we propose a data-driven model to study physiological and dynamical properties of outer retinal networks and their implications for color codification across vertebrate retinal circuits. We first study our model in a large parameter space, finding that the primary biological mechanism leading to color-opponent signals is mediated by dominant inhibitory feedback, e.g., via horizontal cell synaptic connections. In contrast, strong coupling among cones leads to ambiguous chromatic codification, undesirable in the outer retina. Then, we parameterize the model using zebrafish experimental data and quantify its chromatic codification performance. Our results suggest that trichromatic retinas with inhibitory feedback are highly efficient and capture most of the chromatic information variance typical from zebrafish environments. More specifically, a comparison among zebrafish-inspired retinal networks suggests that zebrafish retinal circuits are near-optimal chromatic codification of their natural chromatic information.

3   Introduction

The study of how chromatic information is processed in the retina has a long history [1–4]. Nevertheless, some questions on the specific neuronal circuits and their functionality remain unanswered[2, 5]. One approach that has provided insights into these questions is the study of visual systems from an information theory perspective, in which efficient information transfer plays a key role [6]. In retinal color processing, optimization is associated with reliable and efficient chromatic stimulus codification and transmission via neuronal responses and synaptic interactions [7–9]. Optimal codification, from this viewpoint, maximizes the information transfer rate in the limited retinal channel capacity [6, 10, 11].

Retinal circuits are organized in layers, such that the outermost neurons receive photonic stimuli, while the innermost neurons connect to downstream brain circuits. Photoreceptor neurons, specifically cones, are the basis of retinal color response in the outer retinal layer. They are categorized by their independent response to chromatic stimuli via the sensitivity function, which displays a vast diversity across species[3]. In retinas, photoreceptor types and their retinal connectivity determine the species-specific color space [3, 9]. For instance, human retinas have three types of photoreceptors, sensitive to long-, middle-, and short-wavelength stimuli, that shape a trichromatic visual system. Responses from this outermost layer activate horizontal cells (HCs), which are the next neurons in the retinal pathway. Similarly to photoreceptors, HC diversity across species is vast but their functionality and importance in chromatic retinal circuits is not fully understood [12]. HCs connect to several photoreceptors, providing lateral connectivity and consequently, integration of spatially localized responses. Signals from HCs are further processed by inner retinal layers and then transmitted to downstream neuronal circuits.

While the selective advantage conferred by color vision derives from object discrimination via spectral contrast (as opposed to mere brightness contrast), the response curves of L, M and S opsins have significant overlap, yielding highly redundant signals among the cone types. From the viewpoint of information theory, reducing such redundancy optimizes stimulus codification and the information transfer rate[13]. More specifically, theoretical work by Buchsbaum and Gottschalk [11] on trichromatic visual systems shows that given the covariance matrix of the photoreceptor responses, the eigenvalue or principal component transformation is optimal at decorrelating the chromatic information of these responses, resulting in efficient information transmission. Such a transformation leads to the appearance of chromatic opponent signals, also predicted by color-opponency theory [14]. This implies that photoreceptor responses turn inhibitory in certain ranges of the spectrum, and, are excitatory otherwise, guaranteeing their linear independence. Over the past decades, experimental work [15, 16] has provided evidence of such opponent responses to different spectral stimuli in retinal-ganglion layers and downstream neuronal circuits in vertebrates. Evidence of opponency in the outermost retinal circuits, however, is more recent [17, 18], and suggests that photoreceptor chromatic responses turn opponent at the first synapse with HCs.

The experimental works on the outermost retinal layers measure the in-vivo response of photoreceptors to different chromatic stimuli, including the response of horizontal cells. Specifically, Yoshimatsu et al. [17] found experimental evidence of chromatic-opponent responses in the outermost retinal circuits of zebrafish, yielding important insights into retinal color processing. Their work highlights the importance of horizontal cells in color codification and information transmission, and suggests that opponent cone responses depend on inhibitory feedback from HCs, whereas excitatory inter-cone connections via gap junctions are negligible. Thus, efficient coding of the chromatic information available in the typical zebrafish environ-

ment is attained at the earliest stage of visual processing. This raises two questions: First, whether these observations can be extrapolated to other visual systems, and second, whether optimizing codification and transference of chromatic information is the reason behind the observed neuronal behavior.

In this work, we study the dynamics of outer networks using mathematical models based on observed zebrafish retinas [19]. We search for general properties that can be attributed to a broad set of species, such as the roles of excitatory and inhibitory synaptic connections in retinal networks. Although some works [20, 21] report evidence of gap junctions between cones in some vertebrates, their functional purpose is unclear. We study whether the absence of gap junctions (as observed in zebrafish) facilitates coding of chromatic information, implying that such inter-cone connections, if present, are not crucial in color discrimination. Second, we investigate zebrafish-like network architectures, quantifying their performance in the context of optimal codification and information transfer, given the chromatic information typical of the zebrafish environment [22]. Specifically, we investigate the minimal architecture, including interneurons, optimizing codification of the available chromatic information. Some experiments have shown that species such as butterflies exhibit early chromatic opponency without interneurons [23]. Nevertheless, in this work, we investigate only retinal circuits that include interneuronal connections to yield opponent responses, as is the case in zebrafish.

4 Results

4.1 Dynamics of outer retinal networks

We begin by studying the dynamic properties of dichromatic and trichromatic networks with photoreceptors sensitive to short (B), middle (G) and long (R) spectral ranges. Figure 1a

sketches a typical dichromatic network with inhibitory feedback, that is, with inhibitory HC-cone synaptic connections. More precisely, light stimuli induce linear excitatory responses, I_i, of photoreceptor neurons (R and G), which in turn activate horizontal cells (H). The integrated response of horizontal cells, I_H, provides an inhibitory feedback to photoreceptor neurons, inducing final responses, I_i', sent to downstream retinal circuits. Networks with excitatory inter-cone connections and inhibitory feedback have additional cone-cone connections (dashed arrow in Fig. 1a). We denote networks with dominant inhibitory feedback and weak inter-cone connections as Type I; networks with strong excitatory inter-cone connections are denoted Type II.

One way of characterizing visual stimuli is via the spectral density, $S(\lambda)$, which contains all relevant chromatic information. To calculate the isolated cone response, I_i, to a stimulus with spectral density, $S(\lambda)$, we integrate the product of $\Theta_i(\lambda)$, the corresponding sensitivity function, and $S(\lambda)$, over the spectrum:

$$I_i = \int \Theta_i(\lambda)\, S(\lambda)\, d\lambda. \tag{1}$$

Determining responses to specific wavelength intervals requires stimuli with a narrow spectral distribution, conveniently represented by Gaussian distributions centered at a characteristic wavelength λ_0, and having a small standard deviation; we use $\sigma_0 = 1$nm in the present analysis. Photoreceptor sensitivity functions, on the other hand, can be broadly categorized into ultraviolet, blue, green and red according to the spectral range at which their response is maximum. Fig. 1b. shows the sensitivity functions of red, green and blue zebrafish cones[24], which we use as a template for our analysis. These curves were obtained experimentally using LEDs ranging from 350nm to 650nm, with the same luminance [17]. With these sensitivity functions and the Gaussian chromatic stimuli previously described, we use Eq. (1) to model photoreceptor responses. As shown in Fig. 1c, Gaussian chromatic stimuli with the same luminance intensity

Figure 1: a) Dichromatic sketch of a fully connected network with an external stimulus, $S(\lambda)$. R: red cones, G: green cones, B:blue cones, H: horizontal cells. Solid black arrows represent inhibitory synaptic conections on cones from horizontal cells. Dashed black arrows represent excitatory synaptic connections between cones. b) Sensitivity functions of independent red, green and blue zebrafish opsins [24]. c) Independent responses of photoreceptors to narrow gaussian stimuli. Dashed curves are red, green and blue zebrafish sensitivity curves and colored distributions correspond to five Gaussian stimuli normalized to exhibit a maximum intensity of 0.5, with variance $\sigma = 1$nm. Markers correspond to the independent responses, described by Eq. 1, of the three photoreceptors. Colored markers show the response to the five plotted stimuli.

139 yield responses similar to the sensitivity functions, but with a different overall intensity.

4.1.1 Dichromatic system

In large neuronal systems, neurons with similar functional properties can be grouped into ho-

mogeneous populations described by their average behavior. Photoreceptors in dichromatic

networks, for instance, can be grouped into two main populations characterized by their sen-

sitivity function. Similarly, horizontal cells can be grouped into one or more populations that

integrate photoreceptor responses. More specifically, we can think of the network shown in Fig.

1a as a system composed of two populations, R and G, for red and green photoreceptors, and a

single horizontal-cell population, H. Chemical synapses and gap junctions between neurons are

lumped into effective interactions among populations that are either excitatory or inhibitory,

depending on the presynaptic population.

We characterize the average activity of each of these populations by the membrane potential, h_i, of the embedded neurons. Currents induced by excitatory and inhibitory synaptic connections are proportional to the number of presynaptic neurons and their corresponding average membrane potential, such that the larger the number of synaptic connections, the stronger the induced internal current. In homogeneous populations, we can consider such internal currents to be a function of the average presynaptic membrane potential, $F[h_j]$, multiplied by a coupling constant, w_{ij} [25]. We therefore model the dynamics of these networks using the membrane potentials $h_R(\lambda)$, $h_G(\lambda)$ and $h_H(\lambda)$ of neurons in the corresponding populations, that is,

$$\tau_E \frac{\partial h_R(\lambda)}{\partial t} = -h_R(\lambda) + \mathcal{R} I_R(\lambda) + w_{RG} F_E[h_G(\lambda)] + w_{RH} F_I[h_H(\lambda)] \tag{2}$$

$$\tau_E \frac{\partial h_G(\lambda)}{\partial t} = -h_G(\lambda) + \mathcal{R} I_G(\lambda) + w_{GR} F_E[h_R(\lambda)] + w_{GH} F_I[h_H(\lambda)] \tag{3}$$

$$\tau_I \frac{\partial h_H(\lambda)}{\partial t} = -h_H(\lambda) + w_{HR} F_E[h_R(\lambda)] + w_{HG} F_E[h_G(\lambda)], \tag{4}$$

where the last two terms on the right hand sides of Eqs. (2) and (3) correspond to excitatory and inhibitory connections, respectively, with coupling parameters, w_{ij}, which is positive for excitatory currents and negative otherwise; the first (second) subscript denotes the postsynaptic (presynaptic) population. The functions $F_I[\cdot]$ and $F_E[\cdot]$, characterize the population response to either inhibitory or excitatory currents, respectively. The second term, I_i, corresponds to the independent photoreceptor response described by Eq. (1), and $\mathcal{R}$ is the membrane resistance, which we set to unity[25]. Since horizontal cells do not receive direct external stimulation, the only source terms in Eq. (4) correspond to the currents due to photoreceptor populations. The explicit dependence on the wavelength in Eqs. (2)-(4) characterizes chromatically diverse external stimuli; for simplicity, we omit this dependence in all subsequent equations.

The membrane time constants on the left hand side of Eqs. (2)-(4), τ_E for excitatory neurons and τ_I for inhibitory neurons, introduce two time scales that are related to neuron responses and the latency of the feedback mechanism. Some experimental works, reviewed in ref. [12], have shown evidence of both fast and slow feedback mechanisms between cones and horizontal cells. We take into consideration only the fast feedback mechanisms, assuming that the time membrane constant of inhibitory neurons is much faster than the time membrane constant of excitatory neurons, $\tau_I \ll \tau_E$, which allows us to simplify Eqs. (2)-(4) as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_E \frac{\partial h_R}{\partial t} &= -h_R + I_R + w_{RH}\,w_{HR}\,F_E[h_R] + (w_{RG} + w_{RH}\,w_{HG})\,F_E[h_G] \\
\tau_E \frac{\partial h_G}{\partial t} &= -h_G + I_G + w_{GH}\,w_{HG}\,F_E[h_G] + (w_{GR} + w_{GH}\,w_{HR})\,F_E[h_R] \\
h_H &= w_{HR}\,F_E[h_R] + w_{HG}\,F_E[h_G],
\end{aligned}
\tag{5}
$$

where we have set $F_I[h] = h$ (see Supplementary Material for further details on the response functions).

As previously mentioned, the experimental observations of zebrafish outer retinal layers lead us to ask whether networks of Type I provide an advantage in terms of chromatic information codification and transmission. As noted above, inter-cone gap-junctions have been observed in some vertebrates; the question is whether they are involved in chromatic discrimination. The set of equations (5) provides a simplified framework to study the time evolution of population membrane potentials in dichromatic networks as a function of the synaptic strengths, allowing us to compare Type I networks, with only inhibitory feedback, and Type II networks, with strong inter-cone connections. Comparing the dynamics of the two types of network should provide insights into codification performance.

A Type I network is characterized by weak inter-cone connections, that is, $w_{RG} = w_{GR} = 0$ in Eq. (5). We study the stationary response of this network for all possible combinations of the four remaining coupling parameters in the discrete space $w_{ij} \in [0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 2.0)$, and all the chromatic stimuli shown in Fig. 1c. Assuming a saturating excitatory response function, $F_E[\cdot] = \tanh(\cdot)$ (see Supplementary Material), we calculate the fixed points of Eqs. (5) by determining the intersections of the nullclines. We find that for any set of parameters, there is a unique stable fixed point in the two dimensional domain $\{h_r \times h_g, \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$. Figure 2a shows a typical phase portrait.

The existence of a single fixed point implies that the stationary response to a stimulus $S(\lambda)$ is unique and independent of the initial state. Our results show that regardless of variations in the coupling strengths among neuronal populations, networks with only inhibitory feedback exhibit a unique response to a given chromatic stimulus, hence reliable codification of chromatic stimuli. In the outermost retinal layers, this is desirable to avoid ambiguity in chromatic codification and transmission.

We now ask whether such behavior persists in a Type II network. We repeat the preceding analysis using a discrete parameter space as before, but this time including excitatory couplings, that is, $w_{RG}, w_{GR} \in [0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 2.0)$. As shown in Fig. 2b, we find that, in contrast with the Type I network, Type II networks with strong inter-cone couplings ($w_{RG} > 1.5$) can exhibit three fixed points, two of them stable and one unstable. Under this scenario, a photoreceptor may exhibit multiple responses to the same stimulus, which, as previously mentioned, could lead to misleading or ambiguous chromatic signals. Networks with other photoreceptor combinations, such as red-blue and green-blue, were also studied. As shown in Fig. 3 of

the Supplementary Material, such combinations lead to similar phase portraits and dynamical properties, reinforcing our general conclusion.

Thus, we have shown that the responses of a two-photoreceptor system to a given chromatic stimulus are always independent of the initial state of the network if feedback is predominantly inhibitory, via horizontal cells. Conversely, including excitatory inter-cone interactions (e.g., via gap junctions) can lead to responses that depend on the initial state of the network. Experimental observations in zebrafish and drosophila [26] show consistent photoreceptor responses to chromatic stimuli, and the absence of excitatory synapses via gap junctions. The biological reasons why gap junctions among cones are either absent or negligible in these organisms is not fully understood. We find that one explanation for these properties is that they ensure chromatic signal reliability by avoiding multistability and responses dependent on the initial state.

4.1.2 Trichromatic system

The preceding study of networks with two populations of photoreceptors sensitive to different spectral ranges, allows extrapolation of our results to other species with dichromatic retinas. Generalizing these results to species with more complex visual systems, however, is not straightforward. We advance in this direction by investigating trichromatic systems, expanding considerably the diversity of color-vision systems. The trichromatic networks studied here include long- (R), middle- (G) and short-wavelength (B) photoreceptors, and a single horizontal cell population (H) that provides inhibitory feedback to cone populations (see Fig.2c). Assuming that, as in the dichromatic network, $\tau_I \ll \tau_E$, the equations of motion are,

Figure 2: (a, b) Phase portraits of Eq. 5 for a dichromatic network with red and green photoreceptors, and with the parameters a) $w_{HR} = 0.8, w_{RH} = 0.9, w_{HG} = w_{GH} = 0.7, w_{GR} = w_{RG} = 0$ and $S(\lambda) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda = 350, 1)$, corresponding to Type I, and b) $w_{HR} = w_{RH} = w_{GH} = 0.1, w_{HG} = 0.7, w_{GR} = w_{RG} = 2.3$ and $S(\lambda) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda = 350, 1)$, corresponding to a Type II network. c) Trichromatic sketch of a fully connected network with an external stimulus, $S(\lambda)$. R: red cones, G: green cones, B:blue cones, H: horizontal cells. Solid black arrows represent inhibitory synaptic conections on cones from horizontal cells. Dashed black arrows represent excitatory synaptic connections between cones. d) Total number of parameter combinations yielding network responses with multiple stable fixed points. Color intensity represents the sum over the discrete interval $w_{RG} = [0.1, \ldots, 2.0]$, for a fixed combination of the excitatory parameters, w_{RB} and w_{GB}. All points correspond to the same combination of inhibitory parameters, $w_{RH} = w_{HG} = 0.1$, $w_{HR} = w_{BH} = 0.2$ and $w_{GH} = w_{HB} = 0.3$.

$$\tau_E \frac{\partial h_i}{\partial t} = -h_i + I_i + \sum_{j \neq i} (w_{ij} F_E[h_j]) + w_{iH} \sum_j (w_{Hj} F_E[h_j]), \quad i, j \in \{R, G, B\}, \quad (6)$$

We first investigate whether trichromatic networks with dominant inhibitory feedback (Type

I) are also advantageous for chromatic codification, as found in dichromatic networks. We

characterize the fixed points of Eq. (6) for a discrete space of coupling combinations and for all

the chromatic stimuli considered previously. In contrast to dichromatic networks, such fixed

points, if any, are embedded in a three-dimensional phase portrait. To locate the fixed points,

we minimize a cost function, $\mathcal{L}$, that is zero if and only if $\dot{h}_i = 0$ for all three photoreceptor

populations in Eq. 6, that is,

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_i \left(-h_i + I_i + \sum_{j \neq i} (w_{ij} F_E[h_j]) - w_{iH} \sum_j (w_{Hj} F_E[h_j]) \right)^2. \tag{7}$$

We use a gradient-descent algorithm to find the global minima of $\mathcal{L}$ for each parameter combination. It is easy to verify that, depending on the parameter combination, the network can exhibit one, two or three fixed points. Similarly to the dichromatic case, multiple fixed points are common in Type II networks with strong inter-cone connections, while Type I networks exhibit a unique response to the same chromatic stimulus. To see this in detail, note that Eq. 7 has six excitatory parameters corresponding to the couplings between red, green and blue cone populations. Considering a symmetric interaction between populations, only three free parameters, $w_{RG} = w_{GR}$, $w_{RB} = w_{BR}$ and $w_{GB} = w_{BG}$, remain. For each combination of inhibitory couplings previously studied, we calculate the number of fixed points for all combinations of these three remaining excitatory couplings, finding that the stronger the excitatory parameters, the larger the likelihood of bistability. We summarize this result in the intensity plot of Fig. 2d. For each fixed combination of the parameters w_{GB} and w_{RB}, we count the number of networks exhibiting multiple stable fixed points when varying the discrete values $w_{RG} = [0.1, 0.2 \dots 1.9]$. With a maximum number of 19 networks, represented by darker colors, we find that networks with at least one strong excitatory inter-cone coupling tend to exhibit multiple responses to the same chromatic stimulus. This behaviour is similar for all Gaussian stimuli studied.

We conclude that adding a third type of photoreceptor to the outermost retinal networks does not change our general conclusion regarding population interactions. In contrast, these results support the hypothesis that networks with predominantly inhibitory feedback provide an advantage for reliable and unambiguous chromatic codification. As a complementary study, we investigated six other species with different sets of opsins (see Supplementary Fig. 4), find-

ing similar dynamical properties. This suggests that our findings can be generalized to other species.

4.2 Optimal chromatic codification

In the previous section, we focused on the synaptic strengths between populations that yield stable responses. In this section, we use both zebrafish in-vivo photoreceptor activities [17] and hyperspectral data from zebrafish environments [8] to investigate the architecture of outer retinal networks from the viewpoint of optimal codification and transmission of chromatic information.

Fig. 3a shows the *in-vivo* spectral responses of zebrafish photoreceptors. Remarkably, interactions with horizontal cells cause green and blue neurons to exhibit opponent responses to chromatic stimuli, which as discussed above, suggests early optimization of chromatic information transmission. Adopting this optimization hypothesis, we expect: (1) Reduced redundancy of network responses in spectral space[11]; (2) Optimal codification of the environmentally available chromatic information. As a proxy for optimal chromatic codification, we use a principal component analysis of the hyperspectral data of aquatic naturalistic images typical of zebrafish environments studied in Ref. [8]. In accord with the analysis of ref. [17], we find that the first three principal components capture more than 97% of the chromatic data variance. Figure 3b shows these three principal components (PC1-PC3), the first without zero-crossings, the second with one zero-crossing, and the third with two. Comparing Figs. 3a and 3b, we observe that the in-vivo red and green cone responses match the first two principal components qualitatively, supporting the hypothesis of optimal codification of chromatic stimuli in zebrafish outermost retinal layers.

We begin our analysis by studying the dichromatic network shown in Fig. 1a and described by Eq. (5). We adjust the coupling parameters, w_{ij}, such that the spectral responses of the photoreceptor populations, h_R and h_G, match the first two principal components, which together explain more than 91% of the hyperspectral data variance. (We again use a gradient-descent algorithm to obtain the coupling parameters yielding the best match (see Supplementary Material for futher details)). Figure 3c shows the solutions of Eq. 5 for a network with only inhibitory feedback (in red and green), with both a no zero-crossing and a single zero-crossing curve, as expected for color-opponent signals[11, 27]. The inset shows the probability densities of the coupling parameter absolute value $|w_{ij}|$ of Eq. 5 over different basins of attraction (see Supplementary Material). Similarly, we adjust the parameters of a network with both inhibitory feedback and excitatory inter-cone connections; in all cases, the optimal excitatory couplings are weak or negligible, leading to results similar to those found for the inhibitory network.

As expected from our previous dynamical analysis, we find a unique stationary fixed point for all optimal networks that exhibit the expected opponent chromatic responses. This means that the membrane-potential dynamics is the same (at least for $\tau_I \ll \tau_E$) for any initial condition, allowing reliable information codification of chromatic stimuli. We also studied other opsin combinations, leading to the results shown in figures 3(d, e). We observe that having only blue and red photoreceptors provides a qualitatively good fit to the first principal component, but not to the second. Moreover, dichromatic networks with only blue and green photoreceptors cannot fit either of the first two principal components. Contrasting the results for these two-photoreceptor combinations, we conclude that dichromatic networks with long -and middle-range photoreceptors have the best performance when codifying chromatic information

Figure 3: a) In-vivo responses of red, green and blue zebrafish opsins [24]. b) Upper panel: Hyperspectral data from 30 images of aquatic natural images typical of the zebrafish larvae environment [24]. Lower panel: First three principal components obtained from the hyperspectral data. (c, d, e) Stationary solutions of Eq. (5) for the optimal coupling parameters of a dichromatic network with a) red and green b) red and blue c)green and blue photoreceptors. Inset a) shows the distributions of the optimal parameters (absolute value, $|w_{ij}|$) over 20 repetitions of the gradient descent algorithm starting from different initial values; inhibitory parameters w_{iH} are negative by definition; we used a KDE method to infer the curves. Red, green and blue curves correspond to the stationary solutions of the membrane potentials h_r, h_g and h_b, respectively. Dashed curves correspond to the principal component curves of Fig. 3b.

typical of the zebrafish environment.

Next, we analyze trichromatic networks, restricting the parameter space to reproduce the expected opponent responses, as we have done for dichromatic networks. Following the same procedure as before, we fit the membrane potential response of each photoreceptor population, h_R, h_G and h_B, to the first three principal components of the naturalistic images. We solve Eq. (6) in the stationary state, and then apply the gradient-descent algorithm (see Supplementary Material) to find optimal combinations of parameters. As shown in Fig. 4a, the optimized network yields a poor fit to the third principal component, and the network responses do not match qualitatively the expected optimal responses. Instead, we find a single zero-crossing in the blue photoreceptor response curve. We note, though, that in-vivo recordings of photoreceptor responses do not match this third principal component either, as shown in Fig.3a.

Although the reason such optimized trichromatic networks are unable to match all three

principal components is unclear, this finding leads us to ask whether an expanded network, e.g., with a second parallel inhibitory feedback or with a fourth type of photoreceptor, is capable of realizing this task. We begin by including a second type of horizontal cell, H2, providing feedback to only two of the three cone populations. Repeating the previous analyses, we find that networks with two parallel inhibitory feedback mechanisms do not eliminate the errors in fitting of the third principal component. Similarly, we investigated whether a fourth type of photoreceptor, with a maximum responde in the UV range, might allow the other three photoreceptor responses to match all three principal components simultaneously. We found that adding such a UV cone population has little effect on the functional responses of the other cones, in agreement with the experimental analysis of zebrafish by Yoshimatsu et al.[17]. Details of these analyses are provided in the Supplementary Material.

From these results we conclude that in zebrafish retinas, trichromatic networks are incompatible with obtaining the first three principal components of environmental chromatic data at the first synaptic connection. This motivates us to add a second synaptic connection, or network layer, to verify whether such a network can be optimized to reproduce the expected results. Figure 4b shows a sketch of such a network, with a second type of horizontal cell, H2*, integrating the first layer responses, and providing feedback only to population B, which is the one unable to fit the third principal component. The blue cone population response, h_B^*, at the second layer is described by equation,

$$\frac{\partial h_B^*}{\partial t} = -h_B^* + h_B + w_{H_2^* R} \, h_R + w_{H_2^* G} \, h_G. \tag{8}$$

with $w_{H_2^* R}, w_{H_2^* G} < 0$. Figure 4c shows the responses of the optimal network after learning the parameters of equations 6 and 8. The improved agreement between response curves and PCs suggests that obtaining the three optimal chromatic channels requires a second synaptic

Figure 4: a) Stationary solutions of Eq. (6) for the optimal coupling parameters of the trichromatic network sketched in the inset. b) Sketch of a fully connected trichromatic network with two types of horizontal cells providing two successive inhibitory feedback mechanisms. c) Stationary solutions of Eq. (6, 8) for the optimal coupling parameters of the trichromatic network sketched in the inset. Red, green and blue curves correspond to the stationary solutions of the membrane potentials h_r, h_g and h_b, respectively. Dashed curves correspond to the principal component curves of Fig. 3b.

contact (or network layer), including a second HC population. Although we modelled this population as a second type of horizontal neuron, other neuronal populations in inner retinal layers, such as bipolar cells, might also realize this task. We also stress that the first three principal components explain more than 97% of the hyperspectral data variance, but that the third PC alone explains less than 6%. Consequently, despite the fact trichromatic networks with a unique inhibitory feedback mechanism do not reproduce the expected third principal component, the other two channels capture more than 91.3% of the hyperspectral data variance, which is still a good performance.

In summary, adopting ideas from information theory and chromatic opponency, we have shown that after the first synaptic connection, dichromatic networks can yield optimal responses when red cones are available. Otherwise, responses of photoreceptor populations are suboptimal. Generalizing to trichromatic networks, we find that, after the first synaptic connection, photoreceptors are unable to exhibit all three opponent responses. Only by including a second synaptic connection, or network layer, with a fourth neuronal population, can photoreceptor

 responses match the PCs.

4.2.1 Optimal sensitivity curves

So far, we have studied networks that optimize the codification of chromatic information available in the zebrafish environment, using as a template of photoreceptor responses the set of zebrafish sensitivity curves. Now we ask whether the zebrafish opsins are optimal at fitting the given chromatic information, or if instead, there are other opsin combinations leading to more precise chromatic codification. Altough optimization is not the only feature that determines visual system properties, it has been shown that some species adapt aspects, such as the sensitivity functions, to fit the environmental conditions[28, 29].

To answer this question, we contrast the performance of networks composed of different opsin combinations obtained by varying the wavelength of maximum sensitivity of zebrafish cones, wile maintaining the shape of the distribution fixed (we use a discrete step interval of $\Delta_\lambda \approx 12$nm). For all opsin combinations, we calculate the optimal two-layer network, described by Eqs. (6) and (8), fitting the first three principal components of the zebrafish environment, as in the previous sections. To quantify the network performance, we define the cost function,

$$C_o^2 = (h_R - PC_1)^2 + (h_G - PC_2)^2 + (h_B - PC_3)^2 . \tag{9}$$

Using the same invertal Δ_λ for blue and green opsins, we calculate the cost function for all possible combinations within the interval $(350, 650)$nm, and search for an optimal set of opsins. Figure 5a shows the intensity plot of the cost function for all green and blue opsin combinations given a fixed red sensitivity curve. We find the global minimum is reached at $\Theta_R^*(\lambda) = \Theta_R(\lambda + 2\Delta_\lambda)$, $\Theta_G^*(\lambda) = \Theta_G(\lambda - \Delta_\lambda)$ and $\Theta_B^*(\lambda) = \Theta_B(\lambda - 3\Delta_\lambda)$, as illustrated in Fig. 5b,c.

Figure 5: a) Intensity plot of the cost function (Eq. 9) for different opsin curves combinations, darker colors represent smaller values. Red opsin was first fixed to optimize all possible combinations; the optimal curve is shown in the upper plot. X and y labels in the intensity plot represent the number of shifts (in steps of 5nm) of blue and green curves respectively, with the sign indicating the shift direction. b) Comparison between experimentally observed sensitivity functions (dashed lines) and the optimal fitting curves (solid lines). Arrows indicate the optimal shift direction. c) Optimal network responses for this optimal set of opins.

We conclude that compared with zebrafish, the optimal set of cones improves performance by approximately 13%, suggesting that retinal networks with zebrafish cones are near to optimal. Extrapolating these results to other species with similar environmental chromatic conditions requires knowledge of the independent cone responses, or sensitivity curves to properly compare the networks. Studies involving species with different environmental conditions would naturally require analysis of the corresponding environmental hyperspectral data.

5 Discussion

Identifying the retinal circuits specialized in chromatic discrimination leads to an understanding of how organisms extract spectral information from their environment[2, 30]. In-vivo experiments on outer retinal circuits, though, are only feasible in a restricted number of species, limiting a broader study. In this situation, theoretical models are useful to predict general

features that can be tested in such model organisms and extrapolated to others beyond experimental access. Our study attempts to identify features of zebrafish-inspired outer retinal networks to understand more broadly the biological fundamentals of chromatic codification and transmission in visual systems. As mentioned earlier, we focused our work on networks that mediate chromatic information via HCs. Other species, such as butterflies [23], with different network architectures will be studied in future works.

In the first part of our study, we found that in outer retinal networks with fast inhibitory feedback, inter-cone excitatory connections can lead to bistability, so that network responses to a given chromatic stimulus could be ambiguous. Ideally, one expects photoreceptors to codify as much relevant visual information as possible from the environment and transmit it reliably to downstream circuits. More complex tasks, such as perception or recognition, for which such bistability might be desirable, are supposed to take place later in the visual system. Our results suggest that, in retinas, inter-cone gap junctions, if present, are likely not involved in chromatic codification. Some experimental studies on macaques and other vertebrate species [20, 31, 32] have shown evidence of both cone-cone and cone-rod gap junctions in the foveal region. The role of such connections, however is non fully understood. In ground squirrel retinas, for instance, such connections seem to play an important role in increasing the signal-to-noise ratio [31]; similar behaviour has been found in macaque retinas [21]. Noise reduction is highly relevant in low-luminance environments. At high luminance, where color discrimination is possible, the effects of gap junctions seem to be negligible, supporting the hypothesis that gap junctions are involved in achromatic tasks. Some other works [33] have shown evidence of excitatory feedback in individual synapses between HCs and cones, which might lead to other effective connections, not considered in our model.

In addition to studying different types of feedback, we investigated network architectures leading to optimal codification of the available chromatic information. Determining whether outer retinal circuits are optimized to codify available chromatic information allows one to gauge the relevance of color discrimination in a given species. Such findings might afford insights into other areas, such as ecology and animal behavior. As suggested by Yosimatsu et al. [17], zebrafish seem to codify of the chromatic information efficiently, qualitatively matching the first two PCs of the hyperspectral data. With our model, we find similar results. Specifically, we found that zebrafish-inspired outer retinal networks with a single HC layer can reproduce only the first two PCs of the zebrafish hypterspectral data, explaining 91% of the variance. Capturing more than 97% of the variance requires fitting all three PCs. We find that a more complex network, with two interneuron layers is necessary. We would expect retinas to optimize the trade-off between information transmission and metabolic cost, such that information transmission is guaranteed at the lowest energy expenditure. Nevertheless, even with an optimal architecture, more complex networks are necessary to transmit specific information, as suggested by our results. Whether it is advantageous for an organism to invest energy increasing an additional retinal layer to improve chromatic discrimination depends on species-specific interactions with its habitat. These results are general for networks with inhibitory interneuronal layers and similar environmental conditions.

Our study of network architecture was performed using zebrafish opsin curves as a reference. Subsequently, we investigated whether other opsin combinations might lead to further optimization of the network, that is, whether it would be possible to improve zebrafish performance at codifying environmental chromatic information. We find that optimizing opsin combinations leads to an improvement of approximately 13% in zebrafish performance. As mentioned earlier, in some species, such as bees, photoreceptor sensitivity functions are highly tuned to the envi-

ronmental chromatic spectrum, leading to an optimal codification of color information[28, 29]. Our results on zebrafish show that they have close-to-optimal chromatic codification, but that there are other photoreceptor combinations yielding modest improvements. Other relevant features, such as movement or edge detection, might favor retinal circuits that optimize other general aspects of visual stimuli, by penalizing slightly chromatic information. As before, these results hold for zebrafish-inspired retinal circuits under similar environmental conditions.

Acknowledgments

We thank Baden's lab for helpful discussion and for sharing the experimental data, and we acknowledge helpful discussions with R Martinez-Garcia, X Chen and M Bauer. Work supported by the Consejo Nacional de Desarrollo Cientifico y Tecnologico, CNPq.

References

[1] Kolb H. The architecture of functional neural circuits in the vertebrate retina. The Proctor Lecture. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 1994;35(5):2385-404.

[2] Baden T, Osorio D. The retinal basis of vertebrate color vision. Annual review of vision science. 2019;5:177-200.

[3] Cronin TW, Johnsen S, Marshall NJ, Warrant EJ. Visual ecology. Princeton University Press; 2014.

[4] Nelson P. From photon to neuron: Light, imaging, vision. Princeton University Press; 2017.

[5] Thoreson WB, Dacey DM. Diverse cell types, circuits, and mechanisms for color vision in the vertebrate retina. Physiological reviews. 2019;99(3):1527-73.

[6] Cover TM. Elements of information theory. John Wiley & Sons; 1999.

[7] Lee TW, Wachtler T, Sejnowski TJ. Color opponency is an efficient representation of spectral properties in natural scenes. Vision Research. 2002;42(17):2095-103.

[8] Zimmermann MJ, Nevala NE, Yoshimatsu T, Osorio D, Nilsson DE, Berens P, et al. Zebrafish differentially process color across visual space to match natural scenes. Current Biology. 2018;28(13).

[9] Kelber A, Osorio D. From spectral information to animal colour vision: experiments and concepts. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2010;277(1688):1617-25.

[10] Attneave F. Some informational aspects of visual perception. Psychological review. 1954;61(3):183.

[11] Buchsbaum G, Gottschalk A. Trichromacy, opponent colours coding and optimum colour information transmission in the retina. Proceedings of the Royal society of London Series B Biological sciences. 1983;220(1218):89-113.

[12] Chapot CA, Euler T, Schubert T. How do horizontal cells 'talk'to cone photoreceptors? Different levels of complexity at the cone–horizontal cell synapse. The Journal of physiology. 2017;595(16):5495-506.

[13] Barlow HB, et al. Possible principles underlying the transformation of sensory messages. Sensory communication. 1961;1(01).

[14] Shevell SK, Martin PR. Color opponency: tutorial. JOSA A. 2017;34(7):1099-108.

[15] De Valois RL, Abramov I, Jacobs GH. Analysis of response patterns of LGN cells. JOSA. 1966;56(7):966-77.

[16] Patterson SS, Neitz M, Neitz J. Reconciling color vision models with midget ganglion cell receptive fields. Frontiers in neuroscience. 2019;13:865.

[17] Yoshimatsu T, Bartel P, Schröder C, Janiak FK, St-Pierre F, Berens P, et al. Ancestral circuits for vertebrate colour vision emerge at the first retinal synapse. bioRxiv. 2021:2020-10.

[18] Packer OS, Verweij J, Li PH, Schnapf JL, Dacey DM. Blue-yellow opponency in primate S cone photoreceptors. Journal of Neuroscience. 2010;30(2):568-72.

[19] Yoshimatsu, Takeshi et al. (2021), Two-photon calcium recordings of cones, Dryad, Dataset, https:doi.org10.5061dryad.pzgmsbcmk.

[20] Raviola E, Gilula NB. Gap junctions between photoreceptor cells in the vertebrate retina. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1973;70(6):1677-81.

[21] Tsukamoto Y, Masarachia P, Schein SJ, Sterling P. Gap junctions between the pedicles of macaque foveal cones. Vision research. 1992;32(10):1809-15.

[22] Cornelius. (2021). berenslab/cone_colour_tuning: v0.2(v0.2). Zenodo. https:doi.org10.5281zenodo.5502804.

[23] Belušič G, Ilić M, Meglič A, Pirih P. Red-green opponency in the long visual fibre photoreceptors of brushfoot butterflies (Nymphalidae). Proceedings of the Royal Society B. 2021;288(1961):20211560.

[24] Data on opsin and in-vivo photoreceptor spectral responses were from [22], hyperspectral data of naturalistic aquatic images from [19].

[25] Gerstner W, Kistler WM, Naud R, Paninski L. Neuronal dynamics: From single neurons to networks and models of cognition. Cambridge University Press; 2014.

[26] Heath SL, Christenson MP, Oriol E, Saavedra-Weisenhaus M, Kohn JR, Behnia R. Circuit mechanisms underlying chromatic encoding in Drosophila photoreceptors. Current Biology. 2020;30(2):264-75.

[27] Hurvich LM, Jameson D. Some quantitative aspects of an opponent-colors theory. II. Brightness, saturation, and hue in normal and dichromatic vision. JOSA. 1955;45(8):602-16.

[28] Chittka L, Menzel R. The evolutionary adaptation of flower colours and the insect polli-
nators' colour vision. Journal of Comparative Physiology A. 1992;171(2):171-81.

[29] Fasick JI, Robinson PR. Spectral-tuning mechanisms of marine mammal rhodopsins and
correlations with foraging depth. Visual neuroscience. 2000;17(5):781-8.

[30] Huang Y, Rao RP. Predictive coding. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science.
2011;2(5):580-93.

[31] DeVries SH, Qi X, Smith R, Makous W, Sterling P. Electrical coupling between mam-
malian cones. Current Biology. 2002;12(22):1900-7.

[32] Laughlin SB. Retinal function: coupling cones clarifies vision. Current biology.
2002;12(24):R833-4.

[33] Jackman SL, Babai N, Chambers JJ, Thoreson WB, Kramer RH. A positive feed-
back synapse from retinal horizontal cells to cone photoreceptors. PLoS biology.
2011;9(5):e1001057.