Dynamic reorganization and selective segregation of mitochondria

under DarT-mediated mtDNA damage

Nitish Dua, Akshaya Seshadri and Anjana Badrinarayanan[*]

National Centre for Biological Sciences - Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bellary

Road, Bangalore 560065, Karnataka, India, Phone: 91 80 23666547

*Correspondence to: anjana@ncbs.res.in

22   **Keywords**

23   *S. cerevisiae,* mtDNA, DNA damage, mitochondrial fission and fusion, DarT, single-cell

24   imaging, fluorescence microscopy

Abstract:

Mitochondria are dynamic organelles that play essential roles in cell growth and survival. Two key processes of fission and fusion are critical for the distribution, segregation and maintenance of mitochondria and their genomes (mtDNA). While recent work has begun to elucidate the importance of mitochondrial organization for mitochondrial genome maintenance, the impact of mtDNA perturbations on mitochondrial dynamics and/ or organellar maintenance remains less understood. To address this, we develop a tool to induce mitochondria-specific DNA damage, using a mitochondrial-targeted base modifying bacterial toxin, DarT. Following damage induction, we observe dynamic reorganization of the mitochondrial network. We find that mtDNA damage predominantly affects rates of fusion, likely driven by mitochondrial dysfunction arising due to DNA damage. Changes in network organization are associated with loss of mtDNA, independent of mitophagy. Unexpectedly, we find that perturbation to exonuclease function of the mtDNA replicative polymerase, Mip1, results in rapid loss of mtDNA. Our data suggest that, under damage, the partitioning of defective mtDNA and organelle can be de-coupled, with an emphasis on mitochondrial segregation even in the absence of its DNA. Together, our works reveals the importance of genome maintenance on mitochondrial function, that can act as a modulator of organelle organization and segregation.

Introduction:

Mitochondrial networks are highly dynamic and undergo changes in shape and size throughout the cell cycle (Westermann, 2010). These dynamics are predominantly attributed to two processes of fission and fusion, carried out by evolutionarily conserved machineries (Westermann, 2008). In budding yeast, fission of mitochondria is carried out by DNM1, which is recruited to the outer membrane by adaptor proteins. Fusion of mitochondria occurs at the level of the inner membrane (carried out by Mgm1) as well as the outer membrane, via Fzo1 (Scott & Youle, 2010; Shaw & Nunnari, 2002). Fission and fusion are required for its proper distribution and segregation during cell division and also essential for moulding the organelle network in response to changing growth conditions (Detmer & Chan, 2007). Along with facilitating dynamic adaptation of mitochondrial function to the metabolic needs of the cell (Bagamery et al., 2020; Laporte et al., 2018), they play a crucial role in quality control of the organelle (Detmer & Chan, 2007; Ni et al., 2015).

Apart from ensuring organelle health, fission and fusion are also important for maintaining mitochondrial genome integrity and its DNA copy numbers (Busch et al., 2014; Lewis et al., 2016; Lieber et al., 2019; Osman et al., 2015). All eukaryotic cells, with a few exceptions, contain multiple copies of mtDNA (chiefly encoding for part of the electron transport chain as well as mitochondrial translation proteins) and are distributed throughout the organelle network (Brown et al., 2011; Chen & Butow, 2005; Osman et al., 2015). These DNA copies are packaged in the form of nucleoids (Farge et al., 2012), primarily by Abf2 in budding yeast (Chen & Butow, 2005). Studies have shown that mtDNA nucleoids are non-randomly distributed in the mitochondrial network, and are present equidistant from each other (Osman et al., 2015). This organization appears to be essential for ensuring segregation of accurate amounts of mtDNA during cell division (Jajoo et al., 2016). Indeed, lowered levels of mtDNA replication are observed when mitochondrial fusion is perturbed, leading to a decrease in mtDNA copy numbers (Ramos et al., 2019). Mitochondrial fission is also linked to mtDNA replication and segregation in budding yeast and facilitates distribution of mtDNA throughout the mitochondrial network (Lewis et al., 2016). Previous studies have also reported that deletions on mtDNA occur when pathways of fission and fusion are perturbed (Osman et al., 2015).

Accurate maintenance of mtDNA integrity is critical, as mutations on mtDNA can have adverse effects on cell survival and are associated with several human pathologies (Hahn & Zuryn,

2019; Scheibye-Knudsen et al., 2015; Taylor & Turnbull, 2005). Close proximity of mtDNA to the electron transport chain makes it susceptible to damage via reactive species generated during oxidative phosphorylation (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Yakes & Van Houten, 1997). Even under physiological conditions, mtDNA accumulates mutations and deletions over time; these are also proposed to be major drivers of ageing (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Richter, 1995; Wallace, 2010).

How do cells respond to mtDNA damage? There is evidence for the localization of certain DNA repair proteins to the mitochondria (such as those involved in base excision repair and recombination) (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Boesch et al., 2011; Scheibye-Knudsen et al., 2015; Stevnsner et al., 2002). However, certain repair pathways seem to be absent from the mitochondria (for example, nucleotide excision repair) (Clayton et al., 1974; Pascucci et al., 1997). Thus, while DNA repair pathways have been detected in the mitochondria, importance and regulation of mtDNA damage repair has remained unclear. Since mtDNA can directly affect functionality of the organelle, it is possible that one layer of quality control is carried out by mechanisms that respond to mitochondrial dysfunction directly. Indeed, in response to organelle dysfunction, cells employ fission and fusion machineries to clear out any damaged mitochondria (Ni et al., 2015). For example, regulation of fusion can facilitate complementation of mutations present on mitochondrial genomes (Nakada et al., 2001). Similarly, mitochondrial fission helps in selective removal and degradation of mitochondria via PINK-Parkin mediated mitophagy or even mitocytosis (Jiao et al., 2021; Jin & Youle, 2012; Zhang et al., 2019). More recently, a role for mitochondrial reorganization at the level of the cristae has also been discovered to contribute to segregation of defective mitochondrial domains, arising from mutant mtDNA copies (Jakubke et al., 2021). It is likely that these pathways contribute to different degrees of quality control, depending on the cell type and specific growth environments (Pickles et al., 2018). Although it is becoming increasingly evident that mitochondrial dynamics can regulate mtDNA synthesis, partitioning and homeostasis, the influence of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial organization and segregation is not fully understood. A major challenge in studying mtDNA damage response and repair has been the ability to generate mtDNA-specific damage. In this context, tools to make mtDNA-specific DSBs have been invaluable, but are dependent on the presence of specific sequences on the mtDNA to allow for targeted action of the enzyme. In most cases, generic

damaging agents that can cause adducts or lesions on DNA preclude the ability to disentangle

mitochondria-specific effects.

To characterize the impact of mtDNA perturbations on organelle organization and function,

we developed a novel system to generate inducible mtDNA-specific damage in *S. cerevisiae*.

We engineered a mitochondrially-targeted bacterial toxin, mtDarT (which generates adducts

on single-stranded DNA) (Jankevicius et al., 2016) to probe the effect of mtDNA damage on

mitochondrial dynamics in real-time. We find that mtDNA damage drives network

fragmentation, likely due to a lowering in fusion rates arising from mitochondrial dysfunction.

We further implicate a critical role for the exonuclease function of the mtDNA polymerase in

mtDNA maintenance under damage. Our study reveals an intricate connection between

mtDNA integrity, mitochondrial function and its role in regulating organelle organization and

segregation.

Results:

System to induce mitochondria-specific DNA damage

To perturb mtDNA integrity and observe the resultant mitochondrial dynamics in real-time, we developed an mtDNA-specific damage inducing system in *S. cerevisiae*. For this, we utilized a bacterial toxin, DarT, that is part of a widely conserved DarT-DarG toxin-antitoxin system (Jankevicius et al., 2016). DarT functions via transferring an ADP-ribosyl group on single-stranded DNA using NAD+ as a substrate (Fig. 1A) and has been shown to generate DNA damage-inducing adducts on the bacterial genome (likely via blocking replication progression) (Lawarée et al., 2020). The adduct is attached to a thymidine within a 'TNTC' motif (Jankevicius et al., 2016; Schuller et al., 2021)., which is present abundantly on the mitochondrial genome.

We constructed a mitochondrial matrix-targeted DarT (derived from *T. aquaticus*), mtDarT, via fusion of DarT to the first 69 amino acids of SU9 (subunit 9 of the F_1-F_0 ATPase) (Horie et al., 2003). A 3XFlag-tag was added to the C-terminus of DarT, to enable verification of expression as well as localization upon induction (Fig. S1A). mtDarT was expressed from a β-estradiol inducible-promoter on a low copy plasmid (Louvion et al., 1993). This strategy was employed to separately express a catalytically dead variant of DarT (DarTE160A), which retains only negligible DarT activity (Jankevicius et al., 2016). We confirmed expression of mtDarT, as well as mtDarTE160A via western blotting after 4 and 8hr of induction with 100nM β-estradiol (Fig. S1B). Immunostaining using an anti-flag antibody revealed that the proteins indeed localized to the mitochondria, marked using mitochondrially-targeted mNeonGreen (mt-mNG) (Fig. 1B, S1C).

We next assessed the impact of mtDarT on cell growth, utilizing the ability of yeast cells to show differential growth on fermentable/ non-fermentable carbon sources, based on their mitochondrial activity (Ephrussi et al., 1955). Cells with impaired mitochondrial function are able to survive on media containing a fermentable carbon source (albeit forming smaller, 'petite' colonies), due the ability of these cells to carry out glycolysis. However, such cells are compromised for growth on non-fermentable carbon sources, where oxidative phosphorylation (and hence complete mitochondrial function) is essential. When compared with an empty vector control, we found that cells expressing mtDarT showed no associated

151 loss in viability on plates supplemented with dextrose (fermentable carbon source) (Fig. 1C).

152 These cells showed significant loss of viability in case of plates supplemented with glycerol

153 (non-fermentable carbon source) (Fig. 1C). Importantly, the growth defects observed were

154 mtDarT-specific and required the DNA adduct-forming catalytic function of DarT (Fig. 1C). This

155 supported the idea that mtDarT had mitochondria-specific action.

mtDNA damage impacts mitochondrial organization and dynamics

We next characterized the impact of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial organization and

function in glycolysis replete conditions. For this, we followed mitochondrial dynamics in cells

expressing Cox4-mCherry to track mitochondria in addition to mtDarT (or an empty vector

control). We classified the observed mitochondrial organizations into three distinct

categories: 'tubular', 'fragmented' and 'aggregate' (representative examples are shown in Fig.

2A). We found that the induction of mtDarT resulted in fragmentation of the mitochondrial

network (Fig. 2A-B), with an increase in percentage of cells with fragmented or aggregate

mitochondria. Indeed, cells carrying mtDarT displayed some perturbation to mitochondrial

organization even prior to induction, likely due to leaky expression from the vector (Fig. S2A).

We next followed the mtDarT-induced changes in mitochondrial organization over time via

measuring two features (Fig. 2C): a. the mean form factor (MFF) of mitochondria per cell, an

indicator of mitochondrial circularity (Chaudhry et al., 2020) and b. the number of discrete

mitochondria per cell. We plotted MFF and number of mitochondria for all cells as 2D density

plots, allowing us to visualize populations of cells having either tubular, fragmented or

aggregated mitochondria (Fig. 2C). We observed that control cells harboring an empty vector

largely populated MFF above 2 (predominantly tubular mitochondrial morphology) and

maximum density represented an intermediate between a tubular network and fragmented

state (Fig. 2D). This is as expected under unperturbed conditions, given the dynamic nature

of the mitochondria throughout the cell cycle (Shaw & Nunnari, 2002). In contrast, upon

mtDarT induction, mitochondria gradually transitioned from tubular (MFF >2) to fragmented/

aggregate states, having MFF <2 (Fig. 2D).

Organization of the mitochondrial network is regulated by a dedicated set of GTPases that

control mitochondrial membrane fission and fusion (Westermann, 2008). Hence, to assess

the contribution of changes in mitochondrial fission and/ or fusion upon mtDNA damage, we

followed mitochondrial dynamics via time-lapse microscopy. We imaged cells at 5 min

intervals for 40 min, after 4hr of damage exposure (Fig. 2E, S2B-C) and plotted density plots

as described above. Transition from tubular to fragmented states would reveal fission events,

while transition in the opposite direction (towards a tubular network) would be indicative of

fusion events.

To assess the impact of mtDNA damage on fission dynamics, we followed cells (control and

damage) with tubular mitochondrial networks at the start of imaging. We found that the rates

of mitochondrial fission (as assessed by transition of cells with MFF >2 to MFF <2), were

comparable between control and damage-treated cells (Fig. S2D). In line with this

observation, we did not find an increase in levels of the fission protein Dnm1 (Bleazard et al.,

1999) (Fig. S2E). Another mechanism for mitochondrial fission, independent of changes in the

levels of Dnm1, is via ERMES contact sites (Lewis et al., 2016). An increase in the number of

these contact sites (which can be imaged via tracking the number of Mdm34 localizations)

could lead to recruitment of Dnm1, thereby resulting in an increase in fission events.

However, we did not observe a significant increase in the number of ERMES contact sites in

cells facing damage (Fig. S2F).

Unlike the observed fission dynamics, cells facing mtDarT-induced damage had significantly

lower fusion events. When we followed individual cells over time, we found that mtDarT-

expressing cells with fragmented or aggregate mitochondrial organization did not display

dynamic reorganization towards a network morphology (Fig. 2E, S2C). Consistently, in

comparison to the control, cells expressing mtDarT were compromised in transitioning from

MFF <2 to MFF >2 (fragmented to tubular transition) and tended to maintain the density

distribution observed at the start of the experiment (Fig. 2F). The control cells on the other

hand were able to dynamically transition from MFF <2 to MFF>2 within the 40 min imaging

interval (Fig.2E-F).

Importantly, the above described effects of mtDarT were not cell size or cell cycle-stage

specific (as assessed by the presence and size of the bud), further underscoring the direct

impact of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial organization (Fig. S3A-B). Taken together, our

data suggest that under mtDNA damage, large scale reorganization of the mitochondrial

network likely arises from lowered mitochondrial membrane fusion rates.

mtDNA damage results in mitochondrial dysfunction

Given our observations on the impact of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial membrane fusion dynamics, we wondered whether mitochondrial function was perturbed in these cells. We thus measured mitochondrial membrane potential (ψ) via imaging TMRE (a membrane potential sensitive dye) as a read-out for mitochondrial activity (Ludovico et al., 2001). We observed a decrease in membrane potential in cells expressing mtDarT (Fig. 3A), similar to impact of FCCP, a membrane decoupler which decreases ψ (Fig. S4A). This lowering in membrane potential was observed even in mtDarT cells with reticular/ tubular networks, suggesting that mitochondrial dysfunction upon mtDNA damage preceded changes in mitochondrial organization and dynamics (Fig. S4B).

Specific lowering in mitochondrial fusion rates has been reported in cells with compromised membrane potential (and hence dysfunction) (Duvezin-Caubet et al., 2006; Karbowski et al., 2004; Legros et al., 2004; Twig et al., 2008). We thus hypothesized that mtDNA damage-induced mitochondria with lowered membrane potential would display lower probability of fusion, when compared with mitochondria with unperturbed membrane potential. To assess this, we tracked mitochondrial dynamics (via mt-mNeongreen) in mtDarT-treated cells after a brief pulse of TMRE staining (Fig. 3B-C). We then analysed the mean form factor and number of mitochondria for cells specifically having MFF<2 (fragmented) along with their initial TMRE fluorescence intensities, and asked whether these mitochondria were able to transition to a tubular state. We observed that mitochondria with higher membrane potential, but aggregate/ fragmented organization (MFF <2) were able to fuse into tubular mitochondrial networks (MFF >2) (Fig. 3C-D). In contrast, fragmented mitochondria with lower membrane potential were unable to do so during the course of imaging (Fig. 3C-D).

Our observations are consistent with the possibility that mtDNA damage results in mitochondrial dysfunction (via reduced membrane potential), which in-turn affects mitochondrial dynamics via impairing fusion.

Changes in mitochondrial morphology accompany loss of mtDNA but not mitochondria

We next assessed the effects of mtDarT damage on mtDNA, and whether the observed mitochondrial dynamics contributed to clearance of damaged DNA/ dysfunctional mitochondria. Following a previously-described method, we stained cells with SYBR Green I

to visualize mtDNA nucleoids (Jajoo et al., 2016) (Fig. 4A). In the control sample without

mtDNA damage, less than 2% cells had no detectable mtDNA foci ('ρ0' cells) at all time points

of imaging (Fig. 4B). In contrast, upon mtDarT induction, the percentage of ρ0 cells steadily

increased, with ~30% cells having no detectable foci post 8hr of damage induction (Fig. 4B).

Consistent with this, we also observed an overall decrease in the number of mtDNA foci per

cell upon mtDNA damage (Fig. S5A).

In some instance mitochondrial dysfunction (and associated fragmentation) has been shown

to occur in conjunction with mitophagy (Burman et al., 2017; Kleele et al., 2021). We thus

asked whether loss of mtDNA under damage was a result of clearance of dysfunctional

mitochondria. For this, we assessed the levels of two nuclear-encoded mitochondrial

proteins, Cox4 and Tom20, via western blot. We found that levels of these proteins did not

change significantly under damage conditions (Fig. 4C). We then measured mitochondrial

volume after induction of mtDarT (Fig. S5B) and found that here too, no significant changes

were observed over time. Consistent with the lack of change in mitochondrial content, we did

not observe an increase in mitophagy-associated marker, Atg8p (Torggler et al., 2017)

localizations. We also did not detect a significant difference in the amount of vacuolar

localization of Idh1 (Kanki & Klionsky, 2008) upon mtDarT-induction (Fig. S5C-D). This

suggested that an mtDNA-specific mechanism might be responsible for mtDNA clearance in

response to damage.

Exonuclease activity of the mtDNA polymerase, Mip1, is essential for mtDNA maintenance

In mammalian cells, the mtDNA replicative polymerase PolG can switch between synthesis

and degradation functions to clear out defective mtDNA (Nissanka et al., 2018; Peeva et al.,

2018). Evidence for such exonuclease function of the yeast homolog, Mip1, has also been

reported in conditions of starvation or oxidative stress (Medeiros et al., 2018). We hence

asked whether exonuclease activity could contribute to mtDNA clearance under mtDarT-

induced damage in our conditions. For this, we blocked the exonuclease activity of Mip1, via

mutating the catalytic site (D171A, E173A) responsible for its degradative function (Foury &

Vanderstraeten, 1992; Medeiros et al., 2018) (Fig. 5A) and assessed presence of mtDNA

nucleoids via SYBR Green I staining. Surprisingly, upon mtDarT induction, we observed that

Mip1 exonuclease deficient cells generated ρ0 cells significantly faster than wild type. As

shown earlier (Fig. 4B), 30% of wild type cells were ρ0 after 8hr of mtDarT induction. *mip1^exo-*

cells already generated ρ0 cells at a low frequency (~7%) in no damage conditions,
highlighting that inability to clear damaged mtDNA (in this case likely due to replication
errors) results in mtDNA loss in glucose replete growth environment. In line with this
observation, induction of mtDNA damage resulted in 60% cells becoming ρ0 post 8 hr of
mtDarT induction (Fig. 5B). Importantly, rapid mtDNA loss did not result in production of cells
devoid of mitochondria.

How do *mip1^exo-* cells lose mtDNA in such a rapid manner under damage? We measured
budding events of wild type and mutant cells upon mtDNA damage and found them to be
comparable during the course of the experiment (3±1 budding events over 6 hr, for both wild
type and *mip1^exo-* cells expressing mtDarT), ruling out the possibility of faster division rates in
the exonuclease mutant contributing to mtDNA loss over time. We next assessed
mitochondrial dynamics in the mutant. As seen in wild type cells, we found that mtDNA
damage resulted in significant changes to mitochondrial organization in case of exonuclease
deficient cells as well (Fig. S6A). Furthermore, in comparison to mtDarT-treated wild type
cells, we found that membrane potential was severely compromised in the mutant (Fig. S6B),
suggesting that these cells likely have significantly lowered mitochondrial membrane fusion
events. A potential outcome of enhanced mitochondrial dysfunction is a defect in the
segregation of mitochondria during division (McFaline-Figueroa et al., 2011). We thus
wondered whether this could impact partitioning of the mtDarT-induced damaged mtDNA.
Such partitioning defect would likely be more severe/ pronounced in the *mip1^exo-* condition,
where defective mtDNA would accumulate due to lack of a clearance mechanism.

When we probed for mtDNA in these cells, we observed a significant proportion of cells with
mitochondria devoid of mtDNA in *mip1^exo-* background (Fig 5C). We asked whether mtDNA
was differentially partitioned in these cells, resulting in generation of mitochondria devoid of
DNA as well. For this, we analysed mother-bud pairs after SYBR Green I staining, and
quantified the fraction of mtDNA foci in the bud (Fig. 5D). Consistent with previous reports
(Jajoo et al., 2016; Osman et al., 2015), we found that the amount of mtDNA partitioned in
the bud scaled with increasing bud area in control as well as wild type cells after mtDarT
induction (Fig. 5E). However, unlike wild type cells, there was significant heterogeneity in the
amount of mtDNA in the bud (across cell areas) in case of exonuclease deficient cells treated
with mtDarT, suggesting imprecise mtDNA partitioning (Fig. 5E), with several instances of

buds receiving no mtDNA (ρ0 daughter cells) (Fig. 5E). While we cannot rule out a role for

perturbed mtDNA replication in this case, such asymmetry in mtDNA partitioning can

contribute significantly to the rapid production of ρ0 cells in the exonuclease deficient

mutant. Taking these observations together, our results suggest that mitochondrial dynamics

could differentially impact damaged mtDNA segregation in conditions where defective

mtDNA clearance mechanisms are compromised, likely facilitating organelle segregation

independent of its DNA.

Discussion:

In this study, we describe a system to generate mtDNA-specific damage in *S. cerevisiae*. Using a bacterial toxin DarT (Jankevicius et al., 2016), targeted to the mitochondria, we follow the impact of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial organization, segregation and function. We find that mtDNA damage affects mitochondrial function via compromising membrane potential. This could be the causative force in mitochondrial reorganization by reduction in mitochondrial membrane fusion events (Duvezin-Caubet et al., 2006; Karbowski et al., 2004; Legros et al., 2004; Twig et al., 2008), facilitating selective segregation of mitochondria without defective mtDNA (discussed below).

How cells sense and respond to mtDNA damage remains an outstanding and exciting question. Previous studies have detected the presence of specific DNA repair enzymes (include BER and recombination machinery) in the mitochondria (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Boesch et al., 2011; Scheibye-Knudsen et al., 2015; Stevnsner et al., 2002). While some of these pathways encode mitochondrially-targeted isoforms (Swartzlander et al., 2010), many do not carry any known localization signal (Stuart et al., 2005; Thyagarajan et al., 1996). How they localize to the mitochondria remains unclear, as is the importance of specific repair pathways in mtDNA maintenance (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Scheibye-Knudsen et al., 2015). Indeed, there are certain types of damages (such as UV-induced pyrimidine dimers) for which active repair pathways have not been found in mitochondria (Clayton et al., 1974; Pascucci et al., 1997). In such scenarios, where mtDNA damage becomes persistent, degradation of damaged mtDNA copies has been proposed to occur (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Shokolenko et al., 2013). Interestingly, this function is carried out by the exonuclease activity of the mtDNA polymerase (Mip1 or PolG) itself (Nissanka et al., 2018; Peeva et al., 2018).

Our work further underscores a central role for defective mtDNA clearance via exonuclease activity of the replicative polymerase under damage. We propose that Mip1 exonuclease function is required for mtDNA degradation under damage and inability of cells to clear such damaged mtDNA results in rapid generation of ρ0 cells in glucose replete conditions. Although in unperturbed conditions, mtDNA and mitochondrial segregation may be coordinated (Jajoo et al., 2016; Lewis et al., 2016; Osman et al., 2015), our observations thus support a role for selective segregation of damaged mtDNA, in a manner that can result in partitioning of mtDNA-less mitochondria in the daughter cells. Generation of cells devoid of mtDNA, without

significant loss of mitochondria would suggest cells can de-couple mtDNA and mitochondrial

partitioning and maintenance under conditions of damage, when complete mitochondrial

function may not be essential (such as in glycolytic conditions). Indeed, while mitochondria

cannot be made *de novo* (Westermann, 2014), ρ0 and ρ- cells in the environment could regain

and complement lost mtDNA, albeit with different proficiencies (Ling et al., 2019; Perlman,

1976).

It is interesting to note that mtDNA damage triggers rapid changes in mitochondrial

organization and segregation, and both the outcomes potentially stemming from

mitochondrial dysfunction. It would be important to understand how mtDNA damage causes

perturbations to mitochondrial function and how this in turn modulates rates of fusion. It is

possible that mtDNA damage can perturb levels of mitochondrially-encoded proteins such as

those part of the electron transport chain, which requires coordinated effort from nuclear

and mitochondrial genomes (Fontanesi et al., 2006; Herrmann & Funes, 2005). Separately,

studies have reported a direct impact of lowered membrane potential on differential

processing of Opa1 (a mitochondrial membrane fusion protein in mammals), affecting its

association with the mitochondrial membrane (Song et al., 2007). Although not probed in the

present study, it would be informative to assess function and levels of Fzo1 to determine if

known pathways of fusion are responsible for the observed dynamics in budding yeast.

The change in mitochondrial organization we report is consistent with observations in

mammalian cells, where mitochondrial network fragmentation has been seen to occur in

response to mtDNA damage (Ghosh et al., 2019). This suggests that mitochondrial

reorganization may be a universal response to mtDNA perturbation, as a fundamental quality

control mechanism to deal with mitochondrial dysfunction and regulate mtDNA/

mitochondrial segregation as well as clearance. Indeed in metazoans, mitochondrial fission

has been proposed to facilitate selective removal and degradation of mitochondria via

processes such as PINK-Parkin mediated mitophagy (Ni et al., 2015; Scheibye-Knudsen et al.,

2015).

Our results instead suggest that mitophagy does not play a significant role in the mtDNA

damage response in yeast and that quality control mechanisms likely act at the level of mtDNA

and its segregation (Fig. S5D). For example, previous reports have shown that budding yeast

cells segregate mitochondria asymmetrically based on the activity of the organelle (McFaline-

Figueroa et al., 2011; Vevea et al., 2014). Such asymmetry could also passively ensure

selective segregation of intact mtDNA copies to daughter cells. Indeed, recent studies
demonstrate selective removal or clearance of mutated mtDNA via sensing of local
mitochondrial activity as well as downregulation of mitochondrial fusion (Hill et al., 2014;
Jakubke et al., 2021; Lieber et al., 2019). It is tempting to speculate that in organisms like
budding yeast, that divide asymmetrically, the inability of dysfunctional mitochondria to fuse
with the rest of the mitochondrial network, along with selective segregation during division,
is the predominant mitochondrial quality control mechanism even in response to mtDNA
damage.

In sum, our study underscores: a. the impact of perturbations to mtDNA integrity on
mitochondrial dynamics and function; b. the importance of Mip1 exonuclease activity in
mtDNA maintenance under damage and c. the ability of cells to decouple mitochondrial and
mtDNA partitioning under mtDNA damage, with an emphasis on mitochondrial maintenance
even in the absence of mtDNA in glycolysis replete conditions. Indeed, the mtDarT system
developed here can provide a unique approach to enable future investigations on the
mechanisms that govern mtDNA-specific damage repair and recovery across diverse growth
conditions.

Materials and methods:

Yeast strains and growth conditions

A prototrophic strain CEN.PK of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was used as the background strain in all the experiments (van Dijken et al., 2000). Plasmids, strains used in the study are listed in Supplementary Table 1-3. Yeast cultures were grown in rich YP media (containing 2% Peptone, 1% Yeast extract, and 2% Dextrose or 3% Glycerol as the carbon source) at 30°C with 200 rpm shaking with appropriate concentrations of antibiotics, as required. For all time-course and time-lapse experiments, cells were transformed with the plasmids, and transformants were inoculated in YPDextrose containing NAT and allowed to grow overnight. These cultures were induced with β-estradiol at an O.D.~ 0.2. For induction, β-estradiol (working stock- 50μM, prepared from a stock of 1mM in 100% molecular biology grade ethanol) was added to a final concentration of 100nM, unless mentioned otherwise.

Yeast transformations were done using the LiAc method. For plasmid transformations, cells were plated on YPDextrose plates containing appropriate concentrations of NAT and were allowed to grow for 48 hr at 30°C. *mip1^{exo-}* cells transformed with plasmids, were plated on YPGlycerol plates containing appropriate concentrations of NAT and were allowed to grow for 3 days at 30°C.

mip1^{exo-} mutant was generated as described earlier (Medeiros et al., 2018). Briefly, we first generated a *mip1Δ* strain which resulted in formation of ρ^0 cells. We then introduced a copy of the *mip1* gene containing the mutations D171A and E173A along with a hygromycin selection cassette, at the same locus. Successful transformants containing the mutated copy of the gene were then crossed with the wild type strain of the opposite mating type to reintroduce mtDNA. The diploids were sporulated and tetrads were dissected to obtain ρ^+ *mip1^{exo-}* cells which were selected using hygromycin and the mating type was confirmed using PCR primers specific for mating type locus. *mip1* gene was sequenced to confirm the presence of the mutations. The strain was maintained on YPGlycerol post dissection to ensure selection of only ρ^+ cells; experiments were carried out in YPDextrose.

mtDNA and mitochondrial staining

For visualizing mtDNA, a previously described protocol was used (Jajoo et al., 2016). Briefly, 1ml of culture was pelleted and washed with 1X PBS. The supernatant was discarded and cells were re- suspended in the residual buffer to give 50µl cell suspension. 0.5µl of undiluted SYBR Green I (Invitrogen) was added to the cell suspension and mixed with gentle tapping. Cells were immediately pelleted and supernatant was discarded. The pellets were washed with 1ml of 1X PBS. Cells were subsequently diluted to appropriate densities in 1X PBS before imaging.

For visualizing the mitochondrial membrane potential, cells were pelleted and re-suspended in 10ml of YPDextrose media (pre-warmed to 30°C) containing 1.5µM of TMRE. The cells were incubated in the dark for 20 min in a shaker incubator (30°C, 200 rpm). Subsequently, cells were pelleted down and washed with YPDextrose media in 2X the original volume of culture used for staining. The cell pellets were resuspended to appropriate density in YPDextrose prior to imaging. For time lapses, cells were stained with TMRE post 3.5 hr of induction, in presence of 100nM β- estradiol. Following this, cells were washed and spotted on YPDextrose pads for imaging.

Fluorescence Microscopy

Overnight cultures were allowed to grow till O.D.~0.2. At this O.D, mtDarT expression was induced with 100nM β-estradiol. For time course experiments, samples were spotted on 1% ultra-pure agarose water pads, and for time lapse experiments, samples were spotted on YPDextrose pads with 100nM β-estradiol.

Microscopy was performed on a wide-field epifluorescence microscope (Eclipse Ti-2E, Nikon) with a 63X oil immersion objective (plan apochromat objective with NA 1.41) and illumination from pE4000 light source (CoolLED). The microscope was equipped with a motorized XYZ stage and focus was maintained using an infrared-based Perfect Focusing System (Nikon). Image acquisitions were done with Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4.0 camera using NIS-elements software (version 5.1). For time-lapse imaging, samples were placed inside an OkoLab incubation chamber maintained at 30°C and imaged at specific intervals for the indicated period of time.

For SIM imaging, coverslips were sequentially washed in methanol and 100% ethanol and

flame dried prior to sample preparation. Cells were fixed in 3.7% para-formaldehyde (900µl

of cell suspension was added to 100µl of 37% para-formaldehyde) and then washed with 1X

PBS. Cells were then spotted on 1% ultra-pure agarose water pads and imaged. Samples were

imaged with Nikon 3D-SIM microscope equipped with an ANDOR iXon DU-897 EMCCD camera

(512X512), 100X ApoTIRF 1.49 NA oil objective with Coherent Sapphire 561nm solid state

laser as the light source. Image reconstruction was done using NIS elements software

(Illumination modulation contrast – Auto, High resolution noise suppression – 1X, Out of focus

blur suppression – 0.25). During acquisition, 800ms exposure for COX4-mCherry with 20%

laser power, 150 EM gain and 0.3 µm step size was used.

Image analysis

All image analysis was performed using MATLAB and Fiji (ImageJ) (Schindelin et al., 2012).

Mitochondrial analysis was done on 2D maximum projections of z-stacks and images were

deconvolved using NIS-elements AR software (Richardson-Lucy algorithm with 60-iterations).

Cell segmentation was performed using a MATLAB algorithm (Doncic et al., 2013). Cell

outlines were extracted from .mat output files from the algorithm and then ROIs were

obtained using Fiji. For segmentation of mitochondria, a custom pipeline was set up in Fiji

(briefly involving a. Background subtraction (Rolling ball radius: 50 pixels). b. Sigma Filter Plus

(radius =1 pixel, use pixels within = 2 sigmas, Minimum pixel fraction = 0.2). c. Enhanced Local

Contrast (CLAHE) (Blocksize = 64, histogram = 256, maximum = 2). d. Tubeness filter (sigma

=1.000). e. Images converted to 8-bit. f. Thresholding (10,255) (Minimum threshold was

adjusted between 8-15 depending on the quality of segmentation). g. Images converted to

mask, Despeckled and Remove outliers (Radius =2, threshold =50)) and the mitochondrial

descriptors (Mean form factor, mtArea, Number of mitochondria on per cell basis) were

obtained using Mitochondrial analyzer (Chaudhry et al., 2020). Whole cell TMRE intensity was

analyzed from maximum projections using 'Measure' in Fiji. For Fig. 2A, cells were manually

binned into three morphological categories and buds were excluded from the analysis. For

detection of mtDNA foci post SYBR Green I staining, 3D maxima finder plugin (part of the 3D

ImageJSuite) in ImageJ was utilized. Since cells with less/without any mtDNA also show

nuclear DNA staining, we manually scored these cells post segmentation to account for

detection of foci due to nuclear staining. For mtDNA analysis in mother vs bud, pairs without

any mtDNA foci (in both cell types) were removed. Mitochondrial volume was analyzed using

Mitograph (Rafelski et al., 2012; Viana et al., 2015).

Western blotting

Transformants were inoculated in 100-120 ml of YPDextrose with appropriate concentrations

of NAT. Cultures were induced with 100nM β-estradiol at an O.D ~ 0.2. Cells were harvested

and protein isolation was performed using the Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) extraction method.

Pellets were resuspended in 300µl of 10% TCA and lysed by bead beating thrice. The

precipitates were resuspended in 200µl of SDS-Glycerol buffer (7.3% SDS, 29.1% glycerol and

83.3 mM Tris base) and boiled at 95°C for 10 min with frequent vortexing. The lysate was

precipitated and the supernatant was obtained containing protein. Estimation of protein

concentration was done using the BCA estimation method using GBioSciences assay kit. The

assay was performed in disposable cuvettes, where 5µl was mixed with 1ml Solution A and

20µl of Solution B and incubated at 30°C for 30 min. The absorbance was recorded at 565nm.

Equal amounts of protein were then loaded on a polyacrylamide gel. For Cox4-mCherry,

Dnm1-HA blots 8-10% gels were used. PVDF membranes were used for blotting, which were

probed with anti-mCherry (1:5000), anti-HA (1:5000) antibodies. HRP conjugated secondary

antibodies were used (1:5000) for western blotting and blots were developed using

SuperSignal West PICO PLUS or FEMTO PLUS chemiluminescent substrate. Equal amount of

proteins was loaded on a separate gel and stained with Coomassie Brillian Blue as a loading

control.

Immunocytochemistry

Mid-log phase cells were harvested and fixed in 1ml of 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at

room temperature. After washing the cells twice with a phosphate-sorbitol buffer (0.1 M

KPO4 and 1x in 0.1 M KPO4/1.2 M sorbitol), the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of 0.1M

KPO4/1.2M sorbitol. Cells were then spheroplasted with 10mg/ml Zymolyase for 10-30 min

in presence of β-mercaptoethanol. Spheroplasting was checked by imaging cells on the

microscope. For imaging, slides/dishes were prepared by washing in chilled methanol and

95% ethanol. Slides were then coated with 0.1% Poly-L lysine for 5-10 min and then washed

thrice with dH2O. 15µl of spheroplast suspension was placed in each well for 5-10 min at

room temperature and then aspirated, and immediately plunged into ice cold methanol for 5-6 min and then in cold acetone for 30 sec. Post air drying, 25µl of PBS-BSA was used for blocking. Primary antibody probing was done following 30 min of blocking, for 1 hr. After 5 washes with PBS-BSA, secondary antibody was added and incubated for 1-2 hr in a humid chamber in the dark. The wells were again washed with PBS-BSA five times (each wash for 10 min) and then twice with only PBS. Slides were mounted with mounted media (Slowfade DAPI reagent) and imaged.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. Ivan Ahel for sharing bacterial DarT and DarG constructs and

Afroze Chimthanawala for assistance with SIM imaging. The authors thank Dr. Sunil Laxman

and members of the AB and SL labs for helpful discussions and feedback on the manuscript.

This work was supported by fellowships from TIFR (ND), CSIR (AS) as well as grants from HFSP

CDA (00051/ 2017-C) (AB) and intramural funding from NCBS-TIFR (AB).

Author contributions

526 ND: conception of project, experimental design, generation of tools and reagents, execution

527 of experiments, data analysis, writing of manuscript. AS: experimental design, generation of

528 tools and reagents, execution of experiments, data analysis, editing of manuscript. AB:

529 conception of project, project supervision, experimental design and writing of manuscript.

Declaration of interests

532 The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Alexeyev, M., Shokolenko, I., Wilson, G., & LeDoux, S. (2013). The Maintenance of Mitochondrial DNA Integrity—Critical Analysis and Update. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, *5*(5). https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a012641

Bagamery, L. E., Justman, Q. A., Garner, E. C., & Murray, A. W. (2020). A Putative Bet-Hedging Strategy Buffers Budding Yeast against Environmental Instability. *Current Biology*, *30*(23), 4563-4578.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.092

Bleazard, W., McCaffery, J. M., King, E. J., Bale, S., Mozdy, A., Tieu, Q., Nunnari, J., & Shaw, J. M. (1999). The dynamin-related GTPase Dnm1 regulates mitochondrial fission in yeast. *Nature Cell Biology*, *1*(5), 298–304. https://doi.org/10.1038/13014

Boesch, P., Weber-Lotfi, F., Ibrahim, N., Tarasenko, V., Cosset, A., Paulus, F., Lightowlers, R. N., & Dietrich, A. (2011). DNA repair in organelles: Pathways, organization, regulation, relevance in disease and aging. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research*, *1813*(1), 186–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2010.10.002

Brown, T. A., Tkachuk, A. N., Shtengel, G., Kopek, B. G., Bogenhagen, D. F., Hess, H. F., & Clayton, D. A. (2011). Superresolution Fluorescence Imaging of Mitochondrial Nucleoids Reveals Their Spatial Range, Limits, and Membrane Interaction. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.05694-11

Burman, J. L., Pickles, S., Wang, C., Sekine, S., Vargas, J. N. S., Zhang, Z., Youle, A. M., Nezich, C. L., Wu, X., Hammer, J. A., & Youle, R. J. (2017). Mitochondrial fission facilitates the selective mitophagy of protein aggregates. *Journal of Cell Biology*, *216*(10), 3231–3247. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201612106

Busch, K. B., Kowald, A., & Spelbrink, J. N. (2014). Quality matters: How does mitochondrial network dynamics and quality control impact on mtDNA integrity? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *369*(1646), 20130442. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0442

Chaudhry, A., Shi, R., & Luciani, D. S. (2020). A pipeline for multidimensional confocal analysis of mitochondrial morphology, function, and dynamics in pancreatic β-cells. *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism*, *318*(2), E87–E101. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00457.2019

Chen, X. J., & Butow, R. A. (2005). The organization and inheritance of the mitochondrial genome. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *6*(11), 815–825. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1708

Clayton, D. A., Doda, J. N., & Friedberg, E. C. (1974). The Absence of a Pyrimidine Dimer Repair Mechanism in Mammalian Mitochondria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *71*(7), 2777–2781.

Detmer, S. A., & Chan, D. C. (2007). Functions and dysfunctions of mitochondrial dynamics. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, *8*(11), 870–879. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2275

Doncic, A., Eser, U., Atay, O., & Skotheim, J. M. (2013). An Algorithm to Automate Yeast
Segmentation and Tracking. *PLOS ONE*, *8*(3), e57970.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057970

Duvezin-Caubet, S., Jagasia, R., Wagener, J., Hofmann, S., Trifunovic, A., Hansson, A., Chomyn,
A., Bauer, M. F., Attardi, G., Larsson, N.-G., Neupert, W., & Reichert, A. S. (2006). Proteolytic
Processing of OPA1 Links Mitochondrial Dysfunction to Alterations in Mitochondrial
Morphology *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *281*(49), 37972–37979.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M606059200

Ephrussi, B., de Margerie-Hottinguer, H., & Roman, H. (1955). SUPPRESSIVENESS: A NEW
FACTOR IN THE GENETIC DETERMINISM OF THE SYNTHESIS OF RESPIRATORY ENZYMES IN
YEAST. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
41(12), 1065–1071.

Farge, G., Laurens, N., Broekmans, O. D., van den Wildenberg, S. M. J. L., Dekker, L. C. M.,
Gaspari, M., Gustafsson, C. M., Peterman, E. J. G., Falkenberg, M., & Wuite, G. J. L. (2012).
Protein sliding and DNA denaturation are essential for DNA organization by human
mitochondrial transcription factor A. *Nature Communications*, *3*(1), 1013.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2001

Fontanesi, F., Soto, I. C., Horn, D., & Barrientos, A. (2006). Assembly of mitochondrial
cytochrome c-oxidase, a complicated and highly regulated cellular process. *American Journal*
of Physiology-Cell Physiology, *291*(6), C1129–C1147.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00233.2006

Foury, F., & Vanderstraeten, S. (1992). Yeast mitochondrial DNA mutators with deficient
proofreading exonucleolytic activity. *The EMBO Journal*, *11*(7), 2717–2726.

Ghosh, A., Bhattacharjee, S., Chowdhuri, S. P., Mallick, A., Rehman, I., Basu, S., & Das, B. B.
(2019). SCAN1-TDP1 trapping on mitochondrial DNA promotes mitochondrial dysfunction and
mitophagy. *Science Advances*, *5*(11), eaax9778. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax9778

Hahn, A., & Zuryn, S. (2019). The Cellular Mitochondrial Genome Landscape in Disease. *Trends*
in Cell Biology, *29*(3), 227–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2018.11.004

Herrmann, J. M., & Funes, S. (2005). Biogenesis of cytochrome oxidase-sophisticated
assembly lines in the mitochondrial inner membrane. *Gene*, *354*, 43–52.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2005.03.017

Hill, J. H., Chen, Z., & Xu, H. (2014). Selective propagation of functional mitochondrial DNA
during oogenesis restricts the transmission of a deleterious mitochondrial variant. *Nature*
Genetics, *46*(4), 389–392. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2920

Horie, C., Suzuki, H., Sakaguchi, M., & Mihara, K. (2003). Targeting and Assembly of
Mitochondrial Tail-anchored Protein Tom5 to the TOM Complex Depend on a Signal Distinct

from That of Tail-anchored Proteins Dispersed in the Membrane *. *Journal of Biological*
Chemistry, *278*(42), 41462–41471. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307047200
631
632 Jajoo, R., Jung, Y., Huh, D., Viana, M. P., Rafelski, S. M., Springer, M., & Paulsson, J. (2016).
633 Accurate concentration control of mitochondria and nucleoids. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*,
634 *351*(6269), 169–172. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8714
635
636 Jakubke, C., Roussou, R., Maiser, A., Schug, C., Thoma, F., Bunk, D., Hörl, D., Leonhardt, H.,
637 Walter, P., Klecker, T., & Osman, C. (n.d.). Cristae-dependent quality control of the
638 mitochondrial   genome.   *Science   Advances*,   *7*(36),   eabi8886.
639 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abi8886
640
641 Jankevicius, G., Ariza, A., Ahel, M., & Ahel, I. (2016). The Toxin-Antitoxin System DarTG
642 Catalyzes Reversible ADP-Ribosylation of DNA. *Molecular Cell*, *64*(6), 1109–1116.
643 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.014
644
645 Jiao, H., Jiang, D., Hu, X., Du, W., Ji, L., Yang, Y., Li, X., Sho, T., Wang, X., Li, Y., Wu, Y.-T., Wei,
646 Y.-H., Hu, X., & Yu, L. (2021). Mitocytosis, a migrasome-mediated mitochondrial quality-
647 control process. *Cell*, *184*(11), 2896-2910.e13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.027
648
649 Jin, S. M., & Youle, R. J. (2012). PINK1- and Parkin-mediated mitophagy at a glance. *Journal of*
650 *Cell Science*, *125*(4), 795–799. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.093849
651
652 Kanki, T., & Klionsky, D. J. (2008). Mitophagy in Yeast Occurs through a Selective Mechanism.
653 *The   Journal   of   Biological   Chemistry*,   *283*(47),   32386–32393.
654 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M802403200
655
656 Karbowski, M., Arnoult, D., Chen, H., Chan, D. C., Smith, C. L., & Youle, R. J. (2004).
657 Quantitation of mitochondrial dynamics by photolabeling of individual organelles shows that
658 mitochondrial fusion is blocked during the Bax activation phase of apoptosis. *Journal of Cell*
659 *Biology*, *164*(4), 493–499. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200309082
660
661 Kleele, T., Rey, T., Winter, J., Zaganelli, S., Mahecic, D., Perreten Lambert, H., Ruberto, F. P.,
662 Nemir, M., Wai, T., Pedrazzini, T., & Manley, S. (2021). Distinct fission signatures predict
663 mitochondrial   degradation   or   biogenesis.   *Nature*,   *593*(7859),   435–439.
664 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03510-6
665
666 Laporte, D., Gouleme, L., Jimenez, L., Khemiri, I., & Sagot, I. (2018). Mitochondria
667 reorganization upon proliferation arrest predicts individual yeast cell fate. *ELife*, *7*, e35685.
668 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.35685
669
670 Lawarée, E., Jankevicius, G., Cooper, C., Ahel, I., Uphoff, S., & Tang, C. M. (2020). DNA ADP-
671 Ribosylation Stalls Replication and Is Reversed by RecF-Mediated Homologous Recombination
672 and   Nucleotide   Excision   Repair.   *Cell   Reports*,   *30*(5),   1373-1384.e4.
673 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.01.014
674

Legros, F., Malka, F., Frachon, P., Lombès, A., & Rojo, M. (2004). Organization and dynamics
of human mitochondrial DNA. *Journal of Cell Science*, *117*(13), 2653–2662.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.01134

Lewis, S. C., Uchiyama, L. F., & Nunnari, J. (2016). ER-mitochondria contacts couple mtDNA
synthesis with mitochondrial division in human cells. *Science*, *353*(6296).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf5549

Lieber, T., Jeedigunta, S. P., Palozzi, J. M., Lehmann, R., & Hurd, T. R. (2019). Mitochondrial
fragmentation drives selective removal of deleterious mtDNA in the germline. *Nature*,
570(7761), 380–384. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1213-4

Ling, F., Bradshaw, E., & Yoshida, M. (2019). Prevention of mitochondrial genomic instability
in yeast by the mitochondrial recombinase Mhr1. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 5433.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41699-9

Louvion, J. F., Havaux-Copf, B., & Picard, D. (1993). Fusion of GAL4-VP16 to a steroid-binding
domain provides a tool for gratuitous induction of galactose-responsive genes in yeast. *Gene*,
131(1), 129–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(93)90681-r

Ludovico, P., Sansonetty, F., & Côrte-Real, M. (2001). Assessment of mitochondrial membrane
potential in yeast cell populations by flow cytometry. *Microbiology (Reading, England)*, *147*(Pt
12), 3335–3343. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-147-12-3335

McFaline-Figueroa, J. R., Vevea, J., Swayne, T. C., Zhou, C., Liu, C., Leung, G., Boldogh, I. R., &
Pon, L. A. (2011). Mitochondrial quality control during inheritance is associated with lifespan
and mother-daughter age asymmetry in budding yeast. *Aging Cell*, *10*(5), 885–895.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2011.00731.x

Medeiros, T. C., Thomas, R. L., Ghillebert, R., & Graef, M. (2018). Autophagy balances mtDNA
synthesis and degradation by DNA polymerase POLG during starvation. *Journal of Cell Biology*,
217(5), 1601–1611. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201801168

Nakada, K., Inoue, K., Ono, T., Isobe, K., Ogura, A., Goto, Y. I., Nonaka, I., & Hayashi, J. I. (2001).
Inter-mitochondrial complementation: Mitochondria-specific system preventing mice from
expression of disease phenotypes by mutant mtDNA. *Nature Medicine*, *7*(8), 934–940.
https://doi.org/10.1038/90976

Ni, H.-M., Williams, J. A., & Ding, W.-X. (2015). Mitochondrial dynamics and mitochondrial
quality control. *Redox Biology*, *4*, 6–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2014.11.006

Nissanka, N., Bacman, S. R., Plastini, M. J., & Moraes, C. T. (2018). The mitochondrial DNA
polymerase gamma degrades linear DNA fragments precluding the formation of deletions.
Nature Communications, *9*(1), 2491. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04895-1

Osman, C., Noriega, T. R., Okreglak, V., Fung, J. C., & Walter, P. (2015). Integrity of the yeast
mitochondrial genome, but not its distribution and inheritance, relies on mitochondrial fission

and fusion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
112(9), E947–E956. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1501737112
724
725 Pascucci, B., Versteegh, A., van Hoffen, A., van Zeeland, A. A., Mullenders, L. H., & Dogliotti,
726 E. (1997). DNA repair of UV photoproducts and mutagenesis in human mitochondrial DNA.
727 *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *273*(2), 417–427. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1997.1268
728
729 Peeva, V., Blei, D., Trombly, G., Corsi, S., Szukszto, M. J., Rebelo-Guiomar, P., Gammage, P. A.,
730 Kudin, A. P., Becker, C., Altmüller, J., Minczuk, M., Zsurka, G., & Kunz, W. S. (2018). Linear
731 mitochondrial DNA is rapidly degraded by components of the replication machinery. *Nature
732 Communications*, *9*(1), 1727. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04131-w
733
734 Perlman, P. S. (1976). Genetic analysis of petite mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae:
735 Transmissional types. *Genetics*, *82*(4), 645–663. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/82.4.645
736
737 Pickles, S., Vigié, P., & Youle, R. J. (2018). Mitophagy and Quality Control Mechanisms
738 in Mitochondrial Maintenance. *Current Biology: CB*, *28*(4), R170–R185.
739 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.004
740
741 Rafelski, S. M., Viana, M. P., Zhang, Y., Chan, Y.-H. M., Thorn, K. S., Yam, P., Fung, J. C., Li, H.,
742 Costa, L. da F., & Marshall, W. F. (2012). Mitochondrial Network Size Scaling in Budding Yeast.
743 *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *338*(6108), 822–824. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1225720
744
745 Ramos, E. S., Motori, E., Brüser, C., Kühl, I., Yeroslaviz, A., Ruzzenente, B., Kauppila, J. H. K.,
746 Busch, J. D., Hultenby, K., Habermann, B. H., Jakobs, S., Larsson, N.-G., & Mourier, A. (2019).
747 Mitochondrial fusion is required for regulation of mitochondrial DNA replication. *PLOS
748 Genetics*, *15*(6), e1008085. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008085
749
750 Richter, C. (1995). Oxidative damage to mitochondrial DNA and its relationship to ageing. *The
751 International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology*, *27*(7), 647–653.
752 https://doi.org/10.1016/1357-2725(95)00025-K
753
754 Scheibye-Knudsen, M., Fang, E. F., Croteau, D. L., Wilson, D. M., & Bohr, V. A. (2015).
755 Protecting the Mitochondrial Powerhouse. *Trends in Cell Biology*, *25*(3), 158–170.
756 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2014.11.002
757
758 Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S.,
759 Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K.,
760 Tomancak, P., & Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image
761 analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019
762
763 Schuller, M., Butler, R. E., Ariza, A., Tromans-Coia, C., Jankevicius, G., Claridge, T. D. W.,
764 Kendall, S. L., Goh, S., Stewart, G. R., & Ahel, I. (2021). Molecular basis for DarT ADP-
765 ribosylation of a DNA base. *Nature*, *596*(7873), 597–602. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-
766 021-03825-4
767

Scott, I., & Youle, R. J. (2010). Mitochondrial fission and fusion. *Essays in Biochemistry*, *47*, 85–
98. https://doi.org/10.1042/bse0470085

Shaw, J. M., & Nunnari, J. (2002). Mitochondrial dynamics and division in budding yeast.
Trends in Cell Biology, *12*(4), 178–184.

Shokolenko, I. N., Wilson, G. L., & Alexeyev, M. F. (2013). Persistent damage induces
mitochondrial DNA degradation. *DNA Repair*, *12*(7), 488–499.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2013.04.023

Song, Z., Chen, H., Fiket, M., Alexander, C., & Chan, D. C. (2007). OPA1 processing controls
mitochondrial fusion and is regulated by mRNA splicing, membrane potential, and Yme1L.
Journal of Cell Biology, *178*(5), 749–755. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200704110

Stevnsner, T., Thorslund, T., de Souza-Pinto, N. C., & Bohr, V. A. (2002). Mitochondrial repair
of 8-oxoguanine and changes with aging. *Experimental Gerontology*, *37*(10–11), 1189–1196.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0531-5565(02)00142-0

Stuart, J. A., Mayard, S., Hashiguchi, K., Souza-Pinto, N. C., & Bohr, V. A. (2005). Localization
of mitochondrial DNA base excision repair to an inner membrane-associated particulate
fraction. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *33*(12), 3722–3732. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki683

Swartzlander, D. B., Griffiths, L. M., Lee, J., Degtyareva, N. P., Doetsch, P. W., & Corbett, A. H.
(2010). Regulation of base excision repair: Ntg1 nuclear and mitochondrial dynamic
localization in response to genotoxic stress. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *38*(12), 3963–3974.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq108

Taylor, R. W., & Turnbull, D. M. (2005). Mitochondrial DNA mutations in human disease.
Nature Reviews Genetics, *6*(5), 389–402. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1606

Thyagarajan, B., Padua, R. A., & Campbell, C. (1996). Mammalian mitochondria possess
homologous DNA recombination activity. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *271*(44),
27536–27543. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.44.27536

Torggler, R., Papinski, D., & Kraft, C. (2017). Assays to Monitor Autophagy in Saccharomyces
cerevisiae. *Cells*, *6*(3), E23. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells6030023

Twig, G., Elorza, A., Molina, A. J. A., Mohamed, H., Wikstrom, J. D., Walzer, G., Stiles, L., Haigh,
S. E., Katz, S., Las, G., Alroy, J., Wu, M., Py, B. F., Yuan, J., Deeney, J. T., Corkey, B. E., & Shirihai,
O. S. (2008). Fission and selective fusion govern mitochondrial segregation and elimination by
autophagy. *The EMBO Journal*, *27*(2), 433–446. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601963

van Dijken, J. P., Bauer, J., Brambilla, L., Duboc, P., Francois, J. M., Gancedo, C., Giuseppin, M.
L. F., Heijnen, J. J., Hoare, M., Lange, H. C., Madden, E. A., Niederberger, P., Nielsen, J., Parrou,
J. L., Petit, T., Porro, D., Reuss, M., van Riel, N., Rizzi, M., … Pronk, J. T. (2000). An
interlaboratory comparison of physiological and genetic properties of four Saccharomyces

cerevisiae strains. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, *26*(9), 706–714.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(00)00162-9
816
817 Vevea, J. D., Swayne, T. C., Boldogh, I. R., & Pon, L. A. (2014). Inheritance of the fittest
818 mitochondria in yeast. *Trends in Cell Biology*, *24*(1).
819 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2013.07.003
820
821 Viana, M. P., Lim, S., & Rafelski, S. M. (2015). Quantifying mitochondrial content in living cells.
822 *Methods in Cell Biology*, *125*, 77–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mcb.2014.10.003
823
824 Wallace, D. C. (2010). Mitochondrial DNA mutations in disease and aging. *Environmental and*
825 *Molecular Mutagenesis*, *51*(5), 440–450. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20586
826 Westermann, B. (2008). Molecular Machinery of Mitochondrial Fusion and Fission. *Journal of*
827 *Biological Chemistry*, *283*(20), 13501–13505. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R800011200
828
829 Westermann, B. (2010). Mitochondrial fusion and fission in cell life and death. *Nature Reviews*
830 *Molecular Cell Biology*, *11*(12), 872–884. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3013
831
832 Westermann, B. (2014). Mitochondrial inheritance in yeast. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta*,
833 *1837*(7), 1039–1046. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2013.10.005
834
835 Yakes, F. M., & Van Houten, B. (1997). Mitochondrial DNA damage is more extensive and
836 persists longer than nuclear DNA damage in human cells following oxidative stress.
837 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *94*(2), 514–
838 519. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.2.514
839
840 Zhang, Y., Wang, Z.-H., Liu, Y., Chen, Y., Sun, N., Gucek, M., Zhang, F., & Xu, H. (2019). PINK1
841 Inhibits Local Protein Synthesis to Limit Transmission of Deleterious Mitochondrial DNA
842 Mutations. *Molecular Cell*, *73*(6), 1127-1137.e5.
843 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.01.013
844

Main Figure legends

Figure 1: System to induce mitochondria-specific DNA damage. (A). Schematic representing

expression and localization of mtDarT on addition of β-estradiol (Left panel); Diagrammatic

representation of ADP-ribosylation of single stranded DNA in presence of DarT and NAD+

(Right panel). (B). Immunostaining for FLAG-tagged mtDarT/TE160A in cells expressing

mitochondrially-targeted mNeongreen. Intensity line profiles for mtDarT and mNeonGreen

are shown on the right. (C). Cell survival under increasing concentrations of β-estradiol on

plates containing either dextrose or glycerol as a carbon source; cells were transformed with

plasmids expressing mtDarT/TE160A or empty vector as a control. (Scale bar-5μm, white

dotted lines mark cell outlines, here and in all other images)

Figure 2: mtDNA damage impacts mitochondrial organization and dynamics. (A).

Representative cells showing three categories of mitochondrial morphologies observed (left

panel); Percentage of cells in each category in control (empty vector) or mtDarT cells after 8

hr of induction (Mean ± SD from three biological replicates, n>150 in each replicate) (right

panel). (B). Cells expressing Cox4::mCherry imaged in widefield and SIM mode, with

representative cells showing tubular and fragmented mitochondrial morphologies after 6 hr

of induction with 100nM β-estradiol. (C). Two parameters: - Mean form factor (MFF) and

number of mitochondria are used to quantify mitochondrial morphologies in the population.

Details on calculation of MFF is provided, along with a diagrammatic representation of

possible mitochondrial organizations observed in the 2D density plots (D). Density plots with

Mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time; n>680 in each plot, from 3

biological replicates. (E). Montage of cells with Cox4::mCherry, imaged every 5 min for 40 min,

after 4 hr of induction with β-estradiol; mitochondrial morphology at the start of the

experiment is indicated (F). Density plots with Mean form factor and number of mitochondria

over time, plotted for cells starting with MFF<2 at the beginning of time lapse; n=84 for empty

vector, n= 151 for mtDarT pooled from 3 biological replicates.

Figure 3: mtDNA damage results in mitochondrial dysfunction. (A). Representative images

of cells expressing Cox4::GFP and stained with TMRE after 8 hr of induction with β-estradiol

(left panel). Total TMRE intensity normalized to cell area is plotted (n>1030 from 3 biological

replicates) (right panel). (B). Schematic of experimental design for time lapse experiment in

Fig. 3C-D. (C) Montage of cells expressing mt-mNeongreen stained with TMRE tracked over

time, imaged every 5 min for 40 min. TMRE was imaged only at t=0 of time lapse. (D) Scatter

plot with Mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time, plotted for cells starting

with MFF<2 at the beginning of time lapse. Each data point represents one cell with colour

corresponding to TMRE intensity normalized to cell area at t=0 min; n=61 for empty vector,

n=91 for mtDarT from 3 biological replicates. Statistical significance in A was calculated using

Mann-Whitney U test. (*** $p<0.0001$, ** $p<0.005$, * $p< 0.05$, n.s.- not significant, in all cases).

Figure 4: Changes in mitochondrial morphology accompany loss of mtDNA but not

mitochondria. (A). Montage of cells expressing Cox4::mCherry stained with SYBR Green I to

visualize mtDNA over time. (B). Percentage of cells over time without any mtDNA foci. Mean

± SD from 3 biological replicates; n>100 in each biological replicate. (C). Cox4::mCherry and

Tom20::mCherry western blot with quantification from 3 biological replicates, normalized to

empty vector at t=0; Error bars: SD.   Statistical significance in C was calculated using paired

Student's t-test.

Figure 5: Exonuclease activity of the mtDNA polymerase, Mip1, is essential for mtDNA

maintenance. (A) Schematic showing domain organization of Mip1, with amino acid

mutations for $mip1^{exo-}$ marked in red (D171A, E173A). (B) Percentage of cells over time

without any mtDNA foci (p^0) are plotted. Mean ± SD from three biological replicates; n>85 in

each biological replicate. (C) Representative images of $mip1^{exo-}$ cells expressing

Cox4::mCherry stained with SYBR Green I. Yellow arrows mark mitochondria devoid of

mtDNA. (D-E). Fraction of mtDNA in bud (number of mtDNA foci in bud/total number of

mtDNA foci in the mother-bud pair) is plotted against fraction cell area (area of the bud/total

area of the mother-bud pair) for both wild type and $mip1^{exo-}$ cells, after 4 hr (top panel) or 6

hr of induction (bottom panel). Black line represents mean and shaded region represents SD

(calculated after binning x-axis in bins of 0.05). R^2 values were obtained via Pearson's

Correlation; n>145 from 4 biological replicates for $mip1^{exo-}$ and 3 biological replicates for wild

type. Statistical significance in E was calculated using Fisher's F-test, applied on distributions

of residual values of all data points (distance from linear fit curve). Comparisons were made

to empty vector control of corresponding time point.

Figure 1

Figure 2

A tubular
fragmented
aggregate

percentage cells
- aggregate
- fragmented
- tubular

empty vector   mtDarT

B empty vector
widefield   SIM

mtDarT
widefield   SIM

C input   segmented area   segmented perimeter

$$\text{Form factor (FF)} = \frac{4\pi \,(\text{area})}{(\text{perimeter})^2}$$

$$\text{mean FF}_{\text{Cell } j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \text{FF}_i}{N}$$

N= number of mitochondria in a cell, j

tubular network

mean form factor

fragmented aggregates   fragmented network

number of mitochondria

D empty vector   mtDarT

mean form factor

0 hr   0 hr

8 hr   8 hr

ndensity
1.2
0.9
0.6
0.3
0.0

number of mitochondria

E empty vector fragmented
0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 min

mtDarT fragmented

mtDarT aggregate

F fragmented network

fragmented aggregates

T 0 min

empty vector
0 min   20 min   40 min

mtDarT
0 min   20 min   40 min

ndensity
1.2
0.9
0.6
0.3
0.0

mean form factor

number of mitochondria

A

empty vector | mtDarT

Cox4::GFP

TMRE

grey value
0   2^14   2^15   2^16

***

normalized TMRE intensity (a.u.)

empty vector | mtDarT

B   Figure 3

100nM
β-estradiol

time lapse (40 min)
cells imaged every 5 min

0 hr   4 hr   5 hr

TMRE staining (15 min)

C

empty vector

0   5   10   15
20   25   30   35   40 min

mtDarT

0   5   10   15
20   25   30   35   40 min

grey value
0   2^14   2^15   2^16

D

empty vector | mtDarT

mean form factor

0 min

40 min

number of mitochondria

TMRE
intensity (a.u.)
16000
12000
8000
4000
0

Figure 4

A

Cox4::mCherry

SYBR Green I

merged

empty vector   mtDarT

B

% cells without mtDNA foci

- empty vector
- mtDarT

time (hr)

C

	empty vector		mtDarT	
time (hr)	0	8	0	8
Cox4::mCherry				
loading control				
Tom20::mCherry				
loading control				

normalized Cox4::mCherry intensity

n.s.   n.s.

time (hr)

- empty vector
- mtDarT

normalized Tom20::mCherry intensity

n.s.   n.s.

time (hr)

A

POLYMERASE DOMAIN

THUMB   THUMB   CTE

B

C

mip1^{exo-}

Cox4::
mCherry

SYBR
Green I

merged

D

fraction
cell area = cell area of the bud (■) / total cell area (■ + ■)

fraction
mtDNA
(bud) = no. of mtDNA foci in bud (●) / total no. of mtDNA foci (● + ●)

E

wild type   *mip1*$^{exo-}$

empty vector   mtDarT   empty vector   mtDarT

4hr
$r^2 = 0.5346$ $r^2 = 0.5448$ $r^2 = 0.5263$ $r^2 = 0.4085$
n.s.   ***

6hr
$r^2 = 0.6683$ $r^2 = 0.5579$ $r^2 = 0.5620$ $r^2 = 0.3904$
n.s.   ***

Supplementary information

Dynamic reorganization and selective segregation of mitochondria

under DarT-mediated mtDNA damage

Nitish Dua, Akshaya Seshadri and Anjana Badrinarayanan[*]

National Centre for Biological Sciences - Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bellary Road, Bangalore 560065, Karnataka, India, Phone: 91 80 23666547

*Correspondence to: anjana@ncbs.res.in

Supplementary figure legends

Figure S1: System to induce mitochondria-specific DNA damage. (A) Schematic of β-estradiol inducible system. Transcription factor GAL4-HBD-VP16 is constitutively expressed under a pACT1 promoter, and is activated on binding of β-estradiol. The activated transcription factor binds to pGAL1 and initiates transcription of gene under this promoter. (B) Western blots of FLAG-tagged mtDarT/TE160A after 4 and 8 hr of induction with 100nM β-estradiol. (C) Representative cells expressing mt-mNeongreen and Cox4::mCherry (top panel), and cell expressing mt-mNeongreen stained with TMRE (bottom panel). (Scale bars-5μm, white dotted lines mark cell outlines, in all figures)

Figure S2: mtDNA damage impacts mitochondrial organization and dynamics. (A) Percentage of cells in each category in control (empty vector) or mtDarT cells at t=0hr (mean±SD from three biological replicates, n>150 in each replicate). (B) Schematic showing experimental design for time lapse experiments. (C) Montage of single cells expressing Cox4::mCherry tracked over time (mean form factor <2 at the beginning of the time lapse), imaged for 40 min after 4 hr of induction. (D) Density plots with mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time, plotted for cells starting with MFF>2 at the beginning of time lapse; n=362 for empty vector, n=347 for mtDarT from 3 biological replicates. (E) Dnm1::HA western blot with quantification from 3 biological replicates, normalized to empty vector at t=0; Error bars: SD. (F) Representative images of cells expressing Mdm34::mNeongreen and Cox4::mCherry after 8 hr of induction. Number of Mdm34 foci per cell are plotted from 3 biological replicates; n>680. Statistical significance in E was calculated using paired Student's t-test. (*** $p<0.0001$, ** $p<0.005$, * $p< 0.05$, n.s.- not significant, in all cases).

Figure S3: mtDNA damage impacts mitochondrial organization and dynamics. (A) Cell area plotted as violin plots for all the cells in Fig 2 and S2D at t=0 min. (B) Density plots with Mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time, plotted for cells in Fig 2F, classified based on presence or absence of buds.

Figure S4: mtDNA damage results in mitochondrial dysfunction. (A) Representative images of cells expressing Cox4::GFP stained with TMRE after treatment with FCCP (2 μM) for 20 min or Oligomycin (0.5 μg/ml) for 4 hr (left panel) (Cox4::GFP: green). Total TMRE intensity normalized to cell area is plotted; n>650 from 3 biological replicates for FCCP and Oligomycin and 2 biological replicates for empty vector (right panel). (B) Representative images of cells

expressing COX4::GFP stained with TMRE after 4 hr of induction with 100nM β-estradiol. Examples show cells from three different morphological categories for both empty vector and mtDarT (top panel). Total TMRE intensity normalized to cell area is plotted for cells with three mitochondrial morphologies, after 4 hr of induction (for empty vector, n=215 for tubular, n=35 for fragmented, n=30 aggregate; for mtDarT, n=258 for tubular, n=79 for fragmented, n=118 for aggregate). Statistical significance in A, B was calculated using Mann-Whitney U test.

Figure S5: Changes in mitochondrial morphology accompany loss of mtDNA but not mitochondria. (A) Number of mtDNA foci per cell after 8 hr of induction is plotted; n>930 from 3 biological replicates. (B) Representative cells imaged with Structured Illumination Microscopy with segmentation output after Mitograph analysis (left panel). Mitochondrial volume analysed using Mitograph, each data point represents one cell; n=12 for empty vector and n=24 for mtDarT. (C) Representative images of cells expressing GFP::Atg8 under the endogenous *ATG8* promoter treated with Rapamycin (20nM) for 4 hr and cells transformed with empty vector or mtDarT after 8 hs of induction with 100nM β-estradiol (left panel). Frequency distribution of number of GFP::Atg8 localization (right panel). (D) Vacuolar intensity of Idh1::GFP normalized to total cell intensity after 4 hr of induction with 100nM β-estradiol; n>830 from 2 biological replicates (left panel), with representative images of cells expressing Idh1::GFP and Vph1::mCherry (right panel). Statistical significance in A,B,D was calculated using Mann-Whitney U test.

Figure S6: Exonuclease activity of the mtDNA polymerase, Mip1, is essential for mtDNA maintenance. (A) Density plots with mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time for $mip1^{exo-}$ cells; n>710 in each plot, from 3 biological replicates. (B) Total TMRE intensity normalized to cell area for $mip1^{exo-}$ cells is plotted; n>1350 from 3 biological replicates. (C) Schematic summarizing key observations of this study. mtDNA damage triggers mitochondrial dysfunction and associated changes in mitochondrial organization. Exonuclease function of replicative polymerase, Mip1, is required to prevent rapid loss of mtDNA under damage. For details, see discussion. Statistical significance in B was calculated using Mann-Whitney U test.

Supplementary Table S1: Strains used in present study

Strain #	Strain name	Genotype	Source	Strain construction
NABC567	CEN.PK	*Mat a*	(van Dijken et al., 2000)	NA
NABC568	CEN.PK	*Mat α*	(van Dijken et al., 2000)	NA
NABC569	*COX4-mCherry*	CEN.PK a *COX4-mCherry-Hyg*	This study	mCherry-Hyg casette was amplified from NABC549 using oligos 14,15
NABC570	*COX4-GFP*	CEN.PK a *COX4-GFP-KanMX6*	This study	GFP-KanMX casette was amplified from NABC548 using oligos 14,15
NABC571	*DNM1-HA*	CEN.PK a *DNM1-HA-KanMX6*	This study	HA-KanMX casette was amplified from NABC550 using oligos 17,18
NABC572	*mip1Δ*	CEN.PK a *mip1Δ::KanMX6*	This study	KanMX caseete was amplified from NABC551 using oligos 26,27
NABC573	*mip1^{exo-}*	CEN.PK a *mip1Δ::mip1^{D171A,E173A}-Hyg*	This study	Oligos 22,23,24,25 used to amplify full length gene in two fragments, to do Gibson assembly with a Hyg casette amplified from NABC552.
NABC574	*TOM20-mCherry*	CEN.PK a *TOM20-mCherry-KanMX6*	SL lab stock	NA
NABC575	*MDM34-mNeongreen COX4-mCherry*	CEN.PK a *MDM34-mNeongreen-KanMX6 COX4-mCherry-Hyg*	This study	mNeongreen-KanMX cassette was amplified from NABC553 using oligos 20,21. The fragment was transformed in NABC569.
NABC576	*Su9-mNeongreen*	CEN.PK a *HO::P$_{CYC1}$-SU9-mNeongreen-T$_{CYC1}$-KanMX6*	This study	NABC556 plasmid was transformed in NABC567 to get HO-locus integration
NABC577	*IDH1-GFP VPH1-mCherry*	CEN.PK a *IDH1-GFP-KanMX6 VPH1-mCherry-Hyg*	This study	GFP-KanMX cassette was amplified from NABC548 (oligos 32,33), mCherry-Hyg cassette was amplified from NABC549 (oligos 34,35) and transformed into NABC567.

Supplementary Table S2: Plasmids used in present study

Plasmid #	Plasmid Name	Description	Source	Plasmid construction
NABC548	pFA6a-GFP-KanMX6	Used for C-terminal tagging with GFP and KanMX6 selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC549	pFA6a-mCherry-Hyg	Used for C-terminal tagging with mCherry and Hygromycin selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC550	pFA6a-HA-KanMX6	Used for C-terminal tagging with HA and KanMX6 selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC551	pFA6a-KO-KanMX6	Used for gene knockouts with KanMX6 selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC552	pFA6a-KO-Hyg	Used for gene knockouts with Hygromycin selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC553	pFA6a-mNeongreen-KanMX6	Used for C-terminal tagging with mNeongreen and KanMX6 selection marker. Codon optimized mNeongreen was cloned into the pFA6a plasmid background.	This Study	mNeongreen from plasmid NABC554 was cloned with tADH1 into pFA6a background between Pac1 and Bgl2 sites using Gibson cloning with oligos 28,29,30,31
NABC554	pMA-Su9-mNeongreen	Codon optimised Su9-mNeongreen sequence.	This Study	(Synthesized by ThermoFisher)
NABC555	p417-CYC	cen/ars plasmid, with weak cyc promoter for low expression of protein	SL lab stock	NA
NABC556	M4297 HO-pCYC1-Su9-mNEONgreen-tCYC1-KanMX6-HO	Used for integration of pCYC1-Su9-mNEONgreen-tCYC1-KanMX6 at HO locus. Plasmid contains overhangs for recombination at the HO locus.	This Study	pCYC1-Su9-mNeongreen-tCYC1 cloned at BamH1 site in NABC 565 using Gibosn cloning with oligos 1,2,3,4

NABC557	pGEV-3XFlag-NAT	*CEN/ARS* Plasmid containing constitutively expressed pGAL4-HBD-VP16 transcription factor under pACT1. Expression of genes cloned under pGAL1 promoter is induced on addition of β-estradiol.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC558	pACDH-DarG	DarG cloned under a Lac promoter (IPTG inducible).	This Study	DarG from NABC560 cloned into NABC566 at Nco1 site using Gibson cloning with oligos 5,6
NABC559	pBAD33-TaqDarT	DarT from *Thermus aquaticus* cloned in the pBAD33 plasmid. Expression is repressed in presence of glucose.	(Jankevicius et al., 2016)	NA
NABC560	pET28a-TaqDarG	DarG from *Thermus aquaticus* cloned in the pET28a plasmid. Expression is repressed in presence of glucose.	(Jankevicius et al., 2016)	NA
NABC561	pGEV-Su9-DarT-3XFlag-NAT	Su9-DarT was cloned at the PacI site downstream of pGAL1 and in frame with the linker-3XFlag sequence.	This Study	DarT from NABC559 cloned with Su9 in NABC557 at PacI site using Gibson cloning with oligos 7,10,11,12
NABC562	pGEV-Su9-DarT(E160A)-3XFlag-NAT	Su9-DarT(E160A) was cloned at the PacI site downstream of pGAL1 and in frame with the linker-3XFlag sequence.	This Study	DarT from NABC559 cloned with Su9 in NABC557 at PacI site using Gibson cloning with oligos 7,10,11,12 and oligos 8,9 to introduce mutations

NABC563	GFP-ATG8(416)	N-terminally GFP tagged ATG8 expressed under the control of endogeneous promoter in a pRS416 vector	Addgene (Plasmid #49425) (Guan et al., 2001)	NA
NABC564	p417-GFP-ATG8(416)	GFP-Atg8(416) along with Atg8 promoter cloned between SacI and EcoRI sites	This Study	GFP-Atg8 with endogeneous Atg8 promoter was cloned from NABC563 into NABC555 by restriction digestion and ligation using restriction sites for SacI and EcoRI
NABC565	M4297HO Kan	HO-locus integration plasmid with KanMX selection marker	SL lab stock	NA
NABC566	pACDH	Plasmid with a lac promoter and a p15a ori	SL lab stock	NA

Supplementary Table S3: Oligos used in present study

Oligo #	Oligo sequence (5'-3')
Oligo 1	cgcaaacacaaatacacacactaatctagaATGGCTTCTACTAGAGTTTTGGCTTCTAGA TTGGCTTCTCA
Oligo 2	ctgcagcccggggggatccactagttctagaTCAAGAATTAGAAGAAGCAGAACCTGAACC TGCAGCAGA
Oligo 3	TTAAAATGGCGTCGTACGCTGCAGGTCGACGGATCCcatttggcgagcgttggttggtggatcaag
Oligo 4	AACAGATCTGGCGCGCCTTAATTAACCCGGGGATCCgcaaattaaagccttcgagcg tcccaaaaccttctc
Oligo 5	GTTTAACTTTAAGAAGGAGATATACCATGGATGCTGCGTTTCGTTCGCGGTAAC
Oligo 6	TTTGCTGTCCACCAGTCATGCTAGCCATGGTTACGCTTCTTGAGCAACATT TGCCGGC
Oligo 7	GATACTCAAAGATATCCCCGGGTTAATTAAATGGCTTCTACTAGAGTTTT GGCTTCTAG
Oligo 8	CTTTGACCAGAAATGCGGCCTGTTTC
Oligo 9	GAAACAGGCCGCATTTCTGGTCAAAG
Oligo 10	GTCATCGTCATCTTTATAATCGTTAATTAAGAACGTGGCTTCACAGGACGCACTGC
Oligo 11	AACCGGATAAGCCAGACCCTGTTGCGGCAT AGAAGAGTAGGCCCTTTTT TGAAAAGC
Oligo 12	ATGCCGCAACAGGGTCTGGCTTATCC
Oligo 13	GAAAACTGCCCAAAACTTAG
Oligo 14	ACTAAACCCTGTTGGTGTTCCAAATGATGACCACCATCACcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 15	GTAAAAGAGAAACAGAAGGGCAACTTGAATGATAAGATTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 16	CGGTGATGGAAATATTGAAG
Oligo 17	AGTTTATAAAAGGCTGCAACCCTTATTAGTAATATTCTGcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 18	TGTTGAAGTAAGATCAAAAATGAGATGAATTATGCAATTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 19	GATTCAGTCTCGACTTTTCG
Oligo 20	CTGGAAATGGGGCATGGAGGATAGCCCCCCCACCATATCATcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 21	TATTTGTGTAGTTATGTACTTAGATATGTAACTTAATTTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 22	TATGTAGTTGTTGAGCAACGAGGGACAAGTATGACGAAATTGATGGTTAG ATCTGAATGCATGCTG
Oligo 23	CTGGTGGTGTTTGCTGTAGCAACACTCTATAAC
Oligo 24	CGTTATAGAGTGTTGCTACAGCAAACACCACCAG
Oligo 25	CTAGTACTCTCTAGAAATAGTAATGTCCCTTTCCAG
Oligo 26	GGGATTATATGTAGTTGTTGAGCAACGAGGGACAAGTATGcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 27	ATATAAATACAAATGCGAAAGCTAATGCAGATTTTGCCTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 28	TGCAGGTCGAcggatccccgggttaattaaCATGGCTTCTTTGCCAGCTAC
Oligo 29	aataaaaatcataaatcataagaaattcgcTCAAGAATTAGAAGAAGCAGAACCTGAA
Oligo 30	gcgaatttcttatgatttatgattt
Oligo 31	cggcggggacgaggcaagctaaacagatct
Oligo 32	TGACTTCACGAATGAAATCATCAACAAATTATCTACCATGcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 33	TTAAGTTGCAGAACAAAAAAAAGGGGAATTGTTTTCATTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 34	GGAAGTCGCTGTTGCTAGTGCAAGCTCTTCCGCTTCAAGCcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 35	ACTTAAATGTTTCGCTTTTTTTAAAAGTCCTCAAAATTTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac

Supplementary references

Guan, J., Stromhaug, P. E., George, M. D., Habibzadegah-Tari, P., Bevan, A., Dunn, W. A., & Klionsky, D. J. (2001). Cvt18/Gsa12 is required for cytoplasm-to-vacuole transport, pexophagy, and autophagy in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Pichia pastoris. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *12*(12), 3821–3838. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.12.12.3821

Jankevicius, G., Ariza, A., Ahel, M., & Ahel, I. (2016). The Toxin-Antitoxin System DarTG Catalyzes Reversible ADP-Ribosylation of DNA. *Molecular Cell*, *64*(6), 1109–1116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.014

van Dijken, J. P., Bauer, J., Brambilla, L., Duboc, P., Francois, J. M., Gancedo, C., Giuseppin, M. L. F., Heijnen, J. J., Hoare, M., Lange, H. C., Madden, E. A., Niederberger, P., Nielsen, J., Parrou, J. L., Petit, T., Porro, D., Reuss, M., van Riel, N., Rizzi, M., … Pronk, J. T. (2000). An interlaboratory comparison of physiological and genetic properties of four Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, *26*(9), 706–714. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(00)00162-9

A

pACT1   GAL4-HBD-VP16
*constitutively expressed
transcription factor*

- β-estradiol
- TF
- β-estradiol bound TF

β-estradiol

pGAL1   SU9-MTS   DarT
DarT(E160A)   3X-FLAG

pGAL1   SU9-MTS   DarT
DarT(E160A)   3X-FLAG

B

	mtDarT			mtDarTE160A		
time (hr)	0	4	8	0	4	8
anti-Flag						
control (total protein)						

C

mt-mNG	Cox4::mCherry	merged

mt-mNG	TMRE	merged

A

percentage cells

- tubular
- fragmented
- aggregate

empty vector   mtDarT

B

100nM β-estradiol

time-lapse (40 min)
cells imaged every 5 min

0 hr   4 hr   5 hr

fusion
fission

C

empty vector

cell 1 / cell 2 / cell 3

0 mn 10 20 30 40

mtDarT

cell 1 / cell 2 / cell 3

0 min 10 20 30 40

D

tubular network

T 0 min

empty vector

mean form factor

0 min   20 min   40 min

mtDarT

0 min   20 min   40 min

number of mitochondria

ndensity
1.2
0.9
0.6
0.3
0.0

E

empty vector   mtDarT

time (hr) 0   8   0   8

Dnm1::HA

loading control

- empty vector
- mtDarT

normalized intensity

n.s.   n.s.

0   8
time (hr)

F

Cox4::mCherry   Mdm34::mNeongreen   merged

empty vector

mtDarT

number of foci/cell

n.s.   n.s.

0 hr 8 hr 0 hr 8 hr
empty vector mtDarT

Figure S4

A

B

C