Dynamic reorganization and selective segregation of mitochondria

under DarT-mediated mtDNA damage

Nitish Dua, Akshaya Seshadri and Anjana Badrinarayanan[*]

National Centre for Biological Sciences - Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bellary

Road, Bangalore 560065, Karnataka, India, Phone: 91 80 23666547

*Correspondence to: anjana@ncbs.res.in

22 **Keywords**

23 *S. cerevisiae,* mtDNA, DNA damage, mitochondrial fission and fusion, DarT, single-cell

24 imaging, fluorescence microscopy

Abstract:

Mitochondria are dynamic organelles that play essential roles in cell growth and survival. Processes of fission and fusion are critical for distribution, segregation and maintenance of mitochondria and their genomes (mtDNA). While recent work has revealed the significance of mitochondrial organization for mtDNA maintenance, impact of mtDNA perturbations on mitochondrial dynamics remains less understood. Here we develop a tool to induce mitochondria-specific DNA damage, using a mitochondrial-targeted base modifying bacterial toxin, DarT. Following damage, we observe dynamic reorganization of mitochondrial networks, likely driven by mitochondrial dysfunction. Changes in organization are associated with loss of mtDNA, independent of mitophagy. Unexpectedly, perturbation to exonuclease function of mtDNA replicative polymerase, Mip1, results in rapid loss of mtDNA. Our data suggest that, under damage, partitioning of defective mtDNA and organelle are de-coupled, with emphasis on mitochondrial segregation independent of its DNA. Together, our works underscores the importance of genome maintenance on mitochondrial function, that can act as a modulator of organelle organization and segregation.

Introduction:

Mitochondrial networks are highly dynamic and undergo changes in shape and size throughout the cell cycle (Westermann, 2010). These dynamics are predominantly attributed to two processes of fission and fusion, carried out by evolutionarily conserved machineries (Westermann, 2008). In budding yeast, fission of mitochondria is carried out by Dnm1, which is recruited to the outer membrane by adaptor proteins. Fusion of mitochondria occurs at the level of the inner membrane (carried out by Mgm1) as well as the outer membrane, via Fzo1 (Scott & Youle, 2010; Shaw & Nunnari, 2002). Fission and fusion are required for proper distribution and segregation of mitochondria during cell division and also essential for moulding the organelle network in response to changing growth conditions (Detmer & Chan, 2007). Along with facilitating dynamic adaptation of mitochondrial function to the metabolic needs of the cell (Bagamery et al., 2020; Laporte et al., 2018), they play a crucial role in quality control of the organelle (Detmer & Chan, 2007; Ni et al., 2015).

Apart from ensuring organelle health, fission and fusion are also important for maintaining mitochondrial genome integrity and its DNA copy numbers (Busch et al., 2014; Lewis et al., 2016; Lieber et al., 2019; Osman et al., 2015). All eukaryotic cells, with a few exceptions, contain multiple copies of mtDNA (chiefly encoding for part of the electron transport chain as well as mitochondrial translation RNAs and proteins) and are distributed throughout the organelle network (Brown et al., 2011; Chen & Butow, 2005; Osman et al., 2015). These DNA copies are packaged in the form of nucleoids (Farge et al., 2012), primarily by Abf2 in budding yeast (Chen & Butow, 2005). Studies have shown that mtDNA nucleoids are non-randomly distributed in the mitochondrial network, and are present equidistant from each other (Osman et al., 2015). This organization appears to be essential for ensuring segregation of accurate amounts of mtDNA during cell division (Jajoo et al., 2016). Indeed, lowered levels of mtDNA replication are observed when mitochondrial fusion is perturbed, leading to a decrease in mtDNA copy numbers (Ramos et al., 2019). Mitochondrial fission is also linked to mtDNA replication and segregation in budding yeast and facilitates distribution of mtDNA throughout the mitochondrial network (Lewis et al., 2016). Previous studies have also reported that deletions on mtDNA occur when pathways of fission and fusion are perturbed (Osman et al., 2015).

Accurate maintenance of mtDNA integrity is critical, as mutations on mtDNA can have adverse effects on cell survival and are associated with several human pathologies (Hahn & Zuryn,

2019; Scheibye-Knudsen et al., 2015; Taylor & Turnbull, 2005). Close proximity of mtDNA to

the electron transport chain makes it susceptible to damage via reactive species generated

during oxidative phosphorylation (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Yakes & Van Houten, 1997). Even

under physiological conditions, mtDNA accumulates mutations and deletions over time; these

are also proposed to be major drivers of ageing (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Richter, 1995; Wallace,

2010).

How do cells respond to mtDNA damage? There is evidence for the localization of certain DNA

repair proteins to the mitochondria (such as those involved in base excision repair and

recombination) (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Boesch et al., 2011; Scheibye-Knudsen et al., 2015;

Stevnsner et al., 2002). However, certain repair pathways seem to be absent from the

mitochondria (for example, nucleotide excision repair) (Clayton et al., 1974; Pascucci et al.,

1997). Thus, while DNA repair pathways have been detected in the mitochondria, importance

and regulation of mtDNA damage repair has remained unclear. Since mtDNA can directly

affect functionality of the organelle, it is possible that one layer of quality control is carried

out by mechanisms that respond to mitochondrial dysfunction directly. Indeed, in response

to organelle dysfunction, cells employ fission and fusion machineries to clear out any

damaged mitochondria (Ni et al., 2015). For example, regulation of fusion can facilitate

complementation of mutations present on mitochondrial genomes (Nakada et al., 2001).

Similarly, mitochondrial fission helps in selective removal and degradation of mitochondria

via PINK-Parkin mediated mitophagy or even mitocytosis (Jiao et al., 2021; Jin & Youle, 2012;

Zhang et al., 2019). More recently, a role for mitochondrial reorganization at the level of the

cristae has also been discovered to contribute to segregation of defective mitochondrial

domains, arising from mutant mtDNA copies (Jakubke et al., 2021). It is likely that these

pathways contribute to different degrees of quality control, depending on the cell type and

specific growth environments (Pickles et al., 2018). Although it is becoming increasingly

evident that mitochondrial dynamics can regulate mtDNA synthesis, partitioning and

homeostasis, the influence of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial organization and segregation

is not fully understood. A major challenge in studying mtDNA damage response and repair

has been the ability to generate mtDNA-specific damage. In this context, tools to make

mtDNA-specific DSBs have been invaluable, but are dependent on the presence of specific

sequences on the mtDNA to allow for targeted action of the enzyme. In most cases, generic

damaging agents that can cause adducts or lesions on DNA (nuclear and mitochondrial)

preclude the ability to disentangle mitochondria-specific effects.

To characterize the impact of mtDNA perturbations on organelle organization and function,

we developed a novel system to generate inducible mtDNA-specific damage in *S. cerevisiae*.

We engineered a mitochondrially-targeted bacterial toxin, mtDarT (which generates adducts

on single-stranded DNA) (Jankevicius et al., 2016) to probe the effect of mtDNA damage on

mitochondrial dynamics in real-time. We find that mtDNA damage drives network

fragmentation; based on our observations, we suggest that this is likely due to a lowering in

fusion rates arising from mitochondrial dysfunction. We further implicate a critical role for

the exonuclease function of the mtDNA polymerase in mtDNA maintenance under damage.

Our study reveals an intricate connection between mtDNA integrity, mitochondrial function

and its role in regulating organelle organization and segregation.

Results:

System to induce mitochondria-specific DNA damage

To perturb mtDNA integrity and observe the resultant mitochondrial dynamics in real-time, we developed an mtDNA-specific damage inducing system in *S. cerevisiae*. For this, we utilized a bacterial toxin, DarT, that is part of a widely conserved DarT-DarG toxin-antitoxin system (Jankevicius et al., 2016). DarT functions via transferring an ADP-ribosyl group on single-stranded DNA using NAD+ as a substrate (Fig. 1A) and has been shown to generate DNA damage-inducing adducts on the bacterial genome (likely via blocking replication progression) (Lawarée et al., 2020). The adduct is attached to a thymidine within a 'TNTC' motif (Jankevicius et al., 2016; Schuller et al., 2021)., which is present abundantly on the mitochondrial genome.

We constructed a mitochondrial matrix-targeted DarT (derived from *T. aquaticus*), mtDarT, via fusion of DarT to the first 69 amino acids of SU9 (subunit 9 of the F_1-F_0 ATPase) (Horie et al., 2003). A 3XFlag-tag was added to the C-terminus of DarT, to enable verification of expression as well as localization upon induction (Fig. S1A). mtDarT was expressed from a β-estradiol inducible-promoter on a low copy plasmid (Louvion et al., 1993). This strategy was employed to separately express a catalytically dead variant of DarT (DarTE160A), which retains only negligible DarT activity (Jankevicius et al., 2016). We first confirmed expression of mtDarT, as well as mtDarTE160A via western blotting after 4 and 8hr of induction with 100nM β-estradiol (Fig. S1B). Immunostaining using an anti-flag antibody revealed that the proteins indeed localized to the mitochondria, marked using mitochondrially-targeted mNeonGreen (mt-mNG) (Fig. 1B, S1C).

We next assessed the impact of mtDarT on cell growth, utilizing the ability of yeast cells to show differential growth on fermentable/ non-fermentable carbon sources, based on their mitochondrial activity (Ephrussi et al., 1955). Cells with impaired mitochondrial function are able to survive on media containing a fermentable carbon source (albeit forming smaller, 'petite' colonies), due the ability of these cells to carry out glycolysis. However, such cells are compromised for growth on non-fermentable carbon sources, where oxidative phosphorylation (and hence complete mitochondrial function) is essential. When compared with an empty vector control, we found that cells expressing mtDarT showed no associated

loss in viability on plates supplemented with dextrose (fermentable carbon source) (Fig. 1C).

These cells showed significant loss of viability in case of plates supplemented with glycerol

(non-fermentable carbon source) (Fig. 1C). Importantly, the growth defects observed were

mtDarT-specific and required the DNA adduct-forming catalytic function of DarT (Fig. 1C).

To further establish mitochondria-specific mtDarT effects, we carried out a dot-blot assay, to

probe for ADPr groups on both nuclear and mitochondrial DNA. We observed signal only for

mtDNA, dependent on mtDarT expression (Fig.1D). In addition, we assessed the localization

of Lcd1p (or Ddc2p), that is reported to localize to the nucleus under nuclear DNA damage or

replication stress ((Lisby et al., 2004; Melo et al., 2001); Fig. S1D, HU-treated cells). Upon

mtDarT induction, we did not observe an increase in the number of cells with Lcd1p

localizations (Fig. S1D). Together, these results supported the idea that mtDarT had

mitochondria-specific action.

mtDNA damage impacts mitochondrial organization and dynamics

We next characterized the impact of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial organization and

function in glycolysis replete conditions. For this, we followed mitochondrial dynamics in cells

expressing Cox4-mCherry to track mitochondria in addition to mtDarT (or an empty vector

control). We classified the observed mitochondrial organizations into three distinct

categories: 'tubular', 'fragmented' and 'aggregate' (representative examples are shown in Fig.

2A). We found that the induction of mtDarT resulted in fragmentation of the mitochondrial

network (Fig. 2A-B), with an increase in percentage of cells with fragmented or aggregate

mitochondria. Indeed, cells carrying mtDarT displayed some perturbation to mitochondrial

organization even prior to induction, likely due to leaky expression from the vector (Fig. S2A).

We next followed the mtDarT-induced changes in mitochondrial organization over time via

measuring two features (Fig. 2C): a. the mean form factor (MFF) of mitochondria per cell, an

indicator of mitochondrial circularity (Chaudhry et al., 2020) and b. the number of discrete

mitochondria per cell. We plotted MFF and number of mitochondria for all cells as 2D density

plots, allowing us to visualize populations of cells having either tubular, fragmented or

aggregated mitochondria (Fig. 2C). We observed that control cells harboring an empty vector

largely populated MFF above 2 (predominantly tubular mitochondrial morphology) and

maximum density represented an intermediate between a tubular network and fragmented state (Fig. 2D). This is as expected under unperturbed conditions, given the dynamic nature of the mitochondria throughout the cell cycle (Shaw & Nunnari, 2002). In contrast, upon mtDarT induction, mitochondria gradually transitioned from tubular (MFF >2) to fragmented/ aggregate states, having MFF <2 (Fig. 2D).

Organization of the mitochondrial network is regulated by a dedicated set of GTPases that control mitochondrial membrane fission and fusion (Westermann, 2008). Hence, to assess the contribution of changes in mitochondrial fission and/ or fusion upon mtDNA damage, we followed mitochondrial dynamics via time-lapse microscopy. We imaged cells at 5 min intervals for 40 min, after 4hr of damage exposure (Fig. 2E, S2B-C) and plotted density plots as described above. Transition from tubular to fragmented states would reveal fission events, while transition in the opposite direction (towards a tubular network) would be indicative of fusion events.

To assess the impact of mtDNA damage on fission dynamics, we followed cells (control and damage) with tubular mitochondrial networks at the start of imaging (T0), after pre-induction. We found that the rates of mitochondrial fission (as assessed by transition of cells with MFF >2 to MFF <2), were comparable between control and damage-treated cells (Fig. S2D). In line with this observation, we did not find an increase in levels of the fission protein Dnm1 (Bleazard et al., 1999) (Fig. S2E). Another mechanism for mitochondrial fission, independent of changes in the levels of Dnm1, is via ERMES contact sites (Lewis et al., 2016). An increase in the number of these contact sites (which can be imaged via tracking the number of Mdm34 localizations) could lead to recruitment of Dnm1, thereby resulting in an increase in fission events. However, we did not observe a significant increase in the number of ERMES contact sites in cells facing damage (Fig. S2F).

Unlike the observed fission dynamics, cells facing mtDarT-induced damage had significantly lower fusion events. When we followed individual cells over time, we found that mtDarT-expressing cells with fragmented or aggregate mitochondrial organization did not display dynamic reorganization towards a network morphology (Fig. 2E, S2C). Consistently, in comparison to the control, cells expressing mtDarT were compromised in transitioning from MFF <2 to MFF >2 (fragmented to tubular transition) and tended to maintain the density

distribution observed at the start of the experiment (Fig. 2F). The control cells on the other

hand were able to dynamically transition from MFF <2 to MFF>2 within the 40 min imaging

interval (Fig.2E-F). Importantly, the above described effects of mtDarT were not cell size or

cell cycle-stage specific (as assessed by the presence and size of the bud), further

underscoring the direct impact of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial organization (Fig. S3A-

B).Taken together, our data suggest that mtDNA damage drives a large scale reorganization

of the mitochondrial network, likely via an impact on mitochondrial fusion rates. Indeed,

although we did not observe a significant change in the levels of fusion protein, Fzo1p (Fig.

S2E, S2G), it is possible that other mechanisms contribute to the observed mitochondrial

dynamics under damage (see Discussion).

mtDNA damage results in mitochondrial dysfunction

Given our observations on the impact of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial membrane fusion

dynamics, we wondered whether mitochondrial function was perturbed in these cells. We

thus measured two indicators of mitochondrial activity: a. mitochondrial membrane potential

(ψ) via imaging TMRE (a membrane potential sensitive dye) and b. oxygen consumption rate

(OCR) using a Seahorse assay (Ludovico et al., 2001). We observed a decrease in membrane

potential in cells expressing mtDarT (Fig. 3A), similar to impact of FCCP, a membrane

decoupler which decreases ψ (Fig. S4A). Similarly, mtDarT-treated cells also had lower OCR

(Fig. S4B). Together, these observations suggest that mitochondrial function in mtDarT-

treated cells is compromised (we use the term 'dysfunction' to encompass both these

parameters of mitochondrial function). Importantly, lowering in membrane potential was

observed even in mtDarT cells with reticular/ tubular networks, suggesting that mitochondrial

dysfunction upon mtDNA damage preceded changes in mitochondrial organization and

dynamics (Fig. S4D).

Specific lowering in mitochondrial fusion rates has been reported in cells with compromised

membrane potential (and hence dysfunction) (Duvezin-Caubet et al., 2006; Karbowski et al.,

2004; Legros et al., 2004; Twig et al., 2008). We thus hypothesized that mtDNA damage-

induced mitochondria with lowered membrane potential would display lower probability of

fusion, when compared with mitochondria with unperturbed membrane potential. To assess

this, we tracked mitochondrial dynamics (via mt-mNeongreen) in mtDarT-treated cells after

a brief pulse of TMRE staining (Fig. 3B-C). We then analysed the mean form factor and number

of mitochondria for cells specifically having MFF<2 (fragmented) along with their initial TMRE

fluorescence intensities, and asked whether these mitochondria were able to transition to a

tubular state. We observed that mitochondria with higher membrane potential, but

aggregate/ fragmented organization (MFF <2) were able to fuse into tubular mitochondrial

networks (MFF >2) (Fig. 3C-D). In contrast, fragmented mitochondria with lower membrane

potential were unable to do so during the course of imaging (Fig. 3C-D).

Our observations are consistent with the possibility that mtDNA damage results in

mitochondrial dysfunction (reduced membrane potential and compromised OCR), which in-

turn affects mitochondrial dynamics via impairing fusion.

Changes in mitochondrial morphology accompany loss of mtDNA but not mitochondria

We next assessed the effects of mtDarT damage on mtDNA, and whether the observed

mitochondrial dynamics contributed to clearance of damaged DNA/ dysfunctional

mitochondria. Following a previously-described method, we stained cells with SYBR Green I

to visualize mtDNA nucleoids (Jajoo et al., 2016) (Fig. 4A). In the control sample without

mtDNA damage, less than 2% cells had no detectable mtDNA foci ('ρ0' cells) at all time points

of imaging (Fig. 4B). In contrast, upon mtDarT induction, the percentage of ρ0 cells steadily

increased, with ~30% cells having no detectable foci post 8hr of damage induction (Fig. 4B).

Consistent with this, we also observed an overall decrease in the number of mtDNA foci per

cell upon mtDNA damage (Fig. S5A).

In some instance mitochondrial dysfunction (and associated fragmentation) has been shown

to occur in conjunction with mitophagy (Burman et al., 2017; Kleele et al., 2021). We thus

asked whether loss of mtDNA under damage was a result of clearance of dysfunctional

mitochondria. For this, we assessed the levels of two nuclear-encoded mitochondrial

proteins, Cox4 and Tom20, via western blot. We found that levels of these proteins did not

change significantly under damage conditions (Fig. 4C). This is in contrast to mitochondrially-

encoded Cox2p levels, that decreases upon mtDarT expression (Fig. S4C). We then measured

mitochondrial volume (Harwig et al., 2018) (Fig. S5B) and found that here too, no significant

changes were observed over time. Consistent with the lack of change in mitochondrial

content, we did not observe an increase in mitophagy-associated marker, Atg8 (Torggler et

al., 2017) localizations (Fig. S5C), or a significant increase in the number of Atg8 localizations

associated with mitochondria (Böckler & Westermann, 2014) (Fig. S5D). We also did not

detect a significant difference in the amount of vacuolar localization of Idh1 (Kanki & Klionsky,

2008) upon mtDarT-induction (Fig. S5E). This suggested that an mtDNA-specific mechanism

might be responsible for mtDNA clearance in response to damage.

Exonuclease activity of the mtDNA polymerase, Mip1, is essential for mtDNA maintenance

In mammalian cells, the mtDNA replicative polymerase PolG can switch between synthesis

and degradation functions to clear out defective mtDNA (Nissanka et al., 2018; Peeva et al.,

2018). Evidence for such exonuclease function of the yeast homolog, Mip1, has also been

reported in conditions of starvation or oxidative stress (Medeiros et al., 2018). We hence

asked whether exonuclease activity could contribute to mtDNA clearance under mtDarT-

induced damage. For this, we blocked the exonuclease activity of Mip1, via mutating the

catalytic site (D171A, E173A) responsible for its degradative function (Foury &

Vanderstraeten, 1992; Medeiros et al., 2018) (Fig. 5A) and assessed presence of mtDNA

nucleoids via SYBR Green I staining. Surprisingly, upon mtDarT induction, we observed that

Mip1 exonuclease deficient cells generated ρ0 cells significantly faster than wild type. As

shown earlier (Fig. 4B), 30% of wild type cells were ρ0 after 8hr of mtDarT induction. *mip1^{exo-}*

cells already generated ρ0 cells at a low frequency (~7%) in no damage conditions,

highlighting that inability to clear damaged mtDNA (in this case likely due to replication

errors) results in mtDNA loss in glucose replete growth environment. In line with this

observation, induction of mtDNA damage resulted in 60% cells becoming ρ0 post 8 hr of

mtDarT induction (Fig. 5B). Importantly, rapid mtDNA loss did not result in production of cells

devoid of mitochondria.

How do *mip1^{exo-}* cells lose mtDNA in such a rapid manner under damage? We measured

budding events of wild type and mutant cells upon mtDNA damage and found them to be

comparable during the course of the experiment (3±1 budding events over 6 hr, for both wild

type and *mip1^{exo-}* cells expressing mtDarT), ruling out the possibility of faster division rates in

the exonuclease mutant contributing to mtDNA loss over time. We next assessed

mitochondrial dynamics in the mutant. As seen in wild type cells, we found that mtDNA

damage resulted in significant changes to mitochondrial organization in case of exonuclease

deficient cells as well (Fig. S6A). Furthermore, in comparison to mtDarT-treated wild type

cells, we found that membrane potential was severely compromised in the mutant (Fig. S6B),

suggesting that these cells likely have significantly lowered mitochondrial membrane fusion

events. A potential outcome of enhanced mitochondrial dysfunction is a defect in the

segregation of mitochondria during division (McFaline-Figueroa et al., 2011). We thus

wondered whether this could impact partitioning of the mtDarT-induced damaged mtDNA.

Such partitioning defect would likely be more severe/ pronounced in the $mip1^{exo-}$ condition,

where defective mtDNA would accumulate due to lack of a clearance mechanism.

When we probed for mtDNA in these cells, we observed a significant proportion of cells with

mitochondria devoid of mtDNA in $mip1^{exo-}$ background (Fig 5C). We asked whether mtDNA

was differentially partitioned in these cells, resulting in generation of mitochondria devoid of

DNA as well. For this, we analysed mother-bud pairs after SYBR Green I staining, and

quantified the fraction of mtDNA foci in the bud (Fig. 5D). Consistent with previous reports

(Jajoo et al., 2016; Osman et al., 2015), we found that the amount of mtDNA partitioned in

the bud scaled with increasing bud area in control as well as wild type cells after mtDarT

induction (Fig. 5E). However, unlike wild type cells, there was significant heterogeneity in the

amount of mtDNA in the bud (across cell areas) in case of exonuclease deficient cells treated

with mtDarT, suggesting imprecise mtDNA partitioning (Fig. 5E), with several instances of

buds receiving no mtDNA (ρ0 daughter cells) (Fig. 5E). We hence hypothesized that if such

asymmetry indeed contributed to the rapid production of ρ0 cells in the exonuclease deficient

mutant, cells arrested for cell cycle progression would no longer generate ρ0 cells at the high

frequency observed in case of steady state growth conditions. To assess this, we used $bar1\Delta$

strains treated with α-factor, that should arrest cells in G1-S transition (Breeden, 1997). We

confirmed the same using flow cytometry, as well as via assessing the morphology of the cells

upon arrest (Fig. 5F (left) and (Rosebrock, 2017)). In wild type cells, we observed that loss of

mtDNA occurred at comparable frequency in arrest and non-arrest conditions. In contrast, in

$mip1^{exo-}$ background, mtDNA loss was significantly lower under arrest (Fig. 5F (right)). Thus,

asymmetric mitochondrial partitioning during cell division could significantly contribute to the

rapid production of ρ0 cells in the exonuclease deficient mutant. Taking these observations

together, our results suggest that mitochondrial dynamics could differentially impact

damaged mtDNA segregation in conditions where defective mtDNA clearance mechanisms

are compromised, likely facilitating organelle segregation independent of its DNA.

Discussion:

In this study, we describe a system to generate mtDNA-specific damage in *S. cerevisiae*. Using a bacterial toxin DarT (Jankevicius et al., 2016), targeted to the mitochondria, we follow the impact of mtDNA damage on mitochondrial organization, segregation and function. We find that mtDNA damage affects mitochondrial function via compromising membrane potential. This could be the causative force in mitochondrial reorganization by reduction in mitochondrial membrane fusion events (Duvezin-Caubet et al., 2006; Karbowski et al., 2004; Legros et al., 2004; Twig et al., 2008), facilitating selective segregation of mitochondria without defective mtDNA (discussed below).

How cells sense and respond to mtDNA damage remains an outstanding and exciting question. Previous studies have detected the presence of specific DNA repair enzymes (include BER and recombination machinery) in the mitochondria (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Boesch et al., 2011; Scheibye-Knudsen et al., 2015; Stevnsner et al., 2002). While some of these pathways encode mitochondrially-targeted isoforms (Swartzlander et al., 2010), many do not carry any known localization signal (Stuart et al., 2005; Thyagarajan et al., 1996). How they localize to the mitochondria remains unclear, as is the importance of specific repair pathways in mtDNA maintenance (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Scheibye-Knudsen et al., 2015). Indeed, there are certain types of damages (such as UV-induced pyrimidine dimers) for which active repair pathways have not been found in mitochondria (Clayton et al., 1974; Pascucci et al., 1997). In such scenarios, where mtDNA damage becomes persistent, degradation of damaged mtDNA copies has been proposed to occur (Alexeyev et al., 2013; Shokolenko et al., 2013). Interestingly, this function is carried out by the exonuclease activity of the mtDNA polymerase (Mip1 or PolG) itself (Nissanka et al., 2018; Peeva et al., 2018).

Our work further underscores a central role for defective mtDNA clearance via exonuclease activity of the replicative polymerase under damage. We propose that Mip1 exonuclease function is required for mtDNA degradation under damage and inability of cells to clear such damaged mtDNA results in rapid generation of ρ0 cells in glucose replete conditions. Although in unperturbed conditions, mtDNA and mitochondrial segregation may be coordinated (Jajoo et al., 2016; Lewis et al., 2016; Osman et al., 2015), our observations support a role for selective segregation of damaged mtDNA, in a manner that can result in partitioning of mtDNA-less mitochondria in the daughter cells. Generation of cells devoid of mtDNA, without

significant loss of mitochondria would suggest cells can de-couple mtDNA and mitochondrial
partitioning and maintenance under conditions of damage, when complete mitochondrial
function may not be essential (such as in glycolytic conditions). Indeed, while mitochondria
cannot be made *de novo* (Westermann, 2014), ρ0 and ρ- cells in the environment could regain
and complement lost mtDNA, albeit with different proficiencies (Ling et al., 2019; Perlman,
1976).

It is interesting to note that mtDNA damage triggers rapid changes in mitochondrial
organization and segregation, both outcomes potentially stemming from mitochondrial
dysfunction. It would be important to understand how mtDNA damage causes perturbations
to mitochondrial function and how this in turn modulates rates of fusion. Although levels of
Fzo1 did not change under mtDNA damage, it would be informative to understand what other
mechanisms might regulate mitochondrial fusion to result in the observed dynamics in
budding yeast. For example, mtDNA damage can perturb levels of mitochondrially-encoded
proteins such as those part of the electron transport chain (Fig. S4C), which requires
coordinated effort from nuclear and mitochondrial genomes (Fontanesi et al., 2006;
Herrmann & Funes, 2005). This can adversely affect maintenance of mitochondrial membrane
potential. Separately, studies have reported a direct impact of lowered membrane potential
on differential processing of Opa1 (a mitochondrial membrane fusion protein in mammals),
affecting its association with the mitochondrial membrane (Song et al., 2007).

The change in mitochondrial organization we report is consistent with observations in
mammalian cells, where mitochondrial network fragmentation has been seen to occur in
response to mtDNA damage (Ghosh et al., 2019). This suggests that mitochondrial
reorganization may be a universal response to mtDNA perturbation, as a fundamental quality
control mechanism to deal with mitochondrial dysfunction and regulate mtDNA/
mitochondrial segregation as well as clearance. Indeed in metazoans, mitochondrial fission
has been proposed to facilitate selective removal and degradation of mitochondria via
processes such as PINK-Parkin mediated mitophagy (Ni et al., 2015; Scheibye-Knudsen et al.,
2015). Our results instead suggest that mitophagy does not play a significant role in the
mtDNA damage response in yeast (in the timescales of our present study), and that quality
control mechanisms likely act at the level of mtDNA and its segregation (Fig. S5D). For
example, previous reports have shown that budding yeast cells segregate mitochondria

asymmetrically based on the activity of the organelle (McFaline-Figueroa et al., 2011; Vevea
et al., 2014). Such asymmetry could also passively ensure selective segregation of intact
mtDNA copies to daughter cells. Indeed, recent studies demonstrate selective removal or
clearance of mutated mtDNA via sensing of local mitochondrial activity as well as
downregulation of mitochondrial fusion (Hill et al., 2014; Jakubke et al., 2021; Lieber et al.,
2019). It is tempting to speculate that in organisms like budding yeast, that divide
asymmetrically, the inability of dysfunctional mitochondria to fuse with the rest of the
mitochondrial network, along with selective segregation during division, is the predominant
mitochondrial quality control mechanism even in response to mtDNA damage.

In sum, our study underscores: a. the impact of perturbations to mtDNA integrity on
mitochondrial dynamics and function; b. the importance of Mip1 exonuclease activity in
mtDNA maintenance under damage and c. the ability of cells to decouple mitochondrial and
mtDNA partitioning under mtDNA damage, with an emphasis on mitochondrial maintenance
even in the absence of mtDNA, in glycolysis replete conditions. Indeed, the mtDarT system
developed here can provide a unique approach to enable future investigations on the
mechanisms that govern mtDNA-specific damage repair and recovery across diverse growth
conditions.

Materials and methods:

Yeast strains and growth conditions

A prototrophic strain CEN.PK of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was used as the background strain

in all the experiments (van Dijken et al., 2000). Plasmids, strains used in the study are listed

in Supplementary Table 1-3. Yeast cultures were grown in rich YP media (containing 2%

Peptone, 1% Yeast extract, and 2% Dextrose or 3% Glycerol as the carbon source) at 30°C with

200 rpm shaking with appropriate concentrations of antibiotics, as required. For all time-

course and time-lapse experiments, cells were transformed with the plasmids, and

transformants were inoculated in YPDextrose containing NAT and allowed to grow overnight.

These cultures were induced with β-estradiol at an O.D.~ 0.2. For induction, β-estradiol

(working stock- 50μM, prepared from a stock of 1mM in 100% molecular biology grade

ethanol) was added to a final concentration of 100nM, unless mentioned otherwise. We

performed our experiments in haploid budding yeast cells, and β-estradiol was maintained in

the growth medium throughout.

Yeast transformations were done using the LiAc method. For plasmid transformations, cells

were plated on YPDextrose plates containing appropriate concentrations of NAT and were

allowed to grow for 48 hr at 30°C. *mip1^{exo-}* cells transformed with plasmids, were plated on

YPGlycerol plates containing appropriate concentrations of NAT and were allowed to grow

for 3 days at 30°C.

mip1^{exo-} mutant was generated as described earlier (Medeiros et al., 2018). Briefly, we first

generated a *mip1Δ* strain which resulted in formation of ρ^0 cells. We then introduced a copy

of the *mip1* gene containing the mutations D171A and E173A along with a hygromycin

selection cassette, at the same locus. Successful transformants containing the mutated copy

of the gene were then crossed with the wild type strain of the opposite mating type to

reintroduce mtDNA. The diploids were sporulated and tetrads were dissected to obtain ρ^+

mip1^{exo-} cells which were selected using hygromycin and the mating type was confirmed using

PCR primers specific for mating type locus. *mip1* gene was sequenced to confirm the presence

of the mutations. The strain was maintained on YPGlycerol post dissection to ensure selection

of only ρ^+ cells; experiments were carried out in YPDextrose.

Dot blot assay for ADP ribosylation

For separation of mitochondrial and nuclear DNA, differential centrifugation followed by sucrose gradient based separation was employed to fractionate both the organelles. Mitochondrial fractionation was done as described earlier in (Gregg et al., 2009; Meisinger et al., 2006). DNA was isolated from the nuclear and mitochondrial fractions using a Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit.

Isolated DNA was then used to perform a dot-blot assay as described in (Jia et al., 2017; Luviano et al., 2018). Briefly, 180ng of each DNA sample was denatured in 0.3M NaOH for 10 min at 95°C. Immediately post denaturation, 5µl (~90ng) of DNA was spotted on nitro cellulose membrane. DNA was then crosslinked to the membrane using a UV-crosslinker at 1200J. The membrane was blocked using 5% blocking solution prepared in TBST for 1 hr at RT, followed by incubation with 1:500 dilution of anti-pan-ADPr-binding reagent (Merck #MABE1016) in TBST for 1 hr at RT. The membrane was then washed thrice with TBST for 10 min each and then probed with 1:5000 dilution of HRP conjugated secondary antibody for 1 hr at RT. After the incubation, the membrane was again washed thrice, 10 min each in TBST and then developed using SuperSignal West PICO PLUS or FEMTO PLUS chemiluminescent substrate. As a loading control, same volumes of samples were also spotted on another membrane, which after crosslinking, was stained with 0.04% of methylene blue prepared in 0.5M Sodium Acetate.

mtDNA and mitochondrial staining

For visualizing mtDNA, a previously described protocol was used (Jajoo et al., 2016). Briefly, 1ml of culture was pelleted and washed with 1X PBS. The supernatant was discarded and cells were re- suspended in the residual buffer to give 50µl cell suspension. 0.5µl of undiluted SYBR Green I (Invitrogen) was added to the cell suspension and mixed with gentle tapping. Cells were immediately pelleted and supernatant was discarded. The pellets were washed with 1ml of 1X PBS. Cells were subsequently diluted to appropriate densities in 1X PBS before imaging.

For visualizing the mitochondrial membrane potential, cells were pelleted and re-suspended in 10ml of YPDextrose media (pre-warmed to 30°C) containing 1.5µM of TMRE. The cells were

incubated in the dark for 20 min in a shaker incubator (30° C, 200 rpm). Subsequently, cells

were pelleted down and washed with YPDextrose media in 2X the original volume of culture

used for staining. The cell pellets were resuspended to appropriate density in YPDextrose

prior to imaging. For time lapses, cells were stained with TMRE post 3.5 hr of induction, in

presence of 100nM β- estradiol. Following this, cells were washed and spotted on YPDextrose

pads for imaging.

Fluorescence Microscopy

Overnight cultures were allowed to grow till O.D.~0.2. At this O.D, mtDarT expression was

induced with 100nM β-estradiol. For time course experiments, samples were spotted on 1%

ultra-pure agarose water pads, and for time lapse experiments, samples were spotted on

YPDextrose pads with 100nM β-estradiol.

Microscopy was performed on a wide-field epifluorescence microscope (Eclipse Ti-2E, Nikon)

with a 60X oil immersion objective (plan apochromat objective with NA 1.41) and illumination

from pE4000 light source (CoolLED). The microscope was equipped with a motorized XYZ

stage and focus was maintained using an infrared-based Perfect Focusing System (Nikon).

Image acquisitions were done with Hamamatsu Orca Flash 4.0 camera using NIS-elements

software (version 5.1). For time-lapse imaging, samples were placed inside an OkoLab

incubation chamber maintained at 30°C and imaged at specific intervals for the indicated

period of time.

For SIM imaging, coverslips were sequentially washed in methanol and 100% ethanol and

flame dried prior to sample preparation. Cells were fixed in 3.7% para-formaldehyde (900μl

of cell suspension was added to 100μl of 37% para-formaldehyde) and then washed with 1X

PBS. Cells were then spotted on 1% ultra-pure agarose water pads and imaged. Samples were

imaged with Nikon 3D-SIM microscope equipped with an ANDOR iXon DU-897 EMCCD camera

(512X512), 100X ApoTIRF 1.49 NA oil objective with Coherent Sapphire 561nm solid state

laser as the light source. Image reconstruction was done using NIS elements software

(Illumination modulation contrast – Auto, High resolution noise suppression – 1X, Out of focus

blur suppression – 0.25). During acquisition, 800ms exposure for Cox4-mCherry with 20%

laser power, 150 EM gain and 0.3 μm step size was used.

Seahorse assay

Basal respiration rate was estimated by performing seahorse flux assays using Agilent Seahorse XFe24 analyzer. 1 ml of the XF Calibrant solution was aliquoted into each well of the plate and the sensor cartridge plate was hydrated overnight as per the manufacturer's instructions. To adhere the cells to the bottom of the wells, the plate was first coated with poly-L-Lysine for 1 hour at RT. Excess poly-L-lysine was removed and the plate was dried at 30°C. Cells grown in YPDextrose media containing appropriate concentration of NAT were induced with 100nM β-estradiol at O.D.~0.2. Cells were serially diluted 4 hr post induction and then aliquoted into the wells such that the final number of cells in each well ~$3X10^5$. The plate was centrifuged at 100g for 2 min and incubated at 30°C for 30 min to allow the cells to adhere to the bottom of the wells. Simultaneously, the sensor cartridge was loaded with 1mM of complex IV inhibitor sodium azide and equilibrated in the Seahorse XFe24 analyzer one hour prior to the start of the assay. The plate was then loaded to the Seahorse XFe24 analyzer and the basal OCR was measured over time. A minimum of two measurements were taken with intermittent 2 min mixing and waiting steps. This was followed by sodium azide injection to the sample wells, and three measurements were taken with intermittent 2 min mixing and waiting steps.

Arrest experiment

Cells transformed with empty vector or mtDarT plasmid were grown overnight in YPG media containing appropriate concentration of NAT. Overnight cultures were allowed to reach early log phase (O.D.~0.2-0.6), after which they were pelleted and resuspended in pre-warmed YPD media (30^0C) containing 20ng/ml of alpha factor with NAT. The cultures were allowed to grow at 30^0C for 2 hr at 200rpm. Simultaneously, separate cultures without alpha factor were also maintained. Following 2 hr, samples were collected for microscopy (SYBR staining) and flow cytometry. Samples were subsequently induced with 100nM β-estradiol. Similarly, samples were collected after 4 hr of induction for microscopy and flow cytometry. (Note: Cells were pelleted and resuspended in fresh media containing alpha factor, 100nM β-estradiol and NAT 3 hr post induction to ensure continuous arrest).

Image analysis

All image analysis was performed using MATLAB and Fiji (ImageJ) (Schindelin et al., 2012). Mitochondrial analysis was done on 2D maximum projections of z-stacks and images were deconvolved using NIS-elements AR software (Richardson-Lucy algorithm with 60-iterations). Cell segmentation was performed using a MATLAB algorithm (Doncic et al., 2013). Cell outlines were extracted from .mat output files from the algorithm and then ROIs were obtained using Fiji. For segmentation of mitochondria, a custom pipeline was set up in Fiji (briefly involving a. Background subtraction (Rolling ball radius: 50 pixels). b. Sigma Filter Plus (radius =1 pixel, use pixels within = 2 sigmas, Minimum pixel fraction = 0.2). c. Enhanced Local Contrast (CLAHE) (Blocksize = 64, histogram = 256, maximum = 2). d. Tubeness filter (sigma =1.000). e. Images converted to 8-bit. f. Thresholding (10,255) (Minimum threshold was adjusted between 8-15 depending on the quality of segmentation). g. Images converted to mask, Despeckled and Remove outliers (Radius =2, threshold =50)) and the mitochondrial descriptors (Mean form factor, mtArea, Number of mitochondria on per cell basis) were obtained using Mitochondrial analyzer (Chaudhry et al., 2020). Whole cell TMRE intensity was analyzed from maximum projections using 'Measure' in Fiji. For Fig. 2A, cells were manually binned into three morphological categories and buds were excluded from the analysis. For detection of mtDNA foci post SYBR Green I staining, 3D maxima finder plugin (part of the 3D ImageJSuite) in ImageJ was utilized. Since cells with less/without any mtDNA also show nuclear DNA staining, we manually scored these cells post segmentation to account for detection of foci due to nuclear staining. For mtDNA analysis in mother vs bud, pairs without any mtDNA foci (in both cell types) were removed. Mitochondrial volume was analyzed using Mitograph and volume was calculated from the number of voxels detected (Rafelski et al., 2012; Viana et al., 2015). Colocalization of GFP-Atg8 with Cox4-mCherry was scored manually as described in (Böckler & Westermann, 2014). Association of Atg8 foci with mitochondria was checked in the plane of z-stack where GFP-Atg8 intensity was maximum.

Western blotting

Transformants were inoculated in 100-120 ml of YPDextrose with appropriate concentrations of NAT. Cultures were induced with 100nM β-estradiol at an O.D ~ 0.2. Cells were harvested and protein isolation was performed using the Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) extraction method.

Pellets were resuspended in 300µl of 10% TCA and lysed by bead beating thrice. The

precipitates were resuspended in 200µl of SDS-Glycerol buffer (7.3% SDS, 29.1% glycerol and

83.3 mM Tris base) and boiled at 95°C for 10 min with frequent vortexing. The lysate was

precipitated and the supernatant was obtained containing protein. Estimation of protein

concentration was done using the BCA estimation method using GBioSciences assay kit. The

assay was performed in disposable cuvettes, where 5µl was mixed with 1ml Solution A and

20µl of Solution B and incubated at 30°C for 30 min. The absorbance was recorded at 565nm.

Equal amounts of protein were then loaded on a polyacrylamide gel. For Cox4-mCherry,

Dnm1-HA blots 8-10% gels were used. PVDF membranes were used for blotting, which were

probed with anti-mCherry (1:5000), anti-HA (1:5000) antibodies, anti-Cox2 (1:1000). HRP

conjugated secondary antibodies were used (1:5000) for western blotting and blots were

developed using SuperSignal West PICO PLUS or FEMTO PLUS chemiluminescent substrate.

Equal amount of proteins was loaded on a separate gel and stained with Coomassie Brillian

Blue as a loading control.

Immunocytochemistry

Mid-log phase cells were harvested and fixed in 1ml of 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at

room temperature. After washing the cells twice with a phosphate-sorbitol buffer (0.1 M

KPO4 and 1x in 0.1 M KPO4/1.2 M sorbitol), the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of 0.1M

KPO4/1.2M sorbitol. Cells were then spheroplasted with 10mg/ml Zymolyase for 10-30 min

in presence of β-mercaptoethanol. Spheroplasting was checked by imaging cells on the

microscope. For imaging, slides/dishes were prepared by washing in chilled methanol and

95% ethanol. Slides were then coated with 0.1% Poly-L lysine for 5-10 min and then washed

thrice with dH2O. 15µl of spheroplast suspension was placed in each well for 5-10 min at

room temperature and then aspirated, and immediately plunged into ice cold methanol for

5-6 min and then in cold acetone for 30 sec. Post air drying, 25µl of PBS-BSA was used for

blocking. Primary antibody probing was done following 30 min of blocking, for 1 hr. After 5

washes with PBS-BSA, secondary antibody was added and incubated for 1-2 hr in a humid

chamber in the dark. The wells were again washed with PBS-BSA five times (each wash for 10

min) and then twice with only PBS. Slides were mounted with mounted media (Slowfade DAPI

reagent) and imaged.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. Ivan Ahel for sharing bacterial DarT and DarG constructs and

Afroze Chimthanawala for assistance with SIM imaging. The authors thank Dr. Sunil Laxman

and members of the AB and SL labs for helpful discussions and feedback on the manuscript.

This work was supported by fellowships from TIFR (ND), CSIR (AS) as well as grants from HFSP

CDA (00051/ 2017-C) (AB) and intramural funding from NCBS-TIFR (AB).

Author contributions

ND: conception of project, experimental design, generation of tools and reagents, execution

of experiments, data analysis, writing of manuscript. AS: experimental design, generation of

tools and reagents, execution of experiments, data analysis, editing of manuscript. AB:

conception of project, project supervision, experimental design and writing of manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Alexeyev, M., Shokolenko, I., Wilson, G., & LeDoux, S. (2013). The Maintenance of
Mitochondrial DNA Integrity—Critical Analysis and Update. *Cold Spring Harbor*
Perspectives in Biology, *5*(5). https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a012641

Bagamery, L. E., Justman, Q. A., Garner, E. C., & Murray, A. W. (2020). A Putative Bet-
Hedging Strategy Buffers Budding Yeast against Environmental Instability. *Current Biology*,
30(23), 4563-4578.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.08.092

Bleazard, W., McCaffery, J. M., King, E. J., Bale, S., Mozdy, A., Tieu, Q., Nunnari, J., &
Shaw, J. M. (1999). The dynamin-related GTPase Dnm1 regulates mitochondrial fission in
yeast. *Nature Cell Biology*, *1*(5), 298–304. https://doi.org/10.1038/13014

Böckler, S., & Westermann, B. (2014). Mitochondrial ER Contacts Are Crucial for Mitophagy
in Yeast. *Developmental Cell*, *28*(4), 450–458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.01.012

Boesch, P., Weber-Lotfi, F., Ibrahim, N., Tarasenko, V., Cosset, A., Paulus, F., Lightowlers,
R. N., & Dietrich, A. (2011). DNA repair in organelles: Pathways, organization, regulation,
relevance in disease and aging. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell*
Research, *1813*(1), 186–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2010.10.002

Breeden, L. L. (1997). α-Factor synchronization of budding yeast. In *Methods in Enzymology*
(Vol. 283, pp. 332–342). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(97)83027-3

Brown, T. A., Tkachuk, A. N., Shtengel, G., Kopek, B. G., Bogenhagen, D. F., Hess, H. F., &
Clayton, D. A. (2011). Superresolution Fluorescence Imaging of Mitochondrial Nucleoids
Reveals Their Spatial Range, Limits, and Membrane Interaction. *Molecular and Cellular*
Biology. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.05694-11

Burman, J. L., Pickles, S., Wang, C., Sekine, S., Vargas, J. N. S., Zhang, Z., Youle, A. M.,
Nezich, C. L., Wu, X., Hammer, J. A., & Youle, R. J. (2017). Mitochondrial fission facilitates
the selective mitophagy of protein aggregates. *Journal of Cell Biology*, *216*(10), 3231–3247.
https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201612106

Busch, K. B., Kowald, A., & Spelbrink, J. N. (2014). Quality matters: How does mitochondrial
network dynamics and quality control impact on mtDNA integrity? *Philosophical*
Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *369*(1646), 20130442.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0442

Chaudhry, A., Shi, R., & Luciani, D. S. (2020). A pipeline for multidimensional confocal
analysis of mitochondrial morphology, function, and dynamics in pancreatic β-cells. *American*
Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism, *318*(2), E87–E101.
https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00457.2019

Chen, X. J., & Butow, R. A. (2005). The organization and inheritance of the mitochondrial
genome. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *6*(11), 815–825. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1708

Clayton, D. A., Doda, J. N., & Friedberg, E. C. (1974). The Absence of a Pyrimidine Dimer Repair Mechanism in Mammalian Mitochondria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *71*(7), 2777–2781.

Detmer, S. A., & Chan, D. C. (2007). Functions and dysfunctions of mitochondrial dynamics. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, *8*(11), 870–879. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2275

Doncic, A., Eser, U., Atay, O., & Skotheim, J. M. (2013). An Algorithm to Automate Yeast Segmentation and Tracking. *PLOS ONE*, *8*(3), e57970. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057970

Duvezin-Caubet, S., Jagasia, R., Wagener, J., Hofmann, S., Trifunovic, A., Hansson, A., Chomyn, A., Bauer, M. F., Attardi, G., Larsson, N.-G., Neupert, W., & Reichert, A. S. (2006). Proteolytic Processing of OPA1 Links Mitochondrial Dysfunction to Alterations in Mitochondrial Morphology *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *281*(49), 37972–37979. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M606059200

Ephrussi, B., de Margerie-Hottinguer, H., & Roman, H. (1955). SUPPRESSIVENESS: A NEW FACTOR IN THE GENETIC DETERMINISM OF THE SYNTHESIS OF RESPIRATORY ENZYMES IN YEAST. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *41*(12), 1065–1071.

Farge, G., Laurens, N., Broekmans, O. D., van den Wildenberg, S. M. J. L., Dekker, L. C. M., Gaspari, M., Gustafsson, C. M., Peterman, E. J. G., Falkenberg, M., & Wuite, G. J. L. (2012). Protein sliding and DNA denaturation are essential for DNA organization by human mitochondrial transcription factor A. *Nature Communications*, *3*(1), 1013. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2001

Fontanesi, F., Soto, I. C., Horn, D., & Barrientos, A. (2006). Assembly of mitochondrial cytochrome c-oxidase, a complicated and highly regulated cellular process. *American Journal of Physiology-Cell Physiology*, *291*(6), C1129–C1147. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00233.2006

Foury, F., & Vanderstraeten, S. (1992). Yeast mitochondrial DNA mutators with deficient proofreading exonucleolytic activity. *The EMBO Journal*, *11*(7), 2717–2726.

Ghosh, A., Bhattacharjee, S., Chowdhuri, S. P., Mallick, A., Rehman, I., Basu, S., & Das, B. B. (2019). SCAN1-TDP1 trapping on mitochondrial DNA promotes mitochondrial dysfunction and mitophagy. *Science Advances*, *5*(11), eaax9778. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aax9778

Gregg, C., Kyryakov, P., & Titorenko, V. I. (2009). Purification of Mitochondria from Yeast Cells. *Journal of Visualized Experiments : JoVE*, *30*, 1417. https://doi.org/10.3791/1417

Hahn, A., & Zuryn, S. (2019). The Cellular Mitochondrial Genome Landscape in Disease. *Trends in Cell Biology*, *29*(3), 227–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2018.11.004

Harwig, M. C., Viana, M. P., Egner, J. M., Harwig, J. J., Widlansky, M. E., Rafelski, S. M., & Hill, R. B. (2018). Methods for imaging mammalian mitochondrial morphology: A prospective on MitoGraph. *Analytical Biochemistry*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2018.02.022

Herrmann, J. M., & Funes, S. (2005). Biogenesis of cytochrome oxidase-sophisticated assembly lines in the mitochondrial inner membrane. *Gene*, *354*, 43–52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2005.03.017

Hill, J. H., Chen, Z., & Xu, H. (2014). Selective propagation of functional mitochondrial DNA during oogenesis restricts the transmission of a deleterious mitochondrial variant. *Nature Genetics*, *46*(4), 389–392. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2920

Horie, C., Suzuki, H., Sakaguchi, M., & Mihara, K. (2003). Targeting and Assembly of Mitochondrial Tail-anchored Protein Tom5 to the TOM Complex Depend on a Signal Distinct from That of Tail-anchored Proteins Dispersed in the Membrane *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *278*(42), 41462–41471. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307047200

Jajoo, R., Jung, Y., Huh, D., Viana, M. P., Rafelski, S. M., Springer, M., & Paulsson, J. (2016). Accurate concentration control of mitochondria and nucleoids. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *351*(6269), 169–172. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8714

Jakubke, C., Roussou, R., Maiser, A., Schug, C., Thoma, F., Bunk, D., Hörl, D., Leonhardt, H., Walter, P., Klecker, T., & Osman, C. (n.d.). Cristae-dependent quality control of the mitochondrial genome. *Science Advances*, *7*(36), eabi8886. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abi8886

Jankevicius, G., Ariza, A., Ahel, M., & Ahel, I. (2016). The Toxin-Antitoxin System DarTG Catalyzes Reversible ADP-Ribosylation of DNA. *Molecular Cell*, *64*(6), 1109–1116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.014

Jia, Z., Liang, Y., Ma, B., Xu, X., Xiong, J., Duan, L., & Wang, D. (2017). A 5-mC Dot Blot Assay Quantifying the DNA Methylation Level of Chondrocyte Dedifferentiation In Vitro. *JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments)*, *123*, e55565. https://doi.org/10.3791/55565

Jiao, H., Jiang, D., Hu, X., Du, W., Ji, L., Yang, Y., Li, X., Sho, T., Wang, X., Li, Y., Wu, Y.-T., Wei, Y.-H., Hu, X., & Yu, L. (2021). Mitocytosis, a migrasome-mediated mitochondrial quality-control process. *Cell*, *184*(11), 2896-2910.e13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.027

Jin, S. M., & Youle, R. J. (2012). PINK1- and Parkin-mediated mitophagy at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science*, *125*(4), 795–799. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.093849

Kanki, T., & Klionsky, D. J. (2008). Mitophagy in Yeast Occurs through a Selective Mechanism. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *283*(47), 32386–32393. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M802403200

Karbowski, M., Arnoult, D., Chen, H., Chan, D. C., Smith, C. L., & Youle, R. J. (2004). Quantitation of mitochondrial dynamics by photolabeling of individual organelles shows that mitochondrial fusion is blocked during the Bax activation phase of apoptosis. *Journal of Cell Biology*, *164*(4), 493–499. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200309082

Kleele, T., Rey, T., Winter, J., Zaganelli, S., Mahecic, D., Perreten Lambert, H., Ruberto, F. P., Nemir, M., Wai, T., Pedrazzini, T., & Manley, S. (2021). Distinct fission signatures predict

749 mitochondrial degradation or biogenesis. *Nature*, *593*(7859), 435–439.
750 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03510-6
751
752 Laporte, D., Gouleme, L., Jimenez, L., Khemiri, I., & Sagot, I. (2018). Mitochondria
753 reorganization upon proliferation arrest predicts individual yeast cell fate. *ELife*, *7*, e35685.
754 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.35685
755
756 Lawarée, E., Jankevicius, G., Cooper, C., Ahel, I., Uphoff, S., & Tang, C. M. (2020). DNA
757 ADP-Ribosylation Stalls Replication and Is Reversed by RecF-Mediated Homologous
758 Recombination and Nucleotide Excision Repair. *Cell Reports*, *30*(5), 1373-1384.e4.
759 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.01.014
760
761 Legros, F., Malka, F., Frachon, P., Lombès, A., & Rojo, M. (2004). Organization and dynamics
762 of human mitochondrial DNA. *Journal of Cell Science*, *117*(13), 2653–2662.
763 https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.01134
764
765 Lewis, S. C., Uchiyama, L. F., & Nunnari, J. (2016). ER-mitochondria contacts couple mtDNA
766 synthesis with mitochondrial division in human cells. *Science*, *353*(6296).
767 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf5549
768
769 Lieber, T., Jeedigunta, S. P., Palozzi, J. M., Lehmann, R., & Hurd, T. R. (2019). Mitochondrial
770 fragmentation drives selective removal of deleterious mtDNA in the germline. *Nature*,
771 *570*(7761), 380–384. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1213-4
772
773 Ling, F., Bradshaw, E., & Yoshida, M. (2019). Prevention of mitochondrial genomic instability
774 in yeast by the mitochondrial recombinase Mhr1. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 5433.
775 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41699-9
776
777 Lisby, M., Barlow, J. H., Burgess, R. C., & Rothstein, R. (2004). Choreography of the DNA
778 Damage Response: Spatiotemporal Relationships among Checkpoint and Repair Proteins. *Cell*,
779 *118*(6), 699–713. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2004.08.015
780
781 Louvion, J. F., Havaux-Copf, B., & Picard, D. (1993). Fusion of GAL4-VP16 to a steroid-
782 binding domain provides a tool for gratuitous induction of galactose-responsive genes in yeast.
783 *Gene*, *131*(1), 129–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(93)90681-r
784
785 Ludovico, P., Sansonetty, F., & Côrte-Real, M. (2001). Assessment of mitochondrial
786 membrane potential in yeast cell populations by flow cytometry. *Microbiology (Reading,*
787 *England)*, *147*(Pt 12), 3335–3343. https://doi.org/10.1099/00221287-147-12-3335
788
789 Luviano, N., Diaz-Palma, S., Cosseau, C., & Grunau, C. (2018). *A simple Dot Blot Assay for*
790 *population scale screening of DNA methylation* [Preprint]. Ecology.
791 https://doi.org/10.1101/454439
792
793 McFaline-Figueroa, J. R., Vevea, J., Swayne, T. C., Zhou, C., Liu, C., Leung, G., Boldogh, I.
794 R., & Pon, L. A. (2011). Mitochondrial quality control during inheritance is associated with
795 lifespan and mother-daughter age asymmetry in budding yeast. *Aging Cell*, *10*(5), 885–895.
796 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2011.00731.x
797

Medeiros, T. C., Thomas, R. L., Ghillebert, R., & Graef, M. (2018). Autophagy balances mtDNA synthesis and degradation by DNA polymerase POLG during starvation. *Journal of Cell Biology*, *217*(5), 1601–1611. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201801168

Meisinger, C., Pfanner, N., & Truscott, K. N. (2006). Isolation of yeast mitochondria. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, *313*, 33–39. https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-958-3:033

Melo, J. A., Cohen, J., & Toczyski, D. P. (2001). Two checkpoint complexes are independently recruited to sites of DNA damage in vivo. *Genes & Development*, *15*(21), 2809–2821. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.903501

Nakada, K., Inoue, K., Ono, T., Isobe, K., Ogura, A., Goto, Y. I., Nonaka, I., & Hayashi, J. I. (2001). Inter-mitochondrial complementation: Mitochondria-specific system preventing mice from expression of disease phenotypes by mutant mtDNA. *Nature Medicine*, *7*(8), 934–940. https://doi.org/10.1038/90976

Ni, H.-M., Williams, J. A., & Ding, W.-X. (2015). Mitochondrial dynamics and mitochondrial quality control. *Redox Biology*, *4*, 6–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2014.11.006

Nissanka, N., Bacman, S. R., Plastini, M. J., & Moraes, C. T. (2018). The mitochondrial DNA polymerase gamma degrades linear DNA fragments precluding the formation of deletions. *Nature Communications*, *9*(1), 2491. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04895-1

Osman, C., Noriega, T. R., Okreglak, V., Fung, J. C., & Walter, P. (2015). Integrity of the yeast mitochondrial genome, but not its distribution and inheritance, relies on mitochondrial fission and fusion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *112*(9), E947–E956. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1501737112

Pascucci, B., Versteegh, A., van Hoffen, A., van Zeeland, A. A., Mullenders, L. H., & Dogliotti, E. (1997). DNA repair of UV photoproducts and mutagenesis in human mitochondrial DNA. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, *273*(2), 417–427. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.1997.1268

Peeva, V., Blei, D., Trombly, G., Corsi, S., Szukszto, M. J., Rebelo-Guiomar, P., Gammage, P. A., Kudin, A. P., Becker, C., Altmüller, J., Minczuk, M., Zsurka, G., & Kunz, W. S. (2018). Linear mitochondrial DNA is rapidly degraded by components of the replication machinery. *Nature Communications*, *9*(1), 1727. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-04131-w

Perlman, P. S. (1976). Genetic analysis of petite mutants of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: Transmissional types. *Genetics*, *82*(4), 645–663. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/82.4.645

Pickles, S., Vigié, P., & Youle, R. J. (2018). Mitophagy and Quality Control Mechanisms in Mitochondrial Maintenance. *Current Biology: CB*, *28*(4), R170–R185. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.01.004

Rafelski, S. M., Viana, M. P., Zhang, Y., Chan, Y.-H. M., Thorn, K. S., Yam, P., Fung, J. C., Li, H., Costa, L. da F., & Marshall, W. F. (2012). Mitochondrial Network Size Scaling in Budding Yeast. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, *338*(6108), 822–824. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1225720

Ramos, E. S., Motori, E., Brüser, C., Kühl, I., Yeroslaviz, A., Ruzzenente, B., Kauppila, J. H.
K., Busch, J. D., Hultenby, K., Habermann, B. H., Jakobs, S., Larsson, N.-G., & Mourier, A.
(2019). Mitochondrial fusion is required for regulation of mitochondrial DNA replication.
PLOS Genetics, *15*(6), e1008085. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008085

Richter, C. (1995). Oxidative damage to mitochondrial DNA and its relationship to ageing. *The*
International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology, *27*(7), 647–653.
https://doi.org/10.1016/1357-2725(95)00025-K

Rosebrock, A. P. (2017). Synchronization and Arrest of the Budding Yeast Cell Cycle Using
Chemical and Genetic Methods. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, *2017*(1), pdb.prot088724.
https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot088724

Scheibye-Knudsen, M., Fang, E. F., Croteau, D. L., Wilson, D. M., & Bohr, V. A. (2015).
Protecting the Mitochondrial Powerhouse. *Trends in Cell Biology*, *25*(3), 158–170.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2014.11.002

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch,
S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J.-Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri,
K., Tomancak, P., & Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: An open-source platform for biological-image
analysis. *Nature Methods*, *9*(7), 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019

Schuller, M., Butler, R. E., Ariza, A., Tromans-Coia, C., Jankevicius, G., Claridge, T. D. W.,
Kendall, S. L., Goh, S., Stewart, G. R., & Ahel, I. (2021). Molecular basis for DarT ADP-
ribosylation of a DNA base. *Nature*, *596*(7873), 597–602. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-
03825-4

Scott, I., & Youle, R. J. (2010). Mitochondrial fission and fusion. *Essays in Biochemistry*, *47*,
85–98. https://doi.org/10.1042/bse0470085

Shaw, J. M., & Nunnari, J. (2002). Mitochondrial dynamics and division in budding yeast.
Trends in Cell Biology, *12*(4), 178–184.

Shokolenko, I. N., Wilson, G. L., & Alexeyev, M. F. (2013). Persistent damage induces
mitochondrial DNA degradation. *DNA Repair*, *12*(7), 488–499.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2013.04.023

Song, Z., Chen, H., Fiket, M., Alexander, C., & Chan, D. C. (2007). OPA1 processing controls
mitochondrial fusion and is regulated by mRNA splicing, membrane potential, and Yme1L.
Journal of Cell Biology, *178*(5), 749–755. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200704110

Stevnsner, T., Thorslund, T., de Souza-Pinto, N. C., & Bohr, V. A. (2002). Mitochondrial repair
of 8-oxoguanine and changes with aging. *Experimental Gerontology*, *37*(10–11), 1189–1196.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0531-5565(02)00142-0

Stuart, J. A., Mayard, S., Hashiguchi, K., Souza-Pinto, N. C., & Bohr, V. A. (2005).
Localization of mitochondrial DNA base excision repair to an inner membrane-associated
particulate fraction. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *33*(12), 3722–3732.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki683

Swartzlander, D. B., Griffiths, L. M., Lee, J., Degtyareva, N. P., Doetsch, P. W., & Corbett, A.
H. (2010). Regulation of base excision repair: Ntg1 nuclear and mitochondrial dynamic
localization in response to genotoxic stress. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *38*(12), 3963–3974.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq108

Taylor, R. W., & Turnbull, D. M. (2005). Mitochondrial DNA mutations in human disease.
Nature Reviews Genetics, *6*(5), 389–402. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1606

Thyagarajan, B., Padua, R. A., & Campbell, C. (1996). Mammalian mitochondria possess
homologous DNA recombination activity. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *271*(44),
27536–27543. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.271.44.27536

Torggler, R., Papinski, D., & Kraft, C. (2017). Assays to Monitor Autophagy in Saccharomyces
cerevisiae. *Cells*, *6*(3), E23. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells6030023

Twig, G., Elorza, A., Molina, A. J. A., Mohamed, H., Wikstrom, J. D., Walzer, G., Stiles, L.,
Haigh, S. E., Katz, S., Las, G., Alroy, J., Wu, M., Py, B. F., Yuan, J., Deeney, J. T., Corkey,
B. E., & Shirihai, O. S. (2008). Fission and selective fusion govern mitochondrial segregation
and elimination by autophagy. *The EMBO Journal*, *27*(2), 433–446.
https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601963

van Dijken, J. P., Bauer, J., Brambilla, L., Duboc, P., Francois, J. M., Gancedo, C., Giuseppin,
M. L. F., Heijnen, J. J., Hoare, M., Lange, H. C., Madden, E. A., Niederberger, P., Nielsen, J.,
Parrou, J. L., Petit, T., Porro, D., Reuss, M., van Riel, N., Rizzi, M., … Pronk, J. T. (2000). An
interlaboratory comparison of physiological and genetic properties of four Saccharomyces
cerevisiae strains. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, *26*(9), 706–714.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(00)00162-9

Vevea, J. D., Swayne, T. C., Boldogh, I. R., & Pon, L. A. (2014). Inheritance of the fittest
mitochondria in yeast. *Trends in Cell Biology*, *24*(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2013.07.003

Viana, M. P., Lim, S., & Rafelski, S. M. (2015). Quantifying mitochondrial content in living
cells. *Methods in Cell Biology*, *125*, 77–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.mcb.2014.10.003

Wallace, D. C. (2010). Mitochondrial DNA mutations in disease and aging. *Environmental and*
Molecular Mutagenesis, *51*(5), 440–450. https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20586

Westermann, B. (2008). Molecular Machinery of Mitochondrial Fusion and Fission. *Journal*
of Biological Chemistry, *283*(20), 13501–13505. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R800011200

Westermann, B. (2010). Mitochondrial fusion and fission in cell life and death. *Nature Reviews*
Molecular Cell Biology, *11*(12), 872–884. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3013

Westermann, B. (2014). Mitochondrial inheritance in yeast. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta*,
1837(7), 1039–1046. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2013.10.005

Yakes, F. M., & Van Houten, B. (1997). Mitochondrial DNA damage is more extensive and
persists longer than nuclear DNA damage in human cells following oxidative stress.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *94*(2), 514–
519. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.2.51

948
949   Zhang, Y., Wang, Z.-H., Liu, Y., Chen, Y., Sun, N., Gucek, M., Zhang, F., & Xu, H. (2019).
950   PINK1 Inhibits Local Protein Synthesis to Limit Transmission of Deleterious Mitochondrial
951   DNA   Mutations.   *Molecular   Cell*,   *73*(6),   1127-1137.e5.
952   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.01.013
953

 Main Figure legends

Figure 1: System to induce mitochondria-specific DNA damage. (A). Schematic representing

expression and localization of mtDarT on addition of β-estradiol (Left panel); Diagrammatic

representation of ADP-ribosylation of single-stranded DNA in presence of DarT and NAD+

(Right panel). (B). Immunostaining for FLAG-tagged mtDarT/TE160A in cells expressing

mitochondrially-targeted mNeongreen. Intensity line profiles for mtDarT and mNeonGreen

are show at the bottom. (Scale bar-5μm, white dotted lines mark cell outlines, here and in all

other images) (C). Cell survival under increasing concentrations of β-estradiol on plates

containing either dextrose or glycerol as a carbon source; cells were transformed with

plasmids expressing mtDarT/TE160A or empty vector as a control. (D). Dot blot assay to assess

ADP riboosylation on DNA, upon mtDarT expression. Both mitochondrial and nuclear DNA is

probed. As a loading control, same volumes of samples were spotted on a separate

membrane and stained with 0.04% of methylene blue

Figure 2: mtDNA damage impacts mitochondrial organization and dynamics. (A).

Representative cells showing three categories of mitochondrial morphologies observed (left

panel); Percentage of cells in each category in control (empty vector) or mtDarT cells after 8

hr of induction (Mean ± SD from three biological replicates, n>150 in each replicate) (right

panel). (B). Cells expressing Cox4::mCherry imaged in widefield and SIM mode, with

representative cells showing tubular and fragmented mitochondrial morphologies after 6 hr

of induction with 100nM β-estradiol. (C). Two parameters: - Mean form factor (MFF) and

number of mitochondria are used to quantify mitochondrial morphologies in the population.

Details on calculation of MFF is provided, along with a diagrammatic representation of

possible mitochondrial organizations observed in the 2D density plots (D). Density plots with

Mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time; n>680 in each plot, from 3

biological replicates. (E). Montage of cells with Cox4::mCherry, imaged every 5 min for 40 min,

after 4 hr of induction with β-estradiol; mitochondrial morphology at the start of the

experiment is indicated. T0 refers to the frame at the start of the imaging (after the period of

pre-induction) here and in Fig. 2F. (F). Density plots with Mean form factor and number of

mitochondria over time, plotted for cells starting with MFF<2 at the beginning of time lapse;

n=84 for empty vector, n= 151 for mtDarT pooled from 3 biological replicates.

Figure 3: mtDNA damage results in mitochondrial dysfunction. (A). Representative images of cells expressing Cox4::GFP and stained with TMRE after 8 hr of induction with β-estradiol (left panel). Total TMRE intensity normalized to cell area is plotted (n>1030 from 3 biological replicates) (right panel). (B). Schematic of experimental design for time lapse experiment in Fig. 3C-D. (C) Montage of cells expressing mt-mNeongreen stained with TMRE tracked over time, imaged every 5 min for 40 min. TMRE was imaged only at t=0 of time lapse. T0 refers to the frame at the start of the imaging (after the period of pre-induction). (D) Scatter plot with Mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time, plotted for cells starting with MFF<2 at the beginning of time lapse. Each data point represents one cell with colour corresponding to TMRE intensity normalized to cell area at t=0 min; n=61 for empty vector, n=91 for mtDarT from 3 biological replicates. Statistical significance in A was calculated using Mann-Whitney U test. (*** $p<0.0001$, ** $p<0.005$, * $p< 0.05$, n.s.- not significant, in all cases).

Figure 4: Changes in mitochondrial morphology accompany loss of mtDNA but not mitochondria. (A). Montage of cells expressing Cox4::mCherry stained with SYBR Green I to visualize mtDNA over time. (B). Percentage of cells over time without any mtDNA foci. Mean ± SD from 3 biological replicates; n>100 in each biological replicate. (C). Cox4::mCherry and Tom20::mCherry western blot with quantification from 3 biological replicates, normalized to empty vector at t=0; Error bars: SD. Statistical significance in C was calculated using unpaired Student's t-test.

Figure 5: Exonuclease activity of the mtDNA polymerase, Mip1, is essential for mtDNA maintenance. (A) Schematic showing domain organization of Mip1, with amino acid mutations for *mip1^{exo-}* marked in red (D171A, E173A). (B) Percentage of cells over time without any mtDNA foci (p^0) are plotted. Mean ± SD from three biological replicates; n>85 in each biological replicate. (C) Representative images of *mip1^{exo-}* cells expressing Cox4::mCherry stained with SYBR Green I. Yellow arrows mark mitochondria devoid of mtDNA. (D-E). Fraction of mtDNA in bud (number of mtDNA foci in bud/total number of mtDNA foci in the mother-bud pair) is plotted against fraction cell area (area of the bud/total area of the mother-bud pair) for both wild type and *mip1^{exo-}* cells, after 4 hr (top panel) or 6 hr of induction (bottom panel). Black line represents mean and shaded region represents SD (calculated after binning x-axis in bins of 0.05). R^2 values were obtained via Pearson's Correlation; n>145 from 4 biological replicates for *mip1^{exo-}* and 3 biological replicates for wild

type. Statistical significance in E was calculated using Fisher's F-test, applied on distributions of residual values of all data points (distance from linear fit curve). Comparisons were made to empty vector control of corresponding time point. (F) Schematic of experimental design to arrest cells, followed by SYBR staining at 0 and 4 hr of induction. Flow cytometry profiles corresponding to the time point are shown at the bottom (left); percentage cells without mtDNA foci in wild type and *mip1^{exo-}* cells expressing mtDarT (right). N>670 cells from 3 biological replicates.

A

mitochondrial targeting sequence

DarT

β-estradiol

ssDNA

mtDarT

NAD+

ADP-ribosyl

B

	mtDarT	mtDarT(E160A)
3X-FLAG		
mt-mNG		
merged		

intensity (a.u.)

0   1

intensity (a.u.)

0   1

C

dextrose

β-estradiol	0 nM	25 nM	50 nM
empty vector			
mtDarT			
mtDarT(E160A)			

dilution

glycerol

β-estradiol	0 nM	25 nM	50 nM
empty vector			
mtDarT			
mtDarT(E160A)			

D

Differential centrifugation   Sucrose gradient

nuclei and cell debris

mitochondrial fraction

nuclear DNA		mtDNA	
empty vector	mtDarT	empty vector	mtDarT

anti-ADPr

methylene blue stain

Figure 2

C

Form factor (FF) $= \dfrac{4 \pi \text{ (area)}}{\text{(perimeter)}^2}$

mean FF$_{\text{Cell j}} = \dfrac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} \text{FF}_i}{N}$

N= number of
mitochondria in a cell, j

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

Cox4::mCherry

SYBR Green I

merged

empty vector   mtDarT

B

% cells without mtDNA foci

- empty vector
- mtDarT

time (hr)

C

empty vector   mtDarT

time (hr)   0   8   0   8

Cox4::mCherry

loading control

Tom20::mCherry

loading control

normalized Cox4::mCherry intensity

n.s.   n.s.

time (hr)

- empty vector
- mtDarT

normalized Tom20::mCherry intensity

n.s.   n.s.

time (hr)

Supplementary information

Dynamic reorganization and selective segregation of mitochondria

under DarT-mediated mtDNA damage

Nitish Dua, Akshaya Seshadri and Anjana Badrinarayanan[*]

National Centre for Biological Sciences - Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bellary Road, Bangalore 560065, Karnataka, India, Phone: 91 80 23666547

*Correspondence to: anjana@ncbs.res.in

Supplementary figure legends

Figure S1: System to induce mitochondria-specific DNA damage. (A) Schematic of β-estradiol inducible system. Transcription factor GAL4-HBD-VP16 is constitutively expressed under a pACT1 promoter, and is activated on binding of β-estradiol. The activated transcription factor binds to pGAL1 and initiates transcription of gene under this promoter. (B) Western blots of FLAG-tagged mtDarT/TE160A after 4 and 8 hr of induction with 100nM β-estradiol. (C) Representative cells expressing mt-mNeongreen and Cox4::mCherry (top panel), and cell expressing mt-mNeongreen stained with TMRE (bottom panel). (D) Representative cells expressing Lcd1::mNeongreen post 6 hr of mtDarT induction or 2hr post Hydroxyurea (100mM) treatment. Percentage cells with or without Lcd1::mNeongreen foci is plotted. N>400 cells pooled from 2 biological replicates. (Scale bars-5μm, white dotted lines mark cell outlines, in all figures)

Figure S2: mtDNA damage impacts mitochondrial organization and dynamics. (A) Percentage of cells in each category in control (empty vector) or mtDarT cells at t=0hr (mean±SD from three biological replicates, n>150 in each replicate). (B) Schematic showing experimental design for time lapse experiments. (C) Montage of single cells expressing Cox4::mCherry tracked over time (mean form factor <2 at the beginning of the time lapse), imaged for 40 min after 4 hr of induction. T0 refers to the frame at the start of the imaging (after the period of pre-induction) here and in Fig. S2D. (D) Density plots with mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time, plotted for cells starting with MFF>2 at the beginning of time lapse; n=362 for empty vector, n=347 for mtDarT from 3 biological replicates. (E) Dnm1::HA and Fzo1::HA western blot with quantification from 3 biological replicates, normalized to empty vector at t=0; Error bars: SD. (F) Representative images of cells expressing Mdm34::mNeongreen and Cox4::mCherry after 8 hr of induction. Number of Mdm34 foci per cell are plotted from 3 biological replicates; n>680. (G) Cell survival on plates containing either dextrose or glycerol as a carbon source, to ensure C-terminal tagging of Fzo1 does not affect cell growth. Statistical significance in E was calculated using unpaired Student's t-test. (*** $p<0.0001$, ** $p<0.005$, * $p< 0.05$, n.s.- not significant, in all cases).

Figure S3: mtDNA damage impacts mitochondrial organization and dynamics. (A) Cell area plotted as violin plots for all the cells in Fig 2 and S2D at t=0 min. (B) Density plots with Mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time, plotted for cells in Fig 2F, classified based

on presence or absence of buds. T0 refers to the frame at the start of the imaging (after the period of pre-induction)

Figure S4: mtDNA damage results in mitochondrial dysfunction. (A) Representative images of cells expressing Cox4::GFP stained with TMRE after treatment with FCCP (2 µM) for 20 min or Oligomycin (0.5 µg/ml) for 4 hr (left panel) (Cox4::GFP: green). Total TMRE intensity normalized to cell area is plotted; n>650 from 3 biological replicates for FCCP and Oligomycin and 2 biological replicates for empty vector (right panel). (B) Oxygen consumption rate (OCR) estimated using Seahorse assay post 4hr of induction. Mean and SD plotted from technical replicates. (C) Cox2 western blot with quantification from 3 biological replicates, normalized to empty vector at t=0; Error bars: SD. (D) Representative images of cells expressing COX4::GFP stained with TMRE after 4 hr of induction with 100nM β-estradiol. Examples show cells from three different morphological categories for both empty vector and mtDarT (top panel). Total TMRE intensity normalized to cell area is plotted for cells with three mitochondrial morphologies, after 4 hr of induction (for empty vector, n=215 for tubular, n=35 for fragmented, n=30 aggregate; for mtDarT, n=258 for tubular, n=79 for fragmented, n=118 for aggregate). Statistical significance in A, D was calculated using Mann-Whitney U test.

Figure S5: Changes in mitochondrial morphology accompany loss of mtDNA but not mitochondria. (A) Number of mtDNA foci per cell after 8 hr of induction is plotted; n>930 from 3 biological replicates. (B) Representative cells imaged with Structured Illumination Microscopy, along with segmentation output after Mitograph analysis (left panel). Mitochondrial volume was analysed via estimation of number of voxels detected using Mitograph, each data point represents one cell; n=12 for empty vector and n=24 for mtDarT. (C) Representative images of cells expressing GFP::Atg8 under the endogenous *ATG8* promoter treated with Rapamycin (20nM) for 4 hr and cells transformed with empty vector or mtDarT after 8 hs of induction with 100nM β-estradiol (left panel). Frequency distribution of number of GFP::Atg8 localization (right panel). (D) Representative cells showing GFP::Atg8 localizations associated or non-associated with mitochondria. Percentage localizations associated with mitochondria is plotted post 8 hr of induction, or 4 hr of rapamycin (20nM) treatment. Data is pooled from 2 biological replicates. N>90 localizations. (E) Vacuolar intensity of Idh1::GFP normalized to total cell intensity after 4 hr of induction with 100nM β-

estradiol; n>830 from 2 biological replicates (left panel), with representative images of cells expressing Idh1::GFP and Vph1::mCherry (right panel). Statistical significance in A,B,E was calculated using Mann-Whitney U test.

Figure S6: Exonuclease activity of the mtDNA polymerase, Mip1, is essential for mtDNA maintenance. (A) Density plots with mean form factor and number of mitochondria over time for *mip1^exo-* cells; n>710 in each plot, from 3 biological replicates. (B) Total TMRE intensity normalized to cell area for *mip1^exo-* cells is plotted; n>1350 from 3 biological replicates. (C) Schematic summarizing key observations of this study. mtDNA damage triggers mitochondrial dysfunction and associated changes in mitochondrial organization. Exonuclease function of replicative polymerase, Mip1, is required to prevent rapid loss of mtDNA under damage. For details, see discussion. Statistical significance in B was calculated using Mann-Whitney U test.

Supplementary Table S1: Strains used in present study

Strain #	Strain name	Genotype	Source	Strain construction
NABC567	CEN.PK	*Mat a*	(van Dijken et al., 2000)	NA
NABC568	CEN.PK	*Mat α*	(van Dijken et al., 2000)	NA
NABC569	*COX4-mCherry*	CEN.PK a *COX4-mCherry-Hyg*	This study	mCherry-Hyg casette was amplified from NABC549 using oligos 14,15
NABC570	*COX4-GFP*	CEN.PK a *COX4-GFP-KanMX6*	This study	GFP-KanMX casette was amplified from NABC548 using oligos 14,15
NABC571	*DNM1-HA*	CEN.PK a *DNM1-HA-KanMX6*	This study	HA-KanMX casette was amplified from NABC550 using oligos 17,18
NABC572	*mip1Δ*	CEN.PK a *mip1Δ::KanMX6*	This study	KanMX casette was amplified from NABC551 using oligos 26,27
NABC573	*mip1^{exo-}*	CEN.PK a *mip1Δ::mip1^{D171A,E173A}-Hyg*	This study	Oligos 22,23,24,25 used to amplify full length gene in two fragments, to do Gibson assembly with a Hyg casette amplified from NABC552.
NABC574	*TOM20-mCherry*	CEN.PK a *TOM20-mCherry-KanMX6*	SL lab stock	NA
NABC575	*MDM34-mNeongreen COX4-mCherry*	CEN.PK a *MDM34-mNeongreen-KanMX6 COX4-mCherry-Hyg*	This study	mNeongreen-KanMX cassette was amplified from NABC553 using oligos 20,21. The fragment was transformed in NABC569.
NABC576	*Su9-mNeongreen*	CEN.PK a *HO::P$_{CYC1}$-SU9-mNeongreen-T$_{CYC1}$-KanMX6*	This study	NABC556 plasmid was transformed in NABC567 to get HO-locus integration
NABC577	*IDH1-GFP VPH1-mCherry*	CEN.PK a *IDH1-GFP-KanMX6 VPH1-mCherry-Hyg*	This study	GFP-KanMX cassette was amplified from NABC548 (oligos 32,33), mCherry-Hyg cassette was amplified from NABC549 (oligos 34,35) and transformed into NABC567.

NABC593	*Fzo1-HA*	CEN.PK α *Fzo1-HA-Hyg*	This study	HA-Hyg casette was amplified from NABC598 using oligos 36,37.
NABC594	*Lcd1-mNeongreen*	CEN.PK a *Lcd1-mNeongreen-KanMX6*	This study	mNeongreen-KanMX cassette was amplified from NABC553 using oligos 38,39.
NABC595	*bar1Δ*	CEN.PK a *bar1Δ::KanMX6*	SL lab stock	NA
NABC596	*bar1Δ mip1exo-*	CEN.PK a *bar1Δ::KanMX6 mip1Δ::mip1D171A,E173A-Hyg*	This study	NABC595 cells were crossed with NABC597 and sporulated.
NABC597	*mip1exo- α*	CEN.PK α *mip1Δ::mip1^{D171A,E173A}-Hyg*	This study	NABC573 cells were crossed with NABC568 and sporulated.

Supplementary Table S2: Plasmids used in present study

Plasmid #	Plasmid Name	Description	Source	Plasmid construction
NABC548	pFA6a-GFP-KanMX6	Used for C-terminal tagging with GFP and KanMX6 selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC549	pFA6a-mCherry-Hyg	Used for C-terminal tagging with mCherry and Hygromycin selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC550	pFA6a-HA-KanMX6	Used for C-terminal tagging with HA and KanMX6 selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC551	pFA6a-KO-KanMX6	Used for gene knockouts with KanMX6 selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC552	pFA6a-KO-Hyg	Used for gene knockouts with Hygromycin selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC553	pFA6a-mNeongreen-KanMX6	Used for C-terminal tagging with mNeongreen and KanMX6 selection marker. Codon optimized mNeongreen was cloned into the pFA6a plasmid background.	This Study	mNeongreen from plasmid NABC554 was cloned with tADH1 into pFA6a background between Pac1 and Bgl2 sites using Gibson cloning with

				oligos 28,29,30,31
NABC554	pMA-Su9-mNeongreen	Codon optimised Su9-mNeongreen sequence.	This Study	(Synthesized by ThermoFisher)
NABC555	p417-CYC	cen/ars plasmid, with weak cyc promoter for low expression of protein	SL lab stock	NA
NABC556	M4297 HO-pCYC1-Su9-mNEONgreen-tCYC1-KanMX6-HO	Used for integration of pCYC1-Su9-mNEONgreen-tCYC1-KanMX6 at HO locus. Plasmid contains overhangs for recombination at the HO locus.	This Study	pCYC1-Su9-mNeongreen-tCYC1 cloned at BamH1 site in NABC 565 using Gibosn cloning with oligos 1,2,3,4
NABC557	pGEV-3XFlag-NAT	*CEN/ARS* Plasmid containing constitutively expressed pGAL4-HBD-VP16 transcription factor under pACT1. Expression of genes cloned under pGAL1 promoter is induced on addition of β-estradiol.	SL lab stock	NA
NABC558	pACDH-DarG	DarG cloned under a Lac promoter (IPTG inducible).	This Study	DarG from NABC560 cloned into NABC566 at Nco1 site using Gibson cloning with oligos 5,6
NABC559	pBAD33-TaqDarT	DarT from *Thermus aquaticus* cloned in the pBAD33 plasmid. Expression is repressed in presence of glucose.	(Jankevicius et al., 2016)	NA
NABC560	pET28a-TaqDarG	DarG from *Thermus aquaticus* cloned in the pET28a plasmid. Expression is repressed in presence of glucose.	(Jankevicius et al., 2016)	NA
NABC561	pGEV-Su9-DarT-3XFlag-NAT	Su9-DarT was cloned at the PacI site downstream of pGAL1 and in frame with the linker-3XFlag sequence.	This Study	DarT from NABC559 cloned with Su9 in NABC557 at PacI site

				using Gibson cloning with oligos 7,10,11,12
NABC562	pGEV-Su9-DarT(E160A)-3XFlag-NAT	Su9-DarT(E160A) was cloned at the PacI site downstream of pGAL1 and in frame with the linker-3XFlag sequence.	This Study	DarT from NABC559 cloned with Su9 in NABC557 at PacI site using Gibson cloning with oligos 7,10,11,12 and oligos 8,9 to introduce mutations
NABC563	GFP-ATG8(416)	N-terminally GFP tagged ATG8 expressed under the control of endogeneous promoter in a pRS416 vector	Addgene (Plasmid #49425) (Guan et al., 2001)	NA
NABC564	p417-GFP-ATG8(416)	GFP-Atg8(416) along with Atg8 promoter cloned between SacI and EcoRI sites	This Study	GFP-Atg8 with endogeneous Atg8 promoter was cloned from NABC563 into NABC555 by restriction digestion and ligation using restriction sites for SacI and EcoRI
NABC565	M4297HO Kan	HO-locus integration plasmid with KanMX selection marker	SL lab stock	NA
NABC566	pACDH	Plasmid with a lac promoter and a p15a ori	SL lab stock	NA
NABC598	pFA6a-HA-Hyg	Used for C-terminal tagging with HA and Hygromycin selection marker.	SL lab stock	NA

Supplementary Table S3: Oligos used in present study

Oligo #	Oligo sequence (5'-3')
Oligo 1	cgcaaacacaaatacacacactaatctagaATGGCTTCTACTAGAGTTTTGGCTTCTAGA TTGGCTTCTCA
Oligo 2	ctgcagcccggggggatccactagttctagaTCAAGAATTAGAAGAAGCAGAACCTGAACC TGCAGCAGA
Oligo 3	TTAAAATGGCGTCGTACGCTGCAGGTCGACGGATCCcatttggcgagcgttggttggtggatcaag
Oligo 4	AACAGATCTGGCGCGCCTTAATTAACCCGGGGATCCgcaaattaaagccttcgagcg tcccaaaaccttctc
Oligo 5	GTTTAACTTTAAGAAGGAGATATACCATGGATGCTGCGTTTCGTTCGCGGTAAC
Oligo 6	TTTGCTGTCCACCAGTCATGCTAGCCATGGTTACGCTTCTTGAGCAACATT TGCCGGC
Oligo 7	GATACTCAAAGATATCCCCGGGTTAATTAAATGGCTTCTACTAGAGTTTT GGCTTCTAG
Oligo 8	CTTTGACCAGAAATGCGGCCTGTTTC
Oligo 9	GAAACAGGCCGCATTTCTGGTCAAAG
Oligo 10	GTCATCGTCATCTTTATAATCGTTAATTAAGAACGTGGCTTCACAGGACGCACTGC
Oligo 11	AACCGGATAAGCCAGACCCTGTTGCGGCAT AGAAGAGTAGGCCCTTTTT TGAAAAGC
Oligo 12	ATGCCGCAACAGGGTCTGGCTTATCC
Oligo 13	GAAAACTGCCCAAAACTTAG
Oligo 14	ACTAAACCCTGTTGGTGTTCCAAATGATGACCACCATCACcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 15	GTAAAAGAGAAACAGAAGGGCAACTTGAATGATAAGATTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 16	CGGTGATGGAAATATTGAAG
Oligo 17	AGTTTATAAAAAGGCTGCAACCCTTATTAGTAATATTCTGcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 18	TGTTGAAGTAAGATCAAAAATGAGATGAATTATGCAATTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 19	GATTCAGTCTCGACTTTTCG
Oligo 20	CTGGAAATGGGGCATGGAGGATAGCCCCCCACCATATCATcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 21	TATTTGTGTAGTTATGTACTTAGATATGTAACTTAATTTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 22	TATGTAGTTGTTGAGCAACGAGGGACAAGTATGACGAAATTGATGGTTAG ATCTGAATGCATGCTG
Oligo 23	CTGGTGGTGTTTGCTGTAGCAACACTCTATAAC
Oligo 24	CGTTATAGAGTGTTGCTACAGCAAACACCACCAG
Oligo 25	CTAGTACTCTCTAGAAATAGTAATGTCCCTTTCCAG
Oligo 26	GGGATTATATGTAGTTGTTGAGCAACGAGGGACAAGTATGcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 27	ATATAAATACAAATGCGAAAGCTAATGCAGATTTTGCCTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 28	TGCAGGTCGAcggatccccgggttaattaaCATGGCTTCTTTGCCAGCTAC
Oligo 29	aataaaaatcataaatcataagaaattcgcTCAAGAATTAGAAGAAGCAGAACCTGAA
Oligo 30	gcgaatttcttatgatttatgattt
Oligo 31	cggcggggacgaggcaagctaaacagatct
Oligo 32	TGACTTCACGAATGAAATCATCAACAAATTATCTACCATGcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 33	TTAAGTTGCAGAACAAAAAAAAGGGGAATTGTTTTCATTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 34	GGAAGTCGCTGTTGCTAGTGCAAGCTCTTCCGCTTCAAGCcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 35	ACTTAAATGTTTCGCTTTTTTTAAAAGTCCTCAAAATTTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 36	TCAAAAATTGATGGTGGAAGAAATAAATTTAGACATCGATcggatccccgggttaattaa

Oligo 37	ATTGATTTGAAAAGACCTCATATATTTACAAGAATATCTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac
Oligo 38	ACTAGAGGAGGCCGATTCATTATATATCTCAATGGGACTGcggatccccgggttaattaa
Oligo 39	TATAAAGCGTTGACATTTTCCCCTTTTGATTGTTGCCTTAgaattcgagctcgtttaaac

Supplementary references

Guan, J., Stromhaug, P. E., George, M. D., Habibzadegah-Tari, P., Bevan, A., Dunn, W. A., & Klionsky, D. J. (2001). Cvt18/Gsa12 is required for cytoplasm-to-vacuole transport, pexophagy, and autophagy in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Pichia pastoris. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, *12*(12), 3821–3838. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.12.12.3821

Jankevicius, G., Ariza, A., Ahel, M., & Ahel, I. (2016). The Toxin-Antitoxin System DarTG Catalyzes Reversible ADP-Ribosylation of DNA. *Molecular Cell*, *64*(6), 1109–1116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.11.014

van Dijken, J. P., Bauer, J., Brambilla, L., Duboc, P., Francois, J. M., Gancedo, C., Giuseppin, M. L. F., Heijnen, J. J., Hoare, M., Lange, H. C., Madden, E. A., Niederberger, P., Nielsen, J., Parrou, J. L., Petit, T., Porro, D., Reuss, M., van Riel, N., Rizzi, M., … Pronk, J. T. (2000). An interlaboratory comparison of physiological and genetic properties of four Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, *26*(9), 706–714. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0229(00)00162-9

A

B

C