Influenza A virus reassortment in mammals gives rise to genetically distinct within-host sub-

populations

Ketaki Ganti[1], Anish Bagga[2], Lucas M. Ferreri[1,3], Ginger Geiger[3], Silvia Carnaccini[3], C. Joaquin

Caceres[3], Brittany Seibert[3], Yonghai Li[4], Liping Wang[5], Taeyong Kwon[4], Yuhao Li[4], Igor Morozov[4],

Wenjun Ma[5,6], Juergen A. Richt[4,6], Daniel R. Perez[3,7], Katia Koelle[8,9] and Anice C. Lowen[1,9*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta,

GA, USA

[2]Emory College of Arts and Sciences, Atlanta, GA, USA

[3]Department of Population Health, Poultry Diagnostic and Research Center, College of

Veterinary Medicine, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA

[4]Department of Diagnostic Medicine and Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Kansas

State University, Manhattan, KS, USA

[5]Department of Veterinary Pathobiology, and Department of Molecular Microbiology and

Immunology, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA

[6]St. Jude Center of Excellence for Influenza Research and Response (SJ-CEIRR)

[7] The Center for Research on Influenza Pathogenesis and Transmission (CRIPT-CEIRR)

[8]Department of Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA

[9]Emory Center of Excellence for Influenza Research and Response (Emory-CEIRR)

*anice.lowen@emory.edu

Summary

Influenza A virus (IAV) genetic exchange through reassortment has the potential to accelerate

viral evolution and has played a critical role in the generation of multiple pandemic strains. For

reassortment to occur, distinct viruses must co-infect the same cell. The spatio-temporal

dynamics of viral dissemination within an infected host therefore define opportunity for

reassortment. Here, we used wild type and synonymously barcoded variant viruses of a pandemic

H1N1 strain to examine the within-host viral dynamics that govern reassortment in guinea pigs,

ferrets and swine. The first two species are well-established models of human influenza, while

swine are a natural host and a frequent conduit for cross-species transmission and reassortment.

Our results show reassortment to be pervasive in all three hosts but less frequent in swine than

in ferrets and guinea pigs. In ferrets, tissue-specific differences in the opportunity for

reassortment are also evident, with more reassortants detected in the nasal tract than the lower

respiratory tract. While temporal trends in viral diversity are limited, spatial patterns are clear,

with heterogeneity in the viral genotypes detected at distinct anatomical sites revealing

extensive compartmentalization of reassortment and replication. Our data indicate that the

dynamics of viral replication in mammals allow diversification through reassortment but that the

spatial compartmentalization of variants likely shapes their evolution and onward transmission.

Introduction

Influenza A viruses (IAVs) have a broad host range, with the greatest diversity in wild bird species

and established lineages circulating in poultry, swine, humans and other mammalian hosts[1,2].

Host range for a given lineage is restricted by species barriers to infection, but occasional spillover

events can result in sustained transmission, seeding new lineages[3,4]. Establishment of novel IAVs

in humans is the source of influenza pandemics and has major ramifications for public health and

the economy[5,6]. Reassortment of gene segments between IAVs adapted to distinct host species

can give rise to chimeric viruses with enhanced potential for cross-species transfer[7]. Prominent

examples include the reassortant strains that gave rise to the 1957, 1968 and 2009 influenza

pandemics[8,9].

Among non-human mammalian species, swine are of particular interest. Transmission of IAV

between swine and humans is relatively common, due not only to an extensive interface in

agricultural settings, but also to similarities between pigs and humans in the host factors that

support viral replication[10,11]. Swine hosts are also somewhat susceptible to infection with avian

IAV, creating the opportunity for co-infection and reassortment of viruses that are typically

separated by host species barriers[12]. For this reason, swine are often referred to as mixing vessels

for IAV reassortment[13,14]. The role of swine in the 2009 influenza pandemic[9], and the abundant

reassortment that characterizes IAV in swine[15-19], offer ample support for this designation.

Although the central position of swine in IAV ecology and evolution is well understood[10,13,16] , the

within-host viral dynamics that govern reassortment in this host are less well characterized.

We have previously reported that IAV reassortment occurs frequently *in vivo,* although frequency

varies with virus strain and host species[20-24]. Here, we used three mammalian systems to evaluate

how viral population structure within the host shapes genotypic diversity generated through

reassortment. The hosts examined comprise guinea pigs, ferrets and pigs. While pigs are an

important natural host, guinea pigs and ferrets are well-characterized models for human IAV[25-

27]. To monitor reassortment in the absence of selection pressures, we coinfected each animal

with wild type and synonymously barcoded variant viruses of a pandemic H1N1 (pH1N1) strain

background[28]. We find that co-infection at the cellular level is extensive and reassortant viruses

are consistently observed in both upper and lower respiratory tracts throughout the course of

infection. In ferrets, markedly greater viral genotypic diversity is generated in the upper

respiratory tract compared to the lower tract. Viral populations at these two sites are

furthermore highly dissimilar genetically, revealing strong spatial compartmentalization.

Diversity generated through reassortment in swine is generally lower than in guinea pigs and

ferrets and, as in ferrets, viral diversity in swine is characterized by extensive spatial

compartmentalization. Taken together, our data reveal spatial structure within the mammalian

respiratory tract to be a major factor defining the extent of IAV diversity engendered through

reassortment.

Results

Viral genotypic diversity generated through reassortment in the mammalian nasal tract

To evaluate the viral genotypic diversity generated via reassortment, guinea pigs, ferrets and pigs

were coinfected with well-matched parental viruses of the influenza A/Netherlands/602/2009

(NL09; pH1N1) background. Termed wild type (WT) and variant (VAR), these coinfecting viruses

differ by a single synonymous mutation introduced into each gene segment of the VAR virus and

distinct epitope tags encoded in the hemagglutinin open reading frame of each virus. Following

determination of the 50% infectious dose (ID_{50}) of the virus mixture in ferrets and utilizing prior

ID_{50} results in guinea pigs[29], reassortment in these species was analyzed at two doses (1×10^2 and

1×10^5 ID_{50}). In pigs, a single dose of 2×10^6 PFU was used.

To monitor reassortment in the upper respiratory tract, nasal samples were collected

longitudinally, and the genotypes of clonal isolates derived from each sample were determined.

The parental origin of each of the eight segments was defined, allowing identification of all 254

possible reassortant genotypes and both parental genotypes. Here, a genotype is defined as a

constellation of eight gene segments and any nucleotide diversity present is not considered.

Reassortment was detected in all samples from all species tested (Supp Fig 1). To characterize

the viral diversity generated through reassortment, we calculated the frequency of unique

genotypes, frequency of parental genotypes, richness and Shannon-Weiner diversity for each

sample (Fig 1).

In all three host species, the same reassortant virus is rarely detected across multiple time points

(Supp Fig 1), suggesting genotypic loss due to stochastic processes. Parental genotypes (WT or

VAR), however, are consistently detected in the nasal samples of each animal in at least two time

points, (Fig 1B, F, J, M). Since 21 viral isolates were genotyped from each sample, richness has a

maximum value of 21 in our dataset. Richness is often high in guinea pigs and ferrets, with values

ranging from 4 to 18, while the range in pigs falls between 3 and 10 (Fig 1C, G, K, N). Trends in

diversity correspond to those of richness (Fig 1D, H, L, O). Comparison across all time points

shows significantly higher richness and diversity in guinea pigs and ferrets than in pigs (Fig 1N, O;

$p<0.01$, ANOVA). Taken together, these results reveal that the mammalian respiratory tract

presents an environment with high potential for the generation of viral diversity via

reassortment.

Figure 1: Viral genotypic diversity generated through reassortment in the mammalian nasal tract. *Results from guinea pigs are shown in panels A–D, ferrets in panels E–H and swine in panels I-L. Stacked plots (A, E, I) show frequencies of unique genotypes detected in one representative animal over time. Blue and orange represent the WT and VAR parental genotypes, respectively. Frequency of both parental genotypes combined (B, F, J), richness (C, G, K) and Shannon-Weiner diversity (D, H, L) are each plotted as a function of time. Guinea pigs and ferrets inoculated at high dose (1×10^5 ID_{50}) and low dose (1×10^2 ID_{50}) are indicated with dashed and solid lines, respectively. The distribution of parental genotype frequencies (M), richness (N) and diversity (O) across all time points in each species is shown with violin plots. Differences in richness and diversity between swine and guinea pigs and between swine and ferrets were significant (p<0.01,*

ANOVA). Parental genotype frequencies differed significantly between swine and guinea pigs

(p=0.003, ANOVA), and were not significant between swine and ferrets (ANOVA).

Viral diversity generated through reassortment is greater in the ferret nasal tract than in the

lungs. In mammalian hosts, IAV replication can be distributed throughout the respiratory tract.

Using the ferret model, we compared the extent of viral diversity arising through reassortment

in upper and lower respiratory tracts. Nasal turbinates and lung tissues were collected on days 1,

2, 3 or 4 from ferrets inoculated with 1×10^2 or 1×10^5 ferret ID_{50}. Genotyping of viral isolates

revealed that the number of unique genotypes detected is routinely higher in nasal turbinates

compared to lung at both doses (Fig 2A–C). Similarly, Shannon-Weiner diversity in nasal

turbinates is typically higher than in the lung (Fig 2D). These differences in both richness and

diversity are significant (Fig 2E, F) ($p=8.6\times10^{-5}$ and $p=0.0014$, respectively; paired t-test).

We hypothesized that the distinct outcomes of co-infection in the upper and lower respiratory

tracts were indicative of little viral dispersal between the two sites. To test this hypothesis, we

modeled free mixing between the lung and nose by computationally shuffling intact genotypes

between the two tissues. We then compared our observed data to the resultant simulated

dataset (Fig 2C, D). This approach revealed that richness and diversity seen in the lungs is low

relative to that expected for free mixing, with observed values typically falling below the 5th

percentile of simulated results. In contrast, richness and diversity observed in nasal turbinates is

typically above the mean. This analysis suggests that spread of virus between upper and lower

respiratory locations occurs relatively rarely, such that abundant diversity generated through

reassortment in the nasal tract does not seed a similarly diverse population in the lungs.

Figure 2: Viral diversity generated through reassortment is greater in the ferret nasal turbinates than in the lungs. *Pie charts show frequencies of unique genotypes detected in the nasal turbinates (NT) and lung of ferrets inoculated with $1x10^2$ FID_{50} (A) or $1x10^5$ FID_{50} (B), with the blue and orange sections representing WT and VAR parental genotypes, respectively. Richness (C) and*

diversity (D) are plotted, with observed results (colored points) overlaid on the distribution of

simulated data (grey violins). Dashed lines denote the 95th and 5th percentiles. The distribution of

richness (E) and diversity (F) in NT and lungs of all individuals is shown with violin plots. Differences

between NT and lungs were significant by both measures (p<0.01, paired t-test).

Reassortant viral populations in the ferret upper and lower respiratory tracts are distinct.

Examination of the specific genotypes identified in the nasal turbinates and lung within a given

ferret revealed almost no overlap (Fig 2A, B). To more rigorously assess this apparent dissimilarity

of viral populations, we calculated normalized beta diversity (β_n) between pairs of samples[30]. This

dissimilarity metric has a range between 0 and 1, with a beta value of 0 indicating that two

samples are identical in their genotype composition and frequencies, and a beta value of 1

indicating no genotypic overlap. In all ferrets, high beta diversities of >0.5 are seen between nasal

turbinate and lung samples of the same animal (Fig 3A). Indeed, beta diversity values calculated

between pairs of samples within and between ferrets indicate that the nasal and lung viral

populations within an individual are typically as distinct as populations replicating in different

animals (Fig 3A). To test whether a lack of viral dispersal between anatomical sites could account

for this observation, we compared the observed beta diversity to the distribution of beta diversity

values obtained from the computational simulation of free mixing outlined above. For most

ferrets, observed beta diversity falls above the 95th percentile of the distribution (Fig 3B). Thus,

the highly distinct viral populations seen in nasal and lung tissues are inconsistent with free

mixing between these sites. These data further support the notion that virus replicating within

the ferret upper and lower respiratory tracts form distinct compartments, with reassortment

occurring independently at the two sites.

To visualize the spatial distribution of coinfecting viruses within ferret tissues, the tagged HA

proteins of WT and VAR origin were stained within lung and nasal tissue sections (Fig 3C). This

analysis revealed that microscopic fields that are positive for viral antigen tend to show a high

density of infected cells at both tissue sites. Strikingly, most infected cells are positive for both

WT and VAR antigens, indicating high levels of viral co-infection within the host, consistent with

the robust reassortment observed.

Figure 3: Reassortant viral populations in the ferret upper and lower respiratory tracts are
distinct. *Heat map showing normalized beta diversity of viral populations in ferret lung and nasal*

turbinates (NT) (A). The inset shows a representative comparison between the tissues of ferrets

F23 and F21, to indicate the position of NT and lung within the matrix. Normalized beta diversity

is plotted in (B) with observed results (colored points) overlaid on the distribution of simulated

data (gray violins). One star indicates that observed data is above the 95th percentile of the

distribution; two stars indicates that observed data is above the 99th percentile. (C)

Immunohistochemistry images of ferret NT and lung sections stained for WT (green) and VAR

(red) viruses at day 3 post-inoculation. Gray staining marks epithelial cell borders. Yellow coloring

in merged images indicates the presence of both WT and VAR HA antigens in the same cell.

Zoomed insets of both NT and lung sections are shown with white arrows indicating co-infected

cells and yellow arrows indicating singly infected cells.

Local diversity arising through reassortment is modest within the swine respiratory tract.

Analysis of nasal swabs showed limited genotypic diversity in the swine nasal tract. We expanded

this investigation of reassortment in pigs by sampling the nasal tract and each of the seven lung

lobes of groups of three pigs on day 3 or 5 post-inoculation. Viral titers in the nasal tract of these

animals on day 3 were insufficient to support analysis of reassortment. Genotype frequencies

observed from the remaining sites were plotted and overlaid on a schematic of a pig lung (Fig 4A-

F). Although one or more reassortants are detected in all but three of the 45 samples, the viral

populations tend to carry high proportions of parental virus. At a minority of sites, a specific

reassortant is predominant. Richness is less than 10 for most samples (Fig 4G) and Shannon-

Weiner diversities less than 1.0 are common (Fig 4H). Thus, overall, the diversity generated

through reassortment throughout the swine respiratory tract is moderate. To evaluate the extent

to which a lack of viral mixing across the sampled locations limits richness and diversity, we

compared the observed results to those expected if viruses mix freely throughout the respiratory

tract (Fig 4G, H). To simulate free mixing, we randomly sampled intact viral genotypes from all

those detected within a given pig. The resultant richness and Shannon-Weiner diversity values

show wide distributions. However, much of the observed data lies below the 5th percentile of

these distributions, suggesting that pockets of low richness and diversity persist locally due to an

absence of mixing across anatomical sites. In sum, local genotypic diversity generated via

reassortment is modest throughout the swine respiratory tract.

Figure 4: Local diversity arising through reassortment is modest within the swine respiratory

tract. *Pie charts showing frequencies of unique genotypes present within each lung lobe of a given*

pig on day 3 (A-C) and the nasal tract and each lung lobe of a given pig on day 5 (D-F) with blue

and orange sections representing WT and VAR parental genotypes, respectively. The tissue sites

are abbreviated as NA-Nasal; LA-Left Apical; RA-Right Apical; LC-Left Cardiac; RC-Right Cardiac;

LD-Left Diaphragmatic; RD-Right Diaphragmatic; IN-Intermediate. Simulated richness (G) and

diversity (H) are plotted, with observed results (colored points) overlaid on the distribution of

simulated data (grey violins). Dashed lines denote the 95th and 5th percentiles.

Reassortant viral populations show extensive compartmentalization within the swine respiratory tract. Examination of the reassortant viral genotypes identified in swine tissues reveals little to no overlap across locations within an individual animal (Fig 4A-F). We again used beta diversity to assess the similarity of viral populations in a pairwise and quantitative manner. As seen in ferrets, beta values in pigs were typically high (Fig 5A and Supp Fig 2). Indeed, viral populations sampled from within a pig were as distinct from one another as those sampled from different pigs (Fig 5A). To test whether a lack of viral dispersal between anatomical sites could account for this observation, we compared the beta diversity observed for a pair of locations within a pig to the distribution of beta diversity values obtained from a simulation of free mixing between those locations (Fig 5B and Supp Fig 3). For most pairs of tissues, the observed data are at the high extreme of this distribution, falling within the 95th percentile of the simulated results. Thus, spatial compartmentalization within the swine respiratory tract is extensive.

To visualize the spatial distribution of co-infecting viruses within swine tissues, the tagged HA proteins of WT and VAR origin were stained (Fig 5C and Supp Fig 4). As in ferrets, a high density of infected cells is visible in regions positive for viral antigen and most infected cells are positive for both WT and VAR viruses. Thus, the high levels of viral co-infection observed in ferrets extends to swine.

Figure 5: Reassortant viral populations show extensive compartmentalization within the swine respiratory tract. *Heat map showing normalized beta diversity of viral populations in swine lung and nasal tract (A). The inset shows a representative comparison between the tissues of pigs P3 and P6, to indicate the position of each tissue within the matrix. The tissue sites are abbreviated*

as NA-Nasal; LA- Left Apical; RA- Right Apical; LC-Left Cardiac; RC-Right Cardiac; LD-Left

Diaphragmatic; RD-Right Diaphragmatic; IN-Intermediate. Normalized beta diversity between

the left apical and left cardiac lobes is plotted (B) with observed results (colored points) overlaid

on the distribution of simulated data (gray violins). One star indicates that observed data is above

the 95th percentile of the distribution; two stars indicates that observed data is above the 99th

percentile. (C) Representative immunohistochemistry images of swine left apical lung section

stained for WT (green) and VAR (red) viruses at day 3 post-inoculation. Gray staining marks

epithelial cell borders. Yellow coloring in merged images indicates the presence of both WT and

VAR HA antigens in the same cell. Zoomed insets of the lung are shown with white arrows

indicating co-infected cells and yellow arrows indicating singly infected cells.

Discussion

By monitoring reassortment between highly similar co-infecting viruses, we can detect the

diversity generated through genetic exchange in the absence of selection. Consequently, our

experiments reveal the extent to which co-infection at the level of the whole host creates

opportunity for reassortment within the host. Abundant opportunity is apparent in the nasal

tracts of guinea pigs and ferrets. However, the viral diversity generated through reassortment is

more limited in the lungs of ferrets and all tissues sampled in pigs. In addition to relatively little

opportunity for reassortment in these tissues, spatial constraints on mixing within the respiratory

tract yield localized sub-populations with diminished genotypic diversity. Thus, despite the

extensive reassortment seen among swine IAV at a population level, our results reveal only

moderate viral diversity generated through reassortment in pigs. Results from guinea pigs and

ferrets suggest that opportunity for within-host IAV genetic exchange may be greater in other

natural mammalian hosts.

Given our use of well-matched parental viruses, we can assume that the differences in viral

genotypic diversity seen between species and between tissues within a species are not driven by

natural selection. Instead, features of viral dynamics in these different environments likely shape

the frequency of reassortment and the extent to which reassortants are amplified once formed.

For example, the efficiency of viral replication, spatial arrangement of target cells, the extent to

which permissive cells are interspersed with non-permissive cells, physical barriers to viral spread

and the effectiveness innate responses to infection are all likely to vary with species and across

different tissues within a species[31-33].

Although variation in the diversity generated through reassortment is apparent, visualization of

viral antigen in infected tissues indicated that cellular co-infection is abundant at all sites

examined. Cellular co-infection has previously been reported to be common *in vivo*[34] and is

expected owing to the high potential for singular genomes to result in abortive infection[35,36] and

the potential for direct cell-to-cell spread of viral genetic material[37]. Nevertheless, the

juxtaposition of this result with a predominance of parental-type viruses in many samples is

counter-intuitive. While the images of fixed tissue show a snapshot of viral HA protein expression

in defined cells at a given time point, viral samples draw from the population of viruses that have

accumulated at a particular site over the course of infection. Thus, the prevalence of parental

genotypes may reflect their production early after inoculation, when cellular co-infection is less

likely to occur[22,34]. In addition, HA staining does not reveal the relative dosage of WT and VAR

genomes in a cell or indicate the genotype(s) of the seven non-HA segments. Both factors would

strongly modulate the proportion of progeny genomes from a co-infected cell that are

reassortant.

The spatial separation of viral sub-populations within an individual has important evolutionary

implications. First, reassortment among genetically distinct viruses is less likely to occur when

viral dispersal is localized, since all viruses produced from a singly infected progenitor cell will be

genetically similar[23]. Conversely, well-mixed viral populations are more likely to produce

reassortants that differ from their parents in biologically meaningful ways. Compartmentalization

of variants may be a contributing factor to the lack of reassortment reported in experimentally

infected humans[38]. Second, spatial structure impacts the extent to which a viral population within

a host is shaped by selection versus stochastic processes[39]. Spatial barriers create

subpopulations, each of which can have a small population size. As such, genetic drift dominates

within each subpopulation, with variant frequencies changing over time largely due to chance.

This means that both lower and higher fitness variants have the potential to rise to high

frequencies within subpopulations. As a result, spatial compartmentalization of populations has

the immediate effect of reducing the efficiency of selection. While the strength of selection to

purge low fitness variants is weakened at the level of individual subpopulations, the propagation

of slightly deleterious mutants allows a broader exploration of sequence space across the entire

viral population that is distributed within the host. This may, in rare cases, lead to the discovery

of higher fitness variants that might not evolve as readily in less structured within-host

populations.

Compartmentalization of viral replication is also expected to shape evolution occurring between

hosts. As has been seen in ferrets, transmitted virus is likely to comprise a sub-sample of one

specific anatomical location[23,40,41]. In the context of spatial heterogeneity, this sub-sample will

not be representative of the viral population present in the whole host. Thus, within-host spatial

structure is expected to contribute to stochastic effects acting between hosts: the transmitted

virus will be the virus that was in the right place at the right time, and may not be the most fit

genotype present within a host[23,41-43].

Certain limitations in our experimental design are important to consider. Our approach of co-

inoculating with well-matched parental strains is designed to maximize potential to detect

reassortment. In addition, the gene constellation of the 2009 pandemic H1N1 virus, used in this

study, has shown a marked predisposition for reassortment[44-46]. However, natural co-infections

will include a wide range of scenarios in terms of relative timing, route and dose of infection and

phenotypes of co-infecting strains. Each of these factors will influence the frequency of

reassortment[21-23,47]. In evaluating the extent of overlap between viral genotypes in different

anatomical locations, it is important to note that parental viruses are likely seeded throughout

the respiratory tract upon inoculation; thus, only reassortant genotypes are informative in

assessing the extent of mixing across sites. In addition, if variants are rare (<5%), they would fall

below the limit of detection of our assay.

IAV reassortment within cells is efficient, such that 256 distinct genotypes are readily formed in

a single co-infected cell[48]. However, within a host, the high potential for reassortment to

generate diversity is subject to complex dynamics that define the likelihood of cellular co-

infection and the extent to which novel reassortants are propagated. While our data reveal

extensive co-infection *in vivo* and consistent formation of reassortants, species and tissue

differences in the extent of reassortment are apparent. Spatial constraints on the dissemination

of novel genotypes add to this complexity and are likely to be a major factor in within-host viral

evolutionary dynamics.

Author Contributions

KG contributed to the conception of work, experimental design, data acquisition and analysis,

interpretation of data; AB contributed to data analysis, model development and interpretation

of data; LMF, GG, SC, CJC, BS, YL, LW, TK, YL and IM contributed to data acquisition; WM, JAR and

DRP contributed to conception of the work, experimental design, data analysis and

interpretation; KK contributed to conception of the work, data analysis and interpretation; ACL

contributed to conception of work, experimental design and data analysis and interpretation. All

authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement

The JAR laboratory received support from Tonix Pharmaceuticals, Xing Technologies and Zoetis,

outside of the reported work. JAR is inventor on patents and patent applications on the use of

antivirals and vaccines for the treatment and prevention of virus infections, owned by Kansas

State University, KS.

Methods

Cells and cell culture media. Madin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, a gift from Dr. Robert

Webster, St Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN to D.R.P were used for all

experiments. A seed stock of MDCK cells at passage 23 was subsequently amplified and

maintained in Minimal Essential Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum

(FBS; Atlanta Biologicals) and Normocin (Invivogen). 293T cells (ATCC, CRL-3216) were

maintained in Dulbecco's Minimal Essential Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS and PS.

All cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator. The cell lines were not

authenticated. All cell lines were tested monthly for mycoplasma contamination while in use. The

medium for the culture of IAV in MDCK cells (virus medium) was prepared by supplementing the

basal medium for the relevant cell type with 4.3% BSA and Normocin.

Viruses. Viruses used in this study were derived from influenza A/Netherlands/602/2009 (H1N1)

virus (NL09) and were generated by reverse genetics[49-51]. In brief, 293T cells transfected with

reverse-genetics plasmids 16–24 h previously were co-cultured with MDCK cells at 37°C for 40–

48 h. Recovered virus was propagated in MDCK cells at a low multiplicity of infection to generate

working stocks. Titration of stocks and experimental samples was carried out by plaque assay in

MDCK cells. Silent mutations were introduced into each segment of the VAR virus by site-directed

mutagenesis of reverse genetics plasmids. The specific changes introduced into the VAR virus

were reported previously[24,28]. NL09 VAR virus was engineered to contain a 6XHIS epitope tag plus

a GGGS linker at the amino (N) terminus of the HA protein following the signal peptide. NL09 WT

virus carries an HA epitope tag plus a GGGS linker inserted at the N terminus of the HA protein[28].

A 1:1 mixture of NL09 WT and VAR viruses was prepared using methods described previously[24].

Animal models and reassortment *in vivo*. All the animal experiments were conducted in

accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes

of Health. The studies were conducted under animal biosafety level 2 containment and approved

by the IACUC of Emory University (DAR-2002738-ELMNTS-A) for guinea pig (*Cavia porcellus*), the

IACUC of the University of Georgia (AUP A2015 06-026-Y3-A5) for ferret (*Mustela putorius furo*)

and the IACUC of Kansas State University (protocol #4120) for swine (*Sus scrofa*). The animals

were humanely euthanized following guidelines approved by the American Veterinary Medical

Association.

Female, Hartley strain guinea pigs weighing 250–350 g were obtained from Charles River

Laboratories and housed by Emory University Department of Animal Resources. Before intranasal

inoculation and nasal washing, the guinea pigs were anaesthetized with 30 mg kg^{-1} ketamine and

4 mg kg^{-1} xylazine by intramuscular injection. The GPID$_{50}$ of the NL09 virus was previously

determined to be 1×10^1 PFU[29]. To evaluate reassortment kinetics in guinea pigs, groups of six

animals were infected with 1×10^3 PFU (1×10^2 ID$_{50}$) or 1×10^6 PFU (1×10^5 ID$_{50}$) of the NL09 WT/VAR

virus mixtures. Virus inoculum was given intranasally in a 300 µl volume of PBS. Nasal washes

were performed on days 1–6 post-inoculation and titered by plaque assay. Viral genotyping was

performed on samples collected on days 1, 2 and 3 or 4 for each guinea pig. Day 3 was used for

animals receiving the higher dose since virus is cleared rapidly in this system and shedding has

ceased by day 4.

Female ferrets, 20-weeks-old, from Triple F Farms (Gillett, PA) were used. All ferrets were

seronegative by anti-nucleoprotein (anti-NP) influenza virus enzyme-linked immunosorbent

assay, Swine Influenza Virus Ab Test, (IDEXX, Westbrook, ME) prior to infection. Five days prior

to experimentation, ferrets were sedated, and a subcutaneous transponder (Bio Medic Data

Systems, Seaford, Delaware) was implanted to identify each animal and provide temperature

readings. Anesthetics were applied via intramuscular injection with ketamine (20 mg kg^{-1}) and

xylazine (1 mg kg^{-1}). Infections were performed via intranasal inoculation of 1 mL of virus diluted

in PBS. Ferret nasal washes were carried out as follows. Ferrets were anesthetized and 1 ml of

PBS administered to the nose was used to induce sneezing. Expelled fluid was collected into Petri

dishes and samples were collected in an additional volume of 1 mL PBS. Infected ferrets were

monitored daily for clinical signs, temperature and weight loss. Ferrets were euthanized by

intravenous injection of 1 ml of Beuthanasia-D diluted 1:1 with DI water (Merck, Madison, NJ).

For determination of ferret ID$_{50}$, six groups of four ferrets each were inoculated with increasing

doses of the NL09 WT/VAR virus mixture (1x10$^{0.1}$ PFU, 1x10^0 PFU, 1x10^1 PFU, 1x10^2 PFU, 1x10^3

PFU and 1x10^4 PFU). Nasal washes were collected daily for up to 6 days and titrated for viral

shedding by plaque assay. The ferret ID$_{50}$ was determined based on results obtained at day 2 and

found to be equivalent to 3.2 x 10^2 PFU.

For analysis of reassortment frequency and detection of viral antigen in tissues, ferrets were

inoculated with 3.2 x 10^4 PFU (1x10^2 ID$_{50}$) or 3.2 x 10^7 PFU (1x10^5 ID$_{50}$). After infections, nasal

washes were collected daily for up to 6 days and titrated by plaque assay. Viral genotyping was

performed on samples collected on days 1, 3 and 5 for each ferret. Tissue sections collected for

virology were disrupted in 1 mL of sterile PBS using the TissueLyser LT (Qiagen, Germantown,

MD) at 30 Hz for 5 min twice, in microcentrifuge tubes with 3 mm Tungsten Carbide Beads

(Qiagen, St. Louis, MO). Supernatants were clarified by centrifugation and frozen at -80 ºC until

viral titration. For histology, tissues were submerged in 10% buffered formalin (Sigma Aldrich, St.

Louis, MO) and stored at room temperature until evaluation.

The pig study was conducted at the Large Animal Research Center (a biosafety level 2+ facility)

at Kansas State University in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural

Animals in Research and Teaching of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. To determine virus

reassortment and viral antigen in tissues, eighteen 4-week-old influenza H1 and H3 subtype virus-

and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus-seronegative gender-mixed crossbred

pigs were randomly allocated into groups. Each pig was inoculated with 2 x 10^6 PFU of NL09

WT/VAR mixture through both intranasal and intratracheal routes (10^6 PFU was administered in

a 1 ml volume by each of these two routes) under anaesthesia as described previously[52]. Clinical

signs for all experimental pigs were monitored daily throughout the experiment. Nasal swabs

were collected at 1, 3, 5 and 7 days post infection from each pig. Three infected pigs were

euthanized at 3-, 5-, and 7-days post infection. During necropsy, nasal turbinate, trachea and lung

tissues from 7 lobes collected from each pig were frozen at -80°C for virus isolation and fixed in

10% buffered formalin for IHC examination.

Quantification of reassortment. Reassortment frequencies were evaluated by genotyping 21

clonal viral isolates per sample as described previously[48]. This analysis was applied to guinea pig

nasal washes, ferret nasal washes, swine nasal swabs, ferret tissue homogenates and swine tissue

homogenates. Time points to be examined were chosen based on positivity in all animals in a

treatment group. Thus, samples from days 1, 2, 3 or 4 were evaluated from guinea pigs, while

samples from days 1, 3 and 5 were evaluated for ferrets and swine. The genotypes of virus

isolates detected in all samples analysed are reported in Supplementary Table 1.

Briefly, plaque assays were performed on MDCK cells in 10 cm dishes to isolate virus clones.

Serological pipettes (1 ml) were used to collect agar plugs into 160 μl PBS. Using a ZR-96 viral RNA

kit (Zymo), RNA was extracted from the agar plugs and eluted in 40 μl nuclease-free water

(Invitrogen). Reverse transcription was performed using Maxima reverse transcriptase (RT;

ThermoFisher) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The resulting cDNA was diluted 1:4 in

nuclease-free water and each cDNA was combined with segment-specific primers[24,28] designed

to amplify a region of approximately 100 base pairs. The amplicon for each segment contains the

site of the single nucleotide change in the VAR virus. Quantitative PCR was performed with

Precision Melt Supermix (Bio-Rad) using a CFX384 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-

Rad). Template amplification was followed by high-resolution melt analysis to differentiate the

WT and VAR amplicons[53]. Precision Melt Analysis software (Bio-Rad) was used to determine the

parental origin of each gene segment based on the melting properties of the cDNA amplicons

relative to WT and VAR controls. Each plaque was assigned a genotype based on the combination

of WT and VAR genome segments, with two variants on each of eight segments allowing for 256

potential genotypes. Occasionally, a gene segment cannot be typed with confidence and is

recorded as neither WT nor VAR. Viral isolates with ambiguous gene segments were excluded

from our subsequent analyses.

Immunohistochemistry and imaging. Tissue samples from nasal turbinates of ferrets, the right

caudal lung lobe of ferrets and all seven lung lobes of swine were fixed in 10% neutral buffered

formalin for at least 24 h before being embedded in paraffin. Nasal turbinates were decalcified

prior to being embedded in paraffin. Sections from all the tissues were cut and slides were

prepared. The tissues were deparaffinized by warming the slides at 60°C on a slide warmer for

45 min followed by immersion in xylenes (Sigma) for 25 min. The slides were then immersed in

100% ethanol for 10 min, 95% ethanol for 10 min and 70% ethanol for 5 min. The slides were

then washed by placing in deionized water for 1 h. Antigen retrieval was performed by steaming

the slides in 10 mM citric acid, pH 6.0 for 45 min, followed by washing in tap water and 1X PBS

(Corning) for 5 min. The WT and VAR viruses were detected in the tissues using a mouse anti HA

Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen catalogue number A-21287; clone 16B12) and mouse anti His Alexa

Fluor 555 (Invitrogen catalogue number MA1-135-A555; clone 4E3D10H2/E3) while epithelial cell

borders were stained using rabbit anti Na^+K^+ ATPase Alexa Fluor 647 (Abcam catalogue number

198367; clone EP1845Y) at 4°C overnight. Slides were washed three times in 1X PBS (Corning)

and once in deionized water to remove excess antibody. The slides were mounted onto glass

coverslips using ProLong Diamond Anti Fade mounting media (ThermoFisher). The images were

acquired using an Olympus FV1000 Confocal Microscope at 60x magnification under an oil

immersion objective. The specificity of the antibodies was confirmed by infecting MDCK cells with

either the NL09 WT, NL09 VAR or both viruses for 24 h. The cells were fixed using 4%

paraformaldehyde (Alfa Aesar) and stained for HA and His tags using the antibodies as described

above (Supp Fig 5).

Software

Figures were generated using Python 3[54] and the packages matplotlib[55], NumPy[56], pandas[57] and

seaborn[58]. Simulations were conducted in Python 3.

Analysis of genotype frequencies, richness and diversity

Here a viral genotype is defined as a unique combination of the eight IAV segments, where each

segment is derived from either the variant or wild type parental virus; therefore, there are 2^8

possible unique genotypes, with two parental genotypes and 254 reassortant genotypes. For any

given sample, the frequency of each unique genotype can be calculated by dividing the number

of appearances each unique genotype has in the sample by the total number of clonal isolates

obtained for that sample.

Understanding the distribution of unique genotypes involves using both unweighted and

weighted genotype frequency statistics. Genotype richness (S) does not incorporate genotype

frequency and is given by the number of unique genotypes in a sample. Given our sample size of

21 plaque isolates, genotype richness, or the number of distinct genotypes detected in a sample,

can range from a minimum of 1 (a single genotype is detected 21 times) to a maximum of 21 (21

unique genotypes are detected).

Diversity was measured using the Shannon-Weiner index (H), which considers both richness and

evenness in the frequency with which genotypes are detected. In our dataset, diversity can range

from 0 to 3.04. Shannon-Wiener diversity was calculated as:

499
$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^{S}(p_i * lnp_i)\sum_{i=1}^{S}(p_i \times \ln(p_i))$$

where S is genotype richness and p_i is the frequency of unique genotype i in the sample (6).

To evaluate the extent to which the spatial dynamics of viral reassortment and propagation shape

the overall richness and diversity in a host, we sought to compare the observed richness and

diversity at each anatomical site to that which would be expected if virus moves freely among

anatomical locations. Thus, to simulate free mixing within the host, we randomly shuffled

observed viral genotypes among all sites in a given animal. The average richness and Shannon-

Wiener index of the simulated viral populations at each site were then calculated. The 5th and

95th percentiles for the simulated distribution of each animal were calculated and compared to

the observed richness and diversity for each of the anatomical sites. If a site's observed richness

and diversity fell below the 5th percentile or above the 95th percentile, then a barrier to the influx

or efflux of reassortant genotypes from or to the other sites is suggested.

Analysis of beta diversity

The dissimilarity between populations can be measured by beta diversity. For this study, we

evaluated beta diversity from a richness perspective, focusing on dissimilarity in the unique

genotypes detected and excluding consideration of their frequency. This approach was used to

de-emphasize the effects of WT and VAR parental genotypes, which were likely seeded into all

anatomical locations at the time of inoculation. We calculate the beta diversity by treating the

viral genotypes in two lobes as two distinct populations:

520
$$\beta = \frac{S_{1+2}}{\frac{1}{2}(S_1 + S_2)}$$

521   where S_{1+2} is the richness of a hypothetical population composed of pooling the viral genotypes

522   of the two lobes while $\frac{1}{2}(S_1 + S_2)$ represents the mean richness of the lobes

523   (7). The beta diversity of a single comparison can be normalized so that it ranges from zero to

524   one:

525
$$BD' = \frac{BD - 1}{BD_{max} - 1} \beta_n = \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta_{max} - 1}$$

where $BD_{max}\beta_{max}$ is the beta diversity calculated by assuming that there are no viral genotypes

shared by both lobes (7). A $BD'\beta_n$ closer to one indicates that the lobes' viral populations are

more dissimilar while a $BD'\beta_n$ closer to zero suggests that the lobes have similar unique viral

genotypes and overall viral richness. A $BD'\,\beta_n$ of zero occurs when all unique genotypes present

in one lobe are also present in the other.

To simulate free mixing between two lobes, we randomly shuffled the genotypes between each

of the 28 pairwise combinations among pig tissues and the single ferret lung-NT combination and

computed the $BD'\beta_n$ for each comparison. Free mixing for all combinations was simulated 1000

times. We reasoned that if compartmentalization was present in the observed dataset, then the

dissimilarity values would fall at the high end of the simulated distribution (>95th percentile).

Statistical measures

Percentiles were calculated using the percentileofscore method from the SciPy package[57]. Paired

t-tests and ANOVA tests were performed using the ttest_rel method and the f_oneway method

respectively from the SciPy Package[57].

Data availability

Raw data are included as Source Data and in Supplementary Table 1.

Code availability

Code used for data analysis and simulations is available at:

https://github.com/maxbagga/Influenza-A-virus-reassortment-in-mammals-gives-rise-to-spatially-

distinct-sub-populations

Acknowledgements

We thank Shamika Danzy for technical assistance and the Emory Division of Animal Resources for

their support with the guinea pig experiments. We thank Rusty Ransburgh, Baoliang Zheng,

Dongchang He, Abaineh Endalew, Daniel Madden, Yuekun Lang for their assistance with the pig

experiments. This project was supported by the Emory University Integrated Cellular Imaging

Microscopy Core. The research was funded by NIH R01 AI127799 and the NIH/NIAID Centers of

Excellence in Influenza Research and Response (CEIRR), contract numbers 75N93021C00017

(ACL, KK), 75N93021C00014 (DRP) and 75N93021C00016 (JAR, WM), and the AMP Core of the

References

1   Webster, R. G., Hinshaw, V. S., Bean, W. J., Jr., Turner, B. & Shortridge, K. F. Influenza
viruses from avian and porcine sources and their possible role in the origin of human
pandemic strains. *Dev Biol Stand* **39**, 461-468 (1977).
2   Wright, P. F., Neumann, G. & Kawaoka, Y. in *Fields Virology* Vol. 1 (ed D. M. & Howley
Knipe, P. M.) 1691-1740 (Lippincott-Raven, 2006).
3   Webster, R. G., Bean, W. J., Gorman, O. T., Chambers, T. M. & Kawaoka, Y. Evolution and
ecology of influenza A viruses. *Microbiol Rev* **56**, 152-179 (1992).
4   Webster, R. G., Shortridge, K. F. & Kawaoka, Y. Influenza: interspecies transmission and
emergence of new pandemics. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* **18**, 275-279, doi:S0928-
8244(97)00058-8 [pii] (1997).
5   Taubenberger, J. K. & Morens, D. M. 1918 Influenza: the mother of all pandemics. *Emerg
Infect Dis* **12**, 15-22 (2006).
6   Viboud, C., Miller, M., Olson, D., Osterholm, M. & Simonsen, L. Preliminary Estimates of
Mortality and Years of Life Lost Associated with the 2009 A/H1N1 Pandemic in the US and
Comparison with Past Influenza Seasons. *PLoS Curr*, RRN1153, doi:k/-/-
/35hpbywfdwl4n/8 [pii] (2010).
7   Ma, E. J., Hill, N. J., Zabilansky, J., Yuan, K. & Runstadler, J. A. Reticulate evolution is
favored in influenza niche switching. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 5335-5339,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1522921113 (2016).
8   Kilbourne, E. D. Influenza pandemics of the 20th century. *Emerg Infect Dis* **12**, 9-14 (2006).
9   Smith, G. J. *et al.* Origins and evolutionary genomics of the 2009 swine-origin H1N1
influenza A epidemic. *Nature* **459**, 1122-1125, doi:10.1038/nature08182 (2009).
10   Nelson, M. I. & Vincent, A. L. Reverse zoonosis of influenza to swine: new perspectives on
the   human-animal   interface.   *Trends   Microbiol*   **23**,   142-153,
doi:10.1016/j.tim.2014.12.002 (2015).
11   Woods, G. T., Schnurrenberger, P. R., Martin, R. J. & Tompkins, W. A. Swine influenza virus
in swine and man in Illinois. *J Occup Med* **23**, 263-267 (1981).
12   Ma, W. *et al.* Identification of H2N3 influenza A viruses from swine in the United States.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **104**, 20949-20954, doi:10.1073/pnas.0710286104 (2007).
13   Ma, W., Kahn, R. E. & Richt, J. A. The pig as a mixing vessel for influenza viruses: Human
and veterinary implications. *J Mol Genet Med* **3**, 158-166 (2008).
14   Kahn, R. E., Ma, W. & Richt, J. A. Swine and influenza: a challenge to one health research.
Curr Top Microbiol Immunol **385**, 205-218, doi:10.1007/82_2014_392 (2014).
15   Rajao, D. S. *et al.* Reassortment between Swine H3N2 and 2009 Pandemic H1N1 in the
United States Resulted in Influenza A Viruses with Diverse Genetic Constellations with
Variable Virulence in Pigs. *J Virol* **91**, doi:10.1128/JVI.01763-16 (2017).
16   Nelson, M. I. *et al.* Genomic reassortment of influenza A virus in North American swine,
1998-2011. *J Gen Virol* **93**, 2584-2589, doi:10.1099/vir.0.045930-0 (2012).

17 Lam, T. T. *et al.* Reassortment events among swine influenza A viruses in China:
implications for the origin of the 2009 influenza pandemic. *J Virol* **85**, 10279-10285,
doi:10.1128/JVI.05262-11 (2011).
18 Zhou, N. N. *et al.* Genetic reassortment of avian, swine, and human influenza A viruses in
American pigs. *J Virol* **73**, 8851-8856, doi:10.1128/JVI.73.10.8851-8856.1999 (1999).
19 Ma, J. *et al.* Virus survival and fitness when multiple genotypes and subtypes of influenza
A viruses exist and circulate in swine. *Virology* **532**, 30-38, doi:10.1016/j.virol.2019.03.016
(2019).
20 Ganti, K. *et al.* Avian Influenza A Viruses Reassort and Diversify Differently in Mallards and
Mammals. *Viruses* **13**, doi:10.3390/v13030509 (2021).
21 Tao, H., Li, L., White, M. C., Steel, J. & Lowen, A. C. Influenza A Virus Coinfection through
Transmission Can Support High Levels of Reassortment. *J Virol* **89**, 8453-8461,
doi:10.1128/JVI.01162-15 (2015).
22 Tao, H., Steel, J. & Lowen, A. C. Intrahost dynamics of influenza virus reassortment. *J Virol*
88, 7485-7492, doi:10.1128/JVI.00715-14 (2014).
23 Richard, M., Herfst, S., Tao, H., Jacobs, N. T. & Lowen, A. C. Influenza A Virus Reassortment
Is Limited by Anatomical Compartmentalization following Coinfection via Distinct Routes.
J Virol **92**, doi:10.1128/JVI.02063-17 (2018).
24 Phipps, K. L. *et al.* Collective interactions augment influenza A virus replication in a host-
dependent manner. *Nat Microbiol* **5**, 1158-1169, doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0749-2 (2020).
25 Belser, J. A., Katz, J. M. & Tumpey, T. M. The ferret as a model organism to study influenza
A virus infection. *Dis Model Mech* **4**, 575-579, doi:10.1242/dmm.007823 (2011).
26 Danzy, S., Lowen, A. C. & Steel, J. A quantitative approach to assess influenza A virus
fitness and transmission in guinea pigs. *J Virol*, doi:10.1128/JVI.02320-20 (2021).
27 Lowen, A. C., Bouvier, N. M. & Steel, J. Transmission in the guinea pig model. *Curr Top*
Microbiol Immunol **385**, 157-183, doi:10.1007/82_2014_390 (2014).
28 Phipps, K. L. *et al.* Seasonal H3N2 and 2009 Pandemic H1N1 Influenza A Viruses Reassort
Efficiently but Produce Attenuated Progeny. *J Virol* **91**, doi:10.1128/JVI.00830-17 (2017).
29 Campbell, P. J. *et al.* The M segment of the 2009 pandemic influenza virus confers
increased neuraminidase activity, filamentous morphology, and efficient contact
transmissibility to A/Puerto Rico/8/1934-based reassortant viruses. *J Virol* **88**, 3802-3814,
doi:10.1128/JVI.03607-13 (2014).
30 Jasinska, W. *et al.* Chromosomal barcoding of E. coli populations reveals lineage diversity
dynamics at high resolution. *Nat Ecol Evol* **4**, 437-452, doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1103-z
(2020).
31 Matrosovich, M. N., Matrosovich, T. Y., Gray, T., Roberts, N. A. & Klenk, H. D. Human and
avian influenza viruses target different cell types in cultures of human airway epithelium.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **101**, 4620-4624, doi:10.1073/pnas.0308001101 (2004).
32 van Riel, D. *et al.* Novel avian-origin influenza A (H7N9) virus attaches to epithelium in
both upper and lower respiratory tract of humans. *Am J Pathol* **183**, 1137-1143,
doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2013.06.011 (2013).
33 Mifsud, E. J., Kuba, M. & Barr, I. G. Innate Immune Responses to Influenza Virus Infections
in the Upper Respiratory Tract. *Viruses* **13**, doi:10.3390/v13102090 (2021).

34 Brooke, C. B., Ince, W. L., Wei, J., Bennink, J. R. & Yewdell, J. W. Influenza A virus
nucleoprotein selectively decreases neuraminidase gene-segment packaging while
enhancing viral fitness and transmissibility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, 16854-16859,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1415396111 (2014).
35 Brooke, C. B. *et al.* Most influenza a virions fail to express at least one essential viral
protein. *J Virol* **87**, 3155-3162, doi:10.1128/JVI.02284-12 (2013).
36 Jacobs, N. T. *et al.* Incomplete influenza A virus genomes occur frequently but are readily
complemented during localized viral spread. *Nat Commun* **10**, 3526, doi:10.1038/s41467-
019-11428-x (2019).
37 Ganti, K., Han, J., Manicassamy, B. & Lowen, A. C. Rab11a mediates cell-cell spread and
reassortment of influenza A virus genomes via tunneling nanotubes. *PLoS Pathog* **17**,
e1009321, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1009321 (2021).
38 Sobel Leonard, A. *et al.* The effective rate of influenza reassortment is limited during
human infection. *PLoS Pathog* **13**, e1006203, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006203 (2017).
39 Gallagher, M. E., Brooke, C. B., Ke, R. & Koelle, K. Causes and Consequences of Spatial
Within-Host Viral Spread. *Viruses* **10**, doi:10.3390/v10110627 (2018).
40 Lakdawala, S. S. *et al.* The soft palate is an important site of adaptation for transmissible
influenza viruses. *Nature* **526**, 122-125, doi:10.1038/nature15379 (2015).
41 Xie, C. *et al.* A(H1N1)pdm09 Influenza Viruses Replicating in Ferret Upper or Lower
Respiratory Tract Differed in Onward Transmission Potential by Air. *J Infect Dis* **225**, 65-
74, doi:10.1093/infdis/jiab286 (2022).
42 Zaraket, H. *et al.* Mammalian adaptation of influenza A(H7N9) virus is limited by a narrow
genetic bottleneck. *Nat Commun* **6**, 6553, doi:10.1038/ncomms7553 (2015).
43 Zwart, M. P. & Elena, S. F. Matters of Size: Genetic Bottlenecks in Virus Infection and Their
Potential Impact on Evolution. *Annu Rev Virol* **2**, 161-179, doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-
100114-055135 (2015).
44 Vijaykrishna, D. *et al.* Reassortment of pandemic H1N1/2009 influenza A virus in swine.
Science **328**, 1529, doi:10.1126/science.1189132 (2010).
45 Mine, J., Uchida, Y., Takemae, N. & Saito, T. Genetic Characterization of Influenza A
Viruses in Japanese Swine in 2015 to 2019. *J Virol* **94**, doi:10.1128/JVI.02169-19 (2020).
46 Gao, S. *et al.* The genomic evolution of H1 influenza A viruses from swine detected in the
United States between 2009 and 2016. *J Gen Virol* **98**, 2001-2010,
doi:10.1099/jgv.0.000885 (2017).
47 White, M. C. & Lowen, A. C. Implications of segment mismatch for influenza A virus
evolution. *J Gen Virol* **99**, 3-16, doi:10.1099/jgv.0.000989 (2018).
48 Marshall, N., Priyamvada, L., Ende, Z., Steel, J. & Lowen, A. C. Influenza virus reassortment
occurs with high frequency in the absence of segment mismatch. *PLoS Pathog* **9**,
e1003421, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003421 (2013).
49 Fodor, E. *et al.* Rescue of influenza A virus from recombinant DNA. *J Virol* **73**, 9679-9682,
doi:10.1128/JVI.73.11.9679-9682.1999 (1999).
50 Hoffmann, E., Neumann, G., Hobom, G., Webster, R. G. & Kawaoka, Y. "Ambisense"
approach for the generation of influenza A virus: vRNA and mRNA synthesis from one
template. *Virology* **267**, 310-317, doi:10.1006/viro.1999.0140 (2000).

51   Chutinimitkul, S. *et al.* Virulence-associated substitution D222G in the hemagglutinin of
2009 pandemic influenza A(H1N1) virus affects receptor binding. *J Virol* **84**, 11802-11813,
doi:10.1128/JVI.01136-10 (2010).

52   Richt, J. A. *et al.* Pathogenic and antigenic properties of phylogenetically distinct
reassortant H3N2 swine influenza viruses cocirculating in the United States. *J Clin*
Microbiol **41**, 3198-3205, doi:10.1128/JCM.41.7.3198-3205.2003 (2003).

53   Wittwer, C. T., Reed, G. H., Gundry, C. N., Vandersteen, J. G. & Pryor, R. J. High-resolution
genotyping by amplicon melting analysis using LCGreen. *Clin Chem* **49**, 853-860,
doi:10.1373/49.6.853 (2003).

54   Van Rossum, G. & Drake, F. L. *Python 3 Reference Manual*. (CreateSpace, 2009).

55   Hunter, J. D. Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. *Computing in Science & Engineering*
9, 90-95, doi:doi: 10.1109/MCSE.2007.55 (2007).

56   Oliphant, T. E. *A guide to NumPy* Vol. 1 (Trelgol Publishing, 2006).

57   McKinney, W. Data structures for statistical computing in python. *Proceedings of the 9th*
Python in Science Conference **445**, 51-56 (2010).

58   Waskom, M. L. seaborn: statistical data visualization. *Journal of Open Source Software* **6**,
3021, doi:doi:10.21105/joss.03021 (2021).

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Viral genotypic diversity generated through reassortment in the mammalian nasal

tract. Results from guinea pigs are shown in panels A–D, ferrets in panels E–H and swine in panels

I-L. Stacked plots (A, E, I) show frequencies of unique genotypes detected in one representative

animal over time. Blue and orange represent the WT and VAR parental genotypes, respectively.

Frequency of both parental genotypes combined (B, F, J), richness (C, G, K) and Shannon-Weiner

diversity (D, H, L) are each plotted as a function of time. Guinea pigs and ferrets inoculated at

high dose (1×10^5 ID$_{50}$) and low dose (1×10^2 ID$_{50}$) are indicated with dashed and solid lines,

respectively. The distribution of parental genotype frequencies (M), richness (N) and diversity (O)

across all time points in each species is shown with violin plots. Differences in richness and

diversity between swine and guinea pigs and between swine and ferrets were significant ($p<0.01$,

ANOVA). Parental genotype frequencies differed significantly between swine and guinea pigs

($p=0.003$, ANOVA), and were not significant between swine and ferrets (ANOVA).

Figure 2: Viral diversity generated through reassortment is greater in the ferret nasal turbinates

than in the lungs. Pie charts show frequencies of unique genotypes detected in the nasal

turbinates (NT) and lung of ferrets inoculated with 1×10^2 FID$_{50}$ (A) or 1×10^5 FID$_{50}$ (B), with the

blue and orange sections representing WT and VAR parental genotypes, respectively. Richness

(C) and diversity (D) are plotted, with observed results (colored points) overlaid on the

distribution of simulated data (grey violins). Dashed lines denote the 95th and 5th percentiles. The

distribution of richness (E) and diversity (F) in NT and lungs of all individuals is shown with violin

plots. Differences between NT and lungs were significant by both measures (p<0.01, paired t-

test).

Figure 3: Reassortant viral populations in the ferret upper and lower respiratory tracts are

distinct. Heat map showing normalized beta diversity of viral populations in ferret lung and nasal

turbinates (NT) (A). The inset shows a representative comparison between the tissues of ferrets

F23 and F21, to indicate the position of NT and lung within the matrix. Normalized beta diversity

is plotted in (B) with observed results (colored points) overlaid on the distribution of simulated

data (gray violins). One star indicates that observed data is above the 95th percentile of the

distribution; two stars indicates that observed data is above the 99th percentile. (C)

Immunohistochemistry images of ferret NT and lung sections stained for WT (green) and VAR

(red) viruses at day 3 post-inoculation. Gray staining marks epithelial cell borders. Yellow coloring

in merged images indicates the presence of both WT and VAR HA antigens in the same cell.

Zoomed insets of both NT and lung sections are shown with white arrows indicating co-infected

cells and yellow arrows indicating singly infected cells.

Figure 4: Local diversity arising through reassortment is modest within the swine respiratory

tract. Pie charts showing frequencies of unique genotypes present within each lung lobe of a

given pig on day 3 (A-C) and the nasal tract and each lung lobe of a given pig on day 5 (D-F) with

blue and orange sections representing WT and VAR parental genotypes, respectively. The tissue

sites are abbreviated as NA-Nasal; LA-Left Apical; RA-Right Apical; LC-Left Cardiac; RC-Right

Cardiac; LD-Left Diaphragmatic; RD-Right Diaphragmatic; IN-Intermediate. Simulated richness (G)

and diversity (H) are plotted, with observed results (colored points) overlaid on the distribution

of simulated data (grey violins). Dashed lines denote the 95th and 5th percentiles.

Figure 5: Reassortant viral populations show extensive compartmentalization within the swine respiratory tract. Heat map showing normalized beta diversity of viral populations in swine lung and nasal tract (A). The inset shows a representative comparison between the tissues of pigs P3 and P6, to indicate the position of each tissue within the matrix. The tissue sites are abbreviated as NA-Nasal; LA- Left Apical; RA- Right Apical; LC-Left Cardiac; RC-Right Cardiac; LD-Left Diaphragmatic; RD-Right Diaphragmatic; IN-Intermediate. Normalized beta diversity between the left apical and left cardiac lobes is plotted (B) with observed results (colored points) overlaid on the distribution of simulated data (gray violins). One star indicates that observed data is above the 95th percentile of the distribution; two stars indicates that observed data is above the 99th percentile. (C) Representative immunohistochemistry images of swine left apical lung section stained for WT (green) and VAR (red) viruses at day 3 post-inoculation. Gray staining marks epithelial cell borders. Yellow coloring in merged images indicates the presence of both WT and VAR HA antigens in the same cell. Zoomed insets of the lung are shown with white arrows indicating co-infected cells and yellow arrows indicating singly infected cells.

766
767 **Supplementary Figure Legends**
768
769 **Supp Fig 1. Viral genotypic diversity generated through reassortment in the mammalian nasal tract.** Stacked plots showing frequencies of unique genotypes detected in guinea pigs (A), ferrets (B) or swine (C) over time. The sections in blue and orange represent the WT and VAR parental genotypes, respectively.

774 **Supp Fig 2. Reassortant viral populations show extensive compartmentalization within the swine respiratory tract.** Heat maps showing beta diversity of viral populations in the lung lobes and nasal tract of individual pigs. Pig ID number is indicated above each matrix. The tissue sites are abbreviated as NA-Nasal; LA- Left Apical; RA- Right Apical; LC-Left Cardiac; RC-Right Cardiac; LD-Left Diaphragmatic; RD-Right Diaphragmatic; IN-Intermediate.

Supp Fig 3. Reassortant viral populations show extensive compartmentalization within the swine respiratory tract. Normalized beta diversity between each lung lobe and nasal tract (A) and between lung lobes (B) are plotted with observed results (colored points) overlaid on the distribution of simulated data (gray violins). One star indicates that observed data is above the 95th percentile of the distribution; two stars indicates that observed data is above the 99th percentile.

Supp Fig 4. Coinfection is common in the swine respiratory tract. Immunohistochemistry images of lung tissue sections stained for WT (green) and VAR (red) viruses at day 3 post-inoculation. The lung lobe sampled is indicated at the left. Gray staining marks epithelial cell borders. Yellow coloring in merged images indicates the presence of both WT and VAR HA antigens in the same cell. Zoomed insets are shown with white arrows indicating co-infected cells and yellow arrows indicating singly infected cells.

Supp Fig 5. Validation of the antibodies used for immunohistochemistry. Immunofluorescence images of MDCK cells stained for WT (green) and VAR (red) viruses at 24 h post infection. Gray staining marks epithelial cell borders. Yellow coloring in merged images indicates the presence of both WT and VAR HA antigens in the same cell.

Supp Table 1. Genotype tables. Viral genotypes detected in guinea pigs, ferrets and swine are included. Each table corresponds to a biological sample and each row in each table corresponds to a single viral isolate. The columns show the eight viral gene segments. Blue color blocks indicate WT genes while red color blocks indicate VAR genes. White blocks indicate that an ambiguous result was obtained for that gene segment; genotypes with missing information were excluded from quantitative analyses.