Conserved basal lamina proteins, laminin and nidogen, are repurposed to organize mechanosensory complexes responsible for touch sensation.

Alakananda Das[1,†], Joy A. Franco[2,†], Ben Mulcahy[4], Lingxin Wang[1,†], Dail Chapman[1,†], Chandni Jaisinghani[1], Beth L. Pruitt[3], Mei Zhen[4], Miriam B. Goodman[1*]

[1] Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

[2] Department of Mechanical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

[3] Departments of Mechanical Engineering and Molecular, Cellular, & Developmental Biology, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

[4] Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1X5, Canada

*Correspondence: mbgoodmn@stanford.edu

†Current addresses

Alakananda Das, Division of Biology and Biological Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 91125

Joy A. Franco, Department of Neurobiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

Dail Chapman, Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057

Lingxin Wang, Novel Human Genetics Research Unit, GSK, Collegeville, PA 19426

1 **Summary**

The sense of touch is conferred by the conjoint function of somatosensory neurons and
skin cells. These cells meet across a gap filled by a basal lamina, an ancient structure found in
metazoans. Using *Caenorhabditis elegans*, we show that membrane-matrix complexes
containing laminin, nidogen, and the MEC-4 mechano-electrical transduction channel reside at
this interface and are instrumental for proper touch sensation. These complexes fail to coalesce
in touch-insensitive extracellular matrix mutants and in dissociated neurons. MEC-4, but not
laminin or nidogen, is destabilized by point mutations in the C-terminal Kunitz domain of the
extracellular matrix component, MEC-1. Thus, neuron-epithelial cell interfaces are instrumental
in mechanosensory complex assembly and function. Loss of nidogen reduces the density of
mechanoreceptor complexes and the amplitude of the touch-evoked currents they carry. These
findings imply that somatosensory neurons secrete proteins that actively repurpose the basal
lamina to generate special-purpose mechanosensory complexes responsible for vibrotactile
sensing.

17 **<u>Keywords</u>**

18 Basal lamina; neuron; mechanoelectrical transduction channels; force-gated ion channels;
19 mechanosensation; touch; somatosensation; extracellular matrix

Introduction

Touch is our most intimate sense. It is initiated by mechanical deformation of the skin generated by direct application of static force or mechanical vibration. Among other adaptive behaviors, vibrotactile sensation enables animal communication, mate-finding, and mating (Hill, 2008). Additionally, touch sensation is instrumental for the cognitive and emotional development of humans and other primates (Cascio et al., 2019). In most animals, vibrotactile sensing is made possible by somatosensory neurons that innervate and integrate into the animal's skin and these neurons all use mechanosensitive ion channels to convert physical deformation into electrical signals. This process of mechanotransduction is thought to occur via two, non-exclusive, biophysical mechanisms. Channels sensitive to membrane tension are thought to operate via a force-from-lipid mechanism in which sensory stimuli increase membrane tension. Other channels are proposed to operate via a force-from-filament mechanism in which physical deformation is conveyed to channels via extracellular or intracellular filaments (Goodman et al., 2023; Katta et al., 2015; Kung, 2005). Channels that use one or both mechanisms have been linked to somatosensation in vertebrates and invertebrates and osmotic sensing in microbes and plants (reviewed in Goodman et al., 2023).

Many vibrotactile sensory neurons are closely associated with epidermal cells or to specialized, epidermal-derived sensory cells, while some appear to weave paths among cells in the epidermis (Han et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2012; O'Brien et al., 2012; Talagas et al., 2020; Yin et al., 2021). This association seems to emerge over time. For instance, in newly hatched *Caenorhabditis elegans* larvae, the lateral touch receptor neurons run alongside body wall muscles and these neurons become engulfed by epidermal cells during development. In adult *C. elegans* nematodes, these sensory neurons are displaced laterally such that their long, unbranched sensory neurites are positioned near the lateral midline in adults (Chalfie, 1993; Chalfie and Sulston, 1981). Lining the epidermis is a basement membrane or basal lamina (BL), a ubiquitous and conserved extracellular matrix (ECM) that surrounds tissues in all metazoans (Aumailley, 2021). Whereas the instructive role that the BL microenvironment plays in patterning somatosensory neurons within the skin is well-characterized (Yin et al., 2021), its contribution to the molecular events responsible for touch sensation remains to be fully elucidated.

Basal laminae are thin (ca. 100 nm) continuous sheets that surround several mammalian

tissues (Jayadev and Sherwood, 2017; Laurie et al., 1982; Pozzi et al., 2017; Ruben and

Yurchenco, 1994; Stern, 1965; Susi et al., 1967; Vracko and Strandness, 1967; Vracko, 1972,

1974; Vracko and Benditt, 1970, 1972; Younes et al., 1965). These structures are evolutionarily

ancient and contain a core set of proteins conserved in all metazoans: laminin, collagen type IV,

nidogen, and heparan sulfate proteoglycans or HSPS (Hynes, 2012). Laminin is a heterotrimeric

protein composed of laminin-α, laminin-β, and laminin-γ subunits that typically self-assemble

into continuous sheets anchored to the cell surface by a triple helical coiled-coil stalk and

globular domains that bind membrane protein receptors (Cheng et al., 1997; Yurchenco and

O'Rear, 1994a, 1994b; Yurchenco and Schittny, 1990; Yurchenco et al., 1986, 2002). Nidogen

cross-links laminin, collagen, and the heparan sulfate proteoglycan, perlecan, in BL and other

ECMs (Aumailley et al., 1993). Humans and other mammals have at least 16 distinct laminin

heterotrimers (Aumailley, 2013). Conservation among vertebrate laminin isoforms and antibody

cross-reactivity complicates efforts to determine which isoforms are present in specific tissues,

including the skin and peripheral nervous system (Domogatskaya et al., 2012). *C. elegans*, by

contrast, has only two laminin isoforms with distinct tissue localization and functions in

organogenesis (Huang et al., 2003). They contain one of two laminin-α proteins (EPI-1or LAM-

3), a single laminin-β (LAM-1) protein, and a single laminin-γ (LAM-2). Although the laminins

associate with the nerve ring and ventral nerve cord as well as BL surrounding other tissues,

genes encoding laminins are expressed primarily outside the nervous system (Huang et al.,

2003). *C. elegans* also expresses conserved laminin-binding proteins such as nidogen (NID-1),

perlecan (UNC-52), syndecan (SDN-1), dystroglycan (DGN-1), and fibulin (FBL-1) (Keeley et

al., 2020). These BL proteins also contribute to the organization of presynaptic structures across

animal taxa [reviewed in (Sanes and Lichtman, 1999)], but their role in somatosensory function

is not understood.

Genetic approaches in *C. elegans* have identified more than a dozen proteins and six

touch receptor neurons (TRNs) specifically required for touch sensation (Chalfie and Au, 1989;

Chalfie and Sulston, 1981). Touch evokes similar calcium transients in all six TRNs

(Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010; Nekimken et al., 2020; Suzuki et al., 2003), indicating that they all

function as mechanosensory neurons. Proteins required for touch sensation include MEC-4,

which is an essential pore-forming subunit of the mechano-electrical transduction (MeT) channel

(O'Hagan et al., 2005), two tubulins, MEC-7 and MEC-12, and three ECM proteins, MEC-5,

MEC-1, and MEC-9 (Du et al., 1996; Emtage et al., 2004). The MEC-4 channels are organized

in a characteristic speckled or punctate distribution along the TRN neurites (Árnadóttir et al.,

2011; Chelur et al., 2002; Chen and Chalfie, 2015; Cueva et al., 2007; Emtage et al., 2004; Katta

et al., 2019; Petzold et al., 2013; Vásquez et al., 2014). The tubulins are required to form a

distinctive bundle of large-diameter microtubules and for proper behavioral responses to touch

(Savage et al., 1989) and to maintain proper expression of MEC-4 and several other *mec-3-*

dependent genes (Bounoutas et al., 2011). Although loss of MEC-7 or MEC-12 reduces the size

of touch-evoked currents, neither tubulin is required to activate MeT channels *in vivo* (Bounoutas

et al., 2011; O'Hagan et al., 2005). Loss of MEC-5, MEC-1, or MEC-9 disrupts the appearance

of MEC-4::YFP puncta (Emtage et al., 2004). MeT channel spacing is thought to regulate the

amplitude of touch-evoked currents carried by MEC-4-dependent channels, as stronger stimuli

recruit channels further away from the point of stimulus application (Katta et al., 2019). Many

other proteins affect mechanosensation either directly by contributing to force transfer from the

skin to the channels, or indirectly by modulating morphology, protein trafficking, and channel

positioning.

Since behavioral screening methods for assessing touch sensitivity in worms require

healthy, mobile animals (usually adults), mutations that are lethal or cause severe morphological

defects cannot be reliably tested for defects in touch sensation. Basal lamina genes and much of

the matrisome (Hynes and Naba, 2012) fall into this category. Behavioral screening methods

overlook mutations that have significant effects on the structure and organization of the ECM

and MeT channels and modest effects on touch response behavior. Here, we leverage new

molecular tools for visualizing the well-characterized MEC-4 channel (Katta et al., 2019) and BL

proteins (Keeley et al., 2020) to investigate the molecular basis of channel positioning in

vibrotactile sensory neurons. Our approach combines genetic dissection with static and dynamic

imaging in living animals and cultured sensory neurons, fluorescence recovery after

photobleaching (FRAP), and *in vivo* electrophysiology. We show that the MEC-4 channel

organizes into discrete stationary and stable clusters that co-localize with similarly stable and

discrete BL puncta containing laminin and nidogen. Loss of nidogen reduces laminin and MEC-4

puncta density as well as mechanoreceptor current amplitude, confirming that nidogen

contributes both the organization and function of channel-ECM complexes *in vivo*. Three ECM

genes previously linked to touch sensation, *mec-5*, *mec-1*, and *mec-9*, are required for the proper

organization of both the keystone MEC-4 ion channel (Du et al., 1996; Emtage et al., 2004), and

the nidogen and laminin-containing BL anchor (this study). The large MEC-1 protein, which is

expressed and secreted by the TRNs, is seen to play an additional role: its C-terminal Kunitz

domain being required to integrate MEC-4 channels into the membrane-ECM spanning

mechanosensory complex. We propose that somatosensory neurons actively repurpose conserved

BL proteins to form a mechanosensory complex that bridges the neuronal plasma membrane to

the extracellular matrix and is instrumental in converting touch-induced longitudinal strain into

electrical signals.

Results

The TRNs extend long (~0.5mm), thin (~200 nm diameter) sensory neurites that lie close

to the surface of the body ensuring optimal sensitivity to indentations and are embedded within

the epidermis (Figure 1A-B). A specialized extracellular matrix or 'mantle' (Chalfie and Sulston,

1981) fills the volume between the TRN plasma membranes and the basal membrane of the

epidermis (Figure 1B), and contains proteins secreted by both the TRN and the surrounding

epidermal cell. Based on overexpression of transgenes expressing fluorescent protein fusions or

antibody staining, prior work has identified the collagen, MEC-5, and two Kunitz domain

containing proteins, MEC-1 and MEC-9, as constituents of the ECM along TRNs (Cueva et al.,

2007; Du et al., 1996; Emtage et al., 2004). MEC-1::GFP and MEC-5::CFP generated both

periodic puncta and uniform fluorescence along the lateral TRNs and were proposed to co-

localize based on image superposition. Although Emtage, et al (Emtage et al., 2004) reported that

fluorescent protein-tagged MEC-1 and MEC-5 puncta co-localize with MEC-4 puncta , a later

study using antibodies against MEC-4 and MEC-5 showed that MEC-5 does not co-localize with

MEC-4 and has shorter inter-punctum intervals on average compared to MEC-4 (Cueva et al.,

2007).

Recent advances in genome editing using CRISPR/Cas9 enable engineering endogenous

genetic loci in *C. elegans* to encode fusions between the encoded protein and bright, stable

fluorescent proteins such as mNeonGreen (mNG) and wormScarlet (wSc) (Heppert et al., 2016;

Hostettler et al., 2017; El Mouridi et al., 2017). This approach minimizes disruption to genetic

regulatory elements compared to overexpression of transgenes and maximizes the ability to

determine the subcellular localization of the tagged proteins and to analyze their *in vivo*

dynamics compared to antibody staining. Building on a suite of transgenic *C. elegans* strains

expressing mNG fusions of the *C. elegans* matrisome (Keeley et al., 2020), we built a set of

dual-color transgenic strains that enabled us to visualize the BL structures at the interface

between the TRNs and the ensheathing epithelial cells and their alignment with MeT channels *in*

vivo and in dissociated, cultured neurons.

ECM proteins laminin and nidogen are present in a punctate distribution along TRNs

To better understand the extracellular matrix proteins affecting touch sensation and

influencing the distribution of MEC-4 channels along TRNs, we performed a visual screen to

identify ECM proteins that localize to TRN neurites. We analyzed 35 *C. elegans* strains

expressing fluorescent protein-labeled matrisome proteins under endogenous *cis* regulatory

control at their native locus (34 strains) or within a synthetic, single copy locus (1 strain), for

their localization along the TRNs. These transgenic alleles represent 27 conserved BL proteins

and their putative membrane receptors (Table S1). We focused this analysis on the ALM neurons

in adult worms for two main reasons: 1) no other neurons are nearby and 2) the ALM cell bodies

and axons are separated from the body wall muscles and gonad, two large tissues known to

express core basement membrane proteins. We found 11 proteins along the ALM neurites either

in a uniform or punctate distribution (Figure 1C-D). Nine appeared to be uniformly distributed

along ALM: SAX-7 L1CAM, LET-805 myotactin, MIG-6 papillin, PAT-2 α-integrin, SDN-1

syndecan, HIM-4 hemicentin, UNC-52 perlecan, FBL-1 fibulin, and EPI-1 laminin-α (Figure

1C). These observations identify three new constituents of the mechanosensory ECM (MIG-6

papillin, UNC-52 perlecan, and EPI-1 laminin-α) and reinforce prior work showing that

overexpressed fusions of GFP to HIM-4 hemicentin (Vogel and Hedgecock, 2001), FBL-1

fibulin (Muriel et al., 2005), SDN-1 syndecan (Rhiner et al., 2005), SAX-7 L1-CAM (Díaz-

Balzac et al., 2016) and endogenously-tagged LET-805 myotactin (Coakley et al., 2020)

associate with the TRNs. With the exception of mNG::FBL-1, the introduction of the fluorescent

protein encoding sequence at the endogenous locus did not significantly affect touch response

behavior (Table S2). Thus, many conserved ECM proteins decorate the TRNs, suggesting that

proper touch sensation depends on conserved molecular constituents of the ECM skin-neuron

interface.

Two ancient and core basal lamina proteins localized to puncta distributed along TRNs:

LAM-2 laminin-γ and NID-1 nidogen (Figure 1D). Given that nidogen is a laminin-binding

protein (Dziadek and Timpl, 1985), the present findings are consistent with the presence of

nidogen-like immunoreactivity along the ventral TRNs (Ackley et al., 2005). Notably, discrete

laminin and nidogen puncta were not associated with other tissues such as the pharynx and

gonad, where these proteins appear to uniformly coat tissues in continuous sheets (Clay and

Sherwood, 2015; Kramer, 2005), or the in the body wall muscles in which they appear as stripes

(Huang et al., 2003; Keeley et al., 2020). The TRNs have long been known to associate with a

diffuse, electron-dense ECM in transmission electron micrographs (TEM) in chemically-fixed

samples (Chalfie and Sulston, 1981). However, samples prepared by high-pressure freezing by

us and others reveal more fine structure in the ECM. Specifically, these images contain electron-

dense structures that appear as a dashed line close to the TRN plasma membrane and localize to

the apical side of the TRN close to the cuticle (Frédéric et al., 2013: Figure 5A right; Hsu et al.,

2014: Figure 3A; Richardson et al., 2014: figure 5J; Topalidou et al., 2012: figure 3A second

from left).

To learn more about these nanoscale structures, we reconstructed them from serial-

section new and published (Krieg et al., 2017) TEM data sets and found that these features

consisted of arrays of beam-like structures running for nearly 1 μm parallel to the TRN plasma

membrane (Figure 1E, left). On average, individual beams are approximately 20 nm wide, 8 nm

thick, and separated by 8 nm and are organized in lateral arrays of 10-15 beams. They are closer

to the TRN plasma membrane than they are to the epidermal cell's basal membrane. To

determine whether these structures run continuously along the length of the TRN neurite, we

analyzed long serial-section datasets and found that beams were continuous in several successive

sections (red segments in Figure 1E right, Figure S1A-D, Movie S1) and then disappeared

(yellow segments in Figure 1E right, Figure S1A-D, Movie S1) before reappearing again. We

have observed this in datasets covering at least 10 μm along the length of the three anterior

TRNs from a wild-type larva (L3) and at least 8 μm stretches in the posterior TRNs from another

adult wild-type animal. Notably, the ECM beams appear next to the ventral TRN, AVM, but not

other adjacent neurons engulfed within the same epidermal lumen (Figure S1E). Thus, the ECM

along TRNs is non-uniform along its longitudinal axis with discontinuous segments of electron

dense structures and is reminiscent of the arrangement of MEC-4 channels in puncta along the

ALM.

208 Laminin, Nidogen, and MEC-4 co-localize to puncta that decorate TRNs

Laminin is an obligate heterotrimeric protein composed of laminin-αβγ subunits, which

associate through the long coiled coil domains in each subunit. Since the laminin-αβγ subunits

assemble in a 1:1:1 stoichiometry, and LAM-2 laminin-γ was detected in a punctate distribution

along TRNs, we expected LAM-1 laminin-β as well as one or both laminin-α subunits, LAM-3

and EPI-1, to also localize in a similar pattern along TRNs. Homozygous LAM-1::wSc animals

were sterile and showed basal lamina defects, but heterozygous animals with one tagged and one

untagged copy of *lam-1* were healthy and showed punctate distribution of LAM-1::wSc along

the TRNs (Figure 2A). While we detected faint EPI-1::mNG fluorescence uniformly along the

ALM (Figure 1D), we did not detect any LAM-3::mNG fluorescence along the ALM. Another

recent study using the same endogenously tagged LAM-3::mNG expressing strain did not detect

a signal at the nerve ring (Keeley et al., 2020), although a previous study using an antibody

against LAM-3 detected a strong signal at the nerve ring (Huang et al., 2003). Thus, the apparent

lack of LAM-3::mNG signal along the TRNs could be due to low expression of the protein or an

artifact of the position of the tag on the protein.

The appearance of laminin-β, laminin-γ and nidogen along the TRNs shown here

(Figures 1C, 2A) is similar to that reported previously for MEC-4 (Árnadóttir et al., 2011; Chelur

et al., 2002; Chen and Chalfie, 2015; Cueva et al., 2007; Emtage et al., 2004; Katta et al., 2019;

Petzold et al., 2013; Vásquez et al., 2014). To quantify the degree of similarity, we measured

inter-punctum intervals (IPIs) for ALM-associated laminin and nidogen puncta and compared

their distributions to those found for mNG::MEC-4, expressed from the single-copy *pgSi116*

transgene (Katta et al., 2019). The distributions of all four proteins were comparable (Figure 2A-

B), even though MEC-4 has slightly shorter IPIs on average and the distribution of IPIs of

LAM-1, LAM-2, and NID-1 were more similar to one another than to mNG::MEC-4. All four

proteins showed smaller IPIs closer to the cell body and larger IPIs further away (Figure 2B).

Additionally, the puncta not significantly different in size — median length (μm): LAM-

1::wSc=1.29, LAM-2::mNG=1.2, NID-1::wSc=1.10 and mNG::MEC-4 1.11 (Figure S2A).

Notably, these dimensions mirror the average length of the ECM beam arrays observed in

ssTEM datasets (Figure 1E, Figure S1), suggesting that the protein puncta observed in living

animals could be related to electron-dense structures seen in fixed samples.

Given their disparate positions within the skin-nerve complex, the MEC-4 ion channel

might be expected to have distinct dynamics from the extracellular BL proteins nidogen and

laminin. We tested this idea using time-lapse imaging and fluorescence recovery after

photobleaching (FRAP) to determine the mobility and stability of the channel and ECM puncta.

In time-lapse imaging sessions, represented as kymographs in Figure 2C, we observed that

MEC-4, LAM-2 and NID-1 puncta are immobile over a time course of several minutes. During a

5-minute recovery period, photobleached MEC-4 puncta recovered only about 25% of initial

fluorescence levels and photobleached LAM-2 and NID-1 puncta did not recover any detectable

fluorescence (Figure 2D, Figure S2D). The limited mobility of laminin and nidogen observed in

mechanosensory complexes is specific to this environment, since laminin and nidogen in the

basal lamina around pharynx recover nearly 10 and 20% of their fluorescence, respectively, 5

minutes after photobleaching (Keeley et al., 2020). Additionally, the modest recovery of MEC-4

fluorescence after photobleaching is not a general property of proteins associated with the ALM

plasma membrane. SDN-1 syndecan, a transmembrane heparan sulfate proteoglycan that

uniformly coats the ALM (Figure 1D), recovered ~75% of its baseline fluorescence in the same

time frame (Figure 2D). These findings cannot be due to a general lack of fluidity or mobility in

the TRN plasma membrane, since myristoylated-GFP expressed in the TRNs recovers more than

half of its fluorescence within only 1 minute after bleaching (Figure S2B,C,E). The greater

apparent mobility of MEC-4 relative to LAM-2 and NID-1 could reflect exchange of channel

proteins between punctate and diffuse pools (see below). Collectively, these findings show that

MEC-4, LAM-2, and NID-1 molecules form stable, largely immobile, micron-scale structures

along TRN neurites *in vivo* and the unexpected similarity in their dynamics provides additional

support for the idea that these proteins function together.

Based on the similar distribution and dynamics of laminin, nidogen, and MEC-4 in the

ALMs (Figure 2A-D), we hypothesized that they belong to the same discrete puncta. To test this

idea directly, we developed dual-color transgenic animals expressing wSc- and mNG-tagged

protein pairs (Figure 2E). We quantified co-localization of protein pairs using two methods:

intensity correlation quotient or ICQ (Figure 2F) and object co-localization (Figure S2F, S2G).

The ICQ represents co-variation in fluorescence intensity as a single value between -0.5 and 0.5

(Li et al., 2004), denoting perfect anticorrelation and correlation, respectively. We validated both

co-localization metrics by comparing the results for real and simulated image pairs, which we

generated by randomly selecting red and green channels from different cells within each dataset.

As expected, ICQ values (Figure 2F, boxplots) and the fraction of co-located red and green

puncta (Figure S2F-G, boxplots) were close to zero for the simulated pairs. Thus, two lines of

evidence indicate that laminin and nidogen puncta strongly co-localize with each other and with

MEC-4 puncta (Figures 2E-F, S2F-G).

To place these metrics in context, we also analyzed strains with red and green

fluorophores either on the same protein (NID-1::wSc/NID-1::mNG) or on two proteins known to

be a part of an obligate heterotrimer (LAM-1::wSc/LAM-2::mNG). The slightly lower ICQ for

LAM-1/LAM-2 pair reflects the high background laminin fluorescence from surrounding tissues.

Importantly, ICQ values for NID-1/MEC-4 were similar to those measured for the NID-1/NID-1

control (Figure 2F, Table S2). The ICQ for NID-1/LAM-2 and LAM-1/MEC-4 pairs were

similar to each other and to the LAM-1/LAM-2 control (Figure 2F, Table S2). To determine

whether the small size of TRN neurites (200-300 nm in diameter) might yield spurious intensity

correlation, we also determined ICQ values for a pair of proteins that differ in their distribution

with the ALM neurites. For this purpose, we chose PAT-2 α-integrin and MEC-4, because they

are both membrane proteins expressed by the TRNs (Chen and Chalfie, 2014; Driscoll and

Chalfie, 1991; Taylor et al., 2021) and because PAT-2 appears uniformly distributed along the

ALM while MEC-4 is punctate (Figure 1C, Figure 2E). As expected for proteins that do not

colocalize to common structures but are present in the same diffraction-limited volume, the PAT-

2/MEC-4 pair yields ICQ values close to zero. Unlike other protein pairs, this value was not

significantly different from the ICQ calculated for simulated pairs of images.

In summary, we observed that the ECM proteins laminin and nidogen assume a punctate

distribution similar to MEC-4 MeT channels along TRNs. The positions of these puncta are

stable over several minutes and the molecules comprising the puncta are much less mobile than

other proteins in the same environment (SDN-1::mNG, myr::GFP) or the same proteins in other

environments [NID-1 and LAM-2 in pharynx (Keeley et al., 2020)]. These findings imply that

BL molecules are anchored to immobile structures associated with the TRNs. Additionally, we

observed that the positions of nidogen, laminin and MEC-4 channel puncta strongly correlate

with each other and that the fluorescence associated with each pair of proteins is strongly

correlated. Based on the evidence of similar punctate distributions, mobility, and fluorescence

colocalization and intensity correlation, we propose that the matrix (laminin and nidogen) and

membrane (MEC-4) components function together as mechanosensory complexes.

302   MEC-4 released from mechanosensory complexes redistributes into a diffuse membrane
303   pool

Prior evidence that loss of *mec-5*, *mec-1*, or *mec-9* function disrupts MEC-4 puncta

(Emtage et al., 2004) suggested to us that laminin and nidogen puncta might also depend on *mec-*

5, *mec-1,* and *mec-9*. To test this idea, we analyzed NID-1::wSc in *mec-5(u444)*, *mec-1(e1738)*

and *mec-9(u437)* null mutants. NID-1::wSc puncta were not detected along any of the mutant

ALM neurons, which were visualized by expression of a cytoplasmic GFP marker (Figure 3A).

No defects in NID-1::wSc fluorescence were observed in the BL around the pharynx (Figure

3B), gonad and body wall muscle (Figure S3A), however. Similar results were obtained using

LAM-2::mNG (Figure S3A,B). Thus, in *mec-1*, *mec-5* and *mec-9* mutants, laminin and nidogen

are lost specifically along the TRNs while remaining intact in the other basement membranes.

Consistent with previous reports (Emtage et al, 2004), we observed that mNG::MEC-4

puncta were markedly reduced in *mec-5(u444)*, *mec-1(e1738)* and *mec-9(u437)* mutant animals

in vivo. We next asked if physically separating TRNs from the native ECM would phenocopy

this effect. To achieve this goal, we dissociated cells from mNG::MEC-4 transgenic embryos and

cultured them on coverslips that we engineered to be covered in thin strips of adhesive proteins

(STAR*Methods). This approach constrained TRNs to extend straight neurites reminiscent of

their *in vivo* morphology. Even though dissociated embryonic TRNs are known to express *mec-1* and *mec-9* (Zhang et al., 2002), mNG::MEC-4 puncta were reduced *in vitro* (Figure 3C,D). The few bright punctate structures seen in mutants *in vivo* and in TRNs *in vitro* often co-localized with the vesicle marker mCherry::RAB-3 (Figure 3C,D). In contrast to stationary MEC-4 puncta in control animals, mobile MEC-4 puncta often exhibited bidirectional movement with frequent pauses and reversals, reminiscent of vesicles transporting cargo (Figure S3C-E) and were distinct from stationary MEC-4 aligned with stationary NID-1 puncta *in vivo* (Figure S3E). This suggests that punctate MEC-4 structures seen in *mec-5*, *mec-1*, *mec-9* animals *in vivo* and in cultured TRNs *in vitro* represent MEC-4 in vesicles. The average number of RAB-3 labeled puncta and the average number of MEC-4 puncta that co-localize with RAB-3 puncta does not vary significantly between control and ECM mutant genotypes *in vivo* (Figure S3F). In TRNs cultured *in vitro*, the occurrence of punctate MEC-4 and RAB-3 varied among cells, but most MEC-4 puncta co-localized with RAB-3 puncta. The vesicular MEC-4 comprises a relatively minor fraction of the total MEC-4 in ALM neurites on average (less than 10%), and this fraction also does not change appreciably between control and ECM mutants *in vivo* (Figure 3D). For simplicity, we discount the contribution of vesicular MEC-4 fluorescence in future measurements.

ECM mutants exhibit a significant increase in the fraction of mNG::MEC-4 that appears uniformly distributed along the TRN (Figure 3D). To understand whether these diffuse MEC-4 molecules are more mobile than those in discrete puncta, we performed FRAP studies of diffuse mNG::MEC-4 in mutants and compared these results to FRAP studies of discrete puncta in control animals (Figure 3E-F). This analysis focused on the *mec-1* and *mec-9* genes because they are co-expressed in the TRNs (Du et al., 1996; Emtage et al., 2004). The *mec-5* gene is not expressed in the TRNs (Du et al., 1996). As is evident from Figure 3F, FRAP profiles were more variable in *mec-1* and *mec-9* mutants than in control animals. The mutant dataset includes profiles in which the fractional recovery approached >50% after 5 minutes as well as those in which the fractional recovery was less than 20% in the same time frame. On average, both mutants had an increased fractional fluorescence recovery (*mec-1(e1738)*: 0.39±0.23 and *mec-9(u437)*: 0.34±0.17 (mean±sd)) relative to control (0.25±0.13, mean±sd). Although the difference is modest, it was statistically significant (One-way ANOVA). Post-hoc testing (Tukey HSD) revealed a statistically significant increase in the fractional fluorescence recovery at 300 s

between in *mec-1(e1738)* mutants relative to control, but not between *mec-9(u437)* mutants vs.

controls (Figure S3G). Notably, the MEC-4 molecules present in *mec-1* and *mec-9* mutants are

much less mobile than other membrane proteins such as SDN-1 (Figure 2D), a finding which

implies that other factors act in parallel to limit MEC-4 mobility. Taken together, these findings

imply that the neuronal factors, *mec-1* and *mec-9*, are required to properly structure the

mechanosensory BL and that, in their absence, MEC-4 is redirected into a diffuse membrane

pool. This analysis also provides new insight into the role RAB-3 positive vesicles might play in

the subcellular distribution of mechanosensory channels in sensory neurons *in vitro* and *in vitro*.

Neither MeT channels nor TRN microtubules are required to assemble the matrix

components of the mechanosensory complexes

Having established that MEC-4, laminin, and nidogen co-localize to stable, stationary

complexes in the ALM neurons, we next used genetic dissection to learn more about how these

complexes are assembled. We first measured laminin and nidogen puncta in *mec-4* null mutants.

LAM-2 and NID-1 puncta were unaffected by loss of *mec-4* (Figure 4A-C, Table S2), indicating

that although MEC-4 is an essential pore-forming subunit of the MeT channel in the TRNs

(O'Hagan et al., 2005), it is not needed to form ECM puncta along TRN neurons. Since MEC-4

is proposed to form heterotrimers with MEC-10, DEGT-1, or both channel proteins (Árnadóttir

et al., 2011; Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010; O'Hagan et al., 2005), we asked if these other

DEG/ENaC/ASIC channel subunits were required for ECM puncta formation. As found for

mec-4 single mutants, laminin puncta were unaffected in *degt-1;mec-4mec-10* triple mutants

(Figure S4A-C). This finding, along with previous studies reporting that the distribution of ECM

proteins MEC-1 and MEC-5 along the TRN are also not affected by loss of MEC-4 (Emtage et

al., 2004), suggests that MeT channels are not sufficient by themselves to nucleate

mechanosensory complexes in TRNs.

We also found that NID-1::wSc puncta distribution along the ALM neurite was

minimally affected in animals carrying a null allele of the TRN-specific β-tubulin *mec-7* gene. In

the *mec-7(ok2152)* mutant background, both NID-1 and MEC-4 puncta were clearly visible in

the proximal neurite (Figure 4D). Consistent with data reported earlier using *mec-7(u440)* allele

(Emtage et al., 2004), MEC-4 puncta were dimmer and harder to identify in *mec-7(ok2152)*

mutants, especially in the distal ALM. Although fewer MEC-4 molecules were present in the

mec-7(ok2152) animals, the distribution between the punctate and diffuse pool was similar to

that seen in control animals (Figure S4D). In contrast, NID-1 puncta were clearly visible along

the entire ALM (Figure 4D). These effects are likely to account for the lower ICQ values for

NID-1 and MEC-4 (Figure S4E) observed in this mutant. At the same time, the MEC-4 and

NID-1 puncta that remained co-localized one another (Figure S4F-G). Thus, loss of MEC-7

β-tubulin reduces MEC-4 expression, as reported (Bounoutas et al., 2011), but does not appear to

prevent formation of mechanosensory complexes.

Mechanosensory complex formation depends on nidogen and laminin

Next, we sought to determine whether laminin and nidogen were essential to form

mechanosensory complexes and to position MEC-4 channels. We could not analyze

mechanosensory puncta in laminin null mutant adults because loss of any of the four laminin

genes, *epi-1, lam-3, lam-1,* and *lam-2,* causes lethality in *C. elegans* embryos or larvae (Kao et

al., 2006). We could, however, analyze the laminin-α *lam-3(ok2030)* mutant animals that do not

develop past L1 stage and small number of *epi-1(gm57)* mutants that survive to adulthood

(Forrester et al., 1998). Given that laminin-α is essential for laminin trimer assembly (Kao et al.,

2006; Yurchenco et al., 1997), investigating MEC-4 channel position in *epi-1* and *lam-3* laminin-

α mutants provides insight on the role that laminin trimers play in organizing mechanosensory

puncta.

We analyzed and compared MEC-4 puncta in control, *epi-1(gm57)*, and *lam-3(ok2030)*

mutant L1 larvae. As in adults, MEC-4 localized to discrete puncta in control L1 larvae (Figure

5A). *epi-1(gm57)* L1 animals had MEC-4 puncta similar to control animals, with IPIs that are

only marginally higher on average from control (Figure 5A-C). In the few *epi-1(gm57)* mutant

animals that survive to adulthood, the ALM cell bodies and sensory neurites displayed ectopic

branching and multiple segments were seen adjacent to the body wall muscle, displaced from

their control position (Figure S5A). TRN neurite segments that were adjacent to the body wall

muscle in *epi-1(gm57)* mutants displayed only diffuse mNG::MEC-4 fluorescence. By contrast,

segments that retained their wild-type position retained discrete MEC-4 puncta with IPIs

indistinguishable from control animals (Figure S5B-C, Table S2). Thus, wild-type EPI-1

laminin-α is required to position the ALM neurons in the worm's body, but dispensable for

positioning mechanosensory complexes along properly attached ALM neurons. In

lam-3(ok2030) larvae, MEC-4 puncta were either undetectable or when visible (Figure 5A), they

were fewer in number and more widely separated. The increase in the reported mean and median

IPI values in *lam-3(ok2030)* larvae compared to control (Figure 5B-C, Table S2) underestimates

the effect of *lam-3* loss-of-function, since in 50% (17/34) of the *lam-3(ok2030)* L1 larva we

imaged mNG::MEC-4 signal in the ALM could not be visualized distinctly and were thus not

analyzed. Thus, while EPI-1 adheres to TRNs (Figure 1D) and is needed to maintain proper

ensheathment within epidermal cells, LAM-3 is needed for mechanosensory complex assembly.

The two *C. elegans* laminins proteins play distinct, but overlapping roles in mechanosensation,

as they do in embryonic development (Huang et al., 2003).

Like laminin, nidogen is a core BL protein that is conserved in all vertebrates and

invertebrates (Mayer et al., 1998). Unlike laminin, nidogen is not needed for BL assembly

(Ackley et al., 2003; Bader et al., 2005; Böse et al., 2006; Dai et al., 2018; Dong et al., 2002;

Kang and Kramer, 2000; Kim and Wadsworth, 2000; Murshed et al., 2000; Schymeinsky et al.,

2002; Wolfstetter et al., 2019) and nidogen null mutants develop into grossly normal adult

worms (Kang and Kramer, 2000). Both MEC-4 channel puncta and LAM-1 puncta were dimmer

and spaced further apart in *nid-1* mutants compared to control animals (Figure 5D-F). The

decrease in mechanosensory complex density was accompanied by an increase in the proportion

of MEC-4 protein present in the diffuse membrane pool (Figure S5D), resulting in a low LAM-

1/MEC-4 ICQ value in the *nid-1* mutant (Figure S5E). However, a majority of the remaining

MEC-4 and laminin puncta were still co-localized (Figure 5G, Figure S5F-G). Collectively, these

findings reinforce the idea that MEC-4 channels redistribute to a diffuse membrane pool

following perturbations of mechanosensory complexes and that BL play an instructive role in the

assembly or stability of sensory complexes.

Despite the significantly lower density of mechanosensory complexes along the TRNs,

nid-1 animals were not significantly less touch sensitive. In the standard touch response assay,

where the animals were stroked with an eyebrow hair, the *nid-1* animals scored only slightly

lower than control animals and significantly more than the *mec-4(u253)* and *mec-5(u444)*

animals (Figure 5H). Given that the force delivered during these assays far exceeds saturation

(Nekimken et al., 2017), this assay is not able to detect small changes in touch sensitivity or in

activation of MeT channels. Accordingly, we used *in vivo* whole-cell patch recording to directly

determine how loss of *nid-1* affects the response to body indentation. To optimize our ability to

detect a partial loss-of-function, we then used an ultrafast mechanical stimulator that evokes

currents that are approximately five-fold larger than those evoked by slower stimuli (Katta et al.,

2019). Using this approach, we found that mechanoreceptor currents or MRCs were qualitatively

similar in *nid-1* mutants and wild-type controls (Figure 5I), but that MRC amplitude was

decreased in *nid-1* mutants (Figure 5J). The effect of *nid-1* is specific to MRCs, since voltage-

gated currents were similar to wild-type controls in all respects (Figure S5H-I). Thus, we

conclude that the lower MRCs found in *nid-1* mutants arise from the decreased density of

sensory complexes. This finding is consistent with computational studies indicating that stimulus

intensity, speed, and channel density govern MRC amplitude (Katta et al., 2019).

Nidogen and laminin inclusion in mechanosensory complexes is independent of direct

interaction between nidogen and laminin

Wild-type, NID-1 protein contains three conserved globular domains, G1, G2 and G3

(Figure 6A). The N-terminal G1 and G2 domains are connected by a flexible linker, whereas the

G2 and G3 domains are connected by a more rigid rod-like domain consisting of a series of EGF

motifs (Fox et al., 1991; Patel et al., 2014). Multiple studies with recombinant mouse nidogen

fragments show that perlecan binds exclusively to the G2 domain, laminin-γ1 binds exclusively

to the G3 domain, collagen IV and fibulin-1C bind to the G2 and G3 domains, and fibulin-2

binds to all three globular domains (Fox et al., 1991; Kvansakul et al., 2001; Reinhardt et al.,

1993; Ries et al., 2001). To understand which of the globular domains are important for the

formation of mechanosensory complexes in worms, we first looked at the *nid-1(cg118)* allele,

which encodes a large in-frame deletion of G1, G2 and part of the first EGF motif of the rod

domain (Figure 6A). In *nid-1(cg118)* mutants, MEC-4 puncta distributions were similar to wild-

type (Figure 6C-E top, Table S2). Thus, neither the G1 nor the G2 domains of nidogen are

required to assemble or position mechanosensory complexes. The G1 and G2 domains of

nidogen are likewise dispensable for expression of NID-1 protein during embryonic development

and in BL associated with muscles, the somatic gonad, and neuronal tracts (Kang and Kramer,

2000).

Next, we examined the role of the nidogen G3 domain thought to bind to laminin-ɣ,

collagen IV and fibulin (Aumailley et al., 1993; Fox et al., 1991; Mann et al., 1988, 1989; Mayer

et al., 1998; Patel et al., 2014; Ries et al., 2001; Takagi et al., 2003). We used CRISPR mediated

genome editing to create a NID-1[ΔG3] mutant (*pg146)* deleting the amino acids 1294-1546.

Like *nid-1(cg119)* null mutants (Ackley et al., 2003; Kang and Kramer, 2000), the NID-1[ΔG3]

mutants developed into grossly wild-type adult animals. Also similar to *nid-1(cg119)*, MEC-4

puncta were dimmer and spaced further apart in NID-1[ΔG3] mutants (Figure 6C-E middle,

Table S2), with a corresponding increase in the fraction of MEC-4 in the diffuse pool (Figure

S6A), and a slightly reduced touch response behavior compared to control animals (Figure S6B).

Thus, the NID-1 G3 domain is required for MEC-4 puncta distribution. Given that the NID-

1[ΔG3] mutant phenocopies the *nid-1(cg119)* null allele with respect to MEC-4 puncta

distribution, we asked whether this is due to the inability of the truncated NID-1[ΔG3] protein to

incorporate into mechanosensory complexes by visualizing a wSc-tagged NID-1[ΔG3] isoform.

Consistent with the idea that the G3 domain is required to incorporate NID-1 into BL, NID-

1[ΔG3]::wSc fluorescence was severely reduced in the BL around the pharynx, body wall

muscle and gonad. However, NID-1[ΔG3]::wSc was visible in neuronal-associated BL,

including the nerve ring and in puncta along ALM neurons (Figure S6C-E). These findings

imply that although the nidogen G3 domain is required to incorporate nidogen into conventional

BL and is required for wild type mechanosensory puncta distribution, it is dispensable for

inclusion into neuronal BL and into the residual mechanosensory puncta along TRNs.

Since the nidogen G3 domain has been shown to bind laminin-ɣ, collagen IV and fibulin

(Aumailley et al., 1993; Fox et al., 1991; Mann et al., 1988, 1989; Mayer et al., 1998; Patel et al.,

2014; Reinhardt et al., 1993; Ries et al., 2001; Takagi et al., 2003), we then asked which of these

interactions are important for mechanosensory complex formation. Structural and biochemical

evidence mapped the nidogen binding site on mouse laminin-ɣ1 to three critical amino acids

(D800, N802, V804) on a unique loop in the 8th EGF motif (Pöschl et al., 1994; Pöschl et al.,

1996; Takagi et al., 2003) (Figure 6B). Each of these residues when individually mutated

reduced the binding affinity between nidogen and laminin by several orders of magnitude (Pöschl et al., 1994). In a proteome-wide search for a similar motif in *C. elegans*, we found that the 8th EGF motif on both laminin-γ LAM-2 and laminin-α LAM-3 contained this loop with partially conserved residues as shown in Figure 6A-B. We predicted that the EPI-1 containing laminin has only one binding site for nidogen (on LAM-2) while the LAM-3 containing laminin has two binding sites for nidogen (on both LAM-2 and LAM-3). We sought to disrupt the nidogen-laminin binding interface by engineering mutations in the putative nidogen binding residues in both LAM-2 (LAM-2[D842N, N844S, I846S]) and LAM-3 (LAM-3[D896N, M898S, I900S]). We refer to these triple amino acid substituted alleles as LAM-2[NSS] and LAM-3[NSS], respectively. We chose these amino acid substitutions because they had the largest fold-change in binding affinity between mammalian nidogen and laminin-γ1 fragments *in vitro* (Mayer et al., 1998; Pöschl et al., 1996).

Unlike *lam-2* and *lam-3* null mutants, LAM-2[NSS], LAM-3[NSS] as well as the LAM-2[NSS];LAM-3[NSS] double mutant animals were viable with no apparent defects in gross morphology or development. LAM-2[NSS]::mNG showed a similar distribution as WT LAM-2::mNG in all tissues (Figure S6C-E). As expected for loss of nidogen-laminin binding, NID-1::wSc fluorescence was absent in the BL lining the pharynx and body wall muscle in LAM-2[NSS];LAM-3[NSS] double mutants (Figure S6C-E). NID-1::wSc fluorescence was reduced but not completely lost around the same tissues in the LAM-2[NSS] single mutant (Figure S6C-E), indicating that the nidogen binding site on LAM-3 was sufficient for recruiting nidogen to BL with LAM-3 containing laminin. Conversely, NID-1::wSc fluorescence was similar to the wild-type control in the LAM-3[NSS] single mutant (Figure S6C-E), indicating that the nidogen binding site on LAM-2 which is present in both types of laminin is also sufficient for recruiting nidogen. Despite these dramatic effects in conventional basal lamina, LAM-2[NSS];LAM-3[NSS] double mutants appeared to retain NID-1 in the nerve ring (Figure S6D) and also retained a near wild-type puncta distribution of MEC-4, NID-1 and LAM-2 along the ALM (Figure 6C-E bottom, Table S2, Figure S6E). Collectively, these findings suggest that 1) nidogen redundantly binds to LAM-2 and LAM-3 using similar binding interfaces, 2) laminin-nidogen binding is not essential for the recruitment of either laminin, nidogen or MEC-4 into mechanosensory complexes, 3) the nidogen G3 domain likely interacts with another factor that regulates the spacing of the mechanosensory complexes along TRNs.

The other likely nidogen G3-binding factors are collagen IV or fibulin. Cross-linking of
laminin and collagen networks via nidogen have been shown to be important for the integrity of
many types of BL (Hamill et al., 2009). *C. elegans* expresses two canonical collagen IV proteins,
EMB-9 and LET-2, neither of which have been detected along TRNs in our screen (Table S1) or
in previous studies (Graham et al., 1997). The only known collagen-like protein known to be
present around TRNs is MEC-5, which is significantly smaller in length than the canonical
collagen IV proteins. It is well-known that loss of MEC-5 disrupts touch sensation (Du et al.,
1996; Gu et al., 1996) and we have shown in Figure 3 that mNG::MEC-4 and NID-1::wSc
puncta along the ALM are lost in the *mec-5* mutant animals. However, since the specific binding
sites between collagen IV and nidogen are unknown and we have not been able to fluorescently
label MEC-5 without affecting its function, we have not been able to test whether NID-1's
collagen IV binding activity is necessary for mechanosensory complex formation. *C. elegans* has
one fibulin encoding gene, *fbl-1*, and fibulin protein is present along the ALMs (Figure 1C, and
(Muriel et al., 2005)). The mNG::FBL-1 strain was touch insensitive (Table S2), but *fbl-1* loss of
function mutants retained an essentially wild-type distribution of MEC-4 puncta along the ALM
neuron (Table S2). Further experiments are necessary to fully understand the role of nidogen
binding to collagen and fibulin in mechanosensory complex formation and function.

MEC-1 connects the MEC-4 channel to ECM components of the sensory complex

The *mec-1* gene is predicted to encode a large protein containing fifteen Kunitz (Ku)
domains [Figure 7Aand (Emtage et al., 2004)] and similar motifs are found in peptide toxins that
bind to ion channels including ASIC channels (Mishra, 2020; Cristofori-Armstrong and Rash,
2017). This raises the possibility that these toxins mimic endogenous Ku domain proteins that
bind to the extracellular domains of DEG/ENaC/ASIC channels. Consistent with this idea,
MEC-1 Ku15 shares 33% sequence identity with the snake neurotoxin MitTx-α (Bohlen et al.,
2011) (Figure 7B). We investigated this idea *in vivo*, leveraging known and new missense
mutations affecting MEC-1 Ku15. The existing *mec-1(e1526)* and *mec-1(u811)* alleles lead to
the expression of full-length MEC-1[R1804C] and MEC-1[C1808Y], respectively. Unlike the
residue affected in *e1526* allele, the one affected in *u811* is conserved and predicted to form a
disulfide bond likely to be integral to folding Ku15 (Figure 7B). *mec-1(e1526)* and *mec-1(u811)*

animals are known to be touch insensitive (Emtage et al., 2004), but how these mutations affect

all elements of mechanosensory complexes is not known. Using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing,

we mutated C1808 of Ku15 tyrosine (encoded by the *pg164* allele), to recreate the *u811*

mutation. Using dual-color transgenic animals expressing NID-1::wSc and mNG::MEC-4, we

found that both *e1526* and *pg164* alleles retained nidogen puncta but very few mNG::MEC-4

puncta (Figure 7C-F). NID-1 puncta were distributed in a manner nearly indistinguishable from

control animals in MEC-1[R1804C] mutants (Figure 7C-E, top row), while NID-1 puncta were

denser in the MEC-1[C1808Y] animals (Figure 7C-E, bottom row, Figure 7F, Table S2).

Laminin puncta were also retained in the MEC-1[R1804C] mutant animals and co-localized with

nidogen (Figure S7). Collectively, these results demonstrate that an intact Ku15 is needed to link

MEC-4 to BL structures. Additional support for this conclusion comes from the findings that, in

these *mec-1* missense alleles, the bulk of mNG::MEC-4 fluorescence shifts to the diffuse pool

(Figure 7G, Table S2), ICQ values for MEC-4/NID-1 are reduced (Figure 7H, Table S2), and

animals are strongly touch-insensitive (Figure 7I). The findings from perturbations in Ku15 and

their distinct effects on MEC-4, laminin, and nidogen puncta, are consistent with the hypothesis

that MEC-1 physically binds to MEC-4 through Ku15.

Discussion

Across animal taxa (Yin et al., 2021), the endings of vibrotactile sensory neurons are

intimately associated with epidermal cells and basal laminae. Leveraging a suite of transgenic *C.*

elegans expressing fluorescent-protein fusions from the endogenous loci of BL-encoding genes

in the genome, we show that 12 conserved BL proteins are associated with TRNs in living

animals. Among these, only laminin-β (LAM-1), laminin-γ (LAM-2) and nidogen (NID-1),

display a punctate distribution along the TRNs but not in other tissues. Drawing upon still and

time-lapse imaging of BL proteins and the MEC-4 ion channel subunit tagged alone and in pairs,

FRAP studies, and genetic dissection, we provide evidence that laminin and nidogen co-localize

with the MEC-4 channels responsible for touch sensation. These mechanosensory complexes are

stationary and remarkably stable and we propose that they link the neuronal membrane to the

extracellular matrix. At just over 1 µm long (Figure S2A) and separated by ~4-5 µm (Table S2),

on average, their dimensions are on par with the size and spacing of arrays of beam-like

structures seen in ECM in serial-section TEM data stacks. These similarities prompt us to

speculate that mechanosensory complexes associate with these structures (Figure 7J) and that the

structures contribute to the immobility of the laminin, nidogen and MEC-4 puncta.

Consistent with this idea, MEC-4 redistributes to a less stable and more diffuse

membrane pool in *mec-1* and *mec-9* mutants lacking discernable organized BL structures (Figure

3). Given that these mutants are completely touch insensitive (Du et al., 1996; Emtage et al.,

2004), the MEC-4 proteins present in the diffuse membrane pool are not capable of supporting

mechanosensation. A similar situation is evident in cultured TRNs physically separated from

epidermal cells and their native BL structures. The few MEC-4 puncta that are present in these

conditions seem to represent MEC-4 molecules that are sequestered in RAB-3-positive vesicles

(Figure 3). Since cultured TRNs appear to maintain their cell-autonomous gene expression

profiles (Zhang et al., 2002), their voltage-activated currents (Christensen and Strange, 2001),

and their shape [this study and (Christensen and Strange, 2001; Christensen et al., 2002;

Lockhead et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2002), the failure to form mechanosensory puncta most

likely arises from the physical separation from epidermal tissues and the subsequent disruption in

the organization of laminin and nidogen along TRNs. The failure to maintain or recapitulate the

in vivo organization of mechano-electrical transduction channels *in vitro* may have broad

implications for studies of dissociated and cultured sensory neurons.

Loss of nidogen causes mild defects in behavioral and electrophysiological responses to

touch (Figure 5H-J) and a substantial reduction in the density of MEC-4 and laminin puncta as

well as the strength of their colocalization. This implies that the mechanosensory complexes

retained in *nid-1* mutants (~half of all MEC-4 puncta co-localize with LAM-1 and *vice versa*,

Table S2, Figure S5) are fully functional and that nidogen plays a central role in their assembly

or stability, but a minor role in their activation. It also implies that tactile sensing in *C. elegans* is

robust, since nearly normal behavior is retained even though half of the MEC-4 channels are not

properly aligned with their BL partners. By contrast, loss of MEC-7 β-tubulin causes severe

defects behavioral and electrical responses to touch (Savage, et al., 1981; O'Hagan, et al., 2005),

but modest decreases in the density of MEC-4 and nidogen puncta (Figure 4D-F) and their

colocalization. Indeed, we found that more than two-thirds of MEC-4 puncta co-localized with

NID-1 in *mec-7* mutants and *vice versa* (Table S2, Figure S4). Because MeT currents can be

activated in *mec-7* mutants (O'Hagan, et al., 2005), however, the mechanosensory dysfunction in

these mutants cannot be due to a complete loss of force transfer arising from disruptions to

microtubules that also occurs in *mec-7* mutants (Chalfie and Sulston, 1981). Thus, more complex

mechanisms or a combination of effects are needed to account for touch-insensitivity in this

background, and any model would also need to consider the fact that *mec-7* decreases expression

of the *mec-3* transcription factor and genes that require *mec-3* for their expression (Bounoutas et

al., 2011). Thus, while *nid-1* mutants display essentially normal touch sensitivity with only half

the channels aligned to BL puncta, *mec-7* mutants are effectively numb to touch despite retaining

a significantly larger fraction of aligned channels. From these cases, we infer that proper

organization of membrane-matrix complexes is necessary but that their proper placement is not

sufficient by itself to support full vibrotactile sensation.

Laminin is a heterotrimeric molecule containing alpha, beta and gamma subunits

(Aumailley, 2013), implying that one or both of the *C. elegans* alpha laminins also localize to the

TRN-epidermal cell interface. Our experimental results are consistent with a model in which there

are two distinct laminin networks bridging the gap between epidermal cells and the TRNs (Figure

7J). For instance, we found that EPI-1 uniformly coats the ALM (Figure 1) and that loss of *epi-1*

function disrupts TRN attachment, but not the organization of MEC-4 puncta (Figure S5A-C).

These findings suggest that an EPI-1/LAM-1/LAM-2 network coats the ALM uniformly at its

interface with the ALM and is necessary for proper ALM ensheathment. They imply the presence

of a uniformly distributed component of LAM-1 and LAM-2, in addition to the punctate

component that we already described. This uniform component may be obscured in wild-type

animals by the intense puncta and fluorescence from adjoining tissues. Indeed, LAM-2::mNG and

NID-1::wSc were detected as a faint, but uniform fluorescence adhered to the ALM (Figure 3A,

Figure S3B) in *mec-1(e1738)* and *mec-9(u437)* mutants lacking discrete laminin and nidogen

puncta. Further, we posit that LAM-3/LAM-1/LAM-2 trimers form puncta along TRNs and that

these laminin networks are necessary for MEC-4 puncta distribution. This model also predicts the

presence of LAM-3 in a punctate distribution along the ALM, which we did not detect with

fluorescently labeled LAM-3. New tools will be needed to test these predictions in detail and to

discover other protein partners present in these networks. The proposed arrangement of two types

of laminin networks would help to firmly link the ALM sensory ending to the surrounding

epidermal cell.

We propose that sensory neurons instruct the assembly of mechanosensory complexes
and discontinuous arrays of ECM beams seen in TEM data stacks. Two lines of evidence favor
this idea. First, two genes expressed by the TRNs, *mec-1* and *mec-9,* are required to form
aligned, stable complexes containing MEC-4, laminin, and nidogen. Both genes are predicted to
encode secreted extracellular matrix proteins (Du et al., 1996; Emtage et al., 2004). Collectively,
these observations suggest that these proteins could activate the assembly of discrete ECM
structures. Second, ECM beams are formed adjacent to the AVM neuron in the ventral nerve
cord at its interface with epidermal cells, but not neighboring neurons that share an interface with
the same epidermal cell (Figure S1E). The MEC-1 protein plays additional roles. Prior work
showed that it is required to attach the TRN to the epidermis and helps to ensure the proper
positioning of its sensory neurite in the body (Emtage et al., 2004), but it is dispensable for force
transfer from the skin to the TRN (Nekimken et al., 2020). Here, we establish that MEC-1
harbors specific domains required for co-assembly and alignment of MEC-4, laminin and
nidogen. In particular, mutations predicted to disrupt folding of the C-terminal Kunitz domain in
MEC-1 leave laminin and nidogen ECM structures intact but disrupt MEC-4 localization (Figure
7). Further experiments will test whether MEC-1 physically bridges the MeT channels with the
ECM beams, as illustrated in Figure 7J, and whether these structures help transmit force to MeT
channels.

Wherever neurons meet skin or muscle cells, the gap between them may well be occupied
by BL proteins like laminin and nidogen. The BL is an active molecular medium, as evidenced
by the fact that laminin plays an instructive role in organizing ion channels at the neuromuscular
junction (Rogers and Nishimune, 2017) and instructing the formation of dendritic arbors (Yang
and Chien, 2019). Laminin also governs the mechanosensitivity of cultured mouse dorsal root
ganglion neurons (Chiang et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2010). In this study, we identified laminin and
its binding partner, nidogen, as pivotal partners for organizing and immobilizing the mechano-
electrical transduction channel, MEC-4, along *C. elegans* TRNs. Two other sensory mechano-
electrical transduction channels also localize to discrete subcellular positions in fixed samples:
NOMPC (Lee et al., 2010; Liang et al., 2011) and Piezo2 (Handler et al., 2023). These channels
also appear to localize at the interface between sensory nerve endings and skin cells, although
their stability, dynamics, and positioning relative to the BL is not yet known. Our findings extend

the role of the BL from structure to function and defines a mechanosensory apparatus as a

multiprotein complex linking the neuronal membrane to the BL matrix.

Limitations of the study

This study characterizes electron-dense structures and mechanosensory protein

complexes at the sensory neuron-epidermal cell interface. The complexes are essential for touch

sensation and contain both neuronal MeT channels and extracellular assemblies of laminin and

nidogen; they depend on secreted neuronal factors proposed to transform continuous basal

lamina into discrete, stable, and stationary complexes. However, there are limitations that require

further investigation or new tools. Despite conducting a comprehensive visual screen of more

than two dozen ECM proteins, it is likely that additional proteins are present at the sensory

neuron-epidermal cell interface. Of the proteins we analyzed, one tagged protein was not visible

in any tissue in adult animals (LAM-3 α-laminin) and another tagged protein caused defects in

touch sensation (FBL-1). Tagging MEC-1 and MEC-5 at their endogenous loci disrupted touch

sensation, limiting our ability to re-examine the location of these proteins and to investigate their

interaction with *mec-4* and *nid-1* mutants. The widespread distribution of the visible laminin

subunits (LAM-1, LAM-2, EPI-1) contributed to background fluorescence that affected our

analyses of their distribution and colocalization with the MEC-4 ion channel. Additionally, we

could not systematically study how loss of laminin affects mechanosensory complex assembly,

stability, or function since loss-of-function mutations in all four laminin genes cause embryonic

or larval lethality. Biochemical studies and purification of this ion channel-ECM complex from

C. elegans are hampered by the scarcity of channel proteins relative to ECM proteins. Identifying

the protein partners that comprise nanostructures such as the ECM beams visible in serial-section

TEM structures is notoriously difficult and may require new technical approaches. The anatomy

and molecular physiology of the *C. elegans* TRNs differs from other somatosensory neurons

with respect to their position within the skin and the ion channels responsible for

mechanotransduction. Therefore, it may be challenging to immediately apply these results to

other sensory neurons and organisms, including those already known to share an intimate

association with epidermal cells. Despite these limitations, this study is a first step toward

deciphering the active nature of the interaction between sensory neurons and skin.

Acknowledgements

We thank Tom Clandinin, Ellen Kuhl, and Lucy Wang for comments and advice; the
entire WormsenseLab for feedback on experimental and analytical design; Zhiwen Liao for
technical support and worm injections; Juan Cueva for pilot studies, quantification of ECM
beams in ssTEM datasets, and 3-D visualization of ECM beams; and Richard Fetter for
contributing independently generated ssTEM datasets. Martin Chalfie, Kang Shen, David
Sherwood, and the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (supported by the NIH Office of Research
Infrastructure, P40 OD010440) provided *C. elegans* strains. We are especially grateful to David
Sherwood for sharing key transgenic strains prior to publication. Confocal fluorescence imaging
and legacy ssTEM datasets used instruments in the Stanford University Cell Sciences Imaging
Core Facility (RRID:SCR_017787) and protein micropatterning used instruments in the Stanford
Nanofabrication Facility (supported by NSF Award ECCS-2026822). Additional ssTEM images
were acquired at the Nanoscale Biomedical Imaging Facility, Toronto. Research funded by an
NIH grant R35NS105092 to MBG, CIHR foundation scheme 154274 to MZ, F99NS115219
fellowship to JAF, and a F32NS116193 fellowship to DC.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, A.D., J.F., B.M., D.C., M.B.G.;

Methodology, A.D., J.F., B.M., L.W., C.J.;

Software, A.D., J.F., L.W.;

Validation, A.D., J.F., L.W.;

Formal analysis, A.D., J.F., B.M., L.W.;

Investigation, A.D., J.F., B.M., L.W., D.C., C.J.;

Resources, A.D., J.F., L.W., B.P., E.K., M.Z., M.B.G.;

Data Curation, A.D., J.F., B.M., L.W.;

Writing - Original draft, A.D., J.F., L.W., D.C.;

Writing - Review and editing, A.D., J.F., L.W., D.C., B.M, M.Z., M.B.G.;

Visualization, A.D., J.F., L.W.;

Supervision, A.D., M.Z., M.B.G.;

Project administration, A.D., M.Z., M.B.G.;

Funding acquisition, M.Z., M.B.G.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

	Figure Legends

Figure 1. Conserved basal lamina (BL) proteins and arrays of beam-like nanostructures

associate with TRNs in vivo

A. Schematic diagram of an adult *C. elegans* nematode, showing the positions of the

TRN cell bodies and neurites relative to the body wall muscles (purple). Only the left ALM and

PLM are shown.

B. Schematic diagram of a cross-section of an adult worm, showing the body wall

muscles (purple), epidermis (beige) and basal lamina (green). Enlarged view of the ALM

neurons (yellow) embedded within the epidermis are shown in the middle and right panels.

C. Nine BL proteins uniformly coat TRN neurites *in vivo.* (Top left) Schematic diagram

of an enlarged view of the boxed area in panel A, showing the lateral view of an ALM cell body

and part of the ALM neurite relative to the body wall muscle (purple). Representative confocal

fluorescence micrographs of adult TRNs in transgenic animals expressing BL proteins visualized

using CRISPR/Cas9 to insert mNG or GFP (SAX-7, LET-805) into native loci. Panels show

ALM neurons coated with BL proteins, oriented as shown in the upper left panel: (left column)

SAX-7 L1CAM, LET-805 myotactin, MIG-6 papillin, PAT-2 α-integrin, (right column) SDN-1

syndecan, HIM-4 hemicentin, UNC-52 perlecan, FBL-1 fibulin, and EPI-1 laminin-α. Scale bars:

10 µm. Table S1 lists the strains screened and shown in this figure.

D. Two BL proteins localize to discrete puncta along TRN neurites. Fluorescence

confocal micrographs showing LAM-2::mNG laminin-ɣ and NID-1::mNG nidogen localizing to

discrete puncta distributed along the ALM neurons. Scale bar: 10 µm.

E. (Left) A reconstruction from serial section TEM images (total length = 3 µm) of

ALML in control animals [TU2769 *uIs31*[*mec-17p::GFP*] III], showing the ALM (yellow),

ECM beams (red) and the plasma membrane of the engulfing epidermis (translucent blue).

(Right, top) TEM micrographs of a *C. elegans* nematode (wild-type, N2) selected from a serial

section stack, spanning approximately 10 µm. Red arrows point towards the electron dense ECM

beams in the extracellular space between the ALM and the epidermis. (Right, bottom) A map of

the entire serial section stack of TEM images used for the top panel, showing the relative

position of the segments with (red) or without (yellow) visible ECM beams. Red numbers

indicate the length (in microns) of segments with visible ECM beam arrays. Black numbers

indicate the distance (in microns) between the mid-points of successive red segments. Additional

examples shown in Figure S1.

Figure 2. Laminin, nidogen, and MEC-4 co-localize to stationary, stable mechanosensory

complexes.

A. Representative widefield fluorescence images of puncta along ALM neurons for LAM-

1::wSc, LAM-2::mNG, NID-1::wSc and mNG::MEC-4 (from left to right).

B. Probability density distributions plot of inter-punctum intervals (IPIs) (left) and plot of IPIs

vs. normalized distance from the cell body (right; 0=closest to cell body, 1=distal tip of ALM)

for each of the punctate proteins shown in panel A. White circles denote mean, horizontal black

lines denote median and vertical thick black lines denote the interquartile range of the respective

distributions. Mean values are collected in Table S2. One-way ANOVA comparing the IPIs of

laminin, nidogen and MEC-4 showed a significant difference between the IPIs of the different

proteins [$F(3,11628) = 35.05$, $p = 1.52e\text{-}22$]. Tukey HSD post hoc analysis revealed that LAM-1

IPIs were not significantly different from those of LAM-2 ($p = 0.15$) or NID-1 ($p = 0.26$) and

that MEC-4 IPIs differed from all the ECM protein IPIs ($p = 0.001$).

C. Representative, *in vivo* live imaging of (top to bottom) MEC-4, LAM-2, NID-1, and SDN-1

puncta. Top two panels show puncta before and after bleaching, respectively; the bottom panel

shows kymographs (distance-time images) of a 10 s pre-bleach period and 300 s (5 minute)

observation period. See also Figure S2B-E.

D. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) for MEC-4, LAM-2, NID-1, and SDN-1

puncta. Recovery was minimal for MEC-4, LAM-2, and NID-1 and substantial for SDN-1 during

the observation period. Dark traces show the average trajectory of fluorescence recovery; shaded

periods show the standard deviations for 23, 10, 11, and 8 neurons analyzed for MEC-4, LAM-2,

NID-1, and SDN-1, respectively. One-way ANOVA comparing the fractional fluorescence

recovery at 300 s showed a significant effect of the protein analyzed [$F(3,48) = 68.73$, $p = 2.15e\text{-}$

17). Tukey HSD post hoc analysis revealed that the fluorescence recovery of LAM-2::mNG were

not significantly different from those of NID-1::mNG ($p = 0.9$), while all other pairs were

significantly different from each other. See also Figure S2B-E.

E. Pairwise colocalization of NID-1, LAM-2, LAM-1, and MEC-4 tagged with wSc (magenta)

and mNG (green). Fluorescence micrographs show ALM neurites in double-labeled strains. The dataset includes reference conditions for pairs expected to demonstrate high and low colocalization: High: A single protein, NID-1, is tagged with two fluorophores (NID-1::wSc, NID-1::mNG), or two subunits of an obligate heterotrimeric protein (LAM-1::wSc, LAM-2::mNG); Low: Two TRN-expressed membrane with qualitatively distinct labeling — Uniform (PAT-2::wSc) and punctate (MEC-4::mNG).

F. Intensity correlation quotient (ICQ) for protein pairs in panel E. Each circle (open circles: high or low reference conditions, filled circles: test strains) represents a measurement from a single ALM neurite in a dual-color strain. Black squares show the mean of the circles, and the horizontal black bars show standard deviation. Except for the PAT-2::wSc; mNG::MEC-4 pair, ICQ values computed from the same cell (circles) are significantly higher (Student's t-test, $p <$ 0.05) than that measured from simulated pairs (box plots, showing the interquartile (box) and full ranges (whiskers), excluding outliers). One-way ANOVA comparing the ICQ values across genotypes, showed significant differences in ICQ among sets of real protein pairs [$F(5,79) = 256.73$, $p = 2.52e-47$]. Horizontal dashed lines organize the strains into groups (top, middle, bottom) within which ICQs are not significantly different by Tukey post hoc analysis. Post hoc testing shows that ICQ values in both pairs in the top group differ from those for all pairs in the middle group ($p = 0.001$) and the bottom group ($p = 0.001$). Similarly, ICQ values in all pairs in the middle group differ from the pair in the bottom group ($p = 0.001$). Summary data are collected in Table S2.

Figure 3. The TRN-associated extracellular matrix is essential to stabilize mechanosensory complexes in vivo and in vitro, but not for sequestering MEC-4 into RAB-3-positive vesicles.
A. NID-1 puncta are absent in *mec-5*, *mec-1*, and *mec-9* null mutants. Representative fluorescent micrographs of animals co-expressing NID-1::wSc and a cytoplasmic GFP marker in the *mec-5(u444)*, *mec-1(e1738)* and *mec-9(u437)* mutant TRNs lack discrete NID-1 puncta in the ALM but retain fluorescence in the adjoining body wall muscle (white arrows). See also Figure S3. Scale bars are 10 µm.
B. NID-1::wSc fluorescence in BL around the pharynx and nerve ring are not affected in *mec-5(u444)*, *mec-1(e1738)* and *mec-9(u437)* mutant animals. Scale bars are 10 µm.

C. Representative fluorescent micrographs of TRNs co-expressing mNG::MEC-4 and

mCherry::RAB-3 showing puncta co-localization. MEC-4 puncta are markedly reduced in

mec-5(u444), *mec-1(e1738)* and *mec-9(u437)* mutant animals and in TRNs cultured *in vitro*

compared to control animals. Residual MEC-4 punctate structures often co-localize with RAB-3

(white arrows). Scale bars are 10 µm.

D. MEC-4 puncta are significantly reduced in *mec-5*, *mec-1* and *mec-9* null mutants compared to

control ALM neurons *in vivo* and dissociated, cultured TRNs *in vitro*. (Top) Plot showing the

density of all detected mNG::MEC-4 puncta (green) and vesicular mNG::MEC-4 puncta (defined

as puncta co-localizing with mCherry::RAB-3 puncta, white) in each cell. Pairs of data points

from individual cells are connected by green lines. Circles denote mean and thick vertical lines

show the standard deviation. One-way ANOVA shows significant differences in total MEC-4

puncta density [$F(3,80) = 426.44$, $p = 4.32e-49$] but no significant difference in the vesicular

MEC-4 puncta density [$F(3,80) = 1.77$, $p = 0.16$] between different groups. Tukey-HSD post hoc

analysis shows that total MEC-4 puncta density is significantly higher in control animals

compared to other groups. See also Figure S3F. (Bottom) Stacked bar plots representing the

fraction of MEC-4 in mechanosensory complexes (dark green), in RAB-3 positive vesicles

(medium green) and in the diffuse pool (light green) show that MEC-4 is mostly redistributed

from the mechanosensory complexes to the diffuse pool in the ECM mutants. One-way ANOVA

statistics of diffuse MEC-4 vs. genotype: $F(3,80)=273.57$, $p=6.00e-42$; One-way ANOVA

statistics of MEC-4 in mechanosensory complexes vs. genotype: $F(3,80)=304.05$, $p=1.26e-43$.

Tukey-HSD post hoc analyses show that control animals have significantly lower percentage of

MEC-4 in diffuse pool and significantly higher percentage of MEC-4 in mechanosensory

complexes compared to other groups) while the vesicle pool remains relatively unaffected (One-

way ANOVA statistics of vesicular MEC-4 vs. genotype: $F(3,80)=2.87$, $p=0.04$; Tukey-HSD

post hoc analysis shows no significant difference between all pairs except control and *mec-*

1(e1738)). The same dataset was used for analysis in both the top and bottom panels. For ALMs

in vivo, analysis was limited to the region 0-200 µm from the cell body due to a red co-injection

marker expressed in head neurons obscuring the mCherry::RAB-3 signal in TRNs. For TRNs

cultured *in vitro* the entire neurite was analyzed. Note: The MEC-4 distribution in TRNs cultured

in vitro was excluded from statistical analysis since the sample preparation, image acquisition

and analysis conditions were distinct. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

E. Representative kymographs of MEC-4 puncta *in vivo* (left to right): control, *mec-1(e1738),*

and *mec-9(u437)*. Top two panels in each column show puncta before and after bleaching,

respectively; the bottom panel shows kymographs (distance-time images) of a 10 s pre-bleach

period and 300s (5 minute) observation period. Control data is replicated from Figure 2C,

mNG::MEC-4. Scale bars are 10 μm.

F. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) for MEC-4::mNG in wild-type, *mec-*

1(e1738), and *mec-9(u437)*. Dark traces show the average trajectory of fluorescence recovery of

MEC-4 fluorescence; light traces show the results for individual trials for 23, 22, and 25 ALMs

in the indicated genotypes. Recovery is accelerated for diffuse MEC-4 fluorescence in *mec-*

1(e1738) and *mec-9(u437)* relative to discrete puncta in control. To facilitate comparisons,

control data is reprinted from Figure 2D, mNG::MEC-4. One-way ANOVA comparing the

maximum fractional fluorescence recovery at 300 s of mNG::MEC-4 in control, *mec-1(e1738)*

and *mec-9(u437)* animals showed a significant difference effect of genotype [$F(2,67) = 3.35$,

$p = 0.04$]. Tukey-HSD post hoc analysis revealed that the fluorescence recovery between control

and *mec-1(1738)* groups were significantly different, while there was no significant difference

between other pairs of groups. See also Figure S3G.

Figure 4**. **ECM mechanosensory complexes can form in the absence of MEC-4 and

TRN microtubules

A. Representative images showing NID-1::wSc and LAM-2::mNG localize to discrete

puncta distributed along the ALM neurons in *mec-4(u253)* null background. Scale bars are 10

μm.

B. Probability density distributions plot of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) for

NID-1 and LAM-2 in *mec-4(u253)* animals. White circles denote mean, thin vertical lines denote

the median and thick horizontal lines denote the interquartile ranges of the population.

Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

C. Cumulative probability distribution of NID-1 and LAM-2 IPIs in *mec-4(u253)* animals

(green lines) compared to control animals (gray lines). The results of two-sample Kolmogorov-

Smirnov tests are indicated in each plot and suggest that control and mutant distributions differ

for NID-1 ($p < 0.001$, top), but not LAM-2 ($p = 0.219$, bottom). See also Figure S4A-C.

D. Representative images showing NID-1::wSc and mNG::MEC-4 puncta obtained from

dual-color transgenic animals in a *mec-7(ok2152)* β-tubulin mutant. Scale bars are 10 µm.

E. Probability density distributions plot of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) for

NID-1 (magenta) and MEC-4 (green) puncta in *mec-7(ok2152)* animals. White circles denote

mean, thin vertical lines denote the median and thick horizontal lines denote the interquartile

ranges of the population. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

F. Cumulative distribution functions (CDF) of NID-1 and MEC-4 IPIs in *mec-7(ok2152)*

animals (magenta and green lines) compared to control animals (gray lines). The results of two-

sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests are indicated in each plot. See also Figure S4D-G.

Figure 5. Loss of lam-3 and nid-1, but not epi-1 decreases the density of mechanosensory

complexes.

A. Representative micrographs showing mNG::MEC-4 puncta distributed along the ALM

neurons in control and *epi-1(gm57)* L1 larvae, but disorganized or absent in *lam-3(ok2030)* L1

larvae. Scale bars are 10 µm. See Figure S5A for images of TRN attachment defects in

epi-1(gm57) adult animals.

B. Probability density distributions plot of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) for

mNG::MEC-4 in *epi-1(gm57)* and *lam-3(ok2030)* L1 larva animals. Due to the disrupted or lack

of MEC-4::mNG signal in many *lam-3(ok2030)* L1 larva animals, IPIs could be computed for

only 17 out of 34 images collected. White circles denote mean, thin vertical lines denote the

median and thick horizontal lines denote the interquartile ranges of the population. Summarized

data are collected in Table S2.

C. Cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) for mNG::MEC-4 IPIs in *epi-1(gm57)* and

lam-3(ok2030) (green lines) L1 larvae compared to control L1 larvae (gray lines). The results of

two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests are indicated in each plot.

D. Representative images of mNG::MEC-4 and LAM-1::wSc obtained from dual-color

transgenic animals in a *nid-1* mutant background showing dim and sparse puncta in both

channels. Scale bars are 10 µm.

E. Probability density distributions plot of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) for

mNG::MEC-4 (green) and LAM-1::wSc (magenta) in *nid-1(cg119)* mutant animals. White

circles denote mean, thin vertical lines denote the median and thick horizontal lines denote the

interquartile ranges of the population. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

F. Cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) of MEC-4 (top) and LAM-1 (bottom) IPIs in ALM neurites. Larger intervals dominate the distribution in *nid-1* mutants (magenta and green) compared to control (gray). The results of two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests comparing CDFs are indicated in each plot.

G. Intensity line scans derived from images in panel D showing the spatial coincidence of peak intensities in each channel. See also Figure S5D-G.

H-J. *nid-1* loss of function decreases behavioral and neural responses to touch. (H) Touch response as a function of genotype, using wild-type (gray) and *mec-4(u253)* (blue) null animals as references show very small touch defects in *nid-1(cg119)* (teal) animals but severe touch defects in *mec-5(u444)* (magenta) animals. For each genotype, strains with or without the TRN marker *uIs31* are represented by light and dark colors respectively. Bars are the average (± SEM) response to 10 touches of *n=75* animals tested blind to genotype in 3 independent cohorts. 2-way ANOVA shows significant differences in touch-response between genotypes [$F(3,594)=1135.12$, p=1.9e-245] as well as presence or absence of the *uIs31* reporter [$F(1,594)=6.59$, p=1.05e-02]. Tukey-HSD post hoc analysis shows significant differences between all mutant genotypes compared to control (gray bars). Summarized data are collected in Table S2. (I) Mechanoreceptor currents (MRCs) evoked by indentation pulses of the indicated amplitude. Traces for control (dark gray), *nid-1(cg119)* (green) and *mec-5(u444)* (magenta) mutants are shown for a small (1 μm), medium (5 μm) or large (11 μm) indentations. Similar results obtained in 11, 6 and 2 recordings for control, *nid-1* and *mec-5* animals, respectively. (J) Peak MRC amplitude at the onset of stimulation as a function of stimulus displacement. Dark thick lines are the average of the lighter thin lines for control (dark gray), *nid-1(cg119)* (green) and *mec-5(u444)* (magenta) animals. One-way ANOVA shows significant differences in the peak current amplitude at 11 μm indentation between genotypes (F(2,16)=19.39, p=5.3e-05). Tukey-HSD post hoc analysis shows significant differences between all groups. See also Figure S5H-I.

Figure 6. NID-1 G3 domain, but not its binding to LAM-2 or LAM-3 EGF domains is necessary for MEC-4 puncta distribution.

A. (Left) Schematic diagram of NID-1, LAM-2 and LAM-3 proteins mapping the ΔG1-G2 (*cg118*) and ΔG3 (*pg146*) in-frame deletions in NID-1 and the point mutations in the 8th EGF domains of LAM-2 (*pg153*) and LAM-3 (*pg169*). Large boxes show the indicated globular

domains. Small gray boxes show EGF domains. (Right) Homology model of *C. elegans* NID-1

G3 domain bound to LAM-2 EGF8, based on crystal structure of mouse nidogen G3 domain

bound to mouse laminin-γ1 (PDB ID: 1NPE).

B. Sequence of the LAM-2 and LAM-3 EGF8 domains predicted to bind NID-1, and its

sequence alignment with its counterpart in mouse laminin-γ1. The residues mutated in the *pg153*

LAM-2[NSS] and *pg169* LAM-3[NSS] alleles are highlighted in pink.

C. Representative images of mNG::MEC-4 puncta present in *nid-1* mutant ALM neurons (top to

bottom): NID-1[ΔG1-G2] (*cg118*), NID-1[ΔG3] (*pg146*), and LAM-2[NSS];LAM-3[NSS]

(*pg153;pg169*). Anterior is to the left; scale bars are 10 μm. See also Figure S6.

D. Probability density distribution plots of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) for

mNG::MEC-4 (green) in NID-1[ΔG1-G2], NID-1[ΔG3], and LAM-2[NSS];LAM-3[NSS]

mutant animals. White circles denote mean, thin vertical lines denote the median and thick

horizontal lines denote the interquartile ranges of the population. Summarized data are collected

in Table S2.

E. Cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) of mNG::MEC-4 IPIs in the indicated alleles

(green) vs control worms (gray, replotted from Figure 2B). The results of two-sample

Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests are indicated in each plot.

Figure 7. Disrupting Ku15 in MEC-1 causes misassembly of mechanosensory complexes and

touch-insensitivity.

A. Schematic diagram of the longest MEC-1 isoform showing fifteen predicted Kunitz domains

(red) and EGF repeats (gray).

B. Amino acid sequence of MEC-1 Ku15 compared to that of MitTx, a Kunitz domain-

containing ion channel toxin (Baconguis et al., 2014) (left) and a homology model (right) of

MEC-1 Ku15 based on MitTx structures (PDB ID: 4NTX). Conserved amino acids are

highlighted in orange. Asterisks denote the two mutated residues in MEC-1 Ku15, R1804 and

C1808.

C. Mechanosensory complexes visualized in dual-color transgenic animals expressing

mNG::MEC-4 and NID-1::wSc in *mec-1* missense alleles affecting Ku15. Anterior is to the left,

scale bar is 10μm. Boxed area in the MEC-1[C1808Y] image is enlarged in Panel F to show

closely spaced NID-1::wSc puncta.

D. Probability density distribution plots of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) for NID-1::wSc puncta in MEC-1[R1804C] (*e1526*) and MEC-1[C1808Y] (*pg164*) mutant animals. White circles denote mean, thin vertical lines denote the median and thick horizontal lines denote the interquartile ranges of the population. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

E. Cumulative distribution function (CDFs) of NID-1::wSc IPIs in the indicated *mec-1* alleles (magenta) vs control worms (gray). The results of two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests are indicated in each plot. See also Figure S7.

F. Magnified view of the boxed area in C, showing NID-1 puncta and uniform MEC-4 fluorescence in a MEC-1[C1808Y] (*pg164*) mutant animal.

G. MEC-4 distribution as a function of genotype for *mec-1* missense alleles. Stacked bars show the average relative fractions of mNG::MEC-4 fluorescence in the punctate (dark green) and diffuse (light green) pools. For each genotype, we analyzed 14, 19, and 13 independent replicates. One-way ANOVA shows significant differences in the MEC-4 diffuse fraction between genotypes [$F(2,43) = 394.73$, $p = 2.15e-28$]. Tukey-HSD post hoc analysis shows significant differences between all groups. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

H. Plot of ICQ values calculating MEC-4 and NID-1 colocalization as a function of genotype. Each circle (open circles: control data replicated from Figure 2F, filled circles: *mec-1* mutants) shows a measurement from a single ALM neurite. Black squares show the mean of the filled circles or open circles respectively, and the black bars show standard deviation. For every genotype except MEC-1[C1808Y], the ICQ of two fluorescence channels from the same cell (circles) are significantly higher (Student's t-test, p<0.05) than that measured for simulated pairs (boxplots, showing the interquartile (box) and full ranges (whiskers), excluding outliers). One-way ANOVA shows significant differences in ICQ between genotypes [$F(2,43) = 390.04$, $p = 2.74e-28$]. Tukey-HSD post hoc analysis shows significant differences between control and both *mec-1* mutants but not between *mec-1(e1526)* and *mec-1(pg164)*. Summary data are in Table S2.

I. Touch sensitivity as a function of genotype, assessed in a 10-touch assay. Bars represent the average (±SEM) of 75 animals tested in 3 independent cohorts blinded to genotype. One-way ANOVA shows significant differences between genotypes [$F(2,222) = 280.68$, $p = 1.64e-61$]. Tukey-HSD post hoc analysis shows significant differences between control and both *mec-1* mutants but not between *mec-1(e1526)* and *mec-1(pg164)*. Summary data are in Table S2.

J. Proposed model of the relationship between MeT channels and ECM beam structures. (i)

Schematic diagram of a TRN (yellow) embedded within the epidermis (blue), showing ECM

puncta (red) as seen in fluorescence micrographs of NID-1, LAM-2 and LAM-2. Indentation is

applied on the worm by a small bead (black) (ii) Expanded view of the indented region showing

strain on the neurite (black arrows) and displacement of ECM beams (red). (iii) Schematic

representation of a cross section of a TRN (yellow) and surrounding ECM (transparent gray)

showing ECM beams (dark pink). (iv) Expanded view of the boxed area in (iii) showing spatial

arrangement of the different proteins discussed in this manuscript. The EPI-1/LAM-1/LAM-2

laminin is shown as a loose hexagonal lattice filling most of the space between the epidermis

(blue) and TRN (yellow). The LAM-3/LAM-1/LAM-2 laminin is shown as a tight and highly

structured hexagonal lattice within the ECM beams (pink). NID-1 (purple circles) is also present

in the ECM beam region. MEC-1 (cyan) connects the ECM beams to the MEC-4 channels

(green) embedded in the TRN plasma membrane.

STAR Methods

1035

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be
fulfilled by the lead contact, Miriam B. Goodman (mbgoodmn@stanford.edu).

Material availability
C. elegans strains newly generated for this paper are available from the lead contact upon
request. Interested parties should submit requests to the lead contact indicating the strain and
genotype of each of the required *C. elegans* strains.

Data and code availability
This paper did not generate new data conforming to standardized data types, including nucleotide
sequences, proteomics, metabolomics, or structures of biological macromolecules. This paper did
generate new *C. elegans* genotype-phenotype relationships, fluorescence images,
electrophysiological recordings, and behavior testing results.

● Genotypic and phenotypic information about new *C. elegans* alleles created in this study
will be deposited to www.wormbase.org.
● All original code has been deposited at GitHub and is publicly available as of the date of
publication. DOIs are listed in the key resources table.

1053

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

C. elegans
This study uses wild-type, mutant and transgenic *C. elegans* nematodes. Only
hermaphrodites were analyzed in this study, although males were used to perform genetic
crosses. A complete list of all *C. elegans* strains used in this study with their genotypes and
provenance is available in the Key Resources Table. The list includes transgenic and mutant
lines generated specifically for this study, obtained from prior work, or from the Caenorhabditis

Genetic Center. Animals were maintained at 20°C on NGM agar plates seeded with *E. coli*

OP50, unless otherwise mentioned.

All animals studied by light microscopy, tested in behavioral assays, or subjected to *in*

vivo electrophysiology were age-synchronized adopting standard methods (Stiernagle, 2006). In

brief, we harvested gravid adults in ~1 mL of water and obtained eggs from these animals by

treating them with household bleach (100µL) and KOH (20µL, 5M solution). Eggs were

transferred to NGM agar plates seeded with bacterial food and allowed to grow into young adults

(~3 days at 20°C).

Primary cells (nematodes)

As detailed below, we generated cultures of primary cells from transgenic *C. elegans*

nematodes expressing fluorescent proteins. Cells were plated on coverslips coated uniformly by

peanut lectin or bearing thin stripes of peanut lectin fabricated in-house as described below

(protein patterning coverslips) and maintained in a benchtop chamber at room atmosphere and

temperature (22-24°C) for 1-7 days.

1075

METHOD DETAILS

Gene editing via CRISPR/Cas9 techniques

Tagging endogenous proteins

Unless indicated otherwise, we relied on homologous recombination via the self-excising

cassette (SEC) method (Dickinson et al., 2015) to insert fluorescent protein-encoding DNA at

four endogenous loci: *lam-1, lam-3, epi-1* and *nid-1*. We created a template repair plasmid

(mg682) with wormScarlet (wSc) based on the SEC repair plasmid designs described in

Dickinson et al., 2015. We cloned in left and right homology arms corresponding to the insertion

sites at the *lam-1, lam-3, epi-1* or *nid-1* loci into this template plasmid by Gibson assembly.

Repair plasmids and small-guide RNA (sgRNA) targets were designed based on data curated by

WormBase (Davis et al., 2022). To generate each strain, we injected a plasmid mixture into the

gonads of 40-60 young adult animals. The mixture contained plasmids encoding the following

elements: Cas9-sgRNA (50 ng/µL), repair template (50 ng/µL), visible markers [pCFJ104

(myo-3p::mCherry), 5 ng/µL; pCFJ90 (myo-2p::mCherry), 2.5 ng/µL]. Each injected animal was

allowed to lay eggs for 2-3 days at 25°C, in the absence of selection. To select for progeny

carrying edits, we added hygromycin (500 µL, 5 mg/mL) to each plate and allowed animals to

grow at 25°C for an additional 4-5 days. Candidate transgenic animals survived hygromycin

treatment, lacked red fluorescent extrachromosomal array markers, and displayed a Rol

phenotype conferred by the insertion of the SEC carrying the *sqt-1(e1350)* mutation (Dickinson

et al., 2015). To remove the SEC, we heat-shocked plates containing ~6 L4 rollers (37°C, 75

minutes) and allowed the resulting progeny to grow at 20°C for 3-4 days. Single, adult NonRol

animals (worms that lost both copies of the SEC) were transferred to growth plates and allowed

to generate progeny. These candidate transgenic animals were evaluated by visualizing

fluorescence, PCR genotyping, and sequencing. Homozygous wSc-tagged *lam-1* animals were

sterile and exhibited several morphological defects, similar to those previously reported for a

mNeonGreen(mNG)-tagged *lam-1* (Keeley et al., 2020). Consequently, we maintained and

imaged these animals as viable heterozygotes.

We used a slightly modified approach from above for creating the PAT-2::wSc strain. In

this approach we used a template repair plasmid in which the Lox2272-site flanked selection

cassette contained the Hygromycin resistance gene, but lacked the *sqt-1(e1350)* reporter and the

heat-shock inducible Cre genes (mg546). The repair plasmid was designed such that presence of

the selection cassette will prevent translational fusion of the wSc tag at the PAT-2 C-terminus.

After confirming insertion of the wSc tag and the selection cassette at the *pat-2* locus by PCR,

we injected the worms with a plasmid encoding *eft-3* promoter driven Cre, alongwith a co-

injection marker (pCFJ90 (myo-2p::mCherry), 2.5 ng/µL). We then screened for the loss of the

selection cassette among the progeny of the injected worms, by looking for expression of wSc

tagged PAT-2 in all body wall muscle cells as well as a loss of the *myo-2p*::mCherry red pharynx

marker.

Mutating endogenous gene loci

To generate *C. elegans* strains carrying genetic deletions or point mutations in *nid-1*, *lam-*

2 or *mec-1* loci, we applied the co-CRISPR method (Arribere et al., 2014; Paix et al., 2015),

using *dpy-10(cn64)* as the co-CRISPR target. This work relied on genetic and genomic data

curated and maintained by WormBase (Davis et al., 2022). All reagents for this purpose were

purchased from IDT Technologies: Cas9 protein (Alt-R® S.p. Cas9 Nuclease V3, 100 µg,

Catalog # 1081058), tracrRNA (Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 tracrRNA, 5 nmol, Catalog # 1072532),

crRNA for each loci (Alt-R® CRISPR-Cas9 crRNA, 2 nmol), single stranded oligomeric DNA

repair templates (Ultramer™ DNA Oligonucleotides). We prepared injection mixes with

equimolar amounts of tracrRNA, crRNA and Cas9 nuclease, such that the final concentration of

each was 1.5 µM. If multiple crRNAs were used for a single target site, the amounts were

adjusted such that the total crRNA concentration was 1.5 µM. The *dpy-10* crRNA was used at a

ratio 1:14 to the target crRNAs. In the first step, we allowed the tracrRNA and crRNAs to anneal

at 95°C for 5 min, followed by incubation at room temperature for 5 min. Next, we added the

annealed RNA mixture to Cas9 and incubated this solution at 37 °C for 10 min. Finally, ssDNA

repair template was added to generate a final concentration of 0.5 µM and the total volume was

adjusted to 20 µL using sterile water. For each gene editing round, we injected 15-20 young

adult animals and transferred individual animals to growth plates for 3-4 days at room

temperature. Plates that contained many Rol progeny as evidence of successful editing were

selected for further analysis. Specifically, we isolated single Rol animals and allowed them to lay

eggs for 2 days (room temperature), after which the roller animals were screened for the desired

mutation using PCR. Non-roller progeny animals from the positive plates were then isolated and

screened in the same way. The target loci were sequenced in candidate lines to confirm the

presence of the desired mutation and validate the gene-edited line.

Primary nematode cell culture

We generated cultures of mixed embryonic *C. elegans* cells following previous methods

(Bianchi and Driscoll, 2006; Sangaletti and Bianchi, 2013; Strange et al., 2007) and introduced

modifications that improved yield. In brief, the procedure involves treating suspensions of

isolated embryos with chitinase to soften the egg shell, mechanical trituration and filtration to

dissociate cells and remove debris, followed by plating onto treated glass coverslips and

culturing at room temperature and atmosphere for 1-7 days.

To improve yield, we first sought to improve age-synchronization and to increase the

number of embryos available for cell isolation. In particular, we grew animals in three cycles on

10-cm plates. During the first cycle, we used standard hypochlorite bleaching methods (6%

bleach, 150 mM NaOH: Stiernagle, 2006) to harvest embryos from animals grown on standard

NGM plates seeded with OP50 *E. coli.* These embryos were transferred to 10-cm enriched

peptone plates seeded with NA22 *E. coli* and allowed to grow into gravid adults. This process

was repeated for two additional cycles. Following these steps, we harvested embryos after

separating gravid adults from bacteria through several centrifugation and washing cycles and

sodium hypochlorite treatment to harvest embryos. The resulting embryos were rinsed three

times with 1x egg buffer (25 mM HEPES, 118 mM NaCl, 48 mM KCl, 2 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM

$MgCl_2$, adjusted to a pH of 7.3 with NaOH). For quality control purposes, we measured the

osmolality of the egg buffer with a freezing-point depression osmometer (AdvancedInstruments)

and used batches that had an osmolality of 340 ± 5 mOsm.

Next, we separated eggs from lytic fragments of older worms by resuspending the pellet

in a sucrose solution (60% w/v sucrose in deionized water) and centrifugation at 350g for 5

minutes. Following these steps, we incubated embryos in chitinase (25 U/mL) while rocking

until ~80% of eggshells had been digested (~50 min), an endpoint that we confirmed visually.

Finally, we rinsed dissociated embryos and dissociated them mechanically by trituration first

through a sterile 21-gauge needle and then through a sterile 25-gauge needle. We used a 5-µm

filter to remove debris from the resulting cell suspension, rinsed and resuspended the cell

suspension in sterile L-15 cell culture media without HEPES or phenol red (Gibco^{TM}, Catalog#

21083027), and seeded the cells onto glass coverslips either coated uniformly with peanut lectin

or bearing micropatterns of peanut lectin. These procedures were performed on a standard

benchtop using aseptic technique.

Protein micropatterning glass coverslips

To generate thin stripes of adhesive proteins, which constrained the shape of *C. elegans*

neurons in culture, we used a light-activated molecular adsorption technique and a commercial

instrument (Primo, Alvéole USA, Fairfax, VA, USA) to generate protein micropatterns on glass

coverslips. We used open-source graphic design software (Inkscape) to design custom patterns

consisting of thin (8-pixels or 2.2 µm) stripes on 1824x1140 pixel canvas size. Stripe width and

spacing was optimized to enable touch receptor neurons to elaborate straight neurites that were

confined to lateral strips and did not traverse areas lacking peanut lectin (PNA), as described

(Franco, 2021). We used both unlabelled peanut lectin and Alexa Fluor™ 594-conjugated lectin

PNA.

We adapted a protein micropatterning approach (Strale et al., 2016), as follows. For

convenience and simplicity, we used glass-bottom six-well tissue culture-grade microtiter plates

(6 Micro-well glass bottom plate with 20 mm micro-well #1.5 cover glass, Cellvis ™, Catalog#

P06-20-1.5-N) for cell culture experiments involving patterned coverslips. First, we plasma-

treated the plates with ionized atmospheric gas for 1 minute (25 forward, zero reflected power,

Plasmatech P-50). Next, we positioned a PDMS reagent basin in each well, added poly-l-lysine

or PLL (0.01% in sterile PBS, ~35 µl) to each well, and allowed the PLL solution to incubate for

1 hour. Following this step, we added mPEG-SVA (100 µg/mL, Laysan Bio Inc.) freshly

prepared in pH-adjusted 100 mM HEPES, pH 8.0 buffer and incubated the coverslips for an

additional 1 hour. We fabricated reagent basins from PDMS sheets in-house using a consumer-

grade, computerized die-cutting machine (Silhouette, Cameo, Lindon, Utah, USA). Lastly, we

rinsed the coverslips with distilled water and allowed them to air dry prior to the application of

proprietary photoactivatable reagent, PLPP gel (Alvéole, diluted in pure ethanol at 1:6

volumetric ratio).

We allowed coverslips to air dry prior to patterning with the Primo instrument (25 ms

exposure, 100% laser power, 50 mJ/mm^2 dosage), which uses light to degrade the PLPP gel

according to the user-defined pattern. We transferred peanut lectin protein (PNA) to patterned

coverslips in two incubation steps: 1) PBS for >5 minutes; 2) PNA (500 µg/mL) for 20 minutes.

which enabled us to visualize the patterns. We fabricated patterns one day prior to plating

dissociated embryonic *C. elegans* cells and kept them hydrated with sterile PBS until use.

C. elegans touch assay

We measured touch responses using a ten-touch assay (Chalfie et al., 2014; Vasquez et

al., 2014). Specifically, we delivered mechanical stimuli to age-synchronized, well-fed young

adult animals with an eyebrow hair glued to a toothpick touching animals alternately in anterior

and posterior regions of the body for a total of 10 times. Animals were scored as responding if

they reversed direction following each stimulation. This method delivers supra-threshold stimuli,

independent of experimenter or the specific eye-brow hair used (Nekimken et al., 2017). We

analyzed touch sensitivity of groups of 4-5 strains and used wild-type (N2) and TU253 *mec-*

4(u253) null mutants as touch-sensitive and touch-insensitive (Mec) references, respectively.

Animals were tested blind to genotype in cohorts of 25 animals. At least three independent

cohorts were tested for each genotype or condition. For strains containing *lam-1(pg136)* allele

(LAM-1::wSc), we picked ca. 50 heterozygous animals (identified by dimmer red fluorescence,

normal locomotion and body morphology compared to homozygous *lam-1(pg136)* animals

which were sluggish, dumpy and/or had severely malformed internal organs) to fresh plates a

few hours prior to touch testing.

Whole-cell patch clamp electrophysiology

We recorded from the ALM neurons in TU2769 *uIs31 [mec-17p::GFP]* and GN932

uIs31; nid-1(cg119) lines, following established procedures (Eastwood et al., 2015; Katta et al.,

2019; O'Hagan et al., 2005). As a consequence of geometric constraints imposed by our

stimulator system, most of our recordings are from the ALMR neuron. The ALMR and ALML

neurons are bilaterally symmetric and exhibit no detectable differences in voltage- or touch-

evoked currents (this study and Eastwood et al., 2015; Katta et al., 2019; O'Hagan et al., 2005).

We used the following salines in all recordings. Extracellular saline contained (in mM): NaCl

(145), KCl (5), $MgCl_2$ (5), $CaCl_2$ (1), and Na-HEPES (10), adjusted to a pH of 7.2 with NaOH.

We added D-glucose (final concentration of 20mM) to external saline, bringing the osmolarity to

~325 mOsm. Intracellular recording solution contained (in mM): K-gluconate (125), KCl (18),

NaCl (4), $MgCl_2$ (1), $CaCl_2$ (0.6), K-HEPES (10), and K_2EGTA (10), and adjusted to a pH of 7.2

with KOH. We added a fluorescent dye, sulforhodamine 101 (1mM, Invitrogen), to the

intracellular solution in order visually confirm whether or not the whole-cell configuration was

achieved in each recording. We used an EPC-10 USB amplifier controlled by Patchmaster

software (version 2x90; HEKA/Harvard Biosciences) to record membrane current, deliver

voltage-clamp stimuli, and to control the mechanical stimulator. Mechanical stimuli were

delivered using an open-loop system adapted from (Peng and Ricci, 2016) and described

previously (Katta et al, 2019). Analog data (membrane potential, membrane current) were

filtered at 2.9 kHz and digitized at 5 kHz.

Voltage-activated currents were measured in response to 100ms pulses between -80 and

80mV (in 20mV increments) from a holding potential of -60mV. We applied each voltage

protocol in triplicate and averaged the resulting membrane current traces to improve the signal to

noise, as described (Goodman et al., 1998). Membrane voltage was corrected for errors due to

liquid-junction potentials (-14 mV) and residual series resistance measured in each recording.

We omitted recordings with series resistance larger than 200 MΩ and holding current more than

-10 pA at -60 mV. MRCs were evoked by indentation steps (300 ms) with an interstimulus

interval of 1s from a holding potential of -60mV, as described by Katta et al., 2019. We applied 3

repetitions of mechanical stimuli and averaged the resulting MRC traces. We used peak-finding

software to measure peak MRC currents at the onset and offset of the mechanical stimulation

pulse. For clarity, only the 'on' MRCs are shown in this study.

Protein structure homology modeling

We generated a homology models for the NID-1[G3]-LAM-2[EGF8] complex and

MEC-1[Ku15] using the Phyre2 protein fold recognition server (Protein Homology/analogY

Recognition Engine V 2.0) (Mezulis et al., 2015). For the NID-1[G3]-LAM-2[EGF8] complex

model, we used the crystal structure of mouse nidogen bound to laminin-γ (PDB ID: 1NPE;

Takagi et al., 2003). For the MEC-1[Ku15] model, we used the structure of the neurotoxin

MitTx-alpha bound to the chicken ASIC1 ion channel (PDB ID: 4NTX; Baconguis et al., 2014).

Both models were rendered for publication using the The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System,

Version 2.5.1 Schrödinger, LLC.

Transmission electron microscopy

We relied on multiple, independent serial-section TEM (ssTEM) datasets to investigate

the ultrastructure of ECM structures associated with the TRNs in wild-type animals. In all cases,

animals were prepared for TEM by high-pressure freezing followed by freeze substitution with

chemical fixatives and embedded in plastic resin. The image series of ALM and AVM neurons

shown in Figures 1E and Figure S1A-B and E(left) is derived from a wild-type (N2), L3 stage

larva, while those of PLM and PVM neurons shown in Figures S1C-D and E(right) is from a

wild-type (N2), L4 stage larva. We estimated ECM beam dimensions and distance from the TRN

plasma membrane and basal membrane of epidermal cells from ssTEM images of ALM neurons

prepared in young adult worms, prepared for a prior publication (Kreig et al., 2017). Despite

variations in sample preparation, life stage, embedding resins, sample preparation and

microscope instrumentation, ECM beam structures have similar appearances and structures in all

ssTEM datasets we have examined.

For L3 larval worms, we relied on high pressure freezing followed by freeze substitution,

as previously described (Mulcahy et al., 2018; Witvliet et al., 2021). Briefly, mixed-stage wild-

type (N2) animals were collected into 3 mm high pressure freezing carriers with 100 μm wells

(Leica, product #16770141), using OP50 *E. coli* as a filler. A flat lid (Leica, product #16770142)

was placed on top and the sandwich was high pressure frozen using a Leica EM ICE (product

#16771801). Freeze substitution was accomplished by ramping the sample from -90 to -60°C

over 20 hours in 0.1% tannic acid and 0.5% glutaraldehyde, followed by washing 4 times with

acetone over 3 hours, exchanging with 2% OsO$_4$ and ramping the temperature to -20°C over 8

hours, holding at -20°C for 11 hours, ramping the temperature to 0°C over 4 hours and washing 4

times with acetone over 1 hour. We then infiltrated the sample with Spurr-Quetol resin,

embedding single animals, and cured the samples (60°C, 24 hours). The age of this sample was

determined by the lineage of neuronal and non-neuronal cells in the volume (Witvliet et al.,

2021). We collected 50 nm serial sections on 2x0.5 mm slot grids using a Leica UC7

ultramicrotome and 35° diamond knife (Diatome). Sections were post-stained with 2% aqueous

uranyl acetate and 0.1% Reynold's lead citrate, and imaged at 0.768 nm/pixel X-Y resolution

using a Technai T20 TEM at 120kV equipped with a digital camera (Gatan Orius). We aligned

and down-sampled 212 and 427 serial TEM micrographs to 3.072 nm/pixel resolution, estimated

to cover 10.6 μm (ALML), 10.6 μm (ALMR), and 21.53 μm (AVM) processes.

For L4 larval worms, samples were high-pressure frozen in M9 media containing 20%

BSA and E. coli in specimen carriers with a 50 μm deep well (Cat. no. 390, TechnoTrade

International, Inc.). These specimen carriers were covered with the flat side of a Type B

specimen carrier (Cat. no. 242, Technotrade International Inc.) and frozen with a BalTec HPM01

high pressure freezer (Liechtenstein). Freeze-substitution was carried out with a Leica AFS2 unit

in acetone containing 1% OsO$_4$, 0.1% uranyl acetate, 1% methanol, and 3% water (Walther and

Ziegler, 2002). Samples were held at -90°C for 16 hours, ramped to -60°C in 6 hours, held at -

60°C for 6 hours, ramped to -25°C in 7 hrs, held at -25°C for 6 hours, and finally ramped to 0°C

over 5 hours. At the completion of substitution, we rinsed samples in acetone, infiltrated and then

polymerized in Eponate 12 resin (Ted Pella, Inc.). We cut serial 50 nm cross-sections with a

Leica UCT ultramicrotome using a Diatome diamond knife, picked up the sections on Pioloform-

coated slot grids and stained with uranyl acetate and Sato's lead (Sato, 1968). We imaged the

sections with an FEI Tecnai T12 TEM at 120 kV equipped with a Gatan U895 4k x 4k camera

(Gatan, Pleasonton, CA) at a pixel resolution of 1.01 nm/pixel using SerialEM (Mastronarde,

2005).

 Light microscopy

 C. elegans animal mounting for imaging

To visualize and analyze MEC-4 and protein constitutions of the basal lamina in living
animals, we generated sets of still and time-lapse images. We imaged *C. elegans* animals
mounted on agarose pads (5% wt/vol) on glass slides. In brief, we used levamisole (5mM in M9
buffer) to immobilize age-synchronized young adult animals or L1 larvae. We mounted young
adult animals on grooved agar pads fabricated by casting the pads on the surface of a standard
LP record (Rivera Gomez and Schvarzstein, 2018). This approach generates worm-sized grooves
and constrains animals to a straight posture within the grooves, enabling us to image dozens of
animals in a single imaging session. This approach was not appropriate for L1 larvae, however,
due to their narrower bodies. Instead, we collected synchronized L1s in M9 buffer, treated them
with levamisole for ~10 minutes until most animals assumed a straight posture, concentrated the
animals by centrifugation, and transferred 2 µL of concentrated L1s to surface of a smooth
agarose pad bearing a small droplet of levamisole (5mM in M9). To keep the samples moist
during each imaging session, we covered all mounted animals with a glass cover slip and sealed
the coverslip with VALAP (1:1:1 Vaseline:Lanolin:Paraffin).

Wide-field and confocal fluorescence microscopy (still images)
We generated wide-field fluorescence images of the ALM neurites and associated basal
lamina (BL) structures using an automated inverted compound microscope equipped for
epifluorescence imaging (Keyence BZ-X800). Using the instrument's stitch and align function,
we first collected a low magnification image (4X) and selected individual animals to image at
high magnification (60X, Nikon Plan Apo lambda, oil immersion, NA=1.40). In most cases, we
used the stitch and align function to generate complete images of the ALM neurite. For all
experiments (except those using strains expressing mCherry::RAB-3) designed to compare
puncta distribution or fluorescence intensity across genotypes, we kept the following image
acquisition parameters constant: exposure time (mNG::MEC-4 = 1 s, LAM-1::wSc = 0.2 s,
LAM-2::mNG = 1.2 s, NID-1::wSc = 0.133 s), binning (1x1), camera gain settings (+6dB). We
acquired images of strains expressing the synaptic vesicle marker, mCherry::RAB-3 using 2x2
binning, and the following exposure times: mNG::MEC-4 = 0.2 s, mCherry::RAB-3 = 0.1 s. For
imaging the movement of MEC-4 in vesicles over time (Figure S3C,S3E), we used time-lapse

software to collect dual-color time-lapse image stacks using Keyence BZ-X800 and Nikon Plan

Apo lambda 60x objective, using the following acquisition parameters: 3x3 binning, 1 frame

every 3s, for 40 frames (or a total duration of 120s or 2 minutes). To improve visualization of

TRN-associated BL structures, we generated confocal stacks of transgenic animals (Figure 1C-

D) using an inverted, laser-scanning confocal microscope (Leica SP8, Stanford Cell Sciences

Imaging Facility) and a 40X oil-immersion lens (Leica 40X HC PL APO, CS2, oil, NA=1.30).

Time-lapse imaging and fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP)

We conducted FRAP experiments in living animals, mounting animals as described

above and generated time-lapse images on an inverted, laser-scanning confocal microscope

(Leica SP8, Stanford Cell Sciences Imaging Facility) at 40X magnification (Leica 40X HC PL

APO, CS2, oil, NA=1.30). Briefly, an image scientist (AD or DC) acquired images at 1 fps for

10 frames prior to bleaching, selected a region of interest (~15x15 pixels) containing a defined

punctum or in an inter-punctum interval, and scanned that region at maximum power for 3.5 s to

bleach the fluorophores present. Following bleaching, we acquired images every 5 seconds

(mNG::MEC-4, LAM-2::mNG, NID-1::mNG and SDN-1::mNG) or every 1 second (myr::GFP)

for 60 frames.

C. elegans TRNs in culture

We used the Keyence instrument (BZ-X800) to generate still fluorescence images of

dissociated, live TRNs using a similar strategy, 24 or 48 hours after plating on 6-well glass-

bottom dishes coated with PNA, uniformly or in a striped pattern. We acquired images using a

60x oil immersion objective (Nikon Plan Apo lambda 60x), using the following parameters:

binning (1x1), 1.5 ms exposures in the green (mNG) channel and 16ms exposures in the red

(mCherry) channel. In addition to epifluorescence images, we also collected brightfield images

of the same fields (1x1 binning, 6 msec exposure). Widefield images were saved as 32-bit RGB

*.tiff files. We used time-lapse software to collect dual-color time-lapse image stacks (Figure

S3D) using the same microscope (Keyence BZ-X800) and objective (Nikon Plan Apo lambda

60x), using the following acquisition parameters: 1x1 binning, 1 frame every 6s, for 20 frames

(or a total duration of 120s or 2 minutes).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Serial-section TEM reconstruction

For data stacks generated for Krieg, et al., 2017, we used ImageJ (Rasband, 1997) to measure the width and height of ECM beam structures in single transmission electron micrographs. In order to determine their length and generate three-dimensional models, we used Reconstruct software (Fiala, 2005), a legacy editor for serial-section TEM analysis running under WindowsXP or Linux (https://www.bu.edu/neural/Reconstruct.html). In brief, all images of consecutive sections were aligned manually and the outline of the ECM beams, TRN membrane, and epidermal cell basal membrane were traced by hand. For all other datastacks, either individual images or montaged sections were aligned with TrakEM2 (Cardona et al., 2012) in Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) using elastic deformation corrections and examined them visually. The length of ECM beam arrays and the distance separating adjacent arrays were determined by observing the presence of beams in individual sections in these datastacks.

Fluorescence signal analysis in ALM neurons

Pre-processing fluorescence images of neurites

We used Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) and Python to build an efficient, reproducible image processing pipeline for analyzing fluorescence signal distribution along ALM neurons. As described above, we first generated images showing the entire ALM neurons using the stitch-and-align function of the Keyence microscope proprietary software and exported images in *.tif format. Next, we traced the anterior processes of the ALM neurites from the cell body to the distal tip (unless otherwise mentioned) as a segmented line (line parameters: width = 20 pixels, spline fit). We converted these traces to straightened cropped sections using the built-in 'Straighten' function in FIJI.

Puncta analysis

We then analyzed the straightened neurite images generated as described above by a custom Python script (https://github.com/wormsenseLab/Neurite_fluorescence_analysis), handling background subtraction and calculating the fluorescence intensity of a labeled protein along the neurite. Puncta were detected from these plots using the 'find_peaks' function from scipy library. To minimize bias in peak detection from differences in expression levels, we

employed adaptive threshold estimation for each image, as a function of the local minima and

global mean and standard deviations of the fluorescence intensity for each image. For MEC-4

images, the threshold estimation function additionally took into account the local average

intensity since there was often a gradual decrease in overall intensity from the proximal to the

distal end of the neurite. We recorded the position of each puncta as the distance of the puncta

peak from the cell body along the neurite and calculated inter-punctum intervals as the distance

between the peaks of neighboring puncta. We also calculated the punctate and diffuse

fluorescence fractions from these intensity profiles. Except for analysis of mCherry::RAB-3, we

analyzed fluorescence in the entire ALM neurite. For strains expressing mCherry::RAB-3, we

focused analysis on a 200 µm-long segment of the ALM neurite immediately anterior to the cell

body.

Colocalization analysis

We analyzed colocalization of two proteins along the ALM neurite by calculating the

Intensity Correlation Quotient (ICQ). ICQ is a measure of pixel-by-pixel co-variation of

intensities between two fluorescent channels. It is defined as the ratio of the number of pixels

where the product of difference from mean for the red and green channels are positive, to the

total number of pixels subtracted by 0.5 (Li et al., 2004). As a consequence, the ICQ values vary

from 0.5 (perfect co-localization) to -0.5 (perfect exclusion). Dual-color labeling that is

uncorrelated or whose analysis is impeded by background noise will give a value close to zero.

To validate this approach to colocalization in the ALM neurons, we generated reference

conditions in which near-perfect co-localization was expected (*i.e.* labeling NID-1 with mNG

and wSc) and in which no colocalization was expected (*i.e.* dual-labeling with a punctate and

diffuse marker). Previously, we used this approach to analyze colocalization of antibody-labeled

MEC-4, MEC-2 and MEC-5 in fixed TRNs, using two antibodies directed against MEC-2 as a

co-localization reference (Cueva et al., 2007). As an additional means of cross-validation, we

randomly selected red and green channels from different cells within each dataset and used the

resulting simulated pairs to compute ICQ. In all cases and as expected, the simulated pairs had

ICQ values close to zero (Figure 2F, 7H, S4E, S5E, S7G, S7J).

We also determined object colocalization by measuring the percentfage of detected

puncta in one channel that co-localized with puncta detected in the other channel and vice-versa.

We defined positive co-localization if the peaks of two puncta were less than 0.5 um apart. As
above, we also computed the co-localization of puncta between red and green channels randomly
selected from different cells within each dataset, to estimate the expected baseline level of puncta
colocalization between two random fluorescence profiles with similar overall number and
spacing of puncta. In all cases and as expected, these values were close to zero (Figure S2F-G,
S4F-G, S5F-G, S7H-I, S7K-L)

FRAP analysis

We processed the time-lapse image stacks of ALM neurons before and after
photobleaching as follows. First, we traced ALM neurites (using the segmented line tool in
ImageJ), converting the image stack to a kymograph of the ALM neurite. We then inspected
kymographs for global movement of the neurite during image acquisition and for the appearance
of moving puncta, excluding samples with clear evidence of movement from further analysis.
Once validated, we generated a straightened, 21-pixel wide cropped image stack, containing the
ALM neurite. We then analyzed the cropped image stacks using a custom Python script
(https://github.com/wormsenseLab/FRAP) to detect the bleached region based on the expectation
that it would contain the maximum change in fluorescence intensity between the last three
frames collected prior to bleaching and the first three frames after bleaching. The average
fluorescence intensity in the bleached region for each time point was corrected for overall
photobleaching over the time period of image acquisition, by dividing by the average
fluorescence intensity in the non-bleached region at the same time point. These corrected values
were then normalized by setting the average pre-bleach intensity to 1 and the intensity of the first
frame after photobleaching to zero. We calculated the maximum fractional fluorescence recovery
as the average recovery observed for the last three time-points of the time-lapse.

Statistical analysis

Inter-punctum intervals (IPIs)

In this study, we relied on inter-punctum intervals as a standardized measure of the
distribution of discrete puncta. This metric has been used previously to analyze the distribution
of MEC-4 channels (Arnadottir et al, 2011; Cueva et al, 2007; Vasquez et al, 2014) and here we
use it for this purpose and for analyzing the associated BL puncta. Because IPI is derived from
the distance between the location of the peak intensity of each punctum and its neighbor, it is

more robust to background noise and errors inherent in intensity-based image segmentation.

Table S2 collects and summarizes IPI measurements (mean±standard deviation, median ±

interquartile range, number of ALMs analyzed, number of IPIs analyzed) as a function of each *C.*

elegans strain. For pairwise comparison of cumulative distributions of IPI values, we performed

the Kolmogorov-Smirnof test (using the scipy.stats library in Python) and reported the

corresponding ks-statistic and p-value on the respective figures. For comparing IPIs between

three or more groups, we performed 1-way ANOVA and Tukey-HSD post hoc testing (using the

statsmodels library in Python), and reported the results in the figure legend.

Other data types

In addition to summarizing IPI measurements, Table S2 also summarizes other

measurements derived from still and time-lapse imaging (ICQ measurements, puncta

colocalization, MEC-4 fluorescence intensity, maximum fluorescence recovery after

photobleaching), *in vivo* whole-cell patch clamp recordings (peak mechanoreceptor currents),

and behavioral studies touch sensitivity. We performed Student's t-test (using the scipy.stats

library in Python) for comparison between two groups, 1-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc

testing (using the statsmodels library in Python) for comparison between three or more groups

with one independent variable, and 2-way ANOVA with Tukey post hoc testing (using the

statsmodels library in Python) for comparison between three or more groups with two

independent variables, and reported the results in the figure legend. For determination of

statistically significant differences between groups, p-value cutoff was set at 0.05.

1467

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION TITLES AND LEGENDS

Movie S1: TEM serial section ALML showing discontinuous ECM beams (related to Figure
1E)

Table S1. List of all strains used in screening for localization of proteins along TRNs

Table S2: Summary data tables
A. Inter-punctum interval
B. Punctum length
C. ICQ
D. Puncta colocalization
E. MEC-4 intensity
F. FRAP
G. Touch score
H. Peak MRC amplitude
1481

Figure S1. TEM images of TRN cross sections showing discontinuous segments containing
beam-like structure in the ECM , related to Figure 1E.
A-D. TEM micrographs showing the cross sections of TRNs at different points along the neuron
in a serial section stack. (A) and (B) show the ALMR and AVM neurons, respectively, from the
same worm as the ALML shown in Figure 1E. (C) and (D) show the PLM and PVM neurons
from a different worm. Red arrows point towards the electron dense ECM beams in the
extracellular space between the TRN and the epidermis. z-axis maps of the entire serial section
stack of TEM images used for the respective top panels show the relative position of the
segments with (red) or without (yellow) visible ECM beams. Red numbers indicate the length (in
microns) of each segment with visible ECM beams. Black numbers indicate the distance (in
microns) between the mid-points of successive red segments.
E. TEM micrographs showing the cross sections of several neurons in the ventral cord, including
the PVM (left) or AVM (right). AVM and PVM neurons are highlighted in yellow. Red arrows
point towards the electron dense ECM beams in the extracellular space between the TRN and the
epidermis. The micrograph of PVM (left) and AVM (right) is from the same series shown in Fig.

S1D and Fig. S1B, respectively. Scale bars = 500 nm.

1498

Figure S2. Punctum length and FRAP fractional recovery for BL proteins and the MEC-4

channel, related to Figure 2.

A. Boxplots showing the distribution of punctum lengths for LAM-1::wSc, LAM-2::mNG,

NID-1::wSc and mNG::MEC-4. The dataset used is the same as that in Figure 2B. Box denotes

the median and interquartile range, while whiskers extend to the limits of the distribution

excluding outliers. One-way ANOVA comparing punctum lengths across different protein labels

shows statistically significant differences [$F(3,11753) = 68.05$, $p = 1.28e\text{-}43$]. Tukey HSD post

hoc analysis shows significant differences ($p = 0.001$, groups connected by gray bars) between

all pairs except NID-1::wSc and mNG::MEC-4. Summary data are in Table S2.

B. Representative, *in vivo* live imaging of myristoylated GFP (myr::GFP) expressed in the TRNs.

Top two panels show fluorescence before and after bleaching, respectively; the bottom panel

shows a kymograph (distance-time image) of a 10 s pre-bleach period and 60 s observation

period.

C. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) for myr::GFP. About 70% fluorescence

recovery for myr::GFP was seen during the observation period. Dark trace and shaded regions

show the average and standard deviation of the trajectory of fluorescence recovery for 14

neurons.

D-E. Fractional fluorescence recovery at 300 s (D) and 60 s (E) after photobleaching for the

different protein labels. The dataset used is the same as in Figure 2D, S2C. One-way ANOVA

shows statistically significant differences among groups (Panel D: $F(3,48) = 68.73$, $p = 2.15e\text{-}17$;

Panel E: $F(4,61) = 179.11$, $p = 5.64e\text{-}33$). Tukey HSD post hoc analysis shows significant

differences (groups connected by gray bars, $p = 0.001$) between all pairs except NID-1::mNG

and LAM-2::mNG.

F-G. Plots showing the fraction of green puncta that co-localize with red puncta (F) or vice-versa

(G) as a function of genotype. The dataset used is the same as in Figure 2F. Each circle shows a

measurement from a single ALM neurite. Black squares show the mean of the filled circles or

open circles respectively, and the black bars show standard deviation. In all cases, the fractional

puncta colocalization between two fluorescence channels from the same cell (circles) are

significantly higher (Student's t-test, p<0.05) than that measured for simulated pairs (boxplots

showing the interquartile (box) and full range (whiskers), excluding outliers). One-way ANOVA

showed a significant effect of the protein pair (Panel F: $F(4,65) = 19.87$, $p = 1.0e-10$; Panel G:

$F(4,65) = 11.74$, $p = 3.1e-7$). Gray bars connect the groups whose fractional puncta

colocalization differ from one another by Tukey post hoc analysis ($p < 0.005$). Summary data are

in Table S2.

1533

Figure S3. Basal lamina proteins in other tissues and TRNs (A-B), co-localization and co-

transport of RAB-3, MEC-4 and NID-1 (C-F), and fractional recovery as a function of

genotype (G), related to Figure 3.

A. Representative images of animals expressing NID-1::wSc (left) and LAM-2::mNG (right) in

control, *mec-5(u444)*, *mec-1(e1738)* and *mec-9(u437)* animals showing the similarity in

distribution in basal lamina surrounding the gonad as a function of genotype. Scale bars = 100

μm.

B. Fluorescence photomicrographs of animals co-expressing LAM-2::mNG and a cytoplasmic

RFP marker in the TRNs revealing discrete LAM-2 puncta associated with the TRNs in control,

but not in *mec-5(u444)*, *mec-1(e1738)* and *mec-9(u437)* animals. Scale bars = 10 μm.

C-E. Kymographs of dual labeled TRNs showing that mobile mNG::MEC-4 puncta co-localize

with mCherry::RAB-3 puncta *in vivo* (C) and in TRNs cultured *in vitro* (D), but do not co-

localize with NID-1::wSc puncta *in vivo* (E).

F. Plot showing the density (average number of puncta per 20 μm) of all detected

mCherry::RAB-3 positive vesicles (magenta) and MEC-4-containing RAB-3 positive vesicles

(white) in each cell. The dataset used is the same as in Figure 3D. Pairs of data points from

individual cells are connected by magenta lines. Circles denote mean values and thick vertical

lines show the standard deviation. One-way ANOVA fails to detect an effect of genotype on total

RAB-3 density [$F(3,80) = 2.22$, $p = 0.09$] and on the density of MEC-4-containing RAB-3

puncta [$F(3,80) = 1.77$, $p = 0.16$].

G. Plots showing the fractional fluorescence recovery at 300 s after photobleaching for the

different genotypes. The dataset used is the same as in Figure 3F. One-way ANOVA shows

statistically significant effect of genotype [$F(2,67) = 3.35$, $p = 0.04$] and a post hoc analysis

(Tukey HSD) shows a significant difference (groups connected by gray bars) between control

and *mec-1(e1738)* but no significant difference between *mec-1* and *mec-9* mutants.

Figure S4. Mechanosensory ECM assembly is not dependent on the ion-channel subunits or

TRN microtubules, related to Figure 4.

A. Representative images of LAM-1::wSc puncta present in *mec-4(u253)mec-10(tm1552)* double

mutant (top) and *mec-4(u253)mec-10(tm1552);degt-1(tm6356)* triple mutant (bottom). Anterior

is to the left; scale bars are 10 μm.

B. Probability density distribution plots of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) for

LAM-1::wSc in *mec-4(u253);mec-10(tm1552)* double mutant and

mec-4(u253);mec-10(tm1552)degt-1(tm6356) triple mutant. White circles denote mean, thin

vertical lines denote the median and thick horizontal lines denote the interquartile ranges of the

population. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

C. Plots of cumulative probability distribution of LAM-1::wSc IPIs in the indicated alleles

(green) vs control worms (gray, replotted from Figure 2B). The results of two-sample

Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests are indicated in each plot.

D. Stacked bar plots representing the fraction of MEC-4 in mechanosensory complexes (dark

green), and in the diffuse pool (light green) in control vs. *mec-7(ok2152)* animals show that

MEC-4 is distributed evenly between the two pools in both genotypes. The dataset used is the

same as in Figure 4E-F. Student's t-test shows no significant difference in the fraction of MEC-4

in mechanosensory complexes between the two genotypes (p=0.13). Summarized data are

collected in Table S2.

E-G. MEC-4 and NID-1 puncta co-localize in control and *mec-7(ok2152)* animals. Plots showing

ICQ (E), the fraction of MEC-4 puncta that co-localize with NID-1 puncta (F) or vice-versa (G)

as a function of genotype. The dataset used is the same as in Figure 4E-F. Each circle (open

circles: control, filled circles: *mec-7(ok2152)*) shows a measurement from a single ALM neurite.

Black squares show the mean and the black bars show standard deviation. Observed ICQ and

fractional puncta colocalization values for control are significantly higher (gray bars connecting

groups with p<0.05 in Student's t-test) than *mec-7(ok2152)* in E, F and G. For both genotypes,

the ICQ (E) or fractional puncta colocalization values (F,G) between two fluorescence channels

from the same cell (circles) are significantly higher (p-values<0.05 in Student's t-test) than that

measured for simulated pairs (boxplots, box: interquartile range, whiskers: full range excluding

outliers). Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

1590

Figure S5. Effect of loss of epi-1 and nid-1 on MEC-4 puncta (A-D), MEC-4 co-localization with BL proteins (E-G), and voltage-gated currents in ALM as function of genotype (H-I), related to Figure 5.

A. Representative images showing mNG::MEC-4 localize to discrete puncta distributed along the ALM neurons and TRN morphology defects in *epi-1(gm57)* adult animals. Boxed area in the top panel is expanded in the lower panel. Similar patterns observed in 9 ALM neurons in 9 adult animals.

B. Probability density distribution plots of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) for mNG::MEC-4 in *epi-1(gm57)* mutant animals. Due to the TRN morphological defects the complete TRNs could not be analyzed in their entirety; instead IPIs were measured in 13 segments that were at least 50 µm long drawn from 9 independent biological replicates (ALM neurites). White circles denote mean, thin vertical lines denote the median and thick horizontal lines denote the interquartile ranges of the population. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

C. Cumulative distribution plots of mNG::MEC-4 puncta distribution in *epi-1(gm57)* mutants and control animals. The green and gray lines are data for *epi-1(gm57)* and control, respectively. Control IPIs were computationally selected from 20 randomly positioned 50 µm ALM segments in 15 control animals to provide a better match to the analysis available for *epi-1(gm57)* animals. The results of two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests showing no significant difference between the two distributions are indicated in the plot.

D. Stacked barplots representing the fraction of MEC-4 in mechanosensory complexes (dark green), and in the diffuse pool (light green) in control vs. *nid-1(cg119)* mutant animals show that *nid-1* loss of function redistributes MEC-4 to a diffuse membrane pool. The dataset used is the same as in Figure 5E-F. Numbers in the bar graph indicate the sample size (number of ALMs) and error bars show standard deviations of the measurements for each genotype. Student's t-test shows a significant difference (gray bar) in the MEC-4 diffuse pool between the genotypes (p=2.28e-21). Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

E-G. Loss of *nid-1* function decreases MEC-4 and LAM-1 intensity correlation, even though individual puncta co-occur more often than expected from a random distribution. Plots showing ICQ (E), the fraction of MEC-4 puncta that co-localize with LAM-1 puncta (F) or vice-versa (G)

as a function of genotype. The dataset used is the same as in Figure 5E-F. Each circle (open

circles: control, filled circles: *nid-1(cg119)*) shows a measurement from a single ALM neurite.

Black squares show the mean of the filled circles or open circles respectively, and the black bars

show standard deviation. Observed ICQ and fractional puncta colocalization values for control

are significantly higher (Student's t-test, p<0.05) than *nid-1(cg119)* in E, F and G. For both

genotypes the ICQ (E) or fractional puncta colocalization values (F,G) between two fluorescence

channels from the same cell (circles) are significantly higher (gray bars connecting groups with

p-values<0.05 in Student's t-test) than that measured for simulated pairs (boxplots, box: inter-

quartile range, whiskers: full range excluding outliers). Summarized data are collected in Table

S2.

H. Representative membrane current traces evoked by voltage pulses (from -80 to +80mV, in 20

mV increments) applied from a holding potential of -60 mV. Each trace represents the average of

three stimulus presentations for control (left, dark gray), *nid-1(cg119)* (middle, green) and *mec-*

5(u444) (right, magenta) mutants. Traces are shaded according to the voltage applied during the

step. Similar results obtained in a total of 11, 9 and 2 recordings for control, *nid-1* and *mec-5*

animals, respectively.

I. Peak current vs. voltage. Dark gray, dark green and magenta traces are the average peak

current of the lighter gray, green and magenta traces for control, *nid-1* and *mec-5* mutants,

respectively. For individual recordings, membrane voltage is corrected for residual series

resistance.

1641

Figure S6. NID-1[ΔG3], LAM-2[NSS] and LAM-3[NSS] mutations successfully disrupt

nidogen/laminin binding, related to Figure 6.

A. Stacked bars representing the fraction of MEC-4 in the diffuse pool (light green) and

mechanosensory complexes (dark green) as a function of genotype. One-way ANOVA shows a

significant effect of genotype [$F_{(6,188)} = 148.43$, $p = 1.51e-68$]. Gray bars connect groups that

are significantly different (p<0.05) from control by Tukey-HSD post hoc analysis. Numbers on

bars indicate the number of biological replicates for each genotype. Summary data in Table S2.

B. Touch response as a function of genotype. One-way ANOVA shows significant differences

between groups [$F_{(6,518)} = 29.88$, $p = 8.51e-31$]. gray bars connect groups which are

significantly different (p<0.05) from control by Tukey-HSD post hoc analysis. Bars are the

average (± SEM) response to 10 touches of *n=75* animals tested blind to genotype in 3

independent cohorts. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

C. Summary of the NID-1 localization in TRNs, pharynx and body wall muscles in the different

genotypes. "+++" indicates strong localization, "+" indicates weak localization and "-" indicates

no localization. NID-1 localization data was not collected for the NID-1[ΔG1-G2] background.

Loss of NID-1 protein in the *nid-1(cg119)* null background was confirmed by Western blot in

previous literature (Kang and Kramer, 2000).

D-E. Representative images showing localization of nidogen (NID-1, magenta) and laminin-γ

(LAM-2, green) to BL surrounding the pharynx (D, white arrows), nerve ring (D, white

arrowheads), body wall muscle (E, black arrows) and TRN (E, black arrowheads) in the

indicated mutants. Nidogen fluorescence around the pharynx and body wall muscles is lost in

NID-1[ΔG3] and LAM-2[NSS]LAM-3[NSS] backgrounds. In the LAM-2[NSS] single mutant

background, nidogen is partially lost from the basal lamina surrounding the pharynx and body

wall muscles, indicating LAM-3 is capable of recruiting nidogen without LAM-2. In the

LAM-3[NSS] single mutant, nidogen was recruited to all tissues similar to control, as LAM-2 is

present in all laminin and LAM-2 is capable of recruiting nidogen without LAM-3. Nidogen

fluorescence in the nervous tissue-associated BL, such as the nerve ring and puncta along TRNs

are preserved in all the mutants tested, indicating the role of additional factors that recruit

nidogen to these BL. In contrast, localization of laminin to the pharynx and body wall muscle is

not affected in any of the mutants disrupting nidogen-laminin binding.

1672

Figure S7. Disrupting Ku15 in MEC-1 minimally affects LAM-1, LAM-2 and NID-1 puncta

distribution, related to Figure 7.

A-B. Representative images of ALM neurons in dual-color transgenic animals showing

preservation of ECM puncta (LAM-1 in A, NID-1 and LAM-2 in B) but loss of MEC-4 (A)

puncta in the *mec-1(e1526)* allele. Anterior is to the left, scale bar is 10 μm.

C. Probability density distribution plots of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) in

mec-1(e1526) mutants for LAM-1::wSc and mNG::MEC-4, NID-1::wSc (E) and LAM-2::mNG

(E). White circles denote mean, thin vertical lines denote the median and thick horizontal lines

denote the interquartile ranges of the population. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

D. Plots of cumulative probability distribution of LAM-1::wSc (top) and mNG::MEC-4 (bottom)

IPIs in the *mec-1(e1526)* mutant (magenta or green) vs control worms (gray, replotted from

Figure 5F). The results of two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests are indicated in each plot.

E. Probability density distribution plots of IPIs (top) and the individual IPIs (bottom) in

mec-1(e1526) mutants for LAM-1::wSc and LAM-2::mNG. White circles denote mean, thin

vertical lines denote the median and thick horizontal lines denote the interquartile ranges of the

population. Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

F. Plots of cumulative probability distribution of NID-1::wSc (top) and LAM-2::mNG (bottom)

IPIs in the *mec-1(e1526)* mutant (magenta or green) vs control worms (gray). The results of two-

sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests are indicated in each plot.

G-I. Residual MEC-4 puncta colocalize with LAM-1 puncta in *mec-1(e1526)* animals. Plots

showing ICQ of LAM-1 and MEC-4 (G), the fraction of MEC-4 puncta that co-localize with

LAM-1 puncta (H) or vice-versa (I) as a function of genotype. Each circle (open circles: control,

filled circles: *mec-1(e1526)*) shows a measurement from a single ALM neurite. Black squares

show the mean of the filled circles or open circles respectively, and the black bars show standard

deviation. Observed values for control are significantly higher (Student's t-test, $p<0.05$) than

mec-1(e1526) in G-I (gray bars). For both genotypes the ICQ (G) or fractional puncta

colocalization values (H,I) between two fluorescence channels from the same cell (circles) are

significantly higher (Student's t-test, $p<0.05$) than that measured for simulated pairs (boxplots,

box: inter-quartile range, whiskers: full range excluding outliers). Summarized data are collected

in Table S2.

J-L. LAM-2 and NID-1 puncta co-localize in control and *mec-1(e1526)* animals. Plots showing

ICQ of NID-1 and LAM-2 (J), fraction of LAM-2 puncta that co-localize with NID-1 puncta (K)

or vice-versa (L) as a function of genotype. Each circle (open circles: control, filled circles: *mec-*

1(e1526)) shows a measurement from a single ALM neurite. Black squares show the mean of the

filled circles or open circles respectively, and the black bars show standard deviation. ICQ values

for control are not significantly different (Student's t-test, $p=0.09$) from *mec-1(e1526)* (J). There

are small but statistically significant differences in fractional puncta colocalization values (K,

$p=0.006$; L, $p=0.049$) between control and *mec-1(e1526)* animals (gray bars). For both genotypes

the ICQ (J) or fractional puncta colocalization values (K,L) between two fluorescence channels

from the same cell (circles) are significantly higher (Student's t-test, $p<0.05$) than that measured

from simulated pairs (boxplots, box: inter-quartile range, whiskers: full range excluding outliers).

Summarized data are collected in Table S2.

1715

References

Ackley, B.D., Kang, S.H., Crew, J.R., Suh, C., Jin, Y., and Kramer, J.M. (2003). The basement
membrane components nidogen and type XVIII collagen regulate organization of neuromuscular
junctions in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J. Neurosci. *23*, 3577–3587.

Ackley, B.D., Harrington, R.J., Hudson, M.L., Williams, L., Kenyon, C.J., Chisholm, A.D., and
Jin, Y. (2005). The two isoforms of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* leukocyte-common antigen
related receptor tyrosine phosphatase PTP-3 function independently in axon guidance and
synapse formation. J. Neurosci. *25*, 7517–7528.

Árnadóttir, J., O'Hagan, R., Chen, Y., Goodman, M.B., Chalfie, M. (2011). The DEG/ENaC
protein MEC-10 regulates the transduction channel complex in *Caenorhabditis elegans* touch
receptor neurons. J. Neurosci. *31*, 12695–12704.

Arribere, J.A., Bell, R.T., Fu, B.X.H.H., Artiles, K.L., Hartman, P.S., and Fire, A.Z. (2014).
Efficient marker-free recovery of custom genetic modifications with CRISPR/Cas9 in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 198, 837–846.

Aumailley, M. (2013). The laminin family. Cell Adhes. Migr. *7*, 48–55.

Aumailley, M. (2021). Laminins and interaction partners in the architecture of the basement
membrane at the dermal-epidermal junction. Exp. Dermatol. *30*, 17–24.

Aumailley, M., Battaglia, C., Mayer, U., Reinhardt, D., Nischt, R., Timpl, R., and Fox, J.W.
(1993). Nidogen mediates the formation of ternary complexes of basement membrane
components. Kidney Int. *43*, 7–12.

Baconguis, I., Bohlen, C.J., Goehring, A., Julius, D., and Gouaux, E. (2014). X-ray structure of
acid-sensing ion channel 1-snake toxin complex reveals open state of a Na+-selective channel.
Cell *156*, 717–729.

Bader, B.L., Smyth, N., Nedbal, S., Miosge, N., Baranowsky, A., Mokkapati, S., Murshed, M.,
and Nischt, R. (2005). Compound genetic ablation of nidogen 1 and 2 causes basement
membrane defects and perinatal lethality in mice. Mol. Cell. Biol. *25*, 6846–6856.

Bianchi, L., and Driscoll, M. (2006). Culture of embryonic *C. elegans* cells for
electrophysiological and pharmacological analyses. WormBook 1–15.

Bohlen, C.J., Chesler, A.T., Sharif-Naeini, R., Medzihradszky, K.F., Zhou, S., King, D.,
Sánchez, E.E., Burlingame, A.L., Basbaum, A.I., and Julius, D. (2011) . A heteromeric Texas
coral snake toxin targets acid-sensing ion channels to produce pain. Nature 479, 410–414.

Böse, K., Nischt, R., Page, A., Bader, B.L., Paulsson, M., and Smyth, N. (2006). Loss of
nidogen-1 and -2 results in syndactyly and changes in limb development. J. Biol. Chem. *281*,
39620–39629.

Bounoutas, A., Kratz, J., Emtage, L., Ma, C., Nguyen, K.C., and Chalfie, M. (2011). Microtubule
depolymerization in *Caenorhabditis elegans* touch receptor neurons reduces gene expression
through a p38 MAPK pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 3982–3987.

Cardona, A., Saalfeld, S., Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Preibisch, S., Longair, M.,
Tomancak, P., Hartenstein, V., and Douglas, R.J. (2012). TrakEM2 software for neural circuit
reconstruction. PLoS One 7, e38011.

Cascio, C.J., Moore, D., and McGlone, F. (2019). Social touch and human development. Dev.
Cogn. Neurosci. *35*, 5–11.

Chalfie, M. (1993). Touch receptor development and function in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J.
Neurobiol. *24(10)*, 1433-1441.

Chalfie, M., and Au, M. (1989). Genetic control of differentiation of the *Caenorhabditis elegans*
touch receptor neurons. Science. *243*, 1027–1033.

Chalfie, M., Hart, A.C., Rankin, C.H., and Goodman, M.B. (2014). Assaying mechanosensation.
WormBook 1–13.

Chalfie, M., and Sulston, J. (1981). Developmental genetics of the mechanosensory neurons of
Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev. Biol. *82*, 358–370.

Chatzigeorgiou, M., Grundy, L., Kindt, K.S., Lee, W.-H., Driscoll, M., and Schafer, W.R.
(2010). Spatial asymmetry in the mechanosensory phenotypes of the *C. elegans* DEG/ENaC

gene *mec-10*. J. Neurophysiol. *104*, 3334–3344.

Chelur, D.S., Ernstrom, G.G., Goodman, M.B., Yao, C.A., Chen, L., O'Hagan, R., Chalfie, M.,
O' Hagan, R., Chalfie, M., O'Hagan, R., et al. (2002). The mechanosensory protein MEC-6 is a
subunit of the *C. elegans* touch-cell degenerin channel. Nature *420*, 669–673.

Chen, X., and Chalfie, M. (2014). Modulation of *C. elegans* touch sensitivity is integrated at
multiple levels. J. Neurosci. *34*, 6522–6536.

Chen, X., and Chalfie, M. (2015). Regulation of mechanosensation in *C. elegans* through
ubiquitination of the MEC-4 mechanotransduction channel. J. Neurosci. *35*, 2200–2212.

Cheng, Y.S., Champliaud, M.F., Burgeson, R.E., Marinkovich, M.P., and Yurchenco, P.D.
(1997). Self-assembly of laminin isoforms. J. Biol. Chem. *272*, 31525–31532.

Chiang, L.Y., Poole, K., Oliveira, B.E., Duarte, N., Sierra, Y.A.B., Bruckner-Tuderman, L.,
Koch, M., Hu, J., and Lewin, G.R. (2011). Laminin-332 coordinates mechanotransduction and
growth cone bifurcation in sensory neurons. Nat. Neurosci. *14*, 993–1000.

Christensen, M., and Strange, K. (2001). Developmental regulation of a novel outwardly
rectifying mechanosensitive anion channel in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. J. Biol. Chem. *276*,
45024–45030.

Christensen, M., Estevez, A., Yin, X., Fox, R., Morrison, R., McDonnell, M., Gleason, C.,
Miller, D.M., and Strange, K. (2002). A primary culture system for functional analysis of *C.*
elegans neurons and muscle cells. Neuron *33*, 503–514.

Clay, M.R., and Sherwood, D.R. (2015). Basement Membranes in the Worm: A dynamic
scaffolding that instructs cellular behaviors and shapes tissues. Current Topics in Membranes,
(Academic Press), pp. 337–371.

Coakley, S., Ritchie, F.K., Galbraith, K.M., and Hilliard, M.A. (2020). Epidermal control of
axonal attachment via β-spectrin and the GTPase-activating protein TBC-10 prevents axonal
degeneration. Nat. Commun. *11*, 1–12.

Cristofori-Armstrong, B., and Rash, L.D. (2017). Acid-sensing ion channel (ASIC) structure and

function: Insights from spider, snake and sea anemone venoms. Neuropharmacology *127*, 173–184.

Cueva, J.G., Mulholland, A., and Goodman, M.B. (2007). Nanoscale organization of the MEC-4 DEG/ENaC sensory mechanotransduction channel in *Caenorhabditis elegans* touch receptor neurons. J. Neurosci. *27*, 14089–14098.

Dai, J., Estrada, B., Jacobs, S., Sánchez-Sánchez, B.J., Tang, J., Ma, M., Magadán-Corpas, P., Pastor-Pareja, J.C., and Martín-Bermudo, M.D. (2018). Dissection of Nidogen function in Drosophila reveals tissue-specific mechanisms of basement membrane assembly. PLoS Genet. *14*, e1007483.

Davis, P., Zarowiecki, M., Arnaboldi, V., Becerra, A., Cain, S., Chan, J., Chen, W.J., Cho, J., da Veiga Beltrame, E., Diamantakis, S., et al. (2022). WormBase in 2022—data, processes, and tools for analyzing *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 220, iyac003.

Díaz-Balzac, C.A., Rahman, M., Lázaro-Peña, M.I., Martin Hernandez, L.A., Salzberg, Y., Aguirre-Chen, C., Kaprielian, Z., and Bülow, H.E. (2016). Muscle- and skin-derived cues jointly orchestrate patterning of somatosensory dendrites. Curr. Biol. *26*, 2379–2387.

Dickinson, D.J., Pani, A.M., Heppert, J.K., Higgins, C.D., and Goldstein, B. (2015). Streamlined genome engineering with a self-excising drug selection cassette. Genetics 200, 1035–1049.

Domogatskaya, A., Rodin, S., and Tryggvason, K. (2012). Functional diversity of laminins. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *28*, 523–553.

Dong, L., Chen, Y., Lewis, M., Hsieh, J.C., Reing, J., Chaillet, J.R., Howell, C.Y., Melhem, M., Inoue, S., Kuszak, J.R., et al. (2002). Neurologic defects and selective disruption of basement membranes in mice lacking entactin-1/nidogen-1. Lab. Invest. *82*, 1617–1630.

Driscoll, M., and Chalfie, M. (1991). The *mec-4* gene is a member of a family of *Caenorhabditis elegans* genes that can mutate to induce neuronal degeneration. Nature *349*, 588–593.

Du, H., Gu, G., William, C.M., and Chalfie, M. (1996). Extracellular proteins needed for *C. elegans* mechanosensation. Neuron *16*, 183–194.

Dziadek, M., and Timpl, R. (1985). Expression of nidogen and laminin in basement membranes

during mouse embryogenesis and in teratocarcinoma cells. Dev. Biol. 111, 372–382.

Eastwood, A.L., Sanzeni, A., Petzold, B.C., Park, S.J., Vergassola, M., Pruitt, B.L., and

Goodman, M.B. (2015). Tissue mechanics govern the rapidly adapting and symmetrical response

to touch. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112, E6955–E6963.

Emtage, L., Gu, G., Hartwieg, E., and Chalfie, M. (2004). Extracellular proteins organize the

mechanosensory channel complex in *C. elegans* touch receptor neurons. Neuron *44*, 795–807.

Fiala, J.C. (2005). Reconstruct: A free editor for serial section microscopy. J. Microsc. 218, 52–

61.

Forrester, W.C., Perens, E., Zallen, J.A., and Garriga, G. (1998). Identification of *Caenorhabditis*

elegans Genes Required for Neuronal Differentiation and Migration. Genetics *148*, 151–165.

Fox, J.W., Mayer, U., Nischt, R., Aumailley, M., Reinhardt, D., Wiedemann, H., Mann, K.,

Timpl, R., Krieg, T., and Engel, J. (1991). Recombinant nidogen consists of three globular

domains and mediates binding of laminin to collagen type IV. EMBO J. 10, 3137–3146.

Franco, J. A. (2021). Methods for robust measurements in low signal-to-noise systems and their

application to nematode mechanoreceptors (Doctoral dissertation, Stanford University).

Frédéric, M.Y., Lundin, V.F., Whiteside, M.D., Cueva, J.G., Tu, D.K., Kang, S.Y.C., Singh, H.,

Baillie, D.L., Hutter, H., Goodman, M.B., et al. (2013). Identification of 526 conserved metazoan

genetic innovations exposes a new role for cofactor E-like in neuronal microtubule homeostasis.

PLoS Genet. *9*.

Goodman, M.B., Hall, D.H., Avery, L., and Lockery, S.R. (1998). Active currents regulate

sensitivity and dynamic range in *C. elegans* neurons. Neuron 20, 763–772.

Goodman, M.B., Haswell, E.S., and Vásquez, V. (2023). Mechanosensitive membrane proteins:

Usual and unusual suspects in mediating mechanotransduction. J. Gen. Physiol. *155*,

e202213248.

Graham, P.L., Johnson, J.J., Wang, S., Sibley, M.H., Gupta, M.C., and Kramer, J.M. (1997).

Type IV collagen is detectable in most, but not all, basement membranes of *Caenorhabditis*

elegans and assembles on tissues that do not express it. J. Cell Biol. *137*, 1171–1183.

Gu, G., Caldwell, G.A., and Chalfie, M. (1996). Genetic interactions affecting touch sensitivity

in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 93, 6577–6582.

Hamill, K.J., Kligys, K., Hopkinson, S.B., and Jones, J.C.R. (2009). Laminin deposition in the

extracellular matrix: A complex picture emerges. J. Cell Sci. *122*, 4409–4417.

Han, C., Wang, D., Soba, P., Zhu, S., Lin, X., Jan, L.Y., and Jan, Y.N. (2012). Integrins regulate

repulsion-mediated dendritic patterning of Drosophila sensory neurons by restricting dendrites in

a 2D space. Neuron *73*, 64–78.

Handler, A., Zhang, Q., Pang, S., Nguyen, T.M., Iskols, M., Nolan-Tamariz, M., Cattel, S.,

Plumb, R., Sanchez, B., Ashjian, K., et al. (2023). Three-dimensional reconstructions of

mechanosensory end organs suggest a unifying mechanism underlying dynamic, light touch.

Neuron 111, 3211-3229.e9.

Heppert, J.K., Dickinson, D.J., Pani, A.M., Higgins, C.D., Steward, A., Ahringer, J., Kuhn, J.R.,

and Goldstein, B. (2016). Comparative assessment of fluorescent proteins for *in vivo* imaging in

an animal model system. Mol. Biol. Cell *27*, 3385–3394.

Hill, P.S.M. (2008). Vibrational communication in animals (Cambridge, Mass. : Harvard

University Press).

Hostettler, L., Grundy, L., Käser-Pébernard, S., Wicky, C., Schafer, W.R., and Glauser, D.A.

(2017). The bright fluorescent protein mNeonGreen facilitates protein expression analysis in

vivo. G3 *7*, 607–615.

Hsu, J.M., Chen, C.H., Chen, Y.C., McDonald, K.L., Gurling, M., Lee, A., Garriga, G., and Pan,

C.L. (2014). Genetic analysis of a novel tubulin mutation that redirects synaptic vesicle targeting

and causes neurite degeneration in *C. elegans*. PLoS Genet. *10*.

Hu, J., Chiang, L.-Y., Koch, M., and Lewin, G.R. (2010). Evidence for a protein tether involved

in somatic touch. MBO J. *29*, 855–867.

Huang, C.-C., Hall, D.H., Hedgecock, E.M., Kao, G., Karantza, V., Vogel, B.E., Hutter, H.,
Chisholm, A.D., Yurchenco, P.D., and Wadsworth, W.G. (2003). Laminin α subunits and their
role in *C. elegans* development. Development *130*, 3343–3358.

Hynes, R.O. (2012). The evolution of metazoan extracellular matrix. J. Cell Biol. *196*, 671–679.

Hynes, R.O., and Naba, A. (2012). Overview of the matrisome - an inventory of extracellular
matrix constituents and functions. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 4.

Jayadev, R., and Sherwood, D.R. (2017). Basement membranes. Curr. Biol. *27*, R207–R211.

Jiang, N., Rasmussen, J.P., Clanton, J.A., Rosenberg, M.F., Luedke, K.P., Cronan, M.R., Parker,
E.D., Kim, H.-J., Vaughan, J.C., Sagasti, A., et al. (2019). A conserved morphogenetic
mechanism for epidermal ensheathment of nociceptive sensory neurites. Elife *8*, e42455.

Kang, S.H., and Kramer, J.M. (2000). Nidogen is nonessential and not required for normal type
IV collagen localization in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Mol. Biol. Cell *11*, 3911–3923.

Kao, G., Huang, C.-C., Hedgecock, E.M., Hall, D.H., and Wadsworth, W.G. (2006). The role of
the laminin beta subunit in laminin heterotrimer assembly and basement membrane function and
development in *C. elegans*. Dev. Biol. *290*, 211–219.

Katta, S., Krieg, M., and Goodman, M.B. (2015). Feeling force: physical and physiological
principles enabling sensory mechanotransduction. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *31*, 347–371.

Katta, S., Sanzeni, A., Das, A., Vergassola, M., and Goodman, M.B. (2019). Progressive
recruitment of distal MEC-4 channels determines touch response strength in *C. elegans*. J. Gen.
Physiol. *151*, 1213–1230.

Keeley, D.P., Hastie, E., Jayadev, R., Kelley, L.C., Chi, Q., Payne, S.G., Jeger, J.L., Hoffman,
B.D., and Sherwood, D.R. (2020). Comprehensive endogenous tagging of basement membrane
components reveals dynamic movement within the matrix scaffolding. Dev. Cell *54*, 60-74.e7.

Kim, M.E., Shrestha, B.R., Blazeski, R., Mason, C.A., and Grueber, W.B. (2012). Integrins
establish dendrite-substrate relationships that promote dendritic self-avoidance and patterning in
drosophila sensory neurons. Neuron *73*, 79–91.

Kim, S., and Wadsworth, W.G. (2000). Positioning of longitudinal nerves in *C. elegans* by
Nidogen. Science (80-.). *288*, 150–154.

Kramer JM. (2005). Basement membranes. WormBook: The Online Review of *C. elegans*
Biology: WormBook; 2005-2018.

Kramer, J.M. (2003). Genetic analysis of extracellular matrix in *C. elegans*. Annu. Rev. Genet.
28, 95–116.

Krieg, M., Stühmer, J., Cueva, J.G., Fetter, R., Spilker, K., Cremers, D., Shen, K., Dunn, A.R.,
and Goodman, M.B. (2017). Genetic defects in β-spectrin and tau sensitize *C. elegans* axons to
movement-induced damage via torque-tension coupling. Elife *6*.

Kung, C. (2005). A possible unifying principle for mechanosensation. Nat. Cell Biol. *436*, 647–
654.

Kvansakul, M., Hopf, M., Ries, A., Timpl, R., and Hohenester, E. (2001). Structural basis for the
high-affinity interaction of nidogen-1 with immunoglobulin-like domain 3 of perlecan. EMBO J.
20, 5342–5346.

Laurie, G.W., Leblond, C.P., and Martin, G.R. (1982). Localization of type IV collagen, laminin,
heparan sulfate proteoglycan, and fibronectin to the basal lamina of basement membranes. J. Cell
Biol. 95, 340–344.

Lee, J., Moon, S., Cha, Y., and Chung, Y.D. (2010). *Drosophila* TRPN(=NOMPC) channel
localizes to the distal end of mechanosensory cilia. PLoS One 5.

Li, Q., Lau, A., Morris, T.J., Guo, L., Fordyce, C.B., and Stanley, E.F. (2004). A syntaxin 1,
$G\alpha_0$, and N-type calcium channel complex at a presynaptic nerve terminal: analysis by
quantitative immunocolocalization. J. Neurosci. 24, 4070–4081.

Liang, X., Madrid, J., Saleh, H.S., and Howard, J. (2011). NOMPC, a member of the TRP
channel family, localizes to the tubular body and distal cilium of *Drosophila* campaniform and
chordotonal receptor cells. Cytoskeleton 68, 1–7.

Lockhead, D., Schwarz, E.M., O'hagan, R., Bellotti, S., Krieg, M., Barr, M.M., Dunn, A.R.,

Sternberg, P.W., and Goodman, M.B. (2016). The tubulin repertoire of *Caenorhabditis elegans*
sensory neurons and its context-dependent role in process outgrowth. Mol. Biol. Cell *27*, 3717–
3728.

Mann, K., Deutzmann, R., and Timpl, R. (1988). Characterization of proteolytic fragments of the
laminin-nidogen complex and their activity in ligand-binding assays. Eur. J. Biochem. *178*, 71–
80.

Mann, K., Deutzmann, R., Aumailley, M., Timpl, R., Raimondi, L., Yamada, Y., Pan, T.C.,
Conway, D., and Chu, M.L. (1989). Amino acid sequence of mouse nidogen, a multidomain
basement membrane protein with binding activity for laminin, collagen IV and cells. EMBO J. *8*,
65.

Mastronarde, D.N. (2005). Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction
of specimen movements. J. Struct. Biol. 152, 36–51.

Mayer, U., Kohfeldt, E., and Timpl, R. (1998). Structural and genetic analysis of laminin-
nidogen interaction. In Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, (New York Academy of
Sciences), pp. 130–142.

Mezulis, S., Yates, C.M., Wass, M.N., E Sternberg, M.J., and Kelley, L.A. (2015). The Phyre2
web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat. Protoc. 10, 845–858.

Mishra, M. (2020). Evolutionary aspects of the structural convergence and functional
diversification of Kunitz-domain inhibitors. J. Mol. Evol. *88*, 537–548.

El Mouridi, S., Lecroisey, C., Tardy, P., Mercier, M., Leclercq-Blondel, A., Zariohi, N., and
Boulin, T. (2017). Reliable CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using
a single efficient sgRNA and an easily recognizable phenotype. G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet. *7*,
1429–1437.

Mulcahy, B., Witvliet, D., Holmyard, D., Mitchell, J., Chisholm, A.D., Meirovitch, Y., Samuel,
A.D.T., and Zhen, M. (2018). A pipeline for volume electron microscopy of the *Caenorhabditis*
elegans nervous system. Front. Neural Circuits 12, 94.

Muriel, J.M., Dong, C., Hutter, H., and Vogel, B.E. (2005). Fibulin-1C and Fibulin-1D splice

variants have distinct functions and assemble in a hemicentin-dependent manner. Development

132, 4223–4234.

Murshed, M., Smyth, N., Miosge, N., Karolat, J., Krieg, T., Paulsson, M., and Nischt, R. (2000).

The absence of nidogen 1 does not affect murine basement membrane formation. Mol. Cell. Biol.

20, 7007–7012.

Nekimken, A.L., Mazzochette, E.A., Goodman, M.B., and Pruitt, B.L. (2017). Forces applied

during classical touch assays for *Caenorhabditis elegans*. PLoS One *12*.

Nekimken, A.L., Pruitt, B.L., and Goodman, M.B. (2020). Touch-induced mechanical strain in

somatosensory neurons is independent of extracellular matrix mutations in *Caenorhabditis*

elegans. Mol. Biol. Cell *31*, 1735–1743.

O'Brien, G.S., Rieger, S., Wang, F., Smolen, G.A., Gonzalez, R.E., Buchanan, J., and Sagasti, A.

(2012). Coordinate development of skin cells and cutaneous sensory axons in zebrafish. J. Comp.

Neurol. *520*, 816–831.

O'Hagan, R., Chalfie, M., and Goodman, M.B. (2005). The MEC-4 DEG/ENaC channel of

Caenorhabditis elegans touch receptor neurons transduces mechanical signals. Nat. Neurosci. *8*,

43–50.

Paix, A., Folkmann, A., Rasoloson, D., and Seydoux, G. (2015). High efficiency, homology-

directed genome editing in *Caenorhabditis elegans* using CRISPR-Cas9 ribonucleoprotein

complexes. Genetics 201, 47–54.

Patel, T.R., Bernards, C., Meier, M., McEleney, K., Winzor, D.J., Koch, M., and Stetefeld, J.

(2014). Structural elucidation of full-length nidogen and the laminin-nidogen complex in

solution. Matrix Biol. *33*, 60–67.

Peng, A.W., and Ricci, A.J. (2016). Glass probe stimulation of hair cell stereocilia. Methods

Mol. Biol. 1427, 487–500.

Peters, B., Hartle, R., Krzesicki, R., Kroll, T., Perini, F., Balun, J., Goldstein, I., and Ruddon, R.

(1985). The biosynthesis, processing, and secretion of laminin by human choriocarcinoma cells.

J. Biol. Chem. *260*, 14732–14742.

Petzold, B.C., Park, S.J., Mazzochette, E.A., Goodman, M.B., and Pruitt, B.L. (2013). MEMS-
based force-clamp analysis of the role of body stiffness in *C. elegans* touch sensation. Integr.
Biol. (United Kingdom) *5*, 853–864.

Pöschl, E., Fox, J.W., Block, D., Mayer, U., and Timpl, R. (1994). Two non-contiguous regions
contribute to nidogen binding to a single EGF-like motif of the laminin γ1 chain. EMBO J. *13*,
3741–3747.

Pöschl, E., Mayer, U., Stetefeld, J., Baumgartner, R., Holak, T.A., Huber, R., and Timpl, R.
(1996). Site-directed mutagenesis and structural interpretation of the nidogen binding site of the
laminin γ1 chain. EMBO J. *15*, 5154–5159.

Pozzi, A., Yurchenco, P.D., and Iozzo, R. V (2017). The nature and biology of basement
membranes. Matrix Biol. *57–58*, 1–11.

Rasband, W.S. (1997-2018). ImageJ. U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland,
USA. https://imagej.net/ij/

Reinhardt, D., Mann, K., Nischt, R., Fox, J.W., Chu, M.L., Krieg, T., and Timpl, R. (1993).
Mapping of nidogen binding sites for collagen type IV, heparan sulfate proteoglycan, and zinc. J.
Biol. Chem. *268*, 10881–10887.

Rhiner, C., Gysi, S., Fröhli, E., Hengartner, M.O., and Hajnal, A. (2005). Syndecan regulates cell
migration and axon guidance in *C. elegans*. Development *132*, 4621–4633.

Richardson, C.E., Spilker, K.A., Cueva, J.G., Perrino, J., Goodman, M.B., and Shen, K. (2014).
PTRN-1, a microtubule minus end-binding CAMSAP homolog, promotes microtubule function
in *Caenorhabditis elegans* neurons. Elife *3*, 1–22.

Ries, A., Gö Hring, W., Fox, J.W., Timpl, R., Sasaki, T., Göhring, W., Fox, J.W., Timpl, R., and
Sasaki, T. (2001). Recombinant domains of mouse nidogen-1 and their binding to basement
membrane proteins and monoclonal antibodies (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd).

Rivera Gomez, K., and Schvarzstein, M. (2018). Immobilization of nematodes for live imaging
using an agarose pad produced with a Vinyl Record. MicroPublication Biol. 2018.

Rogers, R.S., and Nishimune, H. (2017). The role of laminins in the organization and function of
neuromuscular junctions. Matrix Biol. *57–58*, 86–105.

Ruben, G.C., and Yurchenco, P.D. (1994). High resolution platinum-carbon replication of
freeze-dried basement membrane. Microsc. Res. Tech. *28*, 13–28.

Sanes, J.R., and Lichtman, J.W. (1999). Development of the vertebrate neuromuscular junction.
Annu. Rev. Neurosci. *22*, 389–442.

Sangaletti, R., and Bianchi, L. (2013). A method for culturing embryonic *C. elegans* cells. J. Vis.
Exp.

Sangaletti, R., Dahl, G., and Bianchi, L. (2014). Mechanosensitive unpaired innexin channels in
C. elegans touch neurons. Am. J. Physiol. - Cell Physiol. *307*, C966–C977.

Sato, T. (1968). A modified method for lead staining of thin sections. J. Electron Microsc.
(Tokyo). 17, 158–159.

Savage, C., Hamelin, M., Culotti, J.G., Coulson, A., Albertson, D.G., and Chalfie, M. (1989).
mec-7 is a beta-tubulin gene required for the production of 15-protofilament microtubules in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Genes Dev. 3, 870–881.

Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch,
S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for
biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 676–682.

Schymeinsky, J., Nedbal, S., Miosge, N., Pöschl, E., Rao, C., Beier, D.R., Skarnes, W.C., Timpl,
R., and Bader, B.L. (2002). Gene structure and functional analysis of the mouse nidogen-2 gene:
nidogen-2 is not essential for basement membrane formation in mice. Mol. Cell. Biol. *22*, 6820–
6830.

Senyürek, I., Kempf, W.E., Klein, G., Maurer, A., Kalbacher, H., Schäfer, L., Wanke, I., Christ,
C., Stevanovic, S., Schaller, M., et al. (2014). Processing of laminin α chains generates peptides
involved in wound healing and host defense. J. Innate Immun. *6*, 467–484.

Stern, I.B. (1965). Electron microscopic observations of oral epithelium. I. Basal cells and the

basement membrane. Periodontics *3*, 224–238.

Stiernagle, T. (2006). Maintenance of *C. elegans*. WormBook 1–11.

Strale, P.O., Azioune, A., Bugnicourt, G., Lecomte, Y., Chahid, M., and Studer, V. (2016).
Multiprotein printing by light-induced molecular adsorption. Adv. Mater. 28, 2024–2029.

Strange, K., Christensen, M., and Morrison, R. (2007). Primary culture of *Caenorhabditis*
elegans developing embryo cells for electrophysiological, cell biological and molecular studies.
Nat. Protoc. 2, 1003–1012.

Susi, F.R., Belt, W.D., and Kelly, J.W. (1967). Fine structure of fibrillar complexes associated
with the basement membrane in human oral mucosa. J. Cell Biol. *34*, 686–690.

Suzuki, H., Kerr, R., Bianchi, L., Frøkjaer-Jensen, C., Slone, D., Xue, J., Gerstbrein, B., Driscoll,
M., and Schafer, W.R. (2003). *In vivo* imaging of *C. elegans* mechanosensory neurons
demonstrates a specific role for the MEC-4 channel in the process of gentle touch sensation.
Neuron *39*, 1005–1017.

Takagi, J., Yang, Y., Liu, J., Wang, J., and Springer, T.A. (2003). Complex between nidogen and
laminin fragments reveals a paradigmatic β-propeller interface. Nature *424*, 969–974.

Talagas, M., Lebonvallet, N., Leschiera, R., Elies, P., Marcorelles, P., and Misery, L. (2020).
Intra-epidermal nerve endings progress within keratinocyte cytoplasmic tunnels in normal human
skin. Exp. Dermatol. *29*, 387–392.

Taylor, S.R., Santpere, G., Weinreb, A., Barrett, A., Reilly, M.B., Xu, C., Varol, E., Oikonomou,
P., Glenwinkel, L., McWhirter, R., et al. (2021). Molecular topography of an entire nervous
system. Cell *184*, 4329-4347.e23.

Topalidou, I., Keller, C., Kalebic, N., Nguyen, K.C.Q., Somhegyi, H., Politi, K.A., Heppenstall,
P., Hall, D.H., and Chalfie, M. (2012). Genetically separable functions of the MEC-17 tubulin
acetyltransferase affect microtubule organization. Curr. Biol. *22*, 1057–1065.

Vásquez, V., Krieg, M., Lockhead, D., and Goodman, M.B. (2014). Phospholipids that contain
polyunsaturated fatty acids enhance neuronal cell mechanics and touch sensation. Cell Rep. *6*,

70–80.

Vogel, B.E., and Hedgecock, E.M. (2001). Hemicentin, a conserved extracellular member of the
immunoglobulin superfamily, organizes epithelial and other cell attachments into oriented line-
shaped junctions. Development *128*, 883–894.

Vracko, R. (1972). Significance of basal lamina for regeneration of injured lung. Virchows Arch.
A *355*, 264–274.

Vracko, R. (1974). Basal lamina scaffold-anatomy and significance for maintenance of orderly
tissue structure. Am. J. Pathol. *77*, 314–346.

Vracko, R., and Benditt, E.P. (1970). Capillary basal lamina thickening: Its relationship to
endothelial cell death and replacement. J. Cell Biol. *47*, 281–285.

Vracko, R., and Benditt, E.P. (1972). Basal lamina: The scaffold for orderly cell replacement:
Observations on regeneration of injured skeletal muscle fibers and capillaries. J. Cell Biol. *55*,
406–419.

Vracko, R., and Strandness, D.E. (1967). Basal Lamina of Abdominal Skeletal Muscle
Capillaries in Diabetics and Nondiabetics. Circulation *35*, 690–700.

Walther, P., and Ziegler, A. (2002). Freeze substitution of high-pressure frozen samples: the
visibility of biological membranes is improved when the substitution medium contains water. J.
Microsc. 208, 3–10.

Witvliet, D., Mulcahy, B., Mitchell, J.K., Meirovitch, Y., Berger, D.R., Wu, Y., Liu, Y., Koh,
W.X., Parvathala, R., Holmyard, D., et al. (2021). Connectomes across development reveal
principles of brain maturation. Nature 596, 257–261.

Wolfstetter, G., Dahlitz, I., Pfeifer, K., Töpfer, U., Alt, J.A., Pfeifer, D.C., Lakes-Harlan, R.,
Baumgartner, S., Palmer, R.H., and Holz, A. (2019). Characterization of Drosophila
Nidogen/entactin reveals roles in basement membrane stability, barrier function and nervous
system patterning. Development *146*.

Yang, W.K., and Chien, C.T. (2019). Beyond being innervated: the epidermis actively shapes

sensory dendritic patterning. Open Biol. *9*.

Yin, C., Peterman, E., Rasmussen, J.P., and Parrish, J.Z. (2021). Transparent touch: insights from model systems on epidermal control of somatosensory innervation. Front. Cell. Neurosci. *15*, 1–21.

Younes, M.S., Steele, H.D., Robertson, E.M., and Bencosme, S.A. (1965). Correlative light and electron microscope study of the basement membrane of the human ectocervix. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. *92*, 163–171.

Yurchenco, P.D., and O'Rear, J.J. (1994a). Basal lamina assembly. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. *6*, 674–681.

Yurchenco, P.D., and O'Rear, J.J. (1994b). Basement membrane assembly. Methods Enzymol. *245*, 489–518.

Yurchenco, P.D., and Schittny, J.C. (1990). Molecular architecture of basement membranes. FASEB J. Off. Publ. Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol. *4*, 1577–1590.

Yurchenco, P.D., Tsilibary, E.C., Charonis, A.S., and Furthmayr, H. (1986). Models for the self-assembly of basement membrane. J. Histochem. Cytochem. Off. J. Histochem. Soc. *34*, 93–102.

Yurchenco, P.D., Smirnov, S., and Mathus, T. (2002). Analysis of basement membrane self-assembly and cellular interactions with native and recombinant glycoproteins. Methods Cell Biol. *69*, 111–144.

Yurchenco, P.D., Quan, Y., Colognato, H., Mathus, T., Harrison, D., Yamada, Y., and O'rear, J.J. (1997). The α chain of laminin-1 is independently secreted and drives secretion of its β- and γ-chain partners.

Zhang, Y., Ma, C., Delohery, T., Nasipak, B., Foat, B.C., Bounoutas, A., Bussemaker, H.J., Kim, S.K., and Chalfle, M. (2002). Identification of genes expressed in *C. elegans* touch receptor neurons. Nature *418*, 331–335.

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

A
LAM-1::wormScarlet   LAM-2::mNeonGreen   NID-1::wormScarlet   mNeonGreen::MEC-4

B
1931 IPIs
24 ALMs

2374 IPIs
29 ALMs

3163 IPIs
33 ALMs

4164 IPIs
39 ALMs

Inter-punctum interval (μm)

0.4 0.2 0   1   0.5   0
Density   Normalized distance

0.4 0.2 0   1   0.5   0
Density   Normalized distance

0.4 0.2 0   1   0.5   0
Density   Normalized distance

0.4 0.2 0   1   0.5   0
Density   Normalized distance

C
mNG::MEC-4
pre
post
10 s
300 s

LAM-2::mNG
pre
post
10 s
300 s

NID-1::mNG
pre
post
10 s
300 s

SDN-1::mNG
pre
post
10 s
300 s

D
Intensity (norm)
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25

Intensity (norm)
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25

Intensity (norm)
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25

Intensity (norm)
1.00
0.75
0.50
0.25
0

0   100   200   300
Time(s)

E
NID-1
NID-1

NID-1
MEC-4

NID-1
LAM-2

LAM-1
LAM-2

LAM-1
MEC-4

PAT-2
MEC-4

F
NID-1
NID-1

NID-1
MEC-4

NID-1
LAM-2

LAM-1
LAM-2

LAM-1
MEC-4

PAT-2
MEC-4

0   0.5
ICQ

FIGURE 3

FIGURE 4

FIGURE 5

FIGURE 6

A

NID-1 [G1] [G2] [G3]

cg118
NID-1[ΔG1-G2] (Δ53-693)

pg146
NID-1[ΔG3] (Δ1294-1546)

LAM-2 [LN] [L4]

pg153
LAM-2[D842N, N844S, I846S]

LAM-3 [LN] [L4] [L4] [LAMG1] [LAMG2] [LAMG3] [LAMG4]

pg169
LAM-3[D896N, M898S, I900S]

NID-1
G3

I846
N844
D842

LAM-2
EGF8

B

```
Ce LAM-2   CACSGNTDPNSIGNCDKITGECKKCIFNTHGFNCENCKPGYWGDALI-EPKGNCQS
Ce LAM-3   CTCNGNIDPMGIGNCDSETGKCLKCIGHTTGDSCESCKEHHWGNAQL----HTCKP
Mm Lam-γ1  CQCNDNIDPNAVGNCNRLTGECLKCIYNTAGFYCDRCKEGFFGNPLAPNPADKCKA
                  N S S
```

C

NID-1[ΔG1-G2]

NID-1[ΔG3]

LAM-2[NSS];LAM-3[NSS]

D

mNG::MEC-4
NID-1[ΔG1-G2]

mNG::MEC-4
NID-1[ΔG3]

mNG::MEC-4
LAM-2[NSS];LAM-3[NSS]

Inter-punctum interval (μm)

E

ks=8.27e-02
p=5.08e-11
3104 IPIs
33 ALMs

ks=1.88e-01
p=1.30e-42
2030 IPIs
29 ALMs

ks=8.49e-02
p=4.94e-09
2038 IPIs
22 ALMs

Inter-punctum interval (μm)

FIGURE 7