Working title

Exploring volume mediated bystander-inhibition in a neuron model of the *Locus coeruleus*

Shristi Barai[1,2]*, Hassan Hosseini[1] , Kaushik More[1,3,4], Jochen Braun[2+] & Matthias Prigge[1,4++]

1 Research Group Neuromodulatory Networks, Leibniz Institute for Neurobiology, 39118 Magdeburg, Germany
2 Cognition Biology, Otto-von Guericke University, 39118 Magdeburg, Germany
3 German Institute for Neurodegenerative Diseases, 39118 Magdeburg, Germany
4 Center for Behavioral Brain Sciences, 39118 Magdeburg, Germany
* contributed equally

+ e-mail:jochen.braun@ovgu.de
++e-mail:prigge@lin-magdeburg.de

Abstract

The *Locus coeruleus* (LC) modulates various neuronal circuits throughout the brain. Its unique architectural organization encompasses a net of axonal innervation that spans the entire brain; while its somatic core is highly compact. Recent research revealed an unexpected cellular input specificity within the nucleus that can give rise to various network states that either broadcast NE signals throughout the brain or pointedly modulate specific brain areas. Such adaptive input-output functions likely surpass our existing network models that build upon a given synaptic wiring configuration between neurons. As the distances between noradrenergic neurons in the core of the LC are unusually small, neighboring neurons could theoretically impact each other via volume transmission of NE. We therefore set out to investigate such *bystander-inhibition* via noradrenergic alpha2-receptors through a computational LC neuron model. We validate our model of LC neurons through comparison with experimental patch-clamp data and identify key variables that impact bystander-inhibition of neighboring LC neurons. Our simulation confirms a reliable autoinhibition of LC neurons after episodes of high neuronal activity that continue even after neuronal activity subsided. Also, dendro-somatic synapses inhibit spontaneous spiking in the somatic compartment of connected neurons in our model. We determined the exact position of hundreds of LC neurons in the mouse brain stem via a tissue clearing approach and based on this, further determined that 25 percent of noradrenergic neurons have a neighboring LC neuron within less than a 25-micrometers radius. By modeling NE diffusion, we estimate that more than 15 percent of alpha2-adrenergic receptors fraction can bind NE within such diffusion radius. Our spike model of LC neurons predicts that repeated or long-lasting episodes of high neuronal activity induce a bystander-inhibition that reduces the spike rate in neighboring neurons at distances smaller than 25 micrometers.

As these volume-mediate neighboring effects are challenging to test with the current methodology, our findings can guide future experimental approaches to test this phenomenon and its physiological consequences.

Introduction

The *Locus coeruleus* (LC) is a brain stem nucleus that is the primary source of norepinephrine (NE) in the central nervous system. It comprises a unique neuronal architecture with an extensive and diffuse axonal innervation throughout the entire brain and spinal cord, while the somatic core consisting of several hundred neurons is highly compact (Szabadi 2013). Research on the noradrenergic system has revealed astonishing insights on how a single neuromodulator such as NE can pointedly impact diverse brain functions ranging from arousal to pain sensation to memory encoding and sensory processing (Carter et al. 2010; Hirschberg et al. 2017; Takeuchi et al. 2016; Martins and Froemke 2015). In addition, much research is focused on understanding how expression profiles of adrenergic receptors in their respective axonal terminal fields are correlated to mental disorders such as attention deficit, clinical depression, or drug abuse; reviewed in Poe et al. 2020. Distinct catecholaminergic receptor subtypes can be activated through a dynamic modulation of an extracellular NE gradient in the vicinity of a synaptic release site (Takeuchi et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2013). In this respect, the

type of NE release site is of particular importance and has been controversially discussed in the past. Early studies point to a high number of noradrenergic synapses in cortical structures that lack a defined post-synaptic counterpart and therefore give rise to volume transmission (Descarries, Watkins, and Lapierre 1977; Latsari et al. 2002). While other studies argue that pre-and postsynaptic compartments are present in the axonal terminal fields from LC projections (Olschowka et al. 1981; Pickel et al. 1981; Nirenberg et al. 1996). Here, a wiring-like transmission is postulated as the main mode of NE-mediated circuit modulation.

On the extreme opposite, less research has focused on the synaptic wiring modes within the LC core itself. Primarily such research interest was hampered by the canonical view that LC activity is mainly based on autonomous pacemaking of a homogenous noradrenergic cell population. Yet, recent technological advancements in single-cell genetics, viral tracing, and optical manipulation of neurons begin to challenge this view. Already a surprising heterogeneity in the LC cell population regarding their projection-specificity and physiological function has been described (Chandler, Gao, and Waterhouse 2014; Uematsu et al. 2017; Hirschberg et al. 2017). Nevertheless, we are still missing a framework on how individual LC neurons are computing their brain-wide inputs to the nucleus and how this information is relayed within the nucleus and thereby to the entire brain (Poe et al. 2020). As the somatic compartments in the nucleus are in unusually close proximity to each other, volume-mediated interactions are perceivable. Previous work on catecholaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area argue for such volume interaction between neurons as a key mechanism to fully describe neuronal activities in these areas (Rice and Cragg 2008; Rice and Patel 2015; Cragg and Rice 2004). Early work focused primarily on electronic coupling via gap junction between neurons in the LC as the main mode of wiring (Ishimatsu and Williams 1996). Gap junctions are most prominent in the dendritic compartment and decrease in abundance with aging (Christi and Jelinek 1993; Christie, Williams, and North 1989). They give rise to subthreshold oscillation that can synchronize the entire nucleus (Ishimatsu and Williams 1996). While oscillations are prominent during early postnatal development, they become less during adulthood and are absent in rat brain slices from animals older than P21. Indeed, recent high-density silicon probes recordings in anesthetized rats hint that LC neurons exhibit an asynchronous activity pattern between different subsets of LC neurons (Totah, Logothetis, and Eschenko 2020). Here, correlated neuronal activity is only described within a subset of LC neurons at different timescales: from sub-milliseconds to milliseconds to periodic oscillation in the range of seconds. Such a wide range of temporal interactions between LC neurons is beyond a purely gap junction coupled neuronal network. Indeed several neuromodulators such as galanin, serotonin, neuropeptides and NE itself are known to also impact LC network activity on the timescale of seconds and even minutes (Tillage et al. 2020; Bai et al. 2018; Segal 1979; Aghajanian, Cedarbaum, and Wang 1977). Electrophysiological studies clearly demonstrated a strong NE-mediated control of the LC network via high-affinity alpha2-receptor (Singewald 1998; Singewald et al. 1994; Lakhlani et al. 1997; Wagner-Altendorf, Fischer, and Roeper 2019). Infusion of antagonists leads to hyperpolarization that is mediated through a NE-sensitive potassium conductance (Swanson 1976; Lee, Rosin, and Bockstaele 1998; Torrecilla et al. 2013). Such decrease in excitability is mediated via $G_{i/o}$ pathways that trigger the G-protein coupled inward rectifying potassium channel GIRK2 (also called Kirk3) thereby controlling afferent activation of LC neurons as well as the network state of the entire nucleus (R. Alberto Travagli, Dunwiddie, and Williams 1995; R. A. Travagli, Wessendorf, and Williams 1996; J. Williams, North, and Tokimasa 1988; Aghajanian, Cedarbaum, and Wang 1977; Lüscher and Slesinger 2010). So far, these questions have been solely approached through a drug-based experimental design, where a drug effect is either studied with conventional patch-

clamp recordings on a single cell level or at the entire LC network. As our view of the LC shifts to a microcircuitry level of functional specific subnetworks within the LC, spiking patterns of individual neurons in their respective subnetworks become more critical for our understanding. Episodes of high spiking rate (burst-like activity) in individual neurons can evoke elevated intracellular calcium levels in soma that surpass the baseline level seen during tonic activity (Huang et al. 2012). These high calcium levels can trigger the release of NE-containing vesicles from dendritic and somatic compartments (Hong-Ping Huang et al. 2012; H.-P. Huang et al. 2007). It is challenging to evaluate how synaptic and extracellular NE levels influence the LC network and neighboring neurons with the current technologies. We, therefore, set out to employ a Hodgkin-Huxley-based model to study NE-mediated local effects with the nucleus.

Methods

Acute brain slice preparation

Mice were injected intraperitoneally with pentobarbital (130 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused with carbonated (95% O_2, 5% CO_2) ice-cold slicing solution (in mM: 2.5 KCl, 11 glucose, 234 sucrose, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 10 $MgSO_4$, 2 $CaCl_2$; 340 mOsm). After decapitation, 300-μm coronal brain stem slices were prepared in carbonated ice-cold slicing solution using a vibratome (Leica VT 1200S) and allowed to recover for 20 min at 33 °C in carbonated high-osmolarity artificial cerebrospinal fluid (high-Osm ACSF; in mM: 3.2 KCl, 11.8 glucose, 132 NaCl, 27.9 $NaHCO_3$, 1.34 NaH_2PO_4, 1.07 $MgCl_2$, 2.14 $CaCl_2$; 320 mOsm) followed by 40 min incubation at 33 °C in carbonated ACSF (in mM: 3 KCl, 11 glucose, 123 NaCl, 26 $NaHCO_3$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 1 $MgCl_2$, 2 $CaCl_2$; 300 mOsm). Subsequently, slices were kept at RT in carbonated ACSF until use. The recording chamber was perfused with carbonated ACSF at a rate of 2 ml min−1 and maintained at 32 °C.

Brain slice electrophysiological

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed under visual control using oblique illumination on a Slicecope Pro2000 (Scientifica) equipped with a 12-bit monochrome CMOS camera (Hamamatsu Model OrcaFlash). Borosilicate glass pipettes (Sutter Instrument BF100-58-10) with resistances ranging from 3–7 MOhm were pulled using a laser micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument Model P-2000). Pipettes were filled using standard intracellular solution (in mM: 135 potassium gluconate, 4 KCl, 2 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 4 EGTA, 4 Mg-ATP, 0.3 Na-GTP; 280 mOsm kg−1; pH adjusted to 7.3 with KOH) Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were performed using a MultiClamp 700B amplifier, filtered at 8 kHz and digitized at 20 kHz using a Digidata 1550A digitizer (Molecular Devices).

Immunohistochemistry

Mice were transcardially first perfused with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS 1x) and then with 4% paraformaldehyde. The brains were extracted and stored overnight in 4% PFA followed by soaking in 30% sucrose to avoid forming water-crystals during slice sectioning at 4°C. Brains were sliced on a microtome (LEICA SM2010R) to 40 μm thick coronal sections. Afterward, brain slices were first permeabilized and blocked by incubating in PBST (Phosphate-buffered saline with 0.3% Triton-X) containing 10% horse serum for 2 hours at room temperature to prevent non-specific binding of antibodies. Sections were incubated in a solution containing

the primary antibodies, 2% horse serum, and 0.3% Triton-X for 24hrs at 4°C. The primary antibodies used were guinea pig anti-TH (Synaptic Systems, Catalog No. 213104) with 1:500 dilution and rabbit anti-DBH (ImmunoStar, Catalog No. 22806) with 1:1000 dilution. Following three washes in 1x PBS for 10 min the sections were then incubated in a solution containing Cy3 anti-guinea pig IgG, (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Catalog No. 706-165-148), Cy5 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG, (Jackson ImmunoResearch, Catalog No. 711-175-152) both in 1:200, 5% horse serum and 0.05% Triton-X for 2 hours at room temperature. The sections were then washed thrice with 1xPBS for 10 min and then incubated in a solution containing DAPI at a dilution of 1:30000. All the above-mentioned solutions were made in 1x PBS. The sections were mounted on glass slides and coverslips containing DPX mounting media. Images were acquired on a Leica SP8 confocal microscope using 63x oil-immersion objectives (NA 1.4). Images were analyzed using ImageJ (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/)

Tissue-clearing

Sectioning of 4% PFA fixated mouse brains were performed in a coronal configuration using a brain mold to obtain 2-mm thick slices that contain the major part of the LC nucleus (AP 4.4 to 6.4mm) (brain matrix, 3D printed). Brain slices were then washed in PBS for 1h and incubated at different series of mixtures prepared from tetrahydrofuran(THF):dH2O + 2% Tween-20 to dehydrate brain slices. The pH of the THF solution was adjusted to 9.0 by adding triethylamine. The slices were washed in 50% THF solution for 4h at 4°C followed by 70%, 90%, and 100% THF solutions under the same conditions. To ensure complete dehydration we washed the section with 100% THF twice. Slices were then bleached in chilled 5% H_2O_2 in THF overnight at 4°C. The next day, the slices were rehydrated in the reverse order by washing them in 100%, 90%, 70%, and 50% THF solutions as above for 4h at 4°C. Following this, slices were washed twice in a PBS / 0.2% TritonX for 1h each wash. Slices were then permeabilized in PBS / 0.2% TritonX / 20% DMSO / 0.3 M glycine at 4°C for 2 days and then blocked in PBS/0.2% TritonX / 10% DMSO / 6% horse serum at 4°C for another 2 days. Samples were kept in primary antibody (guinea pig anti-TH Synaptic Systems, Catalog No. 213104) dilution in PBS / 0.2% Tween-20 / 5% DMSO / 3% horse serum with 10 µg/ml heparin for two days at 4°C. Primary antibodies were diluted at 1:400. Afterward, samples were washed in in PBS / 0.2% Tween-20 with 10 µg/ml heparin for 1h at 4°C for 4x and then kept in secondary antibody (Cy3 anti-guinea pig IgG, Jackson Immuno Research, Catalog No. 706-165-148) in PBS / 0.2% Tween-20 / 3% horse serum with 10 µg/ml heparin at 4°C for 2 days and washed in PBS / 0.2% Tween-20 with 10 µg/ml heparin for 1h at 4°C for 4x. For imaging, samples were embedded in 0.8% phytagel and dehydrated in the THF/dH20 series exactly as described before. After the dehydration, the samples were transferred to Ethyl Cinnamate (ECi) at room temperature for clearing. ECi was replaced with fresh ECi the following day, and samples were stored at room temperature.

Light-sheet microscopy

The light-sheet microscopy was performed using LaVision Biotech Ultramicroscope II (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany) which was equipped with a Zyla 4.2 PLUS sCMOS camera (Oxford Instruments, Abingdon-on-Thames, UK). The embedded brain slice was placed in the sample chamber containing Ethyl Cinnamate (ECi). The brain volume was sampled through a 4x objective (LaVision BioTec LVMI-Flour 4x/0.3). The objective was configured to match the refractive index of ECi (1.558), for optimal imaging. For excitation we used light from a EXW-12 extreme laser (NKT Photonics,Birkerød, Denmark) which was guided through the appropriate excitation filters (AHF Analysentechnik AG, Tübingen,

1 Germany) for Cy3 fluorescence. We started acquiring the image using ImSpector software
2 (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch-Gladbach, Germany) after setting the parameters such as sheet
3 width, z-step sizes, number of tiles and zoom. For post-processing and segmentation we used
4 Imaris software (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland).
5
6

Modeling
Based on cortical membrane kinetics, Alvarez et al. 2002 derived a two-compartment
mathematical model of LC cells with soma and dendrite connected via gap junction on the
dendrite. We employed this model as an initial starting point and extended the model to
incorporate quantal release of NE which eventually leads to the opening of GIRK channels
and inhibition of the neighboring cell and/of itself via alpha2-receptor located in the somatic
area. The release event is coupled with the amount of cytosolic calcium [Ca^{2+}] in the cell.
14

Dynamic equation of state variables
Voltage change in the soma is driven by the balance of currents, including electrode current
I_{elec}, HH-sodium current I_{Na}, HH-potassium current I_K, persistent sodium current I_P, Ca^{2+}-
driven potassium current I_{AHP}, voltage-driven calcium current I_{Ca}, synaptic current from GIRK
conductance I_{GIRK}, current from dendrite I_{dend}, leak current I_L, and independent random
current $\eta(t)$.

$$c_m \frac{dV_S^i}{dt} = I_{elec} - I_{Na} - I_K - I_P - I_{AHP} - I_{Ca} - I_{GIRK} - I_{dend} - I_L + \eta(t)$$

$$\frac{\mu F}{area} \frac{mV}{ms} = \frac{\mu A}{area} = \frac{mS}{area} mV, \qquad area\ [cm^2]$$

(eq. 1)

28 Voltage change in the dendrites are driven by current from soma I_{soma}, gap junctions from other
29 dendrites I_{GJ}, and dendritic leak current I_{LD}

$$c_m \frac{dV_D^i}{dt} = -I_{soma} - I_{GJ} - I_{LD}$$

(eq. 2)

$$I_{AHP} = g_{AHP}\, r\, (V - V_K);$$

(eq. 3)

38
39 The Ca^{2+} concentration changes over time as a function of voltage.
40

$$r = \frac{[Ca]}{[Ca] + 1\mu M}$$

(eq. 4)

46
47

Specifically, membrane depolarization during a spike allows Ca^{2+} to enter the neuron. As described above, the opening and closing of the g_{AHP} potassium conductance shadow the cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration.

The steady-state of the calcium is

$$[Ca]_\infty = f_{in}(V)\, \tau_{Ca} = \frac{f_{Ca}\, k_{Ca}\, \tau_{Ca}\, (V_{Ca} - V)}{1 + exp(-(V + 25)/2.5))}, \qquad k_{ca} = 2,\, f_{Ca} = 0.002\ \frac{\mu M}{mV\, ms} \tag{eq. 5}$$

And the update rule is:

$$[Ca]_\infty = \frac{M_{Ca}}{132.6\, mV} \frac{V_{Ca} - V}{1 + exp(-(V + 25)/2.5))} \tag{eq. 6.1}$$

$$[Ca]_{i+1} = [Ca]_\infty + ([Ca]_i - [Ca]_\infty) \exp\left(-\frac{dt}{\tau_{Ca}}\right) \tag{eq. 6.2}$$

As the voltage-dependent factor in the expression of $[Ca]_\infty$ peaks at 132.6mV for V_{Ca} = 120mV, it is convenient to define a new parameter, M_{ca} = 42.4µM and τ_{Ca} = 80ms

Dendro-somatic and soma-somatic NE release and alpha2-receptor activation, and GIRK conductance

Action potentials in the presynaptic neuron results in NE release of dense core vesicles from presynaptic sites, which are found at the soma and at nearby dendrites. The release fraction R_{rel} depends on the level of presynaptic calcium at the time of the presynaptic action potential.

$$R_{rel}\left([Ca^j_{AP}]\right) = \frac{2 * [Ca^j_{AP}]^k}{[Ca]^k_{high} + [Ca^j_{AP}]^k}, \tag{eq. 7}$$

$[Ca^j_{AP}]$ is presynaptic cytosolic calcium at the time of the action potential. $[Ca]_{high}$=1.3 µM is the amount of cytosolic calcium accumulated when the cell fires at 20 Hz. The exponent, k=1, modulates the release.

Once released, NE binds at alpha-2 receptors in the postsynaptic membrane, which through G-protein signaling opens an inwardly-rectifying potassium channel (GIRK: G-protein-coupled inward-rectifying potassium channel). The effective time course of the opening and closing of this synaptic conductance is comparatively slow (J. T. Williams, Bobker, and Harris 1991). We approximate this time-course with a double-exponential function with τ_{fast}= 300 ms and τ_{slow}= 350 ms (J. T. Williams, Bobker, and Harris 1991).

$$P_{open}(t) = B \left[f^j_{slow}(t) - f^j_{fast}(t) \right]$$

$$f^j_{fast}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{t-t^j_{AP}}{\tau_{fast}}\right)$$

$$f^j_{slow}(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{t-t^j_{AP}}{\tau_{slow}}\right)$$

(eqs. 8)

$$t_{peak} = \frac{\tau_{fast}\tau_{slow}}{\tau_{slow}-\tau_{fast}} \log \frac{\tau_{slow}}{\tau_{fast}}, \qquad B = \frac{1}{e^{-t_{peak}/\tau_{slow}} - e^{-t_{peak}/\tau_{fast}}}$$

$$B = \left[\left(\frac{\tau_{fast}}{\tau_{slow}}\right)^{\left(\frac{\tau_{fast}}{\tau_{slow}-\tau_{fast}}\right)} - \left(\frac{\tau_{fast}}{\tau_{slow}}\right)^{\left(\frac{\tau_{slow}}{\tau_{slow}-\tau_{fast}}\right)} \right]^{-1}$$

19
20
21 The absolute value G_{rect} of this rectifying conductance is not constant, but changes with the
22 postsynaptic membrane potential. Accordingly, we need to consider this factor in the
23 equation.

$$G_{rect}(V^i) = \frac{0.2}{1 + \exp\left(0.05(V^i - V_{GIRK})\right)}$$

(eq. 9)

30 G_{rect} is given in µS per mm^2.
31 Combining all three factors for multiple successive presynaptic action potentials AP$_1$, AP$_2$,...,
32 the GIRK conductance is given then by:

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij}_{GIRK}\left(V^i, t, [Ca^j_{AP1}], [Ca^j_{AP2}], \ldots\right) &= G_{rect}(V^i) \left[P_{open}(t - t_{AP1}) R_{rel}\left([Ca^j_{AP1}]\right) + \right. \\ &\quad + P_{open}(t - t_{AP2}) R_{rel}\left([Ca^j_{AP2}]\right) + \\ &\quad \left. + \ldots \right] \end{aligned}$$

(eq. 10)

39 Release from or near soma and binding near soma. This hyperpolarizes the membrane
40 potential of the neighboring soma. S$_{ij}$ is the strength of the synaptic connection from neuron j
41 to neuron i.

$$\begin{aligned} I^i_{GIRK} &= \left(V^i_S - V_{GIRK}\right) \sum_j S_{ij}\, g^{ij}_{GIRK}\left(V^i_S, t, [Ca^j_{AP1}], [Ca^j_{AP2}], \ldots\right) \\ &= \left(V^i_S - V_{GIRK}\right) G_{rect}(V^i) \times \\ &\quad \times \sum_j S_{ij} \left[P_{open}(t - t_{AP1}) R_{rel}\left([Ca^j_{AP1}]\right) + P_{open}(t - t_{AP2}) R_{rel}\left([Ca^j_{AP2}]\right) + \ldots \right] \end{aligned}$$

(eq. 11)

NE diffusion

To estimate the effect of NE released into the extracellular space, we computed the time-course of NE concentration at some distance from a release site as by:

$$C_{NE}(r,t) = \frac{Exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4\,D\,t} - k\,t\right)}{\alpha(4\,D\,\pi\,t)^{3/2}} \qquad \text{(eq. 12)}$$

where r is distance, t is time, D=3.4e-6 cm^2/s is the diffusion constant, and k = 20 Hz is the reuptake constant (Rice 1995). The fraction of NE-binding alpha2-receptors was computed from

$$\tau_{a2R}\frac{dx}{dt} = -x + x_{a2R}, \quad \tau_{a2R} = \frac{1}{200\,nM\,k_{on}+k_{off}}, \quad x_{a2R} = \frac{200\,nM\,k_{on}}{200\,nM\,k_{on}+k_{off}}, \qquad \text{(eq. 13)}$$

were k_{on} = 1.0 and k_{off} = 1.25 nM are the kinetic constants for NE binding and unbinding. The resulting time course of GIRK conductance was approximated by a double-exponential function with τ_{fast}= 1400 ms and τ_{slow}= 1450 ms and an attenuation factor of 0.07.

Data analysis
All data were analyzed in Python. For extraction of action potential features (simulated and experimental), we used the Allen Institute ephys package. The generalized leaky integrate and fire models (GLIF) were applied. Additional analyses were performed using custom Python scripts, all of which are available on the laboratory GitHub account.

Results

We first set out to confirm that LC neurons express both key enzymes to catalyze NE in somatic and dendritic compartments. Immunostaining against tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), as well as dopamine-beta-hydroxylase (DbH) in young mice (P14) and old mice (older than P500), revealed an even expression of both enzymes in these compartments (figure 1 a,b). Both stainings also highlight the compact nature of the LC nucleus itself. Furthermore, a dense dendritic arbor directed towards the medial-rostral axis becomes visible (Ishimatsu and Williams 1996). Image overlay of the fluorescence channel from TH and DbH confirms an overall homogenous co-expression of both enzymes throughout the somatic compartment within the LC nucleus (see figure 1 c1, d1). Surprisingly, we observed a partial differential expression of TH and DbH in the dendritic arbor. Here, both poles of the LC (dorsal and ventral) appear to have protruding dendrites that mainly display a TH immunosignal. At the same time, the central part of the nucleus seems to extend dendrites that preferentially express DbH (figure 1 c2, d2, 3 animals). The dendritic expression profile for the DbH enzymes was similar for young and old mice.

As it is difficult to experimentally approach somatic or dendritic NE release from a single LC
neuron and investigate how it affects spike activity in neighboring LC neurons, we decided to
develop a computational model that allows us to study three modes of NE-mediated
interactions. The first mode was via dendro-somatic synapse (Nirenberg et al. 1996); secondly,
we considered a somatic autoinhibition (Andrade and Aghajanian 1984; Wagner-Altendorf,
Fischer, and Roeper 2019), and thirdly we investigated if a NE volume diffusion from a somatic
release point can lead to a bystander-inhibition of a neighboring neuron.

9
Figure 1. *Tyrosine hydroxylase and Dopamine-beta-Hydroxylase expression largely overlap in somatic and dendritic*
compartments in the Locus coeruleus.
(**a**) Shows immunostaining against key enzymes in the synthesis pathway of NE. (**a1**) Confocal image of immunofluorescence
against tyrosine hydroxylase (TH / *green*) from a brain stem slice of a P14 mouse (anterior-posterior axis: -5.40mm). The
fluorescence signal is present in the soma as well as in the dendritic arbor (here more dominantly in the medial part); (**a2**) We
observed a similar spatial distribution for the fluorescence signal from immunostainings against the dopamine-beta-hydroxylase
(*magenta*). (**b1** and **b2**) depict the same immunostainings as in (a) on brain slices obtained from a P500 mouse. A similar spatial
expression profile for both enzymes is visible when compared to slices from P14 mouse (a). (**b3, d**) Quantification of average
pixel (px) intensities from the *yellow-colored ROIs* overlaid on capture images. ROIs are placed above the somatic or dendritic
compartments, respectively. Plots illustrate the spatial distribution of ROI px intensities along the dorsal-ventral axis for soma or
dendrites (**e**) Illustration of investigated NE-mediated interactions between noradrenergic neurons within the LC nucleus. We
focused on this study to explore local NE release that potentially modulates neuronal activity in LC neurons. Such modulations
are mediated through activation of alpha2-adrenergic receptors, which subsequently leads to activation of the inward-rectifying
potassium channel (GIRK) under three different scenarios: Dendro-somatic synapses, somatic self-inhbition as well as a soma-
somatic interaction via volume diffusion. Scale bar 100µm
25

To realistically model the interdistances of LC neurons, we employed a tissue clearing
approach to map the positions of noradrenergic neurons in the LC. First, we prepared 2-mm
thick brain stem slices from PV-Cre x Ai9 animals. We then used a modified iDISCO protocol
to clear the entire brain stem slices and image the slice volume via fluorescence light sheet
microscopy (see methods for details). After acquiring images of the entire brain stem, we
visualize anti-TH+ and PV-dTomato neurons with high contrast (figure 2 a). We segmented
the LC and resolved positions of 220 to 439 anti-TH+ neurons (4 nuclei, 2 animals) (figure 2
b). Based on the x-y-z coordinates of individual anti-TH+ neurons, we compute the nearest-
neighbor (NN) distances for each cell in all four analyzed nuclei. The distribution of the NN-
distances peaks at distances of beta-hydroxylase around 25µm (median 41.34µm) (see figure
2 b).
To investigate the possible effects of a noradrenergic interaction between LC neurons on a
single cell level, we choose as a starting point a previously published mathematical model that
describes the electronic coupling between two LC neurons (Alvarez et al. 2002). This model
recapitulates frequency-dependent synchronization between LC neurons as an electrotonic
coupling strength between dendrites. Here, strong gap-junction coupling between dendritic

compartments, as described experimentally in young mice (Christi and Jelinek 1993; Christie, Williams, and North 1989; Ishimatsu and Williams 1996), lead to synchronization of spontaneous spiking for all frequencies; while weak dendritic coupling promotes spike synchrony only at higher spiking frequencies. Comparison of our initial model under a weak electrotonic coupling regime reiterates our current-clamp recordings from noradrenergic neurons from acute brain slices prepared from mice older than P70. The spontaneous spike rate at resting potential was 4.2±1.77Hz (n = 6 neurons, 2 animals) while our model estimates 4.3 ± 0.03 Hz (figure 3 a). Overall features of action potentials between our model and experimental data largely coincide (figure 3 b). To emulate different excitability levels of LC neurons, we recorded the spike rate during a three hundred millisecond long current pulse at various amplitudes (figure 3 c, *upper trace*). Also, in our model, current steps evoked an increased spike rate (figure 3 c, *lower trace*). As expected, spike rates increased with amplitudes of injected current. Quantification of spike rate at various current step amplitudes revealed an overall linear dependence in the frequency-current relation (FI-curve) for experimental and simulated data (compare figure 3 d1 and d2). Interestingly, we observed a higher spike rate for the two initial spikes and an overall steeper slope in the FI-curve for these early spikes compared to the following spikes (supplemental figure 2 a). We also found such an increased spike rate for the initial spikes in our model; this effect gets more pronounced at higher current amplitudes for experimental and simulated data (compare supplemental figures 2 a and b).

Figure 2. *The largest fraction of noradrenergic neurons have neighboring LC neurons at a distance of 25 micrometers.* **(a)** A typical fluorescent volume from a 2-mm cleared brain stem slice. Red dtomato fluorescence comes for parvalbumin-positive neurons while pixel intensities mapped in green at anti-TH / CY3 immunopositive neurons (scale bar 100µm). **(b)** Cell segmentation was manually performed with IMARIS software and validated through two observers. The mean number of recovered LC neurons was 289±45 (n = 4, 2 animals). Anti-TH positive neuron had mean sphericity of 0.83±0.12 and a mean volume of 4971±.233µm³. (c) Nearest-neighbor distance histogram of pooled data sets from all 4 LC nuclei. Distances between neurons with less than 25µm are connected through a red line. Nearest-neighbor distribution was fitted to a double exponential function (γ = 1.38, λ$_{long}$=16.84 and λ$_{short}$ =16.23). The model predicts that the highest number of neurons are in bins between 24 to 28µm. The peak of NN distribution and median is marked as dotted lines.

To simulate a noradrenergic modulation between LC neurons, we extended our model with a calcium-dependent release of NE. We considered a NE release site as a point source of NE secretion that either mimics a dendro-somatic synapse or a somatic release site that can either retroact on the secreting neuron (self-inhibition) or effect neighboring neurons via diffusion (bystander-inhibition). High spiking rates lead to membrane fusion of NE-containing large-dense vesicles at the soma (H.-P. Huang et al. 2007; Hong-Ping Huang et al. 2012). The

voltage-dependent rise in calcium is modeled by equations 3, 4, 5 and 6 (see Methods). Based
on amperometric recordings in acute brain slices, we assumed an initial extracellular NE
concentration after vesicle fusion of 200nM (Hong-Ping Huang et al. 2012). We combined the
time courses of several molecular events in equation 10 i.e. binding of NE to alpha2-receptors,
initiation of $G_{i/o}$ signaling cascade, and the subsequent release of $G_{\beta\gamma}$ dimer that increases
GIRK channel conductance. The dependence of GIRK conductance and intracellular calcium
levels is shown in figure 4 a. For a given calcium level (dotted line in figure 4 a) the temporal
development of GIRK open probability (P_{open}) is modeled based on electrophysiological
characterization of GIRK current in LC neurons (J. T. Williams, Bobker, and Harris 1991)
10

Figure 3. *LC neuron model recapitulates essential spontaneous spiking, action potential characteristics and current-evoked frequency dependency.*
(a) Spontaneous neuronal spiking in an LC neuron recorded in an acute brain slice via whole-cell patch-clamp (*upper black trace*). Action potentials show typical long after-hyperpolarization (AHP) that give rise to a 4.2 Hz spontaneous spiking rate. Trace below shows simulated LC neuron that exhibit similar spontaneous spiking rate and slow AHP. **(b)** Overlay of experimental and simulated action potentials exhibit a similar temporal profile. **(c)** The current-evoked increase in membrane potential evokes a barrage of action potentials in a recorded and simulated neuron (300ms current injections). **(d1)** Illustrate the spike rate of recorded LC neurons under different current step amplitudes (error margin as SEM; n = 12 cells, 2 animals); **(d2)** Simulated LC neurons exhibit an increase in spike rate for different amounts of injected current. Note the different current units in d1 and d2 due to the different cell sizes of experimental and simulated neurons. Scale bars 10mV for experimental neurons and 20mV for simulated neurons.

Based on these assumptions, we first tested our model for a synaptic-like dendro-somatic
interaction between two LC neurons. To mimic episodes of high activity, we injected current
amplitudes for 1-sec that lead to spike rates close to 20Hz in neuron 1 (N1) (figure 4 c1). As
expected, an increased spike rate led to an uprise of intracellular calcium levels (figure 4 c1
magenta trace and supplemental figure 3 a,b). As described in equation 5, this increase
translates into a delayed, slowly developing onset of GIRK conductance equation 10, (figure
4 b, c1 *blue trace*). The generated GIRK conductance decreases with Tau = 350ms after the
current injection step ends. In our model, the increased GIRK conductance during and after
episodes of high spike activity led to complete inhibition of spontaneous spiking in the dendro-
somatically connected neuron 2 (N2). Only after GIRK conductance returns to basal levels, N2
resumes spontaneous spiking.
In a different scenario, we investigated how the somatic release of NE impacts the neuronal
activity of the releasing neuron (N1) itself. Similar to our previous constraints, we induced
phasic-like spiking through a current-step injection in our modeled neuron. The high spike rate
induces an increase of intracellular calcium followed by a delayed onset GIRK conductance
(figure 4 c2). Also, here, we assumed quantal release concentration as in the previous
scenario i.e., an initial extracellular NE concentration of 200nM. Despite the slowly rising of
GIRK conductance in N1, the calcium concentration in N1 only shows a subtle decline in the

average intracellular calcium levels during these current-induced bouts of high neuronal
activity (*compare 4 c magenta traces upper versus lower trace*). The Spike rate in N1 remains
stable despite rising potassium leak through GIRK channels. Yet, we observed that after the
current step subsided, the slow-decaying GIRK conductance impede spontaneous firing in N1;
500ms after the current pulse ended spontaneous spiking recurred. Such an observation
agrees with the typical quiescent period of LC neurons after episodes of high activity (J. T.
Williams et al. 1984).
8

9
Figure 4. *Simulation of spike rate from two LC neurons with a build-in NE-dependent GIRK activity leads to a reduced spiking*
rate in LC neurons that are connected via dendro-somatic synapses or a somatic release that retroact onto the secreting LC
neuron. **(a)** R_{rel} is the release fraction that is dependent on the level of presynaptic calcium at the time of action potential. The
gray vertical line is the amount of calcium accumulated in a cell when firing at 20Hz. The relation is defined by equation 7. **(b)**
P_{open} shows the effective time course of the opening and closing of the synaptic conductance of GIRK channel.
(equation 8 $P_{open}(t)$). **(c1)** Depicts a simulation scenario where neuron 1 (N1) connects to neuron 2 (N2) via dendro-somatic
synaptic interaction. *The upper diagram* shows the spike rates of N1 and N2 throughout the simulated 5-sec. Here, the *gray line*
represents the time course of current injections (1s, I= 270nA) in the respective neuron. *The lower diagram* shows the
development of intracellular calcium levels in N1 (*magenta*) during a phasic-like activation; the *blue line* represents the time course
of GIRK conductance in N2. **(c2)** Cartoon illustrates the autoinhibition scenario in which alpha2-adrenergic autoreceptors in N1
activate through local NE-release from the soma. One-second bouts of current injections (I=270nA) lead to an increased spike
rate in N1, while N2 displays a spontaneous firing pattern (*upper diagram*). *The lower diagram* shows time courses of intracellular
calcium concentration and GIRK conductance in N1.
23
We then began to examine if somatic NE release and the spatial confinements of the LC
architecture can give rise to volume-mediated interaction between LC neurons. Here, we
hypothesize that the LC neurons during episodes of high activity can modulate spontaneous
spiking of neighboring neurons via bystander-inhibition. The spatiotemporal NE concentration
profile originating from a point source can be estimated through equation 12 (Cragg and Rice
2004; Rice and Cragg 2008).
The attenuation factor (C_0 / $C(r,t)$) relative to the initial NE concentration shows a
spatiotemporal profile with an attenuation of 0.001 for distances of around 20 to 25µm after
200 to 800ms (figure 5 a). The fraction of NE bound alpha2-receptors (K_D = 1.25nM) is shown
as a temporal profile for various distances in figure 5 b. The onset and fractions of activated
alpha2-receptor are slowed down and decreased through the time course of diffusion,
respectively. In our neural model of LC neurons, we aim to estimate the bystander-inhibition
of freely diffusing NE onto a neuron (N3) at a distance of 25µm. Evoking a phasic-like activity
in neuron 1 through repeated current-step injections evoked an uprise of intracellular calcium
level that surpasses the threshold for NE vesicle release. The onset of a slow-rising GIRK
conductance in N3 is delayed by 1400 ms compared to previous scenarios (dendro-somatic
and autoinhibition) (compare figure 4 c1, c2 versus figure 5 c). The decelerate rise of GIRK
conductance is due to the small number of active alpha2-receptors. This translates into a slow

and small increase of GIRK conductance in N3. As GIRK activation was delayed, a second
current step two seconds after the first one ended, continued to build up GIRK conductance
on the already existing conductance from the previous high activity bout (figure 5 c). A shorter
interval between the current step further facilitates this effect. This cumulated increase in GIRK
conductance in N3 leads to an enlarged interspike interval between spontaneous spikes in N3
(figure 5 c and supplemental figure 4 a).

Figure 5. *Spike modulation in the neighboring neuron of somatically release NE cumulatively affects neighboring neurons within a 25µm radius.* (a) Spatiotemporal diffusion profiles of NE from a point source. The diffusion constant for NE was 3.4 x 10^{-6} cm^2 s^{-1} (Rice and Cragg 2008; Cragg and Rice 2004). (b) Time course of fractions of NE bound alpha2-receptor at various distances. (c) Simulation of spike train of current injected neurons (N1) and nearby neurons (N3 / 25µm distance). The lower plot shows the development of intracellular calcium levels in N1, while the blue line represents GIRK conductance in N3.

Discussion

Noradrenergic modulation exerts robust control over neuronal circuits throughout the entire
brain. The densely packed core of the LC integrates various inputs from cortical, subcortical,
and brain stem areas. These inputs are computed within the nucleus and evoke various
network states in the LC. Early work highlights the importance of electronic coupling between
noradrenergic neurons that can synchronize sub-threshold membrane fluctuation and spiking
rates in the entire LC network (Christi and Jelinek 1993; Christie, Williams, and North 1989;
Ishimatsu and Williams 1996; Alvarez et al. 2002). While later research points to multiple
asynchronous neuronal ensembles that dynamically switch spiking patterns within the LC
(Uematsu et al. 2017; Hirschberg et al. 2017; Totah et al. 2018). As the specific neuronal
architecture and synaptic wiring onto and between LC neurons are unknown, the space of
potential network states and their respective output function remains elusive. Recently, the
identification of local inhibitory neurons that control the phasic activity of specific neuronal
subsets in the LC adds another layer of computational capacity to this brain stem nucleus
(Breton-Provencher and Sur 2019; Jin et al. 2016; Kuo et al. 2020). Yet, to achieve a deeper
understanding of the functional role of noradrenergic subnetworks, we need experimental
approaches that target these ensembles.
Here we developed an experimentally validated neuronal model of noradrenergic cells that
allowed us to investigate NE-mediated modulatory effects between LC neurons. First, our
immunostaining against DbH and TH enzymes confirms the expression of both enzymes in
the vast majority of LC neurons in young and old mice (figure 1). As recent studies
demonstrated that noradrenergic axons in the dorsal hippocampus predominantly release
dopamine (Kempadoo et al. 2016; Takeuchi et al. 2016), our results demonstrate that in
somatic and dendritic compartments the main neuromodulator in LC neurons is NE. Still, such
partition between dopamine and NE release site could appear on the level axonal varicosities;
either through targeting of DbH enzymes to distinct projection areas or a differential expression
of monoamine transporters that bias uptake for a specific catecholamine from the extracellular
space.

Our reconstruction of LC geometry reproduces noradrenergic cell numbers that are five times lower than earlier histological studies (Sturrock and Rao 1985; O'Neil et al. 2007). As previous studies use stereological cell counts of 6 to 50μm brain slices, overestimation through double counting of neurons is possible. Yet, it is likely that these large differences are also due to insufficient penetration of TH antibodies in our cleared tissue preparation that limit cell count compared to classical histology. Nevertheless, a possible underestimation of the cell count in our LC preparation would only affect the overall fraction of LC neurons that are in a 25μm-radius, but the resolved absolute number of LC neuron pairs would stay constant or be larger. In our diffusion model, we have not incorporated noradrenaline transporters activity (NET) that can limit the diffusion radius of NE. Despite studies showing the presence of transporters in the LC core (Klimek et al. 1997; Isaias et al. 2011), previous diffusion modeling studies for the Substantia nigra argue that the impact of monoamine transporter on the diffusion radius of dopamine is small (Cragg and Rice 2004; Rice and Cragg 2008). Direct electrophysiological recordings in the LC neurons confirm that increasing electrical evoked-release of NE scales with the rate of alpha2-receptor evoked inhibitory potentials in noradrenergic neurons; arguing that monoamine transporters are not drawing excessive NE out of the extracellular space (Courtney and Ford 2014). In contrast, in similar dose measurements in the ventral tegmental area, D1-receptor evoked inhibitory responses that did not show dependence on stimulation strength. Only after treatment with a DAT antagonist responses are scaling with stimulation strength (Courtney and Ford 2014). These experimental findings argue that NET activity within the LC is not limiting the diffusion of NE.

The observed reduction in spontaneous spike rate in our model through the diffusion of extracellular NE has so far not been describe to our knowledge; it has been discussed as a plausible mechanism for dopaminergic neurons in the substantial nigra as well as dopaminergic axons (Cragg and Rice 2004; Rice and Cragg 2008; Walters et al. 2014). Key factors for the observed bystander-inhibition are distances and geometrical orientation of the release site between LC neurons. Our model deduces that extracellular NE can accumulate through episodes of long-lasting phasic activity or repeated periods of bursting discharge. This accumulation is dependent on the speed of G_i signaling cascade, GIRK channel kinetic and dispersion of extracellular NE through the densely packed LC core. Such bystander-inhibition adds another layer of interaction between LC neurons. The physiological role of such type of inhibition is strongly interwoven with a topographical organization of the LC.

The idea of topographic organization of the LC has been put forward decades ago; Most studies at that time used local injection of retrograde dyes (Waterhouse et al. 1993; S.E. Loughlin, Foote, and Bloom 1986; Sandra E. Loughlin, Foote, and Fallon 1982). Despite controversial findings, it is generally accepted that the LC has a dorsal-ventral organization in which neurons in the dorsal part of LC are preferential projecting to frontal areas while ventral part projects to brain stem areas. For example LC neurons that project to hippocampal areas or olfactory bulb are more likely found in the dorsal part, while ventral LC neurons are more probabil to project to medulla or spinal cord (Mason and Fibiger 1979; S.E. Loughlin, Foote, and Bloom 1986; Schwarz et al. 2015). Based on new viral strategies and high-throughput axonal mapping technologies, a better understanding of the brain-wide input-output organization of the LC became available. These findings helped to develop new concepts that now allow also to understand the controversial findings from earlier tracing studies. For example, one of these concepts argues that axonal projections from a single LC neuron forms an extensive axonal field to a specific brain area, while also possessing collaterals to other brain areas at lower density. A variation of such a concept entails the distinction between broadcasting LC neurons that form equally dense innervation to many areas, versus private

LC neurons that form specific innervation to a single brain area. Both concepts would blur retrograde tracing experiments, and underestimate a topographical organization of the LC. Interestingly, functional studies highlight heterogeneity of projection-defined LC neurons in terms of intrinsic excitability, after-hyperpolarization and their proteomic make-up (Chandle / Wagner). Here, LC neurons that preferentially project to PFC or hippocampus show a different sensitivity to alpha2-receptor agonists. Therefore, a topographic organization and differential expression of adrenergic receptors could fine-tune bystander-inhibition. It is interesting to speculate how the postulated volume-mediated interaction of spatially clustered LC neurons with different projection targets could amplify differences in extracellular NE in their respective axonal fields.

References

Aghajanian, G.K., J.M. Cedarbaum, and R.Y. Wang. 1977. 'Evidence for Norepinephrine-Mediated Collateral Inhibition of Locus Coeruleus Neurons'. *Brain Research* 136 (3): 570–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(77)90083-X.

Alvarez, Veronica A., Carson C. Chow, Elisabeth J. Van Bockstaele, and John T. Williams. 2002. 'Frequency-Dependent Synchrony in Locus Ceruleus: Role of Electrotonic Coupling'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99 (6): 4032–36. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.062716299.

Andrade, Rodrigo, and George K. Aghajanian. 1984. 'Intrinsic Regulation of Locus Coeruleus Neurons: Electrophysiological Evidence Indicating a Predominant Role for Autoinhibition'. *Brain Research* 310 (2): 401–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(84)90170-7.

Bai, Yun-Fei, Hai-Tao Ma, Li-Na Liu, Hui Li, Xiao-Xiao Li, Yu-Tao Yang, Bing Xue, Di Wang, and Zhi-Qing David Xu. 2018. 'Activation of Galanin Receptor 1 Inhibits Locus Coeruleus Neurons via GIRK Channels'. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 503 (1): 79–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.05.181.

Breton-Provencher, Vincent, and Mriganka Sur. 2019. 'Active Control of Arousal by a Locus Coeruleus GABAergic Circuit'. *Nature Neuroscience* 22 (2): 218–28. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0305-z.

Carter, Matthew E, Ofer Yizhar, Sachiko Chikahisa, Hieu Nguyen, Antoine Adamantidis, Seiji Nishino, Karl Deisseroth, and Luis de Lecea. 2010. 'Tuning Arousal with Optogenetic Modulation of Locus Coeruleus Neurons'. *Nature Neuroscience* 13 (12): 1526–33. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2682.

Chandler, D. J., W.-J. Gao, and B. D. Waterhouse. 2014. 'Heterogeneous Organization of the Locus Coeruleus Projections to Prefrontal and Motor Cortices'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 111 (18): 6816–21. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1320827111.

Christi, M.J., and H.F. Jelinek. 1993. 'Dye-Coupling among Neurons of the Rat Locus Coeruleus during Postnatal Development'. *Neuroscience* 56 (1): 129–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(93)90568-Z.

Christie, Mj, Jt Williams, and Ra North. 1989. 'Electrical Coupling Synchronizes Subthreshold Activity in Locus Coeruleus Neurons in Vitro from Neonatal Rats'. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 9 (10): 3584–89. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.09-10-03584.1989.

Courtney, N. A., and C. P. Ford. 2014. 'The Timing of Dopamine- and Noradrenaline-Mediated Transmission Reflects Underlying Differences in the Extent of Spillover and Pooling'. *Journal of Neuroscience* 34 (22): 7645–56. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0166-14.2014.

Cragg, Stephanie J., and Margaret E. Rice. 2004. 'DAncing Past the DAT at a DA Synapse'. *Trends in Neurosciences* 27 (5): 270–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2004.03.011.

Descarries, Laurent, Kenneth C. Watkins, and Yves Lapierre. 1977. 'Noradrenergic Axon

Terminals in the Cerebral Cortex of Rat. III. Topometric Ultrastructural Analysis'. *Brain Research* 133 (2): 197–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(77)90759-4.

Hirschberg, Stefan, Yong Li, Andrew Randall, Eric J Kremer, and Anthony E Pickering. 2017. 'Functional Dichotomy in Spinal- vs Prefrontal-Projecting Locus Coeruleus Modules Splits Descending Noradrenergic Analgesia from Ascending Aversion and Anxiety in Rats'. *ELife* 6 (October). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.29808.

Huang, Hong-Ping, Fei-Peng Zhu, Xiao-Wei Chen, Zhi-Qing David Xu, Claire Xi Zhang, and Zhuan Zhou. 2012. 'Physiology of Quantal Norepinephrine Release from Somatodendritic Sites of Neurons in Locus Coeruleus'. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience* 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnmol.2012.00029.

Isaias, Ioannis U, Giorgio Marotta, Gianni Pezzoli, Osama Sabri, Johannes Schwarz, Paolo Crenna, Joseph Classen, and Paolo Cavallari. 2011. 'Enhanced Catecholamine Transporter Binding in the Locus Coeruleus of Patients with Early Parkinson Disease'. *BMC Neurology* 11 (1): 88. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-11-88.

Ishimatsu, Masaru, and John T. Williams. 1996. 'Synchronous Activity in Locus Coeruleus Results from Dendritic Interactions in Pericoerulear Regions'. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 16 (16): 5196–5204. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-16-05196.1996.

Jin, Xin, Shanshan Li, Brian Bondy, Weiwei Zhong, Max F. Oginsky, Yang Wu, Christopher M. Johnson, Shuang Zhang, Ningren Cui, and Chun Jiang. 2016. 'Identification of a Group of GABAergic Neurons in the Dorsomedial Area of the Locus Coeruleus'. Edited by Benjamin Arenkiel. *PLOS ONE* 11 (1): e0146470. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146470.

Klimek, Violetta, Craig Stockmeier, James Overholser, Herbert Y. Meltzer, Sheila Kalka, Ginny Dilley, and Gregory A. Ordway. 1997. 'Reduced Levels of Norepinephrine Transporters in the Locus Coeruleus in Major Depression'. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 17 (21): 8451–58. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.17-21-08451.1997.

Kuo, Chao-Cheng, Jung-Chien Hsieh, Hsing-Chun Tsai, Yu-Shan Kuo, Hau-Jie Yau, Chih-Cheng Chen, Ruei-Feng Chen, Hsiu-Wen Yang, and Ming-Yuan Min. 2020. 'Inhibitory Interneurons Regulate Phasic Activity of Noradrenergic Neurons in the Mouse Locus Coeruleus and Functional Implications'. *The Journal of Physiology* 598 (18): 4003–29. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP279557.

Lakhlani, P. P., L. B. MacMillan, T. Z. Guo, B. A. McCool, D. M. Lovinger, M. Maze, and L. E. Limbird. 1997. 'Substitution of a Mutant 2a-Adrenergic Receptor via "Hit and Run" Gene Targeting Reveals the Role of This Subtype in Sedative, Analgesic, and Anesthetic-Sparing Responses in Vivo'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 94 (18): 9950–55. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.18.9950.

Latsari, Maria, Ioanna Dori, John Antonopoulos, Maria Chiotelli, and Athanasios Dinopoulos. 2002. 'Noradrenergic Innervation of the Developing and Mature Visual and Motor Cortex of the Rat Brain: A Light and Electron Microscopic Immunocytochemical Analysis'. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 445 (2): 145–58. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.10156.

Lee, Amy, Diane L Rosin, and Elisabeth J Van Bockstaele. 1998. 'A2A-Adrenergic Receptors in the Rat Nucleus Locus Coeruleus: Subcellular Localization in Catecholaminergic Dendrites, Astrocytes, and Presynaptic Axon Terminals', 13.

Loughlin, Sandra E., Stephen L. Foote, and James H. Fallon. 1982. 'Locus Coeruleus Projections to Cortex: Topography, Morphology and Collateralization'. *Brain Research Bulletin* 9 (1–6): 287–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-9230(82)90142-3.

Loughlin, S.E., S.L. Foote, and F.E. Bloom. 1986. 'Efferent Projections of Nucleus Locus Coeruleus: Topographic Organization of Cells of Origin Demonstrated by Three-Dimensional Reconstruction'. *Neuroscience* 18 (2): 291–306. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(86)90155-7.

Lüscher, Christian, and Paul A. Slesinger. 2010. 'Emerging Roles for G Protein-Gated Inwardly Rectifying Potassium (GIRK) Channels in Health and Disease'. *Nature*

Reviews Neuroscience 11 (5): 301–15. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2834.

Martins, Ana Raquel O, and Robert C Froemke. 2015. 'Coordinated Forms of Noradrenergic Plasticity in the Locus Coeruleus and Primary Auditory Cortex'. *Nature Neuroscience* 18 (10): 1483–92. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4090.

Mason, Stephen T., and Hans C. Fibiger. 1979. 'Regional Topography within Noradrenergic Locus Coeruleus as Revealed by Retrograde Transport of Horseradish Peroxidase'. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 187 (4): 703–24. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901870405.

Nirenberg, Melissa J., June Chan, Yongjian Liu, Robert H. Edwards, and Virginia M. Pickel. 1996. 'Ultrastructural Localization of the Vesicular Monoamine Transporter-2 in Midbrain Dopaminergic Neurons: Potential Sites for Somatodendritic Storage and Release of Dopamine'. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 16 (13): 4135–45. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.16-13-04135.1996.

Olschowka, J A, M E Molliver, R Grzanna, F L Rice, and J T Coyle. 1981. 'Ultrastructural Demonstration of Noradrenergic Synapses in the Rat Central Nervous System by Dopamine-Beta-Hydroxylase Immunocytochemistry.' *Journal of Histochemistry & Cytochemistry* 29 (2): 271–80. https://doi.org/10.1177/29.2.7019303.

Pickel, Virginia M., Susan C. Beckley, Tong H. Joh, and Donald J. Reis. 1981. 'Ultrastructural Immunocytochemical Localization of Tyrosine Hydroxylase in the Neostriatum'. *Brain Research* 225 (2): 373–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(81)90843-X.

Poe, Gina R., Stephen Foote, Oxana Eschenko, Joshua P. Johansen, Sebastien Bouret, Gary Aston-Jones, Carolyn W. Harley, et al. 2020. 'Locus Coeruleus: A New Look at the Blue Spot'. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 21 (11): 644–59. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41583-020-0360-9.

Rice, Margaret E., and Stephanie J. Cragg. 2008. 'Dopamine Spillover after Quantal Release: Rethinking Dopamine Transmission in the Nigrostriatal Pathway'. *Brain Research Reviews* 58 (2): 303–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresrev.2008.02.004.

Rice, Margaret E., and Jyoti C. Patel. 2015. 'Somatodendritic Dopamine Release: Recent Mechanistic Insights'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 370 (1672): 20140185. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0185.

Schwarz, Lindsay A., Kazunari Miyamichi, Xiaojing J. Gao, Kevin T. Beier, Brandon Weissbourd, Katherine E. DeLoach, Jing Ren, et al. 2015. 'Viral-Genetic Tracing of the Input–Output Organization of a Central Noradrenaline Circuit'. *Nature* 524 (7563): 88–92. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14600.

Segal, M. 1979. 'Serotonergic Innervation of the Locus Coeruleus from the Dorsal Raphe and Its Action on Responses to Noxious Stimuli.' *The Journal of Physiology* 286 (1): 401–15. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1979.sp012628.

Simson, E, and Jay M Weiss. n.d. 'Alpha-2 Receptor Blockade Increases Responsiveness Coeruleus Neurons to Excitatory Stimulation'. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 9.

Singewald, N. 1998. 'Release of Neurotransmitters in the Locus Coeruleus'. *Progress in Neurobiology* 56 (2): 237–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0082(98)00039-2.

Singewald, N., C. Schneider, A. Pfitscher, and A. Philippu. 1994. 'In Vivo Release of Catecholamines in the Locus Coeruleus'. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Archives of Pharmacology* 350 (4). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00178948.

Swanson, L W. 1976. 'THE LOCUS COERULEUS: A CYTOARCH1TECTONIC, GOLGI AND IMMUNOHISTOCHEMICAL STUDY IN THE ALBINO RAT', no. 110: 39–56.

Szabadi, Elemer. 2013. 'Functional Neuroanatomy of the Central Noradrenergic System'. *Journal of Psychopharmacology* 27 (8): 659–93. https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881113490326.

Takeuchi, Tomonori, Adrian J. Duszkiewicz, Alex Sonneborn, Patrick A. Spooner, Miwako Yamasaki, Masahiko Watanabe, Caroline C. Smith, et al. 2016. 'Locus Coeruleus and Dopaminergic Consolidation of Everyday Memory'. *Nature* 537 (7620): 357–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19325.

Tillage, Rachel P., Genevieve E. Wilson, L. Cameron Liles, Philip V. Holmes, and David

Weinshenker. 2020. 'Chronic Environmental or Genetic Elevation of Galanin in Noradrenergic Neurons Confers Stress Resilience in Mice'. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 40 (39): 7464–74. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0973-20.2020.

Torrecilla, María, Irrintzi Fernández-Aedo, Aurora Arrue, Mercedes Zumarraga, and Luisa Ugedo. 2013. 'Role of GIRK Channels on the Noradrenergic Transmission in Vivo: An Electrophysiological and Neurochemical Study on GIRK2 Mutant Mice'. *International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology* 16 (5): 1093–1104. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1461145712000971.

Totah, Nelson K., Nikos K. Logothetis, and Oxana Eschenko. 2020. 'Synchronous Spiking Associated with High Gamma Oscillations in Prefrontal Cortex Exerts Top-down Control over a 5Hz-Rhythmic Modulation of Spiking in Locus Coeruleus'. Preprint. Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.26.061085.

Totah, Nelson K., Ricardo M. Neves, Stefano Panzeri, Nikos K. Logothetis, and Oxana Eschenko. 2018. 'The Locus Coeruleus Is a Complex and Differentiated Neuromodulatory System'. *Neuron* 99 (5): 1055-1068.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.07.037.

Travagli, R. A., M. Wessendorf, and J. T. Williams. 1996. 'Dendritic Arbor of Locus Coeruleus Neurons Contributes to Opioid Inhibition'. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 75 (5): 2029–35. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1996.75.5.2029.

Travagli, R. Alberto, Thomas V. Dunwiddie, and John T. Williams. 1995. 'Opioid Inhibition in Locus Coeruleus'. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 74 (2): 519–28. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1995.74.2.519.

Uematsu, Akira, Bao Zhen Tan, Edgar A Ycu, Jessica Sulkes Cuevas, Jenny Koivumaa, Felix Junyent, Eric J Kremer, Ilana B Witten, Karl Deisseroth, and Joshua P Johansen. 2017. 'Modular Organization of the Brainstem Noradrenaline System Coordinates Opposing Learning States'. *Nature Neuroscience* 20 (11): 1602–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.4642.

Wagner-Altendorf, Tobias A., Beatrice Fischer, and Jochen Roeper. 2019. 'Axonal Projection-specific Differences in Somatodendritic A2 Autoreceptor Function in Locus Coeruleus Neurons'. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 50 (11): 3772–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.14553.

Walters, Seth H., I. Mitch Taylor, Zhan Shu, and Adrian C. Michael. 2014. 'A Novel Restricted Diffusion Model of Evoked Dopamine'. *ACS Chemical Neuroscience* 5 (9): 776–83. https://doi.org/10.1021/cn5000666.

Waterhouse, Barry D., Barbara Border, Linda Wahl, and Gregory A. Mihailoff. 1993. 'Topographic Organization of Rat Locus Coeruleus and Dorsal Raphe Nuclei: Distribution of Cells Projecting to Visual System Structures'. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 336 (3): 345–61. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903360304.

Williams, J.T., D.H. Bobker, and G.C. Harris. 1991. 'Synaptic Potentials in Locus Coeruleus Neurons in Brain Slices'. In *Progress in Brain Research*, 88:167–72. Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(08)63806-6.

Williams, J.T., R.A. North, S.A. Shefner, S. Nishi, and T.M. Egan. 1984. 'Membrane Properties of Rat Locus Coeruleus Neurones'. *Neuroscience* 13 (1): 137–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4522(84)90265-3.

Williams, Jt, Ra North, and T Tokimasa. 1988. 'Inward Rectification of Resting and Opiate-Activated Potassium Currents in Rat Locus Coeruleus Neurons'. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 8 (11): 4299–4306. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.08-11-04299.1988.

Zhang, Zizhen, Steven Cordeiro Matos, Sonia Jego, Antoine Adamantidis, and Philippe Séguéla. 2013. 'Norepinephrine Drives Persistent Activity in Prefrontal Cortex via Synergistic A1 and A2 Adrenoceptors'. Edited by Kevin Currie. *PLoS ONE* 8 (6): e66122. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066122.

Data availability

All code used for all analyses and plots are publicly available on GitHub at
https://github.com/teamprigge

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to the Center for Brain and Behavioral Science for financing K.M. M.P is supported by the Best Minds programs from the Leibniz Association. We are in depth to Menachem Segal for discussion and Oliver Kobler from the Combinatorial NeuroImaging (CNI) core for support with light sheet and confocal microscopy.

Author contributions

SB, HH, KM, JB and MP conceived experiment(s) and developed the analyses. MP wrote first draft of manuscript with support SB, KM and JB. MP and JB supervised the work, and acquired funding.

Competing interests the authors declare no competing interests.

1
2
3 **Supplement**
4
The calcium current is responsible for the spontaneous spiking of the cell. It is unclear in
Alvarez et al if this is a transient conductance (with activation and inactivation gates) or a
persistent conductance (with two kinds of activation gates). If we take the formulas as given,
The 'fast' gating variable mCa opens with membrane depolarization. Specifically, it
approaches unity for membrane potentials above −40 mV (see Supp Figure 1). The 'slow'
gating variable y_∞ closes with membrane depolarization. Specifically, it approaches zero for
membrane potentials above −60 mV . In the same regime, the characteristic time to
approaches 20 ms. Taken together, this current is active in a window between −80mV and
−40mV , being strongest around −60mV . As a result, this current can cause a slow Ca-spike,
on which multiple fast Na-spikes can occur.
15
16 Supp. Figure 1:
17

18
19 Activation variables for y_∞ (left) and m_ca (middle) and I_ca (right) for calcium current, as a function
20 of membrane voltage, for the formulas as given by Alvarez et al.

1 Supp. Figure 2:

2
3 Spike rate dependence on 300-ms current-injection steps seperated for early spikes (spike 1 and 2 /
4 dotted line) versus later spikes (> spike 2 / full line). **(a)** FI Curve of an experimental neuron. It is
5 firing at almost 6.1Hz at spontaneous state. **(b)** FI curve of a simulated neuron. It is firing at almost
6 4.5Hz at spontaneous state.
7
8
9 Supp. Figure 3:
10
11

12
13 Action potential trigger calcium accumulation in the cell which facilitates the release of
14 neurotransmitters along with many other calcium-triggered events. When the simulated neuron
15 is firing at 4Hz, a small amount of calcium is accumulated and equibrilates at constant level as
16 shown in fig 3 **(a)**. When the same neuron is firing at 20Hz, a higher level of calcium is
17 accumulated after several action potentials **(b)**.

Supp. Figure 4:

2
3
Effect of extracellular NE that diffuses freely in the extracellular space. The released NE
binds to a receptor site in a nearby neuron and triggers opening of GIRK. Unlike synaptic
inhibition, inhibition by diffusion is delayed in nature as it takes time to reach the binding site
when diffused in extracellular space. Here ISI (binned 2s) are calculated for neuron N2 in
figure 5. Inhibition slowly builds up (1s-7s) after N1 releases NE during several intervals
which leads to a significant longer ISI in N3. N3 slowly gets back to the steady state after N1
stops releasing NE(9s).
11
12
13