Endometriotic Organoids as an *In Vitro* Model of Endometriotic Lesion Development.

Yong Song[1][†], Gregory W. Burns[1][†], Niraj R. Joshi[1], Ripla Arora[1], J. Julie Kim[2] and Asgerally T. Fazleabas[1]*

[1]Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Biology, Michigan State University, Grand Rapids, MI 49503, USA

[2]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

[†]These authors contributed equally.

*Corresponding author. Email: fazleaba@msu.edu

One Sentence Summary:

Endometriotic organoids are an appropriate model to study epithelial-stromal interactions and model cell invasion associated with lesion development.

ABSTRACT

The development and progression of endometriotic lesions are poorly understood, but immune cell dysfunction and inflammation are closely associated with the pathophysiology of the disease. A lack of suitable 3D *in vitro* models permitting the study of interactions between cell types and the microenvironment is a contributing factor. To address this limitation, we developed endometriotic organoids (EO) to explore the role of epithelial-stromal interactions and model cell invasion associated with lesion development. Using a non-adherent microwell culture system, spherical organoids were generated with endometriotic epithelial cells (12Z) combined with immortalized endometriotic stromal cells (iEc-ESC) or immortalized uterine stromal cells (iHUF). Cell compartmentalization was observed in organoids with epithelial cells lining the periphery of a stromal cell core. Organoids with iEc-ESC (EO) stimulated the growth of a stratified epithelial cell layer compared to those with iHUF which developed a single layer. Transcriptome analysis found 4,522 differentially expressed genes (DEG) between organoid types and the top DEG included increased expression of interleukins and prostaglandin synthase enzymes. An overlap of the EO DEG with baboon endometriotic lesions was highly significant. Finally, to mimic invasion of endometrial tissue into the peritoneum, a model was developed using EO and an extracellular matrix containing human peritoneal mesothelial cells (LP9). Invasion of EO into the extracellular matrix-LP9 layer was increased in presence of estrogen or THP1-derived proinflammatory macrophages. Taken together, our results strongly support the concept that endometriotic organoids are an appropriate model for dissecting the mechanisms that contribute to endometriotic lesion development.

INTRODUCTION

Endometriosis is a chronic inflammatory hormone-dependent disorder which is characterized by the presence of endometrial tissue outside the uterus (1). It affects between 10 and 15% of all women of reproductive age, 70% of women with chronic pelvic pain, and 20–50% women with infertility (2, 3). The etiology and pathogenesis of endometriosis remains unclear, but lesion development has been associated with cellular proliferation and invasion, and interactions between endometriotic cells, immune cells and their microenvironment (4, 5). Appropriate *in vitro* platforms that allow dissection of the underlying mechanisms of these processes will inform the understanding of the disease and development of novel therapeutics.

Clinical samples from patients with endometriosis are the first line model to investigate the disease. One of the major limitations to understanding the pathological events associated with endometriosis is the significant delay of 8-11 years for diagnosis and subsequent variations in disease progression. Thus, both *in vivo* and *in vitro* models are indispensable to study the cellular and molecular mechanisms associated with endometriotic lesion development at different stages, The non-human primate and rodent models of endometriosis have been used most exclusively for *in vivo* studies. Non-human primates offer a physiologically relevant model and provide an opportunity to study both the early events and the disease progression (6, 7). Rodent models are advantageous due to the ability for tissue-specific gene manipulation and initial *in vivo* therapeutic testing(8). Most *in vitro* studies utilize two-dimensional monolayer culture of primary or immortalized endometrial cells. This method allows for the study of endometriosis associated mechanisms in a cell-specific manner and is easily manipulated for testing of treatments. However, the interactions are limited to the horizontal plane and cells are exposed to a uniform concentration of factors, so monolayer cultures are unable to mimic the architecture and function of tissues *in situ*. Thus, there is a significant gap between *in vivo* models and current *in vitro* cell culture techniques. Three-dimensional (3D) spheroids, or organoids, provide an exciting method to bridge the gap by incorporation of more complex structures and multiple cell types.

Organoids are self-organizing, genetically stable, 3D culture systems containing both

progenitor/stem and differentiated cells that resemble the tissue of origin (9-13). Boretto et al. first

established endometriotic epithelial organoids in a Matrigel organoid culture system using ectopic

lesions from patients with endometriosis and reported that the organoids replicated disease-

associated functional and genomic traits (14). However, this system only allowed for the culture

of endometriotic epithelial cells as stromal cells do not grow in these conditions. Wiwatpanit et al.

generated endometrial organoids containing both epithelial and stromal cells from endometrial

biopsies using micro-molded agarose plates and the scaffold-free organoids resembled the

physiology of the native endometrium (13). The micro-molded agarose plate organoid culture

system does not require exogenous scaffold materials but relies on *de novo* secretion of

extracellular matrix and allows for self-organization and growth of multiple cell types.

An SV40-tranformed endometriotic epithelial cell line derived from peritoneal endometriosis,

12Z, is widely used for the study of endometriosis (15) and we recently developed an

endometriotic stromal cell line (iEc-ESC) from ovarian endometriosis (16). In this study, our aim

was to develop an endometriotic organoid model containing both endometriotic epithelial and

stromal cell lines. We hypothesized that the transcriptome of scaffold-free organoids containing

both endometriotic cell types would mirror endometriotic lesions from the baboon model and

provide a model to explore peritoneal invasion associated with endometriotic lesion initiation.

RESULTS

Epithelial and Stromal Cells Self-Organize in Organoids

Epithelial and stromal cells are the two main cell types found in endometriotic lesions that are

hypothesized to be descended from retrograde menstrual endometrial fragments. The cells

employed in this study were immortalized ectopic epithelial cells (12Z), ectopic stromal cells (iEc-

ESC) and human uterine fibroblasts (iHUF)(15, 16). For live visualization of cell-type organization,

epithelial 12Z cells were modified to express red fluorescent protein (RFP) and both stromal cell

lines to express Azurite blue. Endometrial organoids were generated from epithelial 12Z or

stromal iEc-ESC alone, 12Z+iHUF, and 12Z+iEc-ESC in micro-molded agarose well plates. A

preliminary study empirically determined that the optimal ratio of epithelial cells to stromal cells

for co-culture was 1:50. Time-lapse imaging demonstrated that epithelial and stromal cells

reorganized autonomously in the agarose wells (Supplemental Video 1). The organization was

confirmed by confocal imaging and Z-stack reconstruction, and immunohistochemical staining on

days 4 and 7 (Fig 1). Organoids containing epithelial 12Z or stromal iEc-ESC alone formed solid

spheroid structures at days 7 (Supplemental Fig 1). In contrast, when 12Z were co-cultured with

iEc-ESC, a lesion-like structure was observed with organization of epithelial cells lining the

periphery of stromal cells in the center of the spheroid at day 4 (Fig 1B). The observed morphology

was very similar to endometrial organoids reported from primary cells (13).

We next sought to examine the effect of stromal cell origin on organoid formation by

generating organoids with 12Z and iHUF or 12Z and iEc-ESC. Organoids containing iEc-ESC

developed multiple epithelial cell layers while those containing normal stromal cells, iHUF,

resulted in a single layer of epithelial cells at day 7 (Fig 1). This suggests that ectopic stromal

cells promote epithelial cell proliferation and loss of apical-basal polarity and aligns with

endometriotic epithelial stratification reported in Matrigel (14).

Endometriotic Organoids Invade a 3D Model of the Peritoneum

Cell invasion is one of the key processes involved in endometriotic lesion initiation and

development. To simulate cell invasion that occurs *in vivo*, we developed a 3D endometriotic

organoid invasion model. As shown in Fig 2A, human peritoneal mesothelial cells, LP9,

expressing GFP were grown in Matrigel to mimic the structure of the peritoneum. Endometriotic

organoids containing iEc-ESC and 12Z were seeded on the top of the LP9/Matrigel layer. The

invasion of organoids was tracked using a fluorescent confocal microscopy every other day for 7

days. The Z-stack images were reconstructed to produce 3D models with Imaris image analysis

software by Bitplane. As shown in Supplemental Video 2 and Fig 2C, the organoids invaded the

Matrigel and continued penetrating the LP9 cell layer. Interestingly, stromal cells were always

noted at the leading edge and as the first cell type to penetrate the LP9 cell layer, in alignment

with previous observations (17, 18). These studies showed that endometrial stromal cells were

the first cell type to attach and invade through the mesothelium in both nude mice and *ex vivo*

tissue explant cultures used to model the early stage of endometriosis (17, 18). The organoid

invasion was quantified, and data are shown in Fig 2B. Combined, these results support the idea

that the organoid invasion model could be an ideal *in vitro* tool to study the early events of

endometriosis, particularly with respect to cell invasion.

Estrogen Stimulates Invasion of Endometriotic Organoids

Endometriosis is estrogen-dependent disease and estrogen can promote the migration and

invasion of endometrial stromal cells and endometriotic epithelial cells in two-dimensional culture

(19, 20). However, the effect of estrogen on organoids is unknown but we hypothesized that

estradiol would stimulate invasion in our model. Invasion of Day 4 EO through the Matrigel/LP9

cell layer was monitored in the presence of 20nM estradiol (E2) or vehicle for 4 days. Organoids

were imaged on Day 1 and Day 4 by fluorescent confocal microscopy. The invasion rate of

endometriotic organoids was increased at 1.8-fold in the presence of E2 compared to vehicle

($p < 0.05$; Fig 3).

Stromal Cell Origin Alters Organoid Gene Expression

We profiled the transcriptomes by RNA-sequencing of organoids containing ectopic stromal

and epithelial cell lines (iEc-ESC/12Z; EO) and compared the profile to normal stromal and ectopic

epithelial organoids (iHUF/12Z) to identify the global transcriptomic effect of ectopic stromal cells

in the organoids. As an indication of broad transcriptome differences, EO and iHUF/12Z organoid

samples were separated by principal component analysis across PC1 (65.7%, Fig 4A) and

unsupervised hierarchical clustering (Fig 4B). Indeed, there were 4,522 differentially expressed

genes (DEG), with 2,175 increased and 2,347 decreased, in EO compared to iHUF/12Z organoids.

The top 50 DEG included increased expression of interleukins (*IL1B*, *IL24*), prostaglandin

synthase enzymes (*PTGS1*, *PTGS2*), and telomerase reverse transcriptase (*TERT*). Of note,

stromal cell lines were immortalized by constitutive expression of *TERT* via lentiviral transduction,

but *TERT* was not differentially expressed in an analysis of organoids containing only stromal

cells (Supplemental Fig 2), indicating the altered expression was due to an interaction between

the epithelium and stroma cells.

Prostaglandin synthase enzymes, *PTGS1* and *PTGS2*, *CXCL8*, *TIMP3*, an inactivator of

extracellular matrix remodeling enzymes, *IL1A*, and *LIF*, an estrogen response gene, were

increased in EO while *STRA6* and *CRABP2*, retinoic acid binding proteins, and *PGR* were down-

regulated (Fig 5A). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) results included NFKB target genes,

two senescence gene sets, and TNFα response via p38 mitogen-activated protein kinases as the

most highly increased gene sets for EO, while stem cell-associated genes were decreased (Fig

5B).

Ectopic Organoids are Similar to Endometriotic Lesions

RNA-sequencing data from baboon endometrium and endometriotic lesions was compared

to the human organoids and overlap of gene expression between these comparisons was highly

significant, with 12,504 commonly expressed genes (Fig 6A). Additionally, GSEA using hallmark

gene sets found similarities for comparisons of EO versus iHUF/12Z organoids and spontaneous

baboon ectopic lesions versus eutopic endometrium (Fig 6B). TNF signaling via NFKB,

inflammatory responses, and hypoxia associated genes were increased in EO and baboon

lesions, while interferon alpha response was decreased in EO and increased in lesions. Similarly,

allograft rejection and IL6 JAK/STAT3 signaling gene sets were increased in baboon ectopic

lesions compared to eutopic tissues but absent from the organoid comparison. Gene ontology

analysis of 2,518 genes identified only as expressed in baboon tissues resulted in significant

enrichment for PANTHER GO-slim terms associated with the immune system (Table 1).

Proinflammatory Macrophages Increase Endometriotic Organoid Invasion

Immune cell dysfunction and local inflammation in the endometriotic environment have been

considered to play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of endometriosis (21). The recruitment of

macrophages within the endometriotic lesion have been demonstrated to facilitate the

development and maintenance of endometriosis (22-24). In support of a role for macrophages in

the pathophysiology of endometriosis, immunoreactive CD68, a pan-macrophage marker, was

detected in a baboon endometriotic lesion (Supplemental Fig 3). Proinflammatory, or M1,

macrophages have the capacity to secrete inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6. These

inflammatory cytokines may further promote endometriotic cell growth and invasion (25). To

address the effect of activated proinflammatory macrophages on EO invasion, M1 were

differentiated from naïve (M0) macrophages derived from the THP1 cell line by exposure to IFN-

γ and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for 24 hours. The resulting proinflammatory macrophages were

acclimatized for 24-36 hours in the organoid culture medium. As before, EO added to the top of

the Matrigel/LP9 layer and proinflammatory macrophages were added to the wells three hours

later. The EO were imaged on days 1 and 3 using fluorescent laser-scanning confocal microscopy.

Co-culture with either 7,500 or 15,000 macrophages increased EO invasion, while there was no

difference between the 7,500 and 15,000 macrophage treatment groups (Fig 7). Thus, the

addition of proinflammatory macrophages promoted the endometriotic organoid invasion in

support of the hypothesis that macrophages facilitate the establishment of endometriotic lesions.

DISCUSSION

A 3D organoid consisting of both epithelial and stromal cells makes an excellent model for

dissecting the mechanisms associated with early endometriotic lesion development *in vitro*. In this

study, we developed EO with endometriotic epithelial and stromal cell lines. The cells self-

organized and resulted in a stromal cell core surrounded by epithelial cells, a morphology is similar

to that of endometrial organoids derived from primary endometrial cells (13). Surprisingly, the

origin of the stromal cells, normal or ectopic altered the organoid structure with stratified epithelial

cells forming only with ectopic stromal cells. The observed epithelial stratification is consistent

with reports of endometriotic epithelial organoids grown in Matrigel (14). Transcriptomic analyses

revealed that known pathways dysregulated in endometriotic lesions were present in EO,

indicating that EO reproduce many hallmarks of the endometriosis-associated transcriptome.

Overall, our results support the idea that EO recapitulate the properties of endometriotic lesions

with respect to morphology, function, and gene expression.

Attachment and invasion are important steps in the development of endometriotic lesions (4).

Most current methods to study cell invasion are based on single cell types in 2D culture or

Transwell assays. To more accurately simulate the cell invasion process that occurs *in vivo*, we

developed a 3D organoid invasion model based on peritoneal endometriotic lesions. To our

knowledge, this is the first 3D invasion model which includes the three main cell compartments,

epithelial, stromal and peritoneal mesothelial cells. Endometriotic organoids migrated through a

layer of Matrigel, contacted, and penetrated through a layer of mesothelial cells, to mimic

peritoneal invasion. Interestingly, our results identified stromal cells at the leading edge of invasion

despite the structure of the organoid. This observation agrees with previous studies investigating

adhesion and invasion of endometrium to peritoneum using both nude mice and *ex vivo* tissue

explant culture models (17, 18). Endometrial stromal cells from women with endometriosis

undergo changes in adhesive properties and mesenchymal characteristics in response to

peritoneal mesothelial cells that might be part of the underlying mechanisms of lesion initiation

(26). Our data indicate that endometriotic organoids have the capacity to invade mesothelial cells

in a manner hypothesized to occur in physiological conditions. The utility of the organoid model

of invasion was further supported by increased invasive capacity in presence of estrogen or

activated proinflammatory macrophages. Collectively, this model expands the possibilities to

study mechanisms of endometriotic lesion establishment *in vitro*.

Stromal cell origin affected steroid hormone responsiveness of organoids and significantly

altered the transcriptome of endometriotic organoids with over 4,500 DEG. These observations

support the utility of a 3D organoid comprised of endometriotic epithelium and stroma. Indeed,

the genes expressed by EO largely mirrored those from spontaneous endometriotic lesions in the

baboon, and GSEA analyses confirmed coordinated increases in TNFα signaling via NFKB,

inflammatory responses, and hypoxia associated gene sets. Analysis of organoid gene

expression alterations associated with the origin of the stromal cells identified several pathways

known to be altered in endometriotic lesions, i.e., retinoic acid (RA) signaling that is altered in

endometriosis, both the uptake of retinoid (27) and the production of retinoic acid (RA) (28).

Several enzymes in the RA pathway were altered in endometriotic organoids, including decreased

expression of *STRA6* and *CRABP2* (29), and increased *CYP26B1*. Telomerase reverse

transcriptase (*TERT*) expression was increased in ectopic organoids, as *TERT* was amongst the

most highly upregulated genes but was not altered in a comparison of stroma-only organoids

(Supplemental Fig 2). There is significant evidence for increased expression of *TERT* in

endometriosis in eutopic secretory endometrium (30-34)and endometriotic lesions (35). In further

support of the model, endometriotic lesions are progestin resistant (36) and, concordantly,

progesterone receptor expression was downregulated in EO. The expression of leukemia

inhibitory factor (*LIF*) was increased in EO, possibly due to local estrogenic activity (37) or

inflammatory signals (38, 39). These results demonstrate significant alignment of the EO

transcriptome with spontaneous disease and specific pathways that are dysregulated in

endometriosis.

The immune system plays an important role in the establishment and progression of

endometriosis (25, 40, 41). Tissue resident immune cells are refluxed with menstrual tissue and

additional cells are recruited into the peritoneum where they interact with endometriotic lesions

(42). The pro-inflammatory cytokine *IL6* is common to all three coordinately increased hallmark

gene sets from EO and spontaneous endometriotic lesions from baboons (data not shown).

Interleukin-6 expression was increased in the spontaneous baboon lesions and EO and is

associated with chronic inflammation, endometriosis, and the presence of macrophages (22, 42-

44). IL-6 activates the expression of *CCL2* (also referred to as monocyte chemoattractant protein

1 or *MCP1*) in endothelial cells to recruit monocytes (45) and IL-6 is further produced by the

recruited macrophages and dendritic cells. Indeed, macrophages affect lesion establishment and

growth in a mouse model of endometriosis (22, 41, 42) and peritoneal macrophages from women

with endometriosis produce more IL-6 (46). Genes expressed in spontaneous lesions, but absent

from EO, were enriched in immune-related pathways indicating a role for immune cells in the

pathophysiology of endometriotic lesions that was absent in the model. Importantly, the invasive

capacity of EO was increased with the addition of proinflammatory macrophages, further

supporting this hypothesis. The mechanism by which the macrophages altered EO invasion is

undetermined, but IL-6 may be a key cytokine for the crosstalk between endometriotic lesions

and infiltrating macrophages.

The epithelial organoid culture system utilizing Matrigel supports extended culture of gland-

like epithelial structures but require expensive media components and does not allow for the

growth of stromal cells. Signaling between the epithelium and stromal compartments is critical for

normal functioning of the endometrium, both with respect to attainment of uterine receptivity and

embryo implantation (47, 48). Most cases of endometriosis are hypothesized to be the result of

retrograde menstruation of endometrial fragments containing both epithelium and stroma (49, 50).

Therefore, inclusion of both cell types more closely resembles the pathophysiology of

endometriosis and advances the potential for *in vitro* discovery. Ultra-low attachment plates and

hanging drop culture have been used recently to generate spheroids with 12Z cells, immortalized

stromal cells or primary ectopic stromal cells, or a combination of epithelial and stromal cells to

investigate endometriosis (51, 52). Interestingly, both culture models formed self-organizing

spheroid structures, but epithelial and stromal cell compartments were only evident in ultra-low

attachment conditions (51). Findings from our studies support the idea that 3D culture systems

allow for the study of complex cell interactions and invasion within a biologically relevant

microenvironment and are a promising new pre-clinical tool to evaluate therapeutic treatments for

endometriosis. Here, we describe scaffold-free self-organized endometriotic organoids,

comprised of both endometriotic epithelial and stromal cells combined with a novel model of

attachment and invasion of the peritoneum. Our results add to the support for *in vitro* 3D models

of endometriotic lesions to study mechanisms associated with the establishment endometriosis,

particularly with respect to cellular interactions and invasion. The EO recapitulated many of the

transcriptomic features of spontaneous peritoneal endometriotic lesions in the baboon and the

model allowed for real-time imaging and quantification of organoid invasion. The complexity of

this model could be increased by altering conditions with exogenous compounds or other cell

types. Future studies utilizing this system can capitalize on this flexibility with combinations of

additional cell types, individual cell type editing, and pharmacological compounds. Importantly,

our *in vitro* model does not reflect the full complexities of macrophage populations observed *in*

vivo (22, 41, 42). Experiments should investigate the interaction of peripheral monocytes or naïve

macrophages on EO and determine the effect on all cell types, including the resultant macrophage

phenotypes. Furthermore, EO could also be integrated with microfluidics chips containing

endometrium (53, 54) to study endometriosis associated endometrial receptivity failure and

decidualization defects.

In conclusion, our study suggests that endometriotic organoids recapitulate the

characteristics of endometriotic lesions and support the concept that endometriotic organoids are

an appropriate model for dissecting the mechanisms that contribute to endometriotic lesion

establishment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines

Immortalized human ectopic endometriotic epithelial cells (12Z cells) (15) were cultured using

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)/F-12 (Gibco, USA) supplemented with 10%

Charcoal-dextran treated fetal bovine serum (CDS-FBS; Gibco, USA), 1X Pen/Strep (Gibco, USA)

and 1X sodium pyruvate (Gibco, USA)(15, 55). The human peritoneal mesothelial cell line LP9

was kindly provided by Dr. James G. Rheinwald (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA).

LP9 cells were maintained in a 1:1 ratio of M199 and Ham F12 media (Gibco), supplemented with

15% fetal bovine serum, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, 1% HEPES (Gibco), 1% GlutaMAX (Gibco),

10 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF; Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) and 400 ng/ml hydrocortisone

(Sigma). The immortalized human endometriotic stromal cell line (iEc-ESC) was established in

our laboratory(16). iEc-ESCs were cultured with phenol red–free DMEM/F12 medium

supplemented with 10% charcoal dextran stripped fetal bovine serum (CDS-FBS), 100 units/ml

of penicillin/streptomycin, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate. An immortalized human uterine fibroblast

cell line (iHUF) was established from the decidua parietalis from term placenta in our laboratory.

iHUF cells were cultured in phenol-red-free RPMI 1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented

with 10% CDS-FBS, 100 units/ml of penicillin/streptomycin, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate. THP1

cells (kindly provided by Dr. Margaret Petroff, Michigan State University) were grown in T75 flask

using RPMI1640 with 10% FBS in the presence of Antibiotic-Antimycotic in the suspension culture.

These cells were sub-cultured either in 6-well plates or T-25 flask and treated with 100nM (1:5000

µl of media) PMA (Phorbo 12-Myristate 13-Acetate, Cayman Chemicals, USA) to yield 60-70%

adherent cells at the end of 24 hours. The PMA exposure was repeated, and any floating non-

confluent cells were removed. The cell obtained following PMA treatment were designated as M0

macrophages and kept in pre-differentiated (M0) state for 2-4 days before exposing them to M1/

M2 differentiation. These M0 adherent macrophages were activated with 20 ng/ml IFN-gamma

(R&D Systems, USA) and 10pg/ ml of LPS (Sigma, USA.) for 24 hours to differentiate into M1

proinflammatory macrophages utilized to study effect of proinflammatory M1 macrophage on

endometriotic organoid culture system. All cell lines were maintained at 37ºC in an atmosphere

of 5% CO_2 in air.

RFP lentiviral vector (Ploc-RFP) was used to generate fluorescently tagged 12Z-RFP cell line.

EGFP lentiviral vector (pHX-EGFP) was utilized to generate LP9-GFP stable cell lines. iEC-ESCs

and iHUF cells were tagged with Azurite blue using pLV-Azurite (Addgene plasmid 36086).

Lentiviral transductions were performed according to manufacturers' protocols and successfully

tagged cells were further sorted on a Beckman Coulter MoFlow Astrios (Indianapolis, IN, USA).

Generation of Endometriotic Organoids

12Z and stromal cells were trypsinized in the flask and cell suspensions were centrifuged at 200

x*g* for 5 minutes. Both epithelial and stromal cell pellets were then suspended in complete

MammoCult human medium (STEMCELL Technologies, Inc., 05620) supplemented with

hydrocortisone and heparin as per the manufacturer's instructions and 1% pen/strep (Sigma,

P0781) to similar densities. Epithelial and stromal cells were mixed at a 1:50 ratio by volume and

50uL of epithelial-stromal cell suspension was seeded into 1.5% (w/v) agarose 3D micro-molded

plate, prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions (MicroTissues® 3D Petri Dish®

micro-mold spheroids, Sigma, Z764043). Cells were cultured in MammoCult growth medium for

at least 4 days to allow organoid formation prior to further treatment. Media was changed every

2-3 days.

Organoid Invasion Model

To mimic the structure of the peritoneum, an ice cold LP9 cell suspension was mixed with ice

cold Matrigel (Corning, 536232) at a ratio of 2:1(v:v), 30ul drops of Matrigel-cell suspension were

plated into 96-well plate, at a density of 2-2.5x10^4 cells per well, allowed to set at 37℃ for 3-4

hours until solidified and overlaid with 100ul MammoCult growth medium. The next day, the day

4 endometriotic organoids were harvested from 3D Petri Dishes® by pipetting and then seeded

on to the top of Matrigel-LP9-GFP layer in 96-well plates in 100ul MammoCult growth medium.

Organoid invasion into the LP9-GFP/Matrigel layer were imaged every 48 hours using confocal

microscopy.

Organoid Imaging

Organoids were imaged using a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope using a Nikon C2 +

confocal microscope laser scanner. For each organoid, z-stacks were generated with a 10µm

increment, and tiled scans were set up to image the entire width and depth of the organoid with

10x air objective. The position of the each organoid was recorded as x,y coordinate by ND

Acquisition of NIS-Elements software. For organoid sections, z-stacks were generated with 0.5µm

increment using 40X oil objective. Images were merged using NIS-Elements C2 version 4.13.

Quantification of Invasion

Commercial software Imaris x64 7.4.2 (Bitplane) was used for image analysis. In order to

quantify the invasion of the organoid stromal cells into the peritoneal mesothelial cells, 3D surface

models of the LP9-GFP cell layer, and the stromal cells-Azurite blue were created. Using the

measurement function in Imaris, and using orthogonal slicers in XY, YZ and ZX planes, the linear

distance between the organoid tip and the organoid migrating front on to the peritoneal cells were

calculated. The distances were calculated at different time points in order to calculate velocity of

organoid movement as a function of time. Seven to ten organoids for each time point in different

groups were evaluated to account for heterogeneity in organoid structure as well as movement.

Immunofluorescence Staining

Agarose 3D Petri Dishes® containing organoids were removed from the tissue culture plate

and the medium inside was removed gently by pipetting under a dissecting microscope.

Organoids were sealed within the agarose dish with lukewarm 1.5% (w/v) agarose in 1×PBS. The

sealed 3D Petri Dishes® containing organoids were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 1×PBS

at 4°C overnight, rinsed briefly in 1×PBS, stored in 70% EtOH and processed for standard paraffin

embedding and sectioning at 6μm. Organoid sections were dewaxed, and then rehydrated with a

graded alcohol series, followed by heat-mediated antigen retrieval in citrate buffer (Vector

Laboratories, H3300) and then hydrogen peroxide treatment. Sections were blocked for 1 h in 10%

normal horse serum (Vector Laboratories, S-2000) in PBS and incubated overnight at 4°C in

primary antibodies. Organoid sections were incubated in respective species specific

fluorochrome-conjugated secondary antibodies following an overnight incubation with the primary

antibodies. Slides were then mounted with Antifade Mounting Medium with DAPI (Vector

Laboratories, H-1200). Images were captured with a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope using

software from NIS Elements, Inc. (Nikon, Melville, NY). The primary antibodies used were

Vimentin polyclonal antibody (5741S) from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Waltham, MA).

Cytokeratin, pan (mixture) monoclonal antibody (C2562), from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). The

secondary antibodies were: DyLight 594 goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (DI-1594) from Vector

Laboratories (Burlingame, CA). Alexa Fluor® 488 AffiniPure donkey anti-mouse IgG (715-545-

150) from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc. (West Grove, PA).

RNA-sequencing and Data Analysis

For RNA-sequencing, the Arcturus PicoPure RNA Isolation Kit (Themo Fisher Scientific,

12204-01), including an on-column DNA digestion using the RNAse-free DNAse set (Qiagen;

79254), was used to purify RNA from whole organoids. Total RNA was isolated from snap-frozen

tissues homogenized in TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher; 15596018) following the manufacturer's

instructions. RNA was stored at -80°C in nuclease-free water and concentration was determined

with a Nanodrop 1000 instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Samples were sent to a sequencing

facility (Novogene Corporation Inc., Chula Vista, CA) for RNA integrity analysis, library preparation,

and sequencing. Libraries were prepared with an TruSeq mRNA kit (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA)

and sequenced (paired end 150 bp) on a NovaSeq 6000 instrument (Illumina Inc.) to an average

depth of 39 million fragments per sample. Reads were quality trimmed and adapters were

removed using TrimGalore (version 0.6.5) (56), and quality trimmed reads were assessed with

FastQC (version 0.11.7). Trimmed reads were mapped to *Homo sapiens* genome assembly

GRCh38 (hg38) or *Papio anubis* (version 3.0) using using HISAT2 (version 2.0.3) (57). Reads

overlapping Ensembl annotations (version 99) (58) were quantified with featureCounts (version

1.6.2) (59) prior to model-based differential expression (DE) analysis using the edgeR-robust

method (version 4.0.3) (60) in R. Genes with low counts per million (CPM) were removed using

the filterByExpr function from edgeR. Transcriptome-wide read distributions were plotted to

ensure a similar distribution across all samples with boxplots of log-transformed CPM values.

Multidimensional scaling plots, generated with the plotMDS function of edgeR, were used to verify

group separation prior to statistical analysis. Principal component analysis of normalized counts

was conducted with the prcomp function in R using the top 500 variable genes. Differentially

expressed genes (DEG) were identified as false discovery rate (FDR) p-value less than 0.05.

The hclust package was used for hierarchical clustering (ward.D) of Euclidean distances.

Heat maps were generated using log-transformed transcript per million values (TPM) in pheatmap

(version 1.0.12). Where necessary, baboon Ensembl genes were converted to human entrez

identifiers with the human ortholog table downloaded from Biomart (version 101). The phyper

function in R was used for hypergeometric tests of overlapping genes. Gene set enrichment and

over-representation analyses were completed with clusterProfiler package (version 3.16.1) using

mSigDB and KEGG reference databases.

Statistical Analysis

Unless otherwise stated, statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9.00

(GraphPad). All data were expressed as mean ± SD. The Student's t test was used for

comparisons between the 2 groups, and 1-way ANOVA with a post hoc test least-significant

difference was used for multiple comparisons. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant (2-

tailed).

LIST OF SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Fig. S1. Epithelial and stromal cell organization in organoid culture.

Fig. S2. Telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) expression in organoids.

Fig. S3. Macrophages are present in baboon endometriotic lesions.

Movie S1. Endometriotic organoids self-organize into epithelium and stroma compartments.

Movie S2. Confocal live imaging of EO invasion through a mesothelial cell layer over 8 days.

REFERENCES

1. Giudice LC. Clinical practice. Endometriosis. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(25):2389-98. Epub 2010/06/25. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp1000274. PubMed PMID: 20573927; PMCID: PMC3108065.

2. Practice Committee of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Treatment of pelvic pain associated with endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2008;90(5 Suppl):S260-9. Epub 2008/11/26. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2008.08.057. PubMed PMID: 19007642.

3. Endometriosis and infertility: a committee opinion. Fertil Steril. 2012;98(3):591-8. Epub 2012/06/19. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.05.031. PubMed PMID: 22704630.

4. Burney RO, Giudice LC. Pathogenesis and pathophysiology of endometriosis. Fertil Steril. 2012;98(3):511-9. Epub 2012/07/24. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2012.06.029. PubMed PMID: 22819144.

5. Yang HL, Zhou WJ, Chang KK, Mei J, Huang LQ, Wang MY, Meng Y, Ha SY, Li DJ, Li MQ. The crosstalk between endometrial stromal cells and macrophages impairs cytotoxicity of NK cells in endometriosis by secreting IL-10 and TGF-β. Reproduction. 2017;154(6):815-25. Epub 2017/10/04. doi: 10.1530/rep-17-0342. PubMed PMID: 28971893.

6. Fazleabas AT. A baboon model for inducing endometriosis. Methods Mol Med. 2006;121:95-9. Epub 2005/10/28. PubMed PMID: 16251737.

7. Harirchian P, Gashaw I, Lipskind ST, Braundmeier AG, Hastings JM, Olson MR, Fazleabas AT. Lesion kinetics in a non-human primate model of endometriosis. Hum Reprod. 2012;27(8):2341-51. Epub 2012/06/08. doi: 10.1093/humrep/des196. PubMed PMID: 22674203; PMCID: PMC3398680.

8. Bruner-Tran KL, Mokshagundam S, Herington JL, Ding T, Osteen KG. Rodent Models of Experimental Endometriosis: Identifying Mechanisms of Disease and Therapeutic Targets. Curr Womens Health Rev. 2018;14(2):173-88. Epub 2018/06/05. doi: 10.2174/1573404813666170921162041. PubMed PMID: 29861705; PMCID: PMC5925870.

9. Schutgens F, Verhaar MC, Rookmaaker MB. Pluripotent stem cell-derived kidney organoids: An in vivo-like in vitro technology. Eur J Pharmacol. 2016;790:12-20. Epub 2016/07/05. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2016.06.059. PubMed PMID: 27375081.

10. Leibel SL, McVicar RN, Winquist AM, Niles WD, Snyder EY. Generation of Complete Multi-Cell Type Lung Organoids From Human Embryonic and Patient-Specific Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells for Infectious Disease Modeling and Therapeutics Validation. Curr Protoc Stem Cell Biol. 2020;54(1):e118. Epub 2020/07/09. doi: 10.1002/cpsc.118. PubMed PMID: 32640120; PMCID: PMC7361156.

11. Boretto M, Cox B, Noben M, Hendriks N, Fassbender A, Roose H, Amant F, Timmerman D, Tomassetti C, Vanhie A, Meuleman C, Ferrante M, Vankelecom H. Development of organoids from mouse and human endometrium showing endometrial epithelium physiology and long-term expandability. Development. 2017;144(10):1775-86. Epub 2017/04/27. doi: 10.1242/dev.148478. PubMed PMID: 28442471.

12. Turco MY, Gardner L, Hughes J, Cindrova-Davies T, Gomez MJ, Farrell L, Hollinshead M, Marsh SGE, Brosens JJ, Critchley HO, Simons BD, Hemberger M, Koo BK, Moffett A, Burton GJ.

Long-term, hormone-responsive organoid cultures of human endometrium in a chemically defined
medium. Nat Cell Biol. 2017;19(5):568-77. Epub 2017/04/11. doi: 10.1038/ncb3516. PubMed
PMID: 28394884; PMCID: PMC5410172.

13. Wiwatpanit T, Murphy AR, Lu Z, Urbanek M, Burdette JE, Woodruff TK, Kim JJ. Scaffold-Free
Endometrial Organoids Respond to Excess Androgens Associated With Polycystic Ovarian
Syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2020;105(3). Epub 2019/10/16. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgz100.
PubMed PMID: 31614364; PMCID: PMC7112974.

14. Boretto M, Maenhoudt N, Luo X, Hennes A, Boeckx B, Bui B, Heremans R, Perneel L,
Kobayashi H, Van Zundert I, Brems H, Cox B, Ferrante M, Uji IH, Koh KP, D'Hooghe T, Vanhie A,
Vergote I, Meuleman C, Tomassetti C, Lambrechts D, Vriens J, Timmerman D, Vankelecom H.
Patient-derived organoids from endometrial disease capture clinical heterogeneity and are
amenable to drug screening. Nat Cell Biol. 2019;21(8):1041-51. Epub 2019/08/03. doi:
10.1038/s41556-019-0360-z. PubMed PMID: 31371824.

15. Zeitvogel A, Baumann R, Starzinski-Powitz A. Identification of an invasive, N-cadherin-
expressing epithelial cell type in endometriosis using a new cell culture model. Am J Pathol.
2001;159(5):1839-52. Epub 2001/11/07. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9440(10)63030-1. PubMed PMID:
11696444; PMCID: PMC1867070.

16. Song Y, Joshi NR, Vegter E, Hrbek S, Lessey BA, Fazleabas AT. Establishment of an
Immortalized Endometriotic Stromal Cell Line from Human Ovarian Endometrioma. Reproductive
sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif). 2020. Epub 2020/06/17. doi: 10.1007/s43032-020-00228-0.
PubMed PMID: 32542539.

17. Witz CA, Monotoya-Rodriguez IA, Schenken RS. Whole explants of peritoneum and
endometrium: a novel model of the early endometriosis lesion. Fertil Steril. 1999;71(1):56-60.
Epub 1999/02/06. doi: 10.1016/s0015-0282(98)00400-2. PubMed PMID: 9935116.

18. Nisolle M, Casanas-Roux F, Donnez J. Early-stage endometriosis: adhesion and growth of
human menstrual endometrium in nude mice. Fertil Steril. 2000;74(2):306-12. Epub 2000/08/06.
PubMed PMID: 10927049.

19. Xiong W, Zhang L, Yu L, Xie W, Man Y, Xiong Y, Liu H, Liu Y. Estradiol promotes cells invasion
by activating β-catenin signaling pathway in endometriosis. Reproduction. 2015;150(6):507-16.
Epub 2015/10/04. doi: 10.1530/rep-15-0371. PubMed PMID: 26432349; PMCID: PMC4633770.

20. Du Y, Zhang Z, Xiong W, Li N, Liu H, He H, Li Q, Liu Y, Zhang L. Estradiol promotes EMT in
endometriosis via MALAT1/miR200s sponge function. Reproduction. 2019;157(2):179-88. Epub
2018/12/01. doi: 10.1530/rep-18-0424. PubMed PMID: 30500775; PMCID: PMC7305834.

21. Ahn SH, Monsanto SP, Miller C, Singh SS, Thomas R, Tayade C. Pathophysiology and
Immune Dysfunction in Endometriosis. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:795976. Epub 2015/08/08.
doi: 10.1155/2015/795976. PubMed PMID: 26247027; PMCID: PMC4515278.

22. Bacci M, Capobianco A, Monno A, Cottone L, Di Puppo F, Camisa B, Mariani M, Brignole C,
Ponzoni M, Ferrari S, Panina-Bordignon P, Manfredi AA, Rovere-Querini P. Macrophages are
alternatively activated in patients with endometriosis and required for growth and vascularization
of lesions in a mouse model of disease. Am J Pathol. 2009;175(2):547-56. Epub 2009/07/04. doi:
10.2353/ajpath.2009.081011. PubMed PMID: 19574425; PMCID: PMC2716955.

23. Haber E, Danenberg HD, Koroukhov N, Ron-El R, Golomb G, Schachter M. Peritoneal
macrophage depletion by liposomal bisphosphonate attenuates endometriosis in the rat model.
Hum Reprod. 2009;24(2):398-407. Epub 2008/10/25. doi: 10.1093/humrep/den375. PubMed
PMID: 18948309.
24. Vercellini P, Vigano P, Somigliana E, Fedele L. Endometriosis: pathogenesis and treatment.
Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2014;10(5):261-75. Epub 2013/12/25. doi: 10.1038/nrendo.2013.255.
PubMed PMID: 24366116.
25. Izumi G, Koga K, Takamura M, Makabe T, Satake E, Takeuchi A, Taguchi A, Urata Y, Fujii T,
Osuga Y. Involvement of immune cells in the pathogenesis of endometriosis. J Obstet Gynaecol
Res. 2018;44(2):191-8. Epub 2018/01/10. doi: 10.1111/jog.13559. PubMed PMID: 29316073.
26. Lin LL, Makwana S, Chen M, Wang CM, Gillette LH, Huang TH, Burney RO, Nicholson BJ,
Kirma NB. Cellular junction and mesenchymal factors delineate an endometriosis-specific
response of endometrial stromal cells to the mesothelium. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2021;539:111481.
Epub 2021/10/09. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2021.111481. PubMed PMID: 34624439.
27. Pavone ME, Reierstad S, Sun H, Milad M, Bulun SE, Cheng YH. Altered retinoid uptake and
action contributes to cell survival in endometriosis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95(11):E300-9.
Epub 2010/08/13. doi: 10.1210/jc.2010-0459. PubMed PMID: 20702525; PMCID: PMC2968735.
28. Pierzchalski K, Taylor RN, Nezhat C, Jones JW, Napoli JL, Yang G, Kane MA, Sidell N.
Retinoic acid biosynthesis is impaired in human and murine endometriosis. Biol Reprod.
2014;91(4):84. Epub 2014/08/22. doi: 10.1095/biolreprod.114.119677. PubMed PMID: 25143356;
PMCID: PMC4435029.
29. Pavone ME, Grover AR, Confino R, Pearson EK, Malpani S, Cheng Y-H, Fazleabas A, Bulun
S. Retinoic acid action is altered within endometrium of baboons affected with endometriosis.
Journal of Endometriosis and Pelvic Pain Disorders. 2022. doi: 10.1177/22840265211062008.
30. Kim CM, Oh YJ, Cho SH, Chung DJ, Hwang JY, Park KH, Cho DJ, Choi YM, Lee BS.
Increased telomerase activity and human telomerase reverse transcriptase mRNA expression in
the endometrium of patients with endometriosis. Hum Reprod. 2007;22(3):843-9. Epub
2006/11/02. doi: 10.1093/humrep/del425. PubMed PMID: 17077107.
31. Hapangama DK, Turner MA, Drury JA, Quenby S, Saretzki G, Martin-Ruiz C, Von Zglinicki T.
Endometriosis is associated with aberrant endometrial expression of telomerase and increased
telomere length. Hum Reprod. 2008;23(7):1511-9. Epub 2008/05/06. doi:
10.1093/humrep/den172. PubMed PMID: 18456668.
32. Valentijn AJ, Palial K, Al-Lamee H, Tempest N, Drury J, Von Zglinicki T, Saretzki G, Murray P,
Gargett CE, Hapangama DK. SSEA-1 isolates human endometrial basal glandular epithelial cells:
phenotypic and functional characterization and implications in the pathogenesis of endometriosis.
Hum Reprod. 2013;28(10):2695-708. Epub 2013/07/13. doi: 10.1093/humrep/det285. PubMed
PMID: 23847113.
33. Mafra FA, Christofolini DM, Cavalcanti V, Vilarino FL, André GM, Kato P, Bianco B, Barbosa
CP. Aberrant telomerase expression in the endometrium of infertile women with deep
endometriosis. Arch Med Res. 2014;45(1):31-5. Epub 2013/12/11. doi:
10.1016/j.arcmed.2013.12.002. PubMed PMID: 24321595.

34. Hapangama DK, Kamal A, Saretzki G. Implications of telomeres and telomerase in
endometrial pathology. Hum Reprod Update. 2017;23(2):166-87. Epub 2016/12/17. doi:
10.1093/humupd/dmw044. PubMed PMID: 27979878; PMCID: PMC5850744.

35. Hapangama DK, Turner MA, Drury J, Heathcote L, Afshar Y, Mavrogianis PA, Fazleabas AT.
Aberrant expression of regulators of cell-fate found in eutopic endometrium is found in matched
ectopic endometrium among women and in a baboon model of endometriosis. Hum Reprod.
2010;25(11):2840-50. Epub 2010/09/23. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deq248. PubMed PMID: 20858696;
PMCID: PMC2955559.

36. Attia GR, Zeitoun K, Edwards D, Johns A, Carr BR, Bulun SE. Progesterone receptor isoform
A but not B is expressed in endometriosis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000;85(8):2897-902. Epub
2000/08/18. doi: 10.1210/jcem.85.8.6739. PubMed PMID: 10946900.

37. Bulun SE, Yang S, Fang Z, Gurates B, Tamura M, Sebastian S. Estrogen production and
metabolism in endometriosis. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. 2002;955:75-85;
discussion 6-8, 396-406. Epub 2002/04/13. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2002.tb02767.x. PubMed
PMID: 11949967.

38. Knight D. Leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF): a cytokine of emerging importance in chronic
airway inflammation. Pulm Pharmacol Ther. 2001;14(3):169-76. Epub 2001/07/13. doi:
10.1006/pupt.2001.0282. PubMed PMID: 11448143.

39. Rosario GX, Stewart CL. The Multifaceted Actions of Leukaemia Inhibitory Factor in
Mediating Uterine Receptivity and Embryo Implantation. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2016;75(3):246-
55. Epub 2016/01/29. doi: 10.1111/aji.12474. PubMed PMID: 26817565.

40. Lebovic DI, Mueller MD, Taylor RN. Immunobiology of endometriosis. Fertil Steril.
2001;75(1):1-10. Epub 2001/02/13. doi: 10.1016/s0015-0282(00)01630-7. PubMed PMID:
11163805.

41. Hogg C, Horne AW, Greaves E. Endometriosis-Associated Macrophages: Origin, Phenotype,
and Function. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2020;11:7. Epub 2020/02/11. doi:
10.3389/fendo.2020.00007. PubMed PMID: 32038499; PMCID: PMC6989423.

42. Hogg C, Panir K, Dhami P, Rosser M, Mack M, Soong D, Pollard JW, Jenkins SJ, Horne AW,
Greaves E. Macrophages inhibit and enhance endometriosis depending on their origin. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(6). Epub 2021/02/05. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2013776118. PubMed PMID:
33536334.

43. Gabay C. Interleukin-6 and chronic inflammation. Arthritis Res Ther. 2006;8 Suppl 2(Suppl
2):S3. Epub 2006/08/11. doi: 10.1186/ar1917. PubMed PMID: 16899107; PMCID: PMC3226076.

44. Capobianco A, Monno A, Cottone L, Venneri MA, Biziato D, Di Puppo F, Ferrari S, De Palma
M, Manfredi AA, Rovere-Querini P. Proangiogenic Tie2(+) macrophages infiltrate human and
murine endometriotic lesions and dictate their growth in a mouse model of the disease. Am J
Pathol. 2011;179(5):2651-9. Epub 2011/09/20. doi: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.07.029. PubMed PMID:
21924227; PMCID: PMC3204092.

45. Romano M, Sironi M, Toniatti C, Polentarutti N, Fruscella P, Ghezzi P, Faggioni R, Luini W,
van Hinsbergh V, Sozzani S, Bussolino F, Poli V, Ciliberto G, Mantovani A. Role of IL-6 and its
soluble receptor in induction of chemokines and leukocyte recruitment. Immunity. 1997;6(3):315-

25. Epub 1997/03/01. doi: 10.1016/s1074-7613(00)80334-9. PubMed PMID: 9075932.

46. Montagna P, Capellino S, Villaggio B, Remorgida V, Ragni N, Cutolo M, Ferrero S. Peritoneal
fluid macrophages in endometriosis: correlation between the expression of estrogen receptors
and inflammation. Fertil Steril. 2008;90(1):156-64. Epub 2007/06/06. doi:
10.1016/j.fertnstert.2006.11.200. PubMed PMID: 17548071.

47. Wetendorf M, DeMayo FJ. Progesterone receptor signaling in the initiation of pregnancy and
preservation of a healthy uterus. Int J Dev Biol. 2014;58(2-4):95-106. Epub 2014/07/16. doi:
10.1387/ijdb.140069mw. PubMed PMID: 25023675; PMCID: PMC4413906.

48. Wang X, Wu SP, DeMayo FJ. Hormone dependent uterine epithelial-stromal communication
for pregnancy support. Placenta. 2017;60 Suppl 1:S20-S6. Epub 2017/07/19. doi:
10.1016/j.placenta.2017.07.003. PubMed PMID: 28716426; PMCID: PMC5743625.

49. Sampson JA. Peritoneal endometriosis due to the menstrual dissemination of endometrial
tissue into the peritoneal cavity. American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology. 1927;14(4):422-
69. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9378(15)30003-X.

50. Zondervan KT, Becker CM, Koga K, Missmer SA, Taylor RN, Vigano P. Endometriosis. Nat
Rev Dis Primers. 2018;4(1):9. Epub 2018/07/22. doi: 10.1038/s41572-018-0008-5. PubMed
PMID: 30026507.

51. Wendel JRH, Wang X, Smith LJ, Hawkins SM. Three-Dimensional Biofabrication Models of
Endometriosis and the Endometriotic Microenvironment. Biomedicines. 2020;8(11). Epub
2020/11/26. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines8110525. PubMed PMID: 33233463.

52. Stejskalova A, Fincke V, Nowak M, Schmidt Y, Borrmann K, von Wahlde MK, Schafer SD,
Kiesel L, Greve B, Gotte M. Collagen I triggers directional migration, invasion and matrix
remodeling of stroma cells in a 3D spheroid model of endometriosis. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):4115.
Epub 2021/02/20. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-83645-8. PubMed PMID: 33603041; PMCID:
PMC7892880.

53. Campo H, Murphy A, Yildiz S, Woodruff T, Cervelló I, Kim JJ. Microphysiological Modeling of
the Human Endometrium. Tissue Eng Part A. 2020;26(13-14):759-68. Epub 2020/04/30. doi:
10.1089/ten.tea.2020.0022. PubMed PMID: 32348708; PMCID: PMC7398432.

54. Xiao S, Coppeta JR, Rogers HB, Isenberg BC, Zhu J, Olalekan SA, McKinnon KE, Dokic D,
Rashedi AS, Haisenleder DJ, Malpani SS, Arnold-Murray CA, Chen K, Jiang M, Bai L, Nguyen
CT, Zhang J, Laronda MM, Hope TJ, Maniar KP, Pavone ME, Avram MJ, Sefton EC, Getsios S,
Burdette JE, Kim JJ, Borenstein JT, Woodruff TK. A microfluidic culture model of the human
reproductive tract and 28-day menstrual cycle. Nat Commun. 2017;8:14584. Epub 2017/03/30.
doi: 10.1038/ncomms14584. PubMed PMID: 28350383; PMCID: PMC5379057.

55. Banu SK, Lee J, Starzinski-Powitz A, Arosh JA. Gene expression profiles and functional
characterization of human immortalized endometriotic epithelial and stromal cells. Fertil Steril.
2008;90(4):972-87. Epub 2007/11/16. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.07.1358. PubMed PMID:
18001719.

56. Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnetjournal. 2011;17(1):pp-10.

57. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory

requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12(4):357-60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3317. PubMed PMID:
25751142; PMCID: PMC4655817.

58. Aken BL, Ayling S, Barrell D, Clarke L, Curwen V, Fairley S, Fernandez Banet J, Billis K,
Garcia Giron C, Hourlier T, Howe K, Kahari A, Kokocinski F, Martin FJ, Murphy DN, Nag R, Ruffier
M, Schuster M, Tang YA, Vogel JH, White S, Zadissa A, Flicek P, Searle SM. The Ensembl gene
annotation system. Database (Oxford). 2016;2016:1-19. Epub 2016/06/25. doi:
10.1093/database/baw093. PubMed PMID: 27337980; PMCID: PMC4919035.

59. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(7):923-30. Epub 2013/11/15. doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656. PubMed PMID: 24227677.

60. Zhou X, Lindsay H, Robinson MD. Robustly detecting differential expression in RNA
sequencing data using observation weights. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(11):e91. Epub
2014/04/23. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku310. PubMed PMID: 24753412; PMCID: PMC4066750.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to sincerely thank all members of the Fazleabas laboratory, particularly Samantha Hrbek, Erin Vegter, and Ariadna Ochoa-Bernal, for their contributions to this project. We also thank Stephanie Celano for their assistance with live-cell imaging.

FUNDING

National Institutes of Health grant R01HD083273 (ATF)

National Institutes of Health grant R01HD099090 (ATF)

National Institutes of Health grant F32HD104478 (GWB)

Endometriosis Foundation of America (ATF)

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: YS, GWB, ATF

Methodology: YS, GWB, RA, JK

Investigation: YS, GWB, NRJ

Visualization: YS, GWB, RA

Funding acquisition: GB, ATF

Project administration: ATF

Writing – original draft: YS, GB

Writing – review & editing: YS, GB, RA, JK, ATF

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

663   **FIGURES**

666   **Fig 1. Organoid structure.** Time course of organoids development derived from (A) 12Z-

667   RFP/iHUF-Azurite Blue and (B) 12Z-RFP/iEc-ESC-Azurite Blue (endometriotic organoids, EO).

668   The left panels are 3D views and inserts are phase contrast images. The right panels are

669   immunofluorescent staining of vimentin (blue) and pan-cytokeratin (red). Scale bar = 25 µm.

Fig 2. Organoid invasion model. Endometriotic organoids invade a 3D model of the peritoneum.

(A) Schematic of the organoid invasion model. (B) Time course of organoid invasion quantified

with Imaris image analysis software and relative to invasion into the mesothelial cell layer. Ectopic

stromal cells were the leading edge of invasion through the LP9 cells. Note the penetration of

organoids through the extracellular matrix and mesothelial cell layer in a time dependent manner.

(C) Representative side view and bottom view of an EO invading the LP9 cells with stromal cells

at the leading edge.

Fig 3. Estrogen stimulates invasion of endometriotic organoids (EO). Day 4 EO were seeded

on an LP9/Matrigel layer and treated with vehicle (ethanol, n=8) or estradiol (E2, 20nM, n=10) for

4 days. The EO were imaged on days 1 and 4 using fluorescent confocal microscopy and invasion,

relative to into the LP9 cell layer was quantified. Invasion was increased by 1.8-fold in the

presence of E2. *P<0.05

Fig 4. Stromal cell origin alters organoid gene expression. A) Principal component plot

demonstrating transcriptome separation between iEc-ESC/12Z (EO) and iHUF/12Z organoids. B)

Unsupervised hierarchical clustering dendrogram confirming separation of sample groups and C)

a heat map of the top 50 differentially expressed genes with hierarchical gene clustering.

Fig 5. Transcriptome alterations in EO versus iHUF/12Z organoids. A) Volcano plot

highlighting several top downregulated and upregulated genes in EO. B) The top MSigDB C2

enriched gene sets from human organoids. A positive normalized enrichment score (NES)

indicates activation, or increased expression, of the gene set in EO.

Fig 6. Endometriotic organoids (EO) and baboon lesion gene expression signatures are

similar. A) The overlap of all expressed genes in EO and baboon lesions from spontaneous

endometriosis is highly significant. B) Gene set enrichment analyses showed similar enrichment

of NFKB, inflammatory response and hypoxia genes. NES= normalized enrichment score

Fig 7. Proinflammatory macrophages increase EO invasion. (A) Day 4 EO were seeded on

an LP9/Matrigel layer and M1 macrophages (7,500 or 15,000) were added to the plates after 3h.

The EO were imaged on days 1 and 3 using fluorescent confocal microscopy and (B) invasion

relative to the LP9 cell layer, was quantified. Control n=8; M1 7,500 n=7; M1 15,000 n=8 *P<0.05

Table 1. Enrichment for immune-related genes in spontaneous endometriotic lesions. Top 10 enriched biological process gene

ontology terms from genes expressed in baboon lesions and not human organoids.

PANTHER GO Slim (BP)	REFLIST	Input	Expected	Direction	Fold Enrichment	p-value	FDR
immune system process (GO:0002376)	258	128	44.67	+	2.87	3.56E-20	7.74E-18
immune response (GO:0006955)	163	90	28.22	+	3.19	9.35E-17	1.13E-14
cell surface receptor signaling pathway (GO:0007166)	544	177	94.18	+	1.88	1.44E-12	1.49E-10
signaling (GO:0023052)	1208	321	209.14	+	1.53	2.95E-12	2.92E-10
cell communication (GO:0007154)	1219	320	211.05	+	1.52	1.29E-11	1.08E-09
multicellular organismal process (GO:0032501)	682	204	118.08	+	1.73	1.45E-11	1.17E-09
signal transduction (GO:0007165)	1136	298	196.68	+	1.52	7.61E-11	4.73E-09
regulation of immune system process (GO:0002682)	127	64	21.99	+	2.91	7.87E-11	4.75E-09
leukocyte activation (GO:0045321)	57	40	9.87	+	4.05	2.04E-10	1.11E-08
positive regulation of immune system process (GO:0002684)	87	50	15.06	+	3.32	2.25E-10	1.19E-08