1

Destabilization of F-actin by Mechanical Stress Deprivation or Tpm3.1 Inhibition

Promotes a Pathological Phenotype in Tendon Cells

Justin Parreno*[1,2], Kameron L. Inguito[1], Mandy M. Schofield[1], Arya D. Faghri[1], Ellen T.

Bloom[2], Marissa Heino[1,2], Dawn M. Elliott[2]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE

[2]Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, DE

19 Correspondence:

20 Justin Parreno (Email: jparreno@udel.edu)

21 Address: Biological Sciences, Wolf Hall, 105 The Green, Newark, DE, 19716

Abstract

The actin cytoskeleton is a central mediator between mechanical force and cellular

phenotype. In tendon, it is speculated that mechanical stress deprivation regulates gene expression

by filamentous (F-) actin destabilization. However, the molecular mechanisms that stabilize

tenocyte F-actin networks remain unclear. Tropomyosins (Tpms) are master regulators of F-actin

networks. There are over 40 mammalian Tpm isoforms, with each isoform having the unique

capability to stabilize F-actin sub-populations. Thus, the specific Tpm(s) expressed by a cell

defines overall F-actin organization. Here, we investigated F-actin destabilization by stress

deprivation of tendon and tested the hypothesis that stress fiber-associated Tpm(s) stabilize

tenocyte F-actin to regulate cellular phenotype. Stress deprivation of mouse tail tendon fascicles

downregulated tenocyte genes (collagen-I, tenascin-C, scleraxis, α-smooth muscle actin) and

upregulated matrix metalloproteinase-3. Concomitant with mRNA modulation were increases in

DNAse-I/Phallodin (G/F-actin) staining, confirming F-actin destabilization by tendon stress

deprivation. To investigate the molecular regulation of F-actin stabilization, we first identified the

Tpms expressed by mouse tendons. Tendon cells from different origins (tail, Achilles, plantaris)

express three isoforms in common: Tpm1.6, 3.1, and 4.2. We examined the function of Tpm3.1

since we previously determined that it stabilizes F-actin stress fibers in lens epithelial cells.

Tpm3.1 associated with F-actin stress fibers in native and primary tendon cells. Inhibition of

Tpm3.1 depolymerized F-actin, leading to decreases in tenogenic expression, increases in

chondrogenic expression, and enhancement of protease expression. These expression changes by

Tpm3.1 inhibition are consistent with tendinosis progression. A further understanding of F-actin

stability in musculoskeletal cells could lead to new therapeutic interventions to prevent alterations

in cellular phenotype during disease progression.

Introduction

The dysregulation of filamentous (F-) actin is associated with a wide range of pathologies in load-bearing mechanical tissues [1-10]. While the complete interactions between mechanical forces, F-actin, and pathology are not fully clear, the actin cytoskeleton may be a key mediator between mechanical force and cellular phenotype[1, 7, 11-14]. Tendon is an ideal tissue model to examine the mechanical regulation of cellular phenotype [15]. Mechanical loading of tendon is essential to maintain tissue homeostasis and tissue overloading is a cause of tendinosis [16-22]. While it may be speculated that tendon overload leads to mechanical over-stimulation of residing tendon cells, leading to alterations in cell phenotype (i.e., MMP production) [15, 23], an alternative hypothesis is that overload leads to a paradoxical mechanical under stimulation or stress deprivation of tendon cells [24, 25]. The hypothesized disruption of cell-matrix interactions during overload results in the failure of loads to be transmitted to cells. In support of this hypothesis, overloading of rat tail tendon resulted in cell-matrix disruptions as demonstrated using transmission electron microscopy by an increase in spacing between matrix and cells [26].

Mechanical loading/unloading of explant tendon tissue has been widely used to investigate the connection between cellular stress deprivation and tissue degeneration. Unloading or stress depriving tendons by culturing explants in the absence of mechanical load, recapitulates several aspects of tendinosis. Stress deprivation alters tendon cell phenotype causing a reduction in tendon molecule (such as collagen 1; Col1) expression and enhancement of matrix degradation molecule (such as matrix metalloproteinases; Mmps) expression as compared to tendons freshly isolated from a mechanically stressed *in vivo* environment [27-36]. However, stretching tendons while in culture prevents these tendinosis-like changes. The protective effects of stretch are abrogated if tendons are cultured in the presence of F-actin depolymerization agent, cytochalasin D, while

being stretched [13, 37]. These findings have led to the speculation that stress deprivation regulates

tendon cell phenotype via F-actin destabilization. This destabilization leads to depolymerization

of F-actin into monomeric, globular (G-) actin which has been shown in other cell types to regulate

gene expression [38-41]. However, there is limited data to support that stress deprivation

destabilizes/depolymerizes F-actin. In the present study, we ask: is F-actin depolymerized during

tendon stress deprivation? To answer this, we performed whole-mount confocal imaging followed

by image quantification to compare freshly isolated stress-deprived tendons stained for F- and G-

actin. We confirm that the acquisition of tendinosis-like molecular expression by stress deprivation

correlates with F-actin destabilization.

Our finding of F-actin destabilization prompted us to examine the molecular regulation of

F-actin network stability in tendon cells. We focused on the regulation of F-actin networks by

tropomyosin (Tpm), the master regulator of F-actin that binds along and stabilizes F-actin [42].

The regulation of tenocyte F-actin by Tpms is largely unknown. In native and *in vitro* (primary

cultured) tendon cells, F-actin organizes into stress fibers [14] containing alpha-smooth muscle

actin (αsma), a highly contractile form of actin [11, 14, 43, 44]. Tpm associates with F-actin stress

fibers in tendon cells [14]. However, the particular Tpm(s) expressed within tendon cells are not

clear. Over forty isoforms of mammalian tropomyosin exist and each isoform has the unique

capability to stabilize specific F-actin sub-populations. Thus, the particular Tpm(s) expressed

within a cell defines overall F-actin organization.

In this study, we aim to determine which Tpm isoforms are expressed by tendon cells.

Since tenocyte F-actin networks arrange into stress fibers, we focused on elucidating the function

of stress fiber-associated Tpm isoforms. Previous studies using osteosarcoma (U2OS) cells have

indicated at least six Tpms (1.6, 1.7, 2.1, 3.1, 3.2 and 4.2) are associated with stress fibers [45, 46].

In lens epithelial cells, we demonstrated that inhibition of just one Tpm isoform (Tpm3.1) prevents

stress fiber formation resulting in downstream gene modulation [38]. Therefore, in this study we

tested the hypothesis that stress fiber-associated Tpm(s) stabilize tenocyte F-actin and regulate

cellular phenotype by modulating mRNA levels.

It is essential to unravel how cellular phenotype is determined by the F-actin network's

response to mechanical forces and to elucidate the molecules that determine/stabilize F-actin

networks. Establishing the mechanisms involved in regulating cellular phenotype by F-actin in

pathological processes, such as tendon overload, could lead to new therapeutic opportunities

against disease.

Methods

Mice and tissue isolation

Wild-type mice, 8-10 weeks of age, in the C57BL/6J background were used for
experiments. All procedures were conducted following approved animal protocols from the
University of Delaware. Following euthanasia, plantaris, Achilles, and tail tendons were isolated
as previously described [47-49]. To dissect plantaris and Achilles tendons, approximately 1 cm of
the plantaris and Achilles complex were removed with the calcaneus intact. The tendons were
separated by removing excess surrounding soft tissue before cutting off the calcaneal insertion
[47]. Tail tendon fascicles were obtained from excised tails using forceps [48].

Tendon explant stress deprivation culture

Tendon stress deprivation was performed by placing isolated tendon fascicles in culture
vessels submerged in serum-free DMEM (GenClone, Genesee Scientific, San Diego, CA, USA)
consisting of 1% antimycotic/antibiotic (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA, USA) [28, 33, 36, 50].
Tendons were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO_2 and were harvested at set time points, either 1- or
2-days post-isolation. For these studies, tail tendon fascicles were distributed in a non-biased
fashion to experimental conditions, with freshly isolated fascicles serving as controls.

Tail tenocyte isolation and Tpm3.1 inhibition by TR100 treatment

123 To obtain tendon cells, tail tendon fascicles were isolated and immersed in 0.2%
124 Collagenase A (MilliporeSigma) and maintained at 37°C. Following overnight incubation, digests
125 were strained through 100μm filters and then centrifuged at 600g for 6 minutes. Tenocyte pellets
126 were resuspended in fresh DMEM consisting of 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; GenClone) and 1%

antimycotic/antibiotic. For experiments, cells were counted and then seeded on culture vessels at a density between 5 to10 x 10^3cells/cm^2. Every two days spent media was replaced with fresh media. Once tenocyte cultures reached ~70% confluency, cells were serum starved in DMEM consisting of 0.5%FBS and 0.1% antimycotic/antibiotic with or without Tpm3.1 inhibitor (TR100; 1-10μM; MilliporeSigma). Tendon cells were harvested 1-or 2-days after drug treatment.

Light microscopy and cell area/circularity quantification

Following 24 hours of treatment, cells were imaged using a microscopy camera (Swiftcam Technologies, Hong Kong) attached to an Axiovert 25 inverted phase-contrast microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). The boundaries of individual cells were manually traced on images using FIJI software. Cell area and circularity were calculated using FIJI software algorithms as previously performed [39]. Circularity was defined as $C = 4\pi(A/P^2)$ where, P is the perimeter, and A is the cell area. A circularity value of 0 indicates an elongated elipse, whereas a value of 1 indicates a perfect circle.

Cell viability assay

We used a propidium iodide exclusion assay to quantify the proportion of live cells following TR100 treatment. Cells on culture vessels were placed in 0.05% trypsin at 37°C. After 5 minutes, cells were centrifuged, and pellets were then resuspended in PBS containing 0.5μg/mL propidium iodide. Propidium iodide is impermeable to live cells but incorporates into dead cells with compromised cell membranes. A Tali Image-based Cytometer (ThermoFisher Scientific; Waltham, MA) was used to calculate the portion of cells in suspension that incorporated propidium iodide. Cells exposed to 70% ethanol served as dead controls.

For visualization of cell viability, cells on culture vessels were stained with 1μM Calcein

AM (live cell stain) and 1μM propidium iodide in PBS at 37ºC. After 10 minutes, cells were rinsed

with PBS and visualized using a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 microscope. Cells fixed in 4%

paraformaldehyde (before staining) were used as dead cell control.

RNA isolation, gene expression, and Tpm sequencing

For RNA processing, tendon tissue or cultured tendon cells were immersed in TRIzol

(Sigma-Aldrich, Burlington, MA) and stored frozen until further use. To extract RNA from tissues,

tissues were manually grinded in TRIzol using a Pellet Pestle. RNA was separated via phase

separation with chloroform, followed by selective recovery of total RNA using an RNA spin

column clean-up kit (RNA Clean & Concentrator-5; Zymo, Irvine, CA). RNA was extracted from

cultured cells by phase separation with chloroform followed by RNA precipitation in isopropanol

as previously described [38]. Reverse transcription of RNA to cDNA was performed using

UltraScript 2.0 cDNA Synthesis Kit (PCR Biosystems, Wayne, PA).

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed using PCRBIO Taq Mix Red solution (PCR

Biosystems; London, UK) on equivalent amounts of cDNA using previously validated Tpm

primers [51]. PCR products were run on a 2% Agarose gel. Gel bands were excised, melted, and

DNA was purified using GenCatch Advance Gel Extraction Kit (Epoch Life Sciences; Missouri

City, TX, USA). Purified DNA was sequenced using Sanger sequencing (Genewiz, South

Plainfield, NJ, USA).

Relative real-time RT-PCR was performed using qPCRBio Sygreen Blue Mix (PCR

Biosystems, Wayne, PA) according to manufacturer's directions. Each reaction contained 20ng of

cDNA in a 10μL volume using primers that are listed in Table 1. Real-time PCR was conducted

on a Cielo 3 PCR machine (Azure; Houston, TX, USA).

Table 1. List of Real-time RT-PCR Primers

Gene	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer	Product Size (bp)	Accession No.
18S	GCAATTATTCCCCATGAACG	GGCCTCACTAAACCATCCAA	123	NR_003278.3
αsma	TCACCATTGGAAACGAAC	CCCCTGACAGGACGTTGTTA	162	NM_007392.3
Acan	GAAAACTTCGGGGTGGG	TCCCTCTGTGACATTACGGG	96	NM_007424.3
Col1	AGCACGTCTGGTTTGGAGAG	GACATTAGGCGCAGGAAGGT	112	NM_007742.4
Mmp-3	GGTGACCCCACTCACTTTCT	GGCATGAGCCAAGACTGTTC	124	NM_010809.2
Mmp-13	AGACCCCAACCCTAAGCATC	ATAGGGCTGGGTCACACTTC	53	NM_008607.2
Scx	CCACTGAAGAGTCACGGAGA	CTGTTCATAGGCCCTGCTCA	111	NM_198885.3
Sox9	AGTACCCGCATCTGCACAAC	TACTTGTAATCGGGGTGGTCTT	145	NM_011448.4
Tnc	TGGAATTGCTCCCAGCATCC	CCGGTTCAGCTTCTGTGGTAG	65	NM_011607.3

Wes capillary electrophoresis

Total protein was extracted as previously described [38] with slight modifications. Briefly,

protein was extracted in 1x Radioimmunoprecipitation (RIPA) lysis buffer (Millipore Sigma) and

then quantified using a bicinchoninic acid protein assay (BCA) (Prometheus Protein Biology

Products; Genesee Scientific, San Diego, CA, USA). Specific protein levels were determined using

a WES Capillary-Based Electrophoresis assay with a 12-230 kDa separation module kit following

the manufacturer instructions (Protein Simple, San Jose, CA, USA) with an equal volume of

control and experimental protein. The antibodies used for experiments are rabbit anti-pan-Actin

(1:100; #4968, Cell Signaling), mouse anti-αSMA (1:50; ab7817, Abcam), and rabbit anti-MMP-

3 (1:10; EP1186Y, Abcam). Secondary antibodies used were anti-rabbit or anti-mouse HRP-

conjugate (Protein Simple). Specific protein levels were normalized to total protein. Total protein

values were determined using a Chemiluminescent WES Simple Western Size-Based Total Protein

Assay (Protein Simple).

Immunostaining of native tendon

Whole tendons were placed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS;

GenClone) at 4°C for 2 hours. Fixed tendons were then washed three times in PBS and incubated

in permeabilization/blocking buffer (PBS containing 0.3%Triton, 0.3% bovine serum albumin, and

3% goat serum) at room temperature. After 2 hours, tendons were transferred into a primary

antibody solution containing 1:100 mouse anti-Tpm3.1 antibody (2G10.2; Millipore Sigma) in

permeabilization/blocking solution. After overnight incubation at 4°C, tendons were washed six

times in PBS and placed in secondary antibody solution containing fluorescent CF488 anti-mouse

secondary antibody (1:200; Biotium, Fremont, CA), Hoecsht 33342 (1:500; Biotium), and

rhodamine-phalloidin (1:20; Biotium) in permeabilization/blocking buffer at room temperature for

2 hours in the dark. Tissues were then washed six times in PBS, placed on a glass slide, and

mounted using ProLong gold anti-fade reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

To stain for G/F-actin, fixed tendons were placed in permeabilization/blocking buffer at

room temperature. After 2 hours, tendons were transferred into permeabilization/blocking buffer

containing Hoecsht 33342 (1:500), Alexa Fluor DNAse-I (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and

rhodamine-phalloidin (1:20).

Immunostaining of cultured cells

Tendon cells cultured on glass-bottomed dishes (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota,

FL, USA) were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at room temperature. After 10 minutes,

fixed cells were washed in PBS and kept at 4°C. Tendon cells were immunostained by first

incubating in permeabilization/blocking buffer at room temperature for 30 minutes. Next, cells

were incubated with mouse anti-TPM3.1 antibody (1:200; 2G10.2, MilliporeSigma) or rabbit anti-

SCX antibody (1:100; ab58655, Abcam) diluted into permeabilization/blocking solution. After

overnight incubation at 4°C, cells were washed six times in PBS and placed in secondary antibody

solution containing CF488 anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:200), Hoechst 33342 (1:500) to stain

nuclei, and rhodamine-phalloidin (1:50) to stain F-actin, in permeabilization/blocking buffer at

room temperature for 1 hour in the dark. Cells were then washed six times in PBS and mounted

using ProLong gold anti-fade reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Confocal fluorescence microscopy

Stained tissues and cells were imaged using a Zeiss LSM880 laser-scanning confocal fluorescence

microscope (Zeiss) using a 20x 0.8NA objective or a 63x 1.4NA oil objective. Z-stack images

were acquired using 0.5μm (20x objective) or 0.3μm (63x objective) step size. Images were

processed using Zen software from Zeiss.

G/F-actin in whole-mount confocal images was quantified using FIJI software. Briefly,

single optical slice images from the mid-region of full z-stack tendon images were analyzed. A

rectangular region of interest (ROI) was selected at the center of the images and channels

(red/green/blue) were then separated. The fluorescent intensities within the ROI for each channel

were measured. The fluorescent intensity in the green (DNAse-I) channels were divided by the

fluorescent intensity in the red (Phalloidin) channels to obtain DNAse-I/Phalloidin (G/F-actin)

ratios. Finally, the G/F-actin ratios obtained from each ROI were normalized to the average G/F-

actin control values (control averages were set to 1). Of note, tendons from control and stress-

deprived groups were stained using identical conditions simultaneously, images were acquired

using the same settings on the same day, and ROIs were equivalent in size.

Statistical analysis

Each experiment was replicated at least three times on separate occasions. Data from

individual experiments were expressed as a percentage of the control average, which was set to

100. Graphpad Prism 9 (San Diego, CA, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Outliers in pooled

data points were identified using the ROUT method with a maximum desired false discovery rate

(Q) set at 1% [52]. Differences between two groups of data were detected using unpaired t-tests.

Differences between several experimental groups against a control were detected using analysis of

variance followed by Dunnett post hoc tests.

Results

Tendon stress deprivation results in tendinosis-like gene changes

Tendinosis progression is characterized by decrease in tenogenic gene expression, an upregulation of degradative genes, and ectopic expression of cartilage genes [27-36, 53-55]. Tendon stress deprivation, *in vitro*, has been shown to recapitulate several aspects of tendinosis

Figure 1. Stress deprivation recapitulates aspects of tendinosis gene expression. Relative real-time PCR of tendons in 1 or 2 day stress deprivation culture as compared to freshly isolated (0 day) tendons demonstrating (A) a reduction in tenogenic marker mRNA levels, (B) an increase in MMP-3 mRNA levels, and (C) no change in chondrogenic mRNA levels. Specific mRNA levels were normalized to Gapdh and normalized values are expressed as a percent of freshly isolated (0 day) controls. *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$

gene modulation. We evaluated the effect of stress deprivation on tail tenocyte gene expression by

culturing mouse tail tendon fascicles in the absence of stretch for up to 2 days.

Stress deprivation decreases tenogenic mRNA levels (Figure 1A). We observe a decrease

in Col1, the predominant collagen expressed in tendon [54, 56, 57]; and a decrease in tenascin C

(Tnc), a mechanosensitive matricellular glycoprotein present in tendon matrix that assists in the

structural arrangement of collagen fibers as well as provides for tissue elasticity [12, 56, 58]. In

addition, we observe a reduction in Scleraxis (Scx), a transcription factor that drives the tenogenic

expression, such as the expression of collagen-I [59, 60]. Finally, we detect a decrease in alpha-

smooth muscle actin (αsma), an actin isoform present in tendon cells, which is thought to be

necessary for recovery of tendon cells following stretch [54].

Stress deprivation enhances the expression of specific degradative molecules (Figure 1B).

Mmp-3 and Mmp-13 have been implicated in tendinosis and are upregulated during stress

deprivation [13, 23, 30, 35, 57, 61]. We determine that Mmp-3 is upregulated 163.1-fold by day

1 and 201.9-fold by day 2 (Figure 1B). Unlike previous studies [30], we did not observe an increase

in Mmp-13 mRNA levels at 1- or 2-days post culture.

An increase in chondrogenic expression is a feature of tendinosis [55] but is not

recapitulated by tendon stress deprivation. We observed no significant effect on chondrogenic

(Acan or Sox9; Figure 1C) mRNA levels up to 2 days of stress deprivation (Figure 1C). Thus, *in*

vitro stress deprivation of tail tendons recapitulates certain, but not all, aspects of tendinosis.

Stress deprivation promotes cell rounding and destabilizes tenocyte F-actin

We found that tendon stress deprivation alters tenocyte morphology and F-actin

depolymerization [6, 11, 13]. To determine if F-actin depolymerization occurs in native tendons,

Figure 2. Stress deprivation alters tendon cell morphology and destabilizes F-actin. (A-B) Maximum intensity projections images of tendons demonstrating an increase in G/F-actin staining after 1 day of culture taken with either a 20x (panel A) or 63x (panel B) objective. In panel B, gray scale images represent individual channels for G- and F-actin. (C) Single optical slice of boxed region in panel B, demonstrates that cell morphology and F-actin are altered by stress deprivation. (D) Dot plots of DNAseI:Phalloidin to demonstrate an increase in G/F-actin. Average DNAseI:Phallodin for controls were set to 1.0 and 1 day stress deprived values were scaled accordingly. Nuclei staining intensity using Hoechst was calculated to demonstrate there were no significant differences in staining between control and stress deprived tendons. Average fluorescent intensity for controls were set to 100% and stress deprived tendon intensities were expressed as a relative percentage to controls. ***, $p < 0.001$

we performed whole-mount imaging of tendons stained with DNAse-I and Phalloidin to visualize

G-and F-actin, respectively. Tendon cells from freshly isolated tendons are elongated and have

parallel F-actin networks regarded as stress fibers throughout the tissue [14] (Figure 2A, B). Stress

deprivation results in rounded tendon cells. We also observe repression of stress fiber organization.

Fluorescent image quantification reveals that stress deprivation elevates G/F-actin staining after

one day compared to freshly isolated controls (Figure 2C). By two days of culture, despite

differences in F-actin network organization, G/F-actin status is similar to controls (data not

shown). Our findings confirm that stress deprivation destabilizes F-actin.

These results support the idea that F-actin destabilization regulates tendinosis gene

changes. Tpms are known master regulators of F-actin, therefore we next focused on characterizing

the role of Tpms in tendon cells.

Figure 3. Tpm isoform expression is dependent on tendon type, but all three types examined express Tpm3.1. (A) Semi-quantitative PCR for Tpms in native tail, Achilles (Ach.), and plantaris (Plant.) tendons. (B, top panels) Whole mount immunostaining shows distribution of Tpm3.1 throughout tail tendon. (B, bottom panels) High magnification images of dashed area shows association of Tpm3.1 along F-actin. (C) Line scan analysis showing co-localization of Tpm3.1 with F-actin.

Tpm Expression in Native Tendon cells

Tpm isoforms have the unique ability to stabilize specific F-actin networks [42, 46, 62, 63]. Therefore, we first sought to determine which Tpm isoforms are expressed by tendon cells. Tpm expression is variable and dependent on tendon type. To determine specific Tpm isoform expression within various tendon types (tail, Achilles, and plantaris) tendons, we used semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis, followed by Sanger Sequencing of cDNA products. Collectively, in total, we found that tendons express 9 Tpm isoforms: Tpm1.1, 1.6, 1.8, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.5, 3.12, and 4.2. However, the specific Tpms expressed are dependent on tendon type. Only three of the nine Tpm isoforms are consistently expressed in all three tendon types tested: Tpm1.6, 3.1, and 4.2.

In this study we chose to focus on the regulation of F-actin networks by Tpm3.1, as we previously have shown it to regulate stress fibers in lens epithelial cells [38]. Furthermore, there are established inhibitors toward Tpm3.1, including TR100 [64, 65]. To confirm Tpm 3.1 protein expression and association with F-actin, we performed whole-mount immunostaining of the tail fascicle using antibody 2G10.2 (Table 2) which recognizes the 9D exon of Tpm3.1. Our findings indicate Tpm 3.1 expression within native tail tendon cells. Furthermore, line scan analysis shows overlap in signal between Tpm3.1 and phalloidin staining, suggesting that Tpm3.1 associates with native F-actin stress fibers (Figure 3C).

Inhibition of Tpm3.1 with TR100 alters tenocyte morphology

To determine Tpm3.1 function in tendon cells, we used primary tendon cells isolated from tail tendon fascicles. Primary tendon cells retain stress fiber organization of F-actin [14].

Furthermore, cultured cells devoid of matrix are more amenable to biochemical assays (i.e., Triton-

based cellular fractionation) than native tissues.

We exposed cultured tendon cells to TR100, a small molecule inhibitor that prevents end-

to-end assembly of Tpm and reduces Tpm binding along F-actin [64, 66]. Live/dead staining

(Figure 4A) and propidium iodide exclusion assay (Figure 4B) demonstrate that treating tendon

cells with ≤5uM of TR100 retains cell viability. To determine the effect of Tpm3.1 inhibition on

cell morphology, we performed light microscopy followed by image quantification (Figure 4C).

Both 2μM and 5μM TR100 decrease overall cell area (Figure 4D). Interestingly, while 2μM

TR100 induces tenocyte elongation (decrease in circularity), exposure to 5μM TR100 causes cell

rounding (increase in circularity).

Figure 4. Exposure of cells to TR100 alters tenocyte shape and size. (A) Tendon cells are viable following 1 day treatments of TR100 up to 5mM as seen by positive staining for live cell stain (Calcein AM; green) and negative staining for dead cell stain (Propidium Iodide; red). Cells fixed in 70%EtOH for 15 minutes served as dead controls (Kill control; KC). (B) Dot-plot showing the percentage of cells that are alive following treatments as measured by propidium iodide exclusion counts. (C) Light microscopy images of cells treated with TR100 and corresponding dot-plots demonstrating changes to tenocyte (D) cell area and (E) circularity. ***, p < 0.001

Figure 5. Tpm3.1 inhibition destabilizes F-actin. (A) Maximum intensity projection images of TR100 treated tendon cells, demonstrating that TR100 represses F-actin stress fiber organization. Images taken with a 20x objective. (B) Single optical slice images of boxed regions, demonstrating repression of F-actin stress fibers and acquisition of cortical organization of Tpm3.1 and F-actin (5µM TR100). Images taken with a 63x objective. (C) Capillary western blot (WES) immunoassay electropherogram of Triton-fractionated samples. The triton-soluble fraction is indicative of G-actin (top panel) and the triton-insoluble fraction is indicative of F-actin. (D) Virtual gel showing actin bands at approximately 47kDA. (E) Corresponding dot-plots showing the proportion of G-actin within cells increases by treatment with 5µM TR100. *, $p < 0.05$

We sought to determine if Tpm3.1 stabilizes tenocyte F-actin. Confocal microscopy demonstrates

that stress fibers are present in cells treated with 2μM TR100 (Figure 5A). However, there appears

to be a slight reduction in Tpm3.1 staining along F-actin stress fibers compared to control (Figure

5B). Treatment with 5μM TR100 represses F-actin stress fibers and results in cortically arranged

Tpm3.1 and F-actin in a subpopulation of cells.

Next, we evaluated G/F-actin proportion in TR100 treated cells using Triton-fractionation

followed by WES-capillary electrophoresis (Figure 5C-E). Treatment with TR100 increases the

amount of actin present in soluble portions indicative of elevated G-actin (Figure 5D, E). On

average, there is a significant increase in the proportion of G/F-actin by treatment with 5μM of

TR100. While 2μM of TR100 appears to result in a slight increase in the proportion of G/F-actin,

this was not statistically significant ($p = 0.42$).

TR100 treatment results in tendinosis-like gene changes

Our findings in Figure 5 reveal that Tpm3.1 regulates F-actin stability in tendon cells. The

findings that F-actin destabilization regulates tendinosis-like gene changes, leads to us next testing

the hypothesis that Tpm3.1 inhibition will induce downregulation of tenogenic genes and

upregulation of chondrogenic and protease expression.

We determined that destabilization of F-actin by TR100 treatment decreases tenogenic

mRNA levels. This was apparent at both 2μM and 5μM treatments. With 5μM treatment of TR100,

there is a 21.2-, 1.4-, 29.2-, 2.5- fold decrease in Col1, Tnc, αsma, and Scx respectively. Treatment

with 5μM of TR100 also upregulates chondrogenic mRNA levels resulting in a 3.4- and 9.2-fold

increase in Acan and Sox9, respectively. Lastly, exposure of cells to 5μM TR100 upregulates

Figure 6. Destabilization of F-actin by inhibition of Tpm3.1 results in tendinosis-like gene modulation. Relative real-time PCR of tendon cells treated with 0, 2, or 5μM TR100 demonstrating (A) a reduction in tenocyte marker mRNA levels, and an (B) increase in protease mRNA levels, and (C) chondrogenic mRNA levels. Specific mRNA levels were normalized to Gapdh and normalized values are expressed as a percent of non-treated (0μM) controls. *, $p < 0.05$; ***, $p < 0.001$

344   protease expression resulting in a 374.3, and 18.1-fold increase in Mmp-3 and Mmp-13. We found

345   that only the 5μM treatment, but not 2μM treatment, upregulates chondrogenic and protease levels.

Figure 7. Modulation of select protein levels by TR100 (5μM) treatment. (A) Representative fluorescent confocal microscopy images for SCX. (B) Total nuclear fluorescence for SCX in individual cells, expressed as a percentage of mean nuclear fluorescence of control cells. (C) Calculated nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio of SCX for individual cells. Representative WES electrophoresis data showing (D) pseudo-western blots with corresponding (B) electropherograms for αSMA and MMP-3. (C) αSMA and MMP-3 protein after normalization to total protein. Protein levels were expressed as a percentage of untreated controls. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001

We examined modulation of protein expression by 5μM TR100 treatment on select

molecules using immunostaining followed by quantitative image analysis (SCX) or via capillary

electrophoresis (αSMA and MMP-3). TR100 decreases the total nuclear fluorescent staining for

SCX in tendon cells (Figure 7A, B) as well as the proportion of nuclear/cytosplasmic SCX (Figure

7A, C). Additionally, TR100 decreases αSMA protein levels by 2.0-fold (Figures 7D-F). Lastly,

TR100 increases MMP-3 protein levels by 14.3-fold (Figure 7D-F). Modulation of SCX, aSMA,

and MMP-3 is consistent between mRNA and protein levels.

Discussion

This study provides novel insight into the regulation of pathological cellular phenotype by

F-actin destabilization. We determined tendinosis-like gene changes caused by stress deprivation

coincides with F-actin destabilization. This result led us to investigate the molecular regulation of

F-actin stability. We revealed that tendons express several Tpm isoforms, including Tpm3.1, a

stress fiber associated Tpm [38, 45, 46]. We found that Tpm3.1 associates with F-actin networks

in tendon cells and regulates tenocyte cellular phenotype. Tpm3.1 inhibition destabilizes tenocyte

F-actin stress fibers causing a robust tendinosis-like gene response.

Our data supports the speculation that stress deprivation regulates cellular phenotype by

destabilization of F-actin [37]. Previously it was shown that tendon stress deprivation increases

Mmp mRNA levels [28, 37]. It was also demonstrated that stretching *in vitro* cultured tendons can

repress Mmp upregulation [28, 37]. Mechanical stretch appears to regulate Mmp expression via

actin-based   mechanisms   as   treating   stretched   tendons   with   cytochalasin   D   (an   actin

depolymerization agent) abrogates the protective effects of stretch [37]. This led to the speculation

that stress deprivation regulates Mmp expression via actin depolymerization. However, evidence

for F-actin depolymerization in stress deprivation was still required. In the current study, we

provide empirical evidence that stress deprivation destabilizes F-actin as demonstrated by an

increase in G/F-actin staining (Figure 2). We show this increase in G/F-actin coincides with an

increase in protease expression (Mmp-3) (Figure 1). This finding is consistent with our previous

findings in bone cells, where stress deprivation of osteoblast-like MG-63 cells in collagen gels

enhances Mmp-3 gene expression [61]. Unlike other previous studies, Mmp-13 was not found to

be upregulated, although there was a trend toward an increase in Mmp-13 expression by day 2 (p

= 0.17). Previous studies examined Mmp expression at later time points (i.e., up to 10 days of

stress deprivation) [36] which could explain the discrepancy in Mmp-13 response. Note, we did

not examine Mmp-1 expression here, as it is not expressed in mice. Nevertheless, our findings

indicate that F-actin destabilization corresponds to an increase in Mmp-3, a protease that plays a

role in tendinosis [67]. The destabilization of F-actin is an important regulator of Mmps during

disease processes like tendinosis.

We determined that tendon cells express several Tpm isoforms, including several known

stress fiber-associated Tpms (Figure 3). Previously, Benjamin et al., (2002) indicated the presence

of Tpm in tendon cells using Western blotting and immunofluorescence. However, Tpm antibodies

are developed using peptides that correspond to specific exons that are highly conserved between

various Tpms [63]. Thus, antibodies are specific to exons and not to particular isoforms. The

particular (TM311) antibody used by Benjamin et al. recognizes an epitope on exon 1A of Tpm1

and 2 [63]. Therefore, it remained unclear which exact Tpm isoform was expressed in tendons. In

our present study, we determined that tendon cells can express 9 Tpms: Tpm1.1, 1.6, 1.8, 2.1, 2.2,

3.1, 3.5, 3.12, 4.2. Based on our data, Benjamin et al. could have been probing for Tpms 1.1, 1.6,

2.1, 2.2. Tpm2.1 has been identified as a stress-fiber associated Tpm [46]. However, it is not

present in all tendons, specifically tail tendons. Intriguingly, out of the nine Tpm isoforms

expressed by tendon, we found that only three isoforms were consistently expressed in all tendons

(tail, Achilles, plantaris) examined Tpm1.6, 3.1, and 4.2. This diversity of Tpm isoform may reflect

the formation of specified F-actin networks in different tendon types to meet

the unique tendon structures and mechanical functions [47]. Two of these isoforms,

Tpm3.1 and 4.2, are known stress-fiber-associated Tpms. We chose to focus on Tpm3.1 as we

previously showed it is a crucial regulator of F-actin stress fibers in lens epithelial cells [38]. The

present study is the first, to our knowledge, to examine Tpm3.1 in tendon cells.

Tpm3.1 associates with F-actin in native tendon cells as well as *in vitro* cultured tendon

cells to maintain F-actin stress fibers (Figure 3). The association of Tpm3.1 with stress fibers in

tendon cells is in agreement with past studies on lens epithelial cells [38], human osteosarcoma

(U2OS) [45, 46, 68, 69], human neuroblastoma (SK-N-BE(2)) [70], and neuronal (B35) [62] cells.

Tpm3.1 maintains F-actin networks through regulation of other actin associated molecules. It has

been shown to promote the activation of non-muscle myosin activity [45, 46, 62, 70] as well as

interfere with the association of severing molecules (i.e. cofilin) onto actin [62]. Here, we show

that inhibition of Tpm3.1 results in the destabilization of F-actin stress fibers (Figure 5) leading to

tendinosis-like molecule modulation (Figure 6 and 7). Surprisingly, as compared to stress

deprivation of tendons, Tpm3.1 inhibition by TR100 treatment of cells had a more substantial

effect on the genes tested. TR100 treatment not only decreased tenogenic expression but also

enhanced chondrogenic genes, consistent with tendinosis [44]. Additionally, while stress

deprivation modulated Mmp-3 but not Mmp-13, TR100 increased both Mmp-3 as well as Mmp-

13. The greater response by TR100 treatment of cells could indicate the greater level of actin

destabilization achieved by directly perturbing actin by TR100 treatment as compared to stress

deprivation which may not have as vast an effect on F-actin network organization.

It remains unclear how F-actin regulates gene expression in tendon cells. We have shown

in chondrocytes and lens cells that F-actin depolymerization can directly regulate gene expression

by controlling the cytoplasmic/nuclear shuttling of myocardin related transcription factor (MRTF)

[38-40, 71]. The export of MRTF from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, caused by F-actin

depolymerization, has been associated with downregulation of Col1, Tnc, and αsma expression as

well as upregulation of Sox9 [38-40]. There is limited data that shows the regulation of Scx, Mmp-

3, and Mmp-13 by MRTF. However, MRTF has been shown to regulate another Mmp, Mmp-12,

in nucleus pulposus cells [72]. Intriguingly, in the present study, we identified a decrease in the

nuclear proportion of transcription factor SCX by TR100 treatment. SCX is known to regulate

sox9 expression, collagen synthesis, and the myofibroblast phenotype acquisition [60, 73-75].

Therefore, the decreased nuclear SCX may explain the upregulation of Sox9 as well as the

downregulation of Col1, Tnc, and αsma mRNA levels that we found in the present study. To our

knowledge, this is the first study to associate F-actin depolymerization regulation of SCX

localization. Future studies are required to determine the mechanisms downstream of F-actin

depolymerization that regulate gene expression.

Since we demonstrate that F-actin stability is regulated by Tpm3.1, this could lead to the

speculation that the Tpm3.1 plays a role in tendinosis. Tendon overuse is often regarded as a cause

of tendinosis. It has been suggested that tendon overuse causes a paradoxical stress deprivation of

tendon cells [25]. In support of this mechanotransmission paradox, *ex vivo* overloading of rat tail

tendon has been shown to result in cellular-matrix disruptions [26]. We speculate cellular-matrix

disruption leads to cellular stress deprivation and destabilization of F-actin. We predict Tpm(s) to

be involved in F-actin destabilization as Tpms have been shown to be mechanoregulated in tendon

cells. Benjamin et al. have shown that *in vitro* mechanical stimulation of tendon cells elevate

protein expression of Tpm [14]. Our future studies aim to delineate the role that Tpm3.1 plays in

F-actin destabilization by stress deprivation using an *in vivo* model of tendinosis.

In conclusion, F-actin is a critical mediator of cellular phenotype and determining the

regulation of F-actin networks by Tpms in tissues could lead to new therapeutic interventions that

could prevent and/or reverse progression of disease in various tissue types including tendon.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Thomas Manzoni, Grace Emin, and Sofia Gonzalez-Nolde for critical reading

of the manuscript. We also thank Sofia Gonzalez-Nolde for technical expertise in designing the

real-time RT-PCR primers used in this study. Research reported in this project was supported by

University of Delaware General University Research fund as well as the Delaware Center for

Musculoskeletal Research from the National Institute of Health's National Institute of General

Medical Sciences under grant number P20GM139760.

References

[1] J. Hatakeyama, M. Nomura, Y. Wakimoto, S. Inoue, C. Li, D. Takamura, T. Akisue, H. Moriyama, Effects of cyclic tensile strain and microgravity on the distribution of actin fiber and Fat1 cadherin in murine articular chondrocytes, J Biomech 129 (2021) 110774.

[2] C. Li, J. Luo, X. Xu, Z. Zhou, S. Ying, X. Liao, K. Wu, Single cell sequencing revealed the underlying pathogenesis of the development of osteoarthritis, Gene 757 (2020) 144939.

[3] A. Blangy, G. Bompard, D. Guerit, P. Marie, J. Maurin, A. Morel, V. Vives, The osteoclast cytoskeleton - current understanding and therapeutic perspectives for osteoporosis, J Cell Sci 133(13) (2020).

[4] A.I. Garbe, A. Roscher, C. Schuler, A.H. Lutter, M. Glosmann, R. Bernhardt, M. Chopin, U. Hempel, L.C. Hofbauer, S. Rammelt, M. Egerbacher, R.G. Erben, R. Jessberger, Regulation of bone mass and osteoclast function depend on the F-actin modulator SWAP-70, J Bone Miner Res 27(10) (2012) 2085-96.

[5] M.D. Gardiner, T.L. Vincent, C. Driscoll, A. Burleigh, G. Bou-Gharios, J. Saklatvala, H. Nagase, A. Chanalaris, Transcriptional analysis of micro-dissected articular cartilage in post-traumatic murine osteoarthritis, Osteoarthritis Cartilage 23(4) (2015) 616-28.

[6] M. Lavagnino, K.L. Gardner, S.P. Arnoczky, High magnitude, in vitro, biaxial, cyclic tensile strain induces actin depolymerization in tendon cells, Muscles Ligaments Tendons J 5(2) (2015) 124-8.

[7] W. Lee, R.J. Nims, A. Savadipour, Q. Zhang, H.A. Leddy, F. Liu, A.L. McNulty, Y. Chen, F. Guilak, W.B. Liedtke, Inflammatory signaling sensitizes Piezo1 mechanotransduction in articular chondrocytes as a pathogenic feed-forward mechanism in osteoarthritis, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118(13) (2021).

[8] X. Li, L. Wang, B. Huang, Y. Gu, Y. Luo, X. Zhi, Y. Hu, H. Zhang, Z. Gu, J. Cui, L. Cao, J. Guo, Y. Wang, Q. Zhou, H. Jiang, C. Fang, W. Weng, X. Chen, X. Chen, J. Su, Targeting actin-bundling protein L-plastin as an anabolic therapy for bone loss, Sci Adv 6(47) (2020).

[9] J. Neugebauer, J. Heilig, S. Hosseinibarkooie, B.C. Ross, N. Mendoza-Ferreira, F. Nolte, M. Peters, I. Holker, K. Hupperich, T. Tschanz, V. Grysko, F. Zaucke, A. Niehoff, B. Wirth, Plastin 3 influences bone homeostasis through regulation of osteoclast activity, Hum Mol Genet 27(24) (2018) 4249-4262.

[10] K.C. Tsolis, E.S. Bei, I. Papathanasiou, F. Kostopoulou, V. Gkretsi, K. Kalantzaki, K. Malizos, M. Zervakis, A. Tsezou, A. Economou, Comparative proteomic analysis of hypertrophic chondrocytes in osteoarthritis, Clin Proteomics 12(1) (2015) 12.

[11] W. Chen, Y. Deng, J. Zhang, K. Tang, Uniaxial repetitive mechanical overloading induces influx of extracellular calcium and cytoskeleton disruption in human tenocytes, Cell Tissue Res 359(2) (2015) 577-587.

[12] T.A. Jarvinen, L. Jozsa, P. Kannus, T.L. Jarvinen, T. Hurme, M. Kvist, M. Pelto-Huikko, H. Kalimo, M. Jarvinen, Mechanical loading regulates the expression of tenascin-C in the myotendinous junction and tendon but does not induce de novo synthesis in the skeletal muscle, J Cell Sci 116(Pt 5) (2003) 857-66.

[13] M. Lavagnino, S.P. Arnoczky, In vitro alterations in cytoskeletal tensional homeostasis control gene expression in tendon cells, J Orthop Res 23(5) (2005) 1211-8.

[14] J.R. Ralphs, A.D. Waggett, M. Benjamin, Actin stress fibres and cell-cell adhesion molecules in tendons: organisation in vivo and response to mechanical loading of tendon cells in vitro, Matrix Biol 21(1) (2002) 67-74.

[15] G.M. Thornton, X. Shao, M. Chung, P. Sciore, R.S. Boorman, D.A. Hart, I.K. Lo, Changes in mechanical loading lead to tendonspecific alterations in MMP and TIMP expression: influence of stress deprivation and intermittent cyclic hydrostatic compression on rat supraspinatus and Achilles tendons, Br J Sports Med 44(10) (2010) 698-703.

[16] M. Kjaer, H. Langberg, K. Heinemeier, M.L. Bayer, M. Hansen, L. Holm, S. Doessing, M. Kongsgaard, M.R. Krogsgaard, S.P. Magnusson, From mechanical loading to collagen synthesis, structural changes and function in human tendon, Scand J Med Sci Sports 19(4) (2009) 500-10.

[17] K. Mubyana, D.T. Corr, Cyclic Uniaxial Tensile Strain Enhances the Mechanical Properties of
Engineered, Scaffold-Free Tendon Fibers, Tissue Eng Part A 24(23-24) (2018) 1808-1817.
[18] V. Fleischhacker, F. Klatte-Schulz, S. Minkwitz, A. Schmock, M. Rummler, A. Seliger, B.M. Willie, B.
Wildemann, In Vivo and In Vitro Mechanical Loading of Mouse Achilles Tendons and Tenocytes-A Pilot
Study, Int J Mol Sci 21(4) (2020).
[19] P. Sawadkar, D. Player, L. Bozec, V. Mudera, The mechanobiology of tendon fibroblasts under static
and uniaxial cyclic load in a 3D tissue engineered model mimicking native extracellular matrix, J Tissue Eng
Regen Med 14(1) (2020) 135-146.
[20] T. Wang, Z. Lin, M. Ni, C. Thien, R.E. Day, B. Gardiner, J. Rubenson, T.B. Kirk, D.W. Smith, A. Wang,
D.G. Lloyd, Y. Wang, Q. Zheng, M.H. Zheng, Cyclic mechanical stimulation rescues achilles tendon from
degeneration in a bioreactor system, J Orthop Res 33(12) (2015) 1888-96.
[21] E. Huisman, A. Lu, R.G. McCormack, A. Scott, Enhanced collagen type I synthesis by human tenocytes
subjected to periodic in vitro mechanical stimulation, BMC Musculoskelet Disord 15 (2014) 386.
[22] J. Zhang, J.H. Wang, The effects of mechanical loading on tendons--an in vivo and in vitro model study,
PLoS One 8(8) (2013) e71740.
[23] I.K. Lo, L.L. Marchuk, R. Hollinshead, D.A. Hart, C.B. Frank, Matrix metalloproteinase and tissue
inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase mRNA levels are specifically altered in torn rotator cuff tendons, Am
J Sports Med 32(5) (2004) 1223-9.
[24] S.P. Arnoczky, M. Lavagnino, M. Egerbacher, The mechanobiological aetiopathogenesis of
tendinopathy: is it the over-stimulation or the under-stimulation of tendon cells?, Int J Exp Pathol 88(4)
(2007) 217-26.
[25] M. Lavagnino, M.E. Wall, D. Little, A.J. Banes, F. Guilak, S.P. Arnoczky, Tendon mechanobiology:
Current knowledge and future research opportunities, J Orthop Res 33(6) (2015) 813-22.
[26] S.J. Ros, P.M. Muljadi, E.L. Flatow, N. Andarawis-Puri, Multiscale mechanisms of tendon fatigue
damage progression and severity are strain and cycle dependent, J Biomech 85 (2019) 148-156.
[27] I.K. Lo, L.L. Marchuk, K.E. Leatherbarrow, C.B. Frank, D.A. Hart, Collagen fibrillogenesis and mRNA
levels in the maturing rabbit medial collateral ligament and patellar tendon, Connect Tissue Res 45(1)
(2004) 11-22.
[28] S.L. Wunderli, J. Widmer, N. Amrein, J. Foolen, U. Silvan, O. Leupin, J.G. Snedeker, Minimal mechanical
load and tissue culture conditions preserve native cell phenotype and morphology in tendon-a novel ex
vivo mouse explant model, J Orthop Res 36(5) (2018) 1383-1390.
[29] T. Stauber, U. Blache, J.G. Snedeker, Tendon tissue microdamage and the limits of intrinsic repair,
Matrix Biol 85-86 (2020) 68-79.
[30] M. Lavagnino, S.P. Arnoczky, M. Egerbacher, K.L. Gardner, M.E. Burns, Isolated fibrillar damage in
tendons stimulates local collagenase mRNA expression and protein synthesis, J Biomech 39(13) (2006)
2355-62.
[31] M. Lavagnino, S.P. Arnoczky, E. Kepich, O. Caballero, R.C. Haut, A finite element model predicts the
mechanotransduction response of tendon cells to cyclic tensile loading, Biomech Model Mechanobiol 7(5)
(2008) 405-16.
[32] S.P. Arnoczky, M. Lavagnino, M. Egerbacher, O. Caballero, K. Gardner, Matrix metalloproteinase
inhibitors prevent a decrease in the mechanical properties of stress-deprived tendons: an in vitro
experimental study, Am J Sports Med 35(5) (2007) 763-9.
[33] K. Gardner, S.P. Arnoczky, O. Caballero, M. Lavagnino, The effect of stress-deprivation and cyclic
loading on the TIMP/MMP ratio in tendon cells: an in vitro experimental study, Disabil Rehabil 30(20-22)
(2008) 1523-9.
[34] M. Egerbacher, K. Gardner, O. Caballero, J. Hlavaty, S. Schlosser, S.P. Arnoczky, M. Lavagnino, Stress-
deprivation induces an up-regulation of versican and connexin-43 mRNA and protein synthesis and
increased ADAMTS-1 production in tendon cells in situ, Connect Tissue Res (2021) 1-10.

[35] S.P. Arnoczky, M. Lavagnino, M. Egerbacher, O. Caballero, K. Gardner, M.A. Shender, Loss of
homeostatic strain alters mechanostat "set point" of tendon cells in vitro, Clin Orthop Relat Res 466(7)
(2008) 1583-91.
[36] L. Jafari, M. Savard, F. Gobeil, E. Langelier, Characterization of moderate tendinopathy in ex vivo
stress-deprived rat tail tendons, Biomed Eng Online 18(1) (2019) 54.
[37] S.P. Arnoczky, T. Tian, M. Lavagnino, K. Gardner, Ex vivo static tensile loading inhibits MMP-1
expression in rat tail tendon cells through a cytoskeletally based mechanotransduction mechanism, J
Orthop Res 22(2) (2004) 328-33.
[38] J. Parreno, M.B. Amadeo, E.H. Kwon, V.M. Fowler, Tropomyosin 3.1 Association With Actin Stress
Fibers is Required for Lens Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition, Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 61(6) (2020)
2.
[39] J. Parreno, S. Raju, M.N. Niaki, K. Andrejevic, A. Jiang, E. Delve, R. Kandel, Expression of type I collagen
and tenascin C is regulated by actin polymerization through MRTF in dedifferentiated chondrocytes, FEBS
Lett 588(20) (2014) 3677-84.
[40] J. Parreno, S. Raju, P.H. Wu, R.A. Kandel, MRTF-A signaling regulates the acquisition of the contractile
phenotype in dedifferentiated chondrocytes, Matrix Biol 62 (2017) 3-14.
[41] E. Delve, V. Co, S.C. Regmi, J. Parreno, T.A. Schmidt, R.A. Kandel, YAP/TAZ regulates the expression of
proteoglycan 4 and tenascin C in superficial-zone chondrocytes, Eur Cell Mater 39 (2020) 48-64.
[42] P.W. Gunning, E.C. Hardeman, P. Lappalainen, D.P. Mulvihill, Tropomyosin - master regulator of actin
filament function in the cytoskeleton, J Cell Sci 128(16) (2015) 2965-74.
[43] J. Premdas, J.B. Tang, J.P. Warner, M.M. Murray, M. Spector, The presence of smooth muscle actin in
fibroblasts in the torn human rotator cuff, J Orthop Res 19(2) (2001) 221-8.
[44] K.M. Khan, J.L. Cook, F. Bonar, P. Harcourt, M. Astrom, Histopathology of common tendinopathies.
Update and implications for clinical management, Sports Med 27(6) (1999) 393-408.
[45] S. Tojkander, G. Gateva, G. Schevzov, P. Hotulainen, P. Naumanen, C. Martin, P.W. Gunning, P.
Lappalainen, A molecular pathway for myosin II recruitment to stress fibers, Curr Biol 21(7) (2011) 539-
50.
[46] G. Gateva, E. Kremneva, T. Reindl, T. Kotila, K. Kogan, L. Gressin, P.W. Gunning, D.J. Manstein, A.
Michelot, P. Lappalainen, Tropomyosin Isoforms Specify Functionally Distinct Actin Filament Populations
In Vitro, Curr Biol 27(5) (2017) 705-713.
[47] A.H. Lee, D.M. Elliott, Comparative multi-scale hierarchical structure of the tail, plantaris, and Achilles
tendons in the rat, J Anat 234(2) (2019) 252-262.
[48] A.H. Lee, S.E. Szczesny, M.H. Santare, D.M. Elliott, Investigating mechanisms of tendon damage by
measuring multi-scale recovery following tensile loading, Acta Biomater 57 (2017) 363-372.
[49] A.H. Lee, D.M. Elliott, Freezing does not alter multiscale tendon mechanics and damage mechanisms
in tension, Ann N Y Acad Sci 1409(1) (2017) 85-94.
[50] S.L. Wunderli, U. Blache, A. Beretta Piccoli, B. Niederost, C.N. Holenstein, F.S. Passini, U. Silvan, L.
Bundgaard, U. Auf dem Keller, J.G. Snedeker, Tendon response to matrix unloading is determined by the
patho-physiological niche, Matrix Biol 89 (2020) 11-26.
[51] C. Cheng, R.B. Nowak, M.B. Amadeo, S.K. Biswas, W.K. Lo, V.M. Fowler, Tropomyosin 3.5 protects the
F-actin networks required for tissue biomechanical properties, J Cell Sci 131(23) (2018).
[52] H.J. Motulsky, R.E. Brown, Detecting outliers when fitting data with nonlinear regression - a new
method based on robust nonlinear regression and the false discovery rate, BMC Bioinformatics 7 (2006)
123.
[53] R. Bell, N.R. Gendron, M. Anderson, E.L. Flatow, N. Andarawis-Puri, A potential new role for
myofibroblasts in remodeling of sub-rupture fatigue tendon injuries by exercise, Sci Rep 8(1) (2018) 8933.
[54] M. Chatterjee, P.M. Muljadi, N. Andarawis-Puri, The role of the tendon ECM in mechanotransduction:
disruption and repair following overuse, Connect Tissue Res (2021) 1-15.

[55] T. Yuan, J. Zhang, G. Zhao, Y. Zhou, C.Q. Zhang, J.H. Wang, Creating an Animal Model of Tendinopathy by Inducing Chondrogenic Differentiation with Kartogenin, PLoS One 11(2) (2016) e0148557.

[56] D. Sbardella, G.R. Tundo, G.F. Fasciglione, M. Gioia, S. Bisicchia, E. Gasbarra, E. Ippolito, U. Tarantino, M. Coletta, S. Marini, Role of metalloproteinases in tendon pathophysiology, Mini Rev Med Chem 14(12) (2014) 978-87.

[57] P. Sharma, N. Maffulli, Biology of tendon injury: healing, modeling and remodeling, J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact 6(2) (2006) 181-90.

[58] M. Nemoto, K. Kizaki, Y. Yamamoto, T. Oonuma, K. Hashizume, Tenascin-C Expression in Equine Tendon-derived Cells During Proliferation and Migration, J Equine Sci 24(2) (2013) 17-24.

[59] R.A. Bagchi, M.P. Czubryt, Synergistic roles of scleraxis and Smads in the regulation of collagen 1alpha2 gene expression, Biochim Biophys Acta 1823(10) (2012) 1936-44.

[60] V. Lejard, G. Brideau, F. Blais, R. Salingcarnboriboon, G. Wagner, M.H. Roehrl, M. Noda, D. Duprez, P. Houillier, J. Rossert, Scleraxis and NFATc regulate the expression of the pro-alpha1(I) collagen gene in tendon fibroblasts, J Biol Chem 282(24) (2007) 17665-75.

[61] J. Parreno, G. Buckley-Herd, I. de-Hemptinne, D.A. Hart, Osteoblastic MG-63 cell differentiation, contraction, and mRNA expression in stress-relaxed 3D collagen I gels, Mol Cell Biochem 317(1-2) (2008) 21-32.

[62] N.S. Bryce, G. Schevzov, V. Ferguson, J.M. Percival, J.J. Lin, F. Matsumura, J.R. Bamburg, P.L. Jeffrey, E.C. Hardeman, P. Gunning, R.P. Weinberger, Specification of actin filament function and molecular composition by tropomyosin isoforms, Mol Biol Cell 14(3) (2003) 1002-16.

[63] G. Schevzov, S.P. Whittaker, T. Fath, J.J. Lin, P.W. Gunning, Tropomyosin isoforms and reagents, Bioarchitecture 1(4) (2011) 135-164.

[64] T.T. Bonello, M. Janco, J. Hook, A. Byun, M. Appaduray, I. Dedova, S. Hitchcock-DeGregori, E.C. Hardeman, J.R. Stehn, T. Bocking, P.W. Gunning, A small molecule inhibitor of tropomyosin dissociates actin binding from tropomyosin-directed regulation of actin dynamics, Sci Rep 6 (2016) 19816.

[65] A.J. Kee, J. Chagan, J.Y. Chan, N.S. Bryce, C.A. Lucas, J. Zeng, J. Hook, H. Treutlein, D.R. Laybutt, J.R. Stehn, P.W. Gunning, E.C. Hardeman, On-target action of anti-tropomyosin drugs regulates glucose metabolism, Sci Rep 8(1) (2018) 4604.

[66] J.R. Stehn, N.K. Haass, T. Bonello, M. Desouza, G. Kottyan, H. Treutlein, J. Zeng, P.R. Nascimento, V.B. Sequeira, T.L. Butler, M. Allanson, T. Fath, T.A. Hill, A. McCluskey, G. Schevzov, S.J. Palmer, E.C. Hardeman, D. Winlaw, V.E. Reeve, I. Dixon, W. Weninger, T.P. Cripe, P.W. Gunning, A novel class of anticancer compounds targets the actin cytoskeleton in tumor cells, Cancer Res 73(16) (2013) 5169-82.

[67] S.M. Raleigh, L. van der Merwe, W.J. Ribbans, R.K. Smith, M.P. Schwellnus, M. Collins, Variants within the MMP3 gene are associated with Achilles tendinopathy: possible interaction with the COL5A1 gene, Br J Sports Med 43(7) (2009) 514-20.

[68] S. Zhao, J. Cai, X. Zhang, J. Cui, Y. Jiu, Different formins restrict localization of distinct tropomyosins on dorsal stress fibers in osteosarcoma cells, Cytoskeleton (Hoboken) 77(1-2) (2020) 16-24.

[69] J.C.M. Meiring, N.S. Bryce, M. Lastra Cagigas, A. Benda, R.M. Whan, N. Ariotti, R.G. Parton, J.H. Stear, E.C. Hardeman, P.W. Gunning, Colocation of Tpm3.1 and myosin IIa heads defines a discrete subdomain in stress fibres, J Cell Sci 132(15) (2019).

[70] S. Pathan-Chhatbar, M.H. Taft, T. Reindl, N. Hundt, S.L. Latham, D.J. Manstein, Three mammalian tropomyosin isoforms have different regulatory effects on nonmuscle myosin-2B and filamentous beta-actin in vitro, J Biol Chem 293(3) (2018) 863-875.

[71] J. Parreno, V.J. Bianchi, C. Sermer, S.C. Regmi, D. Backstein, T.A. Schmidt, R.A. Kandel, Adherent agarose mold cultures: An in vitro platform for multi-factorial assessment of passaged chondrocyte redifferentiation, J Orthop Res 36(9) (2018) 2392-2405.

[72] M. Song, Y. Zhang, Y. Sun, M. Kong, S. Han, C. Wang, Y. Wang, D. Xu, Q. Tu, K. Zhu, C. Sun, G. Li, H.
Zhao, X. Ma, Inhibition of RhoA/MRTF-A signaling alleviates nucleus pulposus fibrosis induced by
mechanical stress overload, Connect Tissue Res (2021) 1-16.
[73] L. Espira, L. Lamoureux, S.C. Jones, R.D. Gerard, I.M. Dixon, M.P. Czubryt, The basic helix-loop-helix
transcription factor scleraxis regulates fibroblast collagen synthesis, J Mol Cell Cardiol 47(2) (2009) 188-
95.
[74] P.L. Roche, R.S. Nagalingam, R.A. Bagchi, N. Aroutiounova, B.M. Belisle, J.T. Wigle, M.P. Czubryt, Role
of scleraxis in mechanical stretch-mediated regulation of cardiac myofibroblast phenotype, Am J Physiol
Cell Physiol 311(2) (2016) C297-307.
[75] T. Furumatsu, C. Shukunami, M. Amemiya-Kudo, H. Shimano, T. Ozaki, Scleraxis and E47 cooperatively
regulate the Sox9-dependent transcription, Int J Biochem Cell Biol 42(1) (2010) 148-56.