Estimation of the firing behaviour of a complete motoneuron pool by combining electromyography signal decomposition and realistic motoneuron modelling

Abbreviated title: Estimation of the firing behaviour of a complete motoneuron pool

Arnault H Caillet[1], Andrew T.M. Phillips[1], Dario Farina[2,*], Luca Modenese[1,3*]

[1]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, UK
[2]Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ, UK
[3]Graduate School of Biomedical Engineering, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

Email: arnault.caillet17@imperial.ac.uk

* These authors have equal contributions and share the senior authorship

NUMBER OF PAGES: 54

NUMBER OF FIGURES: 14

NUMBER OF TABLES: 3

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: AC was supported by the Skempton Scholarship and LM by an Imperial College Research Fellowship granted by Imperial College London.

Abstract

Our understanding of the firing behaviour of motoneuron (MN) pools during human voluntary muscle contractions is currently limited to electrophysiological findings from animal experiments extrapolated to humans, mathematical models of MN pools not validated for human data, and experimental results obtained from decomposition of electromyographical (EMG) signals. These approaches are limited in accuracy or provide information on only small partitions of the MN population. Here, we propose a method based on the combination of high-density EMG (HDEMG) data and realistic modelling for predicting the behaviour of entire pools of motoneurons in humans. The method builds on a physiologically realistic model of a MN pool which predicts, from the experimental spike trains of a smaller number of individual MNs identified from decomposed HDEMG signals, the unknown recruitment and firing activity of the remaining unidentified MNs in the complete MN pool. The MN pool model is described as a cohort of single-compartment leaky fire-and-integrate (LIF) models of MNs scaled by a physiologically realistic distribution of MN electrophysiological properties and driven by a spinal synaptic input, both derived from decomposed HDEMG data. The MN spike trains and effective neural drive to muscle, predicted with this method, have been successfully validated experimentally. A representative application of the method in MN-driven neuromuscular modelling is also presented. The proposed approach provides a validated tool for neuroscientists, experimentalists, and modelers to infer the firing activity of MNs that cannot be observed experimentally, investigate the neuromechanics of human MN pools, support future experimental investigations, and advance neuromuscular modelling for investigating the neural strategies controlling human voluntary contractions.

Author Summary

Our experimental understanding of the firing behaviour of motoneuron (MN) pools during human voluntary muscle contractions is currently limited to the observation of small samples of active MNs obtained from EMG decomposition. EMG decomposition therefore provides an important but incomplete description of the role of individual MNs in the firing activity of the complete MN pool, which limits our understanding of the neural strategies of the whole MN pool and of how the firing activity of each MN contributes to the neural drive to muscle. Here, we combine decomposed high-density EMG (HDEMG) data and a physiologically realistic model of MN population to predict the unknown recruitment and firing activity of the remaining unidentified MNs in the complete MN pool.

33   In brief, an experimental estimation of the synaptic current is input to a cohort of MN models, which
34   are calibrated using the available decomposed HDEMG data, and predict the MN spike trains fired by
35   the entire MN population. This novel approach is experimentally validated and applied to muscle
36   force prediction from neuromuscular modelling.

INTRODUCTION

During voluntary muscle contractions, pools of spinal alpha-motoneurons (MNs) convert the synaptic input they receive into a neural command that drives the contractile activity of the innervated muscle fibres, determining limb motion. Identifying the recruitment and firing dynamics of MNs is fundamental for understanding the neural strategies controlling human voluntary motion, with applications in sport sciences [1-3], and neurological and musculoskeletal rehabilitation [4-8]. Determining the MN-specific contributions to the MN population activity also allows more realistic control of neuromuscular models [9-12], investigation of muscle neuromechanics [13,14], prediction of limb motion from MN-specific behaviour [15], or improvement in human-machine interfacing and neuroprosthetics [16,17].

Our understanding of MN pool firing behaviour during human voluntary tasks is however currently limited. While the MN membrane afterhyperpolarization and axonal conduction velocity can be inferred from indirect specialized techniques [18,19], most of the other electro-chemical MN membrane properties and mechanisms that define the MN recruitment and discharge behaviour cannot be directly observed in humans in vivo. Analysis of commonly adopted bipolar surface EMG recordings, which often lump the motor unit (MU) trains of action potentials into a single signal assimilated as the neural drive to muscle, cannot advance our understanding of the MN pool activity at the level of single MNs. Our experimental knowledge on the remaining MN membrane properties in mammals is therefore obtained from in vitro and in situ experiments on animals [20]. The scalability of these mechanisms to humans is debated [21] due to a systematic inter-species variance in the MN electrophysiological properties in mammals [22]. Decomposition of high-density EMG (HDEMG) or intramuscular EMG (iEMG) signals [23,24] allows the in vivo decoding in human muscles of the firing activity of individual active motoneurons during voluntary contractions and provide a direct window on the internal dynamics of MN pools. Specifically, the non-invasive EMG approach to MN decoding has recently advanced our physiological understanding of the neurophysiology of human MU pools and of the interplay between the central nervous system and the muscle contractile machinery [25,26]

Yet, the activity of all the MNs constituting the complete innervating MN population of a muscle cannot be identified with this technique. High-yield decomposition typically detects at most 30-40 MNs [27], while MU pools typically contain hundreds of MUs in muscles of the hindlimb [20]. The small sample of recorded MNs is besides not representative of the continuous distribution of the MN electrophysiological properties in the complete MN pool with a bias towards the identification of mainly high-threshold MUs. The samples of spike trains obtained from signal decomposition

therefore provide a limited description of the role of individual MNs in the firing activity of the
complete MN pool.

To allow the investigation and description of specific neurophysiological mechanisms of the complete
MN population, some studies have developed mathematical frameworks and computational models
of pools of individual MNs. These MN pool models have provided relevant insights for interpreting
experimental data [28,29], investigating the MN pool properties and neuromechanics [30-32],
neuromuscular mechanisms [12,33], and the interplay between muscle machinery and spinal inputs
[34]. However, none of these MN pool models have been tested with experimental input data,
instead either receiving artificial gaussian noise [32], sinusoidal [31] or ramp [29] inputs, inputs from
interneurons [30] or feedback systems [28]. These MN pool models have therefore never been
tested in real conditions of voluntary muscle contraction. The forward predictions of MN spike trains
or neural drive to muscle obtained in these studies were consequently not or indirectly validated
against experimental recordings.

The MN-specific recruitment and firing dynamics of these MN pool models are usually described with
comprehensive or phenomenological models of MNs. The biophysical approaches [30-33], which rely
on a population of compartmental Hodgkin-Huxley-type MN models provide a comprehensive
description of the microscopic MN-specific membrane mechanisms of the MN pool and can capture
complex nonlinear MN dynamics [35]. However, these models are computationally expensive and
remain generic, involving numerous electrophysiological channel-related parameters for which
adequate values are difficult to obtain in mammalian experiments [36,37] and must be indirectly
calibrated or extrapolated from animal measurements in human models [38]. On the other hand,
phenomenological models of MNs [10,28,39] provide a simpler description of the MN pool dynamics
and rely on a few parameters that can be calibrated or inferred in mammals including humans. They
are inspired from the Fuglevand's formalism [29], where the output MN firing frequency is the
gaussian-randomized linear response to the synaptic drive with a MN-specific gain. However, these
phenomenological models cannot account for the MN-specific nonlinear mechanisms that dominate
the MN pool behaviour [20,35,40,41]. MN leaky integrate-and-fire (LIF) models, the parameters of
which can be defined by MN membrane electrophysiological properties for which mathematical
relationships are available [42], are an acceptable trade-off between Hodgkin-Huxley-type and
Fuglevand-type MN models with intermediate computational cost and complexity, and accurate
descriptions of the MN macroscopic discharge behaviour [43] without detailing the MN's underlying
neurophysiology [44]. While repeatedly used for the modelling and the investigation of individual
MN neural dynamics [45-47], MN LIF models are however not commonly used for the description of
MN pools.

To the authors' knowledge there is no systematic method to record the firing activity of all the MNs
in a MN pool, or to estimate from a sample of experimental MN spike trains obtained from signal
decomposition the firing behaviour of MNs that are not recorded in the MN pool. There is no
mathematical model of a MN pool that (1) was tested with experimental neural inputs and
investigated the neuromechanics of voluntary human muscle contraction, (2) involves a cohort of
MN models that relies on MN-specific profiles of inter-related MN electrophysiological properties, (3)
is described by a physiologically-realistic distribution of MN properties that is consistent with
available experimental data. This limits our understanding of the neural strategies of the whole MN
pool and of how the firing activity of each MN contributes to the neural drive to muscle.

In this study, a novel four-step approach is designed to predict, from the neural information of N_r
MN spike trains obtained from HDEMG signal decomposition, the recruitment and firing dynamics of
the $N - N_r$ MNs that were not identified experimentally in the investigated pool of N MNs. The
model of the MN pool was built upon a cohort of N single-compartment LIF models of MNs. The LIF
parameters are derived from the available HDEMG data, are MN-specific, and account for the inter-
relations existing between mammalian MN properties [42]. The distribution of N MN input
resistances hence obtained defines the recruitment dynamics of the MN pool. The MN pool model is
driven by a common synaptic current, which is estimated from the available experimental data as the
filtered cumulative summation of the N_r identified spike trains. The MN-specific LIF models
phenomenologically transform this synaptic current into accurate discharge patterns for the N_r MNs
experimentally identified and predict the MN firing dynamics of the $N - N_r$ unidentified MNs. The
blind predictions of the spike trains of the N_r identified MNs and the effective neural drive to the
muscle, computed from the firing activity of the complete pool of N virtual MNs, are both
successfully validated against available experimental data.

Neuroscientists can benefit from this proposed approach for inferring the neural activity of MNs that
cannot be observed experimentally and for investigating the neuromechanics of MN populations.
Experimenters can use this approach for better understanding their experimental dataset of N_r
identified discharging MNs. Moreover, this approach can be used by modelers to design and control
realistic neuromuscular models, useful for investigating the neural strategies in muscle voluntary
contractions. In this study, we provide an example for this application by using the simulated
discharge patterns of the complete MN pool as inputs to Hill-type models of muscle units to predict
muscle force.

METHODS

Overall approach

The spike trains $sp_j^{sim}(t)$ elicited by the entire pool of N MNs were inferred from a sample of N_r experimentally identified spike trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$ with a 4-step approach displayed in Figure 1. The N_r experimentally-identified MNs were allocated to the entire MN pool according to their recorded force recruitment thresholds F_i^{th} (step 1). The common synaptic input to the MN pool was estimated from the experimental spike trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$ of the N_r MNs (step 2) and was linearly scaled for simplicity to the total postsynaptic membrane current $I(t)$ responsible for spike generation. A cohort of N_r single-compartment leaky-and-fire (LIF) models of MNs, the electrophysiological parameters of which were mathematically determined by the unique MN size parameter S_i, transformed the input $I(t)$ to simulate the experimental spike trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$ after calibration of the S_i parameter (step 3). The distribution of the N MN sizes $S(j)$ in the entire MN pool, which was extrapolated by regression from the N_r calibrated S_i quantities, scaled the electrophysiological parameters of a cohort of N LIF models. The N calibrated LIF models predicted from $I(t)$ the spike trains $sp_j^{sim}(t)$ of action potentials elicited by the entire pool of N virtual MNs.

Figure 1: Four-step workflow predicting the spike trains   of the entire pool of   MNs (right figure) from the
experimental sample of   MN spike trains   (left figure). Step (1): according to their experimental force thresholds
, each MN, ranked from   to   following increasing recruitment thresholds, was assigned the   location in
the complete pool of MNs (mapping). Step (2): the current input   common to the MN pool was derived from the
spike trains   . Step (3): using   as input, the size parameter   of a cohort of   leaky-and-fire (LIF) MN models
was calibrated by minimizing the error between predicted and experimental filtered spike trains. From the calibrated   and
the MN   mapping, the distribution of MN sizes   in the entire pool of virtual MNs was obtained by regression. Step
(4): the   distribution scaled a cohort of   LIF models which predicted the MN-specific spike trains   of the entire
pool of MNs (right). The approach was validated by comparing experimental and predicted spike trains (Validation 1) and by
comparing normalized experimental force trace   (Left figure, green trace) with normalized effective neural drive
(Validation 2). In both figures, the MN spike trains are ordered from bottom to top in the order of increasing force
recruitment thresholds.

The following assumptions were made. (1) The MU pool is idealized as a collection of   independent
MUs that receive a common synaptic input and possibly MU-specific independent noise. (2) In a pool
of   MUs,   MNs innervate   muscle units (mUs). (3) In our notation, the pool of   MUs is ranked
from   to   , with increasing recruitment threshold. For the   MNs to be recruited   in
increasing MN and mU size and recruitment thresholds according to Henneman's size principle
[20,48-53], the distribution of morphometric, threshold and force properties in the MN pool follows

where   is the MN surface area,   the MN current threshold for recruitment,   the MU
innervation ratio defining the MU size,   is the MU force recruitment threshold, and   is the
MU maximum isometric force. (4) The MN-specific electrophysiological properties are
mathematically defined by the MN size   [42]. This extends the Henneman's size principle to:

Where   is the MN membrane capacitance,   the MN input resistance and   the MN membrane
time constant.

Experimental data

The four sets of experimental data used in this study, named as reported in the first column of Table
1, provide the time-histories of recorded MN spike trains   and whole muscle force trace
(left panel in Figure 1), and were obtained from the studies [54,55] and [26,27], as open-source
supplementary material and personal communication, respectively. In these studies, the HDEMG
signals were recorded with a sampling rate   from the Tibialis Anterior (TA) and
Gastrocnemius Medialis (GM) human muscles during trapezoidal isometric contractions. As displayed
in Figure 2, the trapezoidal force trajectories are described in this study by the   times reported
in Table 1, as a zero force in   , a ramp of linearly increasing force in   , a plateau of
constant force in   , a ramp of linearly decreasing force in   , and a zero force in
187   .

189 *Figure 2: Definition of times   that describe the trapezoidal shape of the muscle isometric contraction.*

190 *Table 1:The four experimental datasets processed in this study.   spike trains are identified per dataset during trapezoidal*
191 *contractions of the Tibialis Anterior (TA) or Gastrocnemius Medialis (GM) muscles. The trapezoidal force trace is described*
192 *by times   in seconds up to a dataset-specific level of maximum voluntary contraction (%MVC).*

Dataset	Muscle	%MVC								Reference paper
	TA	35	0	2.2	10.6	20.5	30	30	32	[26,27]
	TA	35	0	2.1	10.5	20.5	30	33	21	
	TA	50	0	1.6	12	21.8	34.5	35	14	[54,55]
	GM	30	0	3.1	9.1	28	33.5	107	27	

194   The HDEMG signals were decomposed with blind-source separation techniques and N_r MN spike

195   trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$ were identified. In this study, the experimental N_r MNs were ranked from $i = 1$ to

196    $i = N_r$ in the order of increasing recorded force recruitment thresholds F_i^{th}, i.e. $\forall i \in [1; N_r], F_i^{th} <$

197   F_{i+1}^{th}. The sample time of the kth firing event of the ith identified MN is noted as ft_i^k, and the binary

198   spike train of the ith identified MN was mathematically defined as:

$$\begin{cases} sp_i^{exp}(t = ft_i^k) = 1 \\ sp_i^{exp}(t \neq ft_i^k) = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \text{(EQ. 1)}$$

199   The train of instantaneous discharge frequency $IDF_i(t)$ of the ith identified MN was computed

200   between firing times ft_i^k and ft_i^{k+1} as:

$$\begin{cases} IDF_i(t = ft_i^k) = \dfrac{1}{ft_i^{k+1} - ft_i^k} \\ IDF_i(t \neq ft_i) = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \text{(EQ. 2)}$$

201   The IDFs were moving-average filtered by convolution with a Hanning window of length 400ms [56],

202   yielding the continuous filtered instantaneous discharge frequencies (FIDFs) for all N_r identified MNs.

Approximation of the TA and GM MU pool size

The typical number N of MUs was estimated for the TA muscle from cadaveric studies [57], statistical

methods [58], decomposed-enhanced spike-triggered-averaging (DESTA) approaches [59-64], and

adapted multiple point stimulation methods [65] in 20-80-year-old human subjects. Because of

method-specific limitations [66], results across methods varied substantially, with estimates for N of,

respectively, 445, 194, 190, 188 and 300 MUs for the TA muscle. DESTA methods systematically

underestimate the innervation ratio due to the limited muscle volume covered by the surface

electrodes. Cadaveric approaches rely on samples of small size and arbitrarily distinguish alpha from

gamma MNs. Twitch torque measurements are an indirect method for estimating N. Accounting for

these limitations, we estimated the potentially conservative $N_{TA} = 400$ MUs in a typical adult TA

muscle. Assuming 200,000 fibres in the TA muscle [67,68], $N_{TA} = 400$ yields a mean of 500 fibres per

TA MU, consistently with previous findings [68]. In two cadaveric studies [57,69], the estimate for the

GM was $N_{GM} = 550$ MUs, which is consistent with $N_{TA} = 400$ as the GM muscle volume is typically

larger than TA's [70]. A sensitivity analysis on the performance of the method presented in Figure 1

upon variations on the value of N_{TA} was included in this study.

Step (1): MN mapping

In the first step of the approach overviewed in Figure 1, the N_r experimentally identified MNs were

allocated to the entire pool of N MNs according to their recorded force recruitment thresholds F_i^{th}.

Three studies measured in the human TA muscle *in vivo* the force recruitment thresholds F^{th} of

MUs, given as a percentage of the maximum voluntary contraction (%MVC) force, for 528 [64], 256

[71], and 302 [72] MUs. Other studies investigated TA MU pools but reported small population sizes

[73] and/or did not report the recruitment thresholds [74-76].

We digitized the scatter plot in Figure 3 in [64] using the online tool WebPlotDigitizer [77]. The

normalized MU population was partitioned into 10%-ranges of the values of Fth (in %MVC), as

reported in [71,72]. The distributions obtained from these three studies were averaged. The

normalized frequency distribution by 10%MVC-ranges of the Fth quantities hence obtained was

mapped to a pool of N MUs, providing a step function relating each jth MU in the MU population to

its 10%-range in F^{th}. This step function was least-squares fitted by a linear-exponential trendline

(EQ. 3) providing a continuous frequency distribution of TA MU recruitment thresholds in a MU pool

that reproduces the available literature data.

$$F^{th}(j) = k_1 \cdot \left(k_2 \cdot \frac{j}{N} + \Delta_F^{\left(\frac{j}{N}\right)^{k_3}} \right), j \in [\![1;N]\!] \qquad \text{(EQ. 3)}$$

Simpler trendlines, such as $F^{th}(j) = k_1 \cdot \Delta_F^{\left(\frac{j}{N}\right)^{k_3}}$ [29], returned fits of lower r^2 values. According to

the three studies and to [20], a Δ_F =120-fold range in F^{th} was set for the TA muscle, yielding

$F^{th}(N) = 90\%MVC = \Delta_F \cdot F^{th}(1)$, with $F^{th}(1) = 0.75\%MVC$. Finally, the equation $F^{th}(N_i) = k_1 \cdot$

$\left(k_2 \cdot \frac{N_i}{N} + \Delta_F^{\left(\frac{N_i}{N}\right)^{k_3}} \right) = F_i^{th}$ was solved for the variable N_i for all N_r identified MUs for which the

experimental threshold F_i^{th} was recorded. The N_r identified MUs were thus assigned the N_i^{th}

locations of the complete pool of N MUs ranked in order of increasing F^{th}:

$$i \in [\![1;N_r]\!] \rightarrow N_i \in [\![1;N]\!]$$

When considering a 100-ms electromechanical delay between MN recruitment time and onset of

muscle unit force, the mapping $i \in [\![1;N_r]\!] \rightarrow N_i \in [\![1;N]\!]$ did not substantially change. It was

therefore simplified that a MN and its innervated muscle unit were recruited at the same time, and

the $i \in [\![1;N_r]\!] \rightarrow N_i \in [\![1;N]\!]$ mapping derived for muscle units was extrapolated to MNs.

Considering that typically less than 30 MUs (5% of the GM MU pool) can be currently identified by

HDEMG decomposition in GM muscles [27], and that the few papers identifying GM MUs with

intramuscular electrodes either did not report the MU F^{th} [78-80] or identified less than 24 MUs up

to 100% MVC [81,82], a GM-specific $F^{th}(j)$ distribution could not be obtained from the literature for

the GM muscle. The $F^{th}(j)$ distribution obtained for the TA muscle was therefore used for the

simulations performed with the GM muscle, which is acceptable as an initial approximation based on

visual comparison to the scattered data provided in these studies.

Step (2): Current input $I(t)$

In the second step of the approach in Figure 1, the common synaptic input to the MN pool was first

estimated from the experimental data. The cumulative spike train (CST) was obtained as the

temporal binary summation of the N_r experimental spike trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$.

$$CST = \sum_i sp_i^{exp}(t) \tag{EQ. 4}$$

256   The effective neural drive (eND) to the muscle was estimated by low-pass filtering the CST in the

257   bandwidth $[0; 10]Hz$ relevant for force generation [47]. As the pool of MNs filters the MN-specific

258   independent synaptic noise received by the individual MNs and linearly transmits the common

259   synaptic input (CSI) to the MN pool [31,83], the CSI was equalled to the eND in arbitrary units:

$$CSI(t) = k \cdot eND(t) \tag{EQ. 5}$$

The common synaptic control (CSC) signal was obtained by low pass filtering the CSI in $[0; 4]Hz$.

This approach, which estimates the CSI from the N_r experimental spike trains is only valid if the

sample of N_r MNs is 'large enough' and 'representative enough' of the complete MN pool for the

linearity properties of the population of N_r MNs to apply [83]. To assess if this approximation holds

with the N_r MNs obtained experimentally, the following two validations were performed. (1) The

coherence $coher_{\frac{N_r}{2}} \in [0; 1]$, averaged in $[1; 10]Hz$, was calculated between two cumulative spike

trains $CST_{\frac{N_r}{2},1}$ and $CST_{\frac{N_r}{2},2}$ computed from two complementary random subsets of $\frac{N_r}{2}$ MNs. This was

repeated 20 times for random permutations of complementary subsets of $\frac{N_r}{2}$ MNs, and the $coher_{\frac{N_r}{2}}$

values were average yielding $coher_{\frac{N_r}{2},mean} \in [0; 1]$. The coherence $coher_{N_r}$ between the complete

experimental sample of N_r MNs and a virtual sample of N_r non-identified MNs was finally estimated

by reporting the pair $\left(\frac{N_r}{2}; \ coher_{\frac{N_r}{2},mean}\right)$ similarly to Figure 2A in [47]. (2) The time-histories of the

normalized force $\bar{F}(t)$ and common synaptic control $\overline{CSC}$, which should superimpose if the linearity

properties apply (see Figure 6 in [83]), were compared with calculation of the normalized root-mean-

square error (nRMSE) and coefficient of determination r^2. If $coher_{N_r} > 0.7$, $r^2 > 0.7$ and $nRMSE <$

30%, it was assumed that the sample of N_r MNs was large and representative enough of the MN

pool for the linearity properties to apply, and the eND was confidently assimilated as the CSI to the

MN pool in arbitrary units. It must be noted that if $coher_{N_r} < 1$, the linearity properties do not fully

apply for the sample of N_r MNs, and the CSI computed from the N_r MNs is expected to relate to the

true CSI with a coherence close but less than $coher_{N_r}$.

The CSI hence obtained reflects the net excitatory synaptic influx common to the MN population,

which triggers the opening of voltage-dependent dendritic channels and the activation of intrinsic

membrane currents, such as persistent inward currents (PICs), which contribute to the total dendritic

membrane current $I(t)$ responsible for spike generation. As the single-compartment LIF model

considered in this study does not describe the dendritic activity, the PIC-related nonlinearity in the

$CSI - I$ transformation was excluded from the model and $I(t)$ was simplified to be linearly related to

CSI with a constant gain G across the MN pool. To avoid confusion, $I(t)$ is referred as 'current input'

in the following. The limitations of this simplification are investigated in the Limitations Section

(Limitation 3). To identify G, it must be noted that the CSI, which was computed from a subset of the

MN pool, does not capture the firing activity of the MNs that are recruited before the smallest

identified N_1^{th} MN, which is recruited at time $ft_{N_1}^1$. It non-physiologically yields $CSI\left(t < ft_{N_1}^1\right) = 0$.

Accounting for this experimental limitation, $I(t)$ was defined to remain null until the first identified

MN starts firing at $ft_{N_1}^1$, and to non-continuously reach $I_{N_1}^{th}$ at $t = ft_{N_1}^1$:

$$I(t) = \begin{cases} 0 \ if \ t < ft_{N_1}^1 \\ I_{N_1}^{th} + G \cdot CSI(t) \ else \end{cases} \quad \text{with} \quad G = \frac{I_{N_r}^{th} - I_{N_1}^{th}}{CSI\left(ft_{N_r}^1\right) - CSI\left(ft_{N_1}^1\right)} \qquad \text{(EQ. 6)}$$

In (EQ. 6), the rheobase currents of the first and last identified MNs $I_{N_1}^{th}$ and $I_{N_r}^{th}$ were estimated from

a typical distribution of rheobase in a MN pool $I^{th}(j) = k_1 \cdot \Delta_I^{\left(\frac{j}{N}\right)^{k_3}}$, obtained as for the distribution

of Fth, from normalized experimental data from populations of hindlimb alpha-MNs in adult rats and

cats in vivo [84-92]. It is worth noting that the experimental I^{th} were obtained by injecting current

pulses directly into the soma of identified MNs, thus bypassing the dendritic activity and most of the

aforementioned PIC-generated nonlinear mechanisms [40]. A $\Delta_I = 9.1$-fold range in MN rheobase in

$[3.9; 35.5]nA$ was taken [21,42], while a larger Δ_I is also consistent with the literature ([93], Table 4)

and larger values of Ith can be expected for humans [21].

Step (3): LIF model – parameter tuning and distribution of electrophysiological properties in the

MN pool

In the third step of the approach in Figure 1, single-compartment LIF MN models with current

synapses are calibrated to mimic the discharge behaviour of the N_r experimentally identified MNs.

<u>MN LIF model: description</u>

A variant of the LIF MN model was chosen in this study for its relative mathematical simplicity and

low computational cost and its adequacy in mimicking the firing behaviour of MNs. The LIF model

described in (EQ. 7) describes the discharge behaviour of a MN of rheobase I^{th} and input resistance

R as a capacitor charging with a time constant τ and instantaneously discharging at time ft when the

membrane voltage V_m meets the voltage threshold V_{th}, after which V_m is reset and maintained to the

membrane resting potential V_{rest} for a time duration called 'inert period' IP in this study. For

simplicity without loss of generalisation, the relative voltage threshold was defined as $\Delta V_{th} = V_{th} -$

$V_{rest} > 0$, and V_{rest} was set to 0.

The model is described by the following set of equations:

$$
\begin{cases}
\tau \dfrac{dV_m}{dt} = R \cdot I(t) - V_m \\
\tau = RC \\
V_m(ft) = \Delta V_{th} \\
\lim_{t \to ft^+} V_m = 0 \\
\forall t \in [ft; ft + IP], V_m = 0
\end{cases}
\qquad \text{(EQ. 7)}
$$

315   The differential equation was solved with a time step $dt \le 0.001s$ as:

$$
\begin{cases}
V_m(0) = 0 \\
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, V_m[nT] = e^{-\frac{T}{\tau}} V_m[(n-1)T] \; + \; R\dfrac{T}{\tau} \cdot I(nT)
\end{cases}
\qquad \text{(EQ. 8)}
$$

This model includes 5 electrophysiological parameters: $R, C, \; \tau, \; \Delta V_{th}$ and IP. The passive parameters

R and C were mathematically related to the MN surface area S as $R = \dfrac{k_R}{S^{2.43}}$ and $C = C_m \cdot S$ after an

extensive meta-analysis of published experimental data on hindlimb alpha-MNs in adult cats in vivo

[42], that sets the constant value of C_m to $1.3\mu F \cdot cm^2$, supports the equality $\tau = RC$ and the validity

of Ohm's law in MNs as $I^{th} = \dfrac{2.7 \cdot 10^{-2}}{R} = \dfrac{\Delta V_{th}}{R}$, setting the constant value $\Delta V_{th} = 27mV$ in this study.

The model was thus reduced to the MN size parameter S and to the IP parameter.

<u>MN LIF model: the IP parameter</u>

Single-compartment LIF models with no active conductances receiving current inputs can predict

non-physiologically large values of MN firing frequency (FF) because of a linear FF-I gain at large but

physiological current inputs $I(t)$ [44]. The saturation in the FF-I relation typically observed in the

mammalian motor unit firing patterns is primarily mediated by the voltage-dependent activity of the

PIC-generating dendritic channels [40], that was overlooked in the $CSI - I$ transformation in Step (2),

and which decreases the driving force of the synaptic current flow as the dendritic membrane

depolarizes. While a physiological modelling of this saturation could be achieved with a LIF model

with conductance synapses, as discussed in Limitation 3 in the Limitations section, this nonlinear

behaviour could also be captured in a simple approach by tuning the phenomenological IP parameter

in (EQ. 7). Considering a constant supra-threshold current $I \gg I^{th}$ input to a LIF MN, the steady-state

firing frequency FF predicted by the LIF model is:

$$FF(I) = \frac{1}{IP - RC \ln\left(1 - \frac{\Delta V_{th}}{RI}\right)} \underset{I \gg I^{th}}{\Longleftrightarrow} FF(I) \approx \frac{1}{IP + \frac{C \cdot \Delta V_{th}}{I}} \qquad \text{(EQ. 9)}$$

As I and C typically vary over a 10-fold and 2.4-fold range respectively [42], the FF predicted by the

LIF model is dominantly determined by the value of IP as the input current increasingly overcomes

the MN current threshold: $FF(I \gg I^{th}) \approx \frac{1}{IP}$.

In the previous phenomenological models of MNs [9,10,29], a maximum firing rate FF^{max} was

defined and a non-derivable transition from $FF(I)$ to constant FF^{max} was set for increasing values of

$I(t)$. Here, IP was integrated to the dynamics of the LIF model and was derived from experimental

data to be MN-specific in the following manner. For each of the N_r identified MNs, the time-course

of the MN instantaneous discharge frequencies (IDFs) was first smoothed, as performed in [55], with

a sixth-order polynomial trendline (IDF_{trend}) to neglect any unexplained random noise in the

analysis. The mean M of the trendline values during the plateau of force $[t_{r_2}; t_{r_3}]$ was then obtained.

If $\exists t \in [t_{r_1}; t_{r_2} - 1], IDF_{trend}(t) > 0.9M$, i.e. if the MN reached during the ramp of $I(t)$ in

$[t_{r_1}; t_{r_2} - 1]$ an IDF larger than 90% of the IDF reached one second before the plateau of force, the

MN was identified to 'saturate'. Its IP parameter was set to $IP = \frac{1}{\max(IDF_{trend})}$, which constrains the

MN maximum firing frequency for high input currents to $FF^{max} \approx \frac{1}{IP} = max(IDF_{trend})$. A power

trendline $IP(j) = a \cdot j^b$ was finally fitted to the pairs $\left(N_i; IP_{N_i}\right)$ of saturating MNs and the IP_{N_i}

values of the non-saturating MNs were predicted from this trendline. To account for the residual

variation in FF observed to remain at high $I(t)$ due to random electrophysiological mechanisms, the

IP parameter was randomized at each firing time, taking the value $IP + o$, where o was randomly

obtained from a normal gaussian distribution of $\frac{IP}{10}$ standard deviation.

<u>MN LIF model: MN size parameter calibration</u>

The remaining unknown parameter - the MN size S - defines the recruitment and firing dynamics of

the LIF model. The size S_i of the ith identified MN was calibrated by minimizing over the time range

$\left[t_{tr_0}; \frac{t_{tr_2}+t_{tr_3}}{2}\right]$ (Table 1) the cost function $J(S_i)$ computed as the root-mean-square difference

between experimental $FIDF_i^{exp}(t)$ and LIF-predicted $FIDF_i^{sim}(t)$ filtered instantaneous discharge

frequencies:

$$
\begin{cases}
J(S_i) = \sqrt{\dfrac{dt}{\dfrac{t_{tr_2}+t_{tr_3}}{2}-t_{r_0}} \cdot \sum_{t_k=t_{r_0}}^{\frac{t_{tr_2}+t_{tr_3}}{2}} \left(FIDF_i^{sim}(t_k) - FIDF_i^{exp}(t_k)\right)^2} \\
\min_{S_i} J(S_i), i \in [\![1; N_r]\!]
\end{cases}
\qquad \text{(EQ. 10)}
$$

To assess how well the calibrated LIF models can replicate the available experimental data, the

normalized RMS error (nRMSE) (%) and coefficient of determination r^2 between $FIDF_i^{exp}(t)$ and

$FIDF_i^{sim}(t)$, and the error in seconds between experimental and predicted recruitment times

$\Delta ft_i^1 = ft_i^{1,sim} - ft_i^{1,exp}$ were computed for the N_r MNs. Finally, a power trendline in (EQ. *11*) was

fitted to the pairs $\left(N_i; S_{N_i}\right)$, and the continuous distribution of MN sizes in the entire pool of N MNs

was obtained.

$$
S(j) = k_1 \cdot \Delta_S^{\left(\frac{j}{N}\right)^{k_3}}
\qquad \text{(EQ. 11)}
$$

$\Delta_S = 2.4$ was taken in [42]. The $S(j)$ distribution defines the continuous distribution of the MN-

specific electrophysiological properties across the MN pool ([42], Table 6).

<u>MN LIF model: parameter identification during the derecruitment phase</u>

The time-range $\left[t_{r_3}; t_{r_5}\right]$ over which the MNs are being derecruited was not considered in the S

calibration in (EQ. 10) because the MN's current-voltage relation presents a hysteresis triggered by

long-lasting PICs, as discussed in [40,94,95]. This hysteresis, which leads MNs to be derecruited at

lower current threshold than at recruitment, can be phenomenologically interpreted in the scope of

a single-compartment LIF model with no active conductance as an increase in the 'apparent' MN

resistance R during derecruitment to a new value R^d. To determine R^d, a linear trendline $I^{dth} =$

$k_{th}^{dth} \cdot I^{th}$ ($k_{th}^{dth} < 1$) was fitted to the association of experimental MN recruitment I^{th} and

recruitment I^{dth} current thresholds for the N_r recruited MNs, and the distribution of MN input

resistance $R = \frac{k_R}{S^{2.43}}$ was increased over the derecruitment time range $\left[t_{r_3}; t_{r_5}\right]$ to:

$$R^d = \frac{k_R^d}{S^{2.43}} \text{ with } k_R^d = \frac{k_R}{k_{th}^{dth}} > k_R \qquad \text{(EQ. 12)}$$

As reviewed in [20,40], the current-voltage hysteresis also explains the typically lower MN discharge

rate observed at derecruitment than at recruitment. For purely modelling perspectives, this

phenomenon was phenomenologically captured by increasing over the time-range $\left[t_{r_3}; t_{r_5}\right]$ the value

of the C_m property, which is the main parameter influencing the precited MN FF in (EQ. 7) for current

inputs close to I^{th}. The simulated $FIDF_i^{sim}$ traces were iteratively simulated for the N_r MNs over the

time-range $\left[t_{r_3}; t_{r_5}\right]$ with the N_r $S_i - IP_i$ −calibrated LIF models obtained from Step (3), for

$0.1\mu F \cdot cm^2$ incremental changes in the value of the membrane specific capacitance C_m. The

$FIDF_i^{sim}$ results were compared to the $FIDF_i^{exp}$ traces with nRMSE and r^2 values. The 'apparent'

C_m value returning the lowest output for (EQ. 13) was retained and was renamed C_m^d.

$$J(C_m) = \frac{\frac{\overline{nRMSE}}{100} - \overline{r^2}}{2} \qquad \text{(EQ. 13)}$$

In the following, the individual spike trains $sp_i^{sim}(t)$ were predicted with C_m over the $[t_{r_0}; t_{r_3}[$ time

range and C_m^d over the $\left[t_{r_3}; t_{r_5}\right]$ time range.

It must be noted that, although this approach can accurately capture the observed MN nonlinear

input-output behaviour and is coherent with the modelling constraints imposed by the LIF

formulation in (EQ. 7), as the C_m and R parameters mainly affect the discharge and recruitment

properties of the LIF model respectively in this model, the R and C_m parameters are passive MN

properties which remain independent from the MN synaptic and membrane activity in actual MNs,

while the R-to-R^d and C_m-to-C_m^d transformations are merely phenomenological interpretations of

complex neurophysiological mechanisms, and do not provide any insights into the working principles

underlying MN recruitment. This limitation is further discussed in Limitation 3.

Step (4): Simulating the MN pool firing behaviour

The firing behaviour of the complete MN pool, i.e. the MN-specific spike trains $sp_j(t)$ of the N virtual

MNs constituting the MN pool, was predicted with a cohort of N LIF models receiving the common

synaptic current $I(t)$ as input. The inert period IP_j and MN size S_j parameters scaling each LIF model

were obtained from the distributions $IP(j)$ and $S(j)$ previously derived at Step (3).

 Validation

 Validation 1

We assessed whether the N_r experimental spike trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$ were accurately predicted by this 4-

step approach (Figure 1). Steps (2) and (3) (Figure 1) were iteratively repeated with samples of

$N_r - 1$ spike trains, where one of the experimentally recorded MNs was not considered. At each ith

iteration of the validation, the ith identified spike train $sp_i^{exp}(t)$ was not used in the derivation of

the synaptic current $I(t)$ (step 2) and in the reconstruction of the MN size and IP distributions $S(j)$

and $IP(j)$ in the MN pool (step 3). As in Step (4), the $FIDF_i^{sim}$ of the ith MN was finally predicted

with a LIF model, which was scaled with the parameters $S(N_i)$ and $IP(N_i)$ predicted from the $S(j)$

and $IP(j)$ distributions. For validation, $FIDF_i^{sim}$ was compared to the experimental $FIDF_i^{exp}$ with

calculation of Δft_i^1, r^2 and nRMSE values. This validation was iteratively performed for all the N_r

identified MN spike trains.

Validation 2

We assessed whether the N MN spike trains $sp_j^{sim}(t)$, predicted in Step 4 for the entire MN pool

from the N_r identified trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$, accurately predicted the effective neural drive (eND_N) to the

muscle. The eND_N was computed as the $[0; 4]$Hz low-pass filtered cumulative spike train of the N

predicted spike trains, $CST_N = \sum_{j=1}^N sp_j^{sim}(t)$. As suggested for isometric contractions [83], the

normalized eND_N was compared for validation against the normalized experimental force trace $\bar{F}(t)$

with calculation of the nRMSE and r^2 metrics. $\bar{F}(t)$ was also compared with nRMSE and r^2 to the

normalized effective neural drive eND_{N_r} computed directly from the N_r experimentally identified

MN spike trains. The added value of the presented workflow (Steps 1 to 4) in predicting the neural

drive to muscle was finally assessed by comparing the (nRMSE, r^2) pairs obtained for the eND_{N_r} and

eND_N traces. Considering the uncertainty on the value of N in the literature, as previously discussed,

the performance of the method with reconstructed populations of $N = \{N_r, 100, 200, 300, 400\}$

MNs was investigated. This sensitivity analysis provides different scaling factors N for the normalized

MN mapping (Step 1) and IP and S_{MN} parameter distributions (Step 3) and constrains the number of

firing MNs involved in the computation of eND_N (Step 4).

Application to MN-driven muscle modelling

The N MN-specific spike trains $sp_j^{sim}(t)$ predicted in step (4) were input to a phenomenological

muscle model to predict the whole muscle force trace F_N^{sim} in a forward simulation of muscle

voluntary isometric contraction. As displayed in Figure 3, the muscle model was built as N in-parallel

Hill-type models which were driven by the simulated spike trains $sp_j^{sim}(t)$ and replicated the

excitation-contraction coupling dynamics and the contraction dynamics of the N MUs constituting

the whole muscle. In brief, the binary spike train $sp_j^{sim}(t)$ triggered for each jth MU the trains of

nerve and muscle fibre action potentials that drove the transients of calcium ion Ca^{2+} and Ca^{2+}-

troponin complex concentrations in the MU sarcoplasm, yielding in a last step the time-history of the

MU active state $a_j(t)$. The MU contraction dynamics were reduced to a normalized force-length

relationship that scaled nonlinearly with the MU active state [96] and transformed $a_j(t)$ into a

normalized MU force trace $\overline{f_j}(t)$. The experiments being performed at constant ankle joint (100°, 90°

being ankle perpendicular to tibia) and muscle-tendon length, it was simplified, lacking additional

experimental insights, that tendon and fascicle length both remained constant during the whole

contractile event at optimal MU length $\overline{l_{opt,J}} = 1$. The dynamics of the passive muscle tissues and of

the tendon and the fascicle force-velocity relationships were therefore neglected. Finally, the MU-

specific forces $f_j(t)$ were derived with a typical muscle-specific distribution across the MU pool of

the MU isometric tetanic forces $f_{iso}^{max}(j)$ [64,71,74]. The whole muscle force was obtained as the

linear summation of the MU forces $F_N^{sim}(t) = \sum_j f_j(t)$.

To validate $F_N^{sim}(t)$, the experimental muscle force $F^{exp}(t)$ was first approximated from the

experimental force trace $F(t)$, which was recorded at the foot with a force transducer [26]. The

transducer-ankle joint and ankle joint-tibialis anterior moment arms L_1 and L_2 were estimated using

OpenSim [97] and a generic lower limb model [98]. Using the model muscle maximum isometric

forces, it was then inferred the ratio q of transducer force $F(t)$ that was taken at MVC by the non-TA

muscles spanning the ankle joint in MVC conditions. The experimental muscle force was estimated as

$F^{exp}(t) = (1 - q) \cdot \frac{L_1}{L_2} \cdot F(t)$ and was compared with calculation of normalized maximum error

(nME), nRMSE and r^2 values against the muscle force $F_N^{sim}(t)$ predicted by the MN-driven muscle

model from the N neural inputs.

The whole muscle force $F_{N_r}^{sim}(t)$ was also predicted using the N_r experimental spike trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$

as inputs to the same muscle model of N_r in-parallel Hill-type models (Figure 3). In this case, each

normalized MU force trace $\overline{f_j}(t)$ was scaled with the same $f_{iso}^{max}(j)$ distribution, however assuming

the N_r MNs to be evenly spread in the MN pool. $F_{N_r}^{sim}(t)$ was similarly compared to $F^{exp}(t)$ with

calculation of $nME, nRMSE$ and r^2 values. To assess the added value of the step (1-4) approach in

the modelling of MN-driven muscle models, the (nME, nRMSE, r^2) values obtained for the predicted

$F_{N_r}^{sim}(t)$ and $F_N^{sim}(t)$ were compared.

Figure 3: MN-driven neuromuscular model. The N in-parallel Hill-type models take as inputs the N spike trains predicted in steps (1-4) and output the predicted whole muscle force trace $F(t)$. The MU-specific active states a_i are obtained from the excitation-contraction coupling dynamics adapted from [99] and extended to model the concentration of the Calcium-troponin system. The MU normalized forces f_i are computed by the MU contractile elements (CE_i) at MU optimal length and are scaled with values of MU maximum isometric forces F_i^o to yield the MU force traces F_i. The predicted whole muscle force is taken as $F = \sum_i F_i$.

RESULTS

<u>**Experimental data**</u>

As reported in Table 1, the experimental datasets   and   respectively identified 32 and 21 spike trains   from the trapezoidal isometric TA muscle contraction up to 35%MVC, identified 14   up to 50%MVC, and   identified 27   from the GM muscle up to 30%MVC. The   MN spike trains, identified in this dataset across the complete TA pool of   MNs, are represented in Figure 4A in the order of increasing force recruitment thresholds   . The   MNs were globally derecruited at relatively lower force thresholds (Figure 4B) and generally discharged at a relatively lower firing rate (Figure 4C) at derecruitment than at recruitment.

Figure 4: Experimental data obtained from HDEMG signal decomposition in the dataset   . (A) Time-histories of the transducer force trace in %MVC (green curve) and of the   MN spike trains identified from HDEMG decomposition and ranked from bottom to top in the order of increasing force recruitment thresholds   . (B) Association between force recruitment and derecruitment threshold, fitted by a linear trendline   (. Identity is displayed as a black dotted line. (C) Time-histories of the MN instantaneous discharge frequencies (IDFs, blue dots) smoothed by convolution with a Hanning window of length 400ms (red curve) and with a sixth-order polynomial trendline (green curve) of the lowest-threshold identified (1st) MN.

<u>**Step (1): MN mapping**</u>

The   MNs identified in the dataset   were allocated to the entire pool of   MNs according to their recruitment thresholds   (%MVC). The typical TA-specific frequency distribution of the MN force recruitment thresholds   , which was obtained from the literature and reported in the bar plot in Figure 5A, was approximated (Figure 5B) by the continuous relationship:

$$ \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{(EQ. 14)} $$

With this distribution, 231 TA MNs, i.e. 58% of the MN pool is recruited below 20%MVC, which is consistent with previous conclusions [20].

From the   distribution, the   identified MNs were mapped to the complete MN pool (blue

crosses in Figure 5B) according to their recorded force recruitment thresholds   (ordinates in

Figure 4B). As shown in Figure 5C, the   MNs identified experimentally were not homogeneously

spread in the entire MN pool ranked in the order of increasing force recruitment thresholds, as two

MNs fell in the first quarter of the MN pool, 5 in the second quarter, 18 in the third quarter and 5 in

the fourth quarter. Such observation was similarly made in the three other experimental datasets,

where no MN was identified in the first quarter and in the first half of the MN pool in the datasets

and   respectively (second column of Table 2). In all four datasets, mostly high-

thresholds MNs were identified experimentally.

Figure 5: Distribution of force recruitment thresholds   in the human Tibialis Anterior (TA) muscle and mapping of the

identified MNs to the complete MN pool. (A) Typical partition obtained from the literature of the TA MN pool in 10%

increments in normalized f   . (B) Equivalent   stepwise distribution (black dots) in a TA pool of   MNs,

approximated by the continuous relationship   (red curve). The mapping (blue crosses) of the   MNs identified

in the dataset   was obtained from the recorded   (Figure 4B). (C)   MNs (red dots) of unknown properties

(Left) are mapped (Right) to the complete MN pool from the   distribution, represented by the blue dots of increasing

sizes. The MNs represented here are numbered from left to right and bottom to top from   to   .

**Step (2): Common current input   **

To approximate the common synaptic input   to the MN pool, the CST and the eND to the MN

pool were obtained in Figure 6 from the   MN spike trains identified experimentally using (EQ. 4)

and (EQ. 5). After 20 random permutations of — complementary populations of MNs, an average

coherence of   __   was obtained between —-sized CSTs of the   dataset. From

Figure 2 in [47], a coherence of   is therefore expected between the CST in Figure 6A

and a typical CST obtained with another virtual group of   MNs, and by extension with the

true CST obtained with the complete MN pool. The normalized   and force traces (black and

green curves respectively in Figure 6B) compared with   and   for the

dataset. With this approach, we obtained   ,   and   for

all four datasets, with the exception of   for which   (third column of Table 2).

For the TA datasets, the sample of   identified MNs was therefore concluded to be sufficiently

representative of the complete MN pool for its linearity property to apply, and the   (red curve in

Figure 6B for the dataset   ) in the bandwidth   was confidently identified to be the

common synaptic input (   ) to the MN pool. As observed in Figure 6B, a non-negligible discrepancy,

which partially explains why   , was however systematically obtained between the

and force traces in the regions low forces, where mostly small low-threshold MNs are recruited. As

discussed in the Discussion Section, this discrepancy reflects the undersampling of small MUs

identified from decomposed HDEMG signals and a bias towards mainly identifying the large high-

threshold units which are recruited close to the plateau of force. From Figure 2 in [47], it is worth

noting that the computed   in Figure 6B (red curve) accounts for 60% of the variance of the true

synaptic input, which is linearly transmitted by the MN pool, while the remaining variance is the MN-

specific synaptic noise, which is assumed to be filtered by the MN pool and is neglected in the

computation of the   in this workflow.

Figure 6: Neural drive to the muscle derived from the   identified MN spike trains in the dataset   . (A) Cumulative

spike train (CST) computed by temporal binary summation of the   identified MN spike trains. (B) Effective neural drive –

Upon applicability of the linearity properties of subsets of the MN pool, the effective neural drive is assimilated as the

synaptic input to the MN pool. The normalized common synaptic input (red), control (black) and noise (blue) are obtained

from low-pass filtering the CST in the bandwidths relevant for muscle force generation. The normalized experimental force

trace (green curve) is displayed for visual purposes.

As discussed in the Methods section, the normalized   (red curve in Figure 6B) was simplified to be

linearly related to the total dendritic membrane current   . To do so, the scaling factor was

determined with a typical distribution of the MN membrane rheobase   in a cat MN pool, which

was obtained (Figure 7A) from the literature [85,87,88,90,91,100-102] as:

$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\text{(EQ. 15)}$$

552   Using (EQ. 6), the time-history of the current input   was obtained (Figure 7B):

$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\text{(EQ. 16)}$$

Figure 7: (A) Typical distribution of MN current recruitment threshold   in a cat MN pool according to the literature.
(B) Current input   , taken as a non-continuous linear transformation of the common synaptic input (red curve in Figure
6B) for the dataset   .

Step (3): LIF model – MN size calibration and distribution

Because of the modelling choices made for our MN LIF model, the MN inert period (   ) and the MN

size   parameters entirely define the LIF-predictions of the MN firing behaviour. The   parameters

of the   MNs in the dataset   (Figure 8) were obtained from the maximum firing frequency of

the 20 MNs identified to 'saturate', from which the distribution of   values in the entire pool of

MNs was obtained: . With this approach, the maximum firing rate assigned to

the first recruited and unidentified MN is —   ——   . The   distributions obtained with this

approach for the three other datasets are reported in the fourth column of Table 2 and yielded

physiological approximations of the maximum firing rate for the unidentified lowest -threshold MN

for all datasets, with the exception of the dataset   , which lacks the information of too large a

fraction of the MN pool for accurate extrapolations to be performed.

Figure 8: MN Inert Periods (IPs) in ms obtained from the experimental measurements of IDFs in dataset   . The twenty lowest-threshold MNs are observed to 'saturate' as described in the Methods and their IP (black crosses) is calculated as the inverse of the maximum of the trendline fitting the time-histories of their instantaneous firing frequency. The IPs of the 12 highest-threshold MNs (red dots) are obtained by trendline extrapolation.

The size parameter   of the   LIF models was calibrated using the minimization function in (EQ. 14) so that the LIF-predicted filtered discharge frequencies   of the   MNs replicated the experimental   , displayed in Figure 9A and B (blue curves). As shown in Figure 9C, the recruitment time   of three quarters of the   identified MNs was predicted with an error less than 250ms. The calibrated LIF models were also able to accurately mimic the firing behaviour of the 27 lowest-threshold MNs as experimental and LIF-predicted FIDF traces compared with   and   (Figure 9D and E). The scaled LIF models reproduced the firing behaviour of the five highest-threshold MNs (   ) with moderate accuracy, with   and   values up to -1.5s and   . Despite modelling limitations discussed in the Discussion section, the global results in Figure 9 confirm that the two-parameters calibrated LIF models can accurately reproduce the firing and recruitment behaviour of the   experimental MNs. It must be noted that the   and   metrics were not included in the calibration procedure and the   values reported in Figure 9 were therefore blindly predicted.

Figure 9: Calibration of the MN size parameter. (A and B) Time-histories of the experimental (black) versus LIF-predicted (blue) filtered instantaneous discharge frequencies (FIDFs) of the 2^{nt} (A) and 16th (B) MNs identified in the dataset after parameter calibration. (C) Absolute error in seconds in predicting the MN recruitment time with the calibrated LIF models. The accuracy of LIF-predicted FIDFs is assessed for each MN with calculation of the nRMSE (D) and (E) values. (C-E) The metrics are computed for the time range only. The dashed lines represent the , and intervals of interest respectively.

The cloud of pairs of data points (black crosses in Figure 10A), obtained from MN mapping (Figure 5B and C) and size calibration for the dataset , were least-squares fitted (red curve in Figure 10A, by the power relationship in (EQ. *17*), with :

$$\qquad \qquad - \qquad \qquad$$ (EQ. 17)

As reported in the last column of Table 2, the minimum MN size (in obtained by extrapolation of this trendline was in the range for the datasets and , with expected maximum MN size (in in the range which is consistent with typical cat data [42,103-108], even if slightly in the lower range. The low value for the coefficient observed in Table 2 for the and datasets suggests that the typical skewness in MN size distribution reported in the literature [42] was not captured for these two datasets, in which low-threshold MNs in the first quarter of the MN population were not identified from HDEMG signals.

Table 2: Intermediary results obtained for the datasets and from the three first steps of the approach. For each dataset are reported (1) the locations in the complete pool of MNs of the lowest- ( ) and highest-threshold ( ) MNs identified experimentally, (2) the value between the experimental and virtual cumulative spike

607 *trains (CST), and the coefficients defining the distributions in the complete MN pool of (3) the inert period (IP) parameter and*

608 *of (4) the MN size (S). For the TA and GM muscles, $N = 400$ and $N = 550$ respectively.*

	Identified population		CST	$IP(j)[s] = a \cdot j^b$		$S(j)[m^2] = S_{min} \cdot \Delta_S^{\left(\frac{j}{N}\right)^c}$	
Dataset	N_r	$i \rightarrow N_i$	$coher_{N_r}$	a	b	$S_{min}[m^2]$	c
DTA_{35}	32	9-313	0.80	0.04	0.06	$1.49 \cdot 10^{-7}$	1.47
HTA_{35}	21	72-313	0.71	0.04	0.05	$1.73 \cdot 10^{-7}$	2.76
HTA_{50}	14	233-346	0.71	0.0006	0.80	$1.35 \cdot 10^{-7}$	0.85
HGM_{30}	27	162-412	0.65	0.03	0.20	$1.20 \cdot 10^{-7}$	0.57

As displayed in Figure 9A and B, the phenomenological adjustment of the R and C_m parameters

described in (EQ. 12) and (EQ. 13) successfully captured the main effects of the hysteresis

mechanisms involved with MN derecruitment, where MNs discharge at lower rate and stop firing at

lower current input than at recruitment. In all four datasets, $J(C_m) = \frac{\overline{nRMSE} - \overline{r^2}}{2}$ was parabolic for

incremental values of C_m and a global minimum was found for $C_m^d = 2.0, 2.0, 1.6$ and $2.2 \mu F \cdot cm^2$

for the datasets $DTA_{35}, HTA_{35}, HTA_{50}$ and HGM_{30}, respectively. These values for the parameter C_m^d

were retained for the final simulations (Figure 12 and Figure 13) over the $\left[t_{r_3}; t_{r_5}\right]$ time range.

Step (4): Simulating the MN pool firing behaviour

As displayed in Figure 10B for the dataset DTA_{35}, the $S(j)$ distribution determined the MN-specific

electrophysiological parameters (input resistance R and membrane capacitance C) of a cohort of

$N = 400$ LIF models, which predicted from $I(t)$ the spike trains of the entire pool of N MNs (Figure

10C). As displayed in the plot of smoothed FIDFs in Figure 10C, the output behaviour of the

reconstructed MN pool agrees with the Onion-skin scheme [109] where lower-threshold MNs start

discharging at higher frequencies and reach higher discharge rates than larger recruited units.

Figure 10: Reconstruction of the firing behaviour and recruitment dynamics of the complete MN pool. (A) The calibrated

MN sizes (black crosses) are lest-squares fitted by the power trendline _______________ , which reconstructs

the distributions of MN sizes in the complete MN pool. (B) The distribution determines the MN-specific R and C

parameters of a cohort of LIF models, which is driven by the current input and predicts (C) the spike trains of

the virtual MNs constituting the complete MN pool. (D) The MN instantaneous discharge frequencies were computed

from the simulated the spike trains and were smoothed with a sixth-order polynomial [55]. One out of ten IDFs is

displayed for clarity. The blue-to-red gradient for small-to-large MNs shows that the output behaviour of the reconstructed

MN population is in agreement with the Onion-Skin scheme.

Figure 11: Detailed description of the 4-step workflow applied to the   dataset. The firing activity of a fraction of the MN pool is obtained from decomposed HDEMG signals. These experimental spike trains provide an estimate of the effective neural drive to muscle and explain most of the MN pool behaviour (   ). From a mapping of the identified MNs to the complete MN pool (Step (1)), literature knowledge on the typical distibution of   in a mammalian MN pool, and using the linearity properties of the population of MNs, the current input   is estimated (Step (2)).   is input to   LIF models of MN to derive, after a one-parameter calibration step minimizing the error between experimental and LIF-predicted FIDFs, the distribution of MN sizes across the complete MN pool (Step (3)). The distribution of MN sizes, which entirely describes the distribution of MN-specific electrophysiological parameters across the MN pool, scales a cohort of   LIF models which transforms   into the simulated spike trains of the   MNs of the MN pool (Step (4)). The effective neural drive to muscle is estimated from the   simulated spike trains.

Validation

The four-step approach summarized in Figure 1 is detailed in Figure 11 and was validated in two

ways.

<u>Validation 1</u>

The simulated spike trains were validated for the N_r MNs by comparing experimental and LIF-

predicted FIDFs, where the experimental information of the investigated MN was removed from the

experimental dataset and not used in the derivation of the $IP(j)$ and $S(j)$ distributions of the

synaptic current $I(t)$. For the N_r MNs of each dataset, Figure 12 reports the absolute error $\Delta f t^1$ in

predicting the MN recruitment time (1st row) and the comparison between experimental and LIF-

predicted filtered instantaneous discharge frequencies (FIDFs) with calculation of $nRMSE$ (%) (2nd

row) and r^2 (3rd row) values over the $\left[t_{r_0}; t_{r_5}\right]$ time range. In all datasets, the recruitment time of 45-

to-65% of the N_r identified MNs was predicted with an absolute error less than $\Delta f t^1 = 250 ms$. In all

datasets, the LIF-predicted and experimental FIDFs of more than 80% of the N_r MNs compared with

$nRMSE < 20\%$ and $r^2 > 0.8$, while 75% of the N_r MN experimental and predicted FIDFs compared

with $r^2 > 0.8$ in the dataset HGM_{30}. These results confirm that the four-step approach summarized

in Figure 1 is valid for all four datasets for blindly predicting the recruitment time and the firing

behaviour of the N_r MNs recorded experimentally. The identified MNs that are representative of a

large fraction of the complete MN pool, i.e. which are the only identified MN in the range $\left[N_i - \right.$

$\left. \frac{N}{10}; N_i + \frac{N}{10}\right]$ of the entire MN pool, such as the 1st MN in the dataset DTA_{35} (Figure 5C), are some of

the MNs returning the highest $\Delta f t^1$ and $nRMSE$ and lowest r^2 values. As observed in Figure 12,

ignoring the spike trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$ of those 'representative' MNs in the derivation of $I(t), IP(j)$ and

$S(j)$ in steps (2) and (3) therefore affects the quality of the predictions more than ignoring the

information of MNs that are representative of a small fraction of the MN population. In all datasets,

$nRMSE > 20\%$ and $r^2 < 0.8$ was mainly obtained for the last-recruited MNs (4th quarter of each

plot in Figure 12) that exhibit recruitment thresholds close to the value of the current input $I(t)$

during the plateau of constant force in the time range $\left[t_{tr_2}; t_{tr_3}\right]$. In all datasets, the predictions

obtained for all other MNs that have intermediate recruitment thresholds and are the most

identified MNs in the datasets, were similar and the best among the pool of N_r MNs.

Figure 12: Validation of the MN recruitment and firing behaviour predicted with the 4-step approach (Figure 1) for the
MNs experimentally identified in the four datasets   and   described in Table 1. For the
validation of each   predicted MN spike train, the experimental information of the   identified MN was omitted when
deriving the current input   and the   and   distributions (steps 2 and 3), from which the   and   parameters
are obtained without bias for the   MN. The spike train   of the   MN is then predicted with an   -scaled LIF
model receiving   as input. The absolute error in predicting the experimental recruitment time   is reported for
each of the   MNs in the first row of the figure. Experimental and filtered instantaneous discharge frequencies
and   , computed from   and   are compared with calculation of   and   in the
second and third rows of the figure. The dashed lines represent the   ,   and
*   intervals of interest respectively.*

<u>Validation 2</u>

The effective neural drive predicted by the 4-step approach summarized in Figure 1 was validated by
comparing for isometric contractions, the normalized   (orange traces in Figure 13) computed
from the   predicted spike trains   to the normalized force trace   (green trace in Figure
13). The   was accurately predicted for the datasets   and   , with
and   (Table 3). The results obtained from the dataset   returned   and
686   (Table 3), accurately predicting the   for the positive ramp and first half of the
plateau of force and then underestimating the true neural drive (, Figure 13). The
predicted for the dataset   returned results of lower accuracy (and
) compared to the three other datasets. A null   was predicted for one third of the
simulation where a muscle force up to   is generated, and the   was overestimated for
the rest of the (de)recruitment phase (, orange trace, Figure 12). As detailed in the discussion,
the latter is explained by an inadequate   distribution (Table 2), due to a lack of experimental

information in the dataset HTA_{50}, which returns non-physiological maximum firing rates for the low-
thresholds MNs. Two data points (16; 0.032) and (118; 0.037), obtained from the experimental
data in the dataset DTA_{35} with a scaling factor of $\frac{35}{50}$ applied to the IP values, were appended to the
list of N_r data points $(N_i; IP_{N_i})$ to describe the maximum firing behaviour of the first half of the MN
pool for which no MN was identified for the dataset HTA_{50} (Table 2). A new $IP(j)$ distribution was
obtained, returning an improved estimation of the eND_N (HTA_{50}, purple trace, Figure 12) with
respectively lower and higher $nRMSE$ and r^2 metrics (Table 3). With three times lower $nRMSE$ and
higher r^2 values for all four datasets (Table 3), the eND_N predicted from the 4-step approach
(orange dotted traces in Figure 13) was a more accurate representation of the real effective neural
drive than the eND_{N_r} (blue dotted traces in Figure 13) computed from the N_r experimental spike
trains, especially in the phases of MN (de)recruitment where the real effective neural drive was
underestimated by eND_{N_r}. The predicted eND_N also produced less noise than the eND_{N_r} trace
during the plateau of force. With $r^2 > 0.85$ and $nRMSE < 20\%$, this four-step approach is valid for
accurately reconstructing the eND to a muscle produced by a collection of N simulated MN spike
trains, which were predicted from a sample of N_r experimental spike trains. It is worth noting that
the accuracy of the eND_N predictions, performed in　Table 3 and Figure 13 for $N_{TA} = 400$ and
$N_{GM} = 550$ MNs as discussed in the Methods Section, was not sensitive to the size N of the
reconstructed MN population. For example, for the DTA_{35} dataset, the eND_N computed with
populations of $N = \{32, 100, 200, 300, 400\}$ MNs systematically compared to the real effective
neural drive with $r^2 = 0.98$, and respectively returned $nRMSE = 7.7, 6.9, 6.5, 6.1$ and 5.9%, with
slightly more accurate predictions of eND_N with an increasing N at low force during derecruitment.

Table 3: For all four datasets, the r^2 and $nRMSE$ values obtained for the comparison of the time-histories of the normalized
experimental force trace against the effective neural drive (1) eND_{N_r} and (2) eND_N computed from the spike trains of (1)
the N_r identified MNs and (2) the N virtual MNs. The results for HTA_{50} (1) were obtained with the standard approach,
while those for HTA_{50} (2) were obtained with a revisited $IP(j)$ distribution (see text).

	N_r MNs (experimental)		N MNs (simulated)	
Dataset	r^2	$nRMSE$ (%)	r^2	$nRMSE$ (%)
DTA_{35}	0.92	19.5	0.98	5.9
HTA_{35}	0.85	26.8	0.97	7.9
HTA_{50} (1)	0.83	26.9	0.88	15.1
HTA_{50} (2)			0.92	10.6
HGM_{30}	0.85	28.8	0.89	18.2

Figure 13: For the 4 datasets, validation against the normalized force trace (green trace) of the normalized effective

neural drive to muscle produced by the complete MN pool (orange trace) and computed from the MN spike trains

predicted with the 4-step approach. For comparison, the directly computed from the identified MNs is

also reported (blue dashed trace). For the dataset , an additional prediction was performed (purple trace), where the

distribution obtained from step (3) was updated to return physiological maximum firing rates for all MNs.

Application to MN-driven modelling

Figure 14 reports for the datasets and , for which the was the most accurately

predicted among the four datasets (Figure 13, Table 3), the time evolutions of the whole muscle

force recorded experimentally (green curve) and the whole muscle forces predicted with the

MN-driven muscle model described in Figure 3 using experimental (, blue curves) and

simulated (, red curves) spike trains as inputs. The muscle force trace obtained from the

simulated spike trains derived in steps (1-4) compared with the experimental force with

and for the dataset and and for the

dataset . These results validate the approach provided in this study for predicting the whole

muscle force as a collection of MU force contributions from the MN-specific contributions to the

effective neural drive. The muscle force trace obtained from the MN spike trains returned

more accurate predictions than (and for the dataset and

and for the dataset , in which case the reconstruction of the MN

737   pool in steps (1-4) was disregarded and the   experimental spike trains were directly used as inputs

738   to the muscle model in Figure 3.

740   *Figure 14: Prediction of the experimental force trace   (green curve) with the MN-driven muscle model described in*

741   *Figure 3.   (blue curve) was predicted with the   experimental spike trains input to the muscle model.   (red*

742   *trace) was obtained from the cohort of   experimental spike trains simulated by the four-step approach.*

DISCUSSION

This study reports a novel four-step approach, summarized in Figure 1 and displayed in detail in Figure 11, to reconstruct the recruitment and firing behaviour of a complete human pool of N MNs from a sample of N_r experimental spike trains obtained from the decomposition of HDEMG or intramuscular recordings during voluntary contractions. This approach can help neuroscientists, experimentalists, and modelers to investigate MN pool neuromechanics, better understand experimental datasets, and control more detailed neuromuscular models to advance our understanding of the neural strategies taken by the human central nervous system to control voluntary muscle contractions.

The three first steps of our approach identify from a sample of N_r experimental spike trains a distribution of the MN electrophysiological properties across the MN pool. The N_r MN spike trains are used to approximate the common synaptic input $CSI(t)$ to the complete MN pool (Figure 7). For simplicity, the CSI is linearly related to the post-synaptic total dendritic membrane current $I(t)$, which is input to a cohort of N_r single-compartment LIF models with current synapses. The LIF firing behaviour is entirely described by the phenomenological MN Inert Period parameter IP_i, derived from the experimental data (Figure 8), and by the MN size S_i parameter to which all the MN electrophysiological properties are related, according to the relations provided in [42]. After calibration of the S_i parameter, the N_r LIF models accurately mimic the filtered discharge behaviour and accurately predict the recruitment dynamics of the N_r experimental MNs (Figure 9). The N_r MNs are allocated into the complete MN pool (Figure 5B and C) according to their recorded force recruitment thresholds F_i^{th} (Figure 4B) and a typical species- and muscle-specific $F^{th}(j)$ distribution (Figure 5). From the previous findings, the continuous distribution of MN sizes $S(j)$ (Figure 10A) is derived for the complete pool of N MNs. $S(j)$ defines the electrophysiological properties [42] of the MNs constituting the complete MN pool. The neural behaviour of the complete pool of N MNs is predicted in the 4th step with a cohort of N S_j-IP_j-scaled LIF models and the application of the current drive $I(t)$ (Figure 10).

Validation of the approach

The approach was successfully validated both for individual MNs and for the complete pool of N MNs. By blindly scaling the LIF models in steps (1-3) with permutations of $N_r - 1$ input experimental spike trains, the filtered discharge behaviour and the recruitment dynamics of the N_r individual MNs were accurately predicted for the four investigated datasets (Figure 12). The effective neural drive (eND_N) to muscle elicited by the complete pool of N MNs was also accurately predicted by the 4-

step approach for the HTA_{35}, DTA_{35} and HGM_{30} datasets (Figure 13, Table 3). The latter result
suggests that the recruitment dynamics and the normalized distribution of firing rates across the
firing MN pool were accurately predicted for the non-identified population of $N - N_r$ MNs. The
accuracy of the eND_N predictions was not sensitive to the size N of the reconstructed population,
with acceptable eND_N predictions ($nRMSE < 20\%, r^2 > 0.9$) obtained with as few as ten
distributed MNs. This suggests that the MN mapping (Step 1) and the derivation of the MN
properties distribution (Step 3) in Figure 8 and Figure 10A are the key contributions for describing the
complete MN pool behaviour from the input experimental data.

Despite the modelling limitations, discussed in [44] and in the Limitations Section, inherent to single-
compartment LIF models with current synapses and related to the phenomenological IP, C^d and R^d
parameters, the LIF models scaled following the methodology described in Step (3) can capture the
distribution of MN discharging characteristics across the MN population. For example, the firing
activity of the reconstructed MN population agrees with the onion-skin scheme (Figure 10D), as
expected for slow low-force trajectories in human muscle contraction. While the MN size parameter
calibration (Figure 9) only relies on the minimization of the root-mean square difference between
experimental and predicted FIDFs, the normalized time-history of the FIDFs (high r^2 in Figure 12) and
the MN recruitment times (low Δft^1 values in Figure 12) of the N_r MNs are both accurately
mimicked (Figure 9) and blindly predicted (Figure 12) for all four datasets. Some realistic aspects of
the MN neurophysiology are also maintained. For example, the range of MN surface areas
$[0.15; 0.36]mm^2$ and input resistances $[0.5; 4.1]M\Omega$ obtained from the $S(j)$ distribution falls into
the classic range of MN properties for cats [21,42], which is consistent with the distribution of
rheobase values used in Step (2) that was obtained from cat data. The distribution of MN input
resistance in the MN pool, obtained from the calibrated sizes $S(j)$, defines the individual MN
rheobase thresholds and predicts that 80% of the human TA MU pool is recruited at 35%MVC (Figure
10C), which is consistent with previous findings in the literature [20,64].

Benefits of the approach

Benefit 1 – Better understanding the MN pool firing behaviour inferred from decomposed HDEMG
outputs

The workflow provides a method to better understand datasets of N_r experimental spike trains
obtained from signal decomposition. HDEMG decomposition techniques commonly identify samples
of few tens of MNs [27] at most, i.e. typically less than 10-25% of the MU pool. The mapping in Figure
5 and Figure 10A demonstrates that these N_r-sized samples of MNs currently are not representative
of the complete MN population, as supported by the $[0.65; 0.80]$ $coher_{N_r}$ values in Table 2. EMG

decomposition shows a bias towards under-sampling small low-threshold MUs and identifying large

high-threshold MUs because of their larger electric signal amplitude detected by the HDEMG

electrodes. Consequently, the CST_{N_r} computed from the N_r identified MNs is not an accurate

representation of the true muscle neural drive (Figure 13, Table 3). The method in Figure 11

identifies credible values for some MN-specific electrophysiological and morphometric properties,

including MN membrane surface area, input resistance, capacitance, rheobase and time constant, for

the dataset of N_r experimental MNs and for the $N - N_r$ MNs that cannot be recorded. Using a

realistic distribution of these properties, the eND_N produced by the reconstructed MN population is

accurately estimated, insensitive to the value of N, because it includes the firing activity of the

complete fraction of the smaller MNs that were underrepresented in the experimental dataset,

especially during the force ramps in $[t_1; \ t_2]$ and $[t_3; t_4]$ (Figure 2), where the eND_{N_r} can reach 70%

normalized maximum error. The eND_N besides includes the activity of the complete population of

high-threshold MNs, which reduces noise (orange vs blue trace in Figure 13) during the plateau of

force in $[t_2; t_3]$. The eND_N also filters the local non-physiological variations displayed by the eND_{N_r}

such as the decrease in eND_{N_r} in $[10; 15]s$ in DTA_{35} and HTA_{35} (top plots in Figure 13), the sudden

rise and drop in eND_{N_r} at $t = 10s$ and $t = 23s$ in HTA_{50} (bottom left plot in Figure 13) or the

steeply decreasing eND_{N_r} from $t = 28s$ in HGM_{30} (bottom right plot in Figure 13). Understanding

and accounting for these experimental limitations is important and has critical implications in

HDEMG-driven neuromuscular modelling when the CST_{N_r} is input to a muscle model [110,111].

The workflow also provides a method to support future experimental investigations. The signal

decomposition process can be refined and more MNs can be identified by iteratively running this

workflow and using the $N - N_r$ simulated spike trains of the non-identified MNs as a model for

identifying more MNs from the recorded signals. The method moreover provides simple

phenomenological two-parameter-scaled LIF models described in step (3) to accurately replicate the

recruitment and firing behaviour of the N_r identified MNs (Figure 9) for further investigation.

Benefit 2 – Advances in MN pool modelling

This four-step approach advances the state-of-the-art in MN pool modelling. As discussed in the

introduction, using a sample of N_r experimental MN spike trains permits for the first time in human

MN pool modelling to (1) estimate the true time-course of the common synaptic input to the MN

pool, which cannot be measured experimentally, (2) approximate with a one-parameter calibration,

available HDEMG data and knowledge from the literature, the MN-specific electrophysiological

properties of all the MNs in the MN pool and a realistic distribution of these properties across the

pool, which could, to date, only be obtained in specialized experimental and MN pool modelling

studies in animals [39], and (3) validate the forward predictions of MN spike trains and effective

neural drive to muscle to human experimental data. The pool of LIF models, the maximum firing

frequency of which is obtained from the available experimental data, intrinsically accounts for the

onion-skin theory [109] (Figure 10D), and better replicates the MN membrane dynamics of the MN

pool than Fuglevand-type phenomenological models [10,29], where the MN-specific firing rates are

predicted as a linear function of the current input $I(t)$. Moreover, single-compartment current-input

LIF models can credibly replicate most of the MN membrane dynamics [43] and allowed accurate

predictions of the MN pool behaviour (Figure 12, Figure 13), while they provide a simpler modelling

approach and a more convenient framework for MN electrophysiological parameter assignment in

the MN pool than comprehensive Hodgkin-Huxley-type MN models [30,32,112,113]. This four-step

approach demonstrated to be robust to systematic differences in the input experimental datasets.

For example, it accurately predicted the individual MN firing behaviour of the N_r MNs for all four

datasets (Figure 12) despite the latter being obtained at different levels of muscle contractions, on

different subjects and muscles and in different experimental approaches. The approach accurately

predicted the eND_N of both DTA_{35} and HTA_{35} datasets from N_r =32 and 21 identified MNs

respectively (Table 3), suggesting that the method is not sensitive to the number N_r of identified

MNs, providing that the N_r identified MNs and their properties are homogeneously spread in the MN

pool, as in datasets DTA_{35} and HTA_{35} where at least one MN is identified in the each 10%-range of

the rheobase domain. The accurate prediction of eND_N for the dataset HGM_{30} in the time range

$[4.7; 7.2] s$ (Figure 13 bottom-right plot) also suggests that the quality of the predictions is not

sensitive to the hindlimb muscle investigated, providing that the Fth distribution is representative of

the investigated muscle.

Benefit 3 – Relevance for neuromuscular modelling

A MN-driven neuromuscular model of in-parallel Hill-type actuators describing the MUs (Figure 3) is

described in the Methods section and is used to predict the experimental muscle isometric force

(green trace in Figure 14) from the N_r experimental or N reconstructed MN spike trains. The

complete reconstruction of the discharging MN population detailed in Figure 11 is a key step towards

advancing the state-of-the-art in neuromuscular modelling on several aspects.

Firstly, the neural input to the neuromuscular model in Figure 3 is a vector of experimental spike

trains that is a more easily interpretable and a more detailed description of the muscle neural drive

than the rectified-normalized-filtered envelopes of recorded bipolar EMGs (bEMGs) [96,114,115] or

the CST_{N_r} [110,111,116] typically used in single-input muscles models. Secondly, despite advanced

multi-scale approaches in modelling whole muscles as a single equivalent MUs [99,117-121], the

multiple Hill-MU model in Figure 3 provides a more convenient framework to model in detail the

continuous distribution of the MU excitation-contraction and force properties in the MU pool.

Thirdly, a few other multi-MU models were proposed in the literature [9,10,12,39,99,122,123], some

of which used the Fuglevand's formalism [29] to model the MN firing behaviour and recruitment

dynamics of complete pools of N MNs, which are intrinsic to the experimental $sp_i^{exp}(t)$, to predict

whole muscle forces with collections of N MU Hill-type [9,123] Hill-Huxley-type [10] or twitch-type

[39] muscle models. However, these studies lacked experimental neural control at the MN level and

considered artificial synaptic inputs to their model, the predicted force of which was indirectly

validated against results from other models and not against synchronously recorded experimental

data, as performed in Figure 12 to Figure 14 in this study. Finally, Figure 14 demonstrates that the

reconstruction of the complete MN population described in this study (steps 1 to 4 in Figure 1) is a

key step for accurate MN-driven neuromuscular predictions of muscle force. The N_r-MU model, that

receives the N_r individual MN spike trains $sp_i^{exp}(t)$, intrinsically underestimates the whole muscle

activity when dominantly low-threshold MUs are recruited but are under-represented in the

experimental sample (Figure 5, Figure 13). The N-MU model, which receives as inputs the N spike

trains $sp_j^{sim}(t)$ generated by the four-step approach, allows a more realistic assignment of

distributed MU properties (MU type and maximum isometric force) to the complete MU population,

and returned more accurate force predictions than the N_r-MU model (Figure 14). It is worth noting

that the N-model did not require any parameter calibration except the MN size in step 3. The

detailed modelling of the distribution of the excitation-contraction properties of the MUs makes the

N-model more suitable for investigating the muscle neuromechanics than typical EMG-driven

models, the neural parameters of which do not have a direct physiological correspondence and must

be calibrated to match experimental joint torques [96,124,125].

<u>**Limitations of the approach and potential improvements**</u>

Despite the aforementioned good performance of the four-step workflow, the method presents 4

main limitations, for some of which potential improvements are proposed in the following.

Limitation 1 – Model validation

The two validations of the approach (Figure 12 and Figure 13) present some limitations. The local

validation in Figure 12 only ensures that the method accurately estimates the MN firing behaviour

for the fractions of the MN pool that were experimentally identified. This local validation alone does

not inform on the accuracy of the predictions for the non-identified regions of the MN pool and must

be coupled with a global validation of the MN pool behaviour by validating the predicted eND_N.
While the local validation was successful for the HTA_{50} dataset (Figure 12), where less than 30% of
the active MN pool is represented, it is inferred in Figure 13C that the individual MN firing rates were
overestimated for the first half of the non-recorded MN pool. This local validation would be self-
sufficient for experimental samples that contain a large and homogeneously spread population of
identified MNs, obtained from decomposed fine-wire intramuscular electrode and HDEMG grid
signals. The validation of the eND_N is performed in this study against an experimental force recorded
by a transducer (green traces in Figure 13), which accounts for the force-generating activity of both
the muscle of interest and the synergistic and antagonistic muscles crossing the ankle. The
experimental force trace measured in the TA datasets may be an acceptable validation metric as the
TA muscle is expected to explain most of the recorded ankle torque in dorsiflexion. The TA muscle is
indeed the main dorsiflexor muscle with a muscle volume and maximum isometric force larger than
the flexor hallucis longus muscle, which moment arm is besides not aligned with the dorsiflexion
direction and mainly acts for ankle inversion. However, the gastrocnemius lateralis, soleus, and
peroneus muscles acts synergistically with the GM muscle for ankle plantarflexion and the
experimental torque recorded in dataset HGM_{30} may not be representative of the GM muscle force-
generation activity and may not be a suitable validation metric for eND_N. In Figure 13, the
decreasing eND_N and eND_{N_r} (orange and blue dotted traces) may accurately describe a gradually
increasing sharing of the ankle torque between synergistic muscles initially taken by the GM muscle,
which the constant validation trace does not capture. To answer such limitations, the predicted
eND_N should be validated against a direct measure of muscle force, which can be performed as in
other recent studies [13,14,117] with ultrasound measurements of the muscle fascicle or of the
muscle tendon concurrently obtained with (HD)EMG recordings of the muscle activity. Finally, these
two validations do not provide any indication whether the parameters calibrated with a trapezoidal
force profile would generalize, for the same subject, to another force trajectory or a trapezoidal force
profile up to another force level and provide accurate predictions of the N_r experimental spike trains
and of the eND_N. To perform such validation, the parameter identification in Step 3 (Figure 1) would
be overlooked. The N_r^{new} spike trains identified from the HDEMG signals concurrently measured with
the new force profile would be used to derive the new current input $I^{new}(t)$ (Step 2) to drive a
cohort of N MN models (Step 4), the characteristics of which would be defined by the IP and S
distributions (Step 3) derived with the first contraction profile, to predict the new effective neural
drive eND_N^{new}. The MN mapping (Step 1) would serve to identify the MNs in the reconstructed MN
populations, the predicted MN spike trains of which should be compared against the N_r identified
$sp_i^{exp}(t)$. Performing this final validation step was however impossible in this study because there

exists, to the authors' knowledge, no open-source datasets of edited HDEMG signals recorded for the

same subject for different force profiles.

Limitation 2 – Sensitivity of the method to input HDEMG data

While the method predicts a list of simulated spike trains $sp_j^{sim}(t)$ and a eND_N that more accurately

describes the MN pool behaviour than the experimental $sp_i^{exp}(t)$ and eND_{N_r}, as discussed

previously, the accuracy of these predictions (Figure 12 and Figure 13) remains sensitive to the

distribution in the entire MN pool of the N_r MNs identified experimentally, reported in the third

column of Table 2. Because of the definition of the current input $I(t)$, the eND_N onset is defined by

the recruitment time $tf_{N_1}^1$ of the lowest-threshold MN N_1 identified experimentally, as shown in

Figure 13, while the unknown firing behaviour for $t < ft_{N_1}^1$ of the non-identified MNs of rheobase

lower than $I_{N_1}^{th}$ is not captured. This is not an issue in samples of homogeneously distributed MNs like

dataset DTA_{35}, where the 9th smallest MN (first 2% of the pool of threshold-increasing MNs) is

identified (Figure 5BC), Table 2) and the eND obtained from the 15 first MNs is not predicted during

the short time range $[2.1, 2.3]s$, where the whole muscle builds only 1%MVC (top-left plot in Figure

13). However in heterogeneous or incomplete samples like dataset HTA_{50}, the lowest-threshold

(232nd, Table 2) identified MN identified is recruited at $t = tf_{N_1}^1 = 6.1s$ and the approach wrongly

returns a zero muscle neural drive $eND = 0$ for the time period $[1.6; 6.1]s$ where the muscle actually

builds 20%MVC during the ramp of contraction (bottom-left plot in Figure 13). To tackle this issue,

the normalized force trace, which is non-zero for $t < ft_{N_1}^1$ and representative of the CSI in this time

region, could be scaled and used in lieu of the current definition of $I(t)$. However, this approach,

which may not be suitable for non-isometric conditions, is not applicable in forward predictions of

unknown whole muscle force from neural inputs. Experimental samples of homogeneously

distributed MNs are also required to derive realistic $S(j)$ and $IP(j)$ distributions with the four-step

approach. As observed in Figure 12, ignoring in the derivation of $I(t)$, $IP(j)$ and $S(j)$ the spike trains

$sp_i^{exp}(t)$ of the MNs that are representative of a large subset of the MN pool affects the accuracy of

the predicted recruitment and firing behaviour of the MNs falling in that subset (Figure 12). More

specifically, the non-physiological distribution $IP(j)[s] = 5.6 \cdot 10^{-4} \cdot j^{0.80}$ was fitted to the

experimental data of the 14 high-threshold MNs identified in dataset HTA_{50}, where the neural

information of the 60% smallest MNs of the MN pool (Table 2) is lacking. Such $IP(j)$ distribution

overestimates the LIF-predicted maximum firing frequency of low-threshold MNs, which explains the

overestimation of the eND_N in Figure 13 (bottom-left plot, orange curve). Non-physiological

predictions can be avoided by adding artificial data points consistent with other experiments or with

the literature in the rheobase regions of the MN pool where no MNs were experimentally identified.

For example, using an $IP(j)$ relationship consistent with a dataset of homogeneously distributed
MNs (DTA_{35}) constrained the predicted maximum firing rates to physiological values and returned
more accurate predictions of the eND_N (bottom-left plot, purple curve).

Limitation 3 – LIF MN modelling

The LIF MN model described from (EQ. 7) to (EQ. 13) was shown, with credible sets of inter-related
parameters S_{MN}, R, C and τ after [42], to accurately mimic (Figure 9) and blindly predict (Figure 12)
most of the key phenomenological features of the N_r firing MNs, including their FIDFs and time of
first discharge, as well as nonlinear behaviours such as firing rate saturation (Figure 8) and hysteresis
(Figure 4B and C). However, this MN model is mostly phenomenological and does not provide a
realistic description of the actual mechanisms underlying the dynamics of action potential firing for
several reasons. First, this single-compartment approach neglects the activity of the MN dendrites,
which account for more than 95% of the total MN surface area and gather most of the post-synaptic
receptors and MN PIC-generating channels responsible for the MN nonlinear input-output functions
[40]. The inherent difference in membrane voltage dynamics between the dendrites and the soma,
also partially mediated by hundred-fold differences in the value of passive electrophysiological
parameters such as R which increases with somatofugal distance [126] is therefore neglected in this
point model approach. Then, the nonlinear dendritic activity being overlooked, the Common Synaptic
Input CSI(t) in (EQ. 5), which is the common net excitatory synaptic influx, is non-physiologically
assimilated with a constant gain to the post-synaptic total dendritic membrane current $I(t)$ that
depolarizes the MN soma and is responsible for spike generation. With this linear $CSI - I$ scaling in
(EQ. 6), the approach neglects the realistic description of the voltage-driven dynamics of the PIC-
generating channels that are responsible for some important nonlinear mechanisms, such as firing
rate saturation [41] and hysteresis in the MN's current-voltage relation [94,95], which dictate the
nonlinear $CSI - I$ transformation [40]. In this study, the firing rate saturation, which in real MNs is
due to a decrease in driving force for synaptic current flow as the dendrites become more
depolarized [41], is captured by the phenomenological IP parameter. The observed hysteresis
between the recruitment and decruitment thresholds (Figure 4B) and in the current-firing rate
relation between recruitment and derecruitment phases (Figure 4C), explained by an hysteresis in
the MN's current-voltage relation that arises from the activity of long-lasting PICs [20], are
successfully but non-physiologically addressed by a tuning during derecruitment of the R and C_m
parameters respectively, although the values of the passive property R and of C_m, biological constant
for the MN population [127], should be independent from the MN activity [44]. For these reasons,

even if the distributions of the S_{MN}, R, C and τ parameters at recruitment take values consistent with

the literature, as discussed, the MN LIF model described in (EQ. 7) to (EQ. 12) is not suitable for

investigating the neurophysiology and the neuromechanics of individual MNs. The phenomenological

tuning of the IP, R and C_m parameters, although symptomatic of underlying nonlinear mechanisms,

does not provide any insight into the true MN neurophysiology. If a more realistic MN model was

considered in lieu of the LIF model, such as multiple-compartments Hodgkin-Huxley-based

approaches [128], the four-step workflow would be an adequate tool for testing neurophysiological

hypotheses and investigating some mechanisms and properties of the complete MN pool that cannot

be directly observed experimentally in conditions of human voluntary contractions. A possible trade-

off would be considering a single-compartment LIF model with active conductances [44], in which

case (EQ. 7) is re-written as (EQ. 18), where $g_R = \frac{1}{R}$ is the constant MN passive conductance,

$E_+ > \Delta V_{th}$ is the constant reversal potential for excitatory channels, $g(t, CSI)$ the time-varying total

active excitatory synaptic conductance, and $I_{ps}(t, CSI, V_m) = g(t, CSI) \cdot (E_+ - V_m)$ the post-synaptic

total dendritic membrane current induced by the synaptic drive CSI, the driving force of which is

decreased by the term $(E_+ - V_m)$ when the dendritic membrane depolarizes, as discussed.

$$\frac{dV_m}{dt} = \frac{1}{C} \cdot [g(t, CSI) \cdot (E_+ - V_m) - g_R \cdot V_m] \qquad \text{(EQ. 18)}$$

In the literature, $g(t, CSI) = g_{max} \cdot T(t, CSI)$ where g_{max} is the maximum active conductance of

the synapse and $T(t, CSI)$ can be interpreted as the fraction of bound synaptic receptors [30] or of

opened ionic channels in the range $[0; 1]$ or as a train of synaptic pulses [44]. Because setting

$T(t, CSI) = CSI(t)$, with $CSI(t)$ as defined in Figure 6B, does not meet the requirements in firing

rate saturation for large CSI input, the saturation in dendritic ionic channel activation for large CSI

[41] must be accounted for and $T(t, CSI)$ should be a nonlinear saturating function of CSI. In this

approach, the MN-specific hysteresis and firing rate behaviour could be obtained with a tuning

during recruitment and derecruitment and a distribution across the MN pool of the g_{max} parameter

and of the shape parameter of the S function based on the experimental data. Although this

approach more realistically describes the neurophysiological mechanisms underlying the nonlinear

MN behaviour during discharge events, it is more challenging to scale using the experimental

information. Considering that this study focuses on the phenomenological behaviour of individual

MNs and on the overall activity of reconstructed MN populations, the LIF model defined in (EQ. 7)

was judged an adequate trade-off between accuracy and modelling complexity, considering the

overall accurate predictions of the MN firing behaviours (Figure 12) and its low computational cost,

and no other modelling approaches such as (EQ. 18) were pursued.

It must be noted that, whatever the MN modelling approach, the experimental drive to the MN

currently considered in the four-step method is the CSI, which disregards the MN-specific synaptic

noise $SN(t)$, which is responsible for most of the inter-spike variability (ISV). Considering that the

MN pool and the MU neuromechanical mechanisms are expected to filter the MN-specific $SN(t)$,

this simplification is adequate for accurate predictions of the eND_N and of the whole muscle force

(Farina, 2015). Sometimes modelled as a random Gaussian-like event (Fuglevand 1993), the ISV can

be obtained as the response to a $SN(t)$ white noise added to the current definition of the synaptic

input as $CSI(t) + SN(t)$. The relative proportion of CSI and SN in % of the variance of the total

synaptic current can be approximated from Figure 2A in [47]. Accounting for $SN(t)$ might improve

the accuracy of the predicted firing behaviour of the largest MNs, for which the largest Δft^1 and

$nRMSE$ and lowest r^2 values were obtained in Figure 12 for all four datasets, and the firing

behaviour and recruitment dynamics of which are dominantly dictated by random fluctuations of

$SN(t)$.

1053

Limitation 4 – Limited experimental data in the literature

The four-step approach is constrained by the limited knowledge in the literature of the

characteristics of the human MU pool. Therefore, the LIF parameters and the MN rheobase

distribution in the MN pool (Figure 7A) are tuned with typical cat data from various hindlimb muscles

[42] while the experimental MN spike trains were obtained from the human TA muscle. While the

normalized mathematical relationships relating the MN electrophysiological parameters of the LIF

model $(R, C, \tau, \Delta V_{th})$ can be extrapolated between mammals [42] and thus to humans, no

experimental data is yet available to scale these relationships to typical human data.

Also, the typical $F^{th}(j)$ and $I_{th}(j)$ threshold distributions, derived for mapping the N_r identified MNs

to the complete MN pool (Figure 5) and for scaling the CSI to physiological values of $I(t)$ (Figure 7),

were obtained from studies which relied on experimental samples of MN populations of small size.

These source studies therefore did not ensure that the largest and lowest values were identified and

reported, and that the identification process was not biased towards a specific subset of the MN

pool, such as larger MNs. In such cases, the true threshold distributions would be more skewed and

spread over a larger range of values, as discussed in [42], than the distributions reported in Figure 5

and Figure 7. The $F^{th}(j)$ distribution is besides muscle-specific [20] with large hindlimb muscles

being for example recruited over a larger range of MVC than hand muscles. However, enough data is

reported in the literature to build the $F^{th}(j)$ distributions for the TA and first dorsal interossei

human muscles only. For these limitations, the two first steps of this approach could be made

subject- species- and muscle-specific by calibrating the $F^{th}(j)$ and $I^{th}(j)$ described as the 3-
parameter power functions defined in this study.

1075

Conclusion

This study presents a four-step workflow (Figure 1 and Figure 11) which predicts the spiking activity
of the discharging MNs that were not identified by decomposed HDEMG signals. The method is
driven by the common synaptic input, which is derived from the experimental data, and reconstructs,
after a calibration step, the distribution across the MN population of some MN properties involved
into the MN-specific recruitment and spiking behaviour of the discharging MNs (Figure 8 and Figure
10A). The method blindly predicts the discharge behaviour of the N_r experimentally identified MNs
(Figure 12) and accurately predicts the muscle neural drive (Figure 13) after the complete discharging
MN population is reconstructed (Figure 10C and D). With direct application in neuromuscular
modelling (Figure 14), this method addresses the bias of HDEMG identification towards high-
threshold large units and is relevant for neuroscientists, modelers and experimenters to investigate
the MN pool dynamics during force generation.

1088

1089   REFERENCESReferences

[1] Felici F, Del Vecchio A. Surface Electromyography: What Limits Its Use in Exercise and Sport
Physiology? *Frontiers in neurology.* 2020; 11 578504.

[2] Watanabe K, Vieira TM, Gallina A, Kouzaki M, Moritani T. Novel Insights Into Biarticular Muscle
Actions Gained From High-Density Electromyogram. *Exercise and sport sciences reviews.* 2021; 49 (3):
179-187.

[3] Maeda N, Komiya M, Nishikawa Y, Morikawa M, Tsutsumi S, Tashiro T, et al. Effect of Acute Static
Stretching on the Activation Patterns Using High-Density Surface Electromyography of the
Gastrocnemius Muscle during Ramp-Up Task. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland).* 2021; 21 (14): 4841.

[4] Jordanić M, Rojas-Martínez M, Mañanas MA, Alonso JF. Prediction of isometric motor tasks and
effort levels based on high-density EMG in patients with incomplete spinal cord injury. *Journal of*
Neural Engineering. 2016; 13 (4): 046002.

[5] Fang C, He B, Wang Y, Cao J, Gao S. EMG-Centered Multisensory Based Technologies for Pattern
Recognition in Rehabilitation: State of the Art and Challenges. *Biosensors.* 2020; 10 (8): 85.

[6] Pilkar R, Momeni K, Ramanujam A, Ravi M, Garbarini E, Forrest GF. Use of Surface EMG in Clinical
Rehabilitation of Individuals With SCI: Barriers and Future Considerations. *Frontiers in Neurology.*
2020; 11 1680.

[7] Kisiel-Sajewicz K, Marusiak J, Rojas-Martínez M, Janecki D, Chomiak S, Kamiński Ł, et al. High-
density surface electromyography maps after computer-aided training in individual with congenital
transverse deficiency: a case study. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders.* 2020; 21 (1): 682.

[8] Nishikawa Y, Watanabe K, Holobar A, Maeda N, Maruyama H, Tanaka S. Identification of the
laterality of motor unit behavior in female patients with parkinson's disease using high-density
surface electromyography. *European Journal of Neuroscience.* 2021; 53 (6): 1938-1949.

[9] Callahan DM, Umberger BR, Kent-Braun JA. A computational model of torque generation: neural,
contractile, metabolic and musculoskeletal components. *PloS one.* 2013; 8 (2): e56013.

[10] Carriou V, Boudaoud S, Laforet J, Mendes A, Canon F, Guiraud D. Multiscale Hill-type modeling of
the mechanical muscle behavior driven by the neural drive in isometric conditions. *Computers in*
biology and medicine. 2019; 115 103480.

[11] Kim H, Kim M. PyMUS: python-based simulation software for virtual experiments on motor unit
system. *Frontiers in neuroinformatics.* 2018; 12 15.

[12] Potvin JR, Fuglevand AJ. A motor unit-based model of muscle fatigue. *PLoS computational*
biology. 2017; 13 (6): e1005581.

[13] Waasdorp R, Mugge W, Vos HJ, De Groot JH, Verweij MD, De Jong N, et al. Combining ultrafast
ultrasound and high-density EMG to assess local electromechanical muscle dynamics: a feasibility
study. *IEEE access.* 2021; 9 1.

[14] Martinez-Valdes E, Negro F, Botter A, Pincheira PA, Cerone GL, Falla D, et al. *Modulations in*
motor unit discharge are related to changes in fascicle length during isometric contractions. Cold
Spring Harbor Laboratory; 2021.

[15] Chen C, Yu Y, Ma S, Sheng X, Lin C, Farina D, et al. Hand gesture recognition based on motor unit
spike trains decoded from high-density electromyography. *Biomedical signal processing and control.*
2020; 55 101637.

[16] Farina D, Vujaklija I, Brånemark R, Bull AMJ, Dietl H, Graimann B, et al. Toward higher-
performance bionic limbs for wider clinical use. *Nature biomedical engineering.* 2021; 1-13.

[17] Farina D, Vujaklija I, Sartori M, Kapelner T, Negro F, Jiang N, et al. Man/machine interface based
on the discharge timings of spinal motor neurons after targeted muscle reinnervation. *Nature*
biomedical engineering. 2017; 1 (2): 1-12.

[18] Freund HJ, Budingen HJ, Dietz V. Activity of single motor units from human forearm muscles
during voluntary isometric contractions. *J Neurophysiol.* 1975; 38 (4): 933-946.

[19] Dengler R, Stein RB, Thomas CK. Axonal conduction velocity and force of single human motor
units. *Muscle & Nerve: Official Journal of the American Association of Electrodiagnostic Medicine.*
1988; 11 (2): 136-145.

[20] Heckman CJ, Enoka RM. Motor Unit. *Compr. Physiol.* 2012; 2 (4): 2629-2682.

[21] Manuel M, Chardon M, Tysseling V, Heckman CJ. Scaling of Motor Output, From Mouse to
Humans. *Physiology (Bethesda, Md.).* 2019; 34 (1): 5-13.

[22] Highlander MM, Allen JM, Elbasiouny SM. *Meta-analysis of biological variables' impact on spinal*
motoneuron electrophysiology data. American Physiological Society; 2020.

[23] Holobar A, Farina D. Blind source identification from the multichannel surface electromyogram.
Physiological measurement. 2014; 35 (7): R143-R165.

[24] Negro F, Muceli S, Castronovo AM, Holobar A, Farina D. Multi-channel intramuscular and surface
EMG decomposition by convolutive blind source separation. *Journal of neural engineering.* 2016; 13
(2): 026027.

[25] Oliveira AS, Negro F. NEURAL CONTROL OF MATCHED MOTOR UNITS DURING MUSCLE
SHORTENING AND LENGTHENING AT INCREASING VELOCITIES. *Journal of applied physiology (1985).*
2021; 130 (6): 1798-1813.

[26] Del Vecchio A, Casolo A, Negro F, Scorcelletti M, Bazzucchi I, Enoka R, et al. The increase in
muscle force after 4 weeks of strength training is mediated by adaptations in motor unit recruitment
and rate coding. *J Physiol.* 2019; 597 (7): 1873-1887.

[27] Del Vecchio A, Holobar A, Falla D, Felici F, Enoka RM, Farina D. Tutorial: analysis of motor unit
discharge characteristics from high-density surface EMG signals. *Journal of Electromyography and*
Kinesiology. 2020; 53 102426.

[28] Dideriksen JL, Farina D, Baekgaard M, Enoka RM. An integrative model of motor unit activity
during sustained submaximal contractions. *Journal of Applied Physiology.* 2010; 108 (6): 1550-1562.

[29] Fuglevand AJ, Winter DA, Patla AE. Models of recruitment and rate coding organization in
motor-unit pools. *Journal of neurophysiology.* 1993; 70 (6): 2470-2488.

[30] Cisi RRL, Kohn AF. Simulation system of spinal cord motor nuclei and associated nerves and
muscles, in a Web-based architecture. *Journal of computational neuroscience.* 2008; 25 (3): 520-542.

[31] Farina D, Negro F, Dideriksen JL. The effective neural drive to muscles is the common synaptic
input to motor neurons. *The Journal of physiology.* 2014; 592 (16): 3427-3441.

[32] Negro F, Farina D. Decorrelation of cortical inputs and motoneuron output. *Journal of
Neurophysiology.* 2011; 106 (5): 2688-2697.

[33] Watanabe RN, Magalhães FH, Elias LA, Chaud VM, Mello EM, Kohn AF. Influences of
premotoneuronal command statistics on the scaling of motor output variability during isometric
plantar flexion. *Journal of Neurophysiology.* 2013; 110 (11): 2592-2606.

[34] Dideriksen JL, Enoka RM, Farina D. Neuromuscular adjustments that constrain submaximal EMG
amplitude at task failure of sustained isometric contractions. *Journal of Applied Physiology.* 2011; 111
(2): 485-494.

[35] Röhrle O, Yavuz U, Klotz T, Negro F, Heidlauf T. Multiscale modeling of the neuromuscular
system: Coupling neurophysiology and skeletal muscle mechanics. *Wiley interdisciplinary reviews.
Systems biology and medicine.* 2019; 11 (6): e1457.

[36] Fohlmeister JF. A nerve model of greatly increased energy-efficiency and encoding flexibility
over the Hodgkin–Huxley model. *Brain research.* 2009; 1296 225-233.

[37] Bondarenko VE, Szigeti GP, Bett GC, Kim S, Rasmusson RL. Computer model of action potential of
mouse ventricular myocytes. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology.* 2004;
287 (3): H1378-H1403.

[38] Smit JE, Hanekom T, Hanekom JJ. Predicting action potential characteristics of human auditory
nerve fibres through modification of the Hodgkin-Huxley equations. *South African Journal of Science.*
2008; 104 (7): 284-292.

[39] Raikova R, Celichowski J, Angelova S, Krutki P. A model of the rat medial gastrocnemius muscle
based on inputs to motoneurons and on an algorithm for prediction of the motor unit force. *Journal
of neurophysiology.* 2018; 120 (4): 1973-1987.

[40] Binder MD, Powers RK, Heckman CJ. Nonlinear input-output functions of motoneurons.
Physiology. 2020; 35 (1): 31-39.

[41] Powers RK, Heckman CJ. Synaptic control of the shape of the motoneuron pool input-output
function. *Journal of neurophysiology.* 2017; 117 (3): 1171-1184.

[42] Caillet AH, Phillips AT, Farina D, Modenese L. Mathematical relationships between spinal
motoneuron properties. *eLife.* 2022; 11 e76489.

[43] Teeter C, Iyer R, Menon V, Gouwens N, Feng D, Berg J, et al. Generalized leaky integrate-and-fire
models classify multiple neuron types. *Nat. Commun.* 2018; 9 (1): 1-15.

[44] Burkitt AN. A review of the integrate-and-fire neuron model: I. Homogeneous synaptic input.
Biological cybernetics. 2006; 95 (1): 1-19.

[45] Izhikevich EM. Which model to use for cortical spiking neurons? *IEEE Transactions on Neural*
Networks. 2004; 15 (5): 1063-1070.

[46] Dong Y, Mihalas S, Russell A, Etienne-Cummings R, Niebur E. Estimating parameters of
generalized integrate-and-fire neurons from the maximum likelihood of spike trains. *Neural*
computation. 2011; 23 (11): 2833-2867.

[47] Negro F, Yavuz U, Farina D. The human motor neuron pools receive a dominant slow-varying
common synaptic input. *J. Physiol.* 2016; 594 (19): 5491-5505.

[48] Henneman E. Relation between Size of Neurons and Their Susceptibility to Discharge. *Science.*
1957; 126 (3287): 1345-1347.

[49] Henneman E, Somjen G, Carpenter DO. Excitability and inhibitibility of motoneurons of different
sizes. *J Neurophysiol.* 1965; 28 (3): 599-620.

[50] Henneman E, Somjen G, Carpenter DO. Functional significance of cell size in spinal motoneurons.
J Neurophysiol. 1965; 28 (3): 560-580.

[51] Henneman E, Clamann HP, Gillies JD, Skinner RD. Rank order of motoneurons within a pool: law
of combination. *J Neurophysiol.* 1974; 37 (6): 1338-1349.

[52] Henneman E. Recruitment of motoneurons : The size principle. *Motor Unit Types, Recruitment*
and Plasticity in Health and Disease. 1981; 26-60.

[53] Henneman E. The size-principle: a deterministic output emerges from a set of probabilistic
connections. *J. Exp. Biol.* 1985; 115 (1): 105-112.

[54] Hug F, Avrillon S, Del Vecchio A, Casolo A, Ibanez J, Nuccio S, et al. Analysis of motor unit spike
trains estimated from high-density surface electromyography is highly reliable across operators.
Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology. 2021; 58 102548.

[55] Hug F, Del Vecchio A, Avrillon S, Farina D, Tucker K. Muscles from the same muscle group do not
necessarily share common drive: evidence from the human triceps surae. *Journal of applied*
physiology. 2021; 130 (2): 342-354.

[56] De Luca CJ, Lefever RS, Mccue MP, Xenakis AP. Behaviour of human motor units in different
muscles during linearly varying contractions. *J. Physiol.* 1982; 329 (1): 113-128.

[57] Feinstein B, Lindegård B, Nyman E, Wohlfart G. Morphologic studies of motor units in normal
human muscles. *Cells Tissues Organs.* 1955; 23 (2): 127-142.

[58] Trojaborg W, Kaufmann P, Gooch CL. Motor unit estimate number in the anterior tibial muscle:
normative data versus findings in critically ill patients in intensive care units. *Journal of clinical*
neuromuscular disease. 2002; 3 (4): 139-142.

[59] Boe SG, Dalton BH, Harwood B, Doherty TJ, Rice CL. Inter-rater reliability of motor unit number
estimates and quantitative motor unit analysis in the tibialis anterior muscle. *Clinical*
neurophysiology. 2009; 120 (5): 947-952.

[60] Power GA, Dalton BH, Behm DG, Vandervoort AA, Doherty TJ, Rice CL. Motor unit number
estimates in masters runners: use it or lose it. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2010; 42 (9): 1644-1650.

[61] Piasecki M, Ireland A, Coulson J, Stashuk DW, Hamilton-Wright A, Swiecicka A, et al. Motor unit
number estimates and neuromuscular transmission in the tibialis anterior of master athletes:
evidence that athletic older people are not spared from age-related motor unit remodeling.
Physiological reports. 2016; 4 (19): e12987.

[62] Hourigan ML, McKinnon NB, Johnson M, Rice CL, Stashuk DW, Doherty TJ. Increased motor unit
potential shape variability across consecutive motor unit discharges in the tibialis anterior and vastus
medialis muscles of healthy older subjects. *Clinical Neurophysiology.* 2015; 126 (12): 2381-2389.

[63] McNeil CJ, Doherty TJ, Stashuk DW, Rice CL. Motor unit number estimates in the tibialis anterior
muscle of young, old, and very old men. *Muscle & Nerve: Official Journal of the American Association*
of Electrodiagnostic Medicine. 2005; 31 (4): 461-467.

[64] Van Cutsem M, Feiereisen P, Duchateau J, Hainaut K. Mechanical properties and behaviour of
motor units in the tibialis anterior during voluntary contractions. *Can. J. Appl. Physiol.* 1997; 22 (6):
585-597.

[65] Xiong GX, Zhang JW, Hong Y, Guan Y, Guan H. Motor unit number estimation of the tibialis
anterior muscle in spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* 2008; 46 (10): 696-702.

[66] Gooch CL, Doherty TJ, Chan KM, Bromberg MB, Lewis RA, Stashuk DW, et al. Motor unit number
estimation: a technology and literature review. *Muscle & nerve.* 2014; 50 (6): 884-893.

[67] Henriksson-Larsén KB, Lexell J, Sjöström M. Distribution of different fibre types in human
skeletal muscles. I. Method for the preparation and analysis of cross-sections of whole tibialis
anterior. *The Histochemical journal.* 1983; 15 (2): 167-178.

[68] Henriksson-Larsén K. Distribution, number and size of different types of fibres in whole
cross-sections of female m tibialis anterior. An enzyme histochemical study. *Acta Physiologica*
Scandinavica. 1985; 123 (3): 229-235.

[69] Christensen E. American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation. *American Journal of*
Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation. 1959; 38 (2): 65-78.

[70] Handsfield GG, Meyer CH, Hart JM, Abel MF, Blemker SS. Relationships of 35 lower limb muscles
to height and body mass quantified using MRI. *Journal of Biomechanics.* 2014; 47 (3): 631-638.

[71] Van Cutsem M, Duchateau J, Hainaut K. Changes in single motor unit behaviour contribute to the
increase in contraction speed after dynamic training in humans. *The Journal of physiology.* 1998; 513
(1): 295-305.

[72] Feiereisen P, Duchateau J, Hainaut K. Motor unit recruitment order during voluntary and
electrically induced contractions in the tibialis anterior. *Experimental brain research.* 1997; (114(1)):
117.123.

[73] Desmedt JE, Godaux E. Ballistic contractions in man: characteristic recruitment pattern of single
motor units of the tibialis anterior muscle. *The Journal of physiology.* 1977; 264 (3): 673-693.

[74] Andreassen S, Arendt-Nielsen L. Muscle fibre conduction velocity in motor units of the human
anterior tibial muscle: a new size principle parameter. *J. Physiol.* 1987; 391 (1): 561-571.

[75] Vander Linden DW, Kukulka CG, Soderberg GL. The effect of muscle length on motor unit
discharge characteristics in human tibialis anterior muscle. *Experimental brain research.* 1991; 84 (1):
210-218.

[76] Connelly DM, Rice CL, Roos MR, Vandervoort AA. Motor unit firing rates and contractile
properties in tibialis anterior of young and old men. *Journal of applied physiology.* 1999; 87 (2): 843-
852.

[77] Ankit R. *WebPlotDigitizer.* (4.4) Pacifica, California, USA: 2020.

[78] Garnett RAF, O'Donovan MJ, Stephens JA, Taylor A. Motor unit organization of human medial
gastrocnemius. *J. Physiol.* 1979; 287 (1): 33-43.

[79] Vieira TM, Loram ID, Muceli S, Merletti R, Farina D. Recruitment of motor units in the medial
gastrocnemius muscle during human quiet standing: is recruitment intermittent? What triggers
recruitment? *Journal of neurophysiology.* 2012; 107 (2): 666-676.

[80] Kallio J, Søgaard K, Avela J, Komi PV, Selänne H, Linnamo V. Motor unit firing behaviour of soleus
muscle in isometric and dynamic contractions. *PloS one.* 2013; 8 (2): e53425.

[81] Ballantyne BT, Kukulka CG, Soderberg GL. Motor unit recruitment in human medial
gastrocnemius muscle during combined knee flexion and plantarflexion isometric contractions.
Experimental brain research. 1993; 93 (3): 492-498.

[82] Héroux ME, Dakin CJ, Luu BL, Inglis JT, Blouin J. Absence of lateral gastrocnemius activity and
differential motor unit behavior in soleus and medial gastrocnemius during standing balance. *Journal*
of applied physiology. 2014; 116 (2): 140-148.

[83] Farina D, Negro F. Common synaptic input to motor neurons, motor unit synchronization, and
force control. *Exercise and sport sciences reviews.* 2015; 43 (1): 23-33.

[84] Krawitz S, Fedirchuk B, Dai Y, Jordan LM, McCrea DA. State-dependent hyperpolarization of
voltage threshold enhances motoneurone excitability during fictive locomotion in the cat. *The*
Journal of physiology. 2001; 532 (1): 271-281.

[85] Gustafsson, Pinter MJ. An investigation of threshold properties among cat spinal
alpha-motoneurones. *J. Physiol.* 1984; 357 (1): 453-483.

[86] Kernell D. Input Resistance, Electrical Excitability, and Size of Ventral Horn Cells in Cat Spinal
Cord. *Science.* 1966; 152 (3729): 1637-1640.

[87] Zengel JE, Reid SA, Sypert GW, Munson JB. Membrane electrical properties and prediction of
motor-unit type of medial gastrocnemius motoneurons in the cat. *J Neurophysiol.* 1985; 53 (5): 1323-
1344.

[88] Fleshman JW, Munson JB, Sypert GW, Friedman WA. Rheobase, input resistance, and motor-unit
type in medial gastrocnemius motoneurons in the cat. *J Neurophysiol.* 1981; 46 (6): 1326-1338.

[89] Bakels R, Kernell D. Matching between motoneurone and muscle unit properties in rat medial
gastrocnemius. *J. Physiol.* 1993; 463 (1): 307-324.

[90] Kernell D, Monster AW. Threshold current for repetitive impulse firing in motoneurones
innervating muscle fibres of different fatigue sensitivity in the cat. *Brain Res.* 1981; 229 (1): 193-196.

[91] Foehring RC, Sypert GW, Munson JB. Motor-unit properties following cross-reinnervation of cat
lateral gastrocnemius and soleus muscles with medial gastrocnemius nerve. II. Influence of muscle on
motoneurons. *J Neurophysiol.* 1987; 57 (4): 1227-1245.

[92] Gardiner PF. Physiological properties of motoneurons innervating different muscle unit types in
rat gastrocnemius. *J Neurophysiol.* 1993; 69 (4): 1160-1170.

[93] Caillet A, Phillips A, Farina D, Modenese L. Mathematical Relationships between Spinal
Motoneuron Properties. *bioRxiv.* 2021; .

[94] Lee RH, Heckman CJ. Bistability in Spinal Motoneurons In Vivo: Systematic Variations in
Persistent Inward Currents. *Journal of neurophysiology.* 1998; 80 (2): 583-593.

[95] Lee RH, Heckman CJ. Bistability in spinal motoneurons in vivo: systematic variations in rhythmic
firing patterns. *Journal of neurophysiology.* 1998; 80 (2): 572-582.

[96] Lloyd DG, Besier TF. An EMG-driven musculoskeletal model to estimate muscle forces and knee
joint moments in vivo. *Journal of Biomechanics.* 2003; 36 (6): 765-776.

[97] Seth A, Hicks JL, Uchida TK, Habib A, Dembia CL, Dunne JJ, et al. OpenSim: Simulating
musculoskeletal dynamics and neuromuscular control to study human and animal movement. *PLoS
computational biology.* 2018; 14 (7): e1006223.

[98] Rajagopal A, Dembia CL, DeMers MS, Delp DD, Hicks JL, Delp SL. Full-Body Musculoskeletal
Model for Muscle-Driven Simulation of Human Gait. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering.*
2016; 63 (10): 2068-2079.

[99] Hatze H. *Myocybernetic control models of skeletal muscle - characteristics and applications.* b
ed.: University of South Africa Press, Pretoria; 1980.

[100] Foehring RC, Sypert GW, Munson JB. Properties of self-reinnervated motor units of medial
gastrocnemius of cat. II. Axotomized motoneurons and time course of recovery. *J Neurophysiol.*
1986; 55 (5): 947-965.

[101] Munson JB, Foehring RC, Lofton SA, Zengel JE, Sypert GW. Plasticity of medial gastrocnemius
motor units following cordotomy in the cat. *Journal of neurophysiology.* 1986; 55 (6): 1454.

[102] Kernell D, Zwaagstra B. Dendrites of cat's spinal motoneurones: relationship between stem
diameter and predicted input conductance. *J. Physiol.* 1989; 413 (1): 255-269.

[103] Burke RE, Dum RP, Fleshman JW, Glenn LL, Lev-Tov A, O'Donovan MJ, et al. An HRP study of the
relation between cell size and motor unit type in cat ankle extensor motoneurons. *J. Comp. Neurol.*
1982; 209 (1): 17-28.

[104] Ulfhake, Kellerth JO. A quantitative light microscopic study of the dendrites of cat spinal α-
motoneurons after intracellular staining with horseradish peroxidase. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 1981; 202 (4):
571-583.

[105] Ulfhake B, Kellerth JO. Electrophysiological and morphological measurements in cat
gastrocnemius and soleus α-motoneurones. *Brain Res.* 1984; 307 (1): 167-179.

[106] Ulfhake B, Cullheim S. Postnatal development of cat hind limb motoneurons. III: Changes in size
of motoneurons supplying the triceps surae muscle. *Journal of comparative neurology (1911).* 1988;
278 (1): 103-120.

[107] Barrett JN, Crill WE. Specific membrane properties of cat motoneurones. *J. Physiol.* 1974; 239
(2): 301-324.

[108] Gustafsson, Pinter MJ. Relations among passive electrical properties of lumbar alpha-
motoneurones of the cat. *J. Physiol.* 1984; 356 (1): 401-431.

[109] De Luca CJ, Hostage EC. Relationship between firing rate and recruitment threshold of
motoneurons in voluntary isometric contractions. *Journal of neurophysiology.* 2010; 104 (2): 1034-
1046.

[110] Kapelner T, Sartori M, Negro F, Farina D. Neuro-musculoskeletal mapping for man-machine
interfacing. *Scientific reports.* 2020; 10 (1): 1-10.

[111] Thompson CK, Negro F, Johnson MD, Holmes MR, McPherson LM, Powers RK, et al. Robust and
accurate decoding of motoneuron behaviour and prediction of the resulting force output. *The
Journal of physiology.* 2018; 596 (14): 2643-2659.

[112] Huxley, Niedergerke. Structural changes in muscle during contraction: interference microscopy
of living muscle fibres. *Nature.* 1954; 173 (4412): 971-973.

[113] Huxley AF. Muscle structure and theories of contraction. *Prog.Biophys.Biophys.Chem.* 1957; 7
255-318.

[114] Hof AL, Van den Berg J. EMG to force processing I: An electrical analogue of the hill muscle
model. *Journal of biomechanics.* 1981; 14 (11): 747,755-753,758.

[115] Zajac Felix E. Muscle and Tendon: Properties, Models, Scaling, And Application To Biomechanics
And Motor Control. *Critical reviews in biomedical engineering.* 1989; 17 (4): 359-411.

[116] Sartori M, Yavuz U, Farina D. In Vivo Neuromechanics: Decoding Causal Motor Neuron Behavior
with Resulting Musculoskeletal Function. *Scientific reports.* 2017; 7 (1): 13465-14.

[117] Dick TJM, Biewener AA, Wakeling JM. Comparison of human gastrocnemius forces predicted by
Hill-type muscle models and estimated from ultrasound images. *Journal of experimental biology.*
2017; 220 (Pt 9): 1643-1653.

[118] Hatze H. A myocybernetic control model of skeletal muscle. *Biological cybernetics.* 1977; 25 (2):
103-119.

[119] Hussein M, Shebl S, Elnemr R, Elkaranshawy H. A New Muscle Activation Dynamics Model, That
Simulates the Calcium Kinetics and Incorporates the Role of Store-Operated Calcium Entry Channels,
to Enhance the Electromyography-Driven Hill-Type Models. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering.*
2021; 144 (1): 011002.

[120] Dorgan SJ, O'Malley MJ. A nonlinear mathematical model of electrically stimulated skeletal
muscle. *IEEE transactions on rehabilitation engineering.* 1997; 5 (2): 179-194.

[121] Song D, Raphael G, Lan N, Loeb GE. Computationally efficient models of neuromuscular
recruitment and mechanics. *Journal of neural engineering.* 2008; 5 (2): 175-184.

[122] Hamouda A, Kenney L, Howard D. Dealing with time-varying recruitment and length in Hill-type
muscle models. *Journal of biomechanics.* 2016; 49 (14): 3375-3380.

[123] Legreneur P, Morlon B, Van Hoecke J. Simulation of in situ soleus isometric force output as a
function of neural excitation. *Journal of biomechanics.* 1996; 29 (11): 1455-1462.

[124] Sartori M, Reggiani M, Farina D, Lloyd DG. EMG-Driven Forward-Dynamic Estimation of Muscle
Force and Joint Moment about Multiple Degrees of Freedom in the Human Lower Extremity. *PloS*
one. 2012; 7 (12): e52618.

[125] Pizzolato C, Lloyd DG, Sartori M, Ceseracciu E, Besier TF, Fregly BJ, et al. CEINMS: A toolbox to
investigate the influence of different neural control solutions on the prediction of muscle excitation
and joint moments during dynamic motor tasks. *Journal of Biomechanics.* 2015; 48 (14): 3929-3936.

[126] Fleshman JW, Segev I, Cullheim S, Burke RE. Matching electrophysiological with morphological
measurements in cat α-motoneurons. *Soc. Neurosci. Abstr,* ; 1983. pp. 431.

[127] Gentet L, Stuart GJ, Clements JD. Direct Measurement of Specific Membrane Capacitance in
Neurons. *Biophys. J.* 2000; 79 (1): 314-320.

[128] Kim H, Jones KE, Heckman CJ. Asymmetry in signal propagation between the soma and
dendrites plays a key role in determining dendritic excitability in motoneurons. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9 (8):
e95454.

1402