# A high-throughput yeast display approach to profile pathogen proteomes for MHC-II binding

Brooke D. Huisman[1,2], Zheng Dai[3,4], David K. Gifford[2,3,4], Michael E. Birnbaum[1,2,5,]*

[1] Koch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research, Cambridge, MA, USA
[2] Department of Biological Engineering, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA
[3] Computer Science and Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA
[4] Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA
[5] Ragon Institute of MIT, MGH, and Harvard, Cambridge, MA, USA

* Corresponding author: mbirnb@mit.edu

**Author contributions**
Conception of project: B.D.H. and M.E.B. Conducting experiments: B.D.H. Data analysis: B.D.H. and Z.D. Supervision of work: D.K.G. and M.E.B. Writing manuscript: B.D.H. and M.E.B. Editing manuscript: all authors.

**Competing Interest Statement**
D.K.G. is a founder of ThinkTx. M.E.B. is an equity holder in 3T Biosciences, and is a co-founder of Viralogic Therapeutics and Abata Therapeutics. The other authors declare no competing interests.

**Keywords:** yeast surface display, antigen prediction, peptide-MHC

**Abstract**
        T cells play a critical role in the adaptive immune response, recognizing peptide antigens presented on the cell surface by Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) proteins. While assessing peptides for MHC binding is an important component of probing these interactions, traditional assays for testing peptides of interest for MHC binding are limited in throughput. Here we present a yeast display-based platform for assessing the binding of tens of thousands of user-defined peptides in a high throughput manner. We apply this approach to assess a tiled library covering the SARS-CoV-2 proteome and four dengue virus serotypes for binding to human class II MHCs, including HLA-DR401, -DR402, and -DR404. This approach identifies binders missed by computational prediction, highlighting the potential for systemic computational errors given even state-of-the-art training data, and underlines design considerations for epitope identification experiments. This platform serves as a framework for examining relationships between viral conservation and MHC binding, and can be used to identify potentially high-interest peptide binders from viral proteins. These results demonstrate the utility of this approach for determining high-confidence peptide-MHC binding.

**Introduction**

Major histocompatibility complex (MHC) proteins play a critical role in adaptive immunity by presenting peptide fragments on the surface of cells. Peptide-MHCs (pMHCs) are then surveilled by T cells via their T cell receptors (TCRs), enabling immune cells to sense dysfunction, such as the presence of pathogen-derived peptides (Chaplin, 2010; Hennecke and Wiley, 2001). Class II MHC molecules (MHC-II) are expressed primarily on professional antigen presenting cells, and are recognized by antigen-specific CD4$^+$ T cells that drive the coordination of innate and adaptive immune responses (Chaplin, 2010; Swain et al., 2012). MHC-II molecules have an open peptide-binding groove, allowing for display of long peptides, consisting of a 9 amino acid 'core' flanked by a variable number of additional residues on each side (Jones et al., 2006).

Generating reliable and rapid data on peptide-MHC binding is beneficial for understanding the underlying biology of adaptive immunity and for clinical applications, including for optimized T cell epitopes in vaccine design (Dai et al., 2021; Keskin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; G. Liu et al., 2021; Moise et al., 2015; Ott et al., 2017; Patronov and Doytchinova, 2013; Rosati et al., 2021). In fact, therapeutics to generate antigen-specific T cell responses have shown great promise in cancer (Keskin et al., 2019; Ott et al., 2017) and infectious disease (Gambino et al., 2021). Since understanding peptide-MHC binding is critical for identifying and engineering T cell epitopes, there have been sustained efforts to produce high-quality experimental data and predictive algorithms.

Initial experimental methods for determining peptide binding to MHC relied upon the analysis of synthesized candidate peptides via MHC stability or functional assays, and can produce high-confidence data, but can be difficult to scale beyond a small number of candidate peptides (Altmann and Boyton, 2020; Justesen et al., 2009; Mateus et al., 2020; Sidney et al., 2010; Yin and Stern, 2014). More recently, mass spectrometry-based approaches have been demonstrated for determining the MHC-presented peptide repertoire of cells. These approaches include monoallelic mass spectrometry, which allows for the unambiguous assignment of presented peptides to a given MHC allele. However, mass spectrometry-based approaches are not necessarily quantitative measures of presented peptide affinity or abundance, although there have been advances in quantitation using internal standards (Stopfer et al., 2021, 2020). Additionally, the peptides endogenously expressed by a cell can crowd out exogenously examined peptides of interest, and mass spectrometry approaches typically require large numbers of input cells (Abelin et al., 2019, 2017; Parker et al., 2021; Purcell et al., 2019).

A wave of higher throughput approaches have been recently developed for studying peptide-MHC interactions, including yeast display (Jiang and Boder, 2010; R. Liu et al., 2021; Rappazzo et al., 2020) and mammalian display-based methods (Obermair et al., 2021). Several of these approaches circumvent the bottlenecks of synthesizing or identifying peptides by utilizing DNA-based inputs and outputs (Jiang and Boder, 2010; Obermair et al., 2021; Rappazzo et al., 2020). These assays rely upon libraries that are often generated via DNA oligonucleotide synthesis, and use peptide stabilization and surface expression (Jiang and Boder, 2010; R. Liu et al., 2021; Obermair et al., 2021) or peptide dissociation (Rappazzo et al., 2020) to assess peptide-MHC binding.

In addition to experimental advances, computational approaches for peptide-MHC
binding prediction have advanced markedly over the past decade. These developments are due
to algorithmic advances (O'Donnell et al., 2020; Racle et al., 2019; Reynisson et al., 2020; Zeng
and Gifford, 2019) and the availability of large, high-quality training data (Abelin et al., 2019,
2017; Rappazzo et al., 2020; Reynisson et al., 2020). However, despite the improvements in
predicting peptide binding to MHC in a broad sense, the predictive power for individual
peptides often remain imperfect relative to experimental measurements (Rappazzo et al., 2020;
Zhao and Sher, 2018).
Here we present a yeast display approach to directly assess peptide-MHC binding for
large collections of defined peptide antigens to screen whole viral proteomes for MHC-II
binding in high-throughput. We utilize this approach to screen the full proteome of SARS-CoV-2,
a present, global threat to public health, and identify SARS-CoV-2-derived MHC binders missed
by computational prediction. We additionally apply this approach to screen proteomes from
serotypes 1-4 of dengue viruses, in which antibody dependent enhancement results in more
severe disease upon second infection with a different dengue virus serotype (Guzman et al.,
2016), and thus represents a potential important application area for T cell-directed
therapeutics. Our approach enables exploration of peptide binding to MHCs in the context of
serotype-specific mutations, identifying homologous, pan-serotype regions of interest that are
capable of MHC binding and thus may represent desirable targets for immune interventions.
104
**Results**
*Generation of yeast display libraries for profiling the SARS-CoV-2 proteome*
Previous studies have reported the use of yeast-displayed MHC-II for characterizing
peptide-MHC and pMHC-TCR interactions (Birnbaum et al., 2017, 2014; Rappazzo et al., 2020).
We adapted MHC-II yeast display constructs (Rappazzo et al., 2020) to generate a defined
library of peptides that cover the SARS-CoV-2 proteome to assess them for MHC binding. To
compare SARS-CoV-2 with a related coronavirus, we also included peptides from the spike and
nucleocapsid proteins from SARS-CoV.
Each protein was windowed into peptides of 15 amino acids in length, with a step size of
1 to cover every possible 15mer peptide in the protein **(Figure 1a)**. Each peptide was encoded
in DNA and cloned in a pooled format into yeast vectors containing MHC-II proteins. The
generated library was linked to three MHC-II alleles: HLA-DR401 (HLA-DRA1*01:01, HLA-
DRB1*04:01), HLA-DR402 (HLA-DRA1*01:01, HLA-DRB1*04:02), and HLA-DR404 (HLA-
DRA1*01:01, HLA-DRB1*04:04). Yeast were formatted with a flexible linker connecting the
peptide and MHC, containing a 3C protease site and a Myc epitope tag, which can be used for
selections **(Figure 1a)** (Rappazzo et al., 2020). The final library contained 11,040 unique
peptides, with 99% of the designed peptides present in each cloned yeast library, as assessed
by next-generation sequencing.
123
*Strategies for selecting defined libraries*
To enrich for peptide binders, iterative selections were performed (**Figure 1a**): the
library is first incubated with competitor peptide and 3C protease, which cleaves the covalent
linkage between peptide and MHC, followed by the addition of HLA-DM at lower pH. These
conditions allow for the encoded peptide to be displaced from the peptide-binding groove. The

Myc epitope tag is proximal to the peptide, which can be identified via incubation with an anti-
epitope tag antibody followed by enrichment via magnetic bead selection if the yeast-
expressed peptide remains bound to the MHC after the peptide exchange reaction.
Three rounds of selection were iteratively performed. Representative enrichment of
yeast expressing Myc-tagged peptides can be seen in **Figure 1c** ("undoped library"), for the
library displayed by HLA-DR401. Here the pre-selection Myc-positive population starts at 29.3%
and quickly converges, with 65.0% positive in the pre-selection Round 2 population and 74.1%
in the pre-selection Round 3 population.
Given the rapid convergence of the library, we performed a second set of selections in
which we doped the defined library into a randomized, null library to enable a greater degree of
enrichment as compared to non-binding peptides. The null library was generated by fully
randomizing ten amino acids in the peptide region of the peptide-MHC-II construct while fixing
three amino acids to encode stop codons. This library provides a baseline population of yeast
which should not express pMHC, and therefore not enrich in our selections. We doped our
defined peptide library into a 500-fold excess of null library, such that each peptide member
was represented at approximately the same frequency (**Figure 1b**). The null library provides
baseline competition, which true binders must enrich beyond, and increases the stringency of
the enrichment task.
We performed four rounds of selection on the doped library. Because of the excess of
null yeast, the initial pre-selection stain is low (1.6%) compared to the initial undoped library
(**Figure 1c**). This staining enriched over the first three rounds of selection, reflective of the
stringency of the task and clarity of enrichment. This is in contrast to the initial undoped library,
which began with a much higher pre-selection stain, with a lower fold-change in staining over
rounds of selection. The low frequency of each member in the starting doped library, however,
increases the likelihood of stochastic dropout for any given member.
154
*Analysis of selection data*
After selections, peptide identities were determined through deep sequencing of
enriched yeast populations, providing us with a dataset comprised of positive enrichment over
four rounds of selection from the doped library and both positive and negative enrichment for
three rounds of selection from the undoped library (**Supplemental Data**). **Supplemental Figure
1** shows the correlation between defined library members on HLA-DR401. As expected, the
unselected library correlated poorly with post-selection rounds. Consistent with the observed
staining (**Figure 1c**), the doped library essentially converged after Round 3. Similarly, the
undoped library appears converged following Round 2.
Next, we established metrics for enrichment for each mode of selection. Given the high
starting frequency of members in the undoped library, we classify enrichment based on fold
change between Round 1 and Round 2, and we define criteria for enriched yeast in the
undoped library as making up a higher fraction of reads following Round 2 compared to Round
1. In contrast, in the doped library, members start at low frequencies, and we define
enrichment based on presence above a threshold in Round 3 of selection, specifically as having
greater than or equal to 10 reads following Round 3. **Figure 2b** illustrates the correspondence
between enrichment metrics in the doped and undoped library for the library on HLA-DR401.
Of the 11,040 peptides in the library, 2,467 enriched in both the doped and undoped libraries

displayed by HLA-DR401 (**Figure 2a**). An additional 1,252 enriched in the doped library only and
797 enriched in the undoped library only.
Because the library is designed with a step size of one, we next utilized overlap between
adjacent peptides to determine high-confidence binders. This analysis allows us to address the
potential that peptide sequences could register shift in such a way that invariant portions of the
linker sequences could inadvertently be incorporated into the peptide-binding groove. To do
this, we develop and implement a smoothing method, examining overlapping peptides for
shared enrichment behavior. Classically, the strongest determinant of peptide affinity for an
MHC is the nine amino acid stretch sitting within the peptide-binding groove (Jones et al., 2006;
Stern, 1994), although proximal peptide flanking residues can also affect binding (Lovitch et al.,
2006; O'Brien et al., 2008; Zavala-Ruiz et al., 2004). In our libraries, a given 9mer is present in
seven overlapping 15mer peptides, and we calculate how many of these seven 15mers have
enriched. This calculation is shown schematically in **Supplemental Figure 2a** with toy sequences
and applied to enrichment data for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid on HLA-DR401 in **Supplemental**
**Figure 2b**. Sequences with good 9mer cores should enrich along with neighboring sequences
with the same 9mer sequence. In contrast, sequences which enrich spuriously or due to linker
sequence in the peptide groove or other stochastic factors should have few neighbor sequences
also enriching. Thus, we define a cutoff for high confidence 9mer enrichment of five out of
seven 9mer-containing sequences enriching. This cutoff tolerates some stochastic dropout,
while still disallowing any cores that may solely enrich by register shifting the Gly-Ser linker
residues into the Position 9 pocket, which are favorable for each MHC allele in our study.
(Abelin et al., 2019; Rappazzo et al., 2020; Reynisson et al., 2020). Of the 2,467 peptides which
enriched in both the doped and undoped libraries for HLA-DR401, 1,791 also contain a 9mer
sequence which enriched in five or more peptides of the seven neighboring sequences
containing it (**Figure 2a**), with 676 peptides enriching in both doped and undoped libraries but
not containing a 9mer core enriched in five or more peptides, and 788 15mers containing a
9mer which enriched in five or more peptides but enriched in zero or one of the doped and
undoped libraries. These full relationships are captured in Venn diagrams in **Supplemental**
**Figure 3** for all three MHC alleles studied here.
202
*Sequence motifs of enriched peptides are consistent with known binders and highlight*
*considerations for designing epitope identification experiments*
To examine the 9mer core motifs of enriched peptides, we utilized a position weight
matrix method to infer the peptide register and generated visualizations of the 9mer cores
using Seq2Logo (Thomsen and Nielsen, 2012). **Figure 2c** shows a sequence logo of the aligned
9mer cores from the 2,467 15mer peptides which enriched on HLA-DR401 in both doped and
undoped libraries. The peptide motif is consistent with previously reported motifs for HLA-
DR401 (Abelin et al., 2019; Rappazzo et al., 2020): hydrophobic amino acids are preferred at P1,
acidic residues at P4, polar residues at P6, and small residues at P9. We also observe some
preference for glycine at P8 in the sequence logo, which is potentially an artifact of non-native
registers with linker at P8 and P9.
The other alleles used in the study, HLA-DR402 and HLA-DR404, have polymorphisms in
their peptide binding groove sequences as compared to HLA-DR401, which affect binding
preferences. HLA-DR401 differs from HLA-DR402 at four amino acids and from HLA-DR404 at

two amino acids, with all polymorphisms located in the beta chain. HLA-DR402 and HLA-DR404
share an amino acid distinct from HLA-DR401 affecting the P1 pocket (Gly86Val), resulting in a
preference for smaller hydrophobic residues (**Figure 3a**). Three polymorphisms in HLA-DR402
affect P4, P5, and P7 compared to HLA-DR401 (Leu67Ile, Gln70Asp, and Lys71Glu), while HLA-
DR404 has only one (Lys71Arg). Sequence logos for HLA-DR402 and HLA-DR404 are consistent
with previously reported motifs and MHC polymorphisms (**Supplemental Figure 4**). For HLA-
DR402, we observe less P4 preference compared to the motif of HLA-DR402 binders enriched
from a randomized yeast display peptide library (Rappazzo et al., 2020), albeit consistent with
mass spectrometry-generated motifs which also showed minimal P4 preference for HLA-DR402
(Abelin et al., 2019).
To explore differences between mass spectrometry, defined libraries, and random
libraries, and to probe the differing strengths of P4 peptide preference observed for HLA-DR402
between these modalities, we examined the compositions of randomized and defined libraries.
We hypothesized that skewed amino acid abundances in nature, which are reflected in the
defined library, could result in an apparent diminished amino acid preference. Indeed, three of
the most preferred P4 residues for binding HLA-DR402, Trp, His, and Met (Rappazzo et al.,
2020), are all low abundance in the SARS-CoV-2 proteome (Trp 1.1%, His 1.9%, Met 2.2%). In
comparison, a randomized peptide library for HLA-DR402 (Rappazzo et al., 2020) had a higher
representation of these amino acids (Trp 3.8%, His 2.9%, Met 3.8%). Additionally, the
randomized library had approximately nine thousand-fold more members than the defined
library, providing more instances of all amino acids. The low abundance and
underrepresentation of these amino acids likely underlies the apparent lack of amino acid
consensus at P4 in enriched peptides. Interestingly, Arg and Lys, which have also been reported
as preferred HLA-DR402 P4 residues, are more abundant than Trp, His, and Met in the SARS-
CoV-2 proteome (Arg 3.4% and Lys 5.9%; compare to Arg 9.7%, Lys 4.0% in the random library),
but still show less representation at P4 in the defined library enriched peptides compared to
the random library-enriched peptides. These differences in motifs between randomized and
defined libraries highlight the utility of randomized libraries for downstream applications such
as training prediction algorithms. Approaches influenced by amino acid abundance in nature,
such as defined libraries and mass spectrometry approaches, could inadvertently bias against
possible binders because of absence of amino acids in their null distribution, rather than true
binding preference.
Next, we wanted to examine the distribution of peptides among the possible 9mer
registers along each 15 amino acid sequence. Based on our register inference, of the 2,467
enriched peptides from the HLA-DR401 library, 1,610 peptides bound native 9mer cores
without using any linker sequence residues in the 9mer core, which is consistent with
theoretical ratios of possible native and non-native cores for a given 9mer (**Supplemental
Data**). The peptides with predicted native 9mer cores were approximately equally distributed
between possible registers, with the exception of the N-terminal register, which had one-third
fewer peptides. This register had only a single N-terminal flanking residue (a fixed Ala), which is
likely disfavored.
Because the library was designed with step size of one, many of the 9mer cores will be
repeated among neighboring peptides. Of the 1,610 HLA-DR401 peptides which enriched using
a native 9mer core, there are 563 unique 9mer cores identified through register-inference.

**Table 1** summarizes enrichment for each protein included in the library, highlighting the number of 15mers which enriched in both the doped and undoped libraries, the number of unique native 9mer cores, and the number of 15mers containing a 9mer enriched in at least five of seven overlapping peptides.

*Examining relationships between MHC-specific binding and spike proteins from SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV*

To further explore relationships between the MHCs studied here and their virally-derived peptide repertoires, we compared the binding of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV spike proteins to all three MHC alleles. Sequence alignment of these three MHC alleles is shown in **Figure 3a**, with polymorphic regions highlighted on an HLA-DR401 structure (adapted from PDB 1J8H). Interplay between viral conservation and binding are illustrated in **Figure 3b**, highlighting conserved regions of the proteome in black and binders to each allele in grey, red, and blue. Regions are highlighted where sequences enrich in overlapping peptides; that is, for each 9 amino acid stretch along the proteome, we calculated how many of the seven 15mer peptides enrich in the yeast display assay, and if a 9mer enriched five or more times, it is marked as a hit. Specific examples of these relationships are probed in **Figure 3c, d, and e**, where individually enriched 15mer sequences are represented as horizontal lines above 15mer stretches in the proteome. Bolded 9mers are identified through register inference as consensus binding cores for these peptides. Only 15mers which contain the bolded 9mer are included in this representation. Non-conserved amino acids within this 9mer are highlighted in yellow.

**Figure 3c** illustrates a region that is not conserved between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, where the SARS-CoV-2 peptides containing the core IYQAGSTPC are enriched for binding to all three MHCs, but mutations, including at both P1 and P4 to Proline, discourage binding of the aligned SARS-CoV peptide. **Figure 3e** illustrates a core that is conserved between SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, which can bind only to HLA-DR401, but not to HLA-DR402 or HLA-DR404, likely due to the size of the P1 hydrophobic residue and, for HLA-DR402, the acidic P4 residue. **Figure 3d** illustrates relationships between both viral conservation and MHC preference. In **Figure 3d**, the SARS-CoV peptides containing the core IKNQCVNFN can bind to all three alleles. However, the aligned SARS-CoV-2 peptides containing the core VKNKCVNFN do not bind to HLA-DR401, likely because of the less preferable P1 Valine and basic P4 Lysine, but can bind to HLA-DR402, which prefers these residues. These peptides can bind to HLA-DR404, although only four of the adjacent peptides containing this core enrich, which is below the cutoff of five or more, and since no other adjacent peptides enriched, this would not have been classified as a binder (reflected in **Figure 3b**). This marginal, but below-threshold binding is logical, given that the P4 pocket for HLA-DR404 is similar to HLA-DR401, which does not prefer P4 Lysine, but HLA-DR404 has the same P1 binding pocket as HLA-DR402, which both prefer the P1 Valine in the SARS-CoV-2 peptide.

*Identifying peptide binders missed by computational prediction*

Next, we compared our direct experimental assessments with results from computational MHC binding predictions. Prediction algorithms allow for rapid computational screening of potential peptide binders (Abelin et al., 2019; Reynisson et al., 2020), although they can contain systemic biases (Rappazzo et al., 2020). To test the outputs of our direct

assessment approach and computational prediction algorithms, we assessed binding of several
peptides using a fluorescence polarization competition assay to determine $IC_{50}$ values, as
described previously (Rappazzo et al., 2020; Yin and Stern, 2014). Yeast-formatted peptides
(Ala+15mer+Gly+Gly+Ser) from SARS-CoV-2 spike protein were run through NetMHCIIpan4.0
for binding to HLA-DR401, with binders defined as having ≤ 10% Rank (Eluted Ligand mode).
Yeast display binders to HLA-DR401 were defined via the stringent criteria of 1) enriching in
both in doped and undoped selections, and 2) containing a 9mer that enriched in five or more
of the overlapping seven 15mers. 15mers were selected such that they could contain a
maximum overlap of 8 amino acids with other selected peptides, to avoid selecting peptides
with redundant 9mer cores. An length-matched version of the commonly studied Influenza A
$HA_{306-318}$ peptide (APKYVKQNTLKLATG) known to bind HLA-DR401 (Hennecke and Wiley, 2002;
Rappazzo et al., 2020) was included as a positive control, along with sequences that yeast
display and NetMHCIIpan4.0 both classified as either binders or non-binders. **Supplemental**
**Figure 5** shows a comparison of yeast-enriched and NetMHCpan4.0 predicted binders, with
boxed sequences selected for testing by fluorescence polarization.
The resulting fluorescence polarization $IC_{50}$ data from the native 15mer peptides are
shown in **Table 2** and **Supplemental Figure 6**. Peptides which both enriched in yeast display and
were predicted by NetMHCIIpan4.0 to bind ('Agreed Binders') all showed $IC_{50}$ values consistent
with binding, each with $IC_{50}$ < 2.2 µM. Similarly, peptides which were agreed non-binders
showed no affinity for HLA-DR401, with $IC_{50}$ > 50 µM.
All 8 'Yeast-Enriched Binders', which enriched in the yeast display assay but were not
predicted to bind via NetMHCIIpan4.0, showed some degree of binding, with $IC_{50}$ values
distributed from 14 nM (higher affinity than the HA control peptide) to 18 µM (weak, but
measurable, binding). Retrospectively, the weakest two binders appear to be enriching in the
yeast display assay using the peptide linker or have a binding core offset from center.
Interestingly, NetMHCIIpan4.0 predictions on the peptides identified via yeast display proved
highly sensitive to the length or content of the flanking sequences: if we repeat predictions on
only the antigen-derived 15mer sequences without the flanking sequences, NetMHCIIpan4.0
recovers four of its former false negative peptides (**Table 3**; peptides listed at the top in each
section of the table). We will refer to these four peptides as 'flank-sensitive centered peptides',
as they each have the consensus 9mer core centered in the peptide.
To further investigate the relationship with flanking residues, we selected five additional
peptides ('offset peptides') matching three criteria; these offset peptides were 1) enriched in
the yeast display assay, 2) share an overlapping core with the four flank-sensitive centered
peptides, but are 3) not predicted by NetMHCIIpan4.0 to be binders (either with or without
invariant flanking sequence added). All five offset peptides have their predicted cores offset by
1-2 amino acids from center, leaving at minimum 1 amino acid on both ends of the 9mer core
for each peptide. All five offset peptides exhibit some binding, with $IC_{50}$ values below 13 µM.
Each peptide is lower affinity than its overlapping centered counterpart, illustrating effects of
flanking residues on peptide binding, although some over-estimation of these effects in
NetMHCIIpan4.0 predictions are present.
We tested three 'NetMHC-Predicted Binders', which were predicted to bind by
NetMHCIIpan4.0, but were not enriched (nor did any neighboring sequences within an offset of
4 amino acids) in the yeast display assay (**Table 2**). Of these, one bound to HLA-DR401 ($IC_{50}$ 475

nM), while two showed minimal binding with IC50 > 35 μM, which is above the maximum 20
μM concentration tested. All three were predicted by NetMHCIIpan4.0 to bind with or without
the invariant flanking sequences (Eluted ligand mode % Rank: 5.7, 4.1, 8.7 (with flanking
residues) and 2.3, 0.6, 7.0 (without flanking residues), for ELDKYFKNHTSPDVD,
LQSYGFQPTNGVGYQ, and KTQSLLIVNNATNVV, respectively).
Of the eight 'Yeast-Enriched Binders' in **Table 2**, six contain cysteine residues, which
have been shown to be systematically absent from other datasets, including those from mono-
allelic mass spectrometry (Abelin et al., 2019; Barra et al., 2018), yet present in yeast display-
derived datasets (Rappazzo et al., 2020). To test for non-specific binding due to cysteine, two
cysteine-containing 'Agreed Non-Binders' were also tested and showed no affinity for HLA-
DR401, suggesting that cysteine itself is not causing non-specific binding. In the fluorescence
polarization dataset, the highest affinity binder (14 nM) contained cysteine and was missed by
NetMHCIIpan4.0 predictions (Eluted ligand mode % Rank: 71 (with flanking residues) and 28
(without flanking residues)).
The relationship between measured $IC_{50}$ values and NetMHCIIpan4.0 predicted values
for all 15mer SARS-CoV-2 spike peptides tested is shown in **Figure 4** and **Supplemental Figure 7**.
365
*Comparing whole dengue serotype proteomes for common MHC-binding peptides*
Defined yeast display libraries can generate data for diverse objectives. Dengue viruses
typically cause most severe disease after a second infection with a serotype different from the
first infection, due to antibody dependent enhancement (Guzman et al., 2016), which makes T
cell-directed therapeutics a potentially attractive means of combatting disease. To profile and
compare MHC binding across serotypes, we generated libraries containing 12,672 dengue-
derived peptides, covering the entire proteomes of dengue serotypes 1-4. These libraries were
on HLA-DR401 and HLA-DR402 and had coverage of 98% and 96% of the dengue library
members after construction, respectively.
Peptides from homologous regions of the four dengue serotypes have different MHC
binding ability, as illustrated in **Figure 5a** for binding to HLA-DR401. The proteins encoded in the
dengue genome are indicated along the horizontal axis (C: capsid; M: membrane; E: envelope;
NS: nonstructural proteins). Peptides that enriched in the yeast display assay are marked by a
line (serotype 1 in blue, serotype 2 in purple, serotype 3 in red, and serotype 4 in grey). The
proteome is smoothed to 9 amino acid stretches (as in **Figure 3b**), with a given 9 amino acid
region marked as a hit if five or more of the seven adjacent peptides enrich. For each 9mer, the
maximum number of serotypes with a conserved identical 9mer at that position is indicated at
the top in black.
These data can reveal relationships between conservation and binding ability. **Figure 5b-**
**d** shows enrichment data for individual 15mer peptides, with consensus inferred 9mer cores in
bold and non-conserved amino acids in these cores highlighted in yellow, as in **Figure 3c-e**.
Conserved cores which show binding ability (**Figure 5c**) may be ideal T cell targets. However,
the permissiveness of the binding groove allows for peptides to bind that have mutations at the
anchors, such as in NS5 (**Figure 5d**), where P4 Asn and P4 Met both allow binding. Interestingly,
the serotype 3 core (LASNAICSA) only enriched in four peptides, which is below our described
cutoff for high-confidence peptide cores. However, three adjacent peptides enriched and
register-inference for these peptides identifies the non-native, linker-containing version of the

LASNAICSA core as binding in the MHC-binding groove. This results in an adjacent 9mer being
highlighted as a binder in this region (**Figure 5a**) because overlapping 15mers enrich in five or
more of the seven adjacent peptides. With this in mind, care must be taken for core
identification in enriched regions and can be aided by coupling enrichment with register-
inference of enriched peptides. Further, we can also see relationships between conservation
and binding in non-conserved regions, such as in the envelope protein (**Figure 5b**) with the
mutations in serotype 3 enabling binding.
400
**Discussion**
CD4$^+$ T cell responses play important roles in infection, autoimmunity, and cancer. By
extension, understanding peptide-MHC binding is critical for identifying and engineering T cell
epitopes. Here we present an approach to directly assess defined libraries of peptides covering
whole pathogen proteomes for binding to MHC-II proteins. We examine alternative modes of
selection and utilize overlapping peptides to determine high-confidence binders. We
demonstrate the utility of this approach by identifying binders that are missed by prediction
algorithms, highlighting a prediction algorithm bias against cysteine-containing peptides and
sensitivity to peptide flanking residues (**Table 2** and **Table 3**). Finally, this approach can be
utilized for different objectives, including comparing binding to multiple MHC alleles (**Figure 3**)
or comparing peptides from related pathogen sequences for MHC-II binding (**Figure 5**). Whole
protein- or proteome-scale analysis across related viruses provides insight into relationships
between conserved epitopes and MHC binding (**Figure 3b, 5a**) and specific examples validate
the consistency with the underlying biophysics of peptide-MHC binding (**Figures 3c-e** and **5b-d**).
This approach for direct assessment shows benefit compared to prediction algorithms
for identifying binders, particularly for finding weak peptide binders. The overlapping peptides
in our library were useful for identifying enriched cores, especially when combined with our
register inference to identify consensus cores shared between these overlapping peptides.
NetMHCIIpan4.0 exhibits a sensitivity to length and register, which may cause users to miss
binders, albeit potentially of lower affinity. Of the overlapping peptides we tested to study this
phenomenon, NetMHCIIpan4.0 correctly ranked the affinities of the overlapping peptides
(**Table 3**), but missed binders. **Supplemental Figure 5** also highlights the sensitivity of
NetMHCIIpan4.0 to flanking sequences, where neighboring peptides with shared cores often
are not predicted to bind, resulting in fewer clusters of peptides in **Supplemental Figure 5**.
Our work reveals insights on the design of epitope identification experiments, including
the utility of overlapping peptides and considerations for comparing libraries of unbiased and
proteome-derived peptides. Design of defined libraries with sources of redundancy, such as
overlapping peptides, was critical for determining binders with higher degrees of confidence
and allowed us to apply stringent cutoffs for individual peptides. Overlapping peptides allowed
us to account for construct-specific confounding effects, such as the peptides binding using
non-native residues in the linker. Future iterations can change the sequence of the linker, such
as defining favorable P(-1) and P10 anchors to fix the register (Rappazzo et al., 2020), although
these adaptations would likely require MHC-specific knowledge in advance and may need to be
altered for different MHCs. Additionally, the engineered redundancy and multiple modes of
selection result in hyperparameters that can be tuned to meet users' stringency requirements,
such as defining different thresholds for calling individual 15mer binders or alternative

integration of overlapping binders. Additionally, our comparison of unbiased and proteome-
derived libraries highlights how aggregate motifs may be affected by underlying amino acid
preferences found in protein sequences themselves, which may inadvertently disfavor
sequences that can bind strongly to MHC molecules yet consist of amino acid covariates that
are not as commonly found in proteins.
Further, this approach can be used to study MHC binding between similar viruses, as
done with the dengue proteomes and the spike proteins from SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV,
highlighting regions where mutations disrupt binding as well as regions where binding is
unperturbed. This method can also be rapidly adapted to study future sequences if pathogens
evolve over time.
As experimental approaches and computational approaches continue to co-develop,
they present complementary benefits. Though this platform allows for rapid assessment of
peptide-MHC binding, the speed of computational prediction surpasses experimental
approaches. NetMHCIIpan4.0 prediction and yeast display selections identified sets of non-
overlapping misses, highlighting a utility for both. Additionally, all agreed binders and non-
binders matched fluorescence polarization results, suggesting a consensus of yeast display
enrichment and algorithmic prediction provide high-confidence results. Approaches such as
yeast display assessment can be used to complement computational approaches, such as for
identifying cysteine-containing peptides which are still under-predicted by algorithms. Similarly,
prediction algorithms can be trained using large, quality datasets to account for biases. In
another application, our platform to assess peptide-MHC binding can be used to design high-
throughput assays to test peptide immunogenicity in clinical samples (Klinger et al., 2015;
Snyder et al., 2020).
Defined yeast display peptide libraries can also be readily applied to identification of T
cell ligands and present an opportunity for identifying unknown ligands from orphan TCRs
known to respond to a proteome of interest (Birnbaum et al., 2014; Gee et al., 2018). Indeed, as
DNA synthesis and sequencing continue to advance, defined peptide libraries expanding
beyond viral proteomes to covering whole bacterial or human proteomes will be possible, and
could present opportunities for investigating autoimmune diseases, which frequently have
strong MHC-II associations (Karnes et al., 2017). Such tools would be rich resources for
identifying both peptide-MHC binders and TCR ligands.

**Methods**

*Library design and creation*

Yeast display libraries were designed to cover all 15mer sequences within a given proteome, with step size one. Reference proteomes used in creating defined libraries were accessed from Uniprot, with the following Proteome IDs. SARS-CoV-2: UP000464024, SARS-CoV: UP000000354, dengue serotype 1: UP000002500, dengue serotype 2: UP000180751, dengue serotype 3: UP000007200, dengue serotype 4: UP00000275. The dengue proteome is expressed as a single polypeptide, and peptides were generated from that contiguous stretch.

Each library peptide is encoded in DNA space, with specific codons selected randomly from possible codons, with probabilities matching yeast codon usage (GenScript Codon Usage Frequency Table). The DNA-encoded peptide sequences were flanked by invariant sequences from the yeast construct for handles in amplification and cloning, and the DNA oligonucleotide sequences were ordered from Twist Bioscience (South San Francisco, CA), with maximum length of 120 nucleotides. The DNA oligo pool was amplified in low cycle PCR, followed by amplification with construct DNA using overlap extension PCR. This extended product was assembled in yeast with linearized pYal vector at a 5:1 insert:vector via electroporation with electrocompetent RJY100 yeast.

HLA-DR401 and HLA-DR402 libraries were generated using previously described vectors (Rappazzo et al., 2020) which contain mutations from wild type Metα36Leu, Valα132Met, Hisβ33Asn, and Aspβ43Glu to enable proper folding without disrupting TCR or peptide contact residues (Birnbaum et al., 2017). HLA-DR404 was generated using the same stabilizing mutations. As previously described (Rappazzo et al., 2020), the peptide C-terminus is connected to the MHC construct via a Gly-Ser linker (**Figure 1a**), and the N-terminus of the peptide includes an extra alanine to ensure consistent cleavage between the construct and its signal peptide.

The previously described null library (Dai et al., 2021) was generated with a peptide encoded as "NNNTAANNNNNNNNNTAGNNNNNNNNNNNNNTGANNNNNN", where "N" indicates any nucleotide and encodes ten random amino acids and three stop codons. This library was similarly generated in yeast using electrocompetent RJY100 yeast.

*Peptide visualizations and predictions*

Data visualizations of viral conservation and enrichment were generated using custom scripts. For each 9mer stretch in a protein of interest, there are seven 15mer sequences that overlap and contain that 9mer. We calculate how many of these seven 15mers enriched in both the doped and undoped libraries. If five or more of the seven 15mers enriched, that stretch is marked as a 'hit'. To examine conservation between viruses, viral proteins are aligned using ClustalOmega (Madeira et al., 2019). Aligned 9mer stretches are compared between viruses and identical stretches are considered conserved. Hits are determined individually for each virus before merging, such that gaps in sequence alignments do not affect calculations of enrichment for a given virus.

Representations of 15mer hits (as in **Figure 3**, **Figure 5** and **Supplemental Figure 5**) were generated using in-house scripts, such that a 15mer that enriched in both the doped and undoped library was marked as a horizontal line above the relevant 15mer sequence. Only 15mers containing the bolded 9mer in **Figure 3** and **Figure 5** were included.

512     NetMHCIIpan4.0 webserver was used for computational predictions (Reynisson et al.,
513 2020), where a binder is defined as having a predicted percent rank ≤ 10%, as defined in the
514 webserver instructions.
515
*Yeast library selections*
Library selections were consistent with previous peptide-MHC-II yeast display
dissociation studies (Dai et al., 2021; Rappazzo et al., 2020). Yeast were washed into pH 7.2 PBS
with 1 µM 3C protease and incubated at room temperature for 45 minutes. Yeast were then
washed into 4 °C acid saline (150mM NaCl, 20mM citric acid, pH5) with 1 µM HLA-DM and
incubated at 4 °C overnight. Each step takes place in the presence of competitor peptide (HLA-
DR401: $HA_{306-318}$ PKYVKQNTLKLAT, 1 µM; HLA-DR402: $CD48_{36-51}$ FDQKIVEWDSRKSKYF, 5 µM;
HLA-DR404: NKVKSLRILNTRRKL, 5 µM (Vita et al., 2019)). Non-specific binders are removed by
incubating yeast with anti-AlexaFluor647 magnetic beads and flowed over a magnetic Milltenyi
column at 4 °C. A positive selection follows, comprised of incubation with anti-Myc-
AlexaFluor647 antibody (1:100 volume:volume) and anti-AlexaFluor647 magnetic beads (1:10
volume:volume) and flowed over a Milltenyi column on a magnet at 4 °C, such that yeast with
bound peptide are retained on the column. These yeast are eluted, grown to confluence in at
30 °C in SDCAA media (pH 5), and sub-cultured in at 20 °C SGCAA media (pH 5) at OD600=1 for
two days. The first round of selections of doped libraries were conducted on 180 million yeast
(SARS-CoV-2 library) or 400 million yeast (dengue library) to ensure at least 20-fold coverage or
peptides. Subsequent rounds of doped library selection, and all rounds of undoped library
selections, were performed on 20-25 million yeast.
534
*Library sequencing and analysis*
Libraries were deep sequenced to determine their composition after each round of
selection. Plasmid DNA was extracted from ten million yeast from each round of selection using
the Zymoprep Yeast Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research), following manufacturer instructions.
Amplicons were generated through PCR, covering the peptide sequence through the 3C cut site.
A second PCR round was performed to add i5 and i7 sequencing handles and in-line index
barcodes unique to each round of selection. Amplicons were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq
using paired-end MiSeq v2 300bp kits at the MIT BioMicroCenter.
Paired-end reads were assembled using PandaSeq (Masella et al., 2012). Peptide
sequences were extracted by identifying correctly encoded flanking regions, and were filtered
to ensure they matched designed members of the library or the randomized null construct
encoding, providing a stringent threshold for contamination and PCR and read errors.
The resulting data are analyzed for convergence, as described in the main text. Once a
library has converged, it is likely that changes in subsequent rounds of selection are due to
stochastic variation rather than improved binding.
550
*Register inference and sequence logos*
The 9mer core of enriched sequences was inferred using an in-house alignment
algorithm. In this approach, we utilize a 9mer position weight matrix (PWM), which we assess
at different offsets along the peptide. We one-hot encode sequences and pad with zeros on the
C-terminus of the peptide; to assess seven native registers and four non-native registers, we

pad the peptides with four zeros. Three of the non-native registers utilize the linker at the P9
anchor but not the P6 anchor, and the addition of a fourth register captures a minority set of
peptides which utilize Gly-Gly-Ser-Gly of the linker at P6 through P9 in the groove. Register-
setting is performed with zero-padded 15mers, rather than 15mers flanked by invariant
flanking residues, because the PWM would otherwise align all sequences to the invariant
region.
At the start, we randomly assign peptides to registers and generate a 9mer PWM. Over
subsequent iterations, peptides are assigned to new registers and the PWM was updated.
Assignments are random but biased, such that clusters corresponding to registers that match
the PWM are favored. Specifically, at each assignment we first take out the sequence under
consideration from the PWM. The PWM then defines an energy value for each register shift of a
given peptide, which is then used to generate a Boltzmann distribution from which we sample
the updated register shift. The stochasticity is decreased over time by raising the inverse
temperature linearly from 0.05 to 1 over 60 iterations, simulating 'cooling' (Andreatta et al.,
2017). A final deterministic iteration was carried out, where the distribution concentrates
entirely on the optimal register shift.
After register inference, sequence logo visualizations of the 9mer cores were generated
using Seq2Logo-2.0 with default settings, except using background frequencies from the SARS-
CoV-2 proteome and SARS-CoV spike and nucleocapsid proteins (Thomsen and Nielsen, 2012).
For registers with the C-terminus utilizing the C-terminal linker, the relevant linker sequence
was added to achieve a full 9mer sequence for visualizing the full 9mer core. For HLA-DR401,
distribution among registers, starting from N-terminally to C-terminally aligned in the peptide,
is: 161, 237, 227, 238, 231, 279, 237, 266, 271, 202, 118.
579
*Recombinant protein expression*
HLA-DM and HLA-DR401 were expressed recombinantly in High Five insect cells (Thermo
Fisher) using a baculovirus expression system, as previously described (Birnbaum et al., 2014;
Rappazzo et al., 2020). Ectodomain sequences of each chain were formatted with a C-terminal
poly-histidine purification tag and cloned into pAcGP67a vectors. Each vector was individually
transfected into SF9 insect cells (Thermo Fisher) with BestBac 2.0 linearized baculovirus DNA
(Expression Systems; Davis, CA) and Cellfectin II Reagent (Thermo Fisher), and propagated to
high titer. Viruses were co-titrated for optimal expression to maximize balanced MHC
heterodimer formation, co-transduced into Hi5 cells, and grown for 48-72 hours at 27 °C. The
secreted protein was purified from pre-conditioned media supernatant with Ni-NTA resin and
purified via size exclusion chromatography with a S200 increase column on an AKTA PURE FPLC
(GE Healthcare). To improve protein yields, the HLA-DRB1*04:01 chain was expressed with a
CLIP$_{87-101}$ peptide (PVSKMRMATPLLMQA) connected to the N-terminus of the MHC chain via a
flexible, 3C protease-cleavable linker.
594
*Fluorescence polarization experiments for peptide IC$_{50}$ determination*
Peptide IC$_{50}$ values were determined following a protocol modified from Yin & Stern (Yin
and Stern, 2014), as in Rappazzo et al (Rappazzo et al., 2020).  In the assay, recombinantly
expressed HLA-DR401 is incubated with fluorescently labelled modified HA$_{306-318}$ (APRFV{Lys(5,6
FAM)}QNTLRLATG) peptide and a titration series for each unlabeled competitor peptide is

added (1.28 nM – 20 uM). A change in polarization value resulting from displacement of

fluorescent peptide from the binding groove is used to determine $IC_{50}$ values.

602           Relative binding at each concentration is calculated as $(FP_{sample} - FP_{free})/(FP_{no\_comp} - $

$FP_{free})$. Here, $FP_{free}$ is the polarization value for the fluorescent peptide alone with no added

MHC, $FP_{no\_comp}$ is polarization value for MHC with no competitor peptide added, and $FP_{sample}$ is

the polarization value with both MHC and competitor peptide added. Relative binding curves

were then generated and fit in Prism 9.3 to the equation $y = 1/(1+[pep]/IC_{50})$, where [pep] is the

concentration of un-labelled competitor peptide, in order to determine the concentration of

half-maximal inhibition, the $IC_{50}$ value.

609           Each assay was performed at 200 uL, with 100 nM recombinant MHC, 25 nM fluorescent

peptide, and competitor peptide (GenScript). This mixture co-incubates in pH 5 binding buffer

at 37 °C for 72 hours in black flat bottom 96-well plates. Competitor peptide concentrations

ranged from 1.28 nM to 20 μM, as a five-fold dilution series. Three replicates are performed for

each peptide concentration. Fluorescent peptide-only, no competitor peptide, and binding

buffer controls were also included. Our MHC was expressed with a linked CLIP peptide, so prior

to co-incubation, the peptide linker is cleaved by addition of 3C protease at 1:10 molar ratio at

room temperature for one hour; the residual cleaved 100 nM CLIP peptide is not expected to

alter peptide binding measurements.

618           Measurements were taken on a Molecular Devices SpectraMax M5 instrument. G-value

was 1.1 for each plate, as calculated per manufacturer instructions for each plate based on

fluorescent peptide-only wells minus buffer blank wells, with 35 mP reference for 5,6FAM

(Fluorescein setting). Measurements were made with 470 nm excitation and 520 nm emission,

10 flashes per read, and default PMT gain high.

**Data Availability**

All deep sequencing data are deposited on the Sequence Read Archive (SRA), with accession

codes PRJNA806475 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA806475] and

PRJNA708266 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA708266]

**Code Availability**

Scripts used for data processing and visualization are publicly available at

https://github.com/birnbaumlab/Huisman-et-al-2022.

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank Patrick Holec for feedback on this manuscript, and the MIT BioMicro

Center for library sequencing. This work was supported in part by the Koch Institute Support

(core) Grant P30-CA14051 from the National Cancer Institute. This work was supported by

National Institute of Health (U19-AI110495), the Packard Foundation to M.E.B., a Schmidt

Futures grant to D.K.G. and M.E.B., and a National Science Foundation Graduate Research

Fellowship to B.D.H.

**Figures**

**Figure 1. Overview of library and selections. a)** The defined library contains pathogen
proteome peptides (length 15, sliding window 1). Poor binding peptides are displaced with
addition of protease, competitor peptide, and HLA-DM. **b)** Schematic of doped and undoped
libraries: in the doped selection strategy, the library is added to a library of null, non-expressing
constructs. **c)** Representative flow plots showing enrichment of MHC-expressing yeast over
rounds of selection for the library containing SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV peptides on HLA-
DR401.

649
**Figure 2. Output of selections and analysis of selection data. a)** Overview of filtering peptides
and correspondence between selection strategies for SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 library on HLA-
DR401. Peptides are filtered for enrichment in both doped and undoped libraries. Further, the
relationship between these peptides and peptides which contain a 9mer that is enriched in five
or more of the seven peptides containing it is shown. **b)** Relationships between enrichment in
doped and undoped libraries. Absolute counts following Round 3 of selection of the doped
library are plotted against the log2 fold change between read fraction for peptides in Round 2
and Round 1. Data are shown for the library on HLA-DR401. **c)** Sequence logo of 2,467 peptides
that enriched in both doped and undoped selected libraries for HLA-DR401. Registers are
inferred with a position weight matrix-based alignment method. Logos were generated with
Seq2Logo-2.0.

661
**Figure 3. Comparing HLA-DR401, HLA-DR402, and HLA-DR404 for binding to related Spike**
**proteins from SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. a)** Sequence alignment showing sequence
differences in HLA-DR402 and HLA-DR404 compared to HLA-DR401 and highlighted on HLA-
DR401 structure (PDB 1J8H). Colors are: red for amino acids shared between HLA-DR401 and
HLA-DR404, green for amino acids shared between HLA-DR402 and HLA-DR404, and yellow for
amino acids different in all 3 alleles. Affected peptide positions (P1, P4, P5, P7) are colored in
blue and labeled on the structure. **b)** Conservation and enrichment of 9mer peptides from
SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV Spike proteins. Conserved 9mers are indicated in black. If a 9mer
along the proteome enriched in 5 or more of the adjacent peptides containing it, its enrichment
is indicated with a vertical line with color for allele (HLA-DR401: blue; HLA-DR402: red; HLA-
DR404: grey) and opacity for virus (SARS-CoV-2: dark; SARS-CoV: light). **b-e)** Zoomed regions
show enrichment of individual 15mer peptides. Only peptides containing the bolded 9mer
sequence are shown. Amino acids in the bolded 9mer that are not conserved between SARS-
CoV-2 and SARS-CoV are highlighted in yellow.

**Figure 4. Comparing measured IC$_{50}$ values and computational prediction.** Relationship
between measured IC$_{50}$ values and NetMHCIIpan4.0 predicted ranks in Eluted Ligand mode (EL)
on invariant-flanked sequences. Data points are colored by label, and IC$_{50}$ values ≥50 μM are set
to 50 μM.

**Figure 5. Conservation and enrichment of dengue virus serotypes 1-4. a)** Conservation and enrichment of 9mer peptides along four aligned dengue serotypes. All stretches of 9 amino acids are compared across the four serotypes and conservation is indicated with a black vertical line (i.e. 2, 3, or 4 of 4 serotypes conserved). 9mers which enriched on HLA-DR401 are also indicated, colored by virus serotype. **b-d)** Zoomed regions, showing enrichment for individual 15mer peptides to HLA-DR401. Only peptides which contain the bolded 9mer sequence are shown. Amino acids in the bolded 9mer that are not conserved between serotypes are highlighted in yellow. Insets show regions which are differently conserved and enriched: **b)** non-conserved sequences with peptides from one serotype enriched; **c)** conserved sequences enriched across all serotypes; **d)** non-conserved sequences which are enriched.

| Virus | Protein | Protein length (# of amino acids) | MHC Allele | # of 15mers | # of 9mer cores | # of smoothed 15mers |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SARS-CoV | Spike | 1255 | HLA-DR401 | 324 | 74 | 221 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 217 | 65 | 110 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 289 | 61 | 193 |
| SARS-CoV | Nucleocapsid | 422 | HLA-DR401 | 40 | 8 | 34 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 34 | 13 | 12 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 31 | 6 | 20 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Spike | 1273 | HLA-DR401 | 305 | 67 | 221 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 230 | 62 | 130 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 290 | 64 | 217 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Nucleocapsid | 419 | HLA-DR401 | 34 | 8 | 24 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 33 | 10 | 15 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 30 | 8 | 18 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Replicase polyprotein 1ab | 7096 | HLA-DR401 | 1652 | 388 | 1204 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 1104 | 325 | 678 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 1368 | 350 | 890 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Non-structural protein 8 | 121 | HLA-DR401 | 41 | 10 | 32 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 21 | 7 | 17 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 32 | 8 | 19 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Protein 7a | 121 | HLA-DR401 | 27 | 8 | 18 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 13 | 2 | 6 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Non-structural protein 6 | 61 | HLA-DR401 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Membrane protein | 222 | HLA-DR401 | 40 | 7 | 29 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 26 | 6 | 19 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 23 | 7 | 21 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Envelope small membrane protein | 75 | HLA-DR401 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 7 | 3 | 0 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Protein 3a | 275 | HLA-DR401 | 22 | 4 | 11 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 13 | 4 | 10 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 10 | 2 | 0 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Replicase polyprotein 1a | 4405 | HLA-DR401 | 948 | 228 | 658 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 657 | 196 | 409 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 865 | 222 | 582 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | ORF10 protein | 38 | HLA-DR401 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Protein non-structural 7b | 43 | HLA-DR401 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Uncharacterized protein 14 | 73 | HLA-DR401 | 8 | 4 | 6 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 20 | 5 | 16 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 22 | 4 | 21 |
| SARS-CoV-2 | Protein 9b | 97 | HLA-DR401 | 29 | 7 | 27 |
| | | | HLA-DR402 | 35 | 6 | 31 |
| | | | HLA-DR404 | 37 | 9 | 34 |

692
693 **Table 1.** Summary of enriched peptides for each source protein, including: the number of
694 unique 15mers which each enriched in both of the doped and undoped libraries; the number of
695 unique 9mer cores identified by register-inference in these enriched 15mers (native cores only,
696 so linker-containing inferred cores excluded); and the number of unique enriched 15mers that
697 contain 9mer sequences enriched in five or more of overlapping neighbors.

| | Spike Position | Peptide+flank (A+15mer+GGS) | NetMHCIIpan4.0 Predicted Core (A+15mer+GGS) | NetMHCIIpan4.0 %Rank (A+15mer+GGS) | 15mer Affinity from FP (IC$_{50}$, nM) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Agreed Binders | 34-48 | ARGVYYPDKVFRSSVLGGS | YYPDKVFRS | 1.49 | 15.8 |
| | 87-101 | ANDGVYFASTEKSNIIGGS | VYFASTEKS | 4.28 | 2117 |
| | 303-317 | ALKSFTVEKGIYQTSNGGS | FTVEKGIYQ | 8.41 | 396.9 |
| | 362-376 | AVADYSVLYNSASFSTGGS | YSVLYNSAS | 8.36 | 113.7 |
| | 1015-1029 | AAAEIRASANLAATKMGGS | IRASANLAA | 3.13 | 105.4 |
| | 1112-1126 | APQIITTDNTFVSGNCGGS | ITTDNTFVS | 7.32 | 527.0 |
| Yeast-Enriched Binders | 165-179 | ANCTFEYVSQPFLMDLGGS | YVSQPFLMD | 64.83 | 14,652 |
| | 172-186 | ASQPFLMDLEGKQGNFGGS | FLMDLEGKQ | 20.34 | 123.2 |
| | 286-300 | ATDAVDCALDPLSETKGGS | VDCALDPLS | 32.68 | 521.6 |
| | 373-387 | ASFSTFKCYGVSPTKLGGS | YGVSPTKLG | 16.59 | 18,452 |
| | 469-483 | ASTEIYQAGSTPCNGVGGS | IYQAGSTPC | 18.22 | 67.7 |
| | 580-594 | AQTLEILDITPCSFGGGGS | LEILDITPC | 62 | 119.9 |
| | 739-753 | ATMYICGDSTECSNLLGGS | YICGDSTEC | 70.91 | 14.4 |
| | 920-934 | AQKLIANQFNSAIGKIGGS | FNSAIGKIG | 20.47 | 1121 |
| NetMHC-Predicted Binders | 113-127 | AKTQSLLIVNNATNVVGGS | IVNNATNVV | 8.74 | >50,000 |
| | 492-506 | ALQSYGFQPTNGVGYQGGS | YGFQPTNGV | 4.11 | 454.7 |
| | 1151-1165 | AELDKYFKNHTSPDVDGGS | YFKNHTSPD | 5.74 | 35,510 |
| Agreed Non-Binders | 534-548 | AVKNKCVNFNFNGLTGGGS | FNFNGLTGG | 57.13 | >50,000 |
| | 1079-1093 | APAICHDGKAHFPREGGGS | ICHDGKAHF | 80.47 | >50,000 |

**Table 2.** Peptides selected for fluorescence polarization (FP) experiments for binding to HLA-DR401. NetMHCIIpan4.0 predictions for HLA-DR401 binding are performed on 15mers plus invariant flanking residues (N-terminal Ala, C-terminal Gly-Gly-Ser) and percent rank values generated using Eluted Ligand mode. Fluorescence polarization is performed on native 15mer peptides without invariant flanking residues.

| Spike Position | Sequence | NetMHCIIpan4.0 Predicted Core (A+15mer+GGS) | NetMHCIIpan4.0 %Rank (A+15mer+GGS) | NetMHCIIpan4.0 Predicted Core (15mer) | NetMHCIIpan4.0 %Rank (15mer) | 15mer Affinity from FP (IC50, nM) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 172-186 | SQP**FLMDLEGKQ**GNF | FLMDLEGKQ | 20.34 | FLMDLEGKQ | 4.1 | 123.2 |
| 173-187 | QP**FLMDLEGKQ**GNFK | FLMDLEGKQ | 27.73 | FLMDLEGKQ | 12.21 | 8613 |
| 286-300 | TDA**VDCALDPLS**ETK | VDCALDPLS | 32.68 | VDCALDPLS | 9.8 | 1154 |
| 287-301 | DA**VDCALDPLS**ETKC | VDCALDPLS | 42.42 | VDCALDPLS | 22.57 | 4393 |
| 469-483 | STE**IYQAGSTPC**NGV | IYQAGSTPC | 18.22 | IYQAGSTPC | 5.41 | 67.7 |
| 467-481 | DISTE**IYQAGSTPC**N | IYQAGSTPC | 11.47 | IYQAGSTPC | 12.61 | 4875 |
| 471-485 | E**IYQAGSTPC**NGVEG | YQAGSTPCN | 39.17 | YQAGSTPCN | 21.81 | 12519 |
| 920-934 | QKL**IANQFNSAI**GKI | FNSAIGKIG | 20.47 | IANQFNSAI | 7.89 | 1495 |
| 921-935 | KL**IANQFNSAI**GKIQ | FNSAIGKIQ | 18.3 | IANQFNSAI | 19.79 | 11937 |

**Table 3.** Effects of peptide flanking sequences on NetMHCIIpan4.0 predictions for HLA-DR401
binding and measured fluorescence polarization (FP) values for overlapping peptides. Yeast
display-enriched peptides that are predicted to bind by NetMHCIIpan4.0 when without flanking
residues, plus offset variants of these peptides, which are not predicted to bind, with or
without flanking sequence. Yeast display register-inferred consensus cores are highlighted in
green. NetMHCIIpan4.0 percent rank values are generated using Eluted Ligand mode.

**References**

Abelin JG, Harjanto D, Malloy M, Suri P, Colson T, Goulding SP, Creech AL, Serrano LR, Nasir G,
Nasrullah Y, McGann CD, Velez D, Ting YS, Poran A, Rothenberg DA, Chhangawala S,
Rubinsteyn A, Hammerbacher J, Gaynor RB, Fritsch EF, Greshock J, Oslund RC,
Barthelme D, Addona TA, Arieta CM, Rooney MS. 2019. Defining HLA-II ligand processing
and binding rules with mass spectrometry enhances cancer Epitope prediction.
*Immunity* **51**:766-779.e17.
Abelin JG, Keskin DB, Sarkizova S, Hartigan CR, Zhang W, Sidney J, Stevens J, Lane W, Zhang GL,
Eisenhaure TM, Clauser KR, Hacohen N, Rooney MS, Carr SA, Wu CJ. 2017. Mass
spectrometry profiling of HLA-associated peptidomes in mono-allelic cells enables more
accurate Epitope prediction. *Immunity* **46**:315–326.
Altmann DM, Boyton RJ. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 T cell immunity: Specificity, function, durability, and
role in protection. *Sci Immunol* **5**:eabd6160.
Andreatta M, Alvarez B, Nielsen M. 2017. GibbsCluster: unsupervised clustering and alignment
of peptide sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**:W458–W463.
Barra C, Alvarez B, Paul S, Sette A, Peters B, Andreatta M, Buus S, Nielsen M. 2018. Footprints of
antigen processing boost MHC class II natural ligand predictions. *Genome Med* **10**:84.
Birnbaum ME, Mendoza J, Bethune M, Baltimore D, Garcia KC. 2017. Ligand discovery for t cell
receptors. US20170192011A1.
Birnbaum ME, Mendoza JL, Sethi DK, Dong S, Glanville J, Dobbins J, Ozkan E, Davis MM,
Wucherpfennig KW, Garcia KC. 2014. Deconstructing the peptide-MHC specificity of T
cell recognition. *Cell* **157**:1073–1087.
Chaplin DD. 2010. Overview of the immune response. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* **125**:S3-23.
Dai Z, Huisman BD, Zeng H, Carter B, Jain S, Birnbaum ME, Gifford DK. 2021. Machine learning
optimization of peptides for presentation by class II MHCs. *Bioinformatics*.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btab131
Gambino F Jr, Tai W, Voronin D, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Shi J, Wang X, Wang N, Du L, Qiao L. 2021. A
vaccine inducing solely cytotoxic T lymphocytes fully prevents Zika virus infection and
fetal damage. *Cell Rep* **35**:109107.
Gee MH, Han A, Lofgren SM, Beausang JF, Mendoza JL, Birnbaum ME, Bethune MT, Fischer S,
Yang X, Gomez-Eerland R, Bingham DB, Sibener LV, Fernandes RA, Velasco A, Baltimore
D, Schumacher TN, Khatri P, Quake SR, Davis MM, Garcia KC. 2018. Antigen
identification for orphan T cell receptors expressed on tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes.
*Cell* **172**:549-563.e16.
Guzman MG, Gubler DJ, Izquierdo A, Martinez E, Halstead SB. 2016. Dengue infection. *Nat Rev*
*Dis Primers* **2**:16055.
Hennecke J, Wiley DC. 2002. Structure of a complex of the human alpha/beta T cell receptor
(TCR) HA1.7, influenza hemagglutinin peptide, and major histocompatibility complex
class II molecule, HLA-DR4 (DRA*0101 and DRB1*0401): insight into TCR cross-
restriction and alloreactivity. *J Exp Med* **195**:571–581.
Hennecke J, Wiley DC. 2001. T cell receptor-MHC interactions up close. *Cell* **104**:1–4.
Jiang W, Boder ET. 2010. High-throughput engineering and analysis of peptide binding to class II
MHC. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**:13258–13263.

Jones EY, Fugger L, Strominger JL, Siebold C. 2006. MHC class II proteins and disease: a
structural perspective. *Nat Rev Immunol* **6**:271–282.
Justesen S, Harndahl M, Lamberth K, Nielsen L-LB, Buus S. 2009. Functional recombinant MHC
class II molecules and high-throughput peptide-binding assays. *Immunome Res* **5**:2.
Karnes JH, Bastarache L, Shaffer CM, Gaudieri S, Xu Y, Glazer AM, Mosley JD, Zhao S,
Raychaudhuri S, Mallal S, Ye Z, Mayer JG, Brilliant MH, Hebbring SJ, Roden DM, Phillips
EJ, Denny JC. 2017. Phenome-wide scanning identifies multiple diseases and disease
severity phenotypes associated with HLA variants. *Sci Transl Med* **9**.
doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aai8708
Keskin DB, Anandappa AJ, Sun J, Tirosh I, Mathewson ND, Li S, Oliveira G, Giobbie-Hurder A, Felt
K, Gjini E, Shukla SA, Hu Z, Li L, Le PM, Allesøe RL, Richman AR, Kowalczyk MS,
Abdelrahman S, Geduldig JE, Charbonneau S, Pelton K, Iorgulescu JB, Elagina L, Zhang W,
Olive O, McCluskey C, Olsen LR, Stevens J, Lane WJ, Salazar AM, Daley H, Wen PY,
Chiocca EA, Harden M, Lennon NJ, Gabriel S, Getz G, Lander ES, Regev A, Ritz J, Neuberg
D, Rodig SJ, Ligon KL, Suvà ML, Wucherpfennig KW, Hacohen N, Fritsch EF, Livak KJ, Ott
PA, Wu CJ, Reardon DA. 2019. Neoantigen vaccine generates intratumoral T cell
responses in phase Ib glioblastoma trial. *Nature* **565**:234–239.
Klinger M, Pepin F, Wilkins J, Asbury T, Wittkop T, Zheng J, Moorhead M, Faham M. 2015.
Multiplex Identification of Antigen-Specific T Cell Receptors Using a Combination of
Immune Assays and Immune Receptor Sequencing. *PLoS One* **10**:e0141561.
Liu G, Carter B, Bricken T, Jain S, Viard M, Carrington M, Gifford DK. 2020. Computationally
optimized SARS-CoV-2 MHC class I and II vaccine formulations predicted to target
human haplotype distributions. *Cell Syst* **11**:131-144.e6.
Liu G, Carter B, Gifford DK. 2021. Predicted cellular immunity population coverage gaps for
SARS-CoV-2 subunit vaccines and their augmentation by compact peptide sets. *Cell Syst*
**12**:102-107.e4.
Liu R, Jiang W, Mellins ED. 2021. Yeast display of MHC-II enables rapid identification of peptide
ligands from protein antigens (RIPPA). *Cell Mol Immunol* **18**:1847–1860.
Lovitch SB, Pu Z, Unanue ER. 2006. Amino-terminal flanking residues determine the
conformation of a peptide-class II MHC complex. *J Immunol* **176**:2958–2968.
Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, Basutkar P, Tivey ARN, Potter SC,
Finn RD, Lopez R. 2019. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019.
*Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:W636–W641.
Masella AP, Bartram AK, Truszkowski JM, Brown DG, Neufeld JD. 2012. PANDAseq: paired-end
assembler for illumina sequences. *BMC Bioinformatics* **13**:31.
Mateus J, Grifoni A, Tarke A, Sidney J, Ramirez SI, Dan JM, Burger ZC, Rawlings SA, Smith DM,
Phillips E, Mallal S, Lammers M, Rubiro P, Quiambao L, Sutherland A, Yu ED, da Silva
Antunes R, Greenbaum J, Frazier A, Markmann AJ, Premkumar L, de Silva A, Peters B,
Crotty S, Sette A, Weiskopf D. 2020. Selective and cross-reactive SARS-CoV-2 T cell
epitopes in unexposed humans. *Science* **370**:89–94.
Moise L, Gutierrez A, Kibria F, Martin R, Tassone R, Liu R, Terry F, Martin B, De Groot AS. 2015.
iVAX: An integrated toolkit for the selection and optimization of antigens and the design
of epitope-driven vaccines. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* **11**:2312–2321.

Obermair FJ, Renoux F, Heer S, Lee C, Cereghetti N, Maestri G, Haldner Y, Wuigk R, Iosefson O,
Patel P, Triebel K, Kopf M, Swain J, Kisielow J. 2021. High resolution profiling of MHC-II
peptide presentation capacity, by Mammalian Epitope Display, reveals SARS-CoV-2
targets for CD4 T cells and mechanisms of immune-escape. *bioRxiv*.
doi:10.1101/2021.03.02.433522
O'Brien C, Flower DR, Feighery C. 2008. Peptide length significantly influences in vitro affinity
for MHC class II molecules. *Immunome Res* **4**:6.
O'Donnell TJ, Rubinsteyn A, Laserson U. 2020. MHCflurry 2.0: Improved pan-allele prediction of
MHC class I-presented peptides by incorporating antigen processing. *Cell Syst* **11**:42-
48.e7.
Ott PA, Hu Z, Keskin DB, Shukla SA, Sun J, Bozym DJ, Zhang W, Luoma A, Giobbie-Hurder A,
Peter L, Chen C, Olive O, Carter TA, Li S, Lieb DJ, Eisenhaure T, Gjini E, Stevens J, Lane
WJ, Javeri I, Nellaiappan K, Salazar AM, Daley H, Seaman M, Buchbinder EI, Yoon CH,
Harden M, Lennon N, Gabriel S, Rodig SJ, Barouch DH, Aster JC, Getz G, Wucherpfennig
K, Neuberg D, Ritz J, Lander ES, Fritsch EF, Hacohen N, Wu CJ. 2017. An immunogenic
personal neoantigen vaccine for patients with melanoma. *Nature* **547**:217–221.
Parker R, Partridge T, Wormald C, Kawahara R, Stalls V, Aggelakopoulou M, Parker J, Powell
Doherty R, Ariosa Morejon Y, Lee E, Saunders K, Haynes BF, Acharya P, Thaysen-
Andersen M, Borrow P, Ternette N. 2021. Mapping the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein-
derived peptidome presented by HLA class II on dendritic cells. *Cell Rep* **35**:109179.
Patronov A, Doytchinova I. 2013. T-cell epitope vaccine design by immunoinformatics. *Open
Biol* **3**:120139.
Purcell AW, Ramarathinam SH, Ternette N. 2019. Mass spectrometry-based identification of
MHC-bound peptides for immunopeptidomics. *Nat Protoc* **14**:1687–1707.
Racle J, Michaux J, Rockinger GA, Arnaud M, Bobisse S, Chong C, Guillaume P, Coukos G, Harari
A, Jandus C, Bassani-Sternberg M, Gfeller D. 2019. Robust prediction of HLA class II
epitopes by deep motif deconvolution of immunopeptidomes. *Nat Biotechnol* **37**:1283–
1286.
Rappazzo CG, Huisman BD, Birnbaum ME. 2020. Repertoire-scale determination of class II MHC
peptide binding via yeast display improves antigen prediction. *Nat Commun* **11**:4414.
Reynisson B, Alvarez B, Paul S, Peters B, Nielsen M. 2020. NetMHCpan-4.1 and NetMHCIIpan-
4.0: improved predictions of MHC antigen presentation by concurrent motif
deconvolution and integration of MS MHC eluted ligand data. *Nucleic Acids Res*
**48**:W449–W454.
Rosati E, Pogorelyy MV, Minervina AA, Scheffold A, Franke A, Bacher P, Thomas PG. 2021.
Characterization of SARS-CoV-2 public CD4+ αβ T cell clonotypes through reverse
epitope discovery. *bioRxivorg*. doi:10.1101/2021.11.19.469229
Sidney J, Steen A, Moore C, Ngo S, Chung J, Peters B, Sette A. 2010. Divergent motifs but
overlapping binding repertoires of six HLA-DQ molecules frequently expressed in the
worldwide human population. *J Immunol* **185**:4189–4198.
Snyder TM, Gittelman RM, Klinger M, May DH, Osborne EJ, Taniguchi R, Zahid HJ, Kaplan IM,
Dines JN, Noakes MT, Pandya R, Chen X, Elasady S, Svejnoha E, Ebert P, Pesesky MW, De
Almeida P, O'Donnell H, DeGottardi Q, Keitany G, Lu J, Vong A, Elyanow R, Fields P,
Greissl J, Baldo L, Semprini S, Cerchione C, Nicolini F, Mazza M, Delmonte OM, Dobbs K,

842 Laguna-Goya R, Carreño-Tarragona G, Barrio S, Imberti L, Sottini A, Quiros-Roldan E,
843 Rossi C, Biondi A, Bettini LR, D'Angio M, Bonfanti P, Tompkins MF, Alba C, Dalgard C,
844 Sambri V, Martinelli G, Goldman JD, Heath JR, Su HC, Notarangelo LD, Paz-Artal E,
845 Martinez-Lopez J, Carlson JM, Robins HS. 2020. Magnitude and dynamics of the T-cell
846 response to SARS-CoV-2 infection at both individual and population levels. *medRxiv*.
847 doi:10.1101/2020.07.31.20165647
848 Stern LJ. 1994. Crystal structure of the human class II MHC protein HLA- DR1 complexed with an
849 influenza virus peptide. *Nature* **368**:215–221.
850 Stopfer LE, Gajadhar AS, Patel B, Gallien S, Frederick DT, Boland GM, Sullivan RJ, White FM.
851 2021. Absolute quantification of tumor antigens using embedded MHC-I isotopologue
852 calibrants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **118**:e2111173118.
853 Stopfer LE, Mesfin JM, Joughin BA, Lauffenburger DA, White FM. 2020. Multiplexed relative and
854 absolute quantitative immunopeptidomics reveals MHC I repertoire alterations induced
855 by CDK4/6 inhibition. *Nat Commun* **11**:2760.
856 Swain SL, McKinstry KK, Strutt TM. 2012. Expanding roles for CD4^{+} T cells in immunity to viruses.
857 *Nat Rev Immunol* **12**:136–148.
858 Thomsen MCF, Nielsen M. 2012. Seq2Logo: a method for construction and visualization of
859 amino acid binding motifs and sequence profiles including sequence weighting, pseudo
860 counts and two-sided representation of amino acid enrichment and depletion. *Nucleic*
861 *Acids Res* **40**:W281-7.
862 Vita R, Mahajan S, Overton JA, Dhanda SK, Martini S, Cantrell JR, Wheeler DK, Sette A, Peters B.
863 2019. The Immune Epitope Database (IEDB): 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:D339–
864 D343.
865 Yin L, Stern LJ. 2014. Measurement of peptide binding to MHC class II molecules by
866 fluorescence polarization. *Curr Protoc Immunol* **106**:5.10.1-5.10.12.
867 Zavala-Ruiz Z, Strug I, Anderson MW, Gorski J, Stern LJ. 2004. A polymorphic pocket at the P10
868 position contributes to peptide binding specificity in class II MHC proteins. *Chem Biol*
869 **11**:1395–1402.
870 Zeng H, Gifford DK. 2019. Quantification of uncertainty in peptide-MHC binding prediction
871 improves high-affinity peptide selection for therapeutic design. *Cell Syst* **9**:159-166.e3.
872 Zhao W, Sher X. 2018. Systematically benchmarking peptide-MHC binding predictors: From
873 synthetic to naturally processed epitopes. *PLoS Comput Biol* **14**:e1006457.