1

Evolutionary changes in the chromatin landscape reshape a developmental gene regulatory

network during rapid life history divergence in sea urchins

Phillip L. Davidson[1], Maria Byrne[2], Gregory A. Wray[1][*]

1. Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

2. School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, AU

* Corresponding Author: gwray@duke.edu

ABSTRACT

Chromatin configuration is highly dynamic during embryonic development in animals,

exerting an important point of control in transcriptional regulation. Yet there exists remarkably

little information about the contribution of evolutionary changes in chromatin configuration to

the evolution of gene expression and organismal traits. Genome-wide assays of chromatin

configuration, coupled with whole-genome alignments, can help address this gap in knowledge

in several ways. In this study we present a comparative analysis of regulatory element sequences

and accessibility throughout embryogenesis in three sea urchin species with divergent life

histories: a lecithotroph *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*, a closely related planktotroph *H.*

tuberculata, and a distantly related planktotroph *Lytechinus variegatus*. We identified distinct

epigenetic and mutational signatures of evolutionary modifications to *cis*-regulatory element

function in *H. erythrogramma* that have accumulated non-uniformly throughout the genome,

suggesting selection, rather than drift, underlies many modifications associated with the derived

life history. Specifically, regulatory elements composing the sea urchin developmental gene

regulatory network are enriched for signatures of positive selection and accessibility changes

which may function to alter binding affinity and access of developmental transcription factors to

these sites. Furthermore, regulatory element changes often correlate with divergent expression

patterns of genes involved in cell type specification, morphogenesis, and development of other

derived traits, suggesting these evolutionary modifications have been consequential for

phenotypic evolution in *H. erythrogramma*. Collectively, our results demonstrate that selective

pressures imposed by changes in developmental life history rapidly reshape the *cis*-regulatory

landscape of core developmental genes to generate novel traits and embryonic programs.

INTRODUCTION

Cis-regulatory elements are significant contributors to phenotypic evolution and trait diversification by modulating transcriptional regulation via gene network interactions (Davidson and Erwin 2006; Wray 2007; Wittkopp and Kalay 2012). Extensive empirical evidence demonstrates that the function of *cis*-regulatory elements depends on distinct molecular characteristics such as the element's sequence and epigenetic landscape that collectively provide a dynamic and heritable genomic substrate affecting inter- and intraspecific diversification. Enabled by advances in genomic technology and reduced sequencing costs, more recent work has demonstrated the importance of *cis*-regulatory elements in diverse biological contexts across a variety of animal systems at a genome-wide scale; for example: origination of human-specific traits (Shibata, et al. 2012; Swain-Lenz, et al. 2019), convergent evolution of flight loss in birds (Sackton, et al. 2019), population-level adaptation (Lewis and Reed 2019), genetic assimilation (van der Burg, et al. 2020) and color identity (Livraghi, et al. 2021) in butterfly wing patterning, embryonic regulation of gene expression in the crustacean *Parhyale hawaiensis* (Sun and Patel 2021), limb bud development in chicken and mice (Jhanwar, et al. 2021), and single-cell resolution of *Drosophila* cell lineages (Cusanovich, et al. 2018). However, direct integration of large-scale *cis*-regulatory analysis and transcription in a defined evolutionary-developmental (evo-devo) context is lacking. For example, relationships governing divergence in chromatin and gene expression, the role of such changes in trait evolution, and the action of natural selection on these processes remain largely unexplored within an interrogable phylogenetic system. In this study, we address these important questions by comparing the function of *cis*-regulatory elements in embryonic gene expression of sea urchin species with divergent developmental life

history strategies to assess the extent *cis*-regulatory elements play in the evolution of gene

expression, developmental systems, and by extension, trait variation and origination.

Among the most common and well-studied life history shifts in the animal kingdom is

the evolution of feeding or non-feeding larval development (Flatt and Heyland 2011). In marine

invertebrates, planktotrophy (feeding development) is characterized by species that produce

many, relatively small eggs and have a longer planktonic larval period, whereas species with

lecithotrophic (non-feeding) development invest more maternal resources into a fewer number of

large eggs that develop through an abbreviated larval stage (Strathmann 1985). Importantly, the

ecological circumstances associated with each strategy are predictable and well-studied across

diverse marine clades (Vance 1973; Strathmann 1985; Pechenik 1999; Marshall, et al. 2012;

Morgan 2020), providing natural systems to analyze how selection shapes often rapid phenotypic

divergence in developmental regulation and physiology. For example, within Echinoderms,

lecithotrophy has independently evolved from the ancestral planktotrophic state more than 20

times (Raff 1987; Wray 1996; McEdward and Miner 2001; Hart 2002), implying that recurring

sets of environmental conditions and strong selection can enact evolutionary modifications to

even highly conserved developmental processes. The consequences include changes at every

developmental stage ranging from egg composition (Prowse, et al. 2009; Falkner, et al. 2015;

Byrne and Sewell 2019; Davidson, et al. 2019) and zygotic genome activation (Wray and Raff

1990; Israel, et al. 2016; Wang, et al. 2020), cell type specification and embryonic patterning

(Wray and Raff 1989; Love and Raff 2006), to construction of larval structures such as the

skeleton and rudiment (Emlet 1995; Koop, et al. 2017), the latter being the region from which

the adult emerges during metamorphosis. Still, the impact selection exerts on the evolution of

cis-regulatory interactions underlying alternative developmental programs and contrasting life

history strategies is not known. This gap in knowledge is manifested more broadly in our current

sparse understanding of the biological relationships governing regulatory element function,

evolutionary constraints inherent to development and gene network architecture, and phenotypic

diversification.

Perhaps the best studied example of the life history switch from planktotrophic to

lecithotrophic development is the sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*. Prior work has found

dramatic modifications to morphogenesis and embryonic patterning in *H. erythrogramma*

relative to planktotrophic sea urchin species (for reviews see Raff and Byrne 2006; Wray 2021).

For instance, dorso-ventral and left-right axis establishment occurs considerably early in this

species (Henry, et al. 1990), concordant with accelerated rudiment development and

metamorphosis (Williams and Anderson 1975). At other embryonic domains, specification of

cell lineages such as those responsible for mesenchymal tissue types have been delayed, lost, or

spatially rearranged (Wray and Raff 1990; Klueg, et al. 1997; Wilson, Andrews, Rudolf Turner,

et al. 2005; Davidson, et al. 2021). Recent transcriptomic studies have shown that expression

profiles of key developmental gene regulatory network (dGRN) genes in this species have

diverged from the highly conserved expression patterns in planktotrophic species (Israel, et al.

2016), and many of these regulatory changes are predicted to be based in *cis* (Wang, et al. 2020).

The contribution of evolutionary changes in the sequence and configuration of *cis*-regulatory

elements to the rapid evolution of these derived phenotype in *H. erythrogramma* is not known.

To address this, we compared chromatin accessiblity, regulatory element sequence, and

gene expression across six developmental stages in three sea urchin species spanning this life

history switch: the lecithotroph *H. erythrogramma*, a closely-related (~ 5 my divergence)

planktotroph *H. tuberculata*, and a distantly-related (~ 40 my divergence) planktotroph,

Lytechinus variegatus (**fig. 1a**). Planktotrophic (feeding) larvae represent the *ancestral* life

history mode within echinoderms relative to the *derived* lecithotrophic state (Strathmann 1978,

Raff 1987, Wray 1996, McEdward and Miner 2001), so recent and dramatic changes in

developmental phenotypes in *H. erythrogramma* provide an exceptional natural system to

analyze the consequences of strong shifts in selection and life history on the evolution of gene

regulatory mechanisms. We emphasize the distinction between two molecular mechanisms,

chromatin accessibility and sequence evolution, in generating change to *cis*-regulatory element

function and developmental gene expression. We identify distinct epigenetic and mutational

signatures of evolutionary modifications in the *H. erythrogramma* genome relative to species

with the ancestral life history. Furthermore, these regulatory changes have accumulated non-

uniformly throughout the genome and often correlate with derived expression patterns of genes

involved in cell type specification, morphogenesis, and development of other derived traits.

Importantly, the patterns of *cis*-regulatory modifications and their impact on the evolution of

transcriptional regulation characterized here may be broadly applied to biological principles

underlying the integration of developmental and gene regulatory mechanisms in lineage

diversification.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We performed ATAC-seq on six developmental stages of *H. erythrogramma* (*He*), *H.*

tuberculata (*Ht*), and *L. variegatus* (*Lv*) in order to identify open chromatin regions (OCRs)

representing putative *cis*-regulatory elements (CREs) during embryogenesis. In this study, we

use the term "OCR" when reporting analysis results because ATAC-seq is an assay of open

chromatin. However, we apply the more specific term "CRE" when discussing the biological

interpretation of our results because *cis*-regulatory elements are the operational units identified

by open chromatin peaks used to understand mechanisms of gene regulatory evolution. The

developmental stages analyzed here include $4^{th}/5^{th}$, $6^{th}/7^{th}$, and $8^{th}/9^{th}$ mixed cleavage stage

embryos, as well as hatched blastula, mid-gastrula, and early larva (**fig. 1b**), enabling

characterization of regulatory element dynamics from the onset of zygotic transcription through

the specification and morphogenesis of complex tissue types. We then carried out alignments of

chromosome-scale genome assemblies to find evolutionarily conserved OCRs between species to

compare accessibility and sequence composition of CREs. These analyses provide a

simultaneous comparison of gene regulatory mechanisms in two ways: 1) availability of CREs to

transcription factor binding by modulating chromatin configuration (accessibility) and 2) affinity

of transcription factor binding to CREs via changes in nucleotide sequence, as evidenced by

motif analysis and signatures of positive selection. Importantly, the genomes of these sea urchin

species were assembled and annotated using an identical set of sequencing technology and

methodology (Davidson, et al. 2020; Davidson, et al. 2021), minimizing technical bias and

permitting high resolution identification of changes in regulatory element activity.

Of 42,679 OCRs identified across the three species, we found 35,788 1:1:1 orthologous,

high confidence OCRs proximal to 11,679 gene models for cross-species comparison (**fig. 2a**).

Note that inclusion in this set of 1:1:1 orthologues does not require that chromatin be open in all

three species, but rather that it be open in at least one species at one time point surveyed and that

the orthologous sequence is present in all three species. This set of orthologous OCRs forms the

basis of most analyses presented here, including comparisons of developmental chromatin

configuration (**fig. 2b, 3**), analyses of OCR sequence changes associated with positive selection

and transcription factor binding (**fig. 2d, 4**), discerning how these changes are distributed among

OCRs located proximally or distally to protein coding sequences (**fig. 2c, 5**), and determining the

extent to which these regulatory modifications are associated with derived gene expression

patterns (**figs. 5-7**). In addition to orthologous OCRs, we also identified sets of species-specific

gains or losses of OCRs occurring on entire genomic segments present or lost in a single species

(**fig. 2a**). Because these indel-based differences in OCRs are only physically present in at most

two of the three sea urchin species compared in this study, they are treated as distinct sets of

putative CREs. While the changes in regulatory element function examined in this study are not

applicable to species-specific OCRs, it is important to note that many physical OCR gains occur

nearby genes with differential expression levels in *H. erythrogramma*, suggesting gain or loss of

entire CREs may be an important component of the evolution of this species' derived life history

strategy (**supplementary fig. 1**).

Development and life history drive global variation patterns of accessibility

First, we assessed which biological factors may significantly contribute to variation in

chromatin accessibility at a genomic scale. Principal component analysis (PCA) suggests

species-level differences (PC 1: 20.3%) and the interaction of development and life history (PC

2: 18.5%) are the most important factors explaining variation in chromatin accessibility when all

orthologous OCRs are considered (**fig. 1c**). Within each species, chromatin accessibility

differences between stages roughly mirrors developmental progression as early and late stages

cluster more closely to one another. Strikingly, though, there is far greater variation in

accessibility through development in both species with the ancestral life history relative to *He*,

suggesting the developmental chromatin landscape of the derived state is less dynamic during

embryogenesis. This finding is consistent with delayed blastomere specification (Wray and Raff

1989) and simplified larval morphology in *He* (Emlet 1995), suggesting chromatin state changes

normally associated with establishing cell identity and tissue differentiation have been modified

during the evolution of its derived developmental life history.

173   Interestingly, when considering only OCRs near dGRN genes, the primary driver of

variation in chromatin accessibility shifts to developmental life history rather than species-level

differences (**fig. 1d**; PC 1: 25.5%), a finding corroborated by variance partitioning of the dataset

(**supplementary fig. 2**). This result suggests chromatin configuration of critical developmental

network genes depends significantly on the species' developmental strategy, as access to

regulatory elements of these genes controls the flow and deployment of embryonic programs.

Therefore, changes in accessibility to CREs have the potential to modify the function and

construction of developmental gene networks by altering regulatory interactions, and by

extension, drive the evolution of novel developmental phenotypes.

Loss of regulatory element accessibility within the ancestral dGRN

183   We next quantified how the accessibility of 35,788 OCRs vary between life history

strategies in order to better understand how chromatin configuration at specific CREs may

influence the evolution and function of alternative embryonic programs. Across each cleavage

stage examined (timepoints spanning 16-cell through ~500-cell stage embryos), we found an

average of 5.7% of OCRs were differentially accessible between ancestral and derived sea urchin

species, including a modest difference in sites more accessible in *Ht* and *Lv* (3.1%) or *He* (2.6%)

(**fig. 3a**). Strikingly, starting at the blastula stage, the proportion of differentially accessible

OCRs across the genome rises, with most sites increasing in accessibility ("opening") in the

ancestral life history but not in *He* (**fig. 3a**). For example, by the larval stage, 9.5% of OCRs are

differentially accessible between life histories, nearly three quarters of which (73.9%) are more

accessible in *Ht* and *Lv*. Furthermore, when all OCRs are considered, including both orthologous

and non-orthologous sites across species, a much greater proportion of the *He* genome is closed

compared to *Ht* and *Lv*, suggesting that, at a genome-wide scale, *He* is characterized by zygotic

quiescence during early development relative to ancestral life history (**fig. 2e**; **supplementary**

fig. 3). Our results support the prediction that as embryonic development proceeds, more

regulatory sites open and become available to regulatory factor binding as zygotic transcription

activates, cell lineages differentiate, tissue types are specified, and morphological structures are

constructed. However, this genome "awakening" and reconfiguration of chromatin state across

the embryo unfolds at a much grander scale in *Ht* and *Lv* relative to *He*. This finding supports the

idea that embryonic fate specification in *He* is delayed relative to the ancestral state (Wray and

Raff 1989, 1990) and collectively, is concordant with a morphologically simplified larva

(Williams and Anderson 1975) and derived gene expression patterning in this species (Wilson,

Andrews and Raff 2005; Wilson, Andrews, Rudolf Turner, et al. 2005; Smith, et al. 2008; Israel,

et al. 2016; Koop, et al. 2017). Reduced accessibility of tissue-specific enhancers may alter gene

networks tasked with the establishment of differentiated cell types in the ancestral life history,

generating novel interactions and developmental phenotypes in the process.

This hypothesis is further supported when OCR accessibility of dGRN genes are

compared. Near these critical developmental regulatory genes, we find a remarkable asymmetry

in CRE accessibility between life history modes from the onset of development – on average,

0.5% of OCRs near dGRNs are more accessible in *He* during development, whereas this figure

ranges from 2.8% (early cleavage) to 15.1% (larva) for species with the ancestral life history (**fig.**

3b). An example of CRE activation in the ancestral state that is not found in *He* is the chromatin

landscape proximal to the gene *patched* (*ptc*) (**fig. 3c**), a receptor of the Hedgehog ligand whose

misexpression results in skeletal defects and abnormal mesodermal patterning in the ancestral sea

urchin developmental program (Walton, et al. 2009). Therefore, modifications to CRE

accessibility in *He* are not uniformly distributed across the genome, as would be expected of

neutral processes such as drift, but instead are highly concentrated near dGRN genes (Fisher

exact test, two-sided: $p < 1.31 \times 10^{-11}$). This finding suggests natural selection has sculpted the

epigenetic landscape composing the sea urchin dGRN during life history evolution, generating

adaptive changes to transcriptional regulation and expression patterning during embryogenesis.

This finding further suggests that the evolutionary changes in putative CRE accessibility have

functional consequences. If they had no impact on gene expression or some other trait, natural

selection would not influence their status during development.

Developmental regulatory elements have accumulated mutational signatures of positive

selection

Next, we analyzed sequence evolution of orthologous regulatory loci to identify instances

of mutational pileup as a signal of positive selection within these sites. Substitution rates of

35,788 OCRs on the *He* and *Ht* branches were compared to 10 randomly selected neutrally

evolving, non-coding reference sequences, and a likelihood ratio test was implemented to fit

substitution rates across the tree to a branch-specific positive selection model, using *Lv* as the

outgroup species (see **Methods and Materials** for details). Our goal was to identify cases of

gene regulatory evolution via nucleotide variation within CRE sequences, potentially acting to

modify transcription factor binding affinity of these elements and associated changes to gene

network expression and connectivity.

In total, we identified 648 OCRs with evidence of positive selection in only the *Ht*

genome, compared to 512 in the *He* genome (**fig. 4a**: green and orange points, respectively).

Unlike OCR accessibility, a trait that changes during development and differs among tissues,

OCR sequence is an immutable genomic property within the lifetime of an individual (excluding

somatic mutation and gametogenesis). This result thus suggests that, while the life history of

these two sea urchin species dramatically differs, many potential changes in gene regulation

associated with other aspects of their biology have accumulated within each species. At a

genome-wide scale, these adaptive changes have occurred perhaps even more so in *Ht*, the

species with ancestral developmental life history.

Partitioning OCR selection results by the function of the nearest gene reveals only limited

enrichment of positive selection within the putative regulatory elements of any particular class of

genes (**fig. 4b**, **supplementary fig. 4**). A prominent exception to this finding involves OCRs near

genes in the sea urchin dGRN or near genes encoding other transcription factors. In these cases,

approximately twice as many OCRs exhibit evidence of positive selection on the *He* branch

relative to *Ht*. This result is especially striking considering *Ht* has 26.3% more OCRs with

branch-specific evidence of positive selection across the entire genome (previous paragraph and

fig. 4b). Moreover, nucleotide sequences of the 23 dGRN OCRs under selection in *He* are highly

enriched for binding motifs of critical developmental transcription factors, including three SOX,

three zinc finger, and one homeobox protein (**fig. 4c**). The SOX binding motifs are interesting

because many transcription factors in this family act as "pioneer factors" that are capable of

initiating chromatin opening (Zaret and Carroll 2011). Another interesting example involves

NRF2: the binding motif for this transcription factor is overrepresented in OCRs under selection

in *He* (**fig. 4c**) and the gene is expressed is much at much higher levels in *He* embryos relative to

those in the ancestral life history (**fig. 4d**). This protein has gene regulatory functions in diverse

biological contexts ranging from antioxidant response and cellular defense to metabolism and

stem cell quiescence (Tonelli, et al. 2018), which in this case, could be related to derived

embryonic detoxification and physiological systems (Tsushima, et al. 1995; Davidson, et al.

2019) or early cell lineage specification programs (Wray and Raff 1989, 1990; Davidson, et al.

2021) of *He*. Taken together, regulatory elements tasked with wiring the ancestral sea urchin

dGRN have accumulated a disproportionate number of mutations on the *He* branch. These likely

play a significant role in regulation of divergent gene expression patterns and its highly derived

developmental program. More broadly, our results provide strong evidence that natural selection

can efficiently generate heritable changes concentrated within the *cis*-regulatory landscape of

specific subsets of genes or gene networks to rapidly produce changes in transcriptional

regulation.

Overlap of evolutionary changes in regulatory element accessibility and sequence

The previous two sections describe how two distinct modifications to regulatory element

function, namely accessibility and sequence, have accumulated across the *He* genome, and

particularly so near critical developmental network genes. We next asked whether there exists

any interaction between these two evolutionary changes to better understand the extent to which

both epigenetic and sequence changes affect the same regulatory element. At all three post-

cleavage stages, we found that differentially accessible OCRs contain a significantly greater

proportion of sites with evidence of selection on the *He* branch relative to non-differentially

accessible OCRs, including as much as an ~50 % proportional increase at the blastula stage

(Fisher exact test, two-sided: $p < 5 \times 10^{-3}$) (**fig 5a**: top). This same trend continues at early

cleavage (16/32-cell embryos), although not statistically significant. In contrast, we detected no

statistical enrichment for positive selection within differentially accessible OCRs in the *Ht*

genome – at early cleavage this relationship does approach significance, but no such trend exists

at the later developmental stages (**fig. 5a**: bottom) (Fisher exact test, two-sided: $p > 0.05$).

Significant overlap in differential accessibility and positive selection in the *He* genome

suggests a possible biological interaction between the two evolutionary mechanisms that may

operate in tandem to alter regulatory function. We present two simple models for how this could

occur. 1) *Accessibility first*: an increase or decrease in accessibility of a CRE at a particular

developmental stage or tissue type makes the CRE more or less visible to selection. Following an

increase in accessibility, mutations conferring adaptive changes in gene regulation during

development would be selected for and increase in frequency within the population, whereas the

same mutations in a closed OCR would have no effect; CREs with reduced accessibility, on the

other hand, may accumulate mutations that reinforce its derived state, ensuring that it remains

closed and unable to interact with transcription factors. 2) *Mutation first*: mutations within the

regulatory element drive increased accessibility of the site, perhaps through recruitment of

pioneer transcription factors, histone remodelers, or other chromatin modifiers, thereby

activating the element's regulatory function; alternatively, if the regulatory element's function is

detrimental to regulating gene expression, then mutations that disable the element's function or

decrease its accessibility via changes to chromatin configuration would be selected. Regardless

of mechanism, the disproportionate occurrence of positive selection within differentially

accessible OCRs only in the *He* genome suggests a potentially synergistic set of gene regulatory

processes may operate to drive developmental change during the evolution of its derived life

history. The observation that this association is restricted to the *He* branch suggests that this

interaction often produces adaptive changes in transcription during development. Tandem

accessibility and mutational changes may be a common gene regulatory method to efficiently

modify gene expression for organismal adaptation and innovation across organismal lineages.

Relationship of evolutionary regulatory mechanisms between proximal and distal elements

Next, we asked whether evolutionary changes in OCR sequence and chromatin accessibility differ between core promoters and more distal elements such as enhancers. We quantified changes in proximal (< 500 bp to translational start site of a gene) and distal (≥ 500 bp to translational start site of a gene) OCRs to test if either change covaries with promoter or enhancer evolution between species. Although many proximal and distal OCRs show evidence of positive selection on the *Ht* and *He* branches, we found no significant enrichment between the two categories of putative CREs (**fig. 5b**). This finding suggests mutations in both enhancers and promoters are likely important contributors in driving gene expression change, via altered binding and recruitment of regulatory factors and transcriptional machinery.

In contrast, accessibility changes shows a much greater asymmetry between proximal and distal elements (**fig. 5c**). While accessibility distributions are comparable at early cleavage (16/32-cell embryos), many more accessibility differences accumulate in proximal OCRs relative to distal ones later in development, after zygotic activation and tissue specification has commenced. For example, by the gastrula and larval stages, more than 20% of proximal elements are significantly more accessible in *Lv* and *Ht*, whereas this figure is ~5% for distal elements.

There are several possible biological and technical explanations for this result. Unlike enhancers, whose activity is often restricted to a single tissue or stage (Thurman, et al. 2012), promoters need to be accessible whenever the gene is transcribed, regardless of space and time. This has important implications for interpreting differences in chromatin configuration among species. At a biological level, greater promoter accessibility in the embryos of species with the ancestral lie history (*Ht* and *Lv*) may merely reflect overall greater transcriptional activity and

more changes in expression during development (**fig. 1,3; supplementary fig. 5)** (Israel, et al.

2016; Wang, et al. 2020). For a given gene, the accessibility of a promoter region is normally

greater than that of nearby enhancers (Thurman, et al. 2012), which may lend greater statical

power in detecting accessibility differences between species and life histories. In other words,

smaller effect sizes associated with overall reduced accessibility of enhancers relative to

promoters may contribute to the apparent enrichment of promoter accessibility changes. In

addition, these chromatin configuration data were collected from whole embryos, so cell or

lineage-specific differences in accessibility will be averaged within the sample, a technical factor

that may be especially important for detecting accessibility changes of enhancer elements with

tissue-specific dynamics. Lastly, promoter accessibility changes may simply be the most

efficient way to rapidly modulate large changes in transcription, at least for some genes.

Adjusting enhancer accessibility can certainly induce changes gene expression, but activating or

shutting down a promoter may be a much more potent mechanism for large-scale changes in

transcriptional regulation, such as those involved in rapid developmental evolution.

Relationships governing magnitude of gene expression and chromatin accessibility changes

Multiple lines of evidence presented above suggest that changes in the sequence or

accessibility of *cis*-regulatory elements contributed to the evolution of development in *He*. The

association between these changes and divergence in gene expression, however, is unclear. To

understand this relationship, we compared mRNA expression fold-change of genes with

accessibility fold-change of nearby OCRs at four developmental stages to measure instances of

correlated changes in the magnitude of expression and chromatin configuration (**fig. 5d-e**).

When all genes and OCRs are considered (**fig. 5e**: top row), there is no clear association between

the magnitude and direction of accessibility and expression differences across developmental life

histories. This result is not surprising at a global scale, given that expression levels and

accessibility are conserved between species for most genes and OCRs, respectively. However, a

notable set of proximal sites have greater accessibility in species with the ancestral life history at

the blastula, gastrula, and larval stages, consistent with overall greater promoter accessibility in

Ht and *Lv* (see previous section). A similar result occurs when only differentially expressed

genes and nearby OCRs are considered (**fig. 5e**: middle row). One possible explanation for the

lack of an obvious relationship is that, while only genes that are differentially expressed between

life histories are plotted, each of these genes has an average of 3.1 OCRs assigned to it (based on

proximity). Each of these OCRs may not be active at a given stage of development, or they may

function antagonistically to one another (i.e. regulatory elements can enhance or repress

transcription), thus collectively dampening a correlative signal between gene expression and

accessibility.

Lastly, we considered only differentially expressed genes and nearby OCRs with

differential accessibility. This analysis reveals interesting relationships between transcription and

chromatin configuration (**fig. 5e**: bottom row). As development progress, the relatively diffuse

relationship between CRE accessibility and gene expression quickly tightens (compare 16/32-

cell stage with larva). This likely reflects synchronization of zygotic genome activation with the

embryo's *cis*-regulatory landscape as maternal mRNA reserves are depleted and nascent

transcription and cell differentiation commences. Greater accessibility at the majority of

proximal sites in *Ht* and *Lv* is still found in this subset of genes and OCRs, though smaller sets of

promoters with greater accessibility in *He* and corresponding expression increases are detected

(faint gray spots). Among distal elements, we observed a considerably more diffuse relationship

between accessibility, expression, and life history, possibly reflecting the potential activating or

repressive functions of enhancers and downstream gene network interactions. At each

developmental stage, the most common relationship was increased gene expression and

accessibility in *Ht* and *Lv*, consistent with the preponderances of activating (as opposed to

repressive) interactions within the ancestral dGRN. Despite these relationships, our results

highlight the difficulty in correlating the magnitude of cross-species changes in gene expression

and regulatory element accessibility at a genomic scale considering: **i)** Expression and

accessibility of most genes and CREs are conserved; **ii)** CRE activity can have antagonistic

effects on transcriptional regulation as activators or repressors, dependent on both the CRE

sequence and *trans* environment specific to cell types or stages of development; **iii)** The activity

and quiescence of multiple CREs regulating the same gene dampens regulatory signals when

analyzed at the whole-embryo level; and **iv)** CRE accessibility is permissive rather than directive

of regulatory factor binding and downstream gene expression such that "openness" does not

necessarily indicate the CRE is actively regulating transcription.

Developmental trajectories of chromatin configuration and gene expression have evolved

most frequently along the *H. erythrogramma* branch

To address the challenges associated with understanding the relationship between

expression and accessibility changes of putative regulatory elements, we applied the "jump

score" method to measure the magnitude of evolutionary changes in developmental trajectories,

which are represented as distance in principal component space (Israel, et al. 2016). This metric

is particularly useful because the jump score summarizes patterns of conservation or divergence

in gene expression across development, rather than a single stage. Here we apply this approach

to evolutionary changes in the accessibility of CREs across developmental trajectories.

We compared the expression jump score of 9,423 genes and the mean jump score of

nearby OCRs to test how developmental changes in transcriptional regulation and chromatin

status are distributed along the *He* and *Ht* branches. For example, expression of *insm*, a

transcription factor involved in neurogenesis in sea urchins (McClay, et al. 2018), is conserved in

across *He*, *Ht*, and *Lv* (**fig. 6a**), reflected by a low difference in jump scores between *He-Lv* and

Ht-Lv and tight clustering of expression profiles in principal component space (**fig. 6b**). Similar

expression profiles are accompanied by conserved *cis*-regulatory landscape dynamics through

development in each species, exemplified by a near-zero mean OCR jump score difference and

accessibility profile of ten nearby OCRs (**fig. 6c,d**). However, *brn 1/2/4*, a regulator of midgut

patterning (Yuh, et al. 2005), has a divergent developmental gene expression trajectory in *He*

relative to the ancestral state and large jump score differences in *He-Lv* and *Ht-Lv* branch

comparisons (**fig. 6e,f**). This expression change is accompanied by significant reduction in

chromatin accessibility at the blastula through larval stages in *He*, represented by a large mean

difference in OCR jump scores along the *He* branch (**fig. 6g,h**). This locus is especially

interesting considering *Hery* larvae do not feed and have lost development a functional through-

gut.

Given the highly derived developmental program of *He* relative to the ancestral life

history, we predicted that the most frequent changes in transcriptional regulation would occur

along the *He* branch. Indeed, concerted modifications to OCR accessibility and gene expression

profiles during *He* development are the most frequent set of changes among genes and OCRs

with divergent expression and chromatin accessibility trajectories (1,582 genes) (**supplementary**

fig. 6; **Data S7**). Furthermore, the least common combination of changes includes both

expression and accessibility changes occurring on the *Ht* branch (1,114 genes), consistent with

this species' more conserved embryonic gene expression program. Thus, we find that local

patterns of CRE accessibility have evolved in concert with divergent developmental expression

patterns during life history evolution in *He*. Collectively, our results suggest that modified

transcription factor access to regulatory elements can generate rapid and adaptive evolutionary

changes to gene expression, and by extension, dGRN functionality.

Distinct *cis*-regulatory modifications differentially effect evolution of gene expression

Lasty, we tested whether changes in nucleotide sequence and accessibility within OCRs

are distributed near genes that are differentially expressed between developmental life histories

in order to better understand what evolutionary mechanisms may drive evolutionary changes in

transcriptional regulation. We found a modest increase in differential expression of genes with

nearby differentially accessible OCRs relative to genes lacking differentially accessible OCRs at

blastula, gastrula and larval stages (~5-10% increase), but no such relationship exists during

early cleavage, which may be expected given the transcriptome is composed almost entirely of

maternally-derived transcripts during early cleavage (**fig. 7a**). Interestingly, this relationship

between differential expression and *cis*-regulatory modification is magnified when comparing

the frequency of signatures of positive selection within OCRs of *He* to OCRs lacking evidence of

positive selection (~6-14% increase) and especially to OCRs with evidence of positive selection

in *Ht* (15-33% increase) (**fig. 7b**). This finding is concordant with our predictions of evolutionary

mechanisms of gene expression change, as selective changes in CRE sequences in *He* most

frequently result in concordant divergence in developmental gene expression possibly associated

with their derived life history strategy. Positive selection within CRE sequences of *Ht*, on the

other hand, are much less often linked to changes in gene expression associated with life history,

as *Ht* has retained the ancestral sea urchin developmental program. Thus, while the absolute

number of accessibility changes between developmental life histories is typically greater than

detected instances of positive selection (**figs. 3-4**), mutations within CRE sequences are more

likely to be associated with significant changes in gene regulation and expression relative to a

no-change state.

This result may be attributable to the distinct characteristics of the two modes of *cis*-

regulatory modification. CRE accessibility changes are transient and can be regulated within an

organism across developmental stages and tissue types. Thus, relatively frequent changes in

chromatin accessibility may be detected within a species at any given time as altered access to

CREs may be less consequential for *fixed* expression differences within a species. Though

speculative, frequent changes in chromatin accessibility may be an important mechanism for

accumulating mutations within regulatory elements, as the "visibility" of mutations to natural

selection can fluctuate between cell types, developmental stages, or across generations.

Mutations, on the other hand, represent hardwired alterations to the *cis*-regulatory landscape of

an individual as heritable sets of sequence changes. Although it may take longer for mutations to

accumulate within CREs (and as a result may be relatively less common during divergence

between species), their impact on gene expression may be detected more frequently as selection

has favored the regulation change imparted by the CRE's altered function. It is important to

highlight that our conclusions regarding patterns of gene regulatory evolution are drawn from

summarizing thousands of genes, so regulatory evolution and constraints associated with any

specific gene will potentially include a unique arrangement and sequence of changes.

Conclusions

In this study, we utilized a recent life history switch in sea urchins to better understand

the relationships between evolutionary change in cis-regulatory mechanisms, evolutionary

mechanisms, and divergence in embryonic gene expression. We show that two distinct molecular

mechanisms within cis-regulatory elements contribute to evolutionary divergence in gene

expression; changes in their DNA sequence and changes in the accessibility of those sequences.

The former influences transcription factor binding while the latter limits access of transcription

factors to DNA and prevent interactions between distal elements and proximal promoters. We

document an elevated rate of evolutionary changes in both mechanisms in the derived relative to

the ancestral life history, and particularly so near critical developmental network genes whose

regulatory connections have been conserved for 10s to 100s million years. These concentrated

evolutionary modifications may operate independently or synergistically to have rapidly

reshaped transcriptional dynamics during developmental evolution in *H. erythrogramma* through

alterations to cell fate specification and ultimately morphogenesis.

480   Collectively, our results indicate that natural selection can efficiently alter the influence

of regulatory elements on transcription, through changes in both chromatin accessibility and

transcription factor binding. These results provide support for the idea that evolutionary changes

in chromatin configuration can contribute to adaptive changes in life history through their

influence on developmental gene expression. More generally, the comparative approaches

developed in this study present new ways of analyzing multimodal genomic datasets to

understand the evolutionary mechanisms that operate on regulatory elements and their

consequences for gene expression and organismal traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Embryo Rearing and Sample Preparation

490   *H. erythrogramma* and *H. tuberculata* adult animals were collected near Sydney, NSW,

Australia and maintained at 21°C in aquaria with circulating natural seawater. *L. variegatus*

individuals were collected near the Duke University Marine Lab in Beaufort, NC, USA and

maintained at 23°C in aquaria at Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. Each sea urchin species

was induced to spawn via injection of 0.5 M KCl solution into the coelom. Eggs were washed

twice in 20 µm filtered seawater (FSW). Sperm was collected dry and stored at 4°C until usage.

Washed eggs were fertilized with 1 µL of sperm, and after 10 minutes, were washed three times

in FSW. For each species, three unique male-female pairs were crossed to produce three

biologically-independent replicates of sea urchin embryos. Embryos were reared at 23°C in large

glass culture dishes supplied with FSW, and water was changed every six hours. Because these

species exhibit different developmental rates, developmental milestones, rather than absolute

developmental time, were selected to maximize developmental synchrony within cultures and

between species for comparison. These stages include 1) 4th/5th (EC), 2) 6th/7th (MC), and 3)

8th/9th (LC) cleavage stage embryos, as well as 4) hatched blastula (B), 5) mid-gastrula (G), and

6) early larva (L) (two-armed pluteus for *H.* tuberculata and *L. variegatus*; 32 hours post-

fertilization for *H. erythrogramma*). Once a culture reached the appropriate stage, a subset of the

culture was collected into a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube for ATAC-seq processing.

ATAC-seq Protocol and Sequencing

ATAC sample preparation was carried out according to the Omni-ATAC-seq protocol

(Corces, et al. 2017). For each replicate, embryos were washed once in 1 µm filtered seawater,

lysed, and 50,000 nuclei were isolated for the transposition reaction using the Illumina TDE1

enzyme and tagmentation (TD) buffer (Cat. No. 20034197 and 20034198) (San Diego, CA,

USA). Sequencing libraries for each replicate were amplified via qPCR as described in

(Buenrostro, et al. 2015), and libraries were purified and size selected using Ampure XP Beads at

a 1.8:1 bead volume:library volume (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Library quality and

transposition efficiency was accessed using a Fragment Analyzer and PROSize 2.0 (Agilent,

Santa Clara, CA) at the Duke University Center for Genomic and Computational Biology. *H.*

erythrogramma and *L. variegatus* libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000

instrument using 50 bp SE sequencing. *H. tuberculata* libraries were sequenced on an Illumina

NovaSeq 6000 instrument using 50 bp PE sequencing (only SE were used for data analysis).

ATAC-seq Data Analysis

Raw ATAC-seq reads were trimmed for quality and sequencing adapters using

"cutadapt" (Martin 2011) v2.3 with the following parameters: -a CTGTCTCTTATACACATCT

-q 20 --trim-n -m 40. Trimmed reads were then aligned to each species' respective genome via

"stampy" (Lunter and Goodson 2011) v.1.0.28 using the "—sensitive" set of parameters. ATAC-

seq alignments were filtered for mitochondrial sequences and required an alignment quality score

of at least 5 using "samtools" (Li, et al. 2009) v.1.9. Filtered alignments are available in BAM

and Bedgraph format (see "Data Availability" below).

In this study, we aimed to compare evolution of orthologous regulatory elements so to

accomplish this, we performed a series of liftOvers (Hinrichs, et al. 2006) to convert ATAC-seq

alignments between genomic coordinates of each sea urchin species. We took an iterative,

reciprocal strategy described below to minimize possible reference bias associated with

converting between genome assemblies: 1) *H. erythrogramma*: *He* → *Lv* → *He*; 2) *H.*

tuberculata: *Ht* → *Lv* → *He*; 3) *L. variegatus*: *Lv* → *He* → *Lv* → *He*. At the end of filtering and

coordinate conversion, all ATAC-seq alignments were referenced to the *H. erythrogramma*

genome. LiftOver files are available in (Davidson, et al. 2021).

ATAC-seq peaks were called from these filtered, converted alignments using the

"MACS2" v2.1.2 (Zhang, et al. 2008) callpeak function (parameters: –nomodel, –keep-

dup=auto, –shift 100, –extsize 200) for each developmental stage separately at an FDR threshold

of 5%. Peak coordinates were merged using the "bedtools" (Quinlan and Hall 2010) v2.25 *merge*

function requiring a peak overlap of at least 200 bp to be merged into a single peak. Lastly, for

each sample, accessibility of each peak was measured with the "bedtools" *multiBamCov* function

and imported into R v4.0.2 for statistical analysis (Data S1).

Estimates of positive selection

To measure branch-specific signatures of positive selection in the non-coding genome,

the "adaptiPhy" (Berrio, et al. 2020) pipeline (https://github.com/wodanaz/adaptiPhy) for global

tests of natural selection was followed. First, orthologous sequences for non-coding sites of

interest (ATAC-seq peaks) were extracted from each species' genome into FASTA format.

Sequences were trimmed to include only contiguous DNA sequence using the *prunning.py* script

and filtered using the *filtering.py* script, requiring a minimum alignment length of 75 base pairs.

These trimmed and filtered alignments serve as "query" sequences of tests for selection. To

generate a neutral reference for comparison, ten orthologous, neutral sites were randomly

selected from a curated set of ~88,000 putatively neutral sequences (Davidson, et al. 2021) (Data

S2) and concatenated into a single neutral reference sequence. Then, substitution rates of both

the query and randomly concatenated neutral reference were estimated using phyloFit (Siepel

and Haussler 2004), and the zeta score was calculated as the ratio of the query substitution rate to

the neutral reference substitution rate. In addition, p-values of likelihood ratio tests for

significant levels of branch-specific positive selection were calculated with adaptiPhy (Berrio, et

al. 2020) pipeline using HyPhy (Pond, et al. 2005). For each query site, tests for positive

selection were repeated 10 times against a unique neutral reference to ensure reproducible

estimates of zeta and significance values for each non-coding site of interest. P-values and

substitution rates for all query and neutral sites were then imported in R v4.0.2. For each query

site, the median zeta score and p-value for each test of positive selection across the ten replicate

calculations were used for downstream analyses.

OCR Filtering

565   After accessibility and estimates of positive selection were calculated for each ATAC-seq

peak, herein referred to as an "open chromatin region" (OCR), a series of filtering and quality

control metrics were carried out to ensure only high confidence and quality peaks were compared

between species. These filtering steps are as follows: 1) each OCR is required to have at least

75bp of contiguous, single copy sequence (see previous section) for accurate estimations of

selection ; 2) a local composition complexity (LCC) (Konopka 2001) value of 1.9 or more was

required of the OCR for each species to remove repetitive or other low-complexity sequences

that may generate inaccurate estimations of selection (module:

biopython.org/docs/1.75/api/Bio.SeqUtils.lcc.html); 3) a CPM of 3 or more was required in at

least 9 samples to remove OCRs with extremely low accessibility across samples; 4) the

midpoint of the OCR must lie within 25 Kb (in either direction) of the translational start site of a

gene model. The 25 Kb window for assigning OCRs to genes was selected on the basis that the

median and mean intergenic distance within the *He* genome are 21.4 Kb and 31.9 Kb,

respectively. Given nearly no prior knowledge is known of the *cis*-regulatory landscape for these

sea urchin species, these stringent filtering methods were carried out in order to maximize

confidence in comparisons of non-coding sequence evolution and function. This resulted in a

final set 35,788 high-confidence OCRs for cross-species analysis (Data S3).

ATAC-seq Statistical Analysis

Principal component analysis and variance partitioning was performed on the regularized

log-transformed (rlog) data (Love, et al. 2014) of filtered OCR accessibility counts via the

prcomp function and the "variancePartition" v1.18.3 package (Hoffman and Schadt 2016) in R

using the formula: ~ (1 | Stage) + (1 | Species) + (1 | Life History) + (1 | Genus) + (1 | Stage: Life

History). Raw accessibility counts of the filtered OCRs were loaded into DESeq2 (Love, et al.

2014) v1.30 to calculate differential accessibility between sample groups. Differentially

accessible sites between life history strategies were classified as having a 2-fold accessibility

difference and supported by an FDR of 10% in *He* vs *Ht* and well as *He* vs *Lv* comparisons

(including the sign of the accessibility difference being in the same direction) (see

supplementary fig. 7 for all significance cutoff combinations of differential accessibility

results). For graphical purposes, the average fold-change in the *He* vs *Ht* and *He* vs *Lv*

comparisons is displayed within figures. Significant levels of positive selection in either the *He*

or *Ht* branch were classified as OCRs having a zeta value greater than 1.25 and supported by a

median false-discovery rate less than 10% in one species, and failing to meet both criteria in the

other. Motif enrichment analyses were carried out with "Homer" v4.11 (Heinz, et al. 2010) via

the findMotifsGenome.pl script using all OCRs as the background set and regions size of 200 bp.

Sea urchin GRN genes are provided in Data S4

RNA-seq Expression Analyses

Raw RNA-seq reads from eggs, 4-cell, 16-cell + 32-cell (collectively EE) , blastula (B),

gastrula (G), and early larva (L) stage embryos of *He*, *Ht*, and *Lv* were retrieved from (Israel, et

al. 2016), trimmed and filtered for low quality bases and reads with Trimmomatic (Bolger, et al.

2014), and aligned to each species respective genomes and gene models with STAR (Dobin, et

al. 2013). From these alignments, mRNA expression was estimated with Salmon (Patro, et al.

2017) and loaded to R for statistical analysis. Read counts for summed to each gene's best match

to the *S. purpuratus* v4.2 gene models to generate a common reference for expression

comparisons between species as described in (Israel, et al. 2016) (Data S5). Differentially

expressed genes between life histories were called as having a fold-change in expression > 2 and

supported by an FDR of 10% or less in *He* vs *Ht* and well as *He* vs *Lv* comparisons (including

the sign of the accessibility difference being in the same direction) (Data S6). These criteria

were chosen to make RNA and ATAC-seq analyses between species comparable as possible.

Principal Component Distances of Developmental Profile

To identify OCRs and genes whose developmental regulatory profiles diverged in *H.*

erythrogramma or *H. tuberculata*, we calculated principal component distances of chromatin

accessibility and gene expression profile shapes between species throughout development as

described in (Israel, et al. 2016). Mean accessibility and expression profiles of regularized log-

transformed (rlog) OCR and genes counts were standardized using the *standardize* function of

the "mfuzz" v2.48.0 package (Kumar and M 2007). From these data, scaled distance measures

("jump scores") between orthologous non-coding sites or genes were calculated using loadings

of the first two principal components (Data S7): $d_{HeLv} =$

$\sqrt{PC1(x_{Lv} - x_{He})^2 + PC2(y_{Lv} - y_{He})^2}$;

$d_{HtLv} = \sqrt{PC1(x_{Lv} - x_{Ht})^2 + PC2(y_{Lv} - y_{Ht})^2}$. A significant change in the developmental

trajectory of gene expression or OCR accessibility was determined to have a jump score

difference of at 0.15 on one branch over the other .

DATA AVAILIBITY

Raw ATAC-seq reads are available in FASTQ format on NCBI's Short Read Archive (accession

number pending publication). Filtered alignments of ATAC-seq reads before and after between-

species liftOver files are available on Dryad (accession number pending publication). Results

files of ATAC-seq analyses are available as Supplementary Data files.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PLD and GAW conceived and designed the study. PLD and MB performed sample collection.

PLD performed the data analysis. PLD and GAW wrote the manuscript. All authors revised the

manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the Sydney Institute of Marine Sciences and their staff for assistance

with animal care, laboratory set up, and carrying out experiments. We also thank Allison Edgar

and Hannah Devens for assistance preparing sea urchin embryo cultures associated with this

work. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation Division of Integrative

Organismal Systems (award no. 1929934 to GAW).

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

REFERENCES
645

Berrio A, Haygood R, Wray GA. 2020. Identifying branch-specific positive selection throughout
the regulatory genome using an appropriate proxy neutral. BMC Genomics 21:359.
Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics 30:2114-2120.
Buenrostro JD, Wu B, Chang HY, Greenleaf WJ. 2015. ATAC-seq: A Method for Assaying
Chromatin Accessibility Genome-Wide. Curr Protoc Mol Biol 109:21 29 21-29.
Byrne M, Sewell MA. 2019. Evolution of maternal lipid provisioning strategies in echinoids with
non-feeding larvae: selection for high-quality juveniles. Marine Ecology Progress Series
616:95-106.
Corces MR, Trevino AE, Hamilton EG, Greenside PG, Sinnott-Armstrong NA, Vesuna S,
Satpathy AT, Rubin AJ, Montine KS, Wu B, et al. 2017. An improved ATAC-seq
protocol reduces background and enables interrogation of frozen tissues. Nat Methods
14:959-962.
Cusanovich DA, Reddington JP, Garfield DA, Daza RM, Aghamirzaie D, Marco-Ferreres R,
Pliner HA, Christiansen L, Qiu X, Steemers FJ, et al. 2018. The cis-regulatory dynamics
of embryonic development at single-cell resolution. Nature 555:538-542.
Davidson EH, Erwin DH. 2006. Gene regulatory networks and the evolution of animal body
plans. Science 311:796-800.
Davidson PL, Guo H, Swart JS, Massri AJ, Edgar A, Wang L, Berrio A, Devens HR, Koop D,
Cisternas P, et al. 2021. Recent reconfiguration of an ancient developmental gene
regulatory network in *Heliocidaris* sea urchins.
Davidson PL, Guo H, Wang L, Berrio A, Zhang H, Chang Y, Soborowski AL, McClay DR, Fan
G, Wray GA. 2020. Chromosomal-level genome assembly of the sea urchin
Lytechinus variegatus substantially improves functional genomic analyses. Genome
Biology and Evolution 12:1080-1086.
Davidson PL, Thompson JW, Foster MW, Moseley MA, Byrne M, Wray GA. 2019. A
comparative analysis of egg provisioning using mass spectrometry during rapid life
history evolution in sea urchins. Evolution & Development 21:188-204.
Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras
TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29:15-21.
Emlet RB. 1995. Larval spicules, cilia, and symmetry as remnants of indirect development in the
direct developing sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*. Dev Biol 167:405-415.
Falkner I, Sewell MA, Byrne M. 2015. Evolution of maternal provisioning in ophiuroid
echinoderms: characterisation of egg composition in planktotrophic and lecithotrophic
developers. Marine Ecology Progress Series 525:1-13.
Flatt T, Heyland A. 2011. Mechanisms of life history evolution: the genetics and physiology of
life history traits and trade-offs: Oxford University Press.
Hart MW. 2002. Life history evolution and comparative developmental biology of echinoderms.
Evolution & Development 4:62-71.
Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, Cheng JX, Murre C, Singh H, Glass
CK. 2010. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-
regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell 38:576-589.
Henry JJ, Wray GA, Raff RA. 1990. The dorsoventral axis is specified prior to 1st cleavage in
the direct developing sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*. Development 110:875-

884.

Hinrichs AS, Karolchik D, Baertsch R, Barber GP, Bejerano G, Clawson H, Diekhans M, Furey
TS, Harte RA, Hsu F. 2006. The UCSC genome browser database: update 2006. Nucleic
acids research 34:D590-D598.

Hoffman GE, Schadt EE. 2016. variancePartition: interpreting drivers of variation in complex
gene expression studies. BMC Bioinformatics 17:483.

Israel JW, Martik ML, Byrne M, Raff EC, Raff RA, McClay DR, Wray GA. 2016. Comparative
developmental transcriptomics reveals rewiring of a highly conserved gene
regulatory network during a major life history switch in the sea urchin genus
Heliocidaris. PLoS Biol 14:e1002391.

Jhanwar S, Malkmus J, Stolte J, Romashkina O, Zuniga A, Zeller R. 2021. Conserved and
species-specific chromatin remodeling and regulatory dynamics during mouse and
chicken limb bud development. Nat Commun 12:5685.

Klueg KM, Harkey MA, Raff RA. 1997. Mechanisms of evolutionary changes in timing, spatial
expression, and mRNA processing in the msp130 gene in a direct-developing sea urchin,
Heliocidaris erythrogramma. Developmental Biology 182:121-133.

Konopka AK. 2001. Sequence complexity and composition. e LS.

Koop D, Cisternas P, Morris VB, Strbenac D, Yang JY, Wray GA, Byrne M. 2017. Nodal and
BMP expression during the transition to pentamery in the sea urchin *Heliocidaris
erythrogramma*: insights into patterning the enigmatic echinoderm body plan. BMC Dev
Biol 17:4.

Kumar L, M EF. 2007. Mfuzz: a software package for soft clustering of microarray data.
Bioinformation 2:5-7.

Lewis JJ, Reed RD. 2019. Genome-wide regulatory adaptation shapes population-level
genomic landscapes in *Heliconius*. Mol Biol Evol 36:159-173.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R,
Genome Project Data Processing S. 2009. The sequence alignment/map format and
SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078-2079.

Livraghi L, Hanly JJ, Van Bellghem SM, Montejo-Kovacevich G, van der Heijden ES, Loh LS,
Ren A, Warren IA, Lewis JJ, Concha C, et al. 2021. Cortex cis-regulatory switches
establish scale colour identity and pattern diversity in *Heliconius*. eLife 10.

Love AC, Raff RA. 2006. Larval ectoderm, organizational homology, and the origins of
evolutionary novelty. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and
Developmental Evolution 306:18-34.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.

Lunter G, Goodson M. 2011. Stampy: a statistical algorithm for sensitive and fast mapping of
Illumina sequence reads. Genome research 21:936-939.

Marshall DJ, Krug PJ, Kupriyanova EK, Byrne M, Emlet RB. 2012. The biogeography of
marine invertebrate life histories. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and
Systematics, Vol 43 43:97-+.

Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.
EMBnet. journal 17:10-12.

McClay DR, Miranda E, Feinberg SL. 2018. Neurogenesis in the sea urchin embryo is initiated
uniquely in three domains. Development 145.

McEdward LR, Miner BG. 2001. Larval and life-cycle patterns in echinoderms. Canadian

Journal of Zoology 79:1125-1170.

Morgan SG. 2020. Life and death in the plankton: larval mortality and adaptation. Ecology of
marine invertebrate larvae: 279-321.

Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. 2017. Salmon provides fast and bias-
aware quantification of transcript expression. Nat Methods 14:417-419.

Pechenik JA. 1999. On the advantages and disadvantages of larval stages in benthic marine
invertebrate life cycles. Marine Ecology Progress Series 177:269-297.

Pond SL, Frost SD, Muse SV. 2005. HyPhy: hypothesis testing using phylogenies.
Bioinformatics 21:676-679.

Prowse TAA, Falkner I, Sewell MA, Byrne M. 2009. Long-term storage lipids and
developmental evolution in echinoderms. Evolutionary Ecology Research 11:1069-1083.

Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. Bioinformatics 26:841-842.

Raff RA. 1987. Constraint, flexibility, and phylogenetic history in the evolution of direct
development in sea urchins. Developmental Biology 119:6-19.

Raff RA, Byrne M. 2006. The active evolutionary lives of echinoderm larvae. Heredity 97:244-
252.

Sackton TB, Grayson P, Cloutier A, Hu Z, Liu JS, Wheeler NE, Gardner PP, Clarke JA, Baker
AJ, Clamp M, et al. 2019. Convergent regulatory evolution and loss of flight in
paleognathous birds. Science 364:74-78.

Shibata Y, Sheffield NC, Fedrigo O, Babbitt CC, Wortham M, Tewari AK, London D, Song L,
Lee B-K, Iyer VR, et al. 2012. Extensive evolutionary changes in regulatory element
activity during human origins are associated with altered gene expression and
positive selection. PLOS Genetics 8:e1002789.

Siepel A, Haussler D. 2004. Phylogenetic estimation of context-dependent substitution rates by
maximum likelihood. Mol Biol Evol 21:468-488.

Smith MS, Turner FR, Raff RA. 2008. Nodal expression and heterochrony in the evolution of
dorsal-ventral and left-right axes formation in the direct-developing sea urchin
Heliocidaris erythrogramma. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B-Molecular and
Developmental Evolution 310b:609-622.

Strathmann RR. 1978. The evolution and loss of feeding larval stages of marine invertebrates.
Evolution 32:894-906.

Strathmann RR. 1985. Feeding and nonfeeding larval development and life history evolution
in marine invertebrates. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 16:339-361.

Sun DA, Patel NH. 2021. Identification and classification of cis-regulatory elements in the
amphipod crustacean *Parhyale hawaiensis*. bioRxiv:2021.2009.2016.460328.

Swain-Lenz D, Berrio A, Safi A, Crawford GE, Wray GA. 2019. Comparative analyses of
chromatin landscape in white adipose tissue suggest humans may have less
beigeing potential than other primates. Genome Biology and Evolution 11:1997-2008.

Thurman RE, Rynes E, Humbert R, Vierstra J, Maurano MT, Haugen E, Sheffield NC,
Stergachis AB, Wang H, Vernot B, et al. 2012. The accessible chromatin landscape of the
human genome. Nature 489:75-82.

Tonelli C, Chio IIC, Tuveson DA. 2018. Transcriptional Regulation by Nrf2. Antioxid Redox
Signal 29:1727-1745.

Tsushima M, Byrne M, Amemiya S, Matsuno T. 1995. Comparative biochemical studies of
carotenoids in sea urchins. 3. Relationship between developmental mode and

carotenoids in the Australian echinoids *Heliocidaris erythrogamma* and *H. tuberculata*
and a comparison with japanese species. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B-
Biochemistry & Molecular Biology 110:719-723.
van der Burg KRL, Lewis JJ, Brack BJ, Fandino RA, Mazo-Vargas A, Reed RD. 2020. Genomic
architecture of a genetically assimilated seasonal color pattern. Science 370:721-725.
Vance RR. 1973. On the reproductive strategies of marine benthic invertebrates. The American
Naturalist 107:339-352.
Walton KD, Warner J, Hertzler PH, McClay DR. 2009. Hedgehog signaling patterns mesoderm
in the sea urchin. Dev Biol 331:26-37.
Wang L, Israel JW, Edgar A, Raff RA, Raff EC, Byrne M, Wray GA. 2020. Genetic basis for
divergence in developmental gene expression in two closely related sea urchins. Nat Ecol
Evol 4:831-840.
Williams DHC, Anderson DT. 1975. Reproductive system, embryonic development, larval
development and metamorphosis of sea urchin H*eliocidaris erythrogramma* (Val)
(Echinoidea-Echinometridae). Australian Journal of Zoology 23:371-403.
Wilson KA, Andrews ME, Raff RA. 2005. Dissociation of expression patterns of homeodomain
transcription factors in the evolution of developmental mode in the sea urchins
Heliocidaris tuberculata and *H. erythrogramma*. Evolution & Development 7:401-415.
Wilson KA, Andrews ME, Rudolf Turner F, Raff RA. 2005. Major regulatory factors in the
evolution of development: the roles of goosecoid and Msx in the evolution of the direct-
developing sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*. Evolution & Development 7:416-
428.
Wittkopp PJ, Kalay G. 2012. Cis-regulatory elements: molecular mechanisms and evolutionary
processes underlying divergence. Nature Reviews Genetics 13:59-69.
Wray GA. 2007. The evolutionary significance of cis-regulatory mutations. Nat Rev Genet
8:206-216.
Wray GA. 2021. Extreme phenotypic divergence and the evolution of development. In. Current
Topics in Developmental Biology.
Wray GA. 1996. Parallel evolution of nonfeeding larvae in echinoids. Systematic Biology
45:308-322.
Wray GA, Raff RA. 1989. Evolutionary modification of cell lineage in the direct-developing
sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*. Developmental Biology 132:458-470.
Wray GA, Raff RA. 1990. Novel origins of lineage founder cells in the direct-developing sea
urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*. Dev Biol 141:41-54.
Yuh CH, Dorman ER, Davidson EH. 2005. Brn1/2/4, the predicted midgut regulator of the
endo16 gene of the sea urchin embryo. Dev Biol 281:286-298.
Zaret KS, Carroll JS. 2011. Pioneer transcription factors: establishing competence for gene
expression. Genes Dev 25:2227-2241.
Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers RM,
Brown M, Li W. 2008. Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome biology
9:R137.
823

FIGURE and LEGENDS

Figure 1: Global variation in chromatin accessibility between sea urchin embryos is largely

driven by developmental stage and life history strategy. A) Three focal sea urchin species of

this study, including two planktotrophic species representing the ancestral life history (light

green: *Lytechinus variegatus*; dark green: *Heliocidaris tuberculata*) and one lecithotrophic

species representing the derived life history (orange: *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*). This color

scheme is consistent throughout the study. **B)** Developmental stages assayed in this study for

each species. The ancestral life history is illustrated above, with corresponding developmental

stages of the derived life history below. Developmental times are shown for *Heliocidaris*

tuberculata at 21°C. Note, however, samples were collected on the basis of developmental stage,

not hours post-fertilization, for all three species. **C-D)** Principal component analysis of variation

in normalized chromatin accessibility among **C)** all orthologous OCRs and **D)** only OCRs near

dGRN genes through embryogenesis. OCR: open chromatin region; dGRN: developmental gene

regulatory network.

Figure 2: Summary statistics of regulatory element gains, losses, accessibility, and positive selection. A) Union set of all OCRs, including structural differences between species such as lineage-specific gains and losses as well as 35,788 1:1:1 orthologous OCRs shared between all three species. These orthologous OCRs are the primary focus of this study. Breakdown of **B)** OCR differentially accessibility between life history strategies and **C)** OCR distance to gene

models for these orthologous sites. **D)** Overlap of OCR differential accessibility and evidence of

positive selection on the *He*, *Ht*, or both branches. **E)** Percentage of each species genome that is

"open" at each stage of development. "Open" is defined as the cumulative portion of the genome

represented by all OCRs (orthologous and lineage-specific) with significant levels of

accessibility for a given stage and species (see **supplementary fig. 3** for additional information).

OCR: open chromatin region; *He*: *Heliocidaris erythrogramma*; *Ht*: *Heliocidaris tuberculata*.

Figure 3: The chromatin landscape of the derived life history is far less accessible than that of species with the ancestral life

history mode, particularly near core dGRN genes. A-B) Number and proportions of differentially accessible OCRs that are

significantly more open in *H. erythrogramma* (orange, top) or species with the ancestral life history strategy (green, bottom) for **A)** all

orthologous OCRs and **B)** only OCRs near dGRN genes. **C)** Example of reduced chromatin accessibility of a proximal and distal OCR

in *H. erythrogramma* during embryogenesis near the gene *patched*. OCR: open chromatin region; dGRN: developmental gene

regulatory network.

Figure 4: Sequence changes indicative of positive selection are enriched within *cis*-regulatory elements near dGRN genes and

other transcription factors in the *H. erythrogramma* genome. A) Zeta values (ratio of OCR substitution rate to that of neutrally

evolving reference sequences) for *H. erythrogramma* (top) and *H. tuberculata* (bottom) across the genome. OCRs with significant

support for lineage-specific evidence of positive selection are noted for *H. erythrogramma* (orange) and *H. tuberculata* (dark green),

and those nearby dGRN genes are outlined in purple. **B)** Fold-change in proportion of OCRs with more frequent evidence of positive

selection in either *H. erythrogramma* or *H. tuberculata*, partitioned by functional categories of nearby genes (see **supplementary fig.**

5 for all categories). **C)** Transcription factor binding motif enrichment results of OCRs near dGRN genes with evidence of positive

selection in *H. erythrogramma*. Detection mode indicates OCR sequences were searched against a (i) "known" set of optimized motif

models or (ii) enriched motifs within the OCR were identified "de-novo" and matched to a known set of motifs. **D)** Embryonic mRNA

expression levels for *Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor* (*NRF*) for each species. OCR: open chromatin region; dGRN:

developmental gene regulatory network.

Figure 5: Independent and synergistic changes to regulatory element function have evolved during life history evolution in *H.*

***erythrogramma*. A)** Proportion of differentially accessible or non-differentially accessible OCRs with evidence of positive selection

on the *H. erythrogramma* branch (orange, top) or *H. tuberculata* branch (dark green, bottom). Two-sided, Fisher's exact test: ** $p \leq 5$

x 10^{-3}; *** $p \leq 5$ x 10^{-4}. **B)** Proportion of proximal of distal OCRs with evidence of positive selection in either *Heliocidaris* species. **C)**

Differential accessibility of proximal and distal OCRs at four stages of development. Orange indicates significantly greater

accessibility in *H. erythrogramma*, dark green indicates significantly greater accessibility in *H. tuberculata*. **D-E)** Fold-change of

mRNA expression vs nearby OCR accessibility between life history strategies for proximal (left) and distal (right) OCRs.

Relationships are shown for all genes and OCRs (E: top row), significantly differentially expressed genes (E: middle row), and

significantly differentially expressed genes *and* differentially accessible OCRs (E: bottom row). OCR: open chromatin region; DE:

differentially expressed (genes); DA: differentially accessible (OCRs).

Figure 6: Quantifying developmental expression and accessibility changes in principal component space predicts divergent patterns of gene regulation. A-D) An example of conserved developmental gene expression and chromatin accessibility for *insm*. **A)** Developmental mRNA expression profile of *insm* for each sea urchin species and **B)** their coordinates in PCA space. **C)** Coordinates in PCA space of developmental accessibility of orthologous OCRs near *insm* and **D)** normalized chromatin accessibility coverage of these same OCRs through embryogenesis for each species. **E-H)** An example of divergent developmental gene expression and chromatin accessibility associated with life history for *brn 1/2/4*. **E)** Developmental mRNA expression profile of *brn 1/2/4* for each

888   sea urchin species and **F)** their coordinates in PCA space. **G)** Coordinates in PCA space of developmental accessibility of orthologous

889   OCRs near *brn 1/2/4* and **H)** normalized chromatin accessibility coverage of these same OCRs through embryogenesis for each

890   species. OCR: open chromatin region; PCA: principal component analysis.

Figure 7: Evolutionary changes to *cis*-regulatory element function are frequently associated

with expression changes of nearby genes underlying life history evolution in sea urchins.

Percentage of genes that are differentially expressed between developmental life history

strategies at four developmental stages, distinguished as having at least one nearby OCR with **A)**

differential accessibility or **B)** evidence of lineage-specific positive selection. OCR: open

chromatin region.