The ankyrin repeat protein RARP-1 is a periplasmic factor that supports

***Rickettsia parkeri* growth and host cell invasion**

Rickettsia RARP-1 supports growth and host invasion

Allen G. Sanderlin,[a] Ruth E. Hanna,[a] Rebecca L. Lamason[a,#]

[a]Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge,

Massachusetts, USA

[#]Corresponding author, rlamason@mit.edu

Word Counts

Abstract: 126

Importance: 117

Main Text: 5,166

Materials and Methods: 3,682

Abstract

Rickettsia spp. are obligate intracellular bacterial pathogens that have evolved a variety

of strategies to exploit their host cell niche. However, the bacterial factors that contribute

to this intracellular lifestyle are poorly understood. Here, we show that the conserved

ankyrin repeat protein RARP-1 supports *Rickettsia parkeri* infection. Specifically, RARP-

1 promotes efficient host cell entry and growth within the host cytoplasm, but it is not

necessary for cell-to-cell spread or evasion of host autophagy. We further demonstrate

that RARP-1 is not secreted into the host cytoplasm by *R. parkeri*. Instead, RARP-1

resides in the periplasm, and we identify several binding partners that are predicted to

work in concert with RARP-1 during infection. Altogether, our data reveal that RARP-1

plays a critical role in the rickettsial life cycle.

Importance

Rickettsia spp. are obligate intracellular bacterial pathogens that pose a growing threat

to human health. Nevertheless, their strict reliance on a host cell niche has hindered

investigation of the molecular mechanisms driving rickettsial infection. This study yields

much needed insight into the *Rickettsia* ankyrin repeat protein RARP-1, which is

conserved across the genus but has not yet been functionally characterized. Earlier

work had suggested that RARP-1 is secreted into the host cytoplasm. However, the

results from this work demonstrate that *R. parkeri* RARP-1 resides in the periplasm and

is important both for invasion of host cells and for growth in the host cell cytoplasm.

These results reveal RARP-1 as a novel regulator of the rickettsial life cycle.

Introduction

Intracellular bacterial pathogens face considerable challenges and opportunities when

invading and occupying their host cell niche. The host cell membrane physically

occludes entry and the endolysosomal pathway imperils invading microbes. Moreover,

host cell defenses like autophagy create a hostile environment for internalized bacteria.

If a bacterium successfully navigates these obstacles, however, it can conceal itself

from humoral immunity, commandeer host metabolites, and exploit host cell biology to

support infection. Not surprisingly, the host cell niche has provided fertile ground for the

evolution of diverse lifestyles across many well-studied bacterial pathogens such as

Shigella, *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, and *Legionella* (1, 2). The prospect of uncovering unique

infection strategies invites a thorough investigation of these adaptations in more

enigmatic pathogens.

Members of the genus *Rickettsia* include emerging global health threats that can cause

mild to severe diseases such as typhus and Rocky Mountain spotted fever (3). These

Gram-negative bacterial pathogens are transmitted from arthropod vectors to vertebrate

hosts where they primarily target the vascular endothelium. As obligate intracellular

pathogens, *Rickettsia* spp. define the extreme end of adaptation to intracellular life and

are completely dependent on their hosts for survival (4). Consequently, they have

evolved a complex life cycle to invade, grow, and disseminate across host tissues.

As the first step of their life cycle, *Rickettsia* spp. adhere to and invade host cells by

inducing phagocytosis (5–7). Once inside, these bacteria rapidly escape the phagocytic

vacuole to access the host cytoplasm (8, 9). To establish a hospitable niche for

proliferation, *Rickettsia* spp. scavenge host nutrients, modulate apoptosis, and thwart

antimicrobial autophagy (10–13). Successful colonization of the host cytoplasm allows

Rickettsia spp. to spread to neighboring cells. Members of the spotted fever group

(SFG) *Rickettsia* hijack the host actin cytoskeleton, forming tails that propel the bacteria

around the cytoplasm, and then protrude through cell-cell junctions to repeat the

infection cycle (14, 15).

Recent work using the model SFG member *Rickettsia parkeri* has highlighted a short list

of surface-exposed proteins and secreted effectors that manipulate host cell processes

during infection (4). For example, the surface protein Sca2 nucleates actin at the

bacterial pole and promotes motility by mimicking host formins (14). Sca4, a secreted

effector, interacts with host vinculin to reduce intercellular tension and facilitate

protrusion engulfment (15). Additionally, methylation of outer membrane proteins like

OmpB protects *R. parkeri* from ubiquitylation and autophagy (13, 16). Despite these

advances, our knowledge of the factors that govern the multi-step rickettsial life cycle is

still limited. Indeed, *Rickettsia* spp. genomes are replete with hypothetical proteins that

are conserved even among less virulent members of the genus (17), but a paucity of

genetic tools has stunted investigation of these proteins. Such factors could support

infection directly, by targeting host processes, or indirectly, by controlling the bacterial

mediators at the host-pathogen interface. Thus, it is critical to reveal how these

uncharacterized proteins contribute to infection.

In a recent transposon mutagenesis screen in *R. parkeri* (18), we identified over 100

mutants that exhibited defects in infection. Although several hits from this screen have

been functionally characterized (13–16), many play unknown roles during infection. One

such unexplored hit is the *Rickettsia* ankyrin repeat protein 1 (RARP-1), which is

conserved across the genus and predicted to be secreted into the host cytoplasm (19).

To better understand the factors that influence the rickettsial life cycle, we investigated

the function of RARP-1 during *R. parkeri* infection. We demonstrated that RARP-1

promotes both efficient host cell invasion and growth in the host cytoplasm, but it is

otherwise dispensable for cell-to-cell spread and avoidance of host autophagy. Although

prior work indicated that RARP-1 is secreted into the host cytoplasm (19), we found

instead that it localizes to the *R. parkeri* periplasm. Furthermore, we showed that

RARP-1 interacts with a variety of factors that are predicted to support bacterial fitness.

Our results suggest that RARP-1 is a *Rickettsia*-specific tool that promotes the obligate

intracellular life cycle.

Results

Transposon mutagenesis of *rarp-1* impairs *R. parkeri* infection

In a previous *mariner*-based transposon mutagenesis screen (18), we identified a

number of *R. parkeri* mutants that displayed abnormal plaque sizes after infection of

Vero host cell monolayers. We hypothesized that the plaque phenotypes for these

mutants were due to defects in growth, cell-to-cell spread, or other steps of the

rickettsial life cycle. Two such small plaque (Sp) mutants contained a transposon (Tn)

insertion within the *rarp-1* gene, giving a predicted truncation of RARP-1 at residues 305

(Sp116) and 480 (Sp64) (Figure 1A). RARP-1 is a 573 amino acid protein conserved

across the *Rickettsia* genus, but the lack of loss-of-function mutants has thus far

prevented characterization of RARP-1 function. Due to the upstream position of its Tn

insertion within the *rarp-1* CDS, we focused on Sp116 (herein referred to as *rarp-1*::Tn)

for all subsequent studies and confirmed that it formed smaller plaques than GFP-

expressing wild-type bacteria (WT, Figure 1B). We generated polyclonal antibodies

against a RARP-1 peptide upstream of the Tn insertion site to assess RARP-1

expression in the mutant. As expected, the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant did not express the full-

length protein by immunoblotting (Figure 1C). Furthermore, we were unable to detect an

obvious band consistent with the expected 30 kDa product resulting from Tn insertion.

Altogether, these results suggest that the loss of RARP-1 expression in the *rarp-1*::Tn

mutant leads to a small plaque phenotype.

RARP-1 supports bacterial growth and is dispensable for cell-to-cell spread

The small plaques formed by the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant could be the result of defects in one

or more steps of the rickettsial life cycle, and determining when RARP-1 acts during

infection would support characterization of its function. We first performed infectious

focus assays in A549 host cell monolayers to assess the growth and cell-to-cell spread

of the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant on a shorter timescale than is required for plaque formation (28

h versus 5 d post-infection). A549 cells support all known aspects of the *R. parkeri* life

cycle, and the use of gentamicin prevents asynchronous invasion events (15).

Consistent with the small plaque phenotype, the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant generated smaller

foci than WT bacteria (Figure 2A). To confirm that this phenotype was due specifically to

the disruption of *rarp-1*, we complemented the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant with a plasmid

expressing 3xFLAG-tagged RARP-1 (*rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1, Supplementary

Figure 1A). Since *rarp-1* is predicted to be part of an operon (19), we selected a 247 bp

region immediately upstream of the first gene in the operon (encoding the outer

membrane channel TolC) as a putative promoter to drive *rarp-1* expression. This

construct was sufficient for expression of epitope-tagged RARP-1 in the *rarp-1*::Tn

mutant (Figures 1C and D). Importantly, the complement strain exhibited infectious

focus sizes comparable to WT (Figure 2A), indicating that the putative promoter and

epitope-tagged RARP-1 are functionally relevant. Thus, RARP-1 specifically supports

the size of *R. parkeri* infectious foci.

A reduction in infectious focus size could be caused by defects in cell-to-cell spread. For

example, Tn mutagenesis of *sca2* and *sca4* specifically disrupts spread by limiting actin

tail formation and protrusion resolution, respectively, leading to smaller infectious foci

(14, 15). Loss of RARP-1 did not alter the frequency of actin tails or protrusions (Figures

2B and C), suggesting that spread may not be regulated by RARP-1. As an orthogonal

approach, we also evaluated the efficiency of spread by performing a mixed-cell

infectious focus assay (15). In this assay, donor host cells stably expressing a

cytoplasmic marker are infected, mixed with unlabeled recipient host cells, and then

infection of the mixed monolayer is allowed to progress. Bacteria that spread to

unlabeled recipient cells can thus be distinguished from bacteria that remain in the

labeled donor cell for each focus. As expected, a *sca2*::Tn mutant failed to spread from

infected donor cells (Figure 2D). In contrast, the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant exhibited similar

efficiency of spread from donors to recipients as compared to WT bacteria. Altogether,

these results indicate that RARP-1 is dispensable for cell-to-cell spread.

Alternatively, a reduction in infectious focus size could be caused by defects in bacterial

growth. When performing the infectious focus assays, we noted that the number of *rarp-*

1::Tn mutant bacteria within the infectious foci was reduced compared to WT (Figure

2E). This was in contrast to Tn mutants of *sca2* and *sca4*, which do not exhibit reduced

bacterial loads despite forming smaller foci (14, 15). Restoring RARP-1 expression in

the complement strain rescued the bacterial load defect (Figure 2E), suggesting that

RARP-1 regulates bacterial growth. To determine if the *rarp-1*:Tn mutant displayed

altered growth behavior over the course of infection, we used qPCR to monitor bacterial

genome equivalents during infection of Vero host cell monolayers. In agreement with

the bacterial load defect observed in the infectious focus assay, the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant

exhibited a growth defect compared to WT (Figure 2F). Together, our data support a

role for RARP-1 during bacterial growth in multiple cell types.

RARP-1 is dispensable for evasion of autophagy

Given the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant growth defect, we hypothesized that RARP-1 might

promote bacterial growth by preventing clearance from the host cell. *R. parkeri* avoids

recognition and destruction by the host cell autophagy machinery using the abundant

outer membrane protein OmpB (13). Bacteria lacking OmpB are readily

polyubiquitinated by the host cell and associate with LC3-positive autophagic

membranes. We tested whether the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant likewise associates with LC3

during infection of A549 cells. In contrast to an *ompB*::Tn mutant, the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant

failed to mobilize host LC3 (Figure 3A). Thus, loss of RARP-1 expression does not

render this mutant more susceptible to autophagic clearance, indicating that RARP-1

supports growth through a different mechanism.

RARP-1 supports host cell invasion

We next wanted to determine if RARP-1 plays other roles in the infection cycle

upstream of growth inside the host cytoplasm. We tested whether the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant

exhibited defects during invasion of A549 host cells using differential immunofluorescent

staining (6). In this assay, bacteria are stained both before and after host cell

permeabilization to distinguish external and internal bacteria, respectively. Invasion of

the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant was delayed compared to WT but otherwise recovered within 30

min post-infection (Figure 3B). We observed similar invasion kinetics for WT bacteria

and the complement strain, indicating that the delayed invasion of the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant

is due to loss of RARP-1 expression. Thus, RARP-1 supports efficient host cell

invasion. We therefore turned our investigation to the localization and binding partners

of RARP-1 so that we could reveal how this factor contributes to infection.

RARP-1 is not secreted into the host cytoplasm by *R. parkeri*

RARP-1 contains an N-terminal Sec secretion signal and several C-terminal ankyrin

repeats. Ankyrin repeats are often involved in protein-protein interactions (20), and

various intracellular pathogens secrete ankyrin repeat-containing proteins to target an

array of host cell processes (21, 22). Previous work with the typhus group *Rickettsia*

species *R. typhi* suggested that RARP-1 is delivered into host cells through a non-

canonical mechanism mediated by the Sec translocon and TolC (19). We originally

hypothesized that *R. parkeri* also secretes RARP-1 to target host cell functions and

ultimately promote bacterial growth and invasion. To monitor secretion of RARP-1

during infection of A549 cells, we used selective lysis to separate supernatants

containing the infected host cytoplasm from pellets containing intact bacteria. A protein

that is secreted during infection should be detected in both the supernatant and pellet

fractions by immunoblotting, as was observed for the secreted effector Sca4 (Figure 4A,

middle panel). The absence of the bacterial RNA polymerase subunit RpoA in the

supernatant fraction confirmed that our lysis conditions did not cause bacterial lysis and

release of non-secreted bacterial proteins (Figure 4A, bottom panel). Unexpectedly, we

detected 3xFLAG-RARP-1 in the bacterial pellet but not in the supernatant fraction of

cells infected with the *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 complement strain (Figure 4A, top

panel). Similar results were observed for a 3xFLAG-RARP-1 construct containing an

additional Ty1 epitope tag inserted proximal to the C-terminus (Supplementary Figure

1A), suggesting that the lack of detection was not due to proteolytic processing of the

RARP-1 protein. As with the 3xFLAG-RARP-1 construct, this dual-tagged variant

rescued the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant infectious focus defects (Supplementary Figures 1B and

C), demonstrating the functional relevance of the tagged RARP-1 construct. Moreover,

endogenous RARP-1 protein was detectable in the WT bacterial pellet but not in the

supernatant fraction with our polyclonal antibody (Supplementary Figure 1D), confirming

that the epitope-tagged constructs recapitulate the behavior of the endogenous protein.

Together, these results suggest that RARP-1 is not secreted by *R. parkeri* into the host

cytoplasm.

As an alternative strategy to evaluate RARP-1 secretion, we introduced glycogen

synthase kinase (GSK)-tagged constructs into *R. parkeri*. This system has been used to

assess secretion of effector proteins by *Rickettsia* spp. and other bacteria, and it does

not rely on the selective lysis of infected samples (23, 24). GSK-tagged proteins

become phosphorylated by host kinases upon entering the host cytoplasm, and

secretion of the tagged protein can be validated by phospho-specific antibodies (25).

Although GSK-tagged RARP-2, a known secreted effector (23), was phosphorylated,

GSK-tagged RARP-1 and a non-secreted control (BFP) were not phosphorylated during

infection (Figure 4B). These results provide further evidence that RARP-1 is not

secreted into the host cytoplasm by *R. parkeri*.

Heterologously expressed RARP-1 is not secreted by *E. coli*

We were surprised by the results above since previous work suggested that RARP-1 is

delivered into host cells by *R. typhi*. Heterologous expression in *Escherichia coli*

provided evidence that *R. typhi* RARP-1 is secreted in a Sec- and TolC-dependent

manner (19). Following the methodology described by that work, we assessed secretion

of *R. parkeri* and *R. typhi* RARP-1 by WT and Δ*tolC E. coli*. In this assay, *E. coli*

cultures expressing RARP-1 are pelleted and the culture supernatant is then filtered and

precipitated to concentrate proteins released into the extracellular milieu. Although *R.*

parkeri RARP-1 was clearly detectable in the bacterial pellets of both strains, it was not

observed in the supernatants for either strain (Figure 4C). Likewise, we were unable to

detect secretion of *R. typhi* RARP-1 by either strain, in contrast to the previously

described secretion pattern for this protein. To confirm that our use of an N-terminal

3xFLAG tag did not disrupt secretion by *E. coli*, we generated an *R. typhi* RARP-1

construct with a C-terminal Myc-6xHis tag, as described in the previous work. Again, we

were unable to detect secretion of *R. typhi* RARP-1 (Figure 4D). To validate our ability

to detect secreted proteins in the culture supernatant, we assessed secretion of 6xHis-

tagged YebF, a protein known to be exported into the medium by *E. coli* (26). As

expected, YebF was observed in both the bacterial pellet and culture supernatant. The

lack of RARP-1 secretion by *E. coli* is consistent with our immunoblotting results for

infection with *R. parkeri*, suggesting that RARP-1 is not a secreted effector.

RARP-1 localizes to the *R. parkeri* periplasm

Given that RARP-1 is not secreted by *R. parkeri*, we next investigated where it localized

during infection using differential immunofluorescent staining (15). In this assay,

infected A549 host cells are first selectively permeabilized such that only the host cell

contents and bacterial surface are accessible for staining. Then, the bacteria are

permeabilized with lysozyme and detergent to permit immunostaining of proteins inside

the bacteria. By staining with a FLAG tag-specific antibody either with or without this

second permeabilization step, we can distinguish the localization of tagged proteins

inside or outside the bacteria, respectively. We predicted that epitope-tagged RARP-1

expressed by the *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 complement strain would be absent

from the host cytoplasm but present inside permeabilized bacteria. In agreement with

our immunoblotting results above, we did not detect specific FLAG staining in the host

cytoplasm after infection with the complement strain, similar to results with the *rarp-*

1::Tn mutant (Figure 5A). We also did not detect the protein on the bacterial surface.

Instead, 3xFLAG-RARP-1 was only detectable after permeabilizing bacteria with

lysozyme and detergent. Under these conditions, the 3xFLAG-RARP-1 signal

surrounded the bacteria with variable localization patterns and often formed bipolar

puncta (Figure 5B). Line scan analysis of permeabilized bacteria confirmed that

3xFLAG-RARP-1 localized adjacent to the bacterial cytoplasm (Figure 5C). These

localization patterns, together with the presence of an N-terminal Sec secretion signal,

suggest that RARP-1 is not secreted into the host cytoplasm but instead localizes to the

R. parkeri periplasm.

RARP-1 interacts with other bacterial factors that access the periplasm

Based on the 3xFLAG-RARP-1 localization pattern, we hypothesized that RARP-1

might interact with other factors in the *R. parkeri* periplasm to support growth and host

cell invasion. To test this hypothesis, we isolated *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-Ty1-RARP-1

bacteria and treated them with lysozyme-containing lysis buffer to release non-secreted

proteins for pulldown. As a control, we also prepared lysates from WT bacteria that do

not express tagged RARP-1. We then immunoprecipitated the lysates with a FLAG tag-

specific antibody, performed an acid elution to release bound proteins, and analyzed the

eluates by mass spectrometry to identify putative RARP-1 binding partners

(Supplementary Figures 2A and B). Proteins that were present in the tagged lysate

pulldown but absent from the untagged lysate pulldown were called as hits (Table 1 and

Data Set 1).

Of the hits identified, only Sca2 has been functionally characterized in *R. parkeri* (14).

Although Sca2 promotes late-stage actin-based motility, the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant formed

actin tails at frequencies comparable to WT (Figure 2A), indicating that the loss of

RARP-1 does not dramatically impair Sca2 function. However, it is possible that RARP-

1 functions in a more subtle way to influence Sca2 activity. To test this hypothesis, we

used immunoblotting to assess Sca2 expression in the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant (Figure 6A).

The abundance of full-length Sca2 and its processed products was comparable

between the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant and the complement strain, suggesting that RARP-1

does not grossly impact Sca2 levels. Likewise, we observed similar patterns of Sca2

localization between strains (Figure 6B), suggesting that RARP-1 does not play a role in

the polar positioning of Sca2. Taken together, these results suggest that RARP-1 does

not regulate the activity of its putative binding partner Sca2.

Additional hits identified in our analysis include the type IV secretion system outer

membrane components RvhB9 and RvhB10 as well as several hypothetical lipoproteins

and porins (Table 1). At this time, none of these proteins have been functionally

characterized in *R. parkeri*. Consistent with RARP-1 localization to the periplasm,

however, nearly all of these hits are predicted to reside in the periplasm or otherwise

access and transit the periplasm *en route* to the bacterial surface. Thus, it remains

possible that RARP-1 acts with one or more of these binding partners to support growth

and host cell invasion.

Discussion

After host cell invasion, obligate intracellular bacteria must scavenge host nutrients,

proliferate, and avoid destruction by their hosts (2). Disruption of one or all of these

activities will diminish intracellular bacterial loads and ultimately reduce pathogenicity.

While many studies have revealed important regulators of invasion, nutrient acquisition,

and bacterial growth for other species, little is known about the factors that support

rickettsial physiology during infection, and only recently have we begun to uncover the

protective strategies *Rickettsia* spp. employ to ward off host cell defenses (13, 16).

Consequently, we sought to better understand the genetic determinants of rickettsial

infection using our functional genetic approaches in *R. parkeri*. We found that RARP-1

likely resides in the periplasm where it interacts with proteins predicted or known to

drive bacterial fitness or interactions with the host. Furthermore, our results suggest that

RARP-1 supports the *R. parkeri* life cycle by promoting bacterial growth as well as

efficient host cell invasion.

Loss of RARP-1 expression led to a transient invasion delay, suggesting that RARP-1

plays a role in host cell entry. Several studies have identified rickettsial surface proteins

and candidate secreted effectors that facilitate invasion. For example, the outer

membrane protein OmpA and OmpB respectively interact with α2β1 integrin and Ku70

at the host cell surface (5, 7), while the effectors RalF and Risk1 modulate host

membrane phosphoinositides during entry (27, 28). Nevertheless, there is incomplete

conservation across the *Rickettsia* genus for many of these proteins (27), and invasion

is not abolished when the activity of any one protein is inhibited (13, 29); thus, it is likely

that *Rickettsia* spp. use several redundant strategies to enter their hosts. Although

RARP-1 itself is not exported from the bacterium, one or more of the RARP-1

interaction partners may contribute to efficient internalization as discussed above. Loss

of RARP-1 expression would therefore have pleiotropic effects on infection by hindering

both invasion and growth. Alternatively, it is possible that the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant invasion

delay is the result of defective growth in the preceding infection cycles when the

bacteria were harvested. Indeed, invasion competency of the intracellular bacterial

pathogen *Brucella abortus* is linked to cell cycle progression (30). Perhaps rickettsial

invasion efficiency relies on robust growth, without which the invasion program is

impaired.

Loss of RARP-1 expression also reduced bacterial loads, persisting long after the initial

invasion delay was overcome. This defect suggests that RARP-1 plays a role in

bacterial growth through the regulation of bacterial physiology or avoidance of host

defenses. Normally, *R. parkeri* shields itself from autophagy receptors by methylating

outer membrane proteins such as OmpB (13). Loss of OmpB or the methyltransferases

PKMT1 and PKMT2 promotes autophagy of *R. parkeri* and reduction of intracellular

bacterial burdens (16). Since the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant did not display enhanced

recruitment of the autophagy marker LC3, we concluded that the loss of RARP-1 does

not render this mutant more susceptible to autophagy. Nevertheless, we cannot rule out

that growth of the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant is restricted by other host defense strategies

employed by the cell lines used in this study.

Prior work reported that RARP-1 was robustly secreted into the host cytoplasm by *R.*

typhi, and experiments in *E. coli* suggested that RARP-1 relied on a non-canonical Sec-

and TolC-dependent pathway for export (19). We were unable to detect secretion of

endogenous or epitope-tagged RARP-1 into the host cytoplasm by *R. parkeri*, even

though the tagged constructs functionally complemented the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant

phenotype. Similarly, we were unable to detect phosphorylation of GSK-tagged RARP-1

in infected cell lysates as an orthogonal secretion assay. Notably, this lack of secretion

was observed during infection of multiple host cell types and for both WT and *rarp-1*::Tn

backgrounds. We also could not detect secretion of RARP-1 by *E. coli*, despite testing

both *R. parkeri* and *R. typhi* homologs under the same conditions previously published

(19). Nevertheless, it is formally possible that our use of a different *E. coli* K-12 strain

(BW25513 rather than C600) prevented release of RARP-1 into the culture supernatant.

Since *R. typhi* is a BSL-3 pathogen, we are not able to assess secretion of *R. typhi*

RARP-1 by *R. parkeri*, and a loss-of-function *rarp-1* mutant does not exist in *R. typhi*.

Altogether, our data suggest that RARP-1 is not secreted into the host cytoplasm by *R.*

parkeri; instead, it is likely targeted to the periplasm by its Sec secretion signal where it

stays to support bacterial growth and invasion.

RARP-1 is not predicted to possess enzymatic activity, but it does contain a large

central intrinsically disordered region (IDR) and several C-terminal ankyrin repeats

(ANKs). Although IDRs do not form ordered structures on their own, the structural

plasticity of IDRs affords them diverse biological functions (31). For example, the IDRs

of bacterial proteins facilitate chaperone recruitment, passage through narrow protein

channels, and binding of multiple partners as part of a signaling hub (32–34). In

Caulobacter crescentus, the IDR of PopZ serves as a scaffold for concentrating cell

cycle regulators at the cytoplasmic cell poles (34). Given the localization pattern of

RARP-1 and its interactions with various factors in the periplasm, it is possible that the

IDR of RARP-1 performs a similar scaffolding role and concentrates binding partners at

the *R. parkeri* periplasmic cell poles. Although our results suggest that the function and

polar localization of the surface actin nucleator Sca2 is unaffected by the absence of

RARP-1, additional studies will be necessary to assess the activity and localization of

other RARP-1 binding partners in the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant.

ANKs are among the most common protein-protein interaction modules and ANK-

containing proteins govern a variety of cellular processes (20). Many intracellular

bacterial pathogens secrete ANK-containing effectors to target host cell functions,

including protein trafficking, ubiquitination, and transcription (21, 22). Nevertheless,

ANKs have also been shown to support the activity of bacterial proteins that are not

secreted into the extracellular milieu. For example, AnkB localizes to the periplasm of

Pseudomonas aeruginosa where it protects against oxidative stress (35), and Bd3460

of *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* complexes with endopeptidases in the periplasm to

prevent degradation of its own cell wall (36). Although ANKs are best known for

mediating protein-protein interactions, recent work has demonstrated that ANKs can

also bind sugars and lipids (37, 38). Future mutational and biochemical analyses may

reveal if the RARP-1 ANKs are necessary for interactions with its putative binding

partners or if this domain also binds non-protein substrates in the periplasm to support

RARP-1 activity.

Our data suggest that RARP-1 resides in the periplasm where it interacts with several

classes of proteins to support growth and invasion. Since many of these binding

partners have not been functionally characterized, we focused our attention on the

interaction between RARP-1 and Sca2. Sca2 is required for late-stage actin-based

motility in mammalian and tick cells (14, 39), and it is necessary for virulence in animal

models of SFG rickettsial infection (40). Tn mutagenesis of *rarp-1* did not reduce actin

tail frequency or Sca2 localization to the cell poles, suggesting that RARP-1 does not

govern Sca2 function. Nevertheless, it is possible that Sca2 supports the localization or

function of RARP-1 in the periplasm as it acts on other factors to regulate invasion and

growth.

We also detected interactions between RARP-1 and components of the Rickettsiales *vir*

homolog type IV secretion system (*rvh* T4SS). In the canonical *vir* T4SS of

Agrobacterium tumefaciens, substrates are delivered from the bacterial cytoplasm into

the host cell through a channel that spans the inner and outer membranes (41). VirB9

and VirB10, together with VirB7, form a core complex positioned in the periplasm and

outer membrane (42). It is unknown to what extent the *rvh* subunits play similar roles as

their *vir* counterparts, but it is possible that RARP-1 interacts with RvhB10 and both

paralogs of RvhB9 in the periplasm to regulate T4SS assembly or export of effectors. At

this time, few *rvh* T4SS effectors are known and none of them have been shown to

modulate growth (23, 27, 28). Recent work has suggested that the putative *rvh* T4SS

effector Risk1 promotes host cell invasion by *R. typhi* (28); whether Risk1 plays a

similar role in *R. parkeri* or if its secretion is impacted in the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant is

unknown. As new effectors are characterized, it will be important to determine if their

secretion depends on the interaction between RARP-1 and the *rvh* T4SS. Alternatively,

it is possible that the function or localization of RARP-1 is influenced by its interaction

with RvhB9 and RvhB10. The T4SS of the intracellular bacterial pathogen *Legionella*

pneumophila localizes to the poles (43); if the *rvh* T4SS behaves similarly, RARP-1 may

be recruited to the periplasmic cell poles by its core complex binding partners.

Interestingly, many of the RARP-1 binding partners we identified include predicted

porins (MC1_RS06520, MC1_RS00535, MC1_RS00570, and MC1_RS06525) and

lipoproteins (MC1_RS00420 and MC1_RS02895) of unknown function, as well as the

17 kDa surface antigen. Porins are major components of the outer membrane and

regulate the transport of hydrophilic compounds such as nutrients, toxins, and

antibiotics (44). Homologs of MC1_RS00535 and MC1_RS00570 have been identified

on the surface of the related SFG member *R. rickettsii* (45), but the substrates for these

and other rickettsial porins have yet to be characterized. Lipoproteins are lipid-modified

proteins that anchor to the membrane and support many aspects of bacterial

physiology, including nutrient uptake, protein folding, signal transduction, and cell

division (46). Based on remote homology predictions (via HHpred (47)), the hypothetical

lipoproteins identified in this study appear to be unique to the *Rickettsia* genus and

remain uncharacterized. Similarly, the 17 kDa surface antigen is unique to the genus

and Tn mutagenesis of this gene reduces *R. parkeri* plaque size (18, 48), but its

function is unknown. In future studies, it will be important to investigate how disruption

of one or more of these factors contributes to the invasion and growth defects we

observed for the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant.

The remaining RARP-1 interaction partners include homologs of proteins with known

roles in bacterial physiology. For example, the peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein Pal

is concentrated at division septa through its interaction with the Tol machinery, which

supports constriction of the outer membrane and remodeling of septal peptidoglycan

during *E. coli* cell division (49). Although we did not observe any obvious morphological

defects for the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant, the interaction between RARP-1 and Pal could

influence rickettsial growth in a more subtle manner. PcaH, a subunit of

protocatechuate-3,4-dioxygenase, was also identified as a RARP-1 binding partner. As

part of the beta-ketoadipate pathway, this enzyme is involved in the conversion of

aromatic compounds to TCA cycle intermediates (50). Homologs for all other enzymes

in this pathway, however, are absent in the reduced genome of *R. parkeri* (via the

KEGG pathway database (51)); thus, a role for PcaH and its interaction with RARP-1

during infection is unclear. Finally, we also detected an interaction between RARP-1

and HflC. HflC complexes with HflK in the periplasm to modulate the activity of the

integral membrane protease FtsH (52). If RARP-1 provides an additional layer of

regulation over FtsH through its interaction with HflC, it is possible that disruption of

membrane protein quality control underlies the *rarp-1*::Tn mutant invasion and growth

defects.

Our work uncovers an important role for RARP-1 in supporting the *R. parkeri* life cycle.

Through its targeting to the periplasm, we propose that RARP-1 regulates invasion and

growth by acting in concert with one or more of the factors revealed in our study.

Further work is needed to characterize these interactions since many of the RARP-1

binding partners we identified have unknown functions in the *Rickettsia* genus.

Expansion of the rickettsial toolkit could facilitate these efforts as well as help determine

if there is temporal or spatial control of RARP-1 activity during the *R. parkeri* life cycle.

Moreover, structure-function analyses of RARP-1 could provide valuable insight into its

mechanism of action in particular and the function of ANK- and IDR-containing proteins

in general. Homologs of RARP-1 are notably absent outside the genus, despite

conservation of the protein across *Rickettsia* spp. (19). We therefore speculate that

RARP-1 represents a core and unique adaptation to the demands of the host cell niche,

and future studies may extend its relevance to infection of arthropod vectors. The

success of *Rickettsia* spp. hinges on their ability to access and thrive within the complex

environment of the host cytoplasm. Continued investigation into the factors that support

these fundamental processes will not only improve our understanding of rickettsial

biology, but will also highlight the diverse strategies underpinning obligate intracellular

bacterial life.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

A549 human lung epithelial and Vero monkey kidney epithelial cell lines were obtained

from the University of California, Berkeley Cell Culture Facility (Berkeley, CA). A549

cells were maintained in DMEM (Gibco #11965118) containing 10% FBS. Vero cells

were maintained in DMEM containing 5% FBS. A549 cells stably expressing

cytoplasmic TagRFP-T (A549-TRT) were generated by retroviral transduction as

previously described (15). Cell lines were confirmed to be mycoplasma-negative by

MycoAlert PLUS Assay (Lonza #LT07-710) performed by the Koch Institute High

Throughput Sciences Facility (Cambridge, MA).

Plasmid construction

pRAM18dSGA-3xFLAG-RARP-1 was generated from pRAM18dSGA[MCS] (kindly

provided by Dr. Ulrike Munderloh) and contains the 247 bp immediately upstream of the

tolC start codon (MC1_RS01570), the first 23 aa (amino acids) of *R. parkeri* RARP-1

(MC1_RS01585) containing the Sec SS, a HV<u>DYKDHDGDYKDHDIDYKDDDDK</u>HV

sequence (3xFLAG epitope tag underlined), the remaining 550 aa of RARP-1, and the

R. parkeri ompA terminator (MC1_RS06480). pRL0079 is identical to pRAM18dSGA-

3xFLAG-RARP-1 but contains GSGG<u>EVHTNQDPLD</u>GGT (Ty1 epitope tag underlined)

between residues 396 and 397.

pRL0284 was generated from pRAM18dSGA[MCS] and contains the *R. parkeri ompA*

promoter, an N-terminal <u>MSGRPRTTSFAES</u>GS sequence (GSK epitope tag

underlined), TagBFP from pRAM18dRA-2xTagBFP (15), and the *ompA* terminator.

pRL0285 is identical to pRL0284 but contains *R. parkeri* RARP-2 (MC1_RS04780) in

place of TagBFP. Similarly, pRL0286 contains *R. parkeri* RARP-1 in place of TagBFP,

but GS<u>MSGRPRTTSFAES</u>GS was inserted after the Sec SS (as in pRAM18dSGA-

3xFLAG-RARP-1) instead of at the N-terminus.

pRL0287 was generated from pEXT20 (kindly provided by Dr. Michael Laub) and

contains the *R. parkeri* RARP-1 insert with intervening 3xFLAG epitope tag from

pRAM18dSGA-3xFLAG-RARP-1. pRL0288 is identical to pRL0287, except the 23 aa

Sec SS of *R. typhi* RARP-1 (RT0218) and the remaining 563 aa of *R. typhi* RARP-1

were used. In contrast, pRL0289 contains the full 586 aa of *R. typhi* RARP-1 with a C-

terminal KGEFEAYV<u>EQKLISEEDL</u>NSAVD<u>HHHHHH</u> sequence (Myc and 6xHis epitope

tags underlined) as previously described (19). For pRL0290, a C-terminal VD<u>HHHHHH</u>

sequence (6xHis epitope tag underlined) was added to *E. coli* YebF (NCBI b1847).

Generation of *R. parkeri* strains

Parental *R. parkeri* str. Portsmouth (kindly provided by Dr. Chris Paddock) and all

derived strains were propagated by infection and mechanical disruption of Vero cells

grown in DMEM containing 2% FBS at 33 °C as previously described (15, 18). Bacteria

were clonally isolated and expanded from plaques formed after overlaying infected Vero

cell monolayers with agarose as previously described (18). When appropriate, bacteria

were further purified by centrifugation through a 30% MD-76R gradient (Mallinckrodt

Inc. #1317-07) as previously described (15). Bacterial stocks were stored as aliquots at

-80 °C to minimize variability due to freeze-thaws. Titers were determined for bacterial

stocks by plaque assay (15), and plaque sizes (Figure 1B) were measured with ImageJ

after 5 d infection.

Bacteria were transformed with plasmids by small-scale electroporation as previously

described (18), except infections were scaled down to a T25 cm^2 flask and bacteria

were electroporated with 1 μg dialyzed plasmid DNA. When appropriate, rifampicin (200

ng/mL) or spectinomycin (50 μg/mL) were included to select for transformants. The *rarp-*

1::Tn and *sca2*::Tn mutants were generated as previously described (18), and the

genomic locations of the Tn insertion sites were determined by semi-random nested

PCR and Sanger sequencing. The expanded strains were verified by PCR amplification

of the Tn insertion site using primers flanking the region. The *ompB^{STOP}*::Tn mutant

(referred to as *ompB*::Tn in this work; kindly provided by Dr. Matthew Welch) was

generated as previously described (13).

R. parkeri infections

For the infectious focus assays (Figures 2A and E and Supplementary Figures 1B and

C), confluent A549 cells grown on 12 mm coverslips in 24-well plates were infected at

an MOI of 0.005-0.025, centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min at RT, and incubated at 33 °C

for 1 h. Infected cells were washed three times with PBS before adding complete media

with 10 μg/mL gentamicin. Infections progressed for 28 h at 33°C until fixation with 4%

PFA in PBS for 10 min at RT.

To measure actin tail and protrusion frequencies (Figures 2B and C), confluent A549

cells grown on 12 mm coverslips in 24-well plates were infected at an MOI of 0.3-0.6,

centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min at RT, and incubated at 33 °C for 1 h. Infected cells

were washed three times with PBS before adding complete media with 10 µg/mL

gentamicin. Infections progressed for 28 h at 33°C until fixation with 4% PFA in PBS for

10 min at RT.

For the mixed-cell assays (Figure 2D), A549-TRT donor cells were plated in 96-well

plates and unlabeled A549 recipient cells were plated in 6-well plates and grown to

confluency. Donors were infected at an MOI of 9-10, centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min at

RT, and incubated at 33 °C for 1 h. Infected donors and uninfected recipients were

washed with PBS, lifted with citric saline (135 mM KCl, 15 mM sodium citrate) at 37 °C

to preserve cell surface receptors, recovered in complete media, washed twice with

complete media to remove residual citric saline, and resuspended in complete media

with 10 µg/mL gentamicin (6 x 10^5 cells/mL donors and 8 x 10^5 cells/mL recipients).

Cells were then mixed at a 1:125 ratio (5.3 µL donors and 500 µL recipients) and plated

on 12 mm coverslips in 24-well plates. Infections progressed for 31 h at 33 °C until

fixation with 4% PFA in PBS for 1 h at RT.

To measure growth (Figure 2F), confluent Vero cells grown in 24-well plates were

infected in triplicate at an MOI of 0.025, centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min at RT, and

incubated at 33 °C for 1 h. Infected cells were washed three times with serum-free

DMEM before adding complete media and allowing infections to progress at 33 °C. To

harvest samples at the indicated time point, infected cells were scraped into the media

and centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 5 min. The resulting pellets were resuspended in 600

µL Nuclei Lysis Solution (Promega #A7941), boiled for 10 min to release genomic DNA,

and processed with a Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega #A1125)

according to manufacturer instructions. After air-drying, the DNA pellets were

resuspended in 100 µL H_2O, incubated at 65 °C for 1 h, and allowed to completely

rehydrate overnight at RT. For qPCR, runs were carried out on a LightCycler 480

(Roche) at the MIT BioMicro Center (Cambridge, MA). Primers to the *R. parkeri* 17 kDa

surface antigen gene (MC1_RS06550; 5'-TTCGGTAAGGGCAAAGGACA-3' and 5'-

GCACCGATTTGTCCACCAAG-3') and to *Chlorocebus sabaeus GAPDH* (5'-

AATGGGACTGAAGCTCCTGC-3' and 5'-ATCACCACCCCTCTACCTCC-3') were used

to determine bacterial and host genome equivalents, respectively, relative to a standard

curve prepared from a pooled mixture of the 96 h time point WT infection samples.

Results from each biological replicate were normalized to the 1 h time point and fold-

change was calculated.

To evaluate LC3 recruitment (Figure 3A), confluent A549 cells grown on 12 mm

coverslips in 24-well plates were infected at an MOI of 1.8-3.6, centrifuged at 200 x g for

5 min at RT, and incubated at 33 °C for 2 h until fixation with 4% PFA in PBS for 10 min.

To measure invasion efficiency (Figure 3B), confluent A549 cells grown on 12 mm

coverslips in 24-well plates were placed on ice and the media was replaced with 500 µL

ice-cold complete media. The cells were then infected at an MOI of 0.7-1.2, centrifuged

at 200 x g for 5 min at 4 °C, 500 µL 37 °C complete media was added, and the plates

were immediately moved to 37 °C until fixation with 4% PFA in PBS for 10 min.

To evaluate secretion of RARP-1 (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figures 1A and D),

confluent A549 cells grown in 24-well plates were infected at an MOI of 0.5-1.0,

centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min at RT, and incubated at 33 °C until the indicated harvest

time point (Figure 4A) or for 48 h (Supplementary Figures 1A and D).

To evaluate secretion of GSK-tagged constructs (Figure 4B), confluent Vero cells grown

in 24-well plates were infected with the indicated strains, centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min

at RT, and incubated at 33 °C with spectinomycin for 72 h (when infected cells were

approximately 90% rounded) before harvesting.

To evaluate the localization of epitope-tagged RARP-1 (Figures 5A-C), confluent A549

cells grown in 24-well plates were infected at an MOI of 0.3-0.6, centrifuged at 200 x g

for 5 min at RT, and incubated at 33 °C for 27 h until fixation with 4% PFA in PBS for 1

h.

To evaluate the localization of Sca2 (Figure 6B), confluent A549 cells grown in 24-well

plates were infected at an MOI of 0.3-0.6, centrifuged at 200 x g for 5 min at RT, and

incubated at 33 °C for 28 h until fixation with 4% PFA in PBS for 10 min.

E. coli **secretion assays**

E. coli K-12 BW25113 (WT) and JW5503-1 (Δ*tolC*) from the Keio Knockout Collection

(53) were obtained from Horizon Discovery. SDS sensitivity and the KanR cassette

insertion site were confirmed for the Δ*tolC* strain. Secretion assay samples were

collected and processed as previously described (19). Bacterial pellets and precipitated

proteins were boiled in loading buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol,

0.1% bromophenol blue, 5% β-mercaptoethanol).

RARP-1 antibody production

The RARP-1 peptide antigen (SNEMHEAQVASNEHND, corresponding to residues

159-174) was selected and synthesized by New England Peptide (Gardner, MA). The

peptide antigen was conjugated to KLH and used for immunization by Pocono Rabbit

Farm and Laboratory (Canadensis, PA) according to their 70 day rabbit polyclonal

antibody protocol.

Immunoblotting

To assess RARP-1 and Sca2 expression (Figures 1C, 1D, and 6A), purified bacteria

were boiled in loading buffer and analyzed by western blot using rabbit RARP-1 peptide

antisera, rabbit anti-FLAG (Cell Signaling Technology #2368), rabbit anti-Sca2 (kindly

provided by Dr. Matthew Welch), and mouse anti-OmpA 13-3 (kindly provided by Dr.

Ted Hackstadt). For Figures 1C and 6A, the parental WT *R. parkeri* strain lacking

pRAM18dRGA+OmpApr-GFPuv was used. In Figures 1C and D, the apparent MW of

RARP-1 is greater than its predicted MW (60 kDa). This aberrant migration by SDS-

PAGE is typical of proteins with IDRs (54).

To evaluate secretion of RARP-1 (Figure 4A and Supplementary Figures 1A and D),

infected cells were washed three times with PBS, lifted with trypsin-EDTA, and

centrifuged at 2,400 x g for 5 min at RT. The resulting pellets were resuspended in

selective lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol,

1% IGEPAL) containing protease inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich #P1860), incubated on ice

for 15 min, and centrifuged at 11,300 x g for 10 min at 4 °C. The resulting pellets were

washed with PBS and boiled in loading buffer. The resulting supernatants were passed

through a 0.22 µm cellulose acetate filter (Thermo Scientific #F2517-1) by centrifugation

at 6,700 x g for 10 min at 4 °C, combined with loading buffer (to a final volume equal to

the final pellet volume), and boiled. Lysates were analyzed by western blot using rabbit

anti-FLAG, rabbit anti-Sca4 (15), mouse anti-Ty1 (kindly provided by Dr. Sebastian

Lourido), rabbit RARP-1 peptide antisera, and mouse anti-RpoA (BioLegend #663104).

To evaluate secretion of GSK-tagged constructs (Figure 4B), infected cells were

washed with ice-cold serum-free DMEM, directly lysed in loading buffer, and boiled.

Lysates were analyzed by western blot using rabbit anti-GSK-3β-Tag (Cell Signaling

Technology #9325) and rabbit anti-phospho-GSK-3β (Cell Signaling Technology

#9336).

For the *E. coli* secretion assays (Figures 4C and D), bacterial pellet lysates (equivalent

to 0.025 OD_{600}-mL of cultured cells) and precipitated culture supernatants (equivalent to

2 mL of culture supernatant prior to precipitation) were analyzed by western blot using

rabbit anti-FLAG and HRP-conjugated mouse anti-His (ABclonal #AE028).

For the co-immunoprecipitation assays (Supplementary Figures 2A and B), samples

were analyzed by western blot using rabbit anti-Sca4 and rabbit anti-FLAG.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

All micrographs were acquired on an Olympus IXplore Spin microscope system, and

image analysis was performed with ImageJ unless otherwise stated.

For the infectious focus assays (Figures 2A and E and Supplementary Figures 1B and

C), fixed samples were incubated with 0.1 M glycine in PBS for 10 min at RT to quench

residual PFA. Samples were then washed three times with PBS, permeabilized with

0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min at RT, and washed another three times with PBS.

Samples were then incubated with blocking buffer (2% BSA in PBS) for 30 min at RT.

Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and incubated for 1 h

each at RT with three 5 min PBS washes after each incubation step. The following

antibodies and stains were used: mouse anti-β-catenin (Cell Signaling Technology

#2677) to detect host membrane, rabbit anti-*Rickettsia* I7205 (kindly provided by Dr.

Ted Hackstadt), goat anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen #A-11004),

goat anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen #A-11008), and Hoechst

(Invitrogen #H3570) to detect host nuclei. Coverslips were mounted using ProLong Gold

Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen #P36934). Images were acquired using a 60X

UPlanSApo (1.30 NA) objective. For each strain, 20-35 foci were imaged and the

number of infected cells and bacteria per focus was calculated.

To measure actin tail and protrusion frequencies (Figures 2B and C), fixed samples

were processed as above, except phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen

#A22287) was included to detect actin. For each strain, ≥ 380 bacteria were imaged

using a 100X UPlanSApo (1.35 NA) objective and the percentage of bacteria with tails

(> 1 bacterial length) and the percentage of bacteria within protrusions were calculated.

For the mixed-cell assays (Figure 2D), fixed samples were processed as above, except

the following antibodies and stains were used: mouse anti-*Rickettsia* 14-13 (kindly

provided by Dr. Ted Hackstadt), goat anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647

(Invitrogen #A-21235), and phalloidin-iFluor 405 Reagent (Abcam #ab176752). For

each strain, 20 foci were imaged using a 60X objective and the percentage of bacteria

per focus that had spread to recipient cells was calculated.

To evaluate LC3 recruitment (Figure 3A), fixed samples were processed as above,

except cells were permeabilized with 100% methanol for 5 min at RT instead of Triton

X-100 and the following antibodies and stains were used: rabbit anti-LC3B (ABclonal

#A7198), mouse anti-*Rickettsia* 14-13, goat anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568,

goat anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488, and Hoechst. Representative images

were acquired using a 100X objective.

To measure invasion efficiency (Figure 3B), fixed samples were incubated with 0.1 M

glycine in PBS for 10 min at RT to quench residual PFA. Samples were then washed

three times with PBS and incubated with blocking buffer for 30 min at RT. To stain

external bacteria, primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and

incubated for 30 min each at RT with three 5 min PBS washes after each incubation

step. The following antibodies and stains were used: mouse anti-*Rickettsia* 14-13 and

goat anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647. The samples were then fixed with 4%

PFA in PBS for 5 min at RT, washed three times with PBS, and quenched with 0.1 M

glycine in PBS for 10 min at RT. Samples were then washed three times with PBS,

permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 min at RT, and washed another

three times with PBS. To stain both external and internal bacteria, primary and

secondary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and incubated for 30 min each at

RT with three 5 min PBS washes after each incubation step. The following antibodies

and stains were used: mouse anti-*Rickettsia* 14-13 and goat anti-mouse conjugated to

Alexa Fluor 488. For each strain, 20 fields of view each containing ≥ 45 bacteria were

imaged using a 60X objective. To facilitate analysis, internal and external bacteria were

quantified using ilastik (55); the pixel classifier was trained to distinguish bacteria from

background, and then the object classifier was trained to distinguish between internal

(single-stained) and external (double-stained) bacteria.

To evaluate the localization of epitope-tagged RARP-1 (Figures 5A-C), fixed samples

were incubated with 0.1 M glycine in PBS for 10 min at RT to quench residual PFA.

Samples were then washed three times with PBS, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-

100 in PBS for 5 min at RT, and washed another three times with PBS. Samples were

then incubated with goat serum blocking buffer (2% BSA and 10% normal goat serum in

PBS) for 30 min at RT. To stain host cell contents and bacterial surface proteins,

primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in goat serum blocking buffer and

incubated for 3 h at 37 °C and 1 h at RT, respectively, with three 5 min PBS washes

after each incubation step. For Figure 5A, rabbit anti-FLAG, mouse anti-*Rickettsia* 14-

13, goat anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (Invitrogen #A-21245), and goat anti-

mouse conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 were used, and coverslips were mounted after

washing. For Figure 5B, only mouse anti-*Rickettsia* 14-13 and goat anti-mouse

conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 were used in the first round of staining, and coverslips

were instead fixed with 4% PFA in PBS for 5 min at RT after washing. These samples

were then incubated with 0.1 M glycine in PBS for 10 min at RT to quench residual PFA

and washed three times with PBS. To expose proteins inside the bacteria for staining,

these samples were incubated with lysozyme reaction buffer (0.8X PBS, 50 mM

glucose, 5 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 5 mg/mL lysozyme (Sigma #L6876)) for 20

min at 37 °C and then washed three times with PBS. Rabbit anti-FLAG and goat anti-

rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 were diluted in goat serum blocking buffer and

incubated for 3 h at 37 °C and 1 h at RT, respectively, with three 5 min PBS washes

after each incubation step. Coverslips were mounted after the second round of staining.

For Figure 5C, the same procedure was used as in Figure 5B, except goat anti-mouse

conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 was replaced with Alexa Fluor 405 (Invitrogen #A-31553)

to permit imaging of bacterial GFP. Representative images were acquired using a 100X

objective. Images in Figure 5C were deconvolved by performing five iterations of the

cellSens (Olympus) advanced maximum likelihood estimation algorithm, and a 0.26 µm

width pole-to-pole linescan was performed with ImageJ.

To evaluate the localization of Sca2 (Figure 6B), fixed samples were processed as

above, except the following antibodies and stains were used: rabbit anti-Sca2, mouse

anti-*Rickettsia* 14-13, goat anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen #A-

11011), goat anti-mouse conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen #A-11001),

phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647, and Hoechst. For each strain, ≥ 350 bacteria

were imaged using a 100X objective and the Sca2 localization pattern was determined

(following the classification scheme from (14)).

Co-immunoprecipitation assays

Two replicate samples each of WT and *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-Ty1-RARP-1 bacteria

were processed in parallel for FLAG co-immunoprecipitation. For each sample, bacteria

purified from a fully infected T175 cm^2 flask were centrifuged at 16,200 x g for 2 min at

RT, resuspended in 1 mL immunoprecipitation lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150

mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100) containing 50 U/µL Ready-Lyse Lysozyme

(Lucigen #R1804M) and protease inhibitors, incubated for 25 min at RT, and centrifuged

at 11,300 x g for 15 min at 4 °C. The resulting supernatants were pre-cleared twice by

incubation with 28 µL 50% mouse IgG agarose slurry (Sigma #A0919) for 30 min at 4

°C. The pre-cleared input lysates were then incubated with 28 µL 50% anti-FLAG M2

magnetic bead slurry (Sigma #M8823) overnight at 4 °C. The bound complexes were

washed four times with 500 µL ice-cold immunoprecipitation wash buffer (50 mM Tris-

HCl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl) containing protease inhibitors, eluted by incubation with 65.2

µL 0.1 M glycine (pH 2.8) for 20 min at RT, and neutralized with 9.8 µL 1 M Tris-HCl (pH

8.5). The neutralized eluates were then combined with loading buffer and submitted to

the Whitehead Institute Proteomics Core Facility (Cambridge, MA) for sample workup

and mass spectrometry analysis. Equivalent bacterial input was confirmed by

immunoblotting for Sca4 (Supplementary Figure 2A).

Mass spectrometry

Samples were run 1 cm into an SDS-PAGE gel, excised, and then reduced, alkylated,

and digested with trypsin overnight at 37 °C. The resulting peptides were extracted,

concentrated, and injected onto a nanoACQUITY UPLC (Waters) equipped with a self-

packed Aeris 3.6 µm C18 analytical column (20 cm x 75 µm; Phenomenex). Peptides

were eluted using standard reverse-phase gradients. The effluent from the column was

analyzed using an Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer (nanospray configuration; Thermo

Scientific) operated in a data-dependent manner. Peptides were identified using

SEQUEST (Thermo Scientific) and the results were compiled in Scaffold (Proteome

Software). RefSeq entries for *R. parkeri* str. Portsmouth (taxonomy ID 1105108) and

Homo sapiens (taxonomy ID 9606) were downloaded from NCBI and concatenated with

a database of common contaminants. Peptide identifications were accepted at a

threshold of 95%. Protein identifications were accepted with a threshold of 99% and two

unique peptides. Rickettsial proteins that were present in both replicates of the tagged

(*rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-Ty1-RARP-1) lysate pulldown but absent from both replicates of

the untagged (WT) lysate pulldown were called as hits.

Statistical analyses

818 Statistical analysis was performed using Prism 9 (GraphPad Software). Graphical

819 representations, statistical parameters, and significance are reported in the figure

820 legends. Data were considered to be statistically significant when $p < 0.05$, as

821 determined by an unpaired Student's *t* test or one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's

822 test.

Data Availability

825 Mass spectral data and the protein sequence database used for searches have been

826 deposited in the public proteomics repository MassIVE (https://massive.ucsd.edu,

827 MSV000088867).

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Michael Laub, Jon McGinn, and Brandon Sit for critical review of the

manuscript. We thank Ted Hackstadt, Michael Laub, Sebastian Lourido, Ulrike

Munderloh, Chris Paddock, and Matthew Welch for reagents and Sebastian Lourido,

Elizabeth Boydston, Natasha Kafai, and Adam Nock for technical help. We also thank

Whitehead Institute Proteomics Core Facility members Eric Spooner and Edward Dudek

for experimental support. This work was supported by NIH/NIGMS T32GM007287 and

References

1. Kumar Y, Valdivia RH. 2009. Leading a sheltered life: Intracellular pathogens and

maintenance of vacuolar compartments. Cell Host Microbe 5:593–601.

2. Ray K, Marteyn B, Sansonetti PJ, Tang CM. 2009. Life on the inside: the

intracellular lifestyle of cytosolic bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 7:333–340.

3. Walker DH, Ismail N. 2008. Emerging and re-emerging rickettsioses: endothelial cell

infection and early disease events. Nat Rev Microbiol 6:375-386.

4. McGinn J, Lamason RL. 2021. The enigmatic biology of rickettsiae: recent

advances, open questions and outlook. Pathog Dis 79:ftab019.

5. Chan YGY, Cardwell MM, Hermanas TM, Uchiyama T, Martinez JJ. 2009.

Rickettsial outer-membrane protein B (rOmpB) mediates bacterial invasion through

Ku70 in an actin, c-Cbl, clathrin and caveolin 2-dependent manner. Cell Microbiol

11:629–644.

6. Reed SCO, Serio AW, Welch MD. 2012. *Rickettsia parkeri* invasion of diverse host

cells involves an Arp2/3 complex, WAVE complex and Rho-family GTPase-

dependent pathway. Cell Microbiol 14:529–545.

7. Hillman RD, Baktash YM, Martinez JJ. 2013. OmpA-mediated rickettsial adherence

to and invasion of human endothelial cells is dependent upon interaction with α2β1

integrin. Cell Microbiol 15:727–741.

8.   Teysseire N, Boudier JA, Raoult D. 1995. *Rickettsia conorii* entry into Vero cells.

Infect Immun 63:366–374.

9.   Borgo GM, Burke TP, Tran CJ, Lo NTN, Engström P, Welch MD. 2021. A patatin-

like phospholipase mediates *Rickettsia parkeri* escape from host membranes.

bioRxiv https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.10.21.465009.

10.   Driscoll TP, Verhoeve VI, Guillotte ML, Lehman SS, Rennoll SA, Beier-Sexton M,

Rahman MS, Azad AF, Gillespie JJ. 2017. Wholly *Rickettsia*! Reconstructed

metabolic profile of the quintessential bacterial parasite of eukaryotic cells. mBio

8:e00859-17.

11.   Ahyong V, Berdan CA, Burke TP, Nomura DK, Welch MD. 2019. A metabolic

dependency for host isoprenoids in the obligate intracellular pathogen *Rickettsia*

parkeri underlies a sensitivity to the statin class of host-targeted therapeutics.

mSphere 4:e00536-19.

12.   Clifton DR, Goss RA, Sahni SK, Antwerp D van, Baggs RB, Marder VJ,

Silverman DJ, Sporn LA. 1998. NF-κB-dependent inhibition of apoptosis is essential

for host cell survival during *Rickettsia rickettsii* infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci

95:4646–4651.

13.   Engström P, Burke TP, Mitchell G, Ingabire N, Mark KG, Golovkine G, Iavarone

AT, Rape M, Cox JS, Welch MD. 2019. Evasion of autophagy mediated by

Rickettsia surface protein OmpB is critical for virulence. Nat Microbiol 4:2538–2551.

14.   Reed SCO, Lamason RL, Risca VI, Abernathy E, Welch MD. 2014. *Rickettsia*

actin-based motility occurs in distinct phases mediated by different actin nucleators.

Curr Biol 24:98–103.

15.   Lamason RL, Bastounis E, Kafai NM, Serrano R, del Álamo JC, Theriot JA,

Welch MD. 2016. *Rickettsia* Sca4 reduces vinculin-mediated intercellular tension to

promote spread. Cell 167:670-683.e10.

16.   Engström P, Burke TP, Tran CJ, Iavarone AT, Welch MD. 2021. Lysine

methylation shields an intracellular pathogen from ubiquitylation and autophagy. Sci

Adv 7:eabg2517.

17.   Gillespie JJ, Williams K, Shukla M, Snyder EE, Nordberg EK, Ceraul SM,

Dharmanolla C, Rainey D, Soneja J, Shallom JM, Vishnubhat ND, Wattam R,

Purkayastha A, Czar M, Crasta O, Setubal JC, Azad AF, Sobral BS. 2008.

Rickettsia phylogenomics: unwinding the intricacies of obligate intracellular life.

PLOS ONE 3:e2018.

18.   Lamason RL, Kafai NM, Welch MD. 2018. A streamlined method for transposon

mutagenesis of *Rickettsia parkeri* yields numerous mutations that impact infection.

PLOS ONE 13:e0197012.

19.   Kaur SJ, Rahman MS, Ammerman NC, Ceraul SM, Gillespie JJ, Azad AF. 2012.

TolC-dependent secretion of an ankyrin repeat-containing protein of *Rickettsia typhi*.

J Bacteriol 194:4920–4932.

20.   Mosavi LK, Cammett TJ, Desrosiers DC, Peng Z. 2004. The ankyrin repeat as

molecular architecture for protein recognition. Protein Sci Publ Protein Soc 13:1435–

1448.

21.   Al-Khodor S, Price CT, Kalia A, Kwaik YA. 2010. Ankyrin-repeat containing

proteins of microbes: a conserved structure with functional diversity. Trends

Microbiol 18:132–139.

22.   Aistleitner K, Clark T, Dooley C, Hackstadt T. 2020. Selective fragmentation of

the *trans*-Golgi apparatus by *Rickettsia rickettsii*. PLOS Pathog 16:e1008582.

23.   Lehman SS, Noriea NF, Aistleitner K, Clark TR, Dooley CA, Nair V, Kaur SJ,

Rahman MS, Gillespie JJ, Azad AF, Hackstadt T. 2018. The rickettsial ankyrin

repeat protein 2 is a type IV secreted effector that associates with the endoplasmic

reticulum. mBio 9:e00975-18.

24.   Bauler LD, Hackstadt T. 2014. Expression and targeting of secreted proteins

from *Chlamydia trachomatis*. J Bacteriol 196:1325–1334.

25.   Torruellas Garcia J, Ferracci F, Jackson MW, Joseph SS, Pattis I, Plano LRW,

Fischer W, Plano GV. 2006. Measurement of effector protein injection by type III and

type IV secretion systems by using a 13-residue phosphorylatable glycogen

synthase kinase tag. Infect Immun 74:5645–5657.

26.   Zhang G, Brokx S, Weiner JH. 2006. Extracellular accumulation of recombinant

proteins fused to the carrier protein YebF in *Escherichia coli*. Nat Biotechnol

24:100–104.

27.   Rennoll-Bankert KE, Rahman MS, Gillespie JJ, Guillotte ML, Kaur SJ, Lehman

SS, Beier-Sexton M, Azad AF. 2015. Which way in? The RalF Arf-GEF orchestrates

Rickettsia host cell invasion. PLOS Pathog 11:e1005115.

28.   Voss OH, Gillespie JJ, Lehman SS, Rennoll SA, Beier-Sexton M, Rahman MS,

Azad AF. 2020. Risk1, a phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase effector, promotes *Rickettsia*

typhi intracellular survival. mBio 11:e00820-20.

29.   Noriea NF, Clark TR, Hackstadt T. 2015. Targeted knockout of the *Rickettsia*

rickettsii OmpA surface antigen does not diminish virulence in a mammalian model

system. mBio 6:e00323-15.

30.   Deghelt M, Mullier C, Sternon J-F, Francis N, Laloux G, Dotreppe D, Van der

Henst C, Jacobs-Wagner C, Letesson J-J, De Bolle X. 2014. G1-arrested newborn

cells are the predominant infectious form of the pathogen *Brucella abortus*. Nat

Commun 5:4366.

31.   Dyson HJ, Wright PE. 2005. Intrinsically unstructured proteins and their

functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 6:197–208.

32.   Rodgers L, Gamez A, Riek R, Ghosh P. 2008. The type III secretion chaperone

SycE promotes a localized disorder-to-order transition in the natively unfolded

effector YopE. J Biol Chem 283:20857–20863.

33.   Housden NG, Hopper JTS, Lukoyanova N, Rodriguez-Larrea D, Wojdyla JA,

Klein A, Kaminska R, Bayley H, Saibil HR, Robinson CV, Kleanthous C. 2013.

Intrinsically disordered protein threads through the bacterial outer membrane porin

OmpF. Science 340:1570–1574.

34.   Holmes JA, Follett SE, Wang H, Meadows CP, Varga K, Bowman GR. 2016.

Caulobacter PopZ forms an intrinsically disordered hub in organizing bacterial cell

poles. Proc Natl Acad Sci 113:12490–12495.

35.   Howell ML, Alsabbagh E, Ma J-F, Ochsner UA, Klotz MG, Beveridge TJ,

Blumenthal KM, Niederhoffer EC, Morris RE, Needham D, Dean GE, Wani MA,

Hassett DJ. 2000. AnkB, a periplasmic ankyrin-like protein in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa, is required for optimal catalase B (KatB) activity and resistance to

hydrogen peroxide. J Bacteriol 182:4545–4556.

36.   Lambert C, Cadby IT, Till R, Bui NK, Lerner TR, Hughes WS, Lee DJ, Alderwick

LJ, Vollmer W, Sockett RE, Lovering AL. 2015. Ankyrin-mediated self-protection

during cell invasion by the bacterial predator *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus*. Nat

Commun 6:8884.

37.   Woodford CR, Thoden JB, Holden HM. 2015. A new role for the ankyrin repeat

revealed by the study of the N-formyltransferase from *Providencia alcalifaciens*.

Biochemistry 54:631–638.

38.   Kim DH, Park M-J, Gwon GH, Silkov A, Xu Z-Y, Yang EC, Song S, Song K, Kim

Y, Yoon HS, Honig B, Cho W, Cho Y, Hwang I. 2014. Chloroplast targeting factor

AKR2 evolved from an ankyrin repeat domain coincidentally binds two chloroplast

lipids. Dev Cell 30:598–609.

39.   Harris EK, Jirakanwisal K, Verhoeve VI, Fongsaran C, Suwanbongkot C, Welch

MD, Macaluso KR. 2018. Role of Sca2 and RickA in the dissemination of *Rickettsia*

parkeri in *Amblyomma maculatum*. Infect Immun 86:e00123-18.

40.   Kleba B, Clark TR, Lutter EI, Ellison DW, Hackstadt T. 2010. Disruption of the

Rickettsia rickettsii Sca2 autotransporter inhibits actin-based motility. Infect Immun

78:2240–2247.

41.   Costa TRD, Harb L, Khara P, Zeng L, Hu B, Christie PJ. 2021. Type IV secretion

systems: advances in structure, function, and activation. Mol Microbiol 115:436–452.

42.   Fronzes R, Schäfer E, Wang L, Saibil HR, Orlova EV, Waksman G. 2009.

Structure of a type IV secretion system core complex. Science 323:266–268.

43.   Jeong KC, Ghosal D, Chang Y-W, Jensen GJ, Vogel JP. 2017. Polar delivery of

Legionella type IV secretion system substrates is essential for virulence. Proc Natl

Acad Sci 114:8077–8082.

44.   Vergalli J, Bodrenko IV, Masi M, Moynié L, Acosta-Gutiérrez S, Naismith JH,

Davin-Regli A, Ceccarelli M, van den Berg B, Winterhalter M, Pagès J-M. 2020.

Porins and small-molecule translocation across the outer membrane of Gram-

negative bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 18:164–176.

45.   Gong W, Xiong X, Qi Y, Jiao J, Duan C, Wen B. 2014. Identification of novel

surface-exposed proteins of *Rickettsia rickettsii* by affinity purification and

proteomics. PLOS ONE 9:e100253.

46.   Kovacs-Simon A, Titball RW, Michell SL. 2011. Lipoproteins of bacterial

pathogens. Infect Immun 79:548–561.

47.   Gabler F, Nam S-Z, Till S, Mirdita M, Steinegger M, Söding J, Lupas AN, Alva V.

2020. Protein sequence analysis using the MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit. Curr Protoc

Bioinforma 72:e108.

48.   Anderson BE. 1990. The 17-kilodalton protein antigens of spotted fever and

typhus group rickettsiae. Ann N Y Acad Sci 590:326–333.

49.   Yakhnina AA, Bernhardt TG. 2020. The Tol-Pal system is required for

peptidoglycan-cleaving enzymes to complete bacterial cell division. Proc Natl Acad

Sci 117:6777–6783.

50.   Harwood CS, Parales RE. 1996. The β-ketoadipate pathway and the biology of

self-identity. Annu Rev Microbiol 50:553–590.

51.   Kanehisa M, Goto S. 2000. KEGG: Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes.

Nucleic Acids Res 28:27–30.

52.   Bittner L-M, Arends J, Narberhaus F. 2017. When, how and why? Regulated

proteolysis by the essential FtsH protease in *Escherichia coli*. Biol Chem 398:625–

635.

53.   Baba T, Ara T, Hasegawa M, Takai Y, Okumura Y, Baba M, Datsenko KA,

Tomita M, Wanner BL, Mori H. 2006. Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame,

single-gene knockout mutants: the Keio collection. Mol Syst Biol 2:2006.0008.

54.   Tompa P. 2002. Intrinsically unstructured proteins. Trends Biochem Sci 27:527–

533.

55.   Berg S, Kutra D, Kroeger T, Straehle CN, Kausler BX, Haubold C, Schiegg M,

Ales J, Beier T, Rudy M, Eren K, Cervantes JI, Xu B, Beuttenmueller F, Wolny A,

Zhang C, Koethe U, Hamprecht FA, Kreshuk A. 2019. ilastik: interactive machine

learning for (bio)image analysis. Nat Methods 16:1226–1232.

1006

1007 **Figures**

1008

Figure 1. Transposon mutagenesis of *rarp-1* impairs *R. parkeri* infection. (A) *R.*

parkeri RARP-1 contains an N-terminal Sec secretion signal (SS, orange), a central

intrinsically disordered region (IDR, light blue), and C-terminal ankyrin repeats (ANKs,

dark blue). Tn insertions at residues 305 (Sp116) and 480 (Sp64) are indicated

(arrowheads). (B) Plaque areas in infected Vero cell monolayers. Means from two

independent experiments (squares) are superimposed over the raw data (circles) and

were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (unpaired two-tailed *t* test, *p < 0.05

relative to WT). (C) Western blot for RARP-1 using purified *R. parkeri* strains. 3xFLAG-

tagged and endogenous RARP-1 are indicated (arrowheads). OmpA, loading control.

(D) Western blot for FLAG using purified *R. parkeri* strains. 3xFLAG-tagged RARP-1 is

indicated (arrowhead). OmpA, loading control.

1020

Figure 2. RARP-1 supports bacterial growth and is dispensable for cell-to-cell

spread. (A) Infected cells per focus during infection of A549 cells. The means from

three independent experiments (squares) are superimposed over the raw data (circles)

and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc

Dunnett's test, **p < 0.01 relative to WT). (B) Percentage of bacteria with actin tails

during infection of A549 cells. (C) Percentage of bacteria within a protrusion during

infection of A549 cells. In (B) and (C), the percentages were determined from three

independent experiments (≥ 380 bacteria were counted for each infection) and were

used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's

test, n.s. relative to WT). (D) Percentage of bacteria per focus that spread from infected

donor cells to uninfected recipient cells by mixed-cell assay in A549 cells. The means

from three independent experiments (squares) are superimposed over the raw data

(circles) and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with

post-hoc Dunnett's test, ****p < 0.0001 relative to WT). The *sca2*::Tn mutant was used

as a positive control. (E) Bacteria per focus during infection of A549 cells. The means

from three independent experiments (squares) are superimposed over the raw data

(circles) and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with

post-hoc Dunnett's test, *p < 0.05). These data correspond to the same set of infectious

focus assays displayed in (A). (F) Growth curves as measured by *R. parkeri* (17 kDa

surface antigen) genome equivalents per Vero host cell (*GAPDH*) genome equivalent

normalized to 1 h post-infection. The mean ± SD for triplicate samples from a

representative experiment were compared at each timepoint after log_2 transformation

(unpaired two-tailed *t* test, *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 relative to WT).

1044

Figure 3. RARP-1 is dispensable for evasion of host cell autophagy and supports

host cell invasion. (A) Recruitment of LC3 during infection of A549 cells. Samples

were stained for LC3 (magenta) and bacteria (cyan). The *ompB*::Tn mutant was used as

a positive control, and bacteria associated with LC3-positive membranes are indicated

(arrowheads). Scale bar, 2 μm. (B) Efficiency of invasion into A549 cells. The means ±

SD from a representative experiment (n = 20 fields of view each with ≥ 45 bacteria)

were compared at each timepoint (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's test, **p <

0.01 and ****p < 0.0001 relative to WT).

1053

Figure 4. RARP-1 is not secreted. (A) Western blots for FLAG (top) and Sca4 (middle)

during infection of A549 cells with *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 bacteria. Infected host

cells were selectively lysed at various timepoints to separate supernatants (S)

containing the infected host cytoplasm from pellets (P) containing intact bacteria. RpoA

(bottom) served as a control for bacterial lysis or contamination of the infected

cytoplasmic fraction. L, ladder. (B) Western blot for GSK-tagged constructs during

infection of Vero cells. Whole cell infected lysates were probed with antibodies against

the GSK tag (left) or its phosphorylated form (P~GSK, right) to detect exposure to the

host cytoplasm. BFP (non-secreted) and RARP-2 (secreted) were used as controls.

Uninf, uninfected whole cell lysate. (C) Western blot for FLAG using N-terminal FLAG-

tagged *R. parkeri* (*Rp*) or *R. typhi* (*Rt*) RARP-1 expressed by WT or Δ*tolC E. coli*. (D)

Western blot for His using C-terminal Myc-6xHis-tagged *R. typhi* RARP-1 or C-terminal

6xHis-tagged *E. coli* YebF expressed by WT *E. coli*. For (C) and (D), cultures were

pelleted (P) and the culture supernatant (S) was filtered and precipitated to concentrate

proteins released into the medium.

1069

Figure 5. RARP-1 localizes to the *R. parkeri* periplasm. (A) Images of *rarp-1*::Tn

(top) and *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 (bottom) bacteria during infection of A549 cells.

Samples were stained for FLAG (magenta) and the bacterial surface (cyan) without

permeabilization of bacteria. Scale bars, 20 μm. (B) Images of *rarp-1*::Tn (top) and *rarp-*

1::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 (bottom) bacteria during infection of A549 cells. The bacterial

surface (cyan) was stained prior to permeabilization by lysozyme and detergent and

subsequent staining for FLAG (magenta). Scale bar, 5 μm. (C) Subcellular localization

of 3xFLAG-RARP-1 in a representative *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 bacterium during

infection of A549 cells. The bacterial surface (cyan) was stained prior to

permeabilization by lysozyme and detergent and subsequent staining for FLAG

(magenta). GFP (yellow) demarcates the bacterial cytoplasm. Scale bar, 1 μm. A pole-

to-pole 0.26 μm width linescan (right) was generated for FLAG, GFP, and the bacterial

surface.

1083

1084 **Table 1. Co-immunoprecipitation of lysozyme-permeabilized bacteria reveals that**

1085 **RARP-1 interacts with other bacterial factors that access the periplasm.[a,b]**

1086 [a]Putative RARP-1 binding partners are ordered by decreasing spectral count.

1087 [b]MC1_RS05020 is the only hit not predicted to access the periplasm.

1088

Figure 6. RARP-1 does not regulate the abundance or localization of Sca2. (A)

Western blot for Sca2 from purified *R. parkeri* strains. Full-length Sca2 (arrowhead),

Sca2 cleavage products (bracket), and the truncation product in the *sca2*::Tn mutant

(open arrowhead) are indicated. (B) Percentage of bacteria with the indicated Sca2

localization pattern during infection of A549 cells. Percentages were determined from

two independent experiments (≥ 350 bacteria were counted for each infection) and were

used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's

test, n.s. relative to WT).

1097

1098 **Supplemental Material**

1099

Supplementary Figure 1. Tagged RARP-1 constructs and endogenous RARP-1 are

not secreted. (A) *R. parkeri* RARP-1 with insertion sites for 3xFLAG and Ty1 epitope

tags indicated (arrowheads). Western blots for FLAG (top) and Ty1 (middle) after

infection of A549 cells with *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 (single-tagged) or *rarp-1*::Tn +

3xFLAG-Ty1-RARP-1 (dual-tagged) bacteria. (B) Infected cells per focus during

infection of A549 cells. (C) Bacteria per focus during infection of A549 cells. In (B) and

(C), the means from three independent experiments (squares) are superimposed over

the raw data (circles) and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way

ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's test, **$p < 0.01$ relative to WT). (D) Western blots for

RARP-1 (top) and Sca4 (middle) after infection of A549 cells with WT or *rarp-1*::Tn

bacteria. Note the specific RARP-1 band in the pellet sample for WT bacteria only, in

contrast to the identical non-specific bands in the supernatant samples for WT and *rarp-*

1::Tn bacteria. In (A) and (D), infected host cells were selectively lysed after 48 h to

separate supernatants (S) containing the infected host cytoplasm from pellets (P)

containing intact bacteria. RpoA (bottom) served as a control for bacterial lysis or

contamination of the infected cytoplasmic fraction.

1116

Supplementary Figure 2. Inputs and eluates from co-immunoprecipitation of

lysozyme-permeabilized bacteria. (A) Western blot for Sca4 (loading control) in input

lysates. (B) Western blot for FLAG in input lysates and FLAG immunoprecipitation

eluates. In (A) and (B), bacteria expressing tagged (+) or untagged (–) RARP-1 were

purified and then permeabilized by lysozyme prior to immunoprecipitation. Two replicate

samples were harvested from each strain.

1123

1124   **Data Set 1. Full co-immunoprecipitation / mass spectrometry results.**

1125

1126 **Supplementary Table 1. Strains and plasmids used in this study.**

Figure 1. Transposon mutagenesis of *rarp-1* impairs *R. parkeri* infection. (A) *R. parkeri* RARP-1 contains an N-terminal Sec secretion signal (SS, orange), a central intrinsically disordered region (IDR, light blue), and C-terminal ankyrin repeats (ANKs, dark blue). Tn insertions at residues 305 (Sp116) and 480 (Sp64) are indicated (arrowheads). (B) Plaque areas in infected Vero cell monolayers. Means from two independent experiments (squares) are superimposed over the raw data (circles) and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (unpaired two-tailed t test, *p < 0.05 relative to WT). (C) Western blot for RARP-1 using purified *R. parkeri* strains. 3xFLAG-tagged and endogenous RARP-1 are indicated (arrowheads). OmpA, loading control. (D) Western blot for FLAG using purified *R. parkeri* strains. 3xFLAG-tagged RARP-1 is indicated (arrowhead). OmpA, loading control.

Figure 2. RARP-1 supports bacterial growth and is dispensable for cell-to-cell spread. (A) Infected cells per focus during infection of A549 cells. The means from three independent experiments (squares) are superimposed over the raw data (circles) and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's test, **p < 0.01 relative to WT). (B) Percentage of bacteria with actin tails during infection of A549 cells. (C) Percentage of bacteria within a protrusion during infection of A549 cells. In (B) and (C), the percentages were determined from three independent experiments (≥ 380 bacteria were counted for each infection) and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's test, n.s. relative to WT). (D) Percentage of bacteria per focus that spread from infected donor cells to uninfected recipient cells by mixed-cell assay in A549 cells. The means from three independent experiments (squares) are superimposed over the raw data (circles) and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's test, ****p < 0.0001 relative to WT). The *sca2*::Tn mutant was used as a positive control. (E) Bacteria per focus during infection of A549 cells. The means from three independent experiments (squares) are superimposed over the raw data (circles) and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's test, *p < 0.05). These data correspond to the same set of infectious focus assays displayed in (A). (F) Growth curves as measured by *R. parkeri* (17 kDa surface antigen) genome equivalents per Vero host cell (*GAPDH*) genome equivalent normalized to 1 h post-infection. The mean ± SD for triplicate samples from a representative experiment were compared at each timepoint after $\log_2$ transformation (unpaired two-tailed *t* test, *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01 relative to WT).

Figure 3. RARP-1 is dispensable for evasion of host cell autophagy and supports host cell invasion. (A) Recruitment of LC3 during infection of A549 cells. Samples were stained for LC3 (magenta) and bacteria (cyan). The *ompB*::Tn mutant was used as a positive control, and bacteria associated with LC3-positive membranes are indicated (arrowheads). Scale bar, 2 μm. (B) Efficiency of invasion into A549 cells. The means ± SD from a representative experiment (n = 20 fields of view each with ≥ 45 bacteria) were compared at each timepoint (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's test, **p < 0.01 and ****p < 0.0001 relative to WT).

Figure 4. RARP-1 is not secreted. (A) Western blots for FLAG (top) and Sca4 (middle) during infection of A549 cells with *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 bacteria. Infected host cells were selectively lysed at various timepoints to separate supernatants (S) containing the infected host cytoplasm from pellets (P) containing intact bacteria. RpoA (bottom) served as a control for bacterial lysis or contamination of the infected cytoplasmic fraction. L, ladder. (B) Western blot for GSK-tagged constructs during infection of Vero cells. Whole cell infected lysates were probed with antibodies against the GSK tag (left) or its phosphorylated form (P~GSK, right) to detect exposure to the host cytoplasm. BFP (non-secreted) and RARP-2 (secreted) were used as controls. Uninf, uninfected whole cell lysate. (C) Western blot for FLAG using N-terminal FLAG-tagged *R. parkeri* (*Rp*) or *R. typhi* (*Rt*) RARP-1 expressed by WT or Δ*tolC E. coli*. (D) Western blot for His using C-terminal Myc-6xHis-tagged *R. typhi* RARP-1 or C-terminal 6xHis-tagged *E. coli* YebF expressed by WT E. coli. For (C) and (D), cultures were pelleted (P) and the culture supernatant (S) was filtered and precipitated to concentrate proteins released into the medium.

Figure 5. RARP-1 localizes to the *R. parkeri* periplasm. (A) Images of *rarp-1*::Tn (top) and *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 (bottom) bacteria during infection of A549 cells. Samples were stained for FLAG (magenta) and the bacterial surface (cyan) without permeabilization of bacteria. Scale bars, 20 μm. (B) Images of *rarp-1*::Tn (top) and *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 (bottom) bacteria during infection of A549 cells. The bacterial surface (cyan) was stained prior to permeabilization by lysozyme and detergent and subsequent staining for FLAG (magenta). Scale bar, 5 μm. (C) Subcellular localization of 3xFLAG-RARP-1 in a representative *rarp-1*::Tn + 3xFLAG-RARP-1 bacterium during infection of A549 cells. The bacterial surface (cyan) was stained prior to permeabilization by lysozyme and detergent and subsequent staining for FLAG (magenta). GFP (yellow) demarcates the bacterial cytoplasm. Scale bar, 1 μm. A pole-to-pole 0.26 μm width linescan (right) was generated for FLAG, GFP, and the bacterial surface.

Gene ID	Description
MC1_RS01995	RvhB10; T4SS outer membrane core complex
MC1_RS00605	Sca2; autotransporter; surface actin nucleation
MC1_RS00420	hypothetical lipoprotein
MC1_RS06520	hypothetical porin
MC1_RS02895	hypothetical lipoprotein
MC1_RS00535	hypothetical porin
MC1_RS00570	OmpW family protein; porin
MC1_RS01970	RvhB9a; T4SS outer membrane core complex
MC1_RS01990	RvhB9b; T4SS outer membrane core complex
MC1_RS06075	Pal; peptidoglycan-associated lipoprotein
MC1_RS05020	50S ribosomal protein L17
MC1_RS06525	hypothetical porin
MC1_RS02795	PcaH; protocatechuate-3,4-dioxgenase
MC1_RS00865	HflC; protease modulator
MC1_RS06550	17 kDa surface antigen

Table 1. Co-immunoprecipitation of lysozyme-permeabilized bacteria reveals that RARP-1 interacts with other bacterial factors that access the periplasm.[a,b]

[a]Putative RARP-1 binding partners are ordered by decreasing spectral count.

[b]MC1_RS05020 is the only hit not predicted to access the periplasm.

Figure 6. RARP-1 does not regulate the abundance or localization of Sca2. (A) Western blot for Sca2 from purified *R. parkeri* strains. Full-length Sca2 (arrowhead), Sca2 cleavage products (bracket), and the truncation product in the *sca2*::Tn mutant (open arrowhead) are indicated. (B) Percentage of bacteria with the indicated Sca2 localization pattern during infection of A549 cells. Percentages were determined from two independent experiments (≥ 350 bacteria were counted for each infection) and were used to calculate the mean ± SD and p-value (one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Dunnett's test, n.s. relative to WT).