Discordant population structure inferred from male- and female-type

mtDNAs from *Macoma balthica*, a bivalve species characterized by doubly

uniparental inheritance of mitochondria

[1,2]Le Cam Sabrina, [1,3]Brémaud Julie, [1]Becquet Vanessa, [1]Huet Valérie, [1]Dubillot Emmanuel,

[1]Garcia Pascale, [1,4]Viricel Amélia, [3]Breton Sophie, [1,4]Pante Eric

[1] Laboratoire Littoral Environnement et Sociétés (LIENSs), UMR 7266 CNRS-LRU, 17000

La Rochelle, France

[2] Département de biologie, Université du Québec à Rimouski, Rimouski, QC, Canada

[3] Département de sciences biologiques, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada

[4] Univ Brest, CNRS, IRD, Ifremer, UMR 6539, LEMAR, Plouzané, France

Corresponding authors: eric.pante@cnrs.fr, sablecam15@gmail.com

Abstract

Doubly Uniparental Inheritance (DUI) of mitochondria is a remarkable exception to the

Strictly Maternal Inheritance (SMI) in metazoans. In species characterized by DUI --almost

exclusively gonochoric bivalve mollusks-- females (F) transmit mitochondria to offspring of

both sexes, while males (M) pass on their mitochondria exclusively to their sons. Under DUI,

males are heteroplasmic, somatic tissues containing F-transmitted mtDNA and gametic cells

containing M-transmitted mtDNAs. The aforementioned transmission routes make M- and F-

transmitted mtDNA interesting as sex-specific markers which can differ in their effective

population sizes, mutation rates, and selective constraints. For these reasons, looking at both

markers can provide significant insights into the genetic structure of populations and

investigate its determinants. In this study, we document differences in genetic diversity,

divergence, inter-populational differentiation and biogeographic structure between M- and F-

type *cox1* mt genes in the Baltic tellin (*Macoma balthica*) to test whether *cox1m* and *cox1f*

genes bear the marks of similar phylogeographic histories. These markers were sequenced for

302 male individuals sampled from the North Sea to the Gironde Estuary (Southern France)

encompassing the intra-subspecific *M. b. rubra* hybrid zone in the Gulf of Saint Malo. Both

genes supported a scenario of cladogenesis of *M.b. rubra* clades prior to the last glacial

maximum. Nucleotide diversity and net divergence were over twice higher in *cox1m* compared to *cox1f*. Genetic differentiation between northern and southern populations was nearly 3 times higher at *cox1m* compared to *cox1*f (global Φ_{ST} = 0.345 and 0.126 respectively) and the geographic localization of the strongest genetic break significantly differed between the markers (Finistère Peninsula at *cox1f*; Cotentin Peninsula at *cox1m*, ~250 km apart). A higher mutation rate, relaxed negative selection and differences in effective population sizes (depending on locations) at *cox1m* could explain differences in population genetic structure. As both F- and M-type mtDNAs interact with nuclear genes for oxidative phosphorylation and ATP production, geographical discordances in genetic clines in a context of secondary contact could be linked to mito-nuclear genetic incompatibilities.

Keywords

Doubly Uniparental Inheritance, heteroplasmy, phylogeography, discordance, hybrid zone, genetic cline, mitochondria

Introduction

Some species show a remarkable exception to the maternal inheritance of mitochondria in metazoans: the doubly uniparental mode of inheritance (DUI). In this system, both males and females can transmit their mitochondria. The former transmits female-inherited F-type mitochondria to all their progeny and the latter pass on "male-inherited" (M-type) mitochondria to their male offspring, where the male mitogenomes (mt) are quartered in male germ line and gametes (reviewed in Zouros, 2013). To date, DUI has only been discovered in the class Bivalvia, with over 100 DUI species (Gusman et al., 2016) among the *c.a.* 11,000 (Huber, 2010; Huber et al., 2015). They are all gonochoric, except for the hermaphroditic mussel *Semimytilus algosus* (Lubośny et al., 2020). More than a simple peculiarity, DUI is suspected to play a role in sex-determination and gonad differentiation (Breton et al., 2011; Capt et al., 2018, 2019; Guerra et al., 2017; Zouros, 2000) and could well be involved in population structure through intrinsic (*e.g.* genetic incompatibilities; Saavedra et al., 1996) and extrinsic (*e.g.* selection and demography, Stewart et al., 1996) factors.

In DUI species, divergence between F-type and M-type mitogenomes is variable but generally high, ranging from 6 to over 50% (reviewed in Breton et al., 2007; Gusman et al., 2016), which questions the maintenance of mito-nuclear genetic coadaptation. Indeed, both F- and M-type mitochondria can be found in males and females but in majority, females are

homoplasmic for the F-type mtDNA whereas males are heteroplasmic, accommodating two

highly divergent mitogenomes (F-type in somatic tissues and M-type in sperm). The presence

of the M-type mtDNA in somatic tissues is considered as a paternal leak due to elimination or

segregation failure of sperm mitochondria in female or male embryos, respectively (Milani et

al., 2012). Both F- and M-type mt lineages show rapid molecular evolution compared to other

animals, the M-type mtDNA usually evolving faster than the F-type mtDNA (Zouros, 2013).

Coevolution and coadaptation of mitochondrial and nuclear genes are required for efficient

cellular energy production (*i.e.* oxidative phosphorylation OXPHOS) and mito-nuclear

genetic incompatibilities (MNIs) can lead to a desynchronization of this machinery (Burton et

al., 2013; Burton & Barreto, 2012). DUI could, therefore, bear on the maintenance of genetic

structure among populations of highly dispersive bivalve species at small spatial scales and

provide key insight into the establishment and maintenance of local adaptation.

Indeed, barriers to gene flow can arise and be maintained by a multitude of

environmental and/or intrinsic factors (Barberousse et al., 2010), from ecological isolation to

genetic incompatibilities. Hybrid zones, which correspond to regions spatially separating

genetic stocks, are "natural laboratories" to study the interactions between intrinsic barriers

and the environment, and the processes of adaptation and speciation.

Macoma balthica, a species in which DUI has recently been detected (Capt et al.,

2020; Pante et al., 2017), is a noteworthy model species to study hybrid zones in marine

ecosystems (Riginos & Cunningham, 2007; Strelkov et al., 2007). It has a wide distribution

range spanning from the west pacific coasts, in Japan and from Alaska to Oregon (USA,

Luttikhuisen et al., 2003) to the North Atlantic, where the species is found in the west from

Arctic to Newfoundland (Layton et al., 2016) and in the east from the north of Russia

(Hummel et al., 1997) to the Arcachon Basin (Hily, 2013 and this publication). The

succession of glaciation and inter-glaciation periods has resulted in colonization of the

Atlantic marked by repeated isolation, invasion, and re-colonization events (Nikula et al.,

2007). These episodic colonization events have created multiple opportunities for secondary

contacts between different genetic stocks and the establishment of several hybrid zones in the

North Atlantic, where two subspecies of *M. balthica* co-occur. A Pacific lineage (*M. b.*

balthica) is present in the Baltic Sea and the White Sea, and an Atlantic lineage (*M. b. rubra*)

is found in the Norwegian Sea, the North Sea and along the British coasts, down to the

southern range limit of the species (Luttikhuizen et al., 2003; Nikula et al., 2008; Väinölä,

2003). In Europe, two genetic breaks were described: an inter-subspecific break occurs

between *M. b. balthica* and *M. b. rubra* in the transition zone from the North Sea to the Baltic

Sea (Luttikhuizen et al., 2012; Nikula et al., 2007, 2008), and an intra-subspecific break
within *M. b. rubra* in the Gulf of Saint-Malo (Brittany, France). In the latter case, southern
populations of *M. b. rubra* (distributed between the southern range limit and the
aforementioned break) exhibit F-type mtDNA signatures consistent with long-term isolation
in the glacial refugium of the Bay of Biscay: high genetic diversity relative to previously
glaciated areas, high prevalence of private alleles, and sharp genetic differentiation from
northern populations (Becquet et al., 2012). Deciphering signal of primary intergradation
from secondary contact in hybrid zones is challenging; we have therefore gathered sequences
from both F and M mitogenomes to estimate the timing of cladogenesis in the *Macoma*
species complex, particularly in the *M. b. rubra* hybrid zone. Clarifying the phylogeographic
context will allow us to focus on the effects of DUI on the evolution of *M. b. rubra* lineages.
Indeed, multiple nuclear genes involved in the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) system
of mitochondria were detected as significantly differentiated among southern and northern
populations (Pante et al., 2012, 2019); DUI could therefore play a role in the maintenance of
genetic barriers in *M. b. rubra*.
116

Materials and Methods

Sampling

Individuals were collected from a total of 14 sampling sites ranging from Arcachon (southern
range limit of the species, France) to Le Crotoy (Somme Bay, northern France) and from
Kruiningen (the Netherlands) to Sylt (Germany) (Table S1). Individuals from sampling sites
on the French coasts were treated as follows: 70 to 100 adults from 11 mm to 23 mm were
randomly collected live at sexual maturity between 4th and 23rd of April 2018 at 10 locations
ranging from the Bay of Biscay in southern France to Somme Bay (Table S1). Individuals
were then held in aquaria until dissection at the LIENSs laboratory (LIttoral ENvironnement
et Sociétés) in La Rochelle, France, with water temperature maintained at 10°C. They were
fed with a multispecific microalgal mixture every other day and dead or dying individuals
were removed daily. For each individual, the adductor muscle was carefully severed to
separate the two valves, without damaging the gonad, and a sample of the mantle was taken.
Sex and gonadal maturation stage were then determined with a dissecting microscope (x100
to x400). Two types of tissue samples were collected: a gonadic sample and a somatic sample
(mantle). All tissue samples were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at -80°C
until DNA extraction. At each step of this protocol, dissecting tools and bench surfaces were

thoroughly cleaned in successive baths of 10 % bleach, demineralized water, and 95 % EtOH

as to avoid DNA cross-contamination. Using this dataset, we checked that male mitochondria

were limited to male gonads (*i.e.* absent from other male tissues and from females); thus

making molecular sexing permissible (Le Cam et al., 2023).

For the other sampling sites, whole individuals had been collected for a previous

study (Becquet et al., 2012 and the BIOCOMBE project) and were preserved in 95% EtOH.

A 3 mm^3 piece of tissue was sampled from the gonad, gDNA was purified and quantified as

detailed below, and PCR amplifications were attempted with both the *cox1m* and *cox1f*

primers. Individuals for which both gene regions could be amplified were considered as

males and included in subsequent analyses.

For phylogenetic inferences, additional samples were sequenced to grasp as much diversity as

possible within the *Macoma* species complex, from the NW, NE Atlantic and the NE Pacific

(table S1). They were combined to available data from Nikula et al., (2007), Becquet et al.,

(2012), Layton et al., (2016) and Yurchenko et al., (2018)

Molecular Analyses

Total DNA was extracted with the Nucleospin Tissue Kit (Macherey Nagel), following the

manufacturer's instructions. In Saint-Vaast-la-Hougue (VAA) and Mont-Saint-Michel

(MSM), most specimens were sexually undifferentiated at the time of sampling. Therefore,

for these samples and for the samples from all the other "non-French" localities, sex was

determined by checking for the amplification of both male and female *cox1* markers in gonad

DNA. DNA purity and potential contaminants were checked using a NanoDrop2000.

Specific primers were designed to independently amplify the 5' portion of the *cox1*

gene of the M-type and F-type mitogenomes (*cox1m* and *cox1f* respectively). To do that, both

mitogenomes published in Capt et al., 2020 were used and they came from *M. b. rubra*

specimens sampled in Aytré, France (clade b1b). We also used *cox1* sequences retrieved from

transcriptomic data (Pante et al., 2012) from specimens sampled in Aytré, Somme Bay

(France, b1a clade) and Gdansk (Poland, b2/b3 clade). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

amplifications of *cox1f* and *cox1m* mitochondrial DNA regions were performed using the

following primers (*cox1m*: cox1m14641F ATAGCTGGCCTGGTGTTTAGG, cox1m15560R

TTGGACCCTTTCGAGCCAAG; *cox1f*: cox1f5434F TTAGTGACTTCACACGGTTTGC,

cox1f6032R TGGGAAATAATCCCAAACCCG). Amplifications were realized in a total

volume of 25 µL, with 0.1 µL of Taq Polymerase 5 U.µL^{-1} (TaKaRa Ex Taq® Kit MgCl$_2$

Free Buffer) (TaKaRa Ex Taq, TaKaRa Bio, Shiga, Japan), 2.5 µL PCR Buffer 10X, 1.5 µL

MgCl$_2$ 25 mM, 1 µL dNTP 2.5 mM each, 0.6 µL each primer 10 µM and 17.7 Milli-Q water,

and 1 to 20 ng of template DNA. SensoQuest thermal cyclers (Göttingen, Germany) were

used to perform the following PCR cycling profiles: (i) for the *cox1f*, 2 min of initial

denaturation at 94°C, then 30 cycles consisting of 45 sec at 94°C followed by 30 sec of

annealing at 57°C and 40 sec of elongation at 72°C, and a 5 min final step of elongation at

72°C, and (ii) for the *cox1m*, 2 min at 94°C, then 30 cycles of 30 sec at 94°C, 30 sec at 60°C

and 55 sec at 72°C, and a final elongation for 5 min at 72°C. PCR success (*i.e.* amplicon

concentration, specificity and absence of amplicons for PCR and extraction negative

controls), was tested on 1% agarose gels. PCR products were then sent to Eurofins GATC

Biotech GmbH (Konstanz, Germany) to be purified and Sanger sequencing was performed in

both directions.

Sequence Analyses

All sequences of *cox1f* sequences and *cox1m* fragments were quality-controlled and aligned

in Geneious Prime 10.2.6 (Kearse et al., 2012). Clade names follow Nikula et al., (2007).

Consensus sequences were trimmed to 479 bp and 676 bp for *cox1f* and *cox1m*, respectively.

cox1m sequences, trimmed at the same length as *cox1f* (479bp), were used for further

comparative analyses. Haplotype sequences and their frequencies were computed in R v3.3.3

(R Core Team, 2017) using the *pegas* package v0.11.(Paradis, 2010). In order to model

intraspecific relationships among haplotypes, for both *cox1f* and *cox1m*, median-joining

networks (Bandelt et al., 1999) were constructed with PopART v1.7 software (Leigh &

Bryant, 2015). For each marker, we constructed a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree

using IQ-TREE software (Nguyen et al., 2015) with 1,000 bootstrap replicates, and

nucleotide acid-substitution models were inferred using ModelFinder with Bayesian

information criteria (Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2015). For *cox1f*, data

from Nikula et al., (2007), Becquet et al., (2012), Layton et al., (2016) and Yurchenko et al.,

(2018) were used for phylogenetic inference. For *cox1m*, we also sequenced data from the

Macoma species complex, that is *M. petalum* (clade A) and *M balthica balthica (*clades C and

D*)*. From these genealogical relationships, mitochondrial lineages were defined

(haplogroups) and their population relative frequency estimated.

We used the net distance approach to estimate the timing of cladogenesis events within the

Macoma tree.We used the same dataset as described for phylogenetic reconstruction,

estimated the most likely model of nucleotide substitution in IQTREE. In MEGA 11 (Tamura

et al., 2021), we calculated net distances using the Tamura-Nei model (Tamura & Nei, 1993)

as it was the closest model identified by IQTREE. The rate variation among sites was

modeled with a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 0.17). The differences in the

composition bias among sequences were considered in evolutionary comparisons (Tamura &

Kumar, 2002). All codon positions were included, using the pairwise deletion option for

missing data. We used 115, 479 bp-long sequences for *cox1f* and 102, 676 bp-long sequences

for *cox1m*. The substitution rates for *cox1f* and *cox1m* were then estimated using the net

distance described above and the calibration dates proposed in Nikula et al., (2007) for the

trans-Atlantic divergence between rubra and balthica. 3.5My corresponds to the opening of

the Bering Strait, the earliest time of invasion of *Macoma* into the Atlantic. 2My corresponds

to the earliest known fossils of *Macoma balthica* in Europe (Norton & Spaink, 1973). This

strategy was also used by Wares & Cunningham, (2001) and Riginos & Cunningham, (2007),

and Riginos et al., (2004) in the context of DUI.

Genetic diversity indices and population differentiation analyses at *cox1f* and *cox1m* were

estimated only for sampling sites with a minimum sample size of 10 individuals. Genetic

diversity indices were calculated using Arlequin v3.5.2.2 (Excoffier et al., 1992): haplotype

number (H), haplotype diversity (Hd) and nucleotide diversity (π Tajima, 1983). The

differences between the distributions of each genetic diversity statistic (π, Hd) were

statistically evaluated using Wilcoxon rank tests for paired data. Genetic differentiation was

estimated in Arlequin using the $\varPhi_{ST}$ fixation index and its statistical significance was tested

by performing 10,000 permutations (Excoffier et al., 1992).We performed maximum-

likelihood geographical cline analyses with the r package HZAR 0.2-9 (Derryberry et al.,

2014) together with cline centers and cline widths estimates. Clines were fitted on haplogroup

frequencies and geographical distances from the most northern sample sites (SYL). For each

haplogroup frequencies, two models were fitted and compared to the null model (no cline): I

(fixed scaling based on minimum and maximum observed frequencies and no exponential

tails) and II (free scaling and no exponential tails). We performed model selection using

AICc scores to determine the preferred model and then extracted the ML model parameters.

Geographical distances along the coast were computed using the marmap 1.0.10 R package

(Pante & Simon-Bouhet, 2013).

An analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) was carried out to evaluate the genetic

structure among geographic regions and overall sampling sites using 10,000 permutations

with Arlequin v3.5.2.2 (Excoffier et al., 1992). We used jModeltest2 (Darriba et al., 2012;

Guindon & Gascuel, 2003) to choose the Tamura-Nei model of nucleotide substitution (with

gamma shape parameters of 0.1150 and 0.1450 for *cox1f* and *cox1m*, respectively) as a

measure of haplotype distances in Arlequin v3.5.2.2. Based on discordances in the

distribution of the genetic diversity at *cox1f* and *cox1m*, several hierarchical groupings of
subpopulations were tested for each marker to determine the best geographical delineation of
genetic structure (Table 2: 3 groups (CRI,KRU / CRO,VAA,MSM,BRI /
MAH,BRE,AYT,FOU,ARC); 2 groups A (CRI,KRU,CRO,VAA /
MSM,BRI,MAH,BRE,AYT,FOU,ARC); 2 groups B (CRI,KRU,CRO,VAA,MSM,BRI /
MAH,BRE,AYT,FOU,ARC)). Different measures of populations differentiation (pairwise and
AMOVA) were also carried out using conventional F- statistics.

For the *cox1f* and *cox1m* markers, mismatch distribution analyses were performed to
infer deviations from long-term population stability. We considered two genetically-
homogeneous groups (as in Marko et al., 2010): a "North" group composed of individuals
from KRU, SYL, WIL and CRI, and a "South" group with individuals from AYT, ARC, BRE
and FOU. As detailed in the Results, no genetic sub-structure was detected within these two
groups using pairwise Φ_{ST}. Observed distributions were compared to distributions expected
under constant size and growth/decline models. Raggedness r (Harpending, 1994) and
Ramos-Onsins and Rozas' R_2 (Ramos-Onsins & Rozas, 2002) statistics were estimated, and
their significance along with confidence intervals were computed using coalescent
simulations (10 000 replicates). These analyses were carried out with DNAsp6 (Rozas et al.,
2017). To test for differences in selective pressure on the female and male mitochondrial
sequence sets, Fu's Fs (Fu, 1997) and Tajima's D (Tajima, 1989) test for deviations from the
neutral theory model for a population of constant size was calculated in Arlequin v3.5.2.2
(Excoffier et al., 1992) for each marker and group. Their statistical significance was tested
with 10,000 permutations. Finally, relative differences in effective population size between
both markers were estimated with the theta ratio (Watterson, 1975) among genetically
homogenous groups of samples (as described above for mismatch distributions). Theta
indices, based on the number of segregating sites were estimated using the *theta.s* function of
the pegas 1.3 R package (Paradis, 2010).

Results

While this study focuses on the differences in genetic diversity and population structure at the
cox1f and *cox1m* markers, from the North Sea to the southern limit of the distribution range,
we first investigated the overall phylogeographic context of these lineages. Phylogenetic in-
ferences from the *cox1f* dataset combined with Nikula et al., (2007), Becquet et al., (2012),

Layton et al., (2016) and Yurchenko et al., (2018) data revealed that our English Channel /
Bay of Biscay dataset is only composed of the *M. balthica rubra* lineage (Supplementary
material figure S1, locations of the samples are presented in figure S3). The phylogenetic tree
and the haplotype network were congruent: the "northern" haplogroup corresponded to the
b2/b3 *M. b. rubra* lineage and our data revealed 2 sub-lineages within the b1 lineage, b1a and
b1b. The phylogeny of *cox1m* revealed a similar pattern, with three clades m1, m2, m3 (Sup-
plementary material Figure S2) and a fourth clade m4 encompassing mainly individuals from
the Baltic Sea and originating at the base of the *M. b. rubra* branch. Based on net divergence,
we were able to compare the timing of cladogenesis of *cox1m* and *cox1f* clades (Table S2). In
particular, the divergence of *cox1m* and *cox1f* clades encountered in the *M. b. rubra* hybrid
zone all seem to have occurred before the last glacial maximum (*cox1f* clades b1a and b1b
between 106 and 185 ky, *cox1m* clades m1 vs m2/m3 between 30 and 50 ky).

Sexing with optical microscopy on 655 individuals from 14 sampling sites of the
French Atlantic coast resulted in the detection of 248 males, 185 females and 222
undifferentiated individuals. Undifferentiated specimens might have released their gametes or
resorbed them, as lipid droplets were observed in some of the undifferentiated gonads.
Molecular sexing was used to detect males in undifferentiated individuals. Both *cox1m* and
cox1f were successfully sequenced for 302 male individuals from 14 sampling sites (Table 1).
Sampling size per site ranged from 3 to 33 overall sampling sites and from 28 to 33 when
only considering sampling sites in France, apart from Arcachon (ARC, N=10). Among the
302 studied male individuals, 34 and 61 haplotypes were found for *cox1f* and *cox1m,*
respectively. Among these, 59% were singletons at *cox1f* and 80% at *cox1m*. Median joining
networks showed a similar geographical pattern with three major haplogroups encompassing
mainly the northern/central and southern samples (Figure 1A and C). Nonetheless, the
networks revealed important differences between markers. First, *cox1m* presented a higher
diversity, but a similar divergence level: a maximum of 25 and 26 mutation steps separated
the most divergent haplotypes for *cox1f* and *cox1m,* respectively. We estimated maximum
divergence rates of 0.027 s/s and 0.028 s/s for the m and f datasets, respectively.

The haplogroup relative frequencies showed different spatial patterns (Figure 2A).
The *cox1m* haplogroup m3 was present only in the North Sea and east Channel samples while
the *cox1f* haplogroup b2/b3 encompassed individuals from the North Sea to the west English
Channel (hybrid zone). Also, the haplogroup b1a of *cox1f* was shared among the North Sea,
the English Channel and Atlantic samples, whereas the central haplogroup m2 of *cox1m* was
found only in the North Sea and the Channel Sea. Interestingly, clear genetic breaks were

revealed for each marker, but they were not located at the same geographical location. For
cox1m, the Cotentin peninsula represented a sharp transition zone between the haplogroups
m3/m2 and m1, which was confirmed by pairwise Φ_{ST} values (Table S3). Two homogenous
populations were observed north of the Cotentin peninsula and south of the Finistère (within
group pairwise Φ_{ST} ranged from -0.05 to 0.04) and these populations were highly
differentiated (between group pairwise Φ_{ST} ranged from 0.38 to 0.61). In between, the BRI
and MSM sampling sites were very differentiated (between group pairwise Φ_{ST} ranged from
0.50 to 0.61) from the North of the Cotentin but they were also weakly yet significantly
different from some sites at the South of the Finistère (between group pairwise Φ_{ST} ranged
from 0.02 to 0.04). For *cox1f*, the pattern was more complex. The haplogroup b1a was
composed of haplotypes found in majority at the south of the Finistère (Figure 2) and samples
from either side of the Finistère were highly divergent (pairwise Φ_{ST} ranging from 0.41 to
0.79, Table S4). On both sides of the Finistère peninsula, significant population substructure
was detectable: the Pont-Mahé Bay (MAH) sampling site was mildly differentiated from
Saint-Brevin-les-Pins (BRE) and Aytré (AYT) (pairwise Φ_{ST} of 0.13 and 0.08 respectively,
Table S4). Also, the relative frequencies of the 3 haplogroups were variable in the north of
the Finistère peninsula, resulting in a heterogeneous distribution of the genetic diversity
(Figure 2). Saint-Brieuc (BRI) for instance was highly differentiated from all other northern
sites except from MSM (Mont-Saint-Michel). Based on these results, genetically
homogenous sampling sites were merged in 3 geographical groups (Table 1) and used in
subsequent analysis (North, Center and South). Sampling sites within the hybrid/Finistère
group showed genetic differentiation only for *cox1f*.

Cline analyses performed on haplogroup frequencies consistently revealed clinal
variations with null model rejected for each haplogroup (Figure 2B and Table S5). For the
major northern clades (b1a/m2) and the southern clades (b1b/m1), a geographical discordance
in the center of hybrid zone was observed, ranging from 178 (clades b1a vs. m2) to 209
(clades b1b vs. m1) km. In both cases (major northern clades and southern clades), the
genetic break was located on the Cotentin Peninsula for *cox1m*, and the western tip of the
Finistère Peninsula for *cox1f*. The width estimated from the maximum likelihood cline of the
best-fit models were 4 to 33 times narrower at *cox1m* (16 to 80 km), compared to *cox1f* (317
and 540 km for clades b1b and b1a, respectively). Concerning the minor northern clades
(b2/b3 and m3), the null model was rejected but the clinal model might not be the best fit to
explain its distribution. Indeed, b2/b3 was absent in the south but minor in the northern and

central sites (from 6 to 27%), except for CRI and BRI where it was dominant. BRI actually

accounts for a large part of b2/b3's diversity, with 5 of the 10 haplotypes in this group, four

of which are private to this sampling site. This geographic pattern contrasts with m3, which

followed a clinal model: a frequency ranging between 18 and 42% in the north and absent in

the south.

Haplotypes from the extreme haplogroups (S and N) were rarely shared within one

individual (Figure 1B), and while 17 individuals exhibited the haplogroup b2/b3 at *cox1f* and

the haplogroup m1 at *cox1m*, only 3 individuals shared the *cox1f* haplogroup b1b and the

cox1m haplogroup m3. Also, only 1 individual presented both the *cox1f* haplogroup b1b and

the *cox1m* haplogroup m2, suggesting non-random mating or lethal haplotype combinations.

Noteworthily, most of these individuals were found in the hybrid zone sampling sites (18 out

of 22). These results were confirmed by the significant linkage disequilibrium test carried out

among haplogroups for phased data in the hybrid zone and the south (T_2 test df=6,

p<0.001).

The level of genetic diversity also differed between the two markers (Table 1 and

Figure 3). While the average nucleotide diversity (π) and its range across sampling sites were

similar (a mean value of 0.0047 and a range of 0 to 0.0091 for *cox1f*; a mean of 0.0019 and a

range 0.0004 to 0.007 for *cox1m*), the median values of the nucleotide diversity (0.38 and

0.12 for *cox1f* and *cox1m* respectively) showed that the nucleotide diversity is significantly

greater for *cox1f* than for *cox1m* (Wilcoxon rank test V= 65 p= 0.002). We observed the

lowest nucleotide diversities in southern sampling sites for *cox1m* compared to *cox1f*. The

haplotype diversity was not significantly different between the two markers (Wilcoxon rank

test, V = 35, p = 0.89). Finally, Tajima's D also differed among the two markers. When

considering the three geographical groups, significant negative values were found only for

cox1m indicating the possibility of population expansion after a bottleneck event or selective

sweep. For *cox1f*, on the other hand, no sign of deviation from neutral expectations was

detected at the population level. The proportion of singletons at the scale of sample sites

(Figure 3) showed an overall higher number of rare frequency mutations in *cox1m* compared

to *cox1f*, which combined with negative D values, could indicate a recent expansion in

population size.

Mismatch distribution analyses and drift-mutation equilibrium tests suggest different

demographic histories at *cox1f* and *cox1m*, with bimodal pairwise nucleotide distributions at

the former, and unimodal right-skewed distributions at the latter (Figure S4 and Table S5).

Based on the non-significant raggedness indices (r), for both markers and groups, the
hypothesis of sudden population expansion cannot be rejected; this result is only
substantiated at *cox1m* (in both groups), by the R_2 statistic. This index estimated as the
difference between the number of singleton mutations and the average number of nucleotide
difference among haplotypes has been shown as the most powerful to detect population
expansion in case of small sample size and few segregating sites (Ramos-Onsins & Rozas,
2002). While molecular signatures of the mutation/drift (dis)equilibrium are similar between
southern and northern groups regardless of the marker, the mismatch distributions show more
divergence among haplotypes in the North (pairwise nucleotide differences >6), compared to
the South (pairwise nucleotide differences <6).

Consistently with the haplotype networks, the results of the AMOVAs suggested
different geographic structuration patterns for *cox1m* and *cox1f* (Table 2). The best
hierarchical model based on the F_{SC}/F_{CT} ratio (least variation within and highest between
groups) was different for the two markers: for *cox1f* the best model was two groups (B)
separated by the Finistère peninsula (KRU, CRI, CRO, VAA, MSM, BRI *vs* MAH, BRE,
AYT, FOU, ARC) whereas for *cox1m*, the best model was also two groups of subpopulations
but (A) with the Cotentin peninsula as a major geographical break (KRU, CRI, CRO, VAA)
vs (MSM, BRI, MAH, BRE, AYT, FOU, ARC). The result of the AMOVAs (Table 2)
showed similar level of among subpopulation genetic variation relative to the total variation
for both markers (*cox1m*: 42% and $\Phi_{ST} = 0.58$, p<0.001; *cox1f*: 44% and $\Phi_{ST} = 0.55$, p<0.001).
The level of differentiation among groups was higher for *cox1m* compared to *cox1f* ($\Phi_{CT} =$
0.57, p<0.001 and $\Phi_{CT} = 0.49$, p<0.001 for *cox1m* and *cox1f*, respectively). Finally, within
group genetic structure was detected only for *cox1f* ($\Phi_{SC} = 0.01$, p=0.062 and $\Phi_{SC} = 0.13$,
p<0.001 for *cox1m* and *cox1f*, respectively). Genetic differentiation analyses based only on
haplotype frequencies (conventional F-statistics) were also carried out and gave similar
results (not shown).

Finally, our results suggest that either Ne and/or μ differed geographically. The theta
estimates were twice larger at *cox1m* compared to *cox1f* in the North (Theta_f/Theta_m
=0.48) and similar in the South (Theta_f/Theta_m=0.98).
396

Discussion
Phylogeography of *Macoma* lineages and F/M geographic discordance

Recovering the M- and F-type *cox1* of *M. b. balthica* from the transcriptomic study of
Yurchenko et al., (2018) allowed the application of the net divergence method used in Nikula
et al., (2007) on both *cox1f* and *cox1m* datasets. As detailed above, this clock hypothesizes
that *rubra* and *balthica* diverged between 3.5-2 Mya (bounded by the opening of the Bering
Strait and the discovery of first Atlantic fossils, respectively) and was applied by Nikula et
al., (2007) on *cox3f*. At the scale of the genus, *cox1f* and *cox1m* data confirmed that the
divergence between *M. balthica* and *M. petalum* occurred at the end of the Miocene, early
Pliocene at the latest (*cox1f*: 4.9-8.6 My; *cox1m*: 5.4-9.5 My) , as inferred with *cox3f* (5.2-9.3
My; Nikula et al., 2007). Within *M. balthica*, estimates at *cox1f, cox1m* and *cox3f*
congruently suggest a split between clades C and D during the Calabrian subdivision of the
Pleistocene (0.83-1.76, 0.98-1.72, 0.8-1.4 My, respectively), again confirming previous
results (Nikula et al., 2007).

In the North (Crildumersiel, Germany) and Baltic Seas (Mecklenburg bight,
Germany; Lomma, Sweden, in the Öresund Strait; Umea, Sweden, in the Gulf of Bothnia), a
distinct *M. b. rubra* clade here called m4 was sampled at *cox1m* (Table S2, Figure S2). This
clade diverged from m3 about 220 to 380 kya and is probably the M-type counterpart of
b2/b3, which diverged from b1a about 251 to 440 kya. Males with m4 haplotypes possessed
either b2/b3 or D at *cox1f*. The b2/b3 clade is common in North Sea, the Öresund and
Western Baltic (Nikula et al., 2007), making its association with m4 expected. However, six
m4 specimens were sampled from Umea, five of which bearing a *M. b. balthica* signature at
cox1f (clade D), showing that *rubra* m4 introgressed far north into the Baltic Sea, as does
b2/b3 (Nikula et al., 2007).

The split between the southern and northern *M. b. rubra* clades, currently separated by
the Gulf of Saint-Malo where they hybridize, occurred much more recently. At *cox1f*,
divergence was estimated between 106 and 185 kya, in opposition with the much later split
estimated at *cox1m* (30-50 kya). These different split times may be due to the different forces
acting upon the M- and F-type mtDNA, namely the selection / mutation equilibrium (known
to differ between M- and F-type mtDNA, see below), drift (which may have been substantial
during glacial cycles), assortative mating (a pre-zygotic barrier, see Bierne et al., 2002 for an
example in *Mytilus*), sex-biased migration (see Arnaud-Haond et al., 2003 for an example in
oysters), and different hybrid fitness between sexes. Nevertheless, both split times are
consistent with a scenario of pre-LGM vicariance (the LGM is currently estimated to have
occurred between 26.5 and 19 kya; Clark et al., 2009), in opposition to a post-glacial scenario
of primary intergradation.

Zooming in on the Gulf of Saint-Malo hybrid zone revealed discordant
phylogeographic patterns for the female- and male-type mitochondrial *cox1* gene. Both male
and female mtDNAs displayed significant segregation of haplotypes in space. As previously
described by Becquet et al., (2012), a geographical break at the Finistère peninsula was
observed at *cox1f*. Sampling done for the present study narrows the gap between northern and
southern populations by about 50 km thanks to the addition of the site of Saint-Brieuc Bay,
however we failed at sampling any large population between the latter and Pont-Mahé Bay,
south of Finistère (Figure 2). While anecdotal data support the presence of *M. b. rubra* in the
Bay of Brest (Hily, 2013 and our observations), the Finistère intertidal seem largely
inhospitable to this species due to the scarcity of mud flats (Becquet et al., 2012, Mao et al.,
2020 and this study). It therefore appears as a credible physical barrier to genetic
connectivity, and it has been indeed identified in the past as a genetic breaking zone for other
marine benthic-pelagic species confined to estuaries and bays (muddy-fine sediment species,
Jolly et al., 2005, 2006).

On the other hand, the Cotentin peninsula located about 250 km farther East of the
Finistère peninsula separated southern and northern populations *cox1m* haplotypes at a much
narrower hybrid zone (an observation that might be interpreted by a different selection /
migration balance compared to *cox1f*, or the heterogeneous spacing of sampling points along
the coast). Sandy mud flats are numerous along western Cotentin but do not seem hospitable
to *M. balthica.* Our field surveys failed at identifying populations between Mont-Saint-
Michel Bay (MSM) and Saint-Vaast-la-Hougue (VAA), although anecdotal presence of the
species was recorded farther along the Cotentin coast and was reported to be present but rare
on the Gulf of Saint-Malo (Le Mao et al., 2020). Along with the scarcity of seemingly
appropriate habitat and patchiness of small populations in the intertidal zone of Cotentin, we
observed significant differences in gonadal development and spawning phenology between
populations on either side of the peninsula. While individuals with full gonads and well-
developed gametes were sampled between the 4th and the 23rd of April 2018 from Fouras to
Mont-Saint-Michel Bay (some individuals having even spawned in the field at MSM and
Saint-Brieuc), gonads from Saint-Vaast-la-Hougue (VAA) and Somme Bay individuals were
still in early stages of development by April 19, 2018 (most gametes being still
undifferentiated). These observations were on par with previous reports suggesting spawning
asynchrony across the Cotentin Peninsula, with spawning occurring in early April in Aytré
(Saunier, 2015) and August in Somme Bay (Ruellet, 2013). In the great scallop, *Pecten*
maximus, different spawning phenologies were described across the Cotentin peninsula

(Lubet et al., 1991). As for the Finistère, the Cotentin peninsula was previously identified as a
barrier to gene flow (Handal et al., 2020; Jolly et al., 2005; Quéméré et al., 2016). It is also
the southern biogeographical boundary of the northern cold temperate Boreal region (Dinter,
2001) with distinct hydrologic and oceanographic features from the western part of the
English Channel (Dauvin, 2012). There are, therefore, multiple exogenous (habitat
availability; oceanographic currents, *e.g.* Dupont et al., 2007; Fievet et al., 2007; Hily, 2013)
and endogenous (asynchrony in reproductive phenology and genetic incompatibilities,
discussed below) barriers that could contribute to the genetic differentiation of populations
across these two peninsulas.

The fact that the geographic discordance of genetic clines is sex-specific is quite
original for a marine invertebrate. In the DUI literature, studies have reported that genetic
differentiation across populations is stronger for M-type mtDNA than for F-type mtDNA (Liu
et al., 1996; Riginos et al., 2004; Skibinski et al., 1999), and some authors reported discordant
genetic structure between markers. The freshwater mussels *Lampsilis siliquoidea* displayed
population genetic structure at *cox1f* but none at *cox1m* (Krebs et al., 2013). Conversely,
Riginos et al., (2004) found weak connectivity at F-type mtDNA but none at M-type mtDNA
in *Mytilus edulis* across the Atlantic Ocean. At the entrance of the Baltic, rampant
introgression of *M. edulis* F-type mtDNA was observed, compared to a sharp cline at M-type
mtDNA concordant with M7 lysin (a nuclear gene involved in fertilization; Stuckas et al.,
2009). This makes the population structure described here for *M. b. rubra* noteworthy in the
sense that the phylogeography of F- and M-type mtDNA markers do not simply differ in
amplitude or resolution (due to significant differences in mutation rates, μM being about
twice μF) but differ in the geographical position of the haplotypic cline separating southern
and northern *M. b. rubra*.

While a genetic break located around the Finistère peninsula corresponds to previous
results by Becquet et al., (2012) for *cox1f* and eight nuclear microsatellites, the Cotentin was
not detected as a barrier in that study. However, spatial structure was detected at the nuclear
atp5c1 gene (encoding the gamma subunit of the FO/F1 ATP synthase protein complex)
between Mont-Saint-Michel and Somme Bay (Saunier, 2015). Non-synonymous point
mutations separating southern and northern populations are located in an inter-peptide
interaction domain of the gamma subunit, suggesting mito-nuclear incompatibilities (Pante et
al., 2019). The concordance of *atp5c1* and *cox1m* calls for further investigation of
incompatibilities involving the male mitogenome specifically.

Interestingly, significant differentiation with *cox1f* was detected between Pont-Mahé

Bay (MAH) and the Saint Brévin (BRE) and Aytré (AYT) populations (Table S4). These two

groups, separated by the Vilaine River, were identified as significantly differentiated based

on microsatellites, but not *cox1f* in the study of Becquet et al., (2012), which was based on

individuals from both sexes, while our study focused on males exclusively. We may therefore

benefit from higher statistical power to detect differences in differentiation across the Vilaine

River. This implies that genetic structure among males at *cox1f* is higher than in females.

Although we did not include females in our study, we did compare pairwise Φ_{ST} values

between sampling sites that were common between our study and Becquet's (2012). F_{ST} and

Φ_{ST} were both significantly higher in the dataset composed of males exclusively, compared to

the dataset composed of males and females (Figure 4). This pattern can be attributed to

stochastic genetic variation (effects of mutations and drift) and/or differences of gene flow

between sexes. The geographical discordance observed at the Finistère and Cotentin

peninsulas for *cox1f* and *cox1m* are also reminiscent of sex-level differences. Indeed, in

studies using female-transmitted mtDNA and male-transmitted Y chromosome to investigate

population structure, sex-biased asymmetries are often cited as a determinant of discordant

geographic patterns (*e.g.* Boissinot & Boursot, 1997; Trejo-Salazar et al., 2021). Sex-level

differences in gene flow are scarcely reported but exist in bivalves, as in the protandrous

pearl oyster *Pinctada mazatlanica*, which effective sex-ratio is strongly biased towards males

(Arnaud-Haond et al., 2003).

Other factors could explain the M- vs. F-mtDNA discordance, such as mtDNA

introgression and heterogeneity in its rate, hybrid zone spatial instability and drift (Barton &

Hewitt, 1985; Bierne et al., 2003; Toews & Brelsford, 2012). Patterns of asymmetric

introgression of alleles are commonly observed in hybrid zones and were observed in the

Bay of Biscay between *Mytilus edulis* and *M. galloprovincialis* (Rawson & Hilbish, 1995). In

M. b. rubra, asymmetrical gene flow from North to South was detected at *cox1f* (Becquet et

al., 2012, this study), and *atp5c1* (Pante et al., 2019; Saunier, 2015). At that same locus,

Gagnaire et al., (2012), looking at divergence and selection among populations of the eels

Anguilla rostrata and *A. anguilla* (two hybridizing sister species) also found evidence of

unidirectional introgression from the former to the later. At *cox1m*, little introgression was

detectable in *M. b. rubra*, but nevertheless sensibly higher from north to south.

Hybrid zone movement has been recognized for long, as a manifestation of variation

in population density, dispersal rate, individual fitness, effects of allele frequencies on

population structure, and spatially- or frequency-dependent selection (Barton & Hewitt,

1985). It has been proposed that the northeastern Atlantic *Mytilus* hybrid zones move parallel
to warming sea surface temperatures (Hilbish et al., 2012). While a similar phenomenon may
occur in Baltic tellins, suggesting that genotype-environment correlations could affect cline
geography (Ducos et al unpublished), neutral forces may be sufficient to result in discordant
M-type and F-type mtDNA cline centers and width. Indeed, as detailed above, both the
Finistère and the Cotentin peninsulas are characterized by low population densities and
oceanic currents disrupting along-coast dispersal. While mitochondrial recombination is
expected to be rare (or at least, rarely transmitted to offspring; Passamonti et al., 2003),
looking at whole mitogenomes as well as nuclear genes of mitochondrial function vs. other
(putatively neutral) nuclear markers may help shed light on the degree to which the patterns
detected at *cox1* and *atp5c1* depart from neutral expectations.

Whether the asymmetric discordant clines in *M. balthica* observed here are due to
cline movement, differential gene flow, population structure, or selection (Barton & Hewitt,
1985) remains a fascinating avenue for future research. In particular, introgression across the
hybrid zone in the English Channel calls for a test of asymmetric fitness of inter-lineage
crosses (e.g., Turelli & Moyle, 2007). An involvement of mito-nuclear incompatibilities
could explain the observed asymmetric allele frequencies if northern hybrids with southern
mitochondria are less fit than southern hybrids with northern mitochondria.

553   Genetic diversity differences between *cox1m* and *cox1f*
F- and M- mtDNA diversity was compared among homologous regions within heteroplasmic
males, and therefore variability can be strictly attributed to heredity rather than inter-
individual or inter-genic differences. M-type mtDNA was almost up to twice as polymorphic
as its female counterpart, with more haplotypes, more singletons (*i.e.* haplotypes represented
by a single male) and more segregating sites. Higher polymorphism in the M-type mtDNA,
both within and between species (Skibinski et al., 1999), is commonly reported in DUI
species, as in *Polititapes rhombroides* (Chacón et al., 2020), *Pyganodon grandis* (Krebs,
2004) and *Mytilus sp.* (Ort & Pogson, 2007; Śmietanka et al., 2009, 2013; Śmietanka &
Burzyński, 2017). Higher frequency of rare M-type haplotypes (80% of singletons compared
to 57% among F-type haplotypes in *M. b. rubra*) is another largely shared feature among DUI
species (Chacón et al., 2020; Ort & Pogson, 2007; Śmietanka et al., 2009, 2013; Śmietanka &
Burzyński, 2017). Major determinants of genetic diversity in animals are linked selection,
mutation, and effective population size (Ellegren & Galtier, 2016). In DUI bivalves,
polymorphism might result from different selection pressures on male- and female-type

mitogenomes (*e.g.* Milani et al., 2012): female-type mitochondria ensure proper
mitochondrial function at the scale of the individual whereas male-type mitochondria, which
solely co-occurs in heteroplasmic individuals with the F-type mitochondria (Skibinski et al.,
1994; Zouros et al., 1994) have to effectively function exclusively in the male germ line and
gametes (different 'arenas of selection' *sensu*, Stewart et al., 1996). According to the male-
driven evolution hypothesis, higher germ cell divisions in males (Shimmin et al., 1993) could
result in an enhanced replication rate of the M-type mitochondria during spermatogenesis,
providing more opportunities for mutations to accumulate (Rawson & Hilbish, 1995; Stewart
et al., 1995). In addition, oxidative damage might be important in sperm (Zouros, 2013),
and/or selection pressures on chaperonins and DNA repair genes might be relaxed. We can
also expect sex-linked demographic differences to influence polymorphism, if the effective
population size differs between males and females; we did observe larger theta at *cox1m* in
northern populations, suggesting that the effective population size and/or mutation rate is
larger in males. Most hypotheses on the role of selection, mutation and effective population
size in shaping genetic diversity in DUI bivalves remain to be empirically tested.

However, counter examples do exist. For instance, Beauchamp et al., (2020) found
more F-type haplotypes than M-type haplotypes in *Pyganodon grandis* (11 and 7,
respectively) and *P. lacustris* (20 and 6, respectively). Śmietanka et al., (2013) (87 F- and 76
M-type haplotypes in *Mytilus trossulus*) and Riginos et al., (2004) (132 F- and 56 M-type
haplotypes in *Mytilus edulis*) reported the same pattern, although the latter results might be
due to the difficulty of amplifying the male-type mtDNA. Although often presented as such
in the literature, higher polymorphism in males is therefore not a universal feature of the
population genetics of DUI species.

It is worth noting that, among the studies reporting M/F differences in genetic
polymorphism, all of those that explicitly tested for non-equilibrium population state found
support for population expansion (Chacón et al., 2020; Ort & Pogson, 2007; Riginos et al.,
2004; Śmietanka et al., 2009, 2013; Śmietanka & Burzyński, 2017, and our study at *cox1m*),
except for one finding support for purifying selection (Skibinski et al., 1994). Non-
equilibrium states following a glacial period can indeed affect genetic diversity, notably
through drift, and confound studies focusing on the comparative molecular evolution of F and
M mtDNA. It would therefore be interesting to look for biological models at equilibrium.

Data Accessibility

Cox1f and *cox1-long* sequences were submitted to Genbank (accession N° OM855617 -
OM855929 and OM856027 - OM856339 respectively). Rcode is available at
https://github.com/SabLeCam/Cox1_DUI

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Mélanie Rocroy, Patrick Triplet, Thierry Ruellet, Rolet Céline
(Association GEMEL, Picardie), Anthony Sturbois (RNN Baie de Saint-Brieuc, VivArmor
Nature) and Xavier de Montaudouin (Station Marine d'Arcachon, UMR 5805 EPOC,
Université de Bordeaux/CNRS) for their help with sample collection. This work was funded
by the ANR (DRIVE project, grant n. ANR-18-CE02-0004-01) and by the contrat de plan
Etat-Région (CPER/FEDER) ECONAT (RPC DYPOMAR). We thank the participants of the
Biocombe project ("The impact of BIOdiversity changes in COastal Marine Benthic
Ecosystems;" contract n. EVK3-CT-2002-00072; Research n. OND1290723). Lab work was
performed at the Molecular Core Facility at the University of La Rochelle. We thank the
bioinformatics platform Toulouse Midi-Pyrénées (Bioinfo GenoToul).

References

Arnaud-Haond, S., Monteforte, M., Blanc, F., & Bonhomme, F. (2003). Evidence for male-biased effective sex ratio and recent step-by-step colonization in the bivalve *Pinctada mazatlanica*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *16*(5), 790–796.

Bandelt, H., Forster, P., & Rohl, A. (1999). Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *16*, 37–48.

Barberousse, A., Bierne, N., Britton-Davidian, J., Capy, P., Desdevises, Y., Giraud, T., Jousselin, E., Moulia, C., Ravigné, V., Smadja, C., & Samadi, S. (2010). Chapitre 4. La spéciation (dir. Ravigné, V). In F. Thomas, T. Lefevre, & M. Raymond (Eds.), *Biologie Evolutive*. De Boeck Supérieur.

Barton, N. H., & Hewitt, G. M. (1985). Analysis of hybrid zones. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *16*, 113–148.

Beauchamp, K. C., Beyett, T. W., Scott, M. W., & Zanatta, D. T. (2020). Detection of hybrid *Pyganodon grandis* and *P. lacustris* (Bivalvia: Unionidae) using F-and M-type mitochondrial DNA sequences and geometric morphometrics. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, *86*(3), 233–239.

Becquet, V., Simon-Bouhet, B., Pante, E., Hummel, H., & Garcia, P. (2012). Glacial refugium versus range limit: Conservation genetics of *Macoma balthica*, a key species in the Bay of Biscay (France). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, *432*, 73–82.

Bierne, N., Borsa, P., Daguin, C., Jollivet, D., Viard, F., Bonhomme, F., & David, P. (2003). Introgression patterns in the mosaic hybrid zone between *Mytilus edulis* and *M. galloprovincialis*. *Molecular Ecology*, *12*, 447–461.

Bierne, N., David, P., Boudry, P., & Bonhomme, F. (2002). Assortative fertilization and selection at larval stage in the mussels *Mytilus edulis* and *M. galloprovincialis*. *Evolution*, *56*(2), 292–298.

Boissinot, S., & Boursot, P. (1997). Discordant phylogeographic patterns between the Y chromosome and mitochondrial DNA in the house mouse: Selection on the Y chromosome? *Genetics*, *146*(3), 1019–1034.

Breton, S., Beaupre, H. D., Stewart, D. T., Hoeh, W. R., & Blier, P. U. (2007). The unusual system of doubly uniparental inheritance of mtDNA: isn't one enough? *Trends in Genetics*, *23*(9), 465–474.

Breton, S., Stewart, D. T., Shepardson, S., Trdan, R. J., Bogan, A. E., Chapman, E. G.,

Ruminas, A. J., Piontkivska, H., & Hoeh, W. R. (2011). Novel protein genes in

animal mtDNA: A new sex determination system in freshwater mussels (Bivalvia:

Unionoida)? *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *28*(5).

Burton, R. S., & Barreto, F. S. (2012). A disproportionate role for mtDNA in Dobzhansky–

Muller incompatibilities? *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(20), 4942–4957.

Burton, R. S., Pereira, R. J., & Barreto, F. S. (2013). Cytonuclear genomic interactions and

hybrid breakdown. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, *44*, 281–

302.

Capt, C., Bouvet, K., Guerra, D., Robicheau, B. M., Stewart, D. T., Pante, E., & Breton, S.

(2020). Unorthodox features in two venerid bivalves with doubly uniparental

inheritance of mitochondria. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1).

Capt, C., Renaut, S., Ghiselli, F., Milani, L., Johnson, N. A., Sietman, B. E., Stewart, D. T.,

& Breton, S. (2018). Deciphering the Link between Doubly Uniparental Inheritance

of mtDNA and Sex Determination in Bivalves: Clues from Comparative

Transcriptomics. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *10*(2), 577–590.

Capt, C., Renaut, S., Stewart, D. T., Johnson, N. A., & Breton, S. (2019). Putative

mitochondrial sex determination in the Bivalvia: Insights from a hybrid transcriptome

assembly in freshwater mussels. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *10*, 840.

Chacón, G. M., Arias-Pérez, A., Freire, R., Martínez, L., Nóvoa, S., Naveira, H., & Insua, A.

(2020). Evidence of doubly uniparental inheritance of the mitochondrial DNA in

Polititapes rhomboides (Bivalvia, Veneridae): Evolutionary and population genetic

analysis of F and M mitotypes. *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary*

Research, *58*(2), 541–560.

Clark, P. U., Dyke, A. S., Shakun, J. D., Carlson, A. E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B., Mitrovica, J.

X., Hostetler, S. W., & McCabe, A. M. (2009). The last glacial maximum. *Science*,

325(5941), 710–714.

Darriba, D., Taboada, G. L., Doallo, R., & Posada, D. (2012). jModelTest 2: More models,

new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods*, *9*(8), 772.

Dauvin, J.-C. (2012). Are the eastern and western basins of the English Channel two separate

ecosystems? *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, *64*(3), 463–471.

Derryberry, E. P., Derryberry, G. E., Maley, J. M., & Brumfield, R. T. (2014). HZAR:

Hybrid zone analysis using an R software package. *Molecular Ecology Resources*,

14(3).

Dinter, W. P. (2001). Biogeography of the OSPAR maritime area. *Bonn, Germany: Federal*
Agency for Nature Conservation, *167*.

Dupont, L., Ellien, C., & Viard, F. (2007). Limits to gene flow in the slipper limpet
Crepidula fornicata as revealed by microsatellite data and a larval dispersal model.
Marine Ecology Progress Series, *349*, 125–138.

Ellegren, H., & Galtier, N. (2016). Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nature Reviews*
Genetics, *17*(7), 422–433.

Excoffier, L., Smouse, P. E., & Quattro, J. M. (1992). Analysis of molecular variance
inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human
mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics*, *131*, 479–491.

Fievet, V., Touzet, P., ARNAUD, J.-F., & Cuguen, J. (2007). Spatial analysis of nuclear and
cytoplasmic DNA diversity in wild sea beet (*Beta vulgaris ssp. Maritima*)
populations: Do marine currents shape the genetic structure? *Molecular Ecology*,
16(9), 1847–1864.

Fu, Y.-X. (1997). Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth,
hitchhiking and background selection. *Genetics*, *147*(2), 915–925.

Gagnaire, P.-A., Normandeau, E., & Bernatchez, L. (2012). Comparative genomics reveals
adaptive protein evolution and a possible cytonuclear incompatibility between
European and American eels. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *29*(10), 2909–2919.

Guerra, D., Plazzi, F., Stewart, D. T., Bogan, A. E., Hoeh, W. R., & Breton, S. (2017).
Evolution of sex-dependent mtDNA transmission in freshwater mussels (Bivalvia:
Unionida). *Scientific Reports*, *7*(1), 1–13.

Guindon, S., & Gascuel, O. A. (2003). A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate
large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Systematic Biology*, *52*(5), 696–704.

Gusman, A., Lecomte, S., Stewart, D. T., Passamonti, M., & Breton, S. (2016). Pursuing the
quest for better understanding the taxonomic distribution of the system of doubly
uniparental inheritance of mtDNA. *PeerJ*, *4*, e2760.

Handal, W., Szostek, C., Hold, N., Andrello, M., Thiébaut, E., Harney, E., Lefebvre, G.,
Borcier, E., Jolivet, A., Nicolle, A., Boyé, A., Foucher, E., Boudry, P., & Charrier, G.
(2020). New insights on the population genetic structure of the great scallop (*Pecten*
maximus) in the English Channel, coupling microsatellite data and demogenetic
simulations. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems*, *30*(10),
1841–1853.

Harpending, H. (1994). Signature of ancient population growth in a low-resolution

mitochondrial DNA mismatch distribution. *Human Biology*, 591–600.

Hilbish, T. J., Lima, F. P., Brannock, P. M., Fly, E. K., Rognstad, R. L., & Wethey, D. S.

(2012). Change and stasis in marine hybrid zones in response to climate warming.

Journal of Biogeography, *39*(4), 676–687.

Hily, C. (2013). Redécouverte de *Macoma balthica* (Linnaeus, 1758) en rade de Brest. *An*

Aod - Les Cahiers Naturalistes de l'Observatoire Marin, *2*(1), 31–35.

Huber, M. (2010). *Compendium of bivalves. A full-color guide to 3,300 of the world's marine*

bivalves. A status on Bivalvia after 250 years of research. ConchBooks.

Huber, M., Langleit, A., & Kreipl, K. (2015). *Compendium of bivalves* (M. Huber, Ed.; Vol.

2, p. 907). ConchBooks.

Hummel, H., Bogaards, R., Bek, T., Polishchuk, L., Amiard-Triquet, C., Bachelet, G.,

Desprez, M., Strelkov, P., Sukhotin, A., Naumov, A., Dahle, S., Denisenko, S.,

Gantsevich, M., Sokolov, K., & Wolf, L. de. (1997). Sensitivity to stress in the

bivalve *Macoma balthica* from the most northern (Arctic) to the most southern

(French) populations: Low sensitivity in Arctic populations because of genetic

adaptations? *Hydrobiologia*, *355*, 127–138.

Jolly, M. T., Jollivet, D., Gentil, F., Thiébaut, É., & Viard, F. (2005). Sharp genetic break

between Atlantic and English Channel populations of the polychaete Pectinaria

koreni, along the North coast of France. *Heredity*, *94*(1), 23–32.

Jolly, M. T., Viard, F., Gentil, F., Thiébaut, E., & Jollivet, D. (2006). Comparative

phylogeography of two coastal polychaete tubeworms in the Northeast Atlantic

supports shared history and vicariant events. *Molecular Ecology*, *15*(7), 1841–1855.

Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K., Von Haeseler, A., & Jermiin, L. S. (2017).

ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature*

Methods, *14*(6), 587–589.

Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., Buxton, S.,

Cooper, A., Markowitz, S., Duran, C., Thierer, T., Ashton, B., Meintjes, P., &

Drummond, A. (2012). Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop

software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics*,

28(12), 1647–1649.

Krebs, R. (2004). Combining paternally and maternally inherited mitochondrial DNA for

analysis of population structure in mussels. *Molecular Ecology*, *13*, 1701–1705.

Krebs, R., Borden, W. C., Evans, N. M., & Doerder, F. P. (2013). Differences in population

structure estimated within maternally- and paternally-inherited forms of mitochondria

751   in *Lampsilis siliquoidea* (Bivalvia: Unionidae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean*

752   *Society*, *109*, 229–240.

753 Layton, K. K., Martel, A. L., & Hebert, P. D. (2016). Geographic patterns of genetic diversity

754   in two species complexes of Canadian marine bivalves. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*,

755   *82*(2), 282–291.

756 Le Cam, S., Brémaud, J., Malkócs, T., Kreckelbergh, E., Becquet, V., Dubillot, E., Garcia, P.,

757   Breton, S., & Pante, E. (2023). LAMP-based molecular sexing in a gonochoric marine

758   bivalve (*Macoma balthica rubra*) with divergent sex-specific mitochondrial genomes.

759   *Ecology and Evolution*, *13*(8), e10320.

760 Le Mao, P., Godet, L., Fournier, J., Desroy, N., Gentil, F., & Thiebaut, E. (2020).

761   Mollusques. In É. de la S. biologique de Roscoff (Ed.), *Atlas de la faune marine*

762   *invertébrée du golfe Normano-Breton* (Vol. 3/7).

763 Leigh, J., & Bryant, D. (2015). PopART: Full-feature software for haplotype network

764   construction. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *6*(9), 1110–1116.

765 Liu, H.-P., Mitton, J. B., & Wu, S.-K. (1996). Paternal mitochondrial DNA differentiation far

766   exceeds maternal mitochondrial DNA and allozyme differentiation in the freshwater

767   mussel, *Anodonta grandis grandis*. *Evolution*, 952–957.

768 Lubet, P., Devauchelle, N., Muzellec, M. L., Paulet, Y. M., Faveris, R., & Dao, J. C. (1991).

769   Reproduction of *Pecten maximus* from different fisheries areas Rade de Brest, Baie de

770   St Brieuc, Baie de Seine. *Fisheries, Biology and Aquaculture of Pectinids*, 22–29.

771 Lubośny, M., Przy\lucka, A., Śmietanka, B., & Burzyński, A. (2020). *Semimytilus algosus*:

772   First known hermaphroditic mussel with doubly uniparental inheritance of

773   mitochondrial DNA. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 1–12.

774 Luttikhuizen, P. C., Drent, J., & Baker, A. J. (2003). Disjunct distribution of highly diverged

775   mitochondrial lineage clade and population subdivision in a marine bivalve with

776   pelagic larval dispersal. *Molecular Ecology*, *12*, 2215–2229.

777 Luttikhuizen, P. C., Drent, J., Peijnenburg, K., Van Der Veer, H., & Johannesson, K. (2012).

778   Genetic architecture in a marine hybrid zone: Comparing outlier detection and

779   genomic clines analysis in the bivalve *Macoma balthica*. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(12),

780   3048–3061.

781 Marko, P. B., Hoffman, J. M., Emme, S. A., McGovern, T. M., Keever, C. C., & Nicole Cox,

782   L. (2010). The 'Expansion–Contraction' model of Pleistocene biogeography: Rocky

783   shores suffer a sea change? *Molecular Ecology*, *19*(1), 146–169.

784 Milani, L., Ghiselli, F., & Passamonti, M. (2012). Sex-linked mitochondrial behavior during

early embryo development in *Ruditapes philippinarum* (Bivalvia Veneridae) a species

with the doubly uniparental inheritance (DUI) of mitochondria. *Journal of*

Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution, *318*(3), 182–

189.

Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., Von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B. Q. (2015). IQ-TREE: a fast

and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies.

Molecular Biology and Evolution, *32*(1), 268–274.

Nikula, R., Strelkov, P., & Väinölä, R. (2007). Diversity and trans-arctic invasion history of

mitochondrial lineages in the North Atlantic *Macoma balthica* complex (Bivalvia:

Tellinidae). *Evolution*, *61*(4), 928–941.

Nikula, R., Strelkov, P., & Väinölä, R. (2008). A broad transition zone between an inner

Baltic hybrid swarm and a pure North Sea subspecies of *Macoma balthica* (Mollusca,

Bivalvia). *Molecular Ecology*, *17*(6), 1505–1522.

Norton, P., & Spaink, G. (1973). The earliest occurrence of *Macoma balthica* (L.) as a fossil

in the North Sea deposits. *Malacologia: International Journal of Malacology*.

Ort, B. S., & Pogson, G. H. (2007). Molecular population genetics of the male and female

mitochondrial DNA molecules of the California sea mussel, *Mytilus californianus*.

Genetics, *177*(2), 1087–1099.

Pante, E., Becquet, V., Viricel, A., & Garcia, P. (2019). Investigation of the molecular

signatures of selection on ATP synthase genes in the marine bivalve *Limecola*

balthica. *Aquatic Living Resources*, *32*(3), 7.

Pante, E., Poitrimol, C., Saunier, A., Becquet, V., & Garcia, P. (2017). Putative sex-linked

heteroplasmy in the tellinid bivalve *Limecola balthica* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Journal of*

Molluscan Studies, *83*(2), 226–228.

Pante, E., Rohfritsch, A., Becquet, V., Belkhir, K., Bierne, N., & Garcia, P. (2012). SNP

detection from *De Novo* transcriptome sequencing in the bivalve *Macoma balthica*:

Marker development for evolutionary studies. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(12), e52302.

Pante, E., & Simon-Bouhet, B. (2013). marmap: A package for importing, plotting and

analyzing bathymetric and topographic data in R. *PloS One*, *8*(9).

Paradis, E. (2010). pegas: An R package for population genetics with an integrated–modular

approach. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(3), 419–420.

Passamonti, M., Boore, J. L., & Scali, V. (2003). Molecular evolution and recombination in

gender-associated mitochondrial DNAs of the Manila clam Tapes philippinarum.

Genetics, *164*(2), 603–611.

Quéméré, E., Baglinière, J.-L., Roussel, J.-M., Evanno, G., McGinnity, P., & Launey, S.
(2016). Seascape and its effect on migratory life-history strategy influences gene flow
among coastal brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) populations in the English Channel. *Journal*
of Biogeography.

Ramos-Onsins, S. E., & Rozas, J. (2002). Statistical properties of new neutrality tests against
population growth. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *19*(12), 2092–2100.

Rawson, P. D., & Hilbish, T. J. (1995). Evolutionary relationships among the male and
female mitochondrial DNA lineages in the *Mytilus edulis* species complex. *Molecular*
Biology and Evolution, *12*(5), 893–901.

Riginos, C., & Cunningham, C. W. (2007). Hybridization in postglacial marine habitats.
Molecular Ecology, *16*(19), 3971–3972.

Riginos, C., Hickerson, M. J., Henzler, C. M., & Cunningham, C. W. (2004). Differential
patterns of male and female mtDNA exchange across the Atlantic Ocean in the blue
mussel, *Mytilus edulis*. *Evolution*, *58*(11), 2438–2451.

Rozas, J., Ferrer-Mata, A., Sánchez-DelBarrio, J. C., Guirao-Rico, S., Librado, P., Ramos-
Onsins, S. E., & Sánchez-Gracia, A. (2017). DnaSP 6: DNA sequence polymorphism
analysis of large data sets. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *34*(12), 3299–3302.

Ruellet, T. (2013). *Contribution à la dynamique de population de* Macoma balthica *en baie*
de Somme dans le cadre du projet COMORES (13–027; p. 58 p.). Rapport du
GEMEL.

Saavedra, C., Stewart, D. T., Stanwood, R. R., & Zouros, E. (1996). Species-specific
segregation of gender-associated mitochondrial DNA types in an area where two
mussel species (*Mytilus edulis* and *M. trossulus*) hybridize. *Genetics*, *143*(3), 1359–
1367.

Saunier, A. (2015). *Bases génétiques de la différenciation adaptative en milieu anthropisé*
chez Macoma balthica*, un bivalve marin à fort flux génique* [PhD Thesis]. Université
de La Rochelle.

Shimmin, L. C., Chang, B. H.-J., & Li, W.-H. (1993). Male-driven evolution of DNA
sequences. *Nature*, *362*(6422), 745–747.

Skibinski, D., Gallagher, C., & Beynon, C. (1994). Sex-limited mitochondrial DNA
transmission in the marine mussel *Mytilus edulis*. *Genetics*, *138*(3), 801–809.

Skibinski, O. F., Gallaguer, C., & Quesada, H. (1999). On the roles of selection, mutation and
drift in the evolution of mitochondria1 DNA diversity in British *Mytilus edulis*
(Mytilidae; Mollusca) populations. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *68*,

195–213.

Śmietanka, B., & Burzyński, A. (2017). Disruption of doubly uniparental inheritance of

mitochondrial DNA associated with hybridization area of European *Mytilus edulis*

and *Mytilus trossulus* in Norway. *Marine Biology*, *164*(11), 209.

Śmietanka, B., Burzyński, A., & Wenne, R. (2009). Molecular population genetics of male

and female mitochondrial genomes in European mussels *Mytilus*. *Marine Biology*,

156(5), 913–925.

Śmietanka, B., Zbawicka, M., Sanko, T., Wenne, R., & Burzynski, A. (2013). Molecular

population genetics of male and female mitochondrial genomes in subarctic *Mytilus*

trossulus. *Marine Biology*, *160*(7), 1709–1721.

Stewart, D. T., Kenchington, E. R., Singh, R. K., & Zouros, E. (1996). Degree of selective

constraint as an explanation of the different rates of evolution of gender-specific

mitochondrial DNA lineages in the mussel *Mytilus*. *Genetics*, *143*(3), 1349–1357.

Stewart, D. T., Saavedra, C., Stanwood, R. R., Ball, A. O., & Zouros, E. (1995). Male and

female mitochondrial DNA lineages in the blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) species group.

Molecular Biology and Evolution, *12*(5), 735–747.

Strelkov, P., Nikula, R., & Väinölä, R. (2007). *Macoma balthica* in the White and Barents

Seas: Properties of a widespread marine hybrid swarm (Mollusca: Bivalvia).

Molecular Ecology, *16*(19), 4110–4127.

Stuckas, H., Stoof, K., Quesada, H., & Tiedemann, R. (2009). Evolutionary implications of

discordant clines across the Baltic *Mytilus* hybrid zone (*Mytilus edulis* and *Mytilus*

trossulus). *Heredity*, *103*, 146–156.

Tajima, F. (1983). Evolutionary relationship of DNA sequences in finite populations.

Genetics, *105*(2), 437–460.

Tajima, F. (1989). Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA

polymorphism. *Genetics*, *123*(3), 585–595.

Tamura, K., & Kumar, S. (2002). Evolutionary distance estimation under heterogeneous

substitution pattern among lineages. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *19*(10), 1727–

1736.

Tamura, K., & Nei, M. (1993). Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the

control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology*

and Evolution, *10*(3), 512–526.

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., & Kumar, S. (2021). MEGA11: Molecular evolutionary genetics

analysis version 11. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *38*(7), 3022–3027.

Toews, D. P. L., & Brelsford, A. (2012). The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear
888   discordance in animals. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(16), 3907–3930.

889   Trejo-Salazar, R.-E., Castellanos-Morales, G., Hernández-Rosales, D., Gámez, N., Gasca-
890   Pineda, J., Garza, M. R. M., Medellin, R., & Eguiarte, L. E. (2021). Discordance in
891   maternal and paternal genetic markers in lesser long-nosed bat *Leptonycteris*
892   *yerbabuenae*, a migratory bat: Recent expansion to the North and male phylopatry.
893   *PeerJ*, *9*, e12168.

894   Turelli, M., & Moyle, L. C. (2007). Asymmetric Postmating Isolation: Darwin's Corollary to
895   Haldane's Rule. *Genetics*, *176*, 1059–1088.

896   Väinölä, R. (2003). Repeated trans-Arctic invasions in littoral bivalves: Molecular
897   zoogeography of the *Macoma balthica* complex. *Marine Biology*, *143*(5), 935–946.

898   Wares, J. P., & Cunningham, C. W. (2001). Phylogeography and historical ecology of the
899   North Atlantic intertidal. *Evolution*, *55*(12), 2455–2469.

900   Watterson, G. (1975). On the number of segregating sites in genetical models without
901   recombination. *Theoretical Population Biology*, *7*(2), 256–276.

902   Yurchenko, A. A., Katolikova, N., Polev, D., Shcherbakova, I., & Strelkov, P. (2018).
903   Transcriptome of the bivalve *Limecola balthica* L. from Western Pacific: A new
904   resource for studies of European populations. *Marine Genomics*, *40*, 58–63.

905   Zouros, E. (2000). The exceptional mitochondrial DNA system of the mussel family
906   Mytilidae. *Genes & Genetic Systems*, *75*(6), 313–318.

907   Zouros, E. (2013). Biparental inheritance through uniparental transmission: The doubly
908   uniparental inheritance (DUI) of mitochondrial DNA. *Evolutionary Biology*, *40*, 1–31.

909   Zouros, E., Ball, A. O., Saavedra, C., & Freeman, K. R. (1994). An unusual type of
910   mitochondrial DNA inheritance in the blue mussel Mytilus. *Proceedings of the*
911   *National Academy of Sciences*, *91*(16), 7463–7467.

Legends

Figure 1: Median joining networks constructed from the haplotypes found among the 302 individuals distributed in 14 sampling sites for (A) the *cox1f* gene and (C) the *cox1m* gene. Haplotype associations among haplogroups are represented with a tanglegram (B) Haplotypes are sorted by haplogroup for each marker and a line represent the connection of the *cox1m* and *cox1f* haplotypes within one individual. The color of the line represents the population where it was sampled and bold lines and arrows highlighting individuals sharing distant haplogroups.

Figure 2: Geographical distribution of the *cox1f* and *cox1m* haplogroups: (A) haplogroup frequencies are represented for each marker in all the sites with a pie chart. The size of the pie chart indicates the number of individuals analyzed, (B) results of the cline analyses is presented with comparison between male- and female-type haplogroup. The transparent colored area around the fitted curve represents the 95% confidence interval. The haplogroup m4 is not presented here as it is only found in one individual from GRI.

Figure 3: Genetic diversity indexes for both markers (*cox1m* and *cox1f*) along the coast. The gray area indicates the geographic range between the Cotentin and the Finistère Peninsulas.

Figure 4: Comparison of pairwise Φ_{ST} estimated at the *cox1f* gene for study sites that were both sampled by Becquet et al (2012) (male and female individuals) and for the present study (male individuals only). The plain line represents the x=y axis and the dash line, the linear regression (y = 1.27 x + 0.03, df = 62, R^2_{adj}=0.74, p<0.001). A Mantel test revealed a significant correlation between the two datasets (r=0.786, p=0.0084).

Table 1: Genetic diversity indices at *cox1f* (479bp) and *cox1m* (479bp) loci for each sampling site: n: number of individuals, nsites: number of polymorphic sites, H: number of haplotypes, π: nucleotide diversity, Hd: Haplotype diversity and D: Tajima's D coefficient. *indicates significant values (<0.05, 10,000 permutations), Na: not available*

Table 2: Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) to partition the genetic variation among hierarchical geographical scales. Results are presented for both markers, with different options of hierarchical grouping of subpopulations.

7   Table 1: Genetic diversity indices at *cox1f* (479bp) and *cox1m* (479bp) loci for each sampling site: n: number of individuals, nsites: number of polymorphic
8   sites, H: number of haplotypes, π: nucleotide diversity, Hd: Haplotype diversity and D: Tajima's D coefficient. *indicates significant values (<0.05, 10,000*
9   *permutations), -: not available*
0

Geographic zone	Sampling site	n	c1f					cox1m				
			nsites	H	$\pi(10^2)$	Hd	D	nsites	H	$\pi(10^2)$	Hd	D
North	Sylt (SYL)	7	7	4	0.91	-	-	5	4	0.38	-	-
	Wilhelmshaven (WIL)	3	0	1	0.00	-	-	1	3	0.13	-	-
	Crildumersiel (CRI)	10	6	2	0.77	0.53	2.10	14	9	0.70	0.98	-1.47
	Kruiningen (KRU)	22	11	7	0.77	0.69	0.11	10	22	0.23	0.65	-2.00*
	all	42	11	7	0.75±0.43	0.64±0.06	0.75	23	16	0.36±0.24	0.79±0.05	-2.26*
Center	Le Crotoy (CRO)	28	13	7	0.77	0.58	-0.24	14	14	0.38	0.88	-1.68*
	Saint-Vaast-la-hougue(VAA)	31	11	5	0.33	0.24	-1.63*	11	9	0.27	0.75	-1.69*
	all	59	14	9	0.52±0.31	0.42±0.08	-0.79	20	20	0.32±0.21	0.81±0.04	-1.99*
Hybrid/Finistère	Mont-Saint-Michel (MSM)	31	8	4	0.22	0.24	-1.60*	5	7	0.11	0.35	-1.51*
	Saint-Brieuc (BRI)	30	15	9	0.76	0.99	0.17	4	5	0.08	0.36	-1.58*
	all	61	16	10	0.74±0.42	0.58±0.07	-0.28	8	10	0.09±0.09	0.35±0.08	-1.91*
South	Pont-Mahé (MAH)	30	8	6	0.18	0.36	-1.86*	5	6	0.07	0.31	-2.00*
	Saint-Brevin (BRE)	29	9	8	0.71	0.49	-0.25	8	9	0.13	0.53	-2.14*
	Noirmoutier (NOI)	8	3	4	0.23	-	-	1	2	0.05	-	-
	Aytré (AYT)	33	8	6	0.43	0.55	-0.18	5	6	0.07	0.28	-1.88*
	Fouras (FOU)	30	8	7	0.29	0.50	-1.12	6	6	0.08	0.31	-2.10*
	all	63	11	10	0.36±0.23	0.52±0.07	-0.89	9	9	0.08±0.08	0.29±0.07	-2.15*
	Arcachon (ARC)	10	5	3	0.23	0.38	-1.74*	1	2	0.04	0.2	-1.11
	All	**302**	**34**	**34**	**0.81±0.45**	**0.76±0.02**	**-1.06**	**53**	**61**	**0.29±0.20**	**0.68±0.03**	**-2.44***

Number of groups	Groups		Cox1f			Cox1m		
			Among groups relative to total variance	Among subpopulations within groups	Among subpopulations relative to total variance	Among groups relative to total variance	Among subpopulations within groups	Among subpopulations relative to total variance
3	(CRI,KRU) (CRO,VAA,MSM,BRI) (MAH,BRE,AYT,FOU,ARC)	%var	44.71	7	48.29	22.2	22.54	55.26
		Fixation indices	$\Phi_{CT}=0.447$***	$\Phi_{SC}=0.517$***	$\Phi_{ST}=0.126$**	$\Phi_{CT}=0.221^{ns}$	$\Phi_{SC}=0.290$***	$\Phi_{ST}=0.447$***
2(A)	(CRI,KRU,CRO,VAA) (MSM,BRI,MAH,BRE,AYT,FOU, ARC)	%var	16.98	31.02	52	57.36	0.56	42.08
		Fixation indices	$\Phi_{CT}=0.170^{ns}$	$\Phi_{SC}=0.374$***	$\Phi_{ST}=0.480$***	$\Phi_{CT}=0.574$***	$\Phi_{sc}=0.013^{ns}$	$\Phi_{ST}=0.579$***
2(B)	(CRI,KRU,CRO,VAA,MSM,BRI) (MAH,BRE,AYT,FOU,ARC)	%var	49.14	6.4	44.46	21.88	4.18	53.94
		Fixation indices	$\Phi_{CT}=0.491$***	$\Phi_{SC}=0.126$***	$\Phi_{ST}=0.555$**	$\Phi_{CT}=0.212$*	$\Phi_{SC}=0.309$***	$\Phi_{ST}=0.460$***

956 *$P>0.05$, **$P>0.01$,***$P < 0.001$, ns: non significant