Long-read sequencing characterizes mitochondrial and plastid genome

variants in Arabidopsis *msh1* mutants

Yi Zou[1], Weidong Zhu[1], Daniel B. Sloan[2], and Zhiqiang Wu[1,*]

[1]Guangdong Laboratory for Lingnan Modern Agriculture, Genome Analysis Laboratory of the

Ministry of Agriculture, Agricultural Genomics Institute at Shenzhen, Chinese Academy of

Agricultural Sciences, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518120, China, and

[2]Department of Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523

* For Correspondence (e-mail: wuzhiqiang@caas.cn; tel.: +86 135-3040-6763).

Summary

The abundant repeats in plant mitochondrial genomes can cause rapid genome rearrangements and are also a major obstacle in short-read sequencing studies. Nuclear-encoded proteins such as MSH1 are known to suppress the generation of repeat-associated mitochondrial genome variants, but our understanding of these mechanisms has been constrained by the limitations of short-read technologies. Here, we used highly accurate long-read sequencing (PacBio HiFi) to characterize mitochondrial and plastid genome variants in *Arabidopsis thaliana msh1* mutant individuals. The HiFi reads provided a global view of recombination dynamics with detailed quantification of parental and crossover recombination products for both large and small repeats. We found that recombination breakpoints were distributed relatively evenly across the length of repeated sequences and detected widespread internal exchanges of sequence variants between pairs of imperfect repeats in the mitochondrial genome of *msh1* mutants. Long-read assemblies of mitochondrial genomes from seven other *Arabidopsis thaliana* wild-type accessions differed by repeat-mediated structural rearrangements similar to those observed in *msh1* mutants, but they were all in a simple low-heteroplasmy state. The *Arabidopsis* plastid genome generally lacks small repeats and exhibited a very different pattern of variant accumulation in *msh1* mutants compared with the mitochondrial genome. Our data illustrate the power of HiFi technology in studying repeat-mediated recombination in plant organellar genomes and improved the sequence resolution for recombinational processes suppressed by MSH1.

Keywords: *Arabidopsis thaliana*, mitochondrial genome, repeat recombination, gene conversion, long-read sequencing

Significance

Plant organellar genomes can undergo rapid rearrangements. Long-read sequencing provides a detailed and quantitative view of mitochondrial and plastid genome variants normally suppressed by MSH1, advancing our understanding of plant organellar genome dynamics.

Introduction

Mitochondria and plastids are major energy converters as well as central metabolic compartments of plant cells. In plants, mitochondrial (mt) and plastid (pt) genomes have followed very different evolutionary trajectories. Compared with the rather conserved structures of pt genomes (Lee et al., 2016), plant mt genomes exhibit dramatic variation among species (Wu et al., 2022). Although coding sequences in angiosperm mt genome have very low substitution rates, their gene order and

intergenic sequences are rarely conserved (Wolfe et al., 1987; Palmer and Herbon 1988;
Christensen, 2021). Angiosperm mt genomes typically contain numerous non-tandem repeats, and
recombination between different copies of repeat sequences causes a high level of structural
variation (Wynn and Christensen, 2019). These repeats show little or no sequence conservation
between species and are often grouped based on size ranges (Arrieta-Montiel et al., 2009). Large
repeats (≥1000 bp) are usually identical in sequence and recombine at high frequency, leading to
isomerization of the genome (Unseld et al., 1997). The recombination frequencies of intermediate-
sized repeats (50-1000 bp) are lower but sensitive to genetic, environmental and developmental
triggers that make them frequent enough to have important phenotypic effects (Gualberto and
Newton 2017; Mackenzie and Kundariya, 2020). Shorter repeats (<50 bp) typically do not show
recombination activity, but still play important roles during evolution. These short sequences are
often described as "microhomologies", but the very shortest of them (e.g., <10 bp) are unlikely to
reflect true homology, because such similarities can easily occur at random. Despite their
importance, repeats in sequenced mt genomes are not always annotated correctly or completely
even in model plant species (Wynn and Christensen, 2019). Moreover, these repeats may produce
complex assembly graphs, which hinder a clear characterization of mt genomes (Masutani et al.,
2021). Thus, mechanisms underlining the repeats and their roles in destabilizing plant mt genomes
require a more thorough exploration.

Recombination in plant mt genomes is likely involved in repairing DNA damage, such as double-
strand breaks (DSBs), which can disrupt genome integrity and prevent the progression of
replication and transcription activities. To deal with DSBs, two main branches of repair systems
exist: homology-directed repair (HDR) and non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) (Hussmann et al.,
2021). HDR requires homologous dsDNA templates for new strand synthesis. HDR involving both
DSB ends will lead to non-crossover repair products, which is a process known as gene conversion.
In contrast, HDR involving only one DSB end and non-allelic templates is known as break-induced
repair and leads to crossover products (Christensen, 2013).

Nuclear genes encode a set of mt- and mt-targeted DNA binding proteins that are involved in
DNA recombination, replication, and repair (RRR; Gualberto and Newton, 2017; Chevignv et al.,
2020; Fuchs et al., 2020). Some RRR genes, such as those in the *RECA*, *POLI*, and *SSB* families,
have conserved roles, while others are evolutionary innovations. Unlike bacterial MutS
counterparts, the dual-targeted (mt and pt) MSH1 enzyme in plants combines a mismatch-
recognition domain (MutS I) and a predicted DSB-generating GIY-YIG endonuclease domain in a
single protein (Abdelnoor et al., 2006). MSH1 has been hypothesized to participate in novel DNA

repair mechanisms in plant organelles (Christensen, 2013; Ayala-Garcia et al., 2018; Fukui et al,
2018; Wu et al., 2020; Wynn et al., 2020).

Arabidopsis *msh1* (=*chm*) mutants were originally isolated based on a green-white leaf
variegation phenotype (Rédei, 1973). Early studies focused on reproducible mt genome
rearrangements at a specific region near the *atp9* gene in *msh1* mutants and explored processes
such as asymmetric recombination and substoichiometric shifting (Martínez-Zapater et al., 1992;
Sakamoto et al., 1996; Abdelnoor et al., 2003; Shedge et al., 2007). They showed that new bands
in DNA gel blots in *msh1* mutants were similar to bands observed in other Arabidopsis accessions,
which existed at substoichiometric levels in wild-type individuals and could be detected by PCR.
Detecting pt genome rearrangements in *msh1* mutants by DNA gel blots was more difficult and
only successful in dissected white tissues using probes to detect rearrangements already known in
another mutant (Xu et al., 2011). The more limited structural effects in the Arabidopsis pt genome
may reflect a relative lack of dispersed repeats. An analysis of *msh1* mutants in the moss
Physcomitrella patens has revealed more extensive pt genome variation associated with repeat-
mediated recombination (Odahara et al., 2017).

The first systematic analysis across the mt genome in *msh1* mutants confirmed asymmetric
recombination at 23 groups of repeats using probes corresponding to 33 near-perfect intermediate-
sized repeats which were inferred from blast analysis of the Arabidopsis C24 mt genome (Arrieta-
Montiel et al., 2009). The intensity of bands detected by a specific probe varied among *msh1*
individuals and generations. In some cases, weak bands corresponding to secondary recombination
(multiple rearrangements) and loss of parental bands were observed (Shedge et al., 2007; Arrieta-
Montiel et al., 2009). It was also reported that lower *MSH1* expression caused mt rearrangements
that produced cytoplasmic male sterile (CMS) lines in tomato and allowed fertility reversion of
lines of *Brassica juncea* (Sandhu et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2016, 2021). These studies demonstrated
that MSH1 maintains the structural integrity of the mt genome through suppressing illegitimate
recombination at repeats. We also recently showed increased frequencies of de novo point
mutations in organelle genomes of *msh1* mutants, suggesting a role of MSH1 in maintaining lower
mutation rates in organellar genomes compared with the nuclear genome (Wu et al., 2020).

Despite this extensive work on *MSH1* in Arabidopsis, we still lack a complete understanding of
its effect on repeat dynamics, in part due to the technical difficulties of traditional DNA gel blots,
quantitative PCR, and short-read sequencing. For example, a previous study classified mt genome
rearrangements in Arabidopsis *msh1* mutants using early-generation Illumina sequencing (Davila
et al. 2011). This work represented a key advance in the genome-wide characterization of mt
recombinational activity, including sequence-level resolution of some recombinant molecules.

However, the short read-lengths (36-bp) available at the time cannot span entire repeats including
the regions of perfect sequence identity that often separate internal variants in imperfect repeats,
placing significant limitations on analysis of recombination breakpoints. Short-read sequencing
also precludes detection of more complex structural rearrangements resulting from recombination
at multiple different repeats. Davila et al. (2011) did not detect recombinationally active repeats
smaller than 50 bp. They proposed that structural differences among Arabidopsis accessions were
mainly the result of repeat-mediated recombination, microhomology-mediated end joining
(MMEJ), and NHEJ, but they did not detect MMEJ or NHEJ activities with short reads in *msh1*
mutants. Davila et al. (2011) also documented discontinuous patterns of single-nucleotide variants
(SNVs) and indels that were exchanged between pairs of imperfect repeats. Once again, however,
the resolution of this important analysis was limited due to short read lengths, and it was only
applied to a subset of repeat pairs. Fortunately, the advent of long-read sequencing technologies
has created the opportunity to analyze structural variants in plant mt genome assemblies with much
more accuracy and scalability (Kozik et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2022).

In this work, we aim to comprehensively investigate mt and pt genome instabilities in
Arabidopsis *msh1* mutants. Using PacBio high-fidelity (HiFi) data for individual plants, we
identified structural variants resulting from one or more repeat-mediated rearrangements, as well
as lower frequency MMEJ and NHEJ events. We provide a global map of mt genome instabilities
with a more detailed and accurate characterization of different repeats. For imperfect repeats, we
systematically analyzed the distribution of breakpoints and observed the exchange of sequence
variants in molecules that did not exhibit any structural rearrangements, which was an unexpected
process suppressed by MSH1. We also show that mt genomes of seven *Arabidopsis thaliana*
accessions are all in a low-heteroplasmy states, despite differing by the same types of
rearrangements observed in *msh1* mutants. The total structural variation was very low in pt
genomes. But some variants show individual-specific accumulation in *msh1* mutants, suggesting a
strong influence of random heteroplasmic sorting. These results are important for improving mt
and pt genome-editing technologies and understanding the distinct patterns of evolution in these
organellar genomes.

Results

Mapping of HiFi reads identified structural variants in Arabidopsis mitochondrial genomes

We generated F_3 families from a cross between wild type Arabidopsis Columbia-0 (Col-0) and an
msh1 mutant line (*chm1-1*, CS3372) in an earlier project (Wu et al., 2020). To analyze structural
rearrangements in the organellar genomes in homozygous *msh1* mutants, we collected aboveground

tissue from 11 individual plants (10 *msh1* individuals: M3-17-1, M3-17-2, M3-17-4, M3-17-7, M3-

17-8, M3-17-9, M3-43-2, M3-43-4, M3-43-5, M3-43-6; 1 wild-type individual: W3-5-2) from 3

different F_3 families (Table S1; Figure S1) and prepared separate libraries for each plant for PacBio

HiFi sequencing. The libraries generated a total of 34.36 Gb of HiFi reads (12.7-18.1 kb mean read

length). These reads were first mapped to the Col-0 reference genome by minimap2 (Li, 2018) to

separate nuclear, pt and mt proportions. After excluding one outlier with very low yield (M3-17-

2), the coverage of the nuclear genome ranged from 8.5× to 46.4×, the coverage of the pt genome

ranged from 899.0× to 3093.8×, and the coverage of the mt genome ranged from 30.9× to 247.9×

(Table S2). The pt (4.96%-12.85%) and mt (0.93%-2.13%) proportions were used for downstream

analysis (Figure S2; Table S3 and S4).

Published mt genomes are usually represented as a linearized sequence of the "master circle".

However, various sub-stoichiometric isoforms exist due to frequent repeat-mediated structural

rearrangements (Kozik et al., 2019). Structural rearrangements are indicated by reads whose parts

map to discontinuous positions in the reference genome (Figure 1a). We developed a pipeline to

detect these structural rearrangements (available at https://github.com/zouyinstein/hifisr), in which

mt genome-mapped reads were aligned against the reference sequence (NC_037304.1; Sloan et al.,

2018) via the NCBI blastn software. Using custom Python scripts, we grouped the reads by

discontinuity and mapping coordinates, and calculated alignment overlap (AO) length (i.e., repeat

length) and read counts for each group (Table S5). After additional manual checking and curation,

we were able to distinguish reads with no rearrangements (zero-rearrangement reads) from those

containing one, two, three, or four repeat-mediated rearrangements (≥50 bp) in a single read (Figure

1b; Table S6). In wild type, 7.50% of total reads accounted for rearrangements mediated by non-

tandem repeats, most of which were associated with the two large repeat pairs (Figure 1c). In *msh1*

mutants, this ratio increased to 31.63% of total reads, containing increased proportions of reads

with rearrangements mediated by intermediate-sized repeats and reads with multiple

rearrangements (Figure 1b,c; Table S7 and S8). A few low-frequency events were also detected,

including MMEJ (AO length between 2 and 49 bp) and NHEJ (AO length of 0 or 1 bp), replication

slippage associated with tandem repeats, and insertions (poly-G/C tracts in most cases) (Figure 1b;

Table S6). In particular, the proportion of MMEJ events also increased in *msh1* mutants compared

with wild-type (Figure 1b). Taken together, these data indicate that mt genome structural variants

in *msh1* mutants is mainly (> 96.7%) associated with non-tandem repeats. Compared to short-read

sequencing, our results open the opportunity for investigating multiple rearrangements in a single

read and low-frequency categories of structural variants.

Global patterns of mt genome variants in *msh1* mutants

By visualizing all the junctions and read counts of one-rearrangement reads, we found that rearrangements in wild-type individuals were mainly associated with the Large1, Large2, Q, and V repeats, as well as a few other repeats at very low frequency (Figure S3). In *msh1* mutants, the activity of increased mt genome rearrangements was not randomly distributed (Figure 2a). Instead, they were mostly associated with the subset of repeats that were named and annotated in previous studies with the most extreme variability observed at three regions containing large repeats and two additional regions with intermediate-sized repeats (Figure S4; Arrieta-Montiel et al., 2009; Davila et al., 2011; Sloan et al., 2018). With more exhaustive blastn parameters, we found that many smaller repeats that were not previously named were also associated with mt genome rearrangements (smaller unlabeled dots in Figure 2a and S3; Table S9). However, rearrangements mediated by these small repeats were supported by very few reads and were detected in fewer samples (Figure 2b,c).

Unlike previous DNA gel blots or PCR-based analysis, we could compare the activities of different repeats. According to relative recombination frequencies, 18 groups (Large1, Large2, MMJS, A, B, C, D, E, F, G, N, I, L, Q, R, H, V, BB) showed high activity (read count ≥ 50 in *msh1*, normalized to 10000 total mt genome-mapped reads), 17 groups (P, O, QQ, M, K, X, U, T, W, CC, Z, DD, Y, LL, HNR37, KK, OO) showed intermediate activity (read count of 10 to 49 in *msh1*) and the 64 remaining groups showed low activity (Figure S5a; Table S10-S13). The factors that contributed to different recombination frequencies were not limited to repeat length, identity or copy number, because some repeats still fell far from the regression line when we considered all two-copy repeats with high sequence identity (mismatches + gaps ≤ 2; Figure S5b and Table S14) and different pairs within the same three-copy repeat group showed different recombination frequencies (Table S15).

Asymmetrical recombination in *msh1* mutants was first reported based on DNA gel blot analysis and was also estimated based on read depth across one of the repeat boundaries (Arrieta-Montiel et al., 2009; Davila et al., 2011). Using long reads across both repeat boundaries for a given repeat group, we could estimate asymmetrical recombination events in more detail (Figure S6). The polarity of asymmetrical recombination between the two *msh1* mutant families was largely conserved, with small differences in intensity and accompanied loss of parental forms for some repeat groups which could be caused by heteroplasmic sorting (Figure 3; Table S16). Notably, we observed more pronounced asymmetrical recombination and severe loss of one parental form (2-2) for Large1 in *msh1* mutants compared with wild-type (Figure 3). Such large repeats are typically found to recombine symmetrically in the literature (Figure 3; Arrieta-Montiel et al., 2009).

Long reads enabled the identification of rearrangements that involve two or more groups of
repeats. For example, the increased two-rearrangement reads involving Large1 and Large2
indicated more fragmented and heteroplasmic mt genomes in *msh1* mutants compared with wild-
type (Figure S7). Together, our data provide a much more detailed and accurate view of mt genome
instabilities of *msh1* mutants with a quantitative comparison of different repeats.

Base-level understanding of crossover and non-crossover recombination events in *msh1*
We investigated the internal single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and indels for the 27 groups of high-
and intermediate-activity repeats that were not 100% identical in sequence (i.e., after excluding the
perfect repeats Large1, Large2, C, F, K, L, Q, and Z). Conducting such a comprehensive analysis
at a single-molecule level is not possible with short-read sequencing. We first examined the
distribution of crossover sites in one-rearrangement chimeric reads based on the SNVs/indels that
distinguish repeat homologs. We found that recombination breakpoints were most likely to occur
in the longest identical fragment (LIF) within two-copy repeats and that the proportion of
supporting reads was positively correlated with LIF length as a fraction of total repeat length
(Figure 4a; Figure S8; Table S17). This indicates that recombination breakpoints are distributed
relatively evenly across the length of repeats rather than being localized to a single hotspot.
An earlier study also observed the continuous distribution of SNVs/indels in imperfect repeats I,
R and X (Miller-Messmer et al 2012). In our analysis, we also identified discontinuous patterns of
SNVs/indels across the length of imperfect repeats in some reads (Table S17). For example, a
proportion of the one-rearrangement reads mediated by repeats H-1 and H-3 also contained variants
that derived from repeat H-2, suggesting that discontinuous SNV patterns could be related to other
repeat homologs not directly involved in a crossover recombination event (Figure 4c). A previous
study used the term "partial gene conversion" and suggested mismatch repair mechanism
independent of *MSH1* as a possible explanation for discontinuous SNV/indel patterns (Davila et al.,
2011).
The patterns of mt genome recombination indicate that dsDNA templates for DSB repair can be
allelic or non-allelic, and recombination products can be both crossover and non-crossover (Figure
4b). HiFi technology enabled unambiguous mapping of reads that did not contain any
rearrangements but still exhibited variants within repeats (Figure S9). We investigated the exchange
of non-allelic SNVs/indels in zero-rearrangement reads spanning the entire length of all 27 high-
and intermediate-activity groups of imperfect repeats. We observed numerous mosaic repeat
sequences apparently generated through exchange of non-allelic SNVs/indels in *msh1* mutants,
which seldomly occurred in wild-type (Table S18-S20). This suggested that without MSH1, non-

allelic dsDNA templates were used in non-crossover recombination. In *msh1* mutants, repeat
groups A, D, G, H, I, M, MMJS, N, R, T, and W showed a high frequency of non-allelic
SNVs/indels (Figure 4d and S10) and had higher frequencies of crossover recombination (Table
S16), while the remaining 15 analyzed repeat groups showed low frequencies of non-allelic
SNVs/indels (Table S18; note that E-1 and E-2 are not included in this analysis because the same
SNV/indel positions are included within the H repeat group). It appears that exchanges can involve
very short stretches because the ratios of variants at adjacent positions often differ.
The discontinuous patterns of SNVs/indels in one-rearrangement reads such as the examples
described for H-1 and H-3 above could also result from the recombination products of zero-
rearrangement templates that have previously undergone these internal exchanges. To investigate
this possibility, we compared samples with high (M3-17-1, 16.2%) and low (M3-43-2, 0%) ratios
of exchanged zero-rearrangement reads at two positions (the asterisk-labeled A and C in Figure 4d).
As predicted, we found the proportion of crossover products between repeat H-1 and H-3 that
contained the H-2-specific SNVs (G and T) was also higher in sample M3-17-1 (20.1%) than M3-
43-2 (2.0%). The ratios of the same variants in repeat group H were different among *msh1*
individuals, which might reflect the process of heteroplasmic sorting (Figure 4d and S11). But in
repeat homolog MMJS-2, the unusually high ratios of non-allelic indels in some individuals (M3-
17-4 and M3-43-6) were associated with the near complete loss of parental forms, i.e., very low
sequence coverage in this region (Figure S10 and S12). Together, these sequence-level
investigations suggest that *MSH1* can suppresses the exchange of non-allelic SNVs/indels between
imperfect repeats within the mt genome in addition to suppressing structural variation.
292
Mt genome evolution in Arabidopsis involves reproducible sets of repeats
We used metaFlye to reassemble the mt genomes of seven other *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions
using published PacBio continuous long-read (CLR) datasets (Jiao and Schneeberger, 2020). We
found that all the metaFlye assembly graphs were (near) completely resolved, which suggests low
heteroplasmy in all accessions (Figure S13; Table S21 and S22). In contrast, the graphs were much
more complex with fragmented contigs and many unresolved repeats in *msh1* mutants, reflecting
highly rearranged and heteroplasmic mt genomes (Figure S14a). The graphs of wild-type Col-0
based on published HiFi datasets (Wang et al., 2021; Naish et al., 2021) were still relatively simple
(Figure S14b), which indicated that the complexity of mt genomes of *msh1* mutants was not caused
by higher sequence depth.
Even though the in vivo physical structures of mtDNA molecules are mostly branched linear
forms and circular molecules much smaller than the expected size of a complete master circle

(Bendich et al., 1993; Kozik et al., 2019), the "master circle" models facilitate easier comparison and clearer presentation of structural variants. When comparing the pseudo-master circles of multiple accessions with Col-0 mt genome, we identified structural rearrangements associated with specific non-tandem repeats, MMEJ/NHEJ and tandem repeats, as well as some large indels flanked by repeats or microhomologies (Figure S15; Table S23 and S24). We noticed that the repeats that mediated rearrangements, and the repeats or microhomologies flanking the large insertions were often shared by different accessions (Figure S15; Table S24). For example, the structures of mt genomes were strikingly similar in An-1 and L*er*. The mt genomes of Col-0 and An-1 differ by five rearrangements mediated by repeat group B, I, H-1/2, L and H-1/3, while that of L*er* has an additional rearrangement mediated by repeat group LL (Figure 5a). The small circles generated by closely located direct repeats (H-1/2, H-1/3 and LL) were lost during evolution, but in certain cases they can behave like autonomously replicating episomes (Wallet et al 2015; Chevigny et al, 2022). Despite this apparent reproducibility, there were also examples of accession-specific large indels, including a 1105-bp sequence absent Col-0, a 1790-bp sequence absent in C24, a 981-bp sequence absent in Kyoto, and a 1407-bp sequence uniquely present in Sha. The 1407-bp sequence in Sha is flanked by an 18-bp microhomology and QQ-2 sequence and consists of a 1025-bp large repeat plus a 400-bp sequence of unknown origin, which is thought to be associated with the CMS phenotype of Sha (Gobron et al., 2013). Interestingly, we observed that the 1025-bp large repeat in Sha was flanked by a pair of the same 18-bp microhomologies, which occurs 13 times in Col-0 mt genome. Thus, large repeats in the mt genome may simply originate by a two-step "excision-reinsertion" mechanism, in which the excision step is an MMEJ event mediated by a pair of microhomologies and the reinsertion step involves a third microhomology in an unexcised copy of the genome (Figure 5b).

The overall HiFi read coverage of different *msh1* individuals did not vary greatly compared with wild-type, except for fluctuations at sporadic stretches (Figure S16). The region around repeat Large1-2 showed the lowest coverage in all *msh1* individuals, which would indicate a partial loss of *trnS*, *atp6-2* and *atp9* (Figure S17a-c). By analyzing the depth of CLR reads, we verified the loss of two-copy genes *trnS* and *atp6-2*, but not the single-copy gene *atp9* (Figure S17d-f). Together, our analyses suggest that both repeat-mediated rearrangements and the relatively random MMEJ/NHEJ observed in *msh1* mutants are involved in mt genome evolution, leading to rearrangements, large deletions, and generation of new repeats with selection preventing the loss of essential functional genes.

Different accumulation patterns of pt genome variants in *msh1* mutants

The frequencies of structural variants were very low in the pt genome for both wild-type and *msh1*

mutants (Figure S18; Table S25-S27). In contrast to the mt genome, the Arabidopsis pt genome

contains a pair of very large repeats (IRa/b) but very few intermediate repeats (Table S28). We

detected only one read in a single sample that supported the previously identified rearrangement

mediated by a pair of 123-bp imperfect repeats (Xu et al., 2011). Therefore, this variant appears to

make a very small contribution towards pt genome instability. In *msh1* mutants, the proportion of

pt MMEJ events was increased as also observed in mt genomes, but the associated

microhomologies included an overrepresentation of short, partial palindromic sequences (Figure

S18; Table S29). A partial palindromic sequence can be viewed as a pair of repeats or

microhomologies with both copies at the same location but in inverted orientation. For example, in

MMEJ events mediated by the most active partial palindromic sequence (a 22-bp stem and a 9-nt

loop) in *msh1* mutants, we observed recombination breakpoints in both the stem and the loop region,

resembling observations in mt genomic imperfect repeats (Figure 6a; Table S30).

Another important feature was the sample-specific accumulation of certain variants in pt genomes

of *msh1* mutants. Although most NHEJ products were at low frequencies with a seemingly random

distribution, we observed one pt NHEJ product that occurred only in one sample (M3-43-5) but at

relatively high frequency (121 one-rearrangement reads versus 1385 zero-rearrangement reads),

resulting in a 65-bp deletion in the *petA* gene (Figure 6b; Table S31). This mutation was predicted

to delete a highly conserved KQFE motif in the C-terminal stromal extension of cytochrome f,

which would compromise photosynthesis (Choquet et al., 2003). This sample-specific

accumulation pattern was also observed for SNVs in the pt genome. In total, we identified 17 pt

SNVs that were enriched to high frequencies in one or a few *msh1* mutant samples (Figure 6c;

Table S32). They were predominantly AT-to-GC transitions, consistent with the mutation spectrum

in *msh1* mutants that was reported previously and suggested to be caused by polymerase errors (Wu

et al., 2020). GC variants were also recently shown to be favored in the process of heteroplasmic

sorting in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Broz et al., 2022). Moreover, we found that simple sequence

repeats (predominantly in homopolymers) exhibited larger proportions of length variants in the pt

genomes of *msh1* mutants compared with wild-type (Figure S19; Tables S33). For example, for the

13-bp homopolymer at position 67164 (which had reads supporting length variants ranging from -

6 bp to +18 bp), the proportion of reference length (13 bp) was less in all *msh1* individuals than

wild-type (Figure 6d). Together, our sequence data suggest that MSH1 participates in removal of

pt genome variants. The specific pt variants in *msh1* mutants are less reproducible among samples

than mt variants, and heteroplasmic sorting may contribute to this variation among individuals.

Discussion

In this work, we used HiFi reads (~15 kb long, > 99% accuracy) to study the patterns of mt and pt genome variants using samples from Arabidopsis *msh1* mutant individuals. HiFi reads were long enough to span the entire lengths of repeats, including the two largest repeats in the Arabidopsis mt genome (6.5 kb and 4.2 kb), which enabled analyses of recombination breakpoints and higher-order structural rearrangements that were not previously possible with short reads. We developed a mapping-based pipeline, which showed that HiFi reads performed well in resolving one to multiple repeats-mediated rearrangements in a single read and studying polymorphisms between long non-identical repeats. Due to the ancient conservation of the *MSH1* gene across angiosperms and green plants in general (Wu et al., 2020), our analysis in Arabidopsis likely extends to mt genomes in other plants. Compared to previous studies, we also identified additional repeats active in low-frequency recombination. Similarly, in the bacterial *Borrelia burgdorferi*, long-read sequencing successfully identified a 97% reduction in the activity of recombinational switching at the *vlsE* locus in MutL-deficient line, which was much higher than the ratio (36%) estimated by short-read sequencing (Castellanos et al., 2022). However, due to the low read counts, HiFi reads were less cost-effective for studying low-frequency MMEJ and NHEJ variants. This cost could be reduced by isolating organelles from the cells before DNA extraction for sequencing.

MSH1 suppresses exchange of SNVs and indels between non-identical repeats

There might be a common need to suppress recombination mediated by non-allelic genomic repeats across systems. During meiosis in the nucleus, MutS homologs detect mismatches and recruit the DSB-generating SPO11 complex to suppress crossover repair between non-identical centromeric repeats (Blackwell et al., 2020; Naish et al., 2021). In the mitochondria, MSH1 is similarly required to suppress crossover repair between intermediate-sized repeats (Arrieta-Montiel et al., 2009; Davila et al., 2011). Gene conversion was previously proposed to explain the discontinuous pattern of SNVs/indels derived from non-identical repeats in chimeric reads (Davila et al., 2011). However, the short-read sequencing performed in that study makes it difficult to assess whether these discontinuous patterns were present even in molecules that did not exhibit structural rearrangements from recombination. In our analysis, unambiguous mapping of HiFi reads across the full length of mt repeats identified the exchange of SNVs and indels between pairs of imperfect repeats in zero-rearrangement reads in *msh1* mutants. MSH1 activity appears to suppress these exchanges based on their relative absence in wild-type.

The observed variants could be introduced by non-crossover gene conversion events, but they could also result from multiple rounds of crossover recombination involving the same repeats but different breakpoints. It is important to note that any analysis of a standing population of genome

copies in a tissue sample will not only reflect the recombination events that originally generated structural rearrangements but also the subsequent history replication, degradation, and heteroplasmic sorting. Furthermore, such processes may be sensitive to rearing conditions (e.g., day length) and the stage in development when tissue was harvested, which should be considered in any comparison between our results and previous studies.

There could very well be a connection between MSH1's functions in suppressing ectopic recombination and mismatch repair mechanisms. Specifically, MSH1 may provide a surveillance mechanism to check for mismatches in double-stranded DNA regardless of whether they are introduced by polymerase errors or by strand invasion between short or non-identical repeats, followed by introduction of DSBs to (re)start repair by homologous recombination. To suppress recombination at short and intermediate repeats that are 100% identical in sequence, MSH1 may need to detect differences in boundary sequence. During homologous recombination, the bacterial RecA-ssDNA presynaptic filament checks homology in ~9 bp steps before strand exchange with the dsDNA template (Huang et al., 2020). In such screening mechanisms, the first mismatches may be detected at the repeat boundaries when the repeat sequences themselves are identical. Thus, MSH1 may remove both crossover and non-crossover forms of ectopic recombination to ensure accurate DSB repair of the genome by detecting mismatches within repeats or at repeat boundaries (Figure 7a).

Conventional recombination activity only accounts for a fraction of DSB repair events

Most previous mt repeat annotations depended on the penalty parameters of mismatches and gaps used in sequence alignment. We generated a global and quantitative map of rearrangements mediated by repeated sequence elements, including the identification of additional low-frequency repeats, which raises new questions about what contributes to the observed differences in recombination activity among repeat pairs. Increased crossover recombination events involving intermediate-sized repeats have been observed in wild-type plants when DSB frequencies were increased by DNA damaging chemicals (Wallet et al., 2015). Therefore, repeats near DSB hotspots may show higher ectopic recombination activity compared to other repeats with similar length and sequence identity, which might explain cases that fall far from the regression line that defines the relationship between recombination activity and repeat length (Figure S5b). It may also help to study repair events systematically by inducing DSBs through mt-targeting CRISPR-Cas9 or mitoTALENs (Arimura et al., 2020) and in more RRR gene mutants, such as *why2*, *reca3*, *osb1* (Gualberto and Newton 2017).

DSBs within a repeat or in its flanking sequences would be repaired using homologous DNA stretches of another intra- or intermolecular copy. After resection of DSB ends, RecA recombinases bind 3' ssDNA and rapidly search for homology (Wiktor et al., 2021). It was reported that at least 8-nt microhomology was needed for RecA-ssDNA filaments to bind dsDNA templates stably, and 15-nt was sufficient to be resistant to facilitated exchange during homology search (Qi et al., 2015). These studies suggest that only a small stretch of RecA-ssDNA is needed during each HDR repair event. Typically, only crossover products have been used to estimate frequencies of recombinant conformations (Wallet et al., 2015; Odahara et al., 2017). Such calculations do not account for the fact that non-crossover recombination or gene conversion events between repeats can also occur at substantial frequencies. Because *msh1* mutants exhibited exchange of sequence variants between non-identical repeats even in reads with no structural rearrangements, the increases in ectopic recombination activity are likely underestimated when only crossover products are quantified.

When calculating recombination for some three-copy or four-copy repeats locating within other longer two-copy repeats, one-rearrangement reads shared by both shorter and longer annotations were assigned only to the larger two-copy repeats by blastn (Figure 3). This illustrates that it may be more natural to view recombination events as associated with specific genomic positions, but not with repeat annotations.

Different variant accumulation patterns in mt and pt genomes

In plant organellar genomes, large repeats recombine constantly. The numerous intermediate-sized repeats are the major source of altered recombination activities in *msh1* mutants. The global patterns of mt recombination indicated by one-rearrangement reads (Figure 2) and fluctuation in sequence depth (Figure S16) were similar among individuals, which could be a combined effect of the locations of repeat pairs and the selection to maintain regions containing functional genes. Despite the common loss of the region around repeat Large1-2 (containing *atp6-2*, *atp9* and *trnS*), the relative dosage of parental and crossover recombination forms of each repeat pair may differ among *msh1* individuals and generations, which was proposed to be caused by heteroplasmic sorting in previous studies (Shedge et al., 2007; Arrieta-Montiel et al., 2009). In other Arabidopsis accessions, we observed large indels flanked by repeats or microhomologies. These indels sometimes coincided with the low-coverage regions observed in *msh1* mutants, including the previously investigated region around Large1-2. Some of these structural rearrangements may cause a CMS phenotype, such as the one reported in Sha (Figure S15; Gobron et al., 2013). In natural populations, the mt genome may be transiently (within a single generation) found in a highly rearranged and heteroplasmic states similar to *msh1* mutants, providing source materials for

functional innovation (Woloszynska, 2010; Tang et al., 2017; Johnston, 2019). However, the
metaFlye assembly graphs support previous observations that the mt genomes of wild-type
Arabidopsis accessions typically exist in low-heteroplasmy states with structural variants
predominantly associated with repeats or microhomologies mediated DSB repair activities (Figure
S13; Table S24).

The contrasting patterns of variation between mt and pt genomes may result from differences in
repeat spectrum, genome copy number per organelle, and DNA repair mechanisms. Structural
rearrangements in pt genome of Arabidopsis *msh1* mutants were hardly detected in earlier studies,
possibly due to the lack of intermediate-sized repeats (Xu et al., 2011). HiFi-identified
rearrangements in the pt genome were mostly MMEJ and NHEJ events. The MMEJ events
mediated by partial palindromic sequences were found at a higher frequency than others and
showed repeatability among samples. Moreover, other examples of pt genome variants occurred at
high frequency in individual samples regardless of whether they were MMEJ, NHEJ (Figure 6b)
or SNVs (Figure 6c). Such sample-specific variants were most likely due to a combination of
differential occurrence of the original mutations and subsequent variation introduced by
heteroplasmic sorting. Simple sequence repeats including homopolymers are intrinsically unstable
regions in pt genomes, which is also described in an earlier characterization of the mutation
spectrum of 51 *Oenothera* mutants (Massouh et al., 2016). Although length variants at these
homopolymers are found even in wild-type plants, our data suggest that the frequencies of these
variants are reduced by *MSH1* activity (Figure 6d and S19).

In conclusion, *MSH1* acts to maintain both structural and non-structural homoplasmy in plant
organellar genomes possibly by promoting gene conversion (with allelic DNA templates). These
genomes can be transiently disrupted when *MSH1* expression is downregulated, providing more
raw materials for further functional selection (Yang and Mackenzie, 2019). When *MSH1*
expression is restored, evolved versions of the genomes can rapidly rise in frequency (Shedge et
al., 2007). However, the two genomes can respond quite differently to the loss of the same gene
(Figure 7b). Organellar genome editing technologies are becoming more and more accessible
(Kazama et al., 2019; Arimura et al., 2020; Forner et al., 2022). However, most protocols in non-
model species and the recent TALEN-GDM in Arabidopsis require a callus-induction step (Forner
et al., 2022), during which the expression of MSH1 may be downregulated (Sun et al., 2012). Thus,
we need to pay attention to the rearrangements and gene conversion events in mt genomes, which
are not easily detected by short-read sequencing, as well as the unwanted random accumulation of
AT-to-GC mutations and length variants of simple sequence repeats in pt genomes. In the future,

we may better understand the role of cytoplasmic genetic material in crop improvement through

studying RRR genes including *MSH1*.

Methods

DNA extraction and PacBio HiFi sequencing

Generation of *msh1* mutant and wild-type F_3 families of *Arabidopsis thaliana* was described

previously (Wu et al., 2020). In brief, an *msh1* mutant (*chm1-1*, CS3372) was used as the pollen

donor in a backcross to *A. thaliana* Col-0 so that the F_1 plant would have wild-type organellar

genomes. Seeds of each homozygous mutant and wild-type F_2 plant was collected separately and

propagated as F_3 families. To extend rosette stage growth before bolting, plants were grown in a

short-day growth chamber (8 h day and 16 h night) for six weeks. Rosettes of 11 individuals were

collected to extract DNA with the CTAB method. Total DNA was purified with a QIAGEN kit

(Q13343) and quantified using NanoDrop and Qubit. Purified DNA (3.3~18.6 μg for each sample)

was used for the construction of SMRTbell libraries according to PacBio's standard protocol.

Sequencing was performed using the PacBio Sequel II platform in CCS mode, which generated a

total of 34.36 Gb HiFi reads (12.7~18.1 kb mean read length). Both the mutant and wild-type

individuals used in our studied may have segments originated from the L*er* accession in the nuclear

genome as indicated by an earlier study (Virdi et al., 2015).

Identification of rearrangements in mt and pt genomes

Raw HiFi reads from each sample were mapped to the Arabidopsis Col-0 reference genome

(TAIR10 for nucleus; NC_037304.1 for mitochondria; NC_000932.1 plus a 1-bp expansion at

position 28673 in the pt genome as described in Wu et al., 2020) with minimap2 (version 2.20,

r1061; parameters: -ax map-hifi; Li, 2018). Reads mapped to mt and pt genomes were separated

using bamtools (version 2.5.1; Barnett et al., 2011) and realigned against each genome with blastn

(version 2.12.0; Camacho et al., 2009). Raw blastn results were further processed by a custom

pipeline (available at https://github.com/zouyinstein/hifisr) to group the reads by discontinuity,

alignment overlap (AO) size (i.e., repeat size) and mapping coordinates, and calculate read counts

for input samples. Different types of genome rearrangements were manually checked, including

zero-, one-, two-, three-, and four-rearrangement reads, MMEJ (AO length of 2-49 bp), NHEJ (AO

length of 0-1 bp), and replication slippage mediated by tandem repeats. We also identified large

insertions, which were poly-G/C tracts in most cases. However, it was not clear whether these

inserted homopolymers were biological or a sequencing artefact, and they were not analyzed further.

Mitochondrial repeat annotations and recombination activity

Candidate coordinates of repeats were generated by blastn (version 2.12.0+; parameters: -word_size 4) using the mt reference sequence as both query and subject (Table S10). The resulting coordinates were manually checked against the junction coordinates of one-rearrangement HiFi reads. We identified 71 groups of two-copy repeats, 23 groups of three-copy repeats, 5 groups of four-copy repeats, which accounted for most junctions of read alignments in *msh1* mutant mt genomes (Table S11-S13). These 99 repeat groups contained all previously reported active repeats except for repeat TT and 53 additional groups of recombinationally active repeats ≥ 50 bp, which we named HNR1 to HNR53 (repeats FF, WW, XX, YY, and ZZ were not considered because they are absent in the NC_037304.1 annotation). For consistency with blastn alignments, the boundaries of some low-identity repeats in our annotation differed from previous annotations. For example, the direct repeats MMJS-1 (767 bp) and MMJS-2 (692 bp) were previously annotated as separate smaller repeats MM, J, and S. We treated short repeats as part of the microhomology category (2 to 49 bp) because blastn can often generate overlapping, inaccurate, or incomplete predictions of homology below 50 bp in size. Per base ratios of rearranged reads versus total reads and read counts for parental and recombinant forms were calculated with custom Python scripts based on blastn outputs of the HiFi-SR pipeline and used to identify dynamic regions of the mt genome.

Identification of non-allelic SNVs/indels between imperfect repeats

To analyze patterns of SNVs/indels, zero-rearrangement reads of each sample were re-aligned to the mt reference genome by PacBio pbmm2 (version 1.3.0; parameters: --sort --preset CCS). The resulting BAM files were used for variant calling by GATK HaplotypeCaller (version 4.2.3.0). Variant frequencies and sequencing depths for each GATK-called SNV/indel were calculated from raw BAM files with the Python module pysam (version 0.17.0). Non-allelic SNVs/indels inferred from putative gene conversion events between some high-activity mt imperfect repeats were not always detected by GATK but were also used for calculations.

Calculation of absolute and normalized per base coverage

Total mt genome-mapped reads were re-aligned to mt reference genomes by PacBio pbmm2 (version 1.3.0; parameters: --sort --preset CCS). Absolute per base coverage across the entire mt genome of each individual was calculated with the samtools depth subcommand (version 1.7) and normalized to total bases of each sample. Annotations are based on the GenBank file NC_037304.1 (Sloan et al., 2018).

metaFlye assembly and synteny analysis of mt genomes

Raw PacBio CLR reads from each accession were mapped to the reference genomes (the respective

nuclear assembly in Jiao and Schneeberger, 2020; Col-0 NC_037304.1 for mitochondria and

NC_000932.1 plus a 1-bp expansion at position 28673 for plastid) with minimap2 (version 2.20,

r1061; parameters: -ax map-pb; Li, 2018). Reads mapped to mt genomes were separated using

bamtools (version 2.5.1; Barnett et al., 2011) and were re-assembled with metaFlye (Flye version

2.9-b1774; parameters: --meta --pacbio-hifi/--pacbio-corr --extra-params output_gfa_before_rr=1

--genome-size 370K/2M for mitochondria; Kolmogorov et al., 2020). Before assembling, PacBio

CLR reads longer than 1000 bp were extracted by Filtlong (version 0.2.1; parameters: --min_length

1000) and were corrected using CONSENT (version 2.2.2; parameters: --type PB; Morisse et al.,

2021). Assembly graphs before and after repeat resolution were visualized by Bandage (version

0.8.1; Wick et al., 2015). Reads from different *msh1* families were merged. To get linear

representations of pseudo-master circles for mapping-based analysis, we started from the

assemblies (--genome-size 2M) after repeat resolution, discarded low-coverage contigs, manually

resolved the large repeats for accessions Eri-1, C24, Cvi-0, An-1, L*er*, Sha, and re-resolved Large2

repeats for Kyoto with the Bandage software, followed by rotation or reverse complementation.

Corrected CLR reads were realigned against each pseudo-master circle using the HiFi-SR pipeline.

To analyze the shared synteny between mt genomes, we performed blastn alignments using the

Col-0 mt reference sequence as the query and pseudo-master circle of other accessions as the

subject. Raw blastn results were processed by a custom Python script available in HiFi-SR.

Coordinates of large repeats were determined based on blastn alignments using the pseudo-master

circle as both query and subject. Repeat-mediated rearrangements, MMEJ/NHEJ events, and large

insertions composed of short fragments of multiple origins were manually checked. Plots were

generated by the Python package pycircos (version 1.0.2).

Identification of SNVs/indels in the pt genome

602 To analyze patterns of SNVs/indels in the pt genome, total reads of each sample were re-aligned to

603 the pt reference genome by PacBio pbmm2 (version 1.3.0; parameters: --sort --preset CCS). The

604 resulting BAM files were used for variant calling by GATK HaplotypeCaller (version 4.2.3.0).

605 Variant frequencies and sequencing depths for each GATK-called SNV/indel were calculated from

606 raw BAM files with the Python module pysam (version 0.17.0).

Acknowledgments

609 We thank Xiaojing Zhang from Prof. Li-Zhen Tao's laboratory at South China Agricultural
610 University for assistance with plant growth. This work was supported by grants from National
611 Natural Science Foundation of China (32170238), the Science, Technology and Innovation
612 Commission of Shenzhen Municipality (RCYX20200714114538196), the U.S. National Institutes
613 of Health (R01 GM118046), and the Chinese Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2021M693451).

Author contributions

616 ZW designed the research; YZ performed the experiments; YZ wrote the computer scripts and
617 performed majority of the bioinformatic analysis; WZ analyzed the boundaries of structure
618 rearrangements in Arabidopsis accessions; YZ, WZ, DBS, and ZW discussed the results; YZ, DBS
619 and ZW wrote the manuscript; DBS and ZW revised the manuscript.

ORCID

622 Yi Zou: 0000-0003-3117-3898

623 Weidong Zhu: 0000-0002-9613-354X

624 Daniel B. Sloan: 0000-0002-3618-0897

625 Zhiqiang Wu: 0000-0002-4238-7317

Conflict of Interest

628 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Data Availability

The raw sequence data reported in this paper have been deposited in the Genome Sequence Archive
(Chen et al, 2021) in the National Genomics Data Center (C.-N. Members and Partners, 2022),
China National Center for Bioinformation / Beijing Institute of Genomics, Chinese Academy of
Sciences (GSA: CRA006060), which is publicly accessible at https://ngdc.cncb.ac.cn/gsa. The
Python-based pipeline for the detection of plant mt structural rearrangements used in this study is
available at https://github.com/zouyinstein/hifisr.

Supporting Information

639 **Figure S1** Seedlings of *msh1* mutants and wild-type *Arabidopsis thaliana*

640 **Figure S2** Mapping ratio of HiFi reads in different samples

641 **Figure S3** Patterns of one-rearrangement events detected in mt genome of wild-type

642 **Figure S4** Variability of structural rearrangements across the mt genome

Table S16 HiFi read counts indicating parental forms and crossover recombination products of
representative repeats in wild-type and *msh1* mutants.
Table S17 Counts of continuous and discontinuous one-rearrangement reads in high- and
intermediate-activity two-copy imperfect repeats
Table S18 Ratios of predicted mt gene conversion sites in individual plants and published HiFi
datasets
Table S19 Frequencies of GATK-identified mt SNVs in individual plants and published HiFi
datasets
Table S20 Frequencies of GATK-identified mt indels in individual plants and published HiFi
datasets
Table S21 Mapping statistics for PacBio CLR reads in individual plants
Table S22 Statistics of corrected PacBio CLR reads used for metaFlye assembly of mt genomes
Table S23 Blastn results for mt large repeats
Table S24 Blastn results for syntenic relationships in mt genomes
Table S25 Read counts for different types of pt structural rearrangements in individual plants
Table S26 Read counts for different categories of pt structural rearrangements in wild-type and
msh1 plants
Table S27 Alignment overlap length in pt one-rearrangement reads
Table S28 Raw blastn outputs using the pt reference sequence as both query and subject
Table S29 HiFi-SR outputs of pt one-rearrangement reads mediated by short partial palindromic
sequences in individual plants
Table S30 HiFi-SR outputs of pt one-rearrangement reads mediated by the most active short partial
palindromic sequence in individual plants
Table S31 HiFi-SR outputs of a pt NHEJ event in individual plants
Table S32 Frequencies of GATK-identified pt SNVs in individual plants and published HiFi
datasets
Table S33 Frequencies of GATK-identified pt SNVs in individual plants and published HiFi
datasets
705

References

1.   Abdelnoor RV, Yule R, Elo A, Christensen AC, Meyer-Gauen G, Mackenzie SA.
Substoichiometric shifting in the plant mitochondrial genome is influenced by a gene
homologous to MutS. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2003**, 100(10)**:** 5968-5973.

2. Abdelnoor RV, Christensen AC, Mohammed S, Munoz-Castillo B, Moriyama H, Mackenzie SA. Mitochondrial genome dynamics in plants and animals: convergent gene fusions of a MutS homologue. **J Mol Evol 2006**, 63(2)**:** 165-173.

3. Arimura SI, Ayabe H, Sugaya H, Okuno M, Tamura Y, Tsuruta Y, Watari Y, Yanase S, Yamauchi T, Itoh T, Toyoda A, Takanashi H, Tsutsumi N. Targeted gene disruption of *ATP synthases 6-1* and *6-2* in the mitochondrial genome of *Arabidopsis thaliana* by mitoTALENs. **Plant J 2020**, 104(6)**:** 1459-1471.

4. Arrieta-Montiel MP, Shedge V, Davila J, Christensen AC, Mackenzie SA. Diversity of the Arabidopsis mitochondrial genome occurs via nuclear-controlled recombination activity. **Genetics 2009**, 183(4)**:** 1261-1268.

5. Ayala-Garcia VM, Baruch-Torres N, Garcia-Medel PL, Brieba LG. Plant organellar DNA polymerases paralogs exhibit dissimilar nucleotide incorporation fidelity. **FEBS J 2018**, 285(21)**:** 4005-4018.

6. Barnett DW, Garrison EK, Quinlan AR, Stromberg MP, Marth GT. BamTools: a C++ API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. **Bioinformatics 2011**, 27(12)**:** 1691-1692.

7. Bendich AJ. Reaching for the ring: the study of mitochondrial genome structure. **Curr Genet 1993**, 24(4)**:** 279-290.

8. Blackwell AR, Dluzewska J, Szymanska-Lejman M, Desjardins S, Tock AJ, Kbiri N, Lambing C, Lawrence EJ, Bieluszewski T, Rowan B, Higgins JD, Ziolkowski PA, Henderson IR. MSH2 shapes the meiotic crossover landscape in relation to interhomolog polymorphism in Arabidopsis. **EMBO J 2020**, 39(21)**:** e104858.

9. Broz AK, Keene A, Fernandes Gyorfy M, Hodous M, Johnston IG, Sloan DB. Sorting of mitochondrial and plastid heteroplasmy in *Arabidopsis* is extremely rapid and depends on MSH1 activity. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2022**, 119(34): e2206973119.

10. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. BLAST+: architecture and applications. **BMC Bioinformatics 2009**, 10**:** 421.

11. Castellanos M, Verhey TB, Goldstein M, Chaconas G. The Putative Endonuclease Activity of MutL Is Required for the Segmental Gene Conversion Events That Drive Antigenic Variation of the Lyme Disease Spirochete. **Front Microbiol 2022**, 13**:** 888494.

12. Chen T, Chen X, Zhang S, Zhu J, Tang B, Wang A, Dong L, Zhang Z, Yu C, Sun Y, Chi L, Chen H, Zhai S, Sun Y, Lan L, Zhang X, Xiao J, Bao Y, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Zhao W. The Genome Sequence Archive Family: Toward Explosive Data Growth and Diverse Data Types. **Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 2021**.

13. Chevigny N, Weber-Lotfi F, Le Blevenec A, Nadiras C, Fertet A, Bichara M, *et al.* RADA-
dependent branch migration has a predominant role in plant mitochondria and its defect
leads to mtDNA instability and cell cycle arrest. **PLoS Genet 2022**, 18(5)**:** e1010202.

14. Choquet Y, Zito F, Wostrikoff K, Wollman FA. Cytochrome f translation in
Chlamydomonas chloroplast is autoregulated by its carboxyl-terminal domain. **Plant Cell
2003**, 15(6)**:** 1443-1454.

15. Christensen AC. Plant mitochondrial genome evolution can be explained by DNA repair
mechanisms. **Genome Biol Evol 2013**, 5(6)**:** 1079-1086.

16. Christensen AC. Plant Mitochondria are a Riddle Wrapped in a Mystery Inside an Enigma.
J Mol Evol 2021, 89(3)**:** 151-156.

17. Davila JI, Arrieta-Montiel MP, Wamboldt Y, Cao J, Hagmann J, Shedge V, Xu YZ, Weigel
D, Mackenzie SA. Double-strand break repair processes drive evolution of the
mitochondrial genome in Arabidopsis. **BMC Biol 2011**, 9**:** 64.

18. Forner J, Kleinschmidt D, Meyer EH, Fischer A, Morbitzer R, Lahaye T*, et al.* Targeted
introduction of heritable point mutations into the plant mitochondrial genome. **Nat Plants
2022**, 8(3)**:** 245-256.

19. Fuchs P, Rugen N, Carrie C, Elsasser M, Finkemeier I, Giese J, Hildebrandt TM, Kuhn K,
Maurino VG, Ruberti C, Schallenberg-Rudinger M, Steinbeck J, Braun HP, Eubel H,
Meyer EH, Muller-Schussele SJ, Schwarzlander M. Single organelle function and
organization as estimated from Arabidopsis mitochondrial proteomics. **Plant J 2020**,
101(2)**:** 420-441.

20. Fukui K, Harada A, Wakamatsu T, Minobe A, Ohshita K, Ashiuchi M, Yano T. The GIY-
YIG endonuclease domain of *Arabidopsis* MutS homolog 1 specifically binds to branched
DNA structures. **FEBS Lett 2018**, 592(24)**:** 4066-4077.

21. Gobron N, Waszczak C, Simon M, Hiard S, Boivin S, Charif D, Ducamp A, Wenes E,
Budar F. A cryptic cytoplasmic male sterility unveils a possible gynodioecious past for
Arabidopsis thaliana. **PLoS One 2013**, 8(4)**:** e62450.

22. Gualberto JM, Newton KJ. Plant Mitochondrial Genomes: Dynamics and Mechanisms of
Mutation. **Annu Rev Plant Biol 2017**, 68**:** 225-252.

23. Huang X, Lu Y, Wang S, Sui M, Li J, Ma J, Ma D, Jia Q, Hu S, Xu C, Li M. Mismatch
sensing by nucleofilament deciphers mechanism of RecA-mediated homologous
recombination. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020**, 117(34)**:** 20549-20554.

24. Hussmann D, Starnawska A, Kristensen L, Daugaard I, Thomsen A, Kjeldsen TE, Hansen
CS, Bybjerg-Grauholm J, Johansen KD, Ludvigsen M, Kristensen T, Larsen TS, Moller

MB, Nyvold CG, Hansen LL, Wojdacz TK. *IGHV*-associated methylation signatures more
accurately predict clinical outcomes of chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients than *IGHV*
mutation load. **Haematologica 2021**.

25. Jiao WB, Schneeberger K. Chromosome-level assemblies of multiple Arabidopsis
genomes reveal hotspots of rearrangements with altered evolutionary dynamics. **Nat**
Commun 2020, 11(1)**:** 989.

26. Johnston IG. Tension and Resolution: Dynamic, Evolving Populations of Organelle
Genomes within Plant Cells. **Mol Plant 2019**, 12(6)**:** 764-783.

27. Kazama T, Okuno M, Watari Y, Yanase S, Koizuka C, Tsuruta Y, Sugaya H, Toyoda A,
Itoh T, Tsutsumi N, Toriyama K, Koizuka N, Arimura SI. Curing cytoplasmic male sterility
via TALEN-mediated mitochondrial genome editing. **Nat Plants 2019**, 5(7)**:** 722-730.

28. Kolmogorov M, Bickhart DM, Behsaz B, Gurevich A, Rayko M, Shin SB, Kuhn K, Yuan
J, Polevikov E, Smith TPL, Pevzner PA. metaFlye: scalable long-read metagenome
assembly using repeat graphs. **Nat Methods 2020**, 17(11)**:** 1103-1110.

29. Kozik A, Rowan BA, Lavelle D, Berke L, Schranz ME, Michelmore RW, Christensen AC.
The alternative reality of plant mitochondrial DNA: One ring does not rule them all. **PLoS**
Genet 2019, 15(8)**:** e1008373.

30. Kundariya H, Yang X, Morton K, Sanchez R, Axtell MJ, Hutton SF, Fromm M, Mackenzie
SA. MSH1-induced heritable enhanced growth vigor through grafting is associated with
the RdDM pathway in plants. **Nat Commun 2020**, 11(1)**:** 5343.

31. Lee J, Cho CH, Park SI, Choi JW, Song HS, West JA, Bhattacharya D, Yoon HS. Parallel
evolution of highly conserved plastid genome architecture in red seaweeds and seed plants.
BMC Biol 2016, 14**:** 75.

32. Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. **Bioinformatics 2018**,
34(18)**:** 3094-3100.

33. Mackenzie SA, Kundariya H. Organellar protein multi-functionality and phenotypic
plasticity in plants. **Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2020**, 375(1790)**:** 20190182.

34. Martínez-Zapater JM, Gil P, Capel J, Somerville CR. Mutations at the Arabidopsis CHM
locus promote rearrangements of the mitochondrial genome. **Plant Cell 1992**, 4(8)**:** 889-
899.

35. Massouh A, Schubert J, Yaneva-Roder L, Ulbricht-Jones ES, Zupok A, Johnson MT,
Wright SI, Pellizzer T, Sobanski J, Bock R, Greiner S. Spontaneous Chloroplast Mutants
Mostly Occur by Replication Slippage and Show a Biased Pattern in the Plastome of
Oenothera. **Plant Cell 2016**, 28(4)**:** 911-929.

36.   Masutani B, Arimura SI, Morishita S. Investigating the mitochondrial genomic landscape of *Arabidopsis thaliana* by long-read sequencing. **PLoS Comput Biol 2021**, 17(1)**:** e1008597.

37.   Members C-N, Partners. Database Resources of the National Genomics Data Center, China National Center for Bioinformation in 2022. **Nucleic Acids Res 2022**, 50(D1)**:** D27-D38.

38.   Miller-Messmer M, Kuhn K, Bichara M, Le Ret M, Imbault P, Gualberto JM. RecA-dependent DNA repair results in increased heteroplasmy of the Arabidopsis mitochondrial genome. **Plant Physiol 2012**, 159(1): 211-226.

39.   Morisse P, Marchet C, Limasset A, Lecroq T, Lefebvre A. Scalable long read self-correction and assembly polishing with multiple sequence alignment. **Sci Rep 2021**, 11(1)**:** 761.

40.   Naish M, Alonge M, Wlodzimierz P, Tock AJ, Abramson BW, Schmucker A, Mandakova T, Jamge B, Lambing C, Kuo P, Yelina N, Hartwick N, Colt K, Smith LM, Ton J, Kakutani T, Martienssen RA, Schneeberger K, Lysak MA, Berger F, Bousios A, Michael TP, Schatz MC, Henderson IR. The genetic and epigenetic landscape of the *Arabidopsis* centromeres. **Science 2021**, 374(6569)**:** eabi7489.

41.   Odahara M, Kishita Y, Sekine Y. MSH1 maintains organelle genome stability and genetically interacts with *RECA* and *RECG* in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. **Plant J 2017**, 91(3)**:** 455-465.

42.   Palmer JD, Herbon LA. Plant mitochondrial DNA evolves rapidly in structure, but slowly in sequence. **J Mol Evol 1988**, 28(1-2)**:** 87-97.

43.   Qi Z, Redding S, Lee JY, Gibb B, Kwon Y, Niu H, Gaines WA, Sung P, Greene EC. DNA sequence alignment by microhomology sampling during homologous recombination. **Cell 2015**, 160(5)**:** 856-869.

44.   Rédei GP. Extra-chromosomal mutability determined by a nuclear gene locus in Arabidopsis. **Mutat Res 1973**, 18: 149–162.

45.   Sakamoto W, Kondo H, Murata M, Motoyoshi F. Altered mitochondrial gene expression in a maternal distorted leaf mutant of Arabidopsis induced by chloroplast mutator. **Plant Cell 1996**, 8(8)**:** 1377-1390.

46.   Sandhu AP, Abdelnoor RV, Mackenzie SA. Transgenic induction of mitochondrial rearrangements for cytoplasmic male sterility in crop plants. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007**, 104(6)**:** 1766-1770.

47.   Shedge V, Arrieta-Montiel M, Christensen AC, Mackenzie SA. Plant mitochondrial
845   recombination surveillance requires unusual *RecA* and *MutS* homologs. **Plant Cell 2007**,
846   19(4)**:** 1251-1264.

847   48.   Sloan DB, Wu Z, Sharbrough J. Correction of Persistent Errors in Arabidopsis Reference
848   Mitochondrial Genomes. **Plant Cell 2018**, 30(3)**:** 525-527.

849   49.   Sun P, Arrieta-Montiel MP, Mackenzie SA. Utility of in vitro culture to the study of plant
850   mitochondrial genome configuration and its dynamic features. **Theor Appl Genet 2012**,
851   125(3)**:** 449-454.

852   50.   Tang H, Zheng X, Li C, Xie X, Chen Y, Chen L, Zhao X, Zheng H, Zhou J, Ye S, Guo J,
853   Liu YG. Multi-step formation, evolution, and functionalization of new cytoplasmic male
854   sterility genes in the plant mitochondrial genomes. **Cell Res 2017**, 27(1)**:** 130-146.

855   51.   Unseld M, Marienfeld JR, Brandt P, Brennicke A. The mitochondrial genome of
856   *Arabidopsis thaliana* contains 57 genes in 366,924 nucleotides. **Nat Genet 1997**, 15(1)**:**
857   57-61.

858   52.   Virdi KS, Laurie JD, Xu YZ, Yu J, Shao MR, Sanchez R*, et al. Arabidopsis* MSH1
859   mutation alters the epigenome and produces heritable changes in plant growth. **Nat
860   Commun 2015**, 6**:** 6386.

861   53.   Wallet C, Le Ret M, Bergdoll M, Bichara M, Dietrich A, Gualberto JM. The RECG1 DNA
862   Translocase Is a Key Factor in Recombination Surveillance, Repair, and Segregation of the
863   Mitochondrial DNA in Arabidopsis. **Plant Cell 2015**, 27(10)**:** 2907-2925.

864   54.   Wang B, Yang X, Jia Y, Xu Y, Jia P, Dang N, Wang S, Xu T, Zhao X, Gao S, Dong Q, Ye
865   K. High-quality *Arabidopsis thaliana* Genome Assembly with Nanopore and HiFi Long
866   Reads. **Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics 2021**.

867   55.   Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. Bandage: interactive visualization of *de novo*
868   genome assemblies. **Bioinformatics 2015**, 31(20)**:** 3350-3352.

869   56.   Wiktor J, Gynna AH, Leroy P, Larsson J, Coceano G, Testa I, Elf J. RecA finds
870   homologous DNA by reduced dimensionality search. **Nature 2021**, 597(7876)**:** 426-429.

871   57.   Wolfe KH, Li WH, Sharp PM. Rates of nucleotide substitution vary greatly among plant
872   mitochondrial, chloroplast, and nuclear DNAs. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1987**, 84(24)**:**
873   9054-9058.

874   58.   Woloszynska M. Heteroplasmy and stoichiometric complexity of plant mitochondrial
875   genomes--though this be madness, yet there's method in't. **J Exp Bot 2010**, 61(3)**:** 657-671.

59.   Wu Z, Liao X, Zhang X, Tembrock LR, Broz A. Genomic architectural variation of plant
mitochondria—A review of multichromosomal structuring. **J Syst Evol 2022**, 60(1): 160-
168.

60.   Wu Z, Waneka G, Broz AK, King CR, Sloan DB. *MSH1* is required for maintenance of
the low mutation rates in plant mitochondrial and plastid genomes. **Proc Natl Acad Sci U**
S A 2020, 117(28)**:** 16448-16455.

61.   Wynn E, Purfeerst E, Christensen A. Mitochondrial DNA Repair in an *Arabidopsis*
thaliana Uracil N-Glycosylase Mutant. **Plants (Basel) 2020**, 9(2).

62.   Wynn EL, Christensen AC. Repeats of Unusual Size in Plant Mitochondrial Genomes:
Identification, Incidence and Evolution. **G3 (Bethesda) 2019**, 9(2)**:** 549-559.

63.   Xu YZ, Arrieta-Montiel MP, Virdi KS, de Paula WB, Widhalm JR, Basset GJ, Davila JI,
Elthon TE, Elowsky CG, Sato SJ, Clemente TE, Mackenzie SA. MutS HOMOLOG1 is a
nucleoid protein that alters mitochondrial and plastid properties and plant response to high
light. **Plant Cell 2011**, 23(9)**:** 3428-3441.

64.   Yang X, Mackenzie SA. Many Facets of Dynamic Plasticity in Plants. **Cold Spring Harb**
Perspect Biol 2019, 11(10).

65.   Yu R, Sun C, Zhong Y, Liu Y, Sanchez-Puerta MV, Mower JP, Zhou R. The minicircular
and extremely heteroplasmic mitogenome of the holoparasitic plant *Rhopalocnemis*
phalloides. **Curr Biol 2022**, 32(2)**:** 470-479 e475.

66.   Zhao N, Li Z, Zhang L, Yang X, Mackenzie SA, Hu Z, Zhang M, Yang J. MutS
HOMOLOG1 mediates fertility reversion from cytoplasmic male sterile *Brassica juncea*
in response to environment. **Plant Cell Environ 2021**, 44(1)**:** 234-246.

67.   Zhao N, Xu X, Wamboldt Y, Mackenzie SA, Yang X, Hu Z, Yang J, Zhang M. MutS
HOMOLOG1 silencing mediates *ORF220* substoichiometric shifting and causes male
sterility in *Brassica juncea*. **J Exp Bot 2016**, 67(1)**:** 435-444.

Figure Legends

Figure 1 Mapping of HiFi reads identified structural variants in Arabidopsis mt genomes

(a) Schematics show read alignment to the reference genome by blastn. Reads mapped in their

entirety to one position indicate zero-rearrangement events. Reads mapped to two discontinuous

positions indicate a single rearrangement. Start and end coordinates of blastn queries are shown as

qs1, qe1 for Alignment 1, and qs2, qe2 for Alignment 2. Start and end coordinates of corresponding

blastn subjects are shown as ss1, se1 for Alignment 1, and ss2, se2 for Alignment 2. Alignment

overlap length (AO length) 1 in red color equals "qe1 - qs2 + 1". The overlapping regions of two

alignments of successive parts of the same read correspond to sequence homologies such as non-

tandem repeats. Coordinates of se1 and ss2 in the genome correspond to repeat boundaries of the

rearrangement event. Reads mapped to three discontinuous positions indicate two rearrangements

in a single read. (b) Plots are proportions of different types of mt genome rearrangements detected

by HiFi reads in wild-type and *msh1* mutants; zero, one, two, three, and four denote ratios of zero-,

one-, two-, three-, four- rearrangement events; MMEJ, microhomology-mediated end joining (2-

49 bp homology sequence size); NHEJ, non-homologous end-joining (0-1 bp homology sequence

size); tandem, large-scale tandem repeats-mediated variants; insertion, large insertions (mostly

poly-G and poly-C tracts). The percentages of rearrangement categories are shown in parentheses.

The total circles represent all reads, and the minor circles represent the last 2% of total reads to

show low-frequency categories. (c) The distribution of AO length in mt one-rearrangement events

detected by HiFi reads. Counts from read groups with equal AO lengths are summed. Reads from

different *msh1* individuals are merged. Read counts are normalized to 10000 total mt genome-

mapped reads.

Figure 2 Patterns of one-rearrangement mt reads detected in *msh1* mutants

(a) Dot plots show global patterns of the junctions and relative read counts of mt one-rearrangement

reads in *msh1* mutants. Signals from previously named repeats are labeled. In *msh1*, recombination

events mediated by intermediate-sized repeats are common. Reads from different *msh1* individuals

are merged. There are 5544 one-rearrangement reads out of 24162 total reads in all *msh1* samples.

Colors represent categories of alignment overlap length (AO length). The x position of each dot is

the end coordinate of the blastn subject for Alignment 1 (se1). The y position of each dot is the start

coordinate of the blastn subject for Alignment 2 (ss2). The sizes of dots denote read counts

normalized to 10000 total mt genome-mapped reads per sample. The near symmetrical appearance

of the plot is a result of HiFi reads aligned in both forward and reverse directions relative to the

reference genome. The spots in mirrored positions should not be interpreted as quantifications of

the two reciprocal recombination products. (b, c) Rearrangements mediated by newly named

repeats are supported by (c) fewer reads and are observed in (b) fewer samples.

Figure 3 Asymmetrical recombination events and accompanied loss of parental forms

Stacked bar plots show HiFi read counts indicating parental forms (1-1, 2-2, 3-3) and crossover

recombination products (1-2, 2-1, 1-3, 3-1, 2-3, 3-2) of representative repeats (Large1, Large2,

MMJS, D, F, Q, E, H) in wild-type and *msh1* mutants. The unequal counts 1-2 versus 2-1 or 1-3

versus 3-1 in each repeat group indicate asymmetrical recombination or simply preferential

accumulation of only one of the crossover products, which is accompanied by a striking loss of 2-

2 versus 1-1 parental forms in Large1, MMJS, and Q. Due to the locations of H-2 and H-3 within

E-1 and E-2, recombination events between H-2 and H-3 were assigned to the larger two-copy

repeats E-1 and E-2 and, thus, appear as zero values in this plot. Both zero-rearrangement reads

(blue bars) and one-rearrangement reads (orange bars) were used for calculation. Read counts are

normalized to 10000 total mt genome-mapped reads per sample. Reads from different *msh1*

families are merged.

Figure 4 Exchange of SNVs/indels between imperfect repeats is suppressed by *MSH1*

(a) Blue circles denote data points from each group of two-copy imperfect repeats. The x coordinate

represents the length of the longest identical fragment (LIF) as a percentage of the total length of

the repeat. The y coordinate represents the corresponding percentage of recombinant reads for that

repeat pair. Blue line denotes the linear regression line. The diagonal 1:1 line denotes equal ratios.

(b) Double-strand breaks (DSBs) in DNA can be repaired by allelic or non-allelic dsDNA templates.

The purple and green boxes are a pair of imperfect repeats that mediate ectopic homology-directed

repair (HDR). The events are not detected (unseen) if allelic templates are involved.

Rearrangements or gene conversion events involving non-allelic templates can be detected by

sequencing. (c) Discontinuous patterns of SNVs/indels in a proportion of one-rearrangement

chimeric reads of repeat H-1 and H-3 may indicate complex patterns of exchange in *msh1* mutants

(data from more reads found according to Table S9). The colors of horizontal bars indicate the most

parsimonious explanations for the SNVs/indels according to repeat flanking regions. Asterisks

denote SNVs potentially introduced by gene conversion from repeat homolog H-2 in these H-1/H-

3 mediated one-rearrangement reads. Numbers in parentheses denote the sum of read counts in all

samples without normalization. The top three lines in panel c are to scale with vertical bars

indicating bases of the same colors as the letters. The lines below are not drawn to scale to avoid

crowding. Vertical bars in panels a and c denote A (green), T (red), G (brown), C (blue) for SNVs

and I (gray) for indel sites. (d) Square-shaped stacked bar plots are proportions of non-allelic

SNVs/indels at predicted sites in repeat group H, which illustrate unsuppressed accumulation in

msh1 mutant samples compared to wild-type (W3-5-2, this study; XJTU, Wang et al., 2021; CEN,

Naish et al., 2021). The relative heights in each square-shaped box indicate the ratios of given bases

in zero-rearrangement reads for A (green), T (red), G (brown), C (blue), and I for reference (gray)

or non-allelic (purple) sequences at indel sites. Reference bases are indicated below. SNVs and

indels are arranged from small to large coordinates in the reference. Coordinates of the first and

last SNVs/indels (black triangles) are shown. H-2 and H-3 are in the reverse orientation compared

to H-1. Asterisks denote the same labeled positions as in panel c.

Figure 5 Proposed models for the generation of new mt genome configurations and large repeats

(a) Five rearrangements can explain the structural differences and three large deletions (1002-bp,

15652-bp, 55-bp) that distinguish the Col-0 and An-1 mt genomes. An additional rearrangement is

needed to explain the large deletions (5920-bp, 15652-bp, 944-bp) in L*er*. Note that all three copies

of repeat group H are involved. The 1105-bp sequence absent in Col-0 was not considered in this

model. (b) A two-step "excision-reinsertion" mechanism for the generation of large repeats in mt

genomes. Note that microhomologies with three copies (or more) are needed.

Figure 6 Mutations and structural variants in the pt genome detected by HiFi reads

(a) The partial palindromic sequence contains a stem (orange arrow) and a loop (black arrow) and

is also a pair of repeats or microhomologies with both copies at the same location but in inverted

orientation. The original sequence can be viewed as repeat homolog 1 (1-1), and at the same time

as repeat homolog 2 (2-2) in the opposite direction. MMEJ events mediated by the most active

partial palindromic sequence in *msh1* mutants were shown. Numbers in brackets denote the sum of

read counts showing crossover site distribution in chimeric reads in all samples without

normalization. Note that there are four reads showing the breakpoint in the middle of the loop. The

ratio of one-rearrangement reads versus zero-rearrangement reads across this partial palindromic

sequence is 160/14786 (1.1% of total reads) in *msh1* and 0/1966 in wild-type. (b) A NHEJ event

occurring only in the M3-43-5 individual was predicted to disrupt the *petA* gene. The ratio of one-

rearrangement reads versus zero-rearrangement reads across this deletion is 121/1385 (8.0% of

total reads). (c) Square-shaped stacked bar plots are proportions of AT-to-GC transitions in 17

GATK-called SNVs in wild-type and *msh1* mutant individuals, which were enriched in one or a

few samples at high ratios. The relative heights in each square-shaped box indicate the ratio of a

given base for A (green), T (red), G (brown), and C (blue). Reference bases are indicated below.

(d) Heatmap shows the proportions of length variants at a 13-bp homopolymer started near position

67164.

1007

Figure 7 Proposed models of mt/pt genome evolution controlled by fluctuating *MSH1* levels

(a) MSH1 appears to suppress both crossover and non-crossover forms of ectopic recombination

by detecting mismatches within repeats or at repeat boundaries if the two copies of repeats are

identical. (b) In the wild-type (Step 1), MSH1 maintains the structural and sequence-level

homoplasmy by repressing ectopic recombination (and by removing other types of variants

resulting from polymerase misincorporations and/or DNA damage). It has been previously

hypothesized that MSH1 performs these functions by recognizing mismatches in DNA and

introducing DSBs to (re)initiate recombinational repair. When *MSH1* is disrupted either genetically

or environmentally (Step 2), organellar genomes become highly heteroplasmic. When MSH1

activity is restored (Step 3), both genomes return to a low-homoplasmy state. The new mt genome

undergoes rearrangements and large deletions involving reproducible sets of repeats. Given the low

abundance of intermediate-sized repeats in Arabidopsis pt genome, altered pt genome often differ

at random sites relating to MMEJ/NHEJ, AT-to-GC mutation, or length variants at simple sequence

repeats.

(a) One-rearrangement events in *msh1*

(a)

Col-0

I H LL B L

recombination

deleted

An-1   Ler

microhomology

MMEJ

(b)

(a) MMEJ events mediated by the most active partial palindromic sequence

(b) A NHEJ event causing a 65-bp deletion in *petA*

(c)

(d)

(a)

MSH1 dimer

(b)

1   MSH1 maintains the structural and sequence-level homoplasmy of mt/pt genomes

2   Distinctive patterns of genome instabilities in mt/pt genomes without MSH1

3   Evolved versions of mt/pt genomes after MSH1 restoration