A selective bottleneck during host entry drives the evolution of new legume symbionts

Ginaini Grazielli Doin de Moura[1], Saida Mouffok[1], Nil Gaudu[1], Anne-Claire Cazalé[1], Marine Milhes[2],

Tabatha Bulach[2], Sophie Valière[2], David Roche[3], Jean-Baptiste Ferdy[4], Catherine Masson-Boivin[1],

Delphine Capela[1] and Philippe Remigi[1]

[1]LIPME, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, CNRS, Castanet-Tolosan, France

[2]INRAE, US1426, GeT-PlaGe, Genotoul, Castanet-Tolosan, France

[3]Génomique Métabolique, Genoscope, Institut François Jacob, CEA, CNRS, Univ Evry, Université Paris-

Saclay, 91057 Evry, France

[4]CNRS, UMR5174 EDB, Université Toulouse 3 Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France.

Email for correspondence: delphine.capela@inrae.fr; philippe.remigi@inrae.fr

Abstract

During the emergence of new host-microbe symbioses, multiple selective pressures –acting at the

different steps of the microbial life cycle– shape the phenotypic traits that jointly determine microbial

fitness. However, the relative contribution of these different selective pressures to the adaptive

trajectories of microbial symbionts are still poorly known. Here we characterized the dynamics of

phenotypic adaptation and its underlying genetic bases during the experimental evolution of a plant

pathogenic bacterium into a legume symbiont. We observed that fast adaptation was predominantly

driven by selection acting on competitiveness for host entry, which outweighed selection acting on

within-host proliferation. Whole-population sequencing of evolved bacteria revealed that phenotypic

adaptation was supported by the continuous accumulation of new mutations and the sequential

sweeps of cohorts of mutations with similar temporal trajectories. The identification of adaptive

mutations within the fixed mutational cohorts showed that all of them improved competitiveness for

host entry, while only a subset of those also improved within host proliferation. Computer simulations

predict that this effect emerges from the presence of a strong selective bottleneck at host entry.

Together, these results show how selective bottlenecks can alter the relative influence of selective

pressures acting during bacterial adaptation to multistep infection processes.

Main

Many bacterial lineages have evolved the capacity to establish symbiotic associations, either beneficial,

neutral or parasitic, with eukaryotic hosts. These interactions form a dynamic continuum along which

bacteria can move[1]. Lifestyle changes may arise due to ecological (resource availability, host

environment changes or host shifts) or genomic (mutations, acquisition of new genetic material)

modifications. These new interactions are often initially sub-optimal for the bacterial partner[2-4], which

may then adapt to the selective pressures associated with its new life-cycle. For instance, horizontally-

transmitted microbes alternate between at least two different habitats, the host and the environment,

where they will face a variety of constraints and selective pressures[5,6]. Biphasic life cycles therefore

require bacteria not only to be able to enter and exit their hosts, but also to replicate and persist within

each habitat, which entails adapting to abiotic stressors, host immunity, competitors, as well as being

able to use specific nutrient sources. However, the relative influence of the different selective

pressures on the dynamics and the trajectory of bacterial adaptation to a new interaction is poorly

known.

Rhizobia are examples of facultative host-associated bacteria that can either live freely in soil or in

symbiotic mutualistic associations with legume plants[7]. In most legumes, rhizobia penetrate the root

tissue through the formation of so-called infection threads (ITs). Most of the time, only one bacterium

attaches to the root hair and initiates the formation of ITs[8], thus creating a strong population

bottleneck between the rhizosphere and internal root tissues. Bacteria then divide clonally within ITs,

while at the same time a nodule starts to develop at the basis of the infected root hair. Hundreds of

millions of bacteria are then released from ITs inside the cells of the developing nodule and

differentiate into nitrogen fixing bacteroids[9,10]. After several months, in nature, nodule senescence

leads to the release of a part of bacterial nodule population in the surrounding soil. To fulfil their life

cycle, rhizobia rely on the activity of numerous bacterial genes, allowing signal exchanges with the host

plant, proliferation and metabolic exchanges within nodule cells, while maintaining free-living

proficiency[7]. Somewhat surprisingly given their complex and specialized lifestyle, rhizobia evolved

several times independently. Horizontal transfer of key symbiotic genes is a necessary, though often

not sufficient, condition for a new rhizobium to emerge[11-14]. Additional steps of adaptation of the

recipient bacterium, occurring during evolution under plant selection, are believed to be needed to

actualize the symbiotic potential of emerging rhizobia[15].

In a previous study, we used experimental evolution to convert the plant pathogen *Ralstonia*

solanacearum into an intracellular legume symbiont[16]. Here, we analysed the dynamics of molecular

evolution in 5 lineages evolved for 35 cycles of nodulation through whole-population genome

sequencing and examined the selective and genetic bases of adaptation. Adaptation proceeded rapidly

during the first cycles of evolution, and was underpinned by the fixation of successive cohorts of

mutations within populations. We then identified adaptive mutations in two lineages and evaluated

their effect on each symbiotic stage of the rhizobial life cycle. Our experimental data indicated that

selection for nodulation competitiveness outweighs selection for multiplication within host. Computer

simulations further showed that the selective bottleneck at host entry and the chronology of symbiotic
events are critical drivers of this evolutionary pattern.
72
73   **Results**
74
Fast adaptation of new legume symbionts during the first cycles of evolution
To investigate the genetic and evolutionary conditions that promote the evolution of new rhizobia, we
previously transferred a symbiotic plasmid (pRalta) from the rhizobium *Cupriavidus taiwanensis*
LMG19424 into the plant pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum* GMI1000. We obtained three nodulating
ancestors, two infecting nodules intracellularly (CBM212, CBM349) and one infecting nodules
extracellularly (CBM356)[14], that we evolved in 18 parallel bacterial lineages for 16 serial cycles of
nodulation on *Mimosa pudica* plants[16]. Here we continued the evolution of five of these lineages
(referred to as lineages B, F, G, K, and M) until cycle 35 (Figure 1a, Figure 1 – figure supplement 1 and
Supplementary file 1). After 35 cycles, no nitrogen fixation sustaining plant growth was observed
(Figure 1 – figure supplement 2). Therefore, in this manuscript, we focussed exclusively on the analysis
of changes in bacterial *in planta* fitness (estimated for each strain as the frequency of bacteria present
in nodules relative to a competing reference strain). To analyse the dynamics of fitness changes over
time, we compared the relative fitness of the nodulating ancestors and evolved clones from cycles 16
and 35 by replaying one nodulation cycle in competition with the natural symbiont *C. taiwanensis.*
Fitness trajectories were similar in the five lineages. While the three nodulating ancestors were on
average 10^6 times less fit than *C. taiwanensis*, fitness improved very quickly during the first 16 cycles,
then slower until cycle 35 (Figure 1b). On average, evolved clones isolated at cycle 16 and cycle 35
were 47 and 19 times less fit than *C. taiwanensis*, respectively (Figure 1b).
Because bacterial fitness in our system depends on both the capacity of strains to enter the host and
induce nodule formation (nodulation competitiveness) and to multiply within these nodules (within-
host proliferation), we analysed how each of these two fitness components changed during the
experiment (Figure 1c and d). In the five lineages, evolutionary trajectories of nodulation
competitiveness resembled that of fitness with fast improvement during the first 16 cycles, and a slow-
down during the last cycles. On average, nodulating ancestors were 5×10^4 times less competitive than
C. taiwanensis, while cycle 16 and cycle 35 evolved clones were only 17 and 4 times less competitive
than *C. taiwanensis*, respectively (Figure 1c). Moreover, evolved clones B16, B35, G16, G35 and M35
were not statistically different from *C. taiwanensis* in terms of nodulation competitiveness. Within-
host proliferation also improved mostly during the first 16 cycles in all lineages. The rate of
improvement then slowed down in two lineages and continued to increase significantly in the three
others. As previously published, the three nodulating ancestors display different capacities to infect

nodules[14,16], the extracellularly infective ancestor CBM356 being the less infective (750 times less than *C. taiwanensis*) and the intracellularly infective CBM349 being the most infective (45 times less than *C. taiwanensis*). On average, cycle 16 and cycle 35 evolved clones were 8 and 5 times less infective than *C. taiwanensis* (Figure 1d).

Altogether, the symbiotic properties of evolved clones improved rapidly during the first cycles of the evolution experiment and then adaptation slowed down. Both symbiotic traits, nodulation competitiveness (*i.e.* host entry) and within-host proliferation, improved greatly. However, gains in nodulation competitiveness (average factor of 11,500 between ancestors and cycle 35 clones) were *ca.* 150 times higher than gains in proliferation (average factor of 74 between ancestors and cycle 35 clones). Moreover, although the difference in nodulation competitiveness between *C. taiwanensis* and the nodulating ancestors was much more important (>10^4 fold) than the difference in proliferation (<10^3 fold), nodulation competitiveness of evolved clones reached the level of *C. taiwanensis* in three lineages (B, G and M) while within host proliferation remained lower than *C. taiwanensis* in all of them after 35 cycles.

Figure 1: Evolution of the symbiotic properties of *Ralstonia* clones along evolution cycles.

a, Left: overview of the evolution cycles showing the symbiotic steps determining bacterial fitness (nodulation competitiveness and within-host proliferation) and human intervention (nodule harvest, grinding and re-inoculation). Nodule colors reflect bacterial genotypes: blue represents the ancestor, and red represents a mutant with increased fitness. Right: schematic representation of the main phenotypic measurements performed in this work, where the symbiotic phenotypes of one evolved strain can be compared to those of a reference strain (e.g. the reference symbiont *C.*

The dynamics of molecular evolution is characterized by multiple selective sweeps of large mutational cohorts

The rapid adaptation in this experiment was unexpected given that strong population bottlenecks,

such as the one occurring at the nodulation step, limit effective population size at each cycle (see

Supplementary file 1) and are generally known to limit the rate of adaptive evolution[17]. To describe

the dynamics of evolution at the molecular level, we performed whole-population sequencing of

evolved lineages using the Illumina sequencing technology. Populations of the five lineages were

sequenced every other cycle until cycle 35 with a minimum sequencing coverage of 100x and a median

of 347x (Supplementary file 2). We detected a very large number of mutations in all sequenced

populations (a total of 4114 mutations, between 382 and 1204 mutations per lineage with 23.6 new

mutations per cycle on average) (Supplementary file 3 and Figure 2 - source data 1). This high number

of mutations is a result of transient hypermutagenesis occurring in the rhizosphere[18]. Mutations

accumulated in the populations throughout the experiment, showing no sign of slowing down until the

end of the experiment, which suggests that no anti-mutator mutations established in these

populations as we might have expected[19]. We evaluated genetic parallelism among mutations

detected above a frequency of 5% in the populations by computing G scores[20], a statistics used to point

out genes that could be mutated more often than expected by chance (Supplementary file 4). In spite

of high mutation rates that may obscure signals of genetic convergence, we detected signatures of

parallelism at the gene level among our list of mutations (observed sum of G scores of 7,540.5,

compared to a mean sum of 5,401.01 after 1,000 randomized simulations, Z = 31.23, $P < 10^{-200}$). The

randomized simulations identified 171 genes with a higher number of mutations than expected by

chance (Bonferroni adjusted *P* value<0.01, Supplementary file 4).

To simplify the analysis of mutational trajectories, we then focused on the 819 mutations (out of a

total of 4414 detected mutations) that rose above a frequency of 30%. These mutations did not show

independent trajectories. Instead, we observed that groups of mutations arose synchronously and

showed correlated temporal trajectories, enabling us to cluster them in cohorts[21,22] (Figure 2 and

Supplementary file 5). Cohorts increased in frequency with variable speed, some being fixed within 1

to 3 cycles (representing *ca*. 25-75 bacterial generations) while others reached fixation in up to 21

cycles (representing more than 500 bacterial generations). Fixed cohorts can be very large (up to 30

mutations) (Supplementary file 5), which suggests hitchhiking of neutral or slightly deleterious

mutations with one or several beneficial mutations acting as driver (or co-drivers) of the cohort[21,23].

Among the mutations that rose above 30% frequency at some stage of the experiment, 35% later

declined until extinction. This is indicative of clonal interference, *i.e.* the co-occurrence of multiple

subpopulations competing against each other within populations[24], which can readily be observed on

Muller plots for lineages B and G (Figure 2 – figure supplement 1).

Overall, in these 5 lineages, the pattern of molecular evolution was characterized by a steady

accumulation of mutations along the successive cycles and the formation of mutational cohorts, some

of which containing a large number of mutations. Despite strong population bottlenecks at the root

entry, mutation supply was not limiting as evidenced by the co-occurrence of competing adaptive

mutations. Yet, multiple (and sometimes rapid) selective sweeps occurred throughout the 35 cycles in

all lineages, suggesting that strong selection is acting on these populations.

Figure 2: Dynamics of molecular evolution.

Allele frequency trajectories of mutations that attained a frequency of 30% in at least one population of the B, F, G, K and M

lineages (**a**,**b**,**c**,**d**,**e**). Mutations with similar trajectories were clustered in cohorts and represented by different colors. For

simplicity, mutations travelling alone were also called cohorts.

Figure 2 – figure supplement 1: Evolution of population composition over time in lines B and G.

Figure 2 – source data 1: Mutations identified in the evolved populations from all lineages

Figure 2 – source data 2: Mutations present in the evolved clones

Large fixed cohorts contain multiple adaptive mutations

In our system, strong nodulation bottlenecks lead to a small effective population size and to the possibility that genetic drift might be responsible for the observed allelic sweeps. To determine whether drift or adaptation are causing these sweeps, we searched for adaptive mutations in fixed (or nearly fixed, >90% frequency) cohorts from the two lineages that have the highest symbiotic fitness after 35 cycles: B and G. To do so, we introduced (by genetic engineering) individual mutations from each cohort of interest into an evolved clone carrying all (or, when not available, almost all) previously fixed cohorts. This allowed us to test the fitness effect associated with each mutation in a relevant genetic background, taking into account possible epistatic effects arising from mutations that were previously acquired in this clone. Competitive index (ratio of strains carrying the mutant vs. the wild-type alleles in nodule populations normalized by the inoculum ratio) were measured for 44 mutations belonging to 14 cohorts from the two lineages (Figure 3a and b). Twenty-eight mutations significantly increased the fitness of evolved clones and were thus beneficial for symbiosis while 11 mutations were neutral and 5 were slightly deleterious for symbiosis (Figure 3a and b, Table 1 and Supplementary file 6). Among the 44 genes targeted by the reconstructed mutations, 8 have a higher number of mutations than expected by chance (Supplementary file 4) and adaptive mutations were identified in 6 of them. Several interesting results emerge from this data set. First, some mutations show very strong adaptive effects, improving more than 100 times the fitness of bacteria, in particular during the first cycles of evolution. Second, many cohorts carry more than 1 adaptive mutation: six cohorts contain between 2 and 7 adaptive mutations. Third, 35% of adaptive mutations were found to be synonymous mutations, confirming that these mutations can play a significant role in adaptive evolution[25]. All adaptive synonymous mutations except one converted frequently used codons in *R. solanacearum* into unusual ones (Supplementary file 7)*,* which may explain their functional effects. Moreover, when inspecting gene annotations, we found that the 28 beneficial mutations target various biological functions (Table 1). Strikingly, nearly 40% affect regulatory functions such as global transcription regulators (*efpR* and Rsc0965)[26], putative quorum quenching (RSp0595), signal transduction (RSc1598), unknown transcription regulator (RSc0243), protein dephosphorylation (Rsp1469), protein folding (*ppiB*), degradosome (*rhlE1*), and DNA methylation (RSc1982). Another significant proportion of mutations (32%) affect metabolism and transport genes (*gloA*, *phbB*, RSc0194, RSc2277, Rsp1116, RSp1417, RSp1422, RSp1590 and pRALTA_0398) and 3 mutations are located in genes belonging to the type VI secretion system (T6SS) operon (*tssJ*, RSp0759, Rsp0769). The observed genetic architecture (*i.e*. the large number of genes and molecular functions targeted by adaptive mutations) indicates that symbiotic traits are complex and constitute a large mutational target for adaptive evolution.

Figure 3: *In planta* fitness of reconstructed mutants from lineages B and G.

In planta relative fitness of evolved clones carrying reconstructed mutations from fixed mutational cohorts identified in

lineages B (a) and G (b). Evolved clones in which mutations were reconstructed are indicated at the bottom of the graph.

Vertical lines separate the different mutational cohorts. Cohort number and the number of tested mutations from the cohort

on the total number of mutations present in the cohort are indicated in brackets. Competitive indexes (CI) were calculated

as the ratio of the mutant strain on the isogenic parental strain in bacterial nodule populations normalized by the inoculum

ratio. GFP/mCherry correspond to control co-inoculation experiments of strains derived from the same evolved clone labelled

with different fluorophore either GFP or mCherry. Horizontal segments correspond to mean values of CI. Red segments

indicate significantly beneficial mutations, gray segments indicate neutral mutations and blue segments indicate significantly

deleterious mutations (*P*<0.05, *t*-test). Data were obtained from 3 to 5 independent experiments. The sample size (n) is

comprised between n=3-5. Each CI value was obtained from pools of 40 to 227 nodules harvested from 10 plants.

Figure 3 – source data 1: Raw data obtained for the characterization of the *in planta* fitness of evolved clones carrying fixed

mutations.

Table 1: Symbiotic phenotypes of beneficial mutations improving *in planta* fitness

Lineage	Gene ID[a]	Gene name	Mutation	Type of mutation[b]	Description	Cohort	Mean CI for *in planta* fitness[c]	Mean CI for plant culture medium colonization[d]	Mean CI for rhizosphere colonization[d]	Mean CI for nodulation competitiveness[c]	Mean gain factor in within-host proliferation[e]	Symbiotic phenotypes[f]	G score[g]	Adjusted *P* value[h]
B	RSp0595		P132P	Syn	Putative N-acyl-homoserine lactonase	CoB1	77	1	1	5.2	1.6	Nod+	2.80	1
	RSc1598		P455L	Nonsyn	Transmembrane sensor histidine kinase	CoB2	24.2	1	1.4	4.7	6.4	Nod+ Pro+	1.04	1
	RSp0788		E225K	Nonsyn	Carbamoyl-transferase	CoB3	1.9	1	1.1	2	1.2	Nod+	-0.09	1
	RSp1417		S417L	Nonsyn	Multidrug efflux transmembrane protein	CoB3	1.6	0.8	1.4	3.9	0.9	Nod+	12.47	2.58E-04
	RSp1590		S153L	Nonsyn	FAD-dependent oxireductase	CoB3	6.3	1	0.9	2.4	0.6	Nod+	4.10	1
	up[115]-RSc0965		G/A	Intergenic	Unknown function, negatively regulates *efpR* expression	CoB6	31.1	0.9	2.9	8.2	2.6	Rhizo+ Nod+ Pro+	nd	nd
	RSc0539	*rhlE1*	L368L	Syn	ATP-dependent RNA helicase protein	CoB7	46.2	1.1	4	28.2	2.4	Rhizo+ Nod+ Pro+	2.88	1
	RSc0243		L213L	Syn	LysR-type transcription regulator protein	CoB10	3	1.3	1.4	3.9	1.1	Rhizo+ Nod+	1.16	1
	RSp1116		I32I / L33F	Syn / Nonsyn	Putative low specificity L-threonine aldolase	CoB10	2.1	1.2	1.2	2.1	0.9	Nod+	0.84	1
	up[8]-RSp1469		C/T	Intergenic	Putative tyrosine specific protein phosphatase	CoB10	2.1	0.9	1	2	1.0	Nod+	nd	nd
	pRALTA_0230		G242E	Nonsyn	Putative ATP-dependent DNA helicase	CoB10	1.5	1.5	1.5	2.1	0.9	Nod+	6.34	1
	pRALTA_0552		I100I	Syn	Pseudogene, putative membrane bound hydrogenase	CoB10	2.9	1.2	1.4	2.3	0.8	Nod+	nd	nd
G	RSc1097	*efpR*	E66K	Nonsyn	Transcriptional regulator	CoG1	212.1	1.3	4	35	3.7	Rhizo+ Nod+ Pro+	3.17	1
	RSc1982		I100I	Syn	DNA methyltransferase	CoG2	3.3	3.1	1.3	4.0	0.7	Nod+	1.44	1
	RSc1164	*ppiB*	Q112*	Nonsense	Peptyl-prolyl-cis/trans isomerase	CoG4	24.5	1	1.0	5.0	1.4	Nod+	2.26	1
	RSc2277		V321G	Nonsyn	Putative transporter protein	CoG4	133.9	9.3	10.1	58	2.5	Nod+ Pro+	36.95	4.68E-50
	up[85]-RSc0520	*gloA*	T/C	Intergenic	Lactoylglutathione lyase	CoG7	2.8	1.2	1.5	2.1	1.1	Nod+	nd	nd
	up[180]-RSp0637		G/C	Intergenic	Hypothetical protein	CoG7	1.8	1	1.2	2.6	0.9	Nod+	nd	nd
	RSc0194		S102F	Nonsyn	Zinc-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase	CoG13	5.5	1.1	1.6	3.1	1.4	Nod+	4.67	1
	RSc1633	*phbB*	S38F	Nonsyn	Acetoacetyl-CoA reductase	CoG13	7.9	2	2.1	3.3	1.7	Rhizo+ Nod+ Pro+	1.62	1
	RSp0741	*tssJ*	I157N	Nonsyn	Type VI secretion system, lipoprotein TssJ	CoG13	6.7	1	1.1	2.9	1.7	Nod+	6.99	1
	RSp0574		L15L	Syn	Hypothetical protein	CoG15	3.2	1.1	1.3	2.1	1.6	Nod+ Pro+	4.36	1
	RSp0587		P84P	Syn	Putative signal peptide hypothetical protein	CoG15	2	1.1	0.8	2.6	1.3	Nod+	28.74	1.04E-46
	RSp0759		F91F / P92S	Syn / Nonsyn	Putative type VI secretion-associated protein	CoG15	5.4	0.7	0.9	2.5	1.4	Nod+	1.00	1
	RSp0769		F416S	Nonsyn	Putative type VI secretion-associated protein	CoG15	6.3	1.1	1.3	1.6	1.8	Nod+ Pro+	17.20	3.98E-10
	up[116]-RSp0780		C/T	Intergenic	Hypothetical protein	CoG15	2.5	1.1	1.1	3	1.1	Nod+	nd	nd
	RSp1422		G1298E	Nonsyn	Non-ribosomal peptide synthase	CoG15	2	1.2	1.2	2.4	1.6	Nod+	18.28	2.79E-03
	pRALTA_0398		W719*	Nonsense	Phosphoenol pyruvate synthase	CoG15	2.2	0.8	0.8	1.6	1.6	Nod+ Pro+	151.77	0

[a]up[x], intergenic mutations located x nucleotides upstream the gene indicated. [b]Syn, synonymous mutations. Nonsyn, non synonymous mutations. [c]Mean values of competitive indexes (CI) obtained from 3 to 4 independent experiments. Figures in bold are statistically different from 1 (Student *t*-test $P<0.05$). [d]Mean values obtained from at least 15 measurements from 3 to 4 independent experiments. Figures in bold are statistically significant (Wilcoxon test, $P<0.05$). [e]Mean values obtained from at least 15 measurements from 3 to 4 independent experiments. Figures in bold are statistically different from 1 (Wilcoxon test, $P<0.05$ with the Benjamini-Hochberg correction). [f]Rhizo+, improvement in rhizosphere colonization. Nod+, improvement in nodulation competitiveness. Pro+, improvement in within-host proliferation. [g]G scores were calculated as proposed by Tenaillon et al. (2016). nd, G scores were not determined for mutations in pseudogenes or intergenic regions. [h]P-values associated with G scores were adjusted using a Bonferroni correction to evaluate genetic parallelism.

Adaptive mutations predominantly improve nodulation competitiveness

Next, we measured the effect of each adaptive mutation on nodulation competitiveness (reflecting host entry) and within-host proliferation in order to uncover which of these two symbiotic traits can explain the observed fitness gain. All mutations increasing fitness improved nodulation competitiveness of evolved clones (Figure 4a and b). Gains in nodulation competitiveness ranged from 1.6 to 58-fold, with three mutations providing the highest gains: RSc2277-V321G (58-fold), *efpR*-E66K (35-fold) and *rhlE1*-L368L (28-fold). By contrast, within-host proliferation was improved by only a subset of adaptive mutations (Figure 5a and b). Only 3 mutations from lineage B (RSc1598-P455L, up[115]-RSc0965 and *rhlE1*-L368L) and 7 mutations from lineage G (*efpR*-E66K, RSc2277-V321G, *phbB*-S38F, pRALTA_0398-W719*, RSp0574–L15L, RSp0769-F416S and RSp1422-G1298E) significantly improved this fitness component. Moreover, gains in *in planta* proliferation were generally lower than gains in nodulation competitiveness and ranged from 1.6 to 6.4-fold. The highest proliferation gains were produced by the five mutations RSc1598-P455L (6.4-fold), *efpR*-E66K (3.7-fold), up[115]-RSc0965 (2.6-fold), RSc2277-V321G (2.5 fold) and *rhlE1*-L368L (2.4-fold), three of which also produced the highest nodulation gains.

To investigate whether improvements in nodulation competitiveness could be due to a better colonization of the plant culture medium or rhizosphere, we measured the survival of the 28 mutants in competition with their isogenic parental strain in these two compartments. Results from these assays showed that none of the adaptive mutations improved bacterial ability to colonize the culture medium and only 4 of them, including up[115]-RSc0965, *efpR*-E66K and *rhlE1*-L368L, improved the colonization of the rhizosphere (Figure 4 – figure supplement 1) although by smaller factors than improvements in nodulation competitiveness. These results showed that improvements in nodulation competitiveness were generally not associated with a better colonization of the culture medium or of the rhizosphere, indicating that host entry is mainly controlled directly by the plant and is the dominant selective force driving the adaptation of legume symbionts in this evolution experiment.

Figure 4: Nodulation competitiveness of adaptive mutants

Nodulation competitiveness effect of adaptive mutants from lineages B (**a**) and G (**b**). Evolved clones in which mutations were reconstructed are indicated at the bottom of the graph. Vertical lines separate the different mutational cohorts. Cohort number and the number of tested mutations from the cohort on the total number of mutations present in the cohort are indicated in brackets. CI were calculated as the ratio of the number of nodules formed by the mutant strain on the number of nodules formed by the isogenic parental strain normalized by the inoculum ratio. Horizontal segments correspond to mean values of CI. Red segments indicate significantly beneficial mutations ($P<0.05$, t-test). Data were obtained from 3 to 4 independent experiments. The sample size (n) is comprised between n=3-4. Each CI value was obtained from 95-98 nodules harvested from 20 plants.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1: Survival of reconstructed mutants from lineages B and G in the Jensen medium and rhizosphere.

Figure 5: Within-host proliferation of adaptive mutants and corresponding isogenic parental clones

Distribution of the number of bacteria per nodule recovered for adaptive mutants from lineage B (a) and G (b) and their corresponding isogenic parental clones at 21 dpi. Rectangles span the first quartiles to the third quartiles, bold segments inside the rectangle show the median, unfiled circles represent outliers, whiskers above and below each box show the minimum and the maximum in the absence of suspected outlying data. Red boxes indicate the mutations that significantly improved infectivity compared to the parental evolved clone ($P<0.05$, Wilcoxon test). Data were obtained from 3 to 5

Evolutionary modelling predicts that selection for host entry drives adaptation

The preferential selection of nodulation competitiveness in our experiment prompted us to investigate

the origin of this phenomenon. In particular, we wondered if stronger selection for host entry over

within-host proliferation could be a general feature of symbiotic life cycles, or, instead, if it is more

likely to be a specific property of our experimental system that may arise due to genetic constraints

on symbiotic traits and/or specific features of the selective regime. We used computer simulations to

model the evolution of bacterial populations that cycle between two compartments: the external

environment (rhizosphere) and the host tissues (root nodules). In our model, bacteria accumulate

mutations in the rhizosphere due to transient hypermutagenesis[18] while we consider that the bacterial

population remains clonal within nodules[18] (see Supplementary file 8 for full details on the model and

Figure 6 – figure supplement 1). These assumptions are relevant not only to rhizobium-legume

interactions but also to other horizontally transmitted symbioses where the hosts are exposed to

highly diverse environmental bacterial populations and accommodate more homogenous populations

within their tissues[27-29]. In our experimental system, bacterial fitness is mediated by two phenotypic

components: competitiveness to enter the host (resulting in nodulation) and within-host proliferation.

Host entry imposes a very stringent selective bottleneck since (i) in most cases, each nodule is founded

by one single bacterium[9,30], (ii) there were usually between 100 and 300 nodules per lineage collected

at each cycle (Supplementary file 1) while *ca*. 10^6-10^7 bacteria were inoculated at each cycle and (iii)

bacterial genotypes differ in their competitive ability to form nodules (Figure 4a and b). Once inside

the host, bacteria multiply to reach a carrying capacity that is directly proportional to their proliferation

fitness, before returning to the external environment.

When following the evolution of bacterial phenotypes in populations founded by an ancestor with low

initial fitness (10^{-4} fold compared to the theoretical optimum, for each phenotypic component), we

observed, in most cases, a faster increase in nodulation competitiveness relative to within-host

proliferation (Figure 6a). Accordingly, the distribution of mutations that were selected at the early

steps of the adaptive process was biased towards stronger improvement of nodulation

competitiveness over proliferation (Figure 6b, Low fitness domain). This dominance decreased, and

was sometimes reverted, as populations progressed towards high fitness values. Decreasing the

strength of the host entry bottleneck also reduced the dominance of early selection for nodulation

competitiveness, until abolishing it for the largest bottleneck size tested (3000 nodules).

In our experiment, the ancestral nodulating strains had a much higher potential for improvement in nodulation competitiveness relative to proliferation (5×10^4 vs. 10^2-10^3 fold, respectively, compared to the natural symbiont *C. taiwanensis*) which could explain the faster evolution of the former trait. We performed additional simulations to test how evolutionary trajectories are affected by the genotype of the ancestor. As expected, starting from a higher proliferation fitness in the ancestor further increased the overall fold effect of early selected mutations on nodulation competitiveness (Figure 6c and d). In contrast, starting with a higher initial nodulation competitiveness value of 10^{-3} or 10^{-2} in the ancestor was not sufficient to lead to a stronger selection for proliferation, further confirming the asymmetry between selective pressures acting on the two phenotypes. These findings were qualitatively robust to our assumptions regarding the genetic architecture of the two symbiotic phenotypes (Supplementary file 8, Figure 6 – figure supplement 2-11).

Finally, we wondered if the chronology of symbiotic steps impacts on selective pressures and evolutionary trajectories. We modified the simulations so that clonal multiplication of bacteria (according to their proliferation fitness) occurs before the selective bottleneck. Although this model is not relevant in the context of the legume-rhizobium symbiosis, it may apply to other symbiotic or pathogenic life cycles, for example when a selective bottleneck occurs during dissemination in the environment or within the host[31,32], as well as in the case of vector-mediated transmitted pathogens[33,34] or vertically transmitted symbionts[35]. In this context, the dominance of nodulation competitiveness was strongly reduced, bringing the two selective forces close to equilibrium (Figure 6e and f and Figure 6 – figure supplement 12), in agreement with the expectation that each fitness component should have a similar influence on adaptation when they have a multiplicative effect on global fitness. Therefore, the dominance of nodulation competitiveness in the previous simulation runs is likely a consequence of the selective bottleneck occurring before within-host bacterial multiplication and just after the transient hypermutagenesis phase in the rhizosphere.

Altogether, our simulations show that the selective pressures that are experienced by symbiotic bacteria are asymmetric, with a dominance of selection for nodulation competitiveness over within-host proliferation. This asymmetry occurs when a highly diverse bacterial population from the rhizosphere is exposed to the strong selective bottleneck at host entry, allowing the efficient selection of the most competitive clones by the host plant. The fact that the ancestor from our evolution experiment has a lower nodulation competitiveness value compared to proliferation (relative to that of the wild-type rhizobium *C. taiwanensis*) is an additional factor expected to have contributed to the stronger selection on nodulation competitiveness. Importantly, the key factors responsible for the dominance of selection for host entry competitiveness in our model (strong bottleneck at host entry and phenotypic diversity of environmental microbial populations) are found in many symbiotic

 systems, suggesting that competition for host-entry is probably a widespread driver of bacterial

adaptation in diverse symbiotic associations.

Figure 6: Relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and within-host proliferation

a,c,e, Median fitness trajectories of 100 simulated populations evolving under different sizes of host entry bottleneck (10 to

3000 nodules). Points indicate fitness values at cycles 0, 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50. Dotted grey lines represent iso-fitness lines

(defined as the product of nodulation competitiveness and within-host proliferation values) used to delineate 3 fitness

domains: Low (L; fitness < 10^{-5}), Mid (M; 10^{-5} < fitness < 10^{-3}), and High (H; fitness > 10^{-3}). The black dotted line represents the

diagonal, along which population would improve both phenotypic traits equally well. **b,d,f**, Fold effect (nodulation

competitiveness effect divided by proliferation effect) of mutations that reached a frequency of at least 30% in simulated

populations. Color shading indicates the fitness domain (Low, Mid or High) in which each group of mutations arose. Note that

fold effects of mutations are indicated even when median fitness trajectories do not reach the High fitness domain (*e.g.* 10
and 30 nodule lines in **a**) because some individual replicate simulations (shown in Supplementary Figures) do reach this fitness
domain. The effect of different parameters on adaptive trajectories was tested: the size of host entry bottleneck (**a, b**), the
initial fitness values of the ancestor (**c, d**), and the size of population bottleneck under a scenario where the bottleneck occurs
after bacterial clonal proliferation (**e, f**).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 1: Schematic representation of the modelling framework.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 2: Distribution of fitness effects of new mutations for each of the two fitness components
(nodulation competitiveness for host entry and proliferation), computed for three phenotypic dimensions.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 3: Representative distributions of fitness effects of new mutations for three levels of pleiotropy
between nodulation competitiveness and within-host proliferation.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 4: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation
competitiveness and proliferation (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 10$ and $m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 5: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation
competitiveness and proliferation, with a higher probability of beneficial mutations (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 3$ and m
$= 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 6: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation
competitiveness and proliferation, with a lower probability of beneficial mutations (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 20$ and
$m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 7: Effect of the fitness of the ancestor on the relative strength of selection for nodulation
competitiveness and proliferation (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 10$ and $m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 8: Effect of the fitness of the ancestor on the relative strength of selection for nodulation
competitiveness and proliferation, with a higher probability of beneficial mutations (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 3$ and m
$= 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 9: Effect of the fitness of the ancestor on the relative strength of selection for nodulation
competitiveness and proliferation, with a lower probability of beneficial mutations (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 20$ and
$m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 10: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation
competitiveness and proliferation under weak partial pleiotropy (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 6$ and $m = 4$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 11: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation
competitiveness and proliferation under strong partial pleiotropy (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 2$ and $m = 8$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 12: Effect of the chronology of symbiotic events and the size of nodulation bottleneck on the
relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and proliferation (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 10$ and $m =$
0).

Figure 6 – source data 1: Raw data from evolutionary simulations are available at: https://doi.org/10.15454/QYB2S9

Discussion

Horizontally transmitted symbiotic bacteria have complex lifecycles during which they have to face

multiple environmental constraints, both outside and inside the host. Assessing the relative influence

of each of these selective pressures on the adaptive trajectories of emerging symbiotic bacteria is

critically needed to better understand the eco-evolutionary dynamics of microbial populations[5].

Here we show that selection on competitiveness for host entry is the dominant selective pressure

shaping early adaptation of new rhizobia. This trait, mainly mediated by the plant, improved very fast

during our evolution experiment, to a level comparable to that of the natural *Mimosa* symbiont

C. taiwanensis, and all adaptive mutations identified in lineages B and G improved nodulation

competitiveness. Evolutionary simulations led us to propose that the dominance of the selection on

host entry over the selection on within-host proliferation that we observed in our system is strongly

dependent on the strength of the selective bottleneck that occurs at host entry and its position the life

cycle of symbionts. These results shed light on the importance of selective bottlenecks in shaping the

evolutionary trajectories of emerging microbial symbionts. Most theoretical[36,37] and experimental[38-43]

works on the influence of bottlenecks on microbial adaptation have focused on non-selective

bottlenecks that randomly purge genetic diversity and reduce the efficiency of natural selection. Yet,

another aspect of bottlenecks emerges when considering that transmission and host colonization can

be, at least partially, dependent on microbial genotype, prompting us to consider infection bottlenecks

as selective events[44]. Selective bottlenecks have already been described during virus infections[31,45-48]

but received less attention in bacteria, except for their role in the evolution of phenotypic

heterogeneity[17,49-51]. Yet, potentially all symbiotic bacterial populations (whether commensal,

pathogenic or mutualistic) experience bottlenecks of various intensities (sometimes going down to

only a few cells[52]) during their life cycles[27,53,54]. Since competitiveness for host entry is a complex,

polygenic phenotypic trait[7,55-58] that often displays extensive variation within natural populations[59,60],

bottlenecks are expected to represent major selective events (instead of purely stochastic events) in

many symbiotic life cycles. Interestingly, strong selection for host entry in experimentally evolved

mutualistic and pathogenic symbionts was recently observed in several studies[61,62] reinforcing the idea

that selective bottlenecks at host entry may play a crucial role in the evolution of diverse host-microbe

interactions.

In multistep infection processes, the different infection steps can be functionally linked either by

couplings or trade-offs[63,64]. Our study unveiled a new characteristic of the rhizobium-legume

interactions: the recurrent genetic coupling between nodulation competitiveness and within-host

proliferation. Indeed, all the 10 identified mutations that improved within-host proliferation also

improved nodulation competitiveness, while the reverse was not true. This result corroborates our

previous data showing that mutations promoting nodule cell infection always improved nodule

formation[14,65]. It is conceivable that the intracellular release and proliferation of rhizobia in nodule cells

is dependent on the efficiency of the earliest symbiotic events, *i. e*. the entry and progression of

bacteria in infection threads and the concomitant divisions of nodule cells preparing for the

accommodation of bacteria. Consistently, delayed progression of infection threads across the root cell

layers was shown to impair the release of bacteria in nodule cells[66]. Moreover the identification of

plant receptors (LYK3, NFR1, Sym37, SYMRK) and transcription factors (NIN, ERN1, ERN2) involved in

both nodule organogenesis and rhizobial intracellular infection[67-70] supports the existence of common

mechanisms controlling the two processes on the plant side. Future functional analyses of the adaptive

mutations identified in this study will expand our understanding of the molecular bases of nodulation

competitiveness in rhizobia[60,71,72], and its relationship to within-host proliferation. Including

mutualistic traits (nitrogen fixation and host growth promotion) in the analysis of the genetic couplings

(or trade-offs) between the different symbiotic traits will be important to fully characterize the genetic

constraints shaping the evolution of rhizobium-legume interactions[72-74]. The genetic links between

different host colonization phases are generally poorly documented in other symbiotic systems, but

they were analyzed in two recent experimental evolution studies with opposite outcomes. Robinson

et al. (2018) showed that early bacterial adaptation to zebrafish gut favored the improvement of host

entry and inter-host transmission without affecting within-host proliferation[61]. In another study,

experimental evolution of *Vibrio fisherii* in symbiosis with squids led to the fixation of mutations in a

global regulatory gene (*binK*) that improved both the initiation and maintenance of the interaction,

through its action on several bacterial phenotypic traits[75]. Conversely, an example of trade-off

between early and late infection stages was recently evidenced in *Xenorhabdus nematophila*

interacting with insects[76,77]. In the same line, a trade-off between within-vector and within-host fitness

was evidenced in the mosquito-borne parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* causing malaria[78]. These

examples highlight the diversity and context-dependence of genetic correlations that exist between

bacterial phenotypic traits involved in symbiotic interactions.

In all lineages of this experiment, the rate of adaptation was very high during the first cycles of

evolution and then tended to decrease over time. This classical pattern of evolution, due to diminishing

return epistasis among beneficial mutations[24,79,80], was likely favored by the low initial fitness values of

nodulating ancestors compared to the natural symbiont *C. taiwanensis* allowing the rapid acquisition

of highly beneficial mutations during the first cycles (Table 1). Elevated mutation rate in the

rhizosphere, prior to the entry of bacteria in roots[18], has also played an important role in the dynamic

of adaptation by fueling the extensive genetic diversification of bacteria and exposing these

populations to plant-mediated selection. A probable consequence of high mutation rate combined to

strong selection is the presence of large cohorts of mutations that carry multiple beneficial mutations

along with some neutral or slightly deleterious ones. Mutational cohorts were already described in

previous evolution experiments[21,81], with cases of cohorts carrying 2 co-driver mutations[22]. Here we

identified up to 7 adaptive mutations in one of our cohorts. The occurrence of multi-driver mutations

per cohort might be explained by the nested, sequential fixation of multiple adaptive mutations in one

lineage before it reaches detectable frequency (5% in our case)[22]. This phenomenon, creating a

'travelling wave' of adaptation[82], was recently observed with high-resolution sequencing[23] and is

possibly amplified by the strong selection/high mutation regime of our experiment. Alternatively, it was proposed that, in the case of hypermutagenesis, the likelihood of multiple adaptive mutations arising simultaneously in a given genome becomes non-negligible and might promote saltational evolution[83].

In conclusion, our work shows that the relative strengths of the selective forces imposed by hosts strongly influence the evolutionary trajectory of microbial populations. Selection for host entry is likely the predominant life-history trait selected during the evolution of new horizontally-transmitted symbiotic interactions, such as the rhizobium-legume symbiosis. This effect emerges from the presence of a selective bottleneck at host entry, although its predominance can be modulated by other genetic and ecological factors. Investigating the role of selective bottlenecks in other symbiotic interactions will improve our ability to manage and predict the eco-evolutionary dynamics of host-associated microbial populations.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in the Key ressources table. *Cupriavidus taiwanensis* strains were grown at 28°C on tryptone-yeast (TY) medium[84]. *Ralstonia solanacearum* strains were grown at 28°C either on BG medium[85] or on minimal MP medium[86] supplemented with 2% glycerol. *Escherichia coli* strains were grown at 37°C on Luria-Bertani medium[87]. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: trimethoprim at 100 μg ml^{-1}, spectinomycin at 40 μg ml^{-1}, streptomycin at 200 μg ml^{-1} and kanamycin at 25 μg ml^{-1} (for *E. coli*) or 50 μg ml^{-1} (for *R. solanacearum*).

Experimental evolution

Symbiotically evolved clones and populations were generated as previously described[16]. Five lineages, two (B and F) derived from the CBM212 ancestor, two (G and K) derived from the CBM349 ancestor and one (M) derived from the CBM356 ancestor, previously evolved for 16 cycles were further evolved until cycle 35. For each lineage, at each cycle, 30 plants were inoculated with nodule bacterial populations from the previous cycle. Twenty-one days after inoculation, all nodules from the 30 plants were pooled, surface-sterilized with 2.6% sodium hypochlorite for 15 min, rinsed with sterile water and crushed. Then, 10% of the nodule crush was used to inoculate a new set of 30 plants the same day. Serial dilutions of each nodule crush were plated and one clone was randomly selected from the highest dilution and purified. The selected clones, the rest of the nodule crushes, and a 24-h culture of bacteria from an aliquot of nodule crushes were stored at −80°C at each cycle.

Sequencing bacterial populations and clones

Aliquots of frozen nodule bacterial populations or single colonies from purified clones were grown

overnight in BG medium supplemented with trimethoprim. Bacterial DNA was extracted from 1 ml of

culture using the Wizard genomic DNA purification kit (Promega). Evolved population DNAs were

sequenced at the GeT-PlaGe core facility (https://get.genotoul.fr/), INRAE Toulouse. DNA-seq libraries

have been prepared according to Illumina's protocols using the Illumina TruSeq Nano DNA HT Library

Prep Kit. Briefly, DNA was fragmented by sonication, size selection was performed using SPB beads (kit

beads) and adaptators were ligated to be sequenced. Library quality was assessed using an Advanced

Analytical Fragment Analyzer and libraries were quantified by qPCR using the Kapa Library

Quantification Kit (Roche). Sequencing has been performed on a NovaSeq6000 S4 lane (Illumina,

California, USA) using a paired-end read length of 2x150 pb with the Illumina NovaSeq Reagent Kits.

Evolved clones were re-sequenced either by C.E.A/IG/Genoscope using the Illumina GA2X technology

(clones B8, B16, F16, G8, G16, K16 and M16), or by the GeT_PlaGe core facility using the Illumina

technology HiSeq2000 (clones B1, B2, B3, B4, B5, B6, B7, B9, B10, B11, B12, B13, B14, B15, G1, G2, G3,

G4, G5, G6, G7, G9, G10, G11, G12, G13, G14, and G15), or HiSeq3000 (clones B20, B25, B30, G25 and

G30), or NovaSeq6000 (clones B35, F35, G35, K35 and M35).

Detection of mutations and molecular analyses

Sequencing reads from NovaSeq6000 runs (whole-populations and clones from cycle 35) were mapped

on the chimeric reference genome of the ancestral strain, comprising *R. solanacearum* GMI1000

chromosome (GenBank accession number: NC_003295.1) and megaplasmid (NC_003296.1) together

with *C. taiwanensis* symbiotic plasmid pRalta (CU633751). Mutations were detected using breseq

v0.33.1(Ref. [88]) with default parameters, either using the polymorphism mode (for whole-population

sequences) or the consensus mode (for individual clones). Mutation lists were curated manually in

order to remove mutations present in the ancestral strains as well as false positive hits arising from

reads misalignments in low complexity regions. Moreover, alleles showing aberrant trajectories in the

time-course whole-population sequencing data were checked manually, by inspecting either breseq

output files and/or read alignments with IGV[89], and corrected as needed. In this work, we focused our

attention on SNPs and indels detected above 5% frequency in the populations. Recombinations,

rearrangements and IS movements were not analyzed exhaustively. Mutations detected in populations

are listed in Figure 2 – source data 1.

Sequencing data of the remaining clones were analyzed as described previously[26] with the PALOMA

bioinformatics pipeline implemented in the Microscope platform[90]. The complete list of mutational

events generated for these clones are available on the Microscope platform

(https://mage.genoscope.cns.fr/microscope/expdata/NGSProjectEvo.php, SYMPA tag). Mutations

detected in clones are listed in Figure 2 – source data 2.

In order to identify mutations forming temporal clusters (cohorts) through populations, we selected

mutations having a frequency of 30% in at least one cycle and clustered their frequency using the *hclust*

function in R v3.6.1[91]. Then, we separated cohorts using a cutoff distance of 0.3.

Sub-population genealogies in lineages B and G, shown as Muller plots in Figure 2 – figure supplement

1, were reconstructed by comparing mutations found in cohorts and in individually sequenced clones.

Cohorts for which ancestry cannot be ascertained (mutations not found in any clone) were not

included in these plots. Relative frequencies of genotypes were calculated manually and plotted with

the R package MullerPlot[92].

G scores were calculated as described[20]. Since we found that synonymous mutations can be adaptive,

we used all types of mutations in coding regions to calculate this statistics. Moreover, we included all

mutations beyond 5% frequency in this analysis since we assumed that strong clonal interference may

prevent adaptive mutations to rise to high frequency. To evaluate statistical significance of G scores,

we ran 1,000 simulations where the total number of mutations used for the calculation of the observed

G scores (3330) were randomly attributed in the coding genes according to their respective length to

compute simulated G scores for each bacterial gene. The sum of simulated G scores was compared to

the observed sum and we computed a Z score and *P*-value from these simulated G statistics. These

simulations were also used to compute mean G scores for each gene, and to calculate the associated

Z scores and *P*-values (adjusted using a Bonferroni correction).

Reconstruction of mutations in evolved clones

Mutant alleles and constitutively expressed reporter genes (GFPuv, mCherry) were introduced into

Ralstonia evolved clones by co-transformation using the MuGent technique[26,93]. Briefly, two DNA

fragments, the first one carrying an antibiotic (kanamycin) resistance gene prepared from the pRCK-

P*ps*-GFP or pRCK-P*ps*-mCherry linearized plasmids allowing the integration of the resistance gene in

the intergenic region downstream the *glmS* gene, and the second one carrying the mutation to be

introduced prepared by PCR amplification of a 6 kb region using genomic DNA of evolved clones as

template and high fidelity Phusion polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific), were co-transformed into

naturally competents cells of *Ralstonia* evolved clones. Co-transformants resistant to kanamycin were

screened by PCR using primers specifically amplifying mutant or wild-type alleles and verified by Sanger

sequencing. Primers used in mutation reconstructions are listed in the Key ressources table.

Analyses of symbiotic phenotypes on *M. pudica*

Mimosa pudica seeds (LIPME 2019 production obtained from one commercial seed (B&T World Seed, Paguignan, France) of Australian origin) were sterilized as described[94] by immersion in 95% H_2SO_4 during 15 minutes and 2.4% sodium hypochlorite solution during 10 minutes and rinsed in sterile distilled water 5 times. Seeds were soaked in sterile water at 28°C under agitation for 24 hours and then deposited on soft agar (9.375 g/L) and incubated at 28°C during 24 more hours in darkness. Then, seedlings were cultivated in glass tubes (2 seedlings/tube) under N-free conditions, each tube containing 20 mL of solid Fahraeus medium[95] and 40 mL of liquid Jensen medium[96] diluted 1:4 with sterile water. Plants were incubated in a culture chamber at 28°C under a photoperiod day/night of 16 h/8 h and positioned randomly in the different experiments.

For *in planta* relative fitness and nodulation competitiveness assays, two strains of *Ralstonia solanacearum* expressing differential constitutive fluorophores (GFPuv or mCherry) or one strain of *R. solanacearum* and one strain of *C. taiwanensis*, both expressing differential antibiotic resistance (streptomycin and trimethoprim), were co-inoculated onto *M. pudica* plantlets grown for 3 to 4 days in the culture chamber. Both strains were inoculated in equivalent proportion (*ca*. $5x10^5$ bacteria of each strain per plant) except for the comparisons of the *Ralstonia* nodulating ancestors with *C. taiwanensis* where strains were mixed in a 1000:1 ratio (*ca.* $5x10^3$ bacteria of *C. taiwanensis* and *ca.* $5x10^5$ bacteria of nodulating ancestors per plant). Nodules were harvested 21 days after inoculation, surface sterilized by immersion in 2.4% sodium hypochlorite solution for 15 minutes and rinsed with sterile water. For *in planta* relative fitness measurements, sterilized nodules from 20 plants (see the exact number of harvested nodules in Supplementary file 1) were pooled and crushed in 1 mL of sterile water, diluted and spread on selective solid medium using an easySpiral automatic plater (Interscience). After 2-day incubation at 28°C, colonies were screened either by plating on selective medium in case of co-inoculations of *Ralstonia* evolved clones with *C. taiwanensis* or based on fluorescence using a stereo zoom microscope (AxioZoom V16, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) for co-inoculations of *Ralstonia* evolved clones with *Ralstonia* reconstructed mutants. For nodulation competitiveness assays, *ca*. 96 individual nodules per experiment were crushed separately in 96-well microtiter plates and droplets were deposited on selective medium. Nodule occupancy was determined by screening bacteria grown in the droplets either on selective medium or based on their fluorescence as described for fitness measurements. Both nodulation competitiveness and relative fitness assays were measured in at least three independent biological replicates. Competitive indexes (CI) were calculated by dividing the ratio of the number of test strain (evolved strains or reconstructed mutants) vs. reference strain (*C. taiwanensis* or evolved parental strains, respectively) in nodules normalized by the inoculum ratio. When CI values were all above 1, CI values were transformed by their inverse and compared to the value 1 using a one-sided Student *t*-test (*P*<0.05).

For within-host proliferation assays, plantlets were inoculated with a single strain ($5x10^5$ bacteria per

plant). In each experiment, nodules from 6 individual plants were collected separately 21 days after

inoculation, surface sterilized for 15 minutes in a 2.4% sodium hypochlorite solution, rinsed with sterile

water and crushed in 1 ml of sterile water. Dilutions of nodule crushes were plated on selective solid

medium using an easySpiral automatic plater (Interscience). Two days after incubation at 28°C, the

number of colonies was counted. Within-host proliferation was estimated as the number of bacteria

per nodule. For each strain, 15 to 24 measurements from three independent biological replicates were

performed. Pairwise comparisons of proliferation values were compared using a two-sided Wilcoxon

rank sum test.

For Jensen culture medium and rhizosphere colonization assays, plantlets were co-inoculated with pair

of strains expressing differential constitutive fluorophores (GFPuv or mCherry) in equivalent

proportion ($5x10^6$ bacteria of each strain per plant). Seven days after inoculation, bacteria present in

the culture medium were diluted and plated on selective solid medium using an easySpiral automatic

plater (Interscience). Bacteria attached to the roots were resuspended in 4 ml of sterile water by

strongly vortexing for 1 minute, diluting and plating on selective medium using an easySpiral automatic

plater (Interscience). Two days after incubation at 28°C, colonies were screened for fluorescence using

a stereo zoom microscope (AxioZoom V16, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Competitive indexes (CI) for

Jensen medium or rhizosphere colonization were calculated as described above. CI values were

compared to the value 1 using a two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg

correction.

Sample sizes were determined based on our previous studies[26,65,97]. In cases where we performed only

three independent replicates, each replicate was based on the analysis of a large number of plants or

nodules. Independent biological replicates were performed on different days, with different plants and

different bacterial cultures.

Modelling/simulations

Full details on evolutionary simulations and the list and values of parameters used in the simulations

are available in Supplementary files 8 and 9, respectively.

Data availability statement

Sequencing data are available under NCBI SRA BioProject ID PRJNA788708 and SRP353965. Raw

experimental data are available as Supplementary Information. Raw data generated from computer

simulations are deposited on the Data INRAE dataverse (https://doi.org/10.15454/QYB2S9).

Code availability statement

Computer code used to analyze genomic data and to perform computer simulations are deposited on
the Data INRAE dataverse (https://doi.org/10.15454/QYB2S9).

Material availability statement
Requests for biological resources should be directed to the corresponding authors P.R. or D.C.
Ralstonia solanacearum strains will be shared upon fulfilment of appropriate biosecurity procedures
for quarantine bacteria.

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to A. Carlier and F. Roux for careful reading of the manuscript, to O. Tenaillon for
helpful discussions on the project, to O. Tenaillon and H. Le Nagard for sharing their genetic algorithm
script, and to Ludovic Legrand for archiving sequencing data and their associated metadata. We
acknowledge the Genotoul bioinformatics platform Toulouse Occitanie (Bioinfo Genotoul,
https://doi.org/10.15454/1.5572369328961167E12) for providing computing and storage resources.
G.G.D.d.M was supported by a fellowship from the French Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur, de
la Recherche et de l'Innovation (MESRI). P.R. received funding from the EU in the framework of the
Marie-Curie FP7 COFUND People Programme, through the award of an AgreenSkills+ fellowship (under
grant agreement n°609398) and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation
programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement N° 845838.
This study was supported by the Fédération de Recherche Agrobiosciences, Interactions et
Biodiversité, the French National Research Agency (ANR-16-CE20-0011-01 and ANR-21-CE02-0019-01)
and the "Laboratoires d'Excellence (LABEX)" TULIP (ANR-10-LABX-41)" and the "École Universitaire de
Recherche (EUR)" TULIP-GS (ANR-18-EURE-0019).

Authors contributions
C.M.-B, D.C. and P.R. designed the project. G.G.D.M. performed most experiments and analyze the
data. S.M., N.G., A.-C.C., D.C. and P.R. performed experiments. J.-B.F. and P.R. performed computer
simulations. M.M., T.B. and S.V. performed NGS sequencing at GeT-PlaGe. D.R. analyzed mutations in
clones and deposit data in the microScope platform. D.C. and P.R. supervised experimental work and
data analysis. C.B.-M., J.-B.F and P.R. acquired funding for the project. G.G.D.M., J.B-F., D.C. and P.R.
wrote the manuscript, which was approved by all authors.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Drew, G. C., Stevens, E. J. & King, K. C. Microbial evolution and transitions along the parasite-mutualist continuum. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol*. **19**, 623-638, doi:10.1038/s41579-021-00550-7 (2021).

2. Nandasena, K. G., O'Hara, G. W., Tiwari, R. P., Sezmis, E. & Howieson, J. G. *In situ* lateral transfer of symbiosis islands results in rapid evolution of diverse competitive strains of mesorhizobia suboptimal in symbiotic nitrogen fixation on the pasture legume *Biserrula pelecinus* L. *Environ. Microbiol.* **9**, 2496-2511, doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01368.x (2007).

3. Longdon, B. et al. The causes and consequences of changes in virulence following pathogen host shifts. *PLoS Pathog*. **11**, e1004728, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004728 (2015).

4. Bonneaud, C. & Longdon, B. Emerging pathogen evolution: using evolutionary theory to understand the fate of novel infectious pathogens. *EMBO Rep.* **21**, e51374, doi:10.15252/embr.202051374 (2020).

5. Obeng, N., Bansept, F., Sieber, M., Traulsen, A. & Schulenburg, H. Evolution of microbiota-host associations: the microbe's perspective. *Trends Microbiol*. **29**, 779-787, doi:10.1016/j.tim.2021.02.005 (2021).

6. Robinson, C. D., Bohannan, B. J. & Britton, R. A. Scales of persistence: transmission and the microbiome. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol*. **50**, 42-49, doi:10.1016/j.mib.2019.09.009 (2019).

7. Wheatley, R. M. et al. Lifestyle adaptations of *Rhizobium* from rhizosphere to symbiosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A*. **117**, 23823-23834, doi:10.1073/pnas.2009094117 (2020).

8. Gage, D. J. Analysis of infection thread development using Gfp- and DsRed-expressing *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *J. Bacteriol.* **184**, 7042-7046, doi:10.1128/jb.184.24.7042-7046.2002 (2002).

9. Gage, D. J. Infection and invasion of roots by symbiotic, nitrogen-fixing rhizobia during nodulation of temperate legumes. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev*. **68**, 280-300, doi:10.1128/mmbr.68.2.280-300.2004 (2004).

10. Coba de la Peña, T., Fedorova, E., Pueyo, J. J. & Lucas, M. M. The symbiosome: legume and rhizobia co-evolution toward a nitrogen-fixing organelle? *Front. Plant Sci.* **8**, 2229, doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.02229 (2017).

11. Hirsch, A. M. et al. *Rhizobium meliloti* nodulation genes allow *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and *Escherichia coli* to form pseudonodules on alfalfa. *J. Bacteriol*. **158**, 1133-1143 (1984).

12. Abe, M. et al. Transfer of the symbiotic plasmid from *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar *trifolii* to *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol*. **44**, 65-74 (1998).

13. Nakatsukasa, H. et al. Transposon mediation allows a symbiotic plasmid of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *trifolii* to become a symbiosis island in *Agrobacterium* and *Rhizobium*. *J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol.* **54**, 107-118, doi:10.2323/jgam.54.107 (2008).

14. Marchetti, M. et al. Experimental evolution of a plant pathogen into a legume symbiont. *PLoS Biol*.

8, doi:e1000280 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000280 (2010).

15. Masson-Boivin, C., Giraud, E., Perret, X. & Batut, J. Establishing nitrogen-fixing symbiosis with

legumes: how   many   rhizobium   recipes?   *Trends   Microbiol*.   **17**,   458-466,

doi:10.1016/j.tim.2009.07.004 (2009).

16. Marchetti, M. et al. Experimental evolution of rhizobia may lead to either extra- or intracellular

symbiotic adaptation depending on the selection regime. *Mol. Ecol*. **26**, 1818-1831,

doi:10.1111/mec.13895 (2017).

17. Moxon, R. & Kussell, E. The impact of bottlenecks on microbial survival, adaptation, and

phenotypic   switching   in   host-pathogen   interactions.   *Evolution*   **71**,   2803-2816,

doi:10.1111/evo.13370 (2017).

18. Remigi, P. et al. Transient hypermutagenesis accelerates the evolution of legume endosymbionts

following horizontal gene transfer. *PLoS Biol*. **12**, e1001942, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001942

(2014).

19. Lynch, M. et al. Genetic drift, selection and the evolution of the mutation rate. *Nat. Rev. Genet*.

17, 704-714, doi:10.1038/nrg.2016.104 (2016).

20. Tenaillon, O. et al. Tempo and mode of genome evolution in a 50,000-generation experiment.

Nature **536**, 165-170, doi:10.1038/nature18959 (2016).

21. Lang, G. I. et al. Pervasive genetic hitchhiking and clonal interference in forty evolving yeast

populations. *Nature* **500**, 571-574, doi:10.1038/nature12344 (2013).

22. Buskirk, S. W., Peace, R. E. & Lang, G. I. Hitchhiking and epistasis give rise to cohort dynamics in

adapting   populations.   *Proc.   Natl.   Acad.   Sci.   U.   S.   A.*   **114**,   8330-8335,

doi:10.1073/pnas.1702314114 (2017).

23. Nguyen Ba, A. N. et al. High-resolution lineage tracking reveals travelling wave of adaptation in

laboratory yeast. *Nature* **575**, 494-499, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1749-3 (2019).

24. McDonald, M. J. Microbial experimental evolution - a proving ground for evolutionary theory and

a tool for discovery. *EMBO Rep*. **20**, e46992, doi:10.15252/embr.201846992 (2019).

25. Bailey, S. F., Alonso Morales, L. A. & Kassen, R. Effects of synonymous mutations beyond codon

bias: the evidence for adaptive synonymous substitutions from microbial evolution experiments.

Genome *Biol. Evol*. **13**, doi:10.1093/gbe/evab141 (2021).

26. Capela, D. et al. Recruitment of a lineage-specific virulence regulatory pathway promotes

intracellular infection by a plant pathogen experimentally evolved into a legume symbiont. *Mol.

Biol. Evol*. **34**, 2503-2521, doi:10.1093/molbev/msx165 (2017).

27. Fronk, D. C. & Sachs, J. L. Symbiotic organs: the nexus of host-microbe evolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol*.

doi:10.1016/j.tree.2022.02.014 (2022).

28   Nyholm, S. V. & McFall-Ngai, M. J. The winnowing: establishing the squid-*vibrio* symbiosis. *Nat.*
Rev. Microbiol. **2**, 632-642, doi:10.1038/nrmicro957 (2004).

29   Kumar, N. et al. Bacterial genospecies that are not ecologically coherent: population genomics of
Rhizobium leguminosarum. Open Biol. **5**, 140133, doi: 10.1098/rsob.140133 (2015).

30. Daubech, B. et al. Spatio-temporal control of mutualism in legumes helps spread symbiotic
nitrogen fixation. *eLife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.28683 (2017).

31. Joseph, S. B., Swanstrom, R., Kashuba, A. D. & Cohen, M. S. Bottlenecks in HIV-1 transmission:
insights from the study of founder viruses. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol*. **13**, 414-425,
doi:10.1038/nrmicro3471 (2015).

32. Hullahalli, K. & Waldor, M. K. Pathogen clonal expansion underlies multiorgan dissemination and
organ-specific outcomes during murine systemic infection. *eLife* **10**, doi:10.7554/eLife.70910
(2021).

33   Goodrich-Blair, H. & Clarke, D. J. Mutualism and pathogenesis in *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus*:
two roads to the same destination. *Mol. Microbiol.* **64**, 260-268, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2007.05671.x (2007).

34   Koinuma, H. et al. Spatiotemporal dynamics and quantitative analysis of phytoplasmas in insect
vectors. Sci. Rep. **10**, 4291, doi:10.1038/s41598-020-61042-x (2020).

35. Perreau, J., Zhang, B., Maeda, G. P., Kirkpatrick, M. & Moran, N. A. Strong within-host selection in
a maternally inherited obligate symbiont: *Buchnera* and aphids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A*. **118**,
doi:10.1073/pnas.2102467118 (2021).

36. Bergstrom, C. T., McElhany, P. & Real, L. A. Transmission bottlenecks as determinants of virulence
in rapidly evolving pathogens. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **96**, 5095-5100,
doi:10.1073/pnas.96.9.5095 (1999).

37. LeClair, J. & Wahl, L. The impact of population bottlenecks on microbial adaptation. *J. Stat. Physics*
172, 114–125, doi:10.1007/s10955-017-1924-6 (2018).

38. Izutsu, M., Lake, D., Matson, Z., Dodson, J. & Lenski, R. Effects of periodic bottlenecks on the
dynamics of adaptive evolution in microbial populations. *bioRxiv* doi:10.1101/2021.12.29.474457
(2022).

39. Wein, T. & Dagan, T. The Effect of population bottleneck size and selective regime on genetic
diversity and evolvability in bacteria. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **11**, 3283-3290, doi:10.1093/gbe/evz243
(2019).

40. Garoff, L. et al. Population bottlenecks strongly influence the evolutionary trajectory to
fluoroquinolone resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 1637-1646,
doi:10.1093/molbev/msaa032 (2020).

41. Mahrt, N. et al. Bottleneck size and selection level reproducibly impact evolution of antibiotic
resistance. *Nat. Ecol. Evol*. **5**, 1233-1242, doi:10.1038/s41559-021-01511-2 (2021).

42. Windels, E. M. et al. Population bottlenecks strongly affect the evolutionary dynamics of antibiotic
persistence. *Mol. Biol. Evol*. **38**, 3345-3357, doi:10.1093/molbev/msab107 (2021).

43. Sousa, A. et al. Recurrent Reverse Evolution Maintains Polymorphism after Strong Bottlenecks in
Commensal Gut Bacteria. *Mol. Biol. Evol*. **34**, 2879-2892, doi:10.1093/molbev/msx221 (2017).

44. Handel, A. & Bennett, M. R. Surviving the bottleneck: transmission mutants and the evolution of
microbial populations. *Genetics* **180**, 2193-2200, doi:10.1534/genetics.108.093013 (2008).

45. Wilker, P. R. et al. Selection on haemagglutinin imposes a bottleneck during mammalian
transmission of reassortant H5N1 *Influenza* viruses. *Nat. Commun*. **4**, 2636,
doi:10.1038/ncomms3636 (2013).

46. Moncla, L. H. et al. Selective bottlenecks shape evolutionary pathways taken during mammalian
adaptation of a 1918-like avian *Influenza* virus. *Cell Host Microbe* **19**, 169-180,
doi:10.1016/j.chom.2016.01.011 (2016).

47. Sobel Leonard, A. et al. Deep sequencing of *Influenza* a virus from a human challenge study reveals
a selective bottleneck and only limited intrahost genetic diversification. *J. Virol.* **90**, 11247-11258,
doi:10.1128/JVI.01657-16 (2016).

48. Huang, S. W. et al. A selective bottleneck shapes the evolutionary mutant spectra of Enterovirus
A71 during viral dissemination in humans. *J. Virol.* **91**, doi:10.1128/JVI.01062-17 (2017).

49. Libby, E. & Rainey, P. B. Exclusion rules, bottlenecks and the evolution of stochastic phenotype
switching. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **278**, 3574-3583, doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.0146 (2011).

50. De Ste Croix, M. et al. Selective and non-selective bottlenecks as drivers of the evolution of
hypermutable bacterial loci. *Mol. Microbiol*. 113, 672-681, doi:10.1111/mmi.14453 (2020).

51. Schmutzer, M. & Wagner, A. Gene expression noise can promote the fixation of beneficial
mutations in fluctuating environments. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **16**, e1007727,
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007727 (2020).

52. Gama, J. A., Abby, S. S., Vieira-Silva, S., Dionisio, F. & Rocha, E. P. Immune subversion and quorum-
sensing shape the variation in infectious dose among bacterial pathogens. *PLoS Pathog*. **8**,
e1002503, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002503 (2012).

53. Abel, S., zur Wiesch, PA, Davis, B. & Waldor, M. Analysis of bottlenecks in experimental models of
infection. *PLoS Pathogen* **11**(6):e1004823doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004823 (2015).

54. Papkou & A Gokhale, C. T., A Schulenburg, H. Host-parasite coevolution: why changing population
size matters. *Zoology* **117**, 330-338, doi:10.1016/j.zool.2016.02.001 (2016).

55. Cossart, P. & Sansonetti, P. J. Bacterial invasion: the paradigms of enteroinvasive pathogens.
Science **304**, 242-248, doi:10.1126/science.1090124 (2004).

56. Visick, K. L., Stabb, E. V. & Ruby, E. G. A lasting symbiosis: how *Vibrio fischeri* finds a squid partner
and persists within its natural host. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **19**, 654-665, doi:10.1038/s41579-021-
00557-0 (2021).

57. Rowe, H. M. et al. Bacterial factors required for transmission of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in
mammalian hosts. Cell Host Microbe **25**, 884-891.e886, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2019.04.012 (2019).

58. Bright, M. & Bulgheresi, S. A complex journey: transmission of microbial symbionts. *Nat. Rev.*
Microbiol. **8**, 218-230, doi:10.1038/nrmicro2262 (2010).

59   Bongrand, C. et al. Using colonization assays and comparative genomics to discover symbiosis
behaviors and factors in *Vibrio fischeri*. *mBio* **11**, doi:10.1128/mBio.03407-19 (2020).

60   Mendoza-Suárez, M., Andersen, S. U., Poole, P. S. & Sánchez-Cañizares, C. Competition, nodule
occupancy, and persistence of inoculant strains: key factors in the rhizobium-legume symbioses.
Front. Plant Sci. **12**, 690567, doi:10.3389/fpls.2021.690567 (2021).

61. Robinson, C. D. et al. Experimental bacterial adaptation to the zebrafish gut reveals a primary role
for immigration. *PLoS Biol*. **16**, e2006893, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2006893 (2018).

62. Bacigalupe, R., Tormo-Mas, M., Penadés, J. R. & Fitzgerald, J. R. A multihost bacterial pathogen
overcomes continuous population bottlenecks to adapt to new host species. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaax0063,
doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax0063 (2019).

63. Ebert, D. et al. A population biology perspective on the stepwise infection process of the bacterial
pathogen   *Pasteuria   ramosa*   in   Daphnia.   *Adv.   Parasitol.*   **91**,   265-310,
doi:10.1016/bs.apar.2015.10.001 (2016).

64. Hall, M. D., Routtu, J. & Ebert, D. Dissecting the genetic architecture of a stepwise infection
process. *Mol. Ecol*. **28**, 3942-3957, doi:10.1111/mec.15166 (2019).

65. Guan, S. H. et al. Experimental evolution of nodule intracellular infection in legume symbionts.
ISME J. **7**, 1367-1377, doi:10.1038/ismej.2013.24 (2013).

66. Xiao, T. T. et al. Fate map of *Medicago truncatula* root nodules. *Development* **141**, 3517-3528,
doi:10.1242/dev.110775 (2014).

67. Moling, S. et al. Nod factor receptors form heteromeric complexes and are essential for
intracellular infection in medicago nodules. *Plant Cell* **26**, 4188-4199, doi:10.1105/tpc.114.129502
(2014).

68. Cerri, M. R. et al. The symbiosis-related ERN transcription factors act in concert to coordinate
rhizobial host root infection*. Plant Physiol*. **171**, 1037-1054, doi:10.1104/pp.16.00230 (2016).

69. Capoen, W., Goormachtig, S., De Rycke, R., Schroeyers, K. & Holsters, M. SrSymRK, a plant
receptor essential for symbiosome formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 10369-10374,
doi:10.1073/pnas.0504250102 (2005).

70. Liu, J. *et al.* NIN is essential for development of symbiosomes, suppression of defence and
premature senescence in *Medicago truncatula* nodules. *New Phytol.* **230**, 290-303,
doi:10.1111/nph.17215 (2021).

71. Boivin, S. & Lepetit, M. Partner preference in the legume-rhizobia symbiosis and impact on legume
inoculation   strategies.   *Advances   in   Botanical   Research*,   **94**,   323-348,
doi:10.1016/bs.abr.2019.09.016 (2020).

72. Younginger, B. S. & Friesen, M. L. Connecting signals and benefits through partner choice in plant-
microbe interactions. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett*. **366**, doi:10.1093/femsle/fnz217 (2019).

73. Batstone, R. T., O'Brien, A. M., Harrison, T. L. & Frederickson, M. E. Experimental evolution makes
microbes   more   cooperative   with   their   local   host   genotype. *Science* **370**, 476-478,
doi:10.1126/science.abb7222 (2020).

74 Quides, K. W. et al. Experimental evolution can enhance benefits of rhizobia to novel legume
hosts. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **288**, 20210812, doi:10.1098/rspb.2021.0812 (2021).

75 Pankey, S. M. et al. Host-selected mutations converging on a global regulator drive an adaptive
leap towards symbiosis in bacteria. *Elife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.24414 (2017).

76 Faucher, C. et al. Step-specific adaptation and trade-off over the course of an infection by GASP
mutation small colony variants. *mBio* **12**, doi:10.1128/mBio.01399-20 (2021).

77 Cambon, M. C. et al. Selection of bacterial mutants in late infections: when vector transmission
trades off against growth advantage in stationary phase. *mBio* **10**, doi:10.1128/mBio.01437-19
(2019).

78 Costa, G. et al. Non-competitive resource exploitation within mosquito shapes within-host malaria
infectivity and virulence. *Nat. Commun*. **9**, 3474, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05893-z (2018).

79. Van den Bergh, B., Swings, T., Fauvart, M. & Michiels, J. Experimental design, population
dynamics, and diversity in microbial experimental evolution. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev*. **82**,
doi:10.1128/MMBR.00008-18 (2018).

80. Couce, A. & Tenaillon, O. A. The rule of declining adaptability in microbial evolution experiments.
Front. Genet. **6**, 99, doi:10.3389/fgene.2015.00099 (2015).

81. Maddamsetti, R., Lenski, R. E. & Barrick, J. E. Adaptation, clonal interference, and frequency-
dependent interactions in a long-term evolution experiment with *Escherichia coli*. *Genetics* **200**,
619-631, doi:10.1534/genetics.115.176677 (2015).

82. Neher, R. Genetic draft, selective interference, and population genetics of rapid adaptation. *Ann*.
Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. **44**, 195-215, doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110512-135920 (2013).

83. Katsnelson, M. I., Wolf, Y. I. & Koonin, E. V. On the feasibility of saltational evolution. *Proc. Natl.*
Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **116**, 21068-21075, doi:10.1073/pnas.1909031116 (2019).

84. Beringer. J. E. R factor transfer in *Rhizobium leguminosarum*. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **84**, 188-198, doi:
10.1099/00221287-84-1-188 (1974)

85. Boucher, C. A., Barberis, P. A., Trigalet, A. P. & Demery, D. A. Transposon mutagenesis of
Pseudomonas solanacearum isolation of Tn5-induced avirulent mutants. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* **131**,
2449-2457 (1985).

86. Plener, L., Manfredi, P., Valls, M. & Genin, S. PrhG, a transcriptional regulator responding to
growth conditions, is involved in the control of the type III secretion system regulon in *Ralstonia*
solanacearum. *J. Bacteriol*. **192**, 1011-1019, doi:10.1128/jb.01189-09 (2010).

87. Bertani, G. Studies on lysogenesis. I. The mode of phage liberation by lysogenic *Escherichia coli*. *J.*
Bacteriol. **62**, 293-300, doi:10.1128/jb.62.3.293-300.1951 (1951).

88. Deatherage, D. E. & Barrick, J. E. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes from
next-generation sequencing data using breseq. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1151**, 165-188,
doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12 (2014).

89. Robinson, J. T. et al. Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat. Biotechnol*. **29**, 24-26,
doi:10.1038/nbt.1754 (2011).

90. Vallenet, D. et al. MicroScope: an integrated platform for the annotation and exploration of
microbial gene functions through genomic, pangenomic and metabolic comparative analysis.
Nucleic Acids Res **48**, D579-D589, doi:10.1093/nar/gkz926 (2020).

91. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical
(2019).

92. Farahpour, F., Saeedghalati, M. & Hoffmann, D. MullerPlot: Generates Muller Plot from
Population/Abundance/Frequency Dynamics Data. R package version 0.1.2. https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=MullerPlot (2016)

93. Dalia, A. B., McDonough, E. & Camilli, A. Multiplex genome editing by natural transformation.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **111**, 8937-8942, doi:10.1073/pnas.1406478111 (2014).

94. Chen, W. M. et al. Legume symbiotic nitrogen fixation by beta-proteobacteria is widespread in
nature. *J. Bacteriol.* **185**, 7266-7272, doi:10.1128/jb.185.24.7266-7272.2003 (2003).

95. Fahraeus, G. The infection of Clover root hairs by nodule bacteria studied by a simple glass slide
technique. *J. Gen. Microbiol*. **16**, 374-& (1957).

96. Jensen, H. L. Nitrogen fixation in leguminous plants. I. General characters of root nodule bacteria
isolated from species of *Medicago* and *Trifolium* in Australia. *Proc. Int. Soc. N.S.W*. **66**, 68–108
(1942).

97. Tang, M., Bouchez, O., Cruveiller, S., Masson-Boivin, C. & Capela, D. Modulation of quorum
sensing as an adaptation to nodule cell infection during experimental evolution of legume
symbionts. *mBio* **11**, doi:10.1128/mBio.03129-19 (2020).

Supplementary information

Supplementary files

Supplementary file 1: Number of nodules harvested at each evolution cycle.

Supplementary file 2: Coverage depth for whole-population sequencing

Supplementary file 3: Summary of detected mutations in the five lineages

Supplementary file 4: Analysis of genetic parallelism in the five lineages.

Supplementary file 5: Global analysis of mutational cohorts

Supplementary file 6: Neutral and deleterious reconstructed mutations

Supplementary file 7: Frequencies of usage of codons modified by adaptive synonymous mutations

Supplementary file 8: Supplementary information on the evolutionary simulations

Supplementary file 9: Parameter values used in computer simulations

Source Data

Figure 1 – source data 1. Raw data obtained for the phenotypic characterization of *Ralstonia* evolved clones compared to *C. taiwanensis.*

Figure 1 – figure supplement 2 – source data 1: Raw data obtained for the measurements of the dry weights of M. pudica plants inoculated with cycle 35 clones.

Figure 2 – source data 1. Mutations identified in the evolved populations from all lineages

Figure 2 – source data 2: Mutations present in the evolved clones

Figure 3 – source data 1: Raw data obtained for the characterization of the *in planta* fitness of evolved clones carrying fixed mutations.

Figure 4 – source data 1: Raw data obtained for the characterization of nodulation competitiveness of evolved clones carrying fixed mutations.

Figure 4 – figure supplement 1– source data 1: Raw data obtained for the Jensen culture medium colonization and rhizosphere colonization assays.

Figure 5 – source data 1: Raw data obtained for the characterization of the capacity of evolved clones carrying fixed mutations to proliferate within the host.

Figure 6 – source data 1: Raw data from evolutionary simulations are available at: https://doi.org/10.15454/QYB2S9

Key ressources table: Strains, plasmids, oligonucleotides and software used and sequence data generated in this study.

Figure supplements

Figure 1 – figure supplement 1: Experimental evolution of *Ralstonia solanacearum* GMI1000 pRalta through serial cycles of plant (*Mimosa pudica*) inoculation-isolation of nodule bacteria.

Figure 1 – figure supplement 2: Dry weights of *M. pudica* plants inoculated with cycle 35 evolved clones.

Figure 2 - figure supplement 1: Evolution of population composition over time in lines B and G

Figure 4 - figure supplement 1: Survival of reconstructed mutants from lineages B and G in the Jensen medium and rhizosphere.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 1: Schematic representation of the modelling framework.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 2: Distribution of fitness effects of new mutations for each of the two fitness components (nodulation competitivity and proliferation), computed for three phenotypic dimensions.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 3: Representative distributions of fitness effects of new mutations for three levels of pleiotropy between nodulation competitiveness and within-host proliferation.

Figure 6 – figure supplement 4: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and proliferation (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 10$ and $m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 5: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and proliferation, with a higher probability of beneficial mutations (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 3$ and $m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 6: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and proliferation, with a lower probability of beneficial mutations (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 20$ and $m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 7: Effect of the fitness of the ancestor on the relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and proliferation (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 10$ and $m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 8: Effect of the fitness of the ancestor on the relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and proliferation, with a higher probability of beneficial mutations (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 3$ and $m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 9: Effect of the fitness of the ancestor on the relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and proliferation, with a lower probability of beneficial mutations (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 20$ and $m = 0$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 10: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and proliferation under weak partial pleiotropy (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 6$ and $m = 4$).

Figure 6 – figure supplement 11: Effect of the nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and proliferation under strong partial pleiotropy (evolutionary parameters: $k = l = 2$ and $m = 8$).

1007   **Figure 6 – figure supplement 12:** Effect of the chronology of symbiotic events and the size of

1008   nodulation bottleneck on the relative strength of selection for nodulation competitiveness and

1009   proliferation (evolutionary parameters: k = l = 10 and m = 0).