Morph-dependent effect of nematode infection on host movement in the land snail *Cepaea nemoralis* (Mollusca, Gastropoda)

Maxime Dahirel[1,2*], Marine Proux[3], Claudia Gérard[3], Armelle Ansart[3]

[1]Université Côte d'Azur, INRAE, CNRS, ISA, F-06903, Sophia-Antipolis, France

[2]Department of Biology, Ghent University, B-9000, Ghent, Belgium

[3]Univ Rennes, UR1, CNRS, ECOBIO (Ecosystèmes, Biodiversité, évolution), UMR 6553, F-35000, Rennes, France

[*]corresponding author: maxime.dahirel@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Host behaviour can be influenced by parasitic risk and infection through a variety of direct and indirect mechanisms. We can expect individuals expressing different phenotypes to also differ in the ways their behaviour is altered by parasites. We used the land snail *Cepaea nemoralis*, a species with various shell colour morphs differing in behaviour and physiology, as a model to study the link between parasite response and individual behaviour variation. We analysed metazoan parasite abundance and its relation to behaviour (movement and food intake) in snails from three shell morphs (from light unbanded to darker five-banded) and from two neighbouring populations from contrasted environments. Snails were parasitized by mites, trematodes and nematodes, from rarest to most frequent. We confirm that terrestrial gastropods can defend against infection by trapping parasitic nematodes in their shell. We show that nematode encapsulation can uncover past infections even when a population is currently nematode-free, possibly due to parasite seasonality. We present new observations suggesting that not only nematodes but also mites might be encapsulated in shells. Vulnerability to parasites was morph-dependent, with darker snails harbouring fewer nematodes. Behaviour (movement and food intake) was dependent on nematode, but not trematode infection. Individuals with higher nematode load ate less, irrespective of morph and population. The morph that was most vulnerable to parasitism (unbanded snails) showed reduced activity in the nematode-infected population compared to the one that was nematode-free at sampling time. As a result, parasite risk may cancel previously described behavioural differences between morphs. We discuss the possible mechanisms explaining morph-dependent responses to parasites, and how parasite risk may be an unseen force shaping *Cepaea nemoralis* morph variation in space and time. We conclude on the possible ecological consequences of the link, mediated by shell colour, between thermal and immune responses.

Keywords: activity; *Brachylaima*; food intake; *Riccardoella*; shell colour polymorphism; snail host-parasite interactions

Introduction

Individuals often differ consistently in their behaviour, including within populations of the same species (Dall, Houston & McNamara, 2004; Réale *et al.*, 2007; Bell, Hankison & Laskowski, 2009; Holtmann, Lagisz & Nakagawa, 2017). A popular idea to explain these differences is that persistent individual differences in behaviour are driven by (and/or co-evolve with) individual variation in "state", generally defined as stable (relative to behaviour) intrinsic phenotypic features (Dall *et al.*, 2004; Sih *et al.*, 2015; Niemelä & Dingemanse, 2018; Cornwell, McCarthy & Biro, 2020). Consistent among-individual variation in behaviour may then persist if the benefits and costs of behaviour vary with state. While this hypothesis has empirical support, the proportion of among-individual behavioural variance explained by any given intrinsic state variable is usually small (Niemelä & Dingemanse, 2018), meaning that explanations based on one or a few state variables are limited. This highlights the need for explanations including both intrinsic state(s) and extrinsic variation in the experienced environment (Niemelä & Dingemanse, 2018).

The boundary between extrinsic and intrinsic "drivers" of behaviours is not always clear-cut, as illustrated by parasites. Indeed, parasites both change the environmental context in which potential hosts express their behaviour, and alter actual hosts' internal state. Focusing on movement-related behaviours as an example (Binning, Shaw & Roche, 2017 for a review), potential hosts may react to the perceived risk of infection by moving to avoid or limit contact with parasites (e.g. Davies & Knowles, 2001). Moreover, costs incurred by infected individuals may lead them to limit or delay movements (e.g. Debeffe *et al.*, 2014; O'Dwyer, Kamiya & Poulin, 2014).

Land snails and slugs host a diverse array of metazoan parasites and parasitoids, including flies, mites, cestodes, trematodes and nematodes (Barker, 2004; Morley & Lewis, 2008; Gracenea & Gállego, 2017; Pieterse, Malan & Ross, 2017; Gérard *et al.*, 2020). Depending on species, parasites use terrestrial gastropods as their only hosts, or as intermediate hosts with birds and mammals often being the definitive hosts (Barker, 2004). Some of these parasites can cause

diseases (e.g., strongylosis) in domesticated animals and humans (Meerburg, Singleton &

Kijlstra, 2009; Butcher, 2016; Giannelli *et al.*, 2016).

Terrestrial gastropods can defend against metazoan parasites in several ways. First, they may

alter their behaviour to avoid being infected, by detecting and avoiding parasite-infected micro-

habitats (Wynne, Morris & Rae, 2016). If parasites manage to enter snails, they can be

neutralized through several mechanisms. The cellular immune response, in which parasites are

encapsulated by a large number of amoebocytes, may be associated with a non-cellular

response based on the production of cytotoxic molecules (Furuta & Yamaguchi, 2001; Coaglio *et*

al., 2018). Snails can also trap nematodes directly in the inner layer of their shell (Rae, 2017).

Moreover, as in insects (González-Santoyo & Córdoba-Aguilar, 2012; San-Jose & Roulin, 2018),

melanin and the phenoloxidase pathway involved in melanisation may play a key role in snail

defences against parasites. For instance, accumulation of melanin around nematodes

encapsulated in the haemolymph is documented in the snail *Lissachatina fulica* (Coaglio *et al.*,

2018). Phenoloxidase activity has been shown to increase in *L. fulica* and *Helix lucorum* after

infection by nematodes or trematodes, respectively (Coaglio *et al.*, 2018; Unlu & Ekici, 2021).

While less studied, carotenoids may also contribute to immune responses in invertebrates (Tan

et al., 2020). This possible association between immunity and pigment-related pathways could

have further ecological relevance in land snails. Indeed, many species exhibit shell colour

polymorphism (Williams, 2017), which affects behavioural and physiological thermal responses

(Jones, Leith & Rawlings, 1977; Schweizer, Triebskorn & Köhler, 2019; Köhler *et al.*, 2021) or

vulnerability to predators (Jones *et al.*, 1977; Rosin *et al.*, 2011; Rosin *et al.*, 2013; Surmacki,

Ożarowska-Nowicka & Rosin, 2013).

The grove snail *Cepaea nemoralis* has a long history as an iconic model in evolutionary biology

(Jones *et al.*, 1977; Ożgo, 2009). Individuals can differ in background shell color (yellow, pink or

brown) as well as in the number of dark bands (from zero to five). These differences are

genetically determined, and decades of research have aimed to disentangle the roles of thermal

selection, predation risk and neutral processes in driving variation in morph frequencies (Cain &

Sheppard, 1954; Lamotte, 1959; Jones *et al.*, 1977; Schilthuizen, 2013; Ożgo *et al.*, 2017; Kerstes

et al., 2019). These color differences are associated with behavioural and physiological

differences (Kavaliers, 1992; Rosin *et al.*, 2018; Dahirel, Gaudu & Ansart, 2021), as well as other

morphological differences (e.g. shell strength, Rosin *et al.*, 2013), leading to potentially adaptive

phenotypic syndromes.

If susceptibility and response to parasite infection are shaped by host behaviour and physiology,

this means *C. nemoralis* morphs might consistently differ (i) in their vulnerability to parasites

and thus the prevalence and strength of infections, and (ii) in the way parasite infection alters

host behaviour. In this context, we compared natural infection frequencies by metazoan

parasites across two habitats differing in microclimate conditions. We examined whether

infections varied with morph and potentially morph-dependent behaviours. We additionally

investigated parasite encapsulation across morphs.

Based on the expected link between pigments and immune defence outlined above, we

expected snails with more dark bands hosted fewer parasites. Since darker snails are less mobile

(Dahirel *et al.*, 2021), that expectation is also in line with some predictions from the pace-of-life

syndrome hypothesis, namely that fast active individuals have lower immune responses (Réale

et al., 2010; but see Royauté *et al.*, 2018). We also hypothesized that metazoan parasites would

have notable negative effects on snail performance (McElroy & de Buron, 2014), leading to

slower behaviours and changed food intake in more infected snails (both at the morph level and

within morphs). We expected these effects on behaviour to be stronger in morph(s) more

vulnerable to parasite infection.

Methods

Snail collection and maintenance

We collected snails in early May 2019 (i.e. at most ≈ 1.5 month after hibernation) in and near

the village of Arçais, France. We sampled two previously studied populations, separated by ≈

2km but differing in abiotic conditions (Dahirel *et al.*, 2021):

• an "open habitat" population (≈ 46°17'50"N, 0°41'30" W), located in a garden with little

to no tree and thus exposed to the sun throughout the year. This garden is on relatively

calcite-rich substrate (marl, Dupuis *et al.*, 1983; BRGM, 2005).

• a "shaded habitat" population (≈ 46°18'01" N, 0°42'56" W), located in a small humid

woodlot which is not directly exposed to the sun during snail activity months, and

experiences occasional and partial winter flooding. The substrate is poorer in calcite

(Dupuis *et al.*, 1983; BRGM, 2005). Five-banded snails are more frequent in this site, in

agreement with hypotheses on shell colour and thermal selection in *C. nemoralis*

(Dahirel *et al.*, 2021).

We sampled 90 adult individuals per population, divided equally in three morphs: unbanded,

three-banded, and five-banded snails. Adults were recognizable by the presence of a reflected

"lip" around the shell opening. As in Dahirel et al. (2021), we only sampled snails with yellow

background shells, leaving aside the rarer brown and pink-shelled morphs. Sampling was

random with respect to other shell features (e.g. band fusion).

We kept snails under standard conditions (20 ± 1°C, L:D 16:8) in 30 × 45 × 8 cm^3 plastic crates

lined with 1-2 cm of soil kept humid and regularly renewed, with a lid made of a fine plastic

mesh for aeration. Snails were grouped by morph × population of origin. Food (vegetal flour

supplemented with calcium, Hélinove, Saint Paul en Pareds, France) was available *ad libitum* in

Petri dishes. Four days before the experiments, we marked snails by writing a unique identifier

on the side of their shell (using Posca pens, uni Mitsubishi Pencil, Tokyo, Japan). At the same

time, we measured snail size (greater shell diameter) to the nearest 0.1 mm.

Movement behaviour and food intake

Behavioural experiments began two weeks after snail sampling. We tested each snail twice for

each trait, to separate repeatable among-individual variation from within-individual variability.

To ensure a manageable workload, we split the 180 snails in three groups of 60, each starting

the experimental sequence on a different day, with each group containing snails from all band

number × population combinations in equal proportions.

We first tested snails for movement behaviour. We stimulated snail activity by placing them in a

Petri dish containing a small amount (≈ 1 mm) of water until they were out of their shell. We

then placed each snail individually on a clean smooth lab bench, and used the time snails took

to move more than 10 cm in a straight line from their starting point as a measure of movement

(longer times implying slower individuals). Importantly, we only counted time after snails moved

more than 2 cm (i.e. about 1 shell length) from their starting point, to ensure all observed snails

were truly active. Some snails stayed inactive and the relevant observations were thus excluded

(11 individuals missed one of the two tests, 6 individuals missed both). We stopped observation

20 min after placing snails on the lab bench (not after the start of activity).

Movement tests were immediately followed by food intake tests. We kept all snails individually

in $10 \times 7 \times 6$ cm^3 boxes for 18 hours (including the 8 hours of darkness) and provided them with

1.5 g of dry food (a non-limiting quantity, large enough to feed one snail for at least three days,

based on preliminary tests both in *C. nemoralis* and the larger *Cornu aspersum*). Afterwards, we

recovered, dried (60°C for 60 hours) and weighed the remaining food (to the nearest 0.1 mg),

and used the proportion of food consumed out of the initial 1.5 g as our measure of food intake.

Snails were then placed back in their original box. The second test for each behaviour was done

one week later.

161 Parasitological research

After snail freezing at -20°C and thawing, we dissected each individual under a binocular

microscope. After removing the body from the shell, we looked for and counted metazoan

parasites in various locations: space between shell and soft body, lung, heart, kidney, body

cavity and digestive gland, as in Gérard et al. (2020). We were able to detect nematodes, larval

trematodes and parasitic mites (Acari), covering the main taxa parasitizing helicid land snails (to

the exception of parasitoid flies; Barker, 2004). Trematodes were identified to the genus level as

in Gérard et al. (2020), and mites using Fain (2004); that level of taxonomic precision could not

be reached for nematodes, which remained unidentified. We additionally observed shells and

shell fragments under brighter light to detect encapsulated parasites (Rae, 2017). By simplicity,

we hereafter use "live" as a shorthand to designate parasites found free within the body or

between the body and the shell during dissection, and thus presumably still living before snails

were sacrificed, by opposition with "trapped" or "encapsulated" parasites found within shells.

Statistical analyses

We analysed our data in a Bayesian framework, using R (version 4.1.2, R Core Team, 2021) and the *brms* R package (Bürkner, 2017) as frontends for the Stan language (Carpenter *et al.*, 2017). We used the *tidybayes, bayesplot, patchwork* packages for data preparation, model evaluation and plotting, as well as the *tidyverse* suite of packages (Gabry *et al.*, 2019; Kay, 2019; Wickham *et al.*, 2019; Pedersen, 2020). One snail (among the initial 180) died during the experiments and was excluded from statistical analyses, but was used for natural history information (see **Results**).

We ran two models, which both included at least effects of population of origin, number of shell bands, as well as population × banding interactions as categorical explanatory variables (hereafter "morph and population effects").

We first used a Gaussian linear model to analyse how snail shell diameter (mean centred and scaled to unit 1 SD), varied with morph and population effects.

We then ran a multivariate generalized mixed/multilevel model to examine how behaviour and parasite infections varied between morph and populations, and whether these traits were correlated at the individual level. Trematodes and nematodes could not be identified to species, so we used the total numbers of trematodes (i.e. metacercariae of the genus *Brachylaima*) and nematodes per host snail in our analyses (as in e.g. Debeffe *et al.*, 2014). Mite infections were too rare (see **Results**) to be analysed statistically. This multivariate model included four submodels:

- live trematode abundance was modelled as a function of morph and population effects, as well as shell size, using a Poisson model. This model included random effects of test group and individual identity. The latter acts as an observation-level random effect and accounts for overdispersion (Harrison, 2014); in addition it allows us to build an individual-level correlation matrix connecting all four traits.
- live nematode abundance was modeled as trematode abundance, with one change. As no live nematodes were found in the shaded population, we only fit this submodel to

data from the open population and removed the population fixed effect. Keeping all
data instead does not change our conclusions (see associated code, **Data availability**).

• food consumption (mean-centred and scaled to unit 1SD) was analysed using a Gaussian
model including the same fixed and random effects as trematode abundance. Although
food consumption data are proportions (of total food provided), and so are well-suited
to Beta models (Douma & Weedon, 2019), the Gaussian model behaved much better
regarding posterior predictive checks (**Data availability**). As individuals were measured
twice, the individual random effect here allows separation between within- and among
individual variation.

• movement behaviour (latency to move more than 10 cm from the starting point) was
inverse-transformed, mean-centred and scaled to unit 1SD, and then analysed using a
Gaussian model including the same fixed and random effects as above. We initially
attempted to analyse untransformed latencies using a lognormal or Gamma model, but
these models again failed to accurately represent the data in posterior predictive checks
(see **Data availability**).

In both models we used weakly informative priors (McElreath, 2020): $\text{Normal}(0,1)$ priors for
fixed effects, $\text{half} - \text{Normal}(0,1)$ priors for standard deviations (random effect and residual),
and a $\text{LKJ}(2)$ prior for the correlation matrix in the multivariate model. See **Supporting**
Information S1 for detailed model descriptions. We ran four chains per model for 4000
iterations each, with the first half of each chain used as warmup. We checked convergence and
mixing graphically and using the updated $\hat{R}$ statistic (Vehtari *et al.*, 2021). All parameters had
satisfactory effective sample sizes (Vehtari *et al.*, 2021). Posteriors are summarised in text and
figures as means [95% Highest Posterior Density Intervals].

We also looked at the proportion of snails with at least one encapsulated nematode in their
shell as a function of shell morph and population. Here we did not run statistical models, as we
simply wanted to know about past parasite exposure in both populations. We specifically
refrained from analysing statistically the prevalence or abundance of encapsulated nematodes
per individual, as it is likely linked to cumulative exposure time/age, and we have no

information about snail age and age-dependent or morph-dependent survival in both
populations.

Results

Snail size

Snails from the shaded population were on average smaller than their counterparts from the
open habitat if they were three-banded ($\hphantom{XXXXX}$ = -0.70 mm [-1.38; -0.04], **Fig. 1**) or five-
banded ($\hphantom{XXXXX}$ = -1.37mm [-2.07; -0.71], **Fig. 1**); unbanded snails were however of
similar size in both habitats ($\hphantom{XXXXX}$ = -0.39 mm [-1.03; 0.33], **Fig. 1**).

Figure 1. Snail shell diameter as a function of band number and population of origin. Grey dots are
observed values, displayed along the posterior distributions of the average sizes (black dots and
segments are the means and 95% CI of the posteriors).

Parasitological information

Snails from both populations and all morphs were infected by metazoan parasites (**Figs. 2-3**, see
Supporting Information S2 for detailed descriptive statistics about parasite prevalence and
infection intensity). All trematodes found were live *Brachylaima* sp. metacercariae in snails'

kidneys; no live sporocysts were recorded and no trematodes of any stage were found trapped

in shells. We previously documented *Brachylaima* trematodes infecting another snail species in

the same area (Gérard *et al.*, 2020). We detected unidentified nematodes both live in the lung

and general cavity of snails and encapsulated in shells. Although no live nematodes were found

in individuals from the shaded population (**Fig.3**), a high number of these snails still contained

encapsulated nematodes in their shells, independently of morph (**Figs 2, 3**), indicating past

exposure to nematodes. Only one snail (unbanded from the shaded habitat) harboured live

mites (*N* = 93 mites), identified as *Riccardoella* sp. Three other snails (all five-banded from the

shaded habitat) had mites trapped in their shell (*N* = 1, 2 and 15 mites, **Fig. 2**). As mentioned in

Methods, one snail among 180 died during the experiments; this individual harboured 102 live

nematodes, i.e. more than 4 times the number of the most infected surviving snail (**Fig. 3**).

Figure 2. Examples of encapsulated parasites in *Cepaea nemoralis* shells. (A) mite; (B) nematode. Original

images, including other images of encapsulated parasites, are made available with the rest of the data

(see **Data availability**).

Figure 3. Parasite-related variables as a function of morph and population of origin (*N* = 179, one dead snail was excluded). (A) Proportion of snails having at least one nematode trapped in their shells; (B) Live nematode abundance; (C) Live *Brachylaima* sp. trematode abundance (metacercariae). For (B) and (C): grey dots are observed values, displayed along the posterior distributions of the average abundances

In the open population where live nematodes were found, nematode abundance was morph-

dependent: five-banded snails were on average infected by about a third of the nematodes

infecting other snails ($N_{[5\text{bands}]}/N_{[0\text{bands}]}$ = 0.29 [0.11; 0.52], $N_{[5\text{bands}]}/N_{[3\text{bands}]}$ = 0.34 [0.12;

0.62]; **Table 1**, **Fig. 3**). Five-banded snails also contained fewer trematodes, but only when

compared to three-banded snails and only clearly in the shaded habitat ($N_{[5\text{bands}]}/N_{[3\text{bands}]}$ =

0.19 [0.00; 0.57]; **Fig. 3**, **Supporting Information S3**). Parasite abundance (trematode or

nematode) was not linked to shell size (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Summary of posterior model coefficients for the parasite and behaviour multivariate model.

Individual-level correlations between traits are presented in **Table 2**. See **Methods** for model details, and

Supporting Information S3 for pairwise comparisons among morph × population groups.

	Nematode abundance*	Trematode abundance	Movement	Food intake
Fixed effects				
Intercept$_{[0\ bands]}$	0.84 [0.09; 1.52]	-0.94 [-2.44; 0.48]	-0.26 [-0.66; 0.16]	-0.22 [-0.61; 0.19]
Intercept$_{[3\ bands]}$	0.67 [-0.08; 1.38]	-0.10 [-1.54; 1.33]	0.16 [-0.24; 0.56]	-0.09 [-0.50; 0.31]
Intercept$_{[5\ bands]}$	-0.47 [-1.31; 0.32]	-1.26 [-2.72; 0.23]	-0.09 [-0.50; 0.31]	-0.04 [-0.45; 0.37]
Population = "shaded"$_{[0\ bands]}$	-–	-0.02 [-1.42; 1.39]	0.71 [0.31; 1.08]	0.42 [0.04; 0.83]
Population = "shaded"$_{[3\ bands]}$	-–	0.06 [-1.31; 1.34]	-0.23 [-0.61; 0.13]	0.22 [-0.18; 0.63]
Population = "shaded"$_{[5\ bands]}$	-–	-0.88 [-2.44; 0.66]	-0.08 [-0.47; 0.32]	0.13 [-0.26; 0.55]
Shell size (standardised)	-0.19 [-0.53; 0.13]	0.06 [-0.57; 0.72]	0.09 [-0.03; 0.21]	0.23 [0.10; 0.35]
Random effects SDs				
Test group SD	0.53 [0.00; 1.23]	1.95 [0.94; 3.19]	0.23 [0.00; 0.70]	0.21 [0.00; 0.67]
Individual-level SD	1.14 [0.85; 1.46]	2.57 [1.99; 3.21]	0.32 [0.04; 0.54]	0.56 [0.43; 0.70]
Residual SD	-–	-–	0.92 [0.82; 1.02]	0.8 [0.72; 0.88]

* The nematode model is only estimated for the "sun-exposed" population, since no live nematodes

were recorded in the "shaded"population

Snail behaviour

Both movement and food intake were repeatable at the individual level (among-individual

variance components > 0; **Table 1**), although repeatability was weaker for movement

(**Supporting Information S4**).

Movement depended on both population of origin and shell band number (**Table 1**, **Fig. 4**,

Supporting Information S3). In the shaded population, five-banded snails were on average less

mobile than unbanded snails ($\Delta_{[5bands-0bands]}$ = -0.61 SDs [-1.00; -0.21]). In snails from the

open habitat however, there was no such difference between morphs ($\Delta_{[5bands-0bands]}$ = 0.17

SDs [-0.19; 0.59]). More precisely, this was due to unbanded snails being less mobile when

coming from the open habitat than when coming from the shaded population ($\Delta_{[\text{shaded}-\text{open}]}$ =

0.71 SDs [0.31; 1.08]), while there was no clear between-population difference for five-banded

snails ($\Delta_{[\text{shaded}-\text{open}]}$ = -0.08 SDs [-0.47; 0.32])(**Table 1**, **Fig. 4**). In any case, there was no

detectable link between movement and shell size (**Table 1**).

Larger snails tended to eat more (**Table 1**). Source population had no effect on food intake if

snails were three- ($\Delta_{[\text{shaded}-\text{open}]}$= 0.03 g [-0.02; 0.08], **Fig. 4**) or five-banded ($\Delta_{[\text{shaded}-\text{open}]}$=

0.02 g [-0.03; 0.07], **Fig. 4**), but similarly to movement, unbanded snails coming from the shaded

population ate more on average than their counterparts from the open habitat ($\Delta_{[\text{shaded}-\text{open}]}$=

0.05 g [0.00; 0.10], **Fig. 4**).

Figure 4. Behaviours as a function of morph and population of origin. (A) Movement metric (1/latency to move beyond 10 cm, centered and scaled to unit 1SD); (B) Food intake. Grey dots are observed values, displayed along the posterior distributions of the average abundances based on the model described in Table 1 (black dots and segments are the means and 95% CI of the posteriors). Observed values from the same individual are connected by a line. Posterior predictions are based on fixed effects only, and the effects of shell size are averaged out. See Supporting Information S3 for pairwise comparisons.

Looking at the individual-level correlation matrix between traits (i.e. once fixed effects of shell
morph, size and population of origin are accounted for), food intake and nematode abundance
are negatively correlated, while all other correlations (including between nematode and
trematode abundance) are not markedly different from zero (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Individual-level correlations between parasite abundances and behavioural traits. N = 179
individuals for trematode abundance and food intake, N = 173 for movement and N = 89 for nematode
abundance.

	Nematode abundance	Trematode abundance	Movement
Trematode abundance	0.01 [-0.35; 0.37]	---	---
Movement	-0.24 [-0.73; 0.25]	-0.17 [-0.68; 0.28]	---
Food intake	-0.60 [-0.87; -0.30]	-0.12 [-0.46; 0.19]	0.24 [-0.23; 0.71]

Discussion

To understand how variation in colour morphs is linked to variation in immunity, we studied
behaviour (movement and food intake) and natural parasite infection across two populations of
the land snail *Cepaea nemoralis*. We found evidence of morph-dependent responses to
parasites, as well as links between parasite infection and behaviour. We additionally present
what is, to our knowledge, the first report in the literature of land snails encapsulating parasitic
arthropods in their shell.

Snails of the darker morphs were smaller in the shaded population (**Fig. 1**). While the two
habitats differ along various abiotic dimensions (calcium availability in substrate,
microclimate..., see **Methods**), their documented effect on adult shell size are highly species-
and study-dependent, and there is no consistent evidence for or against their role in driving our
observed body size differences (Goodfriend, 1986). Alternately, differences in parasite infection
between the two habitats might also influence body size, as infection before maturity may stunt
growth (Gérard & Théron, 1997), and high parasite risk may drive resource allocation away from
growth and towards immune defence, if there is a trade-off between the two (e.g. Rantala &

Roff, 2005). Here in any case, our models conditioned on size, meaning that any effect (or

absence of effect) of morph/population we discuss below should be assumed to be "size being

equal". In addition, we did not find any effect of size itself on parasite abundance or movement

(**Table 1**), meaning that the effects of morph/population we found are likely not mediated by

size differences.

Although only one snail was infected by live *Riccardoella* mites, we found encapsulated mites in

three others (**Fig. 2**). Many land snails are able to defend against infection by parasitic

nematodes by trapping them in their shell (Rae, 2017), including *Cepaea nemoralis* (this study,

Williams & Rae, 2016; Rae, 2017). Rae (2017) affirmed that encapsulation in the shell is

specifically a response against nematode infection, drawing on the absence of other parasites

across their large sample of shells; our results might contradict this affirmation. *Riccardoella*

infection is highly variable across populations or species, with reported prevalences of 0% or

near 0% being frequently observed (this study; Russo & Madec, 2013; Baur & Baur 2005; Segade

et al. 2013). Combined with the fact that encapsulation in the shell clearly has a success

probability <1 (in our data, ≈ 22% of snails infected by nematodes at the time of the experiment

showed no traces of nematode encapsulations; **Data availability**), this may explain why

encapsulated mites were not previously found despite extensive surveys. We however need

further studies to determine with certainty whether shell encapsulation is a more general

response to metazoan parasites or if it is a nematode-specific response, as posited by Rae

(2017), but occasionally and anecdotally catches other parasites.

We found no live nematodes in any snail from the shaded population (**Fig. 3**; note that our

methods were not designed to detect species such as *Alloionema appendiculatum*, which are

embedded in foot tissue; Morand, Wilson & Glen, 2004). Thanks to nematodes trapped in shells

(**Fig. 3**), which integrate infections over time, we know that this was not because parasitic

nematodes are absent from the shaded population; they were simply not infecting snails at the

time of sampling. The "shaded" woodlot habitat is sheltered from the sun, more humid and

likely colder than the open one. Although it can be highly variable in time and space (Sweeny *et*

al., 2021), infections are often dependent on abiotic parameters, including temperature and

humidity, leading to seasonality (Altizer *et al.*, 2006). Differences in nematode infection

between the habitats may then be explained, at least partly, by differences in microclimate. In
particular, conditions favourable to nematode activity, development or infection may be
reached only later in the year in the shaded habitat. For instance, in a field trial, *Deroceras*
reticulatum slugs were more negatively impacted by *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* infection
when conditions were artificially warmed during winter (El-Danasoury & Iglesias-Piñeiro, 2017),
indicating that warmer conditions may favour nematode activity. In any case, these results
mean that any between-population differences in behaviour in the present study need to be
interpreted not only in relation with microhabitat and microclimate differences, but also in
terms of average infection levels.

In the open population where active nematodes were actually found, morph differences in
infection seem to validate our initial hypothesis of increased immune defence in darker snails
(**Fig. 3**). By contrast, no significant morph difference in immune defence was found in the closely
related *Cepaea hortensis* (Scheil *et al.*, 2013, 2014), but their endpoints were different
(phenoloxidase activity in 2013 and mortality in 2014). In addition, our behavioural results
(discussed below) are also consistent with the hypothesis of morph-dependent immunity. These
results are interesting in the light of recent evidence that melanins may not be the pigments
forming the dark bands in *C. nemoralis* (Affenzeller *et al.*, 2020). Indeed, our initial hypothesis
when designing this experiment (in 2019) was based on the oft-seen association between
immunity and melanin (San-Jose & Roulin, 2018), and on the role of melanin in nematode
defence in e.g. *Lissachatina fulica* (Coaglio *et al.*, 2018), although other pigments may also have
links to immunity (Tan *et al.*, 2020). While it is still likely that melanin-associated pathways play
a role in immune defence in *Cepaea* (Scheil *et al.*, 2014), the actual mechanism is probably not
as simple as "banded snails constitutively produce more melanin, and thus are better
protected". More studies are needed to understand the mechanism linking shell morph to
nematode resistance.

By contrast, we found no evidence that morph or population had any influence on the
abundance of trematodes (**Fig. 3**). These results are consistent with another study analyzing
both *C. nemoralis* and *C. hortensis* (Żbikowska *et al.*, 2020). We must acknowledge however that
snail infection by trematodes is highly seasonal: infections by *Brachylaima* seem to be at their

highest in fall (Gracenea & Gállego, 2017), while we sampled during spring. Longitudinal studies,
possibly combined with experimental infections or cures, may help confirm whether morphs
respond differently or not to trematode risk.

Nematode and trematode numbers were uncorrelated (**Table 2**). Terrestrial gastropods are
commonly infected by both nematodes and trematodes at the same time (e.g. Segade *et al.*,
2013; Antzée-Hyllseth *et al.*, 2020). Our results extend these previous studies, and suggest that
despite sharing a host, nematode and trematode niches are sufficiently distinct within snails to
limit spatial and trophic competition. We reiterate however that studies more expansive in
space and time, including seasons and/or populations with higher prevalence and abundance of
trematodes and nematodes, are needed to confirm this. Combined with finer-grained studies
(e.g. at the parasite species level; Morand *et al.*, 2004), this would allow us to understand better
how parasites with similar or different niches within snails interact.

We found that movement behaviour in *C. nemoralis* was morph-dependent, but that this
dependency varied between populations: five-banded morphs were less mobile, but contrary to
Dahirel et al. (2021), this was only the case in the shaded population (**Fig. 4**). In the open
population, snail morphs are mobile at similarly low levels: five-banded snails do not change
behaviour between the two populations, while unbanded snails go down to five-banded snail
levels of mobility (**Fig. 4**). One of the main differences between the present study and Dahirel et
al. (2021) is the collection date. While here snails were collected several weeks after the onset
of spring activity and kept active throughout, in Dahirel et al. (2021) they were collected in late
fall and stored at cold temperatures inducing dormancy for months before being tested. This
difference may be especially relevant: winter dormancy leads to sharp decreases in ciliate and
nematode infection in farmed *Cornu aspersum* snails (Segade *et al.*, 2013; but see Morelli *et al.*,
2020 for a species-specific possible counter-example), or mite infection in *Arianta arbustorum*
(Haeussler *et al.*, 2012). Aestivation also slows down parasite development in two smaller
species of land snails (*Theba pisana* and *Trochoidea seetzenii*), and reduces parasite numbers in
the latter (Solomon, Paperna & Matkovics, 1996). We can thus reconcile the behavioral results
from Dahirel et al. (2021) from those of the present study if we assume that in the former
experiment, hibernation reduced parasite load across all morphs and populations, and that in

the present study, lower temperatures in the shaded habitat led to longer hibernation. We can

then interpret population differences in the present study in the light of their different infection

patterns. Five-banded snails' behaviour is almost unchanged between the two populations,

while unbanded snails from the "infected" population are slower than in the nematode-free

population (**Fig. 4**). This is again consistent with the idea that unbanded snails are more

vulnerable to parasites than banded ones.

Food intake was influenced by nematode infection: highly parasitized snails ate less (**Table 2**).

Feeding reduction in nematode-infected individuals has been documented in other terrestrial

mollusc species (Antzée-Hyllseth *et al.*, 2020; Saeedizadeh & Niasti, 2020) and is an indication

that parasite infection is indeed costly for snails even if they are non-lethal, at least in adults

(Wilson *et al.*, 2000; Williams & Rae, 2016; Grannell, Cutler & Rae, 2021). By contrast,

trematode infection had no effect on behaviour, including feeding behaviour (**Table 2**). We

however only found snails infected by *Brachylaima* metacercariae (in the kidney). At the

sporocyst stage, *Brachylaima* parasitizes the digestive gland (e.g. Gérard *et al.*, 2020), which

would likely have a stronger effect on snail behaviour. Indeed, sporocysts can cause high

damage, direct and indirect, to that key organ for digestion and for energy storage (Segade *et*

al., 2011; Żbikowska *et al.*, 2020).

The fact that snail response to parasites seems to be morph-dependent for nematodes, but not

for trematodes, has interesting implications for host-parasite and snail-predator interactions in

a changing world. Trematodes infecting helicid land snails are trophically transmitted to

vertebrate definitive hosts including rodents and birds (Gérard *et al.*, 2020; Sitko & Heneberg,

2020). Visual selection by thrushes (*Turdus philomelos*) has been advanced as one of the key

explanations for the maintenance of *Cepaea* shell polymorphism (Jones *et al.*, 1977), and

predation by rodents may also be morph dependent (Rosin *et al.*, 2011; Rosin *et al.*, 2013). If

confirmed, our results suggest that morph-dependent predation confers no additional

trematode-avoiding advantage. In addition, snail morphs differ in their thermal responses:

lighter-coloured morphs are better adapted to heat, and increase in frequency with global

warming or urbanization (Jones *et al.*, 1977; Silvertown *et al.*, 2011; Ożgo *et al.*, 2017; Kerstes *et*

al., 2019). If lighter morphs are more vulnerable to infection by nematodes, then we need to

understand how snail immunity will interact with thermal tolerances to jointly shape snail and
nematode dynamics (El-Danasoury & Iglesias-Piñeiro, 2017; Aleuy & Kutz, 2020), especially
given potential risks to public and veterinary health (Meerburg *et al.*, 2009; Giannelli *et al.*,
2016).

Acknowledgements

453 We thank participants to the 4th French Conference on Animal Ecophysiology (CEPA4, Rennes,
454 2019) for interesting discussions and questions. Funding for MD's position during this research
455 was obtained by Elodie Vercken (see Funding).

Data availability

457 Data and R scripts to reproduce all analyses presented in this manuscript are available on Github
458 (https://github.com/mdahirel/cepaea-parasite-behaviour-2019) and archived in Zenodo (DOI:
459 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6327265). Representative images of parasites trapped in shells
460 are also archived in Zenodo (DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6326305).

Funding

462 MD was a postdoctoral researcher funded by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche
463 (PushToiDeLa, ANR-18-CE32-0008), during the course of this research.

References

Affenzeller, S., Wolkenstein, K., Frauendorf, H. & Jackson, D.J. (2020). Challenging the concept
that eumelanin is the polymorphic brown banded pigment in *Cepaea nemoralis*. *Sci. Rep.* **10**,
2442.

Aleuy, O.A. & Kutz, S. (2020). Adaptations, life-history traits and ecological mechanisms of
parasites to survive extremes and environmental unpredictability in the face of climate change.
Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. **12**, 308–317.

Altizer, S., Dobson, A., Hosseini, P., Hudson, P., Pascual, M. & Rohani, P. (2006). Seasonality and
the dynamics of infectious diseases. *Ecol. Lett.* **9**, 467–484.

Antzée-Hyllseth, H., Trandem, N., Torp, T. & Haukeland, S. (2020). Prevalence and parasite load
of nematodes and trematodes in an invasive slug and its susceptibility to a slug parasitic
nematode compared to native gastropods. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **173**, 107372.

Barker, G.M. (Ed.). (2004). *Natural enemies of terrestrial molluscs*. Wallingford, UK: CABI.

Baur, A. & Baur, B. (2005). Interpopulation variation in the prevalence and intensity of parasitic
mite infection in the land snail *Arianta arbustorum*. *Invertebr. Biol.* **124**, 194–201.

Bell, A.M., Hankison, S.J. & Laskowski, K.L. (2009). The repeatability of behaviour: a meta-
analysis. *Anim. Behav.* **77**, 771–783.

Binning, S.A., Shaw, A.K. & Roche, D.G. (2017). Parasites and host performance: incorporating
infection into our understanding of animal movement. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **57**, 267–280.

BRGM. (2005). Geological map at 1:50 000 (BD-CHARM-50), department: Deux-Sèvres (79,
France).

Bürkner, P.-C. (2017). brms: an R package for Bayesian multilevel models using Stan. *J. Stat.*
Softw. **80**, 1–28.

Butcher, A.R. (2016). Children, snails and worms: the *Brachylaima cribbi* story. *Microbiol. Aust.*
37, 30–33.

Cain, A.J. & Sheppard, P.M. (1954). Natural selection in *Cepaea*. *Genetics* **39**, 89–116.

Carpenter, B., Gelman, A., Hoffman, M.D., Lee, D., Goodrich, B., Betancourt, M., Brubaker, M.,
Guo, J., Li, P. & Riddell, A. (2017). Stan: a probabilistic programming language. *J. Stat. Softw.* **76**,
1–32.

Coaglio, A.L., Ferreira, M.A.N.D., dos Santos Lima, W. & de Jesus Pereira, C.A. (2018).
Identification of a phenoloxidase- and melanin-dependent defence mechanism in *Achatina*
fulica infected with *Angiostrongylus vasorum*. *Parasites Vectors* **11**, 113.

Cornwell, T.O., McCarthy, I.D. & Biro, P.A. (2020). Integration of physiology, behaviour and life
history traits: personality and pace of life in a marine gastropod. *Anim. Behav.* **163**, 155–162.

Dahirel, M., Gaudu, V. & Ansart, A. (2021). Boldness and exploration vary between shell morphs
but not environmental contexts in the snail *Cepaea nemoralis*. *Ethology* 13.

Dall, S.R.X., Houston, A.I. & McNamara, J.M. (2004). The behavioural ecology of personality:
consistent individual differences from an adaptive perspective. *Ecol. Lett.* **7**, 734–739.

Davies, M.S. & Knowles, A.J. (2001). Effects of trematode parasitism on the behaviour and
ecology of a common marine snail (*Littorina littorea* (L.)). *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **260**, 155–167.

Debeffe, L., Morellet, N., Verheyden-Tixier, H., Hoste, H., Gaillard, J.-M., Cargnelutti, B., Picot,
D., Sevila, J. & Hewison, A.J.M. (2014). Parasite abundance contributes to condition-dependent
dispersal in a wild population of large herbivore. *Oikos* **123**, 1121–1125.

Douma, J.C. & Weedon, J.T. (2019). Analysing continuous proportions in ecology and evolution:
a practical introduction to beta and Dirichlet regression. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **10**, 1412–1430.

Dupuis, J., Cariou, E., Coirier, B. & Ducloux, J. (1983). *Notice explicative, Carte géologique de la*
France à 1/50 000, feuille Niort (610). Orléans, France: BRGM.

El-Danasoury, H. & Iglesias-Piñeiro, J. (2017). Performance of the slug parasitic nematode
Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita under predicted conditions of winter warming. *J. Pestic.*
Science **42**, 62–66.

Fain, A. (2004). Mites (Acari) parasitic and predaceous on terrestrial gastropods. In *Natural*
enemies of terrestrial molluscs: 505–524. Barker, G.M. (Ed.). Wallingford, UK: CABI.

Furuta, E. & Yamaguchi, K. (2001). Haemolymph: blood cell morphology and function. In *The*
biology of terrestrial molluscs: 289–306. Barker, G.M. (Ed.). Wallingford, UK: CABI.

Gabry, J., Simpson, D., Vehtari, A., Betancourt, M. & Gelman, A. (2019). Visualization in Bayesian
workflow. *J. Royal Stat. Soc. A* **182**, 389–402.

Gérard, C., Ansart, A., Decanter, N., Martin, M.-C. & Dahirel, M. (2020). *Brachylaima* spp.
(Trematoda) parasitizing *Cornu aspersum* (Gastropoda) in France with potential risk of human
consumption. *Parasite* **27**, 15.

Gérard, C. & Théron, A. (1997). Age/size- and time-specific effects of *Schistosoma mansoni* on
energy allocation patterns of its snail host *Biomphalaria glabrata*. *Oecologia* **112**, 447–452.

Giannelli, A., Cantacessi, C., Colella, V., Dantas-Torres, F. & Otranto, D. (2016). Gastropod-borne
helminths: a look at the snail–parasite interplay. *Trends Parasitol.* **32**, 255–264.

González-Santoyo, I. & Córdoba-Aguilar, A. (2012). Phenoloxidase: a key component of the
insect immune system. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **142**, 1–16.

Goodfriend, G.A. (1986). Variation in land-snail shell form and size and its causes: a review. *Syst.*
Zool. **35**, 204–223.

Gracenea, M. & Gállego, L. (2017). Brachylaimiasis: *Brachylaima* spp. (Digenea: Brachylaimidae)
metacercariae parasitizing the edible snail *Cornu aspersum* (Helicidae) in Spanish public
marketplaces and health-associated risk factors. *J. Parasitol.* **103**, 440–450.

Grannell, A., Cutler, J. & Rae, R. (2021). Size-susceptibility of *Cornu aspersum* exposed to the
malacopathogenic nematodes *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* and *P. californica*. *Biocontrol Sci.*
Technol. **31**, 1149–1160.

Haeussler, E.M., Pizá, J., Schmera, D. & Baur, B. (2012). Intensity of parasitic mite infection
decreases with hibernation duration of the host snail. *Parasitology* **139**, 1038–1044.

Harrison, X.A. (2014). Using observation-level random effects to model overdispersion in count
data in ecology and evolution. *PeerJ* **2**, e616.

Holtmann, B., Lagisz, M. & Nakagawa, S. (2017). Metabolic rates, and not hormone levels, are a
likely mediator of between-individual differences in behaviour: a meta-analysis. *Funct. Ecol.* **31**,
685–696.

Jones, J.S., Leith, B.H. & Rawlings, P. (1977). Polymorphism in *Cepaea*: a problem with too many

solutions? *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **8**, 109–143.

Kavaliers, M. (1992). Opioid systems, behavioral thermoregulation and shell polymorphism in

the land snail, *Cepaea nemoralis*. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **162**, 172–178.

Kay, M. (2019). *tidybayes: tidy data and geoms for Bayesian models.*

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1308151

Kerstes, N.A.G., Breeschoten, T., Kalkman, V.J. & Schilthuizen, M. (2019). Snail shell colour

evolution in urban heat islands detected via citizen science. *Commun. Biol.* **2**, 264.

Köhler, H.-R., Capowiez, Y., Mazzia, C., Eckstein, H., Kaczmarek, N., Bilton, M.C., Burmester,

J.K.Y., Capowiez, L., Chueca, L.J., Favilli, L., Gomila, J.F., Manganelli, G., Mazzuca, S.,

Moreno-Rueda, G., Peschke, K., Piro, A., Cardona, J.Q., Sawallich, L., Staikou, A.E., Thomassen,

H.A. & Triebskorn, R. (2021). Experimental simulation of environmental warming selects against

pigmented morphs of land snails. *Ecol. Evol.* **11**, 1111–1130.

Lamotte, M. (1959). Polymorphism of natural populations of *Cepaea nemoralis*. *Cold Spring*

Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. **24**, 65–86.

McElreath, R. (2020). *Statistical rethinking: a Bayesian course with examples in R and Stan*. 2nd

edition. Boca Raton, USA: Chapman and Hall/CRC.

McElroy, E.J. & de Buron, I. (2014). Host performance as a target of manipulation by parasites: a

meta-analysis. *J. Parasitol.* **100**, 399–410.

Meerburg, B.G., Singleton, G.R. & Kijlstra, A. (2009). Rodent-borne diseases and their risks for

public health. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* **35**, 221–270.

Morand, S., Wilson, M.J. & Glen, D.M. (2004). Nematodes (Nematoda) parasitic in terrestrial

molluscs. In *Natural enemies of terrestrial molluscs*: 525–557. Barker, G.M. (Ed.). Wallingford,

UK: CABI.

Morelli, S., Traversa, D., Colombo, M., Raue, K., Strube, C., Pollmeier, M. & Di Cesare, A. (2020).
The effect of the hibernation on the larval development of *Troglostrongylus brevior* in the land
snail *Cornu aspersum*. *Vet. Parasitol.* **282**, 109123.

Morley, N.J. & Lewis, J.W. (2008). The influence of climatic conditions on long-term changes in
the helminth fauna of terrestrial molluscs and the implications for parasite transmission in
southern England. *J. Helminthol.* **82**, 325–335.

Niemelä, P.T. & Dingemanse, N.J. (2018). Meta-analysis reveals weak associations between
intrinsic state and personality. *Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* **285**, 20172823.

O'Dwyer, K., Kamiya, T. & Poulin, R. (2014). Altered microhabitat use and movement of littorinid
gastropods: the effects of parasites. *Mar. Biol.* **161**, 437–445.

Ożgo, M. (2009). Current problems in the research of *Cepaea* polymorphism. *Folia Malacol.* **16**,
55–60.

Ożgo, M., Liew, T.-S., Webster, N.B. & Schilthuizen, M. (2017). Inferring microevolution from
museum collections and resampling: lessons learned from *Cepaea*. *PeerJ* **5**, e3938.

Pedersen, T.L. (2020). *patchwork: the composer of plots*. https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=patchwork

Pieterse, A., Malan, A.P. & Ross, J.L. (2017). Nematodes that associate with terrestrial molluscs
as definitive hosts, including *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* (Rhabditida: Rhabditidae) and its
development as a biological molluscicide. *J. Helminthol.* **91**, 517–527.

R Core Team. (2021). *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria:
R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Rae, R. (2017). The gastropod shell has been co-opted to kill parasitic nematodes. *Sci. Rep.* **7**,
4745.

Rantala, M.J. & Roff, D.A. (2005). An analysis of trade-offs in immune function, body size and development time in the Mediterranean Field Cricket, *Gryllus bimaculatus*. *Funct. Ecol.* **19**, 323–330.

Réale, D., Garant, D., Humphries, M.M., Bergeron, P., Careau, V. & Montiglio, P.-O. (2010). Personality and the emergence of the pace-of-life syndrome concept at the population level. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **365**, 4051–4063.

Réale, D., Reader, S.M., Sol, D., McDougall, P.T. & Dingemanse, N.J. (2007). Integrating animal temperament within ecology and evolution. *Biol. Rev.* **82**, 291–318.

Rosin, Z.M., Kobak, J., Lesicki, A. & Tryjanowski, P. (2013). Differential shell strength of *Cepaea nemoralis* colour morphs—implications for their anti-predator defence. *Naturwissenschaften* **100**, 843–851.

Rosin, Z.M., Kwieciński, Z., Lesicki, A., Skórka, P., Kobak, J., Szymańska, A., Osiejuk, T.S., Kałuski, T., Jaskulska, M. & Tryjanowski, P. (2018). Shell colour, temperature, (micro)habitat structure and predator pressure affect the behaviour of *Cepaea nemoralis*. *Sci. Nat.* **105**, 35.

Rosin, Z.M., Olborska, P., Surmacki, A. & Tryjanowski, P. (2011). Differences in predatory pressure on terrestrial snails by birds and mammals. *J. Biosci.* **36**, 691–699.

Royauté, R., Berdal, M.A., Garrison, C.R. & Dochtermann, N.A. (2018). Paceless life? A meta-analysis of the pace-of-life syndrome hypothesis. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **72**, 64.

Russo, J. & Madec, L. (2013). Linking immune patterns and life history shows two distinct defense strategies in land snails (Gastropoda, Pulmonata). *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* **86**, 193–204.

Saeedizadeh, A. & Niasti, F. (2020). Response of grey slug to entomopathogenic nematodes. *Bragantia* **79**, 447–456.

San-Jose, L.M. & Roulin, A. (2018). Toward understanding the repeated occurrence of associations between melanin-based coloration and multiple phenotypes. *Am. Nat.* **192**, 111–130.

Scheil, A.E., Hilsmann, S., Triebskorn, R. & Köhler, H.-R. (2013). Shell colour polymorphism,
injuries and immune defense in three helicid snail species, *Cepaea hortensis*, *Theba pisana* and
Cornu aspersum maximum. Results Immunol. **3**, 73–78.

Scheil, A.E., Hilsmann, S., Triebskorn, R. & Köhler, H.-R. (2014). Shell colouration and parasite
tolerance in two helicoid snail species. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **117**, 1–8.

Schilthuizen, M. (2013). Rapid, habitat-related evolution of land snail colour morphs on
reclaimed land. *Heredity* **110**, 247–252.

Schweizer, M., Triebskorn, R. & Köhler, H.-R. (2019). Snails in the sun: strategies of terrestrial
gastropods to cope with hot and dry conditions. *Ecol. Evol.* **9**, 12940–12960.

Segade, P., Crespo, C., García, N., García-Estévez, J.M., Arias, C. & Iglesias, R. (2011).
Brachylaima aspersae n. sp. (Digenea: Brachylaimidae) infecting farmed snails in NW Spain:
Morphology, life cycle, pathology, and implications for heliciculture. *Vet. Parasitol.* **175**, 273–
286.

Segade, P., García-Estévez, J., Arias, C. & Iglesias, R. (2013). Parasitic infections in mixed system-
based heliciculture farms: dynamics and key epidemiological factors. *Parasitology* **140**, 482–497.

Sih, A., Mathot, K.J., Moirón, M., Montiglio, P.-O., Wolf, M. & Dingemanse, N.J. (2015). Animal
personality and state–behaviour feedbacks: a review and guide for empiricists. *Trends Ecol. Evol.*
30, 50–60.

Silvertown, J., Cook, L., Cameron, R., Dodd, M., McConway, K., Worthington, J., Skelton, P.,
Anton, C., Bossdorf, O., Baur, B., Schilthuizen, M., Fontaine, B., Sattmann, H., Bertorelle, G.,
Correia, M., Oliveira, C., Pokryszko, B., Ożgo, M., Stalažs, A., Gill, E., Rammul, Ü., Sólymos, P.,
Féher, Z. & Juan, X. (2011). Citizen science reveals unexpected continental-scale evolutionary
change in a model organism. *PLOS ONE* **6**, e18927.

Sitko, J. & Heneberg, P. (2020). Emerging helminthiases of song thrush (*Turdus philomelos*) in
Central Europe. *Parasitol Res.* **119**, 4123–4134.

Solomon, A., Paperna, I. & Matkovics, A. (1996). The influence of aestivation in land snails on the larval development of *Muellerius* cf. *capillaris* (Metastrongyloidea: Protostrongylidae). *Int. J. Parasitol.* **26**, 363–367.

Surmacki, A., Ożarowska-Nowicka, A. & Rosin, Z.M. (2013). Color polymorphism in a land snail *Cepaea nemoralis* (Pulmonata: Helicidae) as viewed by potential avian predators. *Naturwissenschaften* **100**, 533–540.

Sweeny, A.R., Albery, G.F., Venkatesan, S., Fenton, A. & Pedersen, A.B. (2021). Spatiotemporal variation in drivers of parasitism in a wild wood mouse population. *Funct. Ecol.* **35**, 1277–1287.

Tan, K., Zhang, H., Lim, L.-S., Ma, H., Li, S. & Zheng, H. (2020). Roles of carotenoids in invertebrate immunology. *Front. Immunol.* **10**.

Unlu, A.H. & Ekici, A. (2021). Phenoloxidase is involved in the immune reaction of *Helix lucorum* to parasitic infestation by dicrocoeliid trematode. *Ann. Agric. Environ. Med.* **28**, 426–429.

Vehtari, A., Gelman, A., Simpson, D., Carpenter, B. & Bürkner, P.-C. (2021). Rank-normalization, folding, and localization: an improved $\hat{R}$ for assessing convergence of MCMC (with discussion). *Bayesian Anal.* **16**, 667–718.

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T., Miller, E., Bache, S., Müller, K., Ooms, J., Robinson, D., Seidel, D., Spinu, V., Takahashi, K., Vaughan, D., Wilke, C., Woo, K. & Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. *J. Open Source Softw.* **4**, 1686.

Williams, A. & Rae, R. (2016). *Cepaea nemoralis* (Linnaeus, 1758) uses its shell as a defence mechanism to trap and kill parasitic nematodes. *J. Molluscan Stud.* **82**, 349–350.

Williams, S.T. (2017). Molluscan shell colour. *Biol. Rev.* **92**, 1039–1058.

Wilson, M.J., Hughes, L.A., Hamacher, G.M. & Glen, D.M. (2000). Effects of *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita* on non-target molluscs. *Pest. Manag. Sci.* **56**, 711–716.

Wynne, R., Morris, A. & Rae, R. (2016). Behavioural avoidance by slugs and snails of the parasitic

nematode *Phasmarhabditis hermaphrodita*. *Biocontrol Sci. Technol.* **26**, 1129–1138.

Żbikowska, E., Marszewska, A., Cichy, A., Templin, J., Smorąg, A. & Strzała, T. (2020). *Cepaea*

spp. as a source of *Brachylaima mesostoma* (Digenea: Brachylaimidae) and *Brachylecithum* sp.

(Digenea: Dicrocoeliidae) larvae in Poland. *Parasitol. Res.* **119**, 145–152.

A   B

0.25 mm