Title: Composition and functionality of bacterioplankton communities in marine coastal

zones adjacent to finfish aquaculture

Author names and affiliations:

Da Silva, R.R.P.[1], White, C.A.[1], Bowman, J.P.[2] & Ross, D.J.[1]

1 Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies (IMAS), Nubeena Crescent, Taroona, Tasmania

7053, Australia

2 Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture (TIA), University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania 7001,

Australia

Corresponding author:

E-mail address: ricardo.silva@utas.edu.au (Ricardo R P Silva)

Abstract:

Finfish aquaculture is one of the fastest-growing primary industries globally and is

increasingly common in coastal ecosystems demanding effective impact monitoring tools.

Bacterioplankton is ubiquitous in marine environment and its rapid response to

environmental change makes them a potential bioindicator for environmental impacts. This

study aims to verify the use of the taxonomic and functional profiles of bacterioplankton

using high-throughput amplicon sequencing. Water was sampled along a distance gradient in

three different depths from two lease areas and three control sites in a low-oxygen, highly

stratified marine embayment. Our results revealed a vertical variation in bacterioplankton

community strongly associated to NOx, conductivity, salinity, temperature and PO_4. The

overall shift in the taxonomic profile (ASVs) were more noticeable than in the functional

profile. Despite depth stratification, ASVs and functional annotations were detected as

potential bioindicators for aquaculture activity. Differentially abundant bacteria found in

lease areas were associated with nutrient enrichment (e.g., *Desulfovibrionaceae,*

Psychromonadaceae, and *Fusibacter*) or are known as potential pathogens (e.g.,

Pseudomonas, Vibrio and *Aliivibrio*) and members of the fish gut microbiome (e.g.,

Leptotrichiaceae, *Enterobacterales* and *Pseudomonadales*). Differences in the predicted

functional profile were strongest in the bottom waters near leases, where pathways assigned

to organic compounds and vitamin degradation, fermentation, methanogenesis and antibiotic

resistance were detected. Overall, our findings indicated that the use of 16S rRNA

sequencing of bacterioplankton communities are a promising and alternative method for

detecting variations along an aquaculture gradient in the water column.

1. Introduction

Aquaculture is one of the fastest-growing primary industries worldwide and is increasingly

common in coastal ecosystems. Aquaculture of carnivorous fish species, such as Atlantic

salmon (*Salmo salar*) is feed-additive, with a significant portion of feed flowing to the

environment as metabolic waste products, faeces and waste feed (Navarro et al., 2008;

Burridge et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2015). As industries expand there is increasing concern

regarding potential effects that this waste can cause to surrounding environments. Key to

sustainable aquaculture operations in marine coastal systems is a better understanding around

how pelagic environments assimilate and mitigate additional nutrient loadings.(Belias et al.,

2003; Asami et al., 2005; á Norði et al., 2011; Elizondo-Patrone et al., 2015). There are two

main pathways of enrichment in pelagic environments, being the solid and dissolved waste

streams (Tsukamoto et al., 2008; Amirkolaie, 2011; Wang et al., 2012; Bouwman et al.,

2013). The solid waste stream consists of waste feed and faecal material and is available to pelagic primary consumers as the solid debris settles out of the water column through sedimentation (Macleod et al. 2004). In contrast, metabolic waste products, in particular bioactive inorganic nitrogen compounds such as ammonia and nitrate, are released into the water column as dissolved nutrients and available for assimilation by planktonic organisms (Wang et al. 2012).

Bacterial communities are known to be ubiquitous in the marine environment making up a large fraction of the planktonic ecosystem. Bacterioplankton communities (i.e. bacterial communities of the water column) play an important role in nutrient cycling and biogeochemical processes (Azam et al., 1983; Pomeroy et al., 2007; Fuhrman and Steele, 2008). Bacterioplankton are highly dynamic responding to natural or anthropogenic changes (Newell et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2014; Bowman and Ducklow, 2015; Reji et al., 2020). Previous studies on sediments beneath aquaculture operations have identified changes in the bacterial community due to nutrient enrichment (McCaig et al., 1999; Bissett et al., 2006; Dowle et al., 2015; Rubio-Portillo et al., 2019). However, bacterioplankton community change across enrichment gradients caused by aquaculture activities have yet to be fully defined . Olsen et al. (2017) studying the response of the bacterial community to nutrient input in surface waters adjacent to fish cages in southern Chile found an impact on community structure, however changes in diversity were not observed suggesting stability and functional resilience (Girvan et al., 2005). However, there have been very few other studies examining bacterioplankton and little is known about how the response may vary between different receiving environments (Yoshikawa and Eguchi, 2013; Elizondo-Patrone et al., 2015). Aquatic ecosystems are complex, with cumulative or synergetic effects frequently acting in a multifactorial way. Natural variation of the system and management of

aquaculture waste are key factors to consider when assessing the impact of this sector on the

pelagic environment (Wu, 1995; Buschmann et al., 2006). Therefore, it is important to study

the responses of bacterial community to environmental variations to enhance our ability to

understand changes caused by both natural and anthropogenic environmental changes.

The advancement of molecular-based techniques has greatly advanced the field of microbial

ecology (DeLong et al., 1993; Pace, 1997; Gilbert et al., 2010; Caporaso et al., 2011; Yarza et

al., 2014; Martínez-Porchas and Vargas-Albores, 2017). The availability of genomic data

now allows inference of functional capabilities based on taxon identification and relative

abundances (Langille et al., 2013; Asshauer et al., 2015; Nagpal et al., 2016; Ortiz-Estrada et

al., 2019; Sevigny et al., 2019). Bacterial communities can be a useful bioindicator as they

are present in all marine environments (Ortiz-Estrada et al., 2019; Haro-Moreno et al., 2020;

Harrison et al., 2021). The use of 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing and functional

inference has been used to assess aquaculture impact in various aquatic systems (Keeley et

al., 2018; Martins et al., 2018; Moncada et al., 2019; Ortiz-Estrada et al., 2019; Kolda et al.,

2020; Frühe et al., 2021). However, natural local characteristics such as water column

stratification and natural variation in environmental factors have major effects on the

bacterial community structure and function (Martiny et al., 2006; Labbate et al., 2016; Zorz et

al., 2019; Da Silva et al., 2021). The strong influence of the environment can make

comparisons between studies undertaken in different locations difficult. However, the more

we understand the natural variability across different marine systems, the better placed we are

to understand common responses in bacteria community different perturbations, both natural

and anthropogenic.

Macquarie Harbour is highly stratified fjord-like system with restricted water exchange with

the ocean. The water column is characterized by a brackish tannin-rich water layer on top of

more saline marine waters. The major freshwater source flows from the southern end carrying

high amounts of organic matter with mean monthly flows varying according to the season (<

100 m^3 sec^{-1} in summer and early autumn, and 500 m^3 sec^{-1} late autumn, winter, and spring)

(Carpenter et al., 1991; Teasdale et al., 2003; Hartstein et al., 2019). Marine water enters

through a narrow and shallow inlet in the north, allowing the renewal of the dissolved oxygen

(DO) in the deeper waters. Marine incursions into the harbour are likely driven by forces such

as tide, wind, atmospheric pressure and freshwater inputs (Cresswell et al., 1989; Hartstein et

al., 2019). The harbour has a history of anthropogenic impacts including mining, damming

and aquaculture (King and Tyler, 1982; Crawford et al., 2003; Koehnken, 2005; Kirkpatrick

et al., 2019). Pelagic DO concentrations naturally drop with increasing depth reaching around

4 to 6 mg O_2 L^{-1} at bottom layers. However, a recent report observed a considerable decline,

with levels now 2 to 4 mg O_2 L^{-1} or lower below 25 m in depth (Ross et al., 2021). This

decline is thought to be related to a reduction in the frequency and scalability of DO recharge

along with a high biological oxygen demand due to input of organic matter from fish farms.

Additionally, previous studies have suggested that pelagic microbial oxygen is likely to be an

important determinant of the decline in pelagic oxygen within the Harbour (Revill et al.,

2016; Da Silva et al., 2021; Ross et al., 2021). However, the impact of fish farm inputs on the

bacterioplankton community remain unclear.

For this reason, the main goal of this study is to characterize the bacterioplankton community

in aquaculture influenced zones within Macquarie Harbour. This will provide valuable

insights into the use of these microorganisms as bioindicators of environmental change

related to fish farming activity, especially in a region with restricted water exchange. The

study aims to (i) define the composition and distribution of bacterioplankton community as

well as its predicted functional composition; (ii) assess the influence of aquaculture activity

on microbial community structure and distribution; and (iii) evaluate the use of the taxonomic

and predicted functions as potential bioindicators of localized eutrophication impacts.

2. Material and methods

Location and sample collection

In December of 2019, sampling was conducted at two fish farm leases ("Lease 1" and "Lease

2") and control stations ("Control") in Macquarie Harbour (42°18'13.8"S; 145°22'22.7"E),

Tasmania, Australia (Fig. 1). At each of the leases, samples were collected at sites on

replicate transects radiating from a fully stocked Atlantic salmon cage (*Salmo salar*) fed a

pellet diet using 10 L Niskin bottles (Tables S1 and S2). According to the Environment

Protection Authority of Tasmania, the average maximum permissible stocking density of

finfish held within any fish cage is 15 kg/m^3. Seawater was collected from the surface (0-4

m), middle waters (10 – 15 m) and bottom waters (20 – 37 m) at each site except for the site

inside the cage. Each transect (Lease 1: n = 2; Lease 2: n=3) included 4 distances (inside the

cage + 3 distances) at the surface, and 3 distances at middle and bottom layers. Sampling over

distance was carried out by letting the boat drift along the direction of the current. Three

control stations (>1500 m away from cages) were sampled at the three depths mentioned

above. Genetic material was collected on 0.22 µM polyethersulfone Sterivex^{TM} filter

cartridges (Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) using a multichannel peristaltic pump. Each

sample was stored at -80°C until DNA extraction. Haversine's formula was used to calculate

the distance between sample points by use of latitude and longitude data (Sinnott, 1984).

Additional information regarding finfish farming in the Macquarie Harbour region is

Fig. 1 – Map on the left displays the locations of sites sampled within this study. Green points are control sites
and squares are leases located in the Macquarie Harbour. Sampled leases are the blue (Lease 1) and orange
(Lease 2) ones. The two maps on the right show the sampled leases where the red points are the cages and the
grey points are the samples collected along a distance gradient.
156

Environmental variables

Physiochemical parameters including temperature (°C), dissolved oxygen (DO, mg L^{-1}),

turbidity (NTU) and salinity were measured *in situ* at each site using a YSI 6600 V2 Multi

Parameter Water Quality Sonde with a YSI 650 MDS logger. Nutrient analyses were assayed

using a Bran + Luebbe AA3 HR segmented flow analyzer following standard

spectrophotometric methods (Grasshoff et al., 2009). Detection limits for NO_3^-/NO_2^- were

0.015 μmol/L, for PO_4^{-3} to 0.01 μmol/L, and for NH_4^+ to 0.004 μmol/L.

DNA extraction and sequencing

DNA was extracted using a modified phenol:chloroform:isoamyl based DNA extraction

protocol of the DNeasy PowerWater SterivexTM Kit (MoBio-Qiagen,vHilden, Germany) as

described in Appleyard et al. (2013). Amplicon sequencing targeted the V1 to V3 region of

the bacterial 16S rRNA gene (27F–519R) (Lane et al., 1985; Lane, 1991). Libraries were

separately generated and sequenced for each sample at the Ramaciotti Centre for Genomics

(University of New South Wales, Sydney) using 300 bp paired-end sequencing on the MiSeq

platform (Illumina Inc., USA). Raw sequence reads and metadata are publicly available at the

Sequence Read Archive under the BioProject accession PRJNA803985

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA803985).

Bioinformatic Pipeline

Paired end sequences of the 16S rRNA gene amplicons were analyzed through the DADA2

package pipeline, version 1.20.0 (Callahan et al., 2016) in R 4.1.0 environment (R Core

Team, 2021). Reads were filtered with the following parameters: truncLen = c(290, 225),

truncQ = 2, maxEE = c(2, 2). Merging of the forward and reverse reads was done with the

mergePairs function using the default parameters (minOverlap = 12, maxMismatch = 0).

Chimeras were removed using *removeBimeraDenovo* with default parameters. Taxonomic

classification was performed using the *assignTaxonomy* function using the parameters (tryRC

= FALSE, minBoot = 50) with the SILVA v138 database (Yilmaz et al., 2014) using the

naive Bayesian classifier method described in Wang et al. (2007). Mitochondria-derived

sequences were removed from the original dataset, obtaining 24575 ASVs. ASV abundance

tables, taxonomy and environmental data were imported into the phyloseq R package version

1.36.0 (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). To reduce the data complexity (Cao et al., 2021),

bacterial ASVs were filtered to keep taxa observed at least 2 times in at least 2 samples

resulting in 3260 ASVs for further analyses (2.7 % of total reads removed).

Functional Annotations

Functional composition were predicted using PICRUSt2 version 2.4.1 (Douglas et al., 2020). First, the nearest sequenced taxon index (NSTI) values are obtained as a metric to determine the degree to which ASVs in a sample are related to available reference genomes and environmentally sampled organisms. Then, gene content inference per ASV was performed using hidden-state prediction (HSP) approaches. Before prediction, data was normalized by their 16S rRNA gene copy number abundance. Finally, metagenome inference was used to generate MetaCyc pathway predictions (Caspi et al., 2018). MetaCyc pathways were linked to their respective secondary superclass levels using the tools available at https://metacyc.org.

Data Analysis and Statistics

All data analysis and statistical tests were conducted in R 4.1.0 environment (R Core Team, 2021). Environmental variables were explored using the tidyverse package v.1.3.1 (Wickham et al., 2019). Bacterial and functional communities were centered log-ratio (CLR) transformed to address the negative correlation bias intrinsic to compositional data (Gloor et al., 2017) using CoDaSeq v.0.99.6 (Gloor, 2016) and zCompositions v.1.3.4 (Palarea-Albaladejo and Martín-Fernández, 2015) packages. To visualize differences among samples based on environmental and community (ASVs composition and functional annotations) data, Principal Composition Analysis (PCA) followed by K-means clustering and distance-based Redundancy Analysis (db-RDA) on Aitchison distance (Aitchison, 1986) were performed, respectively. PCA were implemented using tidymodels v.0.1.3 (Kuhn and Wickham, 2020), K-mean clustering and validation methods using factoextra v.1.0.7 (Kassambara and Mundt, 2020), and db-RDA using vegan v.2.5.7 (Oksanen et al., 2018) packages. Environmental variables which could explain variation found on db-RDA ordinations were fitted using both

the *envfit*, and *ordistep* functions, the latter with forward and backward stepwise selections.

Significant correlation between environmental variables and ordination (p-value < 0.01 in

both analyses) were plotted as vectors (arrows) whose direction and length indicate the

environmental variable gradient and the correlation, respectively. Prior to both ordination

methods, autocorrelated environmental variables (i.e., $r > 0.7$, p-value ≤ 0.05) were combined

into one for downstream analyses.

To verify the magnitude of variation along a gradient of environmental changes, a correlation

between bacterial community and functional annotation distance matrices was calculated

using Mantel tests (Spearman rank correlation and 9999 permutations). To evaluate the

difference in community composition between leases and control sites, ASVs and functional

annotations were subjected to two widely used statistical methods: ALDEx2 (Fernandes et

al., 2013) and DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014) packages. The combination of these two methods

aims to overcome the drawbacks found in differential abundance methods, such as low power

and high False Discovery Rate (FDR) (Hawinkel et al., 2019). For ALDEx2, clr-transformed

abundance table was used as the input for the analyses, whereas DESeq2 used variance-

stabilized data to perform the evaluation. ASVs and pathways were considered differentially

abundant if they satisfied the following assumptions: an effect size $> |1.5|$ in ALDEx2 and an

adjusted P value (FDR) < 0.05 and a log2foldchange $> |2|$ in DESeq2 analysis. The package

ggtern v.3.3.5 (Hamilton and Ferry, 2018) was used for data visualization. Then, the

differentially abundant ASVs and pathways were used to model input data (clr-transformed

count table) to verify the relationship with the environmental variables (NH_4, NOX, turbidity

and oxygen).

Random forest regression modelling was implemented through tidymodels v.0.1.3 (Kuhn and

Wickham, 2020) and randomForest v.4.6.14 (Liaw and Wiener, 2002) packages to test the

ability to predict estimates of the variability of the aforementioned environmental variables.

For this process normalized clr-transformed data was split into training and testing sets in a

proportion of ¾. To tune the hyperparameters (mtry and min_n), a k-fold cross validation

scheme (k = 10 with 3 repeats) with 501 trees of the training set was used to estimate the best

model based on root-mean-square error (RMSE) metric. The model was finalized using the

finalize_workflow and *select_best* functions. After that, the model performance was tested in

the training set and the metrics RMSE and R^2 were collected using the *collect_metrics*

function. Variable importance was measured by the residual sum of squares. Model

predictions and variable importance were extracted with the *collect_predictions* function and

vip v.0.3.2 package (Greenwell and Boehmke, 2020), respectively and visualized using

tidyverse package v.1.3.1 (Wickham et al., 2019). Code used for data analyses in this study

can be found in the associated GitHub repository

(https://github.com/ricrocha82/MH_2019_16s).

3. Results

Environmental Variables

The samples from leases and control sites showed a uniform trend in most environmental

variables when distances from cages were considered, however for NH_4, NO_2 and NOx,

concentrations were highly variable at both leases (Fig. 2; Tables S2, S3 and S4). Despite this

variation, NH_4 level was observed to decrease as distance from cage increased at the surface

of Lease 2 (2.84 ± 0.47 μM to 0.1 ± 0.03 μM) and NOx decreased in concentration with

distance in the middle waters of Lease 1 (6.38 ± 1.22 μM to 1.29 ± 0.46 μM). Also, at Lease

1, NO$_2$ showed an increase in concentration as distance increased after ~18 m (0.04 ± 0.05

µM to 0.28 ± 0.04 µM).

Fig. 2 – Environmental variables along a distance gradient in each lease area. Each line in the graph displays environmental variable measurements in each depth. Red dashed line shows the farthest distance from the cage. (i.e., end of cage influenced zone). After this line cage site distances are displayed. Distance 0 m indicates

271 sample from inside the cages (2 cages in Lease 1 and 3 cages in Lease 2). Dissolved nutrients (μmol l^{-1}),
272 conductivity, fluorescence, oxygen (mg/l), temperature (°C), turbidity (NTU)
273

When depth is considered, stratification is clear for all environmental variables at all leases

and control sites (Fig. 3 – A; Tables S1-S4). Despite the variation observed in nutrient

concentrations, NH$_4$ (1.53 $\pm$ 0.85 μM to 0.3 $\pm$ 0.48 μM) and NO$_2$ (0.28 $\pm$ 0.08 μM to 0.14 $\pm$

0.07 μM) decreased with depth whereas NOx (1.29 $\pm$ 0.51 μM to 7.57 $\pm$ 0.63 μM) and PO$_4$

(0.03 $\pm$ 0.02 μM to 0.3 $\pm$ 0.07 μM) displayed the opposite trend. Similarly to NOx and PO$_4$,

conductivity (6914.73 $\pm$ 2781.28 to 36573.31 $\pm$ 548.15), salinity (5 $\pm$ 2.26 to 29.7 $\pm$ 0.5) and

temperature (13.28 $\pm$ 0.41 °C to 14.45 $\pm$ 0.07 °C) were greater at depth, whereas fluorescence

(2.68 $\pm$ 0.2 to 1.26 $\pm$ 0.022 °C), oxygen (8.10 $\pm$ 1.14 mg/l to 0.86 $\pm$ 0.4 mg/l), PAR (0.62 $\pm$ 1

to 0.003 $\pm$ 0.001) and turbidity (2 $\pm$ 0.4 NTU to 0.72 $\pm$ 0.6 NTU) were all lower at depth as

seen for NH$_4$ and NO$_2$ concentrations. NOx was highly correlated to conductivity, salinity,

temperature and PO$_4$, whereas NH$_4$ was correlated to NO$_2$ and oxygen to PAR and

fluorescence (Fig.S2). Therefore, NOx, NH$_4$ and oxygen were used as proxies of their highly

correlated peers.

Fig. 3 – Ordination plots of the samples and vectors (arrows). (**A**) Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of
environmental data. Dashed lines highlight the results of K-mean clustering analyses. (**B-C**) Distance-based
Redundancy Analysis (db-RDA) of the composition differences of bacterial and functional communities. To
avoid multicollinearity, NOx included conductivity, salinity, temperature and PO_4, and NH_4 included NO_2.
Vectors indicate a significant correlation between environmental variables and ordination ($p < 0.01$) using *envfit*
function of vegan package. (**D**) Differences in ASV bacterial and functional compositions. Equal changes are
shown by the red line. Mantel test shows a significant correlation between the two distances matrices (Spearman
rho = 0.6154).
296

Bacterial and Functional Communities Structure

At phylum and class levels, bacterial community at all sites was dominated by *Proteobacteria*

(Control: 58.4 %; Lease 1: 55.3 %; Lease 2: 57.9 %) and *Gammaproteobacteria* (Control:

30.4 %; Lease 1: 30.7 %; Lease 2: 31.7 %), respectively. *Proteobacteria* was followed by

Bacteroidota (Control: 15.6 %; Lease 1: 17 %; Lease 2: 18.1 %) at all sites, *Actinobacteriota*

(5.6 %) at Control sites and *Campylobacterota* (Lease 1: 7.63 %; Lease 2: 5.45 %) at lease

sites. *Gammaproteobacteria* was followed by *Alphaproteobacteria* (Control: 28.1 %; Lease

1: 24.6 %; Lease 2: 21.6 %) and *Bacteroidia* (Control: 15.2 %; Lease 1: 16.7 %; Lease 2:

17.9 %) at all sites. ASVs encompassing the class *Actinobacteria* (Control: 4.3 %; Lease 1:

1.93 %; Lease 2: 1.90 %) were more abundant at control sites whereas *Campylobacteria*

ASVs were more abundant at lease sites (Control: 3.3 %; Lease 1: 7.63 %; Lease 2: 5.45 %)

Fig. S3).

Functional annotations were uniformly distributed among leases and control sites. Amino-

acid biosynthesis was the most abundant pathway (Control: 17 %; Lease 1: 16.8 %; Lease 2:

16.9 %), followed by nucleotide-biosynthesis (Control: 16.2 %; Lease 1: 16.3 %; Lease 2:

16.3 %) and vitamin-biosynthesis (Control: 9.93 %; Lease 1: 10.2 %; Lease 2: 10.1 %) (Fig.

S4). Despite little variation in both communities among sites, depth stratification was evident

when ordination analyses were performed (Fig. 3 - B and C). db-RDA explained 49.47% and

31.18% of the variation in bacterial and functional communities, respectively. The ordination

of bacterial community distribution was significantly correlated to NOx ($r^2 = 0.76$; $p < 0.01$),

NH₄ ($r^2 = 0.49$; p < 0.01) and turbidity ($r^2 = 0.36$; p < 0.01) whereas functional profiles were

significantly related to NOx ($r^2 = 0.74$; p < 0.01) and turbidity ($r^2 = 0.41$; p < 0.01) (Table 1).

The results indicate that environmental parameters and both bacterial and functional

communities are more affected by depth stratification than by aquaculture activity. Moreover,

the correlation between the distance matrices of bacterial ASVs and functional compositions

suggested a significant relationship (rho: 0.62; p < 0.05). In other words, the differences in

the taxonomic profile between samples co-vary with the differences in the functional profile

between samples. However, bacterial community showed a more prominent overall shift than

the associated functional data (Fig. 3 - D).

Table 1 - Result of redundancy analysis (*ordistep* and *envif* functions) and random forest (rf) of bacterial and
functional communities in the Macquarie Harbour. Regarding the db-RDA, we considered significant, if the
environmental variable had a p-value < 0.01 in both analyses. To avoid multicollinearity, NOx included
conductivity, salinity, temperature and PO₄. NH₄ included NO₂.

Community	env variable	p-value (ordistep)	p-value (envfit)	r2 (envfit)	RMSE (rf)	R2 (rf)
Bacteria	NOx[*]	0.005	0.001	0.76	1.14	0.87
	turbidity	0.005	0.001	0.36	0.66	0.44
	NH₄[**]	0.005	0.001	0.49	0.70	0.53
Functional annotation	NOx	0.005	0.001	0.74	1.23	0.82
	turbidity	0.005	0.001	0.41	0.53	0.59

331 *NOx included conductivity, salinity, temperature and PO₄.
332 **NH₄ included NO₂.

Differential Abundance Among Sites by Depth

To detect key members in bacterial and functional communities at lease and control sites,

differential abundance analyses were performed considering the effect of depth stratification

observed in the community structure analyses. Therefore, the analyses were conducted by

executing pairwise comparisons between sites nested by depth (i.e., surface, middle and

bottom water layers). ALDEx2 and DESeq2 found a total of 90 and 167 bacterial ASVs and

82 and 61 functional annotations differentially abundant, respectively. At all depths, ASVs

and functional annotations selected by both methods were more abundant in both lease

influential zones (i.e., relative abundance ≥ 60%) compared to control site. The difference in

bacterial community was pronounced at the bottom and surface layers (Fig. 4). Most of the

enriched ASVs found in Lease 1 area inhabited the bottom layers (Fig. S5 and Table S5). The

taxon with the highest number of differentially abundant ASVs were those belonging to the

genus *Psychromonas* (family *Psychromonadaceae*) followed by *Spirochaeta* 2 (family

Spirochaetaceae), unknown *Arcobacteraceae* (order *Campylobacterales*), unknown

Bacteroidales, *Hypnocyclicus* and an unknown genus (both assigned to the family

Leptotrichiaceae), *Draconibacterium* and three unknown genera related to the order

Bacteroidales, *Sulfurimonas* (family *Sulfurimonadaceae*), *Desulfovibrio* (family

Desulfovibrionaceae), *Pseudomonas* (family *Pseudomonadaceae*), and *Woeseia* (family

Steroidobacterales). In contrast, at the surface of Lease 2, *Aliivibrio* (family: *Vibrionaceae*)

had the highest number of differentially abundant ASVs (Fig. S6 and Table S6). In the

control, taxa related to *Nitrospina* and *Gammaproteobacteria* were more abundant in the

bottom layers (Fig. S7 and Table S7).

Fig. 4 – Ternary plots of per-depth relative abundances of differentially abundant ASVs. Each point represents
an ASV, and its position indicates the proportion of its relative abundance at each site. Points closer to the
ternary plot corners indicate that a greater proportion of the total relative abundance of this ASV was found in
this site. Point colors indicate the Phylum and Class of the ASV. The size of each point indicates the mean
relative abundance of the ASV in all samples. The red lines inside the ternary plot indicate the 60% level of
relative abundance of each of the sites.
364

Regarding functional community, differences were prominent at the Lease 1 influenced zone

(Fig. 5, S8 and S9; Tables S8 and S9). In the bottom layer at Lease 1, the analysis detected

pathways related with organic matter degradation such as fermentation, carbohydrates,

amino-acids, aromatic compounds, and alcohol degradations, as well as those associated with

trace metal and macro-nutrient limitations (secondary metabolites, cofactor, vitamins, and

amino acids biosynthesis), methanogenesis and cell metabolism. Pathways associated with

vitamin degradation and cell metabolism (cell-structure/cell-wall biosynthesis) and those

related to alcohol degradation and vitamin biosynthesis were more abundant at the surface

and middle layers, respectively. At the control site, lipid biosynthesis and secondary

metabolite biosynthesis were differentially expressed at the middle and bottom layers,

respectively. These results suggest that the differences observed in bacterioplankton

community (16S rRNA gene sequencing) and functional profile (functional annotation:

PICRUSt2) at each depth between the lease and control stations are likely to reflect changes

in the local environment due to aquaculture activities.

Fig. 5 – Ternary plots of per-depth relative abundances of differentially abundant functions. Point colors indicate the pathway of the function according to https://metacyc.org. The size of each point indicates the mean relative abundance of the function in all samples. The red lines inside the ternary plot indicate the 60% level of relative abundance of each of the sites.

Predictive Performance of the Selected ASVs

To measure the importance of these differentially expressed features in predicting the environmental drivers found in the db-RDA analysis (Fig. 3 and Table 1), supervised machine learning was used to estimate the relationship between feature distribution and environmental variable measurements. Then, RF regression models were trained with 257 ASVs and 143 functional annotations. Only NOx (included conductivity, salinity, temperature and PO$_4$) concentration prediction models yielded desirable performance for both communities (Table 1). The most important ASV and functional annotation in reducing variance and uncertainty to NOx values were assigned to *Oligoflexaceae*

(

Fig. 6 and S10; Table S8**Error! Reference source not found.**) and to vitamin biosynthesis,

respectively (Fig. 7 and S11; Table S9). Additionally, the most important features in both

communities were uniformly distributed among sites, except for some ASVs and functional

annotations. For instance, ASVs that could predict NOx concentration (i.e., OM27 clade

(*Bdellovibrionaceae*) and *Planctomycetes*) were found more abundant (> 60% relative

abundance) at the control and Lease 1 samples, respectively. And two functional annotations

assigned to methanogenesis and vitamin biosynthesis, both important in NOx prediction,

were more enriched in Lease 1 samples.

405
406 Fig. 6 – Ternary plot showing the distribution of the 10 most important ASVs based on the residuals sum of
407 square in regression-based prediction model of the environmental drivers of the bacterial community (NH$_4$, NOx
408 and turbidity). The size of each point indicates the mean relative abundance of the ASV in all samples. The red
409 lines inside the ternary plot indicate the 60% level of relative abundance of each of the sites. To avoid
410 multicollinearity, NOx included conductivity, salinity, temperature and PO$_4$; and NH$_4$ included NO$_2$.
411

413 Fig. 7 – Ternary plot showing the distribution of the 10 most important pathways based on the residuals sum of
414 square in regression-based prediction model of the environmental drivers of the functional community (NOx
415 and turbidity). The size of each point indicates the mean relative abundance of the function in all samples. To
416 avoid multicollinearity, NOx included conductivity, salinity, temperature and PO$_4$.
417

4. Discussion

In this study, we used taxonomic and functional profiles to characterize the bacterioplankton community of a coastal embayment containing finfish aquaculture operations in Tasmania (Australia). Using unsupervised and supervised analysis techniques of 16S rRNA bacterial community composition, we observed that the effects of depth stratification on bacterioplankton dominates over aquaculture effects. Despite this natural influence, we also detected differentially abundant ASVs and functional annotations between both marine farm leases (< 85 m) and control sites (> 1500 m), that could be used as good bioindicators for aquaculture activity. According to the random forest regression model, these features could only predict NOx concentrations (along with conductivity, salinity, temperature and PO_4).

4.1. Community variation and depth stratification

The main driver of variation in both the environmental variables and communities was water column stratification. Depth stratification was most notable in bacterioplankton community structure with each of the three sampled depths (i.e., surface, middle and bottom waters) being quite distinct. While taxonomic distribution changed, functional profiles were more stable in comparison, suggesting functional redundancy (Fernández et al., 1999; Allison and Martiny, 2008). The stratified distribution of both communities could be explained by the variation in the environmental variables. Macquarie Harbour is considered a highly stratified embayment with distinct communities inhabiting each water layer (Da Silva et al., 2021). The higher turbidity and NH_4 concentration at the surface could be related to the Gordon River inputs carrying labile and recalcitrant organic matter as well as high amounts of tannin affecting light penetration (Terry, 2001; Hartstein et al., 2019). Rivers are commonly described as nutrient sources in coastal environments affecting biogeochemical cycling and the microbial community, particularly in semi-closed coastal systems (Bartl et al., 2018; Hou

et al., 2018). Low light penetration and high amounts of NH_4 and organic matter in the surface can favor nitrification and bacterial respiration. This could explain the increasing NOx and decreasing DO and NH_4 concentrations with increasing depth. These mineralized nutrients are then used as source of energy by the bacterial community in the water column (Berman and Bronk, 2003). Thus, it is unsurprising that the differences in bacterial communities observed in this study are related to physical parameters observed within the water column (Bowman and Ducklow, 2015; Langenheder and Lindstrom, 2019; Zorz et al., 2019).

4.2. Effects of aquaculture on the bacterial community composition

In this study, differential abundance analysis revealed an effect in the taxonomic and functional profiles at both the surface and the near-bottom water layers in lease areas. Most previous aquaculture-environmental impact studies focus on sediments and associated effects to the benthic microbial community (Borja et al., 2009; Fodelianakis et al., 2014; Dowle et al., 2015; Aylagas et al., 2017; Cordier et al., 2018; Stoeck et al., 2018; Keeley et al., 2021). The pelagic environment is less studied and as such the information regarding bacterioplankton response to aquaculture operation is limited, despite their known sensitivity to environmental changes (Wei et al., 2016; Lindh and Pinhassi, 2018; Kolda et al., 2020). The observed differences in the water column were similar to previous studies, where the heterotrophic community degrading organic matter has been enriched near fish cages (La Rosa et al., 2002; Navarro et al., 2008; Šestanović et al., 2016; Kolda et al., 2020).

Aquaculture activities are known to increase nutrient loading and change trophic status near the fish cages from waste, by-products, fish feces or feed products inputs (Belias et al., 2003; Buschmann et al., 2006; Amirkolaie, 2011). Several differentially abundant ASVs were found in both lease areas that could be related to higher levels of organic matter,

eutrophication or pollution. These include members of the taxa *Psychromonadaceae*

(*Psychromonas*)*, Fusobacteriales (Fusobacteriaceae), Vibrionaceae, Desulfovibrionaceae*

(Desulfovibrio), Sulfurimonadaceae (Sulfurimonas), Sulfurovaceae (Sulfurovum),

Fusibacteraceae (Fusibacter), Rhizobiales, and *Sphingomonadaceae.* Various microbial

groups have been associated with marine environments receiving high level of nutrients and

some have been reported as potential aquaculture impact biomarkers in the past (Blancheton

et al., 2013; Kandel et al., 2014; Rasigraf et al., 2017; Verhoeven et al., 2018; Yang et al.,

2019; Haro-Moreno et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Aalto et al., 2021; Dully et al., 2021;

Frühe et al., 2021; Mahmoud and Magdy, 2021; Pan et al., 2021). According to these studies,

such taxa are usually found in high abundance in near-cages sites, including *Sulfurovum,*

Vibrionaceae, Desulfovibrionaceae, Methylotenera, Hyphomonadaceae, Spirosomaceae and

Fusibacter, Flavobacteriaceae (NS3a marine group) and *Bacteriovoracaceae*. Moreover,

Psychromonadaceae (*Psychromonas*), *Fusobacteriales (Fusobacteriaceae)* and *Vibrionaceae*

have been also reported as members of the fish gut microbiome(Verhoeven et al., 2018;

Frühe et al., 2021; Pan et al., 2021).

4.3. Bacterioplankton community associated with aquaculture activity

4.3.1. ASVs important to nutrient cycling and biogeochemical processes

Interestingly, ASVs that play a role in biogeochemical cycling (e.g., sulphur, nitrogen,

methane, carbon) were enriched at lease sites. These bacteria have been reported as microbes

related to aquaculture operations. For instance, sulphate-reducing bacteria such as members

of the family *Desulfovibrionaceae* have been found to be enriched in sediments by

aquaculture activity (Blancheton et al., 2013; Aranda et al., 2015; Frühe et al., 2021).

Sulphate reducing bacteria can be enriched by high levels of organic matter relying on, for

instance, fermented to acidic end products (i.e., by *Psychromonadaceae, Vibrionaceae*, and

other marine (facultatively) anaerobic chemoorganotrophs), leading to the increased

production of H_2S (Barton and Hamilton, 2007; Kwon et al., 2016). *Fusibacter* also

contributes to organic matter and sulphur compounds cycling since it is able to respire using

sulphur and thiosulfate and use a wide range of organic donor compounds (Ravot et al., 1999;

Fadhlaoui et al., 2015). This genus has been found in a range of impacted aquatic systems

including aquaculture and mining sites (Aranda et al., 2015; Won et al., 2017; Verhoeven et

al., 2018).

Thioglobaceae (SUP05 cluster) and *Beijerinckiaceae* (*Methylocystis*) were abundant in the

surface water samples at Lease 1 and have been previously reported in aquaculture sites (Fan

et al., 2019; Haro-Moreno et al., 2020). The former is capable of nitrate reduction and dark

sulphide oxidation processes found below the photic zone in marine environments (Reji et al.,

2020; Chun et al., 2021) while the latter is methanotrophic (i.e., methane oxidizing bacteria)

(Fan et al., 2019). Methanotrophic bacteria participate in the nitrogen cycle by linking

methane oxidation when under hypoxic conditions and performing denitrification when

exposed to nitrate (Kits et al., 2015). Different methylotrophic bacteria were also found at the

surface of Lease 2. *Methylophilaceae* (*Methylotenera*) are able to consume C_1 compounds

(i.e., methanol, methylamine) linked to denitrification (Mustakhimov et al., 2013; Hernandez

et al., 2015) and can be found enriched in salmon hatcheries (Lokesh et al., 2019; Minich et

al., 2020) and associated to "red-operculum" disease in crucian carps aquaculture ponds (Li

et al., 2017). The presence of these microbes could be related to oxygen concentration and

feed inputs (Hernandez et al., 2015; Fan et al., 2019).

ASVs assigned to the chemoheterotrophs *Hyphomonadaceae* and *Spirosomaceae* were

enriched at the surface waters of the Lease 2 sample area, similar to a previous study

conducted in a trout aquaculture farm, where these two aerobic bacteria were abundant in the

pond-water (Mahmoud and Magdy, 2021). Finally, oligotrophic chemoheterotroph

Flavobacteriaceae (NS3a marine group) and the predatory *Bacteriovoracaceae* were already

observed in other microbial aquaculture studies in the sediments (Frühe et al., 2021) and in a

zero-discharge system (Kandel et al., 2014), respectively.

Our study shows that these enriched bacterial communities are most likely related to organic

enrichment caused by aquaculture operations. These distinctive groups of microorganisms

represent potential biomarkers that could be used to trace and monitor environment changes

caused by the effects of aquaculture.

4.3.2. ASVs as potential pathogens or related to the fish gut

Interestingly, several ASVs enriched at the lease sites are known as potential pathogens

and/or can be part of the gut microbiome of fish. *Spirochaetaceae* ASVs are capable of

anaerobic carbohydrate metabolism commonly found in the salmon gut microbiome (Fogarty

et al., 2019). Members of this genus are often found in aquaculture impacted sites

(Verhoeven et al., 2016; Kolda et al., 2020; Frühe et al., 2021). Another potential pathogen

potentially present in the gut of fish (and with aquaculture systems in general) are the

members of *Arcobacteraceae.* These bacteria can be pathogens, opportunistic pathogens and

commensals being detected globally (Ho et al., 2008). Species from this group are connected

to sewage, marine contamination and zoonotic intestinal disease (Figueras et al., 2014;

Kristensen et al., 2020). The anaerobic family *Leptotrichiaceae* can be found in the fecal

microbiome of farmed fish (Moncada et al., 2019; Kopprio et al., 2021). They contribute to

organic matter degradation and have been described as indicators of eutrophic conditions and

mariculture activities (Moncada et al., 2019; Kopprio et al., 2021). *Enterobacterales* and

Pseudomonadales species were enriched in all depths at fish cage vicinities and commonly

associated to the presence of fish production (Blancheton et al., 2013; Martins et al., 2013;

Aylagas et al., 2017; Algammal et al., 2020; Haro-Moreno et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2021). For

instance, *Pseudomonas* and *Vibrionaceae* species (*Vibrio* and *Aliivibrio*) were abundant at the

bottom and middle waters of the Lease 1, and at the surface of Lease 2, possibly due to their

metabolic diversity being capable of inhabiting different aquatic habitats (Aylagas et al.,

2017). They are also potential opportunistic pathogens of salmonids (Wakabayashi, 1980;

Loch et al., 2012). *Aliivibrio* bacteria were found in the gut microbiome of salmon grown in

Tasmania (Zarkasi et al., 2016) and have been correlated to a poor growth performance of

Atlantic salmon (Bozzi et al., 2021).

The ASVs found in our study are of particular interest for the aquaculture industry since they

can cause serious losses to the sector affecting fish and human health (Novotny et al., 2004).

It is important to highlight the absence or low abundance of these pathogens in the control

sites, indicating a localised impact due the presence of the fish cages. Focusing on these

bacteria may allow prediction of outbreaks, design accurate diagnosis approaches, and aid

prophylactic measures in aquaculture management to ensure its sustainability (Mustafa,

2015). Therefore, the use molecular-based methods of these emerging pathogens could assist

efforts to ensure the quality and control of the aquaculture operation.

4.4. Functional structure in the context of aquaculture activity

Taxonomic composition of bacterial communities through the use of 16S rRNA gene

profiling is useful in showing differences in community composition between sites, as well as

providing understanding regarding taxonomic response to the presence of fish cages in the

harbour. However, evidence on potential functional composition can provide important

insights into the interaction between metabolic pathways and environmental stress

(Mukherjee et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2019; Laroche et al., 2021; Raes et al., 2021). PICRUSt2

is a computational approach to predict the functional composition of a metagenome from the

16S rRNA sequence (Douglas et al., 2020), and has been used widely in previous studies on

aquaculture environmental impact (Hornick and Buschmann, 2017; Ortiz-Estrada et al., 2019;

Vargas-Albores et al., 2019; Laroche et al., 2021; Qu et al., 2021). By applying PICRUSt2,

we are able to explore how the functional community changes with the presence of

aquaculture activities.

Overall, we found that the relative stability of the functional community was greater than the

taxonomic community between lease and control sites. The stability detected in this study

may be related to the concept of functional redundancy among prokaryotes (Bissett et al.,

2006; Bissett et al., 2007; Louca et al., 2016; Hornick and Buschmann, 2017; Louca et al.,

2018; Cordier, 2020). On the other hand, Galand et al. (2018) argued that functional

redundancy in the marine ecosystem is low and the investigation of microbial functional

profiles should be performed using all functional aspects despite partial redundancy not being

discarded by the authors. However, deep metagenomic sequencing across many samples is

economically prohibitive in many studies. Additionally, metagenome prediction tools can

lead to incorrect predictions as a result of gene transfer rate, phylogenetic variability, or genes

related to the uncultured microorganisms. Also, the performance of these tools for inference

on genes associated to "housekeeping" function drops significantly in environmental samples

(Langille et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2020).

In this study, PICRUSt2 inferred a methanogenesis pathway from bacterial 16S rRNA

amplicon sequencing data. It is well known that methanogenesis is only seen in the archaeal

kingdom. Although only bacterial sequences were used as input, this inference could be

related to an incorrect clustering with reference archaeal sequences. For these reasons, results

from functional prediction tools are useful and insightful but should be interpreted with

caution (Douglas et al., 2020). The differences were more pronounced in the near-bottom

water layers of leases areas where enriched pathways were associated to organic compounds

and vitamin degradation, fermentation, methanogenesis as well as antibiotic resistance. These

pathways could be related to the altered surrounding environment caused by the presence of

fish which is consistent with studies on functional alteration along a pollution gradient

(Galand et al., 2016; Cordier et al., 2019). The use of taxonomic and predicted functional

profiles allowed us to explore both taxonomic and function of bacterioplankton communities.

Despite associated weaknesses and limitations, both are valuable methods for evaluating the

changes in the environment caused by aquaculture farms in Macquarie Harbour.

4.5. Natural physicochemical variation as an important driver of the bacterioplankton

community

It is important to note a greater number of differentially abundant ASVs associated with the

Lease 1 local area, particularly in the near-bottom waters, compared to Lease 2 and control

locations. The difference could be related to the production (e.g., stock density, faeces),

management practices (e.g., feed input rate), hydrodynamics and location (e.g., shallow or

deeper waters) of that specific lease (Belias et al., 2003; Sarà et al., 2007; Sanderson et al.,

2008; Tsagaraki et al., 2011; Jansen et al., 2016). Hydrodynamics and location of both leases

could be important factors explaining this difference. While Lease 1 is located near the

harbour's southern end, Lease 2 is further north, near the deepest basin with a depth of

approximately 52 m. Macquarie Harbour is a fjord-like system with periods of deep-water

renewal entering by a narrow and shallow entrance located at the harbour's northern end. Due

to its geological formation, and freshwater, seasonal or weather-related influences, the

distribution of the marine water intrusions are heterogeneously distributed leading to a less

frequent and less pronounced deep water renewal events in the southern harbour (Hartstein et

al., 2019; Ross et al., 2021). Also, Teasdale et al. (2003) revealed increasing organic carbon

and sulphide concentrations from north to south which was influenced by riverine inputs.

This north-south environmental gradient may affect the oxygen and organic matter

concentration in the water column in the Lease 1 area, causing changes in the environmental

conditions as we observed in our study. Although our findings suggest bacterioplankton as a

potential indicator for aquaculture activities, more work is needed to confirm its reliability

over time in the context of natural variation. Understanding seasonal change in the bacterial

community across the harbour will be particularly important to improve our capacity to detect

disturbances caused by both natural and anthropogenic environmental changes (Allison and

Martiny, 2008; Magurran et al., 2010; Lindh et al., 2015).

5. Conclusions

In summary, investigation of bacterioplankton communities and predicted functions in water

column revealed localised changes in the presence of salmon cages. Local enriched taxa were

related to biochemical processes (i.e., sulphur, nitrogen, methane, and carbon), potential

pathogens or members of the fish gut microbiome, while the relative abundance of function

annotations was associated with organic compounds and vitamin degradation, fermentation,

methanogenesis and antibiotic resistance. Our study demonstrates that molecular-based

methods could be a valuable tool in monitoring aquaculture effects. Trends in nutrient

concentrations were likely be masked by the natural environmental variation found in the

water column which also has a strong influence on the distribution of the bacterial taxonomic

and functional communities. The robustness of the indicators suggested by this study could

be further validated through sampling across both season and farm production cycle to

examine temporal variation in taxonomic and functional bacterioplankton communities.

Overall, the study showed that the 16S rRNA sequencing and functional annotation of the

bacterioplankton communities have the potential to becoming effective tools in understanding

the interaction between finfish aquaculture and the pelagic environment.

6. Author contributions

RRPS, CW and JR: Conceptualization. **RRPS**: DNA extraction, Formal analysis, Writing-

Original draft preparation. **CW, JR and JB**: Supervision, Writing- Reviewing and Editing**.**

7. Declaration of competing interest

We declare that the submitted work was conducted in the absence of any commercial or

financial relationships that could potentially be construed as a conflict of interest.

8. Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the Fisheries Research & Development Council grant 2016-067

and the Sustainable Marine Research Collaborative Agreement between the Institute for

Marine and Antarctic Studies and the State Government of Tasmania. The authors would like

to thank Samuel Kruimink, Patricia Peinado Fuentes, Ruth Eriksen, Andy Revill, Kirsten

Karsh, Lev Bodrossy and Eric Raes for their assistance in obtaining field samples and

laboratory data. We appreciate the help and comments of the anonymous reviewers,

that improved our manuscript notably.

9. References

á Norði, G., Glud, R.N., Gaard, E., and Simonsen, K. (2011) Environmental impacts of coastal fish farming: carbon and nitrogen budgets for trout farming in Kaldbaksfjør7%%FONT_ERR%%ur (Faroe Islands). *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **431**: 223-241.

Aalto, S.L., Suurnakki, S., von Ahnen, M., Tiirola, M., and Pedersen, P.B. (2021) Microbial communities in full-scale woodchip bioreactors treating aquaculture effluents. *J Environ Manage* **301**: 113852.

Aitchison, J. (1986) *The Statistical Analysis of Compositional Data*: London; New York: Chapman and Hall.

Algammal, A.M., Mabrok, M., Sivaramasamy, E., Youssef, F.M., Atwa, M.H., El-Kholy, A.W. et al. (2020) Emerging MDR-Pseudomonas aeruginosa in fish commonly harbor oprL and toxA virulence genes and blaTEM, blaCTX-M, and tetA antibiotic-resistance genes. *Sci Rep* **10**: 15961.

Allison, S.D., and Martiny, J.B. (2008) Resistance, resilience, and redundancy in microbial communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**: 11512-11519.

Amirkolaie, A.K. (2011) Reduction in the environmental impact of waste discharged by fish farms through feed and feeding. *Reviews in Aquaculture* **3**: 19-26.

Appleyard, S., Abell, G., and Watson, R. (2013) Tackling microbial related issues in cultured shellfish via integrated molecular and water chemistry approaches. Seafood CRC Final Report (2011/729) (pp. 89). In.

Aranda, C.P., Valenzuela, C., Matamala, Y., Godoy, F.A., and Aranda, N. (2015) Sulphur-cycling bacteria and ciliated protozoans in a Beggiatoaceae mat covering organically enriched sediments beneath a salmon farm in a southern Chilean fjord. *Mar Pollut Bull* **100**: 270-278.

Asami, H., Aida, M., and Watanabe, K. (2005) Accelerated sulfur cycle in coastal marine sediment beneath areas of intensive shellfish aquaculture. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**: 2925-2933.

Asshauer, K.P., Wemheuer, B., Daniel, R., and Meinicke, P. (2015) Tax4Fun: predicting functional profiles from metagenomic 16S rRNA data. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 2882-2884.

Aylagas, E., Borja, A., Tangherlini, M., Dell'Anno, A., Corinaldesi, C., Michell, C.T. et al. (2017) A bacterial community-based index to assess the ecological status of estuarine and coastal environments. *Mar Pollut Bull* **114**: 679-688.

Azam, F., Fenchel, T., Field, J., Grey, J., Meyer-Reil, L., and Thingstad, F. (1983) The ecological role of water-column microbes. *Mar ecol Prog ser* **10**: 257-263.

Bartl, I., Liskow, I., Schulz, K., Umlauf, L., and Voss, M. (2018) River plume and bottom boundary layer–Hotspots for nitrification in a coastal bay? *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **208**: 70-82.

Barton, L.L., and Hamilton, W.A. (2007) *Sulphate-reducing bacteria: environmental and engineered systems*: Cambridge University Press.

Belias, C.V., Bikas, V.G., Dassenakis, M.J., and Scoullos, M.J. (2003) Environmental impacts of coastal aquaculture in eastern mediterranean bays the case of astakos gulf, Greece. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* **10**: 287.

Berman, T., and Bronk, D.A. (2003) Dissolved organic nitrogen: a dynamic participant in aquatic ecosystems. *Aquatic microbial ecology* **31**: 279-305.

Bissett, A., Bowman, J., and Burke, C. (2006) Bacterial diversity in organically-enriched fish farm sediments. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **55**: 48-56.

Bissett, A., Burke, C., Cook, P.L., and Bowman, J.P. (2007) Bacterial community shifts in organically perturbed sediments. *Environ Microbiol* **9**: 46-60.

Blancheton, J.P., Attramadal, K.J.K., Michaud, L., d'Orbcastel, E.R., and Vadstein, O. (2013) Insight into bacterial population in aquaculture systems and its implication. *Aquacultural Engineering* **53**: 30-39.

Borja, Á., Rodríguez, J.G., Black, K., Bodoy, A., Emblow, C., Fernandes, T.F. et al. (2009) Assessing the suitability of a range of benthic indices in the evaluation of environmental impact of fin and shellfish aquaculture located in sites across Europe. *Aquaculture* **293**: 231-240.

Bouwman, L., Beusen, A., Glibert, P.M., Overbeek, C., Pawlowski, M., Herrera, J. et al. (2013)
Mariculture: significant and expanding cause of coastal nutrient enrichment. *Environmental*
Research Letters **8**.
Bowman, J.S., and Ducklow, H.W. (2015) Microbial Communities Can Be Described by Metabolic
Structure: A General Framework and Application to a Seasonally Variable, Depth-Stratified Microbial
Community from the Coastal West Antarctic Peninsula. *PLoS One* **10**: e0135868.
Bozzi, D., Rasmussen, J.A., Carøe, C., Sveier, H., Nordøy, K., Gilbert, M.T.P., and Limborg, M.T. (2021)
Salmon gut microbiota correlates with disease infection status: potential for monitoring health in
farmed animals. *Animal microbiome* **3**: 1-17.
Burridge, L., Weis, J.S., Cabello, F., Pizarro, J., and Bostick, K. (2010) Chemical use in salmon
aquaculture: A review of current practices and possible environmental effects. *Aquaculture* **306**: 7-
23.
Buschmann, A., Riquelme, V., Hernandezgonzalez, M., Varela, D., Jimenez, J., Henriquez, L. et al.
(2006) A review of the impacts of salmonid farming on marine coastal ecosystems in the southeast
Pacific. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **63**: 1338-1345.
Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J., and Holmes, S.P. (2016) DADA2:
High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods* **13**: 581-583.
Cao, Q., Sun, X., Rajesh, K., Chalasani, N., Gelow, K., Katz, B. et al. (2021) Effects of Rare Microbiome
Taxa Filtering on Statistical Analysis. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **11**.
Caporaso, J.G., Lauber, C.L., Walters, W.A., Berg-Lyons, D., Lozupone, C.A., Turnbaugh, P.J. et al.
(2011) Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proc*
Natl Acad Sci U S A **108 Suppl 1**: 4516-4522.
Carpenter, P.D., Butler, E.C.V., Higgins, H.W., Mackey, D.J., and Nichols, P.D. (1991) Chemistry of
trace elements, humic substances and sedimentary organic matter in Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania.
Marine and Freshwater Research **42**.
Caspi, R., Billington, R., Fulcher, C.A., Keseler, I.M., Kothari, A., Krummenacker, M. et al. (2018) The
MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes. *Nucleic acids research* **46**: D633-D639.
Chun, S.J., Cui, Y., Baek, S.H., Ahn, C.Y., and Oh, H.M. (2021) Seasonal succession of microbes in
different size-fractions and their modular structures determined by both macro- and micro-
environmental filtering in dynamic coastal waters. *Sci Total Environ* **784**: 147046.
Cordier, T. (2020) Bacterial communities' taxonomic and functional turnovers both accurately
predict marine benthic ecological quality status. *Environmental DNA* **2**: 175-183.
Cordier, T., Forster, D., Dufresne, Y., Martins, C.I.M., Stoeck, T., and Pawlowski, J. (2018) Supervised
machine learning outperforms taxonomy-based environmental DNA metabarcoding applied to
biomonitoring. *Molecular Ecology Resources* **18**: 1381-1391.
Cordier, T., Frontalini, F., Cermakova, K., Apotheloz-Perret-Gentil, L., Treglia, M., Scantamburlo, E. et
al. (2019) Multi-marker eDNA metabarcoding survey to assess the environmental impact of three
offshore gas platforms in the North Adriatic Sea (Italy). *Mar Environ Res*.
Crawford, C.M., Macleod, C.K.A., and Mitchell, I.M. (2003) Effects of shellfish farming on the benthic
environment. *Aquaculture* **224**: 117-140.
Cresswell, G., Edwards, R., and Barker, B. (1989) Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania-seasonal
oceanographic surveys in 1985. In *Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania*, pp. 63-
66.
Da Silva, R.R.P., White, C.A., Bowman, J.P., Raes, E., Bisset, A., Chapman, C. et al. (2021)
Environmental influences shaping microbial communities in a low oxygen, highly stratified marine
embayment. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* **87**: 185-203.
DeLong, E.F., Franks, D.G., and Alldredge, A.L. (1993) Phylogenetic diversity of aggregate-attached
vs. free-living marine bacterial assemblages. *Limnology and oceanography* **38**: 924-934.
Douglas, G.M., Maffei, V.J., Zaneveld, J.R., Yurgel, S.N., Brown, J.R., Taylor, C.M. et al. (2020)
PICRUSt2 for prediction of metagenome functions. *Nature biotechnology* **38**: 685-688.

Dowle, E., Pochon, X., Keeley, N., and Wood, S.A. (2015) Assessing the effects of salmon farming seabed enrichment using bacterial community diversity and high-throughput sequencing. *FEMS microbiology ecology* **91**: fiv089.

Dully, V., Balliet, H., Frühe, L., Däumer, M., Thielen, A., Gallie, S. et al. (2021) Robustness, sensitivity and reproducibility of eDNA metabarcoding as an environmental biomonitoring tool in coastal salmon aquaculture – An inter-laboratory study. *Ecological Indicators* **121**.

Elizondo-Patrone, C., Hernández, K., Yannicelli, B., Olsen, L.M., and Molina, V. (2015) The response of nitrifying microbial assemblages to ammonium (NH4+) enrichment from salmon farm activities in a northern Chilean Fjord. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* **166**: 131-142.

Fadhlaoui, K., Hania, W.B., Postec, A., Fauque, G., Hamdi, M., Ollivier, B., and Fardeau, M.-L. (2015) Fusibacter fontis sp. nov., a sulfur-reducing, anaerobic bacterium isolated from a mesothermic Tunisian spring. *International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology* **65**: 3501-3506.

Fan, L., Qiu, L., Song, C., Meng, S., Zheng, Y., Li, D. et al. (2019) Effects of feed input and planting of submerged aquatic vegetation on methanotrophic communities in the surface sediments of aquaculture ponds. *Applied soil ecology* **143**: 10-16.

Fernandes, A.D., Macklaim, J.M., Linn, T.G., Reid, G., and Gloor, G.B. (2013) ANOVA-like differential expression (ALDEx) analysis for mixed population RNA-Seq. *PLoS One* **8**: e67019.

Fernández, A., Huang, S., Seston, S., Xing, J., Hickey, R., Criddle, C., and Tiedje, J. (1999) How stable is stable? Function versus community composition. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **65**: 3697-3704.

Figueras, M.J., Levican, A., Pujol, I., Ballester, F., Rabada Quilez, M.J., and Gomez-Bertomeu, F. (2014) A severe case of persistent diarrhoea associated with Arcobacter cryaerophilus but attributed to Campylobacter sp. and a review of the clinical incidence of Arcobacter spp. *New Microbes New Infect* **2**: 31-37.

Fodelianakis, S., Papageorgiou, N., Karakassis, I., and Ladoukakis, E.D. (2014) Community structure changes in sediment bacterial communities along an organic enrichment gradient associated with fish farming. *Annals of Microbiology* **65**: 331-338.

Fogarty, C., Burgess, C.M., Cotter, P.D., Cabrera-Rubio, R., Whyte, P., Smyth, C., and Bolton, D.J. (2019) Diversity and composition of the gut microbiota of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) farmed in Irish waters. *J Appl Microbiol* **127**: 648-657.

Frühe, L., Dully, V., Forster, D., Keeley, N.B., Laroche, O., Pochon, X. et al. (2021) Global trends of benthic bacterial diversity and community composition along organic enrichment gradients of salmon farms. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **12**: 853.

Fuhrman, J.A., and Steele, J.A. (2008) Community structure of marine bacterioplankton: patterns, networks, and relationships to function. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* **53**: 69-81.

Galand, P.E., Pereira, O., Hochart, C., Auguet, J.C., and Debroas, D. (2018) A strong link between marine microbial community composition and function challenges the idea of functional redundancy. *ISME J* **12**: 2470-2478.

Galand, P.E., Lucas, S., Fagervold, S.K., Peru, E., Pruski, A.M., Vetion, G. et al. (2016) Disturbance Increases Microbial Community Diversity and Production in Marine Sediments. *Front Microbiol* **7**: 1950.

Gilbert, J.A., Field, D., Swift, P., Thomas, S., Cummings, D., Temperton, B. et al. (2010) The taxonomic and functional diversity of microbes at a temperate coastal site: a 'multi-omic'study of seasonal and diel temporal variation. *PloS one* **5**: e15545.

Girvan, M., Campbell, C., Killham, K., Prosser, J.I., and Glover, L.A. (2005) Bacterial diversity promotes community stability and functional resilience after perturbation. *Environmental microbiology* **7**: 301-313.

Gloor, G. (2016) CoDaSeq: Analyzing HTS using compositional data analysis. *F1000Research* **5**.

Gloor, G.B., Macklaim, J.M., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., and Egozcue, J.J. (2017) Microbiome Datasets Are Compositional: And This Is Not Optional. *Front Microbiol* **8**: 2224.

Grasshoff, K., Kremling, K., and Ehrhardt, M. (2009) *Methods of seawater analysis*: John Wiley &
Sons.
Greenwell, B.M., and Boehmke, B.C. (2020) Variable Importance Plots—An Introduction to the vip
Package. . *The R Journal* **12**: 343--366.
Hamilton, N.E., and Ferry, M. (2018) ggtern: Ternary diagrams using ggplot2. *Journal of Statistical*
Software **87**: 1-17.
Haro-Moreno, J.M., Coutinho, F.H., Zaragoza-Solas, A., Picazo, A., Almagro-Moreno, S., and Lopez-
Perez, M. (2020) Dysbiosis in marine aquaculture revealed through microbiome analysis: reverse
ecology for environmental sustainability. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **96**.
Harrison, J.P., Chronopoulou, P.-M., Salonen, I.S., Jilbert, T., and Koho, K.A. (2021) 16S and 18S rRNA
Gene Metabarcoding Provide Congruent Information on the Responses of Sediment Communities to
Eutrophication. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **8**.
Hartstein, N.D., Maxey, J.D., Loo, J.C.H., and Then, A.Y.-H. (2019) Drivers of deep water renewal in
Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania. *Journal of Marine Systems* **199**.
Hawinkel, S., Mattiello, F., Bijnens, L., and Thas, O. (2019) A broken promise: microbiome differential
abundance methods do not control the false discovery rate. *Brief Bioinform* **20**: 210-221.
Hernandez, M.E., Beck, D.A., Lidstrom, M.E., and Chistoserdova, L. (2015) Oxygen availability is a
major factor in determining the composition of microbial communities involved in methane
oxidation. *PeerJ* **3**: e801.
Ho, H., Lipman, L., and Gaastra, W. (2008) Is Arcobacter a Food Related Pathogen Causing an
Emerging Disease? *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* **12**: e325-e326.
Hornick, K.M., and Buschmann, A.H. (2017) Insights into the diversity and metabolic function of
bacterial communities in sediments from Chilean salmon aquaculture sites. *Annals of Microbiology*
68: 63-77.
Hou, L., Xie, X., Wan, X., Kao, S.-J., Jiao, N., and Zhang, Y. (2018) Niche differentiation of ammonia
and nitrite oxidizers along a salinity gradient from the Pearl River estuary to the South China Sea.
Biogeosciences **15**: 5169-5187.
Jansen, H.M., Reid, G.K., Bannister, R.J., Husa, V., Robinson, S.M.C., Cooper, J.A. et al. (2016) Discrete
water quality sampling at open-water aquaculture sites: limitations and strategies. *Aquaculture*
Environment Interactions **8**: 463-480.
Kandel, P.P., Pasternak, Z., van Rijn, J., Nahum, O., and Jurkevitch, E. (2014) Abundance, diversity and
seasonal dynamics of predatory bacteria in aquaculture zero discharge systems. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*
89: 149-161.
Kassambara, A., and Mundt, F. (2020) factoextra: Extract and Visualize the Results of Multivariate
Data
Analyses. R package version 1.0.7. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=factoextra. In.
Keeley, N., Wood, S.A., and Pochon, X. (2018) Development and preliminary validation of a multi-
trophic metabarcoding biotic index for monitoring benthic organic enrichment. *Ecological Indicators*
85: 1044-1057.
Keeley, N., Laroche, O., Birch, M., and Pochon, X. (2021) A Substrate-Independent Benthic Sampler
(SIBS) for Hard and Mixed-Bottom Marine Habitats: A Proof-of-Concept Study. *Frontiers in Marine*
Science **8**.
King, R.D., and Tyler, P.A. (1982) Downstream effects of the Gorden River Power Development,
south-west Tasmania. *Marine and Freshwater Research* **33**: 431-442.
Kirkpatrick, J.B., Kriwoken, L.K., and Styger, J. (2019) The reverse precautionary principle: science,
the environment and the salmon aquaculture industry in Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania, Australia.
Pacific Conservation Biology **25**.
Kits, K.D., Klotz, M.G., and Stein, L.Y. (2015) Methane oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction under
hypoxia by the G ammaproteobacterium M ethylomonas denitrificans, sp. nov. type strain FJG1.
Environmental microbiology **17**: 3219-3232.

Koehnken, L. (2005) Overview of Water Quality in Macquarie Harbour and Assessment of Risks due
to Copper Levels.

Kolda, A., Gavrilović, A., Jug-Dujaković, J., Ljubešić, Z., El-Matbouli, M., Lillehaug, A. et al. (2020)
Profiling of bacterial assemblages in the marine cage farm environment, with implications on fish,
human and ecosystem health. *Ecological Indicators* **118**.

Kopprio, G.A., Cuong, L.H., Luyen, N.D., Duc, T.M., Ha, T.H., Huong, L.M., and Gärdes, A. (2021)
Carrageenophyte-attached and planktonic bacterial communities in two distinct bays of Vietnam:
Eutrophication indicators and insights on ice-ice disease. *Ecological Indicators* **121**.

Kristensen, J.M., Nierychlo, M., Albertsen, M., and Nielsen, P.H. (2020) Bacteria from the genus
Arcobacter are abundant in effluent from wastewater treatment plants. *Applied and environmental*
microbiology **86**: e03044-03019.

Kuhn, M., and Wickham, H. (2020) Tidymodels: a collection of packages for modeling and machine
learning using tidyverse principles. https://www.tidymodels.org. In.

Kwon, M.J., O'Loughlin, E.J., Boyanov, M.I., Brulc, J.M., Johnston, E.R., Kemner, K.M., and
Antonopoulos, D.A. (2016) Impact of organic carbon electron donors on microbial community
development under iron-and sulfate-reducing conditions. *PloS one* **11**: e0146689.

La Rosa, T., Mirto, S., Favaloro, E., Savona, B., Sarà, G., Danovaro, R., and Mazzola, A. (2002) Impact
on the water column biogeochemistry of a Mediterranean mussel and fish farm. *Water Research* **36**:
713-721.

Labbate, M., Seymour, J.R., Lauro, F., and Brown, M.V. (2016) Editorial: Anthropogenic Impacts on
the Microbial Ecology and Function of Aquatic Environments. *Front Microbiol* **7**: 1044.

Lane, D. (1991) 16S/23S rRNA sequencing. *Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics*: 115-175.

Lane, D.J., Pace, B., Olsen, G.J., Stahl, D.A., Sogin, M.L., and Pace, N.R. (1985) Rapid determination of
16S ribosomal RNA sequences for phylogenetic analyses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences **82**: 6955-6959.

Langenheder, S., and Lindstrom, E.S. (2019) Factors influencing aquatic and terrestrial bacterial
community assembly. *Environ Microbiol Rep* **11**: 306-315.

Langille, M.G., Zaneveld, J., Caporaso, J.G., McDonald, D., Knights, D., Reyes, J.A. et al. (2013)
Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nat*
Biotechnol **31**: 814-821.

Laroche, O., Meier, S., Mjøs, S.A., and Keeley, N. (2021) Effects of fish farm activities on the sponge
Weberella bursa, and its associated microbiota. *Ecological Indicators* **129**.

Li, T., Li, H., Gatesoupe, F.-J., She, R., Lin, Q., Yan, X. et al. (2017) Bacterial signatures of "Red-
Operculum" disease in the gut of crucian carp (Carassius auratus). *Microbial ecology* **74**: 510-521.

Liaw, A., and Wiener, M. (2002) Classification and regression by randomForest. *R news* **2**: 18-22.

Lindh, M.V., and Pinhassi, J. (2018) Sensitivity of Bacterioplankton to Environmental Disturbance: A
Review of Baltic Sea Field Studies and Experiments. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **5**.

Lindh, M.V., Lefebure, R., Degerman, R., Lundin, D., Andersson, A., and Pinhassi, J. (2015)
Consequences of increased terrestrial dissolved organic matter and temperature on
bacterioplankton community composition during a Baltic Sea mesocosm experiment. *Ambio* **44**
Suppl 3: 402-412.

Loch, T., Scribner, K., Tempelman, R., Whelan, G., and Faisal, M. (2012) Bacterial infections of
Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha (Walbaum), returning to gamete collecting weirs in
Michigan. *Journal of Fish Diseases* **35**: 39-50.

Lokesh, J., Kiron, V., Sipkema, D., Fernandes, J.M., and Moum, T. (2019) Succession of embryonic and
the intestinal bacterial communities of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) reveals stage‐specific microbial
signatures. *MicrobiologyOpen* **8**: e00672.

Louca, S., Parfrey, L.W., and Doebeli, M. (2016) Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global
ocean microbiome. *Science* **353**: 1272-1277.

Louca, S., Polz, M.F., Mazel, F., Albright, M.B.N., Huber, J.A., O'Connor, M.I. et al. (2018) Function
and functional redundancy in microbial systems. *Nat Ecol Evol* **2**: 936-943.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**: 550.
Magurran, A.E., Baillie, S.R., Buckland, S.T., Dick, J.M., Elston, D.A., Scott, E.M. et al. (2010) Long-term
datasets in biodiversity research and monitoring: assessing change in ecological communities
through time. *Trends Ecol Evol* **25**: 574-582.
Mahmoud, M.A.A., and Magdy, M. (2021) Metabarcoding profiling of microbial diversity associated
with trout fish farming. *Sci Rep* **11**: 421.
Martínez-Porchas, M., and Vargas-Albores, F. (2017) Microbial metagenomics in aquaculture: a
potential tool for a deeper insight into the activity. *Reviews in Aquaculture* **9**: 42-56.
Martins, P., Cleary, D.F., Pires, A.C., Rodrigues, A.M., Quintino, V., Calado, R., and Gomes, N.C. (2013)
Molecular analysis of bacterial communities and detection of potential pathogens in a recirculating
aquaculture system for Scophthalmus maximus and Solea senegalensis. *PLoS One* **8**: e80847.
Martins, P., Coelho, F.J.R.C., Cleary, D.F.R., Pires, A.C.C., Marques, B., Rodrigues, A.M. et al. (2018)
Seasonal patterns of bacterioplankton composition in a semi-intensive European seabass
(Dicentrarchus labrax) aquaculture system. *Aquaculture* **490**: 240-250.
Martiny, J.B., Bohannan, B.J., Brown, J.H., Colwell, R.K., Fuhrman, J.A., Green, J.L. et al. (2006)
Microbial biogeography: putting microorganisms on the map. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**: 102-112.
McCaig, A.E., Phillips, C.J., Stephen, J.R., Kowalchuk, G.A., Harvey, S.M., Herbert, R.A. et al. (1999)
Nitrogen cycling and community structure of proteobacterial β-subgroup ammonia-oxidizing
bacteria within polluted marine fish farm sediments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **65**:
213-220.
McMurdie, P.J., and Holmes, S. (2013) phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis
and graphics of microbiome census data. *PloS one* **8**: e61217.
Minich, J.J., Poore, G.D., Jantawongsri, K., Johnston, C., Bowie, K., Bowman, J. et al. (2020) Microbial
ecology of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) hatcheries: impacts of the built environment on fish
mucosal microbiota. *Applied and environmental microbiology* **86**: e00411-00420.
Moncada, C., Hassenruck, C., Gardes, A., and Conaco, C. (2019) Microbial community composition of
sediments influenced by intensive mariculture activity. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **95**.
Mukherjee, A., Chettri, B., Langpoklakpam, J.S., Basak, P., Prasad, A., Mukherjee, A.K. et al. (2017)
Bioinformatic approaches including predictive metagenomic profiling reveal characteristics of
bacterial response to petroleum hydrocarbon contamination in diverse environments. *Scientific*
reports **7**: 1-22.
Mustafa, S. (2015) Sustainability of seafood production–challenges and the way forward.
Aquaculture Ecosystems: Adaptability and Sustainability: 1-36.
Mustakhimov, I., Kalyuzhnaya, M.G., Lidstrom, M.E., and Chistoserdova, L. (2013) Insights into
denitrification in Methylotenera mobilis from denitrification pathway and methanol metabolism
mutants. *Journal of bacteriology* **195**: 2207-2211.
Nagpal, S., Haque, M.M., and Mande, S.S. (2016) Vikodak--A Modular Framework for Inferring
Functional Potential of Microbial Communities from 16S Metagenomic Datasets. *PLoS One* **11**:
e0148347.
Navarro, N., Leakey, R.J.G., and Black, K.D. (2008) Effect of salmon cage aquaculture on the pelagic
environment of temperate coastal waters: seasonal changes in nutrients and microbial community.
Marine Ecology Progress Series **361**: 47-58.
Newell, S.E., Fawcett, S.E., and Ward, B.B. (2013) Depth distribution of ammonia oxidation rates and
ammonia-oxidizer community composition in the Sargasso Sea. *Limnology and Oceanography* **58**:
1491-1500.
Novotny, L., Dvorska, L., Lorencova, A., Beran, V., and Pavlik, I. (2004) Fish: a potential source of
bacterial pathogens for human beings. *Veterinarni Medicina* **49**: 343.
Oksanen, J.F., Blanchet, G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D. et al. (2018) O'Hara;
Gavin, L.; et al. *The Vegan Community Ecology Package Available online: https://cran r-project*
org/web/packages/vegan/(accessed on 1 March 2019).

Olsen, L.M., Hernández, K.L., Ardelan, M.V., Iriarte, J.L., Bizsel, K.C., and Olsen, Y. (2017) Responses in
bacterial community structure to waste nutrients from aquaculture: an in situ microcosm
experiment in a Chilean fjord. *Aquaculture Environment Interactions* **9**: 21-32.
Ortiz-Estrada, Á.M., Gollas-Galván, T., Martínez-Córdova, L.R., and Martínez-Porchas, M. (2019)
Predictive functional profiles using metagenomic 16S rRNA data: a novel approach to understanding
the microbial ecology of aquaculture systems. *Reviews in Aquaculture* **11**: 234-245.
Pace, N.R. (1997) A Molecular View of Microbial Diversity and the Biosphere. *Science* **276**: 734-740.
Palarea-Albaladejo, J., and Martín-Fernández, J.A. (2015) zCompositions—R package for multivariate
imputation of left-censored data under a compositional approach. *Chemometrics and Intelligent*
Laboratory Systems **143**: 85-96.
Pan, X., Liu, Q., Wen, L., Huang, Y., Ma, H., Lin, Y. et al. (2021) Improving tilapia (Oreochromis
mossambicus) resistance to streptococcal disease by improving the gut biome through
administration of the microorganisms Bacillus subtilis and Enterococcus faecalis. *Aquaculture*
Reports **20**.
Pomeroy, L.R., leB. WILLIAMS, P.J., Azam, F., and Hobbie, J.E. (2007) The microbial loop.
Oceanography **20**: 28-33.
Qu, J., Yang, H., Liu, Y., Qi, H., Wang, Y., and Zhang, Q. (2021) The study of natural biofilm formation
and microbial community structure for recirculating aquaculture system. *IOP Conference Series:*
Earth and Environmental Science **742**.
R Core Team (2021) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna,
Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/. In.
Raes, E.J., Karsh, K., Sow, S.L.S., Ostrowski, M., Brown, M.V., van de Kamp, J. et al. (2021) Metabolic
pathways inferred from a bacterial marker gene illuminate ecological changes across South Pacific
frontal boundaries. *Nat Commun* **12**: 2213.
Rasigraf, O., Schmitt, J., Jetten, M.S.M., and Luke, C. (2017) Metagenomic potential for and diversity
of N-cycle driving microorganisms in the Bothnian Sea sediment. *Microbiologyopen* **6**.
Ravot, G., Magot, M., Fardeau, M.-L., Patel, B.K., Thomas, P., Garcia, J.-L., and Ollivier, B. (1999)
Fusibacter paucivorans gen. nov., sp. nov., an anaerobic, thiosulfate-reducing bacterium from an oil-
producing well. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **49**: 1141-1147.
Reji, L., Tolar, B.B., Chavez, F.P., and Francis, C.A. (2020) Depth-Differentiation and Seasonality of
Planktonic Microbial Assemblages in the Monterey Bay Upwelling System. *Front Microbiol* **11**: 1075.
Revill, A.T., Ross, J.a., and Thompson, P.A. (2016) Investigating Dissolved Oxygen Drawdown in
Macquarie Harbour. CSIRO, Australia. Report to Huon Aquaculture. In: Institute for Marine and
Antarctic Studies (IMAS), Hobart.
Ross, J., Beard, J., Wild-Allen, K., Andrewartha, Moreno, D., Semmens, J. et al. (2021) Understanding
oxygen dynamics and the importance for benthic recovery in Macquarie Harbour. Hobart, Australia
CC BY 3.0. In: Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania.
Rubio-Portillo, E., Villamor, A., Fernandez-Gonzalez, V., Antón, J., and Sanchez-Jerez, P. (2019)
Exploring changes in bacterial communities to assess the influence of fish farming on marine
sediments. *Aquaculture* **506**: 459-464.
Sanderson, J.C., Cromey, C.J., Dring, M.J., and Kelly, M.S. (2008) Distribution of nutrients for seaweed
cultivation around salmon cages at farm sites in north–west Scotland. *Aquaculture* **278**: 60-68.
Sarà, G., Lo Martire, M., Buffa, G., Mannino, A.M., and Badalamenti, F. (2007) The fouling community
as an indicator of fish farming impact in Mediterranean. *Aquaculture Research* **38**: 66-75.
Šestanović, S., Peković, J., Matijević, S., and Ninčević Gladan, Ž. (2016) Effects of fish farming on
microbial planktonic communities in the middle Adriatic sea. *Aquaculture Research* **47**: 1040-1054.
Sevigny, J.L., Rothenheber, D., Diaz, K.S., Zhang, Y., Agustsson, K., Bergeron, R.D., and Thomas, W.K.
(2019) Marker genes as predictors of shared genomic function. *BMC Genomics* **20**: 268.
Sinnott, R.W. (1984) Virtues of the Haversine. *S&T* **68**: 158.

Stoeck, T., Fruhe, L., Forster, D., Cordier, T., Martins, C.I.M., and Pawlowski, J. (2018) Environmental
DNA metabarcoding of benthic bacterial communities indicates the benthic footprint of salmon
aquaculture. *Mar Pollut Bull* **127**: 139-149.
Sun, S., Jones, R.B., and Fodor, A.A. (2020) Inference-based accuracy of metagenome prediction
tools varies across sample types and functional categories. *Microbiome* **8**: 46.
Teasdale, P.R., Apte, S.C., Ford, P.W., Batley, G.E., and Koehnken, L. (2003) Geochemical cycling and
speciation of copper in waters and sediments of Macquarie Harbour, Western Tasmania. *Estuarine,*
Coastal and Shelf Science **57**: 475-487.
Terry, C. (2001) Numerical Modelling of Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania. In *In: Conference on*
Hydraulics in Civil Engineering (6th : 2001 : Hobart, Tas) 6th Conference on Hydraulics in Civil
Engineering: The State of Hydraulics; Proceedings Barton, ACT: Institution of Engineers, Australia,
2001: 345-354.
Tsagaraki, T.M., Petihakis, G., Tsiaras, K., Triantafyllou, G., Tsapakis, M., Korres, G. et al. (2011)
Beyond the cage: ecosystem modelling for impact evaluation in aquaculture. *Ecological modelling*
222: 2512-2523.
Tsukamoto, K., Kawamura, T., Takeuchi, T., Beard Jr, T., and Kaiser, M. (2008) Environmental Impact
of Aquaculture on Coastal Planktonic Ecosystems. *Fisheries for Global Welfare and Environment*:
181.
Vargas-Albores, F., Martínez-Córdova, L.R., Gollas-Galván, T., Garibay-Valdez, E., Emerenciano,
M.G.C., Lago-Leston, A. et al. (2019) Inferring the functional properties of bacterial communities in
shrimp-culture bioflocs produced with amaranth and wheat seeds as fouler promoters. *Aquaculture*
500: 107-117.
Verhoeven, J.T.P., Salvo, F., Hamoutene, D., and Dufour, S.C. (2016) Bacterial community
composition of flocculent matter under a salmonid aquaculture site in Newfoundland, Canada.
Aquaculture Environment Interactions **8**: 637-646.
Verhoeven, J.T.P., Salvo, F., Knight, R., Hamoutene, D., and Dufour, S.C. (2018) Temporal Bacterial
Surveillance of Salmon Aquaculture Sites Indicates a Long Lasting Benthic Impact With Minimal
Recovery. *Front Microbiol* **9**: 3054.
Wakabayashi, H. (1980) Bacterial gill disease of salmonid fish. *Fish pathology* **14**: 185-189.
Wang, Q., Garrity, G.M., Tiedje, J.M., and Cole, J.R. (2007) Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid
assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **73**: 5261-
5267.
Wang, X., Olsen, L.M., Reitan, K.I., and Olsen, Y. (2012) Discharge of nutrient wastes from salmon
farms: environmental effects, and potential for integrated multi-trophic aquaculture. *Aquaculture*
Environment Interactions **2**: 267-283.
Wei, G., Li, M., Li, F., Li, H., and Gao, Z. (2016) Distinct distribution patterns of prokaryotes between
sediment and water in the Yellow River estuary. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **100**: 9683-9697.
Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R. et al. (2019) Welcome to
the Tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software* **4**: 1686.
Won, N.I., Kim, K.H., Kang, J.H., Park, S.R., and Lee, H.J. (2017) Exploring the Impacts of
Anthropogenic Disturbance on Seawater and Sediment Microbial Communities in Korean Coastal
Waters Using Metagenomics Analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **14**: 130.
Wu, D.M., Dai, Q.P., Liu, X.Z., Fan, Y.P., and Wang, J.X. (2019) Comparison of bacterial community
structure and potential functions in hypoxic and non-hypoxic zones of the Changjiang Estuary. *PLoS*
One **14**: e0217431.
Wu, R.S.S. (1995) The environmental impact of marine fish culture: Towards a sustainable future.
Marine Pollution Bulletin **31**: 159-166.
Yang, Y., Gao, Y., Huang, X., Ni, P., Wu, Y., Deng, Y., and Zhan, A. (2019) Adaptive shifts of
bacterioplankton communities in response to nitrogen enrichment in a highly polluted river. *Environ*
Pollut **245**: 290-299.

Yarza, P., Yilmaz, P., Pruesse, E., Glockner, F.O., Ludwig, W., Schleifer, K.H. et al. (2014) Uniting the
classification of cultured and uncultured bacteria and archaea using 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Nat*
Rev Microbiol **12**: 635-645.
Yilmaz, P., Parfrey, L.W., Yarza, P., Gerken, J., Pruesse, E., Quast, C. et al. (2014) The SILVA and "all-
species living tree project (LTP)" taxonomic frameworks. *Nucleic acids research* **42**: D643-D648.
Yoshikawa, T., and Eguchi, M. (2013) Planktonic processes contribute significantly to the organic
carbon budget of a coastal fish-culturing area. *Aquaculture Environment Interactions* **4**: 239-250.
Yu, Z., Yang, J., Amalfitano, S., Yu, X., and Liu, L. (2014) Effects of water stratification and mixing on
microbial community structure in a subtropical deep reservoir. *Sci Rep* **4**: 5821.
Zarkasi, K.Z., Taylor, R.S., Abell, G.C., Tamplin, M.L., Glencross, B.D., and Bowman, J.P. (2016) Atlantic
salmon (Salmo salar L.) gastrointestinal microbial community dynamics in relation to digesta
properties and diet. *Microbial ecology* **71**: 589-603.
Zhang, K., Zheng, X., He, Z., Yang, T., Shu, L., Xiao, F. et al. (2020) Fish growth enhances microbial
sulfur cycling in aquaculture pond sediments. *Microb Biotechnol* **13**: 1597-1610.
Zhang, Q., Tang, F., Zhou, Y., Xu, J., Chen, H., Wang, M., and Laanbroek, H.J. (2015) Shifts in the
pelagic ammonia-oxidizing microbial communities along the eutrophic estuary of Yong River in
Ningbo City, China. *Front Microbiol* **6**: 1180.
Zorz, J., Willis, C., Comeau, A.M., Langille, M.G.I., Johnson, C.L., Li, W.K.W., and LaRoche, J. (2019)
Drivers of Regional Bacterial Community Structure and Diversity in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean.
Front Microbiol **10**: 281.
1089