**Title:** A descending inhibitory mechanism of nociception mediated by an evolutionarily conserved neuropeptide

system in *Drosophila*

**Authors:** Izumi Oikawa[1], Shu Kondo[2], Kao Hashimoto[3], Akiho Yoshida[1], Megumi Hamajima[4], Hiromu

Tanimoto[5], Katsuo Furukubo-Tokunaga[6], Ken Honjo[4, 6*]

**Affiliations**

[1]Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.

[2]Faculty of Advanced Engineering, Tokyo University of Science, Katsushika-ku, Tokyo 125-8585, Japan.

[3]College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.

[4]Center for Development of Advanced Medicine for Dementia, National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology,

Obu, Aichi 474-8511, Japan.

[5]Graduate School of Life Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai, Miyagi 980-8577, Japan.

[6]Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8572, Japan.

*Correspondence: Ken Honjo (khonjo@ncgg.go.jp, khonjo831@gmail.com)

**Abstract**

Nociception is a neural process that animals have developed to avoid potentially tissue-damaging stimuli. While nociception is triggered in the peripheral nervous system, its modulation by the central nervous system is a critical process in mammals, whose dysfunction has been extensively implicated in chronic pain pathogenesis. The peripheral mechanisms of nociception are largely conserved across the animal kingdom. However, it is unclear whether the brain-mediated modulation is also conserved in non-mammalian species. Here, we show that *Drosophila* has a descending inhibitory mechanism of nociception from the brain, mediated by the neuropeptide Drosulfakinin (DSK), a homolog of cholecystokinin (CCK) that plays an important role in the descending control of nociception in mammals. We found that mutants lacking *dsk* or its receptors are hypersensitive to noxious heat. Through a combination of genetic, behavioral, histological, and $Ca^{2+}$ imaging analyses, we subsequently revealed neurons involved in DSK-mediated nociceptive regulation at a single-cell resolution and identified a DSKergic descending neuronal pathway that inhibits nociception. This study provides the first evidence for a descending modulatory mechanism of nociception from the brain in a non-mammalian species that is mediated by the evolutionarily conserved CCK system, raising the possibility that the descending inhibition is an ancient mechanism to regulate nociception.

**Introduction**

Minimizing tissue damage is a fundamental task for all animals to increase their chance of survival. Thus, elucidating the principles of nociception, the neural process detecting and encoding potentially tissue-damaging stimuli, is critical to understanding the molecular and neural mechanisms implementing adaptive behaviors and their evolution. Nociceptors are sensory neurons specialized to detect harmful stimuli, whose activation triggers downstream nociceptive circuits and nocifensive responses (1). Since the activities of nociceptors and downstream nociceptive circuits are tightly linked to pain perception in humans, unveiling the mechanisms of nociception is also crucial to a better understanding of human pain mechanisms (2, 3).

Descending inhibition has been suggested to be a pivotal mechanism in the modulation of nociception and pain in mammals. Since the discovery that electrical stimulations of parts of the midbrain in rats enabled surgical operations without anesthetics (4), mammalian descending nociceptive pathways have been implicated in various analgesic phenomena/treatments and the development of chronic pain states, suggesting their critical role in modulating nociception and pain (5, 6). However, brain-mediated modulatory mechanisms of nociception such as descending inhibition have currently been identified only in mammals, despite a high degree of commonality across species in the peripheral nociceptive mechanisms (7, 8). Therefore, it is unknown whether the descending modulation is a *de novo* mechanism typical of the highly developed mammalian central nervous system (CNS) or a conserved control also present in simpler animals.

The descending nociceptive-modulatory systems in mammals have been revealed to involve various

neurochemical pathways (5, 6, 9); among these, the cholecystokinin (CCK) system is one of the most extensively

characterized (6, 9). In rodents, CCK signaling plays a crucial role in facilitating nociception by counteracting the

opioidergic systems in the periaqueductal gray (PAG)—rostral ventral medulla (RVM)—spinal descending

pathway (10-13) and inhibiting nociception through the central amygdala (CeA)—PAG—spinal pathway (14). In

humans, CCK signaling has been implicated in nocebo hyperalgesia, mediated by the descending nociceptive

control system (15). The CCK system is very well-conserved and has been implicated in several common

physiological functions among bilaterian species (16-20). However, whether CCK is functionally involved in

regulating nociception outside of mammals remains unknown.

Drosulfakinin (DSK), a neuropeptide homologous to CCK, was identified in the fruit fly *Drosophila*

*melanogaster* (19). Fly DSK is reportedly involved in modulating many physiological functions shared with

mammals, including gut functions, anxiety, aggression, memory, feeding, synaptic functions, and courtship

behaviors (19, 21-23). After the discovery of stereotyped nociceptive escape behavior called rolling and

polymodal Class IV md (C4da) nociceptors (24, 25), the larval *Drosophila* has been successfully utilized to

identify evolutionarily conserved and previously uncharacterized molecular pathways in nociception (26-34) and

circuitry mechanisms in the ventral nerve cord (VNC; the invertebrate equivalent of the spinal cord) to compute

multimodal sensory stimuli and select nociceptive escape strategies (35-40). Previous studies have demonstrated

that neuropeptidergic systems also participate in regulating nociception in *Drosophila* (39, 41-44). However, the

role of fly DSK in nociception remains elusive.

Here, using a collective approach of genetic, behavioral, histological, and $Ca^{2+}$ imaging analyses, we

pursued the mechanisms of DSK-mediated nociceptive regulation and demonstrate that the DSK system

constitutes a descending inhibitory pathway of nociception from the brain to the VNC in larval *Drosophila.*

**Results**

**DSK signaling negatively regulates thermal nociception**

Through a thermal nociception screen using the nocifensive rolling response of *Drosophila* larvae, we found that a

deletion mutant line of the *dsk* gene showed thermal hypersensitivity with a significantly shorter latency in their

response to a 42 °C probe than the controls, suggesting that DSK plays a role in negatively regulating nociception

(Fig. 1A and B). A genomic fragment containing the wild-type *dsk* gene, and no other neuropeptide genes,

significantly rescued the thermal hypersensitivity of the *dsk* mutants (Fig. 1B), confirming that *dsk* is responsible

for the thermal hypersensitivity.

DSK has been shown to activate two G-protein coupled receptors, CCKLR-17D1 and CCKLR-17D3 (45,

46), which are orthologous to the mammalian CCK receptors, CCKAR (also known as $CCK_1$) and CCKBR (also

known as $CCK_2$) (16-18). To test whether these receptors mediate DSK signaling in nociception, we generated

deletion mutants for *CCKLR-17D1* and *CCKLR-17D3* using CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing and tested them for

thermal nociception (Fig. 1C-F). When stimulated with a 42 °C probe, three independent deletion lines of the

*CCKLR-17D1* and *CCKLR-17D3* exhibited thermal hypersensitivity (Fig. 1D and F), further supporting the role

of DSK signaling in the negative regulation of thermal nociception. To examine whether the phenotypes of

*CCKLR-17D1* and *CCKLR-17D3* mutants are classified as hyperalgesia (hypersensitivity to normally noxious

stimuli) or allodynia (abnormal hypersensitivity to normally innocuous stimuli), we tested the receptor mutants

with a 38 °C probe, which is close to the threshold of larval thermal nociception (39 °C) (24). We found that the

responses of the DSK receptor mutants were indistinguishable from the controls, indicating that the DSK receptor

mutants are hypersensitive to suprathreshold thermal stimuli, thus hyperalgesic (Fig. S1).

**Two groups of brain neurons expressing DSK are responsible for regulating nociception**

We attempted to identify DSK-expressing cells in the larval CNS that are responsible for regulating nociception.

Unlike mammalian CCK, which is expressed in the CNS and gastrointestinal system, *Drosophila* DSK is

expressed in the CNS but not in the gut (19, 47-50). Previous immunohistochemical studies have reported

putative DSK-expressing cells in the larval CNS (47, 49). However, since the specificity of the DSK antibodies

has not been validated with null mutants in the former studies, it has been a concern that the reported

DSK-expressing cells could include non-DSK cells that express the other neuropeptides sharing the C-terminal

RFa motif with DSK (51). Consistent with this concern, we found that an antibody against crustacean FLRFa, an

FMRFa-like neuropeptide with the C-terminal RFa motif, gives rise to a comparable staining pattern to that of the

previously reported DSK antibodies, visualizing cells designated as insulin-producing cells (IPCs; referred to as

SP3 in Nichols and Lim, (1996) (47)), MP1, SP1, SP2, Sv, SE2, Tv1-3, T2dm, and A8 in the larval CNS (Fig. 2A)

(47, 49).

To identify bona fide DSK-expressing cells responsible for regulating nociception, we performed

anti-FLRFa staining in multiple *dsk* null alleles and found that the staining signals persist in all but two pairs of

neurons, MP1 and Sv, in the mutant CNS (Fig. 2B-D and S2A-D), suggesting that these two pairs of neurons are

the only neurons in the larval CNS that express DSK. The genomic rescue fragment that rescued the thermal

hypersensitivity of *dsk* mutants (Fig. 1B) also restored the anti-FLRFa signals in MP1 and Sv in a *dsk* mutant

background (Fig. 2E), suggesting that the *dsk* gene is responsible for the anti-FLRFa signals in these neurons as

well as the thermal nociceptive responses of larvae. This expression pattern was further corroborated by the

transgenic reporter line *DSK-GAL4* and the 2A-GAL4 knock-in reporter line *DSK-2A-GAL4* (52), both of which

we found were expressed only in MP1 and Sv neurons among the anti-FLRFa-positive neurons (Fig. 2A, C, and

F; Fig. S2B-F). We also found that anti-FLRFa, *DSK-GAL4*, and *DSK-2A-GAL4* do not visualize larval peripheral

neurons including C4da nociceptors (Fig. 2A and F; Fig. S2G). Taken together, these results identified two sets of

brain neurons, MP1 and Sv, as the DSK-expressing cells that are involved in regulating nociception in larvae.

**CCKLR-17D1 in Goro neurons functions to negatively regulate nociception**

We sought the potential target cells of DSK signaling for regulating nociception. Since DSK receptor mutants

were thermally hypersensitive consistently to *dsk* mutants (Fig. 1B, D, and F), neurons in the larval nociceptive

circuit that express DSK receptors were promising candidates. To visualize the cells expressing DSK receptors,

we generated T2A-GAL4 knock-ins in *CCKLR* genes. Both *CCKLR-17D1-T2A-GAL4* and

*CCKLR-17D3-T2A-GAL4* were widely expressed in the larval CNS, predominantly in neuronal cells (Fig. 3A and

B; Fig. S3A-D). By performing double-labeling experiments, we found that *CCKLR-17D1-T2A-GAL4* and

*CCKLR-17D3-T2A-GAL4* are not expressed in the nociceptors at the periphery (Fig. 3C and D; Fig. S3E and F),

or in nociceptive interneurons including Basin1-4 (53), A08n (36, 41), and DnB neurons (35) in the larval VNC

(Fig. S3G-L). However, we found that they are expressed in Goro neurons (Fig. 3E and F), which are the

fourth-order nociceptive interneurons located in the larval VNC (53).

To test whether the DSK receptors in Goro neurons are functionally important for regulating nociception,

we performed RNAi and rescue experiments using *R69E06-GAL4* that marks Goro neurons (53). RNAi

knockdown of CCKLR-17D1, but not CCKLR-17D3, in *R69E06-GAL4* neurons induced thermal hypersensitivity

(Fig. 4A and Fig. S4A). *R69E06-GAL4* is also expressed in multiple neurons in the larval brain other than Goro

neurons in the VNC (53). However, the thermal hypersensitivity was not observed when the expression of

CCKLR-17D1 RNAi was excluded from Goro neurons by *tsh-GAL80*, pointing to the requirement of

CCKLR-17D1 in Goro neurons (Fig. S4B-D). Consistent with these RNAi results, expressing wild-type

CCKLR-17D1, but not CCKLR-17D3, with *R69E06-GAL4* rescued the hypersensitivity of the respective mutants

(Fig. 4B and C). Furthermore, the morphology of Goro neurons was not affected by CCKLR-17D1 knockdown

(Fig. S4E). Therefore, we conclude that CCKLR-17D1 functions in Goro neurons to negatively regulate thermal

nociception, but the function of CCKLR-17D3 in nociceptive regulations resides elsewhere.

Activation of Goro neurons elicits nocifensive rolling in larvae (53). Hence, if CCKLR-17D1 functions

in Goro neurons to negatively regulate nociception, the lack of CCKLR-17D1 should sensitize these neurons to

noxious heat. We directly addressed this hypothesis by using a $Ca^{2+}$ imaging technique we had previously

developed (32, 35), whereby we monitored GCaMP6m signals in Goro neurons while locally applying thermal

ramp stimuli to the larval body wall. In our thermal ramp stimulation protocol, the temperature around the larval

CNS hardly reached 28 °C during the heat ramp stimulations (27.0 ± 0.2 °C at the peak, n = 12), suggesting that

the larval nociceptive system in the CNS that functions as an internal sensor for fast temperature increase (54)

was unlikely to be activated.

Goro neurons in *CCKLR-17D1$^{A1}$* mutants showed a significantly steeper GCaMP6m signal increase

from 40 to 50 °C in comparison with the wild-type controls (Fig. 5A and B, Movie S1 and S2). Since the baseline

fluorescence levels of GCaMP6m were not significantly different in the wild-type and *CCKLR-17D1$^{A1}$* mutants

(Fig. 5C), these data demonstrate that Goro neurons in *CCKLR-17D1$^{A1}$* mutants are specifically sensitized to a

noxious range of heat. Suppressing CCKLR-17D1 by RNAi in Goro neurons also induced significantly sensitized

responses of Goro to noxious temperatures of 44–49 °C (Fig. 5D-F, Movie S3 and S4). In contrast, Goro neurons

in *CCKLR-17D3$^{A1}$* mutants exhibited GCaMP6m signals that were mildly elevated but largely parallel compared

with that of the controls (Fig. S5, Movie S5 and S6), providing further evidence for the major functioning of

CCKLR-17D3 in nociceptive regulation outside Goro neurons. Considered together, these data demonstrate that

CCKLR-17D1 functions to negatively regulate the activity of Goro neurons, thereby attenuating behavioral

nociceptive responses.

**Neuronal projections and thermal responsiveness of DSK-expressing neurons**

If CCKLR-17D1 is involved in regulating the activity of Goro neurons, how can DSK be conveyed from the brain

to the VNC? We noticed that some of the *DSK-GAL4* positive brain neurons sent descending neural processes to

the VNC (Fig. 6A), which were all anti-FLRFa positive (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, the anti-FLRFa signals in the

descending projections were completely absent in the *dsk* mutants (Fig. 6C), suggesting that these descending

projections likely originated from the DSK-expressing brain cells, namely MP1 and/or Sv. In analyzing

*DSK-2A-GAL4*, we found that MP1 neurons in fact sent the descending projections to the VNC (Fig. 6D). To

further understand the projection patterns of MP1 and Sv neurons in detail, we performed single-cell labeling

using an FLP-out technique and revealed that MP1 neurons sent descending projections contralaterally to the

VNC (Fig. 6E), while Sv neurons projected within the brain (Fig. 6F). In comparison with the longitudinal

processes to the VNC, MP1 neural processes in the brain possessed few anti-FLRFa positive puncta, which

represent DSK in these neurons (Fig. 6G). Furthermore, when the somatodendritic marker *UAS-Denmark* (55) and

the synaptic vesicle marker *UAS-syt::eGFP* were expressed in MP1 neurons, Denmark preferentially localized in

the neural processes within the brain while syt::eGFP strongly accumulated in the processes descending to the

VNC (Fig. 6H). Collectively, these data demonstrate that MP1 neurons project DSK-positive descending axons to

the VNC from the brain.

Next, we investigated whether some of these DSK-expressing neurons are responsive to noxious thermal

stimuli. In order to examine the responsiveness of multiple neurons in intact larvae, we took the snapshot

approach using CaMPARI, a genetically-encoded $Ca^{2+}$ sensor whose fluorescence irreversibly changes from the

green to the red region of the spectrum in response to irradiation with 405 nm UV light in a $Ca^{2+}$ dependent

manner, and is thus useful to monitor the activities of multiple neurons simultaneously in intact animals (56).

When the larvae expressing CaMPARI2 (an improved version of CaMPARI (57)) in Goro neurons were

stimulated by local thermal ramp stimuli under a 405 nm UV light, a significantly increased CaMPARI2

photoconversion was detected compared to the control group that had only been irradiated with 405nm UV (Fig.

7A and B), confirming that by using CaMPARI2 in our experimental setup we managed to successfully capture

the increased activity of Goro neurons in response to noxious heat in intact larvae. We then tested the

responsiveness of *DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons using the same stimulation and photoconversion protocol and found

that none of the MP1 or Sv neurons, nor IPCs showed increased CaMPARI2 photoconversion in response to local

thermal ramp stimuli (Fig. 7A, C-E). Interestingly, MP1 neurons exhibited high CaMPARI2 photoconversion

regardless of the presence of local heat ramp stimuli, which was even comparable to that observed in Goro

neurons activated by noxious heat stimuli (Fig. 7A and C). These data suggest that none of the *DSK-2A-GAL4*

neurons are clearly responsive to noxious heat, but the markedly high CaMPARI2 photoconversion observed in

MP1 neurons raises the possibility that these neurons may be active regardless of the presence of noxious heat

stimuli.

**Connectivity between DSKergic and Goro neurons**

To gain more insights into the connectivity between DSK-expressing brain neurons and Goro neurons,

we further examined the anatomical relationship between MP1 axons and Goro neurons in the VNC. Performing

double-labeling experiments, we found that MP1 axons with anti-FLRFa signals (representing DSK in MP1

axons) partially overlapped with Goro neurites in the thoracic segments of the larval VNC (Fig. 8A). GFP

reconstitution was reproducibly detected between MP1 and Goro neurons using the CD4-GRASP (GFP

Reconstitution Across Synaptic Partners) system (58, 59), thus confirming that MP1 axons and Goro neurons are

indeed in close proximity (Fig. 8B). Since the CD4-GRASP is known to detect general cell-cell contacts (60, 61),

we further investigated whether MP1 and Goro neurons are synaptically connected by using the nSyb-GRASP

technique, which specifically enables synapse detection by localizing one of the split-GFP fragments in

presynaptic sites (62). However, no signals of reconstituted GFP were detected between MP1 and Goro with

nSyb-GRASP, although we found proximate localizations of nSyb-GFP$_{1\text{-}10}$ in the MP1 axons with the Goro

neurites expressing CD4::GFP$_{11}$ (Fig. 8C). We also employed the *trans*-Tango system (63) as another tool to

detect synaptic connectivity. However, when the *trans*-Tango ligand was expressed by *DSK-2A-GAL4,* synaptic

connectivity between MP1 and Goro was not indicated since the postsynaptic activation of *trans*-Tango signals

was not observed in Goro neurons, although some *trans*-Tango-positive neurons were observed around Goro

neurons (Fig. 8D).

Although we could not find positive evidence for synaptic connectivity between MP1 axons and Goro

neurons, we further tested the hypothesis that DSK-expressing neurons in the brain may have functional

connectivity to Goro neurons. To achieve this, we first attempted artificial activation experiments of

*DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons using *UAS-dTRPA1* to determine whether activating DSK-expressing neurons actually

attenuates larval nociception. dTRPA1 is a cation channel gated by warm temperature (> 29 °C) that has been

used as a tool to artificially activate neurons of interest in *Drosophila* (64). When the larvae expressing dTRPA1

in C4da nociceptors with *ppk1.9-GAL4* were placed in a chamber at 35°C, dTRPA1-induced thermogenetic

activation of C4da nociceptors caused nocifensive rolling responses within two seconds in the majority of animals,

as reported previously (65) (Fig. 9A and B). In contrast, when the larvae expressing dTRPA1 simultaneously in

*ppk1.9-GAL4* and *DSK-2A-GAL4* were placed in a chamber at 35°C, the additional activation of *DSK-2A-GAL4*

neurons to C4da nociceptors resulted in a markedly reduced percentage of larvae showing rolling responses

within two seconds and in significantly lengthened latencies to exhibit a rolling response (Fig. 9A and B). None of

the animals expressing dTRPA1 with *DSK-2A-GAL4* alone showed a rolling response within 10 seconds (Fig.

9A).

The above data suggest that activation of *DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons inhibits larval nociception. We then

investigated whether activating *DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons could attenuate the activity of Goro neurons, by

combining the artificial activation experiments described above with CaMPARI2 snapshot imaging of Goro

neurons. Indeed, CaMPARI2 photoconversion in Goro neurons induced by the thermogenetic activations of C4da

nociceptors was significantly decreased by 35% with the simultaneous activation of *DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons (Fig.

9C and D). Given that MP1 neurons were the only cells that were labeled by *DSK-2A-GAL4* with 100%

reproducibility, while the expression of *DSK-2A-GAL4* in IPCs and Sv neurons was minor and stochastic (Fig. 2F),

the observed inhibition of behavioral nociception and Goro neurons caused by activating *DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons

is mostly attributable to the activation of MP1 neurons. Thus, these data support the functional connectivity

between DSKergic MP1 neurons in the brain and Goro neurons.

**Discussion**

In this study, we have demonstrated that (1) DSK and its receptors CCKLR-17D1 and CCKLR-17D3 are involved

in negatively regulating thermal nociception, (2) Two sets of brain neurons, MP1 and Sv, express DSK in the

larval nervous system, (3) One of the DSK receptors (CCKLR-17D1) functions in Goro neurons in the VNC to

negatively regulate thermal nociception, and (4) Thermogenetic activation of *DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons attenuates

both larval nociception and the activity of Goro neurons. Based on these results, we propose that the DSKergic

neurons regulating the activity of Goro neurons constitute a descending inhibitory pathway of nociception from

the brain to the VNC in larval *Drosophila* (Fig. 10). To our knowledge, our findings represent the first evidence of

a descending mechanism modulating nociception from the brain in a non-mammalian species.

**DSKergic descending system as a physiological modulator of nociception**

DSK has been implicated in multiple physiological and developmental processes in *Drosophila* (19, 21-23).

Previous studies have shown that *dsk* and *CCKLR-17D1* mutants exhibit significant reductions of synaptic growth

and excitability in larval neuromuscular junctions (NMJ) and larval locomotion under bright light (45, 66),

suggesting the importance of the DSK/CCKLR-17D1 signaling pathway in the developmental processes of

motoneurons. However, in this study, no major developmental defects were observed in Goro neurons with

CCKLR-17D1 RNAi (Fig. S4E). Furthermore, the simultaneous thermogenetic activations of *DSK-2A-GAL4*

neurons and C4da nociceptors inhibited larval nociception and the activity of Goro neurons (Fig. 9). Given the

pronociceptive role of Goro neurons, their reduced synaptic or neuronal activity should cause nociceptive

insensitivity or reduced $Ca^{2+}$ responses, which is contradicting to our observation that CCKLR-17D1 knockdown

in Goro produced the thermal hypersensitivity and exaggerated $Ca^{2+}$ responses (Fig 4 and 5). Thus, these data

consistently support a physiological role for DSKergic descending signals in the modulation of the activity of

Goro neurons rather than a developmental role, highlighting the functional differences of the DSK/CCKLR-17D1

pathway between the NMJ and the nociceptive system in the CNS.

**DSK in larval IPCs dispensable for nociceptive modulation**

A previous study has reported the expression of DSK in a population of larval IPCs and its functions in

responding to starving conditions (49). However, we observed that anti-FLRFa signals in IPCs persisted in *dsk*

null mutants and that anti-FLRFa signals in IPCs hardly overlap with either *DSK-GAL4* or *DSK-2A-GAL4*

expressions (Fig. 2 and S2). Although we used a different antibody from that in the study mentioned above, the

number of IPCs visualized by anti-FLRFa was comparable to that of cells visualized by anti-DSK (Fig. S2) (49).

Regarding the functions of IPCs in nociception, Im et al. showed that silencing *dilp2-GAL4* positive IPCs has no

significant effect on the baseline nociceptive responses (67). In our CaMPARI2 imaging, IPCs marked by

*DSK-2A-GAL4* showed very low baseline activity and no responsiveness to noxious heat (Fig. 7). Thus, the

current and previous studies strongly suggest that IPCs are likely irrelevant for DSK-mediated nociceptive

regulation, at least under normal conditions, although it is still possible for DSK to be expressed stochastically in

a limited population of IPCs.

**Functional mechanisms of DSKergic nociceptive inhibitory system**

Although our data suggest the existence of a DSKergic descending inhibitory system from the brain to the Goro

neurons in the VNC, there are multiple remaining questions for future studies on the mechanisms by which

DSK-expressing neurons in the brain regulate Goro neurons: First, whether both MP1 and Sv neurons are

involved in nociceptive inhibition remains unclear. Our findings consistently point to MP1 neurons over Sv

neurons as a major source of DSK regulating Goro neurons. However, given the potential of DSK for distant

actions through diffusion, the possibility of Sv neurons communicating with Goro neurons cannot be eliminated.

Further analysis using finer genetic/neuronal tools will be necessary to tease the functions of MP1 and Sv neurons

apart and understand the functional mechanism of the DSKergic system in the regulation of larval nociception.

Second, how this DSKergic system is activated to regulate nociception *in vivo* needs further

investigation. We observed very high CaMPARI2 photoconversion in MP1 neurons without noxious heat stimuli,

which did not further increase in response to noxious heat application (Fig. 7). These data raise the hypothesis that

MP1 neurons may function as a tonically active brake for nociceptive circuits, rather than a simple negative

feedback system that is activated by nociceptive stimuli as input. The tonically active model of MP1 function is

also consistent with our data showing that *dsk* and *CCLR-17D1* mutations, as well as CCLR-17D1 RNAi in Goro

neurons, all caused thermal hypersensitivity under normal conditions (Fig. 1 and 4). Up to this point, no external

or internal signals have been directly shown to be the input into larval DSKergic neurons. However, since DSK

has been implicated in the regulation of several physiological processes such as hunger and stress, sensory or

molecular signals that are involved in these physiological processes have the potential to serve as upstream cues

that activate DSKergic neurons. Alternatively, it is also possible that MP1 neurons may have spontaneous activity.

Further physiological studies on the activity and responsiveness of DSKergic neurons would be required to

elucidate how the DSKergic system functions to regulate nociception in larvae under normal conditions.

289           Third, the transmission mechanisms of DSK from the brain to Goro neurons need further clarification.

Our nSyb-GRASP and *trans*-Tango experiments consistently showed negative results, indicating no synaptic

connectivity between MP1 descending axons and Goro neurons (Fig. 8). Thus, the interaction between DSK from

DSKergic neurons in the brain and Goro neurons in the VNC may be mediated non-synaptically through volume

transmission, as described in many neuropeptidergic systems (68). Many of the CCKLR-expressing neurons in the

VNC are distantly located from the descending MP1 axons (Fig. 3 and 6). Since it is unlikely that all these

CCKLR-expressing neurons are synaptically connected to MP1 axons, neuronal communications through volume

transmission can be fairly assumed for DSKergic systems in the larval VNC. More detailed analyses at an electron

microscopic level of the circuitry connectivity between MP1 and Goro neurons and of the localization of

DSK-containing vesicles in DSKergic neurons as well as DSK receptors in Goro neurons would be necessary to

further clarify the transmission mechanisms of DSK from the brain to Goro neurons.

**Multiple molecular pathways for DSK signaling in the regulation of nociception**

The data presented in this study also suggest that DSK signaling could regulate larval nociception through

multiple pathways other than the DSK/CCKLR-17D1 system. For example, while *CCKLR-17D3* mutants

exhibited as severe thermal hypersensitivity as *CCKLR-17D1* mutants (Fig. 1D and F), our RNAi and rescue

experiments failed to locate the function of CCKLR-17D3 to Goro neurons (Fig. 4A and C). Our GCaMP $Ca^{2+}$

imaging also revealed that Goro neurons lacking CCKLR-17D3 showed modestly sensitized responses to noxious

heat (Fig. S5). These results suggest the major functioning of CCKLR-17D3 in Goro-independent nociceptive

pathways. We also observed that DSK receptor mutants exhibited more severe thermal phenotypes than *dsk*

mutants (Fig. 1), which may indicate the presence of unidentified DSK- or CCKLR-dependent signaling pathways

in nociception-related cells. Further research is apparently necessary to reveal the whole picture of nociceptive

regulations mediated by DSK and its receptors in larval *Drosophila*.

**Potential conservation of CCK-mediated descending nociceptive controls**

The CCK system is thought to be one of the most ancient neuropeptide systems, suggested to have multiple

common physiological functions across taxa (16-20). In this study, we demonstrate that CCKergic signaling in

*Drosophila* participates in nociceptive modulation through a descending inhibitory pathway similarly to the

mammalian CCK system, adding new evidence about the conserved physiological roles of CCK.

316           Unlike the peripheral nociceptive systems, it is still challenging to align the *Drosophila* and mammalian

CCKergic descending pathways due to low homologies of the CNS structures between *Drosophila* and mammals,

wide-spread CCK expression in the mammalian CNS, and the multiple roles of the CCKergic systems in

mammalian nociceptive controls (10-14, 69-73). However, the common usage of an orthologous molecular

pathway in descending controls of nociception between the two evolutionarily distant clades raises a fascinating

new hypothesis that the descending control from the brain may also be an ancient, conserved mechanism of

nociception, which has emerged in the common ancestor of protostomes and deuterostomes. It will be of interest

for future research to investigate whether the role of CCK signaling in descending nociceptive controls is also

present in other species.

**Potentials of non-mammalian models to study the mechanisms of pain modulation and pain pathology in**

**the CNS**

Non-mammalian model systems have been increasingly recognized as powerful tools to identify novel

pain-related molecular pathways (2, 74-77). However, the utilization of these models has so far been mostly

limited to the research on peripheral pain pathophysiology, and few studies have used them to investigate central

pain pathophysiology (2, 78). Descending nociceptive control mechanisms are crucial for central pain modulation

and have been implicated in the development of chronic pain states in humans (5, 6). Thus, the current study

opens the door to a new approach to using powerful neurogenetic tools and the simpler nervous system of

*Drosophila* for elucidating the functional principles of descending nociceptive systems, which may potentially

contribute to our understanding of the mechanisms underlying central pain modulation and pain pathology due to

dysfunctions of descending modulatory pathways.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank the NIG-Fly Stock Center, TRiP at Harvard Medical School (NIH/NIGMS R01-GM084947), the

Bloomington Stock Center, and Drs. Masayuki Koganezawa, Takeshi Awasaki, Barry Ganetzky, and Marta Zlatic

for fly stocks. We are grateful to Dr. Eve Marder for kindly providing the anti-FLRFa antibody. We also thank Drs.

Yoshiki Hayashi, Makoto Hayashi, and Satoru Kobayashi for their support in imaging with Leica SP5 and SP8.

This study was supported by grants from JSPS KAKENHI to K Honjo (17K14928, 19K06935, and 22K06328)

and HT (17H01378 and 26250001), and grants from the National Center for Geriatrics and Gerontology (21-47),

the Uehara Memorial Foundation, and the Mochida Memorial Foundation for Medical and Pharmaceutical

Research to K Honjo.

**Author contributions:** K Honjo conceived the research. IO, K Hashimoto, AY, MH, and K Honjo performed

experiments and analyzed data. SK and HT generated $dsk^{sk1}$ mutant and DSK receptor GAL4 lines. K Honjo

wrote the manuscript with input and edits from IO, SK, HT, and KFT.

**Declaration of interests:** Authors declare no competing interests.

**Figures and figure legends**

**Fig 1. DSK signaling is involved in negatively regulating nociception.**

(A) Predicted amino acid sequences of pro-DSK peptide in the wild-type (top) and *dsk^{sk1}* mutants (bottom). Due to

a 5-base deletion at the +94-99 position in the coding sequence, $dsk^{sk1}$ mutants are predicted to produce a largely

truncated pro-DSK peptide unable to be processed to active DSK peptides. Red letters represent residues different

from the wild-type and asterisks indicate stop codons. (B) Hypersensitivity of $dsk^{sk1}$ mutants to a 42°C thermal

probe. Significantly shortened latencies of $dsk^{sk1}$ mutants (n = 143) compared with the controls (n = 164) were

recovered in genomic rescue animals (*Dp(3;1)2-2*; $dsk^{sk1}$, n = 139). (Left) Histograms (Right) Box plots of

latencies. *** p < 0.001 Steel's test. (C) Predicted amino acid sequence of CCKLR-17D1 in the wild-type and

*CCKLR-17D1* mutants. *CCKLR-17D1*$^{\Delta1}$, *CCKLR-17D1*$^{\Delta2}$, and *CCKLR-17D1*$^{\Delta3}$ have 17-base (+1573-1589),

2-base (+1575-1576) and 32-base (+1573-1604) deletions in the coding sequence respectively, which result in

large frameshifts completely abolishing the sixth and seventh transmembrane domains (TMs). (D)

*CCKLR-17D1*$^{\Delta1}$ (n = 54), *CCKLR-17D1*$^{\Delta2}$ (n = 51) and *CCKLR-17D1*$^{\Delta3}$ mutants (n = 57) all showed significantly

shorter latencies than control (n = 38). (Left) Histograms (Right) Box plots of latencies. *** p < 0.001 Steel's test.

(E) Predicted amino acid sequence of CCKLR-17D3 in the wild-type and *CCKLR-17D3* mutants. *CCKLR-17D3*$^{\Delta1}$,

*CCKLR-17D3*$^{\Delta2}$, and *CCKLR-17D3*$^{\Delta3}$ possess 8-base (+474-481), 32-base (+457-488), and 5-base (+472-476)

deletions in the coding sequence respectively, which result in large frameshifts and truncation in the middle of the

second TM. (F) *CCKLR-17D3*$^{\Delta1}$ (n = 32), *CCKLR-17D3*$^{\Delta2}$ (n = 38), and *CCKLR-17D3*$^{\Delta3}$ mutants (n = 36) all

exhibited significantly shorter latencies than control (n = 70). (Left) Histograms (Right) Box plots of latencies.

*** p < 0.001 Steel's test. All box plots show median (middle line) and 25th to 75th percentiles with whiskers

indicating the smallest to the largest data points.

**Fig 2. DSK is expressed in two groups of larval brain neurons.**

(A) Representative image of *DSK-GAL4* expression and anti-FLRFa staining in the wild-type larval CNS. (B) Representative image of anti-FLRFa staining in *dsk^{sk1}* larval CNS. (C) Representative images of *DSK-GAL4* expression and anti-FLRFa staining in the control larval brains. Arrows and arrowheads indicate MP1 and Sv neurons, respectively. Co-expressions of DSK-GAL4 and anti-FLRFa were observed in MP1 and Sv in all of the examined samples (n = 27/27), but in IPCs only in 7% (n = 2/27). (D) Representative images of *DSK-GAL4* expression and anti-FLRFa staining in the *dsk^{sk1}* larval brains. Arrows and arrowheads indicate MP1 and Sv neurons, respectively. (E) Representative images of *DSK-GAL4* expression and anti-FLRFa staining in the larval brains of the genomic rescue genotype (*Dp(3;1)2-2*/Y; *+/lexA-rCD2::RFP UAS-mCD8::GFP*; *dsk^{sk1}* *DSK-GAL4/dsk^{sk1}*). Arrows and arrowheads indicate MP1 and Sv neurons, respectively. (F) An example image showing the expression of *DSK-2A-GAL4*, a 2A-GAL4 knock-in line of the *dsk* gene, more faithfully recapitulating its endogenous expression. Arrows and arrowheads indicate MP1 and Sv neurons, respectively. MP1 neurons were labeled in 100% of *DSK-2AGAL4* samples (n = 41/41), while a single IPC in 51.2% (n = 21/41), multiple IPCs in 24.4% (n = 10/41), and Sv neurons in 17.1% (n = 7/41) of examined samples. All scale bars represent 20 µm.

**Fig 3. DSK receptors are expressed in Goro neurons in the larval VNC.**

(A and B) Representative images of *CCKLR-17D1-T2A-GAL4* (A) and *CCKLR-17D3-T2A-GAL4* (B) expressions

in the larval CNS. (C and D) Representative images showing double-labeling of *CCKLR-17D1-T2A-GAL4* (C)

and *CCKLR-17D3-T2A-GAL4* (D) with C4da nociceptors (*R38A10-lexA*). Arrows and arrowheads indicate cell

bodies of C4da nociceptors and es cells, respectively. (E and F) Representative images showing double-labeling

396    of *CCKLR-17D1-T2A-GAL4* (E) and *CCKLR-17D3-T2A-GAL4* (F) with Goro neurons (arrowheads,

397    *R69E06-lexA*). Expression patterns were confirmed in multiple samples (n = 7 and 5). All scale bars represent 20

398    μm.

**Fig 4. CCKLR-17D1 in Goro neurons is necessary and sufficient for normal thermal nociception.**

(A) RNAi of CCKLR-17D1 and CCKLR-17D3 using a Goro-GAL4 line *R69E06-GAL4*. Expressing

CCKLR-17D1 RNAi (*R69E06-GAL4* x *yv; JF02644,* n = 66) but not CCKLR-17D3 RNAi (*R69E06-GAL4* x *yv;*

*JF02968,* n = 95) with *R69E06-GAL4* caused significantly shorter latencies to 42 °C than controls (*R69E06-GAL4*

x *yv; attp2,* n = 46). (Left) Histograms (Right) Box plots of latencies. *** p < 0.001, NS (non-significant) p >

0.05 Steel's test. (B) Rescue of CCKLR-17D1 with *R69E06-GAL4*. The shortened latencies of *CCKLR-17D1^{Δ1}*

mutants observed in UAS controls (*CCKLR-17D1^{Δ1}*; *UAS-CCKLR-17D1/+,* n = 61) or GAL4 controls

(*CCKLR-17D1^{Δ1}*; *R69E06-GAL4/+,* n = 42) were restored in the rescue genotype (*CCKLR-17D1^{Δ1}*;

*UAS-CCKLR-17D1/+; R69E06-GAL4/+,* n = 52). (Left) Histograms (Right) Box plots of latencies. *** p < 0.001

Steel's test. (C) Rescue of CCKLR-17D3 with *R69E06-GAL4*. The shortened latencies of *CCKLR-17D3^{Δ1}* mutants

were unaltered in the rescue genotype (*CCKLR-17D3^{Δ1}*; *UAS-CCKLR-17D3/+; R69E06-GAL4/+,* n = 130)

compared with UAS controls (*CCKLR-17D3^{Δ1}*; *UAS-CCKLR-17D3/+,* n = 40) or GAL4 controls

(*CCKLR-17D3^{Δ1}*; *R69E06-GAL4/+,* n = 68). (Left) Histograms (Right) Box plots of latencies. NS

(non-significant) p > 0.05 Steel's test. All box plots show median (middle line) and 25th to 75th percentiles with

whiskers indicating the smallest to the largest data points.

**Fig 5. Goro neurons lacking CCKLR-17D1 show sensitized responses to noxious heat.**

(A) Representative still images showing thermal activation of Goro neurons in the controls (top, *yw*/Y; *R69E06-GAL4 UAS-GCaMP6m/+*) and *CCKLR-17D1$^{\Delta1}$* mutants (bottom, *CCKLR-17D1$^{\Delta1}$/Y; R69E06-GAL4 UAS-GCaMP6m/+*). See also Movie S1 and S2. (B) Average percent increase of GCaMP6m fluorescence intensity relative to the baseline ($\Delta F/F_0$) during heat ramp stimulations in controls (n = 15) and *CCKLR-17D1$^{\Delta1}$* (n = 15). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ Mann-Whitney's U-test. (C) Basal GCaMP6m signal levels ($F_0$) in controls (n = 15) and *CCKLR-17D1$^{\Delta1}$* mutants (n = 15). $p > 0.6$ Mann-Whitney's U-test. (D) Representative stills showing thermal activation of the controls (top, *R69E06-GAL4 UAS-GCaMP6m* x *yv; attp2*) and Goro neurons expressing CCKLR-17D1 RNAi (bottom, *R69E06-GAL4 UAS-GCaMP6m* x *yv; JF02644*). See also Movie S3 and S4. (E) Average percent increase of GCaMP6m fluorescence intensity relative to the baseline ($\Delta F/F_0$) during heat ramp stimulations in controls (n = 15) and CCKLR-17D1 RNAi (n = 16). * $p < 0.05$ Mann-Whitney's U-test. (F) Basal GCaMP6m levels ($F_0$) in controls (n = 15) and CCKLR-17D1 RNAi (n = 16). $p > 0.6$ Mann–Whitney's U-test. Error bars represent standard error. All box plots show median (middle line) and 25th to 75th percentiles with whiskers indicating the smallest to the largest data points. All scale bars represent 20 µm.

**Fig 6. Projection patterns of DSK-expressing neurons in the larval CNS.**

(A) Representative image showing descending axons from *DSK-GAL4* positive brain neurons (green) to the larval

VNC. (B) Representative image showing all descending projections labeled by *DSK-GAL4* (green) harbor

punctate anti-FLRFa signals (magenta) in the wild-type. (C) Representative image showing the complete absence

of punctate anti-FLRFa signals (magenta) in the descending projections labeled by *DSK-GAL4* (green) in the

*dsk*^*sk1* mutants. (D) Image showing the descending projections of MP1 neurons (arrows) marked by

*DSK-2A-GAL4*. (E) Image showing a single FLP-out clone of MP1 neuron (arrow) contralaterally sending

descending axons to the larval VNC. The yellow dashed line indicates the midline. (F) Image showing two

FLP-out clones of Sv neurons (arrowheads) sending ascending axons to both sides of the brain. (G) A projection

image showing the MP1 neurites in the brain. Note that few anti-FLRFa-positve puncta are associated with MP1

neurites within the brain. Similar expression patterns were observed in multiple samples (n = 3). The arrow

indicates the anti-FLRFa-positve MP1 soma. (H) Representative image showing localizations of syt::eGFP

(green) and Denmark (magenta) in MP1 neurons (*DSK-2A-GAL4* x *UAS-syt::eGFP UAS-Denmark*). Similar

expression patterns were observed in multiple samples (n = 7). All scale bars represent 20 µm.

A

B

Goro

C

MP1

D

Sv

E

IPCs

**Fig 7. Activity and thermal responsiveness of DSK-expressing neurons**

(A) Box plots of the quantified ratio of CaMPARI2 green signals and photoconverted CaMPARI2 red signals

detected by anti-CaMPARI-red in Goro, MP1, Sv, and IPCs (Red/Green) with or without local heat ramp

stimulation. Goro neurons showed a significantly higher Red/Green ratio of CaMPARI2 when local heat ramp

stimulation was applied to the larvae (n = 16 and 20, p < 0.001, Mann-Whitney's U-test). MP1 neurons showed a

high Red/Green ratio of CaMPARI2 both with and without local heat stimulation (n = 21 and 20, p > 0.3,

Mann-Whitney's U-test). The Red/Green ratio of CaMPARI2 was low in Sv compared to MP1 neurons, and

CaMPARI2 photoconversion was not increased by local heat stimulation (n = 9 and 10, p > 0.5, Mann-Whitney's

U-test). IPCs also exhibited low CaMPARI2 photoconversion regardless of the presence of local heat ramp

stimulation (n = 17 and 16, p > 0.25, Mann-Whitney's U-test). (B-E) Representative images showing CaMPARI2

green signals (green) and anti-CaMPARI-red signals (magenta) in Goro (B), MP1 (C), Sv (D), and IPCs (E). All

box plots show median (middle line) and 25th to 75th percentiles, with whiskers indicating the smallest to the

largest data points. Scale bars represent 10 μm.

**Fig 8. Synaptic connectivity between MP1 axons and Goro neurons is not detected**

(A) Representative images of the larval VNC showing partially overlapping MP1 axons (green) and processes of

Goro neurons (blue) that are associated with anti-FLRFa signals (red). MP1 axons partially overlapping Goro

neurons were similarly observed in all examined samples (n = 5). (B) Representative image showing

CD4-GRASP experiments between MP1 axons and Goro neurons (magenta). Signals of reconstituted GFP

(GRASP, green) were detected in all examined samples (n = 5). (C) Representative image showing nSyb-GRASP

experiments between MP1 axons (blue) and Goro neurons (red). Signals of reconstituted GFP (GRASP, green)

were not detectable in any of the examined samples (n = 5). (D) Representative image showing *trans*-Tango

experiments using *DSK-2A-GAL4* (*R69E06-lexA, lexAop-rCD2::RFP UAS-mCD8::GFP; DSK-2A-GAL4* x

*UAS-myrGFP, QUAS-mtdTomato::3xHA; trans-Tango*). Transsynaptic activation of Tango signals (blue;

mtdTomato::3xHA detected by anti-HA) was undetectable in Goro neurons (red; rCD2::RFP detected by

anti-CD2). Green represents MP1 axons labeled by *DSK-2A-GAL4* (myrGFP and mCD8::GFP detected by

anti-GFP). Signals of mtdTom::3xHA in Goro neurons were not detected in any of the examined samples (n = 4).

Arrows indicate Goro somata. All scale bars represent 20 µm, except for the insets in (A) (5 µm).

**Fig 9. Thermogenetic activation of *DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons inhibits larval nociception and Goro neurons**

(A and B) Thermogenetic activations of nociceptors and/or *DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons using *UAS-dTRPA1*. (A) Histogram representing the percentage of larvae exhibiting nociceptive rolling. Upon thermogenetic activations, 55% of larvae expressing dTRPA1 in nociceptors (ppk-GAL4 > dTRPA1; *ppk1.9-GAL4* x *UAS-dTRPA1*, n = 58) exhibited nociceptive rolling within 2 seconds. In contrast, only 11% of larvae with simultaneous activations of

nociceptors and *DSK-2A-GAL4* showed rolling within 2 seconds (ppk-GAL4 & DSK-2A-GAL4 > dTRPA1;

*ppk1.9-GAL4 DSK-2A-GAL4* x *UAS-dTRPA1*, n = 54). Thermogenetic activations of *DSK-2A-GAL4* neurons

alone (DSK-2A-GAL4 > dTRPA1; *DSK-2A-GAL4* x *UAS-dTRPA1*, n = 40) did not trigger nociceptive responses

even after 10 seconds. (B) Box plots showing latencies. Thermogenetic activation of *DSK-2A-GAL4*

simultaneously with nociceptors caused a significantly longer latency to rolling responses (Mann-Whitney U-test,

*** p < 0.001). (C and D) CaMPARI2 snapshot imaging of the activity of Goro neurons activated by

thermogenetic stimulation of C4da nociceptors. (C) Box plots of the ratio of CaMPARI2 green signals and

photoconverted CaMPARI2 red signals detected by anti-CaMPARI-red in Goro neurons (Red/Green). Compared

to the larvae with thermogenetic activation of C4da nociceptors only (ppk-GAL4 > dTRPA1; *ppk1.9-GAL4,*

*UAS-dTRPA1* x *R69E06-lexA; lexAop-CaMPARI2*; n = 17), the larvae with simultaneous activation of C4da and

DSK-2A-GAL4 neurons (ppk-GAL4 & DSK-2A-GAL4 > dTRPA1; *ppk1.9-GAL4, UAS-dTRPA1; DSK-2A-GAL4*

x *R69E06-lexA; lexAop-CaMPARI2*; n = 19) showed significantly decreased CaMPARI2 photoconversion in Goro

neurons (Mann-Whitney U-test, * p < 0.025). (D) Representative images showing CaMPARI2 green signals

(green) and anti-CaMPARI-red signals (magenta) in Goro neurons of animals with thermogenetic C4da activation

(ppk-GAL4 > dTRPA1) and with simultaneous thermogenetic activation of C4da and DSK-2A-GAL4 neurons

(ppk-GAL4 & DSK-2A-GAL4 > dTRPA1). All box plots show median (middle line) and 25th to 75th percentiles

with whiskers indicating the smallest to the largest data points. Scale bars represent 10 µm.

**Fig 10. A schematic model of the DSKergic descending inhibitory pathway of nociception in larval**

*Drosophila.*

DSK-expressing MP1 and/or Sv neurons in the brain secrete DSK peptides. DSK in the larval VNC activates the

CCKLR-17D1 receptor expressed in Goro neurons, which subsequently inhibits the activity of Goro neurons, and

ultimately larval nociceptive rolling responses.

**Materials and Methods**

**Fly strains**

$y^1 w^{1118}$ strain was used as the control strain for *dsk*, *CCKLR-17D1*, and *CCKLR-17D3* mutants. *yv; attp2* strain was

used for the control of *yv; JF02644* (CCKLR-17D1 RNAi) and *yv; JF02968* (CCKLR-17D3 RNAi). *yw;*

*nos-Cas9/CyO* (NIG-FLY CAS-0011) and *yw; Pr Dr/TM6C Sb Tb* were used for CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis.

*Dp(3;1)2-2, $w^{1118}$; Df(3R)2-2/TM3 Sb* (Bloomington #3688), *UAS-CCKLR-17D1* (66), and *UAS-CCKLR-17D3*

(this study) were used for rescue experiments. *DSK-GAL4* (Bloomington #51981), *DSK-2A-GAL4* (Bloomington

#84630), *R69E06-GAL4* (Bloomington #39493), *R69E06-lexA* (Bloomington #54925), *R72F11-lexA* (53),

*R70F01-lexA* (Bloomington #53628), *R38A10-lexA* (Bloomington #54106), *R82A10-lexA* (Bloomington #54417),

*CCKLR-17D1-T2A-GAL4* (79), *CCKLR-17D3-T2A-GAL4* (79) and *tsh-GAL80* were used for tissue-specific gene

expressions. *40xUAS-IVS-mCD8::GFP* (Bloomington #32195), *10xUAS-IVS-mCD8GFP* (Bloomington #32186),

*UAS>CD2 stop>mCD8::GFP hs-flp* (80), *lexA-rCD2::RFP UAS-mCD8::GFP* (81), *ppk-CD4::tdGFP*

(Bloomington #35842), *UAS-nSyb-GFP$_{1-10}$; lexAop-CD4-GFP$_{11}$* (Bloomington #64314), *UAS-CD4-GFP$_{1-10}$;*

*lexAop-CD4-GFP$_{11}$* (Bloomington #58755), *UAS-Denmark UAS-syt::eGFP* (Bloomington #33065), and

*UAS-myrGFP QUAS-mtdTomato::3xHA; trans-Tango* (Bloomington #77124) were used for cellular visualizations.

*UAS-GCaMP6m* (Bloomington #42748) was used for GCaMP $Ca^{2+}$ imaging. *UAS-dTRPA1* (Bloomington

#26263) (64) was used for thermogenetic experiments. , *UAS-CaMPARI2* (Bloomington #78316) and

*lexAop-CaMPARI2* (Bloomington #78325) were used for CaMPARI2 snapshot imaging of neuronal activity. $dsk^{sk1}$,

*CCKLR-17D1* deletion mutants, *CCKLR-17D3* deletion mutants, and *UAS-CCKLR-17D3* were generated in this

study and described below. *dsk*attp strain (52) was obtained from the Bloomington stock center (#84497). Stocks

were kept at 25°C with 12:12 hour light cycle on a standard food.

**Generating mutants and transgenic lines**

Deletion mutants of *dsk*, *CCKLR-17D1,* and *CCKLR-17D3* were generated as described previously (82). Briefly,

23 bp-guide RNA (gRNA) sequences specific to the aimed region of the targeted genes were identified using an

online tool (http://www.flyrnai.org/crispr/) and 20-bp sequences excluding PAM were cloned to the pBFv-U6.2

vector. Injections of the gRNA-pBFv-U6.2 vectors to yield transgenic fly strains were performed by BestGene Inc.

The gRNA-expressing lines were crossed with a nos-Cas9 strain and about 20 independent F1 generations that

could potentially possess modifications in the targeted genomic region were established. Lines that had a

frameshifting deletion in the targeted region were screened through standard PCR and sequencing. The following

gRNA and PCR primer sequences were used to generate *dsk*, *CCKLR-17D1*, and *CCKLR-17D3* mutants:

● *dsk*: GTAGACTAGTCGTCTGCGCT (gRNA), CCTCTAAACACTTGACAGCCGCGGTAACGG (forward

primer), and CCGAAACGCATGTGACCGTAGTCATCG (reverse primer).

● *CCKLR-17D1*: GCTTCCGTGATACGCAGACTGGG (gRNA), ATGTGTTTTGTGGATACCCTGT (forward

primer), and GGGCTATACCTCCA-TCAGTTTC (reverse primer).

● *CCKLR-17D3*:                         GCCATATCGGACATGCTGCTGGG                         (gRNA),

GATAGGGA-TGGCTATATGGACACCGAGC                    (forward                    primer),                    and

539  CTTAGCTGTCCCAATTCCCCCATCTTCT (reverse primer).

540   The UAS-CCKLR-17D3 line was generated through a ΦC31 integrase–based method. The oligo DNA

541  corresponding to the sequence of CCKLR-17D3 mRNA (+447-2201 of AY231149) was synthesized (Eurofins

542  Genomics) and cloned into the pUASg.attB vector (83) using the pENTR/D-TOPO Gateway cloning kit (Thermo

543  Fisher Scientific, MA). The sequence-verified UAS-CCKLR-17D3 construct was integrated into the attP40 site to

544  yield transgenic lines. The injections were performed by BestGene Inc.

545  **Thermal nociception assay**

546  The experimenters were blinded to genotypes. Larval thermal nociception assays were performed as described

547  previously (31), with slight modifications. A custom-made probe with a thermal feedback system was used.

548  Unless otherwise noted, a thermal probe heated to 42°C was used to detect hypersensitive phenotypes (32). The

549  results from all non-responders, defined as individuals that did not exhibit rolling behavior within 10 seconds,

550  were converted to data points of 11 seconds for subsequent statistical analyses.

551  **Thermogenetic activation experiments**

552  The experimenters were blinded to genotypes. A 60 mm dish containing approximately 1 ml distilled water

553  (testing chamber) was placed on a temperature-controlled plate MATS-SPE (TOKAI HIT, Shizuoka, Japan) set at

554  44.5 °C to equilibrate the water temperature in a testing chamber to 35 ± 1 °C, which was continuously monitored

555  using a T-type thermocouple wire IT-23 (Physitemp, NJ), USB-TC01 (National Instruments), and the NI Signal

556  Express software (National Instruments, TX). Wandering third instar larvae expressing dTRPA1 by *ppk1.9-GAL4*

and/or *DSK-2A-GAL4* were harvested to another 60 mm dish at room temperature (23-25 °C), and gently

transferred to the 35 °C testing chamber using a paintbrush. All experiments were performed and recorded under a

binocular microscope with a camcorder, and the latency from the placement of larvae to rolling was measured

offline for each larva.

**Immunohistochemistry**

The following antibodies were used in this study: chicken anti-GFP (Abcam, 1:500), mouse anti-GRASP (Sigma

#G6539, 1:100) (62), mouse anti-rat CD2 (Bio-Rad, 1:200), rat anti-mCD8 (Caltag, 1:100), rabbit anti-FLRFa (a

gift from Dr. Eve Marder, 1:5000) (84), rabbit anti-CD4 (Novus Biologicals, 1:500), mouse anti-REPO

(Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank 8D12, 1:5), rabbit anti-DsRed (Clontech #632496, 1:200), rat anti-HA

(Roche 3F10, 1:100), mouse anti-CaMPARI-red (Absolute antibody 4F6, 1:1000), goat anti-HRP-Cy3 (Jackson

ImmunoResearch, 1:100), goat anti-rat Alexa488 (Invitrogen, 1:500), goat anti-chicken Alexa488 (Invitrogen,

1:500), goat anti-mouse Alexa546 (Invitrogen, 1:500), goat anti-rabbit Alexa546 (Invitrogen, 1:500), goat anti-rat

Alexa633 (Invitrogen, 1:500), and goat anti-rabbit Alexa633 (Invitrogen, 1:500). Dissected larval tissues were

fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 minutes and then stained as previously described (32). The images were

acquired by using a Zeiss LSM 510 with a 20x/0.75 Plan-Apochromat objective or 40x/1.0 Plan-Apochromat oil

immersion objective, Zeiss LSM 700 with a 20x/0.75 Plan-Apochromat objective or 40x/1.0 Plan-Apochromat oil

immersion objective, or Leica SP5 with a 40x/0.85 PL APO objective, and digitally processed using Zeiss LSM

Image Browser, Leica LAS X Lite, and Adobe Photoshop.

**GRASP and *trans*-Tango experiments**

The CD4-GRASP experiments were performed by crossing the *R69E06-lexA DSK-GAL4* strain with the

*UAS-CD4-GFP$_{1-10}$; lexAop-CD4-GFP$_{11}$*. The nSyb-GRASP experiments were performed by crossing the

*R69E06-lexA DSK-GAL4* with the *UAS-nSyb-GFP$_{1-10}$; lexAop-CD4-GFP$_{11}$*. Wandering 3rd instar larvae were

dissected and immunostained as mentioned above. The signals of the reconstituted GFP were detected using a

GFP antibody without cross-reaction to split-GFP fragments (Sigma #G6539) (62).

The *trans*-Tango experiments were performed by crossing the *R69E06-lexA, lexAop-rCD2::RFP*

*UAS-mCD8::GFP; DSK-2A-GAL4* with *UAS-myrGFP QUAS-mtdTomato::3xHA; trans-Tango*. Wandering 3rd

instar larvae were dissected and immunostained as mentioned above. The following combinations of the primary

and secondary antibodies were used to avoid cross-contamination of fluorescent signals in microscopy: chick

anti-GFP and anti-chick Alexa488, mouse anti-rCD2 and anti-mouse Alexa546, and rat anti-HA and anti-rat

Alexa633.

**FLP-out clone analysis**

The *UAS>CD2 stop>mCD8::GFP hs-flp* strain was crossed with *DSK-GAL4* or *DSK-2A-GAL4* to seed vials.

Heat-shock induction of FLP-out clones and immunostaining were performed as described previously (32). The

images of brain samples were acquired and digitally processed as described above.

**GCaMP calcium imaging**

$Ca^{2+}$ imaging of Goro neurons using GCaMP6m (85) was performed as described previously (32), with some

modifications. Wandering third instar larvae expressing GCaMP6m in Goro neurons by *R69E06-GAL4* were

dissected in ice-cold hemolymph-like saline 3.1 (HL3.1) (86) and imaged in a custom-made imaging chamber

containing the HL3.1 equilibrated to the room temperature (23-25 °C). A Leica SP8 confocal microscope with

resonant scanning system was used to perform three-dimensional time-lapse imaging. Z-stacks consisting of 4 to

6 optical slices of 512 x 512 pixel images were acquired at approximately 0.5 to 1 Hz using a 10x/0.4 PL APO

objective lens with a zoom factor of 8.0. During imaging, a local heat ramp stimulation was applied to the lateral

side of the A5 to A7 segment with a custom-made thermal probe. The probe temperature was controlled using a

Variac transformer set at 20 V, which generated an approximately 0.6 °C/sec heat ramp stimulus. A T-type

thermocouple wire was placed inside of the thermal probe to acquire the probe temperature readings and the data

were acquired at 4 Hz through a digitizer USB-TC01 (National Instruments) and the NI Signal Express software

(National Instruments). To minimize potential biases caused by day-to-day variations in imaging conditions and

achieve fair comparisons, similar numbers of control and experimental genotypes were imaged side-by-side on

the same day, using identical microscope settings. To monitor the temperature around the larval CNS during the

local heat ramp stimulation, a small thermocouple wire (IT-23, Physitemp) was placed nearby the exposed CNS of

semi-dissected larvae.

Maximum intensity projections were generated from the time-series Z-stacks on Leica LAS X Lite and

the subsequent analyses of the images and temperature log data were performed using a custom-made code in

MATLAB (MathWorks, MA). The region of interest (ROI) was selected as a circular area with a diameter of 15

pixels that covered the neurites of Goro neurons. Cell bodies were not used for the quantification because of small

and variable increases in GCaMP6m signals upon heat stimulation. Average fluorescent intensity (F) was

calculated for the ROI for each time point. The average of Fs from the first five frames was used as the baseline

fluorescent intensity ($F_0$) and percent changes of fluorescent intensity from the baseline [$\Delta F/F_0 = (F - F_0)*100/F_0$]

was calculated for each time point. At least three ROIs were selected from areas that were expected to yield high

GCaMP6m signal increases and the ROI that led to the highest peak $\Delta F/F_0$ was chosen for the subsequent

statistical analysis. Samples whose highest peak $\Delta F/F_0$ was less than 10% were excluded from the analysis to

avoid potential skewness of the data by inclusion of dead/unhealthy samples. Because the images and probe

temperatures could not be acquired at the same time, probe temperature for each time point was calculated by

linear interpolation from the raw readings. For comparisons among strains, $\Delta F/F_0$ data were binned and averaged

in 1 °C intervals.

**CaMPARI2 experiments**

Wandering third instar larvae expressing CaMPARI2 with *R69E06-GAL4* or *DSK2A-GAL4* were harvested from

vials to a 60-mm petri dish and rinsed with water. Each larva was transferred onto kimwipe to remove moisture

and attached with its ventral side up on labeling tape. A custom-made thermal probe (the same probe described for

GCaMP experiments above) was placed at the lateral side of the A5 to A7 segment, and a local thermal ramp

stimulus from room temperature (23-25 °C) to 50 °C was applied using a Variac transformer set at 20 V.

Simultaneously with local heat ramp stimulation, 405 nm UV light was applied from 3 cm above the animal using

a 405nm LED stand light (7 mW/cm$^2$, LED405-9VIS, OPTOCODE Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). In "no heat"

control experiments, no voltage was applied to the thermal probe attached to the larva, and only 405 nm light

irradiation was applied for 40 seconds (equivalent to the length of time required to apply a temperature ramp

stimulus from room temperature to 50°C with 20 V). In thermogenetic activation experiments, larvae expressing

CaMPARI2 under the control of *R69E06-lexA* and dTRPA1 under the control of either only *ppk1.9-GAL4* or both

*ppk1.9-GAL4* and *DSK-2A-GAL4* were immobilized on labeling tape with their ventral side up. The larvae were

then transferred onto a MATS-SPE temperature-controlled plate set at 35 °C, and simultaneously irradiated with

405 nm light for 10 seconds from 3 cm above.

Immediately after thermal stimulation and/or photoconversion, the larvae were gently rinsed with water,

removed from the labeling tape, and pooled into a new 60-mm dish. Five to fifteen animals were pooled for each

experimental group and dissected immediately in ice-cold PBS. The larval CNS samples were immunostained

following the protocol described above with mouse anti-CaMPARI red (4F6), rat anti-HA (3F10), anti-mouse

Alexa 546, and anti-rat Alexa 633. The images of neurons expressing CaMPARI2 were acquired by using a Zeiss

LSM 700 confocal microscope with a 40x/1.0 Plan-Apochromat oil immersion objective. All parameter settings

of the microscope were kept identical across the experimental groups. To enhance green CaMPARI2 fluorescence,

a 5% 405 nm laser was mixed with a 20% 639 nm laser. To achieve fair comparisons by minimizing the potential

biases from day-to-day variations in stimulation, immunostaining, and imaging, similar numbers of animals from

each experimental group were tested, immunostained, and imaged side-by-side on the same day.

647    Maximum intensity projections of images were generated from the Z-stacks using Zeiss Zen Lite

648 software. Photoconversion rates of CaMPARI2 were quantified using MATLAB. Cell bodies of neurons

649 expressing CaMPARI2 were automatically segmented using Otsu's thresholding algorithm (87) based on the

650 anti-HA signal intensity on the blue channel, and each image was cropped around the cell bodies with a margin of

651 50 pixels to the top, bottom, left, and right. Average intensities of green (green CaMPARI2) and red

652 (anti-CaMPARI red) signals were calculated for cell bodies and the background (cropped image area excluding

653 cell bodies), and the Red/Green ratio was calculated as follows: Red/Green ratio = (Average red signal intensity of

654 cell bodies – Average red signal intensity of the background) / (Average green signal intensity of cell bodies –

655 Average green signal intensity of the background). In the analysis of Goro neurons, images in which both sides of

656 the cell bodies were segmented by Otsu's method were included for the subsequent analyses.

657 **Data collection and statistical analyses**

658 Mann–Whitney's U-test was used for pair-wise comparisons and Steel's test (the non-parametric equivalent of

659 Dunnet's test) or Steel–Dwass test (the non-parametric equivalent of Tukey-Kramer test) were used for multiple

660 comparisons. Statistical analyses were performed in KyPlot 5.0 and GraphPad Prism 9. The numbers of samples

661 (n) for all experiments indicate the numbers of biological replicates. Each experiment was repeated at least twice

662 on different days to check the reproducibility, and all data were pooled for statistical analyses unless otherwise

663 noted.

**References**

1.       Dubin AE, Patapoutian A. Nociceptors: the sensors of the pain pathway. J Clin Invest.

2010;120(11):3760-72.

2.       Burrell BD. Comparative biology of pain: What invertebrates can tell us about how nociception works. J

Neurophysiol. 2017;117(4):1461-73.

3.       Walters ET. Nociceptive Biology of Molluscs and Arthropods: Evolutionary Clues About Functions and

Mechanisms Potentially Related to Pain. Front Physiol. 2018;9:1049.

4.       Reynolds DV. Surgery in the rat during electrical analgesia induced by focal brain stimulation. Science.

1969;164(3878):444-5.

5.       Chen Q, Heinricher MM. Descending Control Mechanisms and Chronic Pain. Current rheumatology

reports. 2019;21(5):13.

6.       Ossipov MH, Morimura K, Porreca F. Descending pain modulation and chronification of pain. Curr

Opin Support Palliat Care. 2014;8(2):143-51.

7.       Arenas OM, Zaharieva EE, Para A, Vasquez-Doorman C, Petersen CP, Gallio M. Activation of planarian

TRPA1 by reactive oxygen species reveals a conserved mechanism for animal nociception. Nat Neurosci.

2017;20(12):1686-93.

8.       Sneddon LU. Comparative Physiology of Nociception and Pain. Physiology (Bethesda).

2018;33(1):63-73.

9.        Ossipov MH, Dussor GO, Porreca F. Central modulation of pain. J Clin Invest. 2010;120(11):3779-87.

10.       Heinricher MM, Neubert MJ. Neural basis for the hyperalgesic action of cholecystokinin in the rostral

ventromedial medulla. J Neurophysiol. 2004;92(4):1982-9.

11.       Heinricher MM, McGaraughty S, Tortorici V. Circuitry underlying antiopioid actions of cholecystokinin

within the rostral ventromedial medulla. J Neurophysiol. 2001;85(1):280-6.

12.       Marshall TM, Herman DS, Largent-Milnes TM, Badghisi H, Zuber K, Holt SC, et al. Activation of

descending pain-facilitatory pathways from the rostral ventromedial medulla by cholecystokinin elicits release of

prostaglandin-E(2) in the spinal cord. Pain. 2012;153(1):86-94.

13.       Xie JY, Herman DS, Stiller CO, Gardell LR, Ossipov MH, Lai J, et al. Cholecystokinin in the rostral

ventromedial medulla mediates opioid-induced hyperalgesia and antinociceptive tolerance. J Neurosci.

2005;25(2):409-16.

14.       Roca-Lapirot O, Fossat P, Ma S, Egron K, Trigilio G, Lopez-Gonzalez MJ, et al. Acquisition of

analgesic properties by the cholecystokinin (CCK)/CCK2 receptor system within the amygdala in a persistent

inflammatory pain condition. Pain. 2019;160(2):345-57.

15.       Manai M, van Middendorp H, Veldhuijzen DS, Huizinga TWJ, Evers AWM. How to prevent, minimize,

or extinguish nocebo effects in pain: a narrative review on mechanisms, predictors, and interventions. Pain reports.

2019;4(3):e699.

16.       Elphick MR, Mirabeau O, Larhammar D. Evolution of neuropeptide signalling systems. J Exp Biol.

2018;221(Pt 3).

17.    Mirabeau O, Joly JS. Molecular evolution of peptidergic signaling systems in bilaterians. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(22):E2028-37.

18.    Jekely G. Global view of the evolution and diversity of metazoan neuropeptide signaling. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(21):8702-7.

19.    Nassel DR, Williams MJ. Cholecystokinin-Like Peptide (DSK) in Drosophila, Not Only for Satiety

Signaling. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2014;5:219.

20.    Tinoco AB, Barreiro-Iglesias A, Yanez Guerra LA, Delroisse J, Zhang Y, Gunner EF, et al. Ancient role

of sulfakinin/cholecystokinin-type signalling in inhibitory regulation of feeding processes revealed in an

echinoderm. eLife. 2021;10.

21.    Wu S, Guo C, Zhao H, Sun M, Chen J, Han C, et al. Drosulfakinin signaling in fruitless circuitry

antagonizes P1 neurons to regulate sexual arousal in Drosophila. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):4770.

22.    Wu F, Deng B, Xiao N, Wang T, Li Y, Wang R, et al. A neuropeptide regulates fighting behavior in

Drosophila melanogaster. eLife. 2020;9.

23.    Mohammad F, Aryal S, Ho J, Stewart JC, Norman NA, Tan TL, et al. Ancient Anxiety Pathways

Influence Drosophila Defense Behaviors. Curr Biol. 2016;26(7):981-6.

24.    Tracey WD, Jr., Wilson RI, Laurent G, Benzer S. painless, a Drosophila gene essential for nociception.

Cell. 2003;113(2):261-73.

25.     Hwang RY, Zhong L, Xu Y, Johnson T, Zhang F, Deisseroth K, et al. Nociceptive neurons protect

Drosophila larvae from parasitoid wasps. Curr Biol. 2007;17(24):2105-16.

26.     Zhong L, Bellemer A, Yan H, Honjo K, Robertson J, Hwang RY, et al. Thermosensory and

non-thermosensory isoforms of Drosophila melanogaster TRPA1 reveal heat sensor domains of a thermoTRP

channel. Cell Rep. 2012;1(1):43-55.

27.     Kim SE, Coste B, Chadha A, Cook B, Patapoutian A. The role of Drosophila Piezo in mechanical

nociception. Nature. 2012;483(7388):209-12.

28.     Zhong L, Hwang RY, Tracey WD. Pickpocket is a DEG/ENaC protein required for mechanical

nociception in Drosophila larvae. Curr Biol. 2010;20(5):429-34.

29.     Mauthner SE, Hwang RY, Lewis AH, Xiao Q, Tsubouchi A, Wang Y, et al. Balboa binds to pickpocket in

vivo and is required for mechanical nociception in Drosophila larvae. Curr Biol. 2014;24(24):2920-5.

30.     Babcock DT, Shi S, Jo J, Shaw M, Gutstein HB, Galko MJ. Hedgehog signaling regulates nociceptive

sensitization. Curr Biol. 2011;21(18):1525-33.

31.     Honjo K, Mauthner SE, Wang Y, Skene JH, Tracey WD, Jr. Nociceptor-Enriched Genes Required for

Normal Thermal Nociception. Cell Rep. 2016;16(2):295-303.

32.     Honjo K, Tracey WD, Jr. BMP signaling downstream of the Highwire E3 ligase sensitizes nociceptors.

PLoS Genet. 2018;14(7):e1007464.

33.     Neely GG, Hess A, Costigan M, Keene AC, Goulas S, Langeslag M, et al. A genome-wide Drosophila

screen for heat nociception identifies alpha2delta3 as an evolutionarily conserved pain gene. Cell.

2010;143(4):628-38.

34.     Follansbee TL, Gjelsvik KJ, Brann CL, McParland AL, Longhurst CA, Galko MJ, et al. Drosophila

Nociceptive Sensitization Requires BMP Signaling via the Canonical SMAD Pathway. J Neurosci.

2017;37(35):8524-33.

35.     Burgos A, Honjo K, Ohyama T, Qian CS, Shin GJ, Gohl DM, et al. Nociceptive interneurons control

modular motor pathways to promote escape behavior in Drosophila. eLife. 2018;7.

36.     Kaneko T, Macara AM, Li R, Hu Y, Iwasaki K, Dunnings Z, et al. Serotonergic Modulation Enables

Pathway-Specific Plasticity in a Developing Sensory Circuit in Drosophila. Neuron. 2017;95(3):623-38 e4.

37.     Takagi S, Cocanougher BT, Niki S, Miyamoto D, Kohsaka H, Kazama H, et al. Divergent Connectivity

of Homologous Command-like Neurons Mediates Segment-Specific Touch Responses in Drosophila. Neuron.

2017;96(6):1373-87 e6.

38.     Dason JS, Cheung A, Anreiter I, Montemurri VA, Allen AM, Sokolowski MB. Drosophila melanogaster

foraging regulates a nociceptive-like escape behavior through a developmentally plastic sensory circuit. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(38):23286-91.

39.     Hu Y, Wang C, Yang L, Pan G, Liu H, Yu G, et al. A Neural Basis for Categorizing Sensory Stimuli to

Enhance Decision Accuracy. Curr Biol. 2020;30(24):4896-909 e6.

40.     Chin MR, Tracey WD, Jr. Nociceptive Circuits: Can't Escape Detection. Curr Biol.

2017;27(16):R796-R8.

41.    Hu C, Petersen M, Hoyer N, Spitzweck B, Tenedini F, Wang D, et al. Sensory integration and

neuromodulatory feedback facilitate Drosophila mechanonociceptive behavior. Nat Neurosci.

2017;20(8):1085-95.

42.    Im SH, Takle K, Jo J, Babcock DT, Ma Z, Xiang Y, et al. Tachykinin acts upstream of autocrine

Hedgehog signaling during nociceptive sensitization in Drosophila. eLife. 2015;4:e10735.

43.    Bachtel ND, Hovsepian GA, Nixon DF, Eleftherianos I. Allatostatin C modulates nociception and

immunity in Drosophila. Scientific reports. 2018;8(1):7501.

44.    Aldrich BT, Kasuya J, Faron M, Ishimoto H, Kitamoto T. The amnesiac gene is involved in the

regulation of thermal nociception in Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurogenet. 2010;24(1):33-41.

45.    Chen X, Peterson J, Nachman RJ, Ganetzky B. Drosulfakinin activates CCKLR-17D1 and promotes

larval locomotion and escape response in Drosophila. Fly (Austin). 2012;6(4):290-7.

46.    Kubiak TM, Larsen MJ, Burton KJ, Bannow CA, Martin RA, Zantello MR, et al. Cloning and functional

expression of the first Drosophila melanogaster sulfakinin receptor DSK-R1. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.

2002;291(2):313-20.

47.    Nichols R, Lim IA. Spatial and temporal immunocytochemical analysis of drosulfakinin (Dsk) gene

products in the Drosophila melanogaster central nervous system. Cell Tissue Res. 1996;283(1):107-16.

48.    Veenstra JA, Agricola HJ, Sellami A. Regulatory peptides in fruit fly midgut. Cell Tissue Res.

2008;334(3):499-516.

49.    Soderberg JA, Carlsson MA, Nassel DR. Insulin-Producing Cells in the Drosophila Brain also Express

Satiety-Inducing Cholecystokinin-Like Peptide, Drosulfakinin. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2012;3:109.

50.    Park D, Veenstra JA, Park JH, Taghert PH. Mapping peptidergic cells in Drosophila: where DIMM fits

in. PLoS One. 2008;3(3):e1896.

51.    Nassel DR, Winther AM. Drosophila neuropeptides in regulation of physiology and behavior. Prog

Neurobiol. 2010;92(1):42-104.

52.    Deng B, Li Q, Liu X, Cao Y, Li B, Qian Y, et al. Chemoconnectomics: Mapping Chemical Transmission

in Drosophila. Neuron. 2019;101(5):876-93 e4.

53.    Ohyama T, Schneider-Mizell CM, Fetter RD, Aleman JV, Franconville R, Rivera-Alba M, et al. A

multilevel multimodal circuit enhances action selection in Drosophila. Nature. 2015;520(7549):633-9.

54.    Luo J, Shen WL, Montell C. TRPA1 mediates sensation of the rate of temperature change in Drosophila

larvae. Nat Neurosci. 2017;20(1):34-41.

55.    Nicolai LJ, Ramaekers A, Raemaekers T, Drozdzecki A, Mauss AS, Yan J, et al. Genetically encoded

dendritic marker sheds light on neuronal connectivity in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2010;107(47):20553-8.

56.    Fosque BF, Sun Y, Dana H, Yang CT, Ohyama T, Tadross MR, et al. Neural circuits. Labeling of active

neural circuits in vivo with designed calcium integrators. Science. 2015;347(6223):755-60.

57.    Moeyaert B, Holt G, Madangopal R, Perez-Alvarez A, Fearey BC, Trojanowski NF, et al. Improved

methods for marking active neuron populations. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4440.

58.    Feinberg EH, Vanhoven MK, Bendesky A, Wang G, Fetter RD, Shen K, et al. GFP Reconstitution

Across Synaptic Partners (GRASP) defines cell contacts and synapses in living nervous systems. Neuron.

2008;57(3):353-63.

59.    Gordon MD, Scott K. Motor control in a Drosophila taste circuit. Neuron. 2009;61(3):373-84.

60.    Roy S, Huang H, Liu S, Kornberg TB. Cytoneme-mediated contact-dependent transport of the

Drosophila decapentaplegic signaling protein. Science. 2014;343(6173):1244624.

61.    Gonzalez-Mendez L, Seijo-Barandiaran I, Guerrero I. Cytoneme-mediated cell-cell contacts for

Hedgehog reception. eLife. 2017;6.

62.    Macpherson LJ, Zaharieva EE, Kearney PJ, Alpert MH, Lin TY, Turan Z, et al. Dynamic labelling of

neural connections in multiple colours by trans-synaptic fluorescence complementation. Nat Commun.

2015;6:10024.

63.    Talay M, Richman EB, Snell NJ, Hartmann GG, Fisher JD, Sorkac A, et al. Transsynaptic Mapping of

Second-Order Taste Neurons in Flies by trans-Tango. Neuron. 2017;96(4):783-95 e4.

64.    Hamada FN, Rosenzweig M, Kang K, Pulver SR, Ghezzi A, Jegla TJ, et al. An internal thermal sensor

controlling temperature preference in Drosophila. Nature. 2008;454(7201):217-20.

65.    Zhong L, Bellemer A, Yan H, Ken H, Jessica R, Hwang RY, et al. Thermosensory and nonthermosensory

isoforms of Drosophila melanogaster TRPA1 reveal heat-sensor domains of a thermoTRP Channel. Cell Rep.

2012;1(1):43-55.

66.    Chen X, Ganetzky B. A neuropeptide signaling pathway regulates synaptic growth in Drosophila. J Cell

Biol. 2012;196(4):529-43.

67.    Im SH, Patel AA, Cox DN, Galko MJ. Drosophila Insulin receptor regulates the persistence of

injury-induced nociceptive sensitization. Dis Model Mech. 2018;11(5).

68.    van den Pol AN. Neuropeptide transmission in brain circuits. Neuron. 2012;76(1):98-115.

69.    Pommier B, Beslot F, Simon A, Pophillat M, Matsui T, Dauge V, et al. Deletion of CCK2 receptor in

mice results in an upregulation of the endogenous opioid system. J Neurosci. 2002;22(5):2005-11.

70.    Noble F, Roques BP. Phenotypes of mice with invalidation of cholecystokinin (CCK(1) or CCK(2))

receptors. Neuropeptides. 2002;36(2-3):157-70.

71.    Baber NS, Dourish CT, Hill DR. The role of CCK caerulein, and CCK antagonists in nociception. Pain.

1989;39(3):307-28.

72.    Suh HH, Tseng LF. Differential effects of sulfated cholecystokinin octapeptide and proglumide injected

intrathecally on antinociception induced by beta-endorphin and morphine administered intracerebroventricularly

in mice. Eur J Pharmacol. 1990;179(3):329-38.

73.    Liu Y, Latremoliere A, Li X, Zhang Z, Chen M, Wang X, et al. Touch and tactile neuropathic pain

sensitivity are set by corticospinal projections. Nature. 2018;561(7724):547-50.

74.    Curtright A, Rosser M, Goh S, Keown B, Wagner E, Sharifi J, et al. Modeling Nociception in Zebrafish:

A Way Forward for Unbiased Analgesic Discovery. Plos One. 2015;10(1).

75.    Milinkeviciute G, Gentile C, Neely GG. Drosophila as a tool for studying the conserved genetics of pain.

Clinical genetics. 2012;82(4):359-66.

76.    Tobin DM, Bargmann CI. Invertebrate nociception: Behaviors, neurons and molecules. Journal of

Neurobiology. 2004;61(1):161-74.

77.    Malafoglia V, Bryant B, Raffaeli W, Giordano A, Bellipanni G. The zebrafish as a model for nociception

studies. Journal of Cellular Physiology. 2013;228(10):1956-66.

78.    Khuong TM, Wang QP, Manion J, Oyston LJ, Lau MT, Towler H, et al. Nerve injury drives a heightened

state of vigilance and neuropathic sensitization in Drosophila. Science advances. 2019;5(7):eaaw4099.

79.    Kondo S, Takahashi T, Yamagata N, Imanishi Y, Katow H, Hiramatsu S, et al. Neurochemical

Organization of the Drosophila Brain Visualized by Endogenously Tagged Neurotransmitter Receptors. Cell Rep.

2020;30(1):284-97 e5.

80.    Wong AM, Wang JW, Axel R. Spatial representation of the glomerular map in the Drosophila

protocerebrum. Cell. 2002;109(2):229-41.

81.    Ren Q, Awasaki T, Huang YF, Liu Z, Lee T. Cell Class-Lineage Analysis Reveals Sexually Dimorphic

Lineage Compositions in the Drosophila Brain. Curr Biol. 2016;26(19):2583-93.

82.    Kondo S, Ueda R. Highly improved gene targeting by germline-specific Cas9 expression in Drosophila.

Genetics. 2013;195(3):715-21.

83.    Bischof J, Bjorklund M, Furger E, Schertel C, Taipale J, Basler K. A versatile platform for creating a

comprehensive UAS-ORFeome library in Drosophila. Development. 2013;140(11):2434-42.

84.    Marder E, Calabrese RL, Nusbaum MP, Trimmer B. Distribution and partial characterization of

FMRFamide-like peptides in the stomatogastric nervous systems of the rock crab, Cancer borealis, and the spiny

lobster, Panulirus interruptus. J Comp Neurol. 1987;259(1):150-63.

85.    Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, et al. Ultrasensitive fluorescent

proteins for imaging neuronal activity. Nature. 2013;499(7458):295-300.

86.    Feng Y, Ueda A, Wu CF. A modified minimal hemolymph-like solution, HL3.1, for physiological

recordings    at    the    neuromuscular    junctions    of    normal    and    mutant    Drosophila    larvae.    J    Neurogenet.

2004;18(2):377-402.

87.    Otsu N. Threshold    Selection    Method    from    Gray-Level    Histograms.    Ieee    T    Syst    Man    Cyb.

1979;9(1):62-6.