Web-based Histology Reference Atlas for the Freshwater Crustacean *Daphnia magna*

[1,2]Mee S. Ngu, [1,2]Daniel J. Vanselow, [1,2]Carolyn R. Zaino, [1,2]Alex Y. Lin, [1,2]Jean E. Copper,

[3]Margaret J. Beaton, [4]John K. Colbourne, [1,2]Keith C. Cheng✉, [1,2]Khai C. Ang✉

[1]Department of Pathology, Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, Pennsylvania,

USA

[2]Jake Gittlen Laboratories for Cancer Research, Pennsylvania State University College of

Medicine, Pennsylvania, USA

[3]Department of Biology, Mount Allison University, Sackville, Canada

[4]School of Biosciences, The University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

✉Corresponding authors: kca2@psu.edu, kcheng76@gmail.com

Abstract

Daphnia, an important model system for the study of evolution, development, phenotypic

plasticity, and environmental health, lacks a modern reference atlas for microanatomy. To facilitate

the comprehensive assessment of phenotypic effects of genes and environment, we created the

histology reference atlas for *Daphnia* (http://daphnia.io/anatomy/), a tractable, ok, interactive web-

based tool that provides insight into normal phenotype through vectorized annotations overlaid

onto digital histology sections imaged at 40X magnification. Guided by our expert-curated and

multimodal informed hierarchical anatomical ontology, we show that this resource can be used to

elucidate sex-specific differences of female and male *Daphnia magna* in each of 3 orthogonal

planes, providing new insight for the study of sex-specific traits. It is our intention that this atlas

aids in phenotypic anchoring of large-scale biomolecular (multi-omics) data from comparative

toxicological studies. Greater access to high-quality histological data may clarify cross-

correlations between microanatomic and multi-omic phenotypes caused by genetic variation,

environment, and disease across phylogeny.

1. Introduction

Keystone species of freshwater ecosystems (algae, zooplankton, fish) forming a food chain are

used in ecotoxicology as sentinels to monitor water quality, to manage chemical risks to the

environment, and to serve as early warning signs of chemical health hazards that can cause human
disease (Au, 2004). Histopathology permits the identification of cell- and tissue-specific changes
in whole organisms, making it a powerful tool for detecting the adverse effects of toxicants.
Multiple histopathology-based toxicological screens using fish (Bernet et al., 1999; Ramírez-
Duarte et al., 2008; Wester and Vos, 1994) and aquatic invertebrates (Chakraborty et al., 2010;
LaDouceur et al., 2016) have been reported. Ideally, the evaluation of toxic effects of chemicals,
environmental contaminants, and pollutants identifies all affected cell types and organ systems so
that the mechanisms underlying adverse outcomes and disease can be accurately predicted and
better understood. However, identification of these toxic effects requires prior knowledge of
normal structure, which can be most readily facilitated through atlases in the field of medicine and
comparative biology. Atlases provide a systematic approach for understanding the anatomical and
histological context of all cells and tissues in both normal and diseased states. Reference atlases of
various model organisms (e.g., zebrafish at http://bio-atlas.com/zebrafish/ and *Caenorhabditis*
elegans at www.wormatlas.org) serve as valuable resources for the research community and the
public.

Daphnia, a branchiopod micro-crustacean, is one of the most abundant zooplankters of lentic
ecosystems around the globe and a model system in the fields of ecology and evolution (Lampert,
2011; Stollewerk, 2010). *Daphnia magna* primarily inhabit freshwater environments throughout
the Northern Hemisphere and South Africa yet are distributed to laboratories around the globe as
an established invertebrate model in ecotoxicology. It is sensitive to environmental contaminants,
which impact its growth, reproduction, mobility, and mortality (Kikuchi et al., 2000; Kühn et al.,
1989; Tkaczyk et al., 2021). It has been widely used as an indicator of water quality, assigned a
role in setting regulatory criteria for toxicity testing by government agencies worldwide
(Environmental Protection Agency, 2002, 1996; OECD, 2018, 2004), and has assumed a central
role in ecotoxicogenomics (Kim et al., 2015; Shaw et al., 2008). Its extensive utilization for
toxicological studies makes it important to establish a comprehensive reference atlas to enable
whole-organism phenotyping and characterization of the full range of cellular and molecular
phenotypic responses to environmental contaminants.

Here, we present a web-based histology reference atlas of *D. magna*, with an interactive viewer
that enables visualization of images up to 40X magnification and highlighting of anatomical
structures with corresponding labels. This atlas allows for visualization of differences between
males and females that are dimorphic clones (Hebert and Ward, 1972; Kvist et al., 2020) produced
parthenogenetically from the same mother. This atlas will serve as a framework for a non-targeted
approach to assess the toxicological phenotypic effects of pollutants that complement non-targeted
biomolecular approaches at discovering toxicity pathways, thereby enabling a fuller understanding
of the causal links to adversity using sentinel organisms.

2.   Results and Discussion

The creation of the *Daphnia* histology reference atlas involved designing a casting mold to ensure
consistency in sample orientation for histology sectioning in any of the three orthogonal
orientations, optimizing sample preparation and staining for histology, labeling and digital
segmentation of anatomical structures, and constructing a web interface for the presentation of
histological data and annotation.

2.1 Triangle casting mold for agarose pre-embedding

Precise and consistent orientation of samples in paraffin is critical for achieving near orthogonal
alignment. To this end, samples were pre-embedded in agarose blocks prior to downstream tissue
processing for paraffin embedding. Specialized casting molds were engineered for this study to
produce agarose blocks with wells that house individual *Daphnia* samples. Casting molds with
three different teeth designs: sloped rectangle, triangle, and rectangle, were tested for efficacy
(Supplemental Figure 1, see Supplements for discussions). The dimensions of each mold (24 mm
X 29 mm) are slightly smaller than the tissue cassettes (27 mm X 31mm) used for tissue processing.
Molds were printed using stereolithography (3D-SLA). 3D-SLA printing at 25 μm resolution
creates a smooth surface for the mold, allowing the agarose block to be peeled off easily. Spacing
of 1 mm between teeth ensured adequate rigidity between wells, while mold thickness of at least
4 mm provided an adequate surface for taping to hold molten agarose. Embedding trials with
different casting molds concluded that "triangle mold" with teeth length of 4.5 mm, and height and
width of 1.5 mm (Figure 1A-B, STL file in Supplement File 1) allowed precise positioning of *D.*

magna samples with ease and yielded consistent orthogonal orientation of histological sections

(Figure 1G).

Figure 1. Agarose embedding using casting mold for histological processing. (A) Top view
and **(B)** side view of "triangle mold" printed by stereo-lithography (3D-SLA). **(C)** Casting 1%
agarose block in the taped mold. **(D)** Agarose block being removed from the mold after
solidification by peeling the gel downwards. **(E)** *Daphnia magna* samples were laid on their sides
with a swimming antenna in the wells, the rostra facing the same direction for sagittal plane
sectioning, and **(F)** laid on their back in the wells for coronal and transverse plane sectioning. **(G)**
The histological section showed the position of samples at a similar plane.

2.2 Bouin's is a fixative of choice for *Daphnia* histology

The accurate representation of histological microanatomy depends upon the preservation of tissue

structure with minimal distortion and optimal staining that allows a clear distinction between

different cell types and subcellular structures. Chemical reactions between fixatives and biological

tissue result in distortions known as fixation artifacts. To minimize these artifacts, we evaluated

the effectiveness of three common fixatives; Bouin's solution, 4% Paraformaldehyde (PFA), and

10% Neutral Buffered Formalin (NBF), and assessed changes in tissue volume and architecture,

the extent of tissue preservation, and clarity of cell boundaries. Histology sections of *D. magna*

samples fixed with Bouin's solution at room temperature for 48 hours showed the best fixation

and nuclear clarity. Preservation by Bouin's solution provided clearer cell boundaries that allowed

easier distinction between nerve fibers and cells in the optic lobe (OL) and cerebral ganglion (CG)

(Figure 2A). Poor fixation is commonly encountered in the gut, presumably due to the presence of

digestive enzymes (Copper et al., 2018). Bouin's solution resulted in good gut fixation where

microvilli were present along the majority of the intestinal lumen and nucleoli in the gut epithelial

cells were also more distinct (Figure 2B Inset). Nurse cells and yolk granules were more intact in

the ovaries (Figure 2C), and cellular features across the developing embryos are generally more distinct (Figure 2D). Overall, Bouin's solution provided consistent fixation throughout the whole sample and yielded good preservation across tissue and cell types (see Supplemental Figure 3 for the result of fixation by 4% PFA and 10% NBF).

Figure 2. Fixation by Bouin's solution allows visualization of cellular details across anatomical structures: **(A)** nerve fibers and cells in the optic lobe (OL) and cerebral ganglion (CG), **(B)** microvilli and nucleoli of the epithelial cells (inset) in the midgut, nucleoli in the fat cells (FC) (arrows) and muscle striations (asterisk), **(C)** yolk granules (yg) and nurse cell (nc) in an ovarian egg (outlined in blue), and **(D)** developing embryo in the brood chamber. Emb, embryo; G, gut precursor; od, oil droplet; oo, oocytes.

2.3 Interactive web-based viewer for the *Daphnia* histology reference atlas

The *Daphnia* histology reference atlas (http://daphnia.io/anatomy/) presents 40X magnification

digital scans of ~5 μm thick histological sections generated from serially sectioned *D. magna* of

both sexes in three orthogonal planes (sagittal, coronal, and transverse). The atlas includes an

interactive, high-resolution viewer with an anatomical ontology (a list of terms organized by

groups and subgroups), color-coded based on organ systems. In the viewer, anatomical ontology

is listed on the left, in a hierarchical tree that can be expanded and collapsed (Figure 3). A check

in the checkbox indicates that the structure is labeled with a corresponding color overlay.

Structures with underlined labels indicate at least one nested substructure (for example,

"microvilli" under "epithelial cell", both under "midgut"). Hovering over a structure dynamically

highlights the corresponding structure or structure groups in the viewer, temporarily hiding other

checked structures. The search function is available to find any structure in the list. In the image

portion of the viewer, all available color overlay and acronym labels are on display by default

(indicated by checked checkboxes) (Figure 3). Hovering the mouse cursor over a colored region

on the image will display its full name. Clicking on the colored region will change the colored

overlay to highlight the border only. While images with interactive highlighting of anatomical

structures assist in structure identification, additional unannotated images of serially sectioned *D.*

magna are categorized under 'Full Series'. A list of literature and glossary are included under

References and Resources, respectively, to provide users quick access to additional information.

Figure 3. Overview of the interactive web-based viewer for *Daphnia* histology reference atlas.
The left pane of the viewer includes an expandable hierarchical tree of anatomical structures; the
checked boxes indicate which structures are labeled in the image. The image shows acronym
labels; hovering the mouse cursor over an acronym or its corresponding region will bring up the
structure's full name. Unchecking a box will eliminate the corresponding color overlay and
annotation. These features are demonstrated in a movie clip (Supplemental File 2).

2.4 *Daphnia magna* anatomy

The anatomy and physiology of *Daphnia* have been studied since the early 1800s. To ensure
consistency and relevance to current research, we cross-referenced these published materials with
our images to provide a visualization platform of various anatomical features (Agar, 1950; Auld
et al., 2010; Beaton and Hebert, 1989; Bednarska, 2006; Benzie, 2005; Binder, 1931; Christensen
et al., 2018; Consi et al., 1987; Edwards, 1980; Fryer, 1991; Goldmann et al., 1999; Halcrow,
1976; Hiruta and Tochinai, 2014; Kikuchi, 1983; Kress et al., 2016; McCoole et al., 2011;
Metschnikoff, 1884; Rossi, 1980; Schultz and Kennedy, 1976; Smirnov, 2017; Stein et al., 1966;
Steinsland, 1982; Quaglia et al., 1976; Weiss et al., 2012; Wuerz et al., 2017; Zaffagnini and Zeni,
1986, 1987; Zeni and Franchini, 1990). Representative images from each of the three orthogonal
planes (sagittal, coronal, and transverse) showing the most anatomical structures of female (Figure
4-6) and male (Figure 7-9) are presented below with a brief description and links to the atlas.

173
Figure 4. Representative image showing anatomical structures of female *D. magna* in the
sagittal plane. The sagittal plane at the approximate median section displays the connection of
compound eye (E) to optic lobe (OL) and cerebral ganglion (CG) by optic nerves (ON). The labrum
(L), maxillule (Mxl), and mandible (Md) are anterior to the esophagus (Eso) that opens into the
midgut (MG) and is followed by the hindgut (HG). This section also cuts through the five thoracic
limbs (T1-5) with filter plates (FP3, FP4), the antennal muscles (A2M), the posterior rotator
muscle of mandibles (PRM), the heart (Ht), and shows the extent of dorsal longitudinal muscle
(DLM) along the gut. Other features seen include the carapace (Cp), hepatic cecum (Ce), fat cells
(FC), and transverse mandibular tendon (TMM). Corresponding atlas link:
http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=sagittal_female&z=10

Figure 5. Representative image showing anatomical structures of female _D. magna_ in the coronal plane. The coronal plane displays most of the structures in pairs, for example, the protopodites (Pr) of the swimming antennae, hepatic ceca (Ce), maxillules (Mxl), nerve cords (NC), transverse mandibular tendons (TMT), ovaries (Ov), and ventral longitudinal muscles (VLM). It also shows the food groove (FG) that channels food to the maxillules. Other features seen include the carapace (Cp), the end sac (ES) and tubules (Tu) of the maxillary gland, the midgut (MG), the abundant fat cells (FC), the hindgut (HG), and a portion of an embryo (Emb) in the brood chamber. Inset showing the oviduct (Ovt; dotted circle). Corresponding atlas link: https://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=coronal_female&z=10

Figure 6. Representative image showing anatomical structures of female *D. magna* in the transverse plane. The transverse plane shows the asymmetrical paired mandibles (Md) with the transverse mandibular tendons (TMT), transverse mandibular muscles (TMM1), transverse muscles of mandibles (TMM2), and the posterior rotator muscles of mandibles (PRM). Other features seen include labrum (L) that houses labral gland cells (LGC), end sac (ES) and tubules (Tu) of the maxillary gland, midgut (MG) with the peritrophic membrane (PM), and the heart (Ht). Inset displays several hemocytes (H) outlined by dotted circles.
Corresponding atlas link: http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=transverse_female&z=10

208
Figure 7. Representative image showing anatomical structures of male *D. magna* in the
sagittal plane. The sagittal plane shows the elongated seta (Se) on the first thoracic limb,
pubescence (P) at the wider ventral opening of carapace, thickening of carapace at the ventral
opening (arrows), one of the testes (Te), and a small portion of sperm duct (SD). Inset showing the
spermatozoa in the testis. A1, antennule; A2M, antennal muscle; An, anus; Ce, hepatic cecum; Cp,
carapace; ES, end sac of the maxillary gland; FC, fat cell; FP4, filter plate 4; Ht, heart; L, labrum;
Md, mandible; MG, midgut; Mxl, maxillule; NC, nerve cord; PRM, posterior rotator muscle of
mandible, T1-4, thoracic appendage 1-4; VLM, ventral longitudinal muscle. Corresponding atlas
link: http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=sagittal_male&z=3

Figure 8. Representative images showing anatomical structures of male *D. magna* in the coronal plane. (A) This coronal section shows the antennule muscles (A1M) that are only present in the male, the first four paired thoracic limbs (T1-T4), and the gonopores (Gn, dotted circles in inset) on the postabdomen (PA). **(B)** This section, slightly ventral to panel A, displays the prominent and elongated antennules (A1) with flagella (F) at the tip. E, compound eye; FC, fat cell; FP3, filter plate 3; L, labrum; Ra, ramus of swimming antenna; Tu, tubule of the maxillary gland. Corresponding atlas links:

(A) https://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=coronal_male&z=4
(B) http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=coronal_male&z=2&c=0.2,0.14,0.6,0.4

228
Figure 9. Representative image showing anatomical structures of male _D. magna_ in the transverse plane. (A) This transverse section displays the paired copulatory hooks (CH) and elongated setae (Se) on the first thoracic limbs (T1), with pubescence and thickening of the carapace (arrows) at the ventral opening. This also shows the abundance of fat cells (FC) around the midgut (MG). Cp, carapace, ES, end sac of the maxillary gland; Ht, heart; L, labrum; Mxl, maxillule; NC, nerve cord; PRM, posterior rotator muscle of mandible; Pr, protopodite of swimming antenna; Ra, ramus of swimming antenna; Tu, tubule of the maxillary gland. **(B)** Pigmented ocellus (O) is shown connected to the cerebral ganglion (CG). This transverse section is slightly above that of panel A.

Corresponding atlas links:

(A) https://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=transverse_male&z=8

(B) http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=transverse_male&z=4&c=0.43,0.25,0.18,0.12

Circulatory system

Daphnia have an open circulatory system and a myogenic heart (Stein et al., 1966; Steinsland,

1982). The heart (Ht) has a pair of ostia situated immediately to the anterior of the brood chamber,

between the midgut and dorsal surface (Figures 4, 6, 8). As *Daphnia* are semi-transparent, the

beating heart and the flow of hemolymph (blood) containing hemocytes (H) or blood cells (Figure

6 inset) can be easily observed (Auld et al., 2010; Metschnikoff, 1884) under low magnification.

Digestive system

Daphnia are filter feeders. Food particles are filtered through filter plates (FP3 and FP4) consisting

of setae on thoracic limbs 3 and 4, passed through maxillules (Mxl) and mandibles (Md) to enter

the esophagus (Eso), which is the first part of the digestive system (Figures 4). The digestive

system also consists of paired hepatic ceca (Ce), midgut (MG), and hindgut (HG) (Figures 4, 5, 6,

7, and 9) that are lined with epithelial cells and microvilli, with the columnar epithelial cells in the

midgut and cuboidal cells in hepatic ceca and hindgut (Quaglia et al., 1976; Schultz and Kennedy,

1976). The labrum (L) houses labral gland cells (LGC) that have been suggested to be involved in

food ingestion and endocrine function (Zaffagnini and Zeni, 1987; Zeni and Franchini, 1990)

(Figures 4, 6, and 8).

Excretory system

The maxillary gland, also known as the shell gland, is the organ of excretion of *Daphnia,* housed

between the inner and outer walls of the carapace. It consists of an end sac (ES), a series of tubules

(Tu), and an opening to the outside that is situated within the anterior part of the brood chamber

(Smirnov, 2017) (Figures 5, 6, 8A, and 9A).

Locomotive and osmotic regulation

The second pair of antennae also referred to as swimming antennae, is the primary organ of

locomotion. Each swimming antenna has a protopodite (Pr), two rami (Ra) bearing setae (Se)

(Agar, 1950) (Figure 5, 8A, 9A), and is supported by antennal muscles (A2M). *Daphnia* has five

thoracic limbs (T1-T5) (Benzie, 2005) (Figures 4, 7, and 8A). Movements of thoracic limbs

produce a constant current that brings food particles into the digestive tract (Bednarska, 2006;

Fryer, 1991) and facilitates osmotic regulation, which occurs in the epipodite on each thoracic limb

(Kikuchi, 1983). First thoracic limbs in male *Daphnia*, having elongated setae (Figure 7) and

copulatory hooks (Figure 9), are different from those in the female.

<u>Muscular system</u>

The muscular system is very prominent and occupies a significant portion of the body. The largest

muscles are ventral and dorsal longitudinal muscles (VLM and DLM) that extend along the gut,

three paired antennal muscles (A2M), transverse mandibular muscles (TMM1), transverse muscles

of mandibles (TMM2), posterior rotator of the mandibles (PRM), carapace adductor muscles

(ACAM and PCAM) (Figures 4, 5, 6 and 7), and followed by groups of muscles that allow the

motion of thoracic limbs and postabdomen (Binder, 1931; Fryer, 1991). Other small muscles

include those around the compound eye (Binder, 1931; Consi et al., 1987), labrum (Binder, 1931),

and esophagus (Binder, 1931). All muscles are striated and surrounded by sarcoplasm, which

contains many nuclei and is mostly vacuolated. Sarcoplasm is particularly abundant and more

vacuolated in the antennal muscles.

<u>Nervous, sensory, and vision system</u>

Daphnia magna have a pigmented compound eye (E) consisting of 22 ommatidia, derived from

two eyes that fuse during embryonic development, and a small, pigmented ocellus (O) with three

lens-like bodies (Figure 9B). The optic nerve (ON) of each ommatidium forms a parallel bundle

that connects to the optic lobe of cerebral ganglion (OL), which is then connected to the cerebral

ganglion (CG) (Figure 4). The cerebral ganglion is connected to two chains of nerve cords (NC)

that run along the thorax (Figures 5, 7 and 9), underneath the gut, and reach other anatomical

structures (Kress et al., 2016; McCoole et al., 2011; Weiss et al., 2012).

<u>Reproductive system</u>

The ovaries in females (Figure 5) and the testes in males (Figure 7) are paired and situated ventrally

along the gut. *Daphnia* and all other species of the order Cladocera are cyclical parthenogens,

which involves both sexual (meiotic) and clonal (ameiotic) reproduction (Decaestecker et al.,

2009). Under favorable environmental conditions, females reproduce parthenogenetically, and

broods of genetically identical embryos will develop in the brood chamber before being released.

Sexual reproduction is cued by environmental stress (often seasonal, including photoperiod,

temperature, and over-crowding) that triggers the production of genetically identical males instead

of females. During this sexual phase of the *Daphnia* life cycle, females also switch to producing

two haploid eggs. Unlike parthenogenetic embryos, the development of these sexually produced

embryos is arrested at the 3000 cell stage (Chen et al., 2018) and enter into a state of dormancy

while being encased within an ephippium that protects these embryos under diapause through

harsh environmental conditions for decades and even centuries (Cáceres, 1998; Mergeay et al.,

2004). These two 'resting eggs' are different from parthenogenetically produced eggs that can

number up to 100 per brood. Parthenogenetic eggs contain multiple oil droplets (od) of varying

size and yolk granules (yg) that are generally larger in size (Hiruta and Tochinai, 2014) (Figure

10).

Testes of male *Daphnia* consist of two long tubular structures that are connected to gonopores

(Gn) or ejaculatory openings by sperm ducts (Figure 7). Spermatogenesis begins at the testes'

walls, and mature spermatozoa are displaced inward toward the central region of the testes (Wuerz

et al., 2017).

Fat cells, which are polyploid (Beaton and Hebert, 1989), consist of a massive portion of lipid and

glycogen (Zaffagnini and Zeni, 1986), are abundant in healthy *Daphnia*. They are found along the

gut, on the thoracic limbs, and around ovaries or testes (Figure 4, 5, 6, 7, 8A, and 9A). These cells

are most likely sites of the vitellogenin synthesis (Zaffagnini and Zeni, 1986) and have been

implicated with epipodite cells (on thoracic limbs) in the synthesis of hemoglobin (Goldmann et

al., 1999).

Figure 10. Comparison of ovarian eggs in females reproducing parthenogenetically or sexually. (A) The ovarian eggs of a female reproducing parthenogenetically contain a large amount of oil droplets (od) and yolk granules (yg) that are generally larger in size. **(B)** The ovarian egg of a female reproducing sexually contains a large proportion of fine yolk granules without oil droplets. Cp, carapace; Emb, embryo; FC, fat cell; nc, nurse cell; nu, nucleus of oocyte; Oa, oogonia; VLM, ventral longitudinal muscle. A solid blue circle indicates an individual ovarian egg.

2.4.1 Sexual dimorphism in *Daphnia*

Sexual dimorphism in *Daphnia* includes smaller male body size and male prominence and

elongation of antennules (A1), uniquely with flagella (F) at their tips (Figure 8B). Male antennules

carry muscles (A1M) (Figure 8A) that are absent in the females. Males also have a wider opening

and thickening of integument at the ventral margin of the carapace (Figures 7, 9A, and 11) which

is covered by pubescence (P) or fine hairs (Figures 7 and 9). The first thoracic limbs of the males

are equipped with elongated setae (Figure 7) and chitinized copulatory hooks (Figure 9) that are

used for clasping females during copulation. The male postabdomen has only one abdominal

process but has gonopores (Figure 8A inset) that are involved in transferring mature spermatozoa

from testes to the female in the region of the oviduct during copulation. Fat cells in both sexes go

through periodical changes but fat cells in the males are different from those in females as they

generally contain much larger lipid droplets, reduced and less granular cytoplasm, and smaller

nucleoli that are usually situated at the cell periphery (Figure 12).

Doublesex genes *(Dsx1)* in *D. magna* had been reported to exhibit sexual dimorphic differences in

the abundance of its transcripts that were primarily detected in the male-specific structures (Kato

et al., 2011; Nong et al., 2017). Time-lapse imaging showed that with the exception of elongated

antennules and the gonad, most male-specific structures start developing at instar 5 (7-day post-

ovulation) (Nong et al., 2017) during the early juvenile stage. The male-specific expression had

been detected in other anatomical structures, such as compound eye (Kato et al., 2011) and skeletal

muscles (Nong et al., 2017), of which sex difference has not been reported to date. It is envisioned

that spatially-resolved -omics studies assisted by histology images will add insight into the roles

of gene regulation, proteins, and other molecules in physiological function in the morphogenesis

of sexual dimorphism in *Daphnia*.

Figure 11. Comparison of male and female carapace at the ventral opening. (A) Thickening
of the male carapace (arrow) at the ventral opening is shown as compared to that of the **(B)** female.
Tu, Tubules of the maxillary gland. Corresponding atlas links:
(A) http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=transverse_male_88&z=11&c=0.23,0.105,0.23,0.18
(B) http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=transverse_female_57&z=17&c=0.36,0.07,0.20,0.15

Figure 12. Comparison of male and female fat cells. (A) Fat cells in the male consist of larger
lipid droplets (ld), reduced and less granular cytoplasm with smaller nucleoli (nu) that are situated
at the cell periphery. **(B)** Fat cells in the female have more granular cytoplasm with smaller lipid
droplets (ld) and bigger nucleoli (nu). Corresponding atlas links:
(A) http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=sagittal_male&z=2&c=0.34,0.48,0.29,0.19
(B) http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histology/?t=sagittal_female&z=7&c=0.38,0.75,0.21,0.14

2.5 Future Prospects and Applications

Atlases are foundational to the systematic characterization of anatomical (i.e., cellular and tissue)

structures of organisms in both healthy and diseased states. An essential step towards the

identification of adverse conditions and outcomes is the documentation of healthy and normal

structures in adults. The *Daphnia* histology reference atlas presented here was created in

recognition of *Daphnia* as a model organism for biology, especially toxicology and ecotoxicology

that now includes extensive environmental genomics and metabolomics (Kim et al., 2015; Shaw

et al., 2008; Tkaczyk et al., 2021) but currently lacks correlation with microanatomical

(histopathological) phenotypes. This reference atlas provides the histological component of

anatomical context for both sexes of *D. magna,* using a web-based interface that is far more

accessible to the average user than traditional paper-based atlases. With this atlas as a foundation

for whole-organism evaluation, histopathological changes detected within a whole organism can

be correlated with toxicological -omics data that require spatial context to understand and to allow

a more comprehensive understanding of toxicological effects across cell types, organ systems, and

organisms. This integration of data is critical for discovering and applying adverse outcome

pathways (OECD, 2022) for next-generation risk assessment (US EPA, 2014) where there is no

predetermined link between biomarkers of adversity, causative agents, and organ-specific effects.

This will help to draw cause-effect relationships between environmental toxicants, tissue-specific

adverse effects in sentinel and test organisms, and human and animal disease associated with

environmental toxicants. The addition of pathological effects to the atlas will facilitate and make

histological data more actionable in terms of hazard and/or risk assessment and regulatory

decision-making for environmental health protection.

This atlas is envisioned to serve as a potential integrative platform for other omics data and to

facilitate the addition of context from other imaging modalities. Notably, adding microanatomical

context to molecular analyses including transcriptomics (Marx, 2021), secondary ion mass

spectrometry (Bonnin and Rizzoli, 2020), and desorption electrospray ionization-mass

spectrometry (Smith et al., 2022), has become a new priority, and typically relies on tissue

histology to which the data can be mapped. While 3D imaging modalities such as fluorescence-

based confocal or laser sheet microscopy (Connelly et al., 2015; Mittmann et al., 2014), or X-ray

histotomography (Ding et al., 2019; Yakovlev et al., 2022) provide the 3-dimensionality of

anatomical structures that is lacking in histology, differential staining using a range of

histochemical stains provides the basic understanding of tissue and cell types that can eventually

be linked to the grayscale morphologies characteristic of most 3D imaging modalities. Cross-

referencing between 2D and 3D imaging modalities such as that illustrated in Figure 13 would

serve to increase the value of each and enhance the utilization. Note that the understanding of

structures in 3D images from any imaging mode requires inspection of 2D images, including 2D

slices and 3D renderings, both of which can benefit from the atlas labeling and visualization tools

(Figure 13C). Work is underway to add 3D data into the histology atlas, including multiple whole

D. magna X-ray histotomograms. Quantitative analysis based on automated segmentation of

cellular and tissue components, if developed, would comprise an important foundation for the

development of toxicological phenomics across model systems.

Whole organism atlases are crucial for the elucidation of the anatomical and functional

organization of tissues and organs. They are also essential as an integrative spatial framework for

examining and identifying morphological and cellular change. The significant effort and resources

required of adding toxicological data to the web-based whole-organism atlas will be outweighed

by its essential role in ensuring quality, validation, and reproducibility of toxicological research,

and facilitating education, data sharing, and collaboration. The foundation for whole-organism

atlas is a representative set of high-resolution digital slides (at least 40X magnification)

encompassing a whole organism. The web-based platform allows the visualization of high-

resolution digital slides without requiring local file storage or downloading. Our platform also

enables the interactive presentation of color-coded annotation of anatomical structures. The

pipeline of *Daphnia* histology reference atlas (Figure 14) was created with the intent to extend its

features for the benefit of the research that uses other model or sentinel organisms and would be

particularly exciting for cross-phylogenetic atlases and for global collaborative projects such as

the Encyclopedia of Life (Parr et al., 2014).

Figure 13. Cross-referencing of histology with microCT-based X-ray histotomography. (A)
The anatomical structures of a female *D. magna* in a 5 µm histology section, cross-referenced to
(B) a 25 µm stack from a histotomographic reconstruction of another female *D. magna* that
provides more spatial context of various anatomical structures via easily adjustable stack thickness.
Note that the connection of ocellus (O) to the cerebral ganglion (CG) and the 3-dimensionality of
the compound eye (E) are demonstrated by the 25 µm histotomographic stack in panel B. **(C)**
Color-overlay highlighting of the 25 µm stack shown in panel B, available in the atlas at
http://daphnia.io/anatomy/histotomography/?t=AAA392&z=1&c=0.18,0.02,0.79,0.55.   A2M,
antennal muscle; Ce, hepatic cecum; CC, crystalline cone of ommatidium, EC, epithelial cell of
midgut; EDM, esophageal dilator muscle; Eso, esophagus; L, labrum; LGC, labral gland cell; LM,
levator muscle of labrum; Md, mandible; Mv, microvilli of midgut; Mxl, maxillule; MG, midgut;
OL, optic lobe of cerebral ganglion; ON, optic nerve; TMM1, transverse mandibular muscle; TMT,
transverse mandibular tendon.

Figure 14. Overview of the creation of *Daphnia* histology reference atlas.

3.   Material and Methods

3.1 *Daphnia magna* husbandry

Daphnia magna were purchased from Carolina Biological (NC, USA) and raised in "Aachener Daphnien-Medium" or ADaM (Klüttgen et al., 1994) with modified content of selenium at room temperature (20°C ± 1°C) under a 16-hour light/8-hour dark photoperiod. *Daphnia magna* were fed three times weekly with 3.0 x 10^7 cells/ml of green microalgae (*Raphidocelis subcapitata)* and 0.1 mg/mL of dissolved bakers' yeast. The animal density was maintained at about 20 neonates, 10 juveniles and 5 to 7 reproducing adults per liter to prevent overcrowding and trigger the production of resting eggs and male *D. magna*. Under these conditions, animals reached maturity 6 to 8 days post-birth and reproduced parthenogenetically every 3 days with an average of 15 neonates per brood from the second brood onwards. Production of males and females carrying resting eggs was induced by overcrowding (>10 reproducing adults per liter) and shorter photoperiod (10 h).

3.2 Fixation and decalcification

Daphnia magna were euthanized in glass vials filled with bicarbonate water. Live *D. magna* were
transferred using plastic transfer pipettes. Tips of transfer pipettes were trimmed at a 45° angle
such that the diameter was double the size of the samples. Immediately following euthanasia,
bicarbonate water was gently removed with a transfer pipette and replaced with at least 20X
specimen volume of Bouin's solution (Newcomer Supply, WI) for fixation. The *D. magna* samples
were immersed in fixative on a low-speed orbital shaker (Corning LSE) set to 55 revolutions per
minute (RPM). We tested several fixatives and fixation parameters, such as duration and
temperature listed in Table 1. After fixation in Bouin's solution, samples were washed twice using
1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 10 min each time. This was followed by decalcification
in 20X sample volume of cold 6% formic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, MO) for 24 hours. Samples were
then rinsed in 70% ethanol for one minute and immersed in fresh 70% ethanol for 30 min before
agarose pre-embedding.
478
479   Table 1: Fixation parameters

Fixative	Fixation time and temperature	Decalcification by cold 6% formic acid time and temperature
Bouin's solution	48 h, 4 °C	24 h, 21 °C
	48 h, 21 °C	24 h, 21 °C
4% Paraformaldehyde	48 h, 4 °C	24 h, 4 °C
	48 h, 21 °C	24 h, 4 °C
10% Neutral buffered formalin	48 h, 4 °C	24 h, 4 °C
	48 h, 21 °C	24 h, 4 °C

3.3 Mold and agarose embedding
Samples were pre-embedded in 1% agarose block casted using "triangle mold" (Figure 1A-B) for
histological processing following a protocol adapted from Sabaliauskas et al. (2006). After taping
around the triangle mold, 2.5 mL of 1% agarose (Sigma-Aldrich, MO) at 55 °C were pipetted onto
the mold, and then let solidify at room temperature (Figure 1C). Once solidified, the agarose block
was removed gently from the mold (Figure 1D). Then, *D. magna* samples were transferred onto
the agarose block using a plastic pipette. A thin layer of 70% ethanol was pipetted onto the block
to help with the positioning of samples. Samples designated for the sagittal plane were laid on their
sides with a swimming antenna in the wells and all rostra facing the same direction. Samples
designated for coronal and transverse orientation were laid on their back in the wells (Figure 1 E-

F). Once all the samples were positioned in individual wells, excess ethanol was carefully dried

off using lint-free Kimwipes without agitating the samples. Each sample was first topped-off with

one drop of molten 1% agarose (about 50 °C) without moving the sample, followed by a thin layer

of 1% agarose covering all the samples. After the agarose solidified (~ 5 minutes at room

temperature), the block was trimmed, placed into a tissue cassette, and stored in 70% ethanol for

tissue processing. During paraffin embedding, the agarose blocks were positioned in the

appropriate final orientation (transverse, sagittal or coronal) for sectioning.

3.4 Processing, sectioning, and staining

All samples were dehydrated and infiltrated in RMC Model 1530 automated closed reagent type

tissue processor (Table 2). The *D. magna* samples were serially sectioned at 5 μm on a Leica

RM2255 automated rotary microtome. Sections were then stained with Harris' hematoxylin and

eosin (H&E) in an auto-stainer (Sakura Tissue Tek DRS 2000, IMEB, CA) following protocol

adapted from Copper et al. (2018). The duration of hematoxylin staining was extended from 3 to

7 min to achieve better contrast for samples fixed with Bouin's solution (Table 3).

Table 2: Tissue Processing Steps

Duration	Solution	Temp (°C)	Vacuum (in. Hg)
45 min	80% Ethanol	25	15
45 min	95% Ethanol	25	15
1 hour	95% Ethanol	25	15
1 hour (repeat thrice)	100% Ethanol	25	15
1 hour (repeat twice)	Xylene	25	15
1 hour 30 min (repeat twice)	Paraffin	60	15
2 hours	Paraffin	60	15

516 Table 3: Automated staining steps

Time	Solution
3 min	Xylene
5 min	Xylene
2 min (repeat twice)	100% Ethanol
2 min	95% Ethanol
10 min	Tap water
7 min	Hematoxylin
1 min	Tap water
1 min	Acidified Alcohol
1 min	Tap water
0.2 min	Ammoniated water
1 min	Tap water
0.3 min	Eosin
1 min	30% Ethanol
1 min	95% Ethanol
1 min	100% Ethanol
1 min	Xylene

3.5 Histology slide digitization

All slides were scanned using an Aperio AT2 slide scanner (LeicaBiosystems, IL) and saved in

TIFF format as digital slides. The regions of selected *D. magna* samples were then extracted using

Image Scope. Three channels (Red, Green, Blue) of these digital slides were stacked using Fiji,

oriented, and post-processed for background removal using Adobe Photoshop. Each set of digital

slides was then pyramidally tiled (libvips) in preparation for the web-based viewer.

3.6 Labeling and segmentation workflow

For each anatomical structure included in the anatomical ontology (a list of terms organized by

groups and subgroups, Supplemental File 3), we began by reviewing published literature, then

concluding with a visual analysis of the structure in each of the three orthogonally cut histology

slide sets. Anatomical structures included in the anatomical ontology were grouped and color-

coded based on organ systems. Labeling and segmentation of anatomical structures were done one

image at a time in Adobe Illustrator. The Adobe Illustrator Layer of an anatomical structure was

first labeled corresponding to the appropriate ontological term. Each anatomical structure was

segmented by outlining the structure with the curvature tool. Segmentation was then assigned the

color based on the pre-determined color code. After completion of the labeling and segmentation

of all anatomical structures on a given image, a single scalable vector graphic (SVG) was exported

to be used as input for the web-based viewer.

3.7 Web-interface workflow

The file sizes associated with digital slides are on the order of >2 GB per slide, making scans

challenging to view for users with standard computational resources. For easier access and usage

of the data without the need to download full-resolution images, we developed an open-access,

web-based digital slide viewing platform based on the open-access project OpenSeadragon

(OpenSeadragon"). This viewer combines annotations and digital scans into a seamless

experience to provide user-friendly access to high-resolution data. The atlas' code was written in

client-side JavaScript, HTML, and CSS requires no traditional download and has no server

requirement to run the basic implementation. Pyramidally tiled images are parsed and visualized

with OpenSeadragon. When the user loads a new image, the viewer opens the corresponding SVG

file. The SVG file contains all the anatomical labels on a given image and their corresponding

shape vector information. The viewer parses all labels from the <g> element of the SVG file,

plotting the corresponding regions on the viewer itself, and updates the ontology to note what

regions are available to visualize on the current image.

Acknowledgments

The authors want to acknowledge Penn State Human Health and Environment Seed Grant

supported by Pennsylvania Department of Health Commonwealth Universal Research

Enhancement Program Grant. The Department of Health specifically disclaims responsibility for

any analyses, interpretations, or conclusions. The authors also acknowledge NIH grant

1R24OD18559 to KCC and Jake Gittlen Laboratories for Cancer Research. The authors are

grateful to Patrick Leibich and the Department of Surgery, Penn State College of Medicine for 3D-

SLA printing the casting molds. The authors also want to thank Chadwick Harris and Debra

Shearer for helping with histology sectioning and slide staining. This work contributes to the

PrecisionTox project that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research

and innovation program under grant agreement No 965406.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interest.

References

Agar WE. 1950. The swimming setae of *Daphnia carinata*. *J Cell Sci* **s3-91**:353–368. doi:10.1242/jcs.s3-91.16.353

Au DWT. 2004. The application of histo-cytopathological biomarkers in marine pollution monitoring: a review. *Mar Pollut Bull* **48**:817–834. doi:10.1016/j.marpolbul.2004.02.032

Auld SKJR, Scholefield JA, Little TJ. 2010. Genetic variation in the cellular response of *Daphnia magna* (Crustacea: Cladocera) to its bacterial parasite. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* **277**:3291–3297. doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.0772

Beaton MJ, Hebert PDN. 1989. Miniature genomes and endopolyploidy in cladoceran crustaceans. *Genome* **32**:1048–1053. doi:10.1139/g89-552

Bednarska A. 2006. Adaptive changes in morphology of *Daphnia* filter appendages in response to food stress. *Polish Journal of Ecology* **54**:663–668.

Benzie JAH. 2005. The Genus *Daphnia* (including Daphniopsis) (Anomopoda:Daphniidae). Kenobi Productions.

Bernet D, Schmidt H, Meier W, Burkhardt-Holm P, Wahli T. 1999. Histopathology in fish: proposal for a protocol to assess aquatic pollution. *J Fish Dis* **22**:25–34. doi:https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2761.1999.00134.x

Binder G. 1931. Das Muskelsystem von *Daphnia*. *Int Rev Gesamten Hydrobiol Hydrogr* **26**:54–98. doi:10.1002/iroh.19310260104

Bonnin EA, Rizzoli SO. 2020. Novel secondary ion mass spectrometry methods for the examination of metabolic effects at the cellular and subcellular levels. *Front Behav Neurosci* **14**:124. doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2020.00124

Cáceres CE. 1998. Interspecific variation in the abundance, production, and emergence of *Daphnia* diapausing eggs. *Ecology* **79**:1699–1710. doi:10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[1699:IVITAP]2.0.CO;2

Chakraborty S, Ray M, Ray S. 2010. Toxicity of sodium arsenite in the gill of an economically important mollusc of India. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* **29**:136–148. doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2010.02.025

Chen L, Barnett RE, Horstmann M, Bamberger V, Heberle L, Krebs N, Colbourne JK, Gómez R, Weiss LC. 2018. Mitotic activity patterns and cytoskeletal changes throughout the progression of diapause developmental program in *Daphnia*. *BMC Cell Biol* **19**:30. doi:10.1186/s12860-018-0181-0

Christensen AK, Owusu NG, Jean-Louis D. 2018. Carapace epithelia are rich in large filamentous actin bundles in *Daphnia magna*, *Daphnia pulex*, and *Sida crystallina* (Crustacea: Cladocera). *Invertebr Biol* **137**:49–59. doi:10.1111/ivb.12204

Connelly SJ, Walling K, Wilbert SA, Catlin DM, Monaghan CE, Hlynchuk S, Meehl PG, Resch LN, Carrera JV, Bowles SM, Clark MD, Tan LT, Cody JA. 2015. UV-Stressed *Daphnia pulex* increase fitness through uptake of Vitamin D3. *PLOS ONE* **10**:e0131847. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0131847

Consi TR, Macagno ER, Necles N. 1987. The oculomotor system of *Daphnia magna*. The eye muscles and their motor neurons. *Cell Tissue Res* **247**:515–523. doi:10.1007/BF00215744

Copper JE, Budgeon LR, Foutz CA, van Rossum DB, Vanselow DJ, Hubley MJ, Clark DP, Mandrell DT, Cheng KC. 2018. Comparative analysis of fixation and embedding techniques for optimized histological preparation of zebrafish. *Comp Biochem Physiol Toxicol Pharmacol CBP* **208**:38–46. doi:10.1016/j.cbpc.2017.11.003

Decaestecker E, De Meester L, Mergeay J. 2009. Cyclical parthenogenesis in *Daphnia*: sexual versus asexual reproduction In: Schön I, Martens K, Dijk P, editors. Lost Sex: The Evolutionary Biology of Parthenogenesis. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. pp. 295–316. doi:10.1007/978-90-481-2770-2_15

Ding Y, Vanselow DJ, Yakovlev MA, Katz SR, Lin AY, Clark DP, Vargas P, Xin X, Copper JE, Canfield VA, Ang KC, Wang Y, Xiao X, De Carlo F, van Rossum DB, La Riviere P, Cheng KC. 2019. Computational 3D histological phenotyping of whole zebrafish by X-ray histotomography. *eLife* **8**:e44898. doi:10.7554/eLife.44898

Edwards C. 1980. The anatomy of *Daphnia* mandibles. *Trans Am Microsc Soc* **99**:2–24. doi:10.2307/3226076

Environmental Protection Agency. 2002. Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms.

Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Ecological Effects Test Guidelines OCSPP850.1300: Daphnid chronic toxicity test.

Fryer G. 1991. Functional morphology and the adaptive radiation of the Daphniidae (Branchiopoda: Anomopoda). *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **331**:1–99. doi:10.1098/rstb.1991.0001

Goldmann T, Becher B, Wiedorn KH, Pirow R, Deutschbein ME, Vollmer E, Paul RJ. 1999. Epipodite and fat cells as sites of hemoglobin synthesis in the branchiopod crustacean *Daphnia magna*. *Histochem Cell Biol* **112**:335–339. doi:10.1007/pl00007905

Halcrow K. 1976. The fine structure of the carapace integument of *Daphnia magna* Straus (Crustacea Branchiopoda). *Cell Tissue Res* **169**:267–276. doi:10.1007/BF00214213

Haney JF, Hall DJ. 1973. Sugar-coated *Daphnia*: A preservation technique for Cladocera. *Limnol Oceanogr* **18**:331–333. doi:https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1973.18.2.0331

Hebert PDN, Ward RD. 1972. Inheritance during parthenogenesis in *Daphnia magna*. *Genetics* **71**:639–642.

Hiruta C, Tochinai S. 2014. Formation and structure of the ephippium (resting egg case) in relation to molting and egg laying in the water flea *Daphnia pulex* De Geer (Cladocera: Daphniidae). *J Morphol* **275**:760–767. doi:10.1002/jmor.20255

Kato Y, Kobayashi K, Watanabe H, Iguchi T. 2011. Environmental sex determination in the branchiopod crustacean *Daphnia magna*: Deep conservation of a Doublesex gene in the sex-determining pathway. *PLoS Genet* **7**. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001345

Kikuchi M, Sasaki Y, Wakabayashi M. 2000. Screening of organophosphate insecticide pollution in water by using *Daphnia magna*. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* **47**:239–245. doi:10.1006/eesa.2000.1958

Kikuchi S. 1983. The fine structure of the gill epithelium of a fresh-water flea, *Daphnia magna* (Crustacea: Phyllopoda) and changes associated with acclimation to various salinities. I. Normal fine structure. *Cell Tissue Res* **229**:253–268. doi:10.1007/BF00214974

Kim HJ, Koedrith P, Seo YR. 2015. Ecotoxicogenomic approaches for understanding molecular mechanisms of environmental chemical toxicity using aquatic invertebrate, *Daphnia* model organism. *Int J Mol Sci* **16**:12261–12287. doi:10.3390/ijms160612261

Klüttgen B, Dülmer U, Engels M, Ratte HT. 1994. ADaM, an artificial freshwater for the culture
of zooplankton. *Water Res* **28**:743–746. doi:10.1016/0043-1354(94)90157-0

Kress T, Harzsch S, Dircksen H. 2016. Neuroanatomy of the optic ganglia and central brain of the
water flea *Daphnia magna* (Crustacea, Cladocera). *Cell Tissue Res* **363**:649–677.
doi:10.1007/s00441-015-2279-4

Kühn R, Pattard M, Pernak K-D, Winter A. 1989. Results of the harmful effects of water pollutants
to *Daphnia magna* in the 21 day reproduction test. *Water Res* **23**:501–510.
doi:10.1016/0043-1354(89)90142-5

Kvist J, Athanàsio CG, Pfrender ME, Brown JB, Colbourne JK, Mirbahai L. 2020. A
comprehensive epigenomic analysis of phenotypically distinguishable, genetically
identical female and male *Daphnia pulex*. *BMC Genomics* **21**:17. doi:10.1186/s12864-019-
6415-5

LaDouceur EEB, Wynne J, Garner MM, Nyaoke A, Keel MK. 2016. Lesions of copper toxicosis
in captive marine invertebrates with comparisons to normal histology. *Vet Pathol* **53**:648–
658. doi:10.1177/0300985815603431

Lampert W. 2011. *Daphnia*: Development of a model organism in ecology and evolution, Book
21. ed, Excellence in Ecology. Oldendorf/Luhe: International Ecology Institute.

Marx V. 2021. Method of the Year: spatially resolved transcriptomics. *Nat Methods* **18**:9–14.
doi:10.1038/s41592-020-01033-y

McCoole MD, Baer KN, Christie AE. 2011. Histaminergic signaling in the central nervous system
of *Daphnia* and a role for it in the control of phototactic behavior. *J Exp Biol* **214**:1773–
1782. doi:10.1242/jeb.054486

Mergeay J, Verschuren D, Kerckhoven LV, Meester LD. 2004. Two hundred years of a diverse
Daphnia community in Lake Naivasha (Kenya): effects of natural and human-induced
environmental changes. *Freshw Biol* **49**:998–1013. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
2427.2004.01244.x

Metschnikoff E. 1884. A disease of *Daphnia* caused by a yeast. A contribution to the theory of
phagocytes as agents for attack on disease-causing organisms. *Archiv Pathol Anat Physiol*
Klin Med **96**:177–195.

Mittmann B, Ungerer P, Klann M, Stollewerk A, Wolff C. 2014. Development and staging of the
water flea *Daphnia magna* (Straus, 1820; Cladocera, Daphniidae) based on morphological
landmarks. *EvoDevo* **5**:12. doi:10.1186/2041-9139-5-12

Nong QD, Mohamad Ishak NS, Matsuura T, Kato Y, Watanabe H. 2017. Mapping the expression
of the sex determining factor Doublesex1 in *Daphnia magna* using a knock-in reporter. *Sci*
Rep **7**:13521. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-13730-4

OECD. 2022. Adverse Outcome Pathways, Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics - OECD.
https://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/adverse-outcome-pathways-molecular-
screening-and-toxicogenomics.htm

OECD. 2018. *Daphnia magna* Reproduction Test (OECD TG 211). Paris: OECD.
doi:10.1787/9789264304741-12-en

OECD. 2004. Test No. 202: Daphnia sp. Acute Immobilisation Test. Paris: Organisation for
Economic Co-operation and Development.

OpenSeadragon. An open-source, web-based viewer for high-resolution zoomable images,
implemented in pure Javascript, for desktop and mobile. [Accessed in 2020].
https://openseadragon.github.io/

Parr CS, Wilson N, Leary P, Schulz KS, Lans K, Walley L, Hammock JA, Goddard A, Rice J,
Studer M, Holmes JTG, Corrigan, Jr. RJ. 2014. The Encyclopedia of Life v2: providing
global access to knowledge about life on earth. *Biodivers Data J* e1079.
doi:10.3897/BDJ.2.e1079

Quaglia A, Sabelli B, Villani L. 1976. Studies on the intestine of Daphnidae (Crustacea, Cladocera)
ultrastructure of the midgut of *Daphnia magna* and *Daphnia obtusa*. *J Morphol* **150**:711–
725. doi:10.1002/jmor.1051500306

Ramírez-Duarte W, Rondon Barragan I, Eslava-Mocha P. 2008. Acute toxicity and
histopathological alterations of Roundup® herbicide on "cachama blanca" (*Piaractus
brachypomus*). *Pesqui Veterinária Bras* **28**:547. doi:10.1590/S0100-736X2008001100002

Rossi F. 1980. Comparative observations on the female reproductive system and parthenogenetic
oogenesis in Cladocera. *Bolletino Zool* **47**:21–38. doi:10.1080/11250008009440317

Sabaliauskas NA, Foutz CA, Mest JR, Budgeon LR, Sidor AT, Gershenson JA, Joshi SB, Cheng
KC. 2006. High-throughput zebrafish histology. *Methods*, Zebrafish as a Model for
Development **39**:246–254. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2006.03.001

Schultz TW, Kennedy JR. 1976. The fine structure of the digestive system of *Daphnia pulex*
(Crustacea: Cladocera). *Tissue Cell* **8**:479–490. doi:10.1016/0040-8166(76)90008-2

Shaw JR, Pfrender ME, Eads BD, Klaper R, Callaghan A, Sibly RM, Colson I, Jansen B, Gilbert
D, Colbourne JK. 2008. *Daphnia* as an emerging model for toxicological genomics In:
Hogstrand C, Kille P, editors. Advances in Experimental Biology, Comparative
Toxicogenomics. Elsevier. pp. 165–328. doi:10.1016/S1872-2423(08)00005-7

Smirnov NN. 2017. Physiology of the Cladocera: Second Edition, Physiology of the Cladocera:
Second Edition.

Smith MJ, Weber RJM, Viant MR. 2022. Spatially mapping the baseline and Bisphenol-A exposed
Daphnia magna lipidome using desorption electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry.
Metabolites **12**:33. doi:10.3390/metabo12010033

Stein RJ, Richter WR, Zussman RA, Brynjolfsson G. 1966. Ultrastructural characterization of
Daphnia heart muscle. *J Cell Biol* **29**:168–170.

Steinsland AJ. 1982. Heart ultrastructure in *Daphnia pulex* De Geer (Crustacea, Branchiopoda,
Cladocera). *J Crustac Biol* **2**:54–58. doi:10.2307/1548112

Stollewerk A. 2010. The water flea *Daphnia* - a "new" model system for ecology and evolution?
J Biol **9**:21. doi:10.1186/jbiol212

Tkaczyk A, Bownik A, Dudka J, Kowal K, Ślaska B. 2021. *Daphnia magna* model in the toxicity
assessment of pharmaceuticals: A review. *Sci Total Environ* **763**:143038.
doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143038

US EPA. 2014. Next Generation Risk Assessment: incorporation of recent advances in molecular,
computational, and systems biology (final report).
https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/risk/recordisplay.cfm?deid=286690

Weiss LC, Tollrian R, Herbert Z, Laforsch C. 2012. Morphology of the *Daphnia* nervous system:
a comparative study on *Daphnia pulex*, *Daphnia lumholtzi*, and *Daphnia longicephala*. *J
Morphol* **273**:1392–1405. doi:10.1002/jmor.20068

Wester PW, Vos JG. 1994. Toxicological pathology in laboratory fish: an evaluation with two
species and various environmental contaminants. *Ecotoxicol Lond Engl* **3**:21–44.
doi:10.1007/BF00121386

Wuerz M, Huebner E, Huebner J. 2017. The morphology of the male reproductive system,
spermatogenesis and the spermatozoon of *Daphnia magna* (Crustacea: Branchiopoda). *J
Morphol* **278**:1536–1550. doi:10.1002/jmor.20729
Yakovlev MA, Vanselow DJ, Ngu MS, Zaino CR, Katz SR, Ding Y, Parkinson D, Wang SY, Ang
KC, La Riviere P, Cheng KC. 2022. A wide-field micro-computed tomography detector:
micron resolution at half-centimetre scale. *J Synchrotron Radiat* **29**.
doi:10.1107/S160057752101287X
Zaffagnini F, Zeni C. 1987. Ultrastructural investigations on the labral glands of *Daphnia obtusa*
(Crustacea, Cladocera). *J Morphol* **193**:23–33. doi:10.1002/jmor.1051930104
Zaffagnini F, Zeni C. 1986. Considerations on some cytological and ultrastructural observations
on fat cells of *Daphnia* (Crustacea, Cladocera). *Bollettino di zoologia* **53**:33–39.
doi:10.1080/11250008609355480
Zeni C, Franchini A. 1990. A preliminary histochemical study on the labral glands of *Daphnia
obtusa* (Crustacea, Cladocera). *Acta Histochem* **88**:175–181.