Cortical structure of neural synchrony and information flow during transition from wakefulness to light non-rapid eye movement sleep

Joline M. Fan[1]*, Kiwamu Kudo[2,3], Parul Verma[2], Kamalini G. Ranasinghe[1], Hirofumi Morise[2,3], Anne M. Findlay[2], Keith Vossel[1,5], Heidi E. Kirsch[1,2], Ashish Raj[2], Andrew D. Krystal[4], Srikantan S. Nagarajan[2]

Author Affiliations

[1]Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco CA, USA

[2]Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, USA

[3]Medical Imaging Center, Ricoh Company, Ltd., Kanazawa, Japan

[4]Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco CA, USA

[5]Mary S. Easton Center for Alzheimer's Disease Research, Department of Neurology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Email: joline.fan@ucsf.edu

Keywords: Non-rapid eye movement (NREM), cortical excitation and inhibition, directional phase transfer entropy, sleep

ABSTRACT

Sleep is a highly stereotyped phenomenon, requiring robust spatial and temporal coordination of neural activity. How the brain coordinates neural activity with sleep onset can provide insight into the physiological functions subserved by sleep and pathologic phenomena associated with sleep onset. We quantified whole-brain network changes in synchrony and information flow during the transition from wake to non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep using magnetoencephalography imaging in healthy subjects. In addition, we performed computational modeling to infer excitatory and inhibitory properties of local neural activity. The sleep transition was identified to be encoded in spatially and temporally specific patterns of local and long-range neural synchrony. Patterns of information flow revealed that mesial frontal regions receive hierarchically organized inputs from broad cortical regions upon sleep onset. Finally, biophysical neural mass modeling demonstrated spatially heterogeneous properties of cortical excitation-to-inhibition from wake to NREM. Together, these findings reveal whole-brain corticocortical structure in the sleep-wake transition and demonstrate the orchestration of local and long-range, frequency-specific cortical interactions that are fundamental to sleep onset.

INTRODUCTION

The transition to sleep involves a set of neural processes that reliably promotes a behavioral state-change and sets the stage for essential functions of sleep. Classical lesion and stimulation-based studies have identified the sleep-wake transition as modulated by distributed circuits originating in the brainstem and extending to the hypothalamus, thalamus, and basal forebrain[1,2]. Such bottom-up regulation of sleep and wake has led to a model whereby cortical structures are globally activated by subcortical structures during state transitions. More recent studies, however, have identified the presence of local cortical dynamics during sleep[3–7] and furthermore suggest that the neocortex may even play a top-down role in sleep-wake regulation[8,9]. To this end, we pose the questions: Is there a structured coordination between local and long-range cortical dynamics during the transition from wake to light NREM? If so, what are putative mechanisms mediating the local cortical dynamics? The elucidation of coordinated local and long-range cortical structure may ultimately shed light on cortically-based physiologic phenomena, such as emotional regulation[10], memory consolidation[11], and recovery[12], and pathologic phenomena of sleep onset, such as state-dependent epileptic spiking or insomnia.

For many decades, sleep electrophysiology has been characterized by recordings from a limited number of scalp electrodes, as traditionally used in polysomnography. Meanwhile, fMRI/PET[13–15] studies have provided key anatomical insights on whole-brain neural activation patterns of sleep. Such large-scale network analyses primarily using fMRI have revealed widespread increases in corticocortical connectivity and decreases in thalamocortical connectivity during light non-rapid eye movement (NREM)[16–18]; deep NREM has been associated with widespread decreases in functional connectivity (FC) and increased thalamocortical connectivity[16,17]. The diffuse increase in corticocortical FC from wakefulness to light NREM has been hypothesized to lead to reduced information integration, underpinning the loss of consciousness with sleep[19,20]. While fMRI/PET offer

high spatial resolution, these imaging modalities indirectly probe neural activity (e.g., fMRI measures blood flow and PET measures neural metabolic rate) and are limited by low temporal resolution.

Complementing prior fMRI methods, several key MEG/EEG[21–23] studies have elucidated frequency-specific patterns with sleep onset, including the robust increase in low frequency oscillations in midline anterior brain regions, which later propagate posteriorly[22]. The increased corticocortical connectivity with sleep onset has been furthermore observed across multiple frequency bands using band-limited power correlations of MEG/EEG sensor time series[24]. To date, however, MEG/high-density EEG studies have been limited by the lack of a comprehensive assessment of simultaneous local and long-range neuronal synchrony and information flow with transition from wakefulness to sleep. In addition, amidst findings of global increases and decreases in FC, salient long-range cortical interactions have not previously been observed, which may reflect prior methodological limitations including: limited measures of connectivity due to the lack of source reconstruction[24]; temporal blurring due to the lack of simultaneous EEG for sleep-scoring in MEG studies; and correlational connectivity methods that may introduce effects of volume conduction or other sources of spurious connectivity.

In this study, we sought to identify local and long-range cortical structure that underlie global state transitions from wake to light NREM. We pursued three aims: 1) to determine which cortical areas are preferentially activated by local synchronization during sleep onset using MEG imaging; 2) to simultaneously determine what cortical regions facilitate long-range synchronization observed upon the transition to sleep; and 3) to infer mechanisms underlying the observed network physiology using biophysical models. We hypothesized that there are frequency-specific, spatial patterns of corticocortical synchronization and information flow upon transition to NREM. Furthermore, in the context of spatially-specific cortical patterns of neural synchronization, we hypothesized that the underlying corticocortical structure represents spatially heterogeneous excitatory-to-inhibitory activity during light NREM. To test these hypotheses, we leveraged the high spatial-temporal sensitivity of

MEG imaging combined with simultaneous surface EEG to quantify spatial-temporal patterns of local and long-range neural synchrony and information flow upon sleep onset in a cohort of healthy individuals.

RESULTS

Local neural synchrony across sleep states

Local neural synchrony, as measured by the spectral power, was averaged across all parcellations and revealed an expected alpha peak during wakefulness and a shift toward delta frequencies with transition to N1 and N2 (Fig. 1A). Source reconstructions of the mean normalized spectral power demonstrated increased delta power predominantly over the bilateral prefrontal cortices with transition from wake to NREM (Fig. 1B). The anterior-posterior gradient in the alpha frequency band, as well as the central beta power, attenuated with transition from wake to light NREM (Fig. 2s). The spectral reconstructions are consistent with prior findings[25] and demonstrate the accurate acquisition of sleep-wake states.

Long-range neural synchrony maps across sleep states

Mean regional long-range connectivity was mapped onto the Brainnetome atlas to give rise to "spatial maps", which revealed frequency-specific patterns of mean long-range synchrony of each region in light sleep as compared to wakefulness. Given the prominent shift to delta/theta frequencies with transition from wake to sleep (Fig. 1A), we focused on low frequency long-range synchrony patterns during sleep. Findings for other higher frequency bands are provided in the Supplementary Materials. Within the delta band, mean regional long-range synchrony diffusely increased (Fig. 1C) with prominent long-range connections within and across bilateral frontal regions (i.e. SFG, MFG, PrG) and between frontal-parietal regions (i.e. between MFG, SFG and IPL, SPL; Figs. 1D, E).

Global long-range synchrony, i.e. averaged across all regions, increased from W to N1 (Fig. 1G; t=19.4, p<0.001) and W to N2 (t=15.3, p<0.001), and decreased from N1 to N2 (t=-4.2, p<0.001) in the delta band. The finding of the peak global long-range synchrony in N1 was distinct from that of local synchrony, in which the mean local synchrony monotonically increased from W to N1 and N2 (Fig. 1F). In addition, the relationship between mean regional local and long-range synchrony

revealed low correlation within N1 and W states and no correlation within the N2 state (Fig. 1H; purple, green, and red lines; W, R^2 = 0.054, p<0.001; N1, R^2 = 0.058, p<0.001; N2, R^2 = 0.003, p=0.433, respectively). On the other hand, there was a relatively high correlation *across* sleep-wakes states between regional local and long-range synchrony (Fig. 1H; black line, R^2 = 0.586, p<0.001). These findings suggest that the spatial maps of local synchrony do not strongly reflect that of regional long-range synchrony within classical stages of light NREM; however, mean regional local and long-range synchrony tracts across state changes.

Within the theta frequency band, bilateral frontal and occipital regions (i.e. IFG, MFG, ACC, and MVoCC, LOcC, and Pcun) exhibited increased connectivity, while bilateral temporal regions revealed reduced intra- and interhemispheric connectivity (i.e. STG, ITG, MTG, Hipp and Amy) in N1 as compared to W (Figs. 2A-C). Global long-range synchrony was again observed to increase from W to N1 (Fig 2E, t=7.55, p<0.001) and to decrease from N1 to N2 (t=-9.16, p<0.001). Global long-range synchrony was not statistically different between W and N2 (t=-1.62, p=0.238). The relationship between mean regional local and long-range synchrony revealed low correlation within sleep states (Fig 2F; purple, green, and red; W, R^2 = 0.204, p<0.001; N1, R^2 = 0.109, p<0.001; N2, R^2 = 0.098, p<0.001, respectively), which increased in correlation when comparing across states (Fig 2F; R^2 = 0.158, p<0.001).

Within the alpha frequency band, long-range synchrony increased over the bilateral frontal and temporal regions with relative sparing of the parietal-occipital region in N1, as compared to W (Fig. 3s). The beta band demonstrated relatively increased synchrony in interhemispheric connectivity and anterior temporal regions, during N1 as compared to W (Fig. 3s). The frequency-specific activation patterns in N1 and N2 were similar; however, N1 overall demonstrated an increase in long-range neural synchrony as compared to N2 (Fig. 4s).

Information flow across sleep-wake states

Information flow, as measured by dPTE[26], provides insight on the regional inputs and outputs that may influence local activity and synchronization. Within the delta band, aggregated inflow tracts targeting bilateral frontal regions increased in magnitude when transitioning from W to N1 and from N1 to N2 (Fig. 3). Outflow from the bilateral occipital cortices (e.g. bilateral occipital polar cortex, lingual gyrus, inferior occipital gyrus, middle occipital gyrus, and ventromedial parietooccipital sulcus) were largely directed to the orbital frontal cortices during wakefulness and to bilateral frontal regions more broadly during N1 (Figs. 3B,E,G). Strikingly, with transition to N2, the outflow from the posterior regions gave rise to primary inputs not only to bilateral frontal regions, but also to the bilateral temporal lobe, insula, and cingulate, which then provided inflow into the bilateral frontal region (Fig. 3C,F,H). The serial flow of information, in which the bilateral frontal regions receive both direct and indirect inputs from broad cortical regions, represents a hierarchical organization of information flow. Given the spatial patterns during sleep, we speculate that the prominent anterior delta power seen at sleep onset may be enabled through the inputs of broadly distributed and hierarchically organized cortical activity.

In the alpha frequency band, there was an overall reduction in the occipital-frontal information flow (Fig. 5s) with transition from wake to NREM. In addition, the strong outflow tracks seen from the precuneus and IPL attenuated with the transition from W to N1 and from N1 to N2 (Fig. 5s). Importantly, these findings suggest that with the transition to sleep, the posterior-anterior information flow does not cease, but rather that there is a spectral shift to lower frequencies.

Neural mass modeling of cortical excitation-to-inhibition parameters across sleep states
Finally, we utilized the observed physiology as inputs into biophysical NMMs to infer underlying mechanisms. Parameters of cortical excitation and inhibition were determined by modeling the observed regional spectral power using a deterministic NMM that captures local oscillatory dynamics (Fig 4A). The two indices of the NMM that were optimized to fit local electrophysiology included the characteristic time constants (τ_e, τ_i), representing the time-course of the underlying inhibitory and

excitatory drive, and the gain (g_{ee}, g_{ii}), representing the strength of recurrent local circuits. From wake to light NREM, both the g_{ee}/g_{ii} and the τ_e/τ_i ratio was observed to increase; differences between N1 to N2 were not statistically significant (Fig. 4B). The mean excitation-to-inhibition ratio (X_e/X_i), averaged across all regions, revealed an increase in excitation-to-inhibition from wake to light NREM (N1-W, t=10.4, p<0.001; N2-W, t=8.2, p<0.001) and a trend toward decreasing magnitudes from N1 to N2, although non-significant (N1-N2, t=2.3, p = 0.052; Fig 4C). These findings suggest that the transitional period to sleep may comprise a complex excitation-to-inhibition balance, in which *local* excitability-to-inhibition transiently increases with the transition to light NREM.

By modeling local dynamics, the excitation-to-inhibition ratio was furthermore demonstrated to be spatially distinct across the cortical surface within sleep-wake states (Fig 4D). The excitation-to-inhibition balance was highest over the bilateral frontal lobes during N1, while relatively reduced during wakefulness (Fig 4E). Furthermore, the bilateral parietal regions exhibited relatively increased cortical excitation-to-inhibition during wakefulness, as compared to light NREM (Fig. 4E). Comparison of the observed spectra to the model spectra (Fig. 4F) revealed an overall similarity in the profile of the spectra and peak frequencies. As homeostatic regulation during sleep has been thought be achieved through tuning synaptic strengths to alter the excitation-to-inhibition balance[27], our computational modeling suggests that the sleep transition may support a spatially heterogeneous homeostatic cortical process.

DISCUSSION

The characterization of whole-brain neural oscillations is essential to advancing our understanding of distributed network interactions underlying the transition to sleep. Descriptions of large-scale brain networks have relied chiefly on fMRI/PET imaging, which lack the temporal resolution and access to electrophysiology, and on EEG, which traditionally has limited spatial coverage and sensor level analysis. By using MEG imaging, a whole-brain, high-density imaging methodology, to simultaneously evaluate spectral power, functional connectivity, and information flow, we identify local and long-range cortical structure that underlie the transition from waking to light NREM sleep. Our findings suggest that the transition to sleep is accompanied by specific cortical patterns of neural synchrony that are coordinated in frequency and spatial extent. Furthermore, using directed measures of information flow, we identify a hierarchical flow of information from broad cortical areas to the bilateral frontal regions through both direct and indirect inputs. Finally, by employing a NMM, we demonstrate spatially heterogeneous excitatory-to-inhibitory parameters upon the transition to sleep, potentially suggestive of local homeostatic processes. As a simultaneous evaluation of local and long-range synchrony and information flow has not yet been performed during sleep onset, we review the literature in each of these domains in the context of our findings and then elaborate on how our NMM modeling fits in with experimental techniques.

Studies from the past decade have revealed that sleep onset is not a homogenous process[3,28–31]. Seminal PET studies provided the initial evidence of differential deactivation of cortical association regions with transition from waking to NREM, including in the prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate, precuneus and mesial temporal structures, in addition to the deactivation of subcortical structures[32,33]. Slow wave activity using EEG/MEG has been identified to originate in anterior medial regions[28,34], later involving parietal, temporal and occipital regions in an anterior to posterior direction[28]. The onset of slow wave activity coincides with an early rise in delta power in the frontal regions[21,23,34] and an increase in theta synchrony in the occipital region[29,34]. These findings are similarly recapitulated in our data (Figs. 1B), in which the spectral reconstructions revealed

prominent delta power in the mesial frontal regions. Across all frequency groups, regional local and long-range synchrony were poorly correlated (e.g. Figs. 1H, 2F), suggesting that the degree of connectivity has minimal bearing on the observed local synchrony. Similar findings in rest-state between relative power and mean PLI in specific bands have been previously identified[35], reaffirming the need to simultaneously evaluate both local and long-range synchrony measures. In contrast, we did identify a strong correlation between regional local and long-range synchrony *across* sleep-wake states, suggesting that a global factor related to state-change impacts both local and long-range synchrony. A possible physiologic interpretation includes an increase in global mean spiking rates, elicited from subcortical inputs or achieved through a change in effective membrane time constants or integration time, leading to an increase in both local and long-range synchrony[36].

Large-scale functional connectivity studies using fMRI[16,17,20] and MEG[24] have demonstrated widespread increases in long-range synchrony from W to N1 and N1 to N2, and a subsequent decrease in long-range synchrony with transition to N3[16,17,37]. The widespread synchronization has been associated with a decrease in global integration[38], as measured by graph theoretic metrics, and theorized to account for loss of consciousness with transition to sleep. Although our findings also demonstrate an increase in mean long-range synchrony from W to light NREM, our findings further reveal distinct long-range interactions during light NREM, such as predominant bifrontal and fronto-parietal interactions in the delta band (Figs. 1D, E), and fronto-occipital and fronto-parietal interactions in the theta band (Figs. 2B, C). These findings differ from prior MEG studies[24], which revealed homogenous increases in coherence, possibly due to limitations of sensor-based FC mappings, which may be confounded by overlapping lead fields and potentially conceal underlying structure. As compared to spectral power, the mean long-range synchrony of N1 was identified to be higher than both W and N2, consistent with prior literature[16,17,24,39]. These findings suggest that N1 composes a unique state that is not a transitional or ill-defined state between wake and sleep, which has previously been suggested due to the relatively low interrater reliability of identifying N1 based on less well-defined PSG features[40] and challenges of machine learning fMRI studies to extract

unique N1 states[41,42]. Rather, its distinctly elevated global long-range synchrony speaks to the complexity of the N1 state, which has been characterized by unique behavioral observations, including sleep mentation, responsiveness to sensory stimuli, or lucid dreaming[4,43].

Complementing the evaluation of local and long-range synchrony across regions, we also assessed the directionality of regional interactions, as measured by information flow. Dominant posterior to anterior patterns of information flow of alpha frequencies have previously been identified during resting state[44]. Our findings confirm the posterior to anterior patterns of information flow during resting state for higher frequencies (Fig. 4s), and furthermore newly demonstrate increasing organization and magnitudes of information flow within the delta frequency with the transition from wake to light NREM. Specifically, information flow within the delta frequency composed of 1) a direct posterior to anterior pattern (i.e. from parietal-occipital regions to frontal regions), and 2) an indirect pattern (i.e. from posterior regions to bilateral temporal regions, which then flow to the bilateral frontal lobes; Figs. 3C, F), which together depict a hierarchical sequence of information flow during light NREM[44,45]. In contrast to prior EEG-based studies revealing an inversion of directionality from occipital-to-frontal to frontal-to-occipital during sleep onset[22,46,47], our findings suggest a spectral shift in the prominent organizational pattern, while maintaining the posterior-to-anterior information flow. In addition to the increased number of sensors for source reconstruction approaches, MEG provides a reference free methodology for computing information flow, thereby preventing the potential bias associated with referenced based methods such as EEG.

Finally, we investigated whether the observed neural oscillatory patterns may give rise to spatially heterogeneous model parameters of cortical excitation-to-inhibition. Cortical excitability has been demonstrated to increase with time awake and sleep deprivation[48,49] and decrease during sleep and the circadian cycle[49,50], as measured by neuronal firing rates, synchronization, and propagation of transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)[49,51]. Our NMM modeling suggests that the transition from wake to light NREM is accompanied by a global increase in *local* cortical excitation-to-inhibition

activity, consistent with prior methods using TMS[52]. Specifically, prior TMS findings revealed an enhancement of early or local TMS-EEG responses during sleep, followed by a global attenuation reflecting an overall global breakdown in effective cortical connectivity[52]. The amplified early or local TMS-EEG response has been hypothesized to relate to an increased drive of post-synaptic excitatory neurons, synchronization of local cortical populations or activation of thalamocortical circuits[52]. While our model was assessed in a hypothesis-generating framework, further studies are required for validation of this model. Additionally, the computational model used here only accounts for local synchrony patterns; methods to incorporate both local and long-range connectivity within a parsimonious model are currently being developed and would be of future interest to incorporate given the distinct local and long-range corticocortical synchrony patterns observed.

By employing local NMM modeling and leveraging whole-brain local field potential, cortical spatial maps of excitation-to-inhibition parameters can be elucidated, in contrast to seeded processes, e.g. TMS impulses[49,51,53], magnetic resonance spectroscopy[54], or direct measurements of excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitters[55]. As such, we demonstrate that the modeled ratio of excitation-to-inhibition across the cortex is non-uniform (Fig. 4D, E) and is highest over the bilateral frontal lobes during light NREM. In contrast, the highest excitation-to-inhibition ratio during wakefulness is within the parietal-occipital regions. Our findings support the view that sleep is composed of spatially heterogeneous network dynamics, which may reflect complex homeostatic patterns across the cortex.

This work has limitations. Due to the noisy environment and time-limitations of a recording session within the MEG scanner, the sleep quality in the healthy participants was at times fragmented. In addition, deep NREM stages were not obtained across all patients and thus not included in the analysis. To address the sleep fragmentation, shortened epochs of high-quality 15s were utilized and concatenated together to formulate the most faithful representations of sleep-wake states. Future efforts may benefit from overnight or sleep-deprived recording sessions to obtain longer,

continuous periods of sleep-wake states. This study also incorporates a modest sample size of fourteen patients, who are also older in age. A future research direction includes the characterization of differences in neural synchrony patterns during sleep across age. In addition, a fundamental limitation of current human electrophysiology recordings is the lack of simultaneous recordings from both whole-coverage cortical areas and subcortical structures, including the thalamus. Thus, the contributions of subcortical structures to the cortical electrophysiologic synchrony patterns observed here remains an open question. Finally, although the linear NMM captures the frequency spectra directly through a closed form solution, the primary limitations of the NMM employed in this study include its inability to capture non-linear complexities of the brain.

In summary, our work identifies cortical oscillations structured across multiple spatial scales and hierarchically organized across time (e.g. frequency-specific interactions) with the transition to light NREM sleep. Serial information flow from broad cortical regions are directed to the mesial frontal regions in the delta frequency, which may contribute to the synchronization of local oscillations. Finally, our observed electrophysiology gives rise to spatially heterogeneous parameters of cortical excitation-to-inhibition with transition to sleep. Together, our findings suggest that the transition to sleep is accompanied by distinct, whole-brain, frequency and spatially-specific neural patterns that underlie spatially heterogeneous excitatory and inhibitory activity.

METHODS

Study cohort

MEG and simultaneously obtained scalp EEG data were obtained from fourteen healthy elderly

individuals (age, mean 63.6 y [SD 11.2]; gender, female 11 of 19 [78.6%]). All participants

underwent a complete history and physical examination. Exclusion criteria for this healthy cohort

included any neurologic disorders by history or abnormal neurologic findings by exam. In addition,

exclusion criteria included use of any chronic or acute neuroactive medications, including

neuroleptics, benzodiazepines, SSRI/SNRIs, or sedatives. Inclusion criteria included achieving at

least an aggregate of 60 seconds within each sleep-wake state (W, N1 and N2, segmented into 15

second epochs, see below) during the EEG/MEG study. In all subjects, the use of these data for

research was approved by the University of California Institutional Review Board, and all subjects

provided written informed consent prior to data collection.

*Data acquisition and preprocessin*g

EEG/MEG data were obtained for a period of 50-70 minutes of resting state, recorded at a sampling

rate of 600 Hz. Before the start of the recording, subjects were instructed to close their eyes and

attempt to sleep. Subjects did not engage in any tasks during the recording. Raw EEG/MEG traces

were chunked into 15 second epochs. Each epoch was visually inspected and rejected if there was

presence of movement artifacts. In a subset of subjects with metallic artifacts from non-cranial

implants, a digital signal subspace project (DSSP) algorithm was used for denoising[56]. Epochs were

scored into the appropriate sleep states based on scalp EEG criteria and using two-person validation

according to American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM) criteria. The 15 second epochs of MEG

data were then concatenated together to achieve at minimum, a 60 second time series representing

each behavioral state. A maximum of the first eight artifact-free epochs, e.g. 120 second sensor

time, were utilized in this analysis. Prior work demonstrated that 60 seconds of resting wake state is

an adequate duration to reliably achieve stationarity for MEG time series[57]. Source reconstruction

was performed using adaptive spatial filtering methods[58] at an individual subject level, yielding voxel

level source time series. Source reconstructions were co-registered to MNI template, and regional

level time courses were extracted with alignment to the Brainnetome atlas[59], parcellated into 210

cortical regions of interests (ROIs). The data analysis pipeline is illustrated in Fig 1s.

Data analysis and neural mass model

Time series data were bandpass filtered into the following frequency bands: delta (1-4 Hz), theta (4-

8 Hz), alpha (8-12 Hz), sigma (12-15 Hz), and beta (15-30 Hz). Local neural synchrony was

quantified by the normalized spectral power of the canonical frequency bands within a given ROI.

Normalized spectral power was determined by dividing the power spectra by the total power.

Long-range synchrony was computed using imaginary coherence, an established spectral

coherence measure of neural synchrony that is robust to volume conduction effects[60]. Imaginary

coherence was computed across pairwise atlas-based regions to assess for long-range synchrony

patterns; group statistics are described below. Mean regional long-range synchrony was computed

by averaging the pairwise long-range synchrony measures for an individual region, i.e. averaging the

pairwise imaginary coherence matrix along one axis. Global long-range synchrony was computed as

the average of all regional long-range synchrony. To visualize regional patterns of imaginary

coherence, spatial maps of the magnitudes of regional long-range synchrony were visualized on the

Brainnetome atlas. We note that imaginary coherence is a shrinkage estimator of true synchrony

that includes both real and imaginary components of coherence. Although near-zero lag can be

observed in large scale oscillatory networks[61,62], imaginary coherence is favored given the concern

for additional non-biological synchrony that may be captured in zero-lag synchrony.

To quantify information flow, phase transfer entropy (PTE) was also computed to assess the pair-

wise directional interaction between ROI time courses[26], and directional information was computed

using directional phase transfer entropy (dPTE), a connectivity measure for quantifying information

flow that is robust to noise and linear signal mixing[63]. Data analysis was performed using the

FieldTrip Matlab Toolbox[64] and custom made MATLAB code (version R2019a).

In addition, we utilized a linear, deterministic neural mass model (NMM)[65–68] to gain mechanistic

insights on the relative balance of excitatory and inhibitory inputs based on the observed spectra.

This NMM captures the local neural activity, as measured by the power spectral density (PSD)

across each ROI and sleep-wake state. In this implementation of the NMM, whole-brain dynamics

are deterministically modeled in closed form within the Fourier domain, using a canonical rate model

that considers local cortical neural activity[65,66]. NMM methods are further described in Ranasinghe et

al[69]. Specifically, we modeled a local signal as the summation of excitatory signals $x_e(t)$ and

inhibitory signals $x_i(t)$ for every ROI, based on the Brainnetome parcellation[59]. Excitatory and

inhibitory signals were modeled by a decay of the respective signals, feedback inputs from both

excitatory and inhibitory signals, and noise. Parameters for the excitatory and inhibitory signals for

individual regions included the neural gains for the excitatory, inhibitory, and alternating populations

represented by g_{ee}, g_{ii}, and g_{ei}, respectively; the characteristic time constants of excitatory and

inhibitory populations represented by τ_e and τ_i, respectively; the Gaussian white noise term

represented by $p(t)$; and the Gamma-shaped ensemble neural impulse response, represented by

$f_e(t)$ and $f_i(t)$ for inhibitory and excitatory contributions, respectively. The dynamics of the excitatory

and the inhibitory signals are thus represented by the following:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_e(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{f_e(t)}{\tau_e} \star \big(g_{ee}x_e(t) - g_{ei}f_i(t) \star x_i(t)\big) + p(t) \qquad\qquad 1$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_i(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{f_i(t)}{\tau_i} \star \big(g_{ii}x_i(t) + g_{ei}f_e(t) \star x_e(t)\big) + p(t) \qquad\qquad 2$$

For each ROI of the Brainnetome atlas, g_{ee}, g_{ii}, τ_e, and τ_i were estimated, while g_{ei} was fixed at 1.

Optimization of the model was based on mean squared errors (MSE) between the actual and

modeled PSD curve, for each ROI, participant, and sleep-wake state. The closed-form expression of

the modeled PSD is described further in the Supplementary Methods. The minimization of the MSE

for parameter optimization was performed using the basin hopping global optimization algorithm (Python)[70]. An empirical cumulative distribution function was constructed on the basis of MSE across all regions of interest, sleep-wake states, and patients; outliers were determined based on the threshold inclusion of 75% of the cumulative distribution (corresponding to MSE 37.6). Subsequent statistical testing to compare model parameters across sleep-wake states was performed using a repeated mixed models approach on a modular ROI level, accounting for subject, ROI, frequency, and state.

Group statistics

For statistical comparisons across states, the 210 cortical regional parcellation level spatial maps of the Brainnetome atlas were configured to 44 modular level parcellation maps, giving rise to 990 functional connections, which includes the averaged measure (e.g. imaginary coherence) within each module. Linear mixed effects models with repeated measures were performed to identify statistically significant differences across two states for modules and modular connections. Statistics were corrected for multiple comparisons (false discovery rate, FDR, level 0.05).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank our subjects for volunteering to participate in the study.

DATA AVAILBABILITY

Anonymized summary data and relevant code will be made available upon reasonable request.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.M.F., K.K., P.V., K.G.R., H.E.K., A.R., A.D.K., and S.S.N. contributed to the conception of the work, analysis, and interpretation of the data. H.M. contributed to the analysis of the data. A.M.F., K.G.R., and K.V. contributed to the acquisition of the data. J.M.F., P.V., A.D.K., S.S.N. contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All authors have reviewed and approved the submitted manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

FUNDING

Research reported in this publication was supported by the NIH grants 5TL1TR001871-05 (JMF), R01EB022717 (SSN), R01NS100440 (SSN), R01AG062196 (SSN), DOD CDMRP Grant W81XWH1810741 (SSN), UCOP-MRP-17-454755 (SSN), K08AG058749 (KGR), K23 AG038357 (KAV); a grant from John Douglas French Alzheimer's Foundation (KV); grants from Larry L. Hillblom Foundation: 2015-A-034-FEL and (KGR); 2019-A-013-SUP (KGR); a grant from the Alzheimer's Association: (PCTRB-13-288476) (KV), and made possible by Part the CloudTM, (ETAC-09-133596); S. D. Bechtel, Jr Foundation (KV), and a research contract from Ricoh MEG USA Inc. (SSN, HEK). Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of sponsoring agencies.

Abbreviations: Amy = amygdala; CG = cingulate gyrus; FC = functional connectivity; FuG = fusiform gyrus; Hipp = hippocampus; IFG = inferior frontal gyrus; IPL = inferior parietal lobule; INS = insula; ITG = inferior temporal gyrus; IQR = interquartile range; LocC = lateral occipital cortex; MEG = magnetoencephalography; MFG = middle frontal gyrus; MTG = middle temporal gyrus; MVOcC = medioventral occipital cortex; NREM = non-rapid eye movement; N1 = stage 1 sleep (NREM); N2 = stage 2 sleep (NREM); OrG = orbital frontal gyrus; PCL = paracentral lobule; Pcun = precuneus; PhG = parahippocampal gyrus; PoG = postcentral gyrus; PrG = precentral gyrus; pSTS = posterior superior temporal sulcus; ROI = region of interest; SFG = superior frontal gyrus; SPL = superior parietal lobule; STG = superior temporal gyrus; W = wake

REFERENCES

1.   Brown RE, Basheer R, McKenna JT, Strecker RE, McCarley RW. CONTROL OF SLEEP AND

        WAKEFULNESS. *Physiol Rev*. 2012;92(3):1087. doi:10.1152/PHYSREV.00032.2011

2.   Saper CB, Fuller PM. Wake-Sleep Circuitry: An Overview. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2017;44:186.

        doi:10.1016/J.CONB.2017.03.021

3.   Bernardi G, Siclari F, Handjaras G, Riedner BA, Tononi G. Local and Widespread Slow Waves in

        Stable NREM Sleep: Evidence for Distinct Regulation Mechanisms. *Front Hum Neurosci*.

        2018;12:248. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2018.00248

4.   Siclari F, Tononi G. Local aspects of sleep and wakefulness. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2017;44:222-

        227. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2017.05.008

5.   Huber R, Ghilardi MF, Massimini M, Tononi G. Local sleep and learning. *Nature*.

        2004;430(6995):78-81. doi:10.1038/nature02663

6.   Kringelbach ML, Deco G. Brain States and Transitions: Insights from Computational

        Neuroscience. *Cell Rep*. 2020;32(10):108128. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108128

7.   M. Krueger J, Tononi G. Local Use-Dependent Sleep; Synthesis of the New Paradigm. *Curr Top

        Med Chem*. 2012;11(19):2490-2492. doi:10.2174/156802611797470330

8.   Thomas CW, Guillaumin MCC, McKillop LE, Achermann P, Vyazovskiy V V. Global sleep

        homeostasis reflects temporally and spatially integrated local cortical neuronal activity. *Elife*.

        2020;9:1-25. doi:10.7554/ELIFE.54148

9.   Krone LB, Yamagata T, Blanco-Duque C, et al. A role for the cortex in sleep–wake regulation. *Nat

        Neurosci 2021 249*. 2021;24(9):1210-1215. doi:10.1038/s41593-021-00894-6

10.   Palmer CA, Alfano CA. Sleep and emotion regulation: An organizing, integrative review. *Sleep

        Med Rev*. 2017;31:6-16. doi:10.1016/J.SMRV.2015.12.006

11.   Klinzing JG, Niethard N, Born J. Mechanisms of systems memory consolidation during sleep. *Nat

        Neurosci 2019 2210*. 2019;22(10):1598-1610. doi:10.1038/s41593-019-0467-3

12.   Hobson JA, Pace-Schott EF. The cognitive neuroscience of sleep: neuronal systems,

        consciousness and learning. *Nat Rev Neurosci 2002 39*. 2002;3(9):679-693. doi:10.1038/nrn915

13.   Larson-Prior LJ, Zempel JM, Nolan TS, Prior FW, Snyder A, Raichle ME. Cortical network

functional connectivity in the descent to sleep. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106(11):4489-4494. doi:10.1073/pnas.0900924106

14.   Horovitz SG, Braun AR, Carr WS, et al. Decoupling of the brain's default mode network during deep sleep. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009;106(27):11376-11381. doi:10.1073/pnas.0901435106

15.   Horovitz SG, Fukunaga M, De Zwart JA, et al. Low frequency BOLD fluctuations during resting wakefulness and light sleep: A simultaneous EEG-fMRI study. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2008;29(6):671-682. doi:10.1002/hbm.20428

16.   Spoormaker VI, Schröter MS, Gleiser PM, et al. Development of a large-scale functional brain network during human non-rapid eye movement sleep. *J Neurosci*. 2010;30(34):11379-11387. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2015-10.2010

17.   Tagliazucchi E, Laufs H. Decoding Wakefulness Levels from Typical fMRI Resting-State Data Reveals Reliable Drifts between Wakefulness and Sleep. *Neuron*. 2014;82(3):695-708. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.03.020

18.   Liu Z, Fukunaga M, de Zwart JA, Duyn JH. Large-scale spontaneous fluctuations and correlations in brain electrical activity observed with magnetoencephalography. *Neuroimage*. 2010;51(1):102-111. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.01.092

19.   Spoormaker VI, Schröter MS, Gleiser PM, et al. Behavioral/Systems/Cognitive Development of a Large-Scale Functional Brain Network during Human Non-Rapid Eye Movement Sleep. 2010. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2015-10.2010

20.   Spoormaker VI, Czisch M, Maquet P, Jäncke L. Large-scale functional brain networks in human non-rapid eye movement sleep: Insights from combined electroencephalographic/functional magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Philos Trans R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci*. 2011;369(1952):3708-3729. doi:10.1098/rsta.2011.0078

21.   Ioannides AA, Liu L, Poghosyan V, Kostopoulos GK. Using MEG to understand the progression of light sleep and the emergence and functional roles of spindles and K-complexes. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2017;11:313. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2017.00313

22.   L DG, F V, M F, G C, PM R, C B. Antero-posterior functional coupling at sleep onset: changes as a function of increased sleep pressure. *Brain Res Bull*. 2005;65(2):133-140.

doi:10.1016/J.BRAINRESBULL.2004.12.004

23. L DG, M F, G C, R C. Antero-posterior EEG changes during the wakefulness-sleep transition. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2001;112(10):1901-1911. doi:10.1016/S1388-2457(01)00649-6

24. Liu Z, Fukunaga M, de Zwart JA, Duyn JH. Large-scale spontaneous fluctuations and correlations in brain electrical activity observed with magnetoencephalography. *Neuroimage*. 2010;51(1):102-111. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.01.092

25. Brancaccio A, Tabarelli D, Bigica M, Baldauf D. Cortical source localization of sleep-stage specific oscillatory activity. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):1-15. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-63933-5

26. Lobier M, Siebenhühner F, Palva S, Palva JM. Phase transfer entropy: A novel phase-based measure for directed connectivity in networks coupled by oscillatory interactions. *Neuroimage*. 2014;85:853-872. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.08.056

27. Tononi G, Cirelli C. Sleep function and synaptic homeostasis. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2006;10(1):49-62. doi:10.1016/J.SMRV.2005.05.002

28. Siclari F, Bernardi G, Riedner BA, LaRocque JJ, Benca RM, Tononi G. Two Distinct Synchronization Processes in the Transition to Sleep: A High-Density Electroencephalographic Study. *Sleep*. 2014;37(10):1621-1637. doi:10.5665/sleep.4070

29. Fernandez Guerrero A, Achermann P. Brain dynamics during the sleep onset transition: An EEG source localization study. *Neurobiol Sleep Circadian Rhythm*. 2019;6:24-34. doi:10.1016/j.nbscr.2018.11.001

30. Marzano C, Moroni F, Gorgoni M, Nobili L, Ferrara M, De Gennaro L. How we fall asleep: Regional and temporal differences in electroencephalographic synchronization at sleep onset. *Sleep Med*. 2013;14(11):1112-1122. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2013.05.021

31. Werth E, Achermann P, Borbély AA. Fronto-occipital EEG power gradients in human sleep. *J Sleep Res*. 1997;6(2):102-112. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2869.1997.d01-36.x

32. Braun AR, Balkin TJ, Wesensten NJ, et al. Regional cerebral blood flow throughout the sleep-wake cycle. An H215O PET study. *Brain*. 1997;120(7):1173-1197. doi:10.1093/brain/120.7.1173

33. Maquet. Functional neuroimaging of normal human sleep by positron emission tomography. *J Sleep Res*. 2000;9(3):207-231. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2869.2000.00214.x

34. Marzano C, Ferrara M, Curcio G, De Gennaro L. The effects of sleep deprivation in humans: Topographical electroencephalogram changes in non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep versus REM sleep. *J Sleep Res*. 2010;19(2):260-268. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2869.2009.00776.x

35. Hillebrand A, Barnes GR, Bosboom JL, Berendse HW, Stam CJ. Frequency-dependent functional connectivity within resting-state networks: An atlas-based MEG beamformer solution. *Neuroimage*. 2012;59(4):3909-3921. doi:10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2011.11.005

36. Chawla D, Lumer ED, Friston KJ. The relationship between synchronization among neuronal populations and their mean activity levels. *Neural Comput*. 1999;11(6):1389-1411. doi:10.1162/089976699300016287

37. Tagliazucchi E, von Wegner F, Morzelewski A, Brodbeck V, Laufs H. Dynamic BOLD functional connectivity in humans and its electrophysiological correlates. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2012;6(DEC):339. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2012.00339

38. Boly M, Perlbarg V, Marrelec G, et al. Hierarchical clustering of brain activity during human nonrapid eye movement sleep. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012;109(15):5856-5861. doi:10.1073/pnas.1111133109

39. Nguyen T, Babawale O, Kim T, Jo HJ, Liu H, Kim JG. Exploring brain functional connectivity in rest and sleep states: a fNIRS study. *Sci Reports 2018 81*. 2018;8(1):1-10. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-33439-2

40. Rosenberg RS, Steven FAASM;, Hout V. The American Academy of Sleep Medicine Inter-scorer Reliability Program: Sleep Stage Scoring. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2013;9(1):81-87. doi:10.5664/JCSM.2350

41. Stevner ABA, Vidaurre D, Cabral J, et al. Discovery of key whole-brain transitions and dynamics during human wakefulness and non-REM sleep. *Nat Commun*. 2019;10(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08934-3

42. Tagliazucchi E, Laufs H. Decoding Wakefulness Levels from Typical fMRI Resting-State Data Reveals Reliable Drifts between Wakefulness and Sleep. *Neuron*. 2014;82(3):695-708. doi:10.1016/J.NEURON.2014.03.020

43. Goupil L, Bekinschtein TA. Cognitive processing during the transition to sleep. *Arch Ital Biol*.

2012;150(2/3):140-154. doi:10.4449/AIB.V150I2.1247

44.   Hillebrand A, Tewarie P, Dellen E van, et al. Direction of information flow in large-scale resting-state networks is frequency-dependent. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2016;113(14):3867-3872. doi:10.1073/PNAS.1515657113

45.   Adamantidis AR, Herrera CG, Gent TC. Oscillating circuitries in the sleeping brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci 2019 2012*. 2019;20(12):746-762. doi:10.1038/s41583-019-0223-4

46.   De Gennaro L, Vecchio F, Ferrara M, Curcio G, Rossini PM, Babiloni C. Changes in fronto-posterior functional coupling at sleep onset in humans. *J Sleep Res*. 2004;13(3):209-217. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2869.2004.00406.x

47.   Vecchio F, Miraglia F, Gorgoni M, et al. Cortical connectivity modulation during sleep onset: A study via graph theory on EEG data. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2017;38(11):5456-5464. doi:10.1002/hbm.23736

48.   Huber R, Mäki H, Rosanova M, et al. Human cortical excitability increases with time awake. *Cereb Cortex*. 2013;23(2):332-338. doi:10.1093/CERCOR/BHS014

49.   Ly JQM, Gaggioni G, Chellappa SL, et al. Circadian regulation of human cortical excitability. *Nat Commun 2016 71*. 2016;7(1):1-10. doi:10.1038/ncomms11828

50.   Miyawaki H, Diba K. Regulation of Hippocampal Firing by Network Oscillations during Sleep. *Curr Biol*. 2016;26(7):893-902. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.02.024

51.   M M, F F, R H, SK E, H S, G T. Breakdown of cortical effective connectivity during sleep. *Science*. 2005;309(5744):2228-2232. doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.1117256

52.   Massimini M, Ferrarelli F, Huber R, Esser SK, Singh H, Tononi G. Neuroscience: Breakdown of cortical effective connectivity during sleep. *Science (80-)*. 2005;309(5744):2228-2232. doi:10.1126/science.1117256

53.   Cardone P, Van Egroo M, Chylinski D, Narbutas J, Gaggioni G, Vandewalle G. Increased cortical excitability but stable effective connectivity index during attentional lapses. *Sleep*. 2021;44(6):1-11. doi:10.1093/SLEEP/ZSAA284

54.   Tamaki M, Wang Z, Barnes-Diana T, et al. Complementary contributions of non-REM and REM sleep to visual learning. *Nat Neurosci 2020 239*. 2020;23(9):1150-1156. doi:10.1038/s41593-020-

0666-y

55.   Bridi MCD, Zong FJ, Min X, et al. Daily Oscillation of the Excitation-Inhibition Balance in Visual Cortical Circuits. *Neuron*. 2020;105(4):621-629.e4. doi:10.1016/J.NEURON.2019.11.011

56.   Cai C, Xu J, Velmurugan J, et al. Evaluation of a dual signal subspace projection algorithm in magnetoencephalographic recordings from patients with intractable epilepsy and vagus nerve stimulators. *Neuroimage*. 2019;188:161-170. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.11.025

57.   Guggisberg AG, Honma SM, Findlay AM, et al. Mapping functional connectivity in patients with brain lesions. *Ann Neurol*. 2008;63(2):193-203. doi:10.1002/ana.21224

58.   Sekihara K, Hild KE, Nagarajan SS. A novel adaptive beamformer for MEG source reconstruction effective when large background brain activities exist. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*. 2006;53(9):1755-1764. doi:10.1109/TBME.2006.878119

59.   Fan L, Li H, Zhuo J, et al. The Human Brainnetome Atlas: A New Brain Atlas Based on Connectional Architecture. *Cereb Cortex*. 2016;26(8):3508-3526. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhw157

60.   Sanchez Bornot JM, Wong-Lin KF, Ahmad AL, Prasad G. Robust EEG/MEG Based Functional Connectivity with the Envelope of the Imaginary Coherence: Sensor Space Analysis. *Brain Topogr*. 2018;31(6):895-916. doi:10.1007/s10548-018-0640-0

61.   Viriyopase A, Bojak I, Zeitler M, Gielen S. When long-range zero-lag synchronization is feasible in cortical networks. *Front Comput Neurosci*. 2012;6(JUL):49. doi:10.3389/FNCOM.2012.00049/XML/NLM

62.   Rajagovindan R, Ding M. Decomposing Neural Synchrony: Toward an Explanation for Near-Zero Phase-Lag in Cortical Oscillatory Networks. *PLoS One*. 2008;3(11). doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0003649

63.   Kudo K, Morise H, Ranasinghe KG, et al. Magnetoencephalography Imaging Reveals Abnormal Information Flow in Temporal Lobe Epilepsy. *https://home.liebertpub.com/brain*. August 2021. doi:10.1089/BRAIN.2020.0989

64.   Oostenveld R, Fries P, Maris E, Schoffelen JM. FieldTrip: Open source software for advanced analysis of MEG, EEG, and invasive electrophysiological data. *Comput Intell Neurosci*. 2011;2011. doi:10.1155/2011/156869

65. Raj A, Cai C, Xie X, et al. Spectral graph theory of brain oscillations. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2020;41(11):2980-2998. doi:10.1002/hbm.24991

66. Verma P, Nagarajan S, Raj A. Spectral graph theory of brain oscillations--Revisited and improved. *Neuroimage*. 2022;249. doi:10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2022.118919

67. Moran R, Pinotsis DA, Friston K. Neural masses and fields in dynamic causal modelling. *Front Comput Neurosci*. 2013;0(APR 2013):57. doi:10.3389/FNCOM.2013.00057/BIBTEX

68. David O, Friston KJ. A neural mass model for MEG/EEG: coupling and neuronal dynamics. *Neuroimage*. 2003;20(3):1743-1755. doi:10.1016/J.NEUROIMAGE.2003.07.015

69. Ranasinghe KG, Verma P, Cai C, et al. Altered excitatory and inhibitory neuronal subpopulation parameters are distinctly associated with tau and amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. *bioRxiv*. March 2022:2022.03.09.483594. doi:10.1101/2022.03.09.483594

70. Wales DJ, Doye JPK. Global Optimization by Basin-Hopping and the Lowest Energy Structures of Lennard-Jones Clusters Containing up to 110 Atoms. *J Phys Chem A*. 1997;101(28):5111-5116. doi:10.1021/JP970984N

FIGURES

Figure 1. Spatial maps of mean local and long-range synchrony between sleep-wake states in the delta frequency band. A) Mean normalized spectral power, averaged across regions and patients in wake (purple), N1 (green), and N2 (orange). Light shading represents the standard error across patients. B) Spatial maps of mean regional local synchrony (as measured by normalized spectral power) across sleep-wake states. C) Spatial map of mean regional long-range synchrony within the delta band (as measured by imaginary coherence) across sleep-wake states. D) T-score map of differences in long-range synchrony between W and N1 within the delta band. Lobar regions delineated on x- and y- axis are further described in Table 1s. E) Top 50 highest positive functional

connections, favoring N1. F) Mean global spectral power of each sleep-wake state in the delta band, averaged across regions and patients. Error bars represent the 95% CI from the LS-mean. G) Mean global long-range synchrony for each sleep-wake state in the delta band, averaged across region and patients. Error bars again denote 95% CI from the LS-mean. H) Association between mean regional long-range synchrony (as measured by imaginary coherence) and mean regional local synchrony (as measured by normalized spectral power) in the delta band. Each point represents an individual ROI within the spatial map. Linear regression and correlations of the mean regional long-range and local synchrony measures are provided for within sleep-wake state and across state (black) populations.

Figure 2. Spatial maps of mean local and long-range synchrony between sleep-wake states in the theta frequency band. A) Spatial map of mean regional long-range synchrony in W, N1, and N2 within the theta band. B) T-score map of differences in regional long-range synchrony between W and N1 within the theta band. C) Top 50 highest positive and negative functional connections, favoring N1 (red) and W (blue), respectively. D) Mean global spectral power of each sleep-wake state in the theta band, averaged across regions and patients. Error bars represent the 95% CI from the LS-mean. E) Mean global long-range synchrony for each sleep-wake state in the theta band, averaged across region and patients. Error bars again denote 95% CI from the LS-mean. F) Association between mean regional local and long-range synchrony in the theta band.

Figure 3. Directed information flow of sleep-wake states in the delta frequency band. A-C) Directed information flow as measured by directional phase transfer entropy (dPTE) across W, N1, and N2. From W to N1 and N2, there is increasing magnitude of dPTE with an aggregative inflow into the bilateral frontal regions. D-F) Alternate visualization of directed information flow colored by orange (outflow) and purple arrow (inflow). The visualization across W, N1, and N2 using a common threshold for depicting increased magnitudes and trajectories of the directed information flow. G-I) T-scores plotted indicating magnitude changes between specified states (accounting for FDR level 0.5)

Figure 4. Biophysical NMM model across sleep-wake states demonstrates spatially distinct excitation-inhibition patterns with transition to sleep. A) Depiction of NMM, comprised of both excitatory and inhibitory inputs and modeled with time constants (τ) and gain (g) parameters. B) Optimized parameters of τ_e/τ_i and g_{ee}/g_{ii} across sleep-wake states. C) Excitatory-inhibitory ratios (X_e/X_i) across sleep-wake states, revealing an increased excitation-to-inhibition with transition from wake to sleep. D) Spatial maps of regional X_e/X_i across sleep-wake states, revealing cortical heterogeneity in excitation-to-inhibition. E) T-scores reflecting differences between N1 vs. W (*left*) and N2 vs. W (*right*) across different ROIs. Depicted T-scores are thresholded to account for multiple comparison testing (FDR level 0.05). F) Actual (*top*) and modeled (*bottom*) power spectral density curves across sleep-wake states averaged across all ROIs.