Generating minimum set of gRNA to cover multiple targets in multiple genomes with MINORg

Rachelle R.Q. Lee [1], Wei Yuan Cher [1], and Eunyoung Chae [1*]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117558

[*]Correspondence to Eunyoung Chae (dbsce@nus.edu.sg)

March 2022

Abstract

MINORg is an offline gRNA design tool that generates the smallest possible combination of gRNA capable of covering all desired targets in multiple non-reference genomes. As interest in pangenomic research grows, so does the workload required for large screens in multiple individuals. MINORg aims to lessen this workload by capitalising on sequence homology to favour multi-target gRNA while simultaneously screening multiple genetic backgrounds in order to generate reusable gRNA panels. We demonstrated the practical application of MINORg by knocking out a 11 homologous genes tandemly arrayed in a multigene cluster in two *Arabidopsis thaliana* lineages using three gRNA output by MINORg. Source code is freely available at https://github.com/rlrq/MINORg.

In functional genomics, gene function is frequently investigated using knockdown or knockout techniques and observing any changes to phenotype. The *clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats-Cas* (CRISPR-Cas) system (Barrangou et al., 2007; Sapranauskas et al., 2011) has come to dominate the field of gene editing. Unlike older gene-editing tools such as zinc-finger nucleases (ZFN) (Bibikova et al., 2002) and transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALEN) (Fujikawa et al., 2006) that recognise DNA motifs through their protein structures, CRISPR-Cas systems owe their specificity to a short guide RNA (gRNA) sequence that complementary base pairs with a target sequence. Consequently, the CRISPR-Cas system easily lends itself to multiplexing as only the gRNA has to be tailored for each target (Cong et al., 2013).

A pangenome is the genomic totality of a taxon, comprising the core genome shared by all individuals in a given taxon and dispensable genes which are found in only a subset of individuals (Medini et al., 2020). Falling costs and increasing availability of whole-genome sequencing have made the study of pangenomes more attractive and widespread (Jayakodi et al., 2021; Miga and Wang, 2021; Tranchant-Dubreuil et al., 2019; Anani et al., 2020). Thus, it is now possible to investigate the function of genes across various genetic backgrounds rather than a single reference genome. However, intraspecific variation in target and background sequences may alter the ability of a single gRNA to direct a CRISPR-Cas construct to a desired genomic destination as well as the likelihood of off-target effects in non-reference individuals.

Existing gRNA design tools rarely account for intraspecific variation in non-reference genomes, and, where they do, off-target effects are usually only checked against a single genetic background (sometimes together with a reference genome). Furthermore, the experimental burden of designing and cloning separately designed gRNA for multiple genes in multiple genomes may render large pan-genomic screens tedious, which highlights the need for gRNA design tools to be able to generate a minimum gRNA set capable of covering all desired targets in the pan-genome era. Recent tools such as MultiTargeter (Prykhozhij et al., 2015), which designs minimum gRNA for multiple targets, Guide-Maker (Poudel et al., 2021), which designs gRNA in non-reference genomes, and CRISPR-Local (Sun et al., 2019), which designs minimum gRNA for multiple targets in non-reference genomes on a per-genome basis, address some but not all of these considerations.

Therefore, we have created MINORg to take into account all of these limitations simultaneously and output minimum gRNA sets that cover all desired targets in all desired backgrounds. Additionally, MINORg also allows users to infer homologues in unannotated non-reference genomes and define them as targets, as well as design gRNA in user-specified protein domains or gene features (such as the 5' untranslated region (UTR)).

Results

MINORg algorithm

MINORg consists broadly of four different steps: 1. Identification of orthologues of desired genes in non-reference genomes, 2. Generation of all possible gRNA from sequences output by step 1, 3. Filtering of candidate gRNA for on-target and off-target specificity, 4. Generation of a minimum set of gRNA that can target sequences output by step 1 (Fig. 1). Each of the four steps can also be executed independently to facilitate parameter optimisation.

The first step of orthologue identification is based on local BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990; Camacho et al., 2009). It executes BLASTN locally using reference genes as query and non-reference genomes as subject, merges hits within a certain allowable distance, and filters for minimum length and percentage identity to reference genes. All these parameters can be tuned by the user based on the rate of polymorphisms of their set of genes. Users may additionally restrict the search to a specific protein domain using a Reverse Position-Specific BLAST (RPS-BLAST) database and specifying the domain's position-specific scoring matrix (PSSM) ID. The output of this step is a set of sequences that the tool will attempt to generate gRNA for. Users who already have the sequences they intend to target may skip this discovery step.

The second step is the most straightforward. Based on a user-provided PAM pattern and gRNA length, all possible gRNA will be generated from all sequences output by step 1. We have implemented a flexible method of defining PAM. It allows for upstream PAM, spacer length not equal to one, ambiguous bases, and/or PAM-less gRNA identification. This implementation uses a stripped-down version of regular expressions. We believe it is important to make a gRNA tool agnostic to any CRISPR-Cas system to both cater to a variety of systems available now and also to future proof the MINORg to future CRISPR-Cas technologies.

The third step employs three main gRNA filters: 1. GC content, 2. Off-target effects, 3. Within feature. GC content filtering is straightforward, with default minimum and maximum GC content set at 0.3 and 0.7, although both are user-adjustable. Off-target effects are assessed by the presence of gRNA sequences outside of target regions. Unlike gRNA off-target assessment in currently available tools, Primer-BLAST (Ye et al., 2012) will be employed to search for such regions for each gRNA in both the reference genome and the non-reference genome provided to the tool for orthologue discovery in step 1. The user may also provide a custom set of sequences to be screened against. Thirdly, gRNA will be filtered for their presence within desired features, such as CDS and 5' UTR. For non-reference targets that were discovered by the first step in unannotated genomes, we infer the ranges of desired features from alignments with reference genes using MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) and retain only gRNA that can target at least one such non-reference sequence in a region that aligns with at least one reference gene's desired region. This step outputs a mapping file that maps gRNA to their location on targets and tracks the pass/fail status of these filters.

Finally, the fourth step employs a set cover algorithm called List and Remove (Yang et al., 2015) to identify one (or however many requested by the user) minimum gRNA set required to target all se-

72   quences output by step 1. This step produces the best results when targets share sequence homology.

73   For gRNA with equivalent coverage, the gRNA that is closest to the 5' end of a target sequence will be

74   prioritised unless users specify otherwise.

Multi-target edits in T$_1$ generation of two *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions using three gRNA

To validate the utility of gRNA output by MINORg, we attempted to knock out 13 homologous genes in

two *Arabidopsis thaliana* lineages (also known as accessions; accessions TueWa1-2 and KZ10) using

gRNA generated by MINORg. *RESISTANCE TO POWDERY MILDEW 8* (*RPW8*) and *HOMOLOG*

OF RPW8 (*HR*) are immune genes in *A. thaliana* that comprise a physical cluster conferring broad-

spectrum resistance to powdery mildew (Xiao et al., 2001). The composition and number of *RPW8/HR*

cluster members vary wildly between different *A. thaliana* accessions (Barragan et al., 2019) due to a

history of duplication and diversifying selection (Xiao et al., 2004). In fact, the reference genome of the

A. thaliana accession Col-0 lacks *RPW8* genes entirely. These features make the *RPW8/HR4* cluster

ideal for testing MINORg-generated gRNA for multiple homologous genes in multiple individuals.

Using MINORg, we designed two mutually exclusive gRNA sets that are separately able to cover a

subset of the *RPW8/HR* cluster consisting of all *RPW8* genes as well as *HR4* (henceforth collectively

referred to as *RPW8/HR4*) in accessions TueWa1-2 and KZ10. TueWa1-2 has ten *RPW8/HR4* genes

while KZ10 has three *RPW8* genes and no *HR4*. Both accessions also possess paralogous *HR1/2/3*

genes within their *RPW8/HR* clusters, which serve as potential off-target risk. As neither accession has

had its full genome sequenced, we performed an off-target assessment in the reference Col-0 genome,

taking care to mask *HR4*, which is the only target gene also present in Col-0.

We subcloned six gRNAs (set1: gRNA_1022, gRNA_1023, and gRNA_1027 and set 2:

gRNA_1033, gRNA_1034, and gRNA_1035) individually into CRISPR-Cas9 vectors, which were in turn

transformed in individual plants. TueWa1-2 is known to have low transformation efficiency (Wu et al.,

2018) and we obtained very few (n < 3) or no T$_1$ plant transformants for gRNA_1022, gRNA_1027

and gRNA_1035; the few positive plant transformants did not have their genomes edited. The re-

maining gRNAs, although from different MINORg sets, was still able to target all TueWa1-2 and KZ10

RPW8/HR4 genes. Specifically, gRNA_1033, which targets *RPW8.2/8.3* homologs, targeted six genes

in TueWa1-2 (*RPW8.3a/3c'/2a/3b/2b/3c*) and two genes in KZ10 (*RPW8.2/8.3*). gRNA_1023 targets

RPW8.1 homologs, which were three genes (*RPW8.1a/1a_1/1b*) in TueWa1-2 and *RPW8.1* in KZ10.

Lastly, gRNA_1034 specifically edited *HR4* in TueWa1-2, a gene that is missing in KZ10. The analysis

for editing efficiency at 11/13 loci was completed (for the remaining two loci, *RPW8.2b* and *RPW8.3c* in

TueWa1-2, deep-sequencing failed as primers designed for them amplified their homologs instead).

Overall, our deep-sequencing data revealed that 10 out of 11 genes were edited beyond 90%

and the gene most resistant to editing (*RPW8.3b*) had an individual with 68% of the reads edited

(Fig. 2A). For individuals transformed with a gRNA targeting multiple genes (i.e. gRNA_1033 and

gRNA_1023), we observed multiple genes edited within the same individual (Fig. 2B). Most impres-

sively, for TueWa1-2 plant 8 with gRNA_1023, all three *RPW8.1* homologs were edited beyond 99%

(Fig. 2B). For gRNA_1033, we observed TueWa1-2 plant 7 which had $> 92\%$ editing efficiency at three

genes (*RPW8.3a/3c'/3b*); *RPW8.2a* was unfortunately edited at 7.54% but was edited at 68% in an-

other individual, plant 6. For KZ10, editing efficiency was generally high (Fig. 2B). We obtained only

one transgenic plant for KZ10 with gRNA_1023, but the editing of *RPW8.1* was successful (99.3%).

Three plants were obtained for KZ10 with gRNA_1033, which targeted two genes, and the mean editing

efficiency was 90%.

Pangenomic gRNA design for orthologues in 64 *A. thaliana* accessions using non-NGG PAM

We designed gRNA for *TIR-NBS3* (*TN3*; accession ID AT1G66090), an nucleotide-binding leucine-rich

repeat (NLR) immune gene, in 64 *A. thaliana* accessions using the panNLRome resource published by

(Van de Weyer et al., 2019). This resource was generated using resistance gene enrichment sequenc-

ing (RenSeq) of 64 diverse *A. thaliana* accessions and is to date the most comprehensive inventory of

NLRs for *A. thaliana*. Using MINORg, we queried Van de Weyer et al.'s (2019) dataset and identified

orthologues of *TN3* in 51 of the 64 accessions, one accession of which (accession MNF-Che-2) had

two homologues. We asked MINORg to design up to five sets of gRNA for Cas12a (Cpf-1) (Zetsche

et al., 2015) systems to target the moderately conserved catalytic nucleotide-binding domain (found in

APAF-1 [apoptotic protease-activating factor 1], R proteins, and CED-4 [*Caenorhabditis elegans* death

4 protein] (van der Biezen and Jones, 1998)) (NB-ARC) (Fig. 3A), making sure we included the full

panNLRome dataset as well as the reference genome for off-target assessment.

Upon manual inspection of the inferred targets, we noticed that one of MNF-Che-2's homologues had

six different frameshift indels, suggesting that it is non-functional. We removed this homologue from the

mapping file that MINORg output. As it is inconsequential whether this non-functional homologue is

cleaved by a gRNA targeting functional *TN3* homologues, we did not execute the 'filter' subcommand to

reassess off-target effects with this homologue as background for the updated list of targets. Using the

modified mapping file, we executed the 'minimumset' subcommand to regenerate gRNA sets based on

this smaller set of targets, and asked MINORg to prioritise non-redundancy within sets over proximity to

the 5' end. The first two sets output by MINORg comprised only of two gRNA each, while the rest had

three gRNA (Fig. 3B, Table S1). This exemplifies MINORg's ability to identify minimal gRNA panels that

are nevertheless suitable for species-wide screens in a large number of lineages.

Cross-species gRNA design for orthologues in three Arabidopsis species

140 We designed gRNA for *ACTIVATED DISEASE RESISTANCE 1* (*ADR1*; accession ID AT1G33560) and

141 *N REQUIREMENT GENE 1.1* (*NRG1.1*; accession ID AT5G66900), another *A. thaliana* immune genes,

142 as well as their highly conserved orthologues in two other Arabidopsis species, *Arabidopsis lyrata* and

143 *Arabidopsis halleri*. We asked MINORg to design up to three mutually exclusive gRNA sets within

144 coding regions for each gene and its orthologues, and MINORg output three sets containing one gRNA

covering all three orthologues for both *ADR1* (Table S2) and *NRG1.1* (Table S3). Figure 4 shows candidate gRNA for *ADR1* and its homologues Araha.3012s0003 (*A. halleri*) and AL1G47950 (*A. lyrata*), as well as the three gRNA output by MINORg that are each capable of targeting all three orthologues. MINORg notably favours not only high coverage gRNA but also gRNA closer to the 5' end in order to increase the likelihood that indels would have deleterious effects. By demonstrating MINORg's ability to design inter-specific gRNA in addition to intra-specific gRNA (Fig. 2), we show that MINORg is highly flexible and can be used to design gRNA for diverse CRISPR experimental designs.

Discussion

In the pan-genome era, the research community has access to a continually updated database of non-reference genomes. Currently, in *A. thaliana*, the contig-level assemblies of the panNLRome of 64 accessions Van de Weyer et al. (2019) are publicly available. In response to the demand of pan-genome tools, particularly in the functional investigation of gene or their clusters in non-reference genomes, we wrote MINORg, a powerful and versatile tool that facilitates inter-accession, multi-gene and minimal set gRNA design. We tested the minimal set targeting on 13 *RPW8/HR4* genes across two accessions and confirmed the successful editing in 11 of them with the expected multi-gene targeting within the same individuals observed.

In plants with a gRNA (i.e. gRNA_1033/ gRNA_1023) targeting multiple genes, we observed high T_1 editing efficiency of single genes (Fig. 2). Our data indicate that a single gRNA can be used to target as many as four genes of which we can expect three to be highly edited in T_1 somatic cells. As the level of mosaicism in T_1 plants is strongly correlated to the proportion of T_2 and T_3 homozygous progenies (Wolabu et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021), it is likely that our genome edits are transgenerational. It is pertinent that the number of genes we can target is not limited by MINORg, but rather the wet lab genome editing tools used. It is known that Cas9 is the limiting factor in plant multiplex applications (Verhage, 2021). To overcome this, it is possible to create a multiplex construct with higher Cas9 expression (Castel et al., 2019) which likely increases the probability of getting more genes highly edited within the same genome.

We have thus shown that MINORg can be used to generate sets of a small number of gRNA capable of targeting a larger number of homologous genes in multiple genetic backgrounds within the same species. Additionally, we also demonstrated that MINORg can be used to design gRNA for inter-species orthologues. In the absence of genome sequencing data for non-reference individuals of a species, users may take advantage of MINORg's prioritisation of high coverage gRNA to design inter-species gRNA of orthologous genes in reference genomes of closely related species, as the conserved regions targeted by gRNA with high inter-species coverage are likely also conserved in those non-reference individuals. All this further illustrates MINORg's versatility to investigate genes not present in the reference genome.

In sum, MINORg is a flexible gRNA design tool ideal for the pan-genome era, as it accounts for both sequence variation as well as genetic background. In Figure 5, we provide a flowchart of the

182 basic functionalities of MINORg to give an idea of how MINORg can be customised to design gRNA for
183 multiple targets with sequence homology in multiple genomes.

Code Availability

185 Source code is freely available at: https://github.com/rlrq/MINORg. Documentation, including tutorial
186 and more detailed overview of sub-command algorithms, can be found at: https://rlrq.github.io/MINORg.
187 MINORg can be installed via Python's package installer pip from the TestPyPI repository under the
188 package name 'minorg'.

Methods

Resources

191 Software and algorithms used in MINORg and this manuscript are listed in Table 1.

Design of gRNA for CRISPR-Cas9 knock-out of *RPW8/HR4* genes

We selected two accessions, TueWa1-2 (CS10002) and KZ10 (CS22442) as a testbed for the capa-
bility of MINORg to design gRNAs for [1] Col-0 homologs present and [2] absent in Col-0 [3] across
non-reference genomes [4] with a minimum number of gRNAs to target an entire cluster of genes. With
MINORg, we designed minimum sets of gRNAs targeting *RPW8/HR4* genes in the two accessions (Bar-
ragan et al., 2019) after obtaining cluster sequence and annotations from NCBI's Nucleotide database
(accessions MK598747.1 (TueWa1-2) and KJ634211.1 (KZ10)). The following command was used to
run MINORg:

```
minorg --extend-cds KZ10_TueWa1-2_RPW8HR4.CDS.fasta \
        --extend-gene KZ10_TueWa1-2_RPW8HR4.gene.fasta \
        --gene KZ10_RPW8.1,KZ10_RPW8.2,KZ10_RPW8.3 \
        --gene TueWa1-2_RPW8.1,TueWa1-2_RPW8.1a,TueWa1-2_RPW8.1b \
        --gene TueWa1-2_RPW8.1a_1,TueWa1-2_RPW8.2a,TueWa1-2_RPW8.2b \
        --gene TueWa1-2_RPW8.3a,TueWa1-2_RPW8.3b,TueWa1-2_RPW8.3c \
        --gene TueWa1-2_RPW8.3clike,TueWa1-2_HR4 \
        --indv ref \
        --background <path to FASTA of KJ634211.1 sequence> \
        --background <path to FASTA of MK598747.1 sequence> \
        --assembly <path to TAIR10 FASTA assembly> \
        --annotation <path to TAIR10 GFF3 annotation> \
        --screen-ref --mask-gene AT3G50480 \
        --set 10 --length 19
```

As there are no GFF3 annotations for the cluster for either KZ10 or TueWa1-2, we used "--extend-
cds" and "--extend-gene" to temporarily add the cluster genes of both accessions to the reference
assembly and annotation. These files were manually curated from MK598747.1 and KJ634211.1
sequences and annotations and can be found at https://github.com/rlrq/MINORg/publication_data.
Using "--gene", we then specified our target genes, and "--indv" specifies that the genes are
in the reference genome. The genomic sequences for the full *RPW8/HR* clusters (including
paralogous *HR1/2/3* which were not included in our target genes) were supplied for off-target
screening using "--background". "--assembly" and "--annotation" together specify the reference
A. thaliana genome (TAIR10; GenBank assembly accession GCA_000001735.2; retrieved from
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCF_000001735.4), while "--screen-ref" informs MINORg to
also screen the reference genome for off-targets. "--mask-gene" hides *HR4* (accession ID AT3G50480)
in the reference genome from the off-target filter as its orthologues in TueWa1-2 and KZ10 are target
genes. Finally, "--length" specifies gRNA length, and "--set" determines how many mutually exclusive
gRNA sets to generate. All other parameters (including 3' NGG PAM, restricting gRNA to CDS regions,
and $30\% \leq GC \leq 70\%$) were left as default.

Molecular cloning and plant transformation

We selected two sets of gRNA output by MINORg for further experiments. The subcloning of gRNAs into
CRISPR-Cas9 vector pKI-1.1R (Tsutsui and Higashiyama, 2017) are detailed in our subcloning protocol
(Supplementary Methods). Subcloned vectors were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain
GV3103 and subsequently into TueWa1-2 and KZ10. To eliminate the possibility of the off-targeting of
one gRNA editing the target of another gRNA, each plant individual was transformed with a CRISPR-
Cas9 vector containing only one gRNA. The T1 generation was sown on ½ MS plates with hygromycin
(15 µg/mL). Leaf tissues were harvested from resistant plants, and genomic DNA was extracted with
Edwards buffer (Edwards et al., 1991).

Deep-sequencing and analysis of NGS reads

We assessed the editing status of each *RPW8/HR* locus by deep-sequencing via Illumina iSeq 100.
The procedure involves three rounds of PCR: [1] The first PCR generated an amplicon sized 526 - 2254
bp flanking the CRISPR-Cas9 cleavage site. Primers for the first PCR aims to amplify as few *RPW8/HR*
members as possible (ideally, one but it is not always possible if the homologs are identical, especially at
Primer3-optimal sites). [2] Next, the second PCR amplified a 250-280 bp region covering the cleavage
site for each *RPW8/HR* member. The second PCR primers consist of 5' adapter sequences to which
the [3] primers of the third PCR binds to append iSeq index sequences. All gRNA and primer sequences
are deposited in Table S4.
In TueWa1-2, members of *RPW8.1* and *RPW8.2* are duplicated and the remaining *RPW8* members
share high sequence similarity even in intergenic regions. With a large number of *RPW8* members
(10 genes in TueWa1-2), the manual design of theoretically optimized primers that specifically amplify

each gene is challenging. In addition, specific primers were not always available, thus at certain re-
gions, the first or second PCR amplicons generated may consist of sequences of two or more *RPW8*
members. In such cases, the next acceptable solution was to use polymorphic sites to differentiate the
amplicons/NGS reads per gene. For every MINORg-mediated CRISPR-Cas experiment, we foresee
this complex process of primer design on a continuous genome is repeated for each new gene cluster
targeted, which indicates that this tedious work can be automated to significantly save time.

To solve the primer design issue, we wrote and used a programme called "PRIMERg"
(https://github.com/CherWeiYuan/primerg). PRIMERg takes a list of gRNA and a genomic template
sequence and returns primers for the first and second PCR. Primers provided by PRIMERg are opti-
mized by primer3 and filtered, if possible, by the specificity within the user-supplied genomic template.
The specificity of these primers was checked by a homebrewed algorithm based on the Primer-BLAST
algorithm Ye et al. (2012). The uniqueness (whether there are distinctive SNP(s) present in the desired
amplicon) for each primer is checked by string matching against the user-supplied genomic template.

For certain genes, specific first PCR primers cannot be designed, hence we rely on the uniqueness
of each amplicon to differentiate the reads from different genes. Such unique SNPs can be detected by
aligning the desired and undesired amplicons. For our case, in TueWa1-2, the region flanking *RPW8.1a*
+ *RPW8.3b* and *RPW8.1a*_1 + *RPW8.3c* is highly similar and all suitable primer3-optimized primer
pairs amplified the two regions, each consisting of two genes. To obtain the reads for *RPW8.3b*, we
wrote a Python function to select reads with the signature of *RPW8.3b* ("gtgaacgtcttaag", not present
in *RPW8.1a/8.1a_1* or *RPW8.3c*), with an allowance of 1-bp mismatch to account for sequencing error
(https://github.com/CherWeiYuan/SNP_Filtering). We then mapped the filtered reads to the amplicon
and visualized the results using IGV Thorvaldsdóttir et al. (2013) to check for any discrepancies (e.g.
unexpected SNPs that suggest undesired amplicons are also mapped). The clean reads were input to
CRISPResso2 Clement et al. (2019) [settings: "Minimum average read quality (phred33 scale)" > 30,
"Minimum single bp quality (phred33 scale)" > 10] to acquire the percentage of modified reads in the
sample.

To increase the number of samples we include per run in our iSeq 100, we allowed amplicons from
different genes to share the same sample indexes. The desired amplicon was also selected from the
pool of amplicons with the same index via the presence of unique SNPs before IGV mapping and
CRISPResso2 analysis as described above. More specifically, to select TueWa1-2 *RPW8.3a* reads
without KZ10 *RPW8.3* reads, we filtered R1 reads by "aatagaaatacat" and R2 reads by "acaatcgat". To
select TueWa1-2 *RPW8.2b* reads without KZ10 *RPW8.3* reads, we filtered the R1 reads by "gttctcaagg".

282 Design of pangenomic Cas12a gRNA for the NB-ARC domain of *TN3* using MI-
283 NORg

284 We retrieved the RenSeq data generated by Van de Weyer et al. (2019) from
285 http://ftp.tuebingen.mp.de/ebib/alkeller/pan_NLRome/. To design gRNA for *TN3* orthologues in
286 the panNLRome, we ran the following code:

```
287    minorg --gene AT1G66090 \
288            --indv all --genome-set vdw_nlrome.txt \
289            --domain 366375 --db <path to Cdd v3.18 database> \
290            --minid 90 --mincdslen 500 \
291            --check-recip \
292            --assembly <path to TAIR10 FASTA assembly> \
293            --annotation <path to TAIR10 GFF3 annotation> \
294            --pam Cas12a --screen-ref \
295            --thread 5
```

Using "--gene", we specified AT1G66090 (*TN3*'s gene ID) as our target gene. "--genome-set" tells
MINORg the location of a lookup file that maps aliases to query FASTA files, which in this case are
the contig-level assemblies of the panNLRome of 64 *A. thaliana* accessions, and "--indv all" indicates
that all FASTA files listed in the lookup file are to be queried. A template of "vdw_nlrome.txt" can
be found at https://github.com/rlrq/MINORg/publication_data. "--db" specifies the path to a local CDD
database (version 3.18; previously retrieved from ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/pub/mmdb/cdd/cdd.tar.gz but has
since been superseded by version 3.19), and "--domain" specifies the position-specific scoring matrix
(PSSM) ID of the domain to be targeted, which in this example is the NB-ARC domain. "--minid", "-
-mincdslen", and "--check-recip" are parameters that control homologue discovery. With "--pam", we
specified the 5' TTTV PAM of Cas12a (Kim et al., 2017), and "--thread" informs the maximum number
of parallel processes. All other parameters (20 bp gRNA length, restricting gRNA to CDS regions, and
$30\% \leq \text{GC} \leq 70\%$) were left as default.

After removing all entries for the potentially non-functional MNF-Che-2 homologue of *TN3* from the
mapping file (ending in '_gRNA_all.map') output by MINORg, we used the following code to regenerate
gRNA sets for the reduced list of targets:

```
311    minorg minimumset --map <modified mapping file> \
312                      --prioritise-nr \
313                      --set 5
```

314   "--prioritise-nr" tells MINORg to prioritise non-redundancy over proximity to 5' end when generating
315   gRNA sets.

Phylogenetic inference of NB-ARC domains of *TN3* orthologues

317   In the course of executing MINORg for the generation of pangenomic gRNA sets for *TN3*, an alignment
318   of non-reference targets with reference genes was generated by MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013).
319   We fed this alignment to FastTree (Price et al., 2010) using default parameters to generate a maximum-
320   likelihood tree.

Design of inter-species gRNA for *ADR1* and *NRG1.1* using MINORg

We retrieved reference genome assemblies and GFF3 annotations for *A. thaliana* (TAIR10), *A. lyrata* (version 2.1; GenBank assembly accession GCA_000004255.1; retrieved from ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/plants/release-45/fasta/arabidopsis_lyrata), and *A. halleri* (version 1.1; retrieved from https://data.jgi.doe.gov/refine-download/phytozome?organism=Ahalleri&expanded=264), and ran the following code:

```
minorg --gene AT1G33560,AL1G47950.v2.1,Araha.3012s0003.v1.1 \
        --indv ref \
        --reference-set arabidopsis_genomes.txt \
        --reference TAIR10,araly2,araha1 \
        --screen-ref --set 3
```

Using "--gene", we specified the gene IDs of our target genes (AT1G33560 is the gene ID for *ADR1* in *A. thaliana*, AL1G47950.v2.1 in *A. lyrata*, and Araha.3012s0003.v1.1 in *A. halleri*). "--reference-set" tells MINORg the location of a lookup file that maps reference genome aliases to assembly and annotation combinations, while "--reference" specifies the aliases of reference genomes to use. All other parameters (including 3' NGG PAM, 20 bp gRNA length, restricting gRNA to CDS regions, and $30\% \leq GC \leq 70\%$) were left as default.

The above code was repeated using "--gene AT5G66900,AL8G44500.v2.1,Araha.11408s0003.v1.1" to generate gRNA targeting *NRG1.1* orthologues, where AT5G66900, AL8G44500.v2.1, and Araha.11408s0003.v1.1 are gene IDs for *NRG1.1* in *A. thaliana*, *A. lyrata*, and *A. halleri* respectively.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Greg Tucker-Kellogg for advising on the code. We also extend our appreciation to all who ran MINORg at the National University of Singapore for their invaluable feedback on the code before publication. This work is supported by the the Ministry of Education, Singapore under its Academic Research Fund (MOE2019-T2-1-134) and by Singapore National Research Foundation under its Competitive Research Programme (NRF-CRP22-2019-0001). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the article. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views of the funders.

Author Contributions

E.C. conceived and conceptualised the project. R.R.Q.L. designed and developed the programme and W.Y.C. performed the experiments. All three authors wrote and proofread the manuscript and approved the final version.

 Figures and tables

Figure 1. MINORg overview. The full programme consists of four steps. In step 1, gRNA targets are identified by BLASTN of reference genes to non-reference genomes. The targets are represented in green. This step will be skipped if a user directly supplies their desired target sequences, or if only reference genes are targeted. In step 2, gRNA are generated from target sequences identified in step 1. Each unique gRNA sequence is represented with a different colour. In step 3, gRNA are filtered by GC content, off-target effects, as well as whether they are found within a desired feature. If gene annotations have been provided, gRNA are removed if they do not fall within reference gene CDS regions after alignment of targets with reference genes. Finally, in step 4, minimum gRNA sets are generated, with the goal of covering all targets using the least number of gRNA.

A

Plant 1	gRNA_1023	-	-	99.3	%
Plant 2	gRNA_1033	99.0	98.9	-	
Plant 3	gRNA_1033	97.1	88.6	-	
Plant 4	gRNA_1033	56.9	99.7	-	

RPW8.1
RPW8.2
RPW8.3
HR4

KZ10   R3 R2 R1

gRNA_1033   gRNA_1023   gRNA_1034

TueWa1-2   R3a R1b R3c' R2a R1a R3b R1a_1 H4

		R3a	R1b	R3c'	R2a	R1a	R3b	R1a_1	H4	
Plant 5	gRNA_1033	100.0	-	7.7	14.0	-	7.4	-	-	%
Plant 6	gRNA_1033	1.5	-	51.0	68.0	-	40.2	-	-	
Plant 7	gRNA_1033	100.0	-	98.4	7.54	-	92.9	-	-	
Plant 8	gRNA_1023	-	99.6	-	-	99.2	-	99.2	-	
Plant 9	gRNA_1034	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	99.1	
Plant 10	gRNA_1034	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100.0	

B

Accession	gRNA	Number of genes targeted	Number of plants	Number of individuals with N genes at >50 % T1 editing efficiency				
				0	1	2	3	4
TueWa1-2	1023	3	5	4	0	0	1	NA
TueWa1-2	1033	4	9	4	1	3	1	0
KZ10	1033	2	27	8	2	17	NA	NA

Figure 2. Editing efficiency of multi-gene targeting in T$_1$ plants. (A) Summary of gRNAs and their RPW8/HR4 targets in TueWa1-2 and KZ10. Every plant individual was transformed with a CRISPR-Cas9 vector containing one gRNA. The table show the percent of NGS reads that were modified as reported in CRISPResso2. Not shown are *RPW8.2b* and *RPW8.3c*, two of ten TueWa1-2 targets, for which deep sequencing failed. **(B)** Editing efficiency in T$_1$ plants.

Figure 3. MINORg generates small sets of gRNA for pan-genomic coverage of the NB-ARC domain of *TN3* in 51 *A. thaliana* accessions. (A) Maximum-likelihood tree of the genomic sequence of the NB-ARC domain of *TN3* orthologues in 51 *A. thaliana* accessions. The NB-ARC domain is contained within a single exon in all accessions. The reference accession, Col-0, is indicated in cyan. **(B)** Coverage of all possible Cas12a gRNA (5' TTTV PAM) for the NB-ARC domain of 51 *TN3* orthologues in 51 accessions. gRNAs that share the same PAM site are stacked. The height of each bar represents the number of targets covered by a gRNA. The horizontal line marks the maximum coverage of targets per PAM site, which is 51 targets. gRNAs that passed all checks (GC content, off-target, and within CDS) are outlined in black, and those that failed at least one check are outlined in grey. Five mutually exclusive sets were requested, with priority given to non-redundancy, and the final selection of gRNAs is coloured by set. Each set is capable of covering all 51 targets.

Figure 4. MINORg favours high coverage gRNA towards the 5' end of ADR1 and its orthologues in three Arabidopsis species. Multiple sequence alignment of genes Araha.3012s0003.v1.1 (*A. halleri*), AL1G47950.v2.1 (*A. lyrata*), and ADR1 (*A. thaliana*) is shown in grey, with thicker sections representing coding regions. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are indicated in the first row. All candidate gRNA generated by MINORg within CDS regions that have passed off-target checks and contain GC content between 30% and 70% are shown along each gene. The colour of each gRNA corresponds with the number of orthologues it is capable of targeting. Three sets of gRNA were requested, and MINORg output three mutually exclusive sets that each contained only a single gRNA capable of covering all three orthologues. These three gRNA are outlined in black.

Figure 5. MINORg parameter selection flowchart. The flowchart is separated into 4 sections by background colour that correspond to each of the four main steps of MINORg described in Figure 1: target identification (pink), gRNA identification (yellow), gRNA filtering (green), and generation of minimum set (blue). Boxes outlined in red describe parameters to use, and boxes with grey fill are the output of each step.

Table 1. Resource table

RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Software and Algorithms in MINORg		
Python 3	(Van Rossum and Drake, 2009)	
Biopython	(Cock et al., 2009)	
pyfaidx	(Shirley et al., 2015)	
Typer	https://github.com/tiangolo/typer	
Pybedtools	(Dale et al., 2011)	RRID:SCR_021018
BLAST+	(Camacho et al., 2009)	
BEDTools	(Quinlan and Hall, 2010)	RRID:SCR_006646
MAFFT	(Katoh and Standley, 2013)	RRID:SCR_011811
List and Remove (LAR)	(Yang et al., 2015)	
Software and Algorithms in PRIMERg		
PRIMERg	https://github.com/CherWeiYuan/primerg	
Python 3	(Van Rossum and Drake, 2009)	
Biopython	(Cock et al., 2009)	
BLAST+	(Camacho et al., 2009)	
Primer-BLAST	(Ye et al., 2012)	
Primer3	(Untergasser et al., 2012)	RRID:SCR_003139
Pandas	(McKinney, 2010)	

References

Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. and Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of molecular biology *215*, 403–10.

Anani, H., Zgheib, R., Hasni, I., Raoult, D. and Fournier, P.-E. (2020). Interest of bacterial pangenome analyses in clinical microbiology. Microbial Pathogenesis *149*, 104275.

Barragan, C. A., Wu, R., Kim, S. T., Xi, W., Habring, A., Hagmann, J., Van de Weyer, A. L., Zaidem, M., Ho, W. W. H., Wang, G., Bezrukov, I., Weigel, D. and Chae, E. (2019). RPW8/HR repeats control NLR activation in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genetics *15*, 1–21.

Barrangou, R., Fremaux, C., Deveau, H., Richards, M., Boyaval, P., Moineau, S., Romero, D. A. and Horvath, P. (2007). CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. Science (New York, N.Y.) *315*, 1709–1712.

Bibikova, M., Golic, M., Golic, K. G. and Carroll, D. (2002). Targeted chromosomal cleavage and mutagenesis in Drosophila using zinc-finger nucleases. Genetics *161*, 1169–1175.

Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K. and Madden, T. L. (2009). BLAST+: Architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics *10*, 1–9.

Castel, B., Tomlinson, L., Locci, F., Yang, Y. and Jones, J. D. (2019). Optimization of T-DNA architecture for Cas9-mediated mutagenesis in Arabidopsis. PLoS ONE *14*, 1–20.

Clement, K., Rees, H., Canver, M. C., Gehrke, J. M., Farouni, R., Hsu, J. Y., Cole, M. A., Liu, D. R., Joung, J. K., Bauer, D. E. and Pinello, L. (2019). CRISPResso2 provides accurate and rapid genome editing sequence analysis. Nature Biotechnology *37*, 224–226.

Cock, P. J., Antao, T., Chang, J. T., Chapman, B. A., Cox, C. J., Dalke, A., Friedberg, I., Hamelryck, T., Kauff, F., Wilczynski, B. and De Hoon, M. J. (2009). Biopython: Freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. Bioinformatics *25*, 1422–1423.

Cong, L., Ran, F. A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., Hsu, P. D., Wu, X., Jiang, W., Marraffini, L. A. and Zhang, F. (2013). Multiplex Genome Engineering Using CRISPR/Cas Systems. Science *339*, 819–823.

Dale, R. K., Pedersen, B. S. and Quinlan, A. R. (2011). Pybedtools: a flexible Python library for manipulating genomic datasets and annotations. Bioinformatics *27*, 3423–3424.

Edwards, K., Johnstone, C. and Thompson, C. (1991). A simple and rapid method for the preparation of plant genomic DNA for PCR analysis. Nucleic Acids Research *19*, 1349.

Fujikawa, T., Ishihara, H., Leach, J. E. and Tsuyumu, S. (2006). Suppression of defense response in plants by the avrBs3/pthA gene family of Xanthomonas spp. Molecular plant-microbe interactions : MPMI *19*, 342–349.

Jayakodi, M., Schreiber, M., Stein, N. and Mascher, M. (2021). Building pan-genome infrastructures for
crop plants and their use in association genetics. DNA research : an international journal for rapid
publication of reports on genes and genomes *28*, 1–9.

Katoh, K. and Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Im-
provements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution *30*, 772–780.

Kim, H. K., Song, M., Lee, J., Menon, A. V., Jung, S., Kang, Y. M., Choi, J. W., Woo, E., Koh, H. C.,
Nam, J. W. and Kim, H. (2017). In vivo high-throughput profiling of CRISPR-Cpf1 activity. Nature
Methods *14*, 153–159.

Kim, S. T., Choi, M., Bae, S. J. and Kim, J. S. (2021). The functional association of acqos/victr with
salt stress resistance in arabidopsis thaliana was confirmed by crispr-mediated mutagenesis. Inter-
national Journal of Molecular Sciences *22*.

McKinney, W. (2010). Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python. In Proceedings of the 9th
Python in Science Conference, (van der Walt, S. and Millman, J., eds), pp. 56–61,.

Medini, D., Donati, C., Rappuoli, R. and Tettelin, H. (2020). The Pangenome: A Data-Driven Discovery
in Biology. In The Pangenome, (Tettlin, H. and Medini, D., eds),. Springer, Cham.

Miga, K. H. and Wang, T. (2021). The Need for a Human Pangenome Reference Sequence. Annual
Review of Genomics and Human Genetics *22*, 81–102.

Poudel, R., Rodriguez, L. T., Reisch, C. and Rivers, A. R. (2021). GuideMaker: Software to design
CRISPR-Cas guide RNA pools in non-model genomes. bioRxiv .

Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. and Arkin, A. P. (2010). FastTree 2 - Approximately maximum-likelihood trees
for large alignments. PLoS ONE *5*.

Prykhozhij, S. V., Rajan, V., Gaston, D. and Berman, J. N. (2015). CRISPR multitargeter: A web tool
to find common and unique CRISPR single guide RNA targets in a set of similar sequences. PLoS
ONE *10*, 1–18.

Quinlan, A. R. and Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. Bioinformatics *26*, 841–842.

Sapranauskas, R., Gasiunas, G., Fremaux, C., Barrangou, R., Horvath, P. and Siksnys, V. (2011). The
Streptococcus thermophilus CRISPR/Cas system provides immunity in Escherichia coli. Nucleic acids
research *39*, 9275–9282.

Shirley, M. D., Ma, Z., Pedersen, B. S. and Wheelan, S. J. (2015). Efficient "pythonic" access to FASTA
files using pyfaidx. PeerJ PrePrints *3*, e970v1.

Sun, J., Liu, H., Liu, J., Cheng, S., Peng, Y., Zhang, Q., Yan, J., Liu, H. J. and Chen, L. L. (2019).
CRISPR-Local: A local single-guide RNA (sgRNA) design tool for non-reference plant genomes.
Bioinformatics *35*, 2501–2503.

Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Robinson, J. T. and Mesirov, J. P. (2013). Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV): High-performance genomics data visualization and exploration. Briefings in Bioinformatics *14*, 178–192.

Tranchant-Dubreuil, C., Rouard, M. and Sabot, F. (2019). Plant pangenome: Impacts on phenotypes and evolution. Annual Plant Reviews Online *2*, 453–478.

Tsutsui, H. and Higashiyama, T. (2017). PKAMA-ITACHI vectors for highly efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene knockout in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant and Cell Physiology *58*, 46–56.

Untergasser, A., Cutcutache, I., Koressaar, T., Ye, J., Faircloth, B. C., Remm, M. and Rozen, S. G. (2012). Primer3–new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic acids research *40*, e115–e115.

Van de Weyer, A. L., Monteiro, F., Furzer, O. J., Nishimura, M. T., Cevik, V., Witek, K., Jones, J. D., Dangl, J. L., Weigel, D. and Bemm, F. (2019). A Species-Wide Inventory of NLR Genes and Alleles in Arabidopsis thaliana. Cell *178*, 1260–1272.

van der Biezen, E. A. and Jones, J. D. (1998). The NB-ARC domain: a novel signalling motif shared by plant resistance gene products and regulators of cell death in animals.

Van Rossum, G. and Drake, F. L. (2009). Python 3 Reference Manual. CreateSpace, Scotts Valley, CA.

Verhage, L. (2021). Twelve genes at one blow: multiplex genome editing with CRISPR/Cas. Plant Journal *106*, 6–7.

Wolabu, T. W., Park, J. J., Chen, M., Cong, L., Ge, Y., Jiang, Q., Debnath, S., Li, G., Wen, J. and Wang, Z. (2020). Improving the genome editing efficiency of CRISPR/Cas9 in Arabidopsis and Medicago truncatula. Planta *252*, 1–14.

Wu, R., Lucke, M., ting Jang, Y., Zhu, W., Symeonidi, E., Wang, C., Fitz, J., Xi, W., Schwab, R. and Weigel, D. (2018). An efficient CRISPR vector toolbox for engineering large deletions in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Methods *14*.

Xiao, S., Ellwood, S., Calis, O., Patrick, E., Li, T., Coleman, M. and Turner, J. G. (2001). Broad-spectrum mildew resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana mediated by RPW8. Science *291*, 118–120.

Xiao, S., Emerson, B., Ratanasut, K., Patrick, E., O'Neill, C., Bancroft, I. and Turner, J. G. (2004). Origin and maintenance of a broad-spectrum disease resistance locus in Arabidopsis. Molecular Biology and Evolution *21*, 1661–1672.

Yang, Q., Nofsinger, A., Mcpeek, J., Phinney, J. and Knuesel, R. (2015). A Complete Solution to the Set Covering Problem. In International Conference on Scientific Computing (CSC) pp. 36–41,.

Ye, J., Coulouris, G., Zaretskaya, I., Cutcutache, I., Rozen, S. and Madden, T. L. (2012). Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. BMC bioinformatics *13*, 134.

Zetsche, B., Gootenberg, J. S., Abudayyeh, O. O., Slaymaker, I. M., Makarova, K. S., Essletzbichler, P., Volz, S. E., Joung, J., Van Der Oost, J., Regev, A., Koonin, E. V. and Zhang, F. (2015). Cpf1 Is a Single RNA-Guided Endonuclease of a Class 2 CRISPR-Cas System. Cell *163*, 759–771.