Thiopurines inhibit coronavirus Spike protein processing and incorporation into progeny

virions

Eric S. Pringle [1, *], Brett A. Duguay [1, *], Maxwell P. Bui-Marinos [2, 3], Rory P. Mulloy [2, 3], Shelby

L. Landreth [4, 5], Krishna Swaroop Desireddy [6], Stacia M. Dolliver [1], Shan Ying [1], Taylor Caddell

[1], Patrick D. Slaine [1], Stephen L. Bearne [6, 7], Darryl Falzarano [4, 5], Jennifer A. Corcoran [2, 3],

Denys A. Khaperskyy [1, #], Craig McCormick [1, #]

[1]Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Dalhousie University, 5850 College Street,

Halifax NS, Canada B3H 4R2

[2]Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, University of Calgary, 2500

University Drive NW, Calgary AB, Canada T2N 1N4

[3]Snyder Institute for Chronic Diseases and Charbonneau Institute of Cancer Research, University

of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary AB, Canada T2N 1N4

[4]Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Western College of Veterinary Medicine, University

of Saskatchewan, 52 Campus Drive, Saskatoon SK, Canada S7N 5E3

[5]Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization (VIDO), 120 Veterinary Road, Saskatoon SK,

Canada S7N 5E3

[6]Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS,

Canada B3H 4R2

[7]Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, B3H 4R2, Canada

*Co-lead authors

#Co-corresponding authors: D.A.K., D.Khaperskyy@dal.ca, C.M., craig.mccormick@dal.ca

Running Title: Thiopurines inhibit coronavirus Spike glycoprotein processing

Keywords: virus, coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, thiopurine, 6-

thioguanine, 6-thioguanosine, unfolded protein response, glycosylation, Spike, assembly,

GTPase, host-targeted antiviral

ABSTRACT

There is an outstanding need for broadly acting antiviral drugs to combat emerging viral diseases.

Here, we report that thiopurines inhibit the replication of the betacoronaviruses HCoV-OC43 and

SARS-CoV-2, and to a lesser extent, the alphacoronavirus HCoV-229E. 6-Thioguanine (6-TG)

disrupted early stages of infection, limiting synthesis of full-length and subgenomic HCoV RNAs.

Furthermore, consistent with our previous report on the effects of thiopurines on influenza A virus

glycoproteins, we observed that 6-TG inhibited accumulation of Spike glycoproteins from diverse

HCoVs. Specifically, 6-TG treatment decreased the accumulation of Spike proteins and increased

their electrophoretic mobility, consistent with Spike migration following the enzymatic removal

of N-linked oligosaccharides with Peptide:N-glycosidase F (PNGaseF). SARS-CoV-2 virus-like

particles (VLPs) harvested from 6-TG-treated cells were deficient in Spike. 6-TG treatment had a

similar effect on lentiviruses pseudotyped with SARS-CoV-2 Spike; lentiviruses could be

harvested from cell supernatants but were deficient in Spike and unable to infect human cells

bearing ACE2 receptors. Together, these findings from complementary ectopic expression and

infection models strongly indicate that defective Spike trafficking and processing is an outcome

of 6-TG treatment. At low micromolar doses, the primary known mode of action of 6-TG is

selective inhibition of the small GTPase Rac1. However, we observed that selective chemical

inhibitors of the small GTPases Rac1, CDC42 and Rho had no effect on Spike processing and

accumulation. The GTPase agonist ML099 countered the effects of 6-TG, suggesting that an

unknown GTPase could be the relevant 6-TG-target protein involved in regulating Spike

processing and accumulation. Overall, these findings provide important clues about the mechanism

of action of a candidate antiviral that can broadly target HCoVs and suggest that small GTPases

are promising targets for host-targeted antivirals.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

The COVID-19 pandemic has ignited efforts to repurpose existing drugs as safe and effective antivirals. Rather than directly inhibiting viral enzymes, host-targeted antivirals inhibit host cell processes to indirectly impede viral replication and/or stimulate antiviral responses. Here, we describe a new antiviral mechanism of action for the FDA-approved thiopurine 6-thioguanine. We demonstrate that this thiopurine is a pro-drug that must be metabolized by host enzymes to gain antiviral activity. We show that it can inhibit the replication of several human coronaviruses, including SARS-CoV-2, at least in part by interfering with the processing and accumulation of Spike glycoproteins, thereby impeding assembly of infectious progeny viruses. We provide evidence implicating host cell GTPase enzymes in the antiviral mechanism of action.

INTRODUCTION

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are enveloped viruses with positive-sense, single-stranded RNA ((+)ssRNA) genomes. Seven human CoVs (HCoVs) are divided into two genera, the alphacoronaviruses (HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E) and betacoronaviruses (HCoV-OC43, HCoV-HKU1, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2). Following entry into host cells, the (+)ssRNA CoV genome is immediately translated into polyproteins that are cleaved by viral cysteine proteases into 16 non-structural proteins (NSPs). These NSPs include the transmembrane nsp3-nsp4-nsp6 complex that generates a complex endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-derived reticulovesicular network that includes replication organelles known as double-membrane vesicles (DMVs) (1–8). In DMVs, the nsp3-nsp4-nsp6 complex provides a physical anchor for the viral replicase-transcriptase complex (RTC) that replicates the large viral genome and synthesizes subgenomic mRNAs (9, 10). These viral RNAs traverse a crown-shaped pore to exit the DMV (8). In the cytoplasm, viral mRNAs are translated into structural proteins; Nucleocapsid (N) is synthesized via free ribosomes in the cytoplasm, whereas mRNAs encoding envelope proteins are translated and processed in the ER and accumulate in the ER-Golgi-intermediate compartment (ERGIC). HCoV assembly takes place when full-length viral genomic RNA coated with N buds into the ERGIC to acquire the viral envelope and associated envelope proteins (11–13). These progeny viruses traverse the Golgi apparatus and *trans*-Golgi network (TGN), where envelope

proteins receive additional post-translational modifications, and exit the cell via lysosomal
exocytosis (14).

CoV envelope proteins are subject to extensive processing in the secretory pathway. The
viral envelope proteins Spike, Envelope (E) and Matrix (M) that are found in all HCoVs, as well
as Hemagglutinin-Esterase (H-E) found in only HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-HKU1, are synthesized
in the ER as transmembrane proteins (15). CoV Spike proteins are large type I transmembrane
proteins that are heavily N-glycosylated, which promotes proper folding, trimerization and
trafficking (16). Subsequent proteolytic processing is required to activate these glycosylated Spike
trimers, generating the S1 attachment subunit and liberating the mature amino-terminal fusion
peptide of the S2 subunit, both of which are essential components of infectious CoV virions that
can enter target cells (17, 18). Proteolytic activation of Spike can occur in the secretory pathway
of infected cells or unprocessed Spike can be incorporated into virions and Spike processing can
occur during attachment and entry into naïve cells in a process that involves multiple host serine
and cysteine proteases. M is the most abundant CoV envelope protein and it serves as a scaffold
for viral assembly. It is a multi-spanning membrane protein, with a small lumenal amino-terminus
that is N-glycosylated, three transmembrane domains, and a large cytoplasmic carboxy-terminal
domain known as the endodomain that governs interactions with the other structural proteins
(Spike, E and N), as well as M-M interactions (19). E is a small type I transmembrane protein that
forms pentameric complexes and functions as a viroporin, increasing the lumenal pH in the Golgi
and protecting Spike from proteolysis (reviewed in (20)). The viroporin activity may support de-
acidification of lysosomes and subsequent lysosomal exocytosis. M and E proteins both govern
the intracellular trafficking and processing of Spike (19).

The striking remodeling of the ER to create replication organelles, as well as the reliance
on the ER for synthesis and processing of viral glycoproteins, has prompted investigations into the
effects of HCoV infection on ER stress and the unfolded protein response (UPR). Several CoVs
have been shown to activate the UPR, including infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) (21, 22), mouse
hepatitis virus (MHV) (23), transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) (24), HCoV-OC43 (25)
and SARS-CoV (26–28). However, proximal UPR sensor activation does not always elicit
downstream UPR transcription responses during CoV replication (29), which suggests complex
regulation of the UPR by certain CoVs. Spike proteins from MHV (23), HCoV-HKU1 (27) and
SARS-CoV (27, 30) are sufficient for UPR activation in cell culture. Additional transmembrane

CoV proteins also induce the UPR, including SARS-CoV non-structural protein 6 (nsp6) (31),
ORF3a (32) and ORF8ab (33) proteins. Despite these discoveries, our understanding of the
regulation of the UPR in CoV infection remains incomplete, and little is known about UPR
regulation in SARS-CoV-2 infection.

The emergence of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV from animal reservoirs, followed by the
current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, has spurred efforts to discover and develop effective antivirals.
These efforts have benefitted from drug repurposing strategies to identify antivirals amongst drugs
that have already been approved for use in humans. We showed previously that thiopurines 6-
thioguanine (6-TG) and 6-thioguanosine (6-TGo) selectively inhibit influenza A virus (IAV)
replication by activating the UPR and interfering with the processing and accumulation of viral
glycoproteins (34). Thiopurines have been in clinical use for over 50 years to treat inflammatory
diseases and cancer and as immunomodulators. They include azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine (6-
MP) and 6-TG. 6-TG is orally bioavailable and on the World Health Organization's List of
Essential Medicines. It is used to treat hematological malignancies, including acute lymphoblastic
leukemia (AML), acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) and chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), with
the primary mechanism of action involving conversion into thioguanine deoxynucleotides and
incorporation into cellular DNA, which preferentially kills rapidly dividing cancer cells (35, 36).
By contrast, the anti-inflammatory effect of sub-cytotoxic doses of 6-TG for treating inflammatory
bowel disease (IBD) is thought to be primarily due to inhibition of the small GTPase Rac1 (37,
38). This process requires 6-TG to be metabolized by the purine scavenging enzyme hypoxanthine
phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (HPRT1) to yield 6-thioguanosine 5'-monophosphate (6-TGMP),
which is then converted to 6-thioguanosine 5'-triphosphate (6-TGTP). 6-TGTP is the active
metabolite that covalently modifies a reactive cysteine in the p-loop of Rac1. Subsequent
hydrolysis of the GTPase-bound 6-TGTP adduct yields a trapped, inactive Rac1-6-TGDP product
(37). These host-targeted properties of 6-TG, combined with our knowledge of how 6-TG inhibits
IAV glycoprotein processing, motivated us to investigate whether 6-TG and related thiopurines
might similarly interfere with CoV glycoproteins. Moreover, we reasoned that this kind of host-
targeted antiviral activity might act synergistically with the previously reported direct-acting
antiviral activity of 6-TG as an inhibitor of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 papain-
like cysteine proteases PL^{pro} (nsp3) *in vitro* (39–42). Using ectopic expression and cell culture
infection models, we discovered that 6-TG and 6-TGo inhibits replication of several CoVs

including SARS-CoV-2, which correlates with disruption of Spike processing, as well as Spike accumulation and incorporation into progeny virions. Accumulation of viral subgenomic RNAs and full-length genomes is also inhibited by these thiopurines. Finally, we were able to link the antiviral mechanism of action to the primary known mode of action of 6-TG and related molecules as selective inhibitors of small GTPases (37, 43, 44), which could be overcome using a pan-GTPase agonist. Our findings extend the understanding of the mechanism of action of these candidate host-targeted antivirals and provide a means to study the impact of glycoprotein maturation on coronavirus biology.

RESULTS

6-Thioguanine and 6-thioguanosine inhibit HCoV replication. We tested the effects of three thiopurines (**Fig. 1A**) on SARS-CoV-2 replication in Calu-3 lung adenocarcinoma cells. Treatment with 6-TG, the ribonucleoside 6-TGo, or the related thiopurine 6-MP, caused a striking 4-log decrease in the release of infectious virions after 48 h of infection, with little effect on cell viability [Selectivity Index (SI) 6-TG > 72,7, 6-Tgo > 81.6, 6-MP >153.8] (**Figs. 1B, 1C**). The sub-micromolar EC_{50} values that we observed for all three thiopurines in the Calu-3 infection model were consistent with previously reported values from other groups (42, 45). 6-TG was well tolerated in three other cell lines we used for HCoV infection models (HCT-8, Huh7.5, and primary hTERT-immortalized fibroblasts) (**Fig. 1D**). We tested the ability of 6-TG to inhibit the betacoronavirus HCoV-OC43 and the alphacoronavirus HCoV-229E and found that low micromolar doses of the ribonucleoside 6-TGo had similar inhibitory effects against HCoV-229E and HCoV-OC43 in their respective infection models, whereas 6-MP had no effect (**Figs. 1E, 1F**). Because UPR stimulators thapsigargin (Tg) and tunicamycin (Tm) inhibit coronavirus replication (46–49) and our previous work demonstrated that 6-TG can inhibit glycosylation of influenza A virus (IAV) glycoproteins and stimulate ER stress (34), we included Tm as a positive control in these studies. In hTERT-BJ cells, 6-TG inhibited HCoV-OC43 replication at low micromolar doses, consistent with our observations in the HCT-8 cell infection model; however, HCoV-229E replication in hTERT-BJ cells was minimally affected across a range of sub-cytotoxic concentrations (**Figs. 1G, 1H**). Together, these findings suggest that 6-TG effectively inhibits replication of several coronaviruses.

6-Thioguanine impedes viral genome replication and subgenomic RNA synthesis. Next, we investigated which stages of virus replication were affected by 6-TG treatment. We performed multi-round HCoV-OC43 infections of 293T cells at an MOI of 0.1. Infected cells were treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO immediately after infection, and cell lysates and supernatants were harvested at regular intervals for the next 48 hours. 6-TG treatment caused a ~40-fold reduction in viral titer during the first day of infection. Virion release from control cells plateaued at 24 hours post-infection, whereas peak release of infectious virions from 6-TG-treated cells occurred much later at 48 hours post-infection **(Fig. 2A)**. Similarly, measuring viral particle release by RT-qPCR amplification of extracellular viral genomes revealed a ~20-fold reduction in virus titer **(Fig. 2B)** throughout the first day of infection.

Next, we investigated the ability of 6-TG to disrupt viral RNA synthesis in infected cells. Putative full-length RNA, as detected by primers targeting *ORF1a*, was reduced by ~10-fold throughout most stages of infection. Similar decreases were detected using primers targeting the coding region of *Spike* and *N* genes after 6 h post-infection, with much larger 40-fold differences at 6 h post-infection **(Fig. 2C)**. Accumulation of viral proteins N and Spike was also inhibited (Fig. 2D). These disruptions in viral RNA accumulation correlated with changes in the localization of N, the viral nucleoprotein. Immunostaining HCoV-OC43 infected cells with an anti-N antibody revealed a punctate staining pattern at 6 hours post-infection **(Fig. 2E)**. By 24 h post-infection, N immunostaining was less punctate and more peripheral. The N immunostaining was markedly altered in 6-TG-treated infected cells, with brighter punctate staining patterns at 6 hours post-infection and large puncta still visible at 24 h post-infection. The formation of replication compartments was also delayed in 6-TG treated cells, as determined by immunostaining for dsRNA **(Fig. 2F)**; Specifically, we observed dsRNA signal at 6 hpi, which correlated with decreased viral RNA detected by RT-qPCR **(Fig. 2C)**. Together, these findings indicate that 6-TG reduces the synthesis of viral RNAs required to support efficient HCoV replication.

In our recent study, the antiviral mechanism of action of 6-TG and 6-TGo against IAVs involved activation of the UPR and interference with the processing and accumulation of viral glycoproteins. In these experiments, IAV infection of vehicle-treated A549 cells revealed very little detectable UPR late in infection at 24 h post-infection, whereas 6-TG stimulated all three

arms of the UPR, with transactivation of XBP1s target gene *Erdj4*, ATF6-N target genes *BiP* and *HERPUD1* and ATF4 target gene *CHOP* (34). Thus, thiopurines markedly altered the ER proteostasis landscape to the detriment of IAV replication. Notably, many HCoVs have been shown to activate the UPR, including infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) (21, 22), mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) (23), transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) (24), human coronavirus (HCoV)-OC43 (25) and SARS-CoV (26–28). Here we show that HCoV-OC43 infection caused induction of *Xbp1* transcript, potent accumulation of spliced Xbp1, and transactivation of XBP1s target genes *Erdj4* and *Edem1*, providing strong evidence for activation of the IRE1 arms of the UPR **(Figs. S1A, S1B)**. Regulation of the PERK/ATF4 arm of the UPR may be more complex, as HCoV-OC43 infection caused accumulation of *ATF3* mRNA but no change in *CHOP*, even though they are both encoded by ATF4 target genes. Similarly, we observed increased expression of ATF6-N target genes *HERPUD1* and *Xbp1* but no increases in BiP mRNA or protein **(Figs. S1A, S1B)**. Thus, HCoV-OC43 interactions with the UPR appear to be complex and marked by strong stimulation of IRE1, which is consistent with observations from others (25). In marked contrast to our IAV studies, 6-TG treatment generally delayed or suppressed the downstream UPR transcriptional response **(Fig. S1A)**, which could be attributed to 6-TG-mediated delays in viral replication and the accumulation of viral RNAs and proteins **(Fig. 2)**. Finally, it should be noted that UPR transcripts accumulated to high levels in vehicle-treated cells despite the fact that HCoV-OC43, like all HcoVs, has mechanisms to potently shut off host antiviral gene expression (25). Using ribopuromycinylation assays, we demonstrated that HCoV-OC43 greatly reduced translation of host mRNAs at the expense of viral mRNAs encoding a few abundant viral proteins **(Fig. S1C, lane 4)**. 6-TG treatment had no effect on global rates of translation initiation in HCoV-OC43 infected cells, with the only changes evident being the apparent loss of some abundant viral proteins **(Fig. S1C, lane 6)**. Together, these observations suggested that 6-TG inhibition of HCoV-OC43 infection limits the strong activation of IRE1 and accumulation of XBP1s target genes, and that even though 6-TG interferes with synthesis of viral full-length genomic RNAs and subgenomic RNAs, host shutoff is unperturbed.

6-Thioguanine inhibits Spike processing and accumulation. We previously reported that 6-TG and 6-TGo inhibited the processing and accumulation of IAV glycoproteins hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) (34). Here, we observed a similar defect in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells;

lysates harvested from SARS-CoV-2-infected Calu-3 cells at 48 h post-infection showed a sharp decrease in all four structural proteins following 6-TG treatment **(Fig. 3A)**, consistent with a sub-micromolar EC50 discussed above **(Fig. 1B)**. Treatment of SARS-CoV-2-infected Huh-7.5 cells with 10 µM 6-TG also reduced the accumulation of viral structural proteins, which was especially evident for Spike **(Fig. 3B)**. These findings led us to further investigate the effects of 6-TG on SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins. Transfection of 293T cells with plasmids encoding each SARS-CoV-2 structural protein revealed that 6-TG had no effect on E or N accumulation, whereas Spike processing was noticeably inhibited (**Fig. 3C**). We also observed that 6-TG treatment caused a loss of a slow-migrating species of M **(Fig. 3C)**, which likely represented the glycosylated form of the protein that has been previously reported for other HCoVs (50). Thus, it appears that amongst the SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins, only the glycosylated Spike and M proteins are altered by 6-TG treatment when expressed independently in 293T cells.

To further explore the effects of 6-TG on Spike, we ectopically expressed Spike, then treated the cells with a range of sub-cytotoxic 6-TG concentrations before harvesting lysates and processing for immunoblotting **(Figs. 3D, 3E)**. Spike appeared as two bands when immunoblots are probed with an anti-S1 receptor binding domain (RBD) antibody, a high-molecular weight (MW) precursor S0 band (~203 kDa) and a lower molecular weight band representing the protease-cleaved S1 attachment protein (~96 kDa). Increasing concentrations of 6-TG once again led to the accumulation of a prominent single band at an unexpected MW (~137 kDa) **(Fig. 3D)**. Because 6-TG treatment caused Spike to migrate faster during SDS-PAGE than expected, we hypothesized that the lower MW species observed only in 6-TG treated samples could arise from a glycosylation defect. We treated lysates from Spike-expressing 293T cells with Peptide-*N*-Glycosidase F (PNGase F), an amidase that cleaves the bond between N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and asparagine, effectively removing all N-linked glycans from the polypeptide chain (unless the core GlcNAc is modified by α-1,3-fucose) (51). PNGase F treatment caused Spike from the DMSO-treated cells to migrate similarly to Spike from 6-TG-treated cells **(Fig. 3F)**. We confirmed the identity of the high MW Spike band as the uncleaved S0 precursor protein by generating and testing a mutant Spike protein in which the basic residues in the furin cleavage site joining S1 and S2 were substituted with alanines, yielding a protease cleavage-resistant Spike protein. Cells transfected with this S0 construct and treated with 6-TG prior to lysis revealed that the S0 construct was also sensitive to PNGaseF treatment; the 6-TG/PNGaseF-treated S0 migrated similarly to 6-

TG/PNGaseF-treated WT Spike by SDS-PAGE. Several studies have mapped O-linked glycans

on SARS-CoV-2 Spike (52–54) and these modifications appear to follow the "O-follow-N rule"

whereby N-glycosylation is followed by O-glycosylation on nearby residues (52). Thus, the

apparent differences in molecular weight between vehicle/PNGaseF-treated Spike and 6-

TG/PNGaseF-treated Spike could stem from residual O-linked glycans on Spike that are absent in

the 6-TG-treated proteins. These findings were corroborated using carboxy-terminal FLAG-tagged

Spike proteins from SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV that also displayed a fast-migrating, under-

glycosylated S0 species and loss of the S2 subunit following 6-TG treatment **(Fig. 3G)**. Taken

together, these results suggest that 6-TG inhibits normal glycosylation and processing of Spike.

277   A failure of Spike to migrate efficiently from the ER to the Golgi could inhibit glycan

maturation and cleavage by furin. In our previous work, we observed that 6-TG treatment did not

reduce levels of Class I HLA proteins at the cell surface (34), which we interpreted as evidence

that 6-TG did not globally affect processing and secretion of host cell glycoproteins. Here, we

tested the role of 6-TG on the secretory pathway by using a *Gaussia* luciferase assay in which the

enzyme depends on a native secretion signal to be secreted (55). As a control we used the Arf1-

inhibitor brefeldin A (BFA) which potently inhibits protein trafficking from the ER to the Golgi

(56, 57). BFA is toxic to cells at micromolar doses, but we could still detect secretory pathway

inhibition at 250 nM for 20 h without overt cytotoxicity **(Figs. S2A, S2B)**. A short-term, 6 h

treatment with 6-TG (10 μM) had little effect on *Gaussia* luciferase secretion, whereas treatment

with BFA (10 μM) greatly decreased secretion. We tested a longer 20 h treatment of 6-TG where

we co-transfected Firefly luciferase (Luc2) with *Gaussia* luciferase to help control for the

inhibitory effects of 6-TG on 293T cell proliferation. This extended 6-TG treatment caused a slight

loss of detectable *Gaussia* luciferase activity in the cell supernatant, but once again low-dose

treatment of BFA greatly inhibited secretion and increased intracellular retention of *Gaussia*

luciferase **(Fig. S2C)**. These experiments strongly corroborated our prior observations that 6-TG

treatment does not globally disrupt the secretory pathway. Because of our previous observations

of 6-TG-mediated defects in Spike glycosylation, processing and trafficking, we decided to test

whether low-dose, long-term treatment with BFA would be sufficient to recapitulate the effects of

6-TG treatment on Spike. However, unlike 6-TG, the secretion inhibitor BFA did not cause any

changes in Spike accumulation or processing, as indicated by identical electrophoretic mobility of

Spike on immunoblots compared to vehicle control **(Fig. S2D).**

To directly monitor Spike protein secretion, we used flow cytometry and surface staining

of unpermeablized 293T cells transfected with Spike. We co-transfected cells with an EGFP

plasmid and gated on EGFP+ cells to measure changes in Spike accumulation. 6-TG reduced

surface expression of Spike by ~50% resulting in fewer Spike-positive cells and reduced mean

fluorescence intensity. In these experiments, we also tested a Spike protein in which the 19 C-

terminal residues were removed (Spike-Δ19); this widely-used strategy removes an ER-retrieval

signal and enhances incorporation of Spike into lentivirus particles (58–60). We found a similar

~50% reduction in trafficking and surface expression of Spike-Δ19 **(Fig. S2E)**. Together, these

experiments indicate that 6TG inhibits normal trafficking of Spike to the cell surface but does not

broadly inhibit secretion.

6-Thioguanine causes a coronavirus assembly defect. It has been shown that co-transfection of

plasmids expressing each of the four SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins allows for secretion of virus-

like particles (VLPs) (19), which is concordant with earlier studies with other CoV structural

proteins (61). However, we observed that when Spike, E, M and N expression vectors were co-

transfected into cells, 6-TG treatment not only altered the accumulation and processing of Spike,

but also dramatically reduced accumulation of E and M proteins (**Fig. 4A**). Cell supernatants were

collected, filtered and pelleted in a sucrose cushion to isolate VLPs, which were then characterized

by immunoblotting. We observed a dramatic reduction in VLP production from 6-TG-treated 293T

cells, and these residual VLPs completely lacked Spike (**Fig. 4B**). These findings indicate that 6-

TG not only prevents Spike processing and accumulation, but also its incorporation into VLPs.

We then co-transfected cells again with only three of the four Spike, E, M, and N encoding

plasmids to better understand mechanisms of defective assembly. Our main conclusions are: 1) 6-

TG treatment of cells expressing all structural proteins causes a strong Spike-dependent decrease

in E expression, 2) in the absence of M the 6-TG-mediated Spike glycosylation defect is more

pronounced, and 3) N is required for accumulation of E **(Fig 4C)**. To determine if Spike from 6-

TG treated cells was still functional, we employed a lentivirus pseudotyping method using Spike-

Δ19, which is widely used to measure neutralizing antibody titers to SARS-CoV-2 (58, 62, 63).

We performed immunoblots on pseudotyped viruses (PVs) recovered from three independent

preparations that we purified by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion. Unlike our

previous immunoblots of ectopically expressed Spike from transfected cells, we observed that

most of the Spike proteins incorporated into the PVs were fully cleaved into the prominent S1 band **(Fig. 4D)**. However, 6-TG treatment led to a dramatic loss of Spike S0 and S1 species from the purified PVs, compared to the smaller decrease observed for HIV p24 levels in PV cores. We quantified PV yield from these cells by amplifying lentiviral genomes via RT-qPCR from filtered, unconcentrated cell supernatants. 6-TG treatment did not significantly reduce the quantity of capsid-protected genomes released from cells compared to vehicle control **(Fig. 4E)**. To determine the effects of 6-TG on PV infectivity, we used purified PVs to infect HEK293A cells that stably express the ACE2 receptor and measured luciferase production in the recipient cells as a measure of successful lentiviral infection. Consistent with deficient Spike incorporation into PVs, we observed that 6-TG treatment reduced PV infectivity by over 50-fold compared to PVs derived from vehicle-treated cells **(Fig. 4F)**. By contrast, PVs derived from vehicle control- and 6-TG-treated cells were equally competent to infect ACE2-deficient HEK293A cells at low levels. This suggests that 6-TG treatment not only inhibits Spike glycosylation and processing, but it also inhibits Spike trafficking and incorporation into lentiviral particles. We next investigated whether these 6-TG-mediated assembly defects altered the morphology of HCoV particles. We concentrated supernatant from HCoV-OC43 infected 293A cells by ultracentrifugation and imaged virions by transmission electron microscopy using negative staining. We observed fewer viral particles in the 6-TG treated samples, and while these particles were of similar size, we noted fewer particles with clearly discernible Spikes **(Fig. 4G)**. Taken together, the strong effects of 6-TG on Spike in transiently transfected cells, heterologous lentivirus production and infection, and authentic HCoV infections, provide evidence for an additional antiviral mode of action for 6-TG beyond PLpro/nsp3 inhibition or defects in viral RNA synthesis.

6-Thioguanine must be processed by HPRT1 to inhibit Spike processing and coronavirus replication. We observed comparable antiviral activities of 6-TG and its ribose-conjugated analogue 6-TGo throughout these studies. Metabolism of 6-TG is initiated by the purine scavenging enzyme hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (HPRT1) to yield 6-thioguanosine 5'-monophosphate (6-TGMP) **(Fig. 5A)**. 6-TGMP can then be converted to 6-thioguanosine 5'-triphosphate (6-TGTP), the active form of the molecule that has been shown to form a covalent bond with a reactive cysteine in the p-loop of Rac1; subsequent hydrolysis of Rac1-6-TGTP to a diphosphate form renders the GTPase inactive (37). Considering this information, we next sought

to determine whether conversion of 6-TG to a ribonucleoside was required for its antiviral activity.

First, we synthesized N9-methyl 6-thioguanine (6-TG-Me), which prevents conjugation to a ribose

sugar due to the methyl group at N9 of the purine ring and is predicted to be resistant to conversion

into 6-TGMP **(Fig. 5A)**. 6-TG-Me displayed low cytotoxicity and no antiviral activity against

HCoV-OC43 across a broad range of concentrations **(Fig. 5B)**. Likewise, 6-TG-Me had no effect

on SARS-CoV-2 Spike processing across a range of concentrations between 5 µM and 20 µM

(Fig. 5C). To corroborate this finding, we used RNA interference to silence expression of *HPRT1*

in 293T cells prior to infection with HCoV-OC43 and treatment with 6-TG or vehicle control. RT-

qPCR confirmed effective silencing of *HPRT1* **(Fig. 5D)**, which had no effect on HCoV-OC43

infection in vehicle control-treated cells **(Fig. 5E)**. By contrast, *HPRT1* silencing significantly

restored viral replication in the presence of 6-TG, although replication did not return to the levels

in control cells. Together, these findings indicate that 6-TG is a pro-drug that must be metabolized

by HPRT1 to convert it to an active form.

6-Thioguanine inhibits Spike maturation by inhibiting an unidentified cellular GTPase. 6-

TG inhibits several different GTPases including Rac1, RhoA, and Cdc42 (37, 43, 44). This mode

of action was previously shown to inhibit rotavirus replication at sub-micromolar concentrations

(64). Here, we sought to determine if any of the known targets of 6-TG were responsible for defects

in Spike maturation. We tested known inhibitors of Rac1 (Rac1 Inhibitor V), RhoA (Rhosin), and

Cdc42 (CASIN) for cytotoxic effects in 293T cells after 24 h incubation using an alamarBlue assay

to identify optimal sub-cytotoxic doses for further testing **(Figs. 6A, 6B)**. We also tested a GTPase

agonist ML099 that can potently activate Rac1, RhoA, and Cdc42, among other GTPases (65).

None of the three GTPase inhibitors had any effect on Spike processing or accumulation **(Fig. 6C)**.

Because many additional GTPases have redox-sensitive cysteine residues that could interact with

6-TG, we reasoned that 6-TG could affect viral glycoproteins through an as-yet-unidentified

GTPase. In support of this notion, we observed that the broadly acting small GTPase agonist

ML099 maintained normal Spike processing in the presence of 6-TG **(Fig. 6D)**. Pre-treating the

cells with ML099 before addition of 6-TG further enhanced this effect **(Fig. 6E)**. We attempted to

rescue HCoV-OC43 titer in both HCT-8 and 293A infection models but instead found that ML099

was itself acting as an antiviral agent **(Fig. 6F)**. While this was worth testing, this result was

unsurprising as viral infection likely requires specific or unperturbed GTPase function, including

frequent cycling between GTP- and GDP-bound forms. Overall, these data suggest that 6-TG

inhibits Spike maturation by inhibiting an unidentified cellular GTPase.

DISCUSSION

The reliance of viruses on the host cell biosynthetic and secretory apparatus, combined with the

existence of varied and potent host antiviral mechanisms, makes host targeted antivirals (HTAs)

useful additions to our armamentarium of direct-acting antivirals (DAAs). By targeting these host

cell processes, HTAs may not be so easily subverted by the rapid viral evolution that frequently

undermines DAAs. We recently reported that the thiopurines 6-TG and 6-TGo inhibit influenza A

virus (IAV) by selectively disrupting the normal processing and accumulation of HA and NA

glycoproteins (34). We hypothesized that other enveloped viruses that rapidly produce a burst of

viral glycoproteins would also be susceptible to these thiopurines. Here, we report that the

thioguanine 6-TG disrupts the normal processing and accumulation of CoV Spike glycoproteins

and inhibits the release of infectious progeny. This drug-induced Spike processing defect disrupts

the incorporation of SARS-CoV-2 Spike into VLPs or pseudotyped lentiviruses, which

subsequently prevents infection of susceptible, ACE2-expressing cells. Thiopurines inhibit the

small GTPase Rac1 by forming a covalent bond with a redox-sensitive cysteine, preventing further

guanine nucleotide exchange. However, we show that selective chemical inhibitors of Rac1 or

related small GTPases CDC42 and Rho had no effect on Spike processing and accumulation,

whereas a broad GTPase agonist was able to counter the effects of 6-TG. This indicates that there

are additional, unknown GTPase targets of 6-TG and that these are required for normal Spike

processing and accumulation. Together, these findings suggest that functional small GTPases are

essential for virus replication and that further development of GTPase inhibitors as host-directed

antivirals is warranted.

We were intrigued by how 6-TG and 6-TGo strongly inhibited processing and

accumulation of IAV and CoV glycoproteins. However, we also observed that these thiopurines

had little effect on global host protein synthesis and secretion. Together, these observations suggest

that viral glycoproteins may have properties that make them especially sensitive to these drugs.

Certainly, viral glycoproteins must adhere to temporal or physical constraints that most host

glycoproteins do not. All glycoproteins are synthesized, folded and post-translationally modified
in the ER. However, viral glycoproteins can impose an acute burden on the ER when they are
rapidly synthesized in large numbers in a relatively brief time frame. This burden may differ in
severity depending on the extent of shutoff of host gene expression, as viruses with efficient host
shutoff mechanisms would deplete host glycoproteins and facilitate viral utilization of the cellular
biosynthetic and secretory apparatus. Viral envelope proteins are often more heavily glycosylated
than their host counterparts, which can shield them from antibody recognition. Because N-
glycosylation is a dynamic process involving glycan trimming and maturation, viral infection may
impose specific burdens on these components of the ER quality control machinery. This may result
in delayed trafficking of glycoproteins through the secretory pathway, leading to their degradation.
Finally, it should be noted that viral glycoproteins are often assembled into large homo- and hetero-
oligomeric complexes that must traffic to sites of assembly. Together, these aspects of viral
glycoprotein biology place additional burdens on the ER and the associated machinery that governs
glycoprotein folding, modification and trafficking.

Because cellular GTPases play important roles in viral glycoprotein biogenesis and
trafficking, they may be good targets for host-directed antivirals. Viral assembly occurs at distinct
sites like the plasma membrane (influenza A viruses) or the ERGIC (coronaviruses). Small
molecules that disrupt efficient viral glycoprotein processing, oligomerization and trafficking
could have the effect of 'misplacing' these important viral components and inhibiting essential
steps in viral assembly and egress. We speculate that 6-TG and 6-TGo inhibit GTPases involved
in key steps of viral glycoprotein synthesis, processing and trafficking, diverting them from their
roles in viral assembly and consigning them to degradation via cellular catabolic processes. While
these drugs are well-tolerated in humans, we believe that more can be gained by identifying new
target GTPase(s) and further elucidating the antiviral mechanism of action to inform the
development of novel chemical entities with superior properties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines. Human embryonic kidney 293T and 293A cells, human hepatoma Huh-7.5 (66) and African green monkey kidney Vero cells were all maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; ThermoFisher, 11965118) supplemented with heat-inactivated 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, ThermoFisher, A31607-01), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine (Pen/Strep/Gln; ThermoFisher, 15140122 and 25030081). HCT-8 cells were cultured as above with additional 1X MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids (NEAA, ThermoFisher) supplemented. Baby hamster kidney (BHK-21) were maintained as above yet supplemented with 5% FBS. African green monkey kidney Vero'76 and human lung adenocarcinoma Calu-3 cells were maintained in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, D5796; St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (ThermoFisher, 16000-044) and 1X Penicillin-Streptomycin (ThermoFisher, 15140148). hTert-immortalized human foreskin fibroblast (BJ) cells (a kind gift from William Hahn, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA) cells were maintained in a 4:1 blend of knockout DMEM (ThermoFisher, 10829-018) and Medium 199 (ThermoFisher, 11150-059) with 15% FBS and Pen/Strep/Gln. All cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO_2 atmosphere. To generate 293A-ACE2 cells, 293A cells were stably transduced with a lentivirus vector encoding ACE2 (pLJM1-ACE2-BSD) or an empty vector control (pLJM1-B*) (67), then selected and maintained in 10 µg/mL Blasticidin S HCl (ThermoFisher, A11139030).

Chemical Inhibitors. 6-thioguanine (6-TG, A4882), 2-amino-6-mercaptopurine riboside hydrate (6-TGo, 858412), 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP, 38171), brefeldin A (BFA; B7651), CASIN (SML1253), ML-099 (SML1258), Rac1 inhibitor V (5308200001), rhosin (555460), thapsigargin (Tg, cat#) and tunicamycin (Tm, cat#) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. N9-methyl 6-thioguanine (6-TG-Me) was synthesized as described in Supporting Information. All drugs were solubilized in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at -80°C. Stock concentrations were diluted to the indicated concentrations in cell culture media.

Human coronavirus infections and quantitation. Stocks of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) were propagated in Vero'76 cells (for strain SARS-CoV-2/Canada/ON/VIDO-01/2020, used in Fig. 1A) or Vero E6 cells (SARS-CoV-2/SB3-TYAGNC, used in Figs. 3A, 3B). To generate virus stocks, cells were infected at a low MOI for 1 h in serum-

free DMEM at 37°C following replacement of the inoculum with DMEM supplemented with 2%

FBS and 1X Pen/Strep and continued incubation at 37°C. Once CPE reached 75% at 2-3 d post-

infection, the viral supernatant was harvested, centrifuged at 5000 x g for 5 min, and then the

cleared viral supernatant was aliquoted and stored at -80°C. Stocks were titered by plaque assay

on Vero E6 cells (68) or TCID50 on Vero'76 cells with DMEM/2%FBS/Pen/Strep/Gln and

calculated using the Spearman-Kärber method.

Stocks of human coronavirus OC43 (hCoV-OC43; ATCC, VR-1558) were propagated in

Vero cells. Cells were infected at a MOI of 0.05 for 1 h at 33°C in serum-free DMEM. After 1 h,

the infected cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 1% FBS and Pen/Strep/Gln for

five days at 33°C. Upon harvest, the culture supernatant was centrifuged at 1000 x g for 5 min at

4°C, aliquoted, and stored at -80°C. Stocks of human coronavirus 229E (hCoV-229E; ATCC, VR-

740) were prepared similarly to hCoV-OC43 yet using Huh-7.5 cells and DMEM supplemented

with 2.5% FBS and Pen/Strep/Gln. Viral titers were enumerated by median tissue culture

infectious dose (TCID$_{50}$) assays. Following the serial dilution of the samples, the appropriate cell

line was infected for 1 h at 37°C prior to the replacement of the inoculum for the indicated overlay

medium and incubated at 37°C: BHK-21 cells (hCoV-OC43, DMEM/1%FBS/Pen/Strep/Gln) or

Huh-7.5 cells (hCoV-229E, DMEM/2.5%FBS/Pen/Strep/Gln). Viral titers were calculated using

the Spearman-Kärber method.

To test the effect of the indicated compounds on hCoV replication, 293A, 293T, BJ, Calu-

3, HCT-8, or Huh-7.5 cells were infected with hCoV diluted in serum-free DMEM at the indicated

MOI for 1 h. After 1 h, the inoculum was removed, replaced with DMEM/Pen/Strep/Gln

containing 1% FBS and NEAA (HCT-8), 2% FBS (Calu-3), 2.5% FBS (Huh-7.5, 293A or 293T),

or 5% FBS (BJ) supplemented with DMSO or drug at the indicated concentration, and maintained

for an additional 23 h. Infected BJ cells were incubated at 33°C during virus replication; all other

cells were incubated at 37°C. For infections of 293A, BJ, HCT-8, or Huh-7.5, the infected cells

were scraped into the culture medium, subjected to three freeze/thaw cycles, then stored at -80°C.

For SARS-CoV-2-infected Calu-3 cells or HCoV-OC43 infected 293T cells virus was collected

from culture supernatants at 48 h post-infection and stored at -80°C. Titers of hCoV-OC43, hCoV-

229E, and SARS-CoV-2 were determined using TCID$_{50}$ assays as indicated above.

Cytotoxicity assays. Cells seeded into 96-well tissue culture plates were treated with drugs diluted at indicated concentrations and incubated with the cells for 20 h. At 20 h post-treatment, 10% alamarBlue Cell Viability Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific, DAL1025) diluted in DMEM/Pen/Strep/Gln containing 1% FBS and NEAA (HCT-8), 2.5% FBS (Huh-7.5 or 293T), or 5% FBS (BJ) was added and further incubated for 4 h. Plates were read on FLUOstar Omega 96 well plate reader at an excitation of 544 nm, and an emission of 580-590 nm. Drug treatments were then normalized to DMSO. For viability experiments using Calu-3 cells, cells grown in 96-well plates were treated with drugs diluted at indicated concentrations and incubated with the cells for 48 h. At 48 h post-treatment, a one-fifth volume of CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (G3580, Promega) was added to DMEM/Pen/Strep containing 2% FBS and incubated for 2 h. Plates were read at an absorbance of 490 nm with an xMark™ Microplate Absorbance Spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad). Values were imported into GraphPad PRISM (v.9) and CC_{50} values were calculated by fitting a non-linear curve to the data. Selectivity index (SI) was calculated by dividing the IC_{50} (calculated above) by the CC_{50}.

Real-time quantitative PCR. Total RNA from cells was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (QIAGEN, 74134) following the manufacturer's protocol. Synthesis of cDNA was performed using the Maxima H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, K1652) using random primers. Quantitative PCRs were performed using 200 nM primers, 1:250 (final) diluted cDNA, and 1X GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega, A6002). Amplifications were performed using a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad) and Bio-Rad CFX Manager 3.1 software. To measure supernatant virions, supernatant was mixed 1:1 with virus lysis buffer [20 mM Tris HCl pH7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 2.5% NP-40, and 40 U/mL RNAaseOUT (ThermoFisher)] for 5 min, then diluted 1:5 with nuclease-free water before 2 µL was used as template in a 10 µL GoTaq 1-Step RT-qPCR (Promega, A6020) reaction (69). Primer sequences are listed in Table 1.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. 293T were seeded on #1.5 coverslips (Zeiss, 411025-0003-000) coated with poly-L-lysine (Sigma, P2658). The following day the cells were infected with HCoV-OC43, to harvest the cells were washed once with 1x Dulbecco's PBS (ThermoFisher) and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Services, 15710) in PBS for 15 min at

room temperature. Coverslips were blocked and permeablized in staining buffer [1% human serum

(Sigma,4552) heat-inactivated at 56°C for 1h, 0.1% Triton X-100 PBS] for 1 h at room

temperature, then stained with 1:500 dilution anti-OC43 N (MAB9012, Millipore Sigma)

overnight at 4°C. The following day the coverslips were washed three times with PBS, then stained

with goat anti-mouse Alexa 488 (ThermoFisher, R37120) in staining buffer for 1 h at room

temperature in the dark. Coverslips were then counterstain for 5 min with Hoescht 33342

(ThermoFisher, 62249), washed three times with PBS, then mounted on coverglass using ProLong

Gold anti-fade reagent (ThermoFisher, P36930). Z-stacks were imaged on a Zeiss LSM880 and

processed into maximum intensity projections using Zen Black (Zeiss).

Western Blotting. Cell monolayers were washed once with ice-cold PBS and lysed in 2x Laemmli

buffer (4% [wt/vol] sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 20% [vol/vol] glycerol, 120 mM Tris-HCl [pH

6.8]). DNA was sheared by repeated pipetting with a 21-gauge needle before adding 100 mM

dithiothreitol (DTT) and boiling at 95°C for 5 min. Samples were stored at -20°C until analysis.

Total protein concentration was determined by DC protein assay (Bio-Rad) and equal quantities

were loaded in each SDS-PAGE gel. For ribopuromycylation assays, a modified version of the

protocol by Schmidt, *et al.* (63) was used where the indicated samples were treated with 10 μg/ml

puromycin (ThermoFisher, A1113803) for 10 min, followed by a PBS wash, and lysate preparation

for immunoblotting as indicated above. Lysates from the ribopuromycylation assays were loaded

into gels prepared using the TGX Stain-Free FastCast Acrylamide Kit (Bio-Rad, 1610183) (Fig.

S1A). Lysates for quantitative Spike western blotting were loaded onto TGX Stain-Free 4-20%

gradient gels (Bio-Rad, 4568094) (Fig. 3D). Peptide-*N*-Glycosidase F (PNGase F; NEB, P0704)

treatment of lysates was performed as described in the manufacturer's directions. All proteins after

SDS-PAGE were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Bio-Rad) with the

Trans-Blot Turbo transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked with 5% bovine serum

albumin in tris-buffered saline/0.1% [vol/vol] tween-20 (TBS-T) before probing overnight at 4°C

with antibodies raised to the following targets: mouse anti-puromycin (Millipore-Sigma,

MABE343), mouse anti-OC43 N (CoV antibody, OC43 strain, clone 541-8F, Millipore-Sigma,

MAB9012), rabbit anti-OC43 S (Cusabio, CSB-PA336163EA-1HIY), rabbit anti-BiP (Cell

signaling Technologies (CST), mouse anti-XBP1s (CST, #12782), mouse anti-CHOP (CST,

#2895), rabbit anti-α-Tubulin (CST, #2125), rabbit anti-SARS-CoV-2 S1 RBD (Elabscience, E-

AB-V1006), anti-SARS-CoV-2 E (abbexa, abx226552), anti-SARS-CoV-2 M (Novus Biologicals,

NBP3-05698), rabbit anti-SARS-CoV-2 N (Novus Biologicals, NBP3-05730), mouse anti-FLAG

(CST, #2368), mouse anti-HIV-p24 (Abcam, ab9071) anti-Strep tag (IBA, 2-1507-001) and anti-

β-actin (CST, #4967). Membranes were washed with TBS-T and incubated with HRP-linked

secondary antibodies prior to detection with Clarity ECL chemiluminescence reagent (Bio-Rad).

All blots were imaged on a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc-Touch system. Molecular weights were

determined using the Broad Range, Color Prestained Protein Standard (NEB, P7719) or Precision

Plus Protein All Blue Prestained Protein Standards (BioRad, 1610373). Molecular weights in kDa

are indicated on the left of blot images. For quantitation of western blots, the total antibody signal

intensity was divided by the Stain-Free total protein signal. Images were analyzed using Image

Lab 6.1 (Bio-Rad). Images were cropped and annotated using Affinity Designer (Serif).

Plasmid generation and transfections. All plasmids were purified using the QIAprep Spin

Miniprep or QIAfilter Plasmid Midi Kits (QIAGEN) and all restriction enzymes were purchased

from New England Biolabs (NEB). The pcDNA3-SARS-CoV-2-Spike plasmid contains a codon-

optimized ORF for Spike from GenBank NC_045512 that was synthesized by GenScript (a kind

gift from David Kelvin) then cloned between the KpnI and BamHI sites of pcDNA3.1(+). A 19

residue C-terminal truncation of Spike (SΔ19) and Spike with a C-terminal FLAG tag was

generated by PCR. A Spike mutant lacking the Furin cleavage site between S1 and S2 (P681S,

R682G, R683G, R685del), and two-proline stabilizing mutants, K986P and V987P (S-2P) (70–

72) was generated by PCR mutagenesis using KOD Xtreme Hot Start DNA Polymerase (Sigma,

71975-M). pcDNA3-Membrane (M) and pcDNA3-Envelope (E) were cloned from pLVX-

EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-M-2xStrep-IRES-Puro and pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-E-2xStrep-

IRES-Puro (kind gifts from Nevan Krogan, available from Addgene #141386 and #141385) using

PCR to remove the 2xStrep epitope tag. pCMV3-MERS-FLAG was purchased from

SinoBiologicals (VG40069-CF). pLJM1-Luc2 used in generating Spike-pseudotyped lentiviruses

was generated by cloning Luc2 from pGL4.26 (Promega) into pLJM1-B* (67). pLJM1-ACE2-

BSD was cloned by from cDNA of generated from Caco-2 cells. Primer sequences are listed in

Table 2. 293T cells were transfected using polyethylenimine (PEI; Linear, MW 25000

(Polysciences Inc., 23966) dissolved in water (pH 7.4). Both plasmids and PEI were diluted in

OptiMEM (Gibco), then combined for 15 min before adding to cell monolayers in serum-free

DMEM. PEI was used at 3:1 ratio to plasmid DNA. After 4 h, serum-free media was replaced with

DMEM containing 10% FBS with indicated treatments unless otherwise indicated. For pre-

treatments with ML099. the drug was applied at the time of transfection, then refreshed when the

media was changed 4 h after transfection. Generally, cells were lysed for immunoblotting analysis

at 24 h post-transfection (hpt). For flow-cytometry and immunofluorescence experiments, cells

were instead transfected with FugeneHD (Promega, E2311) according to the manufacturer's

instructions.

siRNA knockdown experiments. All siRNAs were obtained from Invitrogen. 293T cells (1-1.5

x 10^5 cells/mL) in 10% DMEM were reverse transfected with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX

(Invitrogen, 13778075) and 10 nM of Silencer Select negative siRNA #2 (4390846), HPRT1

siRNA #1 (s6889), or HPRT1 siRNA #2 (s6888) diluted in OptiMEM (Gibco, 31985070). RNA

was harvested from cells 48 hpt knockdown validation by RT-qPCR. For determining the effect

of HPRT1 knockdown on viral titer after 6-TG treatment, the reverse transfected cells were

infected at 24 hpt at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with DMSO or 10 μM 6-TG as described above.

After a 24 h infection, the cell supernatant for titration, and the infected monoloayers were lysed

with 2x Laemmli Buffer and processed for western blotting.

Production of SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles. To generate SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles,

293T cells were seeded in a 10 cm dish and co-transfected with 2 μg of each pcDNA-Spike,

pcDNA-M, pcDNA-E, and pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-N-2xStrep-IRES-Puro (a kind gift

from Neven Krogan, available from Addgene #141391) with PEI, then treated with 6-TG or

DMSO as described above. After two days, supernatant was harvested and filtered through a 0.45

μm filter. For concentration and western blot analysis, filtered supernatant was underlaid with 20%

sucrose PBS using a pipetting needle and centrifuged at 39 000 rpm for 2 h using an SW-41 rotor

(Beckman Coulter). The supernatant and sucrose solution were carefully removed, and the pellet

was resuspended in PBS, mixed in equal proportions with 2x Laemmli Buffer with 100 mM DTT

and boiled at 95°C for 5 min before analysis by western blot.

SARS-CoV-2 Spike-pseudotyped lentiviruses. To generate Spike-pseudotyped lentivirus particles, 293T cells were seeded in a 10 cm dish and co-transfected with 3 µg pLJM1-Luc2, 2 µg pSPAX2 (a kind gift from Didier Trono; Addgene #12260), and 2 µg of pcDNA3- SΔ19 with PEI, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO as described above. After two days, supernatant was harvested, filtered through a 0.45 µm filter, aliquoted and stored at -80°C until use. Genomes were quantified using a qPCR Lentivirus Titer Kit (Applied Biological Materials, LC900) as per the manufacturer's instructions. Infectious pseudotyped particles were measured by infecting 293A cells stably expressing ACE2 or an EV control. Cell lysates were harvested 24 h after infection in Reporter Lysis Buffer (Promega, E397A), lysed by freeze thawing at -80°C, and luciferase activity was measured using Luciferase Assay reagent (Promega) on a FLUOstar Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech). Lentiviruses that were concentrated and analyzed by western blot were prepared as described above for SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Samples were prepared and visualized as described in (73). Briefly, HCoV-OC43 containing supernatant was concentrated by ultracentrifugation as described above, fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) , then applied to Formvar/carbon coated grids and left to settle to 10 min. The grid was then rinsed with distilled water then stain with 2% w/v uranyl acetate for 30 s. Residual stain was wicked off with filter paper then left to air dry completely. Grids were imaged on a JEM 1230 TEM (JEOL) running at 80 kV using an OCRA-HR camera (Hamamatsu). Sample preparation, fixation, and TEM imaging were performed twice with representative images from one independent experiment shown.

Quantitation of SARS-CoV-2 Spike surface expression. 293T cells were co-transfected with pEGFP-N1 and plasmids expressing SARS-CoV-2 Spike (FL) or SARS-CoV-2 Spike-Δ19 for 24 h using FugeneHD (Promega). The cells were treated with DMSO or 10 µM 6-TG at 4 h post-transfection. At 24 h post-transfection, the cells were lifted with 10 mM EDTA PBS and pelleted at 300 x g for 5 min. Cells were washed once with wash buffer (2 mM EDTA, 2% FBS, PBS), then stained with 0.1 µg/sample Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated mouse-anti SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1 (R&D Systems, FAB105403R-100UG) at 4°C for 30 min in the dark. Cells were then washed prior to fixation with 2% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences) in PBS at 4°C for 30 min

in the dark, then washed and stored at 4°C in the dark until analysis using a FACSCelesta cell
analyzer (BD Biosciences) configured with 405 nm, 488 nm, and 640 nm lasers using the same
acquisition voltages for all replicates with at least 50000 single cells acquired per sample. The data
were analyzed using FCS Express v. 6.06.0040 (De Novo Software) where transfected single cells
were selected by EGFP fluorescence and analyzed for Spike surface expression via Alexa Fluor
647 fluorescence.

Gaussia **luciferase secretion assays.** For short-term treatments, 293T were transfected with
pCMV-*Gaussia* Luc Vector (ThermoFisher Scientific, 16147) as described above. After 18 h,
medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. After 6
h medium was removed, debris was cleared by centrifuging samples at $5,000 \times g$ for 5 min, then
samples were stored at -80°C until measuring *Gaussia* luciferase activity using the *Gaussia*
Luciferase Flash Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, 16158) as per the manufacturer's directions
on a FLUOstar Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech). For long-term treatments, 293T cells
were co-transfected with pCMV-*Gaussia* Luc and pLJM1-Luc2 and treated 6 h post transfection.
After 24 h, supernatant harvested as described above. The cell monolayer was lysed in Reporter
Lysis Buffer (Promega) as described above. To measure both Luciferase and *Gaussia* luciferase
activity in samples, we used the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, E1910), which
although designed for firefly and *Renilla* luciferase, can be readily adapted for firefly and *Gaussia*
luciferase as both *Gaussia* and *Renilla* luciferase both use coelenterazine as a substrate.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis and graphing were performed using GraphPad PRISM (v.8
or v.9). Statistically significant differences were determined using Dunnett's multiple comparison
test for one-way ANOVA and Šídák's multiple comparison test for two-way ANOVA.

Graphics. Molecular models were created with NCBI PubChem
(http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) The model presented in Fig. 7 was adapted from "Coronavirus
Replication Cycle", by BioRender.com (2022). Retrieved from
https://app.biorender.com/biorender-templates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful for the support of the Dalhousie University Flow Cytometry Core Facility and

the Cellular and Molecular Digital Imaging Core Facility. This work was supported by Canadian

Institutes for Health Research (CIHR) Project Grant PJT-148727 (to C.M.), Natural Sciences and

Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada Discovery Grant RGPIN-2016-05083 (to

S.L.B.), Coronavirus Variants Rapid Response Network (CoVaRR-Net) Grant 175622 (to J.A.C.

and others), Research Nova Scotia Grant RNS-NHIG-2020-1383 and Lung Association of Nova

Scotia Legacy Research Grant (to D.K.), and a Nova Scotia COVID-19 Health Research

Coalition Grant to C.M. and E.S.P. SARS-CoV-2 research is supported in the laboratory of D.F.

by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR; OV5-170349, VRI-173022 and VS1-

175531). VIDO receives operational funding from the Government of Saskatchewan through

Innovation Saskatchewan and the Ministry of Agriculture and from the Canada Foundation for

Innovation through the Major Science Initiatives for its CL3 facility.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

E.S.P. is a consultant for Aegis Life Inc. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

706
707

708 **Table 1**. Primer sequences for RT-qPCR analysis

Target	Sequence (5′-3′)	
OC43 Orf1a	F – ATATGGCCAAGGCTGGTGAC	R – ATGTAACACGCCTTCCAGCA
OC43 S	F – TCTTCCGAACCAGCATTGCT	R – TAATGGGTTGCAGCTGTCGT
OC43 N	F – GGACCCAAGTAGCGATGAGG	R – GTGCGCGAAGTAGATCTGGA
18S	F – TTCGAACGTCTGCCCTATCAA	R – GATGTGGTAGCCGTTTCTCAGG
HPRT1	F – CGAGATGTGATGAAGGAGATG	R – GCAGGTCAGCAAAGAATTTATAG
Xbp1	F – TAGCAGCTCAGACTGCCAGA	R – CCAAGCGCTGTCTTAACTCC
ERdj4	F – CGCCAAATCAAGAAGGCCT	R – CAGCATCCGGGCTCTTATTTT
EDEM1	F – TTGACAAAGATTCCACCGTCC	R – TGTGAGCAGAAAGGAGGCTT
ATF3	F – AGGCGACGAGAAAGAAATAAG	R – TTCACACTTTCCAGCTTCTC
CHOP	F – ATGAACGGCTCAAGCAGGAA	R – GGGAAAGGTGGGTAGTGTGG
HERPUD1	F – AACGGCATGTTTTGCATCTG	R – GGGGAAGAAAGGTTCCGAAG
BiP	F – GCCTGTATTTCTAGACCTGCC	R – TTCATCTTGCCAGCCAGTTG

710 **Table 2.** Primers used for cloning and PCR mutagenesis

Gene	Sequence (5′-3′)
Spike	F - AATTGGTACCATGTTCGTCTTTCTGGTTCTGTTGCC
	R - AATTGGATCCTCAAGTGTAGTGCAGTTTCACGC
Spike-S0	F - CTCCGTGGCAAGCCAGTCGATCATCGCCTAC
	R - GCGCCTCCACTCGAGTTCGTCTGGGTCTGGTA
Spike-Flag	F - AATTGGTACCATGTTCGTCTTTCTGGTTCTGTTGCC
	R - AATTGGATCCTTACTTATCGTCGTCATCCTTGTAAT CAGAGCCTCCACCCCCAGTGTAGTGCAGTTTCACGC
Spike-Δ19	F - AATTGGTACCATGTTCGTCTTTCTGGTTCTGTTGCC

R - AATTGGATCCTTAACAACAACTACCGCAACTGC

Spike-2P   F - CCGGAGGCCGAGGTGCAGATCGAC

R - TGGGTCCAGTCTTGACAGGATGTCGTTC

E   F - AATTGCTAGCACCATGTACAGCTTCG

R - AATTGAATTCTTAAACGAGGAGATCCGGCAC

M   F - AATTGCTAGCACCATGGCCGACTCAAATGG

R - AATTGAATTCTTACTGGACGAGTAAAGC

ACE2   F - AATTACCGGTATGTCAAGCTCTTCCTGGC

R - AATTGTCGACCTAAAAGGAGGTCTGAACATCATCAG

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Thiopurines 6-thioguanine and 6-thioguanosine inhibit coronavirus replication. (A)

Structures of thiopurines used this study in comparison to guanine. **(B)** Calu-3 cells were infected

with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-thioguanine (6-TG), 6-thioguanosine (6-

TGo), or 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP). Supernatant was harvested after 48 h, and stored at -80∞C

until titering on Vero'76 cells. Mock-infected cells were similarly treated with 6-TG, 6-TGo, 6-

MP, or DMSO vehicle control for 48 h before testing cell viability with CellTiter 96 AQueous One

(n=3 ± SEM). Dotted line indicated Limit of Detection. **(C)** Summary table of 50% Cytoxic

Concentration (CC_{50}), 50% Effective Concentration (EC_{50}), and Selectivity Index (SI) calculated

for (A-C). **(D)** Alamar Blue cell viability assay of hTert-BJ, HCT-8, and Huh-7.5 cells treated with

6-TG (n=3±SEM). **(E)** TCID assays for HCoV-OC43 infected HCT-8 cells and **(F)** HCoV-229E

infected Huh-7.5. Cells were infected with an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-TG, 6-TGo, 6-MP,

or DMSO (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). **(F)** hTERT-

BJ cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 or HCoV-229E at an MOI of 0.1 and treated with 6-TG,

Tm, or DMSO. Supernatant was harvested after 23 h and stored at -80∞C before titering on BHK-

21 or Huh7.5 (n=3-4 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). **(G)**

hTERT-BJ cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 or **(H)** HCoV-229E at an MOI of 0.1 and treated

with 6-TG, Tm, or DMSO. Supernatant was harvested after 23 h and stored at -80∞C before

titering on BHK-21 or Huh7.5 (n=3-4 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way

ANOVA). LoD = Limit of Detection for virus titer. (*, $p<0.05$; **, $p<0.01$; ns, non-significant)

Figure 2. 6-TG reduces CoV protein and mRNA accumulation. (A-C) 293T cells were infected

with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control.

Supernatant and total RNA from the cells were harvested at the times indicated and stored at -

80∞C until **(A)** titering on BHK-21 or **(B)** quantification of viral genomes by RT-qPCR. Cell

monolayers were harvested for **(C)** RT-qPCR analysis of viral RNA accumulation (n=3 statistical

significance was determined by paired ratio t test; *, $p<0.05$; **, $p<0.01$; ns, non-significant).**(D)**

293T cells were infected as in (A) then treated with 5 or 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO. Lysates were

harvested 24h after infection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)** 293T cells

were grown on coverslips then infected with HCoV-OC43 and treated with 6-TG as in (A), then

fixed and immunostained for N or **(F)** dsRNA. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Scale bar

= 20 μm.

Figure 3. 6-TG inhibits Spike glycosylation and cleavage. **(A)** Calu-3 cells were infected with

SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 7, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 48 h after

infection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM.

(B) Huh-7.5 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 5, then treated with 6-TG or

DMSO. Lysates were harvested 48 h after infection and were probed by western blotting as

indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-

2 S, M, Envelope (E), Nucleoprotein (N) with a C-terminal 2xStrep tag, or EV then treated with

10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and probed

by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or EV

then treated with 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection

and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM. **(E)** Alamar

Blue cell viability assay of 6-TG treated 293T cells (n=3±SEM). **(F)** 293T cells were transfected

with SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S), a Spike mutant lacking the Furin cleavage site between S1 and S2

(S0), or EV then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24

h after transfection, treated with PNGase F to remove N-linked glycans, and probed by western

blotting as indicated. **(G)** as in (C) except 293T cells were transfected with C-terminal FLAG-

tagged Spike (S-FL) or HCoV-MERS Spike (MERS).

Figure 4. 6-TG stimulates an assembly defect and inhibits Spike virion incorporation. (A)

293T cells were transfected with equal quantities of SARS-CoV-2 S, M, E, and N plasmids or

empty vector (EV) then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were

harvested 48 h after transfection and probed by western blotting as indicated. **(B)** Virus-like

particles from supernatants of cells transfected in (B) were concentrated by ultracentrifugation

through a 20% sucrose cushion, resuspended in PBS, then harvested with an equal volume of 2x

Laemmli buffer. Samples from two independent lentivirus preparations were probed by western

blotting as indicated. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with S, E, M, and N in a 1:2:2:1 ratio,

substituting one of the structural proteins for EV as indicated, treated with 6-TG or DMSO then

processed as in (A). **(D)** SARS-CoV-2 Spike pseudotyped, luciferase-expressing lentivirus

particles were concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion, resuspended in

PBS, then harvested with an equal volume of 2x Laemmli buffer. Samples from three independent

lentivirus preparations were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)** Genomes from three

independent lentivirus preparations were quantified by RT-qPCR (n=3, statistical significance was

determined by paired t-test; ns, non-significant). **(F)** 293A cells stably expressing ACE2 or empty

vector control were transduced with lentivirus from three independent preparations. After 24 h,

lysates were harvested and measured for luciferase activity (n=3, statistical significance was

determined by two-way ANOVA; *, $p<0.05$; ns, non-significant). **(G)** 293A cells were infected

HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 =, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO. Supernatants were

concentrated as in (C), before virions were fixed and imaged by TEM with negative staining. Five

virions from both 6-TG- and DMSO-treated samples are shown at 150,000 X magnification. Scale

bar = 100 nm. Arrowhead indicates examples of Spike protein extending from virion.

Figure 5. 6-TG inhibits Spike maturation through GTPase inhibition. (A) 6-TG is conjugated

to phosphoribosyl diphosphate (PRPP) by HPRT1 to form 6-TG monophosphate. 6-TG methylated

at the N9 nitrogen was designed to be resistant to processing by HPRT1. **(B)** 293T cells were

infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-TG or 6-TG-Me. Supernatant was

harvested at 24 hpi and stored at -80∞C until titering on BHK cells. (n=3 statistical significance

was determined by paired ratio t test; *, $p<0.05$; **, $p<0.01$; ns, non-significant). **(C)** 293T cells

were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or an empty vector control then treated with 6-TG, 6-

TG-Me, or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and probed by

western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were reversed transfected with siRNA and total RNA

was harvested after 48 h. HPRT1mRNA abundance was measured by RT-qPCR (n=3, ±SEM

statistical significance was determined by one way ANOVA; ***, $p<0.001$). **(E)** As in D but cells

were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 24 h after siRNA treatment and supernatant was

harvested and titered as in **(B)** (n=4 ±SEM statistical significance was determined by paired ratio

t test; **, $p<0.01$; ***, $p<0.001$; ns, non-significant).

Figure 6. 6-TG inhibits Spike maturation through GTPase inhibition. (A) alamarBlue cell

viability assay of 293T cells treated Rac1 Inhibitor V (Rac1iV), Rhosin, CASIN, or ML099

(n=3±SEM). **(B)** Summary table of 50% Cytotoxic concentration (CC_{50}) and inhibitor target of

compounds tested in (A). **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or an empty

vector control (EV) then treated with 6-TG, GTPase inhibitors, or DMSO vehicle control.

Concentrations in legend are in µM. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and were

probed by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with plasmids encoding

Spike or EV then co-treated with 6-TG, 100 µM ML099 GTPase agonist, or DMSO. Lysates were

harvested 24 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations

in legend are in µM. **(E)** as in (D) except some samples were pre-treated (Pre) for 4 h with ML099.

(F) HCT-8 and 293A were infected with an MOI of ~0.1 then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO

vehicle control. After 24 h, the supernatants were harvested and stored at -80ºC until titering on

BHK-21 (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA; *, $p<0.05$;

**, $p<0.01$; ns, non-significant). LoD = Limit of Detection for virus titer.

Figure 7. 6-TG inhibits multiple essential steps in virus replication and assembly. 6-TG

inhibits nsp3/papain-like protease (PLpro), delaying formation of replication compartments and

reducing accumulation of viral RNA. 6-TG also inhibits normal glycosylation of Spike, which fails

to be properly packaged in egressing virions. As a result, the total number of virions released from

infected cells is reduced and those that are released have fewer Spikes on their surface.

Figure S1. Activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR) during OC43 infection. (A)

293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or

DMSO vehicle control. Total RNA from the cells were harvested at the times indicated and stored

at -80∞C until RT-qPCR analysis of UPR target genes. **(B)** 293A cells were infected as in (A),

then treated with 10 µg 6-TG, 1µg thapsigargin (Tg), or DMSO. Cells were treated with 10 µg/mL

of puromycin for 10 min prior to harvest 24 h after infection. Lysates were probed by western blot

as indicated. **(C)** Total puromycin intensity from (E) was quantified relative to total protein load

and are graphed normalized to the mock-infected, DMSO-treated cells (n=3 ± SEM, statistical

significance was determined by paired t-test compared to mock-infected, DMSO-treated cells; *,

p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ns, non-significant).

Figure S2. 6-TG reduces surface expression of Spike but does not globally limit secretion.

(A) alamarBlue cell viability assay of 293T cells treated with brefeldin A (BFA) (n=4±SEM). **(B)**

293T cells were transfected with *Gaussia* luciferase for 18 h then treated with 6-TG, BFA or

DMSO vehicle control for 6 h. Supernatants were recovered from the cells and measured for

luciferase activity. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with both luciferase and *Gaussia* luciferase

then treated with 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. After 24 h, supernatants were removed and analyzed as

in (B). Cell lysate was harvested in Reporter Lysis Buffer and stored at -80∞C until both luciferase

and *Gaussia* luciferase activity was measured. (For B and C, n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance

was determined by paired t-test compared to DMSO-treated cells; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***,

p<0.001; ns, non-significant). **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or EV

then treated with 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection in 2x

Laemmli buffer and were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)** 293T cells were co-

transfected with EGFP and either Spike (S), Spike with 19 residue C-terminal truncation (SΔ19),

or EV and then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO. After 24 h, cells were harvested, surface-

stained for Spike then fixed prior to analysis by flow cytometry. EGFP+ cells were gated for

analysis of number of Spike+ cells and Median Fluorescent Intensity (MFI) (n=4±SEM statistical

significance was determined by paired t-test between 6-TG and DMSO treated cells; *, $p<0.05$).

REFERENCES

1. Angelini MM, Akhlaghpour M, Neuman BW, Buchmeier MJ. 2013. Severe acute
    respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural proteins 3, 4, and 6 induce double-
    membrane vesicles. mBio 4:e00524-13.

2. Gosert R, Kanjanahaluethai A, Egger D, Bienz K, Baker SC. 2002. RNA replication of
    mouse hepatitis virus takes place at double-membrane vesicles. J Virol 76:3697–3708.

3. Knoops K, Kikkert M, Worm SHE van den, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, van der Meer Y,
    Koster AJ, Mommaas AM, Snijder EJ. 2008. SARS-coronavirus replication is supported by
    a reticulovesicular network of modified endoplasmic reticulum. PLoS Biol 6:e226.

4. Beachboard DC, Anderson-Daniels JM, Denison MR. 2015. Mutations across murine
    hepatitis virus nsp4 alter virus fitness and membrane modifications. J Virol 89:2080–2089.

5. Oudshoorn D, Rijs K, Limpens RWAL, Groen K, Koster AJ, Snijder EJ, Kikkert M,
    Bárcena M. 2017. Expression and Cleavage of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome
    Coronavirus nsp3-4 Polyprotein Induce the Formation of Double-Membrane Vesicles That
    Mimic Those Associated with Coronaviral RNA Replication. mBio 8:e01658-17.

6. Doyle N, Hawes PC, Simpson J, Adams LH, Maier HJ. 2019. The Porcine
    Deltacoronavirus Replication Organelle Comprises Double-Membrane Vesicles and
    Zippered Endoplasmic Reticulum with Double-Membrane Spherules. Viruses 11:E1030.

7. Snijder EJ, Limpens RWAL, de Wilde AH, de Jong AWM, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Maier
    HJ, Faas FFGA, Koster AJ, Bárcena M. 2020. A unifying structural and functional model

of the coronavirus replication organelle: Tracking down RNA synthesis. PLoS Biol

18:e3000715.

8.   Wolff G, Limpens RWAL, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Laugks U, Zheng S, de Jong AWM,

Koning RI, Agard DA, Grünewald K, Koster AJ, Snijder EJ, Bárcena M. 2020. A

molecular pore spans the double membrane of the coronavirus replication organelle.

Science 369:1395–1398.

9.   Kanjanahaluethai A, Chen Z, Jukneliene D, Baker SC. 2007. Membrane topology of murine

coronavirus replicase nonstructural protein 3. Virology 361:391–401.

10. Oostra M, te Lintelo EG, Deijs M, Verheije MH, Rottier PJM, de Haan C a. M. 2007.

Localization and membrane topology of coronavirus nonstructural protein 4: involvement

of the early secretory pathway in replication. J Virol 81:12323–12336.

11. Tooze J, Tooze S, Warren G. 1984. Replication of coronavirus MHV-A59 in sac- cells:

determination of the first site of budding of progeny virions. Eur J Cell Biol 33:281–293.

12. Goldsmith CS, Tatti KM, Ksiazek TG, Rollin PE, Comer JA, Lee WW, Rota PA, Bankamp

B, Bellini WJ, Zaki SR. 2004. Ultrastructural characterization of SARS coronavirus. Emerg

Infect Dis 10:320–326.

13. Stertz S, Reichelt M, Spiegel M, Kuri T, Martínez-Sobrido L, García-Sastre A, Weber F,

Kochs G. 2007. The intracellular sites of early replication and budding of SARS-

coronavirus. Virology 361:304–315.

14. Ghosh S, Dellibovi-Ragheb TA, Kerviel A, Pak E, Qiu Q, Fisher M, Takvorian PM, Bleck

C, Hsu VW, Fehr AR, Perlman S, Achar SR, Straus MR, Whittaker GR, de Haan CAM,

Kehrl J, Altan-Bonnet G, Altan-Bonnet N. 2020. β-Coronaviruses Use Lysosomes for

Egress Instead of the Biosynthetic Secretory Pathway. Cell 183:1520-1535.e14.

15. Fung TS, Liu DX. 2018. Post-translational modifications of coronavirus proteins: roles and

function. Future Virol 13:405–430.

16. Delmas B, Laude H. 1990. Assembly of coronavirus spike protein into trimers and its role

in epitope expression. J Virol 64:5367–5375.

17. Belouzard S, Chu VC, Whittaker GR. 2009. Activation of the SARS coronavirus spike

protein via sequential proteolytic cleavage at two distinct sites. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

106:5871–5876.

18. Millet JK, Whittaker GR. 2014. Host cell entry of Middle East respiratory syndrome

coronavirus after two-step, furin-mediated activation of the spike protein. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A 111:15214–15219.

19. Boson B, Legros V, Zhou B, Siret E, Mathieu C, Cosset F-L, Lavillette D, Denolly S. 2021.

The SARS-CoV-2 envelope and membrane proteins modulate maturation and retention of

the spike protein, allowing assembly of virus-like particles. J Biol Chem 296:100111.

20. Schoeman D, Fielding BC. 2019. Coronavirus envelope protein: current knowledge. Virol J

16:69.

21.   Liao Y, Fung TS, Huang M, Fang SG, Zhong Y, Liu DX. 2013. Upregulation of

CHOP/GADD153 during coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus infection modulates

apoptosis by restricting activation of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway. J

Virol 87:8124–8134.

22.   Fung TS, Liao Y, Liu DX. 2014. The endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor IRE1α protects

cells from apoptosis induced by the coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus. J Virol

88:12752–12764.

23.   Versteeg GA, van de Nes PS, Bredenbeek PJ, Spaan WJM. 2007. The coronavirus spike

protein induces endoplasmic reticulum stress and upregulation of intracellular chemokine

mRNA concentrations. J Virol 81:10981–10990.

24.   Xue M, Fu F, Ma Y, Zhang X, Li L, Feng L, Liu P. 2018. The PERK Arm of the Unfolded

Protein Response Negatively Regulates Transmissible Gastroenteritis Virus Replication by

Suppressing Protein Translation and Promoting Type I Interferon Production. J Virol

92:e00431-18.

25.   Favreau DJ, Desforges M, St-Jean JR, Talbot PJ. 2009. A human coronavirus OC43 variant

harboring persistence-associated mutations in the S glycoprotein differentially induces the

unfolded protein response in human neurons as compared to wild-type virus. Virology

395:255–267.

26.   Tang BSF, Chan K-H, Cheng VCC, Woo PCY, Lau SKP, Lam CCK, Chan T-L, Wu AKL,

Hung IFN, Leung S-Y, Yuen K-Y. 2005. Comparative host gene transcription by

microarray analysis early after infection of the Huh7 cell line by severe acute respiratory

syndrome coronavirus and human coronavirus 229E. J Virol 79:6180–6193.

27. Chan C-P, Siu K-L, Chin K-T, Yuen K-Y, Zheng B, Jin D-Y. 2006. Modulation of the

unfolded protein response by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike

protein. J Virol 80:9279–9287.

28. Yeung Y-S, Yip C-W, Hon C-C, Chow KYC, Ma ICM, Zeng F, Leung FCC. 2008.

Transcriptional profiling of Vero E6 cells over-expressing SARS-CoV S2 subunit: insights

on viral regulation of apoptosis and proliferation. Virology 371:32–43.

29. Bechill J, Chen Z, Brewer JW, Baker SC. 2008. Coronavirus infection modulates the

unfolded protein response and mediates sustained translational repression. J Virol 82:4492–

4501.

30. Siu K-L, Chan C-P, Kok K-H, Woo PC-Y, Jin D-Y. 2014. Comparative analysis of the

activation of unfolded protein response by spike proteins of severe acute respiratory

syndrome coronavirus and human coronavirus HKU1. Cell Biosci 4:3.

31. Ye Z, Wong CK, Li P, Xie Y. 2008. A SARS-CoV protein, ORF-6, induces caspase-3

mediated, ER stress and JNK-dependent apoptosis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1780:1383–

1387.

32. Minakshi R, Padhan K, Rani M, Khan N, Ahmad F, Jameel S. 2009. The SARS

Coronavirus 3a protein causes endoplasmic reticulum stress and induces ligand-

independent downregulation of the type 1 interferon receptor. PloS One 4:e8342.

33. Sung S-C, Chao C-Y, Jeng K-S, Yang J-Y, Lai MMC. 2009. The 8ab protein of SARS-

CoV is a luminal ER membrane-associated protein and induces the activation of ATF6.

Virology 387:402–413.

34. Slaine PD, Kleer M, Duguay BA, Pringle ES, Kadijk E, Ying S, Balgi A, Roberge M,

McCormick C, Khaperskyy DA. 2021. Thiopurines activate an antiviral unfolded protein

response that blocks influenza A virus glycoprotein accumulation. J Virol JVI.00453-21.

35. Lepage GA. 1960. Incorporation of 6-thioguanine into nucleic acids. Cancer Res 20:403–

408.

36. Brem R, Karran P. 2012. Oxidation-mediated DNA cross-linking contributes to the toxicity

of 6-thioguanine in human cells. Cancer Res 72:4787–4795.

37. Shin J-Y, Wey M, Umutesi HG, Sun X, Simecka J, Heo J. 2016. Thiopurine Prodrugs

Mediate Immunosuppressive Effects by Interfering with Rac1 Protein Function. J Biol

Chem 291:13699–13714.

38. de Boer NKH, van Bodegraven AA, Jharap B, de Graaf P, Mulder CJJ. 2007. Drug Insight:

pharmacology and toxicity of thiopurine therapy in patients with IBD. Nat Clin Pract

Gastroenterol Hepatol 4:686–694.

39. Chou C-Y, Chien C-H, Han Y-S, Prebanda MT, Hsieh H-P, Turk B, Chang G-G, Chen X.

2008. Thiopurine analogues inhibit papain-like protease of severe acute respiratory

syndrome coronavirus. Biochem Pharmacol 75:1601–1609.

40. Chen X, Chou C-Y, Chang G-G. 2009. Thiopurine analogue inhibitors of severe acute

respiratory syndrome-coronavirus papain-like protease, a deubiquitinating and

deISGylating enzyme. Antivir Chem Chemother 19:151–156.

41. Cheng K-W, Cheng S-C, Chen W-Y, Lin M-H, Chuang S-J, Cheng I-H, Sun C-Y, Chou C-

Y. 2015. Thiopurine analogs and mycophenolic acid synergistically inhibit the papain-like

protease of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Antiviral Res 115:9–16.

42. Swaim CD, Perng Y-C, Zhao X, Canadeo LA, Harastani HH, Darling TL, Boon ACM,

Lenschow DJ, Huibregtse JM. 2020. 6-Thioguanine blocks SARS-CoV-2 replication by

inhibition of PLpro protease activities. BioRxiv Prepr Serv Biol 2020.07.01.183020.

43. Tiede I, Fritz G, Strand S, Poppe D, Dvorsky R, Strand D, Lehr HA, Wirtz S, Becker C,

Atreya R, Mudter J, Hildner K, Bartsch B, Holtmann M, Blumberg R, Walczak H, Iven H,

Galle PR, Ahmadian MR, Neurath MF. 2003. CD28-dependent Rac1 activation is the

molecular target of azathioprine in primary human CD4+ T lymphocytes. J Clin Invest

111:1133–1145.

44. Poppe D, Tiede I, Fritz G, Becker C, Bartsch B, Wirtz S, Strand D, Tanaka S, Galle PR,

Bustelo XR, Neurath MF. 2006. Azathioprine suppresses ezrin-radixin-moesin-dependent T

cell-APC conjugation through inhibition of Vav guanosine exchange activity on Rac

proteins. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950 176:640–651.

45. Schultz DC, Johnson RM, Ayyanathan K, Miller J, Whig K, Kamalia B, Dittmar M,

Weston S, Hammond HL, Dillen C, Ardanuy J, Taylor L, Lee JS, Li M, Lee E, Shoffler C,

Petucci C, Constant S, Ferrer M, Thaiss CA, Frieman MB, Cherry S. 2022. Pyrimidine

inhibitors synergize with nucleoside analogues to block SARS-CoV-2. Nature

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04482-x.

46. Al-Beltagi S, Preda CA, Goulding LV, James J, Pu J, Skinner P, Jiang Z, Wang BL, Yang

J, Banyard AC, Mellits KH, Gershkovich P, Hayes CJ, Nguyen-Van-Tam J, Brown IH, Liu

J, Chang K-C. 2021. Thapsigargin Is a Broad-Spectrum Inhibitor of Major Human

Respiratory Viruses: Coronavirus, Respiratory Syncytial Virus and Influenza A Virus.

Viruses 13:234.

47. Al-Beltagi S, Goulding LV, Chang DKE, Mellits KH, Hayes CJ, Gershkovich P, Coleman

CM, Chang K-C. 2021. Emergent SARS-CoV-2 variants: comparative replication dynamics

and high sensitivity to thapsigargin. Virulence 12:2946–2956.

48. Shaban MS, Müller C, Mayr-Buro C, Weiser H, Meier-Soelch J, Albert BV, Weber A,

Linne U, Hain T, Babayev I, Karl N, Hofmann N, Becker S, Herold S, Schmitz ML,

Ziebuhr J, Kracht M. 2021. Multi-level inhibition of coronavirus replication by chemical

ER stress. Nat Commun 12:5536.

49. Santos-Beneit F, Raškevičius V, Skeberdis VA, Bordel S. 2021. A metabolic modeling

approach reveals promising therapeutic targets and antiviral drugs to combat COVID-19.

Sci Rep 11:11982.

50. Oostra M, de Haan C a. M, de Groot RJ, Rottier PJM. 2006. Glycosylation of the severe

acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus triple-spanning membrane proteins 3a and M. J

Virol 80:2326–2336.

51. Tarentino AL, Trimble RB, Plummer TH. 1989. Enzymatic approaches for studying the

structure, synthesis, and processing of glycoproteins. Methods Cell Biol 32:111–139.

52. Tian W, Li D, Zhang N, Bai G, Yuan K, Xiao H, Gao F, Chen Y, Wong CCL, Gao GF.

2021. O-glycosylation pattern of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein reveals an "O-Follow-N"

rule. Cell Res https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-021-00545-2.

53. Sanda M, Morrison L, Goldman R. 2021. N- and O-Glycosylation of the SARS-CoV-2

Spike Protein. Anal Chem 93:2003–2009.

54. Shajahan A, Supekar NT, Gleinich AS, Azadi P. 2020. Deducing the N- and O-

glycosylation profile of the spike protein of novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. Glycobiology

30:981–988.

55. Tannous BA, Kim D-E, Fernandez JL, Weissleder R, Breakefield XO. 2005. Codon-

optimized Gaussia luciferase cDNA for mammalian gene expression in culture and in vivo.

Mol Ther J Am Soc Gene Ther 11:435–443.

56. Helms JB, Rothman JE. 1992. Inhibition by brefeldin A of a Golgi membrane enzyme that

catalyses exchange of guanine nucleotide bound to ARF. Nature 360:352–354.

57. Donaldson JG, Finazzi D, Klausner RD. 1992. Brefeldin A inhibits Golgi membrane-

catalysed exchange of guanine nucleotide onto ARF protein. Nature 360:350–352.

58. Crawford KHD, Eguia R, Dingens AS, Loes AN, Malone KD, Wolf CR, Chu HY, Tortorici

MA, Veesler D, Murphy M, Pettie D, King NP, Balazs AB, Bloom JD. 2020. Protocol and

Reagents for Pseudotyping Lentiviral Particles with SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein for

Neutralization Assays. Viruses 12:E513.

59. Hu J, Gao Q, He C, Huang A, Tang N, Wang K. 2020. Development of cell-based

pseudovirus entry assay to identify potential viral entry inhibitors and neutralizing

antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. Genes Dis 7:551–557.

60. Ou X, Liu Y, Lei X, Li P, Mi D, Ren L, Guo L, Guo R, Chen T, Hu J, Xiang Z, Mu Z, Chen

X, Chen J, Hu K, Jin Q, Wang J, Qian Z. 2020. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of

SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat

Commun 11:1620.

61. Ujike M, Taguchi F. 2015. Incorporation of spike and membrane glycoproteins into

coronavirus virions. Viruses 7:1700–1725.

62. Nie J, Li Q, Wu J, Zhao C, Hao H, Liu H, Zhang L, Nie L, Qin H, Wang M, Lu Q, Li X,

Sun Q, Liu J, Fan C, Huang W, Xu M, Wang Y. 2020. Establishment and validation of a

pseudovirus neutralization assay for SARS-CoV-2. Emerg Microbes Infect 9:680–686.

63. Schmidt F, Weisblum Y, Muecksch F, Hoffmann H-H, Michailidis E, Lorenzi JCC,

Mendoza P, Rutkowska M, Bednarski E, Gaebler C, Agudelo M, Cho A, Wang Z,

Gazumyan A, Cipolla M, Caskey M, Robbiani DF, Nussenzweig MC, Rice CM,

Hatziioannou T, Bieniasz PD. 2020. Measuring SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody activity

using pseudotyped and chimeric viruses. J Exp Med 217:e20201181.

64.   Yin Y, Chen S, Hakim MS, Wang W, Xu L, Dang W, Qu C, Verhaar AP, Su J, Fuhler GM,

1049   Peppelenbosch MP, Pan Q. 2018. 6-Thioguanine inhibits rotavirus replication through

1050   suppression of Rac1 GDP/GTP cycling. Antiviral Res 156:92–101.

1051   65.   Palsuledesai CC, Surviladze Z, Waller A, Miscioscia TF, Guo Y, Wu Y, Strouse J, Romero

1052   E, Salas VM, Curpan R, Young S, Carter M, Foutz T, Galochkina Z, Ames H, Haynes MK,

1053   Edwards BS, Nicolotti O, Luo L, Ursu O, Bologa CG, Oprea TI, Wandinger-Ness A, Sklar

1054   LA. 2018. Activation of Rho Family GTPases by Small Molecules. ACS Chem Biol

1055   13:1514–1524.

1056   66.   Blight KJ, McKeating JA, Rice CM. 2002. Highly permissive cell lines for subgenomic and

1057   genomic hepatitis C virus RNA replication. J Virol 76:13001–13014.

1058   67.   Johnston BP, Pringle ES, McCormick C. 2019. KSHV activates unfolded protein response

1059   sensors but suppresses downstream transcriptional responses to support lytic replication.

1060   PLoS Pathog 15:e1008185.

1061   68.   Mendoza EJ, Manguiat K, Wood H, Drebot M. 2020. Two Detailed Plaque Assay Protocols

1062   for the Quantification of Infectious SARS-CoV-2. Curr Protoc Microbiol 57:ecpmc105.

1063   69.   Craig N, Fletcher SL, Daniels A, Newman C, O'Shea M, Tan WS, Warr A, Tait-Burkard C.

1064   2022. Direct Lysis RT-qPCR of SARS-CoV-2 in Cell Culture Supernatant Allows for Fast

1065   and Accurate Quantification. Viruses 14:508.

1066   70.   Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh C-L, Abiona O, Graham BS,

1067   McLellan JS. 2020. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion

1068   conformation. Science 367:1260–1263.

71.   Pallesen J, Wang N, Corbett KS, Wrapp D, Kirchdoerfer RN, Turner HL, Cottrell CA,

Becker MM, Wang L, Shi W, Kong W-P, Andres EL, Kettenbach AN, Denison MR,

Chappell JD, Graham BS, Ward AB, McLellan JS. 2017. Immunogenicity and structures of

a rationally designed prefusion MERS-CoV spike antigen. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

114:E7348–E7357.

72.   Kirchdoerfer RN, Wang N, Pallesen J, Wrapp D, Turner HL, Cottrell CA, Corbett KS,

Graham BS, McLellan JS, Ward AB. 2018. Stabilized coronavirus spikes are resistant to

conformational changes induced by receptor recognition or proteolysis. Sci Rep 8:15701.

73.   Duguay BA, Herod A, Pringle ES, Monro SMA, Hetu M, Cameron CG, McFarland SA,

McCormick C. 2022. Photodynamic Inactivation of Human Coronaviruses. Viruses 14:110.

1079

Figure 1. Thiopurines 6-thioguanine and 6-thioguanosine inhibit coronavirus replication. (A) Structures of thiopurines used this study in comparison to guanine. **(B)** Calu-3 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-thioguanine (6-TG), 6-thioguanosine (6-TGo), or 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP). Supernatant was harvested after 48 h, and stored at -80°C until titering on Vero'76 cells. Mock-infected cells were similarly treated with 6-TG, 6-TGo, 6-MP, or DMSO vehicle control for 48 h before testing cell viability with CellTiter 96 AQueous One (n=3 ± SEM). Dotted line indicated Limit of Detection. **(C)** Summary table of 50% Cytoxic Concentration (CC_{50}), 50% Effective Concentration (EC_{50}), and Selectivity Index (SI) calculated for (A-C). **(D)** Alamar Blue cell viability assay of hTert-BJ, HCT-8, and Huh-7.5 cells treated with 6-TG (n=3±SEM).**(E)** TCID assays for HCoV-OC43 infected HCT-8 cells and **(F)** HCoV-229E infected Huh-7.5. Cells were infected with an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-TG, 6-TGo, 6-MP, or DMSO (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). **(F)** hTERT-BJ cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 or HCoV-229E at an MOI of 0.1 and treated with 6-TG, Tm, or DMSO. Supernatant was harvested after 23 h and stored at -80°C before titering on BHK-21 or Huh7.5 (n=3-4 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). **(G)** hTERT-BJ cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 or **(H)** HCoV-229E at an MOI of 0.1 and treated with 6-TG, Tm, or DMSO. Supernatant was harvested after 23 h and stored at -80°C before titering on BHK-21 or Huh7.5 (n=3-4 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). LoD = Limit of Detection for virus titer. (*, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ns, non-significant)

Figure 2. 6-TG reduces CoV protein and mRNA accumulation. (A-C) 293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Supernatant and total RNA from the cells were harvested at the times indicated and stored at -80°C until **(A)** titering on BHK-21 or **(B)** quantification of viral genomes by RT-qPCR. Cell monolayers were harvested for **(C)** RT-qPCR analysis of viral RNA accumulation (n=3 statistical significance was determined by paired ratio t test; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ns, non-significant).**(D)** 293T cells were infected as in (A) then treated with 5 or 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 24h after infection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)** 293T cells were grown on coverslips then infected with HCoV-OC43 and treated with 6-TG as in (A), then fixed and immunostained for N or **(F)** dsRNA. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Scale bar = 20 µm.

Figure 3. 6-TG inhibits Spike glycosylation and cleavage. (A) Calu-3 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 7, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 48 h after infection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM. **(B)** Huh-7.5 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 5, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 48 h after infection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 S, M, Envelope (E), Nucleoprotein (N) with a C-terminal 2xStrep tag, or EV then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and probed by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or EV then treated with 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM. **(E)** Alamar Blue cell viability assay of 6-TG treated 293T cells (n=3±SEM). **(F)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S), a Spike mutant lacking the Furin cleavage site between S1 and S2 (S0), or EV then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection, treated with PNGase F to remove N-linked glycans, and probed by western blotting as indicated. **(G)** as in (C) except 293T cells were transfected with C-terminal FLAG-tagged Spike (S-FL) or HCoV-MERS Spike (MERS).

Figure 4. 6-TG stimulates an assembly defect and inhibits Spike virion incorporation. (A) 293T cells were transfected with equal quantities of SARS-CoV-2 S, M, E, and N plasmids or empty vector (EV) then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 48 h after transfection and probed by western blotting as indicated. **(B)** Virus-like particles from supernatants of cells transfected in (B) were concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion, resuspended in PBS, then harvested with an equal volume of 2x Laemmli buffer. Samples from two independent lentivirus preparations were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with S, E, M, and N in a 1:2:2:1 ratio, substituting one of the structural proteins for EV as indicated, treated with 6-TG or DMSO then processed as in (A). **(D)** SARS-CoV-2 Spike pseudotyped, luciferase-expressing lentivirus particles were concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion, resuspended in PBS, then harvested with an equal volume of 2x Laemmli buffer. Samples from three independent lentivirus preparations were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)** Genomes from three independent lentivirus preparations were quantified by RT-qPCR (n=3, statistical significance was determined by paired t-test; ns, non-significant). **(F)** 293A cells stably expressing ACE2 or empty vector control were transduced with lentivirus from three independent preparations. After 24 h, lysates were harvested and measured for luciferase activity (n=3, statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA; *, p<0.05; ns, non-significant). **(G)** 293A cells were infected HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 =, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO. Supernatants were concentrated as in (C), before virions were fixed and imaged by TEM with negative staining. Five virions from both 6-TG- and DMSO-treated samples are shown at 150,000 X magnification. Scale bar = 100 nm. Arrowhead indicates examples of Spike protein extending from virion.

Figure 5. 6-TG inhibits Spike maturation through GTPase inhibition. (A) 6-TG is conjugated to phosphoribosyl diphosphate (PRPP) by HPRT1 to form 6-TG monophosphate. 6-TG methylated at the N9 nitrogen was designed to be resistant to processing by HPRT1. **(B)** 293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-TG or 6-TG-Me. Supernatant was harvested at 24 hpi and stored at -80°C until titering on BHK cells. (n=3 statistical significance was determined by paired ratio t test; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ns, non-significant). **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or an empty vector control then treated with 6-TG, 6-TG-Me, or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and probed by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were reversed transfected with siRNA and total RNA was harvested after 48 h. HPRT1mRNA abundance was measured by RT-qPCR (n=3, ±SEM statistical significance was determined by one way ANOVA; ***, p<0.001). **(E)** As in D but cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 24 h after siRNA treatment and supernatant was harvested and titered as in **(B)** (n=4 ±SEM statistical significance was determined by paired ratio t test; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ns, non-significant).

Figure 6. 6-TG inhibits Spike maturation through GTPase inhibition. (A) alamarBlue cell viability assay of 293T cells treated Rac1 Inhibitor V (Rac1iV), Rhosin, CASIN, or ML099 (n=3±SEM). **(B)** Summary table of 50% Cytotoxic concentration (CC_{50}) and inhibitor target of compounds tested in (A). **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or an empty vector control (EV) then treated with 6-TG, GTPase inhibitors, or DMSO vehicle control. Concentrations in legend are in μM. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with plasmids encoding Spike or EV then co-treated with 6-TG, 100 μM ML099 GTPase agonist, or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM. **(E)** as in (D) except some samples were pre-treated (Pre) for 4 h with ML099. **(F)** HCT-8 and 293A were infected with an MOI of ~0.1 then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. After 24 h, the supernatants was harvested and stored at -80°C until titering on BHK-21 (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ns, non-significant). LoD = Limit of Detection for virus titer.

Figure 7. 6-TG inhibits multiple essential steps in virus replication and assembly. 6-TG inhibits nsp3, delaying formation of replication compartments and reducing accumulation of viral RNA. 6-TG also inhibits normal glycosylation of Spike, which fails to be properly packaged in egressing virions. As a result, the total number of virions released from infected cells is reduced and those that are released have fewer Spikes on their surface.

Figure S1. Activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR) during OC43 infection. (A) 293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Total RNA from the cells were harvested at the times indicated and stored at -80°C until RT-qPCR analysis of UPR target genes. **(B)** 293A cells were infected as in (A), then treated with 10 µg 6-TG, 1µg thapsigargin (Tg), or DMSO. Cells were treated with 10 µg/mL of puromycin for 10 min prior to harvest 24 h after infection. Lysates were probed by western blot as indicated. **(C)** Total puromycin intensity from (E) was quantified relative to total protein load and are graphed normalized to the mock-infected, DMSO-treated cells (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by paired t-test compared to mock-infected, DMSO-treated cells; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ns, non-significant).

Figure S2. 6-TG reduces surface expression of Spike but does not globally limit secretion. (A) alamarBlue cell viability assay of 293T cells treated with brefeldin A (BFA) (n=4±SEM). **(B)** 293T cells were transfected with *Gaussia* luciferase for 18 h then treated with 6-TG, BFA or DMSO vehicle control for 6 h . Supernatants were recovered from the cells and measured for luciferase activity. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with both luciferase and *Gaussia* luciferase then treated with 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. After 24 h, supernatants were removed and analyzed as in (B). Cell lysate was harvested in Reporter Lysis Buffer and stored at -80°C until both luciferase and *Gaussia* luciferase activity was measured. (For B and C, n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by paired t-test compared to DMSO-treated cells; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ns, non-significant). **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or EV then treated with 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection in 2x Laemmli buffer and were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)** 293T cells were co-transfected with EGFP and either Spike (S), Spike with 19 residue C-terminal truncation (SΔ19), or EV and then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO. After 24 h, cells were harvested, surface-stained for Spike then fixed prior to analysis by flow cytometry. EGFP+ cells were gated for analysis of number of Spike+ cells and Median Fluorescent Intensity (MFI) (n=4±SEM statistical significance was determined by paired t-test between 6-TG and DMSO treated cells; *, p<0.05).