Thiopurines inhibit coronavirus Spike protein processing and incorporation into progeny

virions

Eric S. Pringle [1, *], Brett A. Duguay [1, *], Maxwell P. Bui-Marinos [2, 3], Rory P. Mulloy [2, 3], Shelby

L. Landreth [4, 5], Krishna Swaroop Desireddy [6], Stacia M. Dolliver [1], Shan Ying [1], Taylor Caddell

[1], Patrick D. Slaine [1], Stephen L. Bearne [6, 7], Darryl Falzarano [4, 5], Jennifer A. Corcoran [2, 3],

Denys A. Khaperskyy [1, #], Craig McCormick [1, #]

[1]Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Dalhousie University, 5850 College Street,

Halifax NS, Canada B3H 4R2

[2]Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Infectious Diseases, University of Calgary, 2500

University Drive NW, Calgary AB, Canada T2N 1N4

[3]Snyder Institute for Chronic Diseases and Charbonneau Institute of Cancer Research, University

of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, Calgary AB, Canada T2N 1N4

[4]Department of Veterinary Microbiology, Western College of Veterinary Medicine, University

of Saskatchewan, 52 Campus Drive, Saskatoon SK, Canada S7N 5E3

[4]Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization (VIDO), 120 Veterinary Road, Saskatoon SK,

Canada S7N 5E3

[5] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS,

Canada B3H 4R2

[6]Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, B3H 4R2, Canada

*Co-lead authors

#Co-corresponding authors: D.A.K., D.Khaperskyy@dal.ca, C.M., craig.mccormick@dal.ca

28 Running Title: Thiopurines inhibit coronavirus Spike glycoprotein processing

29 Keywords: virus, coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, thiopurine, 6-

30 thioguanine, 6-thioguanosine, unfolded protein response, glycosylation, Spike, assembly,

31 GTPase, host-targeted antiviral

ABSTRACT

There is an outstanding need for broadly acting antiviral drugs to combat emerging viral diseases. Here, we report that thiopurines inhibit the replication of the betacoronaviruses HCoV-OC43 and SARS-CoV-2, and to a lesser extent, the alphacoronavirus HCoV-229E. 6-Thioguanine (6-TG) disrupted early stages of infection, limiting synthesis of full-length and subgenomic HCoV RNAs. Furthermore, consistent with our previous report on the effects of thiopurines on influenza A virus (IAV) glycoproteins, we observed that 6-TG inhibited accumulation of Spike glycoproteins from diverse HCoVs. Specifically, 6-TG treatment decreased the accumulation of Spike proteins and increased their electrophoretic mobility to match the properties of Spike following enzymatic removal of N-linked oligosaccharides with Peptide:N-glycosidase F (PNGaseF). SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles (VLPs) harvested from 6-TG-treated cells were deficient in Spike. 6-TG treatment had a similar effect on lentiviruses pseudotyped with SARS-CoV-2 Spike; lentiviruses could be harvested from cell supernatants, but they were deficient in Spike and unable to infect human cells bearing ACE2 receptors. Together, these findings from complementary ectopic expression and infection models strongly indicate that defective Spike trafficking and processing is an outcome of 6-TG treatment. At low micromolar doses, the primary known mode of action of 6-TG is selective inhibition of the small GTPase Rac1. However, we show that selective chemical inhibitors of the small GTPases Rac1, CDC42 and Rho had no effect on Spike processing and accumulation, whereas the broad GTPase agonist ML099 was able to counter the effects of 6-TG, suggesting that an unknown GTPase could be the relevant 6-TG-target protein involved in regulating Spike processing and accumulation. Overall, these findings provide important clues about the mechanism of action of a candidate antiviral that can broadly target HCoVs and suggest that small GTPases may be promising targets for host-targeted antivirals.

INTRODUCTION

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are enveloped viruses with positive-sense, single-stranded RNA ((+)ssRNA) genomes. Seven human CoVs (HCoVs) are divided into two genera, the alphacoronaviruses (HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-229E) and betacoronaviruses (HCoV-OC43, HCoV-HKU1, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2). Following entry into host cells, the (+)ssRNA CoV genome is immediately translated into polyproteins that are cleaved by viral cysteine proteases into 16 non-structural proteins (NSPs). These NSPs include the transmembrane nsp3-nsp4-nsp6 complex that generates a complex endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-derived reticulovesicular network that includes replication organelles known as double-membrane vesicles (DMVs) (1–8). In DMVs, the nsp3-nsp4-nsp6 complex provides a physical anchor for the viral replicase-transcriptase complex (RTC) that replicates the large viral genome and synthesizes subgenomic mRNAs (9, 10). These viral RNAs traverse a crown-shaped pore to exit the DMV (8). In the cytoplasm, viral mRNAs are translated into structural proteins; Nucleocapsid (N) is synthesized via free ribosomes in the cytoplasm, whereas mRNAs encoding envelope proteins are translated and processed in the ER and accumulate in the ER-Golgi-intermediate compartment (ERGIC). HCoV assembly takes place when full-length viral genomic RNA coated with N buds into the ERGIC to acquire the viral envelope and associated envelope proteins (11–13). These progeny viruses traverse the Golgi apparatus and *trans*-Golgi network (TGN), where envelope proteins receive additional post-translational modifications, and exit the cell via lysosomal exocytosis (14).

CoV envelope proteins are subject to extensive processing in the secretory pathway. The viral envelope proteins Spike, Envelope (E) and Matrix (M) that are found in all HCoVs, as well as Hemagglutinin-Esterase (H-E) found in only HCoV-OC43 and HCoV-HKU1, are synthesized in the ER as transmembrane (TM) proteins (15). CoV Spike proteins are large type I TM proteins that are heavily N-glycosylated, which promotes proper folding, trimerization and trafficking (16). Subsequent proteolytic processing is required to activate these glycosylated Spike trimers, generating the S1 attachment protein and liberating the mature amino-terminal fusion peptide of the S2 fusion protein, both of which are essential components of infectious CoV virions that can enter target cells (17, 18). Proteolytic activation of Spike can occur in the secretory pathway of infected cells or unprocessed Spike can be incorporated into virions and Spike processing can occur during attachment and entry into naïve cells in a process that involves multiple host serine

and cysteine proteases. M is the most abundant CoV envelope protein and it serves as a scaffold

for viral assembly. It is a multi-spanning membrane protein, with a small lumenal amino-terminus

that is N-glycosylated, and three transmembrane domains followed by a large, cytoplasmic

carboxy-terminal domain known as the endodomain that governs interactions with the other

structural proteins (Spike, E and N), as well as M-M interactions. E is a small type I transmembrane

protein that forms pentameric complexes and functions as a viroporin, increasing the lumenal pH

in the Golgi and protecting Spike from proteolysis (reviewed in (19)). The viroporin activity may

support de-acidification of lysosomes and subsequent lysosomal exocytosis. M and E proteins both

govern the intracellular trafficking and processing of Spike (20).

The striking remodeling of the ER to create replication organelles, as well as the reliance

on the ER for synthesis and processing of viral glycoproteins, has prompted investigations into the

effects of HCoV infection on ER stress and the unfolded protein response (UPR). Several

coronaviruses (CoVs) have been shown to activate the UPR, including infectious bronchitis virus

(IBV) (21, 22), mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) (23), transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) (24),

human coronavirus (HCoV)-OC43 (25) and SARS-CoV (26–28). However, proximal UPR sensor

activation does not always elicit downstream UPR transcription responses during CoV replication

(29), which suggests complex regulation of the UPR by certain CoVs. Ectopic expression of Spike

proteins from MHV (23), HCoV-HKU1 (27) and SARS-CoV (27, 30) have all been shown to be

sufficient for UPR activation in cell culture. Additional transmembrane CoV proteins have been

shown to be sufficient to induce the UPR, including SARS-CoV non-structural protein 6 (nsp6)

(31), ORF3a (32) and ORF8ab (33) proteins. Despite these discoveries, our understanding of the

regulation of the UPR in CoV infection remains incomplete, and little is known about UPR

regulation in SARS-CoV-2 infection.

The emergence of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV from animal reservoirs, followed by the

current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, has spurred efforts to discover and develop effective antivirals.

These efforts have benefitted from drug repurposing strategies to identify antivirals amongst drugs

that have already been approved for use in humans. We showed previously that thiopurines 6-

thioguanine (6-TG) and 6-thioguanosine (6-TGo) selectively inhibited influenza A virus (IAV)

replication by activating the UPR and interfering with the processing and accumulation of viral

glycoproteins (34). Thiopurines have been in clinical use for over 50 years to treat inflammatory

diseases and cancer and as immunomodulators. They include azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine (6-

MP) and 6-TG. 6-TG is orally bioavailable and on the World Health Organization's List of Essential Medicines. It is used to treat hematological malignancies, including acute lymphoblastic leukemia (AML), acute lymphocytic leukemia (ALL) and chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), with the primary mechanism of action involving conversion into thioguanine deoxynucleotides and incorporation into cellular DNA, which preferentially kills rapidly dividing cancer cells (35, 36). By contrast, the anti-inflammatory effect of sub-cytotoxic doses of 6-TG for treating inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is thought to be primarily due to inhibition of the small GTPase Rac1 (37, 38). This process requires 6-TG to be metabolized by the purine scavenging enzyme hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (HPRT1) to yield 6-thioguanosine 5'-monophosphate (6-TGMP), which is then converted to 6-thioguanosine 5'-triphosphate (6-TGTP). 6-TGTP is the active form of the molecule that has been shown to covalently modify a reactive cysteine in the p-loop of Rac1; subsequent hydrolysis of the GTPase-bound 6-TGTP adduct yields a trapped, inactive Rac1-6-TGDP product (37). These host-targeted properties of 6-TG, combined with our knowledge of how 6-TG inhibits IAV glycoprotein processing, motivated us to investigate whether 6-TG and related thiopurines might similarly interfere with CoV glycoproteins. Moreover, we reasoned that this kind of host-targeted antiviral activity might act synergistically with the previously reported direct-acting antiviral activity of 6-TG as an inhibitor of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 papain-like cysteine proteases PL[pro] *in vitro* (39–42). Using ectopic expression and cell culture infection models, we discovered that 6-TG and 6-TGo inhibited replication of several CoVs including SARS-CoV-2, which correlated with disruption of Spike processing, as well as Spike accumulation and incorporation into progeny virions. Accumulation of viral subgenomic RNAs and full-length genomes was also inhibited by these thiopurines. Finally, we were able to link the antiviral mechanism of action to the primary known mode of action of 6-TG and related molecules as selective inhibitors of small GTPases (37, 43, 44), which could be overcome by treating with a pan-GTPase agonist. Our findings extend the understanding of the mechanism of action of these candidate host-targeted antivirals and provide a means to study the impact of glycoprotein maturation on coronavirus biology.

RESULTS

6-Thioguanine and 6-thioguanosine inhibit HCoV replication. We tested the effects of three thiopurines on SARS-CoV-2 replication in Calu-3 lung adenocarcinoma cells. Treatment with 6-TG, the ribonucleoside 6-TGo, or the related thiopurine 6-MP, caused a striking 4-log decrease in the release of infectious virions after 48 h of infection, with little effect on cell viability [Selectivity Index (SI) 6-TG > 87.0, 6-TGo > 37.4, 6-MP >35.4] (**Figs. 1A, 1B, 1C**). The sub-micromolar EC_{50} values that we observed for all three thiopurines in the Calu-3 infection model were consistent with previously reported values from other groups (42, 45). 6-TG was well tolerated in three other cell lines we used for HCoV infection models (HCT-8, Huh7.5, and primary hTERT-immortalized fibroblasts) (Fig 1D). We tested the ability of 6-TG to inhibit the betacoronavirus HCoV-OC43 and the alphacoronavirus HCoV-229E and found that low micromolar doses of the ribonucleoside 6-TGo had similar inhibitory effects against HCoV-229E and HCoV-OC43 in their respective infection models, whereas 6-MP had no effect (**Figs. 1E, 1F**). Because UPR stimulators thapsigargin (Tg) and tunicamycin (TM) inhibit coronavirus replication (46–49) and our previous work demonstrated that 6-TG can inhibit glycosylation of influenza A virus (IAV) glycoproteins and stimulate ER stress (34), we included TM as a positive control in these studies. In hTert-BJ cells, 6-TG inhibited HCoV-OC43 replication at low micromolar doses, consistent with our observations in the HCT-8 cell infection model; however, HCoV-229E replication in hTert-BJ cells was minimally affected across a range of sub-cytotoxic concentrations (**Figs. 1G, 1H**). Together, these findings suggested that 6-TG was more effective at inhibiting HCoV-OC43 and SARS-CoV-2 replication than HCoV-229E, and that this differential susceptibility can be at least partly attributed to differences between these viruses.

6-Thioguanine impedes viral genome replication and subgenomic RNA synthesis. Next, we investigated which stages of virus replication were affected by 6-TG treatment. We performed multi-round HCoV-OC43 infections of HEK293T cells at an MOI of 0.1. Infected cells were treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO immediately after infection, and cell lysates and supernatants were harvested at regular intervals for the next 48 hours. 6-TG treatment caused a ~40-fold reduction in infection during the first day of infection. Virion release from control cells

plateaued at 24 hours post-infection, whereas peak release of infectious virions from 6-TG-
treated cells occurred much later at 48 hours post-infection **(Fig. 2A)**. Similarly, measuring viral
particle release by RT-qPCR amplification of viral genomes revealed a ~20-fold reduction in
virus titer **(Fig. 2B)** throughout the first day of infection. Next, we investigated the ability of 6-
TG to disrupt viral RNA synthesis. Putative full-length RNA, as detected by primers targeting
ORF1a, was reduced by ~10-fold throughout most stages of infection. Similar decreases were
detected using primers targeting the coding region of *Spike* and *N* genes after 6 h post-infection,
with much larger 40-fold differences at 6 h post-infection **(Fig. 2C)**. These disruptions in viral
RNA accumulation correlated with changes in the localization of N, the viral nucleoprotein.
Immunostaining HCoV-OC43 infected cells with an anti-N antibody revealed a punctate staining
pattern at 6 hours post-infection **(Fig. 2D)**. By 24 h post-infection, N immunostaining was less
punctate and more peripheral as at later stages of infection. The N immunostaining was markedly
altered in 6-TG-treated infected cells, with brighter punctate staining patterns at 6 hours post-
infection and large puncta still visible at 24 h post-infection. The formation of replication
compartments was also delayed in 6-TG treated cells, as determined by immunostaining for
dsRNA **(Fig. 2E)**; specifically, we observed dsRNA signal at 6 hpi, which correlated with
decreased viral RNA detected by RT-qPCR **(Fig. 2C)**. Together, these findings indicate that 6-
TG reduces the synthesis of viral RNAs required to support efficient HCoV replication.

In our recent study, the antiviral mechanism of action of 6-TG and 6-TGo against IAVs
involved activation of the UPR and interference with the processing and accumulation of viral
glycoproteins. In these experiments, IAV infection of vehicle-treated A549 cells revealed very
little detectable UPR late in infection at 24 h post-infection, whereas 6-TG stimulated all three
arms of the UPR, with transactivation of XBP1s target gene *ERdj4*, ATF6-N target genes *BiP* and
HERPUD1 and ATF4 target gene *CHOP* (34). Thus, thiopurines markedly altered the ER
proteostasis landscape to the detriment of IAV replication. By contrast, many HCoVs have been
shown to activate the UPR, including infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) (21, 22), mouse hepatitis
virus (MHV) (23), transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) (24), human coronavirus (HCoV)-
OC43 (25) and SARS-CoV (26–28). Here, HCoV-OC43 infection caused induction of *Xbp1*
transcript, potent accumulation of spliced *Xbp1*, and transactivation of XBP1s target genes *ERdj4*
and *Edem1*, providing strong evidence for activation of the IRE1 arms of the UPR **(Figs. S1A,**
S1B). Regulation of the PERK/ATF4 arm of the UPR may be more complex, as HCoV-OC43

infection caused accumulation of *ATF3* mRNA but no change in *CHOP*, even though they are both encoded by ATF4 target genes. Similarly, we observed increased expression of ATF6-N target genes *HERPUD1* and *Xbp1* but no increases in BiP mRNA or protein **(Figs. S1A, S1B)**. Thus, HCoV-OC43 interactions with the UPR appear to be complex and marked by strong stimulation of IRE1, which is consistent with observations from others (25). In marked contrast to our IAV studies, 6-TG treatment generally delayed or suppressed the downstream UPR transcriptional response **(Fig. S1A)**, which could be attributed to 6-TG-mediated delays in viral replication and the accumulation of viral RNAs and proteins **(Fig. 2)**. Finally, it should be noted that UPR transcripts accumulated to high levels in vehicle-treated cells despite the fact that HCoV-OC43, like all HCoVs, has mechanisms to potently shut off host antiviral gene expression (25). Using ribopuromycinylation assays, we demonstrated that HCoV-OC43 greatly reduced translation of host mRNAs at the expense of viral mRNAs encoding a few abundant viral proteins **(Fig. S1C, lane 4)**. 6-TG treatment had no effect on global rates of translation initiation in HCoV-OC43 infected cells, with the only changes evident being the apparent loss of some abundant viral proteins **(Fig. S1C, lane 6)**. Together, these observations suggested that 6-TG inhibition of HCoV-OC43 infection limits the strong activation of IRE1 and accumulation of XBP1s target genes, and that even though 6-TG interferes with synthesis of viral full-length genomic RNAs and subgenomic RNAs, host shutoff is unperturbed.

6-Thioguanine inhibits Spike processing and accumulation. We previously reported that 6-TG and 6-TGo inhibited the processing and accumulation of IAV glycoproteins hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) (34). Here, we observed a similar defect in SARS-CoV-2 infected cells; lysates harvested from SARS-CoV-2-infected Calu-3 cells at 48 h post-infection showed a sharp decrease in all four structural proteins following 6-TG treatment **(Fig. 3A)**, consistent with a sub-micromolar EC50 discussed above **(Fig. 1B)**. Treatment of SARS-CoV-2-infected Huh-7.5 cells with 10 μM 6-TG also reduced the accumulation of viral structural proteins, which was especially evident for Spike **(Fig. 3B)**. These findings led us to further investigate the effects of 6-TG on SARS-CoV-2 structural protein expression. Transfection of HEK293T cells with each SARS-CoV-2 structural protein independently revealed that 6-TG had no effect on E or N accumulation, whereas Spike processing was noticeably inhibited (**Fig. 3C**). We also observed that 6-TG

treatment caused a loss of a slow-migrating species of M **(Fig. 3C)**, which likely represented the

glycosylated form of the protein that has been previously reported for other HCoVs (50). Thus, it

appears that amongst the SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins, only the glycosylated Spike and M

proteins are altered when they are expressed independently in HEK293T cells.

To further explore the effects of 6-TG on Spike, we ectopically expressed Spike, then

treated the cells with a range of sub-cytotoxic 6-TG concentrations before harvesting lysates and

processing for immunoblotting **(Figs. 3D, 3E)**. Spike appeared as two bands when immunoblots

are probed with an anti-S1 receptor binding domain (RBD) antibody, a high-molecular weight

(MW) precursor S0 band (~203 kDa) and a lower molecular weight band representing the protease-

cleaved S1 attachment protein (~96 kDa). Increasing concentrations of 6-TG once again led to the

accumulation of a prominent single band at an unexpected MW (~137 kDa) **(Fig. 3D)**. Because 6-

TG treatment caused Spike to migrate faster during SDS-PAGE than expected, we hypothesized

that the lower MW species could arise from a glycosylation defect. We treated lysates from Spike-

expressing HEK293T cells with Peptide-*N*-Glycosidase F (PNGase F), an amidase that cleaves the

bond between N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) and asparagine, effectively removing all N-linked

glycans from the polypeptide chain (unless the core GlcNAc is modified by α-1,3-fucose) (51).

PNGase F treatment caused Spike from the DMSO-treated cells to migrate similarly to Spike from

6-TG-treated cells **(Fig. 3F)**. We confirmed the identity of the high MW Spike band as the

uncleaved S0 precursor protein by generating and testing a mutant Spike protein in which the basic

residues in the furin cleavage site joining S1 and S2 were substituted by alanines, yielding a

protease cleavage-resistant Spike protein. Cells transfected with this S0 construct and treated with

6-TG prior to lysis revealed that the S0 construct was also sensitive to PNGaseF treatment; the 6-

TG/PNGaseF-treated S0 migrated similarly to 6-TG/PNGaseF-treated WT Spike by SDS-PAGE.

Several studies have mapped O-linked glycans on SARS-CoV-2 Spike (52–54); these

modifications appear to follow the "O-follow-N rule" whereby N-glycosylation is followed by O-

glycosylation on nearby residues (52). Thus, the apparent differences in molecular weight between

vehicle/PNGaseF-treated Spike and 6-TG/PNGaseF-treated Spike could stem from residual O-

linked glycans on Spike that are absent in the 6-TG-treated proteins. These findings were

corroborated using carboxy-terminal FLAG-tagged Spike proteins from SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-

CoV that also displayed a fast-migrating, under-glycosylated S0 species and loss of the S2 subunit

following 6-TG treatment **(Fig. 3G)**. Taken together, these results suggest that 6-TG inhibits
normal glycosylation and processing of Spike.

A failure of Spike to migrate from the ER to the Golgi could inhibit glycan maturation and
cleavage by furin. In our previous work, we observed that 6-TG treatment did not reduce levels of
Class I HLA proteins at the cell surface (34), which we interpreted as evidence that 6-TG did not
globally affect processing and secretion of host cell glycoproteins. Here, we tested the role of 6-
TG on the secretory pathway by using a *Gaussia* luciferase assay, which is secreted from cells by
way of a native secretion signal (55). As a control we used the Arf1-inhibitor Brefeldin A (BFA)
which potently inhibits protein trafficking from the ER to the Golgi (56, 57). BFA is toxic to cells
at micromolar doses, but we could still detect secretory pathway inhibition at 250 nM for 20 h
without overt cytotoxicity **(Figs. S2A, S2B)**. A short-term, 6 h treatment with 6-TG (10 μM) had
little effect on *Gaussia* luciferase secretion, whereas treatment with BFA (10 μM) greatly
decreased secretion. We tested a longer 20 h treatment of 6-TG where we co-transfected Firefly
luciferase (Luc2) with *Gaussia* luciferase to help control for the inhibitory effects of 6-TG on
HEK293T cell proliferation. This extended 6-TG treatment caused a slight loss of detectable
Gaussia luciferase activity in the cell supernatant, but once again low-dose treatment of BFA
greatly inhibited secretion and increased intracellular retention of *Gaussia* luciferase (Fig. S3C)
These experiments strongly corroborated our prior observations that 6-TG treatment does not
globally disrupt the secretory pathway. Because of our previous observations of 6-TG-mediated
defects in Spike glycosylation, processing and trafficking, we decided to test whether low-dose,
long-term treatment with BFA would be sufficient to recapitulate the effects of 6-TG treatment on
Spike. However, unlike 6-TG, the secretion inhibitor BFA did not cause any changes in Spike
accumulation or processing, as indicated by identical electrophoretic mobility of Spike on
immunoblots compared to vehicle control **(Fig. S2D).**

To directly monitor Spike protein secretion, we used flow cytometry and surface staining
of unpermeablized HEK293T cells transfected with Spike. We co-transfected cells with an EGFP
plasmid and gated on EGFP+ cells to measure changes in Spike accumulation. 6-TG reduced
surface expression of Spike by ~50% resulting in fewer Spike-positive cells and reduced mean
fluorescence intensity. In these experiments, we also tested a Spike protein in which the 19 C-
terminal residues were removed (Spike-Δ19); this widely used strategy removes an ER-retrieval
signal and enhances incorporation into lentivirus particles (58–60). We found a similar ~50%

reduction in trafficking and surface expression of Spike-Δ19 **(Fig. S2E)**. Together, these experiments indicate that 6TG inhibits normal trafficking of Spike to the cell surface but does not broadly inhibit secretion.

6-Thioguanine causes a coronavirus assembly defect. It has been shown that co-transfection of all four SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins allows secretion of virus-like particles (VLPs) (20), which is concordant with earlier studies of other CoV structural proteins (61). However, we observed that when Spike, E, M and N expression vectors were co-transfected into cells, 6-TG treatment not only altered the accumulation and processing of Spike, but also dramatically reduced accumulation of E and M proteins **(Fig. 4A)**. Cell supernatants were collected, filtered and pelleted in a sucrose cushion to isolate VLPs, which were then characterized by immunoblotting. We observed a dramatic reduction in VLP production from 6-TG-treated HEK293T cells, and these residual VLPs completely lacked Spike **(Fig. 4B)**. These findings indicate that 6-TG not only prevents Spike processing and accumulation, but also its incorporation into VLPs. We then co-transfected cells again with Spike, E, M, and N but omitted structural proteins individually to better understand mechanisms of defective assembly. Our main conclusions are: 1) 6-TG treatment of cells expressing all structural proteins causes a strong Spike-dependent decrease in E expression, 2) M resists the Spike glycosylation defect caused by 6-TG, and 3) N enhances expression of E **(Fig 4C)**. To determine if Spike from 6-TG treated cells was still functional, we employed a lentivirus pseudotyping method using Spike-Δ19, which is widely used to measure the neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 (58, 62, 63). We performed immunoblots on pseudotyped viruses (PVs) recovered from three independent preparations that we purified by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion. Unlike our previous immunoblots of ectopically expressed Spike from transfected cells, we observed that most of the Spike proteins incorporated into the PVs were fully cleaved into the prominent S1 band **(Fig. 4D)**. However, 6-TG treatment led to a dramatic loss of Spike S0 and S1 species from the purified PVs, compared to little effect on HIV p24 levels in PV cores. We quantified PV yield from these cells by amplifying lentiviral genomes via RT-qPCR from filtered, unconcentrated cell supernatants. 6-TG treatment did not significantly reduce the quantity of capsid-protected genomes released from cells compared to vehicle control **(Fig. 4E)**. To determine the effects of 6-TG on PV infectivity, we used purified PVs to infect HEK293A cells that stably express the ACE2 receptor and measured luciferase production in the recipient

cells as a measure of successful lentiviral infection. Consistent with deficient Spike incorporation

into PVs, we observed that 6-TG treatment reduced PV infectivity by over 50-fold compared to

PVs derived from vehicle-treated cells **(Fig. 4F)**. By contrast, PVs derived from vehicle control-

and 6-TG-treated cells were equally competent to infect ACE2-deficient HEK293A cells at low

levels. This suggests that 6-TG treatment not only inhibits Spike glycosylation and processing, but

it also inhibits Spike trafficking and incorporation into lentiviral particles. We next investigated

whether these 6-TG-mediated assembly defects altered the morphology of HCoV particles. We

concentrated supernatant from HCoV-OC43 infected 293A cells by ultracentrifugation and imaged

virions by transmission electron microscopy using negative staining. We observed fewer viral

particles in the 6-TG treated samples, and while these particles were of similar size, we noted fewer

particles with clearly discernible Spikes **(Fig. 4G)**. Taken together, the strong effects of 6-TG on

Spike in transient transfections, heterologous lentivirus infections, and authentic HCoV infections,

provide evidence for an additional antiviral mode of action for 6-TG beyond PLpro inhibition or

defects in viral RNA synthesis.

6-Thioguanine must be processed by HPRT1 to inhibit Spike processing and coronavirus
replication.

We observed comparable antiviral activities of 6-TG and its ribose-conjugated analogue 6-TGo

throughout these studies. Metabolism of 6-TG is initiated by the purine scavenging enzyme

hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (HPRT1) to yield 6-thioguanosine 5'-monophosphate

(6-TGMP) **(Fig. 5A)**. 6-TGMP can then be converted to 6-thioguanosine 5'-triphosphate (6-

TGTP), the active form of the molecule that has been shown to form a covalent bond with a

reactive cysteine in the p-loop of Rac1; subsequent hydrolysis of Rac1-6-TGTP to a diphosphate

form renders the GTPase inactive (37). Considering this information, we next sought to determine

whether conversion of 6-TG to a ribonucleoside was required for its antiviral activity. First, we

synthesized N9-methyl 6-thioguanine (6-TGMe), which prevents conjugation to a ribose sugar due

to the methyl group at N9 of the urine ring **(Fig. 5A)**. 6-TGMe displayed low cytotoxicity and no

antiviral activity against HCoV-OC43 across a broad range of concentrations **(Fig. 5B)**. Likewise,

6-TGMe had no effect on SARS-CoV-2 Spike processing across a range of concentrations between

5 μM and 20 μM **(Fig. 5C)**. To corroborate this finding, we used RNA interference to silence

expression of *HPRT1* in 293T cells prior to infection with HCoV-OC43 and treatment with 6-TG

or vehicle control. RT-qPCR confirmed effective silencing of *HPRT1* **(Fig. 5D)**, which had no

effect on HCoV-OC43 infection in vehicle control-treated cells **(Fig. 5E)**. By contrast, *HPRT1*

silencing significantly restored viral replication in the presence of 6-TG, although replication did

not return to the levels in control cells. Together, these findings indicate that 6-TG is a pro-drug

that must be metabolized by HPRT1 to convert it to an active form.

6-Thioguanine inhibits Spike maturation by inhibiting an unidentified cellular GTPase. 6-

TG inhibits several different GTPases including Rac1, RhoA, and Cdc42 (37, 43, 44). This mode

of action was previously shown to inhibit rotavirus replication at sub-micromolar concentrations

(64). Here, we sought to determine if any of the known targets of 6-TG were responsible for defects

in Spike maturation. We tested known inhibitors of Rac1 (Rac1 Inhibitor V), RhoA (Rhosin), and

Cdc42 (CASIN) for cytotoxic effects in HEK293T cells after 24 h incubation using an alamarBlue

assay to identify optimal sub-cytotoxic doses for further testing (**Figs. 6A, 6B**). We also tested a

GTPase agonist ML099 that can potently activate Rac1, RhoA, and Cdc42, among other GTPases

(65). None of the three GTPase inhibitors had any effect on Spike processing or accumulation

(Fig. 6C). Because many additional GTPases have redox-sensitive cysteine residues that could

interact with 6-TG, we reasoned that 6-TG could affect viral glycoproteins through an as-yet-

unidentified GTPase. In support of this notion, we observed that the broadly acting small GTPase

agonist ML099 maintained normal Spike processing in the presence of 6-TG **(Fig. 6D)**. Pre-

treating the cells with ML099 before addition of 6-TG further enhanced this effect **(Fig. 6E)**. We

attempted to rescue HCoV-OC43 titer in both HCT-8 and HEK293A infection models but instead

found that ML099 was itself acting as an antiviral agent **(Fig. 6F)**. While this was worth testing,

this result was unsurprising as viral infection likely requires specific or unperturbed GTPase

function, including frequent cycling between GTP- and GDP-bound forms. Overall, these data

suggest that 6-TG inhibits Spike maturation by inhibiting an unidentified cellular GTPase.

389
390

DISCUSSION

The reliance of viruses on the host cell biosynthetic and secretory apparatus, combined with the existence of varied and potent host antiviral mechanisms, makes host targeted antivirals (HTAs) useful additions to our armamentarium of direct-acting antivirals (DAAs). By targeting these host cell processes, HTAs may not be so easily subverted by the rapid viral evolution that frequently undermines DAAs. We recently reported that the thiopurines 6-TG and 6-TGo inhibit influenza A virus (IAV) by selectively disrupting the normal processing and accumulation of HA and NA glycoproteins (34). We hypothesized that other enveloped viruses that rapidly produce a burst of viral glycoproteins would also be susceptible to these thiopurines. Here, we reported that the thioguanine 6-TG disrupted the normal processing and accumulation of CoV Spike glycoproteins and inhibited the release of infectious progeny. This drug-induced Spike processing defect disrupted incorporation of SARS-CoV-2 Spike into VLPs or pseudotyped lentiviruses, which subsequently prevented infection of susceptible, ACE2-expressing cells (Fig. 7). We also significantly advanced our understanding of the molecular mechanism of action of these thiopurines, which had previously been shown to inhibit the small GTPase Rac1 by forming a covalent bond with a redox-sensitive cysteine, preventing further guanine nucleotide exchange. We showed that selective chemical inhibitors of the related small GTPases Rac1, CDC42 and Rho had no effect on Spike processing and accumulation, but a broad GTPase agonist was able to counter the effects of 6-TG, suggesting that there are additional, unknown GTPase targets of 6-TG that are required for normal Spike processing and accumulation. Together, these findings suggest that functioning small GTPases are essential for virus replication and that further development of GTPase inhibitors as host-directed antivirals is warranted.

We were intrigued by how 6-TG and 6-TGo strongly inhibited processing and accumulation of IAV and CoV glycoproteins, whereas other viral proteins were relatively unperturbed. However, we also observed that these thiopurines had little effect on global host protein synthesis and secretion. Together, these observations suggested that viral glycoproteins may have properties that make them uniquely sensitive to these drugs. Certainly, viral glycoproteins must adhere to temporal or physical constraints that most host glycoproteins do not. All glycoproteins are synthesized, folded and post-translationally modified in the ER. However, viral glycoproteins can impose an acute burden on the ER when they are rapidly synthesized in large numbers in a relatively brief time frame. This burden may differ in severity depending on

the extent of shutoff of host gene expression, as viruses with efficient host shutoff mechanisms
would deplete host glycoproteins and facilitate viral utilization of cellular biosynthetic and
secretory apparatus. Viral envelope proteins are often more heavily glycosylated than their host
counterparts, which can shield them from antibody recognition. Because N-glycosylation is a
dynamic process involving glycan trimming and maturation monitored by lectin chaperones like
calnexin and calreticulin, viral infection may impose specific burdens on these components of the
ER quality control machinery. This may result in delayed trafficking of glycoproteins through the
secretory pathway, leading to their degradation. Finally, it should be noted that viral glycoproteins
are often assembled into large homo- and hetero-oligomeric complexes that must traffic to sites of
assembly. Together, these aspects of viral glycoproteins likely place additional burdens on the ER
and associated machinery that governs glycoprotein folding, modification and trafficking.

Because cellular GTPases play important roles in viral glycoprotein biogenesis and
trafficking, they may be good targets for host-directed antivirals. Viral assembly occurs at distinct
sites like the plasma membrane (influenza A viruses) or the ERGIC (coronaviruses). Small
molecules that disrupt efficient viral glycoprotein processing, oligomerization and trafficking
could have the effect of 'misplacing' these important viral components and inhibiting essential
steps in viral assembly and egress. We speculate that 6-TG and 6-TGo inhibit GTPases involved
in key steps of viral glycoprotein synthesis, processing and trafficking, diverting them from their
roles in viral assembly and consigning them to degradation via cellular catabolic processes. While
these drugs are well-tolerated in humans, we believe that more can be gained by identifying and
characterizing their mode of action against the GTPases that have thus far been elusive.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines. Human embryonic kidney 293T and 293A cells, human hepatoma Huh-7.5 (66) and
African green monkey kidney Vero cells were all maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's
medium (DMEM; ThermoFisher Scientific) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS,
ThermoFisher Scientific), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin, and 2 mM L-
glutamine (Pen/Strep/Gln; ThermoFisher Scientific, 15140122 and 25030081). HCT-8 cells were
cultured as above with additional 1X MEM Non-Essential Amino Acids (NEAA, Gibco)
supplemented. Baby hamster kidney (BHK-21) were maintained as above yet supplemented with

5% FBS. African green monkey kidney Vero'76 and human lung adenocarcinoma Calu-3 cells

were maintained in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich, D5796; St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with

10% fetal bovine serum (ThermoFisher Scientific, 16000-044) and 1X Penicillin-Streptomycin

(Gibco, 15140148). hTert-immortalized human foreskin fibroblast (BJ) cells (a kind gift from

William Hahn, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, USA) cells were maintained in a 4:1 blend

of knockout DMEM and medium 199 (ThermoFisher Scientific) with 15% FBS and

Pen/Strep/Gln. All cells were maintained at 37°C in 5% CO_2 atmosphere. To generate 293A-

ACE2 cells, 293A cells were stably transduced with a lentivirus vector encoding ACE2 (pLJM1-

ACE2-BSD) or an empty vector control (pLJM1-B*) (67), then selected and maintained in 10

µg/mL Blasticidin S HCl (ThermoFisher, A11139030).

Chemical Inhibitors. 6-thioguanine (6-TG, A4882), 2-amino-6-mercaptopurine riboside hydrate

(6-TGo, 858412), 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP, 38171), brefeldin A (BFA; B7651), CASIN

(SML1253), ML-099 (SML1258), Rac1 inhibitor V (5308200001), rhosin (555460),

thapsigargin (Tg) and tunicamycin (TM) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. N9-methyl 6-

thioguanine (6-TGMe) was synthesized in house as described in Supporting Information. All

drugs were solubilized in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and stored at -80˚C. Stock concentrations

were diluted to the indicated concentrations in cell culture media.

Human coronavirus infections and quantitation. Stocks of severe acute respiratory syndrome

coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) were propagated in Vero'76 cells (for strain SARS-CoV-

2/Canada/ON/VIDO-01/2020, used in Fig. 1A) or Vero E6 cells (SARS-CoV-2/SB3-TYAGNC,

used in Figs. 3A, 3B). To generated virus stocks, cells were infected at a low MOI for 1h in

serum-free DMEM then incubated at 37°C in DMEM supplemented with 2% FBS and 1X

Pen/Strep. Once CPE reached 75% at 2-3 d post-infection, the viral supernatant was harvested,

centrifuged at 5000 x *g* for 5 min, and then the cleared viral supernatant was aliquoted and stored

at -80°C. Stocks were titered by plaque assay on Vero E6 cells (68) or TCID50 on Vero'76 cells

calculated using the Spearman-Kärber method.

Stocks of human coronavirus OC43 (hCoV-OC43; ATCC, VR-1558) were propagated in

Vero cells. Cells were infected at a MOI of 0.05 for 1 h at 33°C in serum-free DMEM. After 1 h,

the infected cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 1% FBS and Pen/Strep/Gln for

five days at 33°C. Upon harvest, the culture supernatant was centrifuged at 1000 x g for 5 min at

4°C, aliquoted, and stored at -80°C. Stocks of human coronavirus 229E (hCoV-229E; ATCC,

VR-740) were prepared similarly to hCoV-OC43 yet using Huh-7.5 cells and DMEM

supplemented with 2.5% FBS and Pen/Strep/Gln. Viral titers were enumerated by median tissue

culture infectious dose (TCID$_{50}$) assays. Following the serial dilution of the samples, the

appropriate cell line was infected for 1 h at 37°C prior to the replacement of the inoculum for the

indicated overlay medium and incubated at 37°C: BHK-21 cells (hCoV-OC43,

DMEM/1%FBS/Pen/Strep/Gln, 5 days), Huh-7.5 cells (hCoV-229E,

DMEM/2.5%FBS/Pen/Strep/Gln, 4 days), or Vero'76 cells (SARS-CoV-2,

DMEM/2%FBS/Pen/Strep/Gln, 5 days). Viral titers were calculated using the Spearman-Kärber

method.

To test the effect of the indicated compounds on hCoV replication, 293A, 293T, BJ,

Calu-3, HCT-8, or Huh-7.5 cells were infected with hCoV diluted in serum-free DMEM at the

indicated MOI and temperature for 1 h. After 1 h, the inoculum was removed, replaced with

DMEM/Pen/Strep/Gln containing 1% FBS and NEAA (HCT-8), 2% FBS (Calu-3), 2.5% FBS

(Huh-7.5, 293A or 293T), or 5% FBS (BJ) supplemented with DMSO or drug at the indicated

concentration, and maintained for an additional 23 h at the indicated temperature. Infected BJ

cells were incubated at 33°C during virus replication; all other cells were incubated at 37°C. For

infections of 293A, BJ, HCT-8, or Huh-7.5, the infected cells were scraped into the culture

medium and stored at -80°C. For SARS-CoV-2-infected Calu-3 cells or HCoV-OC43 infected

293T cells virus was collected from culture supernatants at 48 h post-infection and stored at -

80°C. Titers of hCoV-OC43, hCoV-229E, and SARS-CoV-2 were determined using TCID$_{50}$

assays as indicated above.

Cytotoxicity assays. Cells seeded into 96-well tissue culture plates were treated with drugs

diluted at indicated concentrations and incubated with the cells for 20 h. At 20 h post-treatment,

10% alamarBlue Cell Viability Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific, DAL1025) diluted in

DMEM/Pen/Strep/Gln containing 1% FBS and NEAA (HCT-8), 2.5% FBS (Huh-7.5 or 293T),

or 5% FBS (BJ) was added and further incubated for 4 h. Plates were read on FLUOstar Omega

96 well plate reader at an excitation of 544 nm, and an emission of 580-590 nm. Drug treatments

were then normalized to DMSO. For viability experiments using Calu-3 cells, cells grown in 96-

well plates were treated with drugs diluted at indicated concentrations and incubated with the

cells for 48 h. At 48 h post-treatment, a one-fifth volume of CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution

Cell Proliferation Assay (G3580, Promega) was added to DMEM/Pen/Strep containing 2% FBS

and incubated for 2 h. Plates were read at an absorbance of 490 nm with an xMark™ Microplate

Absorbance Spectrophotometer (Bio-Rad). Values were imported into GraphPad PRISM (v.9)

and CC_{50} values were calculated by fitting a non-linear curve to the data. Selectivity index (SI)

was calculated by dividing the IC_{50} (calculated above) by the CC_{50}.

Real-time quantitative PCR. Total RNA from cells was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini

Kit (QIAGEN, 74134) following the manufacturer's protocol. Synthesis of cDNA was

performed using the Maxima H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (ThermoFisher

Scientific, K1652) using random primers. Quantitative PCRs were performed using 200 nM

primers, 1:250 (final) diluted cDNA, and 1X GoTaq qPCR Master Mix (Promega, A6002).

Amplifications were performed using a CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-

Rad) and Bio-Rad CFX Manager 3.1 software. To measure supernatant virions, supernatant was

mixed 1:1 with virus lysis buffer [20 mM Tris HCl pH7.4, 300 mM NaCl, 2.5% NP-40, and 40

U/mL RNAaseOUT (ThermoFisher)] for 5 min, then diluted 1:5 with nuclease-free water before

2 µL was used as template in a 10 µL Go-Taq 1-Step RT-qPCR (Promega, A6020) reaction (69).

Primer sequences are listed in Table 1.

Immunofluorescence microscopy. 293T were seeded on #1.5 coverslips (Zeiss, 411025-0003-

000) coated with poly-L-lysine (Sigma, P2658). The following day the cells were infected with

HCoV-OC43, to harvest the cells were washed once with 1x Dulbecco's PBS (ThermoFisher)

and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Services) PBS for 15 min at room

temperature. Coverslips were blocked and permeablized in staining buffer [1% human serum

(Sigma,4552) heat-inactivated at 56°C for 1h, 0.1% Triton X-100 PBS] for 1 h at room

temperature, then stained with 1:500 dilution anti-OC43 N (MAB9012, Millipore Sigma)

overnight at 4°C. The following day the coverslips were washed three times with PBS, then

stained with goat anti-mouse Alexa 488 (ThermoFisher, R37120) in staining buffer for 1 h at

room temperature in the dark. Coverslips were then counterstain for 5 min with Hoescht 33342

(ThermoFisher, 62249), washed three times with PBS, then mounted on coverglass using

ProLong Gold anti-fade reagent (ThermoFisher, P36930). Z-stacks were imaged on a Zeiss

LSM880 and processed into maximum intensity projections using Zen Black (Zeiss).

Western Blotting. Cell monolayers were washed once with ice-cold PBS and lysed in 2x

Laemmli buffer (4% [wt/vol] sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 20% [vol/vol] glycerol, 120 mM

Tris-HCl [pH 6.8]). DNA was sheared by repeated pipetting with a 21-gauge needle before

adding 100 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and boiling at 95°C for 5 min. Samples were stored at -20°C

until analysis. Total protein concentration was determined by DC protein assay (Bio-Rad) and

equal quantities were loaded in each SDS-PAGE gel. For ribopuromycylation assays, a modified

version of the protocol by Schmidt, *et al.* (63) was used where the indicated samples were treated

with 10 µg/ml puromycin (ThermoFisher, A1113803) for 10 min, followed by a PBS wash, and

lysate preparation for immunoblotting as indicated above. Lysates from the ribopuromycylation

assays were loaded into gels prepared using the TGX Stain-Free FastCast Acrylamide Kit (Bio-

Rad, 1610183) (Fig. S1A). Lysates for quantitative Spike western blotting were loaded onto

TGX Stain-Free 4-20% gradient gels (Bio-Rad, 4568094) (Fig. 3D). Peptide-*N*-Glycosidase F

(PNGase F; NEB, P0704) treatment of lysates was performed as described in the manufacturer's

directions. All proteins after SDS-PAGE were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF)

membranes (Bio-Rad) with the Trans-Blot Turbo transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad). Membranes were

blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin in tris-buffered saline/0.1% [vol/vol] tween-20 (TBS-T)

before probing overnight at 4°C with antibodies raised to the following targets: mouse anti-

puromycin (Millipore-Sigma, MABE343), mouse anti-OC43 N (CoV antibody, OC43 strain,

clone 541-8F, Millipore-Sigma, MAB9012), rabbit anti-BiP (Cell signaling Technologies (CST),

mouse anti-XBP1s (CST, #12782), mouse anti-CHOP (CST, #2895), rabbit anti-α-Tubulin (CST,

#2125), rabbit anti-SARS-CoV-2 S1 RBD (Elabscience, E-AB-V1006), anti-SARS-CoV-2 E

(abbexa, abx226552), anti-SARS-CoV-2 M (Novus Biologicals, NBP3-05698), rabbit anti-

SARS-CoV-2 N (Novus Biologicals, NBP3-05730), mouse anti-FLAG (CST, #2368), mouse

anti-HIV-p24 (Abcam, ab9071) anti-Strep tag (IBA, 2-1507-001), anti-HRPT1 (Santa Cruz

Biotechnologies, sc-376938)and anti-β-actin (CST, #4967). Membranes were washed with TBS-

T and incubated with HRP-linked secondary antibodies prior to detection with Clarity ECL

chemiluminescence reagent (Bio-Rad). All blots were imaged on a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc-Touch

system. Molecular weights were determined using the Broad Range, Color Prestained Protein

Standard (NEB, P7719) or Precision Plus Protein All Blue Prestained Protein Standards (BioRad,

1610373). Molecular weights in kDa are indicated on the left of blot images. For quantitation of

western blots, the total antibody signal intensity was divided by the Stain-Free total protein

signal. Images were analyzed using Image Lab 6.1 (Bio-Rad). Images were cropped and

annotated using Affinity Designer (Serif).

Plasmid generation and transfections. All plasmids were purified using the QIAprep Spin

Miniprep or QIAfilter Plasmid Midi Kits (QIAGEN) and all restriction enzymes were purchased

from New England Biolabs (NEB). The pcDNA3-SARS-CoV-2-Spike plasmid contains a

codon-optimized ORF for Spike from GenBank NC_045512 that was synthesized by GenScript

(a kind gift from David Kelvin) then cloned between the KpnI and BamHI sites of pcDNA3.1(+).

A 19 residue C-terminal truncation of Spike (SΔ19) and Spike with a C-terminal FLAG tag was

generated by PCR. A Spike mutant lacking the Furin cleavage site between S1 and S2 (P681S,

R682G, R683G, R685del), and two-proline stabilizing mutants, K986P and V987P (S-2P) (70–

72) was generated by PCR mutagenesis using KOD Xtreme Hot Start DNA Polymerase (Sigma,

71975-M). pcDNA3-Membrane (M) and pcDNA3-Envelope (E) were cloned from pLVX-

EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-M-2xStrep-IRES-Puro and pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-E-2xStrep-

IRES-Puro (kind gifts from Neven Krogan, available from Addgene #141386 and #141385)

using PCR to remove the 2xStrep epitope tag. pCMV3-MERS-FLAG was purchased from

SinoBiologicals (VG40069-CF). pLJM1-Luc2 used in generating Spike-pseudotyped

lentiviruses was generated by cloning Luc2 from pGL4.26 (Promega) into pLJM1-B* (67).

pLJM1-ACE2-BSD was cloned by from cDNA of generated from Caco-2 cells. Primer

sequences are listed in Table 2. 293T cells were transfected using polyethylenimine (PEI),

Linear, MW 25000 (Polysciences Inc., 23966) dissolved in water (pH 7.4). Both plasmids and

PEI were diluted in OptiMEM (Gibco), then combined for 15 min before adding to cell

monolayers in serum-free DMEM. PEI was used at 3:1 ratio to plasmid DNA. After 4 h, serum-

free media was replaced with DMEM containing 10% FBS with indicated treatments unless

otherwise indicated. For pre-treatments with ML099. the drug was applied at the time of

transfection, then refreshed when the media was changed 4 h after transfection. Generally, cells

were lysed for immunoblotting analysis at 24 h post-transfection (hpt). For flow-cytometry and

immunofluorescence experiments, cells were instead transfected with FugeneHD (Promega,

E2311) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

siRNA knockdown experiments. All siRNAs were obtained from Invitrogen. 293T cells (1-1.5

x 10^5 cells/mL) in 10% DMEM were reverse transfected with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX

(Invitrogen, 13778075) and 10 nM of Silencer Select negative siRNA #2 (4390846), HPRT1

siRNA #1 (s6889), or HPRT1 siRNA #2 (s6888) diluted in OptiMEM (Gibco, 31985070). RNA

was harvested from cells 48 hpt knockdown validation by RT-qPCR. For determining the effect

of HPRT1 knockdown on viral titre after 6-TG treatment, the reverse transfected cells were

infected at 24 hpt at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with DMSO or 10 μM 6-TG as described above.

After a 24 h infection, the cell supernatant for titration, and the infected monoloayers were lysed

with 2X Laemmli Buffer and processed for western blotting.

Production of SARS-CoV-2 virus-like particles. To generate SARS-CoV-2 virus-like

particles, 293T cells were seeded in a 10 cm dish and co-transfected with 2 μg of each pcDNA-

Spike, pcDNA-M, pcDNA-E, and pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-N-2xStrep-IRES-Puro (a kind

gift from Neven Krogan, available from Addgene #141391) with PEI, then treated with 6-TG or

DMSO as described above. After two days, supernatant was harvested, filtered, concentrated,

and analyzed by western blot as described above for SARS-CoV-2 Spike-pseudotyped lentivirus.

SARS-CoV-2 Spike-pseudotyped lentiviruses. To generate Spike-pseudotyped lentivirus

particles, 293T cells were seeded in a 10 cm dish and co-transfected with 3 μg pLJM1-Luc2, 2

μg pSPAX2 (a kind gift from Didier Trono; Addgene #12260), and 2 μg of pcDNA3- SΔ19 with

PEI, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO as described above. After two days, supernatant was

harvested, filtered through a 0.45 μm filter, aliquoted and stored at -80°C until use. Genomes

were quantified using a qPCR Lentivirus Titer Kit (Applied Biological Materials, LC900) as per

the manufacturer's instructions. Infectious pseudotyped particles were measured by infecting

293A cells stably expressing ACE2 or an EV control. Cell lysates were harvested 24 h after

infection in Reporter Lysis Buffer (Promega, E397A), lysed by freeze thawing at -80°C, and

luciferase activity was measured using Luciferase Assay reagent (Promega) on a FLUOstar

Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech). For concentration and western blot analysis, filter

supernatant was underlaid with 20% sucrose PBS using a pipetting needle and centrifuged at 39

000 rpm for 2 h using an SW-41 rotor (Beckman Coulter). The supernatant and sucrose solution

were carefully removed, and the pellet was resuspended in PBS, mixed in equal proportions with

2x Laemmli Buffer with 100 mM DTT and boiled at 95°C for 5 min before analysis by western

blot.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Samples were prepared and visualized as described

in (73). Briefly, HCoV-OC43 containing supernatant was concentrated by ultracentrifugation as

described above, then applied to Formvar/carbon coated grids and left to settle to 10 min. The

grid was then rinsed with distilled water then stain with 2% w/v uranyl acetate for 30 s. Residual

stain was wicked off with filter paper then left to air dry completely. Grids were imaged on a

JEM 1230 TEM (JEOL) running at 80 kV using an OCRA-HR camera (Hamamatsu). Sample

preparation, fixation, and TEM imaging were performed twice with representative images from

one independent experiment shown.

Quantitation of SARS-CoV-2 Spike surface expression. 293T cells were co-transfected with

pEGFP-N1 and plasmids expressing SARS-CoV-2 Spike (FL) or SARS-CoV-2 Spike-Δ19 for

24 h using FugeneHD (Promega). The cells were treated with DMSO or 10 µM 6-TG at 4 h post-

transfection. At 24 h post-transfection, the cells were lift with 10 mM EDTA PBS and pelleted at

300 x g for 5 min. Cells were washed once with wash buffer (2 mM EDTA, 2% FBS, PBS), then

stained with 0.1 µg/sample Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated mouse-anti SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1

(R&D Systems, FAB105403R-100UG) at 4°C for 30 min in the dark. Cells were then washed

then fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, 15710) PBS 4°C for 30

min in the dark, then washed and stored at 4°C in the dark until analysis using a FACSCelesta

cell analyzer (BD Biosciences) configured with 405 nm, 488 nm, and 640 nm lasers using the

same acquisition voltages for all replicates with at least 50000 single cells acquired per sample.

The data were analyzed using FCS Express v. 6.06.0040 (De Novo Software) where transfected

single cells were selected by EGFP fluorescence and analyzed for Spike surface expression via

Alexa Fluor 647 fluorescence.

Gaussia luciferase secretion assays. For short-term treatments, 293T were transfected with pCMV-_Gaussia_ Luc Vector (ThermoFisher Scientific, 16147) as described above. After 18 h, medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. After 6 h medium was removed, debris was cleared by centrifuging samples at $5{,}000 \times g$ for 5 min, then samples were stored at -80°C until measuring _Gaussia_ luciferase activity using the _Gaussia_ Luciferase Flash Assay Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, 16158) as per the manufacturer's directions on a FLUOstar Omega microplate reader (BMG Labtech). For long-term treatments, 293T cells were co-transfected with pCMV-_Gaussia_ Luc and pLJM1-Luc2 and treated 6 h post transfection. After 24 h, supernatant harvested as described above. The cell monolayer was lysed in Reporter Lysis Buffer (Promega) as described above. To measure both Luciferase and _Gaussia_ luciferase activity in samples, we used the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, E1910), which although designed for firefly and _Renilla_ luciferase, can be readily adapted for firefly and _Gaussia_ luciferase as both _Gaussia_ and _Renilla_ luciferase both use coelenterazine as a substrate.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis and graphing was performed using GraphPad PRISM (v.8 or v.9). Statistically significant differences were determined using Dunnett's multiple comparison test for one-way ANOVA and Šídák's multiple comparison test for two-way ANOVA.

Graphics. Molecular models were created with NCBI PubChem (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) The model presented in Fig. 7 was adapted from "Coronavirus Replication Cycle", by BioRender.com (2022). Retrieved from https://app.biorender.com/biorender-templates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful for the support of the Dalhousie University Flow Cytometry Core Facility and the Cellular and Molecular Digital Imaging Core Facility. This work was supported by Canadian

Institutes for Health Research (CIHR) Project Grant PJT-148727 (to C.M.), Natural Sciences and

Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada Discovery Grant RGPIN-2016-05083 (to

S.L.B.), Coronavirus Variants Rapid Response Network (CoVaRR-Net) Grant 175622 (to J.A.C.

and others), Research Nova Scotia Grant RNS-NHIG-2020-1383 and Lung Association of Nova

Scotia Legacy Research Grant (to D.K.), and a Nova Scotia COVID-19 Health Research

Coalition Grant to C.M. and E.S.P. SARS-CoV-2 research is supported in the laboratory of D.F.

by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR; OV5-170349, VRI-173022 and VS1-

175531). VIDO receives operational funding from the Government of Saskatchewan through

Innovation Saskatchewan and the Ministry of Agriculture and from the Canada Foundation for

Innovation through the Major Science Initiatives for its CL3 facility.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

E.S.P. is a consultant for Aegis Life Inc. All other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Table 1. Primer sequences for RT-qPCR analysis

Target	Sequence (5′-3′)	
OC43 Orf1a	F – ATATGGCCAAGGCTGGTGAC	R – ATGTAACACGCCTTCCAGCA
OC43 S	F – TCTTCCGAACCAGCATTGCT	R – TAATGGGTTGCAGCTGTCGT
OC43 N	F – GGACCCAAGTAGCGATGAGG	R – GTGCGCGAAGTAGATCTGGA
18S	F – TTCGAACGTCTGCCCTATCAA	R – GATGTGGTAGCCGTTTCTCAGG
HPRT1	F – CGAGATGTGATGAAGGAGATG	R – GCAGGTCAGCAAAGAATTTATAG
Xbp1	F – TAGCAGCTCAGACTGCCAGA	R – CCAAGCGCTGTCTTAACTCC
ERdj4	F – CGCCAAATCAAGAAGGCCT	R – CAGCATCCGGGCTCTTATTTT
EDEM1	F – TTGACAAAGATTCCACCGTCC	R – TGTGAGCAGAAAGGAGGCTT
ATF3	F – AGGCGACGAGAAAGAAATAAG	R – TTCACACTTTCCAGCTTCTC
CHOP	F – ATGAACGGCTCAAGCAGGAA	R – GGGAAAGGTGGGTAGTGTGG
HERPUD1	F – AACGGCATGTTTTGCATCTG	R – GGGGAAGAAAGGTTCCGAAG

| BiP | F – GCCTGTATTTCTAGACCTGCC | R – TTCATCTTGCCAGCCAGTTG |

715   **Table 2.** Primers used for cloning and PCR mutagenesis

Gene	Sequence (5′-3′)
Spike	F - AATTGGTACCATGTTCGTCTTTCTGGTTCTGTTGCC
	R - AATTGGATCCTCAAGTGTAGTGCAGTTTCACGC
Spike-S0	F - CTCCGTGGCAAGCCAGTCGATCATCGCCTAC
	R - GCGCCTCCACTCGAGTTCGTCTGGGTCTGGTA
Spike-Flag	F - AATTGGTACCATGTTCGTCTTTCTGGTTCTGTTGCC
	R - AATTGGATCCTTACTTATCGTCGTCATCCTTGTAAT CAGAGCCTCCACCCCCAGTGTAGTGCAGTTTCACGC
Spike-Δ19	F - AATTGGTACCATGTTCGTCTTTCTGGTTCTGTTGCC
	R - AATTGGATCCTTAACAACAACTACCGCAACTGC
Spike-2P	F - CCGGAGGCCGAGGTGCAGATCGAC
	R - TGGGTCCAGTCTTGACAGGATGTCGTTC
E	F - AATTGCTAGCACCATGTACAGCTTCG
	R - AATTGAATTCTTAAACGAGGAGATCCGGCAC
M	F - AATTGCTAGCACCATGGCCGACTCAAATGG
	R - AATTGAATTCTTACTGGACGAGTAAAGC
ACE2	F - AATTACCGGTATGTCAAGCTCTTCCTGGC
	R - AATTGTCGACCTAAAAGGAGGTCTGAACATCATCAG

Figure 1. Thiopurines 6-thioguanine and 6-thioguanosine inhibit coronavirus replication.

(A) Structures of thiopurines used this study in comparison to Guanosine. **(B)** Calu-3 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-thioguanine (6-TG), 6-thioguanosine (6-TGo), or 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP). Supernatant was harvested after 48 h and stored at -80°C until titering on Vero'76 cells. Mock-infected cells were similarly treated with 6-TG, 6-TGo, 6-MP, or DMSO vehicle control for 48 h before testing cell viability with CellTiter 98 AQueous One (n=3 ± SEM). **(C)** Summary table of Cellular Cytotoxicity (CC_{50}), Effective concentration (EC_{50}), and Selectivity Index (SI) calculated for (A-C). **(D)** Alamar Blue cell viability assay of hTert-BJ, HCT-8, and Huh-7.5 cells treated with 6-TG (n=3±SEM).**(E)** TCID assays for HCoV-OC43 infected HCT-8 cells and **(F)** HCoV-229E infected Huh-7.5. Cells were infected with an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-TG, 6-TGo, 6-MP, or DMSO (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). **(F)** hTERT-BJ cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 or HCoV-229E at an MOI of 0.1 and treated with 6-TG, Tm, or DMSO. Supernatant was harvested after 23 h and stored at -80∞C before titering on BHK-21 or Huh7.5 (n=3-4 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). **(G)** hTERT-BJ cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 or **(H)** HCoV-229E at an MOI of 0.1 and treated with 6-TG, Tm, or DMSO. Supernatant was harvested after 23 h and stored at -80∞C before titering on BHK-21 or Huh7.5 (n=3-4 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). LoD = Limit of Detection for virus titer. (*, $p<0.05$; **, $p<0.01$; ns, non-significant)

Figure 2. 6-TG reduces CoV protein and mRNA accumulation. (A-C) 293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Supernatant and total RNA from the cells were harvested at the times indicated and

stored at -80ºC until **(A)** titering on BHK-21 or **(B)** quantification of viral genomes by RT-qPCR.

Cell monolayers were harvested for **(C)** RT-qPCR analysis of viral RNA accumulation (n=3

statistical significance was determined by paired ratio t test; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ns, non-

significant) **(D)** 293T cells were grown on coverslips then infected with HCoV-OC43 and treated

with 6-TG as in (A), then fixed and immunostained for N or **(E)** dsRNA. Nuclei are

counterstained with Hoechst. Scale bar = 20 µm.

Figure 3. 6-TG inhibits Spike glycosylation and cleavage. **(A)** Calu-3 cells were with SARS-

CoV-2 at an MOI of 7, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 48 h after

transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in

µM. **(B)** Huh-7.5 cells were with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 5, then treated with 6-TG or

DMSO. Lysates were harvested 48 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as

indicated. Concentrations in legend are in µM. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-

2 S, M, Envelope (E), Nucleoprotein (N) with a C-terminal 2xStrep tag, or EV then treated with

10 µM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and

probed by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2

Spike or EV then treated with 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after

transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in

µM. **(E)** Alamar Blue cell viability assay of 6-TG treated 293T cells (n=3±SEM). **(F)** 293T cells

were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S), a Spike mutant lacking the Furin cleavage site

between S1 and S2 (S0), or EV then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates

were harvested 24 h after transfection, treated with PNGase F to remove N-linked glycans, and

probed by western blotting as indicated. **(G)** as in (C) except 293T cells were transfected with C-

terminal FLAG-tagged Spike (S-FL) or HCoV-MERS Spike (MERS).

Figure 4. 6-TG stimulates an assembly defect and inhibits Spike virion incorporation. (A)

293T cells were transfected with equal quantities of SARS-CoV-2 S, M, E, and N or empty

vector (EV) then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 48

h after transfection and probed by western blotting as vindicated. **(B)** Virus-like particles from

supernatants of cells transfected in (B) were concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a 20%

sucrose cushion, resuspended in PBS, then harvested with an equal volume of 2x Leammli

buffer. Samples from two independent lentivirus preparations were probed by western blotting as

indicated. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with S, E, M, and N in a 1:2:2:1 ratio, substituting one

of the structural proteins for EV as indicated, treated with 6-TG or DMSO then processed as in

(A). **(D)** SARS-CoV-2 Spike pseudotyped, luciferase-expressing lentivirus particles were

concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion, resuspended in PBS, then

harvested with an equal volume of 2x Leammli buffer. Samples from three independent

lentivirus preparations were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)** Genomes from three

independent lentivirus preparations were quantified by RT-qPCR (n=3, statistical significance

was determined by paired t-test; ns, non-significant). **(F)** 293A cells stably expressing ACE2 or

an empty vector control were transduced with lentivirus from three independent preparations.

After 24 h, lysates were harvested and measured for luciferase activity (n=3, statistical

significance was determined by two-way ANOVA; *, $p<0.05$; ns, non-significant). **(G)** 293A

cells were infected HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 =, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO.

Supernatants were concentrated as in (C), before virions were fixed and imaged and imaged by

TEM with negative staining. Five virions from both 6-TG- and DMSO-treated samples are

shown at 150,000 X magnification. Scale bar = 100 nm.

Figure 5. 6-TG inhibits Spike maturation through GTPase inhibition. (A) 6-TG is

conjugated to phosphoribosyl diphosphate (PRPP) by HPRT1 to form 6-TG monophosphate. 6-

TG methylated at the N9 nitrogen was designed to be resistant to processing by HPRT1. **(B)**

293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-TG or 6-TG-

Me. Supernatant was harvested at the times indicated and stored at -80∞C until titering on BHK

cells. (n=3 statistical significance was determined by paired ratio t test; *, $p<0.05$; **, $p<0.01$; ns,

non-significant). **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or an empty vector

control then treated with 6-TG, 6-TG-Me, or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24

h after transfection, treated with PNGase F to remove N-linked glycans, and probed by western

blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were reversed transfected with siRNA and total RNA was

harvested after 48 h. HPRT1mRNA abundance was measured by RT-qPCR (n=3, ±SEM

statistical significance was determined by one way ANOVA; ***, $p<0.001$). **(E)** As in D but

cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 24 h after siRNA treatment and

supernatant was harvested and titered as in **(B)** (n=4 ±SEM statistical significance was

determined by paired ratio t test; **, $p<0.01$; ***, $p<0.001$; ns, non-significant).

Figure 6. 6-TG inhibits Spike maturation through GTPase inhibition. (A) Alamar Blue cell

viability assay of 293T cells treated Rac1 Inhibitor V (Rac1iV), Rhosin, CASIN, or ML099

(n=3±SEM). **(B)** Summary table of Cellular Cytotoxicity (CC_{50}) and inhibitor target of

compounds tested in (A). **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or an empty

vector control (EV) then treated with 6-TG, GTPase inhibitors, or DMSO vehicle control.

Concentrations in legend are in μM. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and were

probed by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with plasmids encoding

Spike or EV then co-treated with 6-TG, 100 μM ML099 GTPase agonist, or DMSO. Lysates

were harvested 24 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated.

Concentrations in legend are in μM **(E)** as in (D) except some samples were pre-treated (Pre) for

4 h with ML099. **(F)** HCT-8 and 293A were infected with an MOI of ~0.1 then treated with 10

μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. After 24 h, the supernatants were harvested and stored at -

80∞C until titering on BHK-21 (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way

ANOVA; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ns, non-significant). LoD = Limit of Detection for virus titer.

Figure S1. Activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR) during OC43 infection. (A)

293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or

DMSO vehicle control. Total RNA from the cells were harvested at the times indicated and

stored at -80∞C until RT-qPCR analysis of UPR target genes. **(B)** 293A cells were infected as in

(A), then treated with 10 μg 6-TG, 1μg thapsigargin (Tg), or DMSO. Cells were treated with 10

μg/mL of puromycin for 10 min prior to harvest 24 h after transfection. Lysates were probed by

western blot as indicated. **(C)** Total puromycin intensity from (E) was quantified relative to total

protein load and are graphed normalized to the mock-infected, DMSO-treated cells (n=3 ± SEM,

statistical significance was determined by paired t-test compared to mock-infected, DMSO-

treated cells; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$; ns, non-significant).

Figure S2. 6-TG reduces surface expression of Spike but does not globally limit secretion.

(A) Alamar Blue cell viability assay of 293T cells treated with Bafilomycin A (BFA)

(n=4±SEM). **(B)** 293T cells were transfected with *Gaussia* luciferase for 18 h then treated with

6-TG, BFA or DMSO vehicle control for 6 h. Supernatants were recovered from the cells and

measured for luciferase activity. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with both luciferase and

Gaussia luciferase then treated with 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. After 24 h, supernatants were

removed and analyzed as in (B). Cell lysate was harvested in Reporter Lysis Buffer and stored at

-80∞C until both luciferase and *Gaussia* luciferase activity was measured. (For B and C, n=3 ±

SEM, statistical significance was determined by paired t-test compared to DMSO-treated cells; *,

p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ns, non-significant). **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with

SARS-CoV-2 Spike or EV then treated with 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 24 h

after transfection in 2x Leammli buffer and were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)**

293T cells were co-transfected with EGFP and either Spike (S), Spike with 19 residue C-

terminal truncation (SΔ19), or EV and then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO. After 24 h, cells

were harvested, surface-stained for Spike then fixed prior to analysis by flow cytometry. EGFP+

cells were gated for analysis of number of Spike+ cells and Median Fluorescent Intensity (MFI)

(n=4±SEM statistical significance was determined by paired t-test between 6-TG and DMSO

treated cells; *, p<0.05).

Figure 7. 6-TG inhibits multiple essential steps in virus replication and assembly. 6-TG

inhibits nsp3, delaying formation of replication compartments and reducing accumulation of

viral RNA. 6-TG also inhibits normal glycosylation of Spike, which fails to be properly

packaged in egressing virions. As a result, the total number of virions released from infected

cells is reduced and those that are released have fewer Spikes on their surface.

857
858
REFERENCES

1. Angelini MM, Akhlaghpour M, Neuman BW, Buchmeier MJ. 2013. Severe acute

respiratory syndrome coronavirus nonstructural proteins 3, 4, and 6 induce double-

membrane vesicles. mBio 4:e00524-13.

2. Gosert R, Kanjanahaluethai A, Egger D, Bienz K, Baker SC. 2002. RNA replication of

mouse hepatitis virus takes place at double-membrane vesicles. J Virol 76:3697–3708.

3. Knoops K, Kikkert M, Worm SHE van den, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, van der Meer Y,

Koster AJ, Mommaas AM, Snijder EJ. 2008. SARS-coronavirus replication is supported by

a reticulovesicular network of modified endoplasmic reticulum. PLoS Biol 6:e226.

4. Beachboard DC, Anderson-Daniels JM, Denison MR. 2015. Mutations across murine

hepatitis virus nsp4 alter virus fitness and membrane modifications. J Virol 89:2080–2089.

5. Oudshoorn D, Rijs K, Limpens RWAL, Groen K, Koster AJ, Snijder EJ, Kikkert M,

Bárcena M. 2017. Expression and Cleavage of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome

Coronavirus nsp3-4 Polyprotein Induce the Formation of Double-Membrane Vesicles That

Mimic Those Associated with Coronaviral RNA Replication. mBio 8:e01658-17.

6. Doyle N, Hawes PC, Simpson J, Adams LH, Maier HJ. 2019. The Porcine

Deltacoronavirus Replication Organelle Comprises Double-Membrane Vesicles and

Zippered Endoplasmic Reticulum with Double-Membrane Spherules. Viruses 11:E1030.

7. Snijder EJ, Limpens RWAL, de Wilde AH, de Jong AWM, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Maier

HJ, Faas FFGA, Koster AJ, Bárcena M. 2020. A unifying structural and functional model

of the coronavirus replication organelle: Tracking down RNA synthesis. PLoS Biol

18:e3000715.

8.   Wolff G, Limpens RWAL, Zevenhoven-Dobbe JC, Laugks U, Zheng S, de Jong AWM,

Koning RI, Agard DA, Grünewald K, Koster AJ, Snijder EJ, Bárcena M. 2020. A

molecular pore spans the double membrane of the coronavirus replication organelle.

Science 369:1395–1398.

9.   Kanjanahaluethai A, Chen Z, Jukneliene D, Baker SC. 2007. Membrane topology of murine

coronavirus replicase nonstructural protein 3. Virology 361:391–401.

10. Oostra M, te Lintelo EG, Deijs M, Verheije MH, Rottier PJM, de Haan C a. M. 2007.

Localization and membrane topology of coronavirus nonstructural protein 4: involvement

of the early secretory pathway in replication. J Virol 81:12323–12336.

11. Tooze J, Tooze S, Warren G. 1984. Replication of coronavirus MHV-A59 in sac- cells:

determination of the first site of budding of progeny virions. Eur J Cell Biol 33:281–293.

12. Goldsmith CS, Tatti KM, Ksiazek TG, Rollin PE, Comer JA, Lee WW, Rota PA, Bankamp

B, Bellini WJ, Zaki SR. 2004. Ultrastructural characterization of SARS coronavirus. Emerg

Infect Dis 10:320–326.

13. Stertz S, Reichelt M, Spiegel M, Kuri T, Martínez-Sobrido L, García-Sastre A, Weber F,

Kochs G. 2007. The intracellular sites of early replication and budding of SARS-

coronavirus. Virology 361:304–315.

14.   Ghosh S, Dellibovi-Ragheb TA, Kerviel A, Pak E, Qiu Q, Fisher M, Takvorian PM, Bleck

C, Hsu VW, Fehr AR, Perlman S, Achar SR, Straus MR, Whittaker GR, de Haan CAM,

Kehrl J, Altan-Bonnet G, Altan-Bonnet N. 2020. β-Coronaviruses Use Lysosomes for

Egress Instead of the Biosynthetic Secretory Pathway. Cell 183:1520-1535.e14.

15.   Fung TS, Liu DX. 2018. Post-translational modifications of coronavirus proteins: roles and

function. Future Virol 13:405–430.

16.   Delmas B, Laude H. 1990. Assembly of coronavirus spike protein into trimers and its role

in epitope expression. J Virol 64:5367–5375.

17.   Belouzard S, Chu VC, Whittaker GR. 2009. Activation of the SARS coronavirus spike

protein via sequential proteolytic cleavage at two distinct sites. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

106:5871–5876.

18.   Millet JK, Whittaker GR. 2014. Host cell entry of Middle East respiratory syndrome

coronavirus after two-step, furin-mediated activation of the spike protein. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A 111:15214–15219.

19.   Schoeman D, Fielding BC. 2019. Coronavirus envelope protein: current knowledge. Virol J

16:69.

20.   Boson B, Legros V, Zhou B, Siret E, Mathieu C, Cosset F-L, Lavillette D, Denolly S. 2021.

The SARS-CoV-2 envelope and membrane proteins modulate maturation and retention of

the spike protein, allowing assembly of virus-like particles. J Biol Chem 296:100111.

21. Liao Y, Fung TS, Huang M, Fang SG, Zhong Y, Liu DX. 2013. Upregulation of

CHOP/GADD153 during coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus infection modulates

apoptosis by restricting activation of the extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway. J

Virol 87:8124–8134.

22. Fung TS, Liao Y, Liu DX. 2014. The endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor IRE1α protects

cells from apoptosis induced by the coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus. J Virol

88:12752–12764.

23. Versteeg GA, van de Nes PS, Bredenbeek PJ, Spaan WJM. 2007. The coronavirus spike

protein induces endoplasmic reticulum stress and upregulation of intracellular chemokine

mRNA concentrations. J Virol 81:10981–10990.

24. Xue M, Fu F, Ma Y, Zhang X, Li L, Feng L, Liu P. 2018. The PERK Arm of the Unfolded

Protein Response Negatively Regulates Transmissible Gastroenteritis Virus Replication by

Suppressing Protein Translation and Promoting Type I Interferon Production. J Virol

92:e00431-18.

25. Favreau DJ, Desforges M, St-Jean JR, Talbot PJ. 2009. A human coronavirus OC43 variant

harboring persistence-associated mutations in the S glycoprotein differentially induces the

unfolded protein response in human neurons as compared to wild-type virus. Virology

395:255–267.

26. Tang BSF, Chan K-H, Cheng VCC, Woo PCY, Lau SKP, Lam CCK, Chan T-L, Wu AKL,

Hung IFN, Leung S-Y, Yuen K-Y. 2005. Comparative host gene transcription by

microarray analysis early after infection of the Huh7 cell line by severe acute respiratory

syndrome coronavirus and human coronavirus 229E. J Virol 79:6180–6193.

27. Chan C-P, Siu K-L, Chin K-T, Yuen K-Y, Zheng B, Jin D-Y. 2006. Modulation of the

unfolded protein response by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike

protein. J Virol 80:9279–9287.

28. Yeung Y-S, Yip C-W, Hon C-C, Chow KYC, Ma ICM, Zeng F, Leung FCC. 2008.

Transcriptional profiling of Vero E6 cells over-expressing SARS-CoV S2 subunit: insights

on viral regulation of apoptosis and proliferation. Virology 371:32–43.

29. Bechill J, Chen Z, Brewer JW, Baker SC. 2008. Coronavirus infection modulates the

unfolded protein response and mediates sustained translational repression. J Virol 82:4492–

4501.

30. Siu K-L, Chan C-P, Kok K-H, Woo PC-Y, Jin D-Y. 2014. Comparative analysis of the

activation of unfolded protein response by spike proteins of severe acute respiratory

syndrome coronavirus and human coronavirus HKU1. Cell Biosci 4:3.

31. Ye Z, Wong CK, Li P, Xie Y. 2008. A SARS-CoV protein, ORF-6, induces caspase-3

mediated, ER stress and JNK-dependent apoptosis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1780:1383–

1387.

32. Minakshi R, Padhan K, Rani M, Khan N, Ahmad F, Jameel S. 2009. The SARS

Coronavirus 3a protein causes endoplasmic reticulum stress and induces ligand-

independent downregulation of the type 1 interferon receptor. PloS One 4:e8342.

33. Sung S-C, Chao C-Y, Jeng K-S, Yang J-Y, Lai MMC. 2009. The 8ab protein of SARS-

CoV is a luminal ER membrane-associated protein and induces the activation of ATF6.

Virology 387:402–413.

34. Slaine PD, Kleer M, Duguay BA, Pringle ES, Kadijk E, Ying S, Balgi A, Roberge M,

McCormick C, Khaperskyy DA. 2021. Thiopurines activate an antiviral unfolded protein

response that blocks influenza A virus glycoprotein accumulation. J Virol JVI.00453-21.

35. Lepage GA. 1960. Incorporation of 6-thioguanine into nucleic acids. Cancer Res 20:403–

408.

36. Brem R, Karran P. 2012. Oxidation-mediated DNA cross-linking contributes to the toxicity

of 6-thioguanine in human cells. Cancer Res 72:4787–4795.

37. Shin J-Y, Wey M, Umutesi HG, Sun X, Simecka J, Heo J. 2016. Thiopurine Prodrugs

Mediate Immunosuppressive Effects by Interfering with Rac1 Protein Function. J Biol

Chem 291:13699–13714.

38. de Boer NKH, van Bodegraven AA, Jharap B, de Graaf P, Mulder CJJ. 2007. Drug Insight:

pharmacology and toxicity of thiopurine therapy in patients with IBD. Nat Clin Pract

Gastroenterol Hepatol 4:686–694.

39. Chou C-Y, Chien C-H, Han Y-S, Prebanda MT, Hsieh H-P, Turk B, Chang G-G, Chen X.

2008. Thiopurine analogues inhibit papain-like protease of severe acute respiratory

syndrome coronavirus. Biochem Pharmacol 75:1601–1609.

40. Chen X, Chou C-Y, Chang G-G. 2009. Thiopurine analogue inhibitors of severe acute

respiratory syndrome-coronavirus papain-like protease, a deubiquitinating and

deISGylating enzyme. Antivir Chem Chemother 19:151–156.

41. Cheng K-W, Cheng S-C, Chen W-Y, Lin M-H, Chuang S-J, Cheng I-H, Sun C-Y, Chou C-

Y. 2015. Thiopurine analogs and mycophenolic acid synergistically inhibit the papain-like

protease of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Antiviral Res 115:9–16.

42. Swaim CD, Perng Y-C, Zhao X, Canadeo LA, Harastani HH, Darling TL, Boon ACM,

Lenschow DJ, Huibregtse JM. 2020. 6-Thioguanine blocks SARS-CoV-2 replication by

inhibition of PLpro protease activities. BioRxiv Prepr Serv Biol 2020.07.01.183020.

43. Tiede I, Fritz G, Strand S, Poppe D, Dvorsky R, Strand D, Lehr HA, Wirtz S, Becker C,

Atreya R, Mudter J, Hildner K, Bartsch B, Holtmann M, Blumberg R, Walczak H, Iven H,

Galle PR, Ahmadian MR, Neurath MF. 2003. CD28-dependent Rac1 activation is the

molecular target of azathioprine in primary human CD4+ T lymphocytes. J Clin Invest

111:1133–1145.

44. Poppe D, Tiede I, Fritz G, Becker C, Bartsch B, Wirtz S, Strand D, Tanaka S, Galle PR,

Bustelo XR, Neurath MF. 2006. Azathioprine suppresses ezrin-radixin-moesin-dependent T

cell-APC conjugation through inhibition of Vav guanosine exchange activity on Rac

proteins. J Immunol Baltim Md 1950 176:640–651.

45. Schultz DC, Johnson RM, Ayyanathan K, Miller J, Whig K, Kamalia B, Dittmar M,

Weston S, Hammond HL, Dillen C, Ardanuy J, Taylor L, Lee JS, Li M, Lee E, Shoffler C,

Petucci C, Constant S, Ferrer M, Thaiss CA, Frieman MB, Cherry S. 2022. Pyrimidine

997  inhibitors synergize with nucleoside analogues to block SARS-CoV-2. Nature

998  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04482-x.

999 46. Al-Beltagi S, Preda CA, Goulding LV, James J, Pu J, Skinner P, Jiang Z, Wang BL, Yang

1000  J, Banyard AC, Mellits KH, Gershkovich P, Hayes CJ, Nguyen-Van-Tam J, Brown IH, Liu

1001  J, Chang K-C. 2021. Thapsigargin Is a Broad-Spectrum Inhibitor of Major Human

1002  Respiratory Viruses: Coronavirus, Respiratory Syncytial Virus and Influenza A Virus.

1003  Viruses 13:234.

1004 47. Al-Beltagi S, Goulding LV, Chang DKE, Mellits KH, Hayes CJ, Gershkovich P, Coleman

1005  CM, Chang K-C. 2021. Emergent SARS-CoV-2 variants: comparative replication dynamics

1006  and high sensitivity to thapsigargin. Virulence 12:2946–2956.

1007 48. Shaban MS, Müller C, Mayr-Buro C, Weiser H, Meier-Soelch J, Albert BV, Weber A,

1008  Linne U, Hain T, Babayev I, Karl N, Hofmann N, Becker S, Herold S, Schmitz ML,

1009  Ziebuhr J, Kracht M. 2021. Multi-level inhibition of coronavirus replication by chemical

1010  ER stress. Nat Commun 12:5536.

1011 49. Santos-Beneit F, Raškevičius V, Skeberdis VA, Bordel S. 2021. A metabolic modeling

1012  approach reveals promising therapeutic targets and antiviral drugs to combat COVID-19.

1013  Sci Rep 11:11982.

1014 50. Oostra M, de Haan C a. M, de Groot RJ, Rottier PJM. 2006. Glycosylation of the severe

1015  acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus triple-spanning membrane proteins 3a and M. J

1016  Virol 80:2326–2336.

51. Tarentino AL, Trimble RB, Plummer TH. 1989. Enzymatic approaches for studying the

structure, synthesis, and processing of glycoproteins. Methods Cell Biol 32:111–139.

52. Tian W, Li D, Zhang N, Bai G, Yuan K, Xiao H, Gao F, Chen Y, Wong CCL, Gao GF.

2021. O-glycosylation pattern of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein reveals an "O-Follow-N"

rule. Cell Res https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-021-00545-2.

53. Sanda M, Morrison L, Goldman R. 2021. N- and O-Glycosylation of the SARS-CoV-2

Spike Protein. Anal Chem 93:2003–2009.

54. Shajahan A, Supekar NT, Gleinich AS, Azadi P. 2020. Deducing the N- and O-

glycosylation profile of the spike protein of novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. Glycobiology

30:981–988.

55. Tannous BA, Kim D-E, Fernandez JL, Weissleder R, Breakefield XO. 2005. Codon-

optimized Gaussia luciferase cDNA for mammalian gene expression in culture and in vivo.

Mol Ther J Am Soc Gene Ther 11:435–443.

56. Helms JB, Rothman JE. 1992. Inhibition by brefeldin A of a Golgi membrane enzyme that

catalyses exchange of guanine nucleotide bound to ARF. Nature 360:352–354.

57. Donaldson JG, Finazzi D, Klausner RD. 1992. Brefeldin A inhibits Golgi membrane-

catalysed exchange of guanine nucleotide onto ARF protein. Nature 360:350–352.

58. Crawford KHD, Eguia R, Dingens AS, Loes AN, Malone KD, Wolf CR, Chu HY, Tortorici

MA, Veesler D, Murphy M, Pettie D, King NP, Balazs AB, Bloom JD. 2020. Protocol and

Reagents for Pseudotyping Lentiviral Particles with SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein for

Neutralization Assays. Viruses 12:E513.

59. Hu J, Gao Q, He C, Huang A, Tang N, Wang K. 2020. Development of cell-based

pseudovirus entry assay to identify potential viral entry inhibitors and neutralizing

antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. Genes Dis 7:551–557.

60. Ou X, Liu Y, Lei X, Li P, Mi D, Ren L, Guo L, Guo R, Chen T, Hu J, Xiang Z, Mu Z, Chen

X, Chen J, Hu K, Jin Q, Wang J, Qian Z. 2020. Characterization of spike glycoprotein of

SARS-CoV-2 on virus entry and its immune cross-reactivity with SARS-CoV. Nat

Commun 11:1620.

61. Ujike M, Taguchi F. 2015. Incorporation of spike and membrane glycoproteins into

coronavirus virions. Viruses 7:1700–1725.

62. Nie J, Li Q, Wu J, Zhao C, Hao H, Liu H, Zhang L, Nie L, Qin H, Wang M, Lu Q, Li X,

Sun Q, Liu J, Fan C, Huang W, Xu M, Wang Y. 2020. Establishment and validation of a

pseudovirus neutralization assay for SARS-CoV-2. Emerg Microbes Infect 9:680–686.

63. Schmidt F, Weisblum Y, Muecksch F, Hoffmann H-H, Michailidis E, Lorenzi JCC,

Mendoza P, Rutkowska M, Bednarski E, Gaebler C, Agudelo M, Cho A, Wang Z,

Gazumyan A, Cipolla M, Caskey M, Robbiani DF, Nussenzweig MC, Rice CM,

Hatziioannou T, Bieniasz PD. 2020. Measuring SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody activity

using pseudotyped and chimeric viruses. J Exp Med 217:e20201181.

64.   Yin Y, Chen S, Hakim MS, Wang W, Xu L, Dang W, Qu C, Verhaar AP, Su J, Fuhler GM,

Peppelenbosch MP, Pan Q. 2018. 6-Thioguanine inhibits rotavirus replication through

suppression of Rac1 GDP/GTP cycling. Antiviral Res 156:92–101.

65.   Palsuledesai CC, Surviladze Z, Waller A, Miscioscia TF, Guo Y, Wu Y, Strouse J, Romero

E, Salas VM, Curpan R, Young S, Carter M, Foutz T, Galochkina Z, Ames H, Haynes MK,

Edwards BS, Nicolotti O, Luo L, Ursu O, Bologa CG, Oprea TI, Wandinger-Ness A, Sklar

LA. 2018. Activation of Rho Family GTPases by Small Molecules. ACS Chem Biol

13:1514–1524.

66.   Blight KJ, McKeating JA, Rice CM. 2002. Highly permissive cell lines for subgenomic and

genomic hepatitis C virus RNA replication. J Virol 76:13001–13014.

67.   Johnston BP, Pringle ES, McCormick C. 2019. KSHV activates unfolded protein response

sensors but suppresses downstream transcriptional responses to support lytic replication.

PLoS Pathog 15:e1008185.

68.   Mendoza EJ, Manguiat K, Wood H, Drebot M. 2020. Two Detailed Plaque Assay Protocols

for the Quantification of Infectious SARS-CoV-2. Curr Protoc Microbiol 57:ecpmc105.

69.   Craig N, Fletcher SL, Daniels A, Newman C, O'Shea M, Warr A, Tait-Burkard C. 2021.

Direct lysis RT-qPCR of SARS-CoV-2 in cell culture supernatant allows for fast and

accurate quantification of virus, opening a vast array of applications. preprint.

Microbiology.

70. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, Goldsmith JA, Hsieh C-L, Abiona O, Graham BS,

McLellan JS. 2020. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion

conformation. Science 367:1260–1263.

71. Pallesen J, Wang N, Corbett KS, Wrapp D, Kirchdoerfer RN, Turner HL, Cottrell CA,

Becker MM, Wang L, Shi W, Kong W-P, Andres EL, Kettenbach AN, Denison MR,

Chappell JD, Graham BS, Ward AB, McLellan JS. 2017. Immunogenicity and structures of

a rationally designed prefusion MERS-CoV spike antigen. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

114:E7348–E7357.

72. Kirchdoerfer RN, Wang N, Pallesen J, Wrapp D, Turner HL, Cottrell CA, Corbett KS,

Graham BS, McLellan JS, Ward AB. 2018. Stabilized coronavirus spikes are resistant to

conformational changes induced by receptor recognition or proteolysis. Sci Rep 8:15701.

73. Duguay BA, Herod A, Pringle ES, Monro SMA, Hetu M, Cameron CG, McFarland SA,

McCormick C. 2022. Photodynamic Inactivation of Human Coronaviruses. Viruses 14:110.

1087

Figure 1. Thiopurines 6-thioguanine and 6-thioguanosine inhibit coronavirus replication. (A) Structures of thiopurines used this study in comparison to Guanosine. **(B)** Calu-3 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-thioguanine (6-TG), 6-thioguanosine (6-TGo), or 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP). Supernatant was harvested after 48 h, and stored at -80°C until titering on Vero'76 cells. Mock-infected cells were similarly treated with 6-TG, 6-TGo, 6-MP, or DMSO vehicle control for 48 h before testing cell viability with CellTiter 96 AQueous One (n=3 ± SEM). **(C)** Summary table of Cellular Cytotoxicity (CC_{50}), Effective concentration (EC_{50}), and Selectivity Index (SI) calculated for (A-C). **(D)** Alamar Blue cell viability assay of hTert-BJ, HCT-8, and Huh-7.5 cells treated with 6-TG (n=3±SEM).**(E)** TCID assays for HCoV-OC43 infected HCT-8 cells and **(F)** HCoV-229E infected Huh-7.5. Cells were infected with an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-TG, 6-TGo, 6-MP, or DMSO (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). **(F)** hTERT-BJ cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 or HCoV-229E at an MOI of 0.1 and treated with 6-TG, Tm, or DMSO. Supernatant was harvested after 23 h and stored at -80°C before titering on BHK-21 or Huh7.5 (n=3-4 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). **(G)** hTERT-BJ cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 or **(H)** HCoV-229E at an MOI of 0.1 and treated with 6-TG, Tm, or DMSO. Supernatant was harvested after 23 h and stored at -80°C before titering on BHK-21 or Huh7.5 (n=3-4 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA). LoD = Limit of Detection for virus titer. (*, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ns, non-significant)

Figure 2. 6-TG reduces CoV protein and mRNA accumulation. (A-C) 293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Supernatant and total RNA from the cells were harvested at the times indicated and stored at -80°C until **(A)** titering on BHK-21 or **(B)** quantification of viral genomes by RT-qPCR. Cell monolayers were harvested for **(C)** RT-qPCR analysis of viral RNA accumulation (n=3 statistical significance was determined by paired ratio t test; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ns, non-significant) **(D)** 293T cells were grown on coverslips then infected with HCoV-OC43 and treated with 6-TG as in (A), then fixed and immunostained for N or **(E)** dsRNA. Nuclei are counterstained with Hoechst. Scale bar = 20 µm.

Figure 3. 6-TG inhibits Spike glycosylation and cleavage. (A) Calu-3 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 7, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 48 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM. **(B)** Huh-7.5 cells were infected with SARS-CoV-2 at an MOI of 5, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 48 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 S, M, Envelope (E), Nucleoprotein (N) with a C-terminal 2xStrep tag, or EV then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and probed by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or EV then treated with 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM. **(E)** Alamar Blue cell viability assay of 6-TG treated 293T cells (n=3±SEM). **(F)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S), a Spike mutant lacking the Furin cleavage site between S1 and S2 (S0), or EV then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection, treated with PNGase F to remove N-linked glycans, and probed by western blotting as indicated. **(G)** as in (C) except 293T cells were transfected with C-terminal FLAG-tagged Spike (S-FL) or HCoV-MERS Spike (MERS).

Figure 4. 6-TG stimulates an assembly defect and inhibits Spike virion incorporation. (A) 293T cells were transfected with equal quantities of SARS-CoV-2 S, M, E, and N or empty vector (EV) then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 48 h after transfection and probed by western blotting as indicated. **(B)** Virus-like particles from supernatants of cells transfected in (B) were concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion, resuspended in PBS, then harvested with an equal volume of 2x Leammli buffer. Samples from two independent lentivirus preparations were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with S, E, M, and N in a 1:2:2:1 ratio, substituting one of the structural proteins for EV as indicated, treated with 6-TG or DMSO then processed as in (A). **(D)** SARS-CoV-2 Spike pseudotyped, luciferase-expressing lentivirus particles were concentrated by ultracentrifugation through a 20% sucrose cushion, resuspended in PBS, then harvested with an equal volume of 2x Leammli buffer. Samples from three independent lentivirus preparations were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)** Genomes from three independent lentivirus preparations were quantified by RT-qPCR (n=3, statistical significance was determined by paired t-test; ns, non-significant). **(F)** 293A cells stably expressing ACE2 or and empty vector control were transduced with lentivirus from three independent preparations. After 24 h, lysates were harvested and measured for luciferase activity (n=3, statistical significance was determined by two-way ANOVA; *, p<0.05; ns, non-significant). **(G)** 293A cells were infected HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 =, then treated with 6-TG or DMSO. Supernatants were concentrated as in (C), before virions were fixed and imaged and imaged by TEM with negative staining. Five virions from both 6-TG- and DMSO-treated samples are shown at 150,000 X magnification. Scale bar = 100 nm. Arrowhead indicates examples of Spike protein extending from virion.

Figure 5. 6-TG inhibits Spike maturation through GTPase inhibition. (A) 6-TG is conjugated to phosphoribosyl diphosphate (PRPP) by HPRT1 to form 6-TG monophosphate. 6-TG methylated at the N9 nitrogen was designed to be resistant to processing by HPRT1. **(B)** 293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 6-TG or 6-TG-Me. Supernatant was harvested at the times indicated and stored at -80°C until titering on BHK cells. (n=3 statistical significance was determined by paired ratio t test; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ns, non-significant). **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or an empty vector control then treated with 6-TG, 6-TG-Me, or DMSO vehicle control. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection, treated with PNGase F to remove N-linked glycans, and probed by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were reversed transfected with siRNA and total RNA was harvested after 48 h. HPRT1mRNA abundance was measured by RT-qPCR (n=3, ±SEM statistical significance was determined by one way ANOVA; ***, p<0.001). **(E)** As in D but cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 24 h after siRNA treatment and supernatant was harvested and titered as in **(B)** (n=4 ±SEM statistical significance was determined by paired ratio t test; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ns, non-significant).

Figure 6. 6-TG inhibits Spike maturation through GTPase inhibition. (A) Alamar Blue cell viability assay of 293T cells treated Rac1 Inhibitor V (Rac1iV), Rhosin, CASIN, or ML099 (n=3±SEM). **(B)** Summary table of Cellular Cytotoxicity (CC_{50}) and inhibitor target of compounds tested in (A). **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or an empty vector control (EV) then treated with 6-TG, GTPase inhibitors, or DMSO vehicle control. Concentrations in legend are in μM. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with plasmids encoding Spike or EV then co-treated with 6-TG, 100 μM ML099 GTPase agonist, or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection and were probed by western blotting as indicated. Concentrations in legend are in μM **(E)** as in (D) except some samples were pre-treated (Pre) for 4 h with ML099. **(F)** HCT-8 and 293A were infected with an MOI of ~0.1 then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. After 24 h, the supernatants was harvested and stored at -80°C until titering on BHK-21 (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA; *, $p<0.05$; **, $p<0.01$; ns, non-significant). LoD = Limit of Detection for virus titer.

Figure 7. 6-TG inhibits multiple essential steps in virus replication and assembly. 6-TG inhibits nsp3, delaying formation of replication compartments and reducing accumulation of viral RNA. 6-TG also inhibits normal glycosylation of Spike, which fails to be properly packaged in egressing virions. As a result, the total number of virions released from infected cells is reduced and those that are released have fewer Spikes on their surface.

Figure S1. Activation of the unfolded protein response (UPR) during OC43 infection. (A) 293T cells were infected with HCoV-OC43 at an MOI of 0.1 then treated with 10 µM 6-TG or DMSO vehicle control. Total RNA from the cells were harvested at the times indicated and stored at -80°C until RT-qPCR analysis of UPR target genes. **(B)** 293A cells were infected as in (A), then treated with 10 µg 6-TG, 1µg thapsigargin (Tg), or DMSO. Cells were treated with 10 µg/mL of puromycin for 10 min prior to harvest 24 h after transfection. Lysates were probed by western blot as indicated. **(C)** Total puromycin intensity from (E) was quantified relative to total protein load and are graphed normalized to the mock-infected, DMSO-treated cells (n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by paired t-test compared to mock-infected, DMSO-treated cells; *, p<0.05; **, p<0.01; ***, p<0.001; ns, non-significant).

Figure S2. 6-TG reduces surface expression of Spike but does not globally limit secretion. (A) Alamar Blue cell viability assay of 293T cells treated with Bafilomycin A (BFA) (n=4±SEM). **(B)** 293T cells were transfected with *Gaussia* luciferase for 18 h then treated with 6-TG, BFA or DMSO vehicle control for 6 h . Supernatants were recovered from the cells and measured for luciferase activity. **(C)** 293T cells were transfected with both luciferase and *Gaussia* luciferase then treated with 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. After 24 h, supernatants were removed and analyzed as in (B). Cell lysate was harvested in Reporter Lysis Buffer and stored at -80°C until both luciferase and *Gaussia* luciferase activity was measured. (For B and C, n=3 ± SEM, statistical significance was determined by paired t-test compared to DMSO-treated cells; *, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.01$; ***, $p < 0.001$; ns, non-significant). **(D)** 293T cells were transfected with SARS-CoV-2 Spike or EV then treated with 6-TG, BFA, or DMSO. Lysates were harvested 24 h after transfection in 2x Leammli buffer and were probed by western blotting as indicated. **(E)** 293T cells were co-transfected with EGFP and either Spike (S), Spike with 19 residue C-terminal truncation (SΔ19), or EV and then treated with 10 μM 6-TG or DMSO. After 24 h, cells were harvested, surface-stained for Spike then fixed prior to analysis by flow cytometry. EGFP+ cells were gated for analysis of number of Spike+ cells and Median Fluorescent Intensity (MFI) (n=4±SEM statistical significance was determined by paired t-test between 6-TG and DMSO treated cells; *, $p < 0.05$).