Programming bacteria for multiplexed DNA detection

Yu-Yu Cheng[1†], Zhengyi Chen[1†], Xinyun Cao[1], Tyler D. Ross[1],
Tanya G. Falbel[2], Briana M. Burton[2], and Ophelia S. Venturelli[1,2,3*]

[1]Department of Biochemistry, University of Wisconsin - Madison, WI, United States
[2]Department of Bacteriology, University of Wisconsin - Madison, WI, United States
[3]Department of Chemical & Biological Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.
[*]To whom correspondence should be addressed: venturelli@wisc.edu

ABSTRACT

DNA is a universal and programmable signal of living organisms. Here we developed cell-based DNA sensors by engineering the naturally competent bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* (*B. subtilis*) to detect specific DNA sequences in the environment. The DNA sensor strains can identify diverse bacterial species including major human pathogens with high specificity and sensitivity. Multiplexed detection of genomic DNA from different species in complex samples can be achieved by coupling the sensing mechanism to orthogonal fluorescent reporters. We also demonstrate that the DNA sensors can detect the presence of species in the complex samples without requiring DNA extraction. The modularity of the living cell-based DNA sensing mechanism and simple detection procedure could enable programmable DNA sensing for broad applications.

INTRODUCTION

Next-generation engineered bacteria hold tremendous promise for a wide range of applications in human health, environment and agriculture by sensing key environmental signals, performing computation on these signals to regulate a response that modulates specific environmental parameters[1]. Developing specific and selective sensors of key environmental signals is a critical feature of next-generation engineered bacteria. For example, bacteria have been engineered to detect physical and chemical signals such as light, ultrasound, and quorum-sensing molecules[2–4]. These signals can be exploited to control the collective growth or gene expression of the bacterial population or mediate interactions between constituent community members[4–6]. In addition, we can exploit their sensing ability to achieve real-time monitoring of natural environments. For example, synthetic genetic circuits have been designed in *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) to sense signals produced by pathogens and use this information to regulate the production of antimicrobials that inhibit the target pathogen[7,8]. However, there are limited well-characterized and orthogonal signals that can be exploited to sense different bacterial species in a microbial community[9,10].

DNA provides the blueprint for living organisms and is prevalent in natural environments[11]. Therefore, extracellular DNA (eDNA) could be exploited as a biomarker for identifying different species. Naturally competent bacteria have the ability to take up DNA from the environment and integrate imported sequences onto the genome based on sequence homology requirements. Horizontal gene transfer (HGT) via natural transformation has been shown to have a variety of benefits such as nutrient utilization, DNA repair, or acquisition of genes[12]. Since homologous recombination of imported DNA requires sequences of sufficient length and homology[13], natural transformation could be exploited to build a selective cell-based DNA sensor.

We constructed a living cell-based DNA sensor by engineering the naturally competent bacterium *B. subtilis*. This circuit controls *B. subtilis* growth and fluorescence reporter genes in response to specific input DNA sequences. We demonstrate that the cell-based DNA sensor is

sensitive and highly specific to species harboring the target DNA sequence. In addition, we

demonstrate that our cell-based DNA sensor can perform multiplexed DNA detection in complex

samples. The cell-based DNA sensors can detect DNA released from pre-treated donor cells (i.e.

crude samples). Our detailed characterization of the cell-based DNA sensors *in vitro* provides a

foundation for future *in vitro* DNA detection and *in situ* sense-and-respond DNA applications.

RESULTS

Construction of a living DNA sensor strain

To build the living cell-based DNA sensor, we exploited the natural competence ability of the well-

characterized soil bacterium *B. subtilis*[14]. The natural competence ability of *B. subtilis* enables

uptake of environmental DNA and integration of specific sequences with sufficient homology into

genome via homologous recombination[15]. The efficiency of homologous recombination depends

stringently on the sequence percent identity and length[13,16], which can be exploited to build a

highly specific DNA sensor.

To detect eDNA sequences in a programmable fashion, we constructed a synthetic

genetic circuit in *B. subtilis* that implements a growth selection function based on the presence of

target DNA sequence in the environment. The circuit consists of a xylose-inducible master

regulator of competence *comK*[17] and IPTG-inducible toxin-antitoxin system *txpA-ratA*[18] and GFP

regulated by the repressor LacI (**Fig. 1A,S1**). The target sequences were introduced to the

flanking regions (upstream and downstream) of *txpA-ratA* and *lacI* and referred to as landing pads

for homologous recombination.

In the presence of xylose, ComK activates competence genes for DNA uptake and

homologous recombination (**Fig. 1A**). Bistability and stochastic processes in the regulation of

natural competence can yield a sub-population that can be transformed with extracellular DNA[19].

This naturally competent sub-population forms competence pili which bind to double stranded

DNA outside the cell. The DNA is cleaved into single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) outside the cell

membrane, and transported into the cell[12]. Inside the cell, RecA binds the ssDNA sequences and

searches the *B. subtilis* genome for a region with sufficient homology. If the target DNA sequence

is present, homologous recombination removes the toxin-antitoxin *txpA-ratA* and repressor *lacI*.

In the presence of the chemical inducer Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), cell growth

and GFP expression is enabled in the transformed sub-population. Growth of the non-transformed

subpopulation is inhibited by the activity of TxpA, which blocks cell wall synthesis[18] (**Fig. 1A**).

We constructed a sensor for *E. coli* (EC sensor) by introducing the *xdhABC* operon onto

the *B. subtilis* genome (landing pad region), which encodes genes for purine catabolism[20]. The

xdhABC operon is a representative sequence that can detect a wide range of *E. coli* strains. This

sequence is highly conserved such that 99% of 5000 *E. coli* genomes in the NCBI database

contain this sequence with >95% coverage (the degree of alignment of the query sequence with

a reference sequence) and >95% identity similarity (the percentage of bases that are identical to

the target sequence within the aligned region).

To characterize the homology length needed for robust DNA sensing, we varied the

homology length of the *xdhABC* operon in each landing pad (0.5 to 2.5 kb). We performed time-

series measurements of transformation efficiency (number of colonies for transformed *B. subtilis*

cells divided by the number total *B. subtilis* colonies) with 100 ng/mL *E. coli* genomic DNA (gDNA).

The transformation efficiency is defined as the ratio of the number of transformed *B. subtilis* to

the total number of *B. subtilis* based on colony forming units (CFU). Transformation efficiency

plateaued at approximately 10 hr and the colonies expressed GFP (**Fig. 1B**). In addition,

transformation efficiency increased with homology length at 10 hr (**Fig. 1C**). A homology length

of 1 kb or greater was required to robustly sense the target sequence over the background

frequency of escape mutants (10^{-7}-10^{-6} frequency) that displayed heterogenous GFP expression

(**Fig. S2A,B**). To achieve high performance of the DNA sensor (>10^2 increase in transformation

efficiency above background), we used a landing pad homology length of 2.5 kb (transformation

efficiency of 10^{-5}-10^{-4}). In the transformed sub-population, homologous recombination was

confirmed by sequencing to occur at the expected location with the elimination of *txpA-ratA* and

lacI (**Fig. S2C,D**). The moderate number of escape mutants that displayed growth in the absence

of gDNA had mutations in *txpA* or *lacI*, which reduced the growth inhibitory activity of TxpA (**Fig.**

S2E,F). In sum, the synthetic genetic circuit enabled *B. subtilis* to sense specific DNA sequences

present in the environment.

Building living DNA sensors to sense human pathogens

Exploiting the modularity of the DNA sensing circuit, we replaced the landing pad region with

specific sequences targeting different bacterial strains (**Fig. S1C**). To this end, we constructed

DNA sensors to detect sequences harbored in human intestinal pathogens *Salmonella*

typhimurium[21] (*S. typhimurium*), *Clostridium difficile*[22] (*C. difficile*), or the skin pathogen

Staphylococcus aureus[23] (*S. aureus*). We selected two 2.5 kb sequences in the pathogenicity

island *sipBCDA* of *S. typhimurium* (ST sensor), the heme biosynthesis pathway *hemEH* in *S.*

aureus (SA sensor), and the phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase *pheST* in *C. difficile* (CD sensor)[24–26].

The selected set of target DNA sequences are highly conserved within a given species

(ST sensor: 94%, SA sensor: 96%, and CD sensor: 96% all with >95% coverage and >95%

identity similarity). In addition, some of the sequences are linked to virulence activities of the
pathogen or encode enzymes that are critical for fitness[24–26]. To further explore the conservation
of the target sequences across different strains, we performed nucleotide BLAST using the NCBI
Database to quantify the homology coverage and sequence similarity across species. The
pathogenicity island *sipBCDA* in *S. typhimurium* was found only in *Salmonella enterica* species
and infrequently observed in other species (**Fig. S3A**). Homologs in other species have low
coverage and identity similarity, suggesting that the pathogenicity island could be a good target
sequence for this species (**Fig. S3A**). The heme biosynthesis pathway *hemEH* in *S. aureus* and
phenylalanyl-tRNA synthetase *pheST* in *C. difficile* are conserved in some closely related strains
with varying degrees of similarity and coverage (**Fig. 2I,K**). The *E. coli* MG1655 *xdhABC* purine
catabolism operon is found in other closely related bacteria such as *Shigella* with high coverage
and identity similarity (**Fig. S3B**). Although the target sequences for building the different DNA
sensor strains varied in the degree of specificity based on bioinformatic analyses, a detailed
characterization of circuit performance could guide the design of optimized cell-based DNA
sensors for future applications.

The four sensors robustly detected the presence of 100 ng/mL target gDNA over
background (0 ng/mL gDNA) based on transformation efficiency (**Fig. 2A**). We evaluated the
sensitivity of each DNA sensor strain by performing time-series GFP measurements in liquid
culture after being transformed with a wide range of gDNA concentrations (0-1500 ng/mL gDNA)
from single species (**Fig. 2B,C,S4**). We evaluated the time required for each culture to display a
fluorescence level higher than a threshold (i.e. detection time) (**Fig. 2C,S4**). The sensitivity of the
circuit was evaluated as the lowest gDNA concentration that yielded a statistically significant
difference in the detection time in the presence versus absence of gDNA (**Fig. 2D-G**).

The relationship between the log transformed gDNA concentration and detection time is
linear due to the exponential growth of the fluorescent *B. subtilis* sub-population successfully
transformed with the input target sequence (**Fig. S5**). Therefore, to assess the range of gDNA
concentrations that can be accurately sensed, we inferred the parameters of a linear function fit
to the log transformed gDNA concentration versus detection time (**Fig. 2D-G**). The inferred slope
of the linear function is determined by the cell doubling time (~0.5 hour) and intercept is
determined by the background mutation frequency (**Fig. 2D-G**). The EC, SA, and CD DNA sensor
strains displayed high sensitivity of 1-16 ng/mL (10^5-10^6 chromosome copy number/mL), whereas
the ST sensor displayed a lower sensitivity (62.5 ng/mL, 10^7 chromosome copy number/mL).
While the DNA sensor strains displayed lower sensitivities than quantitative real-time polymerase
chain reaction (qPCR) reported for *E. coli* (3.5×10^3 CFU/mL in pure culture[27]), the observed

sensitivities are within the range of the sensitivities reported for the lateral flow immunoassay

(1.8×10^5 CFU/mL for a pure culture of *E. coli*[28]) .

To characterize the specificity of each DNA sensor strain to the target sequence, we

performed time-series fluorescence measurements in liquid culture in response to all individual

species gDNA. The fluorescence signal was observed at a substantially earlier time (6.1-7.1 hr)

in the presence of the corresponding species' gDNA than in the presence of a non-target species'

gDNA or in the absence of DNA (9.1-10.7 hr) (**Fig. 2H, S6**). This demonstrates that the cell-based

DNA sensors were highly specific to the target sequence.

In the context of microbial communities, the cell-based DNA sensors may need to

distinguish between closely related species. Therefore, we evaluated the ability of the DNA

sensors to distinguish between closely related species with similar target sequences. To this end,

we measured the transformation frequency of the SA sensor in the presence of gDNA (100 ng/mL)

derived from *S. epidermidis*. *S. epidermidis* is a closely related human skin commensal bacterium

that harbors a similar *hemEH* sequence to the SA sensor landing pad region (89% coverage and

77% identity similarity) (**Fig. 2I**). Since the number of total *B. subtilis* colonies was similar in the

presence and absence of gDNA, we quantified the number of transformed colonies as opposed

to transformation efficiency (**Fig. 2A,S7**). The number of colonies in the presence of *S.*

epidermidis gDNA (SE) was substantially lower than in the presence of *S. aureus* gDNA (SA) and

similar to the absence of DNA (**Fig. 2J**). Similarly, we characterized the ability of the CD sensor

to detect gDNA derived from a human gut commensal bacterium *C. hiranonis*, a close relative of

C. difficile that contains a similar *pheST* sequence in its genome to the landing pad region in CD

sensor (87% coverage and 75% identity similarity). The number of colonies in the presence of

100 ng/mL *C. hiranonis* gDNA (CH) was substantially lower than in the presence of *C. difficile*

gDNA (CD) and also similar to the absence of DNA (**Fig. 2K,L**). These data demonstrate that the

cell-based DNA sensors are highly specific to species that harbor an exact match to the target

sequence. Therefore, the sensors do not display false positives in the presence of closely related

species that harbor similar target sequences. The high specificity of the sensors is due to the

stringent requirements for homologous recombination in *B. subtilis*[13,29].

Multiplexed detection of pathogen DNA in complex samples

Since certain future applications may require sensing of more than one organism, we tested the

ability of the DNA sensors to detect more than one species within mixed DNA samples. To this

end, we constructed individual sensors with orthogonal fluorescent reporters to achieve

multiplexed DNA detection. Exploiting the modularity of the circuit, we constructed an RFP-

labeled ST sensor (ST-R) and a BFP-labeled SA sensor (SA-B), in addition to the GFP-labeled
EC sensor (EC-G) (**Fig. S1C**). We introduced gDNA (200 ng/mL) extracted from each of the three
target strains into a culture containing EC-G, ST-R, and SA-B sensors and determined the number
of fluorescent colonies for each reporter (**Fig. 3A**). The sensors accurately reported the
presence/absence of all combinations of species' gDNA reliably (**Fig. 3B,S8**). Therefore, a
mixture of DNA sensor strains each individually labeled with a unique fluorescent reporter enabled
multiplexed detection of gDNA derived from different species.

To investigate if multiplexed detection can be achieved for samples derived from a
complex microbial community, we constructed a four-member human gut community composed
of diverse commensal bacteria from three major phyla in human gut – *Anaerostipes caccae* (AC,
Firmicutes), *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* (BT, Bacteroidetes), *Bifidobacterium longum* (BL,
Actinobacteria), and *Clostridium asparagiforme* (CG, Firmicutes). This community also contained
the target pathogens *S. typhimurium* (ST) and *S. aureus* (SA). We tested whether the DNA
sensors could accurately report the relative abundance of the two pathogens during community
assembly. The 6-member community was inoculated in equal initial species proportions based on
absorbance at 600 nm (OD600, Day 0) and cultured anaerobically for 24 hr (Day 1). An aliquot of
the community was transferred to fresh media and community composition was characterized
following an additional 24 hr (Day 2). Based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing, the abundance of *S.*
typhimurium was similar as a function of time whereas the abundance of *S. aureus* decreased
over time (**Fig. 3C**).

We characterized the ability of the DNA sensors to accurately track the temporal trends in
species abundance by introducing purified community gDNA collected at different times into a
mixed culture of the ST-R and SA-G sensors (**Fig. 3D**). Due to the low abundance of target
species in the sample, a higher amount of DNA (1 µg/mL) was used for transformation. Consistent
with the trends based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing, the number of GFP fluorescent colonies of
the SA-G sensor decreased at sequential time points, whereas the number of RFP fluorescent
colonies of ST-R sensor were similar at sequential time points (**Fig. 3D-F**). The SA sensor
displayed better performance in mirroring the trend from 16S rRNA gene sequencing than the ST
sensor, consistent with its higher sensitivity than other sensors (**Fig. 2A,3E,F**). For the community
lacking *S. typhimurium* and *S. aureus*, a much smaller number of background colonies was
detected than in the 6-member community. This implies that the ST and SA sensors were specific
to the target species gDNA and did not generate false positives in the presence of the other
constituent community member gDNA (**Fig. S9**). In sum, our results show that accurate

multiplexed DNA detection can be achieved in samples derived from multi-species microbial
communities.

Detection of target species without DNA extraction

Specific bacterial species have been shown to release eDNA in response to environmental
stimuli[11], suggesting that the DNA sensor could detect species without requiring prior gDNA
purification. To test this possibility, we co-cultured individual DNA sensor strains with the
corresponding donor species with an initial OD600 0.1 of the target strain (1.22×10^8 CFU/mL,
1.07×10^8 CFU/mL, 3.2×10^8 CFU/mL, and 1.1×10^7 CFU/mL for *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, *S. aureus*,
and C. difficile, respectively) (**Fig. 4A**). Since the other species could compete with *B. subtilis*, we
introduced specific antibiotics (ABX) to inhibit the growth of the donor cells and enhance donor
eDNA release. The DNA sensor strains are resistant to the antibiotics since they harbor the
appropriate antibiotic resistance genes. In the presence of 100 μg/mL spectinomycin, the DNA
sensors displayed robust detection of *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, and *S. aureus* (**Fig. 4B**). The
addition of spectinomycin was not required for *C. difficile* detection since the growth of *C. difficile*
is negatively impacted by the presence of oxygen[30].

To confirm the transformation was mediated by the eDNA released from the target strain,
DNase I (1 unit/mL) was added into the co-culture. The number of transformed cells was
substantially lower in the presence of DNase I, indicating that DNA detection occurred via natural
transformation in the co-cultures (**Fig. 4B**). Antibiotic resistance is prevalent in microbiomes and
may not be used universally as a treatment for the donor cells. Therefore, we tested if heat
treatment could be used to efficiently release donor cell DNA. Incubation of *E. coli* at 90°C for 10
minutes substantially enhanced the EC sensor detection limit (5×10^6 CFU/mL) compared to the
addition of spectinomycin (**Fig. 4C**). In sum, detection of target DNA sequences directly from
crude samples in the absence of DNA purification could enable the deployment of the DNA
sensors for different future applications.

To evaluate the robustness of the DNA sensing function, we characterized the
performance of the DNA sensors for multiplexed detection of spike-in bacteria in the presence of
cecal contents derived from germ-free mice that were orally gavaged with a defined bacterial
consortium (Methods). Mouse ceca contain other bacterial species, host cells and other chemical
compounds (e.g. dietary factors), and thus can be used to evaluate the robustness of the DNA
sensor. To this end, we introduced varying amounts of *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* into 10 mg of
mouse ceca, incubated these samples at 90°C for 10 minutes, and then transferred the samples
into a mixed culture containing the EC-G and ST-R sensors (**Fig. 4D**). Our results demonstrated

that both sensors can detect *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* cells in ceca without DNA extraction. In

particular, the EC and ST sensors displayed a detection limit of 10^7 CFU/mL (**Fig. 4D**). In samples

containing a single donor species, high density of *E. coli* or *S. typhimurium* (10^8 CFU/mL) yielded

infrequent false positives for the multiplexed DNA detection. This suggests that further

optimization of the DNA sensors may be needed in complex samples containing high donor cell

densities that are heat treated (**Fig. S10**). In sum, we show that the cell-based DNA sensors can

robustly perform DNA detection of heat-treated samples that contain the target sequence.

DISCUSSION

Here we engineered the naturally competent bacterium *B. subtilis* to sense and respond to

specific DNA sequences. DNA sensing can be achieved for purified DNA or eDNA released from

pre-treated samples containing donor cells harboring the target sequence. We demonstrate that

DNA sensing can be sensitive and specific, and multiplexed sensing can be achieved by

engineering sensors with orthogonal reporter genes. Detection of species using a living cell-based

DNA sensor strain opens avenues for future research for versatile sensing of species and does

not rely on chemical or physical signals[31,32]. Since our circuit design is modular, customized

sensors could be constructed in the future for the detection of sequences derived from diverse

organisms including viruses, fungi and mammalian cells.

The DNA detection limit is impacted by the frequency of background mutations and could

be improved by reducing the background genetic mutation rate. For example, counter-selectable

markers[33] that can achieve lower background mutation rate could be used to optimize the strength

of negative growth selection. The mutation rate can also be reduced by deleting endogenous

genes in *B. subtilis* that promote mutagenesis such as the transcription conflict factor *mfd*[34]. The

reduction of mutation rates is also critical for long-term implementation of living DNA sensors in

the environments[35].

The time required for DNA detection using the cell-based DNA sensors is relatively slow

compared to other diagnostic methods[36]. In particular, the total time required for DNA detection

including transformation and selection is approximately one day, which is not suitable for certain

applications that require a rapid response. To reduce the detection time, directed evolution or

rational design of the natural competence pathway could be used for enhancing the

transformation efficiency of *B. subtilis*[37]. This in turn would reduce the time required for

transformation and detection of GFP in liquid media. In addition, some naturally competent

bacteria such as *Streptococcus pneumoniae* can achieve 50% transformation efficiency[38]. This

transformation efficiency is substantially higher than our constructed DNA sensors (10^{-5}-10^{-4}).

With a suitable chassis with a high transformation ability, GFP expression could be observed more rapidly following transformation, which requires a few hours.

The living DNA sensors have potential for *in vitro* DNA detection applications. One unique feature of the cell-based DNA sensor is the long homology within the landing pad region of the circuit, distinct from PCR-based methods that use short recognition sequences. Therefore, the target DNA sequence can be specified at the level of genes or pathways (i.e. biosynthetic gene clusters), and the DNA sensor could be used to mine such sequences from metagenomic DNA[39]. In addition, the access to NGS sequencing or multiplexed qPCR may not be widely available[36,40]. By contrast, the cell-based DNA detection is relatively simple and cost-effective. The DNA sensors may be suitable for large-scale screening with limited experimental resources. Further, *B. subtilis* sensors could be stored as spores for easy and long-term storage[41]. The metrics used in this study (sequence identity similarity and coverage) should be systematically examined using existing sequencing data and experimental characterization to elucidate sequence design rules for homologous recombination. In addition, tools from machine learning could be used to predict the impact of landing pad sequences on the fitness of *B. subtilis* to minimize any negative effects on growth rate[42].

One of the most unique aspects of this system is the potential for *in situ* DNA detection. *B. subtilis* has been shown to colonize or reside temporarily in diverse environments including soil and the mammalian gastrointestinal tract[43,44], enabling *in situ* DNA monitoring. For example, living DNA sensors could be introduced into gastrointestinal tract or plant-associated environment to monitor microbiome dynamics by sensing and recording in real-time[45]. The sensing mechanisms could be coupled to the release of antimicrobials to target specific pathogens[46]. A recent study demonstrated that the naturally competent bacterium *Acinetobacter baylyi* (*A. baylyi*) can be engineered to detect tumor DNA in the mouse colon[47], demonstrating a potential application of *in situ* DNA detection. In their study, the native CRISPR system in *A. baylyi* was exploited to detect a single mutation in the *KRAS* gene in cancer cells. Similar CRISPR systems could be incorporated into our current circuit design in the future to discriminate between single-nucleotide differences. In sum, we believe that engineering DNA-sensing bacteria could open new avenues for both *in vitro* and *in situ* applications in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid and strain construction

All DNA sensor strains were derived from *B. subtilis* PY79. Plasmids constructed in this work are listed in **Table S1**. The pAX01-comK plasmid was purchased from Bacillus Genetic

Stock Center (BGSC ID: ECE222) to introduce P_{xylA}-*comK* at the *lacA* locus in *B. subtilis* PY79 by

the selection of MLS (1 µg/mL erythromycin from Sigma-Aldrich and 25 µg/mL lincomycin from

Thermo Fisher Scientific) to enhance the transformation efficiency in LB[17,48]. Genes of fluorescent

protein GFP(Sp), mCherry, and mTagBFP were cloned from plasmid pDR111_GFP(Sp)[10] (BGSC

ID: ECE278), plasmid mCherry_Bsu[11] (BGSC ID: ECE756), and plasmid mTagBFP_Bsu[11] (BGSC

ID: ECE745) to construct fluorescent reporter plasmids pOSV00170, pOSV00455 and

pOSV00456, respectively. The fluorescent reporter was introduced at the *ycgO* locus by the

selection of 5 µg/mL chloramphenicol (MilliporeSigma). The null DNA detection plasmid

pOSV00157 was composed of Repressor *lacI* and IPTG-inducible toxin-antitoxin system

$P_{hyperspank}$-*txpA-ratA* and can be introduced at the *amyE* locus by the selection of 100 µg/mL

spectinomycin (Dot Scientific). The toxin-antitoxin system *txpA-ratA* was PCR amplified from *B.*

subtilis 168 gDNA.

B. subtilis, *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* were all cultured at 37°C

in Lennox LB medium (MilliporeSigma). *C. difficile* and gut bacterial strains *A. caccae*, *B.*

thetaiotaomicron, *C. asparagiforme*, *C. hiranonis*, *and B. longum* were cultured at 37°C in YBHI

medium in an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratory). YBHI medium is Brain-Heart Infusion Medium

(Acumedia Lab) supplemented with 0.5% Bacto Yeast Extract (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 1

mg/mL D-Cellobiose (MilliporeSigma), 1 mg/mL D-maltose (MilliporeSigma), and 0.5 mg/mL L-

cysteine (MilliporeSigma). The gDNA of each species was extracted using DNeasy Blood &

Tissue Kit (Qiagen). For *S. aureus* gDNA extraction, 0.1 mg/mL Lysostaphin (MilliporeSigma) was

added in the pre-treatment step in combination with enzymatic lysis buffer (Qiagen). Bacterial

strains are listed in **Table S2**.

The target sequences *xdhABC* were PCR amplified from *E. coli* MG1655 gDNA (NCBI

Reference Sequence: NC_000913.3; Location: 3001505-3004004 and 3004005-3006504),

sipBCDA from *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium LT2 ATCC 700720 (NCBI Reference

Sequence: NC_003197.2; Location: 3025979-3028478 and 3028479-3030978), *hemEH* from *S.*

aureus DSM 2569 (GenBank: LHUS02000002.1; Location: 553-2770 and 2864-5638), and

pheST from *C. difficile* DSM 27147 (GenBank: FN545816.1; Location: 770923-773144 and

773157-775686) to construct a set of plasmids (pOSV00169, pOSV00205, pOSV00206,

pOSV00207, pOS00208, pOSV00292, pOSV00459 and pOSV00475) using restriction enzymes

BamHI-HF (New England Biolabs) and EcoRI-HF (New England Biolabs) or Golden Gate

Assembly Mix (New England Biolabs). DNA sequences of genetic parts are listed in **Table S3**.

All plasmids were constructed using *E. coli* DH5α and transformed into *B. subtilis* using

MC medium[49]. MC medium is composed of 10.7 g/L potassium phosphate dibasic (Chem-Impex

International), 5.2 g/L potassium phosphate monobasic (MilliporeSigma), 20 g/L glucose
(MilliporeSigma), 0.88 g/L sodium citrate dihydrate (MilliporeSigma), 0.022 g/L ferric ammonium
citrate (MilliporeSigma), 1 g/L Oxoid casein hydrolysate (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2.2 g/L
potassium L-glutamate (MilliporeSigma), and 20 mM magnesium sulfate (MilliporeSigma).
Plasmids were extracted from *E. coli* DH5α using Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) for transformation
of *B. subtilis*. *B. subtilis* was inoculated into MC medium and incubated at 37°C for 2 hours.
Extracted plasmids were added into B. subtilis cell culture and incubated 37°C for another 4 hours.
Transformed *B. subtilis* were selected on the LB agar plate with selective antibiotics. Double
crossover was verified for colonies by the replacement of a different antibiotic resistance gene at
the integration locus.
353
DNA detection using cell-based sensors
DNA sensor strain was inoculated from the -80°C glycerol stock into LB medium with 100
µg/mL spectinomycin and incubated at 37°C with shaking (250 rpm) for 14 hours. On the next
day, the OD600 of overnight culture was measured by NanoDrop One (Thermo Fisher Scientific)
and diluted to OD0.1 in 1 mL LB in 14 mL Falcon™ Round-Bottom Tube (Thermo Fisher Scientific)
supplemented with 50 mM xylose (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 100 µg/mL spectinomycin (Dot
Scientific). Xylose was added to induce the competence. Spectinomycin was added to avoid
contamination from other bacteria but it was not required. The sample gDNA was quantified by
the Quant-iT dsDNA Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and supplemented in sensor culture
with known concentration. The DNA sensor culture was incubated at 37°C with shaking (250 rpm)
for 10 hours for transformation. Culture of transformed sensors (5 µL) was plated onto a 12-well
plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for selection. In these plates, each well contained 1 mL LB agar
supplemented with 2 mM IPTG (Bioline), 5 µg/mL chloramphenicol (MilliporeSigma), and MLS (1
µg/mL erythromycin from Sigma-Aldrich and 25 µg/mL lincomycin from Thermo Fisher Scientific).
Antibiotics were used in agar to avoid contamination. GFP-expressing colonies were imaged
using Azure Imaging System 300 (Azure Biosystems) using Epi Blue LED Light Imaging with 50
millisecond exposure time. CFU was counted manually. Transformation efficiency is defined as
the ratio of CFU on selective plates (transformed *B. subtilis* with GFP expression) to the CFU on
non-selective plate (total *B. subtilis*). To count CFU of total *B. subtilis*, cell culture was serially
diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Dot Scientific) and plated onto LB agar plate
supplemented with 5 µg/mL chloramphenicol and MLS. Since the total *B. subtilis* CFU was similar
for most conditions, CFU of transformed cells was used to indicate detection efficiency.

To quantify the sensitivity or specificity of sensors, cell culture was transferred to liquid LB
medium with a 1:20 dilution after transformation with serially diluted DNA from 1500 ng/mL to 1
ng/mL. To test the specificity towards gDNA from different strains, 100 ng/mL purified DNA was
used for transformation. LB medium was supplemented with 2 mM IPTG (Bioline), 5 µg/mL
chloramphenicol (MilliporeSigma), and MLS. Diluted cell culture was transferred to a 96-well black
and clear-bottom CELLSTAR® microplate with 100 uL volume in each well (Greiner Bio-One).
Plate was sealed with Breathe-Easy Adhesive Microplate Seals (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and
incubated in the SPARK Multimode Microplate Reader (TECAN) at 37°C with shaking for time-
series OD600 and GFP measurements. A threshold of GFP fluorescence 400 was used to
determine the detection time for different DNA or different concentrations. The threshold was
placed in the region of exponential amplification of GFP across all of the conditions where the
difference in the detection time between different conditions was not affected much by the choice
of threshold. Unpaired *t*-test was performed to determine if the detection time of specific DNA
concentration is different than the background without DNA (N=4). The detection limit is the lowest
DNA concentration with a statistical difference. A straight line was fitted to the mean detection
time of the four technical replicates with statistical difference versus the logarithmic DNA
concentration. DNA mass per mL in detection limit (1 ng, 62.5 ng, 4 ng, and 16 ng) and genome
size (4639675 bp, 4857450 bp, 2827820 bp, and 4153430 bp) were converted to chromosome
copy number by NEBioCalculator for *E. coli* (2.10×10^5), *S. typhimurium* (1.25×10^7), *S. aureus*
(1.38×10^6), and *C. difficile* (3.75×10^6), respectively.

Bioinformatic analysis of the specificity of target DNA
To analyze if the target sequence is conserved for the target species, we searched the 5000 bp
of *E. coli xdhABC*, *S. typhimurium sipBCDA*, *S. aureus hemEH*, and *C. difficile pheST* DNA
sequence within the same species in NCBI Nucleotide Collection Database. Nucleotide BLAST
was optimized for somewhat similar sequences (blastn). The search was specified to taxid 561
for *E. coli*, taxid 28901 for *S. enterica*, taxid 1280 for *S. aureus*, and taxid 1496 for *C. difficile*.
Accession date of data is 2022-08-19. For the same strains with target sequence with more than
95% identity similarity and 95% coverage, the percentage is 99% (N=3462), 94.3% (N=2078),
95.6% (N=1488), and 96.4% (N=139) for *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, *S. aureus*, and *C. difficile*,
respectively. To analyze if the target sequence is conserved in other species, the same search
was performed excluding the same species. Homologs with varying identity similarity and
coverage were found in different species for the target sequences *E. coli xdhABC* (N=5000), *S.*
typhimurium sipBCDA (N=117), *S. aureus hemEH* (N=2993), and *C. difficile pheST* (N=5000).

Dot plot of homology coverage and identity similarity of each hit were shown for each target
sequence.

Multiplexed DNA detection using cell-based sensors
Overnight cultures of DNA sensor strains EC-G, ST-R and SA-B were diluted to OD0.1, OD0.1,
and OD0.01, respectively, in one single culture containing 1 mL LB supplemented with 50 mM
xylose (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 100 µg/mL spectinomycin (Dot Scientific). Different
combinations of gDNA of *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, and *S. aureus* (200 ng/mL each) were added
into the mixed culture containing three DNA sensor strains in separate 14 mL Falcon tubes
(Thermo Fisher Scientific). The DNA sensor strains were incubated at 37°C with shaking (250
rpm) for 10 hours and 5 µL of cell culture was plated onto 12-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
In these plates, each well contained 1 mL LB agar supplemented with 2 mM IPTG, 5 µg/mL
chloramphenicol, and MLS. Plates were incubated at 37°C overnight for bacterial growth.
On the next day, each well was imaged using Nikon Eclipse Ti-E Microscope. Brightfield
images were collected at 4X magnification using the built-in transilluminator of the microscope.
Fluorescence images were collected with the epifluorescence light source X-Cite 120 (Excelitas)
and standard band filter cubes including BFP (Excitation: 395/25 nm, Emission: 460/50 nm,
Chroma), GFP (Excitation: 470/40 nm, Emission: 525/50 nm, Nikon) and Texas Red (Excitation:
560/40 nm, Emission: 630/70 nm, Nikon) to image BFP, GFP, and RFP, respectively. Pixels were
processed with 8x8 binning when taking images. The exposure times for BFP, GFP, RFP were
1.5 ms, 1.5 ms, and 7 ms, respectively. Complete images of each LB agar well were generated
from multipoint images after scanning a 24x24 mm area. Once the full images were assembled,
each of the four channels were mapped to unity by the minimum and maximum pixel values of all
images for that channel using ImageJ. Colonies of different colors were counted manually.

Multiplexed detection in complex DNA samples using NGS or cell-based DNA sensors
Bacterial species *A. caccae*, *B. thetaiotaomicron*, *B. longum*, *C. asparagiforme*, *S. typhimurium*,
and *S. aureus* were inoculated from the -80°C glycerol stock into YBHI medium and incubated at
37°C anaerobically overnight. On the next day, cell culture of each strain was diluted to OD0.01
in YBHI (Passage 0) and incubated for 24 hours (Passage 1). Cell culture was diluted to OD0.1
into a fresh YBHI and incubated for another 24 hours (Passage 2). At each passage, cell pellet
was collected for DNA extraction using DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen). To extract *S. aureus*
gDNA, 0.1 mg/mL Lysostaphin (MilliporeSigma) was added in the pre-treatment step in

combination with enzymatic lysis buffer (Qiagen). Purified DNA was stored at -20°C before further
processing for NGS or cell-based detection.

To use NGS for characterizing microbial community composition, the V3-V4 region of the
16S rRNA gene was PCR amplified from extracted DNA using custom dual-indexed primers on a
96-well PCR plate (detailed method described in Clark et al. Nat. Comm., 2021[50]). PCR products
from each well were pooled and purified using DNA Clean & Concentrator kit (Zymo). The
resulting library was sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq using a MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 (500-cycle)
to generate 2×250 paired-end reads. Sequencing data were demultiplexed using Basespace
Sequencing Hub's FastQ Generation program. Custom python scripts were used for further data
processing and sequences were mapped to the 16S rRNA reference database created using
consensus sequences from Sanger sequencing data of monospecies cultures. Relative
abundance was calculated as the read count mapped to each species divided by the total number
of reads of all species.

To use cell-based DNA sensors to detect *S. typhimurium* and *S. aureus* gDNA in the
community DNA, 1000 ng/mL extracted community DNA was added to the mixture of SA-G
(OD0.1) and ST-R sensors (OD0.1) for the multiplexed detection of *S. typhimurium* and *S. aureus*.
The DNA sensor strains were incubated at 37°C with shaking (250 rpm) for 10 hours and 5 µL of
cell culture was plated onto 12-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific) containing 1 mL LB agar
supplemented with 2 mM IPTG, 5 µg/mL chloramphenicol, and MLS. Plates were incubated at
37°C overnight for bacterial growth. On the next day, each well was imaged using Nikon Eclipse
Ti-E Microscope. Brightfield and fluorescence images of GFP and RFP were collected at 4X
magnification using the same procedure described in the previous section for the multiplexed
DNA detection. Colony numbers were counted manually. The fold change of mean colony
numbers compared to Day 1 was compared with the fold change of relative abundance by NGS
results.

Direct detection of target species in the co-culture using cell-based sensors
E. coli, *S. typhimurium*, and *S. aureus* and the corresponding DNA sensor strains were inoculated
from the -80°C glycerol stock into LB medium and incubated at 37°C with shaking (250 rpm) for
14 hours. *C. difficile* was separately inoculated in YBHI medium and incubated in an anaerobic
chamber (Coy Laboratory). On the next day, cell culture of sensor and target strain were diluted
to an OD0.1 each in a single culture containing 1 mL LB supplemented with 50 mM xylose with
or without 100 µg/mL spectinomycin in 14 mL Falcon tubes (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Overnight
culture of target strains (14 hr) was diluted in PBS (Dot Scientific) and plated onto LB agar plates

or YBHI agar plates to determine the initial CFU of target bacteria in the co-culture (OD0.1), which was 1.22×10^8 CFU/mL, 1.07×10^8 CFU/mL, 3.2×10^8 CFU/mL, and 1.1×10^7 CFU/mL for *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, *S. aureus*, *and C. difficile*, respectively. Sensor and target strains were co-cultured at 37°C with shaking (250 rpm) for 10 hours, and 5 µL of cell culture was plated onto 12-well plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Each well contained 1 mL LB agar supplemented with 2 mM IPTG, 5 µg/mL chloramphenicol, and MLS for the selection of transformed *B. subtilis*. The 12-well plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. On the next day, fluorescent colonies were imaged by Azure Imaging System 300 (Azure Biosystems) using the Epi Blue LED Light Imaging with 50 millisecond exposure time. Colonies with GFP expression were counted manually. To determine if the detection of target bacteria was via transformation, 1 µL (1 unit) DNase I (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to the 1 mL co-culture. One unit of DNase I can completely degrade 1 µg of plasmid DNA in 10 min at 37°C according to the manufacturer's specification.

To improve the detection efficiency, overnight culture of *E. coli* was incubated at 90°C in digital dry baths/block heaters (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 10 min and placed on ice for 3 min before being transferred to the sensor culture containing 1 mL LB, 50 mM xylose, and OD0.1 of sensor strain for detection. Spectinomycin was not used in the sensor culture for heat-treated samples. To test the multiplexed detection of *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* in mice cecal samples, 10 mg cecal samples were first resuspended with 100 µL LB and 50 mM xylose in 1.7 mL Eppendorf tubes (Dot Scientific). Different amounts of overnight culture of *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium* were spiked into cecal samples. The cecal samples were then incubated at 90°C for 10 min and sat on ice for 3 min before being transferred to the mixed culture of EC-G and ST-R sensors (1 mL LB, 50 mM xylose, OD0.1 of EC-G, and OD0.1 of ST-R) for multiplexed detection. Cecal samples were collected from germ-free mouse experiments following protocols approved by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Animal Care and Use Committee. Briefly, 8-week-old C57BL/6 gnotobiotic male mice (wild-type) were inoculated with 8 human gut bacteria - *Dorea formicigenerans*, *Coprococcus comes*, *Anaerostipes caccae*, *Bifidobacterium longum*, *Bifidobacterium adolescentis*, *Bacteroides vulgatus*, *Bacteroides caccae*, and *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* via oral gavage. After four weeks of colonization, mice were euthanized for cecal sample collection. Cecal samples were stored at -80°C and thawed for the use in multiplexed detection of spike-in *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
We would like to thank Dr. Scott Coyle and Zhejing Xu for the use of Nikon Eclipse Ti2-E Microscope. We also want to thank Yiyi Liu for providing the mice cecal samples. This work was

supported by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) Grant HR0011-19-2-0002.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.Y.C., B.M.B. and O.S.V. conceived the research. Y.Y.C., and Z.C. performed the experiments. Y.Y.C., Z.C., and X.C. constructed the sensors. T.D.R. processed the microscopic images. T.G.F. assisted with the strain construction. Y.Y.C. and O.S.V. wrote the manuscript. O.S.V. secured the funding.

COMPETING INTERESTS

O.S.V., Y.Y.C., and Z.C. have filed a provisional application with the US Patent and Trademark Office on this work (U.S. Provisional Patent No. 63/290,442). The other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Brophy, J. A. N. & Voigt, C. A. Principles of genetic circuit design. *Nature Methods* **11**, (2014).
2. Levskaya, A. *et al.* Engineering Escherichia coli to see light. *Nature* **438**, 441–442 (2005).
3. Bourdeau, R. W. *et al.* Acoustic reporter genes for noninvasive imaging of microorganisms in mammalian hosts. *Nature* **553**, (2018).
4. You, L., Cox, R. S., Weiss, R. & Arnold, F. H. Programmed population control by cell-cell communication and regulated killing. *Nature* **428**, (2004).
5. Danino, T., Mondragón-Palomino, O., Tsimring, L. & Hasty, J. A synchronized quorum of genetic clocks. *Nature* **463**, (2010).
6. Liao, M. J., Din, M. O., Tsimring, L. & Hasty, J. Rock-paper-scissors: Engineered population dynamics increase genetic stability. *Science (80-.).* **365**, (2019).
7. Saeidi, N. *et al.* Engineering microbes to sense and eradicate Pseudomonas aeruginosa, a human pathogen. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **7**, (2011).
8. Borrero, J., Chen, Y., Dunny, G. M. & Kaznessis, Y. N. Modified lactic acid bacteria detect and inhibit multiresistant enterococci. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **4**, (2015).
9. Scott, S. R. & Hasty, J. Quorum Sensing Communication Modules for Microbial Consortia. *ACS Synth. Biol.* **5**, (2016).
10. Sexton, J. T. & Tabor, J. J. Multiplexing cell-cell communication. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **16**, (2020).
11. Ibáñez de Aldecoa, A. L., Zafra, O. & González-Pastor, J. E. Mechanisms and regulation of extracellular DNA release and its biological roles in microbial communities. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, 1390 (2017).
12. Johnston, C., Martin, B., Fichant, G., Polard, P. & Claverys, J.-P. Bacterial transformation: distribution, shared mechanisms and divergent control. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **12**, 181–196 (2014).
13. Carrasco, B., Serrano, E., Martín-González, A., Moreno-Herrero, F. & Alonso, J. C. Bacillus subtilis MutS modulates RecA-mediated DNA strand exchange between divergent DNA sequences. *Front. Microbiol.* (2019). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00237
14. Popp, P. F., Dotzler, M., Radeck, J., Bartels, J. & Mascher, T. The Bacillus BioBrick Box 2.0: Expanding the genetic toolbox for the standardized work with Bacillus subtilis. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, (2017).
15. Dubnau, D. Genetic competence in Bacillus subtilis. *Microbiological Reviews* (1991).
16. Ji, M. *et al.* Engineering Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6051a for the production of recombinant catalases. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **48**, (2021).

17.   Zhang, X.-Z. & Zhang, Y.-H. P. Simple, fast and high-efficiency transformation system for
directed evolution of cellulase in Bacillus subtilis. *Microb. Biotechnol.* **4**, 98–105 (2011).
18.   Silvaggi, J. M., Perkins, J. B. & Losick, R. Small untranslated RNA antitoxin in Bacillus
subtilis. *J. Bacteriol.* **187**, (2005).
19.   Maamar, H. & Dubnau, D. Bistability in the Bacillus subtilis K-state (competence) system
requires a positive feedback loop. *Mol. Microbiol.* (2005). doi:10.1111/j.1365-
2958.2005.04592.x
20.   Xi, H., Schneider, B. L. & Reitzer, L. Purine catabolism in Escherichia coli and function of
xanthine dehydrogenase in purine salvage. *J. Bacteriol.* **182**, (2000).
21.   McClelland, M. *et al.* Complete genome sequence of Salmonella enterica serovar
Typhimurium LT2. *Nature* **413**, (2001).
22.   Stabler, R. A. *et al.* Comparative genome and phenotypic analysis of Clostridium difficile
027 strains provides insight into the evolution of a hypervirulent bacterium. *Genome Biol.*
10, (2009).
23.   Soni, I., Chakrapani, H. & Chopra, S. Draft genome sequence of methicillin-sensitive
Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213. *Genome Announc.* **3**, (2015).
24.   Tucker, S. C. & Galán, J. E. Complex function for SicA, a Salmonella enterica serovar
typhimurium type III secretion-associated chaperone. *J. Bacteriol.* **182**, (2000).
25.   Lobo, S. A. L. *et al.* Staphylococcus aureus haem biosynthesis: Characterisation of the
enzymes involved in final steps of the pathway. *Mol. Microbiol.* **97**, (2015).
26.   Beyer, D. *et al.* New Class of Bacterial Phenylalanyl-tRNA Synthetase Inhibitors with High
Potency and Broad-Spectrum Activity. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **48**, (2004).
27.   Ibekwe, A. M. & Grieve, C. M. Detection and quantification of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in
environmental samples by real-time PCR. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **94**, (2003).
28.   Jung, B. Y., Suk, C. J. & Chang, H. K. Development of a rapid immunochromatographic
strip for detection of Escherichia coli O157. *J. Food Prot.* **68**, (2005).
29.   Serrano, E., Ramos, C., Alonso, J. C. & Ayora, S. Recombination proteins differently
control the acquisition of homeologous DNA during Bacillus subtilis natural chromosomal
transformation. *Environ. Microbiol.* **23**, (2021).
30.   Giordano, N. *et al.* Cysteine desulfurase IscS2 plays a role in oxygen resistance in
Clostridium difficile. *Infect. Immun.* **86**, (2018).
31.   Papagiannopoulou, C., Parchen, R., Rubbens, P. & Waegeman, W. Fast Pathogen
Identification Using Single-Cell Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization-Aerosol
Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry Data and Deep Learning Methods. *Anal. Chem.* **92**,
(2020).
32.   Nißler, R. *et al.* Remote near infrared identification of pathogens with multiplexed
nanosensors. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, (2020).
33.   Dong, H. & Zhang, D. Current development in genetic engineering strategies of Bacillus
species. *Microb. Cell Fact.* **13**, (2014).
34.   Ragheb, M. N., Merrikh, C., Browning, K. & Merrikh, H. Mfd regulates RNA polymerase
association with hard-to-transcribe regions in vivo, especially those with structured RNAs.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **118**, (2020).
35.   Renda, B. A., Hammerling, M. J. & Barrick, J. E. Engineering reduced evolutionary
potential for synthetic biology. *Molecular BioSystems* **10**, (2014).
36.   Law, J. W. F., Mutalib, N. S. A., Chan, K. G. & Lee, L. H. Rapid methods for the detection
of foodborne bacterial pathogens: Principles, applications, advantages and limitations.
Front. Microbiol. **5**, (2014).
37.   Rahmer, R., Heravi, K. M. & Altenbuchner, J. Construction of a super-competent Bacillus
subtilis 168 using the PmtlA-comKS inducible cassette. *Front. Microbiol.* **6**, 1431 (2015).
38.   Kurushima, J. *et al.* Unbiased homeologous recombination during pneumococcal
transformation allows for multiple chromosomal integration events. *Elife* **9**, (2020).

39.   Burian, J. *et al.* High-throughput retrieval of target sequences from complex clone
614   libraries using CRISPRi. *Nat. Biotechnol. 2022* 1–5 (2022). doi:10.1038/s41587-022-
615   01531-8
616   40.   Gu, W., Miller, S. & Chiu, C. Y. Clinical Metagenomic Next-Generation Sequencing for
617   Pathogen Detection. *Annu. Rev. Pathol. Mech. Dis.* **14**, (2019).
618   41.   Liu, F., Li, J., Zhang, T., Chen, J. & Ho, C. L. Engineered Spore-Forming Bacillus as a
619   Microbial Vessel for Long-Term DNA Data Storage . *ACS Synth. Biol.* (2022).
620   doi:10.1021/ACSSYNBIO.2C00291/SUPPL_FILE/SB2C00291_SI_001.PDF
621   42.   Gomes, A. L. C. *et al.* Genome and sequence determinants governing the expression of
622   horizontally acquired DNA in bacteria. *ISME J.* **14**, (2020).
623   43.   Beauregard, P. B., Chai, Y., Vlamakis, H., Losick, R. & Kolter, R. Bacillus subtilis biofilm
624   induction by plant polysaccharides. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, (2013).
625   44.   Tam, N. K. M. *et al.* The intestinal life cycle of Bacillus subtilis and close relatives. *J.*
626   *Bacteriol.* (2006). doi:10.1128/JB.188.7.2692-2700.2006
627   45.   Sheth, R. U. & Wang, H. H. DNA-based memory devices for recording cellular events.
628   *Nature Reviews Genetics* (2018). doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0052-8
629   46.   González, L. M., Mukhitov, N. & Voigt, C. A. Resilient living materials built by printing
630   bacterial spores. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **16**, (2020).
631   47.   Cooper, R. M. *et al.* Engineered bacteria detect tumor DNA in vivo. *bioRxiv*
632   2021.09.10.459858 (2021). doi:10.1101/2021.09.10.459858
633   48.   Cheng, Y.-Y., Papadopoulos, J. M., Falbel, T., Burton, B. M. & Venturelli, O. S. Efficient
634   plasmid transfer via natural competence in a synthetic microbial community. *bioRxiv*
635   2020.10.19.342733v2 (2020). doi:10.1101/2020.10.19.342733
636   49.   Konkol, M. A., Blair, K. M. & Kearns, D. B. Plasmid-encoded comi inhibits competence in
637   the ancestral 3610 strain of Bacillus subtilis. *J. Bacteriol.* **195**, (2013).
638   50.   Clark, R. L. *et al.* Design of synthetic human gut microbiome assembly and butyrate
639   production. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, (2021).
640
641
642
643

Figure 1. Construction and characterization of a living cell-based DNA sensor. (A) Schematic of a synthetic genetic circuit constructed in *B. subtilis* that allows the recognition of specific extracellular DNA. The xylose-inducible master regulator of competence *comK* can induce B. subtilis to take up eDNA and undergo homologous recombination. The toxin-antitoxin system *txpA-ratA* and a distal fluorescent reporter *gfp* are regulated by the repressor *lacI*. The target sequences are split into two and introduced to the flanking region of toxin and repressor as landing pad. In the presence of target DNA, homologous recombination can remove the toxin and the repressor, so a sub-population of transformed cells can express GFP. To select the transformed cells, IPTG can induce the toxin to kill the non-transformed cells, so the fluorescence can be enhanced by the growth of transformed cells. **(B)** Line plot of transformation efficiency versus transformation time for the *E. coli* DNA sensor in the presence (solid lines) or absence (dashed lines) of 100 ng/mL purified *E. coli* gDNA as input. The colonies on agar plate can be fluorescent (green) or not (blue), coming from background escape mutation or transformation. Transformation efficiency as output is optimal at 10 hr. Data points represent three biological replicates and lines are the average of the replicates. **(C)** Line plot of transformation efficiency versus homology length of *E. coli xdhABC* operon on each side of flanking region in the circuit in the presence (solid lines) or absence (dashed lines) of 100 ng/mL purified *E. coli* gDNA as input. The colonies on agar plate can be fluorescent (green) or not (blue). The transformation efficiency as output is above the background escape mutation when the homology length is equal or greater than 1 kbp and increases as the homology increases. Data points represent three biological replicates and lines are the average of replicates.

Figure 2. Cell-based sensors can detect DNA from diverse bacteria with high sensitivity and specificity. (A) Transformation efficiency of DNA sensors that can detect *E. coli* (EC sensor), *S. typhimurium* (ST sensor), *S. aureus* (SA sensor), or *C. difficile* (CD sensor) in the presence of 100 ng/mL extracted gDNA (triangles) or no gDNA (circles) at 10 hr. Bar represents the average of three biological replicates. **(B)** Schematic of experimental procedure for quantifying GFP expression after transformation. **(C)** Time-series measurements of GFP expression of EC sensor in liquid medium after the transformation of varying *E. coli* gDNA concentrations (ng/mL). A threshold of GFP 400 was used to determine the detection time for each gDNA concentration.

Line is the average of four technical replicates and the shaded region represents one standard
deviation from the average. Detection time versus gDNA concentration for **(D)** EC sensor, **(E)** ST
sensor, **(F)** SA sensor, and **(G)** CD sensor. Horizontal line (pale color) is the background GFP
fluorescence in the absence of gDNA. Unpaired *t*-test was performed to determine if the detection
time with specific DNA concentration is different from the background fluorescence, and *, **, and
*** denote *p*-values < 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively. A straight line was fitted to the
transformation efficiencies versus logarithmic gDNA concentrations with statistical differences.
The slope of the fitted line was determined by the cell growth of *B. subtilis*, while the intercept was
determined by the background escape mutation. The coefficient of determination R^2 shows the
goodness of the fit. **(H)** Detection time of each DNA sensor after the transformation of 100 ng/mL
gDNA of a given donor species or no gDNA representing the negative control (NC). Each sensor
expressed GFP 3~4 hours earlier in the presence of gDNA from its target strain than gDNA from
other strains. Data are the average of four technical replicates. **(I)** Nucleotide BLAST search of
5000 bp *S. aureus hemEH* in the NCBI database. Each circle represents a homolog found in
species other than *S. aureus* and its coverage and identity similarity. A closely related human
commensal strain *S. epidermidis* with high coverage and similarity was selected for specificity test
using SA sensor. The region within dashed lines indicates *Staphylococcus* species that could be
recognized by the SA sensor based on their high similarity of homologous sequences. **(J)**
Comparison of colony numbers of SA sensor (with GFP expression) on selective agar plate after
the transformation of 100 ng/mL *S. aureus* gDNA, 100 ng/mL *S. epidermidis* gDNA or no gDNA.
Bar represents the average of three technical replicates. SA sensor can distinguish between *S.*
aureus and closely related *S. epidermidis*. **(K)** Nucleotide BLAST search of 5000 bp *C. difficile*
pheST in the NCBI database. Each circle represents a homolog found in species other than *C.*
difficile and its coverage and identity similarity. A closely related human commensal strain *C.*
hiranonis with high coverage and similarity was selected for specificity test using CD sensor. The
region within dashed lines indicates *Clostridium* species that could be recognized by the CD
sensor based on their high similarity of homologous sequences. **(L)** Comparison of colony
numbers of CD sensor (with GFP expression) on selective agar plate after the transformation of
100 ng/mL *C. difficile* gDNA, 100 ng/mL *C. hiranonis* gDNA or no gDNA. Bar represents the
average of three technical replicates. CD sensor can distinguish between *C. difficile* and closely
related *C. hiranonis*.

Figure 3. DNA sensors can perform multiplexed detection in complex DNA samples. (A)
Schematic of experimental procedure using the living cell-based DNA sensors for multiplexed
detection. *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, and *S. aureus* DNA sensors were labeled GFP (EC-G), RFP
(ST-R) and BFP (SA-B) for detection, respectively. Three sensors and gDNA extracted from
different strains were mixed into liquid medium for transformation. Transformed cells were
selected on agar and colonies expressing GFP, RFP and BFP can indicate the presence of target
DNA. **(B)** Numbers of GFP, RFP, or BFP-expressing colonies on agar plate after the
transformation of different combinations of gDNA extracted from *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, or *S.
aureus*. Sensors can report the presence of target DNA for all 8 different combinations. Bar
represents the average of three technical replicates. **(C)** Relative abundance of six species in a
synthetic gut microbial community composed of *S. aureus* (SA), *S. typhimurium* (ST),
Bifidobacterium longum (BL), *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* (BT), *Anaerostipes caccae* (AC), and
Clostridium asparagiforme (CG) over two days of growth. The six bacteria were co-cultured in
liquid medium anaerobically for 24 hours and cell culture was diluted in fresh medium once for
species to continue their competition. 16S rRNA gene of each strain was PCR amplified and
sequenced using NGS to determine the relative abundance over time. Bar represents the average
of three technical replicates of 16S rRNA sequencing. *S. typhimurium* abundance remained
similar while *S. aureus* abundance decreased over time. **(D)** Representative fluorescence images
of transformed SA-G and ST-R sensors on selective agar plate after the transformation of gDNA
extracted from the bacterial community in a mixture of SA-G and ST-R sensors. The numbers of
green and red colonies indicate the abundance of *S. aureus* and *S. typhimurium* in gut microbial
community, respectively. **(E)** Numbers of GFP or RFP-expressing colonies on agar plate after the
transformation of gDNA extracted from the community at different time. Green colonies (SA-G)
decreased over time while red colonies (ST-R) remained similar numbers. Bar represents the
average of three technical replicates. **(F)** Fold change of *S. aureus* and *S. typhimurium* abundance
compared to day 1 characterized by NGS (dashed line) or cell-based detection method (solid line).

Measurements of *S. aureus* (orange) by the two methods were similar, while characterization of
S. typhimurium (green) by the cell-based detection method had larger variability than NGS and
the data at Day 1 were statistically different determined by Unpaired *t*-test (*p*-values = 0.0015).

Figure 4. DNA sensors can directly detect target species without DNA extraction. **(A)**
Schematic of experimental design for the detection in the co-culture. Sensor and target strain
were co-cultured in liquid medium with or without selective antibiotics (ABX) to determine the
effect of antibiotic for detection. Heat-killed target strain was also tested without the use of
antibiotics in the co-culture. The cell culture was plated on IPTG and ABX (1 µg/mL erythromycin
and 25 µg/mL lincomycin) agar plates to select for transformed *B. subtilis*. **(B)** Colony numbers of
transformed EC, ST, SA, and CD sensors co-cultured with (1) target cell, (2) target cell and 100
µg/mL spectinomycin (spec), (3) target cell, spec, and 1 unit/mL DNase I, and (4) spec only.
Spectinomycin can enhance the detection of *E. coli*, *S. typhimurium*, and *S. aureus,* but it is not
required for *C. difficile* detection. Addition of DNase I in the co-culture reduced the numbers of
transformed sensors significantly. **(C)** Colony number of transformed EC sensor co-cultured with
E. coli using spectinomycin or co-cultured with heat-treated *E. coli*. Heat treatment of *E. coli* can
significantly increase the number of transformed EC sensor. **(D)** Colony number of transformed
EC-G and ST-R sensors co-cultured with heat-treated mice cecal samples spiked in with different
amounts of *E. coli* and *S. typhimurium*. Both sensors can detect the presence of target strains in
cecal samples. Unpaired *t*-test was performed to determine if the colony number is different from
no cell condition, and *, **, and *** denote *p*-values < 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively. Bar
represents the average of three technical replicates.