Influenza A Virus Compromises anti-Streptococcal Innate Immunity

Johann Volzke[1,*], Maria Brendel[1], Erik Weipert[1], Michael Müller[1], Daniel Schultz[2], Ko-Infekt

Study Group[2,3,4] , Brigitte Müller-Hilke[1]

[1]Core Facility for Cell Sorting and Cell Analysis, Rostock University Medical Center, Rostock,

Germany

[2]Institute of Biochemistry, University of Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany

[3]Institute of Immunology, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany

[4]Institute of Medical Microbiology, Virology and Hygiene, Rostock University Medical Center,

Rostock, Germany

*Correspondence: johann.volzke@med.uni-rostock.de

Abstract

Seasonal influenza epidemics pose a considerable hazard for global health. In the past
decades, accumulating evidence revealed that influenza A virus (IAV) renders the host vulnerable
to bacterial superinfections which in turn are a major cause for morbidity and mortality.
However, whether the impact of influenza on anti-bacterial innate immunity is restricted to the
vicinity of the lung or systemically extends to remote sites is underexplored. We therefore sought
to investigate intranasal infection of adult C57BL/6J mice with IAV H1N1 in combination with
bacteremia elicited by intravenous application of Group A Streptococcus (GAS). Co-infection *in*
vivo was supplemented *in vitro* by challenging murine bone marrow derived macrophages and
exploring gene expression and cytokine secretion. Our results show that viral infection of mice
caused mild disease, led to persistent pulmonary immune response in the lung and induced the
depletion of CCL2 in the periphery. Influenza preceding GAS infection promoted the unopposed
dissemination of bacteria and their invasion into remote tissues like lung and joints and was
accompanied by exacerbated sepsis. *In vitro* co-infection of macrophages led to significantly
elevated expression of TLR2 and CD80 compared to bacterial mono-infection, whereas CD163
and CD206 were downregulated. The GAS-inducible upregulation of inflammatory genes, such
as *Nos2*, as well as the secretion of TNFα and IL-1β were notably reduced or even abrogated
following co-infection. Our results indicate that IAV primes an innate immune layout that is
inadequately equipped for bacterial clearance.

keywords: influenza A virus, Group A Streptococcus, co-infection, inflammation, sepsis, macrophage, innate
immunity

1 Introduction

Seasonal influenza is a major cause of respiratory disease that affects 5 – 10% of the global population annually with an estimated death toll of up to 500,000 [1,2]. The segmented genome of influenza A virus (IAV) combined with an error-prone RNA polymerase enables the periodical emergence of new strains with elevated pandemic capacities, which annually challenge humankind yet devoid of adequate adaptive immunity [3,4]. The most prominent paradigm for the dramatic consequences of an influenza pandemic is the 1918/1919 flu that caused roughly 50 Million casualties [5]. Notably, the vast majority of fatal cases were attributed to secondary bacterial infections predominantly caused by pneumococci and hemolytic streptococci [6,7]. Along these lines, excess morbidity due to bacterial superinfection with the nasopharyngeal colonizers *S. pneumoniae*, *S. aureus* and *S. pyogenes* (Group A Streptococcus, GAS) was confirmed for the most recent influenza pandemic in 2009 [8]. As of yet, there is neither a licensed vaccine against S. *aureus* nor against *S. pyogenes* that would help contain invasive infections with these pathogens during future influenza pandemics [9–11].

Several modes by which an immune response against IAV supports viral clearance yet fails to oppose bacterial pathogens have been suggested [1]. For instance, Okamoto and colleagues demonstrated that IAV infection led to the presentation of hemagglutinin (HA) by epithelial cells, which is utilized by GAS to breach cellular barriers [12,13]. Other groups reported that HA, among other viral proteins, caused the exposure of receptors that act as adhesins for bacterial attachment and invasion [14–16]. Others showed that viral infection caused damage of the respiratory epithelium, expediting initial bacterial adherence [6,17,18]. Moreover, experimental data indicated that IAV paves the way for the dissemination of opportunistic bacterial pathogens by impacting the innate immune response, which is critical for bacterial containment [19,20]. In fact, the virus was shown to induce an increased secretion of anti-inflammatory interleukin (IL-

)10 as well as inflammatory type I and type II interferons (IFNs), which was associated with

both, impaired phagocytic activity by pulmonary immune cells and diminished production of

chemokines [14,19,21–24].

Together, these data illustrate some aspects of post-influenza pneumonia and the interplay

of viral and bacterial pneumopathogens in life-threatening infections. While the aforementioned

studies focused on bacterial superinfections of the respiratory tract, we were intrigued by the fact

that influenza outbreaks regularly coincide with a broad spectrum of invasive infectious diseases

like necrotizing fasciitis, septic arthritis and bacteremia associated with GAS [8,25–27]. We

therefore asked whether pulmonary IAV can also alter systemic innate immunity and facilitate

secondary bacterial insults at remote sites. We were particularly interested in the impact IAV

exerts on the response of macrophages – immune cells that are indispensable for initial anti-

streptococcal resistance [19,28,29]. We established co-infection models that *in vivo* combined

respiratory IAV infection with GAS bacteremia and *in vitro* investigated primary macrophages

for their potential to respond to both pathogens simultaneously.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Pathogens

Pandemic influenza A virus (IAV) A/Germany-BY/74/2009 (H1N1pdm09) propagation and titer determination was performed as previously described [30]. In brief, IAV was replicated in Mardin-Darby canine kidney II (MDCKII) cells using minimal essential medium supplemented with 0.2% bovine serum albumin and 2 µg/mL N-Tosyl-L-phenylalanin-chlormethylketon (Sigma). For the determination of the tissue culture infectious dose 50 ($TCID_{50}$), virus suspensions were serially diluted and applied to MDCKII cultures. Cells were then incubated for three days at 37°C and 5% CO_2 followed by examination of cytopathogenicity.

Streptococcus pyogenes (Group A Streptococcus, GAS) strain AP1 of the *emm1* (M1) serotype was originally acquired from the World Health Organization Collaborating Center for Reference and Research on Streptococci (Prague, Czech Republic). Bacteria were thawed onto Colombia agar plates containing 5% sheep blood (Becton Dickinson) and were cultured overnight followed by storage at 4°C for up to three weeks. Colonies were picked from the plate, suspended into Todd-Hewitt broth (THB, Becton Dickinson) and cultured overnight at 37°C and 5% CO_2. The suspension was diluted 20-fold in THB and bacteria were incubated until exponential phase of growth was reached. Subsequently, bacteria where washed thrice with PBS (Thermo Fisher) prior to their application in mice and *in vitro* infection models, respectively. The determination of colony forming units (CFU) was performed the following day by counting of serially diluted suspensions.

2.2 Animals

C57BL/6J mice were initially purchased from Charles River. Mice were bred in the animal core facility under specific germ-free conditions. Animals were transferred to individually ventilated cages prior to infection experiments and were housed at a 12-hour light/dark cycle, an

ambient temperature of $22 \pm 2°C$ and $50 \pm 20\%$ humidity. Food and water were provided *ad*

libitum. Animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of the State

Department for Agriculture, Food Safety and Fishery in Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania under

the file reference number 7221.3-1-017/19.

2.3   In vivo infection models and clinical scoring

For the induction of viral infections, 20 µL of a suspension containing 1.5×10^5 $TCID_{50}$

IAV were applied to both nostrils of 20- to 22-week old male mice under anesthesia by isoflurane

inhalation. This volume was chosen in order to guarantee an infection of both, the upper and

lower respiratory tracts [31]. Applying the same volume of PBS only served as the negative

(healthy) control. Mice were subsequently monitored daily for 16 days for alterations in body

weight relative to the day of infection (day 0). On days 2, 4 and 7, a maximum of 80 µL of anti-

coagulated blood was drawn by saphenous venipuncture using a 25G needle followed by

centrifugation and collection of plasma. On day 16, mice were anesthetized with 75 mg of

Ketamine (Pharmanovo) and 5 mg Xylazin (Bayer) per kg bodyweight. Subsequently, mice were

exsanguinated by cardiac puncture. Mice were then sacrificed by cervical dislocation and lungs

were excised, snap frozen and stored at -80°C for later analyses.

In order to induce bacteremia, GAS was diluted in PBS and 1×10^5 CFU were applied by

injection into the lateral tail vein. Intravenous injection of PBS served as a control. For co-

infection, IAV was applied as described above either two days prior or subsequent to bacterial

infection. Mice were given tramadol (Ratiopharm) in drinking water for analgesia. Animals were

monitored following bacterial infection for a maximum of 14 days or until humane endpoints

were reached. Sepsis severity was assessed by a scoring system that incorporated the assessment

of macroscopic signs of burden as previously described [32,33]. In brief, scores of four categories

were added together to provide an estimate for overall sepsis activity: i) weight loss of $\geq 5\%$

(Score 5), $\geq 10\%$ (Score 10), $\geq 20\%$ (Score 20, humane endpoint); ii) appearance deviations such

as piloerection (Score 5), high myotonicity or scruffy orifices (Score 10), convulsions or paralysis

(Score 20, humane endpoint); iii) impairment of consciousness such as suppressed activity or

limited reaction to stimuli (Score 5), self-isolation or lethargy (Score 10), perpetual pain

vocalization or apathy (Score 20, humane endpoint) and iv) signs of impaired respiratory quality

or inflammation such as edemas on small body areas (Score 5), disseminated edemas or labored

breathing (Score 10), open wounds or gasping (Score 20, humane endpoint).

Mice were sacrificed as described above upon reaching the end of the observation period, at

any humane endpoint or when reaching an overall sepsis score of ≥ 20. Cardiac blood samples

were plated on blood agar and medial arthrotomy on both knee joints was performed under a

stereo microscope followed by plating of the synovial fluid on blood agar. Agar plates were

subsequently incubated overnight and examined for the presence of β-hemolytic bacteria. Hind

paws were extracted, snap frozen and stored at -80°C for the analysis of eicosanoids.

2.4 Eicosanoid extraction and analysis

Lipidomics analyses were performed as previously described [32]. In brief, paw samples

were chilled in liquid nitrogen, pulverized and 50 mg of the resulting powder was immersed in

500 μL cold methanol containing 0.1% butylated hydroxytoluene and 500 μL ice cold water. 100

μL deuterated internal standards containing 12-HETE-d_8, 13-HODE-d_4, PGE$_2$-d_4 and Resolvin

D1-d_5 (each 100 ng/mL, Cayman Chemicals) were subsequently added followed by an additional

lysis step with matrix B at 6 m/s for 45 s on a FastPrep (MP Biomedicals). Following this, 300

μL sodium acetate (1 M) was added on ice and 10 M acetic acid was added until pH 6 was

reached. Solid phase extraction was performed on methanol and sodium acetate conditioned

Bond Elut Certify II cartridges (Agilent). After loading the samples, cartridges were washed with

50% methanol. Elution of eicosanoids was carried out by addition of hexane/ethyl acetate (75/25)

containing 1% acetic acid.

For measurements, paw extracts were dried under nitrogen flow using a TurboVap

(Biotage) and reconstituted in 70 µL 25% acetonitrile. Separation was done on a Gemini NX-C18

column (3 µm, 100 × 2 mm) utilizing an Agilent 1200 series HPLC systems. Dynamics multiple

reaction monitoring MS/MS was executed using a 6460 series triple quadrupole tandem mass

spectrometer (Agilent) with electrospray ionization in negative mode. Calibration by internal and

external standards was performed as previously described [32]. Agilent Mass Hunter Qualitative

Analysis software and Agilent Mass Hunter Quantitative Analysis software (both version

B.07.00) were used for MS data analysis. Quantities of individual eicosanoids were standardized

to a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 for data visualization.

2.5   Isolation of RNA and DNA from lung samples

Lung samples were submerged in liquid nitrogen, slightly fragmented and weighed. Sixty

to one hundred twenty milligrams were transferred to lysis tubes containing bashing beads (Zymo

Research) and 1 mL TRIzol (Thermo Fisher). Lung fragments were subsequently homogenized at

4,000 rpm for 4 × 20 s using a FastPrep. Samples were then centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 7 min at

4°C and transferred into new tubes. Apart from centrifugation at 4°C, the following steps were

conducted at room temperature. After resting for 5 min, 200 µL chloroform (Sigma) was added

and samples were extracted for 3 min. Subsequently, samples were centrifuged for 15 min at

$12,000 \times g$. The RNA-enriched upper phase was mixed with 500 µL 2-propanol, incubated for 10

min and centrifuged at $12,000 \times g$ for 10 min. RNA pellets were suspended in 75% Ethanol

followed by centrifugation at $7,500 \times g$ for 5 min. Supernatants were subsequently discarded,

pellets were dried and dissolved in 40 µL RNAse-free water by incubation at 60°C for 15 min.

RNA contents were then determined photometrically on a NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher). DNA was

isolated by precipitation of the appropriate phase upon addition of 300 µL ethanol, incubation for

3 min and centrifugation for 5 min at $2,000 \times g$. The resulting pellet was then washed twice by 30

min incubation with 0.1 M sodium citrate (pH 8.5) in 10% ethanol. DNA samples were

subsequently suspended in 75% ethanol and incubated for 20 min. After centrifugation,

supernatants were discarded, pellets were dried and dissolved by incubation in 8 mM NaOH for

10 min. DNA contents were determined fluorometrically using the Qubit 1X dsDNA Assay Kit to

the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher).

2.6 Lung pathogen burden and gene expression

Primer pairs were designed for the detection of IAV H1N1 matrix protein, nucleoprotein

and hemagglutinin in murine lung extracts according to the strain specific sequences found at

https://www.fludb.org/brc/fluStrainDetails.spg?strainName=A%2FGermany-

BY%2F74%2F2009%28H1N1%29&decorator=influenza (supplementary Table I). For this,

RNA was isolated as described above and 500 ng were reverse transcribed using the High

Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Twenty-five nanograms of the resulting cDNA together with 500 nM of the primer

pairs were submitted to qPCR using the PowerUP SYBR Green Mastermix (Thermo Fisher). The

amplification reaction was monitored on the ViiA 7 Real-Time PCR System running on the

QuantStudio Real Time PCR Software V1.3 (Thermo Fisher). The size of the respective

amplicons was confirmed by 2% agarose gel and ethidium bromide staining. Primer pairs for the

detection of GAS strain AP1 specific genomic DNA were designed according to sequence

information found at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/CP007537?report=genbank

(supplementary Table II). A total of 20 ng DNA from lung extracts were used together with 500

nM of the primer pairs for qPCR as described above, followed by confirmation of amplicon sizes

on agarose gels. Gene expression analyses were performed on 25 ng cDNA that was obtained

from reverse transcribed lung RNA. For qPCR analysis, TaqMan primer pairs and probes

(Thremo Fisher) were used for *Ccl2* (assay ID: Mm00441242_m1) and *Ifnb1* (Mm00439552_s1)

utilizing *Gapdh* (Mm05724508_g1) as a reference gene. All reactions were amplified using the

TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (Thermo Fisher).

2.7   Bone marrow derived macrophage infection model

C57BL/6J mice used for bone marrow isolation had a median age of 10 weeks (range 7 −

42 weeks) and 30% were female. Bone marrow was obtained from long bones by centrifugation

as previously described [34]. The resulting pellet was subsequently suspended in Dulbecco's

Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 5 IE/mL

Penicillin, 5 µg/mL Streptomycin, 2 mM L-Glutamine (Thermo Fisher), 10 mM HEPES and 1

mM sodium pyruvate (PAN Biotech). After determination of vital cells using a hemocytometer

and trypan blue (Thermo Fisher), cells were seeded into 6-well culture plates (Greiner) at a

density of 3×10^5 cells per cm^2 in $2 − 5$ mL supplemented DMEM. The differentiation to

macrophages was initiated at day 0 by the addition of 20 ng/mL macrophage colony-stimulating

factor (M-CSF, R&D Systems). Cells were cultured afterwards at 37°C and 5% CO_2 for 7 days

including the replacement of supplemented DMEM and replenishment of M-CSF at days 1 and 4.

For viral infection (day 7, t_0), supplemented DMEM was refreshed and 4×10^5 $TCID_{50}$ IAV were

added. Following this, infected or uninfected macrophages were incubated for 48 h upon which

the cells were either collected for downstream analyses or submitted to bacterial (super-)infection

(day 9). In case of the latter, supplemented DMEM was removed, the cells were washed thrice

with PBS and Minimal Essential Medium α containing additional nucleosides and 10% FCS

(Thermo Fisher) was added. GAS was then applied at 4.5×10^6 CFU. Subsequently,

macrophages were incubated for 6 h followed by sample collection.

2.8   Single cell analysis by flow cytometry

Gentle detachment of macrophages from culture plates was carried out by washing with

PBS and subsequently incubating with 5 mL PBS containing 10 mM EDTA for 10 min. Culture

plates were tapped multiple times and suspensions were collected afterwards. For increased

yields, 0.7 mL accutase (Pan Biotech) was added for 10 – 15 min followed by alternately tapping

and pipetting. Subsequently, another 0.7 mL accutase were added for an additional 10 – 15 min,

tapping and pipetting were repeated and suspensions collected and pooled with the PBS/EDTA

fraction. Finally, 1 mL supplemented DMEM was added and the remaining cells were obtained

using a cell scraper (Sarstedt). Suspensions were centrifuged at $400 \times g$ and 4°C for 5 min and

cells were suspended in autoMACS Running Buffer (RB, Miltenyi Biotec) followed by counting.

Antibody binding to CD16 and CD32 was prevented by incubation of macrophages with 0.5 μg

Trustain FcX (Biolegend) in RB supplemented with 10% FCS for 10 min on ice. Subsequently,

an antibody mixture containing 0.13 μg (anti-)F4/80:FITC (clone BM8), 0.5 μg CD163:APC

(S150491), 0.25 μg CD206:BV605 (C068C2), 0.25 μg CD80:BV421 (16-10A1, Biolegend), 0.22

μg CD86:APC/Vio770 (PO3.3), 4.5 μL TLR2:PE (REA109) and 0.15 μg MHCII:PerCP/Vio770

(REA813, Miltenyi Biotec) was added and incubated for 20 min on ice in the dark. Cells were

washed afterwards, suspended in RB and 7-Aminoactinomycin (7-AAD, Biolegend) was added at

a concentration of 1.25 μg/mL for at least 5 min prior to measurement.

Data acquisition was performed on the Aurora spectral flow cytometer running on the

SpectroFlo software v2.2.0.3 (Cytek Biosciences). Data analysis was conducted using the FlowJo

software v10.7.1. Supplementary Fig. 1 illustrates the gating strategy. Live macrophages were

identified as 7-AAD⁻F4/80⁺ singlets. This population was used for the subsequent determination

of expression levels based on median fluorescence intensity (MFI) values and as a parent for

measuring the proportions of subpopulations expressing different combination of the above-listed

surface antigens. For dimension reduction, 10,000 macrophage events were down-sampled,

concatenated and submitted to the algorithm t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE)

using an automated learning configuration (opt-SNE combined with the exact KNN algorithm

and the Barnes-Hut gradient algorithm) with a perplexity of 50 and a maximum of 1000 iterations

[35]. Unsupervised clustering of subpopulations expressing any combinations of the analyzed

surface proteins was conducted by FlowSOM [36].

2.9   Macrophage gene expression

After aspirating cell culture supernatants, 700 µL of a chaotropic agent solution (Qiagen)

was added to individual wells and cells were lysed by scraping and vigorous shaking. RNA was

subsequently isolated using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) after the manufacturer's

instructions. Quantification of RNA contents were determined photometrically and 200 ng RNA

was submitted to reverse transcription as described above. Amplification of cDNA was then

performed by TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix, primer pairs and probes for the relative

quantification of *Ccl2*, *Cxcl2* (assay ID: Mm00436450_m1), *Ifnb1*, *Il1b* (Mm00434228_m1), *Il6*

(Mm00446190_m1), *Il10* (Mm00439614_m1), *Mgl2* (Mm00460844_m1), *Nos2*

(Mm00440502_m1), *Tgfb1* (Mm01178820_m1) and *Tnf* (Mm00443258_m1) using *Gapdh* as a

reference gene. Polymerase chain reactions were performed on the Viia 7 System. In detail,

samples were first incubated for 2 min at 50°C followed by 10 min at 95°C for polymerase

activation. Subsequently, 40 automated cycles of PCR were performed that incorporated

denaturation at 95°C for 15 sec and annealing and elongation at 60°C for 1 min. After each cycle

the fluorescein amidite fluorescence signal was measured. Ct values were obtained when

fluorescence intensities reached data-dependent and automatically defined thresholds.

Quantification of gene expression was then performed by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ Method that incorporated

normalization of the target gene Ct values to the reference gene (ΔCt) as well the difference

between ΔCt values from uninfected and infected cells ($\Delta\Delta$Ct).

2.10 Cytokine analysis

Cytokine concentration in mouse plasma samples were quantified by a 3-plex

LEGENDplex assay (Biolegend) that contained capture beads and detection antibodies for CCL2

(monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, MCP1), Interferon (IFN)γ and tumor necrosis factor

(TNF)α. For the quantification of CCL2, Interleukin (IL-)1β, IL-6, IL-10 and TNFα in cell

culture supernatants, a 5-plex LEGENDplex assay was used following the manufacturer's

guidelines. Data acquisition was performed on the Cytek Aurora flow cytometer. Cell culture

supernatant concentrations of CXCL2 (macrophage inflammatory protein 2-α, MIP2-α) were

determined by the CXCL2/MIP-2 DuoSet enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit to

the manufacturer's instructions (R&D Systems). Horseradish peroxidase-catalyzed color reaction

were initiated by addition of the TMB Substrate Kit (Biolegend) and quenched by 0.5 M sulfuric

acid (Merck). The absorbance at 450 nm was measured on the Infinite M200 spectral photometer

(Tecan).

2.11 Statistical analysis

Data analysis and visualization were performed using RStudio v1.2.5033 that ran R v3.5.1.

Normalization was either performed by division of individual values from infection groups and

control groups, respectively, or by feature scaling into a $0 - 1$ range by the formula $x_i' = (x_i -$

$x_{min})/(x_{max} - x_{min})$. Heatmaps and hierarchical clusters were generated with the "pheatmap"

package that incorporated feature scaling by standardization applying the formula $z_i = (x_i - \bar{x})/\sigma$.

Calibration curves were fitted and samples values were estimated by n-parameter logistic

regression using the "nplr" package. Two-sided statistical tests were used for the comparisons of

group medians or means. Repeated measures (body weight trajectories) were compared by one-

way and two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), respectively. Probabilities of survival and

incidences were compared by the logrank test. Bivariate interdependencies were evaluated by the

Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r). Data sets were tested for normality by the

Shapiro-Wilk test. Normal distribution of within-group raw or normalized variables was rejected

when the test resulted in a p-value of < 0.05. Depending on the outcome of this test, univariate

statistical analyses on variables that were normalized to respective controls were performed by

the one-sample Wilcoxon signed rank test and the one-sample t-test, respectively. Two

independent samples were compared with the Mann-Whitney U test or the t-test. Comparisons of

variables between multiple groups were performed with the Dunn's test and the Tukey HSD test,

respectively, in combination with type I error correction using the Bonferroni-Holm method. A p-

value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3   Results

3.1   *Infection with influenza A virus H1N1 caused mild symptoms and induced a persistent immune reaction in the lung*

In order to examine clinical manifestations of influenza, we used a model of intranasal infection with 2009 pandemic H1N1 IAV in adult mice (Fig. 1A). Intranasal application of PBS served as a control. Mice were monitored for relative weight loss post infection as a proxy for disease severity and indeed, exhibited minor reductions in body weight as early as two days after virus application (Fig. 1B). This trend continued until day seven after infection and resulted in a maximum weight loss of 5.5% ± 2.1% (mean ± SEM). Thereafter, body weight continuously increased and returned to starting values by day 14 suggesting robust recovery from infection. When comparing weight trajectories over the entire observation period using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), we found a statistically significant difference between infected mice and uninfected controls ($p < 0.001$). In accordance with the observed mild disease courses, we did not measure quantifiable amounts of the inflammatory cytokines TNFα and IFNγ in plasma samples from IAV infected animals (not shown). We did, however detect significant reductions of plasma CCL2 concentrations by 12.8% and 13.6% at days two and four after infection, respectively, relative to uninfected controls (Fig. 1C). By day seven, CCL2 plasma levels equalized between both groups ($p = 0.65$, not shown).

In order to examine immune responses in the lower respiratory tract, we further performed gene expression analyses on whole lung homogenates that were obtained 16 days after IAV application. For this, we focused on mRNA expression levels of *Ccl2* and *Ifnb1*, as the former was altered in the periphery and the latter can be indicative of an anti-viral response. Protein data were not collected because of limited sample quantities. We found no meaningful differences in the expression of *Ccl2* between the IAV and control groups (Fig. 1D, left panel). Interestingly,

Ifnb1 expression was found to be significantly increased in lungs from infected mice (Fig. 1D,

right panel). Given this prolonged immune activation, we consequently utilized primer pairs for

the detection of viral genes in lung samples that code for hemagglutinin, matrix protein and

nucleoprotein (supplementary Fig. 2). We indeed detected IAV specific RNA in 38% (3/8) of

infected animals by quantitative PCR (Fig. 1E). False positive detection of unspecific targets was

ruled out by confirming the expected amplicon melting temperatures (supplementary Fig. 3).

However, the quantities of all three viral genes were generally low ($C_t > 32$) and might rather

indicate residual viral antigen.

In summary, we here show that an infection with IAV H1N1 in mice induced minor clinical

manifestations that were accompanied by reductions of plasma CCL2 levels. Our data further

show that residual genetic material of the virus persisted in the lungs, which was accompanied by

an ongoing type I IFN immune response.

3.2   Group A Streptococcal sepsis was aggravated following Influenza A virus infection

As CCL2 is integral to bacterial control yet reduced during respiratory tract infection with

IAV, we sought to investigate the clinical features of IAV superimposed bacteremia. To this end,

we compared infection with bacteria only to co-infection models combining intranasal virus

application with intravenous GAS infection in alternating succession (Fig. 2A). By monitoring

for macroscopic symptoms following bacterial infection, we observed the occurrence of localized

paw inflammation (Fig. 2B). Of note, the emergence of these edemas was accelerated and more

frequent in post-influenza bacteremia (IAV+GAS) compared to bacterial infection only (GAS, p

= 0.01) and pre-influenza bacteremia (GAS+IAV, p = 0.045), respectively (Fig. 2C). In detail,

80% (8/10) of mice in the IAV+GAS group exhibited signs of paw inflammation already one day

after bacterial infection. In contrast, the incidence of paw edemas was increased to only 40%

(4/10) in the GAS+IAV group as opposed to 20% (2/10) in the GAS only group, and this

difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.33). We further analyzed eicosanoids from

paw extracts and found that these immunologically active lipid metabolites were upregulated in

some animals irrespective of the (co-)infection regimen (supplementary Fig. 4).

Additionally, more blood smears and knee joint capsule swabs were positive for β-

hemolytic bacteria in co-infected mice from the IAV+GAS group (Table I), which suggested that

preceding influenza promoted bacterial dissemination and invasion into synovial tissues. By

assessing macroscopic signs of burden as a proxy for sepsis severity (see Materials and Methods),

we found a significantly increased median disease score when comparing post-influenza

bacteremia with monocausal GAS infection (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, when correlating sepsis

scores with eicosanoids from paw homogenates, we found a significant relationship between the

individual disease severity and the corresponding amounts of prostaglandins D_2 and E_2 as well as

5- and 12-Hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (supplementary Table III). Furthermore, elevated disease

severity in the IAV+GAS group was paralleled by a reduction in survival probability to 40%

compared to 80% in the GAS only group (Fig. 2E). In contrast, mice from the GAS+IAV group

had an only marginally decreased survival chance of 70%. However, the overall probability for a

fatal outcome was, according to logrank statistics, not significantly different between groups (p =

0.13) and this was likely due to low sample sizes and a high degree of uncertainty.

For our further analyses, we focused on the IAV+GAS co-infection sequence because our

data suggested that the clinical outcome was not different between the GAS+IAV and GAS

groups. We next aimed to investigate whether post-influenza GAS infection impacted on the

immune activation in the lower respiratory tract. To this extent, we analyzed lung homogenates

for the expression of *Ccl2* and *Ifnb1*, and compared the data from co-infected mice to GAS

mono-infection or uninfected controls. We found that neither GAS nor IAV+GAS infection

resulted in a meaningful alteration of the *Ccl2* expression in the lung (Fig. 2F, left panel). Of

note, lungs from both mono- and co-infected mice exhibited a median 2-fold upregulation of

Ifnb1 relative to lungs from uninfected animals (p = 0.008 for GAS and p = 0.039 for IAV+GAS;

Fig. 2F, right panel). Yet, when comparing the infection regimens with each other, we found that

Ifnb1 overexpression was comparable between both infection groups (p = 0.93).We were curious

whether the bacteria are capable of disseminating from the blood into the lower respiratory tract

and therefore analyzed lung homogenates for the presence of GAS specific genes using

quantitative PCR (supplementary Fig. 5). Indeed, we detected genomic speB in four out of nine

lungs from the IAV+GAS group whereas only one out of nine lungs from the GAS group was

positive for this bacterial gene (Fig. 2G, left panel). However, when analyzing for spy2158, only

two lung extracts from the IAV+GAS group were positive (Fig. 2G, right panel). Specific

amplification was again confirmed by melting curves (supplementary Fig. 6). As whole lungs

were submitted to chaotropic agent assisted homogenization and PCR analysis, we were not able

to confirm whether there were any vital bacteria present in these samples.

Collectively, our *in vivo* data demonstrated that a preceding IAV infection of the respiratory

tract aggravated bacteremia by promoting bacterial dissemination into remote tissues, localized

inflammation and a dysregulated host response as shown by an elevated sepsis activity. In

contrast, application of the virus following an already established bacteremia did not influence

disease progression and outcome.

3.3   Preceding influenza A virus infection impacted on the Group A Streptococcus induced

diversification of macrophage surface expression profiles

As our *in vivo* co-infection model implicated a preceding IAV infection to cause impaired

control of the bacterial burden following a superimposed GAS infection, we sought to explore

any modification of anti-bacterial innate immunity. To this end, we chose *in vitro* (co-)infection

models of primary macrophages. In detail, murine macrophages were differentiated from bone

marrow cells by M-CSF stimulation and were subsequently infected with IAV, GAS or IAV and

GAS (Fig. 3A). We then analyzed the expression patterns of immunologically relevant surface

antigens by flow cytometry. In order to gain insight into differentially expressed macrophage

markers, we performed dimension reductions on our multiparametric data sets by t-distributed

stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE). Fig. 3B demonstrates for the topological distribution of

surface marker expression levels distinct allocations of cells that were obtained from the different

infection models. For instance, macrophage subsets overexpressing CD80 and CD86 were

seemingly enriched in IAV+GAS co-infected cultures, whereas mono-infection with GAS

resulted in the accumulation of CD206 overexpressing macrophages. Unsupervised clustering of

macrophage populations on the basis of their respective expression patterns by flowSOM further

indicated that co-infection triggered a different response than viral or bacterial mono-infections

(supplementary Fig. 7).

In an effort to obtain a more detailed picture of IAV- and GAS-induced immune responses,

we next focused on the individual expressions of macrophage surface antigens. Given the inter-

experimental variance of macrophage cultures, median fluorescence intensities (MFI) of (co)-

infected cells were normalized to their corresponding uninfected controls that were acquired from

the same donor animal (supplementary Fig. 8). Notably, expression patterns were similar within

each group, which resulted in a robust hierarchical clustering for IAV, GAS and IAV+GAS

infected macrophages (Fig. 3C). In detail, apart from a significant upregulation of CD163

compared to both bacterial infection and co-infection, IAV had hardly any impact on the

expression of the investigated surface proteins (Fig. 3C, 3D). Conversely, GAS infection induced

the overexpression of TLR2, which was even amplified following co-infection (Fig. 3D). Both

the applications of GAS and IAV+GAS comparably prompted an elevated production of MHCII.

Although not statistically significant, GAS infection led to a slight downregulation of CD80,

which was reversed to an upregulated expression in the IAV+GAS group. Similarly, co-infection

triggered a minor overexpression of CD86 that was short of reaching statistical significance due

to a high within-group variance ($p = 0.067$, compared to uninfected). The downregulation of

CD163 as well as the attenuation of the GAS-induced CD206 upregulation in the IAV+GAS

group further supports the notion that a preceding IAV infection led to a distinct immune

response in macrophages during co-infection (Fig. 3D).

As a result of differentially affected expression landscapes, the proportions of distinctive

macrophage subpopulations shifted depending on the infection regimen (Fig. 3E). We found a

minor depletion of CD80$^+$CD86$^+$ cells following GAS infection ($p = 0.1$), whereas co-infection

caused a significantly increased proportion of this population when compared to uninfected

controls (Fig. 3F). Both bacterial mono-infection and co-infection induced an enrichment of

MHCII$^+$ macrophages, suggesting a retained ability of these immune cells to inform and

coordinate an adaptive immune response. In accordance with the altered expression profiles

shown in Fig. 3D, the proportions of CD163$^+$ and CD206$^+$ cells, respectively, were decreased

upon co-infection relative to GAS infection only (Fig. 3F).

Collectively, our data on the diversification of surface antigen expression demonstrated that

the immune response of macrophages towards co-infection with IAV and GAS was considerably

distinct from the effects that were induced by either mono-infection. Although we encountered

some similarities between the GAS and IAV+GAS groups, the preceding viral infection

seemingly manipulated or obliterated the macrophages´ reaction towards the bacterial pathogen.

3.4   A preceding influenza A virus infection impaired the inflammatory capacity of
macrophages during co-infection

In order to gain further insights into the immune response triggered by infected
macrophages, we next performed analyses on mRNA expression of immune mediators by
quantitative PCR and measured cytokine secretion by ELISA or bead-based multiplex analysis
(Fig. 4A). As illustrated in Fig. 4B, the different (co-)infection regimens triggered distinct
expression patterns of immunomodulatory agents that resulted in strong within-group
associations as shown via hierarchical clustering. IAV infection was specifically characterized by
a relatively higher expression of *Mgl2* and *Tgfb1* (Fig 4C, supplementary Fig. 9). Bacterial
infection, on the other hand, comprehensively stimulated the overexpression of several genes that
mediate an inflammatory response (Fig. 4B). Strikingly, co-infected macrophages mostly failed
to induce a similar magnitude of GAS inducible overexpression, yet upregulated *Arg1* (Fig. 4B,
4C).

By further examining individual expressions, we found that *Mgl2* was significantly reduced
following bacterial mono-infection and co-infection by 1.8- and 3.9-fold, respectively, compared
to uninfected controls (Fig. 4C). Remarkably, GAS application induced an approximately 3,000
fold overexpression of *Nos2* that was impeded during co-infection to a mere, yet statistically
significant, 10-fold overexpression. Furthermore, co-infection triggered the upregulation of *Ccl2*,
Cxcl2 and *Tnf*, which were significantly less pronounced in comparison to GAS infection only
(Fig. 4D). Secretion of these cytokines was mostly comparable between these groups, however
TNFα production by co-infected macrophages was reduced (Fig. 4E). Although both *Il6* and *Il10*
were increased in the GAS and IAV+GAS group, respectively, only co-infection caused a
significant secretion of the protein products (supplementary Fig. 9). While *Ifnb1* was only
upregulated following GAS infection, *Tgfb1* was downregulated after GAS infection as well as

co-infection (supplementary Fig. 9A). Of note, although the GAS-induced overexpression of *Il1b*

was also observed in the IAV+GAS group, co-infection entirely abrogated the secretion of

mature IL-1β, which suggests that a preceding IAV infection compromised innate immune

sensing of Streptococci (Fig. 4D, 4E).

In summary, the expression patterns of immunologically active mediators were noticeably

different between GAS mono-infection and IAV+GAS co-infection, implying that prior virus

infection modifies anti-streptococcal immunity.

4   Discussion

In this study we demonstrated that influenza promoted subsequent GAS-induced bacteremia and allowed for an unopposed dissemination of the bacterial pathogen within the blood and its migration into lungs as well as synovial tissues. Although we did not assess any alterations in bone or cartilage morphology, we would like to argue that an invasion of articular tissue by GAS is reminiscent of septic arthritis [37]. Indeed, we previously demonstrated that the occurrence of paw edemas, which in the present study was more likely during IAV and GAS co-infection, was due to bacterial colonization of both, subcutaneous and periarticular tissues and was paralleled by immune cell infiltration [32]. Hence, we here show for the first time, that a preceding IAV infection predisposes the host to severe complications during GAS blood infection. Conversely, IAV infection elicited subsequent to GAS bacteremia did not aggravate disease severity, suggesting that immune priming events in response to a prior viral encounter incapacitate an otherwise competent anti-bacterial immune response.

Influenza in humans is usually characterized by mild-to-moderate disease that is rarely lethal and resolves shortly after infection [38], which was also shown in our animal model of IAV inoculation. Upon entry into nasopharyngeal cavities, the virus trespasses into the mucus, invades the epithelium and spreads to immune cells [39,40]. The host then recognizes parts of the viral RNA genome by intracellular pattern recognitions receptors, which triggers the production of several inflammatory cytokines, among them type I IFNs, that establish an anti-viral immune state [41–43]. We have demonstrated that residual viral genes persisted for 16 days in the lungs of some infected mice, which was paralleled by a continuous upregulation of *Ifnb1*. However, we believe it to be unlikely that replicative viral particles were still present in the lungs up to this point because IAV is typically cleared within a couple days following infection [44–46]. Type I IFN can have beneficial effects during bacterial infection by promoting host resilience and by

preventing systemic hyperinflammation [47–50]. However, several studies advocated that the

consequences of type I IFN expression are detrimental for the containment of a secondary

bacterial insult subsequent to influenza [20,51,52].

By using a mouse strain that lacks the common IFNα/β receptor (IFNAR) in a model of

pneumococcal superinfection, Shahangian and colleagues demonstrated that the IAV-induced

IFNAR signaling led to an impaired production of the neutrophil attractants CXCL1 and CXCL2

[22]. They argued that, in agreement with a complementary study by Didierlaurent *et al.*, type I

IFNs desensitize subsequent TLR-mediated recognition of bacterial components by macrophages,

which are major producers for these chemokines [22,23]. Another work on IFNAR^{-/-} mice by

Nakamura and colleagues had some contrasting results concerning the impact of type I IFN

signaling on pneumococcal superinfection [24]. In their study, they found that the virus and the

bacteria were capable of synergistically inducing an overproduction of type I IFNs, which led to

an impaired production of CCL2 while CXCL1/2 production was unaltered [24,53]. CCL2

supports bacterial clearance by the attraction of CCR2^{+} monocytes to the infected tissue [54,55].

Along these lines, we found in our study that CCL2 was significantly reduced in the plasma of

IAV-infected mice and that both, monocausal bacterial infection and co-infection featured *Ifnb1*

overexpression in the lung. Hence, although the role of CCL2 during GAS infections is not yet

fully elucidated, we propose a mode in which a preceding influenza restricts anti-bacterial

immunity by limiting monocyte homing and their differentiation to macrophages not only in

pulmonary tissues but also in remote host compartments that would be affected during

bacteremia.

Apart from the ramifications due to an impaired chemokinogenesis, we suspected other

means by which IAV dampens innate immune sensing of GAS. We hence focused on

macrophage immunobiology in the context of co-infection and found that the virus

comprehensively altered GAS-induced gene expression patterns and cytokine layout. In detail,

we detected that the immune sensors CD163 and CD206 were markedly downregulated in co-

infected compared to GAS only infected macrophages. CD163 is an acute phase-regulated

scavenger receptor that is exclusively expressed by cells of the monocyte lineage and aids in the

removal of potentially toxic iron complexes during intravascular hemolysis [56–59]. Due to the

fact that CD163 also mediates tissue repair [60], host resilience [56,58], immune resolution and is

able to sense gram-positive bacteria [61,62], we speculate that this receptor might confer a

protective immune state during hemolytic bacteremia, even though its role in GAS infection is

yet underexplored. Similarly, the mannose receptor CD206 might support pathogen sensing

during co-infection [63–67], however mice that lack this sensor molecule are not more

susceptible to bacterial infection [68,69].

Strikingly, a preceding IAV inoculation notably reduced the GAS-induced upregulation of

Nos2 while boosting *Arg1* expression. Both genes code for enzymes that compete for the

substrate L-Arginine, yet induce opposed immune mechanisms [70–72]. While nitric oxide

synthase 2 (NOS2) provides inflammatory and bactericidal metabolites [73–75], arginase

(ARG1) supports tissue repair and immune resolution [73]. Thus, our data hint at a distortion of

anti-bacterial processes due to a prior IAV infection. This is further corroborated by an

inadequate sensing of the bacterial pathogen indicated by the reduced and abolished production

of TNFα and IL-1β, respectively, which was similarly shown in a model of pneumococcal

superinfection [19]. Interestingly, we detected for both, GAS mono-infection and superinfection

an upregulation of *Il1b*, which suggests that the incapacity of co-infected macrophages to process

and secrete IL-1β is due to a failure in the GAS-inducible activation of the NLRP3

inflammasome [76–79]. In fact, it was shown that different variants of IAV, including a 2009

pandemic strain, were capable of thwarting IL-1β maturation by interfering with NLRP3

inflammasome assembly [80–82], which is crucial for innate immune sensing and coordination [83]. An IAV-mediated nullification of IL-1β secretion would be of dramatic consequences during streptococcal superinfection. The absence of signaling via the IL-1 receptor (IL-1R) was in fact associated with an increased susceptibility to systemic GAS infection in both mice and humans [76,84,85]. Remarkably, rheumatoid arthritis patients that received the IL-1R antagonist Anakinra exhibited a roughly 330-fold increased rate of invasive GAS infections which included an elevated likelihood of life-threatening complications such as necrotizing fasciitis and sepsis [85].

In summary, we here describe in complementary *in vivo* and *in vitro* co-infection model that IAV infection paralyses anti-streptococcal innate immunity. This finding warrants further investigations on the mechanisms underlying this phenomenon that sets the stage for post-influenza superinfection. As an important side issue, our work underscores the importance of regular vaccinations against influenza in order to avert bacterial superinfection and prevent fatal invasive GAS complications [10,86–90].

References

1. Siemens N, Oehmcke-Hecht S, Mettenleiter TC, Kreikemeyer B, Valentin-Weigand P, Hammerschmidt S. Port d'Entrée for Respiratory Infections – Does the Influenza A Virus Pave the Way for Bacteria? Front Microbiol. 2017;8: 2602. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.02602

2. Tjon-Kon-Fat R, Meerhoff T, Nikisins S, Pires J, Pereyaslov D, Gross D, et al. The potential risks and impact of the start of the 2015–2016 influenza season in the WHO European Region: a rapid risk assessment. Influenza Other Respi Viruses. 2016;10: 236–246. doi:10.1111/irv.12381

3. McHardy AC, Adams B. The Role of Genomics in Tracking the Evolution of Influenza A Virus. Manchester M, editor. PLoS Pathog. 2009;5: e1000566. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000566

4. Neumann G, Noda T, Kawaoka Y. Emergence and pandemic potential of swine-origin H1N1 influenza virus. Nature. 2009;459: 931–939. doi:10.1038/nature08157

5. Taubenberger JK, Morens DM. 1918 Influenza: the mother of all pandemics. Emerg Infect Dis. 2006;12: 15–22. doi:10.3201/eid1201.050979

6. Morens DM, Taubenberger JK, Fauci AS. Predominant Role of Bacterial Pneumonia as a Cause of Death in Pandemic Influenza: Implications for Pandemic Influenza Preparedness. J Infect Dis. 2008;198: 962–970. doi:10.1086/591708

7. Brundage JF, Shanks GD. Deaths from Bacterial Pneumonia during 1918–19 Influenza Pandemic. Emerg Infect Dis. 2008;14: 1193–1199. doi:10.3201/eid1408.071313

8. Tasher D, Stein M, Simões EAF, Shohat T, Bromberg M, Somekh E. Invasive bacterial infections in relation to influenza outbreaks, 2006-2010. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;53: 1199–1207. doi:10.1093/cid/cir726

9. McKenna S, Malito E, Rouse SL, Abate F, Bensi G, Chiarot E, et al. Structure, dynamics and immunogenicity of a catalytically inactive CXC chemokine-degrading protease SpyCEP from Streptococcus pyogenes. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2020;18: 650–660. doi:10.1016/j.csbj.2020.03.004

10. Chaussee MS, Sandbulte HR, Schuneman MJ, DePaula FP, Addengast LA, Schlenker EH, et al. Inactivated and live, attenuated influenza vaccines protect mice against influenza:Streptococcus pyogenes super-infections. Vaccine. 2011;29: 3773–3781. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.03.031

11. Teymournejad O, Montgomery CP. Evasion of Immunological Memory by S. aureus Infection: Implications for Vaccine Design. Front Immunol. 2021;12. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.633672

12.   Okamoto S, Kawabata S, Nakagawa I, Okuno Y, Goto T, Sano K, et al. Influenza A Virus-Infected Hosts

Boost an Invasive Type of Streptococcus pyogenes Infection in Mice. J Virol. 2003;77: 4104–4112.

doi:10.1128/JVI.77.7.4104-4112.2003

13.   Okamoto S, Kawabata S, Terao Y, Fujitaka H, Okuno Y, Hamada S. The Streptococcus pyogenes capsule is

required for adhesion of bacteria to virus-infected alveolar epithelial cells and lethal bacterial-viral

superinfection. Infect Immun. 2004;72: 6068–6075. doi:10.1128/IAI.72.10.6068-6075.2004

14.   van der Sluijs KF, Nijhuis M, Levels JHM, Florquin S, Mellor AL, Jansen HM, et al. Influenza-induced

expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase enhances interleukin-10 production and bacterial outgrowth

during secondary pneumococcal pneumonia. J Infect Dis. 2006;193: 214–22. doi:10.1086/498911

15.   Wang B, Li S, Southern PJ, Cleary PP. Streptococcal modulation of cellular invasion via TGF-β1 signaling.

Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2006;103: 2380–2385. doi:10.1073/pnas.0506668103

16.   Herrera AL, Suso K, Allison S, Simon A, Schlenker E, Huber VC, et al. Binding host proteins to the M

protein contributes to the mortality associated with influenza–streptococcus pyogenes superinfections.

Microbiol (United Kingdom). 2017;163: 1445–1456. doi:10.1099/mic.0.000532

17.   Korteweg C, Gu J. Pathology, Molecular Biology, and Pathogenesis of Avian Influenza A (H5N1) Infection

in Humans. Am J Pathol. 2008;172: 1155–1170. doi:10.2353/ajpath.2008.070791

18.   Plotkowski MC, Bajolet-Laudinat O, Puchelle E. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of bacterial adhesion to

respiratory mucosa. Eur Respir J. 1993;6: 903–16. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8339812

19.   Sun K, Metzger DW. Inhibition of pulmonary antibacterial defense by interferon-γ during recovery from

influenza infection. Nat Med. 2008;14: 558–564. doi:10.1038/nm1765

20.   Navarini AA, Recher M, Lang KS, Georgiev P, Meury S, Bergthaler A, et al. Increased susceptibility to

bacterial superinfection as a consequence of innate antiviral responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2006;103:

15535–15539. doi:10.1073/pnas.0607325103

21.   Metzger DW, Sun K. Immune Dysfunction and Bacterial Coinfections following Influenza. J Immunol.

2013;191: 2047–2052. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1301152

22.   Shahangian A, Chow EK, Tian X, Kang JR, Ghaffari A, Liu SY, et al. Type I IFNs mediate development of

postinfluenza bacterial pneumonia in mice. J Clin Invest. 2009;119: 1910–1920. doi:10.1172/JCI35412

23.   Didierlaurent A, Goulding J, Patel S, Snelgrove R, Low L, Bebien M, et al. Sustained desensitization to

bacterial Toll-like receptor ligands after resolution of respiratory influenza infection. J Exp Med. 2008;205:

323–329. doi:10.1084/jem.20070891

24.   Nakamura S, Davis KM, Weiser JN. Synergistic stimulation of type I interferons during influenza virus

coinfection promotes Streptococcus pneumoniae colonization in mice. J Clin Invest. 2011;121: 3657–3665.

doi:10.1172/JCI57762

25.   Cunningham MW. Pathogenesis of Group A Streptococcal Infections. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2000;13: 470–

511. doi:10.1128/CMR.13.3.470-511.2000

26.   Musher D. Trends in Bacteremic Infection Due to Streptococcus pyogenes (Group A Streptococcus), 1986-

1995. Emerg Infect Dis. 1996;2: 54–56. doi:10.3201/eid0201.960107

27.   Herrera AL, Huber VC, Chaussee MS. The association between invasive group A streptococcal diseases and

viral respiratory tract infections. Front Microbiol. 2016;7: 1–7. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.00342

28.   Goldmann O, Rohde M, Chhatwal GS, Medina E. Role of Macrophages in Host Resistance to Group A

Streptococci. Infect Immun. 2004;72: 2956–2963. doi:10.1128/IAI.72.5.2956-2963.2004

29.   Ghoneim HE, Thomas PG, McCullers JA. Depletion of Alveolar Macrophages during Influenza Infection

Facilitates Bacterial Superinfections. J Immunol. 2013;191: 1250–1259. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1300014

30.   Schultz D, Methling K, Rothe M, Lalk M. Eicosanoid Profile of Influenza A Virus Infected Pigs.

Metabolites. 2019;9: 130. doi:10.3390/metabo9070130

31.   Miller MA, Stabenow JM, Parvathareddy J, Wodowski AJ, Fabrizio TP, Bina XR, et al. Visualization of

Murine Intranasal Dosing Efficiency Using Luminescent Francisella tularensis: Effect of Instillation Volume

and Form of Anesthesia. Kaushal D, editor. PLoS One. 2012;7: e31359. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0031359

32.   Volzke J, Schultz D, Kordt M, Müller M, Bergmann W, Methling K, et al. Inflammatory Joint Disease Is a

Risk Factor for Streptococcal Sepsis and Septic Arthritis in Mice. Front Immunol. 2020;11: 1–15.

doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.579475

33.   Shrum B, Anantha R V., Xu SX, Donnelly M, Haeryfar S, McCormick JK, et al. A robust scoring system to

evaluate sepsis severity in an animal model. BMC Res Notes. 2014;7: 233. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-7-233

34.   Amend SR, Valkenburg KC, Pienta KJ. Murine Hind Limb Long Bone Dissection and Bone Marrow

Isolation. J Vis Exp. 2016;110. doi:10.3791/53936

35.   Belkina AC, Ciccolella CO, Anno R, Halpert R, Spidlen J, Snyder-Cappione JE. Automated optimized

parameters for T-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding improve visualization and analysis of large

datasets. Nat Commun. 2019;10: 1–26. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13055-y

36. Van Gassen S, Callebaut B, Van Helden MJ, Lambrecht BN, Demeester P, Dhaene T, et al. FlowSOM:

Using self-organizing maps for visualization and interpretation of cytometry data. Cytom Part A. 2015;87:

636–645. doi:10.1002/cyto.a.22625

37. Newman JH. Review of septic arthritis throughout the antibiotic era. Ann Rheum Dis. 1976;35: 198–205.

doi:10.1136/ard.35.3.198

38. Layne SP, Beugelsdijk TJ, Patel CKN, Taubenberger JK, Cox NJ, Gust ID, et al. A Global Lab Against

Influenza. Science. 2001;293: 1729–1729. doi:10.1126/science.293.5536.1729

39. Manicassamy B, Manicassamy S, Belicha-Villanueva A, Pisanelli G, Pulendran B, Garcia-Sastre A. Analysis

of in vivo dynamics of influenza virus infection in mice using a GFP reporter virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci.

2010;107: 11531–11536. doi:10.1073/pnas.0914994107

40. Perrone LA, Plowden JK, García-Sastre A, Katz JM, Tumpey TM. H5N1 and 1918 Pandemic Influenza

Virus Infection Results in Early and Excessive Infiltration of Macrophages and Neutrophils in the Lungs of

Mice. Baric RS, editor. PLoS Pathog. 2008;4: e1000115. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000115

41. Iwasaki A, Pillai PS. Innate immunity to influenza virus infection. Nat Rev Immunol. 2014;14: 315–328.

doi:10.1038/nri3665

42. Tough DF, Borrow P, Sprent J. Induction of Bystander T Cell Proliferation by Viruses and Type I Interferon

in Vivo. Science. 1996;272: 1947–1950. doi:10.1126/science.272.5270.1947

43. Matikainen S, Pirhonen J, Miettinen M, Lehtonen A, Govenius-Vintola C, Sareneva T, et al. Influenza A and

Sendai Viruses Induce Differential Chemokine Gene Expression and Transcription Factor Activation in

Human Macrophages. Virology. 2000;276: 138–147. doi:10.1006/viro.2000.0542

44. Ip DKM, Lau LLH, Leung NHL, Fang VJ, Chan K-H, Chu DKW, et al. Viral shedding and transmission

potential of asymptomatic and pauci-symptomatic influenza virus infections in the community. Clin Infect

Dis. 2016; ciw841. doi:10.1093/cid/ciw841

45. Schwaiger T, Sehl J, Karte C, Schäfer A, Hühr J, Mettenleiter TC, et al. Experimental H1N1pdm09 infection

in pigs mimics human seasonal influenza infections. PLoS One. 2019;14: 1–21.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0222943

46. Cuypers F, Schäfer A, Skorka SB, Surabhi S, Tölken LA, Paulikat AD, et al. Innate immune responses at the

asymptomatic stage of influenza A viral infections of Streptococcus pneumoniae colonized and non-

colonized mice. Sci Rep. 2021;11: 1–14. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-00211-y

680 47. Kovarik P, Castiglia V, Ivin M, Ebner F. Type I interferons in bacterial infections: A balancing act. Front
681   Immunol. 2016;7: 1–8. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2016.00652

682 48. Castiglia V, Piersigilli A, Ebner F, Janos M, Goldmann O, Damböck U, et al. Type I Interferon Signaling
683   Prevents IL-1β-Driven Lethal Systemic Hyperinflammation during Invasive Bacterial Infection of Soft
684   Tissue. Cell Host Microbe. 2016;19: 375–387. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2016.02.003

685 49. LeMessurier KS, Häcker H, Chi L, Tuomanen E, Redecke V. Type I Interferon Protects against
686   Pneumococcal Invasive Disease by Inhibiting Bacterial Transmigration across the Lung. Sullam PM, editor.
687   PLoS Pathog. 2013;9: e1003727. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003727

688 50. Maier BB, Hladik A, Lakovits K, Korosec A, Martins R, Kral JB, et al. Type I interferon promotes alveolar
689   epithelial type II cell survival during pulmonary Streptococcus pneumoniae infection and sterile lung injury
690   in mice. Eur J Immunol. 2016;46: 2175–2186. doi:10.1002/eji.201546201

691 51. Antonelli LRV, Gigliotti Rothfuchs A, Gonçalves R, Roffê E, Cheever AW, Bafica A, et al. Intranasal Poly-
692   IC treatment exacerbates tuberculosis in mice through the pulmonary recruitment of a pathogen-permissive
693   monocyte/macrophage population. J Clin Invest. 2010;120: 1674–1682. doi:10.1172/JCI40817

694 52. Jia T, Leiner I, Dorothee G, Brandl K, Pamer EG. MyD88 and Type I Interferon Receptor-Mediated
695   Chemokine Induction and Monocyte Recruitment during Listeria monocytogenes Infection. J Immunol.
696   2009;183: 1271–1278. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0900460

697 53. Zimmerer JM, Lesinski GB, Radmacher MD, Ruppert A, Carson WE. STAT1-dependent and STAT1-
698   independent gene expression in murine immune cells following stimulation with interferon-alpha. Cancer
699   Immunol Immunother. 2007;56: 1845–1852. doi:10.1007/s00262-007-0329-9

700 54. Zhang Z, Clarke TB, Weiser JN. Cellular effectors mediating Th17-dependent clearance of pneumococcal
701   colonization in mice. J Clin Invest. 2009. doi:10.1172/JCI36731

702 55. Davis KM, Nakamura S, Weiser JN. Nod2 sensing of lysozyme-digested peptidoglycan promotes
703   macrophage recruitment and clearance of S. pneumoniae colonization in mice. J Clin Invest. 2011;121:
704   3666–3676. doi:10.1172/JCI57761

705 56. Kristiansen M, Graversen JH, Jacobsen C, Sonne O, Hoffman H-J, Law SKA, et al. Identification of the
706   haemoglobin scavenger receptor. Nature. 2001;409: 198–201. doi:10.1038/35051594

707 57. Pulford K, Micklem K, McCarthy S, Cordell J, Jones M, Mason DY. A monocyte/macrophage antigen
708   recognized by the four antibodies GHI/61, Ber-MAC3, Ki-M8 and SM4. Immunology. 1992;75: 588–95.

Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1592433

58.   Buechler C, Ritter M, Orsó E, Langmann T, Klucken J, Schmitz G. Regulation of scavenger receptor CD163

expression in human monocytes and macrophages by pro- and antiinflammatory stimuli. J Leukoc Biol.

2000;67: 97–103. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10648003

59.   Oliviero S, Cortese R. The human haptoglobin gene promoter: interleukin-6-responsive elements interact

with a DNA-binding protein induced by interleukin-6. EMBO J. 1989;8: 1145–51. Available:

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2787245

60.   van den Heuvel MM, Tensen CP, van As JH, van den Berg TK, Fluitsma DM, Dijkstra CD, et al. Regulation

of CD163 on human macrophages: cross-linking of CD163 induces signaling and activation. J Leukoc Biol.

1999;66: 858–866. doi:10.1002/jlb.66.5.858

61.   Fabriek BO, van Bruggen R, Deng DM, Ligtenberg AJM, Nazmi K, Schornagel K, et al. The macrophage

scavenger receptor CD163 functions as an innate immune sensor for bacteria. Blood. 2009;113: 887–892.

doi:10.1182/blood-2008-07-167064

62.   Kneidl J, Löffler B, Erat MC, Kalinka J, Peters G, Roth J, et al. Soluble CD163 promotes recognition,

phagocytosis and killing of Staphylococcus aureus via binding of specific fibronectin peptides. Cell

Microbiol. 2012;14: 914–936. doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2012.01766.x

63.   Reading PC, Miller JL, Anders EM. Involvement of the Mannose Receptor in Infection of Macrophages by

Influenza Virus. J Virol. 2000;74: 5190–5197. doi:10.1128/JVI.74.11.5190-5197.2000

64.   Pontow SE, Kery V, Stahl PD. Mannose Receptor. Int Rev Cytol. 1993;137: 221–244. doi:10.1016/S0074-

7696(08)62606-6

65.   Sallusto F, Cella M, Danieli C, Lanzavecchia A. Dendritic cells use macropinocytosis and the mannose

receptor to concentrate macromolecules in the major histocompatibility complex class II compartment:

downregulation by cytokines and bacterial products. J Exp Med. 1995;182: 389–400.

doi:10.1084/jem.182.2.389

66.   Stahl PD. The Macrophage Mannose Receptor: Current Status. Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol. 1990;2: 317–318.

doi:10.1165/ajrcmb/2.4.317

67.   Upham JP, Pickett D, Irimura T, Anders EM, Reading PC. Macrophage Receptors for Influenza A Virus:

Role of the Macrophage Galactose-Type Lectin and Mannose Receptor in Viral Entry. J Virol. 2010;84:

3730–3737. doi:10.1128/JVI.02148-09

68.   Lee SJ, Zheng N-Y, Clavijo M, Nussenzweig MC. Normal Host Defense during Systemic Candidiasis in

Mannose Receptor-Deficient Mice. Infect Immun. 2003;71: 437–445. doi:10.1128/IAI.71.1.437-445.2003

69.   Swain SD, Lee SJ, Nussenzweig MC, Harmsen AG. Absence of the Macrophage Mannose Receptor in Mice

Does Not Increase Susceptibility to Pneumocystis carinii Infection In Vivo. Infect Immun. 2003;71: 6213–

6221. doi:10.1128/IAI.71.11.6213-6221.2003

70.   Gordon S. Alternative activation of macrophages. Nat Rev Immunol. 2003;3: 23–35. doi:10.1038/nri978

71.   Wu G, Morris SM. Arginine metabolism: nitric oxide and beyond. Biochem J. 1998;336: 1–17.

doi:10.1042/bj3360001

72.   Starikova EA, Sokolov A V., Burova LA, Golovin AS, Lebedeva AM, Vasilyev VB, et al. The Role of

Arginine Deaminase from Streptococcus pyogenes in Inhibition Macrophages Nitrogen Monooxide (NO)

Synthesis. Russ J Infect Immun. 2018;8: 211–218. doi:10.15789/2220-7619-2018-2-211-218

73.   Hesse M, Modolell M, La Flamme AC, Schito M, Fuentes JM, Cheever AW, et al. Differential Regulation of

Nitric Oxide Synthase-2 and Arginase-1 by Type 1/Type 2 Cytokines In Vivo: Granulomatous Pathology Is

Shaped by the Pattern of l-Arginine Metabolism. J Immunol. 2001;167: 6533–6544.

doi:10.4049/jimmunol.167.11.6533

74.   Serbina N V, Salazar-Mather TP, Biron CA, Kuziel WA, Pamer EG. TNF/iNOS-Producing Dendritic Cells

Mediate Innate Immune Defense against Bacterial Infection. Immunity. 2003;19: 59–70. doi:10.1016/S1074-

7613(03)00171-7

75.   Tavares LP, Teixeira MM, Garcia CC. The inflammatory response triggered by Influenza virus: a two edged

sword. Inflamm Res. 2017;66: 283–302. doi:10.1007/s00011-016-0996-0

76.   Richter J, Brouwer S, Schroder K, Walker MJ. Inflammasome activation and IL-1β signalling in group A

Streptococcus disease. Cell Microbiol. 2021;23: 1–9. doi:10.1111/cmi.13373

77.   Harder J, Franchi L, Munoz-Planillo R, Park J-H, Reimer T, Nunez G. Activation of the Nlrp3

Inflammasome by Streptococcus pyogenes Requires Streptolysin O and NF- B Activation but Proceeds

Independently of TLR Signaling and P2X7 Receptor. J Immunol. 2009;183: 5823–5829.

doi:10.4049/jimmunol.0900444

78.   Lin AE, Beasley FC, Keller N, Hollands A, Urbano R, Troemel ER, et al. A group a Streptococcus ADP-

ribosyltransferase toxin stimulates a protective interleukin 1beta-dependent macrophage immune response.

MBio. 2015;6: 1–12. doi:10.1128/mBio.00133-15

79.   Valderrama JA, Riestra AM, Gao NJ, LaRock CN, Gupta N, Ali SR, et al. Group A streptococcal M protein

768   activates the NLRP3 inflammasome. Nat Microbiol. 2017;2: 1425–1434. doi:10.1038/s41564-017-0005-6

769   80.   Stasakova J, Ferko B, Kittel C, Sereinig S, Romanova J, Katinger H, et al. Influenza A mutant viruses with

770   altered NS1 protein function provoke caspase-1 activation in primary human macrophages, resulting in fast

771   apoptosis and release of high levels of interleukins 1β and 18. J Gen Virol. 2005;86: 185–195.

772   doi:10.1099/vir.0.80422-0

773   81.   Park HS, Lu Y, Pandey K, Liu GQ, Zhou Y. NLRP3 Inflammasome Activation Enhanced by TRIM25 is

774   Targeted by the NS1 Protein of 2009 Pandemic Influenza A Virus. Front Microbiol. 2021;12.

775   doi:10.3389/fmicb.2021.778950

776   82.   Pothlichet J, Meunier I, Davis BK, Ting JP-Y, Skamene E, von Messling V, et al. Type I IFN Triggers RIG-

777   I/TLR3/NLRP3-dependent Inflammasome Activation in Influenza A Virus Infected Cells. Pekosz A, editor.

778   PLoS Pathog. 2013;9: e1003256. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003256

779   83.   Lamotte LA, Tafforeau L. How influenza a virus ns1 deals with the ubiquitin system to evade innate

780   immunity. Viruses. 2021;13: 1–26. doi:10.3390/v13112309

781   84.   Midiri A, Mancuso G, Beninati C, Gerace E, Biondo C. The relevance of il-1-signaling in the protection

782   against gram-positive bacteria. Pathogens. 2021;10: 1–13. doi:10.3390/pathogens10020132

783   85.   LaRock CN, Todd J, LaRock DL, Olson J, O'Donoghue AJ, Robertson AAB, et al. IL-1β is an innate

784   immune sensor of microbial proteolysis. Sci Immunol. 2016;1: eaah3539–eaah3539.

785   doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.aah3539

786   86.   Ely CF. Influenza as seen at the puget sound navy yard. J Am Med Assoc. 1919;72: 24.

787   doi:10.1001/jama.1919.26110010003009

788   87.   Okamoto S, Kawabata S, Fujitaka H, Uehira T, Okuno Y, Hamada S. Vaccination with formalin-inactivated

789   influenza vaccine protects mice against lethal influenza Streptococcus pyogenes superinfection. Vaccine.

790   2004;22: 2887–2893. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2003.12.024

791   88.   Grabenstein JD. Immunization to Protect the US Armed Forces: Heritage, Current Practice, and Prospects.

792   Epidemiol Rev. 2006;28: 3–26. doi:10.1093/epirev/mxj003

793   89.   Ozgur SK, Beyazova U, Kemaloglu YK, Maral I, Sahin F, Camurdan AD, et al. Effectiveness of Inactivated

794   Influenza Vaccine for Prevention of Otitis Media in Children. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2006;25: 401–404.

795   doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000217370.83948.51

796 90.   Clements DA. Influenza A Vaccine Decreases the Incidence of Otitis Media in 6- to 30-Month-Old Children

797   in Day Care. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 1995;149: 1113. doi:10.1001/archpedi.1995.02170230067009

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank Wendy Bergman for her excellent support during the work

for this study. We also thank Dirk Koczan and Ildiko Toth for their help with the transcription

analyses. Moreover, we like to express our gratitude to Karin Gerber and Chantal von Hörsten for

their participation in the animal breeding and care at the animal core facility. The authors

sincerely give their thanks to all staff and students that took part in the scientific discussions and

experimental conduct.

Funding

807   This research was funded by Federal Excellence Initiative of Mecklenburg-Western

808 Pomerania and European Social Fund (ESF) Grant KoInfekt (ESF/14-BM-A55-0011/16 and

809 ESF/14- BM-A55-0005/16).

Ko-Infekt Study Group

811 Karen Methling[2], Michael Lalk[2], Ulrike Blohm[3], Alexander Schäfer[3], Bernd Kreikemeyer[4]

812 (Affiliations are listed on the title page)

Author Contributions

814   J.V. and B.M-H. designed the study. J.V., M.B., E.W., M.M., D.S., K.M., U.B. and A.S.

815 designed and performed the experiments and collected the data. J.V., M.B. and D.S. performed

816 the statistical analyses. J.V. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the

817 article and approved the submitted version.

Disclosures

The authors have no financial conflict of interest.

Abbreviations Used in This Article

In order of appearance:

IAV	Influenza A Virus
GAS	Group A Streptococcus
HA	Hemagglutinin
IL	Interleukin
IFN	Interferon
MDCKII	Mardin-Darby Canine Kidney II (cells)
TCID50	Tissue Culture Infectious Dose 50
THB	Todd-Hewitt Broth
CFU	Colony-forming Units
20-HETE	20-Hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid
13-HODE	13-Hydroxyoctadecadienoic acid
PGE$_2$	Prostanglandin E$_2$
AA	Arachidonic Acid
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium
FCS	Fetal Calf Serum
M-CSF	Macrophage Colony-stimulating Factor
RB	Running Buffer
7-AAD	7-Aminoactinomycin
MFI	Median Fluorescence Intensity
t-SNE	t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding
CCL2/MCP-1	Chemokine CC-Motif Ligand 2/Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1
TNFα	Tumor Necrosis Factor α
CXCL2/MIP2-α	Chemokine CXC-Motif Ligand 2/Macrophage Inflammatory Protein 2-α

845	**ELISA**	Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay
846	**ANOVA**	Analysis of Variance
847	**r**	Pearson Product-moment Correlation Coefficient
848	**SEM**	Standard Error of the Mean
849	**IFNAR**	Interferon-α/β Receptor
850	**NOS2**	Nitric Oxide Synthase 2
851	**ARG1**	Arginase
852	**NLRP3**	NLR Family Pyrin Domain Containing 3
853	**IL-1R**	Interleukin-1 Receptor

854 Supplementary Material

855 Contents:

Figures

Figure 1. Influenza A virus infection induced minor weight loss and inhibited the production of CCL2. (**A**)

Experimental Design. Mice were intranasally infected with influenza A virus (IAV, n = 8, two indepentent

experiments). PBS was adminstered as a control (n = 3, two independent experiments). Blood samples were drawn

on days 2 and 4 following infection. Lungs were excised at day 16. (**B**) Mean weight changes relative to day 0.

Weight loss was confirmed by one-way ANOVA (p < 0.0001) in the IAV group and by two-way ANOVA (***p <

0.001) comparing the IAV group to PBS controls. Error bars depict the SEM. (**C**) Boxplots display CCL2

concentrations in plasma samples from uninfected controls (n = 13, six independent experiments) and IAV infected

mice (n = 9, five independent experiments). Day 2 and Day 4 p-values result from Mann-Whitney U test and t-test,

respectively. (**D**) Dotplots show normalized mRNA expression of *Ccl2* and *Ifnb1* in lung homogenates based on ΔCt

values. p-value results from t-test. (**E**) Normalized viral loads based on Ct values for IAV specific genes in day 16

lung homogenates.

Figure 2. Preceding IAV infection promotes bacterial dissemination and sepsis severity during co-infection.
(**A**) Experimental design. For monocausal bacterial infection, Group A Streptococcus was administered intravenously (GAS, n = 10, two independent experiments). For co-infection, mice were either infected with GAS followed by intranasal IAV administration (GAS+IAV, n = 10, three independent experiments) or infected with IAV followed by infection with GAS (IAV+GAS, n = 10, three independent experiments). (**B**) Representative photographies of a healthy compared to an edematous paw after IAV+GAS co-infection. (**C**) Kaplan-Meier curves display the incidences of paw edemas. *p < 0.05, log-rank test with p-value adjustment for multiple comparisons (Bonferroni-Holm method). (**D**) Dotplot shows sepsis scores at endpoints (day 14 or humane endpoint). p-value results from Mann-Whitney U test. The dashed line indicates the minimum score for humane endpoints. (**E**) Kaplan-Meier curves display survival probabilities. (**F**) Dotplots show endpoint bulk lung mRNA gene expressions of *Ccl2* and *Ifnb1* that were normalized to *Gapdh* and lungs from uninfected mice (dashed line) by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, one-sample Wilcoxon signed-rank test ($\mu = 1$). (**G**) Normalized bacterial loads based on Ct values for GAS specific genes in endpoint lung homogenates.

Figure 3. Activation of bone-marrow derived murine macrophages during *in vitro* co-infection was distinct

from bacterial mono-infection. (**A**) Experimental Design. Macrophages were differentiated from bone marrow cells

and then infected with either IAV for 48 h (n = 4) or GAS for 6 h (n = 4). For co-infection, IAV was first applied for

48 h followed by GAS infection for 6 h (IAV+GAS, n = 7). Each sample was obtained from individual mice to

obtain biological replicates. All data were generated as four independent experiments. (**B**) t-distributed stochastic

neighbor embedding (tSNE) on flow cytometry data from infected macrophages and topology of surface antigen

expression levels. 10,000 events from each sample were integrated into the dimension reduction analysis. MFI:

median fluorescence intensity. (**C**) Heatmap and hierarchical clustering on standardized fold changes of surface

antigen expression levels based on their MFI. Fold changes were generated by normalization of MFI data from

infected macrophages to their respective paired uninfected controls. (**D**) Dotplots depict the alteration of surface

antigen expression levels due to (co-)infection. (**E**) Representative pseudocolor plots illustrate the alteration of

proportions of macrophages expressing CD80 and CD86 (top) or CD206 (bottom) after (co-)infection. (**F**) Dotplots

demonstrate the shift of macrophage subpopulation fractions after (co-)infection relative to uninfected controls

(dashed lines). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, Dunn's test or Tukey HSD test with p-value adjustments for

multiple comparisons (Bonferroni-Holm method). [#]p < 0.05, [##]p < 0.01, [###]p < 0.001, Wilcoxon signed-rank test or

one-sample t-test for the comparison to uninfected cultures ($\mu = 1$).

Figure 4. Preceeding influenza A virus infection impedes pro-inflammatory immunological features of macrophages during co-infection. (**A**) Experimental Design. Bone-marrow derived macrophages were infected with IAV (n = 4), GAS (n = 4) or IAV+GAS (n = 7). Each sample was obtained from individual mice to obtain biological replicates. All data were generated as four independent experiments. (**B**) Heatmap and hierarchical clustering on standardized relative mRNA expression levels from quantitative PCR analyses using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. Data from infected cultures were normalized to *Gapdh* and their respective paired uninfected controls. (**C**) Dotplots show the alterations of *Mgl2*, *Nos2* and *Arg1* mRNA expression levels due to (co-)infection. (**D**) Dotplots illustrate distinct patterns of chemokine and cytokine mRNA production by macrophages after (co-)infection. (**E**) Dotplots demonstrate (co-)infection induced protein production of chemokines and cytokines that were measured in cell culture supernatants. Dashed lines represent control cultures. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, Dunn's test or Tukey HSD test with p-value adjustments for multiple comparisons (Bonferroni-Holm method). #$p < 0.05$, ##$p < 0.01$, ###$p < 0.001$, Wilcoxon signed-rank test or one-sample t-test for the comparison to uninfected cultures ($\mu = 1$).

925 **Tables**

926 **Table I.** Frequencies of blood agar cultures from endpoint blood smears and synovial knee joint swabs positive for

927 β-hemolytic bacteria.

positive cultures	GAS	GAS+IAV	IAV+GAS
blood	20% (2/10)	30% (3/10)	50% (5/10)
knee joint capsule	10% (1/10)	30% (3/10)	50% (5/10)

928 $p = 0.25$, Fisher's exact test