Proinflammatory innate cytokines and metabolomic signatures shape the T cell response in active COVID-19

Akshay Binayke[1], Aymaan Zaheer[2†], Jyotsna Dandotiya[1†], Sonu K Gupta[3], Shailendra Mani[3], Manas Tripathi[2], Upasna Madan[1], Tripti Shrivastava[3], Yashwant Kumar[3], Anil K Pandey[4], Deepak K Rathore[3], Amit Awasthi[1,2*]

[1]Immunobiology Lab, Translational Health Science and Technology Institute, Faridabad, India;

[2]Immunology Core, Translational Health Science and Technology Institute, Faridabad, India;

[3]Translational Health Science and Technology Institute, Faridabad, India;

[4]ESIC Medical College and Hospital, Faridabad, India.

*Corresponding author. Email: aawasthi@thsti.res.in

[†] Equal Contribution

Abstract

The underlying factors contributing to the evolution of SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell responses during COVID-19 infection remain unidentified. To address this, we characterized innate and adaptive immune responses with metabolomic profiling longitudinally at three different time points (0-3, 7-9, and 14-16 days post-COVID-19 positivity) from young mildly symptomatic active COVID-19 patients infected during the first wave in mid-2020. We observed that anti-RBD IgG and viral neutralization are significantly reduced against the Delta variant compared to the ancestral strain. In contrast, compared to the ancestral strain, T cell responses remain preserved against the delta and omicron variants. We determined innate immune responses during the early stage of active infection in response to TLR 3/7/8 mediated activation in PBMCs and serum metabolomic profiling. Correlation analysis indicated PBMCs-derived proinflammatory cytokines, IL-18, IL-1β, and IL-23, and the abundance of plasma metabolites involved in arginine biosynthesis were predictive of a robust SARS-CoV-2-specific Th1 response at a later stage (two weeks after PCR positivity). These observations may contribute to designing effective vaccines and adjuvants that promote innate immune responses and metabolites to induce long-lasting anti-SARS-CoV-2 specific T cells response.

Keywords: T cells; Immuno-metabolomics; COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; Vaccine Adjuvants

INTRODUCTION

T cell immune responses are indispensable in long-lasting protection against the coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19)[1-3]. Although variants of concern (VoCs) acquired multiple mutations to evade the humoral immunity generated through vaccination, natural infection or both, T cell immunity remained largely preserved against variants of SARS-CoV-2[3-6]. Importantly, memory T cell response against SARS-CoV-2 persists for a long time since antigen exposure and thus protects from severe infection upon re-exposure to SARS-CoV-2 and its variants[7]. The frequency and magnitude of the antigen-specific T cell response could potentially affect the clinical manifestations of COVID-19[8-10]. Therefore, it is critical to understand the factors that shape the magnitude of T cell responses during SARS-CoV-2 infections.

Antigen-presenting cells (APCs) provide secondary signals in the form of cytokines that are critical in modulating T cell activation and differentiation[11]. However, the phenotype of cytokines secreted by innate immune cells in generating a comprehensive T cell response is not clearly understood in COVID-19. Systems biology studies have shown that proinflammatory cytokines, IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α, originating from the SARS-CoV-2 infected lungs but not from the peripheral blood cells, contribute to COVID-19 severity[12]. Therefore, studying the systemic levels of cytokines to predict the T cell response may prove misleading. There are limited reports of cellular innate immune responses and their correlation with adaptive immunity. Few recent longitudinal studies have documented the early innate and adaptive immune responses during mild COVID-19[13, 14]; however, no reports identify a correlation of an early innate immune response with the generation of T cell responses in active COVID-19. To address this, we used TLR 3, 7, and 8 agonists to stimulate PBMCs isolated within three days of PCR diagnosis of mild-COVID-19 patients and measured the range and magnitude of innate cytokine release, which were further

correlated with the degree of virus-specific T cell response generated during active COVID-

19 in mild cases where the virus was successfully cleared. We aim to shed light on the

coordination of the innate and adaptive immune responses over the course of acute COVID-

19 and understand the phenotypes of the immune cells involved at each stage to gain a

holistic understanding of how different branches of the immune system act sequentially

during infection.

We and others have reported that COVID-19 patients have altered metabolic pathways and

dysregulation of energy production[15-19]. Since plasma metabolites substantially influence the

immune cells in shaping protective immune responses, we tested whether levels of specific

metabolites or metabolic pathways correlate with the antiviral immune responses. Our results

reveal that the TLR-specific proinflammatory cytokine activity by PBMCs and a plasma

metabolic signature correlate with a robust T-cell immune response against COVID-19

infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Plan: To investigate the factors that contribute to the priming of a robust anti-SARS-CoV-2 T cell response, we longitudinally studied immune-metabolomic signatures during acute infection in young mildly symptomatic COVID-19 patients infected with the SARS-CoV-2 virus in mid-2020 by collecting blood samples on day 0-3 (indicated as "V1"), day 7 (indicated as "V2") and day 14 (indicated as "V3") from the date of PCR positive infection report. Clinically relevant medical information (e.g., age, patient-reported symptoms) was collected at the time of enrolment. For comparison, blood was also collected from age-matched SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR-negative healthy volunteers (**Table S1**). The clinical cohort was considerably young and consisted of 8 females and 13 males with a median age of 28 years (IQR: 25:34) (**Table S1**). From the collected blood samples, we separated the plasma and PBMCs, which were cryopreserved until further experimentation. The humoral immune response against SARS-COV-2 and its variants was evaluated using the plasma samples collected from the 21 patients. PBMCs from all three-time points were used to determine antigen-specific T cell response dynamics during acute COVID-19. To study the status of innate immunity against SARS-COV-2, we *ex vivo* stimulated the PBMCs of V1 samples with a cocktail of TLR 3/7/8 agonists, and the released cytokines were quantified. We performed a Spearman correlation analysis of the innate immune response from V1 samples with the SARS-CoV-2 specific T cell immune response of V3. The metabolic signature obtained from the plasma was further correlated with the SARS-CoV-2 specific innate and T cell immune responses (**Fig. 1A**).

Human Ethics: All the experiments were performed according to the suggested guidelines of the Institutional Ethics Committee (Human Research) of THSTI and ESIC Hospital, Faridabad (Letter Ref No: THS 1.8.1 (97) dated 07th July 2020). Peripheral blood samples were collected from asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic COVID-19-positive and healthy

individuals after receiving written informed consent. Individuals were enrolled in this study

upon appropriate approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee (Human Research) of

THSTI.

THSTI In-house RBD IgG ELISA:

ELISAs to detect IgG binding to receptor binding domain (RBD) were performed as

previously described[20]. Positive convalescent and negative control samples were added to

each plate for normalization. The assay results were normalized by dividing the blank

subtracted readings of each sample by negative control to obtain the fold change reading.

Virus Neutralization Assay:

Virus microneutralization assay titers were estimated as described previously [12]. Briefly,

plasma samples were serially diluted from 1/20 to 1/2560 and incubated with the ancestral

(Wuhan isolate) and the delta (B.1.617.2) SARS-CoV-2 isolates. 50% neutralization values

were estimated with four-parameter logistic regression.

Peptide Pool: 15mers peptide pools with an overlap of 11 amino acids spanning the entire

sequence of Spike protein (157+158 peptides, JPT, PepMix, Cat. No.PM-WCPV-S-1

(Ancestral), PM-SARS2-SMUT06-1 (Delta) and PM-SARS2-SMUT08-1 (Omicron)) were

used for the determining the ancestral, B.1.617.2 and B.1.1.529 SARS-CoV-2-spike specific

T-cell responses by AIM assay, ICS and cytokine bead assay.

SARS-CoV-2 Specific T cell response: SARS-CoV-2 Specific T cell responses were studied

as described previously[6, 21, 22]. PBMCs were stimulated with peptide pools at a 2

μg/ml/peptide concentration and with an equimolar dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)

concentration as a negative control. Phytohemagglutinin (PHA, Roche; 5μg/ml) was used as

a positive control. As a co-stimulant, anti-CD28 and anti-CD49d were added (BD

Biosciences, USA). The cells were cultured for 22-24h, and monesin (GolgiStopTM, BD

Bioscience, USA) was added during the last six hours. After stimulation with peptides, the

culture supernatant was separated and stored at <-20°C until further use, and the cells were

stained for flow cytometry. Samples were acquired on a fluorescence-activated cell sorter

(FACS) Symphony[TM] instrument (BD Biosciences) using BD FACSuite software version

1.0.6 and analyzed with FlowJo software version VX (FlowJo LLC, BD Biosciences).

Functional profiles were deconvoluted by employing Boolean gating in FlowJo version XV.

Spike-specific cytokine production was background-subtracted by the values obtained with

the DMSO-containing medium, and the negative values were set to the Limit Of Detection

(LOD). The stimulation index (SI) and LOD were calculated as described previously [22];

briefly, the SI was calculated by dividing the frequency of AIM+ CD4 or CD8 cells recorded

in stimulated wells by the unstimulated wells.

Metabolomic Analysis: Metabolomic profiling of plasma metabolites was performed as

described previously[23-26]. The LC/MS obtained data were processed using the Progenesis QI

for metabolomics (Nonlinear dynamics, a Waters Company) software using the default

setting. Manual processing of the raw data by removing drugs and exposome metabolites led

to the identification of 176 metabolites at all three-time points. All the statistical and

functional analysis, including the PCA, heat map, pathway enrichment analysis, PLS-DA,

and analysis of variance (ANOVA), was done based on the observed peaks intensity using

the online open-source software Metaboanalyst 5.0. Before analysis, a data integrity check

was performed, and the raw data were normalized by sum, log-transformed, and scaled by

Pareto scaling. The volcano plots were constructed using the online available package

VolcaNoseR [27].

In vitro stimulation of PBMCs with TLR agonists:

PBMCs were stimulated as previously described [12], with some modifications. Approximately

one million cells were added to each well, and all wells received stimulation with a viral

cocktail containing 4.0 μg/ml R848 and 25 μg/ml poly I:C. Negative control DMSO

stimulated cells were also cultured for each sample. The cells were incubated in a 5% CO_2

incubator at 37ºC for about 24 hours, after which the culture supernatant was collected to

analyze cytokine secretion.

Analysis of Cytokine Secretion by Luminex:

Cytokine secretion in the cell culture supernatant was analyzed with a customized Premixed

Multi-Analyte Luminex Discovery Assay kit (LXSAHM-10 (peptide pools stimulation);

LXSAHM-11 (TLR agonist stimulation), R&D systems). The assays were performed as per

the manufacturer's instructions. The analytes examined upon peptide pool stimulation were

Granzyme B, IL-2, IL-5, IL-12 p70, IL-23, IFN-gamma, IL-4, IL-6, IL-17/IL-17A, and TNF-

alpha, whereas the analytes examined upon TLR stimulation were IL-23, IFN-gamma, IL-6,

IL-12 p70, TNF-alpha, IL-33, IL-8, IL-10, CCL2, IL1-beta, and IL-18.

Data Representation and Statistical Analysis:

The statistical analysis and data representation was performed using GraphPad Prism 9.0 and

FlowJo XV unless otherwise stated. The antibody responses were compared using the RM

one-way ANOVA Tukey's Multiple Comparison Test. The cytokine and T cell response

against each variant versus ancestral strain and at different stages of acute infection was

calculated and compared using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test. T cell responses

were calculated as background-subtracted data by subtracting the values obtained from the

SARS-CoV-2 peptide pool stimulation from the DMSO stimulation. Negative values were set

to the LOD. The cytokine secretion response upon peptide or TLR stimulation was calculated

as fold change data for the correlation analysis by dividing the stimulated wells by

unstimulated wells of the same sample. The correlation between the innate and adaptive

immune response was performed using the Spearman correlation test. For metabolomic

analysis, processing the raw data by removing drugs and exposome metabolites led to the

identification of 176 metabolites at all three stages (Visit 1, Visit 3, and Healthy Control). All

the statistical and functional analysis, including the PCA, heat map, pathway enrichment

analysis, PLS-DA, and analysis of variance (ANOVA), was done based on the observed

peaks intensity using the online open-source software Metaboanalyst 5.0. Before analysis, a

data integrity check was performed, and the raw data were normalized by sum, log-

transformed, and scaled by Pareto scaling. The volcano plots were constructed using the

online available package VolcaNoseR [27].

A broadscale Spearman correlation analysis of the metabolites belonging to the V1 and V3

groups with the innate and adaptive immune responses of V1 and V3 was performed. Those

metabolites belonging to V1 and V3 that significantly correlated ($p<0.05$, $r>0.45$) with the

proinflammatory innate immune responses and/or TH1 skewed T cell immune responses and

has pathway library of KEGG were selected for Pathway Analysis using the Metaboanalyst

5.0 software [28]. The graphical abstract and figure 1A were prepared using Biorender.com.

RESULTS

Humoral Immune responses against SARS-CoV-2 variants is broadly inadequate in active-COVID-19 patients:

To study the kinetics of the antibody response against the SARS-CoV-2, we first investigated the IgG response against the SARS-CoV-2 RBD protein using Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs). For all assays, blank-subtracted colorimetric values were normalized to a pre-pandemic negative control plasma sample added to each assay plate and expressed as ratios to this pool of negative samples as described previously[29]. We observed that the anti-RBD (ancestral) IgG titers increased about three-fold by V2 and V3 compared to V1 (**Fig. 1B**). However, similar to the previous reports in vaccinated and convalescent individuals[30], the cross-reactive antibody response against the RBD proteins of the delta (B.1.617.2), beta (B.1.315), and alpha variants (B.1.1.7) was significantly reduced (**Fig. S3**). While the median neutralizing antibody (Nab) titers increased significantly by V2 and V3 as compared to V1 against the ancestral virus (**Fig. 1C**), cross-reactive NAb titers against the delta variants were found to be significantly decreased at V2 and V3 as compared to the ancestral virus ($p < 0.0001$, **Fig. 1E**). We observed that even by V3, only 33% (7/21) individuals had detectable levels of cross-reactive Nabs against the Delta variant (**Fig. 1D**). Therefore, in concurrence with the previous reports, the cross-reactive antibody-mediated protection against different variants of SARS-CoV-2 in active COVID-19 patients infected with ancestral strain is broadly inadequate.

Spike-specific T cell response in COVID-19 patients:

We studied the antigen-specific T cell response from the PBMCs of active COVID-19 patients by stimulating them with peptide pools spanning the entire length of the spike protein for 20-24h. The T cell response upon peptide pool stimulation was measured by calculating

the expression of T cell receptor (TCR)-dependent activation-induced markers (AIM) [10] and

Th1 cytokines after background subtraction from DMSO stimulated wells [31]. The CD4+ T

cells co-expressing CD137 and OX-40 are designated as CD4+AIM+ cells (**Fig. 2A**), and the

CD8+ T cells co-expressing CD137 and CD69 are defined as CD8+AIM+ cells (**Fig. 2B**) [10, 21].

The activation-induced markers (AIM) in CD4+ T cells were observed as early as V1 (6/21),

which may be attributed to pre-existing cross-reactive T cells from prior common cold

coronavirus infections[32]. The magnitude of SARS-CoV-2-specific CD4+ T cells increased

significantly by V2 (day 7, p = 0.0027) and by V3 (day 14, p = 0.0028) (**Fig. 2C**). Consistent

with the previous reports[10], the AIM+ cells in CD4+ T cells were observed in ~76% of the

patients by V3 (16/21) (**Fig. 2D**). Likewise, AIM+ spike-specific CD8+ T cells were detected

as early as V1 (4/21). Interestingly, unlike CD4+ T cells, the frequency and magnitude of

AIM+ cells in CD8+ T cells peaked quickly from V1 to V2 (p = 0.021) and did not change

significantly from V2 to V3 (p = 0.52) (**Fig. 2E**). Antigen-specific CD8+ T cells were

detected in only 57% (12/21) (**Fig. 2F**) of the patients at V3, in line with the previous

findings reported by Moderbacher et al., 2020 [10].

The functional antigen-specific T cell response was tested by intracellular expression of IFN-γ and IL-2 by performing intracellular cytokine staining of spike peptide pool stimulated

PBMCs. Similar to the CD4+ AIM+ response, the CD4+ IFN-γ+ cells frequency increased

significantly from V1 to V3 (p=0.014, **Fig. 2G-H**). Likewise, the functional SARS-CoV-2

specific cytotoxic T cell frequency characterized by CD8+ IFN-γ+ cell frequency increased

significantly from V1 to V3 (p=0.0074, **Fig. 2 I, J**). Therefore, to summarize, we found a

steady increase in the CD4 and CD8 AIM and functional T cell response, which was

identified by intracytoplasmic expression of IFN-γ in both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells.

To further understand the range of cytokines released after stimulation of PBMCs with

peptide pool, the release of cytokines was calculated by cytokine bead assay. With the

progression of time, we observed a steady increase in the secretion of Th1 specific cytokines

such as IFN-γ (mean: V1:100 pg/ml; V2: 810 pg/ml; V3: 1352 pg/ml, **Fig. 2K**), TNFα (mean:

V1: 40 pg/ml; V2: 206 pg/ml; V3: 478 pg/ml, **Fig. 2L**), and IL-2 (mean: V1: 77 pg/ml; V2:

430 pg/ml; V3: 429 pg/ml, **Fig. 2M**), whereas Th-2 and Th-17 specific cytokines did not

change significantly (**Fig. S7 A, C, D**). We did not detect any notable differences in the

IL12p70 secretion (**Fig. S7 E**), but as the antigen-specific T cell response increased, the

proinflammatory cytokines IL-23 and IL-6 upon antigen exposure increased significantly by

visit 3 (IL-6: mean:898 pg/ml; p=0.013; IL-23: mean: 711 pg/ml; p=0.034, **Fig. S7 B, F).** The

cytotoxic response, evaluated by the levels of Granzyme B, also increased extensively at V3

compared to V1 (p=0.009, mean V3= 841 pg/ml, **Fig. 2N**).

To sum up, the SARS-CoV-2 specific T cell immune responses were predominated by Th1

cytokine expression, and their magnitude progressively increased with the advent of time

since antigen exposure.

Cross-reactive spike-specific T cell response against delta and omicron variants is

largely preserved in active COVID-19 patients

We found that the antigen-specific T cell response is significantly increased by 14 days post

detection of COVID-19 infection with the ancestral strain. To confirm whether T cell

responses elicited by the ancestral strain in active COVID-19 patients could cross-react with

the delta and omicron spike protein, we tested and compared the T cell response in the

PBMCs (n=24) of active COVID-19 patients exposed to the ancestral strain of SARS-CoV-2

from V2 and V3 against the spike peptide pools of the ancestral, delta, and omicron variants

(**Fig. 3**). We observed that the cross-reactive T cell response is largely preserved with a slight

reduction against delta (1.3-fold) and the omicron (1.5-fold) spike protein compared to the

ancestral spike. Compared to the ancestral response, the geometric mean of the AIM+ in

CD4+ response was reduced by 31% against delta (p=0.0162) and 58% against Omicron

spike (p=0.0005) (**Fig. 3A**). However, the functional profile remained broadly comparable

among the variants as determined by the expression of intracellular cytokines IFN-γ, IL-2,

and TNFα (**Fig. 3B-D**).

Although the geometric mean of antigen-specific CD8+ AIM response was reduced by three

and five-fold in the delta and omicron variants, respectively, the difference was non-

significant (**Fig. 3E**). Moreover, the functional phenotypes of the antigen-specific CD8 T

cells were comparable among the VoCs compared to the ancestral spike antigen (**Fig. 3 F,**

G).

Taken together, in concordance with the previous reports[3-6], the cross-reactive T cell immune

responses persist despite the humoral immune response being abrogated against the VoCs in

actively infected individuals. Our results imply the importance of the cellular immune

responses against COVID-19. Thus it is essential to understand further what factors

determine the generation of a robust T cell response during active COVID-19.

Early proinflammatory innate cytokine response correlates with a robust T cell

response

Next, we tested which innate immune responses correlate with the adaptive immunity,

especially T cell immune response in active COVID-19 patients. Given the earlier findings

that COVID-19 infection impairs the APCs such as the pDCs and myeloid cells[12, 33], we

wanted to test what functional phenotype of APCs correlates with the virus-specific T cell

responses. We performed *ex vivo* stimulation of V1 PBMCs of our COVID-19 cohort with a

cocktail of synthetic agonists of toll-like receptor (TLR) 3, 7, and 8, which are known to

sense virus-derived molecules and initiate an antiviral response[34]. In the presence and

absence of the TLR agonists cocktail of poly I:C (TLR3) and R848 (TLR 7/8), the PBMCs

were cultured for 24h, as described previously[12]. The release of cytokines such as IL-18, IL-

23, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-33, IL-8, IL-10, CCL2, IL12p70, and IFNγ, in the culture supernatant was

estimated by a magnetic bead-based cytokine assay. The cytokines levels in TLR stimulated

wells were significantly higher than in the unstimulated wells (**Fig. S2**). Therefore, to account

for the differences in the number of PBMCs cultured, fold change in the cytokine response

was evaluated by dividing the cytokine response in stimulated wells by unstimulated wells.

The fold change in cytokine expression of TLR stimulated samples compared to the

unstimulated wells was correlated with the antigen-specific T cell responses such as the AIM

markers, ICC-cytokines, and the cytokines expressed in the culture supernatant (such as

TNFα, IFNγ, IL-6, IL-4, IL-17, IL-2, IL-5, IL-12p70, GZB, and IL-23 by PBMC samples

from V3 upon peptide pool stimulation. (**Fig. 4A**).

The intracellular cytokine levels were highly correlated with the cytokines in the culture

supernatant, indicating the robustness of the sample analysis (**Fig. 4A**). For example, the

CD4+ and CD8+ T cell IFN-γ significantly correlated with the IFN-γ levels in the culture

supernatant (CD4: r =0.751, p=0.00014; CD8: r=0.522, p=0.0181; **Fig. 4A**). The Spearman

correlation analysis showed that the PBMCs from individuals that secreted a heightened

proinflammatory cytokine response in the form of IL-18, IL-1β, and IL-23 upon TLR

stimulation during the early time-point of infection (V1) correlated significantly with the

spike-specific T cell immune response in the form of the expression of CD4 and CD8 IFN-γ,

CD4 and CD8 AIM, and Granzyme B (GZB) secretion (**Fig. 4B**). In contrast, the remaining

cytokines such as IL-8, CCL2, and IL-10 did not correlate. Moreover, the proinflammatory

cytokines did not associate with non-Th1 cytokines such as IL-5, and IL-17 and humoral

immune responses (**Fig. 4A, B**). Although we observed a significant negative correlation

between the neutralizing antibody response and the fold change in IL-8 secretion upon TLR

stimulation (r=-0.7, p=0.0012), the observations were not further evaluated because IL8

expression was not significantly altered upon TLR cocktail stimulation (**Fig. S2**). To sum up,

individuals exhibiting a proinflammatory functional phenotype of innate immune cells during

the early stages of infection tend to develop robust antiviral T cell immunity.

Distinct Metabolomic Alterations characterize acute COVID-19 infection

The correlation between metabolic profile with innate and adaptive T cell response in active

COVID-19 infection remains unexplored. Moreover, altered metabolites in active COVID-19

patients might help predict the disease outcome and anti-SARS-CoV-2 immune responses. To

determine the metabolic landscape in active COVID-19 patients, we performed a plasma

metabolomic analysis to identify changes throughout COVID-19 infection. Multiple reports

have identified metabolomic alterations during COVID-19[35-38]. To obtain a broad view of the

metabolic status, we studied the longitudinal plasma metabolite profile in our cohort of 21

COVID-19 patients and compared them with the plasma of 12 healthy volunteers (**Table S1**).

The plasma samples from V1 and V3 were processed for UHPLC-MS/MS analysis, and 240

different metabolites were identified. These 240 metabolites were further screened to remove

drugs and other artificial compounds and derivatives to obtain 176 metabolites. Unsupervised

PCA analysis of the metabolites in our patient cohort displayed a clear distinction that

separated the control (healthy individuals), COVID-19 early time point (V1), and late time

point (V3) individuals (**Fig. 5A**). The Partial Least Squares – Discriminant Analysis (PLS-

DA) was employed to identify the top-15 important metabolites across the three different

groups (**Fig. 5B**). Moreover, hierarchical clustering of the top 50 metabolites showed a

notable shift in the metabolic signature among acute COVID-19 patients compared to the

healthy individuals (**Fig. 5 C**). The PLS-DA and hierarchical clustering analysis pointed out

that the metabolites and derivatives of the Citric Acid cycle, such as Citrate, Itaconate, and

trans-aconitate, were significantly reduced in COVID-19 patients (**Fig. 5B-C**). In contrast, metabolites such as glutamic acid derivatives – Pyroglutamate, and N-methyl-L-glutamic acid were enriched in the plasma of COVID-19 patients (**Fig. 5B-C**). Of the 176 metabolites, 158 were significantly differentiated in COVID-19 patients (FDR<0.001), exhibiting a distinct metabolic profile. Pathway enrichment analysis using the KEGG database showed the metabolites involved in the Phenylalanine metabolism, Arginine and Proline metabolism, etc., were significantly altered during COVID-19 (**Fig. 5D**). While comparing the metabolic profile during the early and later stages of infection, 33 metabolites were significantly down and 13 significantly up (p=0.001, FC>1.5, **Fig. 5E**).

To understand the immune-metabolomic interaction, we performed a Spearman correlation of metabolites with the innate and adaptive immune responses in COVID-19 patients and shortlisted the significantly correlating metabolites (r>0.45, p<0.05). Pathway analysis of plasma metabolites that significantly correlated with the virus-specific innate immune response from V1 showed enrichment of metabolites involved in arginine biosynthesis, D-glutamine, and D-glutamate metabolism, glutathione metabolism, histidine metabolism, etc. (**Fig. 6A**). Similarly, pathway analysis of metabolites that significantly correlate with the antigen-specific T cell responses at V3 displayed enrichment of metabolites involved in arginine biosynthesis, arginine and proline metabolism, purine metabolism, etc. (**Fig. 6B**). Notably, the normalized levels at V1 and V3 of metabolites involved in arginine and proline biosynthesis and metabolism, such as L-arginine, L-proline, L-ornithine, L-citrulline, α-ketoglutarate, L-glutamine and L-glutamate were significantly correlated with the innate and T-cell immune responses (**Fig. 6C**).

DISCUSSION

No other viral disease in the history of humanity has been studied and reported to the extent of COVID-19. And neither has any disease in recent history caused the socio-economic devastation of life on such a global scale[39]. Several integrative studies have attempted to understand the immune correlates of protection against COVID-19[40-42]. These studies have shown that the late induction of T cell responses[43], impaired type I interferon response[44, 45], and weakened innate immune responses are immune hallmarks of severe COVID-19[12, 40].

In this study, the samples were collected during the first wave of COVID-19 (between 07th July and 04th September 2020) before the existence of delta, and omicron VoCs, which allowed us to determine how T cells primed with the ancestral strain react with spike antigen of delta and omicron variants. The magnitude of the antigen-specific T cell response against the ancestral spike was similar to the previous reports[7, 10]. Furthermore, we observed that the T cell response elicited during the active ancestral SARS-CoV-2 infection persists but is relatively reduced against the delta and omicron variants compared to the ancestral spike. The extent of reduction in the magnitude of the T cell response against VoCs is more prominent in our cohort than in previous reports in vaccinated individuals [4, 5, 46]. Such observation could be because the overall magnitude of T cell response is low in acute samples[7] and, therefore, may have resulted in a limited scope for cross-reactivity against the VoCs.

Given the importance of T cell responses in limiting disease severity and protection against emerging variants, the next generation of vaccines must primarily focus on the magnitude of T cell responses generated upon vaccination. However, limited studies have investigated the factors contributing to robust T cell immune responses during COVID-19. For the differentiation and activation of functional T cells, the antigen-presenting cells (APCs) must provide three signals to the T cells. One is via direct TCR-MHC interaction, the second is

through cognate interaction of adhesion molecules, and the third is by cytokine signaling[11].

Therefore, the APCs play a critical role in shaping the T cell response during exposure to a

foreign antigen. An integrative study reports that type I interferon response does not correlate

with the antiviral T cell responses[14]. In contrast, another combinatorial study reported a

temporary increase of IFN-β and IP10/CXCL10 levels associated with a dominant SARS-

CoV-2-specific CD4 T cell response[13]. However, none of these combinatorial reports studied

the TLR-specific functional innate immune response, which is more immune cell-specific and

functional in nature than the systemic plasma levels[12].

Increased plasma IL-6, TNF-a, and IL-1β are characteristic of severe COVID-19 patients[47].

However, it has now been well established that the source of these proinflammatory

cytokines is not the innate immune cells but the non-immune host tissues such as the lungs[12].

Furthermore, in severe COVID-19, the innate cell expression of these proinflammatory

cytokines is instead significantly reduced[12]. Our investigation of innate and T cell response

across the early stages of infection showed that the PBMCs from individuals with a strong

proinflammatory innate immune response also exhibit a robust T cell response against SARS-

CoV-2 infection. IL-18 is a proinflammatory cytokine that primarily promotes a type 1

response and activates established Th1 cells in IFN-γ production[48]. However, the correlation

of proinflammatory Th17 differentiating cytokines, IL-23, and IL-1β [49], with the Th1

response in the later stages of the disease needs further investigation. Interestingly, we

recently reported that IL-17A transcripts were higher at the early stage (2-4 days post-

infection) of SARS-CoV-2 infection in hamsters[50]. Therefore, it may be interesting to

investigate further if any Th1/Th17 plasticity[51] may play a role in the development of T cell

response during COVID-19. Such investigations are fundamental for designing adjuvants that

trigger a robust and long-lasting memory T cell immunity.

There is an orchestrated symphony between the metabolism and immune responses where both players harmonize[52]. Although other groups have reported the longitudinal metabolomic signature[53] and single-cell transcriptomic landscape in COVID-19 patients[41, 54, 55], no study correlated the functional innate and T cell response with the metabolic landscape. Our analysis found a significant correlation between the SARS-CoV-2 specific immune responses and the metabolites involved in pathways such as arginine biosynthesis and arginine and proline metabolism. L-Arginine is an immunomodulatory metabolite that plays a critical role in the pathways involving inflammation, immune regulation, and via its metabolism leading to the generation of nitric oxide (NO), which plays an intrinsic role in Th cell activation, differentiation, survival and proliferation[56-58]. Notably, metabolites involved in arginine biosynthesis have been reported to be significantly altered in severe COVID-19 patients[53] and their levels are inversely correlated to the severity of COVID-19[59]. Shen et al. reported that the metabolites involved in arginine metabolism were significantly reduced in the COVID-19 patients; in contrast, we observed that these metabolites were elevated in COVID-19-infected individuals compared to the healthy controls (**Fig. S5**). Such differences may be attributed to our study's predominantly asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic cohort, which further underlines the significance of metabolites involved in arginine metabolism in COVID-19 severity. Furthermore, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial on patients hospitalized for severe COVID-19 reported that adding oral l-Arginine to standard therapy in patients with severe COVID-19 significantly decreases the length of hospitalization at ten days after starting treatment[60]. These reports appear to go against the previously suggested strategy of l-Arginine depletion in COVID-19, based on the premise that l-Arginine is a key nutrient essential in the life-cycle of SARS-CoV-2[61]. We speculate that given the role of Arginine biosynthesis metabolites in inducing a more potent T cell response, l-Arginine

supplementation could also be beneficial in COVID-19 vaccination and booster doses to

induce long-term immunity.

The immunomodulatory effects of metabolites such as Adenosine[62], Itaconate[63-65], and L-

Proline[66] have been reported independently or in the context of disease pathogenesis.

Enrichment of these metabolites as correlative markers of anti-SARS-CoV-2 immune

responses further underlines their importance in immunomodulatory mechanisms.

To conclude, our study highlights the importance of the T cell response against the emerging

variants of SARS-CoV-2. We show how PBMC-derived innate responses are critical for

generating a robust T cell response and how plasma metabolies correlate with the innate and

asaptive immune responses. How these metabolites could act as potential immunomodulator

needs further examination.

Acknowledgment:

We acknowledge the funding through the Mission COVID Suraksha grant (BT/CS0010/CS/02/20) – from BIRAC, Department of Biotechnology, for enabling this study. We thank all the volunteers who donated their blood samples for this study. We sincerely thank the staff and students of the Translational Health Science and Technology Institute (THSTI; Faridabad, India) for helping to ensure the smooth conduct of the study.

Funding:

The study was funded by BIRAC, Department of Biotechnology through the Mission COVID Suraksha grant (BT/CS0010/CS/02/20).

Competing interests:

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of Data and Material:

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author, AA, on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions:

Conceptualization, Akshay Binayke, Deepak Rathore and Amit Awasthi; Data curation, Shailendra Mani, Yashwant Kumar, Deepak Rathore and Amit Awasthi; Funding acquisition, Deepak Rathore and Amit Awasthi; Investigation, Shailendra Mani, Tripti Shrivastava, Yashwant Kumar, Deepak Rathore and Amit Awasthi; Methodology, Akshay Binayke, Aymaan Zaheer, Jyotsna Dandotiya, Sonu Kumar Gupta, Shailendra Mani, Manas Tripathy, Upasna Madan, Tripti Shrivastava and Yashwant Kumar; Project administration, Anil Pandey, Deepak Rathore and Amit Awasthi; Supervision, Anil Pandey, Deepak Rathore and

Amit Awasthi; Visualization, Akshay Binayke; Writing – original draft, Akshay Binayke and

Aymaan Zaheer; Writing – review & editing, Amit Awasthi.

Ethics Approval:

All the experiments were performed according to the suggested guidelines of the Institutional

Ethics Committee (Human Research) of THSTI and ESIC Hospital, Faridabad (Letter Ref

No: THS 1.8.1 (97) dated 07th July 2020). Human blood samples were collected from

COVID-19 patients and healthy individuals after the written informed consent. Individuals

were enrolled in this study based on the inclusion/exclusion criteria prescribed by the

Institutional Ethics Committee (Human Research) of THSTI.

Consent to Participate:

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Consent to Publish:

Not   Applicable.

References

1. Stephens DS, McElrath MJ. COVID-19 and the Path to Immunity. *JAMA* 2020; **324**:

1279-1281.

2. Sherina N, Piralla A, Du L*, et al.* Persistence of SARS-CoV-2-specific B and T cell

responses in convalescent COVID-19 patients 6-8 months after the infection. *Med*

(New York, NY) 2021; **2**: 281-295.e284.

3. Grifoni A, Sidney J, Vita R*, et al.* SARS-CoV-2 human T cell epitopes: Adaptive

immune response against COVID-19. *Cell host & microbe* 2021; **29**: 1076-1092.

4. Gao Y, Cai C, Grifoni A*, et al.* Ancestral SARS-CoV-2-specific T cells cross-

recognize the Omicron variant. *Nature Medicine* 2022.

5. Keeton R, Tincho MB, Ngomti A*, et al.* T cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 spike cross-

recognize Omicron. *Nature* 2022; **603**: 488-492.

6. Tarke A, Coelho CH, Zhang Z*, et al.* SARS-CoV-2 vaccination induces

immunological T cell memory able to cross-recognize variants from Alpha to

Omicron. *Cell* 2022; **185**: 847-859.e811.

7. Le Bert N, Tan AT, Kunasegaran K*, et al.* SARS-CoV-2-specific T cell immunity in

cases of COVID-19 and SARS, and uninfected controls. *Nature* 2020; **584**: 457-462.

8. Schultze JL, Aschenbrenner AC. COVID-19 and the human innate immune system.

Cell 2021; **184**: 1671-1692.

9. Mathew D, Giles JR, Baxter AE*, et al.* Deep immune profiling of COVID-19 patients

reveals distinct immunotypes with therapeutic implications. *Science* 2020; **369**:

eabc8511.

10. Rydyznski Moderbacher C, Ramirez SI, Dan JM*, et al.* Antigen-Specific Adaptive

Immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in Acute COVID-19 and Associations with Age and

Disease Severity. *Cell* 2020; **183**: 996-1012.e1019.

11. Dalal R, Sadhu S, Awasthi A. Chapter 6 - Role of Th17 cell in tissue inflammation

and organ-specific autoimmunity. In: Rezaei N (ed). Translational Autoimmunity. 1:

Academic Press; 2022, pp 93-121.

12. Arunachalam PS, Wimmers F, Mok CKP, *et al.* Systems biological assessment of

immunity to mild versus severe COVID-19 infection in humans. *Science* 2020; **369**:

1210-1220.

13. Capelle CM, Ciré S, Domingues O, *et al.* Combinatorial analysis reveals highly

coordinated early-stage immune reactions that predict later antiviral immune

responses in mild COVID-19 patients. *Cell Reports Medicine*.

14. Chandran A, Rosenheim J, Nageswaran G, *et al.* Rapid synchronous type 1 IFN and

virus-specific T cell responses characterize first wave non-severe SARS-CoV-2

infections. *Cell Reports Medicine* 2022; **3**: 100557.

15. Lee JW, Su Y, Baloni P, *et al.* Integrated analysis of plasma and single immune cells

uncovers metabolic changes in individuals with COVID-19. *Nature Biotechnology*

2022; **40**: 110-120.

16. Rendeiro AF, Vorkas CK, Krumsiek J, *et al.* Metabolic and Immune Markers for

Precise Monitoring of COVID-19 Severity and Treatment. *Frontiers in Immunology*

(Original Research).2022; **12**.

17. O'Carroll SM, O'Neill LAJ. Targeting immunometabolism to treat COVID-19.

Immunotherapy Advances 2021; **1**.

18. Khwatenge CN, Pate M, Miller LC, Sang Y. Immunometabolic Dysregulation at the

Intersection of Obesity and COVID-19. *Frontiers in Immunology* (Review).2021; **12**.

19. Siska PJ, Decking S-M, Babl N, *et al.* Metabolic imbalance of T cells in COVID-19 is

hallmarked by basigin and mitigated by dexamethasone. *The Journal of Clinical*

Investigation 2021; **131**.

20.   Chaudhuri S, Thiruvengadam R, Chattopadhyay S*, et al.* Comparative evaluation of

509   SARS-CoV-2 IgG assays in India. *Journal of Clinical Virology* 2020; **131**: 104609.

510   21.   Thiruvengadam R, Awasthi A, Medigeshi G*, et al.* Effectiveness of ChAdOx1 nCoV-

511   19 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 infection during the delta (B.1.617.2) variant surge

512   in India: a test-negative, case-control study and a mechanistic study of post-

513   vaccination immune responses. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 2021.

514   22.   Dan JM, Mateus J, Kato Y*, et al.* Immunological memory to SARS-CoV-2 assessed

515   for up to 8 months after infection. *Science* 2021; **371**: eabf4063.

516   23.   Naz S, Gallart-Ayala H, Reinke SN*, et al.* Development of a Liquid Chromatography–

517   High Resolution Mass Spectrometry Metabolomics Method with High Specificity for

518   Metabolite Identification Using All Ion Fragmentation Acquisition. *Analytical*

519   *Chemistry* 2017; **89**: 7933-7942.

520   24.   Kumar A, Kumar Y, Sevak JK, Kumar S, Kumar N, Gopinath SD. Metabolomic

521   analysis of primary human skeletal muscle cells during myogenic progression.

522   *Scientific Reports* 2020; **10**: 11824.

523   25.   Sadhu S, Rizvi ZA, Pandey RP*, et al.* Gefitinib Results in Robust Host-Directed

524   Immunity Against Salmonella Infection Through Proteo-Metabolomic

525   Reprogramming. *Frontiers in Immunology* (Original Research).2021; **12**.

526   26.   Rizvi ZA, Dalal R, Sadhu S*, et al.* High-salt diet mediates interplay between NK cells

527   and gut microbiota to induce potent tumor immunity. *Science Advances* 2021; **7**:

528   eabg5016.

529   27.   Goedhart J, Luijsterburg MS. VolcaNoseR is a web app for creating, exploring,

530   labeling and sharing volcano plots. *Scientific Reports* 2020; **10**: 20560.

531   28.   Chong J, Soufan O, Li C*, et al.* MetaboAnalyst 4.0: towards more transparent and

532   integrative metabolomics analysis. *Nucleic acids research* 2018; **46**: W486-W494.

533   29.   Isho B, Abe KT, Zuo M, *et al.* Persistence of serum and saliva antibody responses to

534   SARS-CoV-2 spike antigens in COVID-19 patients. *Science immunology* 2020; **5**.

535   30.   Planas D, Veyer D, Baidaliuk A, *et al.* Reduced sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variant

536   Delta to antibody neutralization. *Nature* 2021; **596**: 276-280.

537   31.   Sahin U, Muik A, Derhovanessian E, *et al.* COVID-19 vaccine BNT162b1 elicits

538   human antibody and TH1 T cell responses. *Nature* 2020; **586**: 594-599.

539   32.   Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, *et al.* Targets of T Cell Responses to SARS-

540   CoV-2 Coronavirus in Humans with COVID-19 Disease and Unexposed Individuals.

541   *Cell* 2020; **181**: 1489-1501.e1415.

542   33.   Diamond MS, Kanneganti T-D. Innate immunity: the first line of defense against

543   SARS-CoV-2. *Nature Immunology* 2022; **23**: 165-176.

544   34.   Krieg AM, Vollmer J. Toll-like receptors 7, 8, and 9: linking innate immunity to

545   autoimmunity. *Immunological reviews* 2007; **220**: 251-269.

546   35.   Danlos F-X, Grajeda-Iglesias C, Durand S, *et al.* Metabolomic analyses of COVID-19

547   patients unravel stage-dependent and prognostic biomarkers. *Cell Death & Disease*

548   2021; **12**: 258.

549   36.   Jia H, Liu C, Li D, *et al.* Metabolomic analyses reveals new stage-specific features of

550   the COVID-19. *European Respiratory Journal* 2021: 2100284.

551   37.   Páez-Franco JC, Torres-Ruiz J, Sosa-Hernández VA, *et al.* Metabolomics analysis

552   reveals a modified amino acid metabolism that correlates with altered oxygen

553   homeostasis in COVID-19 patients. *Scientific Reports* 2021; **11**: 6350.

554   38.   Sindelar M, Stancliffe E, Schwaiger-Haber M, *et al.* Longitudinal metabolomics of

555   human plasma reveals prognostic markers of COVID-19 disease severity. *Cell*

556   *Reports Medicine* 2021; **2**: 100369.

557   39.   Flor LS, Friedman J, Spencer CN, *et al.* Quantifying the effects of the COVID-19

558   pandemic on gender equality on health, social, and economic indicators: a

559   comprehensive review of data from March, 2020, to September, 2021. *The Lancet*

560   2022.

561   40.   Wilk AJ, Lee MJ, Wei B, *et al.* Multi-omic profiling reveals widespread dysregulation

562   of innate immunity and hematopoiesis in COVID-19. *Journal of Experimental*

563   *Medicine* 2021; **218**.

564   41.   Stephenson E, Reynolds G, Botting RA, *et al.* Single-cell multi-omics analysis of the

565   immune response in COVID-19. *Nature Medicine* 2021; **27**: 904-916.

566   42.   Barh D, Tiwari S, Andrade BS, *et al.* A novel multi-omics-based highly accurate

567   prediction of symptoms, comorbid conditions, and possible long-term complications

568   of COVID-19. *Molecular Omics* (10.1039/D0MO00189A).2021; **17**: 317-337.

569   43.   Tan AT, Linster M, Tan CW, *et al.* Early induction of functional SARS-CoV-2-

570   specific T cells associates with rapid viral clearance and mild disease in COVID-19

571   patients. *Cell Reports* 2021; **34**: 108728.

572   44.   Hadjadj J, Yatim N, Barnabei L, *et al.* Impaired type I interferon activity and

573   inflammatory responses in severe COVID-19 patients. *Science* 2020; **369**: 718-724.

574   45.   Wang Z, Pan H, Jiang B. Type I IFN deficiency: an immunological characteristic of

575   severe COVID-19 patients. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy* 2020; **5**: 198.

576   46.   Liu J, Chandrashekar A, Sellers D, *et al.* Vaccines elicit highly conserved cellular

577   immunity to SARS-CoV-2 Omicron. *Nature* 2022; **603**: 493-496.

578   47.   Del Valle DM, Kim-Schulze S, Huang H-H, *et al.* An inflammatory cytokine

579   signature predicts COVID-19 severity and survival. *Nature Medicine* 2020; **26**: 1636-

580   1643.

48.   Nakanishi K. Unique action of interleukin-18 on T cells and other immune cells.

Frontiers in immunology 2018; **9**: 763.

49.   Lee Y, Awasthi A, Yosef N, *et al.* Induction and molecular signature of pathogenic

TH17 cells. *Nat Immunol* 2012; **13**: 991-999.

50.   Rizvi ZA, Dalal R, Sadhu S, *et al.* Golden Syrian hamster as a model to study

cardiovascular complications associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. *eLife* 2022; **11**:

e73522.

51.   DuPage M, Bluestone JA. Harnessing the plasticity of CD4+ T cells to treat immune-

mediated disease. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 2016; **16**: 149-163.

52.   Wculek SK, Khouili SC, Priego E, Heras-Murillo I, Sancho D. Metabolic Control of

Dendritic Cell Functions: Digesting Information. *Frontiers in Immunology*

(Review).2019; **10**.

53.   Ansone L, Briviba M, Silamikelis I, *et al.* Amino Acid Metabolism is Significantly

Altered at the Time of Admission in Hospital for Severe COVID-19 Patients:

Findings from Longitudinal Targeted Metabolomics Analysis. *Microbiology Spectrum*

2021; **9**: e00338-00321.

54.   Fischer DS, Ansari M, Wagner KI, *et al.* Single-cell RNA sequencing reveals ex vivo

signatures of SARS-CoV-2-reactive T cells through 'reverse phenotyping'. *Nature*

Communications 2021; **12**: 4515.

55.   Meckiff BJ, Ramírez-Suástegui C, Fajardo V, *et al.* Imbalance of Regulatory and

Cytotoxic SARS-CoV-2-Reactive CD4(+) T Cells in COVID-19. *Cell* 2020; **183**:

1340-1353.e1316.

56.   Bronte V, Zanovello P. Regulation of immune responses by L-arginine metabolism.

Nature Reviews Immunology 2005; **5**: 641-654.

57.   Kishton Rigel J, Sukumar M, Restifo Nicholas P. Arginine Arms T Cells to Thrive

and Survive. *Cell Metabolism* 2016; **24**: 647-648.

58.   Kim S-H, Roszik J, Grimm EA, Ekmekcioglu S. Impact of l-arginine metabolism on

immune response and anticancer immunotherapy. *Frontiers in oncology* 2018; **8**: 67.

59.   Sacchi A, Grassi G, Notari S*, et al.* Expansion of myeloid derived suppressor cells

contributes to platelet activation by L-arginine deprivation during SARS-CoV-2

infection. *Cells* 2021; **10**: 2111.

60.   Fiorentino G, Coppola A, Izzo R*, et al.* Effects of adding L-arginine orally to standard

therapy in patients with COVID-19: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled,

parallel-group trial. Results of the first interim analysis. *eClinicalMedicine* 2021; **40**.

61.   Grimes JM, Khan S, Badeaux M, Rao RM, Rowlinson SW, Carvajal RD. Arginine

depletion as a therapeutic approach for patients with COVID-19. *International*

Journal of Infectious Diseases 2021; **102**: 566-570.

62.   Buck MD, O'Sullivan D, Pearce EL. T cell metabolism drives immunity. *The Journal*

of experimental medicine 2015; **212**: 1345-1360.

63.   Swain A, Bambouskova M, Kim H*, et al.* Comparative evaluation of itaconate and its

derivatives reveals divergent inflammasome and type I interferon regulation in

macrophages. *Nature Metabolism* 2020; **2**: 594-602.

64.   Mills EL, Ryan DG, Prag HA*, et al.* Itaconate is an anti-inflammatory metabolite that

activates Nrf2 via alkylation of KEAP1. *Nature* 2018; **556**: 113-117.

65.   Kobayashi EH, Suzuki T, Funayama R*, et al.* Nrf2 suppresses macrophage

inflammatory response by blocking proinflammatory cytokine transcription. *Nature*

Communications 2016; **7**: 11624.

66. Ye L, Park JJ, Peng L*, et al.* A genome-scale gain-of-function CRISPR screen in CD8 T cells identifies proline metabolism as a means to enhance CAR-T therapy. *Cell Metab* 2022; **34**: 595-614.e514.

Figures and Figure legends

Fig. 1: Longitudinal Analysis of Humoral Immune Response against the SARS-CoV-2 during acute COVID-19 infection. (A) Graphical representation of the study plan

(B) The longitudinal anti-RBD IgG responses were evaluated by performing ELISA against the RBD proteins of the Ancestral (Wuhan isolate). All data, represented as ratio-converted ELISA reads to a pool of pre-pandemic negative control samples (relative ratio), were plotted using violin plots.

(C-E) The longitudinal neutralizing antibody titers against **(F)** the ancestral strain and **(G)** the delta strain of SARS-CoV-2 during V1 (day 0-3), V2 (day 7), and V3 (day 14) from COVID-19 positivity **(H)** The paired representation of NAb titers in the active COVID-19 patients during V3 against the ancestral and delta variants of SARS-CoV-2.

The dots represent each individual sample. Tukey's multiple comparisons and two-sided Wilcoxon Signed Rank tests were employed for unpaired and paired analysis, respectively. n.s = not significant, *= $p \leq 0.05$, **= $p \leq 0.01$, **** = $p < 0.0001$.

Fig. 2. Longitudinal dynamics of antigen-specific T cell immune responses during COVID-19 infection. Representative flow cytometry plots of SARS-CoV-2 Spike specific T cells expressing activation-induced markers (AIM) **(A)** CD4+ (CD137+OX40+) **(B)** CD8+ (CD137+CD69+); **(C-F)** Longitudinal analysis of the AIM response in paired samples from the same subject **(C)** percentage frequency of CD4+AIM+ cells **(D)** Stimulation index (SI) of CD4+ AIM+ cells **(E)** %frequency of CD8+AIM+ cells **(F)** SI of CD8+ AIM+ cells.

 (G, I) Representative flow cytometry plots for the intracellular cytokine staining (ICS) assay of cells upon stimulation with spike peptide pool compared to the DMSO; Longitudinal analysis of the frequency (percentage of total CD4+ or CD8+ cells) of IFN-γ+ cells in paired samples from the same subject. **(H)** CD4+ Interferon gamma (IFNγ) **(J)** CD8+ Interferon gamma; Each datapoint shown is background subtracted (DMSO stimulated wells), and bars represent mean values for each time point. Two-sided Wilcoxon Signed Rank tests were employed for paired non-parametric analysis. LOD = Limit of detection for AIM assay was SI<2. **(K-N)** Longitudinal dynamics of antigen-specific cytokine release by PBMCs during COVID-19 infection **(K)** IFNγ **(L)** TNFα **(M)** IL-2 **(N)** Granzyme B. Graphs represent longitudinal cytokine released (background subtracted) by paired PBMC samples from the same subject upon stimulation with SARS-CoV-2 spike peptide pool for 22-24h. Bars represent mean values.

Fig. 3. Cross-reactive CD4+ and CD8+ T cell response against Delta and Omicron Spike in acute COVID-19 patients Spike-specific T cell responses in selected samples of active COVID-19 patients (n=24) were simultaneously tested for reactivity against spike peptide pools of Ancestral, Delta (B.1.617.2), and Omicron (B.1.1.529). **(A-D)** Frequencies of antigen-specific CD4+ T cell responses **(A)** CD4+ AIM [CD137+OX40+] **(B)** CD4+ IFNγ **(C)** CD4+ IL2 **(D)** CD4+ TNFα.

(E-G) Frequencies of antigen-specific CD8+ T cell responses **(E)** CD8+ AIM [CD137+CD69+] **(F)** CD8+ IFNγ **(G)** CD8+Granzyme B

Bars represent mean values, each dot represents an individual sample, and the solid line connects the same sample stimulated with different peptide pools. Two-sided Wilcoxon Signed Rank tests were employed for paired non-parametric analysis. AIM, activation-induced markers; GZB, granzyme B; ns, non-significant

Fig. 4. Correlation of early innate and adaptive immune responses in active COVID-19

patients. Correlation between the cytokine released upon TLR agonist stimulation of PBMCs

from early stages of COVID-19 infection (V1) and the magnitude of adaptive immune

responses during the later stages of COVID-19 disease (V3). **(A)** A heatmap of spearman

correlation analysis; the blue rectangle depicts the analysis of stimulated PBMCs using flow

cytometry or the fold change of cytokines released in the culture supernatant upon peptide

stimulation; the grey rectangles represent the fold change of cytokines released in the culture

supernatant upon stimulation with TLR agonists: poly I:C (25 µg/ml) and R848 (4 µg/ml) for

24h and the lavender color rectangle represents the humoral immune response at V3 **(B)** XY

correlation plots where the y axis represents the fold change of cytokines secreted upon TLR

agonist stimulation of PBMCs from V1, and the x-axis represents the antigen-specific T cell

response evaluated upon peptide pool stimulation of PBMCs from V3. Spearman correlation

coefficient (R) is indicated. fc, fold   change – obtained by dividing the cytokine

concentration in stimulated wells by unstimulated wells; GZB, granzyme B

Fig. 5. Metabolomic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 patient plasma samples compared to age-

matched healthy controls. (A) Principal Component Analysis of the untargeted metabolites

of the three groups **(B)** Important features identified by PLS-DA. The colored boxes indicate

relative concentrations of designated metabolites in each study group. **(C)** hierarchical cluster

analysis is shown as a heatmap of the top 50 metabolites (FDR<0.05). **(D)** Pathway

enrichment analysis using KEGG of all the metabolites (n=158) significantly altered during

COVID-19 infection. **(E)** Volcano plot comparing the significantly changed (p=0.001,

FC>1.5) metabolites during the early (V1) and later stages of disease (V3).

Fig. 6. Correlation of metabolites with immune responses. Pathway analysis of plasma

metabolites that significantly correlated (r>0.45, p<0.05) with **(A)** the TLR cocktail induced

innate immune response (V1) and **(B)** the T cell immune response (V3) **(C)** Representative

correlation plots of metabolites from V1 and V3 that correlate with the cytokine release

responses from TLR stimulated innate, and peptide pool stimulated T-cell immune responses.

Each dot represents an individual sample. Spearman correlation coefficient (R) is indicated.

GZB, granzyme B; fc, fold change – obtained by dividing the cytokine concentration in

stimulated wells by unstimulated wells;

Fig.1

(A)

Mild COVID-19 patient

Days since +ve PCR report

V1 V2 V3

Days 0-3 7-9 14-16

TLR 3, 7, 8 Agonist Stimulation

Cytokine Release Quantification

Innate Immune Response at V1

Spike Peptide Pool Stimulation

Cytokine Release Quantification

SARS-CoV-2 specific T cell Response

Flow cytometry

Side light scatter (SSC)

Forward light scatter (FSC)

Activation Induced Markers Intracellular Cytokine Staining

Plasma

Untargeted Plasma Metabolomic Analysis

Plasma Metabolomic Signature

Metabolites

Immune Response (V1 & V3)

Positive correlation

Innate Cytokines

T cell Response (V3)

Positive correlation

(B) IgG

Neutralizing Antibody Titers

(C) Ancestral

(D) Delta

(E) Ancestral vs Delta

Fig.2

Fig.3

Fig.4

(A)

T-cell immune response at V3

TLR-specific innate immune response at V1

Humoral immune response at V3

(B)

Fig.5

Fig.6