Genome-wide binding of Multiple ankyrin repeats single KH domain reveals its role in maintenance of gene activation by trithorax group proteins in *Drosophila*

Ammad Shaukat, Muhammad Haider Farooq Khan, Jawad Akhtar, Mahnoor Hussain Bakhtiari, Muhammad Abdul Haseeb, Khalida Mazhar, Zain Umer, Muhammad Tariq*

Epigenetics and Gene Regulation Laboratory, Department of Life Sciences, Syed Babar Ali School of Science and Engineering, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore 54792, Pakistan

* Corresponding author: Muhammad Tariq

Email: m.tariq@lums.edu.pk

Author Contributions: AS and MT designed the research. AS, MHFK, ZU and MT wrote the manuscript. AS, ZU, MHFK, JA, MHB and MAH performed the research. AS, MHFK, ZU, and MT analyzed the data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interest.

Keywords: Mask, ANKHD1, transcriptional cell memory, Trithorax, histone modifications, H3K27ac

This file includes:

Main Text:

Figure Legends 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5

Abstract

The Trithorax group (trxG) proteins counteract repressive effect of Polycomb group (PcG) complexes and maintain transcriptional memory of active states of key developmental genes. Although, chromatin structure and modifications appear to play a fundamental role in this process, it is not clear how trxG prevents PcG-silencing and heritably maintain an active gene expression state. Here, we report a hitherto unknown role of *Drosophila* Multiple ankyrin repeats single KH domain (Mask), which emerged as one of the candidate trxG genes in our reverse genetic screen. The genome-wide binding profile of Mask correlates with known trxG binding sites across *Drosophila* genome. In particular, association of Mask at chromatin overlaps with CBP and H3K27ac, which are known hallmarks of actively transcribed genes by trxG. Importantly, Mask predominantly associates with actively transcribed genes in *Drosophila*. Depletion of Mask not only results in downregulation of trxG targets but also correlates with drastic reduction in H3K27ac levels and an increased H3K27me3 levels. The fact that MASK positively regulates H3K27ac levels in flies was also found to be conserved in human cells. Finally, strong suppression of *Pc* mutant phenotype by mutation in *mask* provides physiological relevance that Mask contributes to the anti-silencing effect of trxG, maintaining expression of key developmental genes. Since Mask is a downstream effector of multiple cell signaling pathways, we propose that Mask may connect cell signaling with chromatin mediated epigenetic cell memory governed by trxG.

Introduction

In multicellular eukaryotes, differential gene expression profiles established during the patterning processes lead to specialization of cells during early embryonic development. In order to grow and maintain a specialized state, the particular expression profiles of genes need to be transmitted to daughter cells in all cell lineages. The Polycomb (PcG) and Trithorax (trxG) group proteins maintain the transcriptional states of important developmental regulators like the *Hox* genes which ensure that determined cell fates are faithfully propagated during cell proliferation [1-5]. Both the PcG and trxG proteins act in large multi-protein complexes and modify the local properties of chromatin to maintain transcriptional states of repression and activation of their target genes, respectively [6].

The association of PcG and trxG proteins with chromatin is evolutionarily conserved and defects in PcG/trxG system lead to severe morphological abnormalities during development [7,8]. Although, chromatin structure and modifications appear to be important, genome organization has also emerged as an important factor in transcriptional regulation by PcG/trxG [9].

In *Drosophila*, PcG and trxG proteins are known to exert their functions by binding to specific chromosomal elements known as *PREs* (Polycomb Response Elements) [10,11]. Gene silencing by the Polycomb Repression Complex 1 (PRC1) and Polycomb Repression Complex 2 (PRC2) employs an intricate interplay of different components that regulate the target genes in a spatio-temporal manner during development. Importantly, histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3), histone H2A lysine 118 ubiquitination (H2AK118ub) and stalled RNA polymerase II at gene promoters are considered hallmarks of PcG-silencing. Although the trxG proteins are known to directly counteract repression by the PcG, the maintenance of gene activation by trxG is seen as a constant battle against the default state of silencing by PcG. Details of the molecular mechanism employed by trxG to prevent PcG-silencing still remain elusive [12,13]. The trxG proteins display a wide range of functional diversity which includes covalent modifications of histones, chromatin remodeling and general transcriptional processes. It can be envisaged that the function and composition of trxG complexes is modulated in various cell types at specific target genes [14]. Due to the intricate nature of gene activation by trxG, it is important to identify the as yet unknown accessory proteins that may interact with the trxG in maintenance of gene activation.

Our laboratory employed an *ex-vivo* genome-wide RNAi approach to identify novel trxG factors involved in maintaining gene activity. More than 200 different genes were identified as potential trxG regulators. Mask, a large scaffold protein, was also among the potential trxG candidate genes [15]. Initially, Mask was discovered in *Drosophila* as a regulator of Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) signaling. It was reported to play an important role in photoreceptor differentiation, cell survival and proliferation [16]. *Drosophila* Mask is a large nuclear as well as cytoplasmic protein which contains 4001 amino acids harboring different functional domains. Notably, Mask has been linked to

different cell signaling pathways and various cellular processes in proliferating [17-19]
and non-proliferating cells [20]. Besides EGF signaling, Mask is known to act downstream
of Hippo signaling pathway as a co-transcription factor, required for organ size regulation
during development [17-19].

Here we report a previously unknown role of Mask in the maintenance of gene activation
by trxG in *Drosophila* and humans. We discovered that the genome-wide binding profile
of Mask massively overlaps with Trx protein binding sites in *Drosophila*. Importantly, Mask
associates with known *PREs* within Antennapedia complex (ANT-C) and Bithorax
complex (BX-C) regions, which are canonical targets of trxG/PcG [21]. Mask is also
enriched at histone H3 lysine 27 acetylation (H3K27ac) marked chromatin, a histone mark
deposited by CREB binding protein (CBP) and a hallmark of trxG mediated gene
activation [22]. In corroboration, our analysis also revealed an overwhelming correlation
between Mask and CBP binding at active chromatin. Depletion of Mask in *Drosophila* and
mammalian cells resulted in a drastic reduction in global H3K27ac levels and an increase
in H3K27me3 levels. The co-occupancy of Mask and CBP on chromatin further
substantiates the effect of Mask on H3K27ac levels. Finally, strong suppression of *Pc*
associated extra sex comb phenotype by *mask* mutant, analogous to the trxG effect,
supports the notion that Mask positively regulates maintenance of active chromatin states
by trxG. Further molecular and biochemical investigation will decipher mechanistic details
of how MASK influences CBP mediated H3K27ac and consequent maintenance of gene
activation. Answers to these questions may reveal the missing link between cell signaling,
trxG and cell fate maintenance.

Results

Mask regulates trxG target genes and associates with polytene chromosomes

Since Mask appeared as a potential trxG gene in an *ex-vivo* genome-wide RNAi screen
based on luciferase reporter in *Drosophila* [15], we first validated whether Mask is able to
regulate expression of endogenous trxG target genes. To this end, we knocked down
mask and analyzed the expression of, *pannier* (*pnr*), *pointed* (*pnt*) and *pipsqueak* (*psq*),
known targets of trxG [22]. Depletion of Mask resulted in downregulation of trxG targets
similar to the effect of *trx* knockdown in these cells (Figure 1A). This highlights a potential

role of Mask in maintenance of gene activation by trxG in *Drosophila*. Since trxG/PcG

proteins influence gene expression through their association with chromatin [5,23], it was

investigated if Mask also binds to chromatin. To determine the chromatin association of

Mask, we isolated salivary glands from third instar larvae of w^{1118} flies and stained

polytene chromosomes with anti-Mask antibody. Notably, Mask was found to localize on

inter-band regions on polytene chromosomes, which indicates its predominant binding on

potentially actively transcribed regions (Figure 1B-D).

Genome-wide binding profile of Mask overlaps with Trx binding at active genes

After observing binding of Mask on polytene chromosomes, the genome-wide binding

profile of Mask on chromatin was investigated. To this end, chromatin

immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) from *Drosophila* cells was performed using

anti-Mask antibody. Mask was found to bind 2848 regions and 69% of these binding sites

were in promoters, whereas few sites covered introns and distal intergenic regions (Figure

2A). The genome-wide binding profile of Mask further indicated that Mask occupies

chromatin regions mainly near ±2 kb of TSS (Transcription Start Site) of genes (Figure

2B, C). Since Trx protein is proteolytically cleaved into Trx-N and Trx-C proteins and both

bind to chromatin, heat maps of previously known genome-wide binding profiles of Trx-

C, Trx-N, H3K27ac, H3K27me3 [24] and Pc [25] were plotted within ±10 kb of TSSs of

genes bound by Mask to analyze overlay with Mask binding profile (Figure 2D). This

analysis revealed a significant overlap of Mask-bound sites with previously reported Trx-

C, Trx-N and Pc ChIP-seq profiles. In addition, a strong correlation of Mask binding with

H3K27ac marked chromatin was observed. In contrast, very less overlap of Mask binding

profile was observed with H3K27me3 associated chromatin (Figure 2D). These results

clearly indicate a potential role of Mask in gene activation that was validated by

comparison of Mask binding sites with previously reported actively transcribed genes in

Drosophila cells [26] (Figure 2E). Additionally, Mask enrichment at known enhancers,

such as *Ptx1* and *Optix* [24], also suggests its potential role in gene activation

(Supplementary Figure 1A, B). A comparison of short motifs enriched in ChIP-seq data

of Mask and previously reported ChIP-seq data of Trx revealed similarity in top-scoring

motifs. Most importantly, GAGA motif, a known GAGA factor (GAF) binding site and

GCCAT motif, a known PHO binding motif were enriched in case of both Mask and Trx

bound chromatin regions [27] (Figure 2F). An overwhelming overlap of Mask binding sites

with previously reported genome-wide binding profiles of Trx and Pc within BX-C (Figure

3A) and ANT-C (Figure 3B) was observed where Mask was found to occupy majority of

all known *PREs* [21,28]. Analysis of Mask binding sites at cell signaling genes revealed

that Mask associates with thirty-three different genes belonging to Wnt signaling pathway,

including *en* (Supplementary Table 1). The Trx and Pc binding sites at *PREs* near *en*

promoter [29-31] also show a strong overlap between Mask, Trx and Pc (Figure 3C).

Mask overlaps with CBP binding on chromatin and positively regulates H3K27ac

A strong correlation was observed between Mask and H3K27ac across the genome as

exemplified by the *CCT3* locus adjacent to BX-C (Figure 3A, Supplementary Figure 1C).

Additionally, Mask binding at *pnr*, *pnt* and *psq* loci was investigated (Figure 3C), the genes

which are downregulated after depletion of either Mask or Trx (Figure 1A). It was

observed that Mask was strongly enriched on these actively transcribed genes, which

correlates with higher enrichment of H3K27ac. To dissect the molecular interaction

between Mask and H3K27ac, it was investigated if Mask shares chromatin binding sites

with CBP, an enzyme that catalyzes H3K27ac. To this end, ChIP-seq data of Mask was

compared with previously published CBP binding profile [32] from *Drosophila* S2 cells.

This analysis revealed a strong overlap in Mask, CBP and H3K27ac genome wide profiles

in *Drosophila* (Figure 4A). Heat map representing genome-wide binding profile of CBP

covering ±10 kb of TSSs of genes bound by Mask reveals strong correlation in their

binding pattern (Figure 4B). Further analysis revealed that both Mask and CBP shares

2506 binding sites on chromatin (Figure 4C, Supplementary Table 1). Interestingly, the

genomic distribution of Mask (Figure 2A) and CBP [26] in terms of their binding at

promoter regions, gene body, introns and intergenic regions also reveal a striking

similarity. Together, this data highlights that Mask binding pattern substantially overlaps

with the binding profile of CBP. These findings prompted us to investigate if depletion of

Mask affects CBP mediated H3K27ac levels in *Drosophila*. To this end, *mask* was

knocked down using RNAi in *Drosophila* S2 cells and global levels of H3K27ac were

analyzed on a Western blot. As compared to control cells, total H3K27ac levels were

found to be strongly diminished in Mask depleted cells (Figure 4D). Importantly, increased
H3K27me3 levels were also observed after Mask depletion (Figure 4D). Such a drastic
effect of impaired Mask function on H3K27ac and H3K27me3 levels encouraged us to
investigate conservation of this interaction in mammalian cells. To this end, we generated
ANKHD1 (mammalian homologue of *Drosophila* Mask) knockout (KO) cells using
CRISPR/CAS system in HEK293T cells. As compared to control cells, strongly diminished
H3K27ac levels were observed in *ANKHD1* KO cells (Figure 4E). Moreover, enhanced
levels of H3K27me3 were also observed in *ANKHD1* KO cells, which corroborates the
effect of Mask depletion in *Drosophila* cells. Importantly, elevated levels of EZH2 protein,
a PRC2 component that catalyzes H3K27me3 [33], were also observed in *ANKHD1* KO
cells as compared to normal cells (Figure 4E). All these results correlate with
downregulation of highly acetylated genes after depletion of Mask and provide clues
towards mechanistic interactions of Mask with CBP (Figure 1A, Figure 3C). Similarity in
Mask and CBP chromatin binding patterns and effect of Mask on H3K27ac levels in flies
and mammalian cells illustrates that Mask positively regulates H3K27ac (Figure 4D, E)
and it is required for maintenance of gene activation by trxG.

Mask exhibits trxG like behavior in *Drosophila*

Since Mask was found to bind at multiple sites within homeotic genes in BX-C and ANT-
C (Figure 3A, B) in *Drosophila* S2 cells, we questioned if Mask association at homeotic
genes has any physiological relevance during fly development. To this end, we crossed
a *mask* mutant (*mask^{EY09448}*) with two different *Pc* mutants (*Pc^1, Pc^{XL5}*) to observe effect
of *mask* mutation on extra sex comb phenotype in *Pc* mutants. Normally, *Pc* heterozygous
mutant flies show extra sex comb phenotype due to de-repression of *Sex comb reduced*
(*Scr*) gene in second and third pair of legs [34]. In the *Pc* heterozygous mutant
background, *mask^{EY09448}* strongly suppressed the extra sex comb phenotype, indicating
loss of *Scr* expression (Figure 5A, Supplementary Figure 2). Strong suppression of extra
sex comb phenotype by *mask^{EY0944}* suggests a trxG-like behavior which correlates with
Mask binding on *Scr* locus in ChIP-seq data (Figure 3B). Since *mask* mutant exhibits trxG
like behavior, it was investigated if *mask* genetically interacts with *trithorax* (*trx*). The
genetic interaction between *mask* and *trx* was analyzed by crossing *mask* mutant

(*mask^EY09448*) with *trx* mutant (*trx^1*) flies. The *trx* heterozygous mutant male flies show loss

of pigmentation on the fifth abdominal segment (A5) due to decreased *Abdominal-B* (*Abd-*

B) expression that controls dark pigmentation in male posterior body segments [35,36].

As compared to heterozygous *trx^1* mutant males used as a control, *mask^EY09448*/*trx^1* trans-

heterozygote male flies showed a significantly higher percentage of A5 to A4

transformation (Figure 5B). To further confirm this physiological evidence at the molecular

level, we investigated if depletion of Mask in flies affects *en* gene expression, a known

trxG/PcG target gene [29-31]. To this end, we crossed *UAS-mask^RNAi* flies with a *GAL4*

driver line (*en-GAL4,UAS-GFP*) to knockdown *mask* and observe its effect on *en*

expression in the imaginal discs isolated from the third instar larvae. A cross between *en-*

GAL4,UAS-GFP and *w^1118* served as control. The control flies expressing *en-GAL4,UAS-*

GFP alone show expression of GFP that overlaps with en staining in posterior

compartment of imaginal discs where *en* is normally expressed [37] (Figure 5C). As

compared to the control flies, expression of *UAS-mask^RNAi* driven by *en-GAL4,UAS-GFP*

driver resulted in drastic reduction in en staining with a concomitant reduction in GFP

expression. Since GAL4 was under *en* enhancer, the decrease in both endogenous *en*

expression and *en-GAL4* driven GFP, upon *mask* knockdown can be explained (Figure

5C). These findings were further confirmed by crossing *UAS-mask^RNAi* with *GawB-GAL4*

driver, which is normally expressed in pouch region of wing, leg and haltere imaginal discs

(Supplementary Figure 3A). As compared to control flies that expressed *GawB-GAL4*

driver alone, a drastic reduction in *en* expression was observed as a consequence of

mask knockdown in progeny of flies expressing *mask^RNAi* driven by *GawB-GAL4* driver

(Supplementary Figure 3B). It is pertinent to mention that genome-wide binding profile of

Mask revealed its association with known trxG/PcG binding sites within *en PRE* (Figure

3C), which further supports the drastic reduction of *en* expression after depletion of Mask

in flies. Together, these results suggest that Mask is required for maintenance of gene

activation of key developmental genes by trxG.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that *Drosophila* Mask exhibits clear antagonism normally

portrayed by the trxG proteins [14,22] and Mask plays an important role in maintenance

of gene activation. Genome-wide binding profile of Mask has revealed key evidence about

how Mask may contribute to the process of cell fate maintenance and epigenetic cell

memory. Presence of Mask at *PREs* and other known Trx and Pc binding sites within BX-

C and ANT-C regions highlights the possibility of close interactions between Mask and

trxG/PcG system. Moreover, association of Mask near TSS at known trxG targets across

the genome strongly supports the notion that Mask is likely required to maintain gene

activation. The fact that Mask co-occupies Trx and CBP binding sites and positively

contributes to the maintenance of H3K27ac levels (Figure 3 and Figure 4) illustrates a

close interaction between Mask and CBP. In particular, a drastic reduction in expression

of trxG targets like *pnr*, *pnt*, *psq* (Figure 1A) and *en* (Figure 5C) after depletion of Mask

strongly supports our hypothesis that Mask plays a pivotal role in maintenance of gene

activation by trxG. It is further substantiated by the fact that depletion of Mask leads to a

drastic reduction in global H3K27ac levels and an increase in H3K27me3 levels in

Drosophila and human cells. The suppression of extra sex comb phenotype by *mask*

mutant highlights that Mask antagonizes PcG repression and acts as an anti-silencing

factor like trxG. Notably, presence of Mask at *Scr* and other homeotic genes found in

Mask ChIP-seq data may explain why *mask* mutant suppresses extra comb phenotype

and enhances *trx* phenotype. However, it is not clear how Mask specifically interacts with

CBP or other trxG members to counteract PcG repression and maintains gene activation.

In Hippo signaling pathway, Mask acts as a transcriptional co-activator of Yorkie (Yki) by

shuttling Yki from cytoplasm to nucleus, which is crucial for activation of Yki target genes

[17-19]. Whether Mask plays a similar role in shuttling of CBP or other trxG members to

the nucleus must be investigated to decipher how exactly Mask contributes to the process

of gene activation by trxG.

Mask is a large scaffold protein which contains two multiple ankyrin repeat domains and

a KH domain. These domains are also conserved in mammalian orthologues of Mask

[18,38]. KH domains are commonly found in proteins involved in transcription regulation

and nucleic acid binding, while ankyrin repeats are required for protein-protein

interactions [39,40]. Histone methyltransferases, G9a and GLP, contain ankyrin repeats

and these proteins bind to H3K9me1 and H3K9me2 marks via these domains [41]. Swi6,

a homolog of the *Drosophila* heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1), is another ankyrin repeat

domain containing chromatin regulator in yeast which is important for gene regulation [42,43]. The ankyrin repeat domains in Mask may facilitate a potential multivalent interaction by which Mask promotes clustering of multiple trxG epigenetic factors and contributes to genome organization of transcriptionally active regions. However, further work is required to explore possible involvement of Mask in genome organization of chromatin domains of actively transcribed regions.

The multifaceted role played by Mask during fly development can be explained by the fact that it is associated with genomic loci of various components of different cell signaling pathways (Supplementary Table1). The presence of Mask binding sites in chromatin at thirty-three different genes belonging to Wnt signaling pathway, including *en* (Supplementary Table 1) is of particular significance. The fact that *en* expression was downregulated in the absence of Mask signifies Mask binding at *PREs* near *en* promoter together with Trx/Pc. Mask is reported to be a modulator of receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling pathways, contributing to proliferation, cell survival and differentiation of photoreceptors [38]. Mask also plays a pivotal role in JAK/STAT signaling by positively regulating dimerization of its receptor, Domeless [44]. It is important to highlight that components of RTK, Wnt, JAK/STAT and Hippo signaling were enriched in our Mask ChIP-seq data (Supplementary Table1). We recently reported a histone kinase Ballchen (Ball) [45] as a positive regulator of H3K27ac in *Drosophila* [26]. Importantly, Ball is known to biochemically interact with Mask via Obscurin, a muscle sarcomere structural protein in *Drosophila* [46]. Both Ball [26] and Mask also exhibit strong correlation in chromatin binding pattern with CBP, highlighting a possible Mask-Ball-CBP nexus which may act to maintain gene activation. However, this potential involvement of Ball and Mask in regulation of gene expression through CBP is most likely different from their role in muscle development through their interactions with Obscurin. We speculate that specific signaling pathways may bring Mask and trxG together to regulate genes involved in cell fate determination, maintenance of cell viability and cell proliferation.

Conclusion

Genome-wide binding profile of Mask has revealed a hitherto unknown role of Mask in maintenance of gene activation by trxG. Mask binds at known chromatin binding sites in trxG target genes and association of Mask with chromatin primarily correlates with

presence of H3K27ac, which is a hallmark of gene activation by trxG. The fact that genome-wide binding profile of Mask shows a massive overlap with CBP binding sites corroborates the Mask/H3K27ac correlation and explains why depletion of Mask results in a drastic reduction in global H3K27ac levels. The conservation of role played by Mask in maintenance of H3K27ac in fly and mammalian cells highlights an evolutionary conserved interaction between Mask and trxG. Importantly, genetic interactions of *mask* with *Pc* and *trx* mutants strongly supports the claim that Mask counteracts repression by PcG and positively contributes to the anti-silencing effect of trxG. Moreover, positive regulation of *en* gene by Mask provides further *in vivo* validation and physiological relevance that trxG require Mask for maintenance of active chromatin. Since Mask is a downstream effector of multiple cell signaling pathways, we propose that Mask is one of the missing links which may connect cell signaling with chromatin mediated epigenetic cell memory maintained by trxG. However, further molecular and biochemical investigations are required to reveal molecular mechanism how Mask affects epigenetic cell memory and how cell signaling linked to Mask may regulate gene expression governed by trxG.

Methodology

Cell culture

Schneider's *Drosophila* medium (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific), containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) was used to culture *Drosophila* S2 cells at 25°C. Schneider S2 cells adjusted to serum-free growth medium (Invitrogen), known as D.Mel-2 cells were cultured in Express Five SFM (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) containing 20mM GlutaMAX (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin. DMEM (ThermoFisher Scientific) medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (Gibco, ThermoFisher Scientific) was used to culture mammalian HEK293T cells at 37°C with 5% CO_2.

Synthesis of dsRNA and RNAi

Templates for dsRNA synthesis were amplified by PCR from cDNA samples using primers containing T7 promoter sequence. These amplicons were used to prepare dsRNA through *in vitro* transcription using T7 Megascript kit (Ambion) following the manufacturer's instructions. Primers for *trx* were taken from second-generation *Drosophila* dsRNA library (Heidelberg 2) [47]. Further information about these primers can be found at [http://rnai.dkfz.de]. Primer for *mask* and *LacZ* dsRNA synthesis are given in (Supplementary Table 2). For *ex-vivo* RNAi, cells were treated with 20μg of respective dsRNA in 6-well plate as described previously [15,45] and harvested after 5 days of treatment for further experiments.

RNA isolation and relative gene expression analysis

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol and cDNA was synthesized using Invitrogen Superscript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR following manufacturer's protocol. qPCR was performed to analyze the relative expression of target genes as described previously [15]. Expression of target genes in test and control samples was normalized with expression of endogenous control, Actin. Relative expression was determined by ΔΔCT method as described previously [48]. The control sample was set to value one for analyzing effect on the test sample.

Antibody generation

His-tagged-Mask fusion protein, containing amino acids 1047 to 1171 of the full-length Mask, was purified using FPLC (Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography) based Ni-Column (GE Healthcare) for antibody production. Mask antibody was generated by injecting rabbits with 450ug of purified His-tagged-Mask followed by two 100ug booster injections administered with 14 days gap between booster injections. Serum was collected after 2 months and antibody was confirmed by using anti-Mask serum for Western blotting (Figure 4D, Supplementary Figure 4).

Western blotting

Drosophila S2 cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed in lysis Buffer (150mM
NaCl, 0.05M Tris, 1% Triton X-100) supplemented with following protease inhibitors:
pepstatin (0.5 µg/ml), leupeptin (0.5 µg/ml), aprotinin (0.5 µg/ml), and
phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (1 mM). After transferring the supernatant to fresh
tubes, 2X reducing sample buffer was added and samples were boiled at 95°C for 5
minutes. Protein samples were resolved on Novex precast Tris-acetate 3-8% gradient
gels (ThermoFisher Scientific). Proteins transfer onto nitrocellulose membranes was
carried out at 140V for 2 hours. Blocking was done using 5% skimmed milk prepared in
PBST (PBS containing 0.1% Tween-20). Blots were probed overnight with relevant
antibodies at 4°C. HRP conjugated secondary antibodies were used at 1:10,000 dilution
and blots were developed with ECL reagent (GE Healthcare).

ChIP-seq Analysis

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) from *Drosophila* D.Mel-2 cells was carried out
using anti-Mask antibody as described previously [15]. Sequencing of purified DNA was
performed using BGISEQ-500 at BGI Genomics Co., Ltd. BGI SOAPnuke filter was
utilized to trim low-quality reads and adaptors [49,50]. The ChIP-seq data was analyzed
using Galaxy web public server [51]. Sequencing reads were mapped to *Drosophila*
genome (dm6) using Bowtie version 2 [52]. Peak calling from alignment results was
performed using MACS version 2 with minimum FDR (q-value) cut-off set at 0.05 for peak
detection [53]. Using ChIPseeker from Galaxy server, a list of annotated peaks was
generated from peak file for further analysis [54]. Heat maps of Trx-C, Trx-N, CBP, Pc,
H3K27ac and H3K27me3 ChIP-seq data were generated using deepTools [55],
(bamComapre, computeMatrix and plotHeatmap). Heat maps were centered on Mask
peaks with coverage of ±10Kb around TSSs. MEME-ChIP - motif discovery, enrichment
analysis and clustering on large nucleotide datasets (Galaxy Version 4.11.2+galaxy1)
was utilized to generate Discriminative Regular Expression Motif Elicitation (DREME)
output of Mask and Trx ChIP-seq profiles for de-novo motif discovery [56]. List of actively
transcribed genes in S2 cells [26] was used and compared with Mask bound genes to
create Venn diagram. ChIP-seq data of Trx-C, Trx-N, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 were

used from GSE81795 [24], CBP ChIP-seq data was taken from GSE64464 [32], while Pc

ChIP-seq data was retrieved from GSE24521 [25].

385   Generation of CRISPR/Cas9 knockout of *ANKHD1* in HEK293T cells

HEK293T cells, 0.6×10^6 per well, in a 6 well plate, were transfected with a mixture of 1ug

ANKHD1 CRISPR/Cas9 KO (Knockout) plasmid (Santa Cruz, sc-405893-KO-2) and 1ug

ANKHD1 HDR (Homology Directed Repeat) plasmid (Santa Cruz, sc-405893-HDR-2)

using Lipofectamine LTX Reagent with PLUS Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific)

following manufacturer's protocol. Untreated cells were labeled as mock. *ANKHD1* HDR

plasmid confer puromycin resistance and RFP (Red Fluorescent Protein) signal for stable

cells selection. After 24 hours, cells were visualized under fluorescent microscope for

RFP signal. Media was removed 48 hours post-transfection, and replaced with fresh

medium supplemented with 3ug/ml puromycin. Both the treated and untreated cells were

passaged into $10cm^3$ cell culture dish and media was replaced with freshly prepared

puromycin selection media every 2 days. After seven selections, there were no colonies

in mock cells while discrete colonies in *ANKHD1* knockout cells were trypsinized and

transferred to a 12 well plate and allowed to grow for further analysis.

399   Fly strains and genetic analysis

Following flies were obtained from Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center:

*Pc^XL5^/TM3Ser,Sb, Pc^1^/TM3Ser, trx^1^* (BN 2114), *y^1^w^67c23^;P(EPgy2)mask^EY09448^/TM3,Sb^1^*

Ser^1^, P{UAS-Dcr-2.D}1,w1118;P{en2.4-GAL4}e16E,P{UAS-2xEGFP}AH2,

*w[*];P{w[+mW.hs]=GawB}c804, w^1118^*.

For sex comb analysis, *mask* mutant *mask^EY09448^* was crossed with *Pc* mutant alleles (*Pc^1^*

*and Pc^XL5^*). As a control, *w^1118^* flies were also crossed with *Pc^1^ and Pc^XL5^* for comparison.

All crosses were maintained at 25°C and male progeny of these crosses were scored for

extra sex comb phenotype as described previously [57]. The *mask^EY09448^* flies were

crossed with *trx* mutant (*trx^1^*) and *w^1118^*. These crosses were kept at 29°C, and male F1

progeny from these crosses were scored for A5-A4 transformation phenotype. For, an *in-*

vivo mask knockdown, *mask^UAS-RNAi^* fly line P{GD9632}v33396 obtained from VDRC stock

center was crossed with *UAS-Dicer;en-GAL4,UAS-GFP* fly line and wing imaginal discs

were immunostained with anti-engrailed antibody. For further confirmation, *mask*^{UAS-RNAi} fly was crossed with a fly line (*w[*];P{w[+mW.hs]=GawB}c804*) which express GAL4 in pouch regions of wing, leg and haltere imaginal discs. Progeny from this cross was dissected at third instar larval stage and imaginal discs were stained with DAPI and anti-engrailed antibody using standard protocol as described previously [58]. For immunostaining of polytene chromosome, polytene squashes were prepared from salivary glands of third instar larvae of *w*¹¹¹⁸ fly. Immunostaining was performed using DAPI and anti-Mask antibody following protocol as described previously [59].

Antibodies

Antibodies used during this study are as following: Mouse monoclonal anti-EZH2 (Sigma Aldrich, CS203195, stock concentration: 1 mg/mL diluted 1:1000 for western blotting), rabbit anti-ANKHD1 (Abcam, Ab117788, stock concentration: 1 mg/mL, diluted 1:2,000 for western blotting), mouse anti-engrailed (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, AB_528224, diluted 1:50 for immunofluorescence), mouse anti-H3K27me3 (Sigma-Aldrich, Clone 18E9.1, 05-1951, diluted 1:2,000 for western blotting), rabbit anti-H3K27ac (Abcam, Ab4729, stock concentration: 1 mg/mL, diluted 1:2,000 for western blotting), mouse anti-H3 (Abcam, ab10799, stock concentration: 1 mg/mL, diluted 1:10,000 for western blotting), mouse anti-Tubulin (Abcam, ab44928, WB: 1:2000), rabbit anti-GAPDH (Abcam, Ab9485, stock concentration: 1 mg/mL, diluted 1:5,000 for western blotting), mouse anti-Lamin (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, AB_528335, diluted 1:3000 for western blotting), Rabbit anti-Mask serum, ChIP: 7µL, WB: 1:250, rabbit anti-CBP serum (gift from Alexander M. Mazo, diluted 1:3,000 for western blotting).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Alexander M. Mazo for anti-CBP antibody. We also thank Amir Faisal for critically reading this manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan, [5908/Punjab/NRPU/HEC]; and Lahore University of Management Sciences (LUMS), Faculty Initiative Fund (FIF), [LUMS FIF 165, FIF 530].

References

1. Struhl G, Akam M (1985) Altered distributions of Ultrabithorax transcripts in extra sex combs mutant
embryos of Drosophila. The EMBO journal 4: 3259-3264.
2. Cavalli G, Paro R (1999) Epigenetic inheritance of active chromatin after removal of the main
transactivator. Science 286: 955-958.
3. Cavalli G, Paro R (1998) The Drosophila Fab-7 chromosomal element conveys epigenetic inheritance
during mitosis and meiosis. Cell 93: 505-518.
4. Black KL, Petruk S, Fenstermaker TK, Hodgson JW, Caplan JL, et al. (2016) Chromatin proteins and RNA
are associated with DNA during all phases of mitosis. Cell discovery 2: 1-14.
5. Kassis JA, Kennison JA, Tamkun JW (2017) Polycomb and trithorax group genes in Drosophila. Genetics
206: 1699-1725.
6. Schuettengruber B, Bourbon H-M, Di Croce L, Cavalli G (2017) Genome regulation by polycomb and
trithorax: 70 years and counting. Cell 171: 34-57.
7. Simon J (1995) Locking in stable states of gene expression: transcriptional control during Drosophila
development. Current opinion in cell biology 7: 376-385.
8. Simon JA, Tamkun JW (2002) Programming off and on states in chromatin: mechanisms of Polycomb
and trithorax group complexes. Current opinion in genetics & development 12: 210-218.
9. Batut PJ, Bing XY, Sisco Z, Raimundo J, Levo M, et al. (2022) Genome organization controls
transcriptional dynamics during development. Science 375: 566-570.
10. Simon J, Chiang A, Bender W, Shimell MJ, O'Connor M (1993) Elements of the Drosophila bithorax
complex that mediate repression by Polycomb group products. Developmental biology 158: 131-
144.
11. Tillib S, Petruk S, Sedkov Y, Kuzin A, Fujioka M, et al. (1999) Trithorax-and Polycomb-group response
elements within an Ultrabithorax transcription maintenance unit consist of closely situated but
separable sequences. Molecular and cellular biology 19: 5189-5202.
12. Schuettengruber B, Chourrout D, Vervoort M, Leblanc B, Cavalli G (2007) Genome regulation by
polycomb and trithorax proteins. Cell 128: 735-745.
13. Kuroda MI, Kang H, De S, Kassis JA (2020) Dynamic competition of polycomb and trithorax in
transcriptional programming. Annual review of biochemistry 89: 235-253.
14. Kingston RE, Tamkun JW (2014) Transcriptional regulation by trithorax-group proteins. Cold Spring
Harbor perspectives in biology 6: a019349.
15. Umer Z, Akhtar J, Khan MHF, Shaheen N, Haseeb MA, et al. (2019) Genome-wide RNAi screen in
Drosophila reveals Enok as a novel trithorax group regulator. Epigenetics & chromatin 12: 1-15.
16. Smith RK, Carroll PM, Allard JD, Simon MA (2002) MASK, a large ankyrin repeat and KH domain-
containing protein involved in Drosophila receptor tyrosine kinase signaling.
17. Sansores-Garcia L, Atkins M, Moya IM, Shahmoradgoli M, Tao C, et al. (2013) Mask is required for
the activity of the Hippo pathway effector Yki/YAP. Current Biology 23: 229-235.
18. Sidor CM, Brain R, Thompson BJ (2013) Mask proteins are cofactors of Yorkie/YAP in the Hippo
pathway. Current Biology 23: 223-228.
19. Sidor C, Borreguero-Munoz N, Fletcher GC, Elbediwy A, Guillermin O, et al. (2019) Mask family
proteins ANKHD1 and ANKRD17 regulate YAP nuclear import and stability. Elife 8: e48601.
20. Martinez D, Zhu M, Guidry JJ, Majeste N, Mao H, et al. (2021) Mask, the Drosophila ankyrin repeat
and KH domain-containing protein, affects microtubule stability. Journal of cell science 134:
jcs258512.
21. Steffen PA, Ringrose L (2014) What are memories made of? How Polycomb and Trithorax proteins
mediate epigenetic memory. Nature reviews molecular cell biology 15: 340.

22. Tie F, Banerjee R, Stratton CA, Prasad-Sinha J, Stepanik V, et al. (2009) CBP-mediated acetylation of
histone H3 lysine 27 antagonizes Drosophila Polycomb silencing. Development 136: 3131-3141.
23. Mahmoudi T, Verrijzer CP (2001) Chromatin silencing and activation by Polycomb and trithorax
group proteins. Oncogene 20: 3055-3066.
24. Rickels R, Hu D, Collings CK, Woodfin AR, Piunti A, et al. (2016) An evolutionary conserved epigenetic
mark of polycomb response elements implemented by Trx/MLL/COMPASS. Molecular cell 63:
318-328.
25. Enderle D, Beisel C, Stadler MB, Gerstung M, Athri P, et al. (2011) Polycomb preferentially targets
stalled promoters of coding and noncoding transcripts. Genome research 21: 216-226.
26. Shaukat A, Khan MHF, Ahmad H, Umer Z, Tariq M (2021) Interplay Between BALL and CREB Binding
Protein Maintains H3K27 Acetylation on Active Genes in Drosophila. Frontiers in cell and
developmental biology 9.
27. Ringrose L, Rehmsmeier M, Dura J-M, Paro R (2003) Genome-wide prediction of Polycomb/Trithorax
response elements in Drosophila melanogaster. Developmental cell 5: 759-771.
28. Mihaly J, Mishra RK, Karch F (1998) A conserved sequence motif in Polycomb-response elements.
Molecular cell 1: 1065-1066.
29. Moazed D, O'farrell PH (1992) Maintenance of the engrailed expression pattern by Polycomb group
genes in Drosophila. Development 116: 805-810.
30. McKeon J, Slade E, Sinclair DAR, Cheng N, Couling M, et al. (1994) Mutations in somePolycomb group
genes ofDrosophila interfere with regulation of segmentation genes. Molecular and General
Genetics MGG 244: 474-483.
31. Breen TR, Chinwalla V, Harte PJ (1995) Trithorax is required to maintain engrailed expression in a
subset of engrailed-expressing cells. Mechanisms of development 52: 89-98.
32. Philip P, Boija A, Vaid R, Churcher AM, Meyers DJ, et al. (2015) CBP binding outside of promoters and
enhancers in Drosophila melanogaster. Epigenetics & chromatin 8: 1-12.
33. Cao R, Zhang Y (2004) The functions of E (Z)/EZH2-mediated methylation of lysine 27 in histone H3.
Current opinion in genetics & development 14: 155-164.
34. Lewis EB (1978) A gene complex controlling segmentation in Drosophila. Genes, development and
cancer: 205-217.
35. Jeong S, Rokas A, Carroll SB (2006) Regulation of body pigmentation by the Abdominal-B Hox protein
and its gain and loss in Drosophila evolution. Cell 125: 1387-1399.
36. Ingham P, Whittle R (1980) Trithorax: a new homoeotic mutation of Drosophila melanogaster
causing transformations of abdominal and thoracic imaginal segments. Molecular and General
Genetics MGG 179: 607-614.
37. Brower DL (1986) Engrailed gene expression in Drosophila imaginal discs. The EMBO journal 5: 2649-
2656.
38. Smith RK, Carroll PM, Allard JD, Simon MA (2002) MASK, a large ankyrin repeat and KH domain-
containing protein involved in Drosophila receptor tyrosine kinase signaling. Development 129:
71-82.
39. Sedgwick SG, Smerdon SJ (1999) The ankyrin repeat: a diversity of interactions on a common
structural framework. Trends in biochemical sciences 24: 311-316.
40. Valverde R, Edwards L, Regan L (2008) Structure and function of KH domains. The FEBS journal 275:
2712-2726.
41. Collins RE, Northrop JP, Horton JR, Lee DY, Zhang X, et al. (2008) The ankyrin repeats of G9a and GLP
histone methyltransferases are mono-and dimethyllysine binding modules. Nature structural &
molecular biology 15: 245-250.

42. Hayashi A, Ishida M, Kawaguchi R, Urano T, Murakami Y, et al. (2012) Heterochromatin protein 1
homologue Swi6 acts in concert with Ers1 to regulate RNAi-directed heterochromatin assembly.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109: 6159-6164.
43. Lorentz A, Ostermann K, Fleck O, Schmidt H (1994) Switching gene swi6, involved in repression of
silent mating-type loci in fission yeast, encodes a homologue of chromatin-associated proteins
from Drosophila and mammals. Gene 143: 139-143.
44. Fisher KH, Fragiadaki M, Pugazhendhi D, Bausek N, Arredondo MA, et al. (2018) A genome-wide RNAi
screen identifies MASK as a positive regulator of cytokine receptor stability. Journal of cell
science 131: jcs209551.
45. Khan MHF, Akhtar J, Umer Z, Shaheen N, Shaukat A, et al. (2021) Kinome-wide RNAi screen uncovers
role of Ballchen in maintenance of gene activation by trithorax group in Drosophila. Frontiers in
cell and developmental biology 9: 458.
46. Katzemich A, West RJ, Fukuzawa A, Sweeney ST, Gautel M, et al. (2015) Binding partners of the
kinase domains in Drosophila obscurin and their effect on the structure of the flight muscle.
Journal of cell science 128: 3386-3397.
47. Horn T, Sandmann T, Boutros M (2010) Design and evaluation of genome-wide libraries for RNA
interference screens. Genome biology 11: 1-12.
48. Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ (2008) Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative CT method. Nature
protocols 3: 1101-1108.
49. Drmanac R, Sparks AB, Callow MJ, Halpern AL, Burns NL, et al. (2010) Human genome sequencing
using unchained base reads on self-assembling DNA nanoarrays. Science 327: 78-81.
50. Huang J, Liang X, Xuan Y, Geng C, Li Y, et al. (2017) A reference human genome dataset of the
BGISEQ-500 sequencer. Gigascience 6: gix024.
51. Jalili V, Afgan E, Gu Q, Clements D, Blankenberg D, et al. (2020) The Galaxy platform for accessible,
reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2020 update. Nucleic acids research 48:
W395-W402.
52. Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL (2009) Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of
short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome biology 10: 1-10.
53. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, et al. (2008) Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq
(MACS). Genome biology 9: 1-9.
54. Yu G, Wang L-G, He Q-Y (2015) ChIPseeker: an R/Bioconductor package for ChIP peak annotation,
comparison and visualization. Bioinformatics 31: 2382-2383.
55. Ramírez F, Ryan DP, Grüning B, Bhardwaj V, Kilpert F, et al. (2016) deepTools2: a next generation
web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. Nucleic acids research 44: W160-W165.
56. Machanick P, Bailey TL (2011) MEME-ChIP: motif analysis of large DNA datasets. Bioinformatics 27:
1696-1697.
57. Tariq M, Nussbaumer U, Chen Y, Beisel C, Paro R (2009) Trithorax requires Hsp90 for maintenance of
active chromatin at sites of gene expression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
106: 1157-1162.
58. Xu T, Rubin GM (1993) Analysis of genetic mosaics in developing and adult Drosophila tissues.
Development 117: 1223-1237.
59. Sullivan W, Ashburner M, Hawley RS (2000) Drosophila protocols: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
Press.
60. Herz H-M, Mohan M, Garruss AS, Liang K, Takahashi Y-h, et al. (2012) Enhancer-associated H3K4
monomethylation by Trithorax-related, the Drosophila homolog of mammalian Mll3/Mll4.
Genes & development 26: 2604-2620.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1: Mask regulates trxG targets and binds to inter-band regions on polytene

chromosomes. (A) Relative gene expression of *pnr, pnt* and *psq* measured by qRT-

PCR, after knockdown of *mask* in D.Mel-2 cells. Cells treated with *LacZ* dsRNA served

as normalization control (dashed line) while Trx depleted cells were used as positive

control. Depletion of Mask results in downregulation of *pnr, pnt* and *psq*, similar to the *trx*

knockdown. Experiment was repeated three times and independent t-tests were

performed for each target gene (*p ≤ 0.05, **p ≤ 0.01). **(B-D)** Immunostaining of polytene

chromosomes from 3rd instar larvae of *w^{1118}* with DAPI **(B)** and anti-Mask antibody **(C)**

reveals binding of Mask mainly on interband regions in polytene chromosomes.

Figure 2: Genome-wide binding profile of Mask highlights its role in gene

activation. (A) ChIP-seq data of Mask shows that Mask binding sites are mainly promoter

regions across fly genome. Besides promoter regions, Mask was observed at very few

UTR's, introns, downstream and distal intergenic regions. **(B)** Analysis of Mask

enrichment across ±2Kb of TSS highlights its association predominantly at TSS of target

genes. **(C)** Heat map depicting Mask enrichment across ±2Kb of TSS, which highlights

its predominant binding at TSS. **(D)** Heat maps showing enrichment of Trx-C, Trx-N,

H3K27ac, Pc and H3K27me3 within ±10 Kb of TSSs of genes bound by Mask. Strong

enrichment of Trx-C, Trx-N, H3K27ac and Pc was observed on Mask bound regions at

TSS. However, relatively little to no overlap between Mask binding and H3K27me3 was

observed. **(E)** Venn diagram representing Mask bound genes superimposed with the list

of actively transcribed genes in S2 cells. Most of the Mask associated genes were found

to be transcriptionally active. **(F)** Top scoring short motifs of DNA enriched in Mask bound

chromatin, generated from ChIP-seq data using MEME-ChIP database, show very high

similarity with the DNA motifs in Trx ChIP-seq data. The frequency with which each

nucleotide occurs is determined by the proportion of the total height of each letter. Motifs

are presented with their respective E-values.

Figure 3: Mask associates with known Trx and Pc binding sites in homeotic and

non-homeotic genes. (A-C) Integrated genome browser view (IGV) of ChIP-seq data of

Mask in comparison with Trx, Pc, H3K27ac and H3K27me3 at various loci known to be

bound by Trx and Pc. Mask occupies several known binding sites of Pc and Trx in Bithorax complex (BX-C) **(A)** and Antennapedia complex (ANT-C) **(B).** All the peaks marked with red asterisk represent known *PREs* in BX-C and ANT-C [60] **(A-B). (C)** Mask occupies known Trx and Pc binding sites in *engrailed (en)* locus, which corresponds to known *PREs* (marked with asterisk) in *en* gene. Mask was also highly enriched at regions where high levels of H3K27ac are present (regions marked with rectangle boxes shown in **A**, **B** and **C**), including *pnr, pnt* and *psq* loci.

Figure 4: Genome-wide binding of Mask overlaps with CBP binding sites and Mask positively regulates H3K27ac levels. (A) Integrated genome browser (IGV) view of the ChIP-seq data shows striking overlap between genome-wide patterns of Mask, CBP and H3K27ac. **(B)** Heat map depicting enrichment of CBP within ±10 Kb of TSSs of genes bound by Mask reveals a strong overlap between Mask and CBP occupancy. **(C)** Venn diagram represents substantial overlap between Mask and CBP bound regions on chromatin. Both Mask and CBP co-occupy 2513 peaks. **(D)** Western blot analysis of whole cell lysates from *Drosophila* D.Mel-2 cells treated with dsRNA against *mask* (+) show depletion of MASK as compared to the cells treated with *LacZ* (-) dsRNA which served as a negative control. MASK depleted cells exhibit decreased H3K27ac levels and increase in H3K27me3 levels. Total levels of histone H3 and Lamin were used as a loading control. **(E)** Western blot analysis of HEK293T cells stably transfected with *ANKHD1* CRISPR knockout (KO) plasmids (+), exhibit drastically reduced H3K27ac levels as compared to untreated cells. H3K27me3 and EZH2 levels also increased in *ANKHD1* KO cells as compared to control (-). Total levels of histone H3 and GAPDH served as loading control in immunoblot.

Figure 5: Mask genetically interacts with Pc/trxG system and exhibits trxG like behavior regulating trxG target genes in *Drosophila*. (A) Mutant of *mask* (*mask*^EY09448^) was crossed with *Pc* mutant (*Pc*^1^) and males in the progeny with *mask*^EY09448^/*Pc*^1^ genotype were scored for extra sex comb phenotype. Males from *Pc*^1^ flies crossed with *w*^1118^ served as control. *Pc*^1^/+ exhibited a strong extra sex comb phenotype in contrast to *mask*^EY09448^/*Pc*^1^. Total 200 male flies of the desired genotype from progeny of each cross were analyzed and based on the presence of extra sex combs on 2nd and 3rd pair of legs,

flies were categorized according to the severity of phenotype. These categories are: –,
no extra sex combs; +, 1–2 hairs on 2nd leg; ++, more than three hairs on 2nd leg; +++,
more than 3 hairs on 2nd leg and 1–2 hairs on 3rd leg; ++++, strong sex combs on both
2nd and 3rd pairs of legs as described previously [57]. The experiment was repeated
three times independently and individual t-test was used to compare each phenotype
category. **(B)** *mask* mutant, *mask^{EY09448}*, was crossed with *trx* mutant (*trx^1*) and males in
the progeny with *mask^{EY09448}/trx^1* genotype were scored for A5 to A4 transformation. Loss
of pigmentation in A5 segment of adult male flies (marked by asterisk) was used as
readout for A5 to A4 transformation [36]. As a control, progeny from a cross between *trx^1*
and *w^{1118}* was scored for the phenotype. High percentage of *mask^{EY09448}/trx^1* male flies
exhibited A5 to A4 transformation as compared to control heterozygous *trx^1/+* male flies.
Representative image of A5 to A4 transformation phenotype in *mask^{EY09448}/trx^1* male flies
as compared to the wild type are shown. All crosses were performed in triplicates and
independent t-test was applied for analysis (ns; non-significant, *; $p \leq 0.05$, **; $p \leq 0.01$).
(C) Depletion of Mask results in drastic reduction in *en* expression. As a control, wing
imaginal discs from progeny of *w^{1118}* flies crossed with *en-GAL4,UAS-GFP* show
expression of GFP overlap with en expression. As compared to the control, wing imaginal
disc from the progeny of *UAS-mask^{RNAi}* fly crossed with *en-GAL4,UAS-GFP* show
drastically reduced level of en along with diminished levels of GFP. This indicates effect
of *mask* knockdown on endogenous (anti-engrailed staining) as well as on *en-GAL4*
driven GFP.

Figure: 1

Figure: 2

Figure: 3

Figure: 4

Figure: 5