Dynamic coastal pelagic habitat drives rapid changes in growth and condition of juvenile

sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) during early marine migration

Jessica Garzke[1,2]*, Ian Forster[3], Sean Godwin[4,5], Brett T. Johnson[2], Martin Krkosek[6], Natalie

Mahara[1], Evgeny A. Pakhomov[1,2,7], Luke A. Rogers[8] & Brian P.V. Hunt[1,2,7]

Affiliation

1 Institute for the Oceans and Fisheries, University of British Columbia, AERL, 2202 Main Mall

Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 1Z4

2 Hakai Institute, PO Box 309, Heriot Bay, BC, Canada, V0P 1H0

3 Pacific Science Enterprise Center, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 4160 Marine Dr., West

Vancouver BC, Canada V7V 1N6

4 Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, 1355 Oxford Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada,

B3H 4R2

5 Earth2Ocean Research Group, Department of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University,

Burnaby, BC, Canada V5A 1S6

6 Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, 25 Willcocks Street,

Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 3B2

7 Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, University of British Columbia, 2020 –

2207 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 1Z4

8 Pacific Biological Station, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Nanaimo, BC, V9T 6N7, Canada

* corresponding author: Jessica Garzke (garzke@zoology.ubc.ca)

Abstract

Migrating marine taxa encounter diverse habitats that differ environmentally and in foraging

conditions over a range of spatial scales. We examined body (RNA/DNA, length-weight residuals)

and nutritional condition (fatty acid composition) of juvenile sockeye salmon (*Oncorhynchus*

nerka) in British Columbia, as they migrated through coastal waters that varied oceanographically

over tens of kilometers. Fish were sampled in the stratified, productive northern Strait of Georgia

(NSoG); the highly mixed, unproductive Johnstone Strait (JS); and the transitional zone of Queen

Charlotte Strait (QCS). Body and nutritional condition responded rapidly to changes in prey

availability and were lowest in JS with low prey availability, supporting the Tropic Gauntlet

Hypothesis, additionally we saw signs of compensatory growth in QCS. Juvenile salmon leaving

the SoG in 2016 had significantly lower condition than in 2015, despite higher zooplankton

biomass in 2016. We propose that this was due to the higher abundance of low food quality

southern zooplankton species in 2016. This study highlights the importance of including food

quality as a parameter to understand changes in fish condition and survival between years.

Furthermore, small scale variation in oceanographic dynamics impact foraging conditions and

need to be considered when assessing early marine survival of juvenile salmon.

Keywords: fatty acids, RNA/DNA, zooplankton biomass, environmental variation,

compensatory growth, zooplankton species composition

Introduction

Diverse marine taxa from fish and squid to whales undertake long-distance marine migrations. These migrations can reduce the risk of predation and diseases, provide access to spawning grounds, and increase foraging opportunities. Anadromous fish undertake long-distance marine migrations, often travelling as juveniles from the mouths of their natal watersheds to the open ocean to forage and returning to freshwater as adults to reproduce. During their coastal outmigration, anadromous fish encounter interconnected and diverse ecosystems that differ in their physics, nutrient status and productivity levels, yielding highly contrasting foraging conditions and opportunity for growth (Holt, 2008; Polis et al., 2004). The effects of spatial variation in prey availability among migration routes are important for predicting the survival of fish stocks but are commonly understudied.

Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.) are a group of anadromous species that experience extreme spatial variations in ecosystem attributes during their early marine life, during which they typically migrate from estuaries to the coastal ocean and then to the open ocean. The first months after Pacific salmon enter the ocean have been identified as a particularly important life phase, with mortalities up to 80-90% estimated for tagged salmon (Welch et al., 2011). Growth and mortality during this phase may contribute significantly to the interannual variability and long-term population trends of salmon stocks (Friedland et al., 2000; Mueter et al., 2005). Although there are likely numerous factors that influence juvenile salmon survival during their early marine phase, correlative evidence suggests that feeding conditions play an important role (Beamish et al., 2012; Duffy and Beauchamp, 2011). Through impacts on growth, feeding conditions have the potential to affect vulnerability to predation (Gliwicz, 2003), ability to survive stressful ocean conditions (Lasker, 1978), and the body condition required to survive their first ocean winter (Beamish and Mahnken, 2001).

Recent research from North America's west coast has drawn attention to the potential influence

of small-scale coastal marine conditions on juvenile salmon survival (Ferriss et al., 2014; Hunt et

al., 2018; Journey et al., 2018; McKinnell et al., 2014). Outmigrating juvenile Fraser River sockeye

(*Oncorhynchus nerka)* first enter the ocean into the stratified, comparatively warm, and highly

productive Strait of Georgia basin (SoG, Fig. 1). Many of the juveniles migrate northwards through

the SoG into the tidally mixed Discovery Islands (DI) and Johnstone Strait (JS) before reaching

the productive Queen Charlotte Strait (QCS) (McKinnell et al., 2014). In the Trophic Gauntlet

Hypothesis (TGH), McKinnell et al. (2014) proposed that strong tidal mixing in JS causes light

limited primary production, leading to sparse prey fields for migrating juvenile sockeye salmon.

Subsequent research has demonstrated that tidally mixed waters in JS are indeed characterized

by poor foraging conditions, with a prevalence of small zooplankton (< 2 mm; Mahara et al.

(2021)), corresponding with low foraging success for migrating juvenile sockeye salmon (James

et al., 2020). Low insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) levels in JS compared to higher levels in the

northern Strait of Georgia (NSoG), Queen Charlotte Strait and Queen Charlotte Sound supports

an impact of these foraging conditions on juvenile salmon growth (Ferriss et al., 2014; Journey et

al., 2018). Poor foraging conditions can be expected to reduce growth and increased mortality

(Duffy and Beauchamp, 2011), especially when foraging opportunities before or after JS are also

poor (James et al., 2020).

Relating growth proxies to environmental conditions needs to consider the integration time of the

proxy used. IGF-1 is a biochemical proxy of growth that has been shown to integrate feeding

conditions experienced over 4-14 days (Beckman et al., 2004), relatively long when assessing

the response of fast migrating organisms over short distances (Duguid et al., 2018; Gabillard et

al., 2006; Pierce et al., 2005). The need for precise handling when sampling in the field, and the

difficulty in sampling from individuals smaller than 120mm also make the IGF-1 method difficult

to routinely apply in juvenile salmon research (Duguid et al., 2018; Ferriss et al., 2014). Another

growth proxy commonly used in fish ecology and fishery research is RNA/DNA ratio (Clemmesen

and Doan, 1996). The underlying principle of using the ratio of RNA to DNA is that the

concentration of DNA per cell is constant, whereas the RNA concentration per cell varies with its

anabolic activity. The RNA/DNA ratio integrates feeding history over a period of 1-5 days (Buckley

et al., 1999; Clemmesen and Doan, 1996; Wright and Martin, 1985), which makes it useful for

assessing fish response to rapid spatial changes in coastal migration habitat. RNA/DNA can be

effectively sampled irrespective of individual size and can be sampled from individuals frozen in

the field without any degradation.

Another variable that needs to be considered when assessing fish growth response is the

available prey. Prey quantity is often considered one of the main drivers of growth, survival, and

abundance of marine organisms (Bilton and Robins, 2011), however, prey quality also plays a

critical role (Litz et al., 2017). Fatty acids (FA), and essential fatty acids (EFA) in particular, are

useful indicators of both food quality and the nutritional condition of a fish (Tocher, 2003). EFAs

are not synthesised *de novo* by vertebrates in sufficient quantities to meet their physiological

demands (Xu et al., 2018). Rather, EFAs are primarily synthesised by phytoplankton and

subsequently reach consumers through trophic transfer (Dalsgaard et al., 2003). Marine

carnivorous fish, such as sockeye salmon, have only a limited ability to convert EFAs into

important long-chained PUFAs such as docosahexaenoic acid (DHA; 22:6n-3), eicosapentaenoic

acid (EPA; 20:5n-3), and therefore must be obtained from their diets (NRC, 2011). Studies have

shown that DHA and EPA concentrations measured in fish tissue are similar to levels in the prey

consumed (Jin et al., 2017). Total amounts of DHA and EPA are known to affect the development,

growth, and survival of juvenile marine fish (Mourente et al., 1991) and are of major importance

during periods of rapid growth (Jin et al., 2017) (Table 1). Low levels of DHA and EPA can lead

to reduced growth, maldevelopment, reduced reproduction, and ultimately decreased survival

(Copeman and Parrish, 2002) (Table 1). Stored FAs, especially DHA and EPA, are also important

when fish experience times of food limitation and starvation, for energy mobilization, hyperphagia,

and for compensatory growth.

Experimental studies have shown that food quality changes, especially micronutrient limitation,

and associated fatty acid changes lead to reduced RNA/DNA as lipid and protein metabolism is

compromised when supply is limited (Boersma et al., 2008). The fatty acid composition integrates

the feeding history over a period of approximately one week in juvenile Chinook salmon (Garzke

et al. in rev), and using RNA/DNA and fatty acids in combination therefore provides a useful

approach to identify changes in nutritional and physiological condition in organisms (Clemmesen,

1994; John et al., 2001; Malzahn et al., 2007; Paulsen et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2009)

In this study, we examine the response of juvenile sockeye salmon nutritional condition when

migrating through complex oceanographic habitats in coastal British Columbia, Canada, including

a region previously demonstrated to provide food poor conditions. We show that juvenile sockeye

salmon nutritional condition responds rapidly to changes in foraging conditions. Furthermore, we

show that the response and subsequent recovery are dependent on fish nutritional condition

before entering food poor areas. Zooplankton biomass changes alone are not sufficient to explain

changes in fish condition between years, and we suggest that the trophic gauntlet hypothesis

needs to be extended by including prey quality, here measured with respect to fatty acids, in

addition to quantity.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

This study did not involve endangered or protected species and was carried out under the

guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care. Juvenile salmon were collected under DFO

license number 'XR 42 2015' and 'XR 92 2016' with approval from UBC's Animal Care Committee

(Protocol A19-0025). The study was carried out in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines 2.0

(www.arriveguidelines.org).

Study location

Juvenile sockeye salmon were sampled at 6 locations between the NSoG and QCS (Fig. 1) in

2015 (6 May - 7 July) and 2016 (14 May - 6 July), in three regions along their outmigration route

(Table S1). From south to north: the Discovery Islands (DI), JS, and southern QCS (Fig. 1). Based

on oceanographic sampling (Dosser et al., 2021), the DIs have water properties of the northern

SoG and JS has water properties of QCS. The JS sites were all located within JS proper, where

water depth was > 300 m. The QCS site was located in water <150 m deep to the north of the JS

sill. Assuming RNA/DNA turnover rates of 1-5 days, the RNA/DNA ratios of fish collected in the

DI were expected to predominantly reflect their migration experience through the northern SoG.

Given this and the NSoG water properties, we henceforth refer to the DI as NSoG. Based on

RNA/DNA replacement rates, JS fish would reflect conditions in the DI-JS, and QCS fish would

reflect the transition from JS to QCS. According to tagging data, the travel rates of juvenile

sockeye between these regions are < 2 days for NSoG to DI, ca. 7 days from DI to JS, and ca. 4

days from JS to QCS (Furey et al., 2015; Johnston, 2020; Rechisky et al., 2018; Welch et al.,

2011).

Juvenile Salmon Sampling

Fish were collected from a 6 m motorized vessel at distances of 5 - 60 m from shore, using a

purse seine designed for manual deployment and retrieval (bunt: 27 x 9 m with 13 mm mesh; tow

46 x 9 m with 76 mm mesh) (Hunt et al., 2018). Captured fish were initially held alongside the

vessel in a submerged portion of the seine's bunt, allowing fish to swim without contacting the net

and minimizing stress. Individual fish were transferred from the net by capturing them using a

seawater-filled plastic jug with the end cut off. The fish were euthanized with MS-222 solution

(250 mg L^{-1}) in a 532 mL plastic bag with a unique identifier and frozen using liquid nitrogen

vapour in a dry-shipper (MVE Doble-47). Individuals were stored at -80°C until further dissection

in the lab. A total of 1,233 juvenile sockeye were collected across all stations and years (weight:

min. 2.8 g – 32.8 g (mean: 12.66g, median: 11.5g); length: min. 63mm – 145mm (mean:

106.43mm, median: 106mm)), and a maximum of 20 individuals at each seine event. Juvenile

salmon were collected under Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) license number 'XR 42 2015'

and 'XR 92 2016' with approval from UBC's Animal Care Committee (Protocol A19-0025).

Juvenile salmon sampling and handling were in accordance with the DFO and UBC Animal Care

Committee guidelines.

Laboratory analysis

Five sockeye salmon were randomly selected from the total caught and were retained from each

purse seine for a given site and day for further analysis of RNA/DNA and fatty acids (n=187).

Before dissection fish were measured and weighed (mean weight = 9.07 g; range 1.27 – 38.85

g). White muscle tissue was collected from close to the dorsal fin for RNA/DNA analysis, and 400-

600 mg of muscle tissue from close proximity to the dorsal fin for fatty acid analysis. Tissue

samples were then returned to a -80 °C freezer until further analysis. In total, 187 juvenile salmon

were processed across all regions and both years for fatty acids composition and RNA/DNA ratio

(2015: n=87: NSoG (n=16), JS (n=34), QCS (n=37); 2016: n=100: NSoG (n=22), JS (n=37), QCS

(n=41)).

RNA/DNA analysis

RNA/DNA was measured in crude muscle tissue homogenates using the non-specific, nucleic

acid intercalating fluorescence dye RiboGreen (Gorokhova and Kyle, 2002). Nucleic acid

quantification from white-muscle tissues followed a modified protocol by Caldarone et al (2006)

and Clemmesen et al. (1993). For RNA and DNA extraction, 1% N-lauroylsarcosine (VWR, Cat.

No. VWRV0719-500G) was added to the samples, followed by homogenization with glass beads

(VWR Cat. No. 12621-154), shaken for 30 min in a Retsch cell mill. Samples were centrifuged for

10 min at 14,000 x g and the supernatant diluted to match the RiboGreen saturation range (1:20,

1:30, 1:50, 1:100 and 1:200). Triplicate aliquots from the diluted sample were added to a black,

flat-bottom 96-well microtiter plate. Standard solutions of rRNA (*Eschericia coli* 16S and 23S

rRNA, Quant-iT RiboGreen RNA assay Kit Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat. No. R11490) and DNA

(calf thymus, VWR, Supp. No. MB-102-01100) were diluted to final concentrations between 0

μg/mL and 3 μg/mL. 1x RiboGreen was added to each well and incubated for 5 min. Fluorescence

was measured on a VarioSkan Flash Microplate Reader (ThermoFisher Scientific; excitation =

500 nm, emission= 525 nm), with the signal representing the fluorescence of RNA and DNA

combined. Ribonuclease A (bovine pancreas, Alpha Aesar, Cat. No. CAAAJ62232-EX3) was

added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Fluorescence of the digested samples were

measured to determine the DNA only. The RNA concentration was calculated by subtracting the

DNA fluorescence and DNA+RNA fluorescence. The RNA/DNA was calculated for each well, and

samples where the coefficient of variation (CV) for either RNA or DNA concentration in triplicate

wells exceeded 15% were excluded from further analysis following.

Fatty acid analysis

Fatty acid analyses were performed following a slightly modified version of the protocol described

in Puttick et al. (2009) using a one-step fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) method. Wet weights of

all muscle samples were measured, freeze-dried, and dry weight measured for calculations of

moisture content. FAMEs were obtained by reaction in a solution of 2.0 mL of 3 N HCl in CH_3OH

(Sigma-Aldrich cat. #90964-500ML). Nonadecanoic acid (C19:0) was used as an internal

standard (Abdulkadir and Tsuchiya, 2008). FAMEs were analysed with a gas chromatograph

(Scion 436-GC, Scion Instruments Canada, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada) using a flame ionization

detector (FID). FAMEs were separated on a 50 m column (Agilent J&W CP-Sil 88 for FAME,

Santa Clara, California, USA) using hydrogen as the carrier gas. Peaks were identified against

an external standard (GLC 455 and GLC 37 Nu-chek Prep, Inc., Elysian, Minnesota, USA.

Oceanographic sampling

CTD profiles were collected every one to two weeks to characterise the regional oceanographic

conditions. CTD stations were in close proximity (0-2 km) to the salmon sampling stations (Fig.

S1). An RBR maestro or a SeaBird 19plus V2 CTD was used (Halverson et al., 2017). For the

purpose of this study, we present temperature (^{o}C) and salinity (psu) data integrated over the

upper 10 m of the water column, where salmon were captured.

Zooplankton sampling

Zooplankton were collected approximately every fortnight in the NSoG, and every 4-7 days in JS

and QCS (Fig. 1). A Bongo net (250 μm mesh size, mouth diameter 0.5 m) was deployed vertically

from near-bottom or a maximum of 300 m depth to the surface. Filtered water volume during each

tow was measured using a General Oceanics mechanical flowmeter. Collected zooplankton were

preserved in 5% buffered formaldehyde-seawater solution. Zooplankton were identified to the

lowest taxonomic level possible, counted, and taxon specific biomass was estimated by

multiplying the mean dry weight (DW) of each species and stage by their abundance (Mahara et

al., 2018). Zooplankton abundance and biomass data were integrated over the water column and

presented as ind. m^{-2} and mg dry weight (DW) m^{-2}. Since the zooplankton samples were

integrated over 0-300 m depth, they overestimate the available zooplankton biomass and

abundance for juvenile salmon, given that they likely forage in the top 30 m. Therefore, for the

purpose of this study we only included zooplankton species that had been demonstrated to be

consumed by the juvenile sockeye salmon in 2015 and 2016 (see species list Table S2, based

on James (2019)).

Statistical analyses

Juvenile sockeye salmon length-weight residuals were calculated for all collected fish that were

used for RNA/DNA and fatty acid analyses from both 2015 and 2016 (n=187 out of n=1,233)

based on ln(weight) to ln(fork length) residuals from ordinary least squares linear regression

(Reist, 2011). Positive length-weight residuals indicate that the salmon was heavier than expected

for their fork length. Multivariate tests were performed using the R package vegan (Oksanen et

al., 2017). Two-way ANOVA for unbalanced data (type II Sum of Squares) was used to individually

test differences between years and regions for the response variables: temperature and salinity

from CTD measurements, zooplankton biomass, length-weight residuals, RNA/DNA, total FA

(TFA), saturated FA (SFA), monounsaturated FA (MUFA), polyunsaturated FA (PUFA), EPA, and

DHA. If the interaction term was non-significant, the model was reduced by removing the

interaction term and analyzed the main effects. Prior to this analysis, normal distribution was

tested using a Shapiro-Wilk test and transformed data when necessary to achieve normal

distribution (log for temperature and zooplankton biomass, and RNA/DNA). Year and sampling

region were set as fixed explanatory factors. Tukey HSD tests were used for each significant

ANOVA to distinguish region-to-region and year-to-year differences. Differences were deemed

significant where $p < 0.05$.

Results

Overall, we found that RNA/DNA and length-weight residuals, supported an energetic deficit

among migrating juvenile sockeye salmon in JS consistent with the TGH. We also found that that

in 2015 fish were in better nutritional condition when entering JS compared to 2016 despite higher zooplankton biomass in 2016. Additionally, fish sampled in 2015 at QCS showed a two-fold increase in RNA/DNA from JS whereas in 2016 the RNA/DNA only marginally increased from 5.06 to 5.41. The fatty acid profiles showed that NSoG fish had significantly higher initial FA concentrations in 2015 than 2016, although the entry FA concentrations were different in between both years, all FAs groups significantly decreased in JS in both years.

Juvenile salmon condition

Fish length-weight residuals differed significantly between years ($p < 0.001$, Table 2) but not between regions ($p=0.8$, Table 2). Overall, juvenile salmon were significantly heavier than expected based on their length in 2015, whereas in 2016 juveniles were lighter than expected (Fig. 2A). Juvenile salmon had significantly higher length-weight residuals in NSoG in 2015 compared to 2016 (Tukey HSD, $p <0.01$) and also showed significantly higher length-weight residuals in JS in 2015 than 2016 (Tukey HSD, $p<0.05$), although no difference was detected between both years in QCS (Tukey HSD, $p=0.14$, Fig. 2A).

Juvenile salmon RNA/DNA differed significantly by both region and year ($p <0.001$) and the interaction of year and region ($p = 0.02$, Table 2). Across both years, mean RNA/DNA was the lowest in JS (5.82 ± 0.41), the highest in QCS (9.01 ± 1.01), and intermediate in the NSoG (5.97 ± 0.38; Fig. 2B). However, RNA/DNA was significantly higher (Tukey HSD, $p > 0.001$) in 2015 (7.90 ± 0.61) than 2016 (4.96 ± 0.24). In the NSoG, juvenile salmon had a significantly lower (Tukey HSD, $p = 0.003$) RNA/DNA in 2016 (4.39 ± 0.28) than 2015 (8.15 ± 0.39) and exited QCS with significantly lower (Tukey HSD, $p = 0.001$) RNA/DNA in 2016 (5.41 ± 0.76) than 2015 (12.59 ± 1.27). In 2015, RNA/DNA decreased from the NSoG to JS (Tukey HSD, $p = 0.07$), and increased significantly from JS levels to QCS levels (Tukey HSD, $p < 0.001$). But in 2016, fish arrived in

NSoG with low RNA/DNA, and decreased further in JS and only increased slightly in QCS (Tukey

HSD, p = 0.98). The increase in RNA/DNA from JS to QCS was ~ 83.98% in 2015 and ~6.47%

in 2016. There was no significant correlation between fish RNA/DNA ratio and fish size (R = 0.07,

p = 0.34, df = 163, Table S3). Genetic stock identification showed that 98% of the 2015 and 100%

of 2016 were Fraser River salmon (Table S4).

Zooplankton

The biomass of zooplankton species that were known to be consumed by juvenile sockeye

salmon in the study region was significantly higher (F = 7.99, p < 0.01; Table 2; Fig. 3A) in QCS

(66.12 $\pm$ 10.08 mg dry weight (DW) m^{-2}, Tukey HSD: p < 0.001) than in JS (39.72 $\pm$ 4.02 mg DW

m^{-2}) and the NSoG (37.84 $\pm$ 5.53 mg DW m^{-2}) (Table 2, Fig. 3A). Zooplankton biomass was

significantly lower (F = 11.14, p < 0.01) in 2015 (37.50 $\pm$ 3.92 mg DW m^{-2}) than 2016 (57.93 $\pm$

7.12 mg DW m^{-2}). Contrary to biomass, the abundance of zooplankton prey species for juvenile

sockeye salmon (Table S2) was the highest in JS (346,755 $\pm$ 27,264 ind m^{-2}) and the lowest in

the QCS (201,159 $\pm$ 16,478 ind m^{-2}), and NSoG (217,241 ind $\pm$ 39,960 ind m^{-2}, Table 2, Fig. 3B).

Zooplankton abundance was significantly higher in 2016 (F = 12.62, p <0.001, 307,546 $\pm$ 26,822

ind m^{-2}) than 2015 (232,377 $\pm$ 26,822 ind m^{-2}; Table 2). Average individual zooplankton size

calculated as total prey species biomass divided by total prey species abundance (Fig. S2) was

significantly different between regions (F = 8.148, p < 0.001), with the smallest average

zooplankton size in NSoG (2.52μg DW ind^{-1} $\pm$ 6.10) and largest size in QCS (5.74 μg DW ind^{-1} $\pm$

11.13). There was no difference in average zooplankton size between NSoG and JS. The

composition of available zooplankton prey species for juvenile sockeye salmon also differed

considerably between the sampled regions. The NSoG and QCS had more large crustacean

species (Amphipoda, Decapoda) than JS (dominated by Copepoda, Cirripedia; Fig. S3).

 Nutritional status

Total FA and the FA groups SFA, MUFA, PUFA, DHA+EPA had a significant interaction term of

year and region and showed comparable distribution patterns (Fig. 4A-E; Table 2). In 2015,

juvenile salmon had the highest FA levels in the NSoG (Fig. 4 A-E) and showed a significant

decrease in FA concentrations in JS and then slightly increased in QCS. In 2016, FA levels in

NSoG fish were significantly lower than in 2015 ($p < 0.05$), increased slightly but not significantly

in JS fish to levels similar to 2015 in JS, and remained constant in QCS fish (Fig. 4 A-E).

Discussion

Juvenile salmon encounter diverse ocean conditions during their early marine life. Research on

juvenile Fraser River sockeye salmon has measured significant regional and temporal differences

in growth (IGF-1) during their migration along the British Columbia Coast (Ferriss et al., 2014;

Journey et al., 2018). However, the potential link between juvenile salmon growth and fish

nutritional condition or oceanographic conditions was not explored. In this study, we explicitly

linked the body condition of juvenile salmon (RNA/DNA) to their nutritional condition (fatty acids)

and prey availability (zooplankton biomass). RNA/DNA and fatty acid data showed that body

condition and nutritional condition of juvenile sockeye salmon changed rapidly over a ~ 120 km

section of their migration route. These variations appeared to be partially due to differences in

zooplankton availability, which was consistently low in Johnstone Strait (JS) supporting the

Trophic Gauntlet Hypothesis (TGH) of McKinnell et al. (2014), but also to differences in prey

quality. Importantly, the response of juvenile salmon to periods of starvation was affected by their

condition upon entering poor foraging areas, as was their subsequent recovery when leaving food

poor areas. Below we discuss the role of coastal oceanographic variation in determining fish

condition, the cumulative effect of fish encountering a mosaic of habitats during migration, and

the importance of taking into account spatial variability in migration habitat when considering

salmon response to climate change.

In our study system, the majority of outmigrating juvenile sockeye salmon travel northwards from

the Fraser River through the Strait of Georgia (SoG) before entering a section of tidally mixed

channels in the Discovery Islands (DI) and JS (Furey et al., 2015). In the SoG, surface waters are

relatively warm, with low salinity and stratified, which is correlated with high phytoplankton

biomass, high zooplankton biomass and high mean zooplankton size (James et al., 2020; Mahara

et al., 2021). Ocean conditions become cooler and saltier as the fish move north into the DI, JS,

and Queen Charlotte Strait (QCS). This change in ocean conditions is driven by the deep tidal

mixing that brings cold and salty deep water to the surface (McKinnell et al., 2014). Phytoplankton

biomass is low through the tidally mixed northern Discovery Islands and Johnstone Strait, leading

to both low zooplankton biomass and smaller zooplankton size. This pattern is, however, reversed

in QCS.

Across both years of this study, juvenile sockeye salmon RNA/DNA ratios supported the TGH,

with high fish condition in the NSoG and QCS, and low condition in JS. The link between

RNA/DNA and feeding success is supported by an extensive juvenile sockeye salmon stomach

fullness analysis from the same region, which found high stomach fullness in the NSoG (Gut

Fullness Index (GFI) = 1-2 %), low fullness in DI (GFI = 0.2 %), low fullness in JS (GFI = 0.48 %),

and full stomachs in QCS (GFI = 3.5%) (James et al., 2020). Length-weight residuals showed a

weaker response over the migration route, reflecting their longer integration times and highlighting

the importance of more sensitive growth proxies, with faster turnover, to understand the response

of fish to small scale regional changes in conditions. Notably, RNA/DNA ratios and length-weight

residuals were significantly lower in 2016 than 2015, despite higher zooplankton biomass and

abundance in that year, indicating that another factor was contributing to juvenile salmon

nutritional and body condition. The low RNA/DNA ratios in NSoG fish in 2016 were also reflected

in low length-weight residuals, suggesting that feeding conditions may have been poor through

most of the SoG in that year.

Corresponding with the observed changes in growth proxies, there were significant differences in

juvenile sockeye salmon fatty acid profiles both spatially and between years. Stored lipids and

fatty acids in fish tissues are important to mobilize energy from muscle, liver and viscera by FA β-

oxidation processes during starvation phases (Halver and Hardy, 1989). During starvation,

saturated FA (SFA) are the first FAs to be mobilized for ATP production, followed by

monounsaturated FA (MUFA) and then polyunsaturated FA (PUFA) (Wen et al., 2006). In 2015,

we detected a decrease of TFA, SFA, MUFA, and PUFA along the migration corridor, with lowest

concentrations in JS, indicating that fish had oxidized FAs stored in white muscle tissue for ATP

production. In 2016, juvenile salmon sampled in the NSoG had lower concentrations of TFA, SFA,

MUFA, and PUFA than 2015, indicating that the nutritional condition of salmon was already very

low when they reached the NSoG, with less FAs stored in white muscle tissue as an energy

reserve for starvation periods. Two potential scenarios may have resulted in FA differences of

NSoG salmon in 2015 and 2016: (1) food quantity was low in the Strait of Georgia and FA reserves

for mobilizing energy had already been depleted, or (2) the food quality of the available food in

SoG was lower in 2016. Zooplankton surveys showed that biomass was in fact significantly higher

in 2016 than 2015 in the NSoG (Perry et al., 2021), suggesting that lower food quality (DHA +

EPA) in 2016 was the driver of both lower fish FA content and RNA/DNA ratios in that year. As

outlined in the introduction, low DHA and EPA availability in prey have important implications for

fish condition, including reduced growth rates, visual maldevelopment with negative effects on

hunting efficiency, an increase in FAs that are considered as pro-inflammatory (Table 1), and

reduced capacity for compensatory growth (Ballantyne et al., 2003; Bou et al., 2017).

Compensatory growth is a phase of accelerated growth that follows a period of limited growth

once non-limiting conditions are encountered (Ali et al., 2003). When fish re-enter non-limited

food conditions, food intake increases, cell mitosis is accelerated, and food utilization is

enhanced. Compensatory growth in fish can either result in partial, total or excess body mass

recovery, and return to their normal growth rate values (Ali et al., 2003). RNA/DNA ratios in QCS

from 2015 showed compensatory growth in juvenile salmon after passing through JS, where

RNA/DNA were low and FA concentrations were lower due to needed energy mobilization. The

RNA/DNA ratio almost doubled from JS to QCS in 2015. However, in 2016 when juvenile salmon

already had low condition and depleted energy reserves in the NSoG, no compensatory growth

was observed in in RNA/DNA ratios. Studies with Atlantic salmon have shown that compensatory

growth success depends on the quality of food available (Johansen et al., 2001). Johansen et al.

(2001) showed in an experimental study that Atlantic salmon were not able to fully compensate

for growth loss on a lipid poor diet but were with a lipid rich diet. Turchini et al (2007) proposed

the term 'lipo-compensatory growth' after showing that Murray cod were able to compensate

starvation and refuel fatty acid storage when fed with high fatty acid diets.

As DHA and EPA are important biomolecules for growth and are only taken up through the diet,

high prey quality or high availability of DHA and EPA while re-feeding is necessary to support

needs during enhanced growth and mitosis. El-Sabaawi et al. (2009a) showed substantial

variability in FA content among zooplankton species in the SoG. Large calanoid copepods, such

as *Neocalanus plumchrus* and *Calanus marshallae*, and amphipods have higher DHA+EPA

concentrations than other species. Our data showed that the JS zooplankton community was

dominated by small copepod species, which tend to have low FA content (Hiltunen et al., 2021).

Both the NSoG and QCS had a high abundance of larger copepods, euphausiids, and amphipods,

with the latter two groups both having relatively large size (> 10 mm) and high DHA and EPA

content (Hiltunen et al., 2021), i.e., prey was more nutritious in the NSoG and QCS than JS. This

observation supports prey quality as an important factor in the overall better nutritional health of

fish in the NSoG and QCS. Furthermore, Galbraith et al (2017) showed that a higher proportion

of southern copepod species (*Acartia sp.*, *Clausocalanus sp.*, *Calocalanus sp.*, *Ctenocalanus sp.*,

Mesocalanus sp., *Paracalanus sp.*) and chaetognath species (*Mesosagitta minima*,

Serratosagitta bierii, *Parasagitta euneritica*) occurred in the NSoG in 2016, but more local

zooplankton species such as *Neocalanus plumchrus* and *Euphausia pacifica* in 2015. This shift

in species composition between 2015 and 2016 may account for the lower FA content of juvenile

salmon in the NSoG in 2016.

The better body and nutritional condition of juvenile salmon leaving the NSoG in 2015 appeared

to compensate for low food availability in JS. This supports the importance of good foraging

conditions, with high quality food, for enduring periods of poor foraging conditions. Protracted

periods of starvation may lead to not only slower recovery from starvation periods but may also

have long-term impacts on the physiology of the fish (Nikki et al., 2004). Our data showed that

fish with higher RNA/DNA ratio in 2015 had a higher recovery success in QCS than 2016.

Starvation periods longer than 3-4 weeks can decrease digestive enzyme activity, inhibiting the

ability of fish to sufficiently digest food when available again, resulting in overall lower body size

and higher mortality (Abolfathi et al., 2012). This is highly relevant to juvenile salmon migrating

through the BC coastal ocean. The migration of juvenile sockeye salmon through the DI and JS

takes approximately 14 days, while time spent in the SoG is estimated to be between 30 and 50

days (Preikshot et al., 2012). Thus, as suggested by McKinnell et al (2014), anomalously poor

foraging conditions in the SoG and/or QCS, coupled with typically poor foraging conditions in the

DI and JS, may have an additive effect that impacts early marine survival of juvenile salmon. This

study empirically links foraging conditions to the nutritional health of juvenile salmon and, further,

provides evidence that low nutritional health can reduce recovery when entering good foraging

areas.

FAs that are important to food quality are produced at the base of the food web by phytoplankton

and transferred through trophic interactions to higher trophic levels. But also, FA composition

varies between zooplankton species resulting in changes of FA availability with zooplankton

species changes for predators such as juvenile salmon. Future environmental change leading to

more abundant southern copepod species can directly affect juvenile salmon body and nutritional

condition during their migration even though zooplankton biomass might not be affected.

Therefore, it is important to consider food quality effects on salmon condition especially for making

predictions or modelling approaches.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates that regional differences in prey quantity and quality have important

implications for the growth and health of juvenile sockeye salmon during their early marine

migration. Poor growth through Johnstone Strait supports the Trophic Gauntlet Hypothesis of

McKinnell et al (2014), and reduction in health due to poor foraging conditions. Despite similar

food quantity in the NSoG in 2015 and 2016, juvenile sockeye salmon emerging from SoG in 2016

had low fatty acid concentrations, potentially due to a higher abundance of low-quality southern

zooplankton prey. The lower nutritional health of fish in 2016 had a negative effect on their

recovery from starvation after passing though the DI-JS corridor where food availability is

consistently low. We propose that the outmigration corridor for juvenile salmon should be defined

as a series of interconnected gauntlets which may be differently affected by inter-annual variation

driven by large-scale climate events. The recovery of juvenile salmon from regions with naturally

poor foraging conditions may depend on the availability of prey in adjacent areas and be

negatively affected by extreme climate events and climate change that reduce the quality and

quality of prey in historically productive areas.

Acknowledgements

This research was conducted as part of the Hakai Institute's Juvenile Salmon Program, funded by the Tula Foundation. J Garzke was supported by the Tula-Mitacs Canada Grants IT09911 and IT13677. Juvenile salmon were collected under DFO license number 'XR 42 2015' and 'XR 92 2016' with approval from UBC's Animal Care Committee (Protocol A19-0025). We thank the field crews of the Hakai Institute's Quadra Island research station and Salmon Coast Field Station for sample collection. We are grateful to have worked on the traditional, and ancestral lands of Da'naxda'xw/Awaetlala, We Wai Kum, We Wai Kai, Tlowitsis, Homalco (Xwemalhkwu), Kwakwaka'wakw, K'ómoks, Coast Salish peoples, and Klahoose Nations.

Author contributions

JG - Laboratory and Statistical analysis, Visualization, Writing and Editing; **BPVH** – Project Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing and Editing; **SG** – Project Conceptualization, Methodology, Field program, Investigation, Editing; **IF, BTJ** - Project Conceptualization, Methodology, Field program, Investigation, Editing **MK** - Project Conceptualization, Methodology, Field program, Investigation, Editing; **NM** - Field program, Zooplankton Data, Editing; **EAP** - Project Conceptualization, Methodology, Editing; **LR** - Project Conceptualization, Methodology, Field program, Investigation, Editing.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper

Competing financial interest: The authors declare no competing financial interest

References

Abdulkadir, S., Tsuchiya, M., 2008. One-step method for quantitative and qualitative analysis of fatty acids

in   marine   animal   samples.   J.   Exp.   Mar.   Biol.   Ecol.   354,   1–8.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2007.08.024

Abolfathi, M., Hajimoradloo, A., Ghorbani, R., Zamani, A., 2012. Effect of starvation and refeeding on

digestive enzyme activities in juvenile roach, Rutilus rutilus caspicus. Comparative Biochemistry and

Physiology   Part   A: Molecular   &   Integrative   Physiology   161,   166–173.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2011.10.020

Ali, M., Nicieza, A., Wootton, R.J., 2003. Compensatory growth in fishes: a response to growth depression.

Fish and Fisheries 4, 147–190. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1467-2979.2003.00120.x

Ballantyne, A.P., Brett, M.T., Schindler, D.E., 2003. The importance of dietary phosphorus and highly

unsaturated fatty acids for sockeye (Oncorhynchus nerka) growth in Lake Washington — a

bioenergetics approach. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 60, 12–22. https://doi.org/10.1139/f02-166

Beamish, R.J., Mahnken, C., 2001. A critical size and period hypothesis to explain natural regulation of

salmon abundance and the linkage to climate and climate change. Prog. Oceanogr. 49, 423–437.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0079-6611(01)00034-9

Beamish, R.J., Neville, C., Sweeting, R., Lange, K., 2012. The synchronous sailure of suvenile Pacific

Salmon and Herring production in the Strait of Georgia in 2007 and the poor return of Sockeye Salmon

to   the   Fraser   River   in   2009.   Mar.   Coast.   Fish.   4,   403–414.

https://doi.org/10.1080/19425120.2012.676607

Beckman, B.R., Shimizu, M., Gadberry, B.A., Cooper, K.A., 2004. Response of the somatotropic axis of

juvenile coho salmon to alterations in plane of nutrition with an analysis of the relationships among

growth rate and circulating IGF-I and 41kDa IGFBP. Gen Comp Endocr 135, 334–344.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2003.10.013

Belluz, J.D.B., Peña, M.A., Jackson, J.M., Nemcek, N., 2021. Phytoplankton Composition and

Environmental Drivers in the Northern Strait of Georgia (Salish Sea), British Columbia, Canada.

Estuaries and Coasts 44, 1419–1439. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00858-2

Bilton, H.T., Robins, G.L., 2011. The Effects of Starvation and Subsequent Feeding on Survival and

Growth of Fulton Channel Sockeye Salmon Fry (Oncorhynchus nerka). Journal of the Fisheries Board

of Canada 30, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1139/f73-001

Boersma, M., Aberle, N., Hantzsche, F.M., Schoo, K.L., Wiltshire, K.H., Malzahn, A.M., 2008. Nutritional

limitation travels up the food chain. Int. Rev. Hydrobiol. 93, 479–488.

https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.200811066

Bou, M., Berge, G.M., Baeverfjord, G., Sigholt, T., Østbye, T.-K., Romarheim, O.H., Hatlen, B., Leeuwis,

R., Venegas, C., Ruyter, B., 2017. Requirements of n-3 very long-chain PUFA in Atlantic salmon

(Salmo salar L): effects of different dietary levels of EPA and DHA on fish performance and tissue

composition and integrity. Brit J Nutr 117, 30–47. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007114516004396

Buckley, L., Caldarone, E., Ong, T.-L., 1999. RNA–DNA ratio and other nucleic acid-based indicators for

growth and condition of marine fishes. Hydrobiologia 401, 265–277.

https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1003798613241

Caldarone, E.M., Clemmesen, C.M., Berdalet, E., Miller, T.J., Folkvord, A., Holt, G.J., Olivar, M.P.,

Suthers, I.M., 2006. Intercalibration of four spectrofluorometric protocols for measuring RNA/DNA

ratios in larval and juvenile fish. Limnology Oceanogr Methods 4, 153–163.

https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2006.4.153

Chandler, P.C., King, S.A., Boldt, J., 2017. State of the Physical, Biological and selected Fishery Resources

of Pacific Canadian marine Ecosystems in 2016, Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic

Sciences. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.

Chandler, P.C., King, S.A., Perry, R.I., 2016. State of the Physical, Biological and Selected Fishery

Resources of Pacific Canadian Marine Ecosystems in 2015, Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and

Aquatic Sciences. Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.

Ciotti, B.J., Targett, T.E., Nash, R.D.M., Burrows, M.T., 2013. Small-scale spatial and temporal

heterogeneity in growth and condition of juvenile fish on sandy beaches. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 448, 346–

359. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2013.08.003

Clemmesen, C., 1994. The effect of food availability, age or size on the RNA/DNA ratio of individually

measured herring larvae: laboratory calibration. Mar. Biol. 118, 377–382.

https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00350294

Clemmesen, C., 1993. Improvements in the fluorimetric determination of the RNA and DNA content of the

individual marine fish larvae. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 100, 177–183.

Clemmesen, C., Doan, T., 1996. Does otolith structure reflect the nutritional condition of a fish larva?

Comparison of otolith structure and biochemical index (RNA/DNA ratio) determined on cod larvae.

Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 138, 33–39. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps138033

Copeman, L.A., Parrish, C.C., 2002. Lipid composition of malpigmented and normally pigmented newly

settled yellowtail flounder, Limanda ferruginea (Storer). Aquaculture Research 33, 1209–1219.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2109.2002.00761.x

Copeman, L.A., Parrish, C.C., Brown, J.A., Harel, M., 2002. Effects of docosahexaenoic, eicosapentaenoic,

and arachidonic acids on the early growth, survival, lipid composition and pigmentation of yellowtail

flounder (Limanda ferruginea): a live food enrichment experiment. Aquaculture 210, 285–304.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0044-8486(01)00849-3

Dalsgaard, J., John, M.S., Kattner, G., Müller-Navarra, D., Hagen, W., 2003. Advances in Marine Biology.

Adv. Mar. Biol. 46, 225–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2881(03)46005-7

Dosser, H.V., Waterman, S., Jackson, J.M., Hannah, C.G., Evans, W., Hunt, B.P.V., 2021. Stark Physical

and Biogeochemical Differences and Implications for Ecosystem Stressors in the Northeast Pacific

Coastal Ocean. J Geophys Res Oceans 126. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020jc017033

Duffy, E.J., Beauchamp, D.A., 2011. Rapid growth in the early marine period improves the marine survival

of Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) in Puget Sound, Washington. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.

68, 232–240. https://doi.org/10.1139/f10-144

Duguid, W.D.P., Iwanicki, T.W., Journey, M.L., Noel, A.L., Beckman, B.R., Juanes, F., 2018. Assessing

Indices of Growth for Field Studies of Juvenile Salmon: An Experiment and Synthesis. Mar. Coast.

Fish. 10, 204–223. https://doi.org/10.1002/mcf2.10020

El-Sabaawi, R., Dower, J., Kainz, M., Mazumder, A., 2009a. Characterizing dietary variability and trophic

positions of coastal calanoid copepods: insight from stable isotopes and fatty acids. Mar. Biol. 156, 225–

237. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-008-1073-1

El-Sabaawi, R., Dower, J.F., Kainz, M., Mazumder, A., 2009b. Interannual variability in fatty acid

composition of the copepod Neocalanus plumchrus in the Strait of Georgia, British Columbia. Mar.

Ecol. Prog. Ser. 382, 151–161. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07915

Ferriss, B.E., Trudel, M., Beckman, B.R., 2014. Regional and inter-annual trends in marine growth of

juvenile salmon in coastal pelagic ecosystems of British Columbia, Canada. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 503,

247–261. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10726

Friedland, K.D., Hansen, L.P., Dunkley, D.A., MacLean, J.C., 2000. Linkage between ocean climate, post-

smolt growth, and survival of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) in the North Sea area. ICES J. Mar. Sci.

57, 419–429. https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.1999.0639

Furey, N.B., Vincent, S.P., Hinch, S.G., Welch, D.W., 2015. Variability in Migration Routes Influences

Early Marine Survival of Juvenile Salmon Smolts. PLoS One 10, e0139269.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139269

Gabillard, J.-C., Kamangar, B.B., Montserrat, N., 2006. Coordinated regulation of the GH/IGF system

genes during refeeding in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). J Endocrinol 191, 15–24.

https://doi.org/10.1677/joe.1.06869

Galbraith, M., Young, K., 2017. State of the Physical, Biological and selected Fishery Resources in Pacific

Canadian marine Ecosystems in 2016, Canadian Technical Report of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences.

Can. Tech. Rep. Fish. Aquat. Sci.

Garzke, J., Sommer, U., Ismar, S.M.H., 2017. Is the chemical composition of biomass the agent by which

ocean acidification influences on zooplankton ecology? Aquat Sci 79, 733–748.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-017-0532-5

Gilliers, C., Pape, O.L., Desaunay, Y., Bergeron, J.-P., Schreiber, N., Guerault, D., Amara, R., 2006.

Growth and condition of juvenile sole (Solea solea L.) as indicators of habitat quality in coastal and

estuarine nurseries in the Bay of Biscay with a focus on sites exposed to Erika oil spill. Sci Mar 70,

183–192. https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.2006.70s1183

Gliwicz, Z.M., 2003. Between Hazards of Starvation and Risk of Predation: The Ecology of Offshore

Animals.

Gorokhova, E., Kyle, M., 2002. Analysis of nucleic acids in Daphnia: development of methods and

ontogenetic variations in RNA-DNA content. J. Plankton Res. 24, 511–522.

Halver, J.E., Hardy, R.W., 1989. Fish Nutrition.

Halverson, M., Jackson, J., Richards, C., Melling, H., 2017. Guidelines for processing RBR CTD profiles.

Hiltunen, M., Strandberg, U., Brett, M.T., Winans, A.K., Beauchamp, D.A., Kotila, M., Keister, J.E., 2021.

Taxonomic, Temporal, and Spatial Variations in Zooplankton Fatty Acid Composition in Puget Sound,

WA, USA. Estuaries and Coasts 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-021-00973-8

Holt, R.D., 2008. Theoretical perspectives on resource pulses. Ecology 89, 671–681.

Hu, Z.-Z., Kumar, A., Jha, B., Zhu, J., Huang, B., 2017. Persistence and Predictions of the Remarkable

Warm Anomaly in the Northeastern Pacific Ocean during 2014–16. J. Climate 30, 689–702.

https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-16-0348.1

Hunt, B., Johnson, B.T., Godwin, S.C., Krkosek, M., 2018. The Hakai Institute Juvenile Salmon Program:

Early Life History Drivers of Marine Survival in Sockeye, Pink and Chum Salmon in British Columbia,

Canada.

James, S.E., 2019. Foraging ecology of juvenile Fraser River sockeye salmon across mixed and stratified

regions of the early marine migration. https://doi.org/10.14288/1.0380885

James, S.E., Pakhomov, E.A., Mahara, N., Hunt, B.P.V., 2020. Running the trophic gauntlet: Empirical

support for reduced foraging success in juvenile salmon in tidally mixed coastal waters. Fish. Oceanogr.

29, 290–295. https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12471

Jin, M., Monroig, Ó., Lu, Y., Yuan, Y., Li, Y., Ding, L., Tocher, D.R., Zhou, Q., 2017. Dietary DHA/EPA

ratio affected tissue fatty acid profiles, antioxidant capacity, hematological characteristics and

expression of lipid-related genes but not growth in juvenile black seabream (Acanthopagrus schlegelii).

Plos One 12, e0176216. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0176216

Johansen, S.J.S., Ekli, M., Stangnes, B., Jobling, M., 2001. Weight gain and lipid deposition in Atlantic

salmon, Salmo salar, during compensatory growth: evidence for lipostatic regulation? Aquac Res 32,

963–974. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2109.2001.00632.x

John, M.A.St., Clemmesen, C., Lund, T., Köster, T., 2001. Diatom production in the marine environment:

implications for larval fish growth and condition. Ices J Mar Sci 58, 1106–1113.

https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2001.1089

Johnston, S.D., 2020. Survival and migration characteristics of juvenile Sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus

nerka) smolts through complex nearshore coastal migration corridors. University of British Columbia

80. https://doi.org/10.14288/1.0390996

Journey, M.L., Trudel, M., Young, G., Beckman, B.R., 2018. Evidence for depressed growth of juvenile

Pacific salmon (Oncorhynchus) in Johnstone and Queen Charlotte Straits, British Columbia. Fish.

Oceanogr. 27, 174–183. https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12243

Lasker, R., 1978. The relation between oceanographic conditions and larval anchovy food in the California

current: identification of factors contributing to recruitment failure. Rapp. P.-V. Reun. Cons. Int. Explor.

Mer. 173, 212–230.

Litz, M.N.C., Miller, J.A., Copeman, L.A., Hurst, T.P., 2017. Effects of dietary fatty acids on juvenile

salmon growth, biochemistry, and aerobic performance: A laboratory rearing experiment. J. Exp. Mar.

Biol. Ecol. 494, 20–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2017.04.007

Lorenzo, E.D., Mantua, N., 2016. Multi-year persistence of the 2014/15 North Pacific marine heatwave.

Nat. Clim. Chang 6, 1042–1047. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3082

Mahara, N., Pakhomov, E.A., Dosser, H.V., Hunt, B.P.V., 2021. How zooplankton communities are shaped

in a complex and dynamic coastal system with strong tidal influence. Estaur. Coast. Shelf S, 249,

107103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2020.107103

Mahara, N., Pakhomov, E.A., Jackson, J.M., Hunt, B.P., 2018. Seasonal zooplankton development in a

temperate semi-enclosed basin: two years with different spring bloom timing. J. Plankton Res. 41, 309–

328. https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbz016

Malzahn, A.M., Aberle, N., Clemmesen, C., Boersma, M., 2007. Nutrient limitation of primary producers

affects planktivorous fish condition. Limnol Oceanogr 52, 2062–2071.

https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2007.52.5.2062

Malzahn, A.M., Hantzsche, F., Schoo, K.L., Boersma, M., Aberle, N., 2010. Differential effects of nutrient-

limited primary production on primary, secondary or tertiary consumers. Oecologia 162, 35–48.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-009-1458-y

McKinnell, S., Curchitser, E., Groot, K., Kaeriyama, M., Trudel, M., 2014. Oceanic and atmospheric

extremes motivate a new hypothesis for variable marine survival of Fraser River sockeye salmon. Fish.

Oceanogr. 23, 322–341. https://doi.org/10.1111/fog.12063

Mourente, G., Tocher, D.R., Sargent, J.R., 1991. Specific accumulation of docosahexaenoic acid (22:6n−3)

in brain lipids during development of juvenile turbot Scophthalmus maximus L. Lipids 26, 871–877.

https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02535970

Mueter, F.J., Pyper, B.J., Peterman, R.M., 2005. Relationships between coastal ocean conditions and

survival rates of northeast Pacific salmon at multiple lags. T. Am. Fish. Soc. 134, 105–119.

https://doi.org/10.1577/t-04-033.1

Nikki, J., Pirhonen, J., Jobling, M., Karjalainen, J., 2004. Compensatory growth in juvenile rainbow trout,

Oncorhynchus   mykiss   (Walbaum),   held   individually\.   Aquaculture   235,   285–296.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2003.10.017

NRC, N.R.C., 2011. Nutrient Requirements of Fish and Shrimp. National Academies Press, Washington,

DC. https://doi.org/10.17226/13039

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara,

R.B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, H.H., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., 2017. Vegan: Community

Ecology Package. R package Version 2.4-3., R Program. R Program.

Opute, F.I., 1974. Lipid and Fatty-acid Composition of Diatoms. J Exp Bot 25, 823–835.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/25.4.823

Parrish, C., 2009. Lipids in Aquatic Ecosystems. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-89366-2

Paulsen, M., Clemmesen, C., Malzahn, A.M., 2014. Essential fatty acid (docosahexaenoic acid, DHA)

availability   affects   growth   of   larval   herring   in   the   field.   Mar   Biol   161,   239–244.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2313-6

Perry, R.I., Young, K., Galbraith, M., Chandler, P., Velez-Espino, A., Baillie, S., 2021. Zooplankton

variability in the Strait of Georgia, Canada, and relationships with the marine survivals of Chinook and

Coho salmon. PLoS One 16, e0245941. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245941

Pierce, A.L., Shimizu, M., Beckman, B.R., Baker, D.M., Dickhoff, W.W., 2005. Time course of the

GH/IGF axis response to fasting and increased ration in chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha).

Gen Comp Endocr 140, 192–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2004.10.017

Polis, G.A., Power, M.E., Huxel, G.R., 2004. Food Webs at the Landscape Level, University of Chicago

Press. University of Chicago Press.

Preikshot, D., Beamish, R.J., Sweeting, R.M., Neville, C.M., Beacham, T.D., 2012. The Residence Time

of Juvenile Fraser River Sockeye Salmon in the Strait of Georgia. Mar. Coast. Fish. 4, 438–449.

https://doi.org/10.1080/19425120.2012.683235

Puttick, D., Dauk, M., Lozinsky, S., Smith, M.A., 2009. Overexpression of a FAD3 Desaturase Increases

Synthesis of a Polymethylene-Interrupted Dienoic Fatty Acid in Seeds of Arabidopsis thaliana L. Lipids

44, 753–757. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11745-009-3315-5

Raedemaecker, F.D., Brophy, D., OConnor, I., ONeill, B., 2012. Dependence of RNA:DNA ratios and

Fulton's K condition indices on environmental characteristics of plaice and dab nursery grounds. Estaur.

Coast. Shelf S, 98, 60–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2011.11.033

Rechisky, E.L., Porter, A.D., Clark, T.D., Furey, N.B., Gale, M.K., Hinch, S.G., Welch, D.W., 2018.

Quantifying survival of age-2 Chilko Lake sockeye salmon during the first 50 days of migration1. Can.

J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 76, 136–152. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfas-2017-0425

Reist, J.D., 2011. An empirical evaluation of several univariate methods that adjust for size variation in

morphometric data. Can. J. Zool. 63, 1429–1439. https://doi.org/10.1139/z85-213

Schnute, J.T., Boers, N., Haigh, R., Couture-Beil, A., Chabot, D., Grandin, C., Johnson, A., Wessel, P.,

Antonio, F., Lewin-Koh, N.J., Bivand, R., 2021. Package "PBSmapping" - Mapping Fisheries Data and

Spatial Analysis Tools, R. R.

Tocher, D.R., 2003. Metabolism and functions of lipids and fatty acids in teleost fish. Reviews in Fisheries

Science 11, 107–184. https://doi.org/10.1080/713610925

Torstensen, B.E., Lie, O., Froyland, L., 2000. Lipid metabolism and tissue composition in Atlantic salmon

(Salmo salar L.) - Effects of capelin oil, palm oil, and oleic acid-enriched sunflower oil as dietary lipid

sources. Lipids 35, 653–664. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11745-000-0570-6

Turchini, G.M., Francis, D.S., Silva, S.S.D., 2007. Finishing diets stimulate compensatory growth: results

of a study on Murray cod, Maccullochella peelii peelii. Aquacult Nutr 13, 351–360.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2095.2007.00483.x

Welch, D.W., Melnychuk, M.C., Payne, J.C., Rechisky, E.L., Porter, A.D., Jackson, G.D., Ward, B.R.,

Vincent, S.P., Wood, C.C., Semmens, J., 2011. In situ measurement of coastal ocean movements and

survival of juvenile Pacific salmon. PNAS 108, 8708–8713. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1014044108

Wen, X., Chen, L., Ku, Y., Zhou, K., 2006. Effect of feeding and lack of food on the growth, gross

biochemical and fatty acid composition of juvenile crab, Eriocheir sinensis. Aquaculture 252, 598–607.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.07.027

Wright, D.A., Martin, F.D., 1985. The effect of starvation on RNA: DNA ratios and growth of larval striped

bass, Morone saxatalis. Journal of Fish Biology 27, 479–485. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-

8649.1985.tb03195.x

Xu, H., Cao, L., Wei, Y., Zhang, Y., Liang, M., 2018. Lipid contents in farmed fish are influenced by

dietary DHA/EPA ratio: A study with the marine flatfish, tongue sole (Cynoglossus semilaevis).

Aquaculture 485, 183–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.11.054

Xu, Y., Ding, Z., Zhang, H., Liu, L., Wang, S., Gorge, J., 2009. Different Ratios of Docosahexaenoic and

Eicosapentaenoic Acids Do Not Alter Growth, Nucleic Acid and Fatty Acids of Juvenile Cobia

(Rachycentron canadum). Lipids 44, 1091–1104. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11745-009-3340-4

Figure 1 Map of sampling locations (black points) for juvenile salmon. Encircled points indicate

the sampling regions, blue point indicates Fraser River outflow, and blue arrows indicate the

primary Fraser sockeye salmon migration route. Inset map shows the location of the study area

on the British Columbia coast. Figure created with R package 'PBSmapping' (Schnute et al.,

2021)[68].

Figure 2 Annual and regional mean of A) length-weight residuals of fish sampled for RNA/DNA

(black; n=162) and all fish sampled during the study (grey, n=1,233), B) RNA / DNA ratio. NSoG

= northern Strait of Georgia; JS = Johnstone Strait; QCS = Queen Charlotte Strait. Error bars

denote ± SE

Figure 3 Annual and regional A) biomass and B) abundance of zooplankton > 1 mm in length.

(error bars denote ± SE). NSoG = northern Strait of Georgia; JS = Johnstone Strait; QCS = Queen

Charlotte Strait; 2015 is indicated by circles and 2016 by triangles.

Figure 4 Annual and regional mean fatty acid concentrations (µg FA per mg dry weight of white

muscle tissue) A) total fatty acids (TFA), B) saturated fatty acids (SFA), C) monounsaturated fatty

acids (MUFA), D) polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), and E) DHA + EPA (docosahexaenoic acid

+ eicosapentaenoic acid). Error bars denote $\pm$ SE. NSoG = northern Strait of Georgia; JS =

Johnstone Strait; QCS = Queen Charlotte Strait.