Kiawah and Seabrook islands are a critical site for the *rufa* red knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*)

Mary Margaret Pelton[1]*, Sara R. Padula[1]*, Julian Garcia-Walther[1,7], Mark Andrews[2], Robert Mercer[3], Ron Porter[4], Felicia Sanders[5], Janet Thibault[6], Nathan R. Senner[1], and Jennifer A. Linscott[1,7]

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, University of South Carolina, 715 Sumter Street, Columbia, SC 29208, USA

[2]2929 Baywood Drive, Seabrook Island, SC 29455, USA

[3]1244 Creek Watch Trace, Seabrook Island, SC 29455, USA

[4]1800 Quinard Court, Ambler, PA, 19002, USA

[5]South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, 220 Santee Gun Club Road, McClellanville, SC 29458, USA

[6]South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, 216 Fort Johnson Road, Charleston, SC 29422, USA

[7]Corresponding authors: linscotj@email.sc.edu & garciawj@email.sc.edu

*These authors contributed equally.

ABSTRACT

The *rufa* red knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*) is a migratory shorebird that performs one of the longest known trans-hemispheric migrations of any bird species — from their breeding grounds in the Canadian Arctic to their nonbreeding grounds as far south as Tierra del Fuego — and they have experienced a population decline of over 85% in recent decades. During migration, they rest and refuel at stopover sites along the Atlantic Coast, including Kiawah and Seabrook islands in South Carolina. Here, we document the importance of Kiawah and Seabrook islands for knots by providing population and stopover estimates during their spring migration. We conducted on-the-ground surveys between 19 February - 20 May 2021 to record the occurrence of individually marked knots. In addition, we quantified the ratio of marked to unmarked knots and deployed

geolocators on knots captured in the area. Using a superpopulation model, we estimated a

passage population of 17,247 knots (~41% of the total *rufa* knot population) and an average

stopover duration of 47 days. Our geolocator results also showed that knots using Kiawah and

Seabrook islands can bypass Delaware Bay and fly directly to the Canadian Arctic. Finally, our

geolocators, combined with resighting data from across the Atlantic Flyway, indicate that a large

network of more than 70 coastal sites mostly concentrated along the coasts of Florida, Georgia,

South Carolina, and North Carolina provide stopover and overwintering habitat for the knots we

observed on Kiawah and Seabrook islands. These findings corroborate that Kiawah and

Seabrook islands should be recognized as critical sites in the knot network and, therefore, a

conservation priority. As a result, the threats facing the sites — such as prey management issues,

anthropogenic disturbance, and sea level rise — require immediate attention.

INTRODUCTION

Migration is the process whereby individuals move from one area to another to exploit

alternative resources or environments that fluctuate in suitability (Winger et al. 2019). Migration

can range from short-distance movements to those that cover tens of thousands of kilometers

(Alerstam, Hedenström and Åkesson, 2003). The *rufa* Red Knot (*Calidris canutus rufa,*

hereafter, 'knot') is a long-distance migratory shorebird that performs one of the longest

migrations of any bird species (Piersma et al. 2005, Conklin et al. 2017), with some individuals

traveling ~30,000 km from their breeding grounds in the Canadian Arctic (70°N) to nonbreeding

grounds in the southeastern U.S. (Niles et al. 2012, Lyons et al. 2018) and as far south as Tierra

del Fuego at the southern tip of South America (53 – 54°S; Niles et al. 2008, Burger et al. 2012).

Knots face numerous threats along their migratory route (Burger et al. 2012) and, in 2015, were

listed as a threatened species in both Canada and the United States due to a steep population

decline of 85% over the past few decades (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2014).

Knots migrate between their breeding and nonbreeding grounds along the Atlantic

Flyway and undertake several nonstop flights of thousands of kilometers without feeding or

resting (Niles et al. 2008). To endure the energetic demands of such long flights, knots rely on

stopover sites where they can rest and refuel (Baker et al. 2004, Atkinson et al. 2007). The

annual cycles and migratory strategies of knots, in turn, require that knots time their migrations

to coincide with the occurrence of superabundant but ephemeral resources at their stopovers

(Piersma and Baker 2000, Lyons et al. 2016). Delaware Bay — one of the best studied stopover

sites for knots — exemplifies this dependency. Knot arrival at Delaware Bay coincides with the

spawning of the horseshoe crabs (*Limulus polyphemus)* on which they feed in order to refuel and

continue their migrations to the Arctic (Niles et al. 2008, McGowan et al. 2011, Tucker et al.

2021). Delaware Bay, however, represents only one site within a larger network of beaches,

estuaries, and barrier islands (Cohen et al. 2009, 2010a,b, Tuma and Powell 2021) that share a

suite of pressures including anthropogenic disturbance (Burger et al. 2007), coastal development

(Buler & Moore, 2011), and unsustainable prey harvest practices (Niles et al. 2009). As a result,

knots' foraging efficiency has decreased at some sites, and they have failed to reach the

minimum threshold of mass gain to complete their migrations in some years (Baker et al. 2004).

Given that climate change and sea level rise will continue to exacerbate the pressures on

stopover sites that support knots and other shorebirds (Iwamura et al. 2013, Rakhimberdiev et al.

2018), there is an urgent need to identify all the critical stopover sites for these at-risk species.

Within North America, the network of sites used by knots spans much of the Atlantic

Coast. In addition to Delaware Bay, the Eastern Shore of Virginia is known to support upwards

of 5,000 knots during spring migration (Cohen et al. 2010a). Recent evidence suggests that more

than two dozen coastal sites in the southeastern U.S. (i.e., from Texas to South Carolina) also

support knots during migration and the nonbreeding season (Tuma and Powell 2021). In

Georgia, for instance, estimates indicate that between 8,000 - 24,000 knots stop during fall

migration (Lyons et al. 2018). Intriguingly, flocks of up to 8,000 knots have been recorded in

spring as well on the Kiawah-Seabrook Island complex in South Carolina (Thibault 2013) — a

relatively small site in comparison to most others in the network. Kiawah and Seabrook islands,

however, have received relatively little attention and much remains to be learned about how (and

how many) knots use them over the course of the year (Smith et al. 2019).

Population estimates of overwintering and migrating shorebirds are traditionally difficult

to quantify because of fluctuating numbers of birds as they enter and exit a site during the season

(Lyons et al. 2016, Lok et al. 2019). We aim to build on the count data collected on Kiawah and

Seabrook islands in previous studies to assess its importance to knots by accounting for the flow-

through nature of stopover sites. To do this, we used a mark-resighting approach following

Lyons et al. (2016) to estimate the: (1) population size, (2) stopover duration, (3) connectivity,

and (4) overwintering status of knots using the Kiawah-Seabrook Island complex. We

complemented these analyses by assessing stopover site usage along the Atlantic Flyway by

knots carrying light-level geolocators and using the online www.bandedbirds.org database to link

the knots we resighted in South Carolina with resightings from other sites across the flyway.

Ultimately, we believe that our study can contribute to a broader understanding of the knot

annual cycle and efforts to conserve knots wherever they occur throughout the year.

METHODS

Study Area

Our study area comprises 24 kilometers of sandy beach in the Kiawah-Seabrook Island complex

(hereafter, KSI) on the coast of South Carolina (32°35'10.6"N, 80°07'47.6"W; Fig. 1). Seabrook

and Kiawah islands are bordered by the North Edisto and Stono rivers, respectively. The islands

are divided by Captain Sam's Inlet, which is critical to local fauna, including strand feeding

common bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncates*; Dybas 2021), foraging seabirds, and a variety

of roosting shorebirds, including knots. This area also provides critical overwintering habitat for

Piping Plovers (*Charadrius melodus*) and nesting habitat for Wilson's Plovers (*C. wilsonia*),

American Oystercatchers (*Haematopus palliatus*), and Least Terns (*Sternula antillarum*), all of

which are species of conservation concern in South Carolina (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

2001; South Carolina Department of Natural Resources 2015). Due to its unusual hydrodynamic

regime, the inlet experiences frequent shifts and geomorphological changes. It has also been

intentionally relocated several times, most recently in 2015 (Doyle and Adams 2015). A semi-

diurnal tide results in shorebirds using the inlet twice each day to roost during high tide.

At low tides, shorebirds occupy the complex's sandy beaches where they feed primarily

on invertebrate prey. Benthic core and knot fecal samples suggest knots' diet on KSI consists

primarily of coquina clams (*Donax variabilis*; Thibault and Levisen 2013). Both islands are

popular tourist destinations with fully developed coastlines containing golf courses, several

resorts, and popular public beach access points with high levels of human and dog disturbance.

At the south end of the study area, South Carolina Department of Natural Resources owned

Deveaux Bank — an ephemeral offshore sand bank — provides additional undeveloped habitat

for shorebirds and marine birds. Knots move back and forth between KSI and Deveaux Bank,

especially during spring horseshoe crab spawning events, with horseshoe crab eggs comprising

an additional important component of their diet (Thibault and Levisen 2013, South Carolina

Department of Natural Resources 2018). As Deveaux Bank requires boat access, it was not

surveyed during our study.

Banding

Standardized shorebird banding was implemented in the Western Hemisphere beginning in the

mid-1980s (Pan American Shorebird Group 2016). Across the Atlantic Flyway, 3-6% (Smith et

al. 2019) of the knot population is estimated to be marked with flags consisting of a unique

alphanumeric code that allows for the identification of each marked bird. Flags are colored

according to the Pan American Shorebird protocol, with colors corresponding to the area in

which the bird was marked (Pan American Shorebird Group 2016). These possible areas are:

Canada, the United States, Mexico, Central America, the Caribbean, northern South America,

Argentina, and Chile. By resighting knots that have been previously marked by other researchers,

we estimated their passage population and stopover duration. Compiling resighting data from

other sites in North America also allowed us to identify connections between South Carolina and

stopover sites throughout the knot migratory network.

Figure 1. The Kiawah-Seabrook Island Complex, South Carolina consists of 24 kilometers of sandy beaches and an estuarine inlet.

Data Collection

We collected data from 19 February - 20 May 2021 — a period during which migrating knots were likely to be present in KSI. After a two-week period of observer training, KSI was surveyed 2-4 times a week by two separate observers between February-March. In April and May — the period of expected peak knot presence — we increased our sampling effort to 4-6 times a week. These observations resulted in 13 weeks of total effort. Due to restricted access, flag readings and scan samples were collected from Seabrook, but not Kiawah, from 14-20 May.

The 24 km of KSI beaches were surveyed by randomly selecting beach-walking access

points, which served as the survey starting point for a given island. We performed surveys within

~2.5 hours of high tide when knots were likely to be relatively stationary. When a flock was

found, we performed a series of flag ratio scans using spotting scopes by recording the number

of individuals with and without leg flags. We sampled without replacement as much as possible

by scanning from one end of the flock to the other. Efforts were also made to avoid recounting

flocks in the same day by not taking samples from flocks that we had already encountered and

from which we therefore recognized flag combinations. Additionally, we ensured that we had

adequate coverage of birds on KSI to the best of our ability by randomly selecting sites to survey

and having two observers working separately during the week. If birds in a scan had flags, we

recorded the flag color, alphanumeric code, and presence of any other device that it might carry.

We also recorded the specific location, time, wind, and tide of the sighting.

Flag and Geolocator Deployment

Between April 2015 and May 2016, we deployed geolocators on knots captured in South

Carolina. Knots were captured using cannon nets on Bird Key Stono Seabird Sanctuary on 21

April 2015; in Cape Romain National Wildlife Refuge at Marsh Island on 16 October 2015; and

on Deveaux Bank Seabird Sanctuary on 10 May 2016. Captures were made at high tide roosts at

Bird Key and Marsh Island, and while feeding on horseshoe crab eggs as the tide fell on

Deveaux Bank. Upon capture, birds were immediately removed from the net and placed in

keeping cages for processing. All birds were measured and fitted with a uniquely inscribed 3-

character leg flag and U.S. Geological Survey metal band. Migrate Technology Ltd. geolocators

weighing 1.1 g were attached to leg bands as described in Niles et al. (2010). The units record

the maximum light level every minute and conductivity (i.e., contact with alkaline water) every 3

seconds. A total of 33 geolocators were deployed, but only three were retrieved. One knot

captured at Deveaux Bank was recaptured on Tierra del Fuego on 13 January 2018. A geolocator

deployed at Bird Key was retrieved from a dead knot (presumably from red tide, a harmful algal

bloom) found in the Tampa Bay, Florida region. And, finally, a geolocator from Marsh Island

was retrieved from a knot recaptured at Seabrook Island on 29 April 2017.

Mark-Resighting Analysis

We reconstructed mark-resighting data for each marked knot on a weekly basis by combining all

observations within one week into a single observation, beginning 19 February. We used the

www.bandedbirds.org database to verify our resightings. For those marking schemes that had

been input into the database (e.g., from the United States and Canada), we removed observations

of flag codes that had no corresponding capture history. Some marking schemes did not appear

in the database, however; in these cases, we retained all observations with a high degree of

observer certainty. We then used an open population Jolly-Seber (JS) modeling framework to

explore the flow of arriving, stopped over, and departing knots during the spring migratory

period. Specifically, we used the superpopulation parameterization of the JS model — a

hierarchical, state-space parameterization that incorporates data augmentation (Crosbie and

Manly 1985, Royle and Dorazio 2008, Kéry and Schaub 2012) — to estimate the probabilities of

entering (β) the study site, staying (ϕ) to the next week, and resighting (ρ). These parameters are

analogous to the demographic parameters of recruitment, survival, and recapture in conventional

mark-recapture JS models, respectively. We implemented methods from Lyons et al. (2016) to

add a binomial model for flag ratio scan samples, which also enabled us to estimate total passage

population, or the number of knots estimated to use KSI during the spring migratory period.

More recently developed models additionally allow for the statistical identification of groups that

might pass through a site separately (e.g., Lok et al. 2019). However, knot local- and regional-

scale movements, and the resulting resighting heterogeneity early during our study period (see

below), precluded us from employing these models, meaning that we could only estimate a

single, average stopover duration for all knots using KSI.

We verified that the JS model fit our data using goodness-of-fit tests developed for

capture-recapture models in the R Programming Environment (version 4.1.1; R Core

Development Team 2016) and package 'R2ucare' (Gimenez et al. 2018). Omnibus testing of the

null hypothesis that the model was an adequate fit for the data was non-significant ($p = 0.11$,

$\chi^2=43.34$, df $= 33$), indicating that we did not need to adjust the model for transience or trap-

dependence. We also explored support for time-varying versus constant parameters. In a

stopover context, entry probabilities (β) are commonly allowed to vary over time, since

individuals may be less likely to arrive at a stopover site during some weeks (e.g., the last week)

than others. We compared various models that constrained the probabilities of staying (ϕ) and

resighting (ρ) to be constant and/or allowed them to vary with sampling occasion (week).

Models were fit using encounter histories and the POPAN model in program MARK (White and

Burnham 1999) *via* the R package 'RMark' and compared using Akaike's Information Criterion

adjusted for small sample sizes (AIC_c; Burnham and Anderson 2002). The most parsimonious

model ($AIC_c < 2$ and with the fewest parameters) consisted of a constant staying probability and

weekly variation in resighting probability (Table 1).

Table 1 Model comparison for time-varying versus constant ϕ and p parameters. K = number of

parameters; Weight = Akaike weight.

Model	K	AIC$_c$	ΔAIC$_c$	Weight	Deviance
$\beta_t \phi_c \rho_t$	27	856.594	0.000	0.999	-711.943
$\beta_t \phi_t \rho_t$	38	879.294	22.700	<0.001	-716.126
$\beta_t \phi_c \rho_c$	15	897.980	46.385	<0.001	-643.268
$\beta_t \phi_t \rho_c$	26	905.934	49.339	<0.001	-660.251

We performed a Bayesian analysis integrating the superpopulation JS model with the

binomial scan sample model, using the approach outlined in Lyons et al. (2018). The JS model

estimates stopover duration using encounter histories and a latent state variable that reflects

arrival in and departure from the study site (Lyons et al. 2016). The latent state variable ($z_{i,t}$) is a

time-specific Bernoulli random variable for each individual i in the population (i.e., flagged and

unflagged) at time t, where $z_{i,t}= 1$ while using the stopover site and $z_{i,t}= 0$ before arrival and after

departure. The posterior latent states are then summed across individuals to estimate the stopover

duration (Lyons et al. 2018). In this way, the calculation of mean stopover duration is robust to

variations in resighting probability, which were pronounced during weeks when knots roosted

and foraged on Deveaux Bank or moved among sites in the southeastern U.S.

Using flag scan samples, we modeled the number of flagged individuals in a scan sample

as a binomial random variable

$m_s \sim \text{Binomial}(C_s, \pi_t)$

where m_s is the number of flagged individuals in the scan sample s, C_s is the number of

individuals checked for flags, and π_t is the proportion of flagged individuals in the population

during the corresponding week. This modification for weekly variation in the proportion of the

population that is flagged (π_t) suits the pulsed arrivals of two or more migratory groups that may

differ in the proportion flagged, which we expected to find at our study site. The total passage

population was then calculated as the sum of the arrivals at each sampling occasion, adjusted for

the flagged proportion at the corresponding occasion

$\widehat{N} = \sum B_t / \pi_t$

where $\widehat{N}$ is the total passage population, B_t, is the number of entries/arrivals at a sampling

occasion t, and π_t is the proportion of the population carrying alphanumeric flags at occasion t. In

this way, the integrated model thus estimated both stopover dynamics (e.g., stopover duration,

mean staying probability, weekly resighting probability) and the derived weekly and total

passage population.

The full model incorporating the JS model and flag scan sample ratios was implemented

in R using the package 'jagsUI' (Kellner 2016) as an interface with JAGS software (Plummer

2003). We followed guidance from Kéry and Schaub (2012) for parameter-expanded data

augmentation (PX-DA; Royle and Dorazio 2012) in order to fix the parameter space for analysis,

adding potential unobserved individuals as all-zero encounter histories (n = 600) to our dataset.

We checked that this value was sufficiently large by visually inspecting the posterior distribution

of the estimated number of total marked individuals ($\widehat{M}$) to verify that it was not truncated.

Following Lyons et al. (2018), we used uniform priors (0,1) for the staying and resighting

probabilities and uninformative priors for arrival probability. We simulated three MCMC chains

of 90,000 iterations each, with burn-in periods of 30,000 iterations and a thinning rate of 3. We

assessed convergence of the chains visually and *via* the Brooks-Gelman-Rubin statistic ($\hat{R}$;

Brooks and Gelman 1998), where models with parameter values < 1.1 were considered to have

converged. All values are presented as means and 95% credible intervals unless otherwise noted.

Geolocator and Connectivity Analysis

To corroborate our mark-resighting results, we used our geolocation data to answer three

questions: (1) How long did knots stopover in South Carolina during their northward migration?

(2) How many stops did knots make after departing from South Carolina for the breeding

grounds? and, (3) Where were those stops? Geolocators were analyzed using the R package

FLightR (Rakhimberdiev et al. 2017) — which has been shown to successfully delineate the

movements of migratory shorebirds (Rakhimberdiev et al. 2016) — and followed the workflow

outlined in Lisovski et al. (2019). Briefly, before deploying our geolocators, we placed them

outside for a ~7-day long period that we then used within *FLightR* to calibrate the data from each

geolocator. We otherwise used the default package settings, but constrained the locations

identified as stopover sites to coastal areas. We included all sites at which an individual was

estimated to stop for at least 2 days with a (movement) probability of 0.8 and considered an

individual to have stopped in South Carolina if a stopover was recorded between 30-33°N. Using

this approach, we estimated the mean dates of arrival and departure from South Carolina, the

number of stops an individual made after departing South Carolina on its way northward, and the

location of those stops.

To further assess migratory connectivity, we searched the online database

www.bandedbirds.org and retrieved the capture and resighting history for every knot that we

resighted during our study. With this information, we mapped the network of sites used by knots

during their passage through the U.S. and Canada. We eliminated sites that were less than 10 km

from each other using a rarifying filter in R (Brown et al. 2014).

RESULTS

Resighting Results

We recorded 217 uniquely flagged knots during our 13-week study period. Resightings occurred

in all weeks except for the week beginning 26 March, when knots in the KSI area were observed

only on nearby Deveaux Bank (N.R. Senner pers. obs.). We recorded flag scan samples in all

weeks except for the two-week period beginning 19 March, also due to temporary knot roosting

and foraging on Deveaux Bank. Resightings and scan samples occurred throughout KSI but were

most common near Captain Sam's Inlet, shortly before or after high tide. Most resighted

individuals carried dark green or lime green flags indicative of having been flagged in the United

States. Other individuals flagged in South America appeared later in the season (Fig. 2) and

during this period we observed flocks of at least 4,000 individuals on multiple occasions. While

new resightings occurred up until the final week, our study period was nonetheless a good

approximation of the full duration of knot stopover, as nearly all knots had departed by 21-27

May (M. Andrews and R. Mercer, pers. obs.).

Our model estimated a total passage population of 17,247 knots (95% CI: 13,548,

22,099) during our study period. Of this passage population, 2.4% (95% CI: 1.9, 2.9) on average

were estimated to be flagged. This estimate is approximately 41% of the total global population

of 42,000 *rufa* knots (Andres et al. 2012). On average, individuals spent 47 days (95% CI: 40.1,

54.8) at the study site.

Figure 2: Number of uniquely flagged Red Knots and the corresponding flag colors encountered

each week from February-May 2021 on Kiawah and Seabrook islands, South Carolina. Dates

shown represent the start of each week.

The model estimated three parameters on a weekly basis: entry probability, resighting

probability, and population size. Entry probability was highest in the first week and remained

relatively consistent thereafter (Fig. 3A). Resighting probability increased in the fourth week,

was lower during the weeks that knots spent most of their time on Deveaux Bank, and increased

again later in the season (Fig. 3B). The estimated weekly population size increased throughout

the season, starting at 2,411 (95% CI: 858, 5,050) in the first week and ending at 13,852 (95%

CI: 9,764, 19,254) the week of 14 May (Fig. 3C).

Figure 3. Model-derived estimates of the weekly entry probability (A), resighting probability (B),

and population size (C) for Red Knots on Kiawah and Seabrook islands, South Carolina. Bars

around estimates represent model generated 95% credible intervals.

Geolocator Results

Each of our three geolocator-carrying individuals spent the nonbreeding season in a different

region: one on Tierra del Fuego (50.54°S; Fig. 4A, B); one along the Atlantic Coast, moving

among sites from Georgia to North Carolina (30.3-35.1°N; Fig. 4C); and one on the Gulf Coast

of Florida (27.2-29.2°N; Fig. 4D). Of the two individuals that did not spend the nonbreeding

season in or close to South Carolina, both arrived in the region 2-5 May and departed

approximately three weeks later ($\mu = 20 \pm 1$ d), between 23 May-1 June ($n = 3$ departures).

Across all three individuals and all years during which they were tracked, northward departure

from South Carolina averaged 24 May $\pm$ 5 d ($n = 5$ departures). Once departing South Carolina,

the three individuals stopped on average 1.8 ± 1 times, with those stops occurring north of 49°N,

mostly along the western shore of either James or Hudson bays, Canada (49.3-55.4°N; Fig. 4A).

Because of the nature of geolocation data and Arctic summers, we were unable to identify the

breeding areas used by any of the individuals.

Figure 4: Northbound migratory tracks obtained using light-level geolocators attached to three

Red Knots (blue, red, and purple lines, respectively) from 2015-2017 (map inset A). Map inset B,

C, and D show northbound movements of individuals 1, 2, and 3 along the Atlantic Coast of the

United States, respectively. Vertices show latitudinal and longitudinal 95% confidence intervals

(black lines). Kiawah and Seabrook islands, as well as Delaware Bay, are highlighted to show

when birds made a stopover at each site, respectively.

The capture history of the birds with dark or lime green flags that we resighted indicates that

they were captured at 18 sites along the U.S. Atlantic Coast (1 in Texas, 4 in Florida, 4 in South

Carolina, and 8 in Delaware Bay). Furthermore, based on their resighting history, the knots we

resighted have used a network of at least 74 sites, including beaches, barrier islands, estuaries,

inlets, and sandbar spits. These resightings came from Texas, Louisiana, Florida, Georgia, South

Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, New Jersey, Connecticut, and

Massachusetts in the U.S., as well as Ontario (James Bay) and Quebec in Canada.

Figure 5. Network of sites where the Red Knots resighted on Kiawah and Seabrook islands,

South Carolina were initially flagged (red circles) and where they were subsequently resighted

(black crosses) along the Atlantic Coast of Canada and the U.S.

DISCUSSION

The Atlantic Coast of North America hosts a number of critical migratory stopover sites for *rufa*

Red Knots, but the majority of scientific and conservation attention has focused on only a few of

those sites, such as Delaware Bay (Baker et al. 2004, Atkinson et al. 2007, Niles et al. 2009) and

coastal Virginia (Cohen et al. 2009, 2010a,b). Using a superpopulation model, we estimated that

the 24-km stretch of sandy beaches on Kiawah and Seabrook islands in South Carolina hosts

17,247 (95% CI: 13,548, 22,099) knots from February-May 2021, representing ~41% of the

estimated global *rufa* knot population of 42,000 individuals (Andres et al. 2012). While knot site

fidelity to individual sites may exhibit large interannual variation (Piersma et al. 2021, Tucker et

al. 2021) depending on the conditions and resource dynamics of the region (van Gils et al. 2005),

our study suggests that KSI is a critical site for knots along the Atlantic Coast and deserves

increased recognition and conservation attention.

Population Estimates

Across the Atlantic Flyway, a combination of methods has been used to develop estimates of

knot population sizes at stopover and nonbreeding sites. These have ranged from: (1) mark-

recapture approaches, which led to an estimation of 18,000 knots using Delaware Bay during

spring migration in 2004 (Gillings et al. 2010) and 23,400 knots using the Georgia coast in fall

2011 (Lyons et al. 2018); (2) peak count approaches, which resulted in estimates of 5,939 knots

using the Virginia coast from 2004-2007 (Cohen et al. 2010a); and, (3) hybrid approaches, which

generated estimates of 8,750 knots using four sites along the South Carolina and Georgia coasts

in the spring of 2019 (Smith et al. 2019). This variation in methodologies has led to persistent

uncertainty about the number of knots using specific sites, as well as about the total number

occurring along the Atlantic Flyway. We hypothesize that our higher estimates of knots using

KSI relative to previous estimates from the site and those from other sites along the Atlantic

Coast may be a result of either interannual variation in site usage as suggested by Tucker et al.

(2021) and our geolocator data (Fig. 4), improved resighting effort and statistical methodologies,

an actual shift in site usage by knots, or a combination of the three. Regardless, this variation

corroborates the need for more comprehensive estimates to be generated from mark-recapture

studies along the entire Atlantic Coast on a regular basis.

KSI Likely Supports Both Overwintering and Migrant Knots

Our resighting (Fig. 1) and geolocator (Fig. 4) data indicate that there are likely two groups of

knots using KSI: (1) overwintering knots that stayed on KSI and in surrounding areas or arrived

early in the year, as exemplified by the abundance and substantial number of flags we detected at

the beginning of our surveys, and (2) spring migrants, as exemplified by the increased population

estimates and proportion of flagged individuals we observed as the season progressed. Knots are

known to use the southeastern U.S. coast, including South Carolina, during the nonbreeding

season (Niles et al. 2012; Burger et al. 2012; Tuma and Powell 2021), and Lyons et al. (2018)

estimated that this region alone supports up to 10,400 knots during this period. Our results

confirmed that one of our geolocator-carrying individuals moved among Georgia, South

Carolina, and North Carolina during the nonbreeding season before ultimately migrating

northward from South Carolina in late May. Likewise, during our surveys, we detected knots at

KSI as early as 19 February (Fig. 2). We then subsequently detected ~42% of the marked knots

we observed during the first two weeks of the study again in May. In contrast, we would expect

that longer distance migrants would exhibit later arrivals and shorter stopover durations.

Accordingly, our other two geolocator-carrying knots — which spent the nonbreeding season in

Florida and southern South America, respectively (Fig. 4) — arrived in South Carolina in early

May and departed ~3 weeks later. This suggests that KSI may not only be an important stopover

site for spring migrants but an important site for overwintering knots as well.

In this respect, our results mirror those of Lyons et al. (2018), who found that during fall

migration knots spent an average of 38 days at the Altamaha River Delta, with a group of longer

distance migrants (Tierra del Fuego) that stopped over for ~21 days and a group of shorter

distance migrants (Southeastern U.S., Caribbean islands, and Brazil) that stopped over for ~42

days. Our model, however, was unable to differentiate between the two apparent groups in our

study and we could not estimate the difference in the duration of their respective stays on KSI.

This is because the methods from Lyons et al. (2016), on which our models were based, are

unable to identify the presence of multiple groups and our resighting effort was not great enough

to parameterize more complex models (e.g., Lok et al. 2019) that could capture the varying

behaviors likely exhibited by the 'overwintering' and 'migrant' groups. A key focus of future

research should therefore be to increase resighting efforts in the region, and specifically on KSI,

throughout the nonbreeding season (November-May) to try to generate robust estimates of the

sizes of these two apparent groups.

Importance of KSI in the Knot Migratory Network

Delaware Bay has historically been regarded as the last steppingstone at which knots can refuel

before departing to their Arctic breeding grounds (Baker et al. 2004). Much conservation

attention has thus been focused on the site (Clark 1993, Atkinson et al. 2007, Niles et al. 2009,

McGowan et al. 2011). However, an increasing body of evidence suggests that knots rely on a

suite of stopover sites across the flyway for a variety of purposes (Cohen et al. 2010a, Tuma and

Powell 2021). Our survey of the www.bandedbirds.org database to connect the knots we

resighted on KSI with other sites across the Atlantic Flyway corroborates these recent studies

and revealed a network of more than 70 sites spanning Texas to Maryland (Fig. 5). Our

geolocator results also indicate that Delaware Bay is likely not the only terminal stopover site

used by knots prior to reaching the Canadian Arctic: the five spring migration departures we

obtained from our three geolocator-carrying individuals indicate that they all skipped Delaware

Bay after stopping in South Carolina (Fig. 4). These geolocator results are supported by the

results of a recent study that used an automated radio telemetry array to track knots from South

Carolina on their northward migration (Smith et al. *in prep*.) and which found that the majority

of these knots skipped Delaware Bay and went directly to the Arctic from South Carolina.

Nonetheless, resighting data from www.bandedbirds.org also suggested that a substantial number

of the knots stopping over in South Carolina are subsequently resighted in Delaware Bay in at

least some years. Further tracking work, combined with on-the-ground efforts to quantify knot

refueling rates and social dynamics, would therefore help clarify the migratory stopover

decisions that result in different stopover behaviors being exhibited from year to year (e.g., Chan

et al. 2019, Linscott and Senner 2021).

Regardless of whether knots are regularly using KSI and the greater South Carolina coast

as a terminal stopover site, the comparable importance of KSI as a migratory stopover site for

knots is clear. Though KSI is relatively small, we estimate that 41% of the total knot population

is passing through during migration. What is more, a nocturnal roost supporting nearly half of

the Atlantic Flyway population of Hudsonian Whimbrel (*Numenius hudsonicus*) was recently

discovered on Deveaux Bank (Sanders et al. 2021), a site we also observed knots using during

our study. Within the framework of the Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network, KSI

(and Deveaux Bank) would therefore qualify as a site of Hemispheric Importance (WHSRN

2021). The fact that KSI only comprises 24 km of beaches — with Deveaux Bank comprising

just a few more — underscores its importance and, likely, sensitivity to conservation threats such

as those associated with human disturbances, habitat degradation, and outright habitat loss.

Results from the loss and degradation of stopover sites used by knots elsewhere in the Atlantic

Flyway (Baker et al. 2004) and across the globe (Studds et al. 2017) point to the consequences

for the knot migratory network that such changes could cause (Xu et al. 2019). The importance

of KSI is amplified even further if knots are indeed not only migrating through KSI but also

overwintering there. In such a scenario, knots would be reliant on the site for most of their

nonbreeding season (~6 months) and not just the spring migration period (~2-3 months).

Conservation Implications

Because of the variability of knot migratory patterns and their on-going population declines

(Piersma et al. 2021, Tucker et al. 2021), it is critical to recognize the threats that knots are

facing across the Atlantic Flyway. Delaware Bay, for instance, has suffered a > 75% decline in

its use by knots since 1990, which Niles et al. (2009) suggested is likely related to declines in

horseshoe crab numbers. To refuel sufficiently, knots need healthy populations of their prey,

such as horseshoe crab eggs. At terminal stopover sites like Delaware Bay from which knots

leave for their breeding grounds, they must be able to refuel and meet a certain weight threshold

(e.g., >180 g) to successfully complete their migrations (McGowan et al. 2011). Female crab

abundance at Delaware Bay has thus been shown to positively correlate with an individual's

ability to reach this body mass threshold and survive to subsequent years (Baker et al. 2004).

Recent results from the Cape Romain National Wildlife Refuge in South Carolina indicate a

similar positive correlation between horseshoe crab spawning and knot densities at the refuge

(Takahashi et al. 2021). In our study area, knots appear to primarily feed on coquina clams on

KSI itself, as horseshoe crabs do not currently occur on the islands, but horseshoe crabs do

spawn on Deveaux Bank and knots are known to feed on their eggs there (Thibault and Levinsen

2013). Maintaining and understanding the diversity of knot prey used in South Carolina —

including both horseshoe crabs and coquina clams — is therefore important in order to enable

knots to rapidly refuel and successfully complete their northward migrations.

Anthropogenic disturbance also poses a threat to knots that must focus their time and

energy on foraging and building up sufficient pre-departure fat reserves to continue their

northward migrations (Thomas et al. 2003). Burger et al. (2007) found that knots and other

shorebirds using sites with little human disturbance were able to spend 70% of their time

foraging, while their foraging efficiency was reduced by more than 40% at high disturbance

sites. Because KSI is highly developed, with tourist attractions such as golf courses, public beach

access points, and resorts, there is substantial human and dog traffic along its beaches. During

our 13-week survey period we observed that, with the onset of spring, increasing numbers of

people and dogs led to the frequent disturbance of knots and other shorebirds. Currently both

islands do have dog leash laws intended to decrease the disturbance of wildlife: some areas are

off limits to dogs year-round, while in others, dogs are allowed either on and/or off leash,

depending on the time of day and year. For large portions of the two islands, however, these

latter restrictions do not cover the entirety of the period that knots are likely present. On Kiawah

Island, dogs are allowed off leash across much of the island from 1 November - 15 March, while

on Seabrook Island, dogs can be off leash in the central portion of the island during the evening

and early morning (17:00-09:59), even from 1 April - 30 September (Towns of Kiawah Island

and Seabrook Island). Our results suggest that these rules should be extended and enforced

throughout the period knots are present on the islands.

Climate change poses an additional variety of unpredictable challenges to knots and their

associated coastal habitats. The Mid-Atlantic Coast of the United States has exhibited some of

the fastest rates of sea level rise in the world ($\sim$4–10 mm y^{-1}; Ezer and Corlett 2012). Because

much of the Mid-Atlantic Coast (including KSI) is highly developed, increased inundation may

cause the loss of critical habitat by squeezing beaches and mudflats in between existing

infrastructure (von Holle et al. 2019). Sea level rise may also alter the geomorphology and

hydrodynamic regimes of estuaries (Khojasteh et al. 2021), potentially altering key roosting sites

such as Captain Sam's Inlet and Deveaux Bank. Subsequently, a reduction in habitat as a result

of sea level rise (and other anthropogenic factors) could further increase human disturbance, as

well as inter- and intraspecific competition for roosting and foraging areas (Goss-Custard 1988),

thereby constraining the ability of knots to refuel (Baker et al. 2004). Efforts to preserve the

integrity of the full suite of habitats currently found on KSI in the face of these potential changes,

such as through beach replenishment and habitat migration strategies, is a major priority.

Conclusions

We provide evidence of the critical importance of the Kiawah-Seabrook Island complex as a

stopover and (potentially) overwintering site for knots. In order to preserve the site's ability to

sustain shorebird populations, increased recognition and protection of the site is a priority. To

this end, we recommend the nomination of KSI and neighboring Deveaux Bank as a Site of

Hemispheric Importance in the Western Hemisphere Shorebird Reserve Network, as our results

show that more than 40% of the *rufa* knot population, as well as 50% of the Atlantic Flyway

Hudsonian Whimbrel population (Sanders et al. 2021), use the area. Because KSI may be serving

as a terminal stopover site for knots, conservation measures should also focus on maintaining

adequate abundances of knot prey to enable them to sufficiently refuel for nonstop flights to the

Arctic. Human and dog disturbance on the islands lead to decreased foraging efficiency and use

of roosting sites (Koch and Paton, 2013); our study's estimates of knot stopover duration on KSI

can be used to help inform the timing of leash laws and other restrictions. Finally, sea level rise

is expected to be a source of difficulty for knots in terms of the loss of suitable foraging and

roosting habitat, and should remain a factor in management decisions given the critical

importance of Captain Sam's Inlet and the adjacent KSI beaches.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are very grateful for the help of the Senner Lab at the University of South Carolina,

biologists at the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources, and the volunteers from the

Kiawah Island Shorebird Stewardship Program and the Seabrook Island Birders. We also thank

L. Usyk from www.bandedbirds.org for providing data and assistance, as well as Y. Aubry, H.

Bellman, J. Brush, N. Martínez Curci, K. Kalasz, S. Koch, D. Newstead, L. Niles, and R.

Rodrigues for permission to use their data. Helpful comments on early drafts were provided by J.

531 Fraser, S. Karpanty, T. Piersma, M. Stager, and M. Verhoeven. This research was supported by

532 the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources and federal funding from South Carolina

533 State Wildlife Grants to FS and JT, as well as a grant from the Magellan Scholars Program at the

534 University of South Carolina to MP and SP, and a Carolina Bird Club Research Grant to SP.

535 Finally, thanks to L. Niles for advice on geolocator deployment and retrieval, and M. Chaplin

536 with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for support

LITERATURE CITED

Alerstam, T., A. Hedenström & S. Akesson. 2003. Long-distance migration: evolution and determinants. *Oikos* 103: 247-260.

Andres, B.A., P.A. Smith, R.I.G. Morrison, C.L. Gratto-Trevor, S.C. Brown & C.A. Friis. 2012. Population estimates of North American shorebirds. *Wader Study Group Bulletin* 119: 178–194.

Atkinson, P.W., A.J. Baker, K.A. Bennett, N.A. Clark, J.A. Clark, K.B. Cole, A. Dekinga, A. Dey, S. Gillings, P.M. González, K. Kalasz, C.D.T. Minton, J. Newton, L.J. Niles, T. Piersma, R.A. Robinson & H.P. Sitters. 2007. Rates of mass gain and energy deposition in Red Knot on their final spring staging site is both time- and condition-dependent. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 44: 885–895.

Banded Birds. 2022. Shorebird Resighting Database: Banding Data Extraction: http://www.bandedbirds.org. Retrieved March 6, 2022.

Baker, A.J., P.M. Gonzalez, T. Piersma, L.J. Niles, I. de Lima Serrano do Nascimento, P.W. Atkinson, N.A. Clark, C.D.T. Minton, M.K. Peck & G. Aarts. 2004. Rapid population decline in Red Knots: fitness consequences of refuelling rates and late arrival in Delaware Bay. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* B 271: 875–882.

Berkson, J. & C.N. Shuster, Jr. 1999. The Horseshoe Crab: the battle for a true multiple-use resource. *Fisheries* 24: 6-10.

Brooks, S.P. & A. Gelman. 1998. General methods for monitoring convergence of iterative simulations. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics* 7: 434-455.

Brown, J. L. 2014. SDMtoolbox: a python-based GIS toolkit for landscape genetic, biogeographic and species distribution model analyses. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5: 694–700.

568
569 **Buler, J.J., & F.R. Moore.** 2011. Migrant–habitat relationships during stopover along an
570 ecological barrier: extrinsic constraints and conservation implications. *Journal of Ornithology*
571 152: 101–112.
572
573 **Burger, J., S.A. Carlucci, C.W. Jeitner & L.J. Niles.** 2007. Habitat choice, disturbance, and
574 management of foraging shorebirds and gulls at a migratory stopover. *Journal of Coastal*
575 *Research* 23: 1159–1166.
576
577 **Burger, J., L.J. Niles, R.R. Porter, A.D. Dey, S. Koch & C. Gordon.** 2012. Migration and
578 over-wintering of Red Knots (*Calidris canutus rufa*) along the Atlantic Coast of the United
579 States. *Condor* 114: 302–313.
580
581 **Burnham, K.P. & D. R. Anderson.** 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a
582 practical information-theoretic approach. Springer, New York, New York, USA.
583
584 **Chan, Y.-C., T.L. Tibbitts, T. Lok, C.J. Hassell, H.-B. Peng, Z. Ma, Z.W. Zhang & T.**
585 **Piersma.** 2019. Filling knowledge gaps in a threatened shorebird flyway through satellite
586 tracking. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 56: 2305–2315.
587
588 **Clark, K.E., L.J. Niles & J. Burger.** 1993. Abundance and distribution of migrant shorebirds in
589 Delaware Bay. *Condor* 95: 694–705.
590
591 **Cohen, J., S.M. Karpanty J.D. Fraser, B.D. Watts & B.R. Truitt.** 2009. Residence
592 probability and population size of Red Knots during spring stopover in the Mid-Atlantic region
593 of the United States. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 73: 939-945.
594
595 **Cohen, J.B., S.M. Karpanty & J.D. Fraser.** 2010. Habitat selection and behavior of Red Knots
596 on the New Jersey Atlantic Coast during spring stopover. *Condor* 112: 655–662.
597
598 **Cohen, J.B., S.M. Karpanty, J.D. Fraser & B.R. Truitt.** 2010. The effect of benthic prey
599 abundance and size on Red Knot (*Calidris canutus*) distribution at an alternative migratory
600 stopover site on the US Atlantic Coast. *Journal of Ornithology* 151: 355-364.
601
602 **Crosbie, S.F. & B.F.J. Manly.** 1985. Parsimonious modelling of capture-mark-recapture
603 studies. *Biometrics* 41:385–398.
604
605 **Doyle, B.C. & M.R. Adams.** 2015. Statistical evaluation of shoreline change: A case study from
606 Seabrook Island, South Carolina. *Environmental & Engineering Geoscience* 21: 165-180.
607
608 **Dybas, C.L.** 2021. High-stakes mudbank chase: At low tide, US Southeast dolphins "beach"
609 their prey. *Oceanography* 34: https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2021.404.
610
611 **Ezer, T. & B. Corlett.** 2012. Is sea level rise accelerating in the Chesapeake Bay? A
612 demonstration of a novel approach for analyzing sea level data. *Geophysical Research Letters*.
613 39: L19605.

Gimenez, O., E. Cam & J.M. Gaillard. 2018. Individual heterogeneity and capture-recapture models: What, why and how? *Oikos* 127: 664–686.

Gillings S., P.W. Atkinson, A.J. Baker, K.A. Bennett, N.A. Clark, K.B. Cole, P.M. González, K.S. Kalasz, C.D.T. Minton, L.J. Niles, R.C. Porter, I.D.L. Serrano, H.P. Sitters & J.L. Woods. 2009. Staging behavior in Red Knot (*Calidris canutus*) in Delaware Bay: implications for monitoring mass and population size. *Auk* 126: 54–63.

Goss-Custard, J.D., J.T. Cayford & S.E.G. Lea. 1998. The changing trade-off between food finding and food stealing in juvenile oystercatchers. *Animal Behavior* 55: 745–760.

Iwamura T., H.P. Possingham, I. Chadès, C. Minton, N.J. Murray, D.L. Rogers, E.A. Treml & R.A. Fuller. 2013. Migratory connectivity magnifies the consequences of habitat loss from sea-level rise for shorebird populations *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 280: 20130325.

Kellner, K. 2016. jagsUI: a wrapper around "rjags" to streamline "JAGS" analyses v. 1.4.4. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Accessed 20 February 2022.

Kéry, M. & M. Schaub. 2012. Bayesian population analysis using WinBUGS: a hierarchical perspective, 1st ed. Academic Press, Waltham, Massachusetts.

Khojasteh, D., W. Glamore, V. Heimhuber & S. Felder. 2021. Sea level rise impacts on estuarine dynamics: a review. *Science of The Total Environment* 780: 146470.

Koch, S.L. & P.W. Paton. 2014. Assessing anthropogenic disturbances to develop buffer zones for shorebirds using a stopover site. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 78: 58-67.

Lisovski, S., S. Bauer, M. Briedis, S.C. Davidson, K.L. Dhanhal-Adams, M.T. Hallworth, J. Karagicheva, C.M. Meier, B. Merkel, J. Ouwehand, L. Pederson, E. Rakhimberdiev, A. Roberto-Charron, N.E. Seavy, M.D. Sumner, C.M. Taylor, S.J. Wotherspoon & E.S. Bridge. 2020. Light-level geolocator analyses: a user's guide. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 89: 221– 236.

Linscott, J.A. & N.R. Senner. 2021. Beyond refueling: investigating the diversity of functions of migratory stopover events. *Ornithological Applications* 123: 1–14.

Lok, T., C.J. Hassell, T. Piersma, R. Pradel & O. Gimenez. 2019. Accounting for heterogeneity when estimating stopover duration, timing and population size of Red Knots along the Luannan Coast of Bohai Bay, China. *Ecology and Evolution* 9: 6176–6188.

Lyons J., W. Kendall, J. Royle, S. Converse, B. Andres & J. Buchanan. 2016. Population size and stopover duration estimation using mark-resight data and Bayesian analysis of a superpopulation model. *Biometrics* 72: 262-271.

Lyons, J.E., B. Winn, T. Keyes & K.S. Kalasz. 2018. Post-breeding migration and connectivity of Red Knots in the western Atlantic. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 82: 383–396.

660
661 **McGowan, C.P., J.E. Hines, J.D. Nichols, J.E. Lyons, D.R. Smith, K.S. Kalasz, L.J. Niles,**
662 **A.D. Dey, N.A. Clark, P.W. Atkinson, C.D.T. Minton & W. Kendall.** (2011). Demographic
663 consequences of migratory stopover: linking Red Knot survival to horseshoe crab spawning
664 abundance. *Ecosphere* 2: 69.
665
666 **Niles, L.J., H.P. Sitters, A.D Dey, P.W. Atkinson, A.J. Baker, K.A. Bennett, R. Carmona,**
667 **K.E. Clark, N.A. Clark, C. Espoz, P.M. González, B.A. Harrington, D.E. Hernández, K.S.**
668 **Kalasz, R.G. Lathrop, R.N. Matus, C.D.T. Minton, R.I.G. Morrison, M.K. Peck, W. Pitts,**
669 **R.A. Robinson & I.L. Serrano.** 2008. Status of the Red Knot (*Calidris canutus rufa*) in the
670 Western Hemisphere. *Studies in Avian Biology* 36: 1-36.
671
672 **Niles, L.J., J. Bart, H.P. Sitters, A.D. Dey, K.E. Clark, P.W. Atkinson, A.J. Baker, K.A.**
673 **Bennett, K.S. Kalasz, N.A Clark, K.J. Clark, S. Gillings, A.S. Gates, P.M. González, D.E.**
674 **Hernández, C.D.T. Minton, R.I.G. Morrison, R.R. Porter, R.K. Ross & C.R. Veitch.** 2009.
675 Effects of horseshoe crab harvest in Delaware Bay on Red Knots: are harvest restrictions
676 working? *BioScience* 59: 153–164.
677
678 **Niles, L., J. Burger, R.R. Porter, A. Dey, C.D.T. Minton, P.M. Gonzalez, A.J. Baker, J.W.**
679 **Fox & C. Gordon.** 2010. First results using light level geolocators to track Red Knots in the
680 Western Hemisphere show rapid and long intercontinental flights and new details of migration
681 paths. **Wader Study Group Bulletin** 117: 1-8.
682
683 **Niles, L.J., J. Burger, R.R. Porter, A.D. Dey, S. Koch, B. Harrington, K. Iaquinto & M.**
684 **Boarman.** 2012. Migration pathways, migration speeds and non-breeding areas used by northern
685 hemisphere wintering Red Knots *Calidris canutus* of the subspecies *rufa*. Wader Study Group
686 Bulletin 119: 195-203.
687
688 **Pan American Shorebird Group.** 2016. Pan American Shorebird Program Shorebird Marking
689 Protocol. https://www.shorebirdplan.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/08/PASP-Marking-Protocol-
690 April-2016.pdf.
691
692 **Piersma, T. & A.J. Baker.** 2000. Life history characteristics and the conservation of migratory
693 shorebirds. In L.M. Gosling & W.J. Sutherland (Eds.), *Behaviour and conservation* (pp. 105-
694 124). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
695
696 **Piersma, T., D.I. Rogers, P.M. Gonzalez, L. Zwarts, L.J. Niles, I. de Lima S. do**
697 **Nascimento, C.D.T. Minton & A.J. Baker.** 2005. Fuel storage rates before northward flights in
698 Red Knots worldwide: facing the severest ecological constraint in tropical intertidal
699 environments? In: Greenberg, R. and Marra, P. P. (eds.), *Birds of two worlds: ecology and*
700 *evolution of migration*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, pp. 262-273.
701
Piersma, T., E.M.A. Kok, C.J. Hassell, H.-B. Peng, Y.I. Verkuil, G. Lei, J. Karagicheva, E.
Rakhimberdiev, P.W. Howey, T.L. Tibbitts & Y.-C. Chan. 2021. When a typical jumper
skips: itineraries and staging habitats used by Red Knots (*Calidris canutus piersmai*) migrating
between northwest Australia and the New Siberian Islands. *Ibis* 163: 4, 1235-1251.

Plummer, M. 2003. JAGS: Just Another Gibbs Sampler. https://sourceforge.net/projects/mcmc-
jags/. Accessed 20 February 2022.
709

710 **Rakhimberdiev, E., N.R. Senner, M.A. Verhoeven, D.W. Winkler, W. Bouten & T.**
711 **Piersma.** 2016. Comparing inferences of solar geolocation data against high-precision GPS data:
712 annual movements of a double-tagged Black-tailed Godwit. *Journal of Avian Biology* 47: 589-
713 596.
714

715 **Rakhimberdiev, E., A. Saveliev, T. Piersma & J. Karagicheva.** 2017. FLightR: an r package
716 for reconstructing animal paths from solar geolocation loggers. *Methods in Ecology and*
717 *Evolution* 8: 1482-1487.
718

719 **Rakhimberdiev, E., S. Duijns, J. Karagicheva, C.J. Camphuysen, V.R.S. Castricum, A.**
720 **Dekinga, R. Dekker, A. Gavrilov, J. ten Horn, J. Jukema, A. Saveliev, M. Soloviev, T.L.**
721 **Tibbitts, J.A. van Gils & T. Piersma.** 2018. Food abundance at refuelling sites can mitigate
722 Arctic warming effects on a migratory bird. *Nature Communications* **9**, 4263.
723

724 **Rehfisch, M.M., G.E. Austin, S.N. Freeman, M.J.S. Armitage & N.H.K. Burton.** 2004. The
725 possible impact of climate change on the future distributions and numbers of waders on Britain's
726 non-estuarine coast. *Ibis* 146: 70–80.
727

728 **Royle, J.A. & R.M. Dorazio.** 2008. Hierarchical modeling and inference in ecology: the
729 analysis of data from populations, metapopulations and communities. Academic Press, London,
730 UK.
731

732 **Royle, J.A. & R.M. Dorazio.** 2012. Parameter-expanded data augmentation for Bayesian
733 analysis of capture-recapture models. *Journal of Ornithology* 152: S521–S537.
734

735 **Sanders, F.J., M.C. Handmaker, A.S. Johnson & N.R. Senner.** 2021. Nocturnal roost on
736 South Carolina coast supports nearly half of the Atlantic coast population of Hudsonian
737 Whimbrel Numenius hudsonicus during northward migration. *Wader Study* 128: 117–124.
738

739 **Shimazaki, H., M. Tamura, Y. Darman, V. Andronov, M.P. Parilov, M. Nagendran & H.**
740 **Higuchi.** 2004. Network analysis of potential migration routes for Oriental White Storks
741 (*Ciconia boyciana*). *Ecological Research* 19: 683-698.
742

743 **Smith, F.M., B.D. Watts, J. Lyons, T. Keyes, B. Winn, A. Smith, F. Sanders & J. Thibault.**
744 2019. Investigating Red Knot Migration Ecology along the Georgia and South Carolina Coasts:
745 Spring 2019 Season Summaries. Center for Conservation Biology Technical Report Series:
746 CCBTR-20-02. College of William and Mary/Virginia Commonwealth University,
747 Williamsburg, VA. 53 pp.
748

749 **South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.** 2015. South Carolina's State Wildlife
750 Action Plan. Columbia, South Carolina. https://www.dnr.sc.gov/swap/index.html
751

752 **South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.** 2018. Shorebird research underscores
753 importance of South Carolina beaches. South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.
754 https://www.dnr.sc.gov/news/2018/jun/jun7_shorebirds.html.
755

756 **Studds, C.E., B.E. Kendall, N.J. Murray, H.B. Wilson, D.I. Rogers, R.S. Clemens, K.**
757 **Gosbell, C.J. Hassell, R. Jessop, D.S. Melville, D.A. Milton, C.D.T. Minton, H.P.**
758 **Possingham, A.C. Riegen, P. Straw, E.J. Woehler & R.A. Fuller.** 2017. Rapid population
759 decline in migratory shorebirds relying on Yellow Sea tidal mudflats as stopover sites. *Nature*
760 *Communications* 8: 14895.
761

762 **Sullivan, B.L., C.L. Wood, M.J. Iliff, R.E. Bonney, D. Fink & S. Kelling.** 2009. eBird: a
763 citizen-based bird observation network in the biological sciences. *Biological Conservation* 142:
764 2282-2292.
765

766 **Takahashi, F., F.J. Sanders & P.G.R. Jodice.** 2021. Spatial and temporal overlap between
767 foraging shorebirds and spawning horseshoe crabs (*Limulus polyphemus*) in the Cape Romain-
768 Santee Delta Region of the U.S. Atlantic Coast. *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* 133: 58-72.
769

770 **Thibault, J.** 2013. Assessing the status and use of Red Knots in South Carolina. USFWS
771 Traditional Section 6 Grant Report. South Carolina Department of Natural Resources.
772

773 **Thibault, J. & M. Levisen**. 2013. Red Knot prey availability project report. South Carolina
774 Department of Natural Resources, Marine Resources Research Institute, Charleston, SC. 15pp.
775

776 **Thomas, K., R.G. Kvitek & C. Bretz.** 2003. Effects of human activity on the foraging behavior
777 of sanderlings *Calidris alba*. *Conservation Biology* 109: 67–71.
778

779 **Tucker, A., C. McGowan, J. Lyons, A. DeRose-Wilson & L. Clark.** 2021. Species-specific
780 demographic and behavioral responses to food availability during migratory stopover.
781 *Population Ecology* 64: 19-34
782

783 **Tuma, M.E. & A.N. Powell.** 2021. The Southeastern U.S. as a complex of use sites for
784 nonbreeding rufa Red Knots: fifteen years of band-encounter data. *Wader Study* 128: 265-273.
785

786 **U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.** 2001. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Final
787 Determination of Critical Habitat for Wintering Piping Plovers. 66 Fed. Reg. 36038-36143.
788

789 **U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.** 2014. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants;
790 Threatened Species Status for the Rufa Red Knot. 79 Fed. Reg. 73706-73748.
791

792 **van Gils, J.A., P.F. Battley, T. Piersma & R. Drent.** 2005. Reinterpretation of gizzard sizes of
793 Red Knots world-wide emphasises overriding importance of prey quality at migratory stopover
794 sites. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 272: 2609-2618.
795

796 **von Holle, B., J.L Irish, A. Spivy, J.F. Weishampel, A. Meylan, M.H. Godfrey, M. Dodd,**
797 **S.H. Schweitzer, T. Keyes, F. Sanders, M.K. Chaplin & N.R. Taylor.** 2019. Effects of future
798 sea level rise on coastal habitat. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 83: 694-704.
799
800 **White, G.C. & K.P. Burnham.** 1999. Program MARK: survival estimation from populations of
801 marked animals. *Bird Study* 46: S120–139.
802
803 **Wilcove, D.S. & M. Wikelski.** 2008. Going, Going, Gone: Is Animal Migration Disappearing.
804 *PLoS Biology* 6: e188.
805
806 **Xu, Y., Y. Si, J. Takekawa, Q. Liu, H.H.T. Prins, S. Yin, D.J. Prosser, P. Gong & W.F. de**
807 **Boer.** 2019. A network approach to prioritize conservation efforts for migratory birds.
808 *Conservation Biology* 34: 416-426.
809
810 **Verkuil, Y.I., E. Tavares, P.M. González, K. Choffe, O. Haddrath, M. Peck, L.J. Niles, A.J.**
811 **Baker, T. Piersma & J.R. Conklin.** 2021. Genetic structure in the nonbreeding range of *rufa*
812 Red Knots suggests distinct Arctic breeding populations. *Ornithological Applications* 124:
813 duab053.