Structure-based Evolution of G protein-biased μ-opioid Receptor Agonists
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Abstract: The μ-opioid receptor (μOR) is the major target for opioid analgesics. Activation of μOR initiates signaling through G protein pathways as well as through β-arrestin recruitment. μOR agonists that are biased towards G protein signaling pathways demonstrate diminished side effects. PZM21, discovered by computational docking, is a G protein biased μOR agonist. Here we report the cryoEM structure of PZM21 bound μOR in complex with Gᵢ protein. Structure-based evolution led to multiple PZM21 analogs with more pronounced Gᵢ protein bias and increased lipophilicity to improve CNS penetration. Among them, FH210 shows extremely low potency and efficacy for arrestin recruitment. We further determined the cryoEM structure of FH210 bound to μOR in complex with Gᵢ protein and confirmed its expected binding pose. The structural and pharmacological studies reveal a potential mechanism to reduce β-arrestin recruitment by the μOR, and hold promise for developing next-generation analgesics with fewer adverse effects.

Addiction to opioid analgesics has led to the opioid crisis, causing more than 70,000 death per year. Consequently, there has been a search for new alternative analgesic drugs with lower addictive potential and reduced side effects. Computational docking followed by structure-based optimization has led to the discovery of PZM21, a G protein biased μOR partial agonist producing analgesia with diminished side effects in mice. In vivo experiments with PZM21 showed no rewarding or reinforcing effects and less respiratory depression compared to morphine, creating a wider therapeutic window. Nevertheless, the blood-brain ratio of PZM21 in mice indicates only moderate receptor occupancy in the central nervous system (CNS) after systemic administration, likely due to its relatively high polarity (log P: 2.9). Moreover, PZM21 still evokes tolerance and withdrawal symptoms. As the recruitment of β-arrestin-2 to the μOR has been shown to correlate with the development of tolerance in vivo, we seek derivatives of PZM21 featuring more pronounced G protein bias and increased lipophilicity.

Interestingly, for several family A GPCRs, ligands addressing the classical orthosteric pocket and extending into the extracellular vestibule generate functional selectivity. It appears that additional interactions with the extracellular part of the transmembrane helices and loop regions confer a conformational restriction of the
receptor which impacts the stability of different receptor-transducer complexes. Compared to classical opioids, the new G protein-biased ligands PZM21 and the clinically approved drug olcecidine (TRV130) may also interact with amino acids in the extracellular vestibule.[9]

In this study, we obtained a high-resolution structure of the μOR bound to PZM21 to understand the mechanism of its biased signaling and used structure-based evolution to develop analogs with enhanced G protein bias. We also obtained a high-resolution structure of one of these analogs (FH210) having a further increase in G protein bias relative to PZM21, largely due to a decrease in potency and efficacy in arrestin recruitment. The structure reveals differences between the binding of PZM21 and FH210 that may be responsible for the reduced arrestin recruitment.

To enable a structure-based design, we first determined a high-resolution structure of PZM21 bound to μOR in complex with Gi protein. Using single-particle cryoEM, we obtained the PZM21-μOR-Gi protein complex structure at 2.9Å resolution (Figure 1A, Figure S1A, Figure S2 and Table S1). The map reveals well-defined densities for the amino acids forming the orthosteric pocket as well as for the ligand PZM21 (Figure 1B, Figure S2D). The structure revealed two common features that were previously observed in the μOR in complex with DAMGO[10], BU72[11] and β-FNA[12]: a salt bridge between the basic amine of the drug and D1473.32 and a position of the phenol hydroxy group in close proximity to H2976.52 (Figure 1B). In the structures of BU72 and β-FNA bound μOR, two water molecules could be resolved, mediating a hydrogen bond network between the phenol hydroxyl group and H2976.52.[11-12] In our structure, the phenol moiety of PZM21 showed an analogous spatial arrangement. However, mediating water molecules could not be resolved. Hence, we performed molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to assess the stability of the PZM21 pose and the contribution of a water network. During the simulations, PZM21 remained close to its initially modeled pose and stable water-mediated interactions between the phenol of PZM21, H2976.52 and the backbone carbonyl of K2335.39 could be observed (Figure S3). This polar network was further confirmed by chemical synthesis and functional characterization of a PZM21 carboxamide analog bioisostERICALLY replacing the phenol hydroxy group and the mediating water (FH310,
Table S2). This structural congener showed an almost five-fold increase in binding affinity determined by radioligand binding studies (FH310, Table S3).

**Figure 1:** A) Structure of the μOR–Gi complex bound to PZM21 colored by subunit. Green, μOR; orange, PZM21; gold, Gαi; cyan, Gβ; purple Gγ; gray scFv16. B) View of PZM21 in the binding pocket. PZM21 forms polar contacts to D147\(^{3.32}\) and Y326\(^{7.43}\) and the phenol group is near H297\(^{6.52}\) suggesting that PZM21 forms a water-mediated interaction with H297\(^{6.52}\), as observed in previous structures of the μOR. C) Surface presentation of the thiophene group of PZM21 in the lipophilic vestibule of the μOR.

The cryoEM structure of PZM21 bound to the μOR shows that the thiophenylalkyl moiety interacts with a lipophilic vestibule formed by the extracellular ends of TM2 and TM3 and ECL1 (Figure 1C, Figure S4A). We reasoned that PZM21 analogs showing higher complementarity with the extracellular vestibule, more specifically to V143\(^{3.28}\), I144\(^{3.29}\), W133\(^{ECL1}\) and N127\(^{2.63}\), may confer an increase of G protein bias compared with unbiased agonists such as DAMGO and BU72 (Figure S4A). Following this hypothesis, we designed 19 test compounds guided by the PZM21-μOR cryoEM structure exchanging the urea unit and the thiophenylalkyl substituent by manually selected bioisosteric fragments. After molecular docking and careful inspection of the complexes, we selected those derivatives that had a reasonable binding pose, a promising docking score and an increase of lipophilicity for synthesis (Table S2). The structure-guided design led us to acrylamide analogs of PZM21. The compounds lack one of the urea NH groups and, hence, have only two instead of three hydrogen bond donors, which may increase CNS penetration.
To obtain candidate PZM21 analogs, enantiopure acrylic and acetylenic amides were synthesized starting from the amino acid derived precursor L-tyrosine amide (1). A reductive dimethylation with formaldehyde and sodium triacetoxyborohydride followed by borane reduction of the amide functionality led to the building block 2. Acrylic and acetylenic amides were synthesized via BOP- or PyBOP-promoted amide coupling reaction of the primary amine 2 and commercially available acrylic and acetylenic acids (compounds of type 3, Scheme 1, Scheme S1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of PZM21 analogs. Reagents and conditions: a) formaldehyde, sodium triacetoxyborohydride, water, acetonitrile, -10°C, 5 min (> 99 %); b) borane-THF complex, THF, 0°C to reflux, 6 h (43 %), c) carboxylic acid, BOP or PyBOP, triethylamine, DMF, r.t., 0.5-24 h (56-95 %).

Seeking compounds with low $K_i$-values similar to PZM21 ($K_i(\mu OR)=31$ nM), we assessed receptor affinity of these PZM21 analogs for the $\mu OR$ in a radioligand-binding assay and compared them with the reference drugs fentanyl, morphine and ololcriidine (Table S3). Ten out of the eleven novel analogs surpassed the $\mu OR$ affinity of PZM21. Notably, these PZM21 analogs were also selective for the $\mu OR$ over $\delta OR$ and $\kappa OR$ (Table S3). In contrast to PZM21, which binds to the $\mu OR$ ($K_i=31$ nM) and $\kappa OR$ ($K_i=24$ nM) with similar affinities, most analogs are selective for the $\mu OR$ ($K_i=6.6-41$ nM) over both $\delta OR$ ($K_i=25-530$ nM) and $\kappa OR$ ($K_i=58-600$ nM) (Table S3).

We then investigated these potent analogs for their signaling profiles. Functional assays were performed to identify compounds capable of activating the $\mu OR$-dependent G protein pathway with an $E_{max}$ of at least 90% of fentanyl and high bias over $\beta$-arrestin recruitment. Whereas G protein activation was investigated by monitoring IP$_1$ accumulation in presence of a co-transfected $G_{\alpha\iota}$-alpha subunit, $\beta$-
arrestin-2 recruitment was determined using a fragment complementation assay (DiscoverX PathHunter) (Figure 2A-C, Table S4). Four agonists showed efficacy greater than 90% of fentanyl for G protein activation. Interestingly, for three of these agonists, β-arrestin recruitment was below a detection threshold of 5% compared to fentanyl. To improve assay sensitivity for arrestin and to better discriminate between ligands with low arrestin efficacy, we monitored β-arrestin-2 recruitment in cells co-expressing G protein receptor kinase 2 (GRK2), an intracellular kinase that phosphorylates the C-terminus of the μOR, thereby stabilizing interactions with β-arrestin-2. Following these conditions, we were able to obtain dose-response curves allowing a more precise evaluation of functional bias.

Based on the functional characterization of these PZM21 analogs, we identified several candidates with potential clinical utility. In particular, FH210 (log P: 3.9), a naphthyl-substituted acryl amide, showed high complementarity and increased Van der Waals interactions within the lipophilic vestibule while maintaining an overall PZM21-like binding mode, based on our docking results (Figure S4B, Table S2). FH210 is more biased towards the G protein pathway than PZM21. While FH210 stimulates G protein activation to a similar extent as does PZM21, it shows attenuated β-arrestin-2 recruitment in the presence of GRK2 (Figure 2A,C, Table S4): compared to PZM21, the β-arrestin-2 dose-response curve of FH210 in the presence of GRK2 is shifted about one order of magnitude rightwards (EC₅₀ = 94 nM and 860 nM respectively) and a decreased efficacy was observed (E₅₀ = 35 % and 28 %, respectively) (Figure 2C). Off-target effects of FH210 at 20 other GPCRs were also studied in radioligand binding experiments (Table S5). We detected binding affinities in the micromolar range at these targets, except for the 5-HT₂A (Kᵢ=190 nM), α₁A (Kᵢ=490 nM), α₂B (Kᵢ=610 nM) and D₄.4 receptor (Kᵢ=940 nM).
Figure 2: Functional activity of morphine, fentanyl, PZM21 and FH210 at the μOR. A) G-protein signaling measured by IP accumulation assay in cells transfected with the chimeric G protein Gqi. B,C) β-arrestin-2 recruitment measured by PathHunter assay, with (C) and without (B) GRK2 co-transfection. D) Gαi/o/z subtypes activation measured by BRET-based assay\cite{14}.

GPCR agonists also differed in the efficacy and order of potency for Gα-subtypes activation. μOR primarily signals through the Gαi/o/z family of G proteins that include Gα1, Gα2, Gα3, GαA, GαB and Gz. In vivo studies have shown that specific Gα-subtype pathways differentially contribute to μOR-dependent behavioral responses, including tolerance and antinociception\cite{15}. We therefore characterized the Gα-subtypes signaling properties of PZM21 and FH210, using BRET TRUPATH biosensors\cite{14} (Figure 2D, Table S6). For reference compounds we included morphine and fentanyl. In these experiments we observed similar potency and efficacy profiles for PZM21 and FH210. Both are partial agonist at all Gαi/o/z subtypes with the E_max ranging from 67% to 89% of morphine. For PZM21, the EC_{50} ranges from 3.7nM for Gz to 14nM for Gι3. For FH210, the EC_{50} ranges from 3.8nM for Gz to 19nM for Gι3 (Table S6).

To understand the structural basis for the very weak efficacy and potency of FH210 in arrestin recruitment, we obtained the cryoEM structure of FH210 bound to the
μOR-Gi protein complex. Single-particle cryoEM was performed to obtain a three-dimensional map of the FH210-μOR-Gi protein complex at a global resolution of 3.0 Å (Figure 3A, Figure S1B, Figure S2 and Table S1). Our cryoEM map includes well-defined densities for the amino acids forming the orthosteric pocket as well as for the ligand FH210 (Figure 3B, Figure S2D). The resolved binding conformation of FH210 closely resembles the pose we obtained from molecular docking experiments using the PZM21-μOR-Gi protein complex (Figure 3B and Figure S4B). Overall, we found a striking resemblance between the ligand binding sites of the two resolved structures. The common features include an ionic interaction between the ammonium group of the ligand and D1473.32, a hydrogen bond interaction with Y3267.43 via the carboxamide NH of FH210 and the proximity of the phenol hydroxy group and H2976.52 (Figure 1B and Figure 3B). FH210 also interacts with the lipophilic vestibule like PZM21 (Figure 1C and Figure 3C). MD-simulations show a water-mediated network between the phenol of FH210, H2976.52 and K2355.39 stabilizing the binding pose (Figure S3).

The three-dimensional map of the cryoEM complex revealed densities for two water molecules (Figure S2D). These two water molecules do not have strong interaction with either FH210 or any μOR residues. In MD simulations they did not maintain the
position and interactions observed in the cryoEM structure, suggesting that they do not form a distinct water network by themselves.

Besides similarities, we identified the structural features that are unique to the FH210-bound μOR-Gi protein complex. We found substantially greater Van der Waals interactions between the naphthyl substituent of FH210 and the lipophilic vestibule formed by TM2, TM3, ECL1 and ECL2. Calculation of the contact surface area between the lipophilic vestibule and the thiophenyl- (PZM21, 124 Å²) or the naphthyl-moiety (FH210, 155 Å²) revealed a 31 Å² higher contact area between the naphthyl group of FH210 and the receptor (Figure 4A, B). This is particularly attributed to additional contacts with D216ECL2, C217ECL2, W133ECL1 and N1272.63 (Figure 4B). In agreement with this, MD simulations showed that the lipophilic vestibule of FH210 bound μOR is more compact compared with PZM21 bound μOR, suggesting that the naphthyl moiety of FH210 is involved in stronger hydrophobic interaction with nearby residues (Figure 4C). The structural differences between the two complexes are primarily found at the extracellular part of the transmembrane helices and loop regions supporting the initial hypothesis that ligand interactions with the extracellular vestibule influence functional bias.

Figure 4: Comparison of μOR bound to PZM21 and FH210. A,B) Contact surface area (red) between the thiophene of PZM21 (orange) and the PZM21-μOR cryoEM
complex (green), $a = 124 \text{ Å}^2$; and between the naphthyl of FH210 (beige) and the FH210-μOR cryoEM complex (blue), $a = 155 \text{ Å}^2$. Contact surface area was calculated with a cut-off value of 2Å using UCSF Chimera$^{[16]}$. C) Representative structures extracted from MD simulations of PZM21-μOR and FH210-μOR. The simulations show a more compact lipophilic vestibule for FH210 bound μOR. The distances are measured between the $C\alpha$ atoms of the labeled residues. The histogram plots on the right shows the distance distribution over all simulations. The bar chart at the bottom of each plot shows the mean and SEM, with the dots representing the individual values. These statistics are based on 6 individual simulations and the first 500ns of each simulation was not included in these analyses.

Recent studies using NMR spectroscopy and MD simulations have suggested a potential mechanism for the μOR biased signaling$^{[17]}$. NMR studies by Cong et al. revealed that G protein biased agonists including PZM21 trigger conformational changes in TM7, ICL1 and H8. MD simulations showed a primary binding pose for PZM21 that penetrates deeper into the binding pocket wedged between TM2 and W293$^{6,48}$, the conserved “rotamer toggle switch”, as well as a transient pose that appears to be similar to the one observed in our structure. In our studies neither PZM21 nor FH210 interact with W293$^{6,48}$, although we cannot exclude the possibility that the alternate binding pose observed by Cong et al. plays a role in stabilizing the μOR in the early stages of complex formation.

The most striking difference between the PZM21 and FH210 binding poses in our study is in the more extensive interactions of the naphthyl moiety and the pocket formed by residues in TM2, TM3 and ECL1 (Figure 4A, B). This may stabilize the μOR in a conformation that is less compatible for interacting with arrestins, however, given that the cytoplasmic surface of the μOR in our structures is stabilized by interactions with nucleotide-free Gi, we are unable to observe possible differences in conformations stabilized by PZM21 and FH210.

Kelly et al. proposed a pharmacophore model in which strong Y326$^{7,43}$ and D147$^{3,32}$ interaction will increase arrestin recruitment but stronger Y148$^{3,33}$ and weaker D147$^{3,32}$ engagement will lower arrestin interaction. In agreement with their model, FH210’s strong G protein bias could be explained by its weaker polar contacts with
Y326$^{7.43}$ and D1473$^{3.32}$ compared with PZM21 and DAMGO (Figure S5A). During our MD simulations, we also observed that FH210 spent more time engaged in a water-mediated hydrogen bond to Y148$^{3.33}$ and less time forming a hydrogen bond to Y326$^{7.43}$, in comparison to PZM21 (Figure S5B, C). However, this model cannot explain the biased behavior of PZM21, as its interaction with Y326$^{7.43}$ and D1473$^{3.32}$ are very similar to unbiased full agonists DAMGO (Figure S5A). It is worth noting that the dynamics observed in Cong et al. and Kelly et al. are based on the inherent dynamics of agonist bound μOR in the absence of G protein, while in our study the conformation of μOR and the binding pose of the ligands are further affected by the stable coupling to a nucleotide-free G protein.

In summary, this study provides a structure-based evolution strategy for μOR drug discovery. Based on the cryoEM structure of PZM21 bound μOR [18], we have explored the chemical space of PZM21 analogs and identified agonists with improved selectivity and functionality. These novel ligands can be potential therapeutic leads with attenuated side effects. Moreover, we have also determined the cryoEM structure of μOR in complex with FH210 [18], a newly developed naphthyl-substituted acryl amide analog of PZM21. The cryoEM structures and the pharmacological data, suggest that G protein biased signaling of μOR can be achieved by targeting an extended pocket formed by TM2, TM3 and ECL1. These results, together with other recent advances in the field, provide valuable molecular templates for the design of safer analgesics and a deeper understanding of biased agonism at this important pharmaceutical target.
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We obtained cryoEM structures of the μ-opioid receptor (μOR) bound to the lead compound PZM21 and the newly developed agonist FH210 to understand the mechanism of their biased signaling and to guide the evolution of next-generation analgesics with fewer adverse effects.