The DNA-based global positioning system—a theoretical framework for large-scale spatial genomics

Laura Greenstreet[1,7], Anton Afanassiev[1,7], Yusuke Kijima[2,3,7], Matthieu Heitz[1,7], Soh Ishiguro[2], Samuel King[2], Nozomu Yachie[2,4,5,]* and Geoffrey Schiebinger[1,2,6,]*

[1]Department of Mathematics, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada. [2]School of Biomedical Engineering, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada. [3]Department of Aquatic Bioscience, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. [4]Research Center for Advanced Science and Technology, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. [5]Twitter: @yachielab. [6]Twitter: @geoffschieb.
[7]These authors contributed equally: Laura Greenstreet, Anton Afanassiev, Yusuke Kijima, Matthieu Heitz

*Correspondence should be addressed to N.Y. (nozomu.yachie@ubc.ca) and G.S. (geoff@math.ubc.ca).

We present GPS-seq, a theoretical framework that enables massively scalable, optics-free spatial transcriptomics. GPS-seq combines data from high-throughput sequencing with manifold learning to obtain the spatial transcriptomic landscape of a given tissue section without optical microscopy. In this framework, similar to technologies like Slide-seq and 10X Visium, tissue samples are stamped on a surface of randomly-distributed DNA-barcoded spots (or beads). The transcriptomic sequences of proximal cells are fused to DNA barcodes, enabling the recovery of a transcriptomic pixel image by high-throughput sequencing. The barcode spots serve as "anchors" which also capture spatially diffused "satellite" barcodes, and therefore allow computational reconstruction of spot positions without optical sequencing or depositing barcodes to pre-specified positions. In theory, it could generate 100 mm × 100 mm spatial transcriptomic images with 10-20 μm resolution by localizing 10^8 DNA-barcoded pixels with a single Illumina NovaSeq run. The general framework of GPS-seq is also compatible with standard single-cell (or single-nucleus) capture methods, and any modality of single-cell genomics, such as sci-ATAC-seq, could be transformed into spatial genomics in this strategy. We envision that GPS-seq will lead to breakthrough discoveries in diverse areas of biology by enabling organ-scale imaging of multiple genomic statuses at single-cell resolution for the first time.

Table 1. Comparison of spatial transcriptomics (ST) technologies

Parameter	Category	User accessibility	Size (mm²)	Resolution (μm)	Target RNA species	Method to identify positions
seqFISH+[1]	Single-molecule imaging	Low[a]	0.5	Single molecule	10,000[+]	-
MERFISH/MERSCOPE[2]	Single-molecule imaging	High	100	Single molecule	500	-
10X Visium[3]	Position-indexed sequencing	High	42.25	55	All poly(A)	Spotting of pre-determined oligos
Slide-seq[4,5]	Position-indexed sequencing	Low[b]	7.07*	10	All poly(A)	Sequencing by ligation
Stereo-seq[6]	Position-indexed sequencing	Low[b]	200	0.5	All poly(A)	Sequencing by synthesis
sci-Space[7]	Position-indexed sequencing	High	324	225**	All poly(A)	Spotting of pre-determined oligos
DNA Microscopy[8]	DNA microscopy	High	<100 cells	Single molecule	All poly(A)[‡]	ML estimation
PARSIFT[9]	DNA microscopy	High[c]	<100 cells	Subcellular	All poly(A)[‡]	Adjacency graph reconstruction
IPL[10]	DNA microscopy	High[c]	<100 cells	Single molecule	All poly(A)[‡]	Adjacency graph reconstruction
This study	GPS-seq ST	High[c]	10000[¶]	10~30[¶]	All poly(A)	Manifold learning

[a]Requires single-molecule (sm)FISH   *A circular area with a diameter of 3 mm   [¶]Target performance
[b]Requires in situ sequencing   **Sampling sensitivity of nuclei is 2.2%   [+]A priori targeting
[c]Only theoretical demonstration (currently)   [‡]Theoretically possible with unlimited sequencing depth

Introduction

Understanding the cellular architecture of tissues is a major challenge, with tremendous potential for impact across diverse areas of biology and medicine. Single-cell (sc)RNA-sequencing technologies enable high-dimensional, unbiased measurements of transcription levels across all genes in large populations of cells, but they lose the spatial context of cells within tissues(*11-13*). Pioneering spatial transcriptomics (ST) technologies have recently made it possible to chart the spatial distribution of transcription profiles(*14*). However, current ST technologies are limited in either resolution, or field of view (Table 1) and therefore cannot be used to analyze patterns of transcription over whole organs or organisms(*1, 3-7*).

Many existing ST technologies rely on optical microscopy, which suffers from an inherent trade-off between field-of-view and resolution. For example, fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) can resolve individual molecules of RNA through super-resolution imaging(*15*). While FISH has classically been limited to resolving a handful of genes at a time, recent multiplex FISH strategies have expanded the number of targets(*1, 16*). However, in order to provide a precise quantitative estimate of RNA abundances, these methods count individual molecules within cells through super-resolution microscopy. This cannot be easily done over large spatial scales. Moreover, for FISH-based technologies, constructed probes cannot be utilized for other species. Spatial RNA sequencing has also been recently developed with the *in situ* sequencing by ligation (SBL) strategy(*17, 18*). Nevertheless, due to their reliance on optical microscopy, it is generally difficult to acquire large field-of-view with these single-molecule resolution methods. (While profiling of large-scale tissues is theoretically possible, it requires a time-consuming raster scan of a small field of view to cover the large area.)

Several technologies have recently achieved key steps towards large-scale spatial transcriptomics at single-cell resolution, based on ideas we refer to as "position-indexed sequencing". This class of ST technologies employs spatially immobilized spots of DNA-barcoded reverse transcription (RT) primers to generate complementary DNA (cDNA) products conjugated with corresponding positional barcodes(*3-7, 19-21*). After deep sequencing, the spatial transcriptomic image can be reconstructed using the positional barcodes. However, the barcoded surfaces need to be prepared either by depositing a set of barcode primers of known sequences onto defined spots (e.g., 10X Genomics Visium(*3*), sci-Space(*7*) and DBiT-seq(*19*)) or by optical sequencing of barcode RT primers of unknown sequences after their dense surface deposition (e.g., Slide-seq(*4, 5*)ˏ, SeqScope(*20*) and Pixel-seq(*21*)). The former suffers from spatial resolution and complexity in DNA spots, and the latter does not scale in spatial size due to the need for retrospectively identifying positional barcodes by *in situ* DNA sequencing using optical microscopy. If these barriers were overcome, it would revolutionize bioimaging and genomic understanding of animal bodies and their malfunctions (i.e., diseases).

Sequencing-based microscopy technologies have the potential to break this barrier because they do not rely on optical microscopic observation of specimens(*8-10, 22*). One approach, called DNA microscopy(*8*), localizes RNA molecules through an *in situ* PCR reaction, where RNA molecules are reverse transcribed

in situ with primers having unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) and PCR products of the UMI-tagged
cDNA diffuse and make contact with other cDNAs. When contact is made, a unique event identifier is
constructed by fusing UMI-tagged cDNA sequences from the different source RNA molecules. These event
identifiers representing molecular proximity information are read out by high-throughput DNA sequencing,
and the physical locations of all molecules are then reconstructed computationally from these data. In theory,
this reaction can be performed at a tissue scale with a single readout by deep sequencing. However, this has
only been demonstrated to date with images consisting of less than a hundred of cells. In practice, it would
require tremendous sequencing depth and computing power to localize single molecules over large spatial
scales.
93

94
95
Figure 1. GPS-seq. (**A**) The Global Positioning System (GPS). (**B**) GPS-seq for scalable high-resolution
spatial transcriptomics (ST). GPS-seq reconstructs spatial positions of barcoded beads of unknown
positions using only deep sequencing and manifold learning. (**C**) Small beads coated with reverse
transcription (RT) primers with a unique anchor barcode (aBC) capture both neighboring mRNAs and
polyadenylated satellite barcode (sBC) nucleotides. The sBC and transcriptome sequences are fused to
the aBCs by RT for deep sequencing. (**D**) Large-scale ST reconstruction from only sequencing data.
Spatial positions of barcoded beads are reconstructed from their sBC abundance profiles using manifold
learning. Transcriptome profiles of barcoded beads are then mapped to the spatial positions.

104
While single-molecule resolution may eventually be useful, there is still much to be gained from
studying tissue architecture at the level of cells, rather than individual molecules within cells. Indeed, some
recent single-molecule studies have analyzed their data by aggregating them into the level of cells(*1, 6*).
Here we propose a new "global positioning" approach to spatial transcriptomics, called GPS-seq, similar
to the global positioning systems (GPS) used in navigation systems for cars and cell phones, where devices
determine their precise location on Earth using their distance to multiple satellites (Fig. 1a). GPS-seq
combines the advantages of sequencing-based microscopy and position-indexed sequencing. In GPS-seq,
small satellite devices distribute unique nucleotide barcode molecules called satellite barcodes (sBCs) over
a tissue which is applied on a surface of barcoded beads (Fig. 1b). These sBCs are collected by bead-specific
RT primers which encode unique DNA barcodes, which we refer to as "anchor barcodes" (aBC) (Fig. 1c).
The aBCs also collect transcriptome products of neighboring cells. After satellite and transcriptomic capture,

the sample is subjected to cDNA synthesis that produces both aBC-sBC fused DNA products and aBC-
transcript fused DNA products. After deep sequencing, the satellite barcode counts give sufficient
information to localize the anchor barcodes, and therefore the associated transcriptome profiles. This
strategy removes the current need to either deposit known positional barcodes at predefined locations or to
determine positional barcodes with *in situ* sequencing. This enables an unprecedented scale of spatial
transcriptomics at single cell resolution.
The principles of GPS-seq are quite general and could be realized by different experimental strategies
for transmitting and capturing satellite barcodes and genomic information. For example, instead of
capturing satellite barcodes on a two-dimensional surface of beads, one could transmit satellite barcode
molecules directly onto cells in a tissue and then profile either gene expression or chromatin accessibility
via standard droplet-based or split-pool scRNA-seq or scATAC-seq. In such cases, the single-cell barcodes
can serve as "anchor barcodes". For concreteness, we refer to the beads approach throughout most of the
manuscript (e.g., we refer to "localizing beads" and discuss how many "reads per bead" are necessary to
achieve single-cell localization accuracy). In the remainder of this manuscript, we outline the theoretical
principles and scalability of GPS-seq. We determine the optimal physical properties of satellite barcode
transmission systems and quantify the resolution and field of view that can theoretically be achieved by
sequencing satellite barcode libraries at different depths.
133

A Original (Slide-seq) **B** High-dimensional embedding (3D UMAP visualization) **C** Manifold learning (GPS-seq)

134
135
Figure 2. Manifold learning of spatial bead positions. (**A**) Original Slide-seq hippocampus data colored
by cell type. (**B**) Illustration of beads in a high-dimensional satellite barcode space. In GPS-seq, bead
positions are embedded in a many thousand-dimensional sBC space, where the surface of beads forms
a two-dimensional manifold. Here we visualize this two-dimensional manifold in three-dimensional space
via UMAP. (**C**) The Slide-seq data can be reconstructed using GPS-seq, without using the bead position
information using manifold learning. Reconstruction parameters: 100,000 satellites/cm^2, 50 μm diffusion,
130 UMIs per bead (556 RPB).
143

Results
GPS-seq localizes beads from satellite barcodes via manifold learning
In ordinary GPS, a cell phone determines its position from distances to multiple satellites, whose positions
are known in advance. Even if the locations of the satellites were unknown, you could still determine your
position relative to the satellites, as well as relative to someone else who received signals from some of the
same satellites. We leverage these ideas in GPS-seq to determine the positions of barcoded beads, without
a priori establishing the positions of the devices which distribute satellite barcodes. The GPS-seq
measurement process produces two data matrices—one containing counts of sBCs for each aBC, and
another containing counts of transcription products for each aBC (Fig. 1d). We use the matrix of sBC counts
to determine the positions of the beads, and then fill in the data from the second matrix to form the spatial-
transcriptomic image. Here we focus on this first step of determining the physical positions of the beads
from the sBC data matrix. For a detailed mathematical model of the measurement process, see Materials
and Methods (Section 1).

The core principle of GPS-seq is that beads which are nearby in physical space will collect similar counts
of satellite barcode molecules because satellite barcodes are distributed in a spatially coherent way—they
diffuse locally from satellite devices. In mathematical terms, the measurement process can be understood
to embed the physical two-dimensional surface of beads into a high-dimensional "satellite barcode space,"
where each bead is represented by its vector of sBC counts, similar to how a transcription profile is
represented by a high-dimensional gene expression vector in the analysis of scRNA-seq data. Our key
insight is that the embedded beads form a two-dimensional manifold, or surface, in high-dimensional sBC
space (Materials and Methods, Section 1 and Figure S1). Thus, our goal is to reverse the embedding and
recover the original positions of the beads by "learning the manifold". Manifold learning is a well-
established field(*23-26*), and is routinely applied to visualize high-dimensional single-cell RNA-seq
data(*27*). While the interpretation of these visualizations is usually related to an abstract "manifold of cell
states", we have here a very concrete and physically interpretable setup: the two-dimensional surface on
which beads are deposited (Fig. 2a) is embedded into the high-dimensional sBC count space (Fig. 2b). Thus,
in the following, we tested whether manifold learning algorithms could recover the physical positions of
beads from the sBC data (Fig. 2c) in various conditions.
172

173
Figure 3. Single-cell resolution with manifold learning. (**A**) An illustration of satellite devices distributed
over barcoded beads (white open circles) based on densities in the Slide-seq simulations. The sBC
diffusions (blurred colors) are represented for some of the arbitrary picked satellites. (**B**) Correlation of
physical distances and sBC profile distances for 10^5 randomly selected pairs of beads from the synthetic
dataset. (**C**) GPS-seq reconstructions (center, right) compared to the ground truth (left) for the synthetic
dataset and two Slide-seq datasets. All reconstructions achieve a median reconstruction distance below
20μm using 100,000 satellites/cm², 50 μm diffusion and 130 UMIs per bead (556 reads per bead).
181

In silico demonstration

182
We performed extensive simulations in order to determine the optimal properties of GPS-seq satellite
devices. *How many satellite devices should we have? What level of diffusion is optimal for the satellite*
barcodes? How many reads per bead do we need to sequence? We found that we could achieve single-cell
resolution over a wide range of parameters. We simulated randomly positioned satellite devices producing
unique sBCs over bead positions from Slide-seq datasets(*5*) as well as synthetic datasets with hundreds of
thousands of beads. We modeled satellite devices as producing a Gaussian profile of sBCs where the width
of the Gaussian could be varied to simulate varying levels of diffusion (Fig. 3a). Each bead captures reads
from nearby sBCs, proportional to the intensity of the sBC diffusion profile at the bead's position.

In practice, beads can vary in quality, with higher quality beads capturing more reads and *vice versa*. We modeled this data bias by down-sampling of reads from the Slide-seq kidney dataset (Materials and Methods, Section 2 and Fig. S2). Furthermore, groups of satellites may share the same barcodes, due to finite and biased sBC complexity, and reads from satellites sharing the same barcode are indistinguishable. We accounted for this in our simulations by randomly selecting a barcode for each satellite device from a pool of possible barcodes according to an experimental distribution of barcode frequencies (Materials and Methods, Section 2 and Fig. S2). This corresponds mathematically to a random projection in sBC space (Materials and Methods, Section 1). However, random projections are known to preserve pairwise distances in high-dimensions(*28*). Indeed, when we examined the Euclidean distance between beads in "projected" sBC count space, we found that beads that are spatially close together share similar sBC vectors and were close together in high-dimensional space (Fig. 3b). This correlation understandably dropped off at large-scale distances, because sBCs only diffuse to a limited extent and any pair of beads that share no satellites in common are roughly the same distance apart in the sBC count space.

Figure 4. Single-cell resolution is possible over a range of physical parameters. (**A**) The best reconstruction across satellite densities and UMAP parameters on the synthetic dataset for each combination of sequencing depth and diffusion level. Images show the distance to the ground truth for each bead with the median distance inset. (**B**) Complement of the cumulative distribution of bead distances to ground truth for each of the four reconstructions indicated in (A). Dashed lines indicate the 50th and 90th percentiles of beads.

We found that the UMAP algorithm(*25*) can leverage local distances in sBC count space to reconstruct
the positions of aBC beads at single-cell resolution. Using the data biases in read count per bead (rpb) and
sBC redundancy described above, we tested GPS-seq on a synthetic dataset and two Slide-seq datasets to
get an idea for how reconstructions look on real tissue samples (Fig. 3c). We swept over both UMAP
hyperparameters and physical parameters (number of satellite devices and sBC diffusion levels) at multiple
levels of median rpb (Materials and Methods, Section 3). We aligned the output of UMAP to the ground
truth and computed the distance of each bead to its true position. In Figure 3c, we show results for parameter
settings where GPS-seq performs well at low sequencing depth: we can achieve a median distance to the
ground truth below 20 μm using 556 rpb (or 130 UMIs per bead) and satellite diffusion coefficient σ=50
μm in all three cases.
225
Robustness and scalability of GPS-seq
Further, we found the method can achieve single-cell resolution across a wide range of physical parameters.
We display the results of this sweep on synthetic data in Figure 4. Our best reconstructions achieved a
median distance per bead to the ground truth of 10 μm, requiring only 180 UMIs per bead (1,110 rpb).
Sequencing depth can be significantly reduced by moderately decreasing the resolution, with our method
achieving reconstructions under 30 μm with as few as 15 UMIs per bead (30 rpb). As the size of a
mammalian cell varies from 10-100 μm(*29, 30*), both reconstructions are near the low end of the single-cell
range. Further, our method is robust to changes in physical parameters (Fig. S3-S7). Testing diffusion levels
from 30-100 μm, numbers of satellite devices from 25,000-250,000 per cm^2, and varying the sequencing
depth up to 265 UMIs per bead, we achieved median alignment errors under 20 μm for all but one
combination of diffusion level and number of satellites (Materials and Methods, Section 3 and Fig. S3).
Specifically, we achieve 10 μm resolution reconstructions with sBC diffusion from 30–50 μm and 100,000–
250,000 satellite devices per cm^2 (first block of Fig. S3). We achieved 30 μm resolution over an even wider
range, with sBC diffusion from 30–100 μm and 25,000–250,000 satellites per cm^2 (third block of Fig. S3).
240
241

257   **Figure 5. Comparison to existing ST technologies.** (**A**) Best median reconstruction resolution versus
258   mean reads per bead obtained from the simulated data sweep with an exponential line of best fit. (**B**)
259   Performance of GPS-seq versus current ST technologies. Lines indicate interpolated GPS-seq
260   performance using the curve fit in (A) and assuming densely packed 10 μm beads. Labeled dots indicate
261   existing ST technologies, with the shaded region indicating the current achievable scale.
262
263   Finally, we sought to compare the resolution and scalability of GPS-seq to other state-of-the-art ST
264   technologies. As we sequence sBCs more deeply, we are able to localize beads more precisely (Fig. 5a).
265   Using this plot, we estimated the resolution (μm) and field-of-view (image width of a square in mm)

achieved by GPS-seq for different total sequencing depths and compared them to seven leading methods (Fig. 5b). For GPS-seq, we show contours achievable for several different total sequencing depths, as the resolution of GPS-seq depends on the depth of sequencing. Intuitively, the number of reads per bead varies along each curve. With 10 billion reads (one NovaSeq run), GPS-seq can localize 10^8 beads to generate a 10 cm by 10 cm image with single-cell resolution (17 μm).

Figure 6. Insights on implementing GPS-seq satellite barcode systems. The potential implementations of GPS-seq can be categorized into three modules: (1) satellite device type, (2) satellite transmission method and (3) information anchoring method. We envision that satellite devices could be implemented by either engineering bacterial colonies each expressing unique sBCs or seeding a gel with unique sBC DNA molecules and performing in-gel PCR to amplify the sBCs to produce polymerase colonies (polonies). Satellite transmission could be achieved by either free diffusion or electrophoresis blotting. Finally, anchor barcodes could be implemented by either capturing RNA on positional barcodes such as barcoded beads (or on barcoded spots) or with standard single-cell genomics methods. **Ex. 1:** *E. coli* colonies serve as satellite barcodes and a surface of barcoded beads serves as anchor barcodes. The colonies and then the tissue sample are stamped onto the beads. **Ex 2:** diffused satellite barcodes can be directly deposited to a target tissue section, where single-stranded sBC molecules stick to cells (and nuclei). After dissociation of the satellite-attached tissue into single cells, any of the single-cell genomics platforms can conjugate single-cell RNAs (or DNAs) and their sBC profiles to the single-cell identifiers, enabling scalable spatial transcriptomics with single-cell resolution.

Discussion

We envision that GPS-seq can be practically implemented with different strategies for satellite barcode systems (Fig. 6). The three general components that we require are:

    (1) Satellite devices produce unique sets of satellite barcode molecules. The numbers of satellite devices should be comparable to the number of cells. Some barcode overlap can be tolerated.

    (2) Satellite barcodes are transmitted to the tissue sample. Some diffusion is beneficial so that beads receive sBCs from multiple neighboring satellites. The optimal diffusion level may be ~50 μm for 10 μm beads.

    (3) sBCs are captured together with genetic material from cells, and both are fused to aBCs (i.e., positional barcodes or single-cell barcodes). This "information anchoring" allows a ST image to be formed after sBCs are used to localize aBC compartments.

Large arrays of satellite devices could be prepared by either:

    (a) engineering bacterial cells to express unique sBCs and seeding them sparsely to obtain clonal sBC-expressing colonies of up to 50-μm diameter (Fig. S8),

    (b) seeding a gel with unique sBC DNA molecules and performing in-gel PCR to amplify the sBCs to produce "polymerase colonies"(*32*).

These satellite devices could be transmitted to the tissue by either free diffusion or electrophoresis blotting, and the diffusion level of sBCs could be tuned during this step. Finally, anchor barcodes could be implemented by capturing RNA on positional barcodes such as barcoded beads (or on barcoded spots) as we outline in Ex. 1 of Figure 6. However, the general principles of GPS-seq are also compatible with standard single-cell genomics methods such as droplet microfluidics or split-pool indexing. As illustrated in Ex. 2 of Figure 6, diffused satellite barcodes can be directly deposited to a target tissue section, where single-stranded sBC molecules stick to cells (and nuclei) (*7*). After dissociation of the satellite attached tissue into single cells, any of the single-cell genomics platforms can conjugate single-cell RNAs (or DNAs) and their sBC profiles to the single-cell identifiers, enabling scalable spatial transcriptomics with single-cell resolution. Theoretically, any modality of high-coverage single-cell genomics, such as sci-ATAC-seq, could be transformed into spatial genomics in this strategy as long as sBCs can be captured by single-cell identifiers together with genomic materials of interest.

Accordingly, GPS-seq makes it possible to profile large-scale tissues at single-cell resolution, orders of magnitude larger than is possible with current technologies. With this technology, neuroscientists could chart the spatial transcriptomic architecture of entire brains. Oncologists could profile spatial patterns of gene expression across entire tumors, revealing the spatial structure of the tumor microenvironment. In drug discovery, one could profile a cross-section of a whole adult mouse to systematically characterize the effects of a compound across the body. Tissue engineers could gain new insights into the spatial composition of synthetic tissues and organs. High-throughput deep sequencing and single-cell genomics technologies have rapidly advanced and triggered paradigm shifts in biology in the last decades. While none of the current ST technologies are currently scalable and largely accessible by the community, we foresee that our new theoretical framework will overcome the current technical difficulties and lead the field like the previous genomics technologies.

Acknowledgements: We thank members of the Schiebinger lab and Yachie lab for valuable discussions and critical assessment of the work, especially Rebecca Bonham-Carter for the help with the theorem proof. This study was supported by the Canada Research Chair program (by the Canadian Institutes for Health Research) to N.Y., the Career Award at the Scientific Interface from the Burroughs Wellcome Fund to G.S., and the Genome British Columbia's Pilot Innovation Fund program (PIF003) to N.Y. and G.S. Y.K. was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Research Fellowships.

Author contributions: N.Y. and Y.K. conceived the high-level concept of GPS-seq. G.S., L.G., A.A., Y.K., and M.H. conceived the use of manifold learning. N.Y. and G.S. designed the study. L.G., A.A., Y.K. and

341 M.H. led the analyses. S.I. and S.K. supported the theoretical data analyses. L.G., A.A., Y.K., N.Y., and
342 G.S. wrote the manuscript.
343
344 **Competing interests:** None declared.
345
346 **Data and materials availability:** Codes are available upon request.
347
348 **Supplementary Materials:**
349 Materials and Methods (including Figs. S1–S8)
350
351
352

References

1. C. L. Eng *et al.*, Transcriptome-scale super-resolved imaging in tissues by RNA seqFISH. *Nature* **568**, 235-239 (2019).
2. J. R. Moffitt *et al.*, Molecular, spatial, and functional single-cell profiling of the hypothalamic preoptic region. *Science* **362**, (2018).
3. P. L. Ståhl *et al.*, Visualization and analysis of gene expression in tissue sections by spatial transcriptomics. *Science* **353**, 78-82 (2016).
4. R. R. Stickels *et al.*, Highly sensitive spatial transcriptomics at near-cellular resolution with Slide-seqV2. *Nat Biotechnol* **39**, 313-319 (2021).
5. S. G. Rodriques *et al.*, Slide-seq: A scalable technology for measuring genome-wide expression at high spatial resolution. *Science* **363**, 1463-1467 (2019).
6. A. Chen *et al.*, Large field of view-spatially resolved transcriptomics at nanoscale resolution. *bioRxiv*, (2021).
7. S. R. Srivatsan *et al.*, Embryo-scale, single-cell spatial transcriptomics. *Science* **373**, 111-117 (2021).
8. J. A. Weinstein, A. Regev, F. Zhang, DNA Microscopy: Optics-free Spatio-genetic Imaging by a Stand-Alone Chemical Reaction. *Cell* **178**, 229-241.e216 (2019).
9. I. T. Hoffecker, Y. Yang, G. Bernardinelli, P. Orponen, B. Högberg, A computational framework for DNA sequencing microscopy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 19282-19287 (2019).
10. A. A. Boulgakov, E. Xiong, S. Bhadra, A. D. Ellington, E. M. Marcotte, From space to sequence and back again: Iterative DNA proximity ligation and its applications to DNA-based imaging. *bioRxiv*, 470211 (2018).
11. A. M. Klein *et al.*, Droplet barcoding for single-cell transcriptomics applied to embryonic stem cells. *Cell* **161**, 1187-1201 (2015).
12. E. Z. Macosko *et al.*, Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets. *Cell* **161**, 1202-1214 (2015).
13. A. B. Rosenberg *et al.*, Single-cell profiling of the developing mouse brain and spinal cord with split-pool barcoding. *Science* **360**, 176-182 (2018).
14. A. Rao, D. Barkley, G. S. França, I. Yanai, Exploring tissue architecture using spatial transcriptomics. *Nature* **596**, 211-220 (2021).
15. C. Cui, W. Shu, P. Li, Fluorescence In situ Hybridization: Cell-Based Genetic Diagnostic and Research Applications. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **4**, 89 (2016).
16. K. H. Chen, A. N. Boettiger, J. R. Moffitt, S. Wang, X. Zhuang, RNA imaging. Spatially resolved, highly multiplexed RNA profiling in single cells. *Science* **348**, aaa6090 (2015).
17. S. Alon *et al.*, Expansion sequencing: Spatially precise in situ transcriptomics in intact biological systems. *Science* **371**, (2021).
18. J. H. Lee *et al.*, Highly multiplexed subcellular RNA sequencing in situ. *Science* **343**, 1360-1363 (2014).
19. Y. Liu *et al.*, High-Spatial-Resolution Multi-Omics Sequencing via Deterministic Barcoding in Tissue. *Cell* **183**, 1665-1681.e1618 (2020).
20. C. S. Cho *et al.*, Microscopic examination of spatial transcriptome using Seq-Scope. *Cell* **184**, 3559-3572.e3522 (2021).
21. X. Fu *et al.*, Continuous Polony Gels for Tissue Mapping with High Resolution and RNA Capture Efficiency. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2003.2017.435795 (2021).
22. A. A. Boulgakov, A. D. Ellington, E. M. Marcotte, Bringing Microscopy-By-Sequencing into View. *Trends Biotechnol* **38**, 154-162 (2020).
23. J. B. Tenenbaum, V. de Silva, J. C. Langford, A global geometric framework for nonlinear dimensionality reduction. *Science* **290**, 2319-2323 (2000).
24. M. a. N. P. Belkin, Laplacian Eigenmaps for Dimensionality Reduction and Data Representation. *Neural Computation* **15**, 1373-1396 (2003).

25. L. McInnes, J. Healy, J. Melville, Umap: Uniform manifold approximation and projection for
dimension reduction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426*, (2018).
26. R. R. Coifman *et al.*, Geometric diffusions as a tool for harmonic analysis and structure definition
of data: diffusion maps. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 7426-7431 (2005).
27. E. Becht *et al.*, Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. *Nat*
Biotechnol, (2018).
28. B. Cleary, L. Cong, A. Cheung, E. S. Lander, A. Regev, Efficient Generation of Transcriptomic
Profiles by Random Composite Measurements. *Cell* **171**, 1424-1436.e1418 (2017).
29. M. B. Ginzberg, R. Kafri, M. Kirschner, Cell biology. On being the right (cell) size. *Science* **348**,
1245075 (2015).
30. C. Ocqueteau *et al.*, Three-dimensional morphometry of mammalian cells. I. Diameters. *Arch*
Biol Med Exp **22**, 89-95 (1989).
31. Y. Lee *et al.*, XYZeq: Spatially resolved single-cell RNA sequencing reveals expression
heterogeneity in the tumor microenvironment. *Sci Adv* **7**, (2021).
32. R. D. Mitra, G. M. Church, In situ localized amplification and contact replication of many
individual DNA molecules. *Nucleic Acids Res* **27**, e34 (1999).
420