True-to-scale DNA-density maps correlate with major accessibility differences between active and inactive chromatin

Márton Gelléri[1*+], Shi-Ya Chen[1*], Aleksander Szczurek[1a], Barbara Hübner[2b]
Michael Sterr[2c], Jan Neumann[1,3d], Ole Kröger[4], Filip Sadlo[4], Jorg Imhoff[5],
Yolanda Markaki[2e], Michael J. Hendzel[6], Marion Cremer[2], Thomas Cremer[2],
Hilmar Strickfaden[1,6+], Christoph Cremer[1,3,4,7+]

1.) Institute of Molecular Biology (IMB), Mainz, Germany

2.) Biocenter, Dept Biology II, Ludwig Maximilian University (LMU), Munich,

   Germany)

3.) Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

4.) Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing (IWR), University Heidelberg,

   Heidelberg, Germany

5.) Neuroconsult GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany

6.) Department of Oncology, Cross Cancer Institute, University of Alberta,

   Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

7.) Kirchhoff Institute of Physics, University Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

*equal contributions

[a]AS, present address: Department of Biochemistry, Oxford University, Oxford, UK
[b]BH, present address: Nanyang Technological University, School of
 Biological Sciences & NTU Institute of Structural Biology (NISB), Singapore
[c]MS, present address: Helmholtz Center Munich, Institute of Diabetes and
 Regeneration Research (IDR), Neuherberg, Germany
[d]JN, present address: SAP AG, Walldorf, Germany
[e]YM, present address: Department of Biological Chemistry, David Geffen School of
Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

+corresponding authors:
Christoph Cremer: C.Cremer@imb-mainz.de
Márton Gelléri: M.Gelleri@imb-mainz.de
Hilmar Strickfaden: hilmar@ualberta.ca; hilmar@structural-epigenetics.org

Short title:
High-resolution nuclear DNA density maps

One-sentence summary
Super-resolved DNA density maps and nanobead microinjection experiments support
major compaction and accessibility differences between active and inactive
chromatin compartments

Keywords: active and inactive nuclear compartments (ANC and INC), true-to-scale DNA
density maps (Mbp/µm^3), microinjected nanobeads, replication domains (RDs), single
molecule localization microscopy (SMLM), structured illumination microscopy (SIM),
electron spectroscopic imaging (ESI), quantitative image analysis, Voronoi-tessellation

Abstract

Chromatin compaction differences may have a strong impact on accessibility of individual macromolecules and macromolecular assemblies to their DNA target sites. Estimates based on fluorescence microscopy with conventional resolution, however, suggested only modest compaction differences (~2-10x) between active and inactive nuclear compartments (ANC and INC). Here, we present maps of nuclear landscapes with true-to-scale DNA-densities, ranging from <5 Mbp/μm^3 to >300 Mbp/μm^3. Maps were generated from individual human and mouse cell nuclei with single-molecule localization microscopy at ~20 nm lateral and ~100 nm axial resolution and supplemented by electron spectroscopic imaging. Live cell microinjection experiments with 20 nm and 40 nm sized fluorescent beads, corresponding to macromolecular assemblies for transcription and replication, indicated movements within the ANC, but exclusion from the INC. In line with previous studies of transcription, pulse-chase labeling experiments of DNA demonstrated replication within the ANC, followed by re-location of replicated, inactive chromatin into the INC.

Introduction

Understanding the functional behavior of genomes requires knowledge of the dynamic biochemical and physical chromatin loop organization[1] and its consequences for the accessibility of individual macromolecules, condensates with proteins and RNAs, as well as of structured macromolecular assemblies involved in nuclear functions. Microscopic studies of nuclear architecture have provided evidence for a multitude of chromatin ensembles with chromatin domains (CDs) and chromosome territories (CTs), as well as for a functional nuclear organization based on active and inactive nuclear compartments (ANC/INC)[2-5]. Chromatin ensembles, such as topologically associating domains (TADs) and A- and B-compartments, were independently

identified by genome wide measurements of 3D chromatin contacts[6-9] (for a glossary

of currently used nomenclatures and conceptual differences, see supplemental

information in[10]). Physical maps of nuclear landscapes, supplemented with

biochemical information about epigenetic markers and attachment sites of specific

macromolecules and functional complexes, have revolutionized our views on nuclear

organization. How nuclear compartments are established and maintained, and how

they interact functionally, has remained a largely unsolved problem[11,12]. Higher order

chromatin arrangements differ substantially not only between different cell types and

species, but also from cell to cell of a given cell population of the same cell type[5,13].

This heterogeneity may reflect in part functionally irrelevant stochastic features of 4D

spatial genomics, while other features, including the space-time organization of

nuclear landscapes at mesoscale, reflect a functionally indispensable preciseness of

genome organization[14,15] (see Discussion).

In this study, we deal with the important, still unresolved problem of true-to-scale

compaction levels of chromatin ensembles, constituting active and inactive nuclear

compartments, and their different accessibility for individual functional macromolecules

and macromolecular aggregates, such as transcription-, replication- and repair

machineries. At face value, a large body of evidence obtained in previous studies

suggests only modest compaction and accessibility differences between active and

inactive chromatin ensembles. Our present study challenges this concept.

Figure 1a-b shows views of higher order chromatin organization based on

apparently 'open' chromatin loop configurations. Accordingly, an interchromatin space

expanding both within the interior and outside of individual loops seems wide enough

to allow penetration of individual macromolecules and complete macromolecular

machineries (Figure 1c). In contrast, concepts of a much more 'crumpled' organization

of chromatin (Figure 1d), and a 'closed' configuration of densely packaged

nucleosomes (Figure 1e), may constrain the penetration of individual macromolecules

into the interior of chromatin ensembles, and exclude the penetration of

macromolecular machineries or phase-separated condensates[16]. Our current version

of the ANC-INC model (Figure 1f) supports this concept[2] (see below).

105
Figure 1: Views of 3D nuclear landscape
Current views of nuclear organisation at mesoscale agree that chromosomes occupy distinct
territories (CTs)/domains[3,6-9,17,18]. Current concepts of chromatin compaction, however, range
from relatively open chromatin loop configurations a[6]; b[8]; c[9] to proposals as in d describing the
crumpled organization of chromatin as little balls without giving an opinion on the density of
chromatin inside[18]. e Higher order chromatin organization of chromatin based on nucleosome
clusters (NCs)[19]. Red dots: RNAPII; blue dots: transcription factors. NCs may form the first
level of higher order chromatin structures beyond individual nucleosomes[20]. f ANC-INC model
of nuclear architecture[2,3]. The active nuclear compartment (ANC) comprises the Interchromatin
compartment (IC). IC-channels start at nuclear pores. The IC forms a network throughout the
nuclear interior and expands at certain sites into wider IC-lacunae, which carry splicing
speckles and other nuclear bodies. It is lined by the perichromatin region (PR) with less dense
and more accessible chromatin (green). The inactive nuclear compartment (INC) carries more
compact and poorly accessible chromatin (red); for further details see Figure 7 and text.
120

121   It is of note that many published cartoons show details of nuclear landscapes

122 that are not drawn to scale. Such cartoons may suggest that scale is not an important

123 issue. The dynamic, true-to-scale spatial chromatin compaction, however, is a

124 fundamental issue of chromatin accessibility with major functional implications for co-

aligned active and inactive chromatin ensembles (see Discussion). This problem cannot be solved solely by studies based on 3D DNA contact frequencies, but requires true-to-scale, high-resolution maps across entire nuclear landscapes obtained by microscopy with super-resolution. Studies performed with the resolution limit of conventional fluorescence microscopy (~200 nm in the object plane, and ~600 nm along the optical axis), have suggested relatively small density differences between euchromatin and heterochromatin regions, and underestimated the true density related differences between 'open' and 'closed' chromatin (e.g.[21-23]), comparable to a landscape of a mountain region, recorded from an airplane with a poor resolution camera, that levels the differences between high mountains and deep valleys. Estimates of absolute nucleosome densities in live HeLa cell nuclei, obtained by a combination of Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy and Confocal Imaging[24], revealed about tenfold intranuclear differences in local nucleosome density, ranging from about 25 μM to 250 μM. Assuming 200 bp per nucleosome (including linker DNA), these values amount to about 3 Mbp/μm^3 for low density regions and 30 Mbp/μm^3 for high density regions. The authors have argued that a nucleosome density of 250 μM would not be sufficient to create an accessibility barrier for diffusing protein complexes from euchromatin into heterochromatin, but mentioned that they could not exclude the possibility of the formation of local barriers only detectable with a much higher structural resolution.

A study of nuclei in live-murine cells performed with laser confocal microscopy by Maeshima and colleagues[25] revealed a 7.5-fold higher intensity of Hoechst-stained DNA in heterochromatic foci compared to surrounding euchromatic regions. In addition, with differential interference contrast microscopy the authors observed a 1.5-fold difference of dry mass densities between heterochromatin (208 mg/ml) and euchromatin (136 mg/ml). Based on Monte Carlo simulations, the authors suggested

that this difference contributes to a moderate access barrier of non-nucleosomal

materials (proteins/RNAs) that constrains the diffusion of proteins into

heterochromatin.

Whereas studies with conventional optical resolution comprises an observation

volume in the order of ~10,000 nucleosomes[24], the improved structural resolution

obtained with single molecule localization microscopy (SMLM) (for reviews see[26-30])

allows the visualization of individual clusters with less than 100 nucleosomes. Previous

microscopic studies performed at this highly enhanced resolution level have indicated

dense assemblies of nucleosomes in heterogeneous groups comprising a few kb of

DNA, termed nucleosome clutches (NCs)[20]. NCs provide candidate structures that

may represent building blocks for all higher-order nucleosome structures above the

single nucleosome level, such as chromatin domains or TAD-like structures[20,31-33].

The present quantitative, high-resolution study presents the first true-to-scale

3D DNA density maps of the absolute heights of DNA density peaks and valleys across

entire nuclear landscapes of individual cells in terms of $Mbp/\mu m^3$ at nanometer-scale

resolution. Differences between high density chromatin structures assigned to the INC

and low DNA density regions assigned to the ANC[2,19] range from $<5\ Mbp/\mu m^3$ to >300

$Mbp/\mu m^3$ and are much larger than DNA compaction differences claimed in previous

studies with conventional microscopic resolution. In addition, we performed

microinjection experiments with 20 nm and 40 nm sized fluorescent nanobeads,

reflecting sizes of macromolecular assemblies for transcription and replication, and

demonstrate their enrichment in the active nuclear compartment (ANC) and exclusion

from the inactive compartment (INC). These findings support a substantially lower

compaction in the ANC associated with a higher accessibility of chromatin ensembles

compared to the INC, with major implications for the functional organization of the cell

nucleus. For a glimpse on dynamic functional interactions between co-aligned ANC

and INC chromatin ensembles, we conducted DNA pulse labeling experiments during

S-phase, demonstrating replication of both early-replicating euchromatin and mid-late

replicating heterochromatic blocks within the ANC. Pulse-chase labeling experiments

of constitutive heterochromatin blocks revealed the re-location of replicated, inactive

chromatin into dense chromatin attributed to the INC.

182
Results

Determination of absolute DNA densities across nuclear landscapes

Single Molecule Localization Microscopy (SMLM)[34] combined with fluctuation-assisted

Binding Activated Localization Microscopy (fBALM)[35,36] was used to record millions of

blinking events of the fluorophore Sytox Orange, bound to double-stranded DNA in

single light optical sections from human and mouse fibroblasts and from HeLa, as an

example of a human cancer cell-line.

Figure 2 presents the color-coded, Voronoi-tessellated true-to-scale 3D DNA

density SMLM-fBALM image obtained from a mid-plane optical section through a

human fibroblast nucleus (BJ1) in G1 stage of the cell cycle (Figure 2a). This image

was generated from ~1.5 million spatially mapped blinking events, registered in 50,000

consecutively recorded frames. Single Molecule Positions (SMPs) of these events

were located within an optical section of about 100 nm thickness with an average

localization precision of ~50 nm along the optical axis and an average lateral

localization precision of ca. 8 nm (for details of this procedure see Methods and

Supplemental Figure S1). Figures 2b, c (upper panel) show selected regions with

increasing magnifications. For panels a-c, a color-coded scale bar for 3D DNA

densities with emphasis on the range >5 Mbp/μm^3 and <40 Mbp/μm^3 is presented at

top of Figure 2b. Figure 2c (lower panel) presents the Voronoi tesselations used for

reconstructions of images 2c (upper panel). Figure 2d presents the same panel shown

in Figure 2c with another color-bar code (below) that emphasizes the range of 3D DNA

densities from >40 Mbp/μm^3 up to ~350 Mbp/μm^3.

Absolute DNA densities (Mbp/μm^3) were calculated as follows: In addition to the

total number of SMPs, we estimated both the volume, comprising about 1/20th of the

nuclear volume, and the total DNA content of the 100 nm thick optical section. From

these numbers, we calculated that a single SMP in this BJ1 nucleus was representative

on average for about 200 bp, that is roughly the DNA surrounding a single nucleosome

(see Methods for further details). Next, we used 2D Voronoi-tessellation[37] for

partitioning 2D SMP density images into 2D polygonal images. Assuming that each 2D

polygon represents in fact a 3D polygonal prism with a depth of 100 nm, we calculated

the average DNA density for each prism by dividing its DNA content (based on the

number of SMPs) by its volume. Polygonal Voronoi prisms were color-coded

corresponding to 3D DNA density estimates, ranging from <5 Mbp/μm^3 (white) to >40

Mbp/μm^3 (deep red), respectively, as indicated in the color-coded scale for 2a-c. A

further unfolding of color codes for densities >40 Mbp/μm^3 up to ~350 Mbp/μm^3 and

corresponding SMP densities is provided in the color scale column in Figure 2d.

Figure 2: High-resolution, true-to-scale DNA density landscape of human fibroblast nucleus

*a Voronoi-tessellated SMLM-fBALM image of a mid-optical section (thickness ~100 nm through a diploid human fibroblast cell nucleus (BJ1) stained with Sytox Orange (see Methods for further explanation how this image was generated). The color code indicates the estimated range of 3D DNA densities from <5 Mbp/µm^3 to >40 Mbp/µm^3, reflecting 2D SMP densities <2,500 SMPs/µm^2 to >20,000 SMPs/µm^2 (see Supplemental Figure S1 and Results for 3D DNA densities estimated from 2D SMP densities in 100 nm thick sections). **b, 1-3** Magnifications of the three boxed areas in (a) demonstrate sites located at the nuclear periphery (1) and in the nuclear interior (2, 3); edge length 3000 nm. Asterisks denote IC-lacunae. **b, 1'–3'** Further magnification of boxed areas in (1-3), edge lengths 1000 nm (1'), and 500 nm (2',3'). **c, 1''–3''** upper row: Further magnification of boxed areas in (1'-3'), edge length 200 nm. lower row: Individual Voronoi centers (seeds) are shown for the same Voronoi areas as in 1'' – 3''. **d, 1'''–3'''** same areas as in c 1'' depicted here with another color code (shown at bottom) for 3D DNA densities from 40 Mbp/µm^3 to about 350 Mbp/µm^3, reflecting a range of 2D SMP densities between ~20,000 and ~180,000 SMPs/µm^2.*

Figure 3 shows a color-coded, Voronoi-tessellated SMLM-fBALM image of a mid-optical nuclear section recorded from a HeLa cell nucleus, as an example of an aneuploid cancer cell with a near triploid genome content. Since here image recording was performed without an astigmatic lens placed in the optical path, the thickness of the optical section was about 600 nm. Within this optical section, Sytox Orange molecules were registered in 25,000 consecutive frames yielding about 4 million SMPs with an average lateral localization precision of ca. 12 nm. Compared to the ~20 nm lateral resolution achieved for the BJ1 nucleus (Figure 2), the lateral structural resolution achieved for this HeLa nuclear landscape was slightly reduced (~30 nm) due to the lesser overall density of SMPs and a somewhat smaller localization precision[34]. True-to-scale DNA density estimates were calculated and ranged between <5 Mbp/µm^3 and >150 Mbp/µm^3, considering a triploid DNA content and the assumption of G1 stage for an assessment of the minimum density range. Note that these estimates would increase by a factor 2 in case of a G2 DNA content. Especially at the nuclear periphery, we noted ensembles of high-density peaks with diameters up to several hundreds of nm, surrounded by chromatin with lower densities.

Figure 3: High-resolution, true-to-scale DNA density landscape of a HeLa cell nucleus

a SMLM-fBALM image (Voronoi-tessellation) of a mid-optical section (thickness ~600 nm) through a HeLa cell nucleus. *b, 1-3* Magnifications of the three boxed areas in (a); edge lengths 2.5 µm x 3.5 µm. *c* The color code shown on the left presents (right side of the column) the density of blinking signals (up to >8x10^4 SMPs/µm^2) recorded from DNA-bound Sytox Orange fluorophores (SMPs) and (left side of this column) the estimated absolute densities (Mbp/µm^3) between <5 Mbp/µm^3 and >40Mbp/µm^3; this color code is valid for all images in a-c. *c, 1'-3'* Further magnification of boxed areas in (b,1-3); edge length 600 nm(1'), 1 µm (2'), and 600 nm (3'), respectively. *c, 1''-3''* Further magnification of boxed areas in c,1'- 3'; edge length 200 nm (1'') and 100 nm in (2'') and (3''), respectively. *d, 1'''- 3'''* The same regions as in c,1''- 3'' show the individual Voronoi cells with apparent DNA densities above 40 Mbp/µm^3; edge length 200 nm (1''') and 100 nm (2''', 3'''), respectively; the color code in (d) (right column) presents the signal densities of SMPs (up to >4 x 10^5/µm^2) and the estimated absolute densities (Mbp/µm^3) between 40 Mbp/µm^3 and 190 Mbp/µm^3.

In summary, Figures 2 and 3 exemplify the potential of our approach to identify

the true-to-scale topography and widely different 3D DNA densities of chromatin

ensembles across entire nuclear landscapes from mesoscale to the nanoscale.

Figure 4 shows a comparison of the DNA landscapes of a mouse C3H 10T1/2

fibroblast nucleus obtained from a Voronoi-tessellated SMLM-fBALM image (Figure

4a) and electron spectroscopic imaging (ESI)[38] (Figure 4b-e) with the same thickness

(100 nm) of light optical and physical ultra-thin midsections, respectively. The two

mouse fibroblast nuclei vary with regard to the more expanded IC (blue) in the nucleus

studied with ESI; apart from this their DNA-density landscapes appear remarkably

similar with less dense chromatin in the PR. In both human and mouse nuclei we note

an enrichment of highly compact DNA at the nuclear periphery. In contrast to the

human fibroblast nucleus, the mouse fibroblast nucleus carries prominent clusters of

constitutive heterochromatin, called chromocenters (depicted by arrows).

284
Figure 4: Comparison of high-resolution DNA landscapes of mouse C3H T1/2
fibroblast nuclei recorded with SMLM and ESI
a 100 nm optical mid-section through a mouse C3H T1/2 fibroblast nucleus recorded with
SMLM-fBALM. b-e ESI micrographs taken from acrylic 100 nm thin mid-sections through
another C3H T1/2 fibroblast nucleus. b and d show superimposed elemental maps of
phosphorus (green) and nitrogen (red). c and e present the phosphorus map only, color-coded
for different phosphorus densities as a reflection of DNA densities. For better comparison, the
same color-coded look-up table (jet) was used for SMLM (a) and ESI images (c,e; blue
indicates lowest DNA densities that belong to the IC, lined by light blue and green colored

 intermediate densities (PR); red marks high DNA compaction attributed to the INC (compare
295 *Figures 2 and 3). Arrows in (d) and (e) indicate examples of chromocenters. In order to secure*
296 *that only the DNA landscape was recorded, RNA was digested in both nuclei prior to imaging.*
297

Localization and constrained movements of microinjected nanobeads within the

ANC

Evidence presented above supports DNA density differences, ranging from

<5 Mbp/μm^3 in the ANC to >300 Mbp/μm^3 in the INC. For a rough idea of mechanical

accessibility barriers imposed by chromatin, we estimated 3D distances between the

surfaces of nucleosomes, represented by 10 nm sized spheres uniformly arranged in

3D space in comparison to a spherical protein with 5 nm diameter, and a 20 nm sized

condensate or macromolecular machinery. We assumed DNA fully packaged with

nucleosomes at different DNA densities of 5, 30, 60 and 150 Mbp/μm^3 (Figure 5a). At

face value, Figure 5a favors the hypothesis of an accessibility barrier of dense

nucleosome aggregates attributed to the INC. Apparently, there is little room left for

different geometrical arrangements of nucleosomes at very high DNA densities. On

the contrary, geometrical nucleosome arrangements at low densities (<5 Mbp/μm^3)

provide sufficient nucleosome free space for a variety of individual loop structures,

which allow a high degree of accessibility for macromolecules and macromolecular

complexes/condensates. Since the space-time dynamics of chromatin domains in

nuclei of living cells is currently not well understood (see Discussion), it is not possible

to conclude from the observed DNA density differences in fixed cell nuclei, to which

extent chromatin in the INC with high compaction levels may constrain the accessibility

of individual proteins and RNAs or fully exclude macromolecular machineries and

condensates, assembled within the ANC. For an experimental test, we microinjected

fluorescent beads with 20 nm and 40 nm diameter, respectively, into nuclei of living

HeLa cells (Figure 5b-e) and of C3H 10T1/2 cells (Figure 5f-i). The sizes of these

nanobeads were chosen to approximate sizes of transcription-, and replication-

machineries or phase-separated droplets. HeLa cells used for microinjection

experiments were either kept in isotonic (290 mOsm) medium (Figure 5b), or in

hypertonic (570 mOsm) medium (Figure 5c), resulting in the rapid induction (~1 min)

of hyper-condensed chromatin (HCC). When cells with HCC were re-incubated in

medium with physiological osmolarity (290 mOsm) nuclei with normally condensed

chromatin (NNC) were quickly restored, even after several NNC-HCC-NCC cycles[39].

For both states of chromatin compaction, analysis of nanobead positions in fixed cell

nuclei demonstrated their presence in less condensed chromatin attributed to the ANC

(Figure 5d, e). Corresponding DNA (DAPI) intensity profiles are shown by line scans

through nuclei encompassing the site of a nanobead (Supplemental Figures S2 and

S3). Most HeLa nuclei with nanobeads, including cells after a NCC-HCC-NCC cycle,

were able to pass through mitosis and formed two daughter cells with apparently

normal nuclei. Notably, live cell imaging demonstrated mitotic cells with nanobeads

excluded from condensed mitotic chromosomes (data not shown, see[40]).

In a second set of experiments, we studied movements of microinjected 20 nm

sized fluorescent nanobeads in nuclei of live C3H 10T1/2 nuclei, where transient

transfection of C3H 10T1/2 cells with a construct for the expression of histone H2B

tagged with mRFP[41] allowed the visualization of chromatin[42]. Figure 5f-g shows two

nanobeads (green) within such a nucleus at the beginning and the end of a 6h

observation period. Figure 5h presents the entire paths travelled by the two

nanobeads; Figure 5i indicates that movements occurred most of the time within less

dense chromatin (attributed to the ANC), possibly in a random-walk like fashion.

Figure 5: Localization and mobility of microinjected nanobeads within the ANC
a Distances between surfaces of uniformly arranged nucleosomes (spheres of 10 nm Ø) in 3D space estimated for different DNA densities (5, 30, 60, and 150 Mbp/µm³), compared to the size of individual macromolecules (Ø 5 nm) and of condensates or macromolecular complexes (Ø 20 nm) (for details see Methods). b-c Live HeLa cells, kept either in isotonic medium (b) or hypertonic medium (c), were microinjected with 20 nm (green) and 40 nm (red) fluorescent nanobeads and fixed thereafter with PFA. Nuclear DNA was counterstained with DAPI (gray). 3D confocal serial sections were recorded from microinjected cells, and sections with bright nanobead signals (boxed areas) were selected for further evaluation. Magnifications of the boxed areas (lower rows) show nanobeads located within the IC-lacunae (left) together with the DAPI image alone (right) for comparison. Intensity profiles of line scans through individual nanobeads and across the DAPI stained nuclear landscape were analyzed in 40 nuclei (Supplemental Figures S2 and S3). Scale bars: 4 µm in images of whole nuclei, 1 µm in magnifications. d-e Localization of 20 and 40 nm sized nanobeads as a function of relative DAPI intensities in nuclei of cells kept in isotonic (d) or hypertonic medium (e). In both isotonic and hypertonic nuclei, ≥90% of nanobeads are located in regions with DNA densities ≤100 (gray value range 0-256). Mean values are presented with standard deviation. These data demonstrate the location of nanobeads within less dense DNA attributed to the ANC. For further details see[40]. f-g In vivo labeling of the chromatin of C3H 10T1/2 cells was performed with a fluorescent protein (mRFP) associated with H2B. Dark and light regions show chromatin of low and high densities, attributed to the ANC and INC, respectively. The two green dots indicate the position of two microinjected nanoparticles at the beginning and the end of the observation period. h shows the whole paths travelled by the two nanobeads. i A comparison of relative mRFP intensities (arbitrary units) across the entire nuclear section (black) with intensities at sites of nanobeads (green) supports the hypothesis that nanobeads move within less dense chromatin, attributed to the ANC (compare Supplemental Video S2).

Replication of DNA within the ANC followed by relocation into the INC

Two-color experiments illustrate the potential of combining DNA-density maps with the visualization of other markers providing insight into functional states[2,3]. Figure 6a-c shows a two-color image of a HeLa cell nucleus recorded by SMLM demonstrating the topography of replication domains during early S-phase within the ANC. Replication domains (RDs) were observed in particular at the border between the IC and the lining PR, but excluded from dense chromatin of the INC.

In addition, we performed pulse-chase labeling experiments of heterochromatic blocks in undifferentiated mouse embryonic stem cells during mid/late S-phase with EdU (10 min), conjugated with Alexa 488. Serial sections of heterochromatin blocks with structured illumination microscopy (SIM) demonstrated EdU-labeled chromatin

within wide IC-channels extending into or throughout their interior (Figure 6d). A chase

of 20, 40, 60 and 80 minutes demonstrated the re-location of pulse-labeled chromatin

into the neighboring INC, composed of compact heterochromatin lining the IC-

channels (Figure 6e-h). This result provides a glimpse into the dynamics of chromatin

movements between immediately adjacent ANC and INC compartments (see

Discussion).

390
Figure 6: Nuclear landscape with replication domains and their relocation from
co-aligned active to inactive nuclear compartments.
a-c Replication domains (red) in a HeLa cell nucleus at early S-phase, recorded with SMLM.
The cell was fixed immediately after a 15 min pulse-labeling with the thymidine DNA base
analog EdU, coupled with Alexa Fluor 555. The nuclear section demonstrates the location of
RDs with newly replicated DNA (red) in the ANC, in particular on the surface of chromatin

*clusters (DNA stained with Vybrant Violet, green). **a** Dual color SMLM image of the entire*
*nuclear section. **b-c** Magnification of boxed area shown in (a). **c** White outlines demonstrate*
the location of most EdU-labeled RDs at the periphery of chromatin domains (white-grey),
*expanding in section areas (black) attributed to the interchromatin compartment (IC). **d-h** EdU-*
pulse-chase experiment of heterochromatic blocks in undifferentiated mouse embryonic stem
cells in mid-late S-phase, recorded with SIM. Optical sections show an overlay of DAPI stained
DNA (white-gray) and replicated DNA after application of a 10min pulse with EdU (coupled to
*Alexa488; green). **d** Cell fixed immediately after the EdU-pulse demonstrates replicated DNA*
*located mostly within low density DNA of wide IC-channels (attributed to the ANC). **e-h** cells*
fixed after increasing chase-time of 20/40/60/80 min before fixation show a relocation of
*labeled DNA into dense DNA of heterochromatic blocks (attributed to the INC). **d'-h'** DAPI*
stained DNA only.
409

Discussion

In this study, we present examples of nuclear landscapes from human and mouse

fibroblasts, a mouse embryonic stem cell, as well as a human cancer cell (HeLa),

recorded with entirely different physical principles (SMLM, SIM and ESI). With SMLM-

fBALM, the positions of millions of 'blinking' DNA-bound reporter fluorophore positions

(SMPs) in optical nuclear sections were obtained with an average lateral resolution of

~20 nm within the object plane and an axial resolution of ~100 nm. Accordingly, the

estimated observation volume[43] was about 500x smaller compared to conventional

wide-field fluorescence microscopy. Hence, the 3D structural resolution was enhanced

by this factor. High-resolution DNA density maps generated from SMLM-fBALM

images revealed absolute DNA compaction differences in nuclei of fixed cell samples

from <5 Mbp/µm^3 to >300 Mbp/µm^3, a range much higher than previously published

(see Introduction). The high structural resolution of DNA-density landscapes achieved

with SMLM-fBALM required the spatial mapping of millions of SMPs in single light

optical nuclear sections. This was achieved with the consecutive recording of many

thousand individual image frames with ~50 ms for each frame. Hence, the stability of

the SMLM setup is of utmost importance to avoid drifts during very long total recording

times (up to 40 min in the present study or even longer). Our custom setup was built

to meet this requirement.

Monte-Carlo simulations[16] have predicted accessibility constraints for

nucleosomal concentrations in the order of 0.3 mM to 0.5 mM (reflecting 40 –

60 Mbp/µm^3 in case of DNA fully compacted with nucleosomes). Based on these

simulations and our new results, we provisionally attribute chromatin with less dense

DNA (<40 Mbp/µm^3) to the active nuclear compartment (ANC), and chromatin with

denser DNA (>40 Mbp/µm^3) to the inactive nuclear compartment (INC). To explore

mechanical accessibility barriers imposed by densely packaged chromatin attributed

to the inactive chromatin compartment (INC), we microinjected fluorescent beads with

diameters of 20 nm and 40 nm, reflecting sizes typical for transcription- and replication-

complexes, into nuclei of living cells. We observed that these nanobeads were

excluded from the INC, but able to move within the less dense chromatin of the ANC.

These results are consistent with previous live cell fluorescence microscopy of artificial

nuclear bodies built up from clustered plasmids with inducible transgenes[44]. Artificial

bodies were formed within the IC and stayed there during interphase independent of

their silent or induced active state, suggesting that their size prevented diffusion into

the lining chromatin. Activation of transgene transcription resulted in the recruitment of

RNA polymerase II and NFκB and a closer positioning to splicing speckles. Upon entry

of cells into mitosis, the bodies were never trapped within, but always separated from

mitotic chromosomes.

The following discussion highlights major functional implications of our new

findings, as well as present methodological limitations, which need to be overcome for

compelling further experimental tests. Our new observations together with published

results support the hypothesis that functional machineries are size-excluded from the

compact interior of chromatin ensembles with DNA densities allocated to the INC[2,16].

Notably, conformational changes may allow that all spaces within a chromatin hydrogel

can be accessed at some time. The probability, however, that a transcription factor, for

example, successfully binds within dense chromatin regions is statistically much less

likely compared to lesser compacted regions. The examples of nuclei shown in this

study for a human fibroblast (BJ1) a human cancer cell (HeLa) and a mouse fibroblast

(C3H T1/2) support typical architectural features of the ANC-INC model, arguably

because these features are indispensable for functional reasons in both diploid and

aneuploid cell nuclei. Many nucleosome clusters have sizes in the order of about

100 nm or even less and therefore their spatial conformation cannot be further

analyzed by microscopy at conventional resolution. In line with our present study, a

series of previous microscopic studies with SMLM[45], and structured illumination

microscopy (SIM)[3,10,46] has provided evidence for major functional roles of chromatin

ensembles located in the ANC, including transcription and DNA replication[47]. In

addition to functionally active chromatin ensembles located in the perichromatin region

(PR)[48], chromatin/DNA loops apparently extrude from the PR into the interchromatin

compartment (IC). Arguably, large and highly expressed genes in normal cell types[49],

as well as highly expressed amplicons of genes in cancer cells[50], expand along IC-

channels through the nuclear space into and even through neighboring CTs. These

observations, however, do not contradict the hypothesis that loops formed by most

active genes are small-sized. A schematic model of a chromatin domain (Figure 7a

adapted from[46]) argues for small loops with active histone marks (green dots),

associated transcription factors and RNA polymerase complexes located at the CD

periphery (ANC). In contrast, nucleosomes with repressive histone marks locate within

the repressed CD core (INC), where they are inaccessible for the transcriptional

machinery. This model implies that transcriptional repression or activation may depend

on mechanisms for the 3D re-location and epigenetic remodeling of nucleosomes with

important target sequences, such as from the transcriptionally competent CD periphery

into the silent interior and vice versa from the interior to the periphery for activation.

Notably, such a re-location mechanism allows stochastic heterogeneity of the positions

of nucleosomes whose exact positions may be functionally irrelevant, but requires a

functionally indispensable preciseness of the space-time organization of CDs only for

those nucleosomes which carry important DNA target sequences, such as

transcription regulatory elements (Figure 7b)[51]. For example, we consider regulatory

and coding sequences of active genes required within the ANC in cycling cells. Their

relocation into the compact chromatin of the INC in postmitotic, terminally differentiated

cells would provide a structural mechanism to safeguard long-term silencing. In case

that regulatory sequences of such genes become inaccessible in the interior of highly

compact domains, their accessibility would require chromatin decondensation to an

extent that allows constrained movements of required transcription factors into the

domain interior. Similar structural mechanisms may be envisaged for movements of

DNA between neighboring parts of the ANC and INC required for DNA replication (this

study), as well as potential movements involved in DNA repair[48,52]. In line with the

hypothesis that DNA repair is performed within the ANC, particularly large-sized,

radiation-induced γ-H2AX repair foci with diameters of up to 1 µm were described,

composed of partially elongated subfoci with an overall size of ≤100 nm[53]. Still, it is not

clear, how chromatin nanostructures form higher levels of chromatin organization.

Employing electron tomography, Zakirov et al. [54] demonstrated a fiber-like higher-order

structure of about 200 nm in diameter (chromonema) of EdU-labeled chromatin (2 h)

detected with biotin-streptavidin-mediated nanogold.

The nuclear matrix proteome[55] may form phase-separated droplets within the

IC with the help of non-coding RNA sequences[56,57]. Like assembled macromolecular

machineries, such condensates are excluded by size from the INC and may serve as

storage vesicles for the formation of functional machineries. For example, Scaffold

Attachment Factor A (SAF-A), was observed in the ANC[47], and our unpublished data.

507
Figure 7: Nanoscale and mesoscale topography of the nuclear landscape
a Nanoscale model of a chromatin domain adapted from[46]. It presents a chromatin domain
with a repressed core of densely compacted nucleosomes and an active rim with expanding,
transcribed chromatin loops. Yellow dots (some of them marked by small arrows) are added
to indicate phase-separated, 20-nm sized droplets. b Mesoscale model of functionally
interacting active and inactive nuclear compartments[51]. The ANC-INC model proposes
functional interactions between the ANC and INC based on hypothetical bidirectional
chromatin movements, such as genes and corresponding transcription regulatory elements
(TREs), from the ANC into the INC for long-term silencing, and from the INC to the ANC in
case of renewed transcriptional activation. In this hypothetical nuclear section, the INC is
represented by a chromatin domain cluster with several high-density peaks (white-yellow) and
surrounding slopes (red), embedded within the ANC with its much less compacted chromatin.

 The ANC is composed of transversely cut IC-channels (black) and the lining perichromatin
521 *region (PR) (blue). Dark stars indicate the location of inactive TREs within the INC, light grey*
522 *stars the position of active TREs in the ANC. **c** An integrative experimental approach to explore*
523 *the intricate space-time, structure-function-conundrums of nuclear landscapes at nanoscale*
524 *and mesoscale.*
525
Molecular mechanisms guiding structure-function relationships at nanoscale

and mesoscale are still not well understood. For an in-depth understanding of these

relationships, it is mandatory to integrate microscopic methods with other biophysical

and biochemical approaches (Figure 7c). Cryo-electron microscopy has great

advantages with respect to the preservation of nanoscale structures[58], but it is difficult

to keep these advantages in attempts to combine cryo-EM with immuno-EM and FISH

protocols. Detailed comparisons of the best resolved microscopic maps with maps

based on Hi-C, ChIA-Pet and GAM[59,60], as well as molecular chromatin accessibility

profiling methods[61] will help to produce fully integrated, high-resolution maps, which

overcome current deficits.

Recent evidence has suggested a dual nature of chromatin[62,63]. Transitions

between liquid and solid states of chromatin ensembles are still not well understood[62].

Whereas constrained local motions of nucleosomes occur on the seconds timescale,

solid chromatin at mesoscale does not mix with its environment on the minutes to hours

timescale. Phase-separation has been implicated in euchromatin and heterochromatin

formation in a complex interplay with epigenetic mechanisms[64]. Chromosome

territories apparently form a polymer network with material properties of an elastic

hydrogel[62]. While a liquid state of 200 nm sized chromatin domains would enable the

efficient activation of genes through diffusion-mediated, long-range regulatory

contacts, the possibility that 200 nm domains exist as a solid gel state and exert gel-

like sieving effects may also be considered. Evidence for a gel-like chromatin

organization at mesoscale suggests a porous structure of the chromatin landscape

with sieving effects affected by global or linear shapes of diffusing macromolecules[65-]

[67]. Phase-separated droplets, carrying an assembly of macromolecules (proteins, non-coding RNAs) required for specific nuclear functions, may interact with chromatin domains with gel-like properties. Such complex interactions are not explained by models of an exclusively size-dependent accessibility. For example, the penetration of small macromolecules assembled within such droplets into the interior of dense chromatin may be prevented, whereas percolation of individual, larger macromolecules is still possible.

A recent polymeric model of higher order chromatin arrangements at mesoscale was based on phase separation of chromatin, considering two key features, namely chromatin self-attraction and its binding to the peripheral lamina[68,69]. It offers a biophysical mechanism for the overall determination of nuclear chromatin density and hence accessibility by the regulation of lamina-chromatin interaction strength. Such a quantitative numerical model may help in part to explain physical properties of the ANC-INC model[2,10,70]. Other polymeric models provide similar interaction principles to control the density and hence accessibility of individual gene domains[71]. Computer models of chromatin nanostructures performed under various biochemical assumptions, such as histone linker length, Mg^{2+} concentration, acetylation, histone interactions, or epigenetic marks argue for highly complex nanostructures containing relatively open parts as well as small regions with an apparent chromatin density sufficiently high to constrain the access of individual macromolecules, likely preventing the penetration of larger aggregates[18,72-74]. Bascom et al.[75] performed numerical simulations based on Hi-C and epigenetic data of the 55 kbp-sized HOXC gene for a series of 3D configurations representing inactive and active chromatin states, compare Figure S3 in[75]. Extended model configurations show highly condensed clusters of densely packed nucleosomes connected by stretches of nucleosome-free DNA, i.e., highly accessible sites. From the volumes within the enveloping surfaces of these

ensembles, one can estimate average densities ranging from ~7 Mbp/µm^3 to ~20

Mbp/µm^3. These average estimates, however, do not allow conclusions about the

actual densities of structures below the resolution limit. Direct imaging of such

structures remains an unsolved challenge and would likely require a 3D structural

resolution of a few nm. Furthermore, the Voronoi tessellation approach used in our

present study is particularly useful to measure local DNA densities, likely

corresponding to small nucleosome clusters, but fails to detect loops formed by

extended stretches of naked DNA or ~10 nm thick nucleosomal chains.

Constrained spatial accessibility due to dense chromatin compaction of the INC

is not the only barrier preventing/attenuating the diffusion of proteins and RNAs from

the nucleosome free space of the ANC into the INC[25]. Other features, such as electric

charge[76] and the enrichment of macromolecules within phase-separated droplets, may

also affect the accessibility of chromatin ensembles. In addition, for more sophisticated

models of chromatin accessibility, it would be important to achieve more information

about the space-time distribution and structure of genomic regions with a depletion or

even complete loss of nucleosomes[77,78]. To which extent, dense convolutes of naked

DNA may exist in the ANC has remained elusive.

Finally, we wish to emphasize major limitations of our present DNA-density

maps of nuclear landscapes. The high structural resolution achieved with SMLM

required fixed cells. We employed protocols that maintain 3D nuclear shape and

topography of CTs, nuclear speckles, replication domains and 3D positions of genes

to a remarkable extent down to the lateral and axial resolution limit of 3D-SIM (x,y ~

100 nm and z ~ 250nm)[79,80]. It is essential to keep living cells in their normal osmotic

environment[39] and avoid drying out of preparations during fixation and all subsequent

steps until embedding for microscopic examination. A potential impact of fixation

artifacts on large-scale chromatin organization was previously tested in 3D-SIM live-

cell experiments with HeLa cells stably expressing histone H2B-GFP (see additional

file 8 in[47]). Live and fixed cells showed a high degree of similarity with regard to

chromatin clusters, sites of decondensed chromatin, IC lacunae and IC channels

leading to nuclear pores. We cannot exclude, however, fixation artifacts of active and

inactive chromatin ensembles at nanoscale below the resolution limit of 3D-SIM.

True-to-scale DNA density maps should be complemented by similar density

maps based on nucleosome positions as an essential basis for modeling of chromatin

accessibility. Ideally, one would like to follow the dynamics of any target sequence of

interest within a given chromatin ensemble and explore in living cells the dynamic

compaction of individual loops or nanodomains comprising a few kb and the potential

opening and closing of IC-channels. The generation of such space-time nuclear maps

requires the development of time-resolved super-resolution microscopy approaches of

live cells[20,81-84]. The space-time visualization of chromatin ensembles is essential for

studies to solve the question of intermingling loops between neighboring CTs[48,85].

Furthermore, an appropriate toolset should allow the integration of epigenetic marks,

RNAs and non-histone proteins into individual chromatin ensembles across the entire

nuclear landscape[86,87]. Steadily improved, color-coded, high-resolution maps of

nuclear landscapes are ideally suited to incorporate huge datasets of additional genetic

and epigenetic information in an intelligible manner, providing direct insight into the

interdependence of structural and functional changes[88-90]. This is a long way to go not

only with regard to the challenges of developing high resolution 4D live cell microscopy

but also in view of the requirements of comprehensive, high-throughput 4D image

analyses of large cell numbers. The reward will be worth all the effort. Changes of

nuclear landscapes during differentiation and development of numerous cell types

provide an important enrichment to the Human Biomolecular Atlas Program[91].

Evolutionary comparisons will shed new light on the origin of cell nuclei[92] and the

evolution of metazoan chromosomes[93]. Based on the emerging evidence that physical

compaction and decompaction of chromatin nanodomains play a major role in gene

regulation not only in eukaryotes but also in prokaryotes[94], it is interesting to explore

to which extent differences between high-resolution DNA density maps of different cell

types[90] correlate with and may predict global transcriptional differences.

Acknowledgments

Márton Gelléri, Shih-Ya Chen, Aleksander Szczurek, Jan Neumann, and Christoph

Cremer were supported by the Boehringer Ingelheim Foundation and the Max Planck

Institute for Chemistry, Mainz (Germany). Hilmar Strickfaden and Michael J. Hendzel

were supported by grants from the Canadian Cancer Research Society (grant number

CRISDI 2018 OG 23446) and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (grant

number PJT-148753). We are obliged to many colleagues for helpful suggestions. In

particular, we thank in alphabetical order Udo Birk, Giacomo Cavalli, Jonas Cremer,

Mario Nicodemi, Thoru Pederson, Katharina Ribbeck, Karsten Rippe, Vassilis Roukos,

Samuel Safran, and Nadine Vastenhouw for critical reading and discussion. We thank

Sandra Ritz and all the members of the IMB Microscopy Core Facility for technical

support.

Author contributions

CC, HS, MG, MC and TC planned this study and wrote the manuscript. All authors

contributed to the final text. S-Y C and CC developed the SMLM instrumentation; AS

developed the fBALM approach and contributed experimental SMLM results. SMLM-

images of nuclear landscapes were recorded by S-Y C, MG, HS, and AS, electron

spectroscopic images by HS. Quantitative Voronoi-analyses of SMLM sections were

carried out by MG, analysis of ESI images by HS and MH. Evaluation algorithms were

provided by MG, JN, OK, FS, HS and JI. These authors also contributed to the various

approaches of SMLM image displays and analyses. Microinjection experiments with

fluorescent nanobeads and quantitative analyses were conducted by BH and HS. EdU-

replication-labeling experiments with SMLM were performed by AS. MS carried out 3D

SIM studies of EdU-pulse-chase experiments with guidance from YM.

Material & Methods

Cell cultures, fixation, and staining protocols

h-TERT BJ1 cells, immortalized, diploid human cell line from human foreskin

fibroblasts (ATCC #CRL-2522), HeLa cells, a human cervix carcinoma derived cell line

with a near-triploid karyotype (n = 68-70[95]), and C3H 10T1/2 cells, derived from murine

embryo fibroblast cell line (ATCC #CCL-226)[96] were cultured in DMEM with 5% CO_2

at 37 °C. Undifferentiated female mouse embryonic stem cells (ESC line 16.7, 42,XX;

+6;+8, kindly provided by J. Lee, Harvard Medical School) were cultivated under

feeder-free conditions on gelatinized cover slips in KO-DMEM (Invitrogen) as

described in details elsewhere[47]. After fixation with formaldehyde (4%) for 15-30 min,

cells were kept in Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline (DPBS, Sigma Aldrich) and

incubated with 0.5 U/ml RNase A and 20 U/ml RNase T1 (Ambion, USA) for 1 h at

37 °C. Care was taken to avoid any air drying of cells throughout the entire procedure

in order to maintain the shape, volume and internal structure of cells as best as

possible[79,80]. Nuclear DNA was stained with DAPI, or with Sytox Orange (Thermo

Fisher) for super-resolution microscopy (SMLM) in the fBALM (fluctuation assisted

Binding Associated Localization Microscopy) mode (see below), as described[35,36].

With a size in the 1 nm range, the accessibility of Sytox Orange and Vybrant Violet

should not be substantially impaired by chromatin compaction per se.

Determination of cell cycle & nuclear morphology

Determination of cell cycle stage and nuclear morphology of BJ1 and HeLa cells was performed using a spinning disk Opera Phenix Plus High-Content Screening System (PerkinElmer), according to[97], with Sytox Orange as a DNA stain. For SMLM-fBALM measurements, nuclei were used with sizes equal or below the average G1 value for each cell type. Imaging was performed in wide field mode with a 20x, 0.4 NA objective to capture fluorescence from interphase cells and mitotic cells without the need of recording a z-stack. In each well, multiple images were acquired, covering approximately 80 percent of a single well resulting in 20,000 to 80,000 imaged cells per well. Nuclear area and fluorescence intensity of Sytox Orange was analyzed by segmentation with Harmony software (PerkinElmer). Nuclei that were touching the image border were excluded from further analysis. The frequency distribution of Sytox Orange intensities showed discrete G1 and G2 cell cycle populations that allowed the classification of each cell nucleus into these classes.

Microinjection of fluorescent nanobeads (FluoSpheres)

Microinjection of carboxylate-modified FluoSpheres (Thermo Fisher) with a diameter of 20 nm and 40 nm into nuclei was performed on an Axiovert 200 M widefield microscope (Zeiss) with an injection system from Eppendorf. Needles were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries with an outer diameter of 1.0 mm and an inner diameter of 0.5 mm using a micropipette puller (Sutter Instrument P-97; for details see[40]).

Pulse-labeling of replication domains (RDs) with EdU

For SMLM experiments, RDs were identified following pulse-labeling of HeLa cells for 15 min with 5-Ethynyl-dU (EdU) at a final concentration of 10 µM as described[10,45]. EdU was conjugated to AlexaFluor 555 by click-chemistry according to manufactures

instructions (https://www.thermofisher.com/). Cells were washed in PBS, fixed with 4%

formaldehyde/PBS for 15-30 min and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100/PBS/

Tween 0.02% for 10 min. DNA was counterstained with Vybrant Violet, a fluorescence

dye with a predominant affinity for DNA[98]. For 3D-SIM experiments, RDs in mouse

embryonic stem cells were identified by an EdU pulse of 10 min. Cells were fixed

immediately with 2% formaldehyde, or after a chase of 20 - 80 minutes. DNA was

counterstained with DAPI (1 mg/ml). Cells were postfixed in 4% formaldehyde and

mounted in antifade mounting medium (Vectashield Vector Laboratories).

Distances between surfaces of uniformly arranged nucleosomes in 3D space

estimated for different DNA densities

We assumed that the entire genome was fully packaged with nucleosomes, i.e. that

each consecutive 200 bp DNA segment carried a nucleosome with ~150 bp of DNA,

wrapped around the core histone complex, and a linker length of ~50 bp between any

two linearly adjacent nucleosomes located on the same ~10 nm nucleosomal chain. In

case of fully extended linker DNA, this means a maximum distance of ~15 nm. Next,

we determined the maximum number and spatial distribution of nucleosomes that can

be placed at a given DNA density into a 1 μm^3 cube under the highly simplified

assumption of homogenously distributed, equidistant nucleosomes. Placing each

nucleosome (represented by spheres with 10 nm diameter) with its center into a sub-

cube, we calculated the center-to-center 3D distances between adjacent nucleosomes

placed in the midst of these sub-cubes; from this, the minimum average 3D distances

between the surfaces of spatially adjacent spherical nucleosomes were determined for

average DNA densities of 5, 30, 60 and 150 Mbp/μm^3. For example, a cube of 1 μm^3

with an average DNA density of 150 Mbp/μm^3 contains ~750,000 nucleosomes placed

at a mean 3D distance of ~1 nm between the surfaces of any two nucleosome

neighbors adjacent in 3D space. In contrast, a 1 µm^3 cube with an average DNA

density of 5 Mbp/µm^3 contains only ~25,000 nucleosomes with a minimum 3D distance

of ~24 nm. Note that many next nucleosome neighbors in 3D space may be located at

different DNA sites of the same nucleosomal chain or on different spatially adjacent

loops. This explains why the minimum average distance calculated for 5 Mbp/µm^3

exceeds the maximum length of ~15 nm for a fully stretched 50 bp DNA linker.

These estimates cannot replace sophisticated modeling, taking into

consideration the constraints of polymer chain folding of 10 nm thick nucleosomal

chains[99]. Notably, highly compacted chromatin does not provide much freedom for

local changes of accessibility resulting from a dynamic, non-uniform distribution of

nucleosomes. Whereas the accessibility of the interior of a loop forming a dense

nucleosome cluster is highly constrained[16], such constraints may barely matter in case

of an open loop configuration (compare Figure 1c).

Single Molecule Localization Microscopy (SMLM)

For studies of high-resolution DNA density maps with SMLM-fBALM (fluctuation-

assisted Binding Activated localization microscopy) mode[35,36] an inverse custom-made

localization microscope was equipped with three lasers emitting at 488 nm, 561 nm

and 647 nm. The three lasers were combined with dichromatic reflectors. The laser

beams were expanded by a pair of lenses and focused to the back focal plane of the

objective lens (HCX PL APO 100x/NA 1.47 oil, Leica, Germany) by a tube lens. A

quadband dichroic mirror was used to reflect the laser and to transmit emitted

fluorescence light from the sample. In this setup, a Gaussian intensity distribution with

a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of 50 µm was created in the focal plane of the

objective lens.

fBALM relies on the spatial switching of fluorescent on/off states by adjusting

the local environment of the DNA and DNA-binding sites. The chemical environment,

in particular the pH value, allows control over the local, reversible DNA melting of

double stranded into single stranded DNA. Sytox Orange binds to dsDNA, falls off after

local melting from ssDNA, and rebinds to dsDNA, usually at another site. Unbound

Sytox Orange molecules have a fluorescence up to several orders of magnitude lower

than the emission of blinking DNA-bound molecules. Furthermore, unbound Sytox

Orange molecules have a much larger mobility. Hence their weak fluorescence

emission forms a rather homogeneous background which can be removed by

appropriate image analysis tools[100]. In summary, fBALM provides both a substantial

reduction of background combined with a very high number of blinking events of

dsDNA bound fluorophores, which is only limited as a consequence of either complete

bleaching of the entire pool of Sytox Orange molecules, a change of the chemical

environment, or of an optical instability of the SMLM setup.

SMLM-fBALM allowed the determination of single-molecule positions (SMPs) of

Sytox Orange molecules in nuclei with a typical average precision of σ_{xy} ~8 - 15 nm

(object plane; best values around 2 nm in heterochromatic regions), and an axial

precision σ_z down to about 50 nm. From these figures, an average lateral optical

resolution OR_{xy} (smallest lateral distance detectable between two molecule positions)

of 20 nm was obtained for σ_{xy} = 8.5 nm, and around 100 nm for OR_z along the optical

axis. For molecule positions with a localization precision (object plane, xy) of 2 nm, the

best OR_{xy} value estimated was around 5 nm. Experimentally, in nuclear sections of

HeLa nuclei, selected single molecule positions with σ_{xy} ≤5 nm and mutual xy-

distances of 11 nm and of 14 nm, respectively, were clearly distinguished. For the

object plane coordinates with σ_{xy} = 8.5 nm and d (SMPs/nuclear area = $10^7/100$ μm^2 =

0.1/nm^2), the average structural resolution R (as a measure for the smallest observable

structure) was estimated according to $R = [(2.35 \sigma_{xy})^2 + (4/d)]^{0.5}$ [34]. From this, R =

21 nm was obtained if all 10 million molecule positions localized are included in a 600

nm optical section. For a thinner optical section of about 100 nm with 1.5×10^6 molecule

positions, R = 26 nm was estimated. If in addition the average drift (after correction) is

included[98], then the average R increases from 21 nm to 23 nm, and from 26 nm to

28 nm, respectively.

Selected HeLa and BJ1 cells were placed in the center of the field of view of the

inverted SMLM-microscope. Nuclei were exposed to the 561 nm laser at an estimated

illumination intensity of about 1 kW/cm^2. Fluorescence was collected by the objective

lens and was separated from the excitation laser by a dichromatic beam splitter and

appropriate emission filters. The emission light was focused by a second tube lens and

imaged on an sCMOS camera (PCO edge 4.2) with a pixel size corresponding to

65 nm in the object plane, setting the exposure time to 50 ms/frame. Up to 50,000

frames with a total of ~10 million blinking events were recorded in single ~600 nm thick

light optical section and localized with appropriate localization algorithms[34,100]. The

possibility that the same fluorophore was recorded twice (double blinking event) was

considered in cases where two signals recorded in subsequent image frames had a

distance smaller than 20 nm. These rare events were excluded from further image

analysis as described below. To localize SMPs from a thinner section than 600 nm,

achieved with conventional wide-field resolution, a cylindrical lens was placed in front

of the sCMOS camera[101] to induce an astigmatism[102]. In this way it was possible to

assign SMPs to positions ± 50 nm above or below the focal plane and thus filter out

the SMPs in a ca. 100 nm thick optical section (assumed to be ca. 1/6th of the total

number of SMPs in the 600 nm optical section).

For recording of DNA densities and replication domains (RDs) with dual-color

SMLM[98], a custom-built, upright-setup [103] was used, equipped with lasers for excitation

wavelengths 405 nm and 491 nm, respectively [98]. EdU-labeled RDs were conjugated

to AlexaFluor 555 and recorded first (5,000 SMLM frames). Vybrants DyeCycle™

Violet (VdcV) was used to label the non-replicated DNA[98]. After photoconversion with

405 nm, Vybrant Violet was excited to 'blink' by intense 491 nm illumination, and the

emitted green fluorescence was registered (30,000 frames).

Estimation of true-to-scale DNA density values

The procedure we have used to achieve true-to-scale DNA density values is illustrated

in Supplemental Figure S1 using the BJ1 light optical section presented in Figure 2.

The reliability of 3D DNA density values for a given chromatin ensemble critically

depends on the determination of both its absolute DNA content and its volume. Several

essential requirements for precise measurements of these values need to be

considered.

First, our concept assumes that fluorophores like YoYo[45] or Sytox Orange[35] bind

randomly, i.e. without base-pair preference, to the nuclear dsDNA, and can be

localized with high precision by SMLM. The lateral nuclear positions of SMPs (within

the object plane) were determined with an average precision of 8 - 15 nm. This requires

extreme mechanical stability of the microscopic setup[104,105]. The determination of the

axial positions of SMPs[101] was not further defined, but assumed to reflect the thickness

of the light optical section, which was about 600 nm in the example HeLa cell nucleus

(Figure 3). In the case of the BJ1 nucleus (Figure 2), the use of an astigmatic lens[102]

resulted in an improved axial localization precision of SMPs down to about 50 nm in a

100 nm thick optical section. Under optimal conditions, lateral nuclear SMP distance

differences <5 nm were detected. The pixel size for the density determination was

adapted to reflect the mean localization precision of the SMLM measurements. For

volume estimates of light optical sections, we assumed a thickness of 100 nm in SMLM

setups with an achromatic lens and of 600 nm without such a lens.

Second, for estimates of the total DNA content of an entire nucleus or a given

light optical section, it is necessary to determine the interphase stage of the studied

cell. Cell cycle measurements (see above) indicated that the cells subjected to fBALM

were in G1. In the case of cells with an abnormal chromosome content, such as HeLa

cancer cells, it is preferable to measure the DNA content of a single, studied cell

nucleus (not performed here) or to provide an estimate based on knowledge of the

karyotype, though this karyotype may differ from cell to cell. For BJ1 cells we assumed

a diploid karyotype (2n), and for HeLa cells a near triploid karyotype (3n).

Third, the calibration of absolute DNA density values should ideally be based

on the total number of SMPs, recorded from an entire cell nucleus in a stack of optical

serial sections. This total number then reflects the total DNA content, whereas the

number of SMPs recorded within a single section provides the fraction of genomic DNA

present in this section. In practice, the decrease of blinking efficiency due to a

deterioration of the chemical switching conditions[36] during the long registration times

makes it presently difficult or impossible to obtain serial SMLM sections of entire nuclei

with high structural resolution. For this reason, we typically performed a single SMLM

midsection from nuclei with high 3D structural resolution (i.e. a high average SMP

density) and assumed a linear relationship between volume and DNA content,

neglecting the evidence for highly non-uniform distribution of DNA within active and

inactive nuclear compartments, (see[45,47]). Preliminary experiments based on SMLM

serial sections of HeLa cell nuclei at lower structural resolution (data not shown) argue

that this simplifying assumption yields reasonable DNA estimates. Furthermore, for

chromatin regions with a size equal or larger than the conventional resolution limit,

these estimates are similar to those obtained in absolute calibration studies using

Fluorescence Correlation Spectroscopy and confocal laser scanning microscopy[24].

Fourth, calibration of the amount of DNA represented by each SMP in a given

section allows estimates of the absolute DNA density of a given chromatin ensemble,

recorded in this section. For this purpose, it is necessary to count the number of SMPs

attributed to such an ensemble. This is easy in cases, where the outline of an ensemble

can be precisely determined, for example after FISH of DNA targets with specific

probes[31,106]. In the absence of further information, however, demarcation of individual

ensembles, say a chromatin loop, a chromatin domain, or a chromosome territory, from

neighboring structures, is difficult and often impossible. Using a customized version of

a published Voronoi algorithm[37], we dissected the cloud of SMPs into Voronoi areas

limited by lines with equal distances to neighboring SMPs. The smaller the distances

between neighboring SMPs, the smaller were the defined Voronoi areas, and the

higher was the estimated density of SMPs. This approach was performed without any

density thresholding based on biological assumptions about chromatin ensembles with

specific structural or functional properties. Based on Voronoi area frequencies we

generated a histogram of the DNA density distribution across a given optical section.

Based on the calibration between the number of SMPs and the corresponding DNA

content, histograms of Voronoi area frequencies were converted into a color-coded

map of absolute DNA densities ($Mbp/\mu m^3$). However, this advantage was achieved at

a cost: Voronoi tessellation is particularly useful to detect local DNA densities, likely

corresponding to small nucleosome clusters, but fails to detect chromatin loops formed

by extended ~10 nm thick nucleosomal chains or naked DNA.

3D-Structured Illumination Microscopy (SIM)

Super-resolution imaging of fixed cell samples with 3D-SIM was performed on a DeltaVision OMX V3 system (Applied Precision Imaging/GE Healthcare) equipped with a 100x/1.40 NA PlanApo oil immersion objective (Olympus), Cascade II:512 EMCCD cameras (Photometrics) with 405 and 488 nm diode lasers. 3D-SIM image stacks were acquired with a z-distance of 125 nm and with 15 raw images per plane (5 phases, 3 angles). The raw data was then computationally reconstructed using Wiener filter settings 0.002 and channel-specifically measured optical transfer functions (OTFs) using the softWoRX 6.0 software package (Applied Precision) to obtain a super-resolution 3D image stack with a lateral (xy) resolution of ~120 nm and an axial (z) resolution of ~300 nm. The level of spherical aberration was minimized and matched to the respective OTFs using immersion oil with different refractive indices (RI)[47]. Images from the different color channels were registered with alignment parameters obtained from calibration measurements with 0.2 µm diameter TetraSpeck beads (Invitrogen). The reconstruction process generates 32-bit data sets with the pixel number doubled in the lateral axes, and the lateral pixel size halved from 80 nm to 40 nm in order to meet the Nyquist sampling criterion. To normalize all image stacks for subsequent image processing and data analysis, the stack-specific mode gray value (representing the peak of the background noise) was subtracted, negative values discarded and finally the format converted to 16-bit composite tiff-stacks using a custom-made script based on ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij).

Confocal microscopy of microinjected nanobeads

For confocal microscopy of microinjected nanobeads, a laser scanning and a spinning disk confocal microscope were used. The TCS SP5 DMI 6000 CS CLSM microscope (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim/Germany) was equipped with a HCX Plan

Apochromat Lambda Blue 63x/1.4 oil objective lens and various laser sources, the

excitation wavelengths ranging from 405 nm to 633 nm. Spinning disk confocal

microscopy was performed on an Ultraview system (PerkinElmer) equipped with

405 nm, 488 nm, and 561 nm diode lasers using a 100x/1.4 oil Apochromat (Zeiss)

objective lens.

Electron Spectroscopic Imaging (ESI)

C3H 10T1/2 growing in 35mm MatTek glass bottom dishes cells were fixed in 0.1 M

Phosphate Buffer containing 4% paraformaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde for 30 min.

Then the cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 15 min. After washing

with 1xPBS the cells were treated with RNAse as described above. After a dehydration

series (50%, 70%, 90%,100%) each 30 min, the cells were infiltrated with acrylic resin

(LR White) (1:1 mixture with 100% ethanol for 4h and in pure LR White overnight).

Placing an LR White filled 2 ml Eppendorf tube on top of the cells and polymerizing the

acrylic resin for 48h at 65°C in the oven, blocks were created that could after detaching

from the glass be trimmed and cut with a Diatome diamond knife on a Leica Ultracut

UC6 microtome[107]. 100 nm ultrathin sections were picked up on 300 Mesh copper grids

and carbon coated. Cells were located in a JEOL F2100 transmission electron

microscope. Phosphorous and nitrogen elemental maps were recorded using a

GATAN Quantum post column electron energy loss spectrometer equipped with a

GATAN UltraSCAN 1000 CCD camera. For phosphorous elemental maps, post-edge

pictures were recorded at 162.5 eV (slit width 20 eV) and pre-edge images at 119.5

eV and 99.5 eV (slit width 20 eV each) (3-window method). For nitrogen elemental

maps, post-edge pictures were recorded at 416 eV (slit width) and pre-edge pictures

were recorded at 416 eV and 383 eV (slit width 30 eV each). Phosphorous and nitrogen

elemental maps were computed in Digital Micrograph by GATAN.

Supplementary Information

Supplemental Figure S1

Processing of SMLM images and generation of high-resolution nuclear landscapes

*a SMLM-fBALM image of a mid-plane optical section through a BJ1 cell nucleus stained with Sytox-Orange yielding about 10 million SMPs with a lateral structural resolution of about 20 nm and an axial resolution of about 600 nm. SMPs were binned in pixels with 10 nm edge length. For this overview, a region was chosen with densely arranged SMPs corresponding to the INC. The three boxes mark regions at the nuclear periphery (1), in the nuclear center (2) and a nucleolus (3). Supplemental Video S1a shows a movie of the enlarged box 2 in (a) with SMPs at increasing density and structural resolution; Supplemental Video S1b provides a view on the rotating 600 nm thick section. **b, 1-3** Enlargements of boxes 1-3 represent 2D localization maps of all individual SMPs in 100 nm thick optical subsections with an average lateral localization precision of ca. 8 nm and ca. 50 nm along the optical axis (see Results for explanation, how 100 nm thick subsections were achieved from the 600 nm thick primary optical section). **c, 1-3** The same boxes as above are presented here after Voronoi-tessellation as true-to-scale color-coded DNA density maps (Mbp/μm³), compare DNA density scale bar in*

Figure 2, where the identical panel is shown in Fig. 2b1-3. For calculations of 3D DNA densities
see Results

Supplemental Figure S2

*Localization of nanobeads (with 20 nm diameter) in nuclei of HeLa cells kept in **(a)** isotonic*
*medium with normally condensed chromatin (NCC) or **(b)** hypertonic medium with hyper-*
condensed chromatin (HCC). The confocal sections (left columns in a and b) show the position
of the intensity line plotted at the right, the arrow denotes the scanning direction. The area of
the bead is magnified in the inset. Scale bars: 4 µm, 0.5 µm in insets. For each nucleus, line
scans were made through a given bead (green line) and through the normalized DAPI intensity
image (black line). The relative DNA density (I; grey values 0 - 255) present at the site of a
nanobead was determined in the confocal section with the brightest spot. Nanobeads are
localized within valleys of the DNA density landscape and sometimes at the slope of DNA
density peaks.

Supplemental Figure S3

Localization of nanobeads (with 40 nm diameter) in nuclei of HeLa cells kept (a) in isotonic medium with normally condensed chromatin (NCC) or (b) hypertonic medium with hyper-condensed chromatin (HCC). Nanobeads are localized within valleys of the DNA density landscape and sometimes at the slope of DNA density peaks. For further details see legend to Supplemental Figure S2.

Supplemental Videos S1a and S1b

Movie with the positions of blinking signals (SMPs) recorded from DNA bound Sytox orange molecules (SMPs) in the BJ1 nucleus shown in Figure 2. The SM positions of a mid-plane optical section (thickness ~ 600 nm) of this BJ1-nucleus with about 10 million localizations were visualized by assigning each SM position to a 10 nm sized pixel (corresponding to the average localization precision of 8.5 nm). Video S1a starts with the visualization of the entire nuclear section and then zooms in to smaller regions of interest (boxed area 2). Video S1b provides a view on the rotating 600 nm thick section.

Supplemental Video S2

Movie of a C3H 10T1/2 nucleus recorded for 6 hours after microinjection of three 20 nm sized nanobeads. Magnified images of two nanobeads in the 'lower' part of the nucleus are shown in Figure 5f,g. Other nanobeads or clusters were located in the cytoplasm, as demonstrated by serial sections. The display on the left shows the moving, intranuclear nanobeads. Notably, movements of nanobeads in the cytoplasm were more rapid and extensive. The right display demonstrates a random-walk like trajectories of intranuclear nanobeads (compare Figure 5h).

References

Gasser, S. M. & Laemmli, U. A glimpse at chromosomal order. *Trends in Genetics* **3**, 16-22 (1987).

Cremer, T. *et al.* The Interchromatin Compartment Participates in the Structural and Functional Organization of the Cell Nucleus. *Bioessays* **42**, e1900132, doi:10.1002/bies.201900132 (2020).

Cremer, T. *et al.* The 4D nucleome: Evidence for a dynamic nuclear landscape based on co-aligned active and inactive nuclear compartments. *FEBS Lett* **589**, 2931-2943, doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2015.05.037 (2015).

Maslova, A. & Krasikova, A. FISH Going Meso-Scale: A Microscopic Search for Chromatin Domains. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **9**, 753097, doi:10.3389/fcell.2021.753097 (2021).

Strickfaden, H. Reflections on the organization and the physical state of chromatin in eukaryotic cells. *Genome* **64**, 311-325, doi:10.1139/gen-2020-0132 (2021).

Dekker, J. *et al.* The 4D nucleome project. *Nature* **549**, 219-226, doi:10.1038/nature23884 (2017).

Di Stefano, M., Paulsen, J., Lien, T. G., Hovig, E. & Micheletti, C. Hi-C-constrained physical models of human chromosomes recover functionally-related properties of genome organization. *Sci Rep* **6**, 35985, doi:10.1038/srep35985 (2016).

Kempfer, R. & Pombo, A. Methods for mapping 3D chromosome architecture. *Nat Rev Genet* **21**, 207-226, doi:10.1038/s41576-019-0195-2 (2020).

Moretti, C., Stevant, I. & Ghavi-Helm, Y. 3D genome organisation in Drosophila. *Brief Funct Genomics* **19**, 92-100, doi:10.1093/bfgp/elz029 (2020).

Cremer, M. *et al.* Cohesin depleted cells rebuild functional nuclear compartments after endomitosis. *Nat Commun* **11**, 6146, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-19876-6 (2020).

Belmont, A. S. Nuclear Compartments: An Incomplete Primer to Nuclear Compartments, Bodies, and Genome Organization Relative to Nuclear Architecture. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol*, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a041268 (2021).

Misteli, T. The Self-Organizing Genome: Principles of Genome Architecture and Function. *Cell* **183**, 28-45, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.014 (2020).

Cardozo Gizzi, A. M. A Shift in Paradigms: Spatial Genomics Approaches to Reveal Single-Cell Principles of Genome Organization. *Front. Genet.* **Article 780822**, doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.780822 (2021).

Kamat, K., Qi, Y., Wang, Y., Ma, J. & Zhang, B. Genome Compartmentalization with Nuclear Landmarks: Random yet Precise. *bioRxiv preprint*, doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.12.468401 (2021).

Sood, V. & Misteli, T. The stochastic nature of genome organization and function. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **72**, 45-52, doi:10.1016/j.gde.2021.10.004 (2021).

Maeshima, K. *et al.* The physical size of transcription factors is key to transcriptional regulation in chromatin domains. *J Phys Condens Matter* **27**, 064116, doi:10.1088/0953-8984/27/6/064116 (2015).

Cremer, T. & Cremer, M. Chromosome territories. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **2**, a003889, doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a003889 (2010).

Paulsen, J., Liyakat Ali, T. M. & Collas, P. Computational 3D genome modeling using Chrom3D. *Nat Protoc* **13**, 1137-1152, doi:10.1038/nprot.2018.009 (2018).

Babokhov, M., Hibino, K., Itoh, Y. & Maeshima, K. Local Chromatin Motion and Transcription. *J Mol Biol* **432**, 694-700, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.10.018 (2020).

Ricci, M. A., Manzo, C., Garcia-Parajo, M. F., Lakadamyali, M. & Cosma, M. P. Chromatin fibers are formed by heterogeneous groups of nucleosomes in vivo. *Cell* **160**, 1145-1158, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.01.054 (2015).

Gorisch, S. M., Richter, K., Scheuermann, M. O., Herrmann, H. & Lichter, P. Diffusion-limited compartmentalization of mammalian cell nuclei assessed by microinjected macromolecules. *Exp Cell Res* **289**, 282-294, doi:10.1016/s0014-4827(03)00265-9 (2003).

Lenart, P. *et al.* Nuclear envelope breakdown in starfish oocytes proceeds by partial NPC disassembly followed by a rapidly spreading fenestration of nuclear membranes. *J Cell Biol* **160**, 1055-1068, doi:10.1083/jcb.200211076 (2003).

Verschure, P. J. *et al.* Condensed chromatin domains in the mammalian nucleus are accessible to large macromolecules. *EMBO Rep* **4**, 861-866, doi:10.1038/sj.embor.embor922 (2003).

Weidemann, T. *et al.* Counting nucleosomes in living cells with a combination of fluorescence correlation spectroscopy and confocal imaging. *J Mol Biol* **334**, 229-240, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2003.08.063 (2003).

Imai, R. *et al.* Density imaging of heterochromatin in live cells using orientation-independent-DIC microscopy. *Mol Biol Cell* **28**, 3349-3359, doi:10.1091/mbc.E17-06-0359 (2017).

Cremer, C. & Masters, B. R. Resolution enhancement techniques in microscopy. *The European Physical Journal H* **38**, 281-344, doi:10.1140/epjh/e2012-20060-1 (2013).

Gould, T. J. & Bewersdorf, J. Nanoscopy at low light intensities shows its potential. *Elife* **1**, e00475, doi:10.7554/eLife.00475 (2012).

Khater, I. M., Nabi, I. R. & Hamarneh, G. A Review of Super-Resolution Single-Molecule Localization Microscopy Cluster Analysis and Quantification Methods. *Patterns (N Y)* **1**, 100038, doi:10.1016/j.patter.2020.100038 (2020).

Lelek, M. *et al.* Single-molecule localization microscopy. *Nat Rev Methods Primers* **1**, doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-021-00038-x (2021).

Schermelleh, L. *et al.* Super-resolution microscopy demystified. *Nat Cell Biol* **21**, 72-84, doi:10.1038/s41556-018-0251-8 (2019).

Bintu, B. *et al.* Super-resolution chromatin tracing reveals domains and cooperative interactions in single cells. *Science* **362**, doi:10.1126/science.aau1783 (2018).

Szabo, Q., Bantignies, F. & Cavalli, G. Principles of genome folding into topologically associating domains. *Sci Adv* **5**, eaaw1668, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw1668 (2019).

Szabo, Q. *et al.* TADs are 3D structural units of higher-order chromosome organization in Drosophila. *Sci Adv* **4**, eaar8082, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aar8082 (2018).

Cremer, C., Szczurek, A., Schock, F., Gourram, A. & Birk, U. Super-resolution microscopy approaches to nuclear nanostructure imaging. *Methods* **123**, 11-32, doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2017.03.019 (2017).

35　Szczurek, A. *et al.* Super-resolution binding activated localization microscopy through
reversible change of DNA conformation. *Nucleus* **9**, 182-189,
doi:10.1080/19491034.2017.1419846 (2018).
36　Szczurek, A. *et al.* Imaging chromatin nanostructure with binding-activated localization
microscopy based on DNA structure fluctuations. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, e56,
doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1301 (2017).
37　Andronov, L., Orlov, I., Lutz, Y., Vonesch, J. L. & Klaholz, B. P. ClusterViSu, a method for
clustering of protein complexes by Voronoi tessellation in super-resolution
microscopy. *Sci Rep* **6**, 24084, doi:10.1038/srep24084 (2016).
38　Hendzel, M. J. & Bazett-Jones, D. P. Probing nuclear ultrastructure by electron
spectroscopic imaging. *J Microsc* **182**, 1-14, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2818.1996.123403.x
(1996).
39　Albiez, H. *et al.* Chromatin domains and the interchromatin compartment form
structurally defined and functionally interacting nuclear networks. *Chromosome Res*
14, 707-733, doi:10.1007/s10577-006-1086-x (2006).
40　Hübner, B. Functional nuclear architecture in normal cells and after manipulation of
the nuclear landscape. Dissertation, LMU München: Faculty of Biology *Thesis,*
(Dissertation, LMU Munich), doi:10.5282/edoc.27814 (2020).
41　Wolff, H. *et al.* Analysis of the influence of subcellular localization of the HIV Rev
protein on Rev-dependent gene expression by multi-fluorescence live-cell imaging. *Exp*
Cell Res **312**, 443-456, doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2005.11.020 (2006).
42　Strickfaden, H., Zunhammer, A., van Koningsbruggen, S., Kohler, D. & Cremer, T. 4D
chromatin dynamics in cycling cells: Theodor Boveri's hypotheses revisited. *Nucleus* **1**,
284-297, doi:10.4161/nucl.1.3.11969 (2010).
43　Hell, S. & Stelzer, E. H. K. Properties of a 4Pi confocal fluorescence microscope. *J. Opt.*
Soc. Am. A **9**, 2159-2166, doi:10.1364/JOSAA.9.002159 (1992).
44　Meggendorfer, M., Weierich, C., Wolff, H., Brack-Werner, R. & Cremer, T. Functional
nuclear topography of transcriptionally inducible extra-chromosomal transgene
clusters. *Chromosome Res* **18**, 401-417, doi:10.1007/s10577-010-9133-z (2010).
45　Kirmes, I. *et al.* A transient ischemic environment induces reversible compaction of
chromatin. *Genome Biol* **16**, 246, doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0802-2 (2015).
46　Miron, E. *et al.* Chromatin arranges in chains of mesoscale domains with nanoscale
functional topography independent of cohesin. *Science Advances* **6**, eaba8811,
doi:DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aba8811 (2020).
47　Smeets, D. *et al.* Three-dimensional super-resolution microscopy of the inactive X
chromosome territory reveals a collapse of its active nuclear compartment harboring
distinct Xist RNA foci. *Epigenetics Chromatin* **7**, 8, doi:10.1186/1756-8935-7-8 (2014).
48　Rouquette, J., Cremer, C., Cremer, T. & Fakan, S. Functional nuclear architecture
studied by microscopy: present and future. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol* **282**, 1-90,
doi:10.1016/S1937-6448(10)82001-5 (2010).
49　Leidescher, S. *et al.* Spatial organization of transcribed eukaryotic genes. *Nature Cell*
Biology **in pres**, doi:　(2022).
50　Solovei, I. *et al.* Topology of double minutes (dmins) and homogeneously staining
regions (HSRs) in nuclei of human neuroblastoma cell lines. *Genes Chromosomes*
Cancer **29**, 297-308, doi:10.1002/1098-2264(2000)9999:9999<::aid-gcc1046>3.0.co;2-
h (2000).
51　Cremer, M. & Cremer, T. Nuclear compartmentalization, dynamics, and function of
regulatory DNA sequences. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **58**, 427-436,
doi:10.1002/gcc.22714 (2019).
52　Horikoshi, Y. *et al.* Distinctive nuclear zone for RAD51-mediated homologous
recombinational DNA repair. *bioRxiv preprint*, doi:DOI: 10.1101/2021.11.29.470307
(2021).
53　Lopez Perez, R. *et al.* Superresolution light microscopy shows nanostructure of carbon
ion radiation-induced DNA double-strand break repair foci. *FASEB J* **30**, 2767-2776,
doi:10.1096/fj.201500106R (2016).
54　Zakirov, A. N. *et al.* Fiber-Like Organization as a Basic Principle for Euchromatin Higher-
Order Structure. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **9**, 784440, doi:doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.784440
(2022).

55   Sureka, R. & Mishra, R. Identification of Evolutionarily Conserved Nuclear Matrix
Proteins and Their Prokaryotic Origins. *J Proteome Res* **20**, 518-530,
doi:10.1021/acs.jproteome.0c00550 (2021).
56   Farabella, I., Di Stefano, M., Soler-Vila, P., Marti-Marimon, M. & Marti-Renom, M. A.
Three-dimensional genome organization via triplex-forming RNAs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*
28, 945-954, doi:10.1038/s41594-021-00678-3 (2021).
57   Razin, S. V. & Gavrilov, A. A. Non-coding RNAs in chromatin folding and nuclear
organization. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **78**, 5489-5504, doi:10.1007/s00018-021-03876-w
(2021).
58   Eltsov, M., Maclellan, K. M., Maeshima, K., Frangakis, A. S. & Dubochet, J. Analysis of
cryo-electron microscopy images does not support the existence of 30-nm chromatin
fibers in mitotic chromosomes in situ. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 19732-19737,
doi:10.1073/pnas.0810057105 (2008).
59   Beagrie, R. A. *et al.* Multiplex-GAM: genome-wide identification of chromatin
contacts yields insights not captured by Hi-C *bioRxiv*,
doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.31.230284 (2021).
60   Jerkovic, I. & Cavalli, G. Understanding 3D genome organization by multidisciplinary
methods. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **22**, 511-528, doi:10.1038/s41580-021-00362-w (2021).
61   Minnoye, L. *et al.* Chromatin accessibility profiling methods. *Nature Reviews Methods*
Primers **1**, doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-020-00008-9 (2021).
62   Hansen, J. C., Maeshima, K. & Hendzel, M. J. The solid and liquid states of chromatin.
Epigenetics Chromatin **14**, 50, doi:10.1186/s13072-021-00424-5 (2021).
63   Strickfaden, H. *et al.* Condensed Chromatin Behaves like a Solid on the Mesoscale In
Vitro and in Living Cells. *Cell* **183**, 1772-1784 e1713, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.027
(2020).
64   Rowley, M. J. & Corces, V. G. Organizational principles of 3D genome architecture. *Nat*
Rev Genet **19**, 789-800, doi:10.1038/s41576-018-0060-8 (2018).
65   Cai, L., Panyukov, s. & Rubinstein, M. Hopping Diffusion of Nanoparticles in Polymer
Matrices. *Macromolecules* **48**, 847-862, doi:DOI: 10.1021/ma501608x (2015).
66   Pluen, A., Netti, P. A., Jain, R. K. & Berk, D. A. Diffusion of macromolecules in agarose
gels: comparison of linear and globular configurations. *Biophys J* **77**, 542-552,
doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(99)76911-0 (1999).
67   Seelbinder, B. *et al.* Non-invasive Chromatin Deformation and Measurement of
Differential Mechanical Properties in the Nucleus. *BioRxiv preprint*,
doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.15.472786 (2021).
68   Amiad-Pavlov, D. *et al.* Live imaging of chromatin distribution reveals novel principles
of nuclear architecture and chromatin compartmentalization. *Sci Adv* **7**,
doi:10.1126/sciadv.abf6251 (2021).
69   Bajpai, G., Amiad Pavlov, D., Lorber, D., Volk, T. & Safran, S. Mesoscale phase
separation of chromatin in the nucleus. *Elife* **10**, doi:10.7554/eLife.63976 (2021).
70   Schmid, V. J., Cremer, M. & Cremer, T. Quantitative analyses of the 3D nuclear
landscape recorded with super-resolved fluorescence microscopy. *Methods* **123**, 33-
46, doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2017.03.013 (2017).
71   Chiariello, A. M., Annunziatella, C., Bianco, S., Esposito, A. & Nicodemi, M. Polymer
physics of chromosome large-scale 3D organisation. *Sci Rep* **6**, 29775,
doi:10.1038/srep29775 (2016).
72   Bascom, G. D., Sanbonmatsu, K. Y. & Schlick, T. Mesoscale Modeling Reveals
Hierarchical Looping of Chromatin Fibers Near Gene Regulatory Elements. *J Phys Chem*
B **120**, 8642-8653, doi:10.1021/acs.jpcb.6b03197 (2016).
73   Odenheimer, J., Heermann, D. W. & Kreth, G. Brownian dynamics simulations reveal
regulatory properties of higher-order chromatin structures. *Eur Biophys J* **38**, 749-756,
doi:10.1007/s00249-009-0486-1 (2009).
74   Rosa, A. & Zimmer, C. Computational models of large-scale genome architecture. *Int*
Rev Cell Mol Biol **307**, 275-349, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-800046-5.00009-6 (2014).
75   Bascom, G. D., Myers, C. G. & Schlick, T. Mesoscale modeling reveals formation of an
epigenetically driven HOXC gene hub. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 4955-4962,
doi:10.1073/pnas.1816424116 (2019).

76 Cremer, T. *et al.* Chromosome Territories, Interchromatin Domain Compartment, and
Nuclear Matrix: An Integrated View of the Functional Nuclear Architecture. *Critical*
reviews in Eukaryotic Gene Expression 10: 179-212 **10**, 179-212,
doi:10.1615/CritRevEukarGeneExpr.v10.i2.60 (2000).
77 Baldi, S., Korber, P. & Becker, P. B. Beads on a string-nucleosome array arrangements
and folding of the chromatin fiber. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **27**, 109-118,
doi:10.1038/s41594-019-0368-x (2020).
78 Kharerin, H., Bhat, P. J. & Padinhateeri, R. Role of nucleosome positioning in 3D
chromatin organization and loop formation. *J Biosci* **45** (2020).
79 Markaki, Y., Smeets, D., Cremer, M. & Schermelleh, L. Fluorescence in situ
hybridization applications for super-resolution 3D structured illumination microscopy.
Methods Mol Biol **950**, 43-64, doi:10.1007/978-1-62703-137-0_4 (2013).
80 Markaki, Y. *et al.* The potential of 3D-FISH and super-resolution structured illumination
microscopy for studies of 3D nuclear architecture: 3D structured illumination
microscopy of defined chromosomal structures visualized by 3D (immuno)-FISH opens
new perspectives for studies of nuclear architecture. *Bioessays* **34**, 412-426,
doi:10.1002/bies.201100176 (2012).
81 Chu, L. A. *et al.* 5D superresolution imaging for a live cell nucleus. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*
67, 77-83, doi:10.1016/j.gde.2020.11.005 (2021).
82 Shechtman, Y. *et al.* Observation of live chromatin dynamics in cells via 3D localization
microscopy using Tetrapod point spread functions. *Biomed Opt Express* **8**, 5735-5748,
doi:10.1364/BOE.8.005735 (2017).
83 Wombacher, R. *et al.* Live-cell super-resolution imaging with trimethoprim conjugates.
Nat Methods **7**, 717-719, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1489 (2010).
84 Xiang, W. *et al.* Correlative live and super-resolution imaging reveals the dynamic
structure of replication domains. *J Cell Biol* **217**, 1973-1984,
doi:10.1083/jcb.201709074 (2018).
85 Branco, M. R. & Pombo, A. Intermingling of chromosome territories in interphase
suggests role in translocations and transcription-dependent associations. *PLoS Biol* **4**,
e138, doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0040138 (2006).
86 Barth, R., Bystricky, K. & Shaban, H. A. Coupling chromatin structure and dynamics by
live super-resolution imaging. *Sci Adv* **6**, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaz2196 (2020).
87 Ma, H. *et al.* CRISPR-Cas9 nuclear dynamics and target recognition in living cells. *J Cell*
Biol **214**, 529-537, doi:10.1083/jcb.201604115 (2016).
88 Gorkin, D. U. *et al.* An atlas of dynamic chromatin landscapes in mouse fetal
development. *Nature* **583**, 744-751, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2093-3 (2020).
89 Lohoff, T. *et al.* Integration of spatial and single-cell transcriptomic data elucidates
mouse organogenesis. *Nat Biotechnol*, doi:10.1038/s41587-021-01006-2 (2021).
90 Yang, K. D. *et al.* Multi-domain translation between single-cell imaging and sequencing
data using autoencoders. *Nat Commun* **12**, 31, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-20249-2
(2021).
91 Snyder, M. P. *et al.* The human body at cellular resolution: the NIH Human
Biomolecular Atlas Program. *Nature* **574**, 187-192, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1629-x
(2019).
92 Cremer, T., Cremer, M. & Cremer, C. The 4D Nucleome: Genome
Compartmentalization in an Evolutionary Context. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* **83**, 313-325,
doi:10.1134/S000629791804003X (2018).
93 Simakov, O. *et al.* Deeply conserved synteny and the evolution of metazoan
chromosomes. *Sci Adv* **8**, eabi5884, doi:10.1126/sciadv.abi5884 (2022).
94 Swygert, S. G. *et al.* Local chromatin fiber folding represses transcription and loop
extrusion in quiescent cells. *Elife* **10**, doi:10.7554/eLife.72062 (2021).
95 Cremer, M. *et al.* Inheritance of gene density-related higher order chromatin
arrangements in normal and tumor cell nuclei. *J Cell Biol* **162**, 809-820,
doi:10.1083/jcb.200304096 (2003).
96 Bairstow, F. & Heidelberger, C. Increased thymidine uptake by methylcholanthrene-
treated C3H/10T1/2 cells. *Int J Cancer* **16**, 370-375, doi:10.1002/ijc.2910160303
(1975).

97 Roukos, V., Pegoraro, G., Voss, T. C. & Misteli, T. Cell cycle staging of individual cells by
fluorescence microscopy. *Nat Protoc* **10**, 334-348, doi:10.1038/nprot.2015.016 (2015).
98 Zurek-Biesiada, D. *et al.* Localization microscopy of DNA in situ using Vybrant((R))
DyeCycle Violet fluorescent probe: A new approach to study nuclear nanostructure at
single molecule resolution. *Exp Cell Res* **343**, 97-106, doi:10.1016/j.yexcr.2015.08.020
(2016).
99 Amitai, A. & Holcman, D. Polymer physics of nuclear organization and function. *Physics*
Reports **678**, 1-83, doi:doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2017.02.002 (2017).
100 Kaufmann, R. *et al. SPDM: single molecule superresolution of cellular nanostructures.*
Vol. 7185 PWB (SPIE, 2009).
101 Chen, S.-Y., Bestvater, F., Schaufler, W., Heintzmann, R. & Cremer, C. Patterned
illumination single molecule localization microscopy (piSMLM): user defined blinking
regions of interest. *Opt. Express* **26**, 30009-30020, doi:10.1364/OE.26.030009 (2018).
102 Huang, B., Wang, W., Bates, M. & Zhuang, X. Three-dimensional super-resolution
imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. *Science* **319**, 810-813,
doi:10.1126/science.1153529 (2008).
103 Reymann, J. *et al.* High-precision structural analysis of subnuclear complexes in fixed
and live cells via spatially modulated illumination (SMI) microscopy. *Chromosome Res*
16, 367-382, doi:10.1007/s10577-008-1238-2 (2008).
104 Chen, S. Y. Advanced Single Molecule Localization Microscopy for imaging cellular
nuclei. . *Ph.D. Thesis, University Jena* (2020).
105 Chen, S. Y., Heintzmann, R. & Cremer, C. Sample drift estimation method based on
speckle patterns formed by backscattered laser light. *Biomed Opt Express* **10**, 6462-
6475, doi:10.1364/BOE.10.006462 (2019).
106 Weiland, Y., Lemmer, P. & Cremer, C. Combining FISH with localisation microscopy:
Super-resolution imaging of nuclear genome nanostructures. *Chromosome Res* **19**, 5-
23, doi:10.1007/s10577-010-9171-6 (2011).
107 Strickfaden, H., Xu, Z. Z. & Hendzel, M. J. Visualization of miniSOG Tagged DNA Repair
Proteins in Combination with Electron Spectroscopic Imaging (ESI). *J Vis Exp*,
doi:10.3791/52893 (2015).

1283