Title Page:

Article Type: Bioinformatics

Title: Identification of consensus hairpin loop structure among the

negative sense sub-genomic RNAs of SARS-CoV-2

Naveen Prakash Bokolia[1], *Ravisekhar Gadepalli[1*]

[1]Viral Research and Diagnostic Laboratory, Microbiology Department, All India

Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur (342001), India

*Corresponding Author: Phone: +91-9680009207; Email: gadepallirs@gmail.com

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, subgenomic RNA, Secondary structure, Transcriptional

pausing, Hairpin loop structure

Abstract:

SARS-CoV-2 is the causative agent of worldwide pandemic disease COVID-19. SARS-

CoV-2 bears positive sense RNA genome, that have organized and complex pattern of

replication/transcription process including the generation of subgenomic RNAs.

Transcription regulatory sequences (TRS) have important role in the pausing of

replication/transcription and generation of subgenomic RNAs. In the present

bioinformatics analysis a consensus secondary structure was identified among negative

sense subgenomic RNAs at the adjacent of initiation codon. This study proposed that

consensus structured domain could be involve in mediating the long pausing of

replication/transcription complex and responsible for subgenomic RNA production.

**Introduction:**

COVID-19 is the worldwide pandemic disease caused by severe acute respiratory

syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) that carries a positive sense, single strand RNA

genome with the size of 29.9 kb (Kim et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). The RNA genome

undergoes complex pattern of replication/transcription process inside the host

environment. The SARS-CoV-2 enters the host cell and undergoes replication of positive

sense RNA genome, where negative sense RNA genome is generated, that subsequently

serve as template for positive sense RNA in order to translate the viral proteins and

packaging of RNA genome in to virion particles. SARS-CoV-2 RNA genome bears

conserved transcriptional regulatory sequences (TRS) of 6-7 nucleotides(Yang et al.,

2020). The TRS is present at the immediate upstream of initiation codon, where

replication/transcription complex is paused (Alexandersen et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020).

RdRp has the important property to backtracking that causes long pausing at specific

site (Dulin et al., 2015; Malone et al., 2021). Therefore, in addition to complete RNA

genome, a nested set of negative sense subgenomic RNAs is also generated including S

subgenomic, 3a subgenomic, E subgenomic, M subgenomic, 6 subgenomic, 7a

subgenomic, 7b subgenomic, 8 subgenomic, N subgenomic and 10 subgenomic. These

canonical subgenomic RNAs are thought to be generated through the complex

mechanism that involves pausing of negative sense RNA synthesis by RNA dependent

RNA polymerase (RdRp) (Alexandersen et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020; Mohammadi-

Dehcheshmeh et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2020).

Although, the conserved role of TRS has been identified/proposed in the pausing of

transcription, however, the role of RNA secondary structure has not been identified in

this perspective. Therefore, in this study investigation of conserved secondary structure

pattern was performed nearby the initiation codon that might be important in pausing

of transcription during negative sense RNA synthesis of the SARS-CoV-2 genome.

The analysis of negative sense subgenomic RNAs was performed in this study because of

following important reason. According to previous research model, it was suggested that

strand exchange or 5′ leader (5′ UTR) sequence to body (RNA) fusion occurs at TRS

during negative sense RNA synthesis (Kim et al., 2020). Therefore, the consensus

secondary structural motifs nearby the TRS might be important in several perspectives.

In this study a bioinformatics analysis of negative sense subgenomic RNAs was

performed that revealed a consensus hairpin loop secondary structure. This study

proposes that consensus hairpin loop secondary structure during the synthesis of

negative sense RNA mediates important role in transcriptional pausing and generation

of subgenomic RNAs.

**Results:**

**The negative sense sub-genomic RNAs bear conserved hairpin domain at immediate**

**downstream of initiation codon**

Study by Alexandersen et al., 2021, determined the presence and abundance of

subgenomic RNAs from the COVID-19 patient samples. Authors mapped the NGS reads

to subgenomic RNAs in order to identify the abundance of particular subgenomic RNA.

NGS reads mapped results revealed that Orf7a and N subgenomic were in high

abundance whereas Orf8, Orf6, and E subgenomic were relatively low (in an increasing

order). These subgenomic RNAs are present several fold higher in comparison to whole

genome fragments. Therefore, the present study seek to identify the possible conserved

regions nearby the transcription regulatory sequences (TRS) or initiation codon those

could mediate significant roles in the generation or expression of sub-genomic RNAs.

The negative sense RNA is firstly generated through the replication/transcription of

positive sense RNA genome. The negative sense RNA will serve as template for positive

sense RNA genome and subgenomic messenger RNAs (or subgenomic RNAs) (Sawicki et

al., 2007; Yang et al., 2020).

Therefore, in order to identify the consensus secondary structure, negative sense

subgenomic RNAs were aligned by using LocARNA webserver. The significant length of

upstream and downstream region (with respect to initiation codon) was considered for

the analysis, with the total length of ~420 nt. Sequence alignment revealed a consensus

hairpin domain (~35 to 60 nt; depending on the particular subgenomic RNA) among

different negative sense sub-genomic RNAs (Figure 1, Table 1). This domain is present

at the immediate downstream of initiation codon. The important features could be noted

as the distance between initiation codon and consensus hairpin domain (Table 1). The

structured hairpin domain of negative sense subgenomic RNAs might be of intrinsic

functioning in either pausing /backtracking of RdRp at the immediate downstream of

initiation codon. The conserved hairpin domain could also have role in template

switching during transcription, although the role of conserved TRS has only been

identified in recombination events (Yang et al., 2021). The conserved TRS is AACGAAC,

that is highlighted in green (as GUUCGUU; negative sense) in the analyzed sequences

of negative sense subgenomic RNAs (supplementary data file).

**The Orf7a subgenomic, N subgenomic, E subgenomic, Orf6 subgenomic and Orf8**

**subgenomic has distinct secondary structure domain:**

Since the sequence alignment of subgenomic RNAs revealed single hairpin domain.

However, it was required to perform secondary structure prediction of subgenomic RNA

sequence to examine the possibility of consensus secondary structure formation. The

secondary structure prediction was performed by using Vienna RNA webserver, and

determined whether particular subgenomic RNA adopts a specific hairpin structure or

not. Data obtained from sequence alignment and secondary structure prediction

indicates that Orf7a subgenomic, N subgenomic, E subgenomic, Orf6 subgenomic and

Orf8 subgenomic bears distinct and long hairpin domain with high probability of

formation (Table 1, Figure 2A). These structural features could possibly be correlated

with the previous study by Alexandersen et al; which described the high abundance of

Orf7a subgenomic and N subgenomic RNAs whereas Orf8 subgenomic, Orf6

subgenomic, and E subgenomic were relatively low (Alexandersen et al., 2020). In

addition, the remaining subgenomic RNAs (S subgenomic, Orf7b, Orf3a and M

subgenomic) were reported to be very low or near zero level abundance (Alexandersen et

al., 2020). The underlying reason of low level of NGS reads could be correlated with

their respective hairpin structure (Figure 2B) and other properties mentioned in Table

1. These features include: hairpin loop splits in to subdomains, hairpin loop merged with

initiation codon, length and probability of hairpin domain formation. Overall, the

formation of distinct hairpin structure might be the underlying feature in the generation

of negative sense sub-genomic RNAs.

Importantly, the highest similarity (and intermediate alignment) was observed between

Orf7a and N subgenomic RNAs, as both subgenomic RNAs were placed together in guide

tree. It provides significant relevance and correlation from the previously reported high

abundant reads of N and Orf7a subgenomic (Alexandersen et al., 2020). In this

perspective, we propose that conserved secondary structural elements might be a

determining factor in transcriptional pausing and backtracking of RdRp that leads to

subgenomic RNA production.

**Discussion**

The present finding revealed the conserved hairpin structure that is present in negative

sense sub-genomic RNAs of SARS-CoV-2. The significance of conserved hairpin

structure could be of two fold reasons. Firstly, the particular hairpin loop secondary

structure could have intrinsic functioning in the pausing of replication/transcription of

negative sense subgenomic RNAs mediated by RdRp. In addition, the conserved

secondary structure could mediate template switching at TRS during transcription,

involve the joining of 5⬚UTR to RNA body sequence. Further experimental studies could

be performed to identify the much precise role of the conserved secondary structure in

the generation of negative sense subgenomic RNAs and maintenance of viral infection.

**Methods:**

**Extraction of SARS-CoV-2 RNA genome and subgenomic RNAs**

The complete genome sequence of SARS-CoV-2 isolate Wuhan-Hu-1 (NCBI Reference

Sequence: NC_045512.2) was used in this study, and specific regions (upstream and

downstream) corresponding to particular subgenomic RNA were extracted. The

nucleotides sequences were converted in to reverse complement that served as negative

sense subgenomic RNA. The negative sense RNAs were used in alignment and

secondary structure prediction analysis.

**RNA secondary structural similarity by using LocARNA webserver**

LocARNA-Alignment and Folding webserver align the input RNA sequences and

simultaneously fold them (Raden et al., 2018; Will et al., 2012). This webserver was used

in the present study because it folds the RNA by using very realistic energy models as

used by RNAfold of the Vienna RNA package. The sequences of negative sense

subgenomic RNAs were aligned by using LocARNA webserver. The alignment type was

global and in standard mode. The alignment was used to identify the consensus

secondary structures elements between negative sense subgenomic RNA sequences of

SARS-CoV-2.

**Secondary structure prediction by using Vienna RNA webserver**

Secondary structure prediction of subgenomic RNA sequences was performed by using

Vienna RNA webserver (Hofacker, 2003). The subset of aligned sequence region (~285

nt) respective to each subgenomic RNA was submitted for structure prediction by using

Vienna RNA webserver. The prediction results are included in supplementary data file.

**References:**

Alexandersen, S., Chamings, A., and Bhatta, T.R. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 genomic and subgenomic RNAs
in diagnostic samples are not an indicator of active replication. Nature Communications *11*, 6059.
Dulin, D., Vilfan, I.D., Berghuis, B.A., Poranen, M.M., Depken, M., and Dekker, N.H. (2015).
Backtracking behavior in viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase provides the basis for a second
initiation site. Nucleic acids research *43*, 10421-10429.
Hofacker, I.L. (2003). Vienna RNA secondary structure server. Nucleic acids research *31*, 3429-3431.
Kim, D., Lee, J.Y., Yang, J.S., Kim, J.W., Kim, V.N., and Chang, H. (2020). The Architecture of SARS-CoV-
2 Transcriptome. Cell *181*, 914-921 e910.
Malone, B., Chen, J., Wang, Q., Llewellyn, E., Choi, Y.J., Olinares, P.D.B., Cao, X., Hernandez, C., Eng,
E.T., Chait, B.T._, et al._ (2021). Structural basis for backtracking by the SARS-CoV-2
replication–transcription complex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *118*,
e2102516118.
Mohammadi-Dehcheshmeh, M., Moghbeli, S.M., Rahimirad, S., Alanazi, I.O., Shehri, Z.S.A., and
Ebrahimie, E. (2021). A Transcription Regulatory Sequence in the 5' Untranslated Region of SARS-
CoV-2 Is Vital for Virus Replication with an Altered Evolutionary Pattern against Human Inhibitory
MicroRNAs. Cells *10*.

Raden, M., Ali, S.M., Alkhnbashi, O.S., Busch, A., Costa, F., Davis, J.A., Eggenhofer, F., Gelhausen, R.,
Georg, J., Heyne, S., *et al.* (2018). Freiburg RNA tools: a central online resource for RNA-focused
research and teaching. Nucleic acids research *46*, W25-W29.
Sawicki, S.G., Sawicki, D.L., and Siddell, S.G. (2007). A contemporary view of coronavirus
transcription. J Virol *81*, 20-29.
Will, S., Joshi, T., Hofacker, I.L., Stadler, P.F., and Backofen, R. (2012). LocARNA-P: accurate boundary
prediction and improved detection of structural RNAs. RNA (New York, N.Y.) *18*, 900-914.
Yang, Y., Yan, W., Hall, A.B., and Jiang, X. (2020). Characterizing Transcriptional Regulatory
Sequences in Coronaviruses and Their Role in Recombination. Molecular Biology and Evolution *38*,
1241-1248.
Yang, Y., Yan, W., Hall, A.B., and Jiang, X. (2021). Characterizing Transcriptional Regulatory
Sequences in Coronaviruses and Their Role in Recombination. Mol Biol Evol *38*, 1241-1248.
Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., Zhao, X., Huang, B., Shi, W., Lu, R., *et al.* (2020).
A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. The New England journal of
medicine *382*, 727-733.

| Sr. No. | Type of subgenomic RNA | Location of hairpin structure (from the downstream of initiation codon) | Length of hairpin loop structure with probability | Hairpin structure is distinct or not (merged with different loop carrying initiation codon) | Sequence alignment matches with predicted structure (probability) | Probability of formation of distinct secondary structure |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | S subgenomic | Includes ~28 nt of coding region | ~55 nt | No (merged with initiation codon) | Yes | Less |
| 2 | *3a subgenomic | ~10 nt | ~58 nt | Yes | Yes | Moderate |
| 3 | ***E subgenomic | ~47 nt | ~52 nt | Yes | Yes | High |
| 4 | *M subgenomic | ~8 nt | Splits in three small hairpin loops | No (first loop merged with initiation codon) | No (three distinct hairpin structures) | Moderate |
| 5 | **Orf6 subgenomic | ~41 nt | ~47 nt | Yes | Yes | High |
| 6 | ****Orf7a subgenomic | ~30 nt | ~35 nt | Yes | Yes | High |
| 7 | Orf7b subgenomic | Includes ~6 nt of coding sequence | Splits in two hairpin loop structure | No (first loop merged with initiation codon) | No (two distinct hairpin structures) | Moderate |
| 8 | **Orf8 subgenomic | ~20 nt | ~57 nt | Yes | Yes | Moderate |

| 9 | ****N subgenomic | ~1st nucleotide of initiation codon | ~60 nt | Yes | Yes | Moderate |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|

**Table 1:** The table presents the description of analyzed negative sense subgenomic

RNAs. The description is drawn from the combined output of sequence alignment

followed by secondary structure prediction results. The number of asterisks (*) denotes

to the abundance level for each subgenomic RNA detected by NGS reads mapped to the

sequences and no asterisk is given to particular subgenomic that was detected at very

low or near zero level) (Alexandersen et al., 2020).

**Figure legend:**

**Figure 1**

The figure presents the output of alignment result of negative sense subgenomic RNAs

that was done through the LocARNA webserver. The alignment result revealed the

consensus sequence and hairpin structure (with double headed arrow) among negative

sense subgenomic RNAs. This consensus structure is present at the immediate

downstream of initiation codon or TRS (mentioned with double headed arrow).

**Figure 2**

Secondary structure of consensus sequence of respective negative sense subgenomic

RNAs. The secondary structure of each sequence was predicted by using Vienna RNA

webserver. The respective portion of hairpin loop is shown with probability in color

coding from 0 to 1. Secondary structure of consensus alignment sequence of each

subgenomic RNA is shown in panel A and B. Panel A involves consensus secondary

structure from subgenomic RNAs those have been detected or mapped at considerable

level through NGS reads mapping (Alexandersen et al., 2020). Panel B involves

consensus structure of subgenomic RNAs those have been detected at very low or zero

level through NGS reads mapping. The complete secondary structure of each

subgenomic RNA is provided in supplementary data file.

A

**B**

S subgenomic

Orf3a subgenomic

M subgenomic

Orf7b subgenomic

```
                               ((((((((((
Orf6a  UCACAAGUAGCGAG-----------UGUU-AUCAGUGCCAAGAAAAGAAUAAUUU-C  44
Orf7a  --------AGUUUA-----------GGUGAAACGAUCUGGCACGUAACUGAUAGA     37
S      GCAAAAUAAAC-AC-----------CAUCAUUUAAUUGGUAGGACAGGGUUAUCA--  42
Orf7b  GGGUCAUCAACUACAUAUGGUUGAUGUUGAUGUUGAUGUACUGAAUGGUGA-----  54
Orf8b  AGGAAACUGUAUAAUUACCGAUAUCGAUGUACUGAAUGGGUA-------------   43
E      AU-------------------------CAGGAACUCUAGAAGAA              19
M      AUUCUGUAAACAGCAGCAAGCACAAAACAAGCUAAAGUUA-----------CUGGCCAUAA  50
Orf3a  A-----------------------------CCUUGGAGAGUG               14
N      GAAC------------------------GCCUGUCUCGAGGGAAUUUUAGGU      30
       .....10....20....30....40....50....6

Orf6a  CAUGUUCGUUAAUCAAUCUCCAUUGGUUGCUCUCUCAAUUGAG-AAUAUUUAUU-C  102
Orf7a  CGUGUUUUAC--------GCCGUCAUGGACAAGCAAAAGCAAAUUGAG-UGCUAAAGCAAG  88
S      ---AACCUCUUAGUA-----GCAUUGGUCCCAGAGACAUGUAU--AG-CAUGGAACCAAG  91
Orf7b  UGGUGAAAUGCAG-----CUACAUUGUGGUGAUGAUUCCUAAGAAAACA-AGAAAUUUC-AU  107
Orf8b  ------UUUAGAACCAGCCUCAUCUCACGCACAAUUCAAUUGAGUUGCUGAUUUUCUAGC  97
E      ----------------UUCAGU-UUUUUUAACACGAGAGUAAACGUAAAAAGAAGGUUUU  61
M      CAGCCAGAGGAAAAU-----UACAUUGUCAAACAAUAUUACAAAAACCUAUUCCUGUUGGCAU  103
Orf3a  -CUAGUUGCCAUCUCUUUUUGAGUGUAU----------------            42
N      CUUCCUUGCCAUGUUGAG-UGACUGCGGU--                          58
       0.....70....80....90....100....110....1

Orf6a  UCAG-UUAGUGACUUAGAU---AAAUUUUUAAUUAUGAGGU-UUAU-------GAUGUAAU  151
Orf7a  UCAG---UGCAAAUUGUUUAUCAGCUAGAGGAUGAAAGUGCAAUUGCCCUCGUAUGUUC  145
S      UAAC-AUUUGAAA------AGAAAGGUAAGAACAAGUCCUGAGUUG--------UUUU  130
Orf7b  GUUC-GUUUAGGCGUGACAAGUUUC---------AUUAUGA-CUUG--------UUUU  143
Orf8b  UCCU-ACUCUAAUAAUUACCAUUUAGAAUAGAAGUGAAUAGGAUACGG---------U  144
E      ACA--AGACUCACGU-----------------UAACAUUAUUGCAG----------  88
M      AGGCAAAUUG--------GGACAAGACAAAUCCAUGUAAGGAUAUAG--------  140
Orf3a  ---GAUUUUGGAAGCGCUCUGAAAAACAGCAAGAGUGCAAUGCCAACAAUAAGCCAUCC  99
N      ---GAACCA----AGACGCAGUAUUAUUGGGUAAACCUUGGGUGCCGAC--        99
       20.....130....140....150....160....170....1

Orf6a  CAAGAUUCCA-AAUGGAA-ACUUUAAAAGUCCUCUAUAAUAAUUAGUAAUAUCUCUGCUAU  209
Orf7a  CAGAAGAGC--AAGGUUC-UUUUUAAAAAGUACUGUUGUACCUCUAACA------CACUCU  195
S      ----------A-AUGUAAACUGAGGACUGAACACUUUGUCAGGGUAUAAA      172
Orf7b  CAGUUC-----AAGUGAG-AACCAAAAGAUAAUAUGCAUAAUUUAAAACAGG--AAUAGC  195
Orf8b  CAUCAACUAC-AAUAUGGU-UGAUGUACUA-------------------------CAU  176
E      CAGUACGCAC-ACAAUCGAAGCGCAGUAAGGAUGGUCUAGUGUAACUAGCAAGAAUACCAC  147
M      -GAAACCUAU-UACUAGG--UUCCAUUGUCA----------AGGAGCUUUUUAAGCUC  185
Orf3a  GAAAGGGAGUGAGGCUUGUAUUGUCGUGUGC-----------------------AG  134
N      ----------GUUGUUUUGAUCGC--GCCCCAC------                   122
       80.....190....200....210....220....230....2

Orf6a  AGUAAC--CUGAAAGU-C-AACGAGAU----GA-AACAUCUGUUGUCAC-UUACUGUAC-  258
Orf7a  UGGUA---GUGA-----U-AA-AGCUCACAAGU-AGCGAGUGUUAUCAG-UGCCAAGAA-  242
S      CACCACGUGUGAAAGAAU-UAGUGUAUGCAGG---GGGUAAAUUGAUGUC-UGGUUGUAA-  226
Orf7b  AGAAAGGCUAAAAAGCACAAAUAGAAGUCAAUU-AAUGAAGUUCAAUC-AUUCUGU----  250
Orf8b  GACUG---------UAAACUACAUUCU-----U-G-UGGUAAAUGUCAUCAGAUUGUGAUU  220
E      GAAACGCAAGAAAAAA-----GUACGCUAUUAACU-AUUAACGUACCUGUCUCUUCCUGGAAAC  204
M      UUCAAC--GGUAA----------------------UAGUACCGUUGGA-----         209
Orf3a  UAGC----GCGAA--CAAAAUCUGA-AGGAGUAGCAUCCUUGAUUUCAACCUUGCUUCAAA  187
N      CGUU-----CUCCAUUCUGGUUAAUCUGCCAG--UUGAAUCUGAUAGGGGUCCUCACCAAACGUAA--  174
       40.....250....260....270....280....290....3

Orf6a  -AAGCA---------------------------AAGCAAU----AUUGUCACUGC-----U  282
Orf7a  -AAGAAUAAUUU-------UCAUGUUCGUUUAAUCAAUCUCCAUUGGUUGCUC------U  288
S      -GAUUAACA--------------------------CACUGACUAGAGA---CUA------G  251
Orf7b  ---------------------------------------------CU----U  253
Orf8b  GAUUCCUAAGAA-------AACAAGAAAUUUCAUGUUCGUUUAGGCGUGACAA------G  267
E      GAAUUGAGUACAUAAGUUCGUACUCAUCAGCUUGUGCUUACAAAGGCACGUCAGUAGUCGU  264
M      ------------------------------AUCUGCCAUGGCUGUCAAAAU          227
Orf3a  GUUACAGUUCCAAUUGUGAAGAUUCUCAUAAACAAAUCCAUAAGUU------CGUUUAUG  241
N      -UGCGGGGUGCAUUUCGC-------UGAUUUUG---------GGGU----CCAUUAUC  211
       00.....310....320....330....340....350....3

Orf6a  AC-UGGAA-UGGU------UU-UGUGUUAAUUUUAAG----UU---GC-GCCAAUCC  320
Orf7a  UCAUCUAA-UUGA------GAU---AUUUUAUUC----AU---UCUCAGUUAG----  320
S      UGGCAAUA-AAACAAGAAAAACUAACA------UUGU-UC-UAUUGC--CGCAACAA---UAA  303
Orf7b  UCUUCAUUAUGAUCUUGAG-AGUUU-----AAGUGA-AAUACCAAAA---GAUAAUA----AG  313
Orf8b  UUUCAUUAUGAUCUUGAG-AGUU-----AAGUGA-AACCAAAA-----GAUAAUA----AG  313
E      CGUCGGU-UCAUCCAUAAAAUUGUUCCAUUACUGGUUUAACAACUCCGGAUGAAACG---  319
M      UAAAGUUCCAAACGAAAAAUGU-A-UAUAAAUAUUUAGAGU--CGU-----CUUCAUCAAAU  279
Orf3a  UGUAAUGUAAUUGACUCCU-UUUAGCACUG-GCUUAGAGU-CGU-----CUUCAUCAAAU  294
N      AGACAUUUAGUGUUGUUCGU-UU-UGAUG-AA-AUUUAAAC-AACACGAACGCAUGGAUAC  268
       60.....370....380....390....400....410....4

Orf6a  -----------UGUAGCGACUGUAUGCAGCAAAACCUGAGUCA--CCUG--------  356
Orf7a  -----------UGA-----------CUUAGAUA-AAUU                    335
S      ---CAAGAACAUCACUAGA----------AAUAACAACUCUGUUG--UUUUCUCUAAUUAUA  343
Orf7b  AGGUGAAACUGAUCUGGCACGU-----AACUGAU------                  355
Orf8b  -CAUAAUUAUAAACAAGGAA-------AGCUACAGAUA----AUUAAUUAUAAACAAGGAA  349
E      ------AAUUUGGACAUGUUCACUCAGGCCUCAUCAACA------AUUUAUUGUGAG      373
M      GAUCAGGAACACUAGCGACGAGGGAGAAUUCAGAUUUUUAAAGAGAGACAAUGUGAAAAGGU  339
Orf3a  UUGCA------AGAGAUCCACAACGAACAACAGCCCUUGAGACAACAGCCAACUGGUC  348
N      UCUAA------AAGUCUUCAUAGAACGAACAACAACGCACUACAAGACUACCCAAUUUAGGU  322
       20.....430....440....450....460....470....4

Orf6a  -------CUACACGCUGCGAAG----CUC----------------CCAAUUUGUAAUAAG  389
Orf7a  ----UUUAU-UAUGAGGU----UUAUGUGAUUAACAAGAUUUCCCAAAUGGAAACUUU  385
S      AGUCUACCUUUACUAAGAAGAG----AUAAAAUACAUAUCAU-------------UGAUU  385
Orf7b  AGGUGAAACUGAUCUGGCACGU-----AACUGAU-                      384
Orf8b  -CAUAAUUAUAAACAAGGAA-------AGUCAAUU----AAUGAAAGUUCAAUCAUUCU  388
E      ----AAUUUGGACAUGUUCACUCAGGCCUCAUCAACA------AUUUAUUGUGAG      373
M      UUAUCAAGAACUACAG-----GUUAACAAUAUUGUCAGCAGUAACGUACUUCGGAUAUA  394
Orf3a  AUACAG----AAAGCAUAAUUUGACUCACCUUUAUGGGUAACAAACAUAUGGCAAUCAAGCCA  393
N      UCCUGGCAAUAAUUGUAAAAGGUAAUCAACAGGUAUGGUAAAAUUGAGUGAUGUAGAUGAUAC  382
       80.....490....500....510....520....530....5

Orf6a  AAAGCGUUC--GUG--AUGUAGCAACAGUGAUU-------U------C             420
Orf7a  AAAGUCCU--CAU--AAUAAAUUAGUAAUAAUCUCUGCUAU------A             420
S      UGACCUUUG-UUU----AAAGACAAUAACAGCAGUAUCCCC--U                 420
Orf7b  ---AGACGU--GUUUUUACGCCGUCAGGACAAUAGACAAAAGCA------U          420
Orf8b  GUCUUUUCUU--UUGAGUUGAAGCAAAGUGUA--------                    420
E      AUGAGGAUGGCUAGUGUAA------------------CUAGCAAGA              420
M      AUACAGUUA-----GCCUAAUAAUUGUCAACAUAAUGGUGUUCAAU-UAU          420
Orf3a  -------GCUAUAAAACC---------UAGCCAAAUGUAC----CAU            420
N      UGAAAUGGUUGAUUCUGAAAACC--------AGCCUCACGGUCACACAA          420
       40.....550....560....570....580....
```