Made together, replayed together: Memory consolidation during sleep involves context

reinstatement

Eitan Schechtman*[1,2], Julia Heilberg[1], & Ken A. Paller[1]

1 – Department of Psychology, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208, USA.

2 – Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

* Corresponding author and lead contact: eitans@uci.edu

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by NIH grants K99-MH122663 and R00-MH122663 and by

NSF grant BCS-1921678.

Author contribution: All authors contributed to the design of this study and helped revise the

manuscript. E.S collected the data. E.S and J.H conducted the analyses and E.S wrote the initial draft of

the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Abstract

Newly formed memories aren't quarantined from each other when first encoded; rather, they are interlinked with other memories that were encoded in temporal proximity or share semantic features. In this study, we selectively biased memory processing during sleep in humans to test the hypothesis that memories' contexts influence their consolidation. Participants formed 18 idiosyncratic narratives, each linking four objects together. They then memorized random on-screen spatial positions assigned to each of the 72 objects. During sleep, 12 object-specific sounds were unobtrusively presented, thereby cuing the associated memories and improving spatial recall for weakly encoded objects. As predicted, memory for non-cued objects that were contextually linked with cued objects benefited as well. The correlational structure of spectral power for electrophysiological responses suggested that activity in the sigma band supported context reinstatement and predicted context-related memory benefits. Moreover, context-specific electrophysiological activity patterns emerged during sleep. We conclude that when an individual memory is reactivated during sleep, its corresponding encoding context is also reinstated, and that reinstatement has an impact on consolidation and subsequent retrieval of associated knowledge.

Keywords: Sleep, memory consolidation, targeted memory reactivation, context, contextual reinstatement

Introduction

Individual memories are supported by an intricate network of interconnections—not independent and detached from other memories. These connections are central to the organization of memories in the brain and impact subsequent retrieval. The term "context" has been used to describe the elements that surround a core memory, which share some features with it such as time, space, or semantic-relatedness [1, 2]. Memories that are formed within temporal proximity of others are said to share a temporal context, whereas memories that share semantic relatedness are said to share a semantic context or be semantically clustered. Both types of contexts impact subsequent retrieval [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. When a specific memory learned in some context is retrieved (e.g., the decorations for a recent party), other contextually related memories may effortlessly come to mind as well (e.g., the guests attending the party). On the neural level, this process, termed contextual reinstatement, is manifested by increased similarity between the observed neural patterns during encoding and retrieval [7, 8]. In this study, we explored contextual reinstatement when memories were reactivated during sleep, as well as the consequences of reinstatement on later retrieval.

Whereas the role of context at encoding and retrieval has been repeatedly demonstrated, its role in the intermediate period of time has not been systematically explored. During these offline periods, including sleep, memory traces are cemented in cortical networks through a set of processes collectively termed consolidation [9, 10]. The consolidation of declarative memories (i.e., explicit memories for facts and autobiographical events) is thought to primarily occur during non-rapid-eye-movement (NREM) sleep, which consists of stages N2 and N3 of sleep. Consolidation is thought to rely on memory reactivation, which, like contextual reinstatement, involves the selective activation of memory-specific neural circuits [11, 12]. The extent to which contextually related memories are reinstated over the course of consolidation during sleep remains unclear. Recently, it has been hypothesized that the benefits of NREM to memory stem from it being a state devoid of context, thus preventing the damaging effects of contextual interference[13] (but see [14]).

To explore context reinstatement during sleep, we biased memory processing during sleep using unobtrusive stimuli, a technique termed targeted memory reactivation (TMR)[15]. TMR has been used to improve different forms of memory, including declarative and nondeclarative (see [16] for a recent meta-analysis). In this study, participants created unique stories that each linked a place with four objects. The stories thus bound each set of objects together and served as semantic contexts. Next, participants studied the spatial positions of the objects on a 2D spatial grid. Later, during sleep, sounds related to some of the objects were presented during NREM sleep. Using this causal manipulation, we demonstrated that consolidation was not limited to memories that were directly targeted, but extended to other memories that were contextually bound to them. These results suggest that context plays a role in the process of memory consolidation during sleep. By examining electrophysiological waveforms following stimulus presentation during sleep, we demonstrated that power in the sigma range (15-20 Hz)—which may reflect the activity of sleep spindles linked with memory consolidation [17, 18, 19]—reflects the process of contextual reinstatement during sleep and predicts subsequent performance on a memory task.

Results

Participants (*N*=29) engaged in a single-session experiment that included an afternoon nap (Figure 1a). They first invented idiosyncratic stories involving a place (e.g., a movie theater) and four objects (Figure 1b). Next, they encoded the positions of objects on a 2-d grid (Figure 1c). To keep the encoding context (i.e., the story) salient, this training also involved answering story-specific questions that required contextual reinstatement. Spatial recall was then tested for all object positions. The average positioning error, calculated as the Euclidean distance between the true position and placed position, was 76.7 ± 1.9 (mean ± SEM) pixels (see STAR Methods). Next, participants were allowed to nap for up to 90 minutes. During NREM sleep, participants were exposed to sounds that had been associated with some of the objects (see Supplementary Table 2). After sleep, spatial recall was once again tested for all object positions. Finally, as a manipulation check, participants engaged in a cued recall test, in which they were asked to list the objects linked with each scene (see Supplementary Analysis 2).

Figure 1: Experimental design. (a) Upon coming to the lab, participants underwent several tasks before and after a 90-minute afternoon nap. (b) In story building, participants constructed a story including the four objects and the locale pictured. The four objects, linked together by the story, formed a contextually bound set. Participants constructed 18 such stories. (c) In position learning, each of nine blocks featured two contextually bound sets. Participants learned the on-screen positions

of the eight objects in conjunction with answering questions about their stories. (d) After a memory test for object positions, participants had a 90-minute nap opportunity. During their sleep, sounds associated with 12 objects were unobtrusively presented. These objects were systematically selected from only six of the nine blocks. In these six blocks, two objects from the same set were cued. The critical conditions were thus cued objects, non-cued objects from a set with cued objects (i.e., a cued set), and non-cued objects that do not belong to a cued set (either from a cued block or a non-cued block). The panel shows an outline of the two types of blocks (top) and example blocks for each (bottom).

Reactivation during sleep impacted performance for contextually bound memories

We hypothesized that biasing reactivation for certain memories would impact other memories that belonged to the same contextually bound set. To test this, we first divided objects for each participant into three groups (Figure 1d): (1) objects that were directly reactivated using sounds during sleep (cued objects ∈ cued set); (2) objects that were not directly reactivated, but were contextually linked with objects belonging to the previous group (non-cued objects ∈ cued set); (3) objects that were neither directly reactivated nor shared an encoding context with those that were (non-cued objects ∉ cued set) (Figure 1d). The three groups did not differ in terms of pre-sleep accuracy errors ($F(2, 1666) = 1.29$, $p = 0.28$; average errors were 71.53 ± 3.26, 75.66 ± 3.57, 77.89 ± 2.05, respectively). After sleep, the average errors for the three groups were 80.55 ± 3.26, 82.47 ± 4.31, 81.75 ± 1.95, respectively.

We hypothesized that the second group would show less forgetting during sleep relative to the third group. To test this hypothesis, we first submitted our results to a repeated-measures ANOVA across participants with Condition (i.e., the three groups of objects) and Time (pre- and post-sleep) as factors. We found a main effect of Time ($F(1, 56) = 7.51$, $p < 0.05$), indicating that errors increased across sleep. The effects of Condition and the interaction between Condition and Time were not significant ($F(2, 56) = 0.94$, $p = 0.34$, $F(2, 56) = 0.45$, $p = 0.51$, respectively). We verified these results by running three additional repeated-measures ANOVAs contrasting all three possible group pairings (e.g., cued objects ∈ cued set vs. non-cued objects ∉ cued set). None of these analyses yielded significant effects of Condition or significant interactions between Condition and Time (all ps > 0.14). Diverging from our prediction and from previous studies using targeted memory reactivation, these results suggest that reactivation during sleep did not impact memory in our experiment. In fact, forgetting rates were numerically higher for cued objects relative to non-cued ones, although this difference was not significant. Since reactivation did not improve memory uniformly, we next turned our attention to its effects on memories as a function of their initial encoding strength.

Building on prior studies showing that sleep's impact on memory is modulated by pre-sleep memory strength[20, 21, 22, 23], we hypothesized that the effect of cuing in our task may depend on the initial memory strength as reflected by pre-sleep errors. To test this idea, we fitted a mixed linear model predicting how post-sleep positioning errors would be modulated by Condition, while accounting for pre-sleep positioning errors (Figure 2a, b). This approach allowed us to consider whether reactivation affected memory differentially based on accuracy levels before sleep. We thus focused on the interaction between pre-sleep error and condition, which we term encoding-strength-dependent forgetting (ESDF). Higher ESDF values indicate more forgetting for objects with weaker encoding strength and less forgetting for stronger memories.

As expected, normalized pre-sleep errors were positively correlated with post-sleep errors (F(1, 1537) =
258.8, $p < 0.001$), indicating that memories that were well-remember before sleep remained relatively
well-remembered after sleep (and vice versa). Mirroring the results of the repeated-measures ANOVA,
we did not find a main effect of Condition (F(2, 1537) = 0.28, $p = 0.75$), indicating that cuing did not
reduce post-sleep errors uniformly. However, we found a significant interaction between Condition and
pre-sleep errors (F(2, 1537) = 7.1, $p < 0.001$), indicating that cuing differentially impacted errors as a
function of pre-sleep errors, with greater benefits for weakly encoded memories and fewer benefits for
strongly encoded ones. This interaction reflects differences between conditions in encoding-strength-
dependent forgetting. Higher ESDF values reflect more forgetting for objects with higher pre-sleep
errors (i.e., steeper slopes between pre- and post-sleep errors as shown in Figure 2a, b). We compared
encoding-strength-dependent forgetting values for the three conditions to unpack the interaction effect
(Figure 2c). Directly reactivated memories (i.e., cued objects ∈ cued set) showed smaller ESDF values
relative to memories that were neither reactivated nor contextually bound to reactivated memories
(i.e., non-cued objects ∉ cued set; t(1537) = -3.1, $p < 0.01$). Crucially, non-cued objects that were
contextually bound with cued objects (i.e., non-cued objects ∈ cued set) showed smaller ESDF values
relative to memories that were neither reactivated nor contextually bound to reactivated memories
(i.e., non-cued objects ∉ cued set; t(1537) = -2.2, $p < 0.05$). ESDF values for cued and non-cued
memories within the same contexts were not significantly different (i.e., cued objects ∈ cued set vs non-
cued objects ∈ cued set ; t(1537) = -1.07, $p = 0.29$). Comparable results were obtained when considering
the non-normalized accuracy errors, measured in pixels (Supplementary Figure 2). Taken together, these
results indicate that the encoding-strength-dependent benefits of memory reactivation during sleep
extend beyond targeted memories, impacting other memories that were contextually linked with them.

ESDF values may reflect forgetting rates for weaker memories (for which lower ESDF values reflect less
forgetting), stronger memories (for which lower ESDF values reflect more forgetting), or a combination
of both. To explore these effects separately, we used a median split (calculated separately for each
participant based on their data distribution in T1) to examine the effects of reactivation on memories
with higher-than-median and lower-than-median error rates. A linear mixed model incorporating
median in lieu of T1-error showed a significant interaction between Condition and Median-split
(F(2,1537) = 4.53, $p < 0.05$), mirroring the interaction between Condition and T1-error reported in the
previous paragraph. We then analyzed data for the higher-than-median and lower-than-median errors
separately to ask whether the interaction is driven by a main effect of condition on weaker or stronger
memories, respectively. However, neither half revealed a significant effect of Condition ($p > 0.2$). There
was thus no evidence that ESDF values were driven by an effect isolated to weaker or stronger
memories, leaving open the possibility that a composite from both was operative.

Using the same dataset, we next examined the differential roles of semantic context (i.e., conceptual
links between objects, operationalized by the idiosyncratic story for each set) and temporal context (i.e.,
links between objects learned within temporal proximity, operationalized by our block design; Figure
1c). Temporal encoding context has been shown to reinstate during wake, thereby impacting retrieval [8,
24, 25], and we hypothesized that it would similarly be reinstated and impact reactivation during sleep.
However, our results did not support this hypothesis. For this analysis, we divided our data into four
groups (Figure 2d). The first two were identical to those used for the previous analysis (cued objects ∈
cued set; non-cued objects ∈ cued set). Another group included objects that were not linked with a cued
set, but were learned within the same block as cued objects [(non-cued objects ∉ cued set) ∈ cued

block]. The final group included objects that were neither learned in the same block nor linked with the
same set as the cued objects (non-cued objects ∉ cued block). The crucial difference between these last
two groups is that objects in the former group – but not the latter group – were learned within temporal
proximity (i.e., within the same block) of cued objects. For our analyses, we postulated that these
temporally segmented blocks would effectively create segregated temporal contexts that would drive
behavior (see Discussion for limitations of this operationalization).

The four groups did not differ in terms of pre-sleep accuracy errors (F(3, 1665) = 0.91, p = 0.43; average
errors were 71.53 ± 3.26, 75.66 ± 3.57, 77.64 ± 2.82, 78.12 ± 2.19, respectively). After sleep, the average
errors for the four groups were 80.55 ± 3.26, 82.47 ± 4.31, 80.89 ± 2.89, 82.46 ± 2.21, respectively. Like
before, we first submitted our results to a repeated-measures ANOVA across participants with Condition
(i.e., the four groups of objects) and Time (pre- and post-sleep) as factors. We found a main effect of
Time (F(1, 84) = 9.16, p < 0.01), indicating that errors increased across sleep. The effects of Condition
and the interaction between Condition and Time were not significant (F(3, 84) = 0.76, p = 0.39, F(3, 84) =
0.51, p = 0.48, respectively). Using a linear model to investigate encoding-strength-dependent effects,
we found main effects of pre-sleep errors (F(1, 1535) = 150.2, p < 0.001) but not condition (F(3, 1535) =
0.2, p = 0.9), as well as a significant interaction (F(3, 1535) = 4.6, p < 0.01; Figure 2e). Contrasting the
ESDF values for the three groups revealed no significant difference between the [(non-cued objects ∉
cued set) ∈ cued block] and (non-cued objects ∉ cued block) groups, suggesting that temporal context,
as operationalized by learning block, had no effect on performance (t(1535) = 0.03, p = 0.98; Figure 2f).
We found significantly higher ESDF values for the (cued objects ∈ cued set) group relative to the (non-
cued objects ∉ cued block) group (t(1535) = -2.89, p < 0.01), and a trend toward higher ESDF values for
the (non-cued objects ∈ cued set) group relative to the (non-cued objects ∉ cued block) group (t(1535) =
-1.83, p = 0.07).

Taken together, results suggest that semantic context is reinstated during sleep and guides memory
consolidation. Temporal context did not show any effects on consolidation, but it is possible that such
effects may have been missed due to shortcomings of our operationalization of temporal context in this
paradigm (see Discussion).

Figure 2: Targeted reactivation impacted memory for reactivated memories and for memories bound by semantic context – but not temporal context. (a) Data for a single participant. Each dot represents error rates for objects positioned by the participant before and after sleep. Colors signify the condition for each object: cued objects ∈ cued set (yellow), non-cued objects ∈ cued set (red), non-cued objects ∉ cued set (blue). Steeper slopes signify more encoding-strength-dependent forgetting between the pre- and post-sleep tests (e.g., memory for the weakly encoded objects gets worse). (b) Forgetting curves across all participants. Slopes and intersects were estimated using a mixed linear model. (c) Statistical comparison of encoding-strength-dependent forgetting (ESDF; slopes in Figure 2b) across conditions. Higher values reflect more forgetting for weaker memories and less forgetting for stronger memories. (d) The same dataset was submitted to another analysis, which distinguishes between two groups of objects: [(non-cued objects ∉ cued set) ∈ cued block] and (non-cued objects ∉ cued block). For conciseness, the former group is designated as (non-cued objects ∈ cued block). Conventions follow Figure 1d. (e) ESDF values across all participants for the four conditions. The purple and turquoise lines largely overlap but reflect data for different objects. (f) Statistical comparison of ESDF values across conditions. Error bars signify standard errors of the mean. ** - $p < 0.01$; * - $p < 0.05$; ~ - $p < 0.1$; n.s - $p > 0.1$.

Sigma oscillations reflect contextual reinstatement, predicting changes in performance

Next, we explored the role of sleep EEG oscillations in the process of contextual reinstatement during
sleep. First, we calculated the time-locked time-frequency response to sounds presented during sleep

for all task-related sounds (Figure 3a). Across participants, we identified two clusters in the time-
frequency representation following sound onset ($p < 0.01$, corrected): one cluster at lower frequencies
(<10 Hz) peaking around 0.5 s after sound onset, and another between 15-20 Hz, which involved two
components peaking before and after the 1 s mark after sound onset (Figure 3b, left). The first cluster
(i.e., cluster 1; Figure 3b, right), consisting of frequencies in the delta and theta range, putatively reflects
activity related to slow oscillations and K-complexes, which are typical of NREM sleep. The second
cluster (i.e., cluster 2), consisting of frequencies in the sigma range, may reflect the occurrence of sleep
spindles, a sleep-specific waveform which has been linked to memory consolidation during sleep [17, 18].
However, previous research has shown that spindles typically commence approximately 1 s after sound
onset [11, 19, 26]. Together with the conjoined pattern of the observed cluster, this may suggest that only
the late component of the cluster (cluster 2B) truly reflects spindle activity, whereas the early
component (cluster 2A) reflects some high-frequency component of the K-complex [27]. Therefore, we
conducted further analyses on both the full high-frequency cluster and its two components.

Throughout NREM sleep, sounds were often presented multiple times (Supplementary Table 2). We
hypothesized that waveforms resulting from repeated presentations of the same sound would be more
similar one to the other than those resulting from different sounds. To test this idea, we first quantified
power in each cluster on a trial-by-trial basis. Per trial, the average power within the cluster in time-
frequency space was averaged, to create a single scalar for each cluster in each trial. These values
obtained for each cluster in each participant were submitted to an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC)
analysis (Figure 3c). ICC is a metric that quantifies within- vs between-group correlations. In this case,
higher ICC values would indicate that the response was more consistent between repetitions of the
same sounds (e.g., all *cat* sound presentations) than between different sounds (e.g., *cat* vs *lighter*
sound). For most clusters, results indeed indicated that coefficients were higher than those expected by
chance, as assessed using a permutation test (cluster 1, $p < 0.001$; cluster 2, $p < 0.01$; cluster 2A, $p <$
0.01; cluster 2B, $p = 0.15$; see Figure 3b, right, for designations). These correlations may be the result of
the memory content related to a sound being reactivated similarly across trials, but a more
parsimonious explanation is that they stem from the acoustic properties of the presented sounds
creating similar electrophysiological responses.

We then used a similar approach to test whether specific waveforms reflected contextual relationships
between objects within the same set. We hypothesized that responses would be more similar across
sounds if these sounds were linked within the same contextually bound set. For example, if a *cat* object
and a *lighter* object shared a context, we predicted that the response to hearing their sounds would be
more similar than the response to the sounds of the *cat* and a *clock*, which did not share a context. Put
differently, we predicted that if a specific waveform is involved in the process of contextual
reinstatement, spectral power linked with that waveform would be more similar for two sounds that
share a context and less similar for two sounds that do not share a context. To test this, we calculated
the ICC across sounds for each cluster and each participant (Figure 3d). Higher ICC values would indicate
stronger within-context consistency. Results showed higher-than-chance coefficients for cluster 2 ($p <$
0.05), and specifically for cluster 2B, reflecting post-sound sigma activity ($p < 0.001$). Unlike the previous
analysis conducted *within* sounds, this analysis considered similarity *between* sounds and therefore does
not reflect trivial sources of correlation, such as acoustic similarity. These results could implicate activity
in the sigma band in the process of context reinstatement during sleep.

Finally, we explored a more direct connection between within-context sigma correlations and the
aforementioned behavioral effects depicted in Figure 2c. For each participant, we calculated (a) the
TMR-induced changes in ESDF values for cued objects and for non-cued objects within cued sets; and (b)
the ICC between sounds belonging to the same contextually bound set (for each cluster). We then
correlated these measures across participants and found that participants with more similar sigma
power within sets also showed less encoding-strength-dependent forgetting for cued objects (r = -0.71,
$p < 0.01$ for cluster 2; r = -0.62, $p < 0.01$ for cluster 2B; $p > 0.18$ for all other clusters; Figure 3e, left). The
correlation for non-cued objects, which reflects the contextual effects of cuing on performance, was
significant only for the late sigma cluster (r = -0.5, $p < 0.05$ for cluster 2B, $p > 0.36$ for all other clusters;
Figure 3e, right).

For this last set of analyses, we used the differences between the ESDF values for cued sets relative to
non-cued sets. Our results, indicating a negative correlation between ICC values and encoding-strength-
dependent forgetting for cued sets, may therefore just as likely reflect a positive correlation between
ICC values and encoding-strength-dependent forgetting for non-cued sets. Indeed, the exact same
analysis can be viewed as if it considers the changes in ESDF values for non-cued objects ∉ cued sets
relative to the cued objects ∈ cued sets, revealing positive correlations for the sigma clusters (r = 0.71, p
< 0.01 for cluster 2; r = 0.62, $p < 0.01$ for cluster 2B). Although both these interpretations are equally
valid statistically, we argue that the more parsimonious interpretation is that cuing-related ICC values
correspond with cuing-related benefits, rather than the change in memories that were not biased during
sleep. Finally, to complement these analyses, we tested whether overall individual forgetting patterns,
regardless of cuing condition, were correlated with ICC values, and there were no significant correlations
for any clusters ($p > 0.42$). Taken together, results demonstrate that post-cue sigma power is correlated
within set and this correlation predicts contextually determined benefits. Our findings therefore support
the hypothesis that power in the sigma band reflects contextual reinstatement during sleep.

Figure 3: **Post-cue spectral power is driven by context and predicts contextually driven changes in performance.** (a) Time-frequency representation of EEG activity from electrode Cz over the time period

before and after cue onset during sleep. The pink line presents the average event-related response. (b) Left – map of the across-participant p-values for changes in spectral power. Right – identified clusters. (c) The similarity of induced power changes between different repetitions of the same sound was quantified using intraclass correlation coefficients for each cluster. Bar colors correspond to cluster outline colors in panel b (i.e., clusters 1, 2, 2A, and 2B from left to right). Results were evaluated using a permutation test. Real – values obtained for non-shuffled data. Shuf – values obtained for shuffled data. Gray lines represent individual participant data. (d) The similarity of induced power changes between different sounds belonging to the same contextually bound set. Designations follow those in panel c. (e) Correlations between intraclass correlation coefficients and condition-specific changes in encoding-strength-dependent forgetting values across participants. Left – for cued objects ∈ cued sets. Right – for non-cued objects ∈ cued sets. Error bars and dashed lines signify standard errors of the mean. *** - $p < 0.001$; ** - $p < 0.01$; * - $p < 0.05$; ~ - $p < 0.1$; n.s - $p > 0.1$.

Place-specific reinstatement of neural activity predicts changes in performance

Previous studies operationalized contextual reinstatement during wake using measures of similarity
between brain states during encoding and retrieval (e.g., [7, 8]). To test whether place-specific wake-like
activity is involved in sleep reactivation, we had participants observe three categories: places, faces, and
abstract images (Supplementary Figure 1). The place images included cropped versions of the images
subsequently used for the main task (e.g., a movie theater). Using time-series data from all scalp
electrodes to train a support-vector machine classifier, we identified clusters of time-points
distinguishing places and abstract images ($p < 0.001$). The largest cluster spanned between 0.28 and
0.73 s after image onset during wake (Figure 4a).

We hypothesized that place-related brain networks would play an integral role in offline processing of
the scene-heavy contexts used in our task. Therefore, we decided to use the wake, place-specific
patterns of activity as a marker for context reactivation during sleep. We next correlated the sleep and
wake EEG data from all scalp electrodes to reveal reinstatement of context-related activity. Averaging
across wake trials (i.e., around image onset for place images in the functional localizer) and sleep trials
(i.e., around sound onset for sleep-related sounds), we calculated the time-point-by-time-point
correlation matrix. The result was a time X time matrix of correlation coefficients, with a peak in
correlation around 0.5 s after place image onset during wake and 0.75 s after sound onset during sleep
(Figure 4b, top). This increase in correlation may reflect genuine neural reactivation of place-related
representations. However, it could be that the correlations are not place-specific, but rather reflect
similarities between sleep and image-viewing during wake. Indeed, conducting the same correlation
analysis between task-related sound presentation data and EEG data following the presentation of an
abstract image revealed similar temporal dynamics (Figure 4b, bottom). This similarity suggests that the
observed increase in correlation between sleep and wake is driven by wake-like activity following sound
onset that is not necessarily content-specific.

We next tried to tease apart the generalized wake-related correlation patterns and content-specific
correlation patterns by considering the differences between the patterns linked with abstract and place
images. We defined place-specific reactivation as the subtraction of the place and abstract related
matrices (Δr; Figure 4c). The resulting matrix showed a peak in correlation around 0.6 s after place
image onset during wake and 0.75 s after sound onset during sleep. Although correlations peaked
following sound onset, they persisted at other times as well (including above-baseline correlations

before sound onset, which was generally about 6-7 s following prior sound onset). This extended period
of place-specific reactivation was unexpected, and seemingly challenges our hypothesis that reactivation
during sleep would elicit context-related neural patterns. On the other hand, extended reactivation
could stem from features of the TMR design we used; during these critical periods of sleep there were
repetitive presentations of task-related sounds, all of which were part of contextually bound sets
involving places. We therefore propose that location-related context was reactivated consistently
throughout the cuing period, producing reactivation immediately after cues as well as later during inter-
sound intervals.

To reveal whether place-specific reactivation predicts changes in performance, we collapsed the place-
specific reactivation matrix over the time course of sleep trials (Figure 4d). For the wake data, we
focused on periods during which the classifier distinguished between places and abstract images (Figure
4a; gray dashed frame in Figure 4d). Arguably, data from intervals when the two categories are
indistinguishable would not be informative in tracking memory-related patterns of activation. The
results show periods of time during which Δr was above zero, indicating similarity to place-related wake
activity, and periods of time during which Δr is lower than zero. These "dips" could indicate either an
increase in similarity to the abstract-image-viewing condition or a negative correlation with the location-
image-viewing condition (or both). Future studies should explore these dynamics further, taking into
consideration their interactions with sleep-specific waveforms (e.g., [12]).

We next calculated average correlations during this time period and correlated them with ESDF values
for cued objects ∈ cued sets. Results indicated lower ESDF values for cued objects in participants who
demonstrated higher wake-sleep place-specific correlations ($r = -0.39$, $p < 0.05$; Figure 4e, left).
However, reactivation patterns did not significantly correlate with non-cued objects ∈ cued sets ($r = -$
0.05, $p = 0.82$; Figure 4e, right). These two correlation coefficients were not significantly different from
one another ($p = 0.21$). Taken together, results support the hypothesis that place-specific neural
representations are reinstated during sleep and that this reinstatement predicts encoding-strength-
dependent forgetting for cued memories. However, the temporal dynamics of this activity (i.e., its
persistence over the intertrial interval) and the lack of a clear correlation with the changes in
performance for non-cued objects ∈ cued sets qualify the validity of these results.

Figure 4: **Scene-specific neural reactivation predicts changes in performance for cued objects.** (a) Classification accuracy for a classifier trained to distinguish images of places and abstract images. Gray

lines denote significant classification above chance ($p < 0.001$). Gray dashed boxes in the following panels signify the time period for the largest cluster of continuously significant classification. (b) Correlation patterns between EEG patterns following sound presentation during sleep (y-axis) and image presentation during wake (x-axis). Top – correlations for images of places. Bottom – correlations for abstract images. (c) The place-specific correlation patterns, defined as the subtraction between the upper and lower matrices shown in panel b. Note that place-related activity starts before sound onset during sleep and persists throughout most of the sound-locked time-course. (d) Place-specific correlation patterns averaged over the sound-locked time-course during sleep. (e) Correlations between the place-specific patterns during the classifiable time period (gray dashed box in panel d) and changes in encoding-strength-dependent forgetting values across participants. Top – for cued objects ∈ cued sets. Bottom – for non-cued objects ∈ cued sets. Shaded areas and dashed lines signify standard errors of the mean. * - $p < 0.05$; n.s - not significant.

<u>Discussion</u>

In this study, we tested whether the context in which memories are encoded impacts sleep-related
consolidation. Participants first developed idiosyncratic stories linking different objects with a physical
place, and then encoded on-screen positions for each object. By presenting object-specific sounds
during NREM sleep, we selectively biased reactivation towards specific memories, thereby impacting
forgetting for these memories. Crucially, this manipulation also impacted retrieval for contextually
bound memories that were not cued directly.

If reactivating a memory reinstates its context, carry-over benefits should be determined by the level of
contextual overlap between the cued and non-cued memories, with the greatest benefits incurred by
the targeted memory itself. Although the impact on cued memories was numerically higher for cued
relative to non-cued memories, this difference was not significant. This null effect should be considered
with caution, and future studies should more directly consider the hypothesis that the degree of
contextual overlap would determine the benefits to contextually linked memories.

For both cued and non-cued memories, observed effects on memory were dependent on initial memory
strength. Benefits to memory grew linearly as a function of initial memory strength, with greater
benefits for objects that were weakly encoded before sleep and detriments to stronger memories.
These data are in line with previous studies that have found that weakly encoded memories seem to be
prioritized for reactivation and consolidation. The preferential benefits seem to be a general
phenomenon, observed during sleep and resting wake (e.g., [28]) and impacting both spontaneous
reactivation (e.g., [20]) and targeted reactivation (e.g., [22, 23, 29]). However, our data suggest that the
beneficial effect of TMR for weaker memories may be mirrored by a detrimental effect for stronger
memories. We did not find a uniform benefit of cuing on memory performance when dependence on
encoding strength was not taken into account.

Spectral analyses revealed that power in the sigma band (15-20 Hz) was correlated when sounds were
linked to contextually bound objects. Furthermore, these correlations within contextually bound sets
predicted changes in retrieval performance: with greater similarity there was less encoding-strength-
dependent forgetting for both cued and non-cued objects within a set. The relationship between the
physiological and behavioral correlates of contextual reinstatement supports our hypothesis regarding

the role of context in consolidation during sleep. Finally, our analyses revealed that neural
representations related to places were reinstated following sound onset during sleep, and this
reinstatement predicted changes in retrieval performance for cued objects. However, these results may
reflect more general reactivation patterns that are not context-specific and warrant further research.

Taken together, our analyses reveal that context was reinstated during sleep in a manner that had an
impact on memory processing. From a broader perspective, these findings fit with the growing literature
linking context and memory. The notion of context-dependent memory pertains to improved retrieval in
a context similar to the encoding context [30, 31]. The natural process of autobiographical retrieval involves
the experience of mentally travelling back in time [32, 33], which in itself involves contextual reinstatement
[6], thereby improving retrieval by increasing the similarity between neurocognitive states at encoding
and retrieval. Contextual reinstatement through mental time travel results in the effortless retrieval of
multiple intertwined memories that share the same rich context.

Despite much theoretical and empirical research on how context bridges memory encoding and
retrieval, the question of context's role in memory consolidation during sleep has been scarcely
addressed. Some evidence suggests that sleep serves to strengthen the links between memories and the
contexts in which they are encoded [34, 35]. Indeed, consolidation over time seems to increase the
similarity between neural representations of memories linked with the same context [36], although the
specific role of sleep remains to be explored.

The question of whether contexts are reinstated during sleep is separate from the question of sleep's
effects on context-item binding. Some consolidation theories assume that sleep is a context-less state,
and this property of sleep shelters memories by preventing context-related interference [13]. However, to
the best of our knowledge, the question of context reinstatement during sleep has not been
systematically and empirically explored. Recently, we showed that the capacity for reactivation and
consolidation during sleep is not limited to a single memory at a given time, suggesting that memories
that are tightly and conceptually interlinked can be reactivated simultaneously [19]. Our current results
suggest that this capacity for simultaneous reactivation extends beyond tightly interlinked memories
(e.g., different memories related to cats) and also applies to contextually interlinked memories (e.g.,
memories that reside within the same narrative). These findings complement recent studies that have
found that reactivating memories during wake had a retroactive beneficial impact on conceptually
related memories that were not directly reactivated [37, 38]. Taken together, these findings show that
consolidation during both wake and sleep involves contextual reinstatement and impacts memories that
were not directly cued.

Limitations of the study

Our design attempted to tease apart two interlinked forms of context – semantic context and temporal
context. Results revealed that semantic context, operationalized using idiosyncratic narratives
constructed by each participant, impacted sleep-related effects on memory, whereas temporal context,
operationalized using a temporally structured block design, did not (Figure 2). However, we
acknowledge some limitations in our design that warrant a nuanced interpretation of this divergence.
First, it should be noted that the contextually bound sets, which were used to operationalize semantic
context, also shared a temporal context; they not only shared a narrative, but were also learned in the
same learning block. Second, temporal context is not impacted exclusively by the mere passage of time.
Instead, salient events act as event boundaries, creating abrupt shifts in temporal context [39, 40, 41]. It

could be argued, therefore, that blocks in the position-learning part of the task did not uniformly reflect
temporal context, but rather that switches between trials within a block acted as event boundaries.
Effectively, this framing, if correct, would mean that memories in our task never shared temporal
context, providing an alternative explanation for the null findings with regard to temporal context.

Despite the aforementioned limitations, a distinction between the roles of semantic and temporal
context during sleep aligns with other experimental findings. In a recent study, we used the same task
design to explore the role of context in undisturbed, overnight sleep [42]. By considering the similarities
between memory trajectories over a delay period within the same contextually bound sets, we found
that semantic – but not temporal – context drives performance changes over a 10-hour period including
sleep, but not over a 10-hour period that did not include sleep. In a separate study, Liu and Ranganath [43]
found the sleep is crucial for binding together memories that are semantically related but learned in
different episodes. In contrast, they found that sleep did not impact binding between memories that
were semantically unrelated but learned within temporal proximity of each other (see [44] for
computational work supporting this model). Taken together, these results suggest that there may be a
qualitative difference between the roles semantic and temporal contexts play in memory processing
during sleep.

Another notable limitation of our study concerns the sleep regimen we required participants to follow.
To increase the probability of having participants nap in the lab, we required that they restrict their
sleep on the night before. Since sleep restriction (i.e., partial sleep deprivation) impacts cognitive
performance [45, 46] and sleep architecture [47], our findings may not reflect consolidation processes
occurring during overnight sleep without prior sleep restriction. On the other hand, our recent results
showing that semantic context drives memory consolidation in undisturbed, overnight sleep [42] suggest
that the observed effect is robust. Still, additional research is required to confirm that our results are
replicable and generalizable. A final limitation concerns the way sounds were incorporated in our
design. The object-congruent sounds were first introduced in the position-learning part of the task (and
not earlier, during the story-building part), potentially limiting the sounds' context-dependent impact
when presented during sleep.

Over the last decades, sleep's active role in memory consolidation has gradually been revealed and
acknowledged. Our understanding of how memory representations are reactivated and evolve during
sleep is still incomplete. Day-to-day memories are best understood when considering the connections
amongst them, yet these connections are not accounted for in our models of memory processing during
sleep. Our demonstration of a role for context in sleep consolidation opens the door for further
exploration of how memory interconnections impact consolidation during sleep. More generally, this
study underscores the notion that memory processing orchestrated by the sleeping brain is as rich and
complex as when we are awake.

STAR Methods

Participants

We recruited participants from the local university community who claimed to be able to nap in the afternoon and reported not having a hearing impairment or a history of any neurological or sleep disorders. Participants were asked to go to bed later than usual on the night prior to the study, to wake up earlier than usual on the day of the study, and to avoid caffeine that morning. We assumed that the relevant neurocognitive mechanisms of sleep – which are the core focus of this study – would not be impacted by sleep restriction or participants' napping patterns. In total, 48 participants were recruited (14 identified as men, 33 identified as women, and one identified as gender queer; average age = 22.6 years). Data from 19 of these participants were excluded from the final analysis (16 who were not exposed to all stimuli during NREM sleep and three with poor recall of which objects were associated with each place, as described below). Although these exclusion criteria were not pre-registered, including these 19 participants was deemed inappropriate because the logic of our manipulation relied on both reactivation during sleep and strong place-object learning. In total, 29 participants were included in the final analysis (8 identified as men, 20 identified as women, and one identified as gender queer; average age = 22.8 years). Based on self-report, participants slept 5.93 hours on average on the night before the study. The Northwestern University Institutional Review Board approved the procedure.

Materials

Visual stimuli were presented on a screen (1920 × 1080 pixels, P2418HT, Dell Inc., TX). Sounds were delivered over a pair of speakers (AX-210, Dell Inc., TX). Participants' spoken responses were recorded using a Lavalier clip-on microphone (PoP voice Inc.). Stimulus presentation and participant responses were controlled by Presentation (v17.2, Neurobehavioral Systems, Inc.).

Visual stimuli were used for both for the main task and for the functional localizer task. For the main task, visual stimuli consisted of 76 images of objects and 19 images of places. The object images were square and portrayed either inanimate objects (e.g., a telephone) or animals (e.g., a cat) on a white background. During the spatial task described below, they were each shown at 125 × 125 pixels (34.4 × 34.4 mm). Most images were taken from the BOSS corpus [48, 49], and some were taken from copyright-free online image databases (e.g., http://www.pixabay.com). Each object image was matched with a distinguishable, congruent sound with a maximal duration of 0.6 s (e.g., a ringing sound; a meow sound). The place images portrayed distinct real-life places (e.g., a movie theater; a desert) and were shown horizontally with a 1:2 aspect ratio. Images were taken from copyright-free online image databases (e.g., http://www.pixabay.com).

Three of the 76 object images and one of 19 place images were used in a pre-task practice block. One additional object image was never displayed, but the sound associated with it was presented during sleep along with a subset of task-relevant sounds, as detailed below. The remaining 18 place images were each associated with four objects to create contextually bound sets. Object images were each assigned a random position on a 2D circular grid (radius – 540 pixels, 148.5 mm). The positions of the 72 objects were set to be at least 50 pixels from the center and the perimeter of the grid and at least 55 pixels from all other object positions. The positions of each set of four objects associated with the same place were at least 425 pixels one from the other. This allowed us to separately estimate errors that

stem from confusion between the positions of two objects (swap errors) and errors that stem from
imprecise object placement (accuracy errors; see below)[19].

For the functional localizer, a total of 120 images were used, including 40 images belonging to each of
three categories: faces, places, and abstract images. All images were cropped to be square and were
presented on-screen at 450 x 450 pixels. The face images were taken from the Psychological Image
Collection at Stirling (pics.stir.ac.uk). The place images consisted of the same images used for the main
task, cropped, and supplemented by additional images taken from the BOLD5000 database [50]. The
abstract images are scrambled place images, created by scrambling the Fourier transforms of place
images from the same database.

Procedure

After consenting to participate in the study, participants were fitted with an electroencephalography
(EEG) cap. EEG data was collected continuously throughout all phases of the study (Figure 1a). Since
data were collected during the COVID-19 pandemic, participants wore their masks throughout the study,
except during the nap portion. After entering the experimental chamber, participants completed a task
to measure their response times, as described elsewhere (e.g., [23]) and rated their sleepiness level using
the Stanford Sleepiness Scale [51].

Next, participants conducted a functional localizer task (Supplementary Figure 1a). The rationale for
including this task was to identify neural patterns that are specific to a category of stimuli. These
patterns were then used to identify context reactivation during sleep, as explained below. The task
included 150 trials, equally divided among three image categories: places, faces, and scrambled, abstract
images. The task included dozens of diverse exemplars from each category to establish that the
detected patterns were indeed category specific and not driven by low-level visual features or any one
image. Each trial included a 1-s exposure to an image, with an inter-trial interval ranging between 2.5
and 3.5 s. Each category included 40 images, 10 of which were repeated over two consecutive trials.
Participants were instructed to left-click the mouse when an image was repeated. The first two
participants run did not undergo the functional localizer task, and their data were not used for analyses
incorporating data from this task.

Participants next began the main task, which consisted of three parts: story-building, position-learning
and test. In the story-building part (Figure 1b), participants were instructed to build idiosyncratic stories,
one for each contextually bound set (i.e., images of a place and four objects). Each set was presented
together on the screen, and participants had to indicate when they have developed a story for it. Then,
they recorded an audio rendition of the story. Finally, they were asked two questions about each object
in each story: "Did the object appear throughout the whole story, start to end?" and "was the object in
motion (not static) during the story?" These questions were chosen because they were applicable to all
objects, yet the answers did not merely concern object attributes but rather required retrieving the
constructed story. The answers for both questions with respect to all four objects were recorded before
moving on to develop a story for the next contextually bound set.

After story building was completed, participants started the position-learning part (Figure 1c). This part
of the task consisted of nine blocks, each including a pair of contextually bound sets and eight objects in
total. Each block included interleaving learning trials for the two sets. This design choice was made with
the intention of linking the two sets together through a shared temporal context at encoding. Set

pairings and block allocation were randomized. In this part of the task, participants had to encode the
on-screen positions for the objects on a two-dimensional on-screen circular grid. Once all object
positions were learned, as defined below, the next block commenced. Before the first block
commenced, participants engaged in a practice block which included four objects that were designated
as practice objects.

At the start of each block, participants viewed the images of the two places linked with the two
contextually bound sets featured in the block and were given the option to listen to their recordings of
the associated stories to refresh their memories. Next, participants were exposed to the to-be-learned
position of the eight objects included in the block. Each object was presented in its on-screen position
for 4.5 s. Its congruent sound was presented twice, once at trial onset and again at the end of the trial
(with sound offset synchronized to image offset). A 1-s inter-trial interval followed.

After being exposed to the true object positions, participants trained on placing the object images in
their positions. Each trial included a single object, and trials were presented in a pseudo-random order,
such that objects linked to the same story were seldom presented sequentially. At the start of each trial,
an object-specific contextual question was presented. These questions were the same ones presented in
the story-building part. Participants had to get each question correct to proceed with the trial
(responses that were congruent with the answers recorded previously were deemed correct).
Answering incorrectly terminated the trial (Figure 1c, bottom). The purpose of presenting these
questions was to repeatedly reinstate the encoding context during the position-learning part of the task.
Overall, 88.92% ± 0.9% (mean ± SEM) of questions were answered correctly during training. Next, the
object was presented at a random position on the grid, along with its associated sound. Participants
used the computer mouse to attempt to drag the object to the position where it was initially seen on
the grid. Trials with a Euclidean error of less than 100 pixels relative to the true position were
considered correct. After correctly placing an object near its true position twice in a row, it was
considered as learned and was dropped out from the block. On average, each object was presented in
3.52 ± 0.15 trials (mean ± SEM). Both correct and incorrect trials included feedback: the true object
position was presented for 2 s along with the user-selected position. The sound was then presented
again, co-terminating with the feedback display. A 1-s inter-trial interval followed.

The last part of the main task including a test on object positions. In each trial, participants had to drag
one of the objects to its true position. All 72 objects were presented in a pseudorandom order,
preceded by the three practice objects. Objects were each presented in a random position on the grid,
accompanied by their sounds. No context-related questions were presented, nor was feedback given. A
1-s inter-trial interval was used.

Following the test, participants' pre-sleep error rates were calculated (i.e., the Euclidean distance
between the chosen and true on-screen positions). Out of a total of 72 objects, 12 were designated to
be cued during sleep. These objects were selected in a manner that obeyed the following logic: Six of
the nine blocks included cued objects. Each of these blocks consisted of two contextually bound sets:
one including cued objects; the other not. The six contextually bound sets which included cued objects
each included two cued objects and two non-cued objects. Out of the nine blocks, the remaining three
blocks did not include any cued objects. The condition designated to each object, set, and block were
determined using an algorithm that minimized variability between the average error rates among
conditions. For each participant, the algorithm considered 200,000 random allocations of objects to

conditions, each obeying the logic outlined above. The random allocation for which the average
positioning error was lowest across the following four conditions was used: (1) cued object ∈ cued set;
(2) non-cued object ∈ cued set; (3) (non-cued object ∉ cued set) ∈ cued block; (4) non-cued object ∉
cued block. In addition to the 12 object-related sounds designated for cuing, another sound which was
not used during the wake portions of the task was presented during sleep as a control sound.

Immediately after ending the test, participants were permitted to nap for 90 minutes with the lights out
on a foldable futon in the same experimental room. Throughout their nap, white noise was presented
(~47 dB). Sleep was monitored online by an experimenter skilled at sleep staging. Upon detection of
stage N3 of NREM sleep, sounds were unobtrusively presented in the experimental room (<53 dB). EEG
data were monitored continuously and sound presentation was terminated immediately upon signs of
arousal or transition to REM sleep. The inter-stimulus interval (i.e., offset-to-onset) was randomly set to
either 6, 6.5, or 7 s. If a participant did not reach NREM stage N3 after 45 minutes, sounds were
presented in either stage N2 or N3 throughout the remainder of the nap. Out of the 48 participants, 16
participants were not exposed to all 13 sounds at least once during NREM (they were exposed to 4.75 ±
0.83 sounds on average throughout all sleep stages). These participants either never reached stable
NREM sleep or were easily arousable throughout the nap. Since sound presentation served as the main
manipulation in this study, these participants were excluded from all analyses. Although the excluded
and included participants may differ in terms of sleep quality, potentially introducing a selection bias, it
is highly unlikely that these groups would differ in the relevant mechanisms of memory processing
during sleep.

After the nap, participants were required to wait at least 5 minutes before resuming the task. Before
completing the post-sleep memory tests, participants engaged in the response-time task described
above. To rule out the effects of sleep inertia on performance [52], participants were required to meet a
response-time criterion that was set based on their pre-sleep performance on the same task.
Additionally, participants rated their sleepiness level once more. The result for both tasks are detailed in
Supplementary Table 1. Next, they started the post-nap test, which was identical to the pre-nap test.
Then, participants completed a self-paced recall test, in which they had to type in, for each picture of a
place, which objects were linked with it. This part of the task was used as a manipulation check, since
the expected effects of TMR critically depended on a strong, over-trained link between objects, stories,
and places. Three participants who failed to recall at least 75% of the objects were excluded from
analysis. Finally, participants were asked if they heard sounds presented during the nap. Out of the 29
participants used for analyses, 7 reported hearing task-related sounds. These 7 participants then
underwent a task in which they were required to indicate which sounds they remember hearing during
sleep. Their responses indicated that they were not significantly different than chance at identifying
which sounds were presented (p = 0.8, Sign Rank Test). Participants were then allowed to clean up, after
which they were dismissed.

Electrophysiological data collection and preprocessing

EEG was recorded using Ag/AgCl active electrodes (Biosemi ActiveTwo, Amsterdam). In addition to the
64 electrodes at 10-20 system scalp locations, contacts were placed on the mastoids, next to the eyes,
and on the chin. Recordings were made at a sampling rate of 512 Hz. Analyses were conducted using
the FieldTrip [53] and sleepSMG (http://sleepsmg.sourceforge.net) packages for Matlab 2018b
(MathWorks Inc, Natick, MA). EEG channels were re-referenced offline to averaged mastoids and

filtered using a two-way least-squares FIR highpass filter with a cutoff of 0.4 Hz. Additionally, a notch
filter was used to remove noise at 60 Hz. Noisy channels were replaced with interpolated data from
neighboring electrodes using the spherical interpolation method in FieldTrip, and noisy segments were
detected manually and removed from further analyses. For the data collected during wake, ICA was
used to detect and remove artifacts associated with eye blinks and horizontal eye movements.

Sleep staging

603 Sleep staging (i.e., determining the stage of sleep for each 30-s epoch) was based on the guidelines
604 published by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine [54] and conducted by two independent raters,
605 both of whom were not privy to when sounds were presented. Any discrepancies were subsequently
606 reconciled by one of the two raters. Supplementary Table 2 shows the amount of time spent in each
607 stage of sleep and number and percentage of cues presented in each stage.

Statistical analyses of behavioral data

For each trial in the tests conducted before and after sleep, the error was measured in pixels as the
Euclidean distance between the true object's position and the position indicated by the participant. In
designing this experiment, we anticipated that the positions of contextually linked objects, which were
learned within temporal proximity, would be confusable. Therefore, to disentangle any gross
miscategorization errors from nuanced positioning errors (and focus on the latter, which were central to
the design of this study), our algorithm positioned the four objects within a set at a substantial distance
from one another (425 pixels). This value was over three times larger than the median error across
participants and conditions (82.8 pixels), allowing us to categorically flag objects as "swapped" if they
were placed closer to the position of another object belonging to the same contextually bound set.
These gross errors, stemming from either pure guesses or confusion between objects, were omitted
from further analysis [19]. Results pertaining to swap errors are reported in Supplementary Analysis 1. For
all the remaining trials, repeated-measures ANOVAs and mixed linear models were used. All repeated-
measures ANOVA use the Lower-Bound Estimate to correct for violation of the assumption of sphericity.
Results were comparable to those obtained with other methods (i.e., Greenhouse-Geisser correction;
Huynh-Feldt correction) or without any correction. The following mixed linear model was used for
comparing pre-sleep accuracy errors between conditions across participants (*fitglme* function in
Matlab):

$$Error\_pre\_sleep \sim 1 + Condition + (1 + Condition \mid Participant)$$

"Participant" is a categorical variable, denoting the participant number of each individual participant.
Two different analyses were run, one focusing only on semantic context and one considering semantic
and temporal context separately. For the former, "Condition" was a categorical variable with three
possible values: (1) cued object ∈ cued set; (2) non-cued object ∈ cued set; (3) non-cued object ∉ cued
set (Figure 1d). For the latter, "Condition" was a categorical variable with four possible values: (1) cued
object ∈ cued set; (2) non-cued object ∈ cued set; (3) (non-cued object ∉ cued set) ∈ cued block; (4)
non-cued object ∉ cued block (Figure 2d). An ANOVA was used to report the statistical significance of
the components of the model, and dummy variables were used for comparisons between conditions.

To consider the changes over sleep, error rates were Z-scored within participants and used to evaluate
the effects of cuing during sleep across participants using the following mixed linear model:

*Error_post_sleep ~ 1 + Error_pre_sleep * Condition + (1+ Error_pre_sleep * Condition | Participant)*

This analysis was motivated by recent studies suggesting that targeted memory reactivation in these
experimental paradigms (and likely spontaneous sleep reactivation as well) selectively improves
memory for weakly encoded memories [22, 23, 29]. By using normalized data and adding the pre-sleep error
as a predictor, we were able to separately evaluate two effects: a uniform cuing benefit, which would
manifest as a main effect of Condition (a different in intersect in Figure 2b); and a cuing benefit that
relies on pre-sleep error levels, which would manifest as an interaction effect between Condition and
Error_pre_sleep (a different slope in Figure 2b, 2c; encoding-strength-dependent forgetting). A similar
analysis was run on non-Z-scored data (Supplementary Figure 2).

In order to quantify the extent of the cuing effect on cued and non-cued objects within a contextually
bound set for each participant (Figure 3e, Figure 4e), a similar model was run for each participant,
omitting the random effects.

*Error_post_sleep ~ 1 + Error_pre_sleep * Condition*

The obtained coefficients for each condition [i.e., condition-specific encoding-strength-dependent
forgetting values relative to the value for the (non-cued object $\notin$ cued set) group] were then used to
calculate correlations between behavior and physiology across participants, as outlined below.

Spectral analysis

Spectral analyses were run on data collected during sleep from electrode Cz and limited to epochs for
task-related sounds presented during NREM sleep. We decided to focus our analyses on electrode Cz
because it is sensitive to two notable memory-related waveforms: sleep spindles and slow-oscillations[19].
Trials were segmented around sound onset (1.25 s before to 4.75 s after). For each trial, we first
subtracted its overall mean and then calculated a spectrogram between 0.25 Hz and 25 Hz in 0.25 Hz
intervals, using 0.5-s time windows with 87.5% overlap. For each participant, the average baseline (i.e., *t*
< 0 s) activity was calculated per frequency band, and each trial's spectrogram was converted to percent
change by subtracting and dividing the activity during baseline for each frequency band. These trial-
specific spectrograms were used to extract power in specific time-frequency clusters on the single trial
level, as detailed below.

To identify significant clusters of sound-related activity, we first averaged the trial-specific spectrograms
within participants. Then, each point in the time-frequency representation was compared to zero across
participants, with an alpha level of 0.01 (corrected for the number of data-points using a Bonferroni
correction). For example, all data points across participants representing t = 1 s, F = 10 Hz were
submitted to a t-test with the null hypothesis that the power is zero. This comparison indicated which
points in time-frequency space show activity significantly higher than baseline. The results, shown in
Figure 3b, indicated there were several clusters of points that were temporally and spectrally contiguous
and separate one from the other. The two largest clusters were identified by our algorithm and used for
further analyses. The higher-frequency cluster, reflecting activity in the sigma range (see Figure 3b), may
encapsulate sleep spindle activity which commonly commences approximately 1 s after sound onset
(e.g., [11, 19]). We therefore considered both the full cluster as well as the two putatively separate
components, in our analyses.

Intraclass correlations of spectral power

For each trial, we extracted and summated the values confined by each cluster from the spectrogram,
resulting in a single scalar value per trial and cluster. We hypothesized that trials involving the same
sounds within the same participants would have correlated power in certain clusters. To test this, we
used intraclass correlation [55]. This metric, ICC, is symmetrical (i.e., whereas *inter*-class correlations
predict Y from X, *intra*-class correlations predict how clustered together different values of X are) and
can be used to calculate the correlation between more than two values. Unlike interclass correlations,
ICC values so not have a minimal value (i.e., can go lower than -1), and are negatively biased (see
Supplementary Analysis 3). We calculated ICCs for each participant and cluster, and then ran a
permutation test with mixed labels (*n* = 10,000) for each participant. Finally, we conducted a paired t-
test between the true ICC and the average ICC value calculated using the permutation test. To ensure
that we had sufficient data and to avoid biases due to a small number of trials, ICC analyses on spectral
data were limited to participants who had at least five presentations for each sound (*N* = 16). For these
participants, data for all artifact free sound-locked trials was used (average number of trials = 7.5).

Next, we hypothesized that contextually bound memories would elicit correlated spectral activity. We
leveraged the fact that for each contextually bound set, two sounds were presented. We averaged the
power per sound and per cluster, producing two values for each contextually bound set within
participants. The ICC was then calculated within set for each cluster. Like before, we used a permutation
test (*n* = 10,000) and paired t-tests to consider evidence in support of our hypothesis. Finally, the true
ICC values obtained for each participant and cluster were then correlated with the encoding-strength-
dependent forgetting values calculated per participant.

Classification analyses

Using the data collected in the functional localizer task, a classifier was trained for each participant to
distinguish faces, places, and abstract images (Supplementary Figure 1b). For each image, trials that
were not contaminated with artifacts were segmented between 1.5 s before and 3.5 s after image
onset. Classification was calculated for each time point independently using time-series data from the
64 scalp electrodes as features. Data were smoothed using a 51.2-ms rectangular smoothing window.
These data were used to train a support vector machine (SVM) classifier and tested using 5-fold cross-
validation protocol [56]. This procedure was repeated for 20 iterations and averaged across iterations. To
calculate the significance level, clusters of contiguous time points that were significantly higher than
chance (*p* < 0.001) were identified, and each time point's *t*-values were summed together to produce a
cluster-level *t* mass. Then, a permutation test was initiated by reconducting the classification analysis
and identifying significant clusters using shuffled labels. Significance for each true cluster-level *t* mass
was evaluated relative to the random distribution of clusters generated based on 100,000 permutations,
with an alpha of 0.001.

Sleep-wake electrophysiological pattern correlations

Having established a link between semantic contexts and images of locations during wake, we tested
whether the reinstatement of context during sleep would result in an increase in the correlation
between neural activity during sleep (reactivation) and wake (place-image viewing). As a baseline
condition, we initially considered using the EEG activity related to face-image viewing, but opted against
this option in the analysis stage, following the realization that we do not have an *a priori* assumption
regarding the involvement of face representations as part of the semantic context (e.g., some contexts

may involve people whereas others may not, adding noise to this analysis). Instead, we decided to use
the abstract-image-related wake activity as a baseline condition.

Using the Functional Localizer data and the data from the sleep phase, we correlated (1) place-image-
related and abstract-image-related wake EEG patterns with (2) patterns observed following sound
presentation during sleep. First, time-series data were segmented around both the wake and sleep trial
onsets, starting 1.5 s before and ending 3.5 s after stimulus onset. Trials containing artifacts were
omitted. Only sleep trials that included task-related sounds were considered. Data was smoothed using
a 51.2-ms smoothing window. Data from the 64 scalp electrodes at each time point during wake were
correlated with data from the same electrodes at each time point during sleep, resulting in a time X time
correlation matrix showing wake-sleep correlations across time points. Two matrices were created, one
correlating place-image-related wake EEG patterns with sleep-related EEG patterns, and one correlating
abstract-image-related wake EEG patterns with sleep-related EEG patterns. The subtraction between
the two was used to assess evidence for place-specific activation patterns. The difference matrix was
collapsed over the sleep-time axis to create a vector of correlation coefficients over the course of the
wake trial, and the time period during which wake classification was significantly above chance was
extracted. These values, across participants, were then correlated with the encoding-strength-
dependent forgetting values calculated per participant.

Data availability

The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on
reasonable request. Source data for all figures is available in Supplementary Data files.

Code availability

The scripts used for data collection (Neurobs Presentation, v17.2) and analysis (Matlab 2018b) are
available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Supplementary Table 1: Results for the Stanford Sleepiness Scale before and after sleep and the

response-time task

	Stanford Sleepiness Scale (mean ± SEM)	Number of trials until criterion (mean ± SEM)	Response time in ms (mean ± SEM)
Pre-sleep	2.83 ± 0.2	15.14 ± 1.34	384.36 ± 4.19
Post-sleep	2.9 ± 0.18	12.79 ± 1.03	383.25 ± 3.56
Pre vs Post (two-way)	$t(28) = 0.31, p = 0.76$	$t(28) = 1.29, p = 0.21$	$t(28) = 0.26, p = 0.8$

Supplementary Table 2: Time asleep and cuing statistics in each sleep stage

Sleep stage	Wake	Stage N1	Stage N2	Stage N3	REM
Minutes in stage (mean ± SEM)	20.67 ± 2	14.91 ± 1.4	33.55 ± 2.5	17.84 ± 2.3	5.86 ± 1.8
% Time in stage (mean ± SEM)	22.41 ±2.2	16.08 ± 1.6	36.11 ± 2.7	19.29 ± 2.5	6.11 ± 1.8
# Cues in stage (mean ± SEM)	0.41 ± 0.1	0.62 ± 0.3	19.03 ± 4.4	57.03 ± 9.4	0.28 ± 0.24

Supplementary Figure 1: Functional localizer task and classification during wake. (a) Participants were presented with images and required to indicate when two successive images were identical (i.e., a 1-back task). (b) EEG data collected during the task were used to train an SVM classifier to distinguish between image categories. For all four comparisons, classification was significantly higher than chance. Yellow shades indicate the standard errors of measurement between participants. Blue lines indicate classification significantly above chance ($p < 0.001$).

Supplementary Figure 2: Forgetting curves across all participants considering un-normalized accuracy errors. The left, center and right panels correspond to panels a, b and c in Figure 2, respectively. Unlike in Figure 2, results here were obtained using data that were not Z-scored. Error bars signify standard errors of the mean. ** - $p < 0.01$; * - $p < 0.05$; ~ - $p < 0.1$; n.s - $p > 0.1$.

Supplementary Analysis 1: Results for the swap errors in the spatial task. In each contextually bound set, the four object positions were set to be at least 425 pixels from one another. Objects that were positioned closer to another object's position in the test were considered swapped. In total, 20.07% ± 1.81% of objects were swapped before sleep and 19.88% ± 1.99% after sleep. The change in swap rates over sleep was not modulated by condition ($F(3, 2084) = 1.41$, $p = 0.24$; *Change_in_swap_rate ~ 1 + Condition + (1 + Condition | Participant)).* Including all objects, including swapped ones, in the analysis more than doubles the average spatial error in the pre-sleep test (154.59 ± 8.51 pixels vs 76.7 ± 1.9 pixels). Including these objects in the main behavioral analysis results in a non-significant interaction between condition and pre-sleep spatial error ($F(2, 2082) = 1.71$, $p = 0.18$; *Error_post_sleep ~ 1 + Error_pre_sleep * Condition + (1+ Error_pre_sleep * Condition | Participant)).*

Supplementary Analysis 2: Results of the cued recall test. At the end of the task, participants were required to type the four objects learned in each context (i.e., each location image). Due to the extensive training and multiple exposures to the scene-object associations, we expected recall to be in or around ceiling values. The recall test was used as a manipulation check to confirm that the associations were learned. On average, participants recalled 3.79 ± 0.05 objects out of the 4 associated with each scene (94.8%). There were no differences in the number of objects recalled for contexts with or without cued objects ($p = 0.91$). Within cued contexts, there were no differences between the probability of recalling a cued object and a non-cued one ($p = 0.88$).

Supplementary Analysis 3: In our analysis, we used the (1-k) formulation of ICC, which can be seen as a way to analyze variance between vs. within groups. By definition, positive ICCs indicate that most of the variability is explained by differences between groups (e.g., power in the sigma range after a sound was similar across repetitions of the same sound, but different across sounds). Negative values suggest that most of the variability is explained by differences within group (e.g., the differences between repetitions are greater than those between sounds). However, the ICC is negatively biased in some of its

formulations (e.g., Atenafu et al., 2012), producing negative value for shuffled data, as can be seen in
Figure 3.

To demonstrate this, we ran simulations showing that the negative bias is dependent on the number of
classes used, as shown in the Supplementary Figure 3. For these simulations, we used random values
chosen from a uniform distribution between 0 and 1, and arranged them randomly into classes, with 10
values in each class. This was repeated 10,000 times for each number of classes and averaged across
permutations. The results show that fewer classes result in more negative ICCs for this random dataset.
The values we received for datasets of 12 and 6 classes are shown in dashed and dotted lines,
respectively. These values closely match the values obtained for our shuffled data as presented in Figure
2c (12 classes) and Figure 2d (6 classes).

Importantly, these biases do not impact our results, as we never compared any ICC value with 0. Such
comparison would be severely impacted by these biases. Instead, our analyses compared a dataset with
the same values rearranged in permutations tests. The real and shuffled datasets should be similarly
impacted by the biases demonstrated by this simulation, making the comparison between the two
results a valid measure of how correlated values are to one another.

Supplementary Figure 3: Simulation results demonstrating the negative bias for ICC (1-k) as a
function of the number of classes (see Supplementary Analysis 3). The dotted and dashed lines
reflect the values 6 and 12, respectively, which are the values used in the analyses in Figure 3.

791   Reference List

793   Atenafu, E.G., Hamid, J.S., To, T. *et al.* Bias-corrected estimator for intraclass correlation

794   coefficient in the balanced one-way random effects model. *BMC Med Res Methodol* **12**, 126

795   (2012).

796   Reference List

798   1.   Smith S.M. Theoretical principles of context-dependent memory. *Theoretical aspects of memory*
799   **2,** 168-195 (1994).

801   2.   Stark S.M., Reagh Z.M., Yassa M.A., Stark C.E.L. What's in a context? Cautions, limitations, and
802   potential paths forward. *Neuroscience letters* **680,** 77-87 (2018).

804   3.   Kahana M.J. Associative retrieval processes in free recall. *Memory & cognition* **24,** 103-109
805   (1996).

807   4.   Howard M.W., Kahana M.J. When does semantic similarity help episodic retrieval? *Journal of*
808   *Memory and Language* **46,** 85-98 (2002).

810   5.   Polyn S.M., Norman K.A., Kahana M.J. A context maintenance and retrieval model of
811   organizational processes in free recall. *Psychological Review* **116,** 129-156 (2009).

813   6.   Howard M.W., Kahana M.J. A distributed representation of temporal context. *Journal of*
814   *Mathematical Psychology* **46,** 269-299 (2002).

816   7.   Howard M.W., Viskontas I.V., Shankar K.H., Fried I. Ensembles of human mtl neurons "jump back
817   in time" in response to a repeated stimulus. *Hippocampus* **22,** 1833-1847 (2012).

819   8.   Manning J.R., Polyn S.M., Baltuch G.H., Litt B., Kahana M.J. Oscillatory patterns in temporal lobe
820   reveal context reinstatement during memory search. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
821   *Sciences* **108,** 12893-12897 (2011).

823   9.   Diekelmann S., Born J. The memory function of sleep. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **11,** 114-126
824   (2010).

826   10.   Paller K.A., Creery J.D., Schechtman E. Memory and sleep: How sleep cognition can change the
827   waking mind for the better. *Annu Rev Psychol* **72,** 123-150 (2021).

829   11.   Cairney S.A., Guttesen A.A.V., El Marj N., Staresina B.P. Memory consolidation is linked to
830   spindle-mediated information processing during sleep. *Current Biology* **28,** 948-954.e944 (2018).

832   12.   Schreiner T., Petzka M., Staudigl T., Staresina B.P. Endogenous memory reactivation during sleep
833   in humans is clocked by slow oscillation-spindle complexes. *Nature Communications* **12,** 3112
834   (2021).

836 13. Yonelinas A.P., Ranganath C., Ekstrom A.D., Wiltgen B.J. A contextual binding theory of episodic
837   memory: Systems consolidation reconsidered. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* **20,** 364-375 (2019).

838
839 14. Antony J.W., Schapiro A.C. Active and effective replay: Systems consolidation reconsidered
840   again. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **20,** 506-507 (2019).

841
842 15. Oudiette D., Paller K.A. Upgrading the sleeping brain with targeted memory reactivation. *Trends*
843   *in Cognitive Sciences* **17,** 142-149 (2013).

844
845 16. Hu X., Cheng L.Y., Chiu M.H., Paller K.A. Promoting memory consolidation during sleep: A meta-
846   analysis of targeted memory reactivation. *Psychological bulletin* **146,** 218-244 (2020).

847
848 17. Antony J.W., Schonauer M., Staresina B.P., Cairney S.A. Sleep spindles and memory
849   reprocessing. *Trends in Neurosciences* **42,** 1-3 (2019).

850
851 18. Fernandez L.M.J., Luthi A. Sleep spindles: Mechanisms and functions. *Physiological Reviews* **100,**
852   805-868 (2020).

853
854 19. Schechtman E., Antony J.W., Lampe A., Wilson B.J., Norman K.A., Paller K.A. Multiple memories
855   can be simultaneously reactivated during sleep as effectively as a single memory.
856   *Communications Biology* **4,** 25 (2021).

857
858 20. Drosopoulos S., Schulze C., Fischer S., Born J. Sleep's function in the spontaneous recovery and
859   consolidation of memories. *Journal of experimental psychology General* **136,** 169-183 (2007).

860
861 21. Stickgold R. How do i remember? Let me count the ways. *Sleep medicine reviews* **13,** 305-308
862   (2009).

863
864 22. Cairney S.A., Lindsay S., Sobczak J.M., Paller K.A., Gaskell M.G. The benefits of targeted memory
865   reactivation for consolidation in sleep are contingent on memory accuracy and direct cue-
866   memory associations. *Sleep* **39,** 1139-1150 (2016).

867
868 23. Schechtman E., Lampe A., Wilson B.J., Kwon E., Anderson M.C., Paller K.A. Sleep reactivation did
869   not boost suppression-induced forgetting. *Sci Rep* **11,** 1383-1383 (2021).

870
871 24. Bornstein A.M., Norman K.A. Reinstated episodic context guides sampling-based decisions for
872   reward. *Nat Neurosci* **20,** 997-1003 (2017).

873
25. Hoskin A.N., Bornstein A.M., Norman K.A., Cohen J.D. Refresh my memory: Episodic memory
reinstatements intrude on working memory maintenance. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci* **19,** 338-
354 (2019).

26. Antony J.W., Piloto L., Wang M., Pacheco P., Norman K.A., Paller K.A. Sleep spindle
refractoriness segregates periods of memory reactivation. *Current Biology* **28,** 1736-1743 e1734
(2018).

27. Donoghue T., Schaworonkow N., Voytek B. Methodological considerations for studying neural
oscillations. *The European journal of neuroscience* (2021).

28. Schapiro A.C., McDevitt E.A., Rogers T.T., Mednick S.C., Norman K.A. Human hippocampal replay
during rest prioritizes weakly learned information and predicts memory performance. *Nature*
Communications **9,** 3920 (2018).

29. Creery J.D., Oudiette D., Antony J.W., Paller K.A. Targeted memory reactivation during sleep
depends on prior learning. *Sleep* **38,** 755-763 (2015).

30. Godden D.R., Baddeley A.D. Context-dependent memory in two natural environments: On land
and underwater. *British Journal of Psychology* **66,** 325-331 (1975).

31. Abernethy E.M. The effect of changed environmental conditions upon the results of college
examinations. *The Journal of Psychology* **10,** 293-301 (1940).

32. Tulving E. *Elements of episodic memory*. Oxford University Press (1983).

33. Tulving E. What is episodic memory? *Current Directions in Psychological Science* **2,** 67-70 (1993).

34. van der Helm E., Gujar N., Nishida M., Walker M.P. Sleep-dependent facilitation of episodic
memory details. *PLoS One* **6,** e27421 (2011).

35. Kurinec C.A., Whitney P., Hinson J.M., Hansen D.A., Van Dongen H.P.A. Sleep deprivation impairs
binding of information with its context. *Sleep* (2021).

36. Tompary A., Davachi L. Consolidation promotes the emergence of representational overlap in
the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex. *Neuron* **96,** 228-241.e225 (2017).

37. Dunsmoor J.E., Murty V.P., Davachi L., Phelps E.A. Emotional learning selectively and
retroactively strengthens memories for related events. *Nature* **520,** 345-348 (2015).

38. Patil A., Murty V.P., Dunsmoor J.E., Phelps E.A., Davachi L. Reward retroactively enhances
memory consolidation for related items. *Learning & memory (Cold Spring Harbor, NY)* **24,** 65-69
(2017).

39.   Pu Y., Kong X.-Z., Ranganath C., Melloni L. Event boundaries shape temporal organization of

memory by resetting temporal context. *Nature Communications* **13,** 622 (2022).

40.   Zacks J.M., Speer N.K., Swallow K.M., Braver T.S., Reynolds J.R. Event perception: A mind-brain

perspective. *Psychological bulletin* **133,** 273-293 (2007).

41.   Clewett D., DuBrow S., Davachi L. Transcending time in the brain: How event memories are

constructed from experience. *Hippocampus* **29,** 162-183 (2019).

42.   Schechtman E., Heilberg J., Paller K.A. Context matters: Changes in memory over a period of

sleep are driven by encoding context. *bioRxiv* 2022.2007.2022.501166 (2022).

43.   Liu X.L., Ranganath C. Resurrected memories: Sleep-dependent memory consolidation saves

memories from competition induced by retrieval practice. *Psychonomic bulletin & review*

(2021).

44.   Liu X., Ranganath C., O'Reilly R.C. A complementary learning systems model of how sleep

moderates retrieval practice effects. (2022).

45.   Okano K., Kaczmarzyk J.R., Dave N., Gabrieli J.D.E., Grossman J.C. Sleep quality, duration, and

consistency are associated with better academic performance in college students. *NPJ science of*

learning **4,** 16 (2019).

46.   Killgore W.D. Effects of sleep deprivation on cognition. *Progress in brain research* **185,** 105-129

(2010).

47.   Elmenhorst E.M., Elmenhorst D., Luks N., Maass H., Vejvoda M., Samel A. Partial sleep

deprivation: Impact on the architecture and quality of sleep. *Sleep medicine* **9,** 840-850 (2008).

48.   Brodeur M.B., Guérard K., Bouras M. Bank of standardized stimuli (boss) phase ii: 930 new

normative photos. *PLOS ONE* **9,** e106953 (2014).

49.   Brodeur M.B., Dionne-Dostie E., Montreuil T., Lepage M. The bank of standardized stimuli

(boss), a new set of 480 normative photos of objects to be used as visual stimuli in cognitive

research. *PLoS One* **5,** e10773 (2010).

50.   Chang N., Pyles J.A., Marcus A., Gupta A., Tarr M.J., Aminoff E.M. Bold5000, a public fmri dataset

while viewing 5000 visual images. *Scientific data* **6,** 49 (2019).

51. Hoddes E., Zarcone V., Smythe H., Phillips R., Dement W.C. Quantification of sleepiness: A new
approach. *Psychophysiology* **10,** 431-436 (1973).

959
960 52. Langdon D.E., Hartman B. Performance upon sudden awakening. *Technical documentary report
961 SAM-TDR USAF School of Aerospace Medicine* **62-17,** 8p (1961).

962
963 53. Oostenveld R., Fries P., Maris E., Schoffelen J.M. Fieldtrip: Open source software for advanced
964 analysis of meg, eeg, and invasive electrophysiological data. *Computational intelligence and
965 neuroscience* **2011,** 156869 (2011).

966
967 54. Iber C., Ancoli-Israel S., Chesson A., Quan S. *Aasm manual for scoring sleep* (2007).

968
969 55. Koo T.K., Li M.Y. A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for
970 reliability research. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine* **15,** 155-163 (2016).

971
972 56. Bae G.Y., Luck S.J. Dissociable decoding of spatial attention and working memory from eeg
973 oscillations and sustained potentials. *The Journal of Neuroscience* **38,** 409-422 (2018).

974
975