GTAGMe-seq: joint profiling of genetic variants, DNA methylation, GpC methyltransfer-

ase footprints, and 3D genome in the same DNA molecules

Hailu Fu[1], Haizi Zheng[1], Louis J. Muglia[1*], Li Wang[1#], Yaping Liu[1-5 #]

Affiliations:

[1] Division of Human Genetics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH

45229, USA

[2] Division of Biomedical Informatics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, OH

45229, USA

[3] Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH 45229,

USA

[4] Department of Electrical Engineering and Computing Sciences, University of Cincinnati College

of Engineering and Applied Science, Cincinnati, OH 45229, USA

[5] University of Cincinnati Cancer Center, Cincinnati, OH 45219, USA

Corresponding Author's Email: L.W. wanglilove2@gmail.com, Y.L. lyping1986@gmail.com

* Present address: Burroughs Wellcome Fund, Research Triangle Park, NC 27614, USA

Abstract:

Cis-regulatory elements (CREs) coordinate to regulate the expression of their targeted genes, up to megabases away. However, the joint measurement of CREs' activities and their interactions over large genomic distances is limited by the current sequencing approaches. We report a method, GTAGMe-seq, which simultaneously captures the single nucleotide polymorphisms, DNA methylation, GpC methyltransferase footprints, and chromosome conformation changes from the same DNA molecules, together with transcriptome in the same assay. GTAGMe-seq shows high concordance with the state-of-art mono-omics assays across different molecular measurements. It reveals the coordinated GpC methyltransferase footprints at distal genomic segments in spatial proximity and different types of long-range allele-specific methyltransferase footprints.

Keywords: Cis-regulatory elements, joint profiling, DNA methylation, GpC methyltransferase footprints, chromosome conformation changes, 3D genome, transcriptome, coordinated GpC methyltransferase footprints, long-range allelic-specific CRE activity

Main

Cis-regulatory elements (CREs) play instrumental roles in the regulation of mammalian gene

expression[1]. Sets of transcriptional factors (TFs) bring together multiple CREs, which can be up

to megabases away, to initiate and maintain the expression of their targeted genes[2]. GpC

methyltransferase is recently utilized to footprint the TF bindings at CREs and reveals the

coordinated activities of CREs locally[3]. Since DNA is spatially organized into three-dimensional

(3D) space in nuclei, distal CREs can be brought into proximity through chromatin folding[4].

Therefore, it is possible that spatially proximal regions may also exhibit a coordinated GpC

methyltransferase footprint. However, conventional GpC methyltransferase based approaches,

such as NOMe-seq/dSMF[5,6], have limited power in detecting coordinated CREs activities across

large genomic distances due to the short reads profiled in next-generation sequencing (NGS).

Recent SMAC-seq combined methyltransferase with Nanopore sequencing to detect the

coordinated activities up to many kilobases[7], which, however, did not provide the spatial proximity

information to distinguish the cis- co-binding events by the same set of TFs with that due to the

trans-effects.

Moreover, recent studies suggested that allelic differences in genetic content can affect the local

CREs' activity by using heterozygous SNPs and their linked CpG methylation or GpC

methyltransferase footprint from the same reads[8–10]. In principle, the genetic differences between

two alleles may affect the coordination activities of both CREs that are distant in linear 2D

consideration of chromosomes but proximate in spatially in 3D. However, our current

understanding of the long-range allele-specific CRE activities is still limited by both computational

approaches and experimental assays. First, most GpC methyltransferase based assays heavily

depend on the sodium bisulfite treatment, which will lead to difficulties in distinguishing C>T SNPs

(65% SNPs in dbSNP) and C>T substitutions caused by bisulfite conversion. Our previously

developed Bis-SNP makes it possible to characterize the SNPs at limited known SNP loci with

high accuracy in single bisulfite sequencing[11]. However, the genome-wide genotyping accuracy

is still not comparable to the whole genome sequencing (WGS). Second, both the short fragment

sizes in NGS libraries and the lack of spatial proximity information in long-read sequencing will

prevent us from characterizing the spatial interactions between genetic contents and distal CRE

activities at two different alleles.

The chromosome conformation capture (3C) derived technologies, such as *in situ* Hi-C, have

been widely used to study the 3D genome organization[12]. In Hi-C experiments, spatial proximity

information is captured through restriction enzyme digestion and ligation of proximal genomic

segments. After the ligation, nucleosomes and TFs are still crosslinked with DNA inside the nuclei,

which can be footprinted by the exogenous GpC methyltransferase and detectable by the follow-

up bisulfite sequencing, similar to the covalent genetic variants and endogenous CpG

methylation[13,14]. Therefore, based on our previously developed NOMe-seq and Methyl-HiC[5,14], we

developed GTAGMe-seq (**G**enome, **T**ranscriptome, GpC **A**ccessibility, 3D **G**enome, and

Methylome sequencing), to jointly profile multi-omics from the same DNA molecules, together

with the transcriptome in the same assay (Fig. 1a).

Briefly, after the crosslinking, we isolated nuclei and treated them with a methylation insensitive

restriction enzyme (e.g., *DpnII*) to digest DNA. We used proximity ligation to capture the long-

range interactions in the 3D genome topology. We further utilized GpC methyltransferase

(*M.CviPI*) to footprint the chromatin accessibility. After reversing crosslinks, we extracted RNA

molecules for total RNA-seq. We isolated the DNA molecules with the follow-up bisulfite

conversion and paired-end sequencing to obtain covalent endogenous cytosine methylation and

exogenous GpC methyltransferase footprint information. Finally, we improved our previously

developed computational method, Bis-SNP, to accurately identify the genome-wide single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) from the bisulfite converted reads (Details in Methods and

Supplementary Methods).

To demonstrate the performance of GTAGMe-seq, we applied it to the human lung fibroblast cell

line (IMR-90) and B-lymphoblastoid cell line (GM12878) (Supplementary Table 2-3), which have

both been comprehensively profiled publically[15]. First, we compared the measurement of the 3D

genome with *in situ* Hi-C results in the same cell lines. The contact matrix is highly similar to that

from *in situ* Hi-C (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. 1d), with the indistinguishable distribution of contact

probabilities (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Across different resolutions, we also identified comparable

compartment score, insulation score, and topologically associated domains (TADs) from the two

datasets (Supplementary Fig. 1b-c,e). Next, we compared the measurement of DNA methylation

with WGBS from the same cell lines. To avoid the ambiguity of endogenous CpG methylation and

exogenous GpC methyltransferase footprint at GpCpG (GCG) sites, we excluded GCG sites for

the follow-up measurement of CpG methylation and GpC methyltransferase footprint as we did in

the NOMe-seq paper[5]. GTAGMe-seq captured 31-32 million HCGs (H=A, C, or T) in the human

genomes and the methylation level of these HCGs was highly consistent with that from WGBS

(Fig. 1c, Supplementary Fig. 1f-g). Similar to Methyl-HiC, GTAGMe-seq captured ~25% fewer

HCGs compared to WGBS. Third, we tested the measurement of GpC methyltransferase footprint

(GCH accessibility) at the known TFs binding sites. Notably, nucleosomes and TFs are both

crosslinked with DNA. Part of the open chromatin regions will still show the low GCH accessibility

level when TFs are bound, which is different from the conventional NOMe-seq without the

fixations. We observed a concordant lower level of GpC methyltransferase footprints at PolII

binding sites with a higher TF occupancy level measured by PolII ChIP-seq in the same cell line

(Fig. 1d). We also observed the expected GCH footprint patterns and HCG methylation level at

TF binding sites that usually bind distantly to the transcriptional starting sites (TSS) (Fig. 1e,

Supplementary Fig. 2). Moreover, we compared the measurement of the transcriptome with total

RNA-seq from the same cell lines. Messenger RNA (mRNA) is highly fragmented after the

formaldehyde fixation step (crosslink). With the computational correction of the possible bias at

fragmentation and G+C%, the transcriptome is generally concordant with that from total mRNA-

seq, in terms of absolute quantification (Fig. 1f, Supplementary Fig. 1h-i) and differentially

expressed genes (Supplementary Fig. 3). Finally, we compared the measurement of SNPs

(GM12878) with deep WGS from the 1000 genome project on the same individual (NA12878,

a.k.a. HG001). We evaluated the performance by the ground truth genotype results from the same

individual at the Genome in a Bottle Consortium (GIAB), which are well characterized by the

integration of multiple technologies. Results with improved Bis-SNP at GTAGMe-seq showed

similar high performance as that from WGS (Fig.1g, Supplementary Fig. 1j) and very high

accuracy at sites with genotype callable (Supplementary Fig. 1k). These results, taken together,

demonstrated that GTAGMe-seq can simultaneously and accurately profile 3D genome, DNA

methylation, GpC methyltransferase footprint, transcriptome, and SNPs in the biological samples.

We next asked if the genomic regions linearly separated but positioned proximity in 3D space

would have coordinated footprint status. We analyzed the GCH (H=A, C, or T) methyltransferase

footprint on GTAGMe-seq reads separated in chromatin loop anchors (Fig. 2a). Indeed, the GCH

methyltransferase footprint in GTAGMe-seq read pairs mapping to separated loop anchors

showed a similarly high correlation as that mapping to the local regions (<1kb, Fig. 2b). To ensure

the concordance is not due to the similar level of GCH methyltransferase footprint at the loop

anchors, we shuffled the links of read pairs at the loop anchors while maintaining the average

GCH methyltransferase footprint at loop anchors. The shuffled read pairs indeed showed

significantly much less correlation (Fig. 2a-b, Fisher's (1925) z test, $p<2.2e^{-16}$).

To further demonstrate the utility of GTAGMe-seq, we utilized it to analyze the long-range allele-

specific GpC methyltransferase footprint. We separated GTAGMe-seq reads into two groups by

their parent-of-origin at the heterozygous SNPs (SNP anchors, Fig. 3a). Since the sequencing

reads come from the same single DNA molecules, the other ends of the read pairs were also

separated into two alleles, even though they are not overlapped with the SNPs and mapped over

large genomic distances (non-SNP anchors, Fig. 3a). Thus, we are able to analyze the long-range

allele-specific GCH methyltransferase footprint. We identified three groups of allele-specific

footprint loci based on their differences of GCH accessibility between the two alleles at both

anchors (Group 1, n=2,149, FDR<0.05 at both anchors), or only at SNP anchors (Group 2,

n=3,285, FDR<0.05), or only at non-SNP anchors (Group 3, n=2,963, FDR<0.05). Further, to

understand the function of these long-rang allele-specific footprints, we checked the enrichment

of the anchors over different known TF binding sites. Group 1 showed the highest enrichment of

CTCF binding sites at both anchors (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Fig. 4), suggesting the disruption of

the CTCF binding by genetic variants at the SNP anchor may also disrupt the loop structure and

thus the binding activities at the non-SNP anchor in spatial proximity. Group 2 exhibited the

highest enrichment of PolII binding sites at the SNP anchors, but enrichment of different TFs at

the non-SNP anchors, which usually bind to the distal enhancer regions. Group 3 showed the

highest depletion of TF binding sites that are usually bound to the promoter regions.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have associated common complex diseases with

hundreds of thousands of genetic variants. Due to the linkage disequilibrium (LD), many SNPs

near the tag SNPs in the GWAS studies are also identified to be significantly associated with the

traits. The local CRE activities, especially the imbalanced CREs activities linked with the switching

of risk and non-risk alleles nearby, are often utilized to prioritize the potential causal SNPs within

the LD blocks. The Group 3 long-range allele-specific footprint may suggest that the switching of

risk and non-risk allele does not need to affect the local chromatin accessibility but instead the

activities of distant CREs in spatial proximity. Indeed, we observed the overlap of SNP anchors

at Group 3 in GM12878 (B-lymphoblastoid cell) with three significant GWAS loci (rs1885276 with

$4.157e^{-09}$, rs6431262 with $2.023e^{-12}$, and rs3805683 with $2.267e^{-23}$) that are associated with

inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD)[16]. IBD is found to be driven by the malfunctions of the B cells,

but the annotation of chromatin states near these three regions are all heterochromatin regions

in GM12878 cells. Thus, instead of methylation or accessibility QTLs mapping that required

multiple samples, GTAGMe-seq here provides an alternative approach to link SNPs with the

variations of distal CREs in spatial proximity at a single assay.

Overall, we have developed a method to simultaneously study the genetic variants, DNA

methylation, GpC methyltransferase footprint, and 3D genome in the same DNA molecules

together with the transcriptome in the same assay. Combining multiple assays allowed us to

uncover the coordinated GpC methyltransferase footprint and long-range allele-specific footprint

at genomic regions that are far away in linear DNA sequences but spatially close in the nucleus.

While the library complexity in the current GTAGMe-seq protocol is still limited, this limitation may

be overcome in the future by the alternative bisulfite-free library construction strategies[17,18]. Future

extension of GTAGMe-seq to the single-cell level will allow us to further characterize the gene-

regulatory mechanism from genetic variants to molecule phenotypes at the heterogeneous

tissues.

Methods

Cell culture

The human lung fibroblast cell line IMR-90 was purchased from ATCC and cultured in EMEM

(ATCC) with 10% FBS (Gibco) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. After reaching 70~80 confluence,

cells were detached with 0.5% Trypsin and harvested by centrifuge at 300g for 5min. Human B-

lymphoblastoid cell line GM12878 was obtained from CORIELL INSTITUTE and cultured with

RPMI-1640 supplemented with 15% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. GM12878 cells were

harvested at a density around 0.7M to 0.8M/mL by centrifuge at 300g for 5min.

GTAGMe-Seq

Cells were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, then quenched by

adding 0.2M Glycine. After washing with ice-cold PBS, cells were suspended in the nuclei

isolation buffer with RNase inhibitors and incubated on ice for 1hr. Subsequent to nuclei

membrane permineralization, chromatin was digested using 100U *DpnII* (NEB, R0543L) overnight

at 37 ℃ with RNase inhibitors. The next day, DpnII was inactivated, and nuclei suspension was

cooled to room temperature and subjected to biotin fill-in. Proximal segments were *in situ* ligated

using T4 DNA ligase (NEB, M0202) supplemented with RNase inhibitors. Then GpC

methyltransferase footprint was performed by incubating the nuclei with *M.CviPI* (NEB, M0227L),

and PBS was added to stop the reaction. After that, one-third of the nuclei were separated for

RNA isolation using MagMAX™ FFPE DNA/RNA Ultra Kit (Thermo A31881). The total RNA

library was prepared using SMARTer® Stranded Total RNA-Seq Kit v2 (TAKARA 634413). The

rest of the nuclei were reverse crosslinked, DNA was precipitated and sonicated to average 400bp

followed by 1xAMPure beads cleanup. Biotin pulldown was performed using streptavidin beads,

DNA on beads was end-repaired, d-A tailed, and ligated with truncated cytosine-methylated X-

gene Universal Stubby Adapter (IDT). 0.1%~0.5% unmethylated lambda DNA was spiked-in.

Bisulfite conversion was conducted using the EZ DNA Methylation-Gold Kit (D5006). Bisulfite

converted DNA library was amplified using truncated TruSeq™–Compatible Indexing Primer and

KAPA HiFi Uracil+ ReadyMix (Roche, KK2801), supplemented with $MgCl_2$. Library quality was

determined by qPCR and BioAnalyzer 2000 (Agilent Technologies). Pooling of multiplexed

sequencing samples, clustering, and sequencing was carried out as recommended by the

manufacturer on Illumina HiSeq XTen or NovaSeq S6000 with the 150 paired-end. RNA-seq

libraries or Phi-X (20%) were spiked in to overcome the imbalance of GC ratio of the bisulfite-

converted DNA libraries.

GTAGMe-seq (DNA) data analysis

Raw reads were first trimmed by using Trim Galore! (v0.6.6, with Cutadapt v2.10)[19] with

parameters "--paired_end --clip_R1 5 --clip_R2 5 --three_prime_clip_R1 5 --three_prime_clip_R2

5" to remove the adapters and low-quality reads. The clipped length was determined based on

the composition of base pairs along the sequencing cycle by FastQC (v0.11.9). The reference

genome (b37, human_g1k_v37.fa) was *in silico* converted to make C/T reference (all Cs were

converted to Ts) and G/A reference (all Gs were converted to As). Paired-end reads were mapped

by our previously developed bhmem (v0.37)[14] to each converted reference. Only uniquely

mapped and mapping quality passed reads (mapQ>30) on both ends were joined. Reads marked

as PCR duplicated reads were filtered. The reads with incompletely converted cytosine (WCH,

W=A or T, H=A, C, or T) were filtered out as previously described[11]. Joint read pairs with more

than 20kb insertion size were considered as long-range interactions and subjected to the following

interaction analysis. Bam files were further converted to .hic files for the following 3D genome

analysis similar to Hi-C data (details in the analysis of *in situ* Hi-C data). HCG methylation and

GCH accessibility level were calculated by bissnp_easy_usage.pl with Bis-SNP (v0.90) in NOMe-

seq mode. Only bases with a quality score of more than 5 were included in the downstream

methylation analysis. More details were implemented in Bhmem.java with parameters "-

outputMateDiffChr -buffer 100000".

GTAGMe-seq (RNA) data analysis

Raw reads were first trimmed as paired-end reads using Trim Galore! (v0.6.6, with Cutadapt

v2.10) with parameters "--paired_end --clip_R1 15 --clip_R2 15 --three_prime_clip_R1 5 --

three_prime_clip_R2 5" to remove the adapters and low-quality reads. The clipped length was

determined based on the composition of base pairs along the sequencing cycle by FastQC

(v0.11.9). Both alignment-based (STAR, v2.7.6a[20] ,and RNA-SeQC, v2.3.5[21]) or alignment-free

(Salmon, v1.5.1[22]) approaches were tried. Salmon was finally used for the bias correction,

visualization, and data analysis due to the slightly better concordance with the RNA-seq in

ENCODE. The reference transcriptome was obtained from Gencode (v33lift37) and indexed by

Salmon with k=31. The parameter used for Salmon quantification was: "quant --seqBias --gcBias

--posBias -l A --validateMappings". The differential expression analysis was performed on gene-

level by the edgeR (3.32.1)[23] package in R (4.0.5). Only genes with FDR<0.05 and log2 fold

change > 1 were considered as the differential expressed genes between IMR-90 and GM12878.

The same RNA-seq analysis pipeline was applied to the total RNA-seq from ENCODE.

Analysis of WGBS data

DNA methylation level in WGBS was directly downloaded from ENCODE (see details in

Supplementary Table 1) and extracted from the sites that only overlapped with HCGs in the

reference genome.

Analysis of *in situ* Hi-C data

Arrowhead in Juicer tools (v1.22.01)[24] is used to call TAD domains, with parameters "-r 10000 -k

KR -m 2000". The result is a BEDPE file containing all identified domains. FAN-C (v0.9.21)[25] is

used to calculate insulation scores, with subcommand insulation and parameters" @10kb@KR -

w 500000". To calculate A/B compartment scores, we first use the eigenvector command in Juicer

tools (v1.22.01) to obtain the first eigenvector of the Hi-C observed/expected correlation matrix,

with parameters KR and BP 500000. Then we calculate the average GC contents for each 500kb

bin. With the GC contents and the eigenvector aligned, we flip the sign of the eigenvector if

needed so that high GC content regions are associated with positive compartment scores

(compartment A), and low GC content regions are associated with negative compartment scores

(compartment B).

Accurate identification of SNPs from GTAGMe-seq

BisulfiteGenotyper in Bis-SNP (v0.90)[11] was utilized to identify SNPs with a genotype quality score

of more than 20 at GTAGMe-seq data (raw genotype). Further, VCFpostprocess was utilized to

filter out poor quality SNPs (at the regions with more than sequencing depth 250X, with strand

bias more than -0.02, with sequencing depth more than 40X and fraction of reads with mapping

quality 0 more than 0.1, genotype quality divided by sequencing depth less than 1, with 2 SNPs

nearby within the +/-10bp window). Finally, the filtered SNPs were utilized for imputation and

phasing at by Minimac4 (v1.0.2) and Eagle (v2.4.1) together with 1000G phase3 v5 panel (EUR

population). Since NA12878 was already profiled in 1000G phase3 project, to avoid the potential

bias, NA12878 was excluded from the panel for the imputation and phasing. Only biallelic SNPs

loci were utilized for the imputation and phasing. Other parameters during the SNP imputation

and phasing followed the similar steps as that recommended by Michigan Imputation Server[26].

After the imputation, only biallelic SNPs with minor allele frequency more than 1% and R^2 more

than 0.3 were kept for the following analysis. WGS genotyped results (NA12878) were

downloaded from the 1000G project (phase3)[27]. Gold standard genotype results (NA12878, a.k.a

HG001) were downloaded from the Genome in a Bottle (GIAB)[28] website. Bcftools (v1.10.2)[29]

was utilized to summarize the Ti/Tv ratio. Concordance in GATK (v4.1.9.0) was utilized to

evaluate the concordance among gold standard (GIAB), WGS (1000G), and GTAGMe-seq.

Correlation analysis of GpC methyltransferase footprint in GTAGMe-seq

Only read pairs with GCH methylation levels at both ends were kept for the analysis. For the

regions of interest, such as HiCCUPS loops anchor regions, Pearson Correlation Coefficient

(PCC) was calculated directly from the GCH methylation level of each read of the read pair, not

by the average GCH methylation level at each end of anchor regions. Only read pairs spanning

at least 20kb genomic distance were considered for the analysis. As a control, all the read pairs

within the same genomic regions, no matter whether they have long-range interaction or not, were

randomly shuffled 100 times and then subjected to PCC calculation. Fisher's (1925) z,

implemented in "cocor.indep.groups" at R package "cocor"21, was used to assess the significance

between observed PCC from interacted read pairs and PCC of the random read pairs. The scripts

for this analysis are provided in MethyCorAcrossHiccups.java with parameters "-

methyPatternSearch GCH -methyPatternCytPos 2 -bsConvPatternSearch WCH -

bsConvPatternCytPos 2 -useBadMate -useGeneralBedPe".

Analysis of long-range allele-specific GpC methyltransferase footprint in GTAGMe-seq

Only read pairs with one end overlapped with heterozygous SNPs, and GCH methylation levels

at both ends were kept for the analysis. At each heterozygous SNP position with enough reads

covered at both reference alleles and alternative alleles, the p-value was calculated by Fisher

Exact test and followed with FDR correction (Benjamini-Hochberg). Only loci with FDR<0.05 were

considered as significant allele-specific loci. For SNP anchor-Only or non-SNP anchor-Only

groups, the methylation differences between alleles should have FDR>0.95 at the insignificant

anchor. The scripts for this analysis is provided in LongRangeAsm.java with parameters "-minDist

1000 -coverageRef 2 -coverageAlt 2 -methyPatternSearch GCH -methyPatternCytPos 2 -

bsConvPatternSearch WCH -bsConvPatternCytPos 2 -useBadMate -minMapQ 30".

Data availability

The GTAGMe-seq datasets generated in this study have been deposited in the Gene Expression

Omnibus (GEO) under the accession number GSE189158. Previously published data used for

this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Code availability

All the source code is publicly available at GitHub:

https://bitbucket.org/dnaase/bisulfitehic/src/master/

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors read and approved the manuscript for review.

Competing interests

Not applicable.

Funding

Y.L. is supported by the startup grant and Center for Pediatric Genomics award from Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center.

Authors' contributions

Y.L. and L.W. conceived the study. H.F. and L.W. performed the experiment with input from Y.L. and L.J.M.. Y.L. and H.Z. performed the computational analysis. Y.L., H.F., L.W., and L.J.M. wrote the manuscript together. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the input from Drs. Guoqiang Li and Bing Ren from Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research and University of California at San Diego, Drs. Matthew T. Weirauch and Raphael Kopan from Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center. This work was supported by the computational resources from the Biomedical Informatics (BMI) high-performance computing cluster in CCHMC. This work also used the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by the National Science Foundation grant number ACI-1548562. This work used the XSEDE at the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center (PSC) through allocation MCB190124P and MCB190006P.

References

1. Wittkopp, P. J. & Kalay, G. Cis-regulatory elements: molecular mechanisms and evolutionary

processes underlying divergence. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **13**, 59–69 (2011).

2. Wasserman, W. W. & Sandelin, A. Applied bioinformatics for the identification of regulatory

elements. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **5**, 276–287 (2004).

3. Sönmezer, C. *et al.* Molecular Co-occupancy Identifies Transcription Factor Binding

Cooperativity In Vivo. *Mol. Cell* **81**, 255–267.e6 (2021).

4. Yu, M. & Ren, B. The Three-Dimensional Organization of Mammalian Genomes. *Annu. Rev.*

Cell Dev. Biol. **33**, 265–289 (2017).

5. Kelly, T. K. *et al.* Genome-wide mapping of nucleosome positioning and DNA methylation

within individual DNA molecules. *Genome Res.* **22**, 2497–2506 (2012).

6. Krebs, A. R. *et al.* Genome-wide Single-Molecule Footprinting Reveals High RNA

Polymerase II Turnover at Paused Promoters. *Mol. Cell* **67**, 411–422.e4 (2017).

7. Shipony, Z. *et al.* Long-range single-molecule mapping of chromatin accessibility in

eukaryotes. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 319–327 (2020).

8. Xie, W. *et al.* Base-resolution analyses of sequence and parent-of-origin dependent DNA

methylation in the mouse genome. *Cell* **148**, 816–831 (2012).

9. Shoemaker, R., Deng, J., Wang, W. & Zhang, K. Allele-specific methylation is prevalent and

is contributed by CpG-SNPs in the human genome. *Genome Res.* **20**, 883–889 (2010).

10. Lee, I. *et al.* Simultaneous profiling of chromatin accessibility and methylation on human cell

lines with nanopore sequencing. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 1191–1199 (2020).

11. Liu, Y., Siegmund, K. D., Laird, P. W. & Berman, B. P. Bis-SNP: combined DNA methylation

and SNP calling for Bisulfite-seq data. *Genome Biol.* **13**, R61 (2012).

12. Rao, S. S. P. *et al.* A 3D map of the human genome at kilobase resolution reveals principles

of chromatin looping. *Cell* **159**, 1665–1680 (2014).

13. Selvaraj, S., R Dixon, J., Bansal, V. & Ren, B. Whole-genome haplotype reconstruction using

proximity-ligation and shotgun sequencing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **31**, 1111–1118 (2013).

14. Li, G. *et al.* Joint profiling of DNA methylation and chromatin architecture in single cells. *Nat.*

Methods **16**, 991–993 (2019).

15. ENCODE Project Consortium. An integrated encyclopedia of DNA elements in the human

genome. *Nature* **489**, 57–74 (2012).

16. de Lange, K. M. *et al.* Genome-wide association study implicates immune activation of

multiple integrin genes in inflammatory bowel disease. *Nat. Genet.* **49**, 256–261 (2017).

17. Liu, Y. *et al.* Bisulfite-free direct detection of 5-methylcytosine and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine

at base resolution. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 424–429 (2019).

18. Vaisvila, R. *et al.* Enzymatic methyl sequencing detects DNA methylation at single-base

resolution from picograms of DNA. *Genome Res.* (2021) doi:10.1101/gr.266551.120.

19. Krueger, F., James, F., Ewels, P., Afyounian, E. & Schuster-Boeckler, B.

FelixKrueger/TrimGalore: v0.6.7 - DOI via Zenodo. (Zenodo, 2021).

doi:10.5281/ZENODO.5127898.

20. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).

21. DeLuca, D. S. *et al.* RNA-SeQC: RNA-seq metrics for quality control and process

optimization. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1530–1532 (2012).

22. Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides fast and

bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 417–419 (2017).

23. Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for

differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139–140

(2010).

24. Durand, N. C. *et al.* Juicer Provides a One-Click System for Analyzing Loop-Resolution Hi-C

Experiments. *Cell Syst* **3**, 95–98 (2016).

25. Kruse, K., Hug, C. B. & Vaquerizas, J. M. FAN-C: a feature-rich framework for the analysis

421 and visualisation of chromosome conformation capture data. *Genome Biol.* **21**, 303 (2020).

422 26. Das, S. *et al.* Next-generation genotype imputation service and methods. *Nat. Genet.* **48**,

423 1284–1287 (2016).

424 27. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation.

425 *Nature* **526**, 68–74 (2015).

426 28. Zook, J. M. *et al.* Extensive sequencing of seven human genomes to characterize benchmark

427 reference materials. *Scientific data* vol. 3 160025 (2016).

428 29. Li, H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and

429 population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2987–

430 2993 (2011).

Figures

Fig.1. GTAGMe-seq generates high-quality multi-omics data. (a). The method scheme. (b).

The 3D genome concordance with Hi-C in IMR-90. From left to right: 1Mb resolution across all

chromosomes, 250kb resolution for chromosome 6 (include a comparison of compartment score

with Hi-C), 50kb resolution for chromosome 6 (include a comparison of insulation score with Hi-

C). (c). The methylation concordance with WGBS at HCG (H=A, C, or T) sites in IMR-90. (d).

Average GCH (H=A, C, or T) methyltransferase footprint level (left) and HCG methylation level

(right) near PolII binding sites. PolII binding sites are divided into quantiles based on the signal

strengths of PolII ChIP-seq in IMR-90. Matched random intervals are randomly chosen from the

same chromosome and interval lengths as the intervals in top 0-25% quantile. (e). Average GCH

methyltransferase footprint level and HCG methylation level around the distal CEBPB binding

sites in IMR-90. (f). Scatterplot of the concordance at the gene level with total RNA-seq

(ENCODE) in IMR-90. (g). The SNPs concordance with the ground truth SNPs data from Genome

in a Bottle (GIAB). The results are compared with the SNPs called from WGS (1000 genome

project, phase3 in NA12878). Ti/Tv ratio is also compared.

Fig.2. GTAGMe-seq reveals the coordinated GCH methyltransferase footprint at distal regions in spatial proximity. (a). The coordinated GCH methyltransferase footprint is much stronger at the read pairs from the same DNA molecules (top panel) than that from different DNA molecules but in the same regions (bottom panel). Each dot at the heatmap represents one read pair within the HiCCUPS anchor regions. (b). Average GCH methyltransferase concordance level across all the HiCCUPS anchor regions (>20kb, orange color), their matched local regions (utilize the read pairs from the same anchor and within 1kb distance, black color), or matched shuffled read pairs from the same HiCCUPS anchor regions (>20kb, blue color).

Fig.3. GTAGMe-seq reveals the long-range allele-specific GCH methyltransferase footprint.

(a). Scheme and the heatmap representations of the three groups' long-range GCH methyltransferase footprint. The distance between SNP anchors and non-SNP anchors should be more than 1kb. Group 1 (purple) is the group of loci with significant differences of GCH methyltransferase footprint between Allele A and Allele B at both SNP anchors (FDR<0.05) and non-SNP anchors (FDR<0.05). Group 2 (green) is the group of loci with significant differences of GCH methyltransferase footprint between Allele A and Allele B only at SNP anchors (FDR<0.05) but not at non-SNP anchors (FDR>0.95). Group 3 (orange) is the group of loci with significant differences of GCH methyltransferase footprint between Allele A and Allele B only at non-SNP anchors (FDR<0.05) but not at SNP anchors (FDR>0.95). The rows of the heatmap are clustered by columns marked with the magenta star (*). (b). The log2 enrichment of TF binding sites at SNP anchors and non-SNP anchors. The log2 ratio enrichment level is calculated by the ratio with the

498   matched random intervals in the same chromosomes. The random permutation is repeated ten

499   times. Only the top 3 enriched TF binding sites are shown.