QuasiFlow: a bioinformatic tool for genetic variability analysis from next generation sequencing data

QuasiFlow for genetic variability from NGS data

Pedro Seoane[1,2,3,†], Luis Díaz-Martínez[4,5,†] , Enrique Viguera[5], M. Gonzalo Claros[1,2,3,4,*] and Ana Grande-Pérez[4,5]

[1] Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Malaga, Spain.

[2] Institute of Biomedical Research in Málaga (IBIMA), 29010 Málaga, Spain

[3] Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIBERER), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Málaga, Spain

[4] Instituto de Hortofruticultura Subtropical y Mediterránea "La Mayora", Universidad de Málaga-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (IHSM-UMA-CSIC), Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Malaga, Spain.

[5] Area de Genética, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Malaga, Spain

[†]The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first two authors should be regarded as joint First Authors

* **Corresponding author**: Instituto de Hortofruticultura Subtropical y Mediterránea (IHSM-UMA-CSIC), Ampliación del Campus de Teatinos, Universidad de Málaga, 29071 Malaga, Spain. Tel: +34 952 137 284; Fax: +34 952 132 041; Email: claros@uma.es or gonzalo.claros@ ihsm.uma-csic.es

ABSTRACT

Populations of RNA and ssDNA viruses within their hosts contain a heterogeneous collection

of variant genomes known as quasispecies. Large variability in mitochondrial DNA has also

been found within the same organism, drawing an interesting parallel between the two

situations. The advent of next-generation sequencing technologies facilitated studying

genetic variation, but many open-source bioinformatic tools have to be combined in a non-

trivial approach. Here it is presented QuasiFlow, a workflow based on well-stablished

software that extracts reliable mutations and recombinations, even at low frequencies ($\sim 10^{-4}$

), provided that at least 250 million nucleotides are analysed. Accurate prediction of

mutations and recombinations has been demonstrated with synthetic reads and with in vitro

rolling-circle amplification of a plant geminivirus. An in-depth analysis of viral quasispecies

was performed and QuasiFlow revealed the coexistence in the plant of three virus genomes

and distinct recombinations between some of them. Human mitochondrial variants were also

investigated and high level of heteroplasmy (75%) was confirmed, and the relation between

low-frequency heteroplasmy (0.1- 0.2%) and some human diseases, regardless of sex, was

established. Hence, we propose that QuasiFlow may find use with known and emerging

viruses to reveal evolutionary jumps and co-infections, with mitochondrial DNA to detect

relevant heteroplasmy would otherwise be elusive, or even in other population studies such

as those considering single cell sequencing.

INTRODUCTION

RNA and ssDNA viruses, including SARS-CoV-2, exist as highly variable and

heterogeneous collections of mutated and recombinant genomes known as virus

quasispecies (Lauring and Andino 2010; Domingo et al. 2021). The term 'quasispecies'

means that a viral genome is indeed a weighted average of a large number of different but

related individual genotypes, called haplotypes (Domingo and Perales 2019; Domingo et al.

2021). Haplotypes differ not only in point mutations, since it is well known that many viruses

can recombine resulting in the shuffling of beneficious and deleterious variations that can be

separated and tested as individual units in new combinations. High variability has also been

found in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) that has been related to human mtDNA disorders that

affect one of 4300 individuals (Gorman et al. 2015). The frequency in which the mtDNA

variants (point mutations and indels) are found determines that they are considered

heteroplasmy, if different variants of the mtDNA coexist in the same cell, tissue, organ or

organism, or homoplasmy, in case all mtDNA copies share the mutation. Heteroplasmy and

homoplasmy have been related to disease and ageing in humans (Stewart and Chinnery

2015).

Studies on virus quasiespecies usually required laborious and expensive laboratory efforts.

Fortunately, the advent of next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies enabled the fast

and cheap detection of any minor variant within a huge population of genomes (Bull et al.

2016; Posada-Cespedes et al. 2017) and discern all single nucleotide variations (SNVs) in any

quasispecies to define a haplotype (viral variant) without the need of culture or cloning prior

to sequencing (Zukurov et al. 2016). However, analysing NGS data can be an awkward

endeavour starting from the available open-source, cutting-edge software with incompatible

formats to study recombination, variant calling and haplotypes (Cacciabue et al. 2020).

Additionally, quasispecies studies must deal with sequencing errors, whose frequency lays

close to the viral replication error rates (10^{-3}-10^{-5} errors per nucleotide).

To fill this gap, here we describe QuasiFlow, a bioinformatic tool based on well stablished

software to study genetic variability from NGS data that is able to distinguishing real

variations from sequencing errors. Accurate and precise detection of low-frequency

mutations, genetic variability and recombination is achieved in viral quasispecies and other

highly variable genomes like mtDNA. Its companion QuasiComparer facilitates the

interpreation of multiple analyses on comparable samples. Both pipelines together can

extract the complete genetic variability provided that more than 250 million nucleotides were

sequenced.

RESULTS

Stringent filtering in QuasiFlow provides a reliable detection of low-frequency

genetic variations

The performance of QuasiFlow workflow (Fig. 1) was investigated using four datasets

(mut_rec, mut_REC, MUT_rec and MUT_REC, where 'MUT/mut' means mutations,

'REC/rec' means recombination, lower case indicates absence, and upper case indicates

presence) of synthetic reads mimicking an Illumina sequencing project (see Materials and

Methods for details). Read rejection rate was 0.72 in all four groups (Fig. 2A,

RejectedReads) due to the strict requeriments in the read-preprocessing step to avoid the

confusion of sequencing errors with mutation events. Consequently, the useful reads (Fig.

2A, ReferenceReads) presented a recovering index of 0.27 for recombinant free datasets

(mut_rec and MUT_rec) with a slight decrease to ~0.25 where only recombinations

(mut_REC) or recombinations together with mutations (MUT_REC) were present,

respectively. Mapping rate of useful reads was nearly 1.00 for the samples without mutations

(Fig 2A, MappedReads) with a slight decrease to 0.98 when reads contain artificial

mutations, indicating that the accumulation of sequence changes causes the alignment

rejection by the mapper with highly strict parameters. Concerning recombination, untangled

datasets (mut_rec and MUT_rec) showed an expected 0.0 rate for true and false

recombination events (Fig 2A, TrueRECs and FalseRECs) while most (0.86) recombination

events were correctly predicted in both mut_REC and MUT_REC. The striking value of false

recombinants in the MUT_rec sample is due to one single unexpected recombination event.

The few cases of false recombinations detected in MUT_REC (Fig. 2A, FalseRECs) were

manually inspected revealing that they were true recombinants produced 4-5 nt apart from

the expected nucleotide, indicating that stringent validations of QuasiFlow detects true

recombinations, even if they are not at the expected position and should not affect when

real-world data are used. Concerning mutations, it results that unmutated datasets (mut_rec

and mut_REC) contained no mutation per read (Fig. 2A, TrueMUTs), while mutated datasets

(MUT_rec and MUT_REC) were 0.863 and 0.831, respectively. Taken together, Fig. 2A

demonstrated that QuasiFlow can predict very accurately the mutation or recombination

frequency in a population using only the subset of useful reads.

Figure 1: Conceptual representation of the QuasiFlow workflow for quantifying genetic variability using high-

throughput sequencing reads. The seven tasks, described in detail in Materials and Methods, are grey rectangles,

where the relevant bioinformatic tools are indicated in italics. Magenta boxes refer to QuasiFlow input files. White

rounded boxes correspond to input/output data recoverable when QuasiFlow finishes; their title containing the task

number will facilitate its citation throughout the text. Solid arrows indicate information flow.

Figure 2: Quasiflow and QuasiComparer performance. (**A**) Benchmarking QuasiFlow with synthetic reads. Bars

represent means of three replicates with their standard error. Colours are indicated in the legend and correspond to

the four synthetic datasets. "RejectedReads" are reads removed due to quality, complexity or length issues.

"ReferenceReads" are reads devoid of artefacts (useful reads) and therefore suitable for genetic variance analyses.

"MappedReads" are useful reads mapped onto reference sequence of TYLCV-Mld. "TrueRECs" represent

recombination events that were predicted at the expected position. "False RECs" gather those recombination events

that were not detected at the expected position. "True MUTs" correspond to mutation events predicted at the expected

position. "Haplotypes" are those retained after task 7 cross-validation (T1_Supp_Ht in Fig. 1). Variation profile of

replicates from synthetic mut_rec (**B**) and MUT_REC (**C**), and RCA-amplified TYLCV-Mld clone (**D**), where the outer

track (V2VarFreq) corresponds to the frequency of variants called by Varscan2, the other six inner tracks are VirVarSeq

mutation frequencies determined in the six TYLCV-Mld frames), and the internal links represent origin and target

positions of the recombinations identified by ViReMa. (**E**) Hierarchical clustering after PCA of three replicates of each

synthetic dataset and the TYLCV-Mld, where the different replicates are clustered together and surrounded by color

boxes, and the single TYLCV-Mld sample is boxed in red. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the mutation (**F**) and

recombination (**G**) rates in the same samples of panel E. The outer ring groups samples by factor: in green are

synthetic samples that lack of inserted mutations (mut) or recombinations (rec), in pink are gathered all samples with

inserted mutations (MUT) or recombinations (REC), and in blue is the TYLCV-Mld infectious clone sample. Inner rings

represent the most significant variables, where the colour spectrum represents the relative value of the variable in the

range of 0 (blue) to 100% (red), as indicated in the colour indicator. The QuasiFlow HTML report for mut_rec (*1-*

mut_rec.html) and MUT_REC (*2-MUT_REC.html*) synthetic reads, the QuasiComparer complete comparative report for

all synthetic reads (*3-Comparative_Report_SyntheticReads.html*), as well as for the control of TYCLV-Mld replicated in

vitro (*4-Control_TYLCV.html*) can be inspected in Supplementary File 1.

The whole variation profile was then investigated (Fig. 2B-D). As expected, the control group

of mut_rec presented no mutations and no recombination events, being consistent with a

very low frequency of genetic variability (Fig. 2B). For high variation profiles such as

MUT_REC (Fig 2C), 261 new mutations with frequencies ranging from 0.31 to 1.72×10^{-4},

and 13 recombination events were detected. This illustrates that QuasiFlow can find both

low- and high-frequent mutation and recombination events. A test using a real-world dataset

from a RCA-amplified infectious clone of the begomovirus TYLCV-Mld (tomato yellow leaf

curl virus, mild strain), where low mutation frequency is expected as well as absence of

recombination, 72 mutations with frequencies ranging from 4.2×10^{-4} to 2.2×10^{-4} were

detected and no recombination events were identified (Fig. 2D). The apparent hotspot

detected from the nucleotide 1110 to 1128 for the ORF so called 315-258-dir (Fig 2D, track

B) is due to the quasi-dimer (1,7-mer) nature of infectious clone compared to the reference

genome, being the region where digestion and fusion was produced and is not identical in all

virus haplotypes. Since the RCA-amplified TYLCV-Mld (Fig 2D) presents values closer to

mut_rec (Fig 2B) than to MUT_REC (Fig 2C), it can be suggested QuasiFlow was able to

detect the low amount of variation introduced by bacterial cloning and RCA manipulation,

and that this low level of variability would have a negligible impact on genetic variation. This

also supports that mutation and recombination events and the resulting haplotypes provided

by QuasiFlow should be considered reliable, derived from real biological events and not from

experimental artefacts.

Quasispecies studies usually require comparison of several samples. This has been

facilitated by another workflow called QuasiComparer. When samples mut_rec, mut_REC,

MUT_rec, MUT_REC and TYLCV-Mld where compared (*3-*

Comparative_Report_SyntheticReads.html in Supplementary File 1), most (67.54%) of the

total variance in PCA seems to depend on mutations, which explains why the TYLCV-Mld

sample clusters with mut_rec and mut_REC datasets (Fig. 2E), in agreement with resultis in

Figs. 2B and 2D. The same behaviour can be inferred after ANOVA taking into account both

mutations (Fig. 2F) or recombinations (Fig. 2E) as factors, the same 4 variables being highly

significant for both factors ($P < 0.005$). Since the TYLCV-Mld sample shows again a pattern

close to datasets without recombination events and without mutations, it is suggested that

QuasiComparer easily illustrates the classifation of known samples as expected, and serves

to group samples based on their biological origin, being a great help to interpret results.

Quasiespecies reconstruction of original and recombinant geminiviruses in a

tomato plant

QuasiFlow performance detecting recombinant viruses was illustrated using geminivirus.

MiSeq data from a rolling circle amplification (RCA) product of a TYLCV-Mld agroinfecting

Solanum lycopersicum plant was analysed. Viral genetic variation was evaluated with the

incidence, abundance and functional diversity indexes described in methods presented in

Table S1 of Supplementary File 2. These values are characteristic of highly diverse viral

populations (Gregori et al. 2016), since (i) the mutation frequency was 1.008×10^{-2} mut/nt,

two orders of magnitude higher than that of other geminiviruses ($\sim10^{-4}$ mut/nt) (Isnard et al.

1998; Sanz et al. 2000; Ge et al. 2007; Duffy and Holmes 2008); and (ii) the Shannon index

reached 0.6. The consensus sequence differed by 32 SNVs from the reference sequence

(TYLCV-Mld) and its mutation frequency per nucleotide position clustered into two well

differentiated regions (Figure 3A). The first, from positions 1 to 1000 and includes 21

changes with a mutation frequency of 0.85 ± 0.03. A second region, from position 1201 to

2791, included changes with mutation frequencies of 0.95 ± 0.03 and 0.69 ± 0.02. Between

the two regions, at positions 1000-1200 a hostpot was found with a mutation frequency of

0.45. A total of 31 haplotypes (Hp) were reconstructed (Figure 3B), including one (Hp1)

identical to the reference sequence. Hp12, Hp27 and Hp31 accounted for 80% of the total.

Hp12 showed an identity of 98% with TYLCV-Mld and represented 40% of the total.

Interestingly, blast analysis indicated that Hp12 was identical to Almería strain of TYLCV

(TYLCV-Almería, AJ489258.1) from nt 1-1054 whereas from nt 1055-2791 was identical to

Malaga strain (TYLCMaV, AF271234.1). The recombinant nature of Hp12 was confirmed by

RDP analysis (Fig. 3C) and suggested a triple infection within the agro-infected plant. *In*

silico results were confirmed by restriction analysis (Fig. 3D) of the RCA product. *KpnI*

releases the monomer of TYLCMaV and *ClaI* cuts TYLCV-Almería into two fragments and

linearises TYLCV-Mld. This assay revealed the existence of the three viruses in the tomato

plant. In addition, *bona fide* recombinant genomes between TYLCV-Almería and TYLCMaV

(Fig. 3E) were amplified with specific primers. Finally, since haplotype reconstruction

showed a high frequency of genomes carrying changes that belonged to the TYLCMaV

sequence, the relative abundance of each virus was investigated. The analysis of the

coverage of each parental virus (Figure 3F), using an artificial reference sequence with the

genome of the three viruses, showed that most of the variants belonged to TYLCMaV,

followed by TYLCV-Almería, while TYLCV-Mld was almost undetectable, suggesting a

fitness advantage of TYLCMaV.

Figure 3. Variation analysis of a TYLCV-Mld population after infection of tomato plants. **(A)** Nucleotide mutation

frequency profile, where black dashed lines indicate regions that group SNPs of similar frequency, one containing

mutations with two different frequencies. Only mutations with a frequency over 0.5 were considered for the

consensus. (**B**) Haplotype reconstruction of the TYLCV population. Circles depict mutated positions on each haplotype

respect to the reference sequence TYLCV-Mld. Coloring indicates haplotype frequency. Of the 31 different

reconstructed haplotypes, haplotype 1 (Hp1) is identical to the consensus sequence. The dashed squares frame

regions with high variability among haplotypes. (**C**) RDP4 analysis showing Haplotype 12 as a recombinant with the

first part of its sequence (gray rectangles, upper panel) of TYLCV-Almería and the second half of TYLCMaV (lower

panel). **(D)** Restriction analysis of the RCA product from the tomato sample: 1/100 dilution (lanes 1) and a 1/10

dilution (lanes 2); positive control, TYLCV-Mld monoinfected tomato (lanes 3); negative control (lanes 4). (**E**)

Identification of a *bona-fide* recombinant between TYLCMaV and TYLCV-Almería in the TYLCV-Mld infected tomato by

PCR. A region of 1.4 kb of the recombinant was amplified in a 1/100 dilution (lane1), undiluted DNA (lane 2); positive

controls: tomato monoinfected with TYLCV-Mld (lane 3), TYLCMaV (lane 4) and TYLCV-Almería-(lane 5). (**F**) Nucleotide

coverage of the infected tomato sample using a TYLCV-Mld, TYLCMaV and TYLCV-Almería chimaera as a reference

sequence. The QuasiComparer complete comparative report for tomato infected plants with TYCLV (*5-Tomato_TYLCV-*

invected.html) can be inspected in Supplementary File 1.

Study of mitochondrial variants to establish a relationship between low-

frequency heteroplasmies and human diseases

Within eucariotic cells, a population of mitochondrial genomes exists, each genome

harboring variations called variants of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). We analysed MiSeq data

of 47 human mtDNA samples from blood, lymphoblastoid cell lines and saliva. QuasiFlow

detected 10 400 variants of which 14.5% (1 508 variants) were homoplasmies and 85.5%

(8 892 variants) corresponded to heteroplasmies. Nucleotide changes (Supplementary File

2, Table S2) were biased towards transitions A $\rightarrow$ G and T $\rightarrow$ C in all samples, followed by C

$\rightarrow$ T and G $\rightarrow$ A. No transversions were found in 12 of the 47 samples. Looking at

heteroplasmies, a remarkable 80% had very low frequency, that is, between 0.1% and 0.2%,

and the rest (20%) had a frequency no higher than 2% (Fig 4A). These results suggest that

mtDNA populations in these samples maintain a very low level (below 0.3%) of

heteroplasmies while homoplasmies were very frequent (greater than 98%) with a stricking

absence of intermediate cases. When the relationship between mtDNA variants and disease

was inspected, 451 variants were associated with different diseases (Supplementary File 2,

Table S3). Interestingly, more than 75% of these mtDNA variants were heteroplasmies.

Using the data retrieved from MITOMAP a network was constructed for each sample

illustrating the relationship between mtDNA variants per ORF and disease. An example is

shown in Figure 4B with biological replicate 1 of a female lymphoblastoid sample. Note that

26 homoplasmies were related to brain syndromes such as Alzheimer's, Parkinson's,

deafness, bipolar disorder, multiple sclerosis, Leber hereditary optic neuropathy (LHON) or

cerebral pseudoatrophy. Remakably, 310 heteroplasmies, of which 300 had a frequency

below 1%, were related to the same brain syndromes. These findings highlight the

importance of detecting low frequency mtDNA heteroplasmies for disease association.

Figure 4. Variation analysis of mtDNA populations from different human tissues. **(A)** Average distribution of mtDNA

variants in the 47 samples analyzed showing very low frequency of heteroplasmies (below 0.2%) and homoplasmies,

but without intermediate frequency variants. **(B)** Mutation network of sample 1 of the lymphoblastoid cell line (FL1,

green node). ORFs with heteroplasmies (orange nodules) are linked to diseases (purple nodules) that have been

associated with these mutations. The thickness of the line is proportional to the frequency of the heteroplasmy. **(C)**

Sorting the different mtDNA samples by PCA. The colors correspond to the different clusters formed from the analysis

of the first four dimensions of the PCA. **(D)** Cladogram showing the five clusters from the PCA of panel D. Blood

samples group in the red and black clusters, linfoblastoid samples in the blue and red clusters, and saliva samples are

dispersed throughout the three main clusters. The QuasiComparer complete comparative report for the mtDNAs (*6-*

Comparative_Report_Mitochondria.html) can be inspected in Supplementary File 1.

The impact of sex and tissue factors were inspected using QuasiComparer. No significant

differences (P < 0.05; Table S4 in Supplementary File 2) were detected among the 47

samples for any of the factors, but differences become evident by PCA for tissue factor (Fig.

4C), that explained 48% of the total variance. In fact, hierarchical clustering grouped

samples in four clusters (Fig. 4D) two of them by tissue, while no association between sex

and mtDNA variants was found. The blue- and cyan-boxed clusters in Fig. 4D contained

mainly lymphoblastoid samples and the red-boxed cluster was enriched with blood samples.

Differences between these clusters were found significant (*t*-Student, *P* < 0.05).

Genetic variability requires sequencing of 250 million nucleotides in TYLCV

To present a robust and accurate assessment of mutation and recombination frequencies,

the QuasiFlow/QuasiComparer analysis must rely on the whole genetic variability, and this is

clearly dependent on the number of reads for the low frequent SNVs. Hence, the number of

mutations detected using MiSeq or HiSeq platforms on the same sample was compared

depending on the total number of nucleotides sequenced. A sigmoid behavior was observed

using both platforms (Figure S1 in Supplementary File 2), reaching a plateau (that is,

mutations at all frequencies were detected) when nearly 250 million nucleotides were

sequenced. It is reassuring that both sequencing platforms require the same number of

nucleotides sequenced to saturate the analysis, corresponding to ~1.5 millions of true, useful

2×300 MiSeq reads (derived from ~3 million raw reads) per sample, or ~0.75 million of true

2×100 HiSeq reads (derived from ~1.25 millions of raw reads) per sample. However, MiSeq

datasets reach the plateau in ~1 815 mutations (Figure S1, yellow lines), while HiSeq

saturates at ~580 to ~800 (Figure S1, blue lines). Only the sequencing platform can be

invoked as source of differences since the same DNA was sequenced in both cases and the

same bioinformatic pipeline run. It seems that MiSeq reactions would be more prone to

sequencing variations than HiSeq reactions (in fact, we were verbally told by Illumina staff

that the sequencing kit for 2 × 300 nt in MiSeq produces more sequencing errors than other

kits). Therefore, being conservative, HiSeq could be considered true variations, while MiSeq

is suspected to overestimate the genetic variation. The arrival of NovaSeq and the new

sequencing platforms might benefit the performance of QuasiFlow. In any case, it seems

reasonable to avoid sequencing mixtures from different platforms to measure genetic

variability.

DISCUSSION

The study of highly variable genetic systems was initiated in virology (Domingo and Schuster

2016) and now extends to other biological systems such as cancer (Dagogo-Jack and Shaw

2018), bacteria (Chan et al. 2013), mitochondria (Aryaman et al. 2019), or prions (Mahal et

al. 2010). These analyses rely on accurate determination of mutations for the development

of effective therapies. The aim of QuasiFlow (Fig. 1) is to provide sensitive, reliable, and

robust analysis of complex genetic variability (including both low frequency mutations and

recombinations) with a highly-stringent set of well-known bioinformatics tools glued with in-

house code. Results are easy to interpret when compared using QuasiComparer

(Supplementary File 1) as illustrated with PCA and ANOVA plots grouping samples by their

biological origin and not by the experimental process used to produce them (Figs. 2E-F, 3F

and 4B-D). The capabilities of QuasiFlow/QuasiComparer couple have been demonstrated

using synthetic sets of data (Fig. 2) and applied to a real-world data from plant viral (Fig. 3)

and human mitochondrial (Fig. 4) populations.

The advent of the NGS (next-generation sequencing) technology has dramatically boosted

the intrahost viral diversity studies, even if there is still no consensus about the most

appropriate technology, the most appropriate software or the minimal read quality for

SNV/recombination validations (McCrone and Lauring 2016). QuasiFlow applied to NGS

data enabled, using a coverage >10 000× when a threshold of 20× was widely used in

literature, the detection of low frequency SNVs and recombinations with a small rate of false

positive detection. Emerging viruses such as coronavirus and geminiviruses are threatening

humans and crops, respectively, due to their high frequency of mutation and recombination

(Martin et al. 2011). Although some studies show that recombination is not the main

phenomenon involved in the evolution of these viruses (Duffy et al. 2008), it is important to

take this process into account due to its potential to generate large evolutionary jumps in

very small time frames. Thus, recombinants can lead to host range expansion and increases

in patogenicity. The analysis of the TYLCV-Mld infective clone in tomato plants in Fig. 3A-B

demonstrated a striking triple infection (Fig. 3C), revealing that studies in greater depth of

this type of co-infections could shed light on the reasons for fitness differences between

such similar virus species.

The reliable analyses of the genetic heterogeneity of human mtDNA (Fig. 4) confirms the

wide scope of QuasiFlow. In first instance, QuasiFlow confirmed the extreme genetic

variation within mtDNA (Stewart and Chinnery 2021) with specific variant patterns in different

tissues or nuclear backgrounds (i.e. sex), becoming a highly accurate and sensitive tool for

the analysis of mitochondrial diseases. Interestingly, low-frequency mtDNA heteroplasmies

were detected and then related to diseases that might have been elusive to detection by

other means. Moreover, one can also envisage the utility of QuasiFlow/QuasiComparer in

single-cell studies (Huang et al. 2021). In the case of tumours where genetic diversity is high

from cell to cell due to a high mutation rate and such tumors can be considered as a

collection of subclones, the study of this diversity can be facilitated using QuasiFlow: genetic

diversity between patients or within a tumor can lead to pinpoint actionable driver mutations

to design targeted therapies (Mroz and Rocco 2017; Baslan et al. 2020).

340 Accurately identifying mutations and variants among the thousands of virus that arise during

341 an emerging viral infection can help understand how changes in tropism and jumps between

342 host species occur. This is of particular importance in the present COVID-19 pandemic as

343 fast haplotype reconstruction achieved by QuasiFlow can be a useful tool to detect variants

344 of unknown origin such as Omicron that may be present as minority variants in mutant

345 spectra.

346 **METHODS**

347 **Workflow availability and requirements**

348 QuasiFlow (Fig. 1), a workflow developed with the workflow manager AutoFlow (Seoane et

349 al. 2016), takes as input raw, paired-end read data generated by a NGS platform (mainly

350 Illumina, although other are allowed). Its design took advantage of computational resources

351 available and the following dependencies: Art (Huang et al. 2012), SeqTrimBB, FastQC

352 (Andrews 2010), BWA (Li and Durbin 2009), samtools (Li et al. 2009), Samstat (Li et al.

353 2009), Qualimap (Okonechnikov et al. 2015), ViReMa (Routh and Johnson 2014), VirVarSeq

354 (Verbist et al. 2015) CliqueSNV (Knyazev et al. 2021), Varscan2 (Koboldt et al. 2012),

355 VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011), Haploclique (Töpfer et al. 2014), Clustal Ω (Sievers and

356 Higgins 2014), CanvasXpress (https://github.com/neuhausi/canvasXpress/) and FactoMineR

357 (Husson et al. 2009). It was coded and executed in Picasso supercomputer (3456 cores and

358 8.4 TB of RAM with Slurm queue system on SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 11SP2) at the

359 University of Málaga. It requires (1) a reference genome in FASTA format (the begomovirus

360 TYLCV or human mitochondrial DNA in this study), (2) a GFF file containing all open reading

361 frame (ORF) coordinates, and (3) the circular or linear nature of the reference genome.

362 Several samples can be run simultaneously using a customizable daemon script provided

363 with the code that enables (1) executing QuasiFlow with all samples, (2) monitorizing

364 QuasiFlow executions, (3) gathering results from all samples, and (4) comparative sample

365   analysis using QuasiComparer. The workflow procceeds through the following seven tasks

366   (Fig. 1):

Task 1: Read quality control and pre-processing

Raw reads were quality controlled using FastQC, and pre-processed using SeqTrimBB to

remove low quality bases, vectors and adapters. SeqTrimBB default parameters were used,

except for the following: 1) minimum phred base mean quality was set to 26 to avoid

sequencing errors to be confounded with low frequency mutations and reduce the false

positive ratio on ulterior analyses (Morelli et al. 2013; Welkers et al. 2014); 2) the minimum

read length was increased to 100 nt to remove shorter reads confounding haplotype

reconstruction (Zagordi et al. 2011). Viral reads were extracted from host and contaminant

reads using the reference genome. This more reliable but reduced number reads (Fig 1,

T1_U_rd) guaranteed soundness and robustness in further steps (Daly et al. 2015).

Task 2: Recombination events

The useful reads (T1_U_rd) were then treated as single reads (even though they come from

paired-end reads) with ViReMa (Routh and Johnson 2014) using default parameters, excepting

the aligner, which was configured to BWA (Li and Durbin 2009) instead of the default Bowtie

since BWA is widely used for recombination studies. To avoid the identification of indels or

misalignments as recombination events due to confounding reads, the seed minimum length

was set to 55, the allowed mismatches was set to 1, and the microindels size was set to 55.

Spurious recombination events with a frequency lower than 1×10^{-3} were removed to

increase reliability in predicted recombination events. Additionally, recombination events

located between the start and end positions of a circular reference sequence were

discarded. Recombination events are classified by ViReMa as HomoRecs (Fig 1, T2_sR) for

those resulting in a sequence deletion, or NonHomoRec (Fig 1, T2_dR) when the resulting

sequence presents a duplication.

Task 3: SNV calling per ORF

The SNV calling process per ORF was based on VirVarSeq (Verbist et al. 2015) using useful

reads in T1_U_rd and the GFF file provided at launch containing the ORF coordinates.

VirVarSeq default parameters were used, but the minimum average phred quality is

consistently set to 26 to assure that high quality reads are used. Variant codons were then

converted to nucleotide positions (Fig1, T3_vcl) by assigning the SNV to the three

nucleotides belonging to each codon.

Task 4: Mapping

Useful reads T1_U_rd (Fig. 1) were mapped on the genome reference to prepare data for

subsequent haplotype reconstruction (Task 5) and variant calling (Task 6). To ensure high

quality alignments, accurate mapping scoring (preventing false variant calling), and

compatibility with further tools, mapping using BWA was launched with the following

command:

`bwa mem –B 20 –A 30 –O 30 –E 3 reference_sequence pair1.fastq pair2.fastq`
`| samtools view –bS –q 30 –F 4 > file.bam`

A quality control analysis of the mapping is then performed on the BAM file with both

Samstat (Li et al. 2009) and Qualimap (Okonechnikov et al. 2015) tools. Finally, by means of

mpileup (Li et al. 2009), a first variant dataset is provided using the following command:

`samtools mpileup –BQ 26 –q 30 –d 10000000 –f reference_sequence file.bam`

where the –BQ flag filters by base quality (set to 26 in order to be coherent with the previous

tasks). The –q flag, used in the current and in the previous samtools command, skips the

alignments with a map quality of 30 or less. Finally, the –d flag changes the default

maximum read depth from 8000 to 10^7 following the samtools documentation ([CSL STYLE

ERROR: reference with no printed form.]). Then, the pileup for one BAM file is saved (Fig 1,

T4_pile).

Task 5: Haplotype reconstruction

The haplotype reconstruction relied on the recent CliqueSNV (Knyazev et al. 2021) that
seems to outperform other haplotyping methods, even with low coverage samples where
SNVs are at low frequencies. CliqueSNV opened each BAM file in T4_pile (Fig. 1) to identify
groups of linked SNVs and remove sequencing errors. It resulted in a graph where SNVs are
nodes and edges connect linked SNVs. Merging cliques in that graph identified haplotypes
that were then saved (Fig 1, T5_Ht) together with their frequency.

Task 6: SNV calling

SNV calling was based on VarScan2 (Verbist et al. 2015). Mutations were called using the
pileup file from Task 4 (T4_pile) with the following command

```
varscan mpileup2snp file.mpileup --min-coverage 10000 --min-reads2 10 --
min-var-freq 7e-6 --min-avg-qual 30
```

Indels were obtained with the same command but substituting `mpileup2snp` for
`mpileup2indel`. The `min-avg-qual` removed low quality alignments, ensuring high quality
calling SNVs, and the `min-coverage` and `min-reads` parameters selected only SNVs
supported by 10 reads with a minimum read depth of 10 000. The `min-var-freq` parameter
allows detection of real SNVs with frequencies as low as 7×10^{-6}. The resulting files of
mutations (Fig 1, T6_mut) and indels (Fig 1, T6_ind) were processed with the command vcf-
stats from the VCFtools suite (Danecek et al. 2011) to obtain parameters such as variant
counts, transition/transversion frequencies, as well as computing the frequencies of each
type of base substitution that will be used below in QuasiComparer.

Task 7: Cross-validation and scoring

Taking in mind that haplotype reconstruction was poor when sequence divergence is low,
failing to recover rare haplotypes (Schirmer et al. 2014), QuasiFlow was designed with a
final task to remove unreliable haplotypes and increase the consistency with previously

detected SNVs. To do so, haplotypes in T5_Ht were aligned to the genome reference using

Clustal Ω, and the variable positions were cross-validated with previously obtained SNVs

(T6_mut and T6_ind). Variability not supported by a SNV was edited, and haplotypes whose

variations were all edited were then rejected as due to sequencing errors or untrusted

variations. The resulting SNV-supported haplotypes (Fig. 1, T7_Supp_Ht) were realigned

again and haplotype frequency was used to score diversity indices described by Gregori et

al (Gregori et al. 2016), such as normalized Shannon, Simpson, Gini-Simpson, Hill numbers,

sample nucleotide diversity, etc (Fig. 1, T7_DivI; see Supplementary Table S5 for a detailed

description). In addition, haplotypes and SNVs were represented as a network where each

haplotype was connected with all its SNVs to facilitate the interpretation of the genetic

complexity and heterogeneity of the sample (see reports in Supplementary File 1). To

facilitate result interpretation and visual user inspection an HTML report based on the

javascript scientific library CanvasXpress (https://github.com/neuhausi/canvasXpress/)

including the values for the parameters of Supplementary Table S5 as well as interactive

plots and graphs is provided. It should be noted that most diversity indices (but Shannon)

are provided for illustrative purposes since they are depending on the selected haplotypes.

QuasiComparer

The variability analysis usually implies comparison of several samples or conditions. Since

QuasiFlow can only manage one sequencing library (sample) at a time, inter-sample

comparisons required the development of a dedicated comparative system called

QuasiComparer. This analysis can be accomplished automatically by the same daemon

script mentioned above provided that a description file with the following data is supplied: 1)

the sample name, 2) a replicate identifier that allows to group the replicates that belongs to

the same sample, and 3) the experimental group to which the sample is assigned,

depending on the user experimental design (e.g., an experimental factor such as days post

infection with three sample replicates taken at 7 days and other three replicates at 28 days).

QuasiComparer uses the parameters indicated in Supplementary Table S5 to perform

correlation, ANOVA and principal component analysis (PCA) (Seoane et al. 2018) (Fig. 2B-

G) to provide a new, interactive, compartive report in HTML (HTML reports included in

Supplementary File 1) incluiding linear correlation plots, impact of each parameter on the

experimental factors defined by the user by SNVs and by recombination events. The

ANOVA is presented as a table and some circular plots (see Fig. 2F-G, one by each

experimental factor described by the user) to illustrate the weight of each significant variable

on each sample. The PCA also includes tables with information about its first two

dimensions displaying the weight of each variable in PCA dimensions as well as plots (Fig.

4C), a PDF containing correlation analysis between the variables, and hierarchical clustering

(Fig. 2E and 4D). All this information is intended to help users to see which samples have a

different behavior in comparison to the rest, and correlate this behavior with the experimental

factors used in the study.

Synthetic and experimental datasets for testing

To test QuasiFlow and QuasiComparer performance, twelve independent synthetic read

datasets were generated using Art (Huang et al. 2012) with the virus reference sequence of

tomato yellow leaf curl virus Mild (TYLCV-Mld, AC# AF071228). The daemon was loaded

with two parametres: 1) the presence of mutations and 2) the presence of recombinations,

and called an in-house script that generates a FASTA file with mutated, recombinant and

original virus sequences. Recombinations were generated making 30 recombinant events in

a single sequence of TYLCV-Mld separated by 60 nt. The process was repeated until the

recombinant sequences represented 20% of the total. To generate mutations, random

changes were included in 10% of the nucleotide pool of TYLCV-Mld sequences. The FASTA

file generated was then provided to Art, setting the read coverage to 300 000×, the

maximum read length in 250 nt and a fragment size of 300 nt, with a standard deviation of

10 nt and using the MiSeq version 3.0 built-in error profile.

Four (mut_rec, mut_REC, MUT_rec and MUT_REC) synthetic sample datasets were

generated by triplicate. "mut_rec" corresponded to reads where no mutation and no

recombination were introduced and was considered as control group. "mut_REC" cotains

reads where only recombinations were introduced and were considered as control for

recombination. "MUT_rec" contains reads where only mutations were introduced, which

makes it suitable as a control for mutation. Finally, the dataset "MUT_REC" contains reads

where both mutations and recombinations were introduced and was considered the testing

group.

As real-world dataset, an infectious clone of TYLCV-Mld was sequenced as a control before

its passage through a plant to test the ability to detect mutations or recombination events

introduced during high-throughput sequencing. This dataset was sequenced with a paired-

end layout 2 × 300 nt resulting in 1 106 300 reads. After pre-processing and filtering to keep

only TYLCV-Mld useful reads, this number decreased to 218 884.

Samples, virus source and viral inoculation

Thirty day-old *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0 plants were inoculated with *Agrobacterium*

tumefaciens LBA4404 containing the infectious clone (p1.7SP72/9) of TYLCV-Mld isolate

[ES:72:97] (AC# AF071228). The construction harbours 1.7 genome-length of TYLCV-Mild

(genome region from positions 161 to 1026 nt is only once) flanked by two intergenic (IR)

regions that allow the excision of the viral monomer. Each *A. thaliana* plant was inoculated

by the stem-puncture method using 20 µl of *A. tumefaciens* liquid culture adjusted to an

OD_{600} of 1.00. After agroinoculation, plants were maintained for 28 days in a growth

chamber (22 °C during the day and 20 °C at night, 70% relative humidity, with an 8-16 h

day-night photoperiod of 8-16 h).

Dr. Enrique Moriones (IHSM "La Mayora") kindly provided the tomato (*Solanum*

lycopersicum) plants agroinoculated with TYLCV-Mld with disease symptoms and that were

positive for the infection of begomoviruses of the TYLC-disease complex.

DNA extraction, virus amplification, cloning, and sequencing

DNA from *A. thaliana* Col-0 and *S. lycopersicum* plants were extracted following the

Edwards' procedure (Edwards et al. 1991) with some modifications. From each sample,

150 mg of fresh tissue were ground with liquid nitrogen, and 400 µl of extraction buffer

[200 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, SDS 0.5 % (w/v), pH 7.5, 0.1 % β-

mercaptoethanol] added. After vortexing at 37 °C for 2 min, extracts were centrifuged at

12 000 × *g* at room temperature for 5 min. The supernatants were transferred to a new tube

and mixed with 1 volume of phenol:chloroform (1:1). Following centrifugation at 12 000 × *g*

at room temperature for 5 min, the aqueous phase was transferred to a new tube and mixed

with 1 volume of isopropanol. After 2 min at room temperature, nucleic acids were recovered

by centrifugation at 12 000 × *g* at room temperature for 5 min. The pellet was washed with

70% ethanol, dried and resuspended in 200 µl of RTE buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0,

10 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.1 % RNase A) to a concentration of 130 ng/µl by incubation at 65 °C

for 10 min.

DNA samples from tomato and *A. thaliana* agroinfected plants were treated with DpnI

endonuclease to remove *A. tumefaciens* viral DNA remnants but not viral DNA replicated in

the plants. Endonuclease-treated DNA was purified with StrataClean Resin kit (Agilent

Technologies), following the instructions given by the manufacturer.

Verification of the existence of the bioinformatically detected geminivirus species of tomato

and agroinoculated *A. thaliana* plants was done by rolling-circle amplification (RCA) and

restriction analysis. For this, 130 ng of DNA from young non-inoculated leaves were

amplified for 18 h with the Illustra TempliPhi kit (GE Healthcare, UK) following

manufacturer's instructions. Amplified DNA was digested with single cutter (in viral genome)

restriction enzyme BamHI to obtain the full-length DNA (2.7 kb). Restriction enzymes KpnI

and ClaI were used to distinguish between TYLCV-Mld, TYLCV-Almería (AJ489258.1) and

TYLCMaV (AF271234.1) in DNA extracts from tomato plants.

The presence of recombinant genomes between TYLCV-Almería and TYLCMaV in extracts

form tomato plants was verified by PCR using reverse primer MAV-1271_1289-RW (5'-

TTTTCTCAACTTCCGCATC) that binds to TYLCMaV sequence and forward primer IL-

41_55-FW (5'-CCCACGAGGGTTCC) that binds to TYLCV-Almería sequence. RDP4

program (Martin et al. 2015) was used to analyse the recombination event of the most

frequent haplotype. Digestions and PCR results were analysed by agarose (Agarose SPI,

Duchefa) gel electrophoresis 1 % (w/v) with TBE buffer.

To generate DNA for Illumina sequencing viral DNA from total DNA plants extracts and the

infectious clone of TYLCV-Mld [ES:72:97] were amplified by RCA. To avoid creating a

population bottleneck during the RCA amplification step two microliters of the plant DNA

extract (260 ng total) were used as template. Replicates of each amplified sample were

pooled to reduce the accumulation of random errors due to Phi29 Polymerase. Phi29

polymerase has an associated 3′-5′ exonuclease "proofreading" activity and has a reported

error rate of 3-5 $\times$ 10^{-6}. The contribution of the Phi29 polymerase error during RCA is about

100-fold lower than the observed mutation frequency of begomoviruses (around 10^{-4}

mutations per nucleotide or higher).

MiSeq and HiSeq sequencing was performed at Macrogen (Seoul, South Korea). For MiSeq,

equimolar sequencing libraries of tomato and nine *A. thaliana* samples were done with

Truseq DNA PCR-free (550 bp insert) kit and sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq as 2 $\times$ 300 bp

reads. For HiSeq, libraries were done for three *A. thaliana* samples with Truseq DNA PCR-

free (350 bp insert) kit and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq2000 as 2 $\times$ 100 bp reads. The

number of reads belonging to geminiviruses that exceeded the initial quality filter was

293 668, with an average coverage of 14 772× per nucleotide.

Mitocondrial sequences for genetic variability analyses

Human mtDNA sequences BioProject PRJEB5005 contained blood, lymphoblastoid cell

lines and saliva samples from males and females. The set comprised 47 libraries of 2×300

bp paired-end reads obtained with the Illumina MiSeq platform with a number of reads

between 1.38×10^5 and 4.32×10^5. Samples from the same tissue and sex were considered

biological replicates. The Revised Cambridge Reference Sequence ("rCRS") of 16 569

nucleotides (AC# NC_012920) was used as standard reference for human mtDNA. Since

the mean coverage was about 1500×, variants with a frequency below 9×10^{-4} (0.09 %)

were filtered out by means of QuasiFlow parameters `--min-var-freq` to `9x10e-4` and

the `--min-coverage` to `1000` in Task 6. The biological significance of mitochondrial

variants was obtained using MITOMAP database (Lott et al. 2013)

(https://www.mitomap.org). To assess tissue origin differences between the lymphoblastoid

cell lines and blood a *t*-Student was performed using FactoMineR for each axis of the PCA.

DATA ACCESS

582   **Data**: Sequencing reads can be found at BioProject PRJNA823046. Human mtDNA

583   sequences were obtained from BioProject PRJEB5005.

584   **Code**: QuasiFlow, QuasiComparer and the daemon script (daemon.sh) that controls their

585   execution can be downloaded from *https://bitbucket.org/seoanezonjic/quasiflow/src/master/*.

586   The resulting reports are attached as Supplementary File 1.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Consejería de Economía, Innovación y Ciencia, Junta de Andalucía with assistance from the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and the European Social Fund (ESF) [grant to Research Groups BIO-264 and BIO-267; P10-CVI-6561 and UMA18-FEDERJA178 to A.G.-P., E.V.M; UMA20-FEDERJA-029 to MGC; and a predoctoral fellowship to L.D.-M. A.G-P. acknowledges support of Plan Propio de Investigación y Transferencia of the University of Málaga. Open access charges was funded by University of Málaga. The authors also thankfully acknowledge the computer resources and the technical support provided by the Plataforma Andaluza de Bioinformática of the University of Málaga.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PS designed QuasiFlow and QuasiComparer and constructed the synthetic data. LDM analysed the TYCLV and mitochondrial datasets. EV interpreted the mitochondrial data. MGC supervised the algorithm implementation and execution, and wrote the manuscript. AGP conceived the research, supervised the viral interpretations, and wrote the manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Supplementary File 1 (DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.19518976): Compressed zip file containing the following HTML reports

• *1-mut_rec.html*: QuasiFlow report for mut_rec synthetic reads.

• *2-MUT_REC.html*: QuasiFlow report for MUT_REC synthetic reads.

• *3-Comparative_Report_SyntheticReads.html*: QuasiComparer comparative report for

all synthetic reads

• *4-Control_TYLCV.html*: QuasiComparer comparative report for the control of TYCLV-

Mld replicated in vitro.

• *5-Tomato_TYLCV-invected.html*: QuasiFlow report for TYCLV samples from tomato-

infected cells.

• *6-Comparative_Report_Mitochondria.html:* QuasiComparer comparative report for

mtDNAs.

Supplementary File 2 (DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.19519003): Complementary data related

to:

• *Table S1:* Evaluation of TYLCV-Mld genetic variation.

• *Table S2:* Nucleotide changes detected in the mtDNA samples used to validate

QuasiFlow analyses.

• *Table S3:* mtDNA variants detected that have a known relation with a human

disease.

• *Table S4:* ANOVA test for the 47 samples considering the different factors evaluating

genetic variation provided by QuasiFlow

• *Figure S1:* Plot of the number of SNPs detected depending on the number of

nucleotides analysed. Nine MiSeq datasets (yellow lines; ranging from 115 to 335

million reads) and three HiSeq dataset (blue lines, ranging from 500 to 501.5 million

reads) were used. Every dataset was randomly subset in bulks of 50 000 read folds

and plotted against the number of SNPs detected in it by Varscan2.

• *Table S5:* Variables calculated during QuasiFlow execution

REFERENCES

Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. *Babraham*

Bioinformatics. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.

Aryaman J, Johnston IG, Jones NS. 2019. Mitochondrial Heterogeneity. *Front Genet* **9**: 718.

Baslan T, Kendall J, Volyanskyy K, McNamara K, Cox H, D'Italia S, Ambrosio F, Riggs M, Rodgers L,

Leotta A, et al. 2020. Novel insights into breast cancer copy number genetic heterogeneity revealed

by single-cell genome sequencing. *eLife* **9**: e51480.

Bull RA, Eltahla AA, Rodrigo C, Koekkoek SM, Walker M, Pirozyan MR, Betz-Stablein B, Toepfer A,

Laird M, Oh S, et al. 2016. A method for near full-length amplification and sequencing for six hepatitis

C virus genotypes. *BMC Genomics* **17**: 247.

Cacciabue M, Currá A, Carrillo E, König G, Gismondi MI. 2020. A beginner's guide for FMDV

quasispecies analysis: sub-consensus variant detection and haplotype reconstruction using next-

generation sequencing. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* **21**: 1766–1775.

Chan K-H, To KKW, Chan BWK, Li CPY, Chiu SS, Yuen K-Y, Ho P-L. 2013. Comparison of

Pyrosequencing, Sanger Sequencing, and Melting Curve Analysis for Detection of Low-Frequency

Macrolide-Resistant Mycoplasma pneumoniae Quasispecies in Respiratory Specimens. *J Clin*

Microbiol **51**: 2592–2598.

Dagogo-Jack I, Shaw AT. 2018. Tumour heterogeneity and resistance to cancer therapies. *Nat Rev*

Clin Oncol **15**: 81–94.

Daly GM, Leggett RM, Rowe W, Stubbs S, Wilkinson M, Ramirez-Gonzalez RH, Caccamo M, Bernal

W, Heeney JL. 2015. Host Subtraction, Filtering and Assembly Validations for Novel Viral Discovery

Using Next Generation Sequencing Data. *PloS one* **10**: e0129059.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers C a, Banks E, DePristo M a, Handsaker RE, Lunter G,

Marth GT, Sherry ST, et al. 2011. The variant call format and VCF tools. *Bioinformatics (Oxford,*

England) **27**: 2156–8.

Domingo E, García-Crespo C, Perales C. 2021. Historical Perspective on the Discovery of the

Quasispecies Concept. *Annu Rev Virol* **8**: 51–72.

Domingo E, Perales C. 2019. Viral quasispecies. *PLOS Genetics* **15**: e1008271.

Domingo E, Schuster P. 2016. *Quasispecies: from theory to experimental systems*. Springer.

Duffy S, Holmes EC. 2008. Phylogenetic evidence for rapid rates of molecular evolution in the single-

stranded DNA begomovirus tomato yellow leaf curl virus. *J Virol* **82**: 957–965.

Duffy S, Shackelton LA, Holmes EC. 2008. Rates of evolutionary change in viruses: patterns and

determinants. *Nat Rev Genet* **9**: 267–276.

Edwards K, Johnstone C, Thompson C. 1991. A simple and rapid method for the preparation of plant

genomic DNA for PCR analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* **19**: 1349–1349.

Ge L, Zhang J, Zhou X, Li H. 2007. Genetic structure and population variability of tomato yellow leaf

curl China virus. *Journal of virology* **81**: 5902–5907.

Gorman GS, Schaefer AM, Ng Y, Gomez N, Blakely EL, Alston CL, Feeney C, Horvath R, Yu-Wai-

Man P, Chinnery PF, et al. 2015. Prevalence of nuclear and mitochondrial DNA mutations related to

adult mitochondrial disease. *Annals of Neurology* **77**: 753–759.

Gregori J, Perales C, Rodriguez-Frias F, Esteban JI, Quer J, Domingo E. 2016. Viral quasispecies

complexity measures. *Virology* **493**: 227–237.

Huang RH, Wang LX, He J, Gao W. 2021. Application and prospects of single cell sequencing in

tumors. *Biomark Res* **9**: 88.

Huang W, Li L, Myers JR, Marth GT. 2012. ART: A next-generation sequencing read simulator.

Bioinformatics **28**: 593–594.

Husson F, Josse J, Pagès L. 2009. FactoMineR, An R package dedicated to exploratory multivariate

analysis. *Journal of Statistical Software* **25**: 1–18.

Isnard M, Granier M, Frutos R, Reynaud B, Peterschmitt M. 1998. Quasispecies nature of three maize

streak virus isolates obtained through different modes of selection from a population used to assess

response to infection of maize cultivars. *Journal of General Virology* **79**: 3091–3099.

Knyazev S, Tsyvina V, Shankar A, Melnyk A, Artyomenko A, Malygina T, Porozov YB, Campbell EM,

Switzer WM, Skums P, et al. 2021. Accurate assembly of minority viral haplotypes from next-

generation sequencing through efficient noise reduction. *Nucleic Acids Research* **49**: e102–e102.

Koboldt DC, Zhang Q, Larson DE, Shen D, McLellan MD, Lin L, Miller CA, Mardis ER, Ding L, Wilson

RK. 2012. VarScan 2: Somatic mutation and copy number alteration discovery in cancer by exome

sequencing. *Genome Research* **22**: 568–576.

Lauring AS, Andino R. 2010. Quasispecies theory and the behavior of RNA viruses. *PLoS Pathogens*

6: 1–8.

Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform.

Bioinformatics **25**: 1754–1760.

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R. 2009.

The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 2078–2079.

Lott MT, Leipzig JN, Derbeneva O, Xie HM, Chalkia D, Sarmady M, Procaccio V, Wallace DC. 2013.

mtDNA Variation and Analysis Using Mitomap and Mitomaster. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* **44**: 1.23.1-

26.

Mahal SP, Browning S, Li J, Suponitsky-Kroyter I, Weissmann C. 2010. Transfer of a prion strain to

different hosts leads to emergence of strain variants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **107**: 22653–22658.

Martin DP, Lefeuvre P, Varsani A, Hoareau M, Semegni J-Y, Dijoux B, Vincent C, Reynaud B, Lett J-

M. 2011. Complex Recombination Patterns Arising during Geminivirus Coinfections Preserve and

Demarcate Biologically Important Intra-Genome Interaction Networks ed. P.D. Nagy. *PLoS Pathog* **7**:

e1002203.

Martin DP, Murrell B, Golden M, Khoosal A, Muhire B. 2015. RDP4: Detection and analysis of

recombination patterns in virus genomes. *Virus Evolution* **1**: vev003.

McCrone JT, Lauring AS. 2016. Measurements of Intrahost Viral Diversity Are Extremely Sensitive to

Systematic Errors in Variant Calling ed. T.S. Dermody. *J Virol* **90**: 6884–6895.

Morelli MJ, Wright CF, Knowles NJ, Juleff N, Paton DJ, King DP, Haydon DT. 2013. Evolution of foot-

and-mouth disease virus intra-sample sequence diversity during serial transmission in bovine hosts.

Veterinary Research **44**: 12.

Mroz EA, Rocco JW. 2017. The challenges of tumor genetic diversity. *Cancer* **123**: 917–927.

Okonechnikov K, Conesa A, García-Alcalde F. 2015. Qualimap 2: Advanced multi-sample quality

control for high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **32**: 292–294.

Posada-Cespedes S, Seifert D, Beerenwinkel N. 2017. Recent advances in inferring viral diversity

from high-throughput sequencing data. *Virus Research* **239**: 17–32.

Routh A, Johnson JE. 2014. Discovery of functional genomic motifs in viruses with ViReMa-a virus

recombination mapper-for analysis of next-generation sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research* **42**:

e11.

Sanz AI, Fraile A, García-Arenal F, Zhou X, Robinson DJ, Khalid S, Butt T, Harrison BD. 2000.

Multiple infection, recombination and genome relationships among begomovirus isolates found in

cotton and other plants in Pakistan. *Journal of General Virology* **81**: 1839–1849.

Schirmer M, Sloan WT, Quince C. 2014. Benchmarking of viral haplotype reconstruction programmes:

An overview of the capacities and limitations of currently available programmes. *Briefings in*

Bioinformatics **15**: 431–442.

Seoane P, Espigares M, Carmona R, Polonio Á, Quintana J, Cretazzo E, Bota J, Pérez-García A,

Dios Alché J de, Gómez L, et al. 2018. TransFlow: a modular framework for assembling and

assessing accurate de novo transcriptomes in non-model organisms. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**: 416.

Seoane P, Ocaña S, Carmona R, Bautista R, Madrid E, Torres AM, Claros MG. 2016. AutoFlow, a

Versatile Workflow Engine Illustrated by Assembling an Optimised de novo Transcriptome for a Non-
Model Species, such as Faba Bean (*Vicia faba*). *Current Bioinformatics* **11**: 440–450.

Sievers F, Higgins DG. 2014. Clustal Omega. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics* **2014**: 3.13.1-
3.13.16.

Stewart JB, Chinnery PF. 2021. Extreme heterogeneity of human mitochondrial DNA from organelles
to populations. *Nat Rev Genet* **22**: 106–118.

Stewart JB, Chinnery PF. 2015. The dynamics of mitochondrial DNA heteroplasmy: implications for
human health and disease. *Nat Rev Genet* **16**: 530–542.

Töpfer A, Marschall T, Bull RA, Luciani F, Schönhuth A, Beerenwinkel N. 2014. Viral quasispecies
assembly via maximal clique enumeration. *PLoS Comput Biol* **10**: e1003515.

Verbist BMP, Thys K, Reumers J, Wetzels Y, Van Der Borght K, Talloen W, Aerssens J, Clement L,
Thas O. 2015. VirVarSeq: A low-frequency virus variant detection pipeline for Illumina sequencing
using adaptive base-calling accuracy filtering. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 94–101.

Welkers MRA, Jonges M, Jeeninga RE, Koopmans MPG, de Jong MD. 2014. Improved detection of
artifactual viral minority variants in high-throughput sequencing data. *Frontiers in microbiology* **5**: 804.

Zagordi O, Bhattacharya A, Eriksson N, Beerenwinkel N. 2011. ShoRAH: estimating the genetic
diversity of a mixed sample from next-generation sequencing data. *BMC bioinformatics* **12**: 119.

Zukurov JP, Nascimento-Brito S, Volpini AC, Oliveira GC, Janini LMR, Antoneli F. 2016. Estimation of
genetic diversity in viral populations from next generation sequencing data with extremely deep
coverage. *Algorithms for Molecular Biology* **11**: 2.

754
755