**A salt bridge-mediated resistance mechanism to FtsZ inhibitor PC190723 revealed by a cell-based screen**

Ajay Kumar Sharma[1,2,3], Sakshi Mahesh Poddar[1,2,3], Joyeeta Chakraborty[4], Bhagyashri

Soumya Nayak[1,2,3], Srilakshmi Kalathil[1,2,3], Nivedita Mitra[1,2,3], Pananghat Gayathri[4‡] and

Ramanujam Srinivasan[1,2,3‡]

[1] School of Biological Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and Research,

Bhubaneswar – 752050, India

[2] Homi Bhabha National Institutes (HBNI), Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar,

Mumbai, India 400094

[3] Centre for Interdisciplinary Sciences, National Institute of Science Education and

Research, Bhubaneswar, India 752050

[4] Biology, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune – 411008, India

[‡] Corresponding authors

e-mail: rsrini@niser.ac.in; gayathri@iiserpune.ac.in

**Abstract:**

Bacterial cell division proteins, especially the tubulin homolog FtsZ, have emerged as strong targets for developing new antibiotics. Here, we have utilized the fission yeast heterologous expression system to develop a cell-based assay to screen for small molecules that directly and specifically target the bacterial cell division protein FtsZ. The strategy also allows for simultaneous assessment of the toxicity of the drugs to eukaryotic yeast cells. As a proof-of-concept of the utility of this assay, we demonstrate the effect of the inhibitors sanguinarine, berberine and PC190723 on FtsZ. Though sanguinarine and berberine affect FtsZ polymerization, they exert a toxic effect on the cells. Further, using this assay system, we show that PC190723 affects *Helicobacter pylori* FtsZ function and gain new insights into the molecular determinants of resistance to PC190723. Based on sequence and structural analysis and site-specific mutations, we demonstrate that the presence of salt-bridge interactions between the central H7 helix and beta-strands S9 and S10 mediate resistance to PC190723 in FtsZ. The single-step *in vivo* cell-based assay using fission yeast enabled us to dissect the contribution of sequence-specific features of FtsZ and cell permeability effects associated with bacterial cell envelopes. Thus, our assay serves as a potent tool to rapidly identify novel compounds targeting polymeric bacterial cytoskeletal proteins like FtsZ to understand how they alter polymerization dynamics and address resistance determinants in targets.

**Running Title:** Salt bridge interactions in FtsZ mediate resistance to PC190723

**Keywords:** FtsZ, PC190723, antimicrobial resistance, bacterial cytoskeleton, fission yeast

**Introduction:**

The rapid emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance to almost every antibiotic

currently in use against bacteria, has resulted in an urgent need to develop new classes of

antibiotics.  Among the new targets with a novel mechanism of action to overcome the

emerging resistance problem (Butler and Paterson 2020), one of the most potential target

candidates is FtsZ, an essential bacterial cell division machinery protein (Silber et al. 2020;

Wang et al. 2003; Schaffner-Barbero et al. 2012; Carro 2019; Zorrilla et al. 2021; Tripathy

and Sahu 2019; Andreu et al. 2022).  FtsZ is a prokaryotic homolog of tubulin, which is

highly conserved and present in all bacteria and many archaea (Addinall and Holland

2002; Vaughan et al. 2004; Erickson et al. 2010; Erickson 1995; Margolin 2005; Nogales et

al. 1998).  FtsZ monomers self-assemble into polymeric structures in presence of GTP to

give rise to FtsZ protofilaments *in vitro* and assemble into the so-called Z-ring at the

division site *in vivo*.  The Z- ring is the first structure to be assembled at the division site

and is responsible for the recruitment of more than a dozen other accessory proteins,

which comprise the divisome, the division apparatus of the cell (Bi and Lutkenhaus 1991;

Ma et al. 1996; Weiss et al. 1999; Weiss 2004; Huang et al. 2013; RayChaudhuri and Park

1992; Bramhill and Thompson 1994).  The Z-ring is a highly dynamic structure with a half-

life of less than 10 seconds due to the constant exchange of FtsZ molecules between the

ring and the soluble monomers (Anderson et al. 2004).  Since the Z-ring lies at the core of

the cell division process, its functional defects result in bacterial growth inhibition and cell

death (Vollmer 2006; Zorrilla et al. 2021; Mahone and Goley 2020; Barrows and Goley

2021; Addinall and Lutkenhaus 1996).

FtsZ has three important protein pockets that bind small molecules and are

collectively known as druggable regions.  These regions are the nucleotide-binding domain

(NBD), the inter-domain cleft (IDC) present between the central core helix (H7 helix) and

the C- terminal domain (CTD) and the T7 loop (Löwe and Amos 1998; Kusuma et al. 2019;

Andreu et al. 2022).  The T7 loop of one subunit is inserted into the NBD of another

subunit of FtsZ to form a catalytic site for GTP hydrolysis.  Several compounds have been

evaluated for their activity against FtsZ from both Gram-positive bacteria and Gram-

negative bacteria.  Although many exhibited only weak activity *in vivo* against Gram-

negative bacteria, derivatives could be promising.  These include benzamides (Haydon et

al. 2008; Adams et al. 2011; Straniero et al. 2017, 2020a), trisubstituted benzimidazoles

(Kumar et al. 2011), 4-bromo-1*H*-indazole derivatives (Wang et al. 2015), cinnamaldehyde

and its derivatives (Domadia et al. 2007; Li et al. 2015), curcumin (Rai et al. 2008),

heterocyclic molecules like guanidinomethyl biaryl compounds (Kaul et al. 2012),

pyrimidine-quinuclidine scaffolds (Chan et al. 2013), 3-phenyl substituted 6,7-

dimethoxyisoquinoline (Kelley et al. 2012), thiazole orange derivatives (Sun et al. 2017),

viriditoxin (Wang et al. 2003), N-heterocycles such as zantrins and derivatives (Margalit et

al. 2004; Nepomuceno et al. 2015).

Berberine and sanguinarine are plant-derived benzylisoquinoline alkaloids, with

antibacterial activity (Wolff and Knipling 1993; Pierpaoli et al. 2021; Cernáková and

Kostálová 2002; Chu et al. 2016).  Berberine binds to FtsZ at a 1:1 ratio *in vitro* and

exhibits dose-dependent inhibition of FtsZ assembly kinetics with a reduction in GTPase

activity.  Berberine is believed to target the GTP-binding pocket in the FtsZ structure and

further affects the FtsZ polymer stability and Z- ring formation *in vivo* (Boberek et al. 2010;

Domadia et al. 2008; Sun et al. 2014; Pradhan et al. 2021).  Sanguinarine, also thought to

bind the nucleotide-binding domain (NBD) in FtsZ, prevents the bundling of FtsZ

protofilaments *in vitro* and perturbs the Z- ring assembly *in vivo* (Beuria et al. 2005).  The

precise mechanism of inhibition or the binding site of these inhibitors remains unclear.

The most potent and promising among the FtsZ inhibitors is PC190723, a 2,6-

difluorinated 3-methoxybenzamide derivative, as it has proven to be efficacious in *in vivo*

model of mice infected with a lethal dose of *Staphylococcus aureus* (Haydon et al.

2008).  Crystal structures of FtsZ from *S. aureus* with PC190723 reveal binding at the

inter-domain cleft between the central core helix (H7 helix) and the C-terminal domain

(CTD) of FtsZ (Matsui et al. 2012a; Tan et al. 2012) and is the only inhibitor whose target

site in FtsZ is clearly established.  PC190723 binds preferentially to the T state of *S.*

*aureus* FtsZ in which the cleft is opened, thus stabilizing the high-affinity conformation

required for FtsZ polymer elongation (Matsui et al. 2012a; Fujita et al. 2017).  Thereby,

PC190723 reduces the critical concentration for polymerization and induces FtsZ

assembly (Andreu et al. 2010; Elsen et al. 2012).  Although the resistance of streptococci,

enterococci and many Gram-negative bacteria to PC190723 (Haydon et al. 2008; Kaul et

al. 2013b) and poor drug and pharmacokinetic properties have hampered the pre-clinical

trials with PC190723 (Stokes et al. 2013; Kaul et al. 2013a), phase-I tests are in progress

by TAXIS pharmaceuticals (Monmouth Junction, NJ, USA) for the benzamide class of drug

TXA709 (Butler and Paterson 2020; TAXIS Pharmaceuticals 2020).

Thus, despite several years of intense research on FtsZ inhibitors, a commercially

viable antibiotic that targets FtsZ is not yet available.  One of the major hindrances has

been the validation that FtsZ is the actual direct target of these small molecule compounds

(Kusuma et al. 2019; Zorrilla et al. 2021; Schaffner-Barbero et al. 2012).  Although several

other small molecules have been shown to inhibit bacterial cell division, presumably by

directly inhibiting FtsZ function, many of them have also been shown to be due to indirect

effects on membranes (Hurley et al. 2016).  Several methods have been used to ascertain

FtsZ as the target of the drug, and the various approaches have been reviewed in detail by

many (Kusuma et al. 2019; Silber et al. 2020; Zorrilla et al. 2021; Andreu et al.

2022).  Andreu et al. (2022) have recently proposed a streamlined experimental protocol

for the screening and characterization of FtsZ inhibitors.  A scheme of methods to be

followed, from the identification of hit compounds to the development of a lead compound,

has also been proposed.  These include several assays for monitoring effects on FtsZ

polymerization, cytological effects on eukaryotic cells, pharmacological properties and

structural optimization (Andreu et al. 2022; Schaffner-Barbero et al. 2012).  Any small

molecule antagonist identified thus should have no off-target effects and minimally affect

eukaryotic cells.  A single-step screening method that identifies small molecules, directly

targeting FtsZ assembly and as well as selects out compounds probably toxic to

eukaryotic cells would be ideal.  Moreover, the screen should be easily scalable to high-

throughput platforms.

We had previously established *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* as a useful model

system to study bacterial cytoskeletal proteins.  We had shown that A22, a small molecule

inhibitor of the actin homologue, MreB, prevented its polymerization in yeast cells as well

(Srinivasan et al. 2007).  Further, we reported that FtsZ assembles into ring-like structures

with similar characteristics to Z-rings in bacterial cells when expressed in *S. pombe* or

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Srinivasan et al. 2008).  Moreover, the dynamics of chloroplast

FtsZs have also been successfully studied using the heterologous fission yeast expression

system (TerBush and Osteryoung 2012; Yoshida et al. 2016; TerBush et al. 2018).  These

observations prompted us to explore if the heterologous expression system using *S.*

*pombe* could serve as a platform for testing and screening chemical compounds targeting

FtsZ directly.

In this study, we show that FtsZ from two pathogenic bacterial species, *S. aureus*

and *Helicobacter pylori*, expressed in fission yeast, assemble into dynamic ring-like and

spiral polymers, respectively.  We test the effect of known small molecule inhibitors of

FtsZ, sanguinarine, berberine and PC190723 on the assembly of FtsZ polymers.  Herein,

we thus develop a proof-of-concept of a cell-based screening method that is easily

scalable to existing high-throughput platforms.  The method combines the ability to

establish the specificity of the target by directly assaying the polymerization of FtsZ in the

cellular milieu without a tedious protein purification step, as well as assessing toxicity to

yeast cells in a single step.  We find that although sanguinarine and berberine affected

FtsZ polymerization, they also affected yeast cell physiology.  We show that while all three

inhibitors exert an effect on FtsZ assembly, PC190723 specifically promotes the assembly

of FtsZ polymers of *S. aureus* and *H. pylori*.  Further, we probed the determinants of

resistance to PC190723.  Based on FtsZ sequence and structure analysis, we show that

salt-bridge interactions between the central H7 helix and S9 / S10 β-sheet prevent the

inhibitor from accessing the inter-domain cleft (IDC).  This appears to be a sequence-

determined resistance probably prevalent in many Gram-negative bacteria.  We thus

demonstrate the utility of the heterologous yeast system (*S. pombe*) for identifying

chemical compounds directly targeting FtsZ, evaluating them for toxicity to eukaryotic cells

and studying the molecular determinants of drug resistance.

**Results:**

***S. aureus and H. pylori FtsZ assemble into polymeric structures in fission yeast***

We expressed FtsZ from two pathogenic species, *S. aureus* and *H. pylori* (hereafter

referred to as SaFtsZ for *S. aureus* FtsZ and HpFtsZ for *H. pylori* FtsZ, respectively) as C-

terminal GFP fusions in *S. pombe*.  Expression of FtsZ in *S. pombe* was achieved from a

pREP42-GFP vector (a medium strength thiamine repressible promoter *nmt41/42*

containing vector; (Basi et al. 1993; Moreno et al. 2000) by growing cultures in minimal

medium in the absence of thiamine (induction medium) as reported earlier (Srinivasan et

al. 2008).  Epifluorescence microscopy showed that SaFtsZ assembled predominantly into

spot-like structures (**Fig. 1A**) and were similar in appearance to that assembled by FtsZ

from *Escherichia coli* (EcFtsZ) **(Fig. 1B)**.  Assembly of SaFtsZ into ring-like structures was

only discernible by super-resolution microscopy using structural illumination (3D-SIM) **(Fig.**

**1C)**, whereas ring-like structures of EcFtsZ were visualized by deconvolution of images

**(Fig. 1B iii)**.  Interestingly, HpFtsZ assembled into linear cable-like structures as well as

twisted polymers that were curled and spiral in appearance **(Fig. 1D)**. The spiral filaments

were more clearly visualized by deconvolution of the images (**Fig. 1D iii and 1E**). Further,

super-resolution imaging using 3D-SIM clearly revealed that HpFtsZ assembles into spiral

filaments in fission yeast (**Fig. 1F**). Spiral polymers appeared early, at 16 – 18 hours after

induction of expression (absence of thiamine), and linear cables appeared later at 20 – 22

hours **(Fig. S1)**. The smooth linear polymers possibly arise from lateral association and

bundling of FtsZ filaments (Monahan et al. 2009), but the factors determining the two forms

in yeast cells remain unclear.

We had previously shown that the diameter of EcFtsZ rings assembled in yeast

were 0.48 ± 0.042 µm (SEM ± SD) (Srinivasan et al. 2008). Quantification of the diameter

of the rings assembled by SaFtsZ revealed that they had a mean diameter of 0.29 ± 0.04

µm (SEM ± SD) and were thus smaller than EcFtsZ rings **(Fig. S2A)**. The spiral polymers

of HpFtsZ had a mean diameter of 0.35 ± 0.01 µm and a pitch of 0.41 ± 0.03 µm (SEM ±

SD) **(Fig. S2B i and ii)**. These results show that FtsZ from both species *S. aureus*

(SaFtsZ) and *H. pylori* (HpFtsZ), when expressed in fission yeast cells, assemble into

polymeric structures like many other FtsZs.

***Sanguinarine and berberine prevent FtsZ assembly in fission yeast but also affect***

***yeast cell morphology***

Sanguinarine and berberine have been shown to perturb FtsZ ring assembly in *E.*

*coli* (Beuria et al. 2005; Boberek et al. 2010; Domadia et al. 2008; Liu et al.

2017). Although sanguinarine has been reported to inhibit septation and cell division in *S.*

*aureus* (Obiang-Obounou et al. 2011), its effects on SaFtsZ or HpFtsZ have not yet been

reported. We, therefore, tested if any of these molecules exhibited any direct effects on

FtsZ expressed in yeast cells. Fission yeast cultures were grown at 30°C in the absence

of thiamine for 10 – 12 hours to induce the expression of the bacterial FtsZ-GFP fusions.

DMSO or drugs were subsequently added to the cultures and further grown at 30ºC for

another 10 – 12 hours prior to imaging.

A microscopic examination of fission yeast cells expressing SaFtsZ **(Fig. 2A)**,

EcFtsZ **(Fig. 2B)** or HpFtsZ **(Fig. 2C)** in the presence of sanguinarine **(Fig. 2 A ii – C ii)** or

berberine **(Fig. 2 A iii – C iii)** revealed that assembly of FtsZ into polymers was inhibited in

the presence of the drugs as compared to untreated (DMSO added) cells **(Fig. 2 A i – C**

**i)**. A significant reduction in the percentage of cells that exhibited FtsZ assemblies (spots/

dots in the case of SaFtsZ or EcFtsZ and filaments in the case of HpFtsZ) in the presence

of sanguinarine or berberine as compared to control untreated cells **(Fig. 2 D i – iii and F i**

**– iii)** was observed (≥ 500 cells counted for each replicate; N = 3).  To obtain a quantitative

measure of the effect of drugs on FtsZ assembly, we compared the total number of spots

per cell in the case of SaFtsZ and EcFtsZ from treated and untreated cells.  For statistical

analysis, we used both estimation statistics (effect size and 95 % CI) and significance

testing by null hypothesis statistical tests (Claridge-Chang and Assam 2016).  When

treated with sanguinarine, a reduction in the number of spots per cell was observed in both

SaFtsZ and EcFtsZ, with an effect size of -4.82 [95% CI -5.7, -3.9] and -5.4 [95% CI -9.7, -

1.1] respectively **(Fig. 2E i and ii)**.  Similarly, the addition of berberine also exhibited a

similar reduction in the number of spots per cell in both SaFtsZ and EcFtsZ, with an effect

size of -5.6 [95% CI -7.9, -3.3] and -12.4 [95% CI -19.5, -5.4] respectively **(Fig. 2G i and**

**ii)**.  Since HpFtsZ assembled into filaments, we compared the total length of the polymer

per cell in the presence or absence of the drugs.  Both sanguinarine and berberine

resulted in a significant reduction of total polymer length per cell with an effect size of -45.3

[95% CI -60, -30] and -92 [95% CI -151, -33.5], respectively **(Fig. 2 E iii and G iii)**.

In addition, we also quantified density, which is a measure of assembled

cytoskeleton per unit area in a given cell (Henty-Ridilla et al. 2014; Higaki 2017).  We had

recently used density as a measure to successfully quantify assembly defects of an ATP

hydrolysis mutant of *Spiroplasma citri* MreB (Pande et al. 2022). Cells expressing SaFtsZ,

EcFtsZ or HpFtsZ and treated with the drugs had lower density values as compared to

control untreated cells, suggesting an inhibitory effect of the drugs on cytoskeleton

assembly. In the case of sanguinarine, the effect sizes were -1.72 [95% CI -2.5, -0.9], -2.6

[95% CI -4.5, -0.6] and -1.1 [95% CI -1.5, -0.5] for SaFtsZ, EcFtsZ and HpFtsZ

respectively **(Fig. 2E i – iii)**. The effect size in the case of berberine-treated cells were -

1.8 [95% CI -2.5, -1.1], -3.4 [95 %CI -5.3, -1.5] and -1.6 [95% CI -2.5, -0.6] for SaFtsZ,

EcFtsZ and HpFtsZ respectively **(Fig. 2G i – iii)**. These results suggest that sanguinarine

and berberine had an effect on the assembly of FtsZ from all three species, *S. aureus*, *H.*

*pylori* and *E. coli* when expressed in fission yeast cells.

Although we did not observe any growth defect in yeast cells at lower

concentrations of the drugs, earlier studies have suggested that yeast cells possibly

require higher concentrations of drugs than used for mammalian cells due to the presence

of the cell wall, which is particularly thick in *S. pombe* (Benko et al. 2017; Pérez and Ribas

2004). We thus explored the possibility of cell toxicity to yeast cells at higher

concentrations of the drugs. At higher concentrations, both sanguinarine (135.95 µM) and

berberine (134.45 µM) induced significant morphological defects in yeast cells **(Fig. 2H)**.

However, even at higher concentrations, neither of the drugs showed any visible effect on

yeast microtubules **(Fig. S3 A and B)**.

Further, in order to test if the effects of sanguinarine and berberine were specific to

FtsZ polymerization, we tested its activity on the *E. coli* actin homologue

MreB. Sanguinarine and berberine showed no effects on MreB assembly **(Fig. S3C iii**

**and iv)**. However, A22, a known inhibitor of MreB (Gitai et al. 2005; Iwai et al. 2002), as

previously reported (Srinivasan et al. 2007), completely inhibited the polymerization of

EcMreB in fission yeast **(Fig. S3C ii)**.

**PC190723 induces polymerization of both SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ, but not EcFtsZ**

Several studies have shown that PC190723 binds SaFtsZ, and crystal structures have revealed the binding site to be the inter-domain cleft of FtsZ (Haydon et al. 2008; Matsui et al. 2012a; Tan et al. 2012). Further, PC190723 preferentially binds to the T state of SaFtsZ, inhibits the GTPase activity of FtsZ, induces polymerization and stabilizes FtsZ filaments (Andreu et al. 2010; Elsen et al. 2012; Miguel et al. 2015; Fujita et al. 2017). We, therefore, decided to test PC190723 for its effects on SaFtsZ, HpFtsZ and EcFtsZ expressed in fission yeast cells. The effect of PC190723 was tested at various concentrations (ranging from 14.06 µM to 70.27 µM) on the assembly of FtsZ polymers. At 8 – 10 hours after growth in the induction medium (EMM without thiamine), the majority of cells expressing SaFtsZ or HpFtsZ showed only diffuse FtsZ molecules in the cytoplasm and had not yet assembled into structures. Therefore, *S. pombe* cells carrying SaFtsZ or HpFtsZ constructs were grown at 30$^{o}$C in the absence of thiamine for 8 – 10 hours before DMSO or PC190723 was added to the cultures. PC190723 or DMSO was added to cultures at this point and incubated at 30$^{o}$C for further 4 hours. In cultures where DMSO was added, 446 ± 5 (SEM; 500 cells counted for each replicate; N = 3) cells expressing SaFtsZ **(Fig. 3 A and B ii)** and 378 ± 4 (SEM; 500 cells counted for each replicate; N = 3) cells expressing HpFtsZ **(Fig. 3 D and E ii)** were found to have only diffuse FtsZ molecules in the cytoplasm.

On the contrary, cultures treated with PC190723 (35 µM or more) had a significantly more proportion of cells with FtsZ assembled into polymers as compared to untreated cells (DMSO) for SaFtsZ **(Fig. 3 A and B iv)** and HpFtsZ **(Fig. 3 D and E iv)**. PC190723 did not alter the assembly of SaFtsZ into ring-like structures or HpFtsZ into spiral filaments **(Fig. 3 C and F; Fig. S2)**. Further, with an increasing concentration of PC190723, there was a concomitant increase in the proportion of cells that contained polymerized FtsZ in the case of SaFtsZ **(Fig. 3A)** and HpFtsZ **(Fig. 3C)**. Quantification of the number of spots

per cell showed an increase in the number of SaFtsZ spots in the presence of PC190723

with an effect size of 5.8 [95%CI 3.5, 8.1] (cells counted for each replicate was ≥ 18 and ≤

85; N = 4).  Moreover, the amount of assembled cytoskeleton per unit area in a cell also

increased, with an effect size of 2.6 [95%CI 1.7, 3.6] (cells counted for each replicate was

≥ 86 and ≤ 176; N = 4), when treated with PC190723 **(Fig. 3G)**.  Similarly, in the case of

HpFtsZ, the total length of the polymer per cell and density were higher in the presence of

PC190723.  The effect size for polymer length and density were 80.3 [95%CI 10.4, 150.2]

and 1.9 [95%CI 0.14, 3.7] (cells counted for each replicate was ≥ 104 and ≤ 159; N = 4),

respectively **(Fig. 3H)**.  However, no such difference was observed in the absence or

presence of PC190723 in cells expressing EcFtsZ **(Fig. 3 I and J)** and is consistent with a

recent study that concluded that the inhibitory activity of PC190723 on *E. coli* was

independent of FtsZ (Khare et al. 2019).  These observations suggested that PC190723

resulted in an early assembly of FtsZ into polymers in the case of SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ, but

it did not have any effect on EcFtsZ.  Further, the addition of PC190723 after the

appearance of FtsZ polymers in yeast cells did not have any notable effect on the FtsZ

structures **(Fig. S4)**.  Our results presented here thus support the earlier reports that

PC190723 acts to reduce the critical concentration of FtsZ polymerization of SaFtsZ

(Andreu et al. 2010; Elsen et al. 2012).

Earlier studies had reported that PC190723 was non-toxic to eukaryotic cells,

including budding yeast (Haydon et al. 2008).  We further tested if PC190723 resulted in

morphological defects in *S. pombe*, like sanguinarine and berberine, at higher

concentrations.  However, consistent with the earlier reports, PC190723 was inactive

against *S. pombe* at both 56.2 µM and 140.6 µM and did not cause any morphological

changes **(Fig. 2H iv)**.  Further, PC190723 did not disrupt the yeast microtubules at either

of the concentrations **(Fig. S3 A iv and B iv)**.

**_PC190723 stabilizes polymers and slows down the turnover rates of both SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ_**

We tested if PC190723 impacted the turnover rates of SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ using fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) experiments. Fluorescence recovery was measured after bleaching a complete SaFtsZ spot or patch either in untreated cells **(Fig. 4A)** or in cells treated with 56.2 µM of PC190723 **(Fig. 4B)**. Representative graphs showing the fluorescence recovery of SaFtsZ in the absence of PC190723 or the presence of 56.2 µM of PC190723 are depicted in **Figure 4C** and **Figure 4D,** respectively, and the plots for all such cells are shown **(Fig. S5 A and B)**. While SaFtsZ exhibited rapid dynamics with a half-time ($t_{1/2}$) of 3.61 ± 0.41 seconds (95% CI; N = 10) in the absence of PC190723, the half-time ($t_{1/2}$) of fluorescence recovery increased by nearly 3-fold to 11.34 ± 3.52 seconds (95% CI; N = 10) in the presence of PC190723 **(Fig. 4E)**, giving an effect size of 7.7 [95% CI 4.0, 11.5]. Fluorescence recovery of HpFtsZ was similarly measured after bleaching a small part of HpFtsZ filaments in both untreated cells **(Fig. 4F)** or in cells treated with 56.2 µM of PC190723 **(Fig. 4G)**. Representative graphs of the fluorescence recovery of HpFtsZ in the absence of PC190723 or the presence of 56.2 µM of PC190723 are shown in **Figure 4H** and **Figure 4I,** respectively. The plots for all such cells are shown **(Fig. S5 C and D)**. The effect of PC190723 on the turnover rates of HpFtsZ was milder but significant and resulted in 1.96 times fold change in the fluorescence recovery half-time ($t_{1/2}$) rates with an effect size of 5.9 [95% CI 3.5, 8.3]. The recovery half-time ($t_{1/2}$) was 6.16 ± 0.89 seconds (95% CI; N = 10) in untreated cells and is consistent with the earlier reports (Specht et al. 2013). In the presence of PC190723, it increased to 12.06 ± 2.14 seconds (95% CI; N = 10) **(Fig. 4J)**. Interestingly, compound 8j, a related benzamide derivative, has been shown to slow down FtsZ-ring turnover by 3-fold in _B. subtilis_ (Adams et al. 2011). These results from the FRAP studies show that PC190723 affects the

dynamics and turnover of both *S. aureus* and *H. pylori* FtsZ filaments, consistent with an

effect of stabilization of filaments by the inhibitor.

***SaFtsZ$^{G196A}$ and HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$ expressed in yeast exhibit PC190723 resistance***

A comparison of the FtsZ sequences from various bacterial species that were

tested for growth inhibition by PC190723 had revealed several key residues in FtsZ,

determining its susceptibility or resistance (Haydon et al. 2008).  In both *B. subtilis* and *S.*

*aureus*, a single point mutation in FtsZ at G196 position to alanine (A) has been shown to

result in a several-fold increase in the minimal inhibitory concentration of PC190723

(Stokes et al. 2013).  Therefore, we next tested if we could experimentally observe

resistance to PC190723 by FtsZ mutants G196A in our yeast expression

system.  Structure-based sequence alignment and comparisons showed that the

equivalent residue of G196 in HpFtsZ is G213 and is conserved across the FtsZs **(Fig.**

**S6)**.  Hence we changed G213 in HpFtsZ to alanine (Ala) and expressed HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$ in

fission yeast.  We then tested the effect of PC190723 on the mutation in a similar manner

as described above, at a point when untreated cells predominantly exhibited diffuse

fluorescence or short polymers.

In the case of HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$, we found that only 41 ± 2.9 % (95% CI; N = 3; 500 cells

were counted for each replicate) of the cells treated with 56.2 µM PC190723 as compared

to 31 ± 16.4 % (95% CI; N = 3; 500 cells were counted for each replicate) in untreated

cells had FtsZ polymers **(Fig. 5 A – C)**.  As compared to the effect size of 28.2 [95% CI

19.8, 36.7] for HpFtsZ$^{WT}$, the effect size for HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$ treated with PC190723 was 10.4

[95% CI -1.1, 21.9] with a negative value for the lower bound.  Thus, there was no

significant increase in the number of cells having FtsZ polymers in the mutant HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$

upon treatment with PC190723 **(Fig. 5A)**.  In comparison, as described above, HpFtsZ$^{WT}$

exhibited a significant increase in the number of cells having FtsZ polymers (70% of cells)

when treated with PC190723 (**Fig. 5A and 5C**).  Although PC190723 did not have an

effect on the G213A mutant of HpFtsZ, HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$ was proficient in polymerization and

assembled into filaments **(Fig. S7A)**.

We also expressed SaFtsZ$^{G196A}$ in fission yeast cells from the same *nmt41/42*

promoter as for the wild-type SaFtsZ.  Surprisingly, unlike HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$, we found that

SaFtsZ$^{G196A}$ failed to assemble into any structures in yeast cells **(Fig. S7B ii)**.  Although it

has been shown that FtsZ$^{G196A}$ is functional for cell division in both *S. aureus* and *B.*

*subtilis* and forms Z-rings in the latter (Haydon et al. 2008; Stokes et al. 2013; Adams et al.

2016), mutations at G196 is also known to result in growth defects in *S. aureus* (Stokes et

al. 2013; Adams et al. 2016).  Nonetheless, the addition of 56.2 µM of PC190723 also did

not induce any polymerization or the assembly of SaFtsZ$^{G196A}$ **(Fig. S7B iv)**.  Taken

together, our results show that mutations that render FtsZ insensitive to PC190723 do not

assemble early into polymeric structures in the presence of the drug, suggesting that

PC190723 fails to bind SaFtsZ$^{G196A}$ and HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$.

***PC190723 docks into the inter-domain cleft of the HpFtsZ* modelled structure *in the***

***T state***

Crystal structures of SaFtsZ bound to PC190723 **(Fig. 6A and B;** PDB ID 4DXD**)** reveal

the binding mode of PC190723 to the T state conformation of the protein (Matsui et al.

2012b; Tan et al. 2012). In the T state conformation of FtsZ, the cleft between the H7 helix

and CTD is opened, and hence the inhibitor can be accommodated in the pocket.  The

residues Gly196, Leu200, Val203, Asn208, Leu209, Met226, Ile228, Asn263, Val297,

Thr309 and Ile311 interact with the inhibitor in SaFtsZ **(Fig. 6A)**.  In the R state

conformation of FtsZ, the inter-domain cleft is closed and hence does not have an

adequate gap between the H7 helix and the central beta-sheet of CTD (S7, S8, S9, S10

strands) to accommodate PC190723 into the cleft.  The inhibitor shows clashes with

residues of the cleft when the T state structure (PDB ID: 4DXD) is superimposed with the

R state structure (PDB ID: 5H5I) of SaFtsZ **(Fig. 6B)**. A structure-based multiple

sequence alignment reveals that many of the residues in FtsZ involved in the interaction

with PC190723 are conserved in HpFtsZ **(Fig. S6 A and B)**.

We further resorted to *in silico* approaches to determine if the inter-domain cleft in

HpFtsZ could accommodate PC190723. We thus modelled HpFtsZ using SwissModeller

(Waterhouse et al. 2018) with the T state of SaFtsZ as a template and superposed it with

the PC190723 bound SaFtsZ structure (4DXD) to see whether PC190723 can fit into the

modelled HpFtsZ structure **(Fig. 6C)**. Analysis of PC190723 in the inter-domain cleft of

the HpFtsZ model indicated that several residues that made direct contact with the drug in

the crystal structure of the PC190723-SaFtsZ complex (4DXD) were conserved in HpFtsZ

and shown to be within interacting distances of PC190723. Phe281 of HpFtsZ could

potentially interact by a stacking interaction with the ring of PC190723. The side chain of

Thr328 is at a distance capable of forming hydrogen bonds, while the side chain of Ile217

forms van der Waals interaction with the inhibitor **(Fig. 6C and Fig. S6B)**. Unlike in the R

state, the residues in the S7, S8, S9 and S10 beta-sheets or the H7 helix do not clash with

the inhibitor in the T state.

***Resistance to PC190723 is mediated by salt-bridge interactions that prevent drug***

***binding in the inter-domain cleft.***

A single point mutation in FtsZ at position Gly196 in *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus* is

sufficient to render them resistant to PC190723. However, it was also noted that while the

susceptible species were characterized by the presence of valine (Val) at position 307,

some other species of bacteria that were naturally resistant to PC190723 contained

arginine (Arg) or histidine (His) at this position (Haydon et al. 2008; Kaul et al.

2013b).  Surprisingly, HpFtsZ contains an arginine at this position (Arg326), and yet our

results from the yeast expression studies suggested that PC190723 had an effect on the

polymerization and dynamics of HpFtsZ.  In order to further probe this apparent

discrepancy, we analyzed the sequences and molecular structures of FtsZ from various

species that included both susceptible and resistant species **(Fig. S6A)**.  A detailed

structure-based sequence analysis of FtsZ showed that in species that are resistant to

PC190723, there are possibly two salt bridges that might play an important role in

occluding the inter-domain cleft **(Fig. 6D and Fig. S6)**.  The blockage of this cleft might

prevent the binding of the inhibitor **(Fig. 6D)**.  In species like *E. coli* that are resistant to

PC190723, these blockages constitute two salt bridge interactions- Arg307 and Glu198

(shown in red); Asp299 and Arg202 (shown in violet) and one hydrogen bond interaction

between the OH group of Ser227 and the main chain of Gly191 (shown in brown) **(Fig.**

**6D)**.  These interactions are absent in *S. aureus* FtsZ; thereby, the inhibitor can be

accommodated in the cleft.  However, in HpFtsZ, the equivalent position of Glu198 in

EcFtsZ is threonine (Thr216), which is smaller than glutamic acid (Glu) and probably far off

to engage in any interaction with Arg326 (the equivalent of Arg307 in EcFtsZ) **(Fig. 6E)**.

Thus, the salt bridge which might block the inhibitor from binding at the cleft is not present

in HpFtsZ **(Fig. 6E)**, thereby possibly allowing PC190723 to bind.

Therefore, restoring the salt-bridge interactions between the amino acids at

positions 326 and 216 in HpFtsZ should render it insensitive to PC190723.  In order to test

this hypothesis, we changed Thr216 in HpFtsZ to glutamic acid (Glu), expressed the

mutant HpFtsZ$^{T216E}$ in fission yeast and tested the effect of PC190723 on this mutation.  In

accordance with the structural analysis, we found no significant difference in the number of

cells having FtsZ polymers in the mutant HpFtsZ$^{T216E}$ upon treatment with PC190723 **(Fig.**

**5 A and D)**.  In the presence or absence of PC190723, HpFtsZ$^{T216E}$ expressing cells

showed 47 ± 3.4 % (95% CI; N = 3; 500 cells were counted for each replicate) and 48 ±

14.8 % (95% CI; N = 3; 500 cells were counted for each replicate) respectively, that

assembled FtsZ polymers **(Fig. 5A)**. This was unlike HpFtsZ$^{WT}$ **(Fig. 5A and 3D),** where

almost 70 % of the cells treated with PC190723 contained polymers as compared to a

mere 38 % in untreated cells **(Fig. 5A and 3D)**. The effect size for HpFtsZ$^{WT}$ treated with

PC190723 was 28.2 [95% CI 19.8, 36.7] as compared to the effect size for HpFtsZ$^{T216E}$

treated with PC190723, which was 0.9 [95% CI -9.6, 11.5] with a negative value for the

lower bound of the confidence interval. Further, like HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$, HpFtsZ$^{T216E}$ was

capable of polymerization and assembled into polymers upon expression in fission yeast

**(Fig. S7C)**. Our results here, therefore, suggest that the salt-bridge interactions between

residues in the H7 helix and adjacent sheets (S9 and S10) in the inter-domain cleft form

the basis for the inability of FtsZ in many species to bind to PC190723 rather than a single

residue (Arg or His) at position 307 (EcFtsZ).

**Discussion**

In this study, we have attempted to develop a cell-based assay using fission yeast

(*S. pombe*) as a heterologous expression host, which would enable the screening of

compounds that could directly affect FtsZ polymerization as well as identify potential

toxicity to yeast (or eukaryotic) cells simultaneously. As a proof-of-principle, we first

assessed the ability of FtsZ from two pathogenic species, *S. aureus* and *H. pylori,* to

assemble into structures in fission yeast and tested the effects of known FtsZ modulators,

sanguinarine, berberine and PC190723 on these FtsZ assemblies. We show that both

SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ assembled into structures in fission yeast. While SaFtsZ assembled

into spots/ patches or ring-like structures, HpFtsZ assembled into both linear and spiral

polymers. Interestingly, spiral filaments of FtsZ polymers of *H. pylori* have also been

reported in bacterial cells and when expressed in *Drosophila melanogaster* S2 Schneider

cells (Specht et al. 2013), suggesting that assembly into helical filaments might be intrinsic

to HpFtsZ polymers.  Previous studies have shown that various factors such as molecular

crowding, variable C-terminal regions and bound nucleotide state lead to the formation of

supramolecular structures like twisted helical structures, toroids and rings similar to those

that have been observed *in vivo* (Popp et al. 2009; Huecas et al. 2017).  Thus, the

molecular crowding due to the dense cytoplasm of the yeast cells could have possibly

induced the spiral and ring-like assembly of FtsZ polymers (Erickson et al. 2010).

Several approaches have been used to screen small molecules targeting bacterial

cell division and FtsZ.  While *in vitro* methods such as NMR (Domadia et al. 2007; Sun et

al. 2014; Araújo-Bazán et al. 2019) and crystallography (Läppchen et al. 2008; Fujita et al.

2017) are valuable and offer information on distinct binding sites, these are not efficient for

screening.  Electron microscopic examination can distinguish the effects of the compounds

being tested on the FtsZ protofilament assembly and lateral associations (Nova et al.

2007; Kaul et al. 2012; Anderson et al. 2012; Sun et al. 2014; Huecas et al. 2017; Kumar et

al. 2011; Park et al. 2014).  Other techniques that are routinely used include fluorescence

anisotropy (Ruiz-Avila et al. 2013; Park et al. 2014), 90º light-scattering assay (Mukherjee

and Lutkenhaus 1999) and dynamic light scattering (Hou et al. 2012; Di Somma et al.

2020) for assessing inhibition of FtsZ assembly (Irwin et al. 2015; Lui et al. 2019; Kaul et al.

2012; Nova et al. 2007; Anderson et al. 2012).  Other easily scalable high-throughput

assays include FCS/FCCS and FRET-based methods (Hernández-Rocamora et al. 2015;

Mikuni et al. 2015; Reija et al. 2011).

*In vivo* assays relying on cell filamentation phenotype coupled with the localization

of Z-ring might be a good indicator of FtsZ being the direct target.  However, since bacteria

can undergo cell filamentation and not assemble FtsZ rings in response to a variety of

conditions, including DNA damage (Mukherjee et al. 1998) and disruption of membrane

potential (Strahl and Hamoen 2010), the *in vivo* assay is not so useful unless combined

with the *in vitro* assays mentioned above.  Finally, the isolation of resistance mutants in

FtsZ to the drug can provide strong evidence of FtsZ being the direct target.

Reconstitution systems are powerful and provide excellent control over the system,

but they are emerging technologies and are technically challenging.  Reconstitution

systems include a variety of methods, such as the use of membrane nanodiscs,

microbeads of different materials, supported bi-layer membranes (SLBs) and biomimetic

systems that provide cell-like environments (Monterroso et al. 2013; Rivas et al. 2014).

While *in vitro* biochemical assays and reconstitution systems are useful to find molecules

that directly target FtsZ, they are cumbersome and need to be performed at optimal

physiological pH and ionic conditions, which can be considerably variable among FtsZ

from different species.

Our results on the ability of sanguinarine and berberine to specifically affect the

assembly of FtsZ and not MreB in fission yeast highlight the utility of the heterologous

expression system as a platform to identify molecules that specifically affect FtsZ

polymerization.  The yeast platform offers a cellular context mimicking the cytoplasm for

cytoskeletal assembly.  The system is simple to replicate in any laboratory, including those

focused on chemical synthesis with minimum microbiological expertise and can be easily

reproduced and scaled up as well.  However, one of the major disadvantages of using

fission yeast could be the need to use much higher concentrations of drugs than normally

used for mammalian cell cultures to achieve an inhibitory effect.  This could probably be

due to the poor permeability of certain drugs in fission yeast because of its thick cell wall

(Benko et al. 2017; Pérez and Ribas 2004).  A similar effect of toxicity might arise at much

lower concentrations in other eukaryotic cells, such as human cells.  Consistently, while

sanguinarine and berberine are known to affect the eukaryotic microtubules at 10 µM – 20

µM concentrations (Lopus and Panda 2006; Wang et al. 2016; Raghav et al. 2017),

morphological effects on yeast cells were observed only at concentrations > 100 µM.

However, yeast microtubules were not affected by berberine and sanguinarine.

Differences in membrane lipid profiles and MDR efflux pumps between yeasts and

mammalian cells might also contribute to differential resistance to the drugs being tested

(Balzi and Goffeau 1991). Conversely, an inhibitory effect in yeast cells may not

necessarily translate into toxicity in a human cell. These and the permeability of drugs in

yeast cells represent an important caveat in using such heterologous expression systems

for the screening of compounds against target molecules. However, notwithstanding this

caveat, the heterologous system provides significant advantages in assessing the direct

effects of the drug on FtsZ assembly. Moreover, fission yeast-based high-throughput

platform screening methods using imaging have been successfully adapted to the

screening of drugs against HIV-1 proteases by large-scale screening facilities such as the

NIH Molecular Libraries Probe Production Centers Network in the Molecular Libraries

Program, leading to several candidate drugs (Benko et al. 2017, 2019). This aspect also

emphasizes the benefit of utilizing an economic eukaryotic heterologous host for testing

drugs targeting bacterial proteins. Any compound that potentially affects eukaryotic

function can be easily identified and discarded at the screening step, thus reducing time

and costs on further pharmacological analysis in the drug development pipeline.

PC190723 has been one of the most promising drugs against FtsZ and remains the

only inhibitor for which the binding site in FtsZ has been clearly established. However,

PC190723 predominantly affects Gram-positive bacteria and FtsZ from Gram-negative

bacteria have been shown or predicted to be resistant (Haydon et al. 2008). Several

efforts have been made to target Gram-negative bacteria with derivatives of benzamide.

Examples include difluorobenzamides, substituted benzodioxanes, heterocyclic and non-

heterocyclic derivatives (Straniero et al. 2017; Chai et al. 2020; Straniero et al. 2020a,

2020b). Although many exhibited promising activity *in vitro*, most were substrates for the

AcrAB class of efflux pumps (Chai et al. 2020; Kaul et al. 2014; Straniero et al. 2020a,

2020b; Casiraghi et al. 2020).  Thus, the poor membrane permeability, signature outer

membrane, particularly lipopolysaccharide (LPS) structure (Wang et al. 2021), the

presence of multiple efflux pumps in species such as *E. coli*, *Klebsiella pneumonia* and

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Piddock 2006), and differences in FtsZ sequences in the

binding-site (Kaul et al. 2013b; Miguel et al. 2015) have been cited as reasons for lack of

susceptibility of Gram-negative bacteria to benzamide derivatives (Casiraghi et al. 2020).

More recently, two molecules, TXA6101 and TXY6129, with substituted 2,6-

difluorobenzamide scaffold, have been shown to inhibit the polymerization of both *E. coli*

and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* FtsZ. Moreover, despite being substrates for efflux pumps,

TXA6101 induced morphological changes in *K. pneumoniae* (Rosado-Lugo et al. 2022).

Studies in the past on the effects of PC190723 on *E. coli* have been confusing, with a few

reports suggesting an effect on FtsZ polymerization resulting in cell filamentation (Kaul et

al. 2014), while others did not find any effect on EcFtsZ (Andreu et al. 2010; Anderson et

al. 2012; Khare et al. 2019).  The outer membrane has been shown to be a permeability

barrier for PC190723 in *E. coli* (Khare et al. 2019; Chai et al. 2020). In addition, the

Resistance-Nodulation-Division (RND) family of efflux pumps has been attributed to

resistance against 2,6-difluorobenzamide derivatives, including TX436 (a prodrug of

PC190723) in Gram-negative bacteria (Kaul et al. 2014).

It was, therefore, of interest to test the effects of PC190723 on FtsZ assembly in the

yeast expression system, wherein the interpretations are not affected by the compounding

factors such as differential membrane permeability or efflux pumps.  Our assay provides

for a direct comparison of the effect of PC190723 on FtsZ from different species.  While

our results presented here clearly suggest that PC190723 does not affect the assembly of

EcFtsZ, it exerted an effect on HpFtsZ, which is also from a Gram-negative bacterium.

Assemblies of SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ structures appeared in fission yeast cells at a much

earlier time when treated with PC190723, suggesting that the drug acted to lower the

critical concentration of FtsZ polymerization.  Further, FRAP experiments performed on

SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ suggest that PC190723 affects the dynamics of FtsZ polymers and

slows down the turnover rates.  We had earlier shown that FtsZ mutants defective in GTP

hydrolysis expressed in fission yeast have slower turnover rates, as measured by

fluorescence recovery half-times ($t_{1/2}$) rates (Srinivasan et al. 2008). These results are

also consistent with the earlier findings that PC190723 acts to induce FtsZ polymerization

and stabilize FtsZ filaments (Andreu et al. 2010; Elsen et al. 2012; Miguel et al. 2015; Fujita

et al. 2017) and its derivative compound, 8j acting to slow down FtsZ-ring turnover by 3-

fold in *B. subtilis* (Adams et al. 2011).

Finally, our studies here suggest that resistance to PC190723 in FtsZ from Gram-

negative bacteria like *E. coli* is a result of occluding salt-bridge interactions in the inter-

domain cleft between the H7 helix and adjacent sheets (S9 and S10) and may not be due

to a single residue (Arg or His) at position 307.  Although HpFtsZ contains an arginine at

the equivalent position (Arg326), this residue cannot engage in a salt bridge since HpFtsZ

has a threonine (Thr) at position 216 (the equivalent of Glu198 in EcFtsZ).  Restoring the

salt-bridge interaction in HpFtsZ by changing Thr216 to glutamate (E) conferred resistance

to PC190723 in our yeast expression studies.  However, PC190723 failed to exert growth

inhibition of *H. pylori,* probably owing to outer membrane permeability barriers.  Thus,

although further genetic, cell biological and biochemical studies will be required to fully

elucidate the resistance of *H. pylori* cells to PC190723, our studies here provide new

insights into the molecular determinants in FtsZ that lead to resistance against PC190723.

A powerful emerging technique based on cytological profiling has been successfully used

to identify the cellular pathways targeted by the inhibitors (Nonejuie et al. 2013; Martin et

al. 2020), including cell division inhibition by FtsZ (Araújo-Bazán et al. 2016).  The recent

advances in computational image analysis and deep learning approaches (von Chamier et

al. 2021; Spahn et al. 2022) could further advance image-based screening for FtsZ

inhibitors (Andreu et al. 2022).  Thus, our results here suggest that the fission yeast

expression system can be used to identify small molecules that directly target FtsZ, probe

the molecular determinants of resistance and establish a proof-of-concept of an *in vivo*

cell-based assay to discover antimicrobials that specifically target the bacterial

cytoskeleton.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

**Growth and culture of bacteria and yeast:**

The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in **Supplementary Table S1**.  *E. coli*

strains and *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923) were grown in LB medium at 37°C, whereas *H. pylori*

(strain *H. pylori* 26695) was grown in Brucella broth with 10 % fetal bovine serum media at

37°C with 10 % $CO_2$.  *E. coli* cultures carrying plasmids were grown in LB medium

containing appropriate antibiotics (carbenicillin at 100 µg/ mL; kanamycin at 50 µg/ mL;

chloramphenicol at 34 µg/ mL).  *S. pombe* strain 192 (*h− leu1-32 ura4-D18*) and mCherry-

Atb2 strains were grown at 30°C in YES medium.  Transformation of *S. pombe* was carried

out using the Lithium acetate method as described previously (Bähler et al. 1998) and

plated on selective Edinburg minimal medium (EMM) plates containing 15 µM thiamine.

EMM plates and broth were supplemented with adenine (0.225 mg/ mL of media), histidine

(0.225 mg/ mL) and leucine (0.225 mg/ mL) or uracil (0.1125 mg/ mL) as

necessary.  Thiamine was used at a final concentration of 15 µM on the agar plates to

repress expression from the medium-strength pREP41/42 plasmids.  All experiments were

done with *S. pombe* growing at 30°C in exponential phase ($OD_{600}$ of 0.3 – 0.6) and were

maintained thus by intermittent dilution of cultures.  Inhibitors PC190723, sanguinarine,

berberine and A22 were dissolved in DMSO and added to *S. pombe* cultures at final

concentrations as indicated in the text or figure legends.

**Plasmids and constructs:**

*Cloning of EcFtsZ, HpFtsZ & SaFtsZ into yeast expression vector pREP42:*

EcFtsZ, SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ refer to FtsZ from *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *H. pylori*,

respectively.  The cloning of EcFtsZ-GFP (pCCD4) into pREP42 has been described

previously (Srinivasan et al. 2008).  Genomic DNA was isolated from *H. pylori* and *S.*

*aureus* wild-type strains using the Genomic DNA Isolation kit.  These genomic DNA were

used as a template in a PCR reaction using high-fidelity Q5 polymerase to amplify the *ftsZ*

genes of these bacteria.  The plasmids pCCD712 and pCCD713 expressing *H. pylori* FtsZ-

GFP (HpFtsZ-GFP) and *S. aureus* FtsZ-GFP (SaFtsZ-GFP), respectively, were

constructed by cloning the amplified PCR product into pCCD51 using restriction-free (RF)

cloning method (van den Ent and Löwe 2006).  pCCD51 was constructed as follows: GFP

was amplified by PCR from pCCD4 using oligonucleotides RSO73 and RSO74.  The PCR

product was subsequently digested with SmaI and ligated into pREP42 (Moreno et al.

2000), linearized with the same enzyme.  Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out

following the Stratagene™ Quickchange-II site-directed mutagenesis procedure using

high-fidelity Q5 polymerase.  The oligonucleotides used are listed in **Supplementary**

**Table S2,** and the list of chemical reagents with their catalogue numbers is listed in

**Supplementary Table S3**.

**Microscopy and Fluorescence Recovery after Photobleaching:**

For imaging, the cells were mounted onto 1.7% or 2% agarose pads containing media in

cavity slides and covered with a coverslip No. 1.5 prior to sealing with VALAP (1:1:1

mixture of vaseline, lanolin and paraffin).  Images were acquired using an epifluorescence

microscope (DeltaVision™ Elite) with a 100 X oil immersion objective lens of NA 1.4 and

equipped with a Photometrics CoolSNAP™ HQ2 camera.  An oil with a refractive index of

1.516 was used for imaging yeasts. Excitation filters and emission filters of 475/28 nm and

525/48 nm were used to image GFP. Z-stacks were acquired with a Z-depth of 0.2 or 0.3

μm. SoftWorX™ software was used to perform deconvolution using the iterative-

constrained algorithm (Agard 1984) with the number of cycles set to 10 (ten). 3D-SIM on

*S. pombe* was performed as described previously (Pande et al. 2022). Cells were

mounted on an agarose pad as described above and imaged using a DeltaVision OMX-SR

Blaze microscope. Raw images were acquired using a 60×, NA 1.42 oil-immersion

objective lens and a PCO Edge 4.2 sCMOS camera. An exposure time of 2 – 5 ms was

used to acquire each image, and Z-stacks were obtained at a step size of 0.125 μm to

cover the width of *S. pombe* cells. 3D-SIM were reconstructed using the SI reconstruction

module of SoftWorx™ software. FRAP experiments were carried out essentially as

described before (Recovery half-times were calculated as described previously (Anderson

et al. 2004; Srinivasan et al. 2008) except that a DeltaVision™ equipped with a

Quantitative laser module (QLM; X4 Laser) was used for imaging. Photobleaching was

carried out using 80% laser power with an argon laser (488 nm) with a pulse time of 0.05

sec. Images were acquired before and after photobleaching, and Z-stack images were

acquired at fixed intervals with a Z-depth of 0.2 or 0.3 μm. Time-lapse intervals were

varied or were typically 1 – 2 seconds apart and acquired for over 2 minutes. Integrated

fluorescence intensities in the pre-bleached images and at each time point in the bleached

and other regions were measured in Fiji (v 2.0.0-rc-69/1.52p). FRAP experiments were

performed on different days, and data were pooled for analysis. All values were exported

to Excel (Microsoft™) for further analysis. The recovery half-time ($t_{1/2}$) values mentioned in

the text were obtained by fitting the fluorescence recovery curves to a single exponential

curve $F(t)= C(1 − e^{−kt})$ and calculated from $t_{1/2} = \ln(2)/k$. The solver function in Excel was

used for the least-squares fit of the corrected fluorescence intensities over time to the

single exponential equation.   All images were processed using Fiji (v 2.0.0-rc-69/1.52p)

(Schindelin et al. 2012).

**Quantitation of the number of spots, polymer length and density**

Cells were processed as described above for imaging, and images were acquired as

described previously (Pande et al. 2022) for the calculation of the number of spots,

polymer length and density.  Briefly, imaging was carried out with constant parameters

such as fixed illumination of 2% transmission (ND filter), exposure of 0.25 s, binning (1 x 1)

and camera gain of 0.5 x).  Both differential interference contrast (DIC) and fluorescence

channels were imaged, and cell outlines were marked using the freehand drawing tool in

Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012).  The cell outlines were saved as regions of interest using the

ROI manager.  Masking of areas outside of the cell outlines was carried out as described

(Higaki 2017) and filled with black colour.  The Number of spots per cell was then

measured using the OPS threshold IJ1 analyze macro, which is available as a built-in

feature in Fiji (v2.0.0-rc-69/1.52p; Schindelin et al. 2012).  The macro utilizes a convolve

filter with a 5 x 5 kernel and the 'otsu' method for auto-thresholding.  A convex hull is used

to create the masks of segmented particles, following which the 'analyze particles' plugin is

run by the macro.  For measuring polymer length and density (amount of cytoskeleton per

unit area in a cell), images were processed with the inclusion of masking and

skeletonization steps as previously described (Higaki 2017; Henty-Ridilla et al. 2014).

Skeletonization was carried out by applying the lpx 2Dfilter in the lpx plugin (Higaki 2017).

The specific parameter values giwsIter, mdnmsLen, pickup, aboveThr, shaveLen, delLen,

draw, and preGauss were fixed as 5, 15, otsu, default, 5, 5, orgInt and -1, respectively, in

the linemode under LineExtract.  The LineFeature outputs the measure of the number of

pixels with intensities (nPix) after skeletonization and the total polymer length within the

selected ROIs.  Density, the amount of cytoskeleton assembled per unit area in a cell, is

then calculated as nPix divided by the area of the cell.  The area of the cell is obtained

using the measurement tool in the ROI manager from Fiji.

**Sequence and structural analysis for PC190723 binding to FtsZ**

The sequences of FtsZs from various organisms were taken from UniProt, aligned using

Clustal Omega (Sievers et al. 2011), and represented using Jalview (Waterhouse et al.

2009).  The reference structure taken for the analysis was the previously reported T state

structure of SaFtsZ bound to PC190723 (PDB ID: 4DXD), and the residues around 4 Å

were determined using UCSF Chimera version 1.13.1 (Pettersen et al. 2004).  The

residues in SaFtsZ, which contributed to the binding of the inhibitor, were highlighted in the

sequence alignment.  The reference R state structure (PDB ID: 5H5I) was chosen to see

whether the inhibitor can be accommodated in the R state structure of SaFtsZ by

superimposing the C- alpha atoms of the nucleotide-binding domain (NBD) of PDB IDs:

5H5I and 4DXD.  FtsZs from *H. pylori* and *E.coli* were modelled in T state using SWISS-

MODEL (Waterhouse et al. 2018), with PDB ID 4DXD as the template.  Similarly, the NBD

of the modelled structures was superimposed onto the SaFtsZ structure bound to

PC190723 and checked whether the inhibitor could be accommodated in the

corresponding pocket of the models without significant clashes.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analysis and tests were carried out in Excel (Office 365 or Excel for Mac

2011) using the built-in Data Analysis functions or the add-ins XLSTAT or Xrealstat (Real

Statistics).  A single colony of bacteria or a patch of yeast cells independently grown from

freshly streaked out cells on agar plates from frozen glycerol stocks was considered to be

a biological replicate (N).  A minimum of at least 3 biological replicates was used for every

experiment.  The dosage effect upon increasing concentrations of PC190723 on FtsZ

assembly was assessed using the Cochran-Armitage test.  A two-proportions z-test was used for the statistical analysis of the effect of PC190723 on the proportions of cells carrying FtsZ polymers and the calculation of P-values.  An unpaired two-sided Student's t-test was used as a statistical test for the FRAP experiments.  Statistical tests and P-values are indicated in the figure legends using an asterisk.  A P-value ≤ 0.0001,  ≤ 0.001, ≤ 0.01, , < 0.05 or ≥ 0.05 indicated by ****, ***, **, * and ns respectively.  As an alternative to null-hypothesis significance tests (NHST), we also used estimation statistics to determine the effect size and 95 % confidence intervals (Claridge-Chang and Assam 2016; Ho et al. 2019).  Superplots were plotted as described (Lord et al. 2020) using Excel Office 365.  The error bars plotted are inferential and represent the 95% CI (Cumming et al. 2007; Vaux 2014; Pollard et al. 2019).

**Acknowledgements**

The authors are grateful to Prof. Jose Manuel Andreu and Dr. Lidia Araujo-Bazán for their critical reading of the article and valuable comments and suggestions.  The authors thank Dr. Asima Bhattacharyya (SBS, NISER, India) for *H. pylori* genomic DNA and Dr. Mithilesh Mishra (DBS, TIFR, Mumbai, India) for strains and plasmids.  This work is supported by the Department of Biotechnology (DBT) from BT/PR15183/BRB/10/1443/2015 to RS and BT/PR42977/BRB/10/2022/2021 to RS & GP and DBT Membrane Structural Biology Program grant - BT/PR28833/BRB/10/1705/2018 to PG.  PG also acknowledges support from INSPIRE Faculty Fellowship from (IFA12/LSBM-52), Department of Science and Technology, Innovative Young Biotechnologist Award (BT/07/IYBA/2013), Department of Biotechnology (DBT) Membrane Structural Biology Program grant (BT/PR28833/BRB/10/1705/2018),  and Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) Core Research grant (CRG/2018/003795) for infrastructure and staff support.  Intramural core funding from the Department of Atomic Energy (DAE) and support staff

740 members at the Centre of Interdisciplinary Sciences, NISER, are acknowledged. We also

741 acknowledge fellowships from DAE to AKS, SMP, BSN, SK, NM and from IISER Pune to

742 JC.

**Author contributions:**

AKS, SMP, BSN, JC and NM conducted the experiments. RS, GP and JC designed and

analyzed the work. BSN initiated the work, SMP contributed to initial optimization, and

AKS optimized further and carried out the experiments. AKS carried out the all analysis

and quantification of the data with respect to the effect of inhibitors on FtsZ assembly.

SMP carried out work related to 3D-SIM imaging, quantification, the resistance mutants

and the effect of inhibitors on yeast microtubules. JC carried out all the *in silico* work and

structural analysis. AKS, JC, GP and RS wrote the manuscript. AKS, SMP and JC edited

the draft manuscripts. SK contributed to the plotting and analysis of FRAP data. NM

carried out experiments related to MreB and A22 treatment.

**References**

Adams DW, Wu LJ, Czaplewski LG, Errington J. 2011. Multiple effects of benzamide
antibiotics on FtsZ function. *Mol Microbiol* **80**: 68–84.

Adams DW, Wu LJ, Errington J. 2016. A benzamide-dependent ftsZ mutant reveals
residues crucial for Z-ring assembly. *Mol Microbiol* **99**: 1028–1042.

Addinall SG, Holland B. 2002. The tubulin ancestor, FtsZ, draughtsman, designer and
driving force for bacterial cytokinesis. *J Mol Biol* **318**: 219–236.

Addinall SG, Lutkenhaus J. 1996. FtsZ-spirals and -arcs determine the shape of the
invaginating septa in some mutants of Escherichia coli. *Mol Microbiol* **22**: 231–237.

Agard D. 1984. Optical sectioning microscopy: cellular architecture in three dimensions.
*Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct* **13**: 191–219.

Anderson DE, Gueiros-Filho FJ, Erickson HP. 2004. Assembly dynamics of FtsZ rings in
Bacillus subtilis and Escherichia coli and effects of FtsZ-regulating proteins. *J Bacteriol*
**186**: 5775–5781.

Anderson DE, Kim MB, Moore JT, O'Brien TE, Sorto NA, Grove CI, Lackner LL, Ames JB,
Shaw JT. 2012. Comparison of small molecule inhibitors of the bacterial cell division
protein FtsZ and identification of a reliable cross-species inhibitor. *ACS Chem Biol* **7**:
1918–1928.

Andreu JM, Huecas S, Araújo-Bazán L, Vázquez-Villa H, Martín-Fontecha M. 2022. The
search for antibacterial inhibitors targeting cell division protein ftsz at its nucleotide and
allosteric binding sites. *Biomedicines* **10**.

Andreu JM, Schaffner-Barbero C, Huecas S, Alonso D, Lopez-Rodriguez ML, Ruiz-Avila
LB, Núñez-Ramírez R, Llorca O, Martín-Galiano AJ. 2010. The antibacterial cell division
inhibitor PC190723 is an FtsZ polymer-stabilizing agent that induces filament assembly
and condensation. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 14239–14246.

Araújo-Bazán L, Huecas S, Valle J, Andreu D, Andreu JM. 2019. Synthetic developmental
regulator MciZ targets FtsZ across Bacillus species and inhibits bacterial division. *Mol*
*Microbiol* **111**: 965–980.

Araújo-Bazán L, Ruiz-Avila LB, Andreu D, Huecas S, Andreu JM. 2016. Cytological profile
of antibacterial ftsz inhibitors and synthetic peptide mciz. *Front Microbiol* **7**: 1558.

Bähler J, Wu J-Q, Longtine MS, Shah NG, Mckenzie III A, Steever AB, Wach A, Philippsen
P, Pringle JR. 1998. Heterologous modules for efficient and versatile PCR-based gene
targeting in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. *Yeast* **14**: 943–951.

Balzi E, Goffeau A. 1991. Multiple or pleiotropic drug resistance in yeast. *Biochimica et*
*Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects* **1073**: 241–252.

Barrows JM, Goley ED. 2021. FtsZ dynamics in bacterial division: What, how, and why?
*Curr Opin Cell Biol* **68**: 163–172.

Basi G, Schmid E, Maundrell K. 1993. TATA box mutations in the Schizosaccharomyces
pombe nmt1 promoter affect transcription efficiency but not the transcription start point
or thiamine repressibility. *Gene* **123**: 131–136.

Benko Z, Liang D, Li G, Elder RT, Sarkar A, Takayama J, Ghosh AK, Zhao RY. 2017. A
fission yeast cell-based system for multidrug resistant HIV-1 proteases. *Cell Biosci* **7**: 5.

Benko Z, Zhang J, Zhao RY. 2019. Development of A Fission Yeast Cell-Based Platform
for High Throughput Screening of HIV-1 Protease Inhibitors. *Curr HIV Res* **17**: 429–440.

Beuria TK, Santra MK, Panda D. 2005. Sanguinarine blocks cytokinesis in bacteria by
inhibiting FtsZ assembly and bundling. *Biochemistry* **44**: 16584–16593.

Bi EF, Lutkenhaus J. 1991. FtsZ ring structure associated with division in Escherichia coli.
*Nature* **354**: 161–164.

Boberek JM, Stach J, Good L. 2010. Genetic evidence for inhibition of bacterial division
protein FtsZ by berberine. *PLoS ONE* **5**: e13745.

Bramhill D, Thompson CM. 1994. GTP-dependent polymerization of Escherichia coli FtsZ
protein to form tubules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **91**: 5813–5817.

Butler MS, Paterson DL. 2020. Antibiotics in the clinical pipeline in October 2019. *J*
*Antibiot* **73**: 329–364.

Carro L. 2019. Recent Progress in the Development of Small-Molecule FtsZ Inhibitors as
Chemical Tools for the Development of Novel Antibiotics. *Antibiotics (Basel)* **8**.

Casiraghi A, Suigo L, Valoti E, Straniero V. 2020. Targeting Bacterial Cell Division: A
Binding Site-Centered Approach to the Most Promising Inhibitors of the Essential
Protein FtsZ. *Antibiotics (Basel)* **9**.

Cernáková M, Kostálová D. 2002. Antimicrobial activity of berberine--a constituent of
Mahonia aquifolium. *Folia Microbiol (Praha)* **47**: 375–378.

Chai WC, Whittall JJ, Song D, Polyak SW, Ogunniyi AD, Wang Y, Bi F, Ma S, Semple SJ,
Venter H. 2020. Antimicrobial action and reversal of resistance in MRSA by
difluorobenzamide derivatives targeted at FtsZ. *Antibiotics (Basel)* **9**.

Chan F-Y, Sun N, Neves MAC, Lam PC-H, Chung W-H, Wong L-K, Chow H-Y, Ma D-L,
Chan P-H, Leung Y-C, et al. 2013. Identification of a new class of FtsZ inhibitors by
structure-based design and in vitro screening. *J Chem Inf Model* **53**: 2131–2140.

Chu M, Zhang M-B, Liu Y-C, Kang J-R, Chu Z-Y, Yin K-L, Ding L-Y, Ding R, Xiao R-X, Yin
Y-N, et al. 2016. Role of Berberine in the Treatment of Methicillin-Resistant
Staphylococcus aureus Infections. *Sci Rep* **6**: 24748.

Claridge-Chang A, Assam PN. 2016. Estimation statistics should replace significance
testing. *Nat Methods* **13**: 108–109.

Cumming G, Fidler F, Vaux DL. 2007. Error bars in experimental biology. *J Cell Biol* **177**:
7–11.

Di Somma A, Avitabile C, Cirillo A, Moretta A, Merlino A, Paduano L, Duilio A, Romanelli
A. 2020. The antimicrobial peptide Temporin L impairs E. coli cell division by interacting
with FtsZ and the divisome complex. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* **1864**: 129606.

Domadia P, Swarup S, Bhunia A, Sivaraman J, Dasgupta D. 2007. Inhibition of bacterial
cell division protein FtsZ by cinnamaldehyde. *Biochem Pharmacol* **74**: 831–840.

Domadia PN, Bhunia A, Sivaraman J, Swarup S, Dasgupta D. 2008. Berberine targets
assembly of Escherichia coli cell division protein FtsZ. *Biochemistry* **47**: 3225–3234.

Elsen NL, Lu J, Parthasarathy G, Reid JC, Sharma S, Soisson SM, Lumb KJ. 2012.
Mechanism of action of the cell-division inhibitor PC190723: modulation of FtsZ
assembly cooperativity. *J Am Chem Soc* **134**: 12342–12345.

Erickson HP, Anderson DE, Osawa M. 2010. FtsZ in bacterial cytokinesis: cytoskeleton
and force generator all in one. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **74**: 504–528.

Erickson HP. 1995. FtsZ, a prokaryotic homolog of tubulin? *Cell* **80**: 367–370.

Fujita J, Maeda Y, Mizohata E, Inoue T, Kaul M, Parhi AK, LaVoie EJ, Pilch DS,
Matsumura H. 2017. Structural Flexibility of an Inhibitor Overcomes Drug Resistance
Mutations in Staphylococcus aureus FtsZ. *ACS Chem Biol* **12**: 1947–1955.

Gitai Z, Dye NA, Reisenauer A, Wachi M, Shapiro L. 2005. MreB actin-mediated
segregation of a specific region of a bacterial chromosome. *Cell* **120**: 329–341.

Haydon DJ, Stokes NR, Ure R, Galbraith G, Bennett JM, Brown DR, Baker PJ, Barynin
VV, Rice DW, Sedelnikova SE, et al. 2008. An inhibitor of FtsZ with potent and selective
anti-staphylococcal activity. *Science* **321**: 1673–1675.

Henty-Ridilla JL, Li J, Day B, Staiger CJ. 2014. ACTIN DEPOLYMERIZING FACTOR4
regulates actin dynamics during innate immune signaling in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **26**:
340–352.

Hernández-Rocamora VM, Alfonso C, Margolin W, Zorrilla S, Rivas G. 2015. Evidence
that bacteriophage λ kil peptide inhibits bacterial cell division by disrupting ftsz
protofilaments and sequestering protein subunits. *J Biol Chem* **290**: 20325–20335.

Higaki T. 2017. Quantitative evaluation of cytoskeletal organizations by microscopic image
analysis. *PLANT MORPHOL* **29**: 15–21.

Hou S, Wieczorek SA, Kaminski TS, Ziebacz N, Tabaka M, Sorto NA, Foss MH, Shaw JT,
Thanbichler M, Weibel DB, et al. 2012. Characterization of Caulobacter crescentus FtsZ
protein using dynamic light scattering. *J Biol Chem* **287**: 23878–23886.

Ho J, Tumkaya T, Aryal S, Choi H, Claridge-Chang A. 2019. Moving beyond P values:
data analysis with estimation graphics. *Nat Methods* **16**: 565–566.

Huang K-H, Durand-Heredia J, Janakiraman A. 2013. FtsZ ring stability: of bundles,
tubules, crosslinks, and curves. *J Bacteriol* **195**: 1859–1868.

Huecas S, Ramírez-Aportela E, Vergoñós A, Núñez-Ramírez R, Llorca O, Díaz JF, Juan-
Rodríguez D, Oliva MA, Castellen P, Andreu JM. 2017. Self-Organization of FtsZ
Polymers in Solution Reveals Spacer Role of the Disordered C-Terminal Tail. *Biophys J*
**113**: 1831–1844.

Hurley KA, Santos TM, Nepomuceno GM, Huynh V, Shaw JT, Weibel DB. 2016. Targeting
the bacterial division protein FtsZ. *J Med Chem* **59**: 6975–6998.

Irwin JJ, Duan D, Torosyan H, Doak AK, Ziebart KT, Sterling T, Tumanian G, Shoichet BK.
2015. An aggregation advisor for ligand discovery. *J Med Chem* **58**: 7076–7087.

Iwai N, Nagai K, Wachi M. 2002. Novel S-benzylisothiourea compound that induces
spherical cells in Escherichia coli probably by acting on a rod-shape-determining
protein(s) other than penicillin-binding protein 2. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* **66**: 2658–
2662.

Kaul M, Mark L, Zhang Y, Parhi AK, LaVoie EJ, Pilch DS. 2013a. Pharmacokinetics and in
vivo antistaphylococcal efficacy of TXY541, a 1-methylpiperidine-4-carboxamide prodrug
of PC190723. *Biochem Pharmacol* **86**: 1699–1707.

Kaul M, Parhi AK, Zhang Y, LaVoie EJ, Tuske S, Arnold E, Kerrigan JE, Pilch DS. 2012. A
bactericidal guanidinomethyl biaryl that alters the dynamics of bacterial FtsZ
polymerization. *J Med Chem* **55**: 10160–10176.

Kaul M, Zhang Y, Parhi AK, Lavoie EJ, Pilch DS. 2014. Inhibition of RND-type efflux
pumps confers the FtsZ-directed prodrug TXY436 with activity against Gram-negative
bacteria. *Biochem Pharmacol* **89**: 321–328.

Kaul M, Zhang Y, Parhi AK, Lavoie EJ, Tuske S, Arnold E, Kerrigan JE, Pilch DS. 2013b.
Enterococcal and streptococcal resistance to PC190723 and related compounds:
molecular insights from a FtsZ mutational analysis. *Biochimie* **95**: 1880–1887.

Kelley C, Zhang Y, Parhi A, Kaul M, Pilch DS, LaVoie EJ. 2012. 3-Phenyl substituted 6,7-
dimethoxyisoquinoline derivatives as FtsZ-targeting antibacterial agents. *Bioorg Med*
*Chem* **20**: 7012–7029.

Khare S, Hsin J, Sorto NA, Nepomuceno GM, Shaw JT, Shi H, Huang KC. 2019. FtsZ-
Independent Mechanism of Division Inhibition by the Small Molecule PC190723 in
Escherichia coli. *Adv Biosys* **3**: e1900021.

Kumar K, Awasthi D, Lee S-Y, Zanardi I, Ruzsicska B, Knudson S, Tonge PJ, Slayden RA,
Ojima I. 2011. Novel trisubstituted benzimidazoles, targeting Mtb FtsZ, as a new class of
antitubercular agents. *J Med Chem* **54**: 374–381.

Kusuma KD, Payne M, Ung AT, Bottomley AL, Harry EJ. 2019. FtsZ as an antibacterial
target: status and guidelines for progressing this avenue. *ACS Infect Dis* **5**: 1279–1294.

Läppchen T, Pinas VA, Hartog AF, Koomen G-J, Schaffner-Barbero C, Andreu JM,
Trambaiolo D, Löwe J, Juhem A, Popov AV, et al. 2008. Probing FtsZ and tubulin with
C8-substituted GTP analogs reveals differences in their nucleotide binding sites. *Chem*
*Biol* **15**: 189–199.

Liu F, Venter H, Bi F, Semple SJ, Liu J, Jin C, Ma S. 2017. Synthesis and antibacterial
activity of 5-methylphenanthridium derivatives as FtsZ inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*
**27**: 3399–3402.

Li X, Sheng J, Huang G, Ma R, Yin F, Song D, Zhao C, Ma S. 2015. Design, synthesis and
antibacterial activity of cinnamaldehyde derivatives as inhibitors of the bacterial cell
division protein FtsZ. *Eur J Med Chem* **97**: 32–41.

Lopus M, Panda D. 2006. The benzophenanthridine alkaloid sanguinarine perturbs
microtubule assembly dynamics through tubulin binding. A possible mechanism for its
antiproliferative activity. *FEBS J* **273**: 2139–2150.

Lord SJ, Velle KB, Mullins RD, Fritz-Laylin LK. 2020. SuperPlots: Communicating
reproducibility and variability in cell biology. *J Cell Biol* **219**.

Löwe J, Amos LA. 1998. Crystal structure of the bacterial cell-division protein FtsZ. *Nature*
**391**: 203–206.

Lui HK, Gao W, Cheung KC, Jin WB, Sun N, Kan JWY, Wong ILK, Chiou J, Lin D, Chan
EWC, et al. 2019. Boosting the efficacy of anti-MRSA β-lactam antibiotics via an easily

accessible, non-cytotoxic and orally bioavailable FtsZ inhibitor. *Eur J Med Chem* **163**:
95–115.

Mahone CR, Goley ED. 2020. Bacterial cell division at a glance. *J Cell Sci* **133**.

Margalit DN, Romberg L, Mets RB, Hebert AM, Mitchison TJ, Kirschner MW,
RayChaudhuri D. 2004. Targeting cell division: small-molecule inhibitors of FtsZ
GTPase perturb cytokinetic ring assembly and induce bacterial lethality. *Proc Natl Acad*
*Sci USA* **101**: 11821–11826.

Margolin W. 2005. FtsZ and the division of prokaryotic cells and organelles. *Nat Rev Mol*
*Cell Biol* **6**: 862–871.

Martin JK, Sheehan JP, Bratton BP, Moore GM, Mateus A, Li SH-J, Kim H, Rabinowitz JD,
Typas A, Savitski MM, et al. 2020. A Dual-Mechanism Antibiotic Kills Gram-Negative
Bacteria and Avoids Drug Resistance. *Cell* **181**: 1518-1532.e14.

Matsui T, Yamane J, Mogi N, Yamaguchi H, Takemoto H, Yao M, Tanaka I. 2012a.
Structural reorganization of the bacterial cell-division protein FtsZ from Staphylococcus
aureus. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **68**: 1175–1188.

Matsui T, Yamane J, Mogi N, Yamaguchi H, Takemoto H, Yao M, Tanaka I. 2012b.
Structural reorganization of the bacterial cell-division protein FtsZ from Staphylococcus
aureus. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **68**: 1175–1188.

Ma X, Ehrhardt DW, Margolin W. 1996. Colocalization of cell division proteins FtsZ and
FtsA to cytoskeletal structures in living Escherichia coli cells by using green fluorescent
protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **93**: 12998–13003.

Miguel A, Hsin J, Liu T, Tang G, Altman RB, Huang KC. 2015. Variations in the binding
pocket of an inhibitor of the bacterial division protein FtsZ across genotypes and
species. *PLoS Comput Biol* **11**: e1004117.

Mikuni S, Kodama K, Sasaki A, Kohira N, Maki H, Munetomo M, Maenaka K, Kinjo M.
2015. Screening for FtsZ Dimerization Inhibitors Using Fluorescence Cross-Correlation
Spectroscopy and Surface Resonance Plasmon Analysis. *PLoS ONE* **10**: e0130933.

Monahan LG, Robinson A, Harry EJ. 2009. Lateral FtsZ association and the assembly of
the cytokinetic Z ring in bacteria. *Mol Microbiol* **74**: 1004–1017.

Monterroso B, Alfonso C, Zorrilla S, Rivas G. 2013. Combined analytical
ultracentrifugation, light scattering and fluorescence spectroscopy studies on the
functional associations of the bacterial division FtsZ protein. *Methods* **59**: 349–362.

Moreno MB, Durán A, Carlos Ribas J. 2000. A family of multifunctional thiamine-
repressible expression vectors for fission yeast. *Yeast* **16**: 861–872.

Mukherjee A, Cao C, Lutkenhaus J. 1998. Inhibition of FtsZ polymerization by SulA, an
inhibitor of septation in Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **95**: 2885–2890.

Mukherjee A, Lutkenhaus J. 1999. Analysis of FtsZ assembly by light scattering and
determination of the role of divalent metal cations. *J Bacteriol* **181**: 823–832.

Nepomuceno GM, Chan KM, Huynh V, Martin KS, Moore JT, O'Brien TE, Pollo LAE,
Sarabia FJ, Tadeus C, Yao Z, et al. 2015. Synthesis and evaluation of quinazolines as
inhibitors of the bacterial cell division protein ftsz. *ACS Med Chem Lett* **6**: 308–312.

Nogales E, Downing KH, Amos LA, Löwe J. 1998. Tubulin and FtsZ form a distinct family
of GTPases. *Nat Struct Biol* **5**: 451–458.

Nonejuie P, Burkart M, Pogliano K, Pogliano J. 2013. Bacterial cytological profiling rapidly
identifies the cellular pathways targeted by antibacterial molecules. *Proc Natl Acad Sci
USA* **110**: 16169–16174.

Nova E, Montecinos F, Brunet JE, Lagos R, Monasterio O. 2007. 4',6-Diamidino-2-
phenylindole (DAPI) induces bundling of Escherichia coli FtsZ polymers inhibiting the
GTPase activity. *Arch Biochem Biophys* **465**: 315–319.

Obiang-Obounou BW, Kang O-H, Choi J-G, Keum J-H, Kim S-B, Mun S-H, Shin D-W, Kim
KW, Park C-B, Kim Y-G, et al. 2011. The mechanism of action of sanguinarine against
methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *J Toxicol Sci* **36**: 277–283.

Pande V, Mitra N, Bagde SR, Srinivasan R, Gayathri P. 2022. Filament organization of the
bacterial actin MreB is dependent on the nucleotide state. *J Cell Biol* **221**.

Park B, Awasthi D, Chowdhury SR, Melief EH, Kumar K, Knudson SE, Slayden RA, Ojima
I. 2014. Design, synthesis and evaluation of novel 2,5,6-trisubstituted benzimidazoles
targeting FtsZ as antitubercular agents. *Bioorg Med Chem* **22**: 2602–2612.

Pérez P, Ribas JC. 2004. Cell wall analysis. *Methods* **33**: 245–251.

Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE.
2004. UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J
Comput Chem* **25**: 1605–1612.

Piddock LJV. 2006. Clinically relevant chromosomally encoded multidrug resistance efflux
pumps in bacteria. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **19**: 382–402.

Pierpaoli E, Cirioni O, Simonetti O, Orlando F, Giacometti A, Lombardi P, Provinciali M.
2021. Potential application of berberine in the treatment of Escherichia coli sepsis. *Nat
Prod Res* **35**: 4779–4784.

Pollard DA, Pollard TD, Pollard KS. 2019. Empowering statistical methods for cellular and
molecular biologists. *Mol Biol Cell* **30**: 1359–1368.

Popp D, Iwasa M, Narita A, Erickson HP, Maéda Y. 2009. FtsZ condensates: an in vitro
electron microscopy study. *Biopolymers* **91**: 340–350.

Pradhan P, Margolin W, Beuria TK. 2021. Targeting the achilles heel of ftsz: the
interdomain cleft. *Front Microbiol* **12**: 732796.

Raghav D, Ashraf SM, Mohan L, Rathinasamy K. 2017. Berberine Induces Toxicity in
HeLa Cells through Perturbation of Microtubule Polymerization by Binding to Tubulin at
a Unique Site. *Biochemistry* **56**: 2594–2611.

Rai D, Singh JK, Roy N, Panda D. 2008. Curcumin inhibits FtsZ assembly: an attractive
mechanism for its antibacterial activity. *Biochem J* **410**: 147–155.

RayChaudhuri D, Park JT. 1992. Escherichia coli cell-division gene ftsZ encodes a novel
GTP-binding protein. *Nature* **359**: 251–254.

Reija B, Monterroso B, Jiménez M, Vicente M, Rivas G, Zorrilla S. 2011. Development of a
homogeneous fluorescence anisotropy assay to monitor and measure FtsZ assembly in
solution. *Anal Biochem* **418**: 89–96.

Rivas G, Vogel SK, Schwille P. 2014. Reconstitution of cytoskeletal protein assemblies for
large-scale membrane transformation. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* **22**: 18–26.

Rosado-Lugo JD, Sun Y, Banerjee A, Cao Y, Datta P, Zhang Y, Yuan Y, Parhi AK. 2022.
Evaluation of 2,6-difluoro-3-(oxazol-2-ylmethoxy)benzamide chemotypes as Gram-
negative FtsZ inhibitors. *J Antibiot* **75**: 385–395.

Ruiz-Avila LB, Huecas S, Artola M, Vergoñós A, Ramírez-Aportela E, Cercenado E,
Barasoain I, Vázquez-Villa H, Martín-Fontecha M, Chacón P, et al. 2013. Synthetic
inhibitors of bacterial cell division targeting the GTP-binding site of FtsZ. *ACS Chem Biol*
**8**: 2072–2083.

Schaffner-Barbero C, Martín-Fontecha M, Chacón P, Andreu JM. 2012. Targeting the
assembly of bacterial cell division protein FtsZ with small molecules. *ACS Chem Biol* **7**:
269–277.

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,
Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, et al. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-
image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**: 676–682.

Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H,
Remmert M, Söding J, et al. 2011. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein
multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**: 539.

Silber N, Matos de Opitz CL, Mayer C, Sass P. 2020. Cell division protein FtsZ: from
structure and mechanism to antibiotic target. *Future Microbiol* **15**: 801–831.

Spahn C, Gómez-de-Mariscal E, Laine RF, Pereira PM, von Chamier L, Conduit M, Pinho
MG, Jacquemet G, Holden S, Heilemann M, et al. 2022. DeepBacs for multi-task
bacterial image analysis using open-source deep learning approaches. *Commun Biol* **5**:
688.

Specht M, Dempwolff F, Schätzle S, Thomann R, Waidner B. 2013. Localization of FtsZ in
Helicobacter pylori and consequences for cell division. *J Bacteriol* **195**: 1411–1420.

Srinivasan R, Mishra M, Murata-Hori M, Balasubramanian MK. 2007. Filament formation of
the Escherichia coli actin-related protein, MreB, in fission yeast. *Curr Biol* **17**: 266–272.

Srinivasan R, Mishra M, Wu L, Yin Z, Balasubramanian MK. 2008. The bacterial cell
division protein FtsZ assembles into cytoplasmic rings in fission yeast. *Genes Dev* **22**:
1741–1746.

Stokes NR, Baker N, Bennett JM, Berry J, Collins I, Czaplewski LG, Logan A, Macdonald
R, Macleod L, Peasley H, et al. 2013. An improved small-molecule inhibitor of FtsZ with
superior in vitro potency, drug-like properties, and in vivo efficacy. *Antimicrob Agents*
*Chemother* **57**: 317–325.

Strahl H, Hamoen LW. 2010. Membrane potential is important for bacterial cell division.
*Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **107**: 12281–12286.

Straniero V, Sebastián-Pérez V, Hrast M, Zanotto C, Casiraghi A, Suigo L, Zdovc I,
Radaelli A, De Giuli Morghen C, Valoti E. 2020a. Benzodioxane-Benzamides as
Antibacterial Agents: Computational and SAR Studies to Evaluate the Influence of the 7-
Substitution in FtsZ Interaction. *ChemMedChem* **15**: 195–209.

Straniero V, Suigo L, Casiraghi A, Sebastián-Pérez V, Hrast M, Zanotto C, Zdovc I, De
Giuli Morghen C, Radaelli A, Valoti E. 2020b. Benzamide derivatives targeting the cell
division protein ftsz: modifications of the linker and the benzodioxane scaffold and their
effects on antimicrobial activity. *Antibiotics (Basel)* **9**.

Straniero V, Zanotto C, Straniero L, Casiraghi A, Duga S, Radaelli A, De Giuli Morghen C,
Valoti E. 2017. 2,6-Difluorobenzamide Inhibitors of Bacterial Cell Division Protein FtsZ:
Design, Synthesis, and Structure-Activity Relationships. *ChemMedChem* **12**: 1303–
1318.

Sun N, Chan F-Y, Lu Y-J, Neves MAC, Lui H-K, Wang Y, Chow K-Y, Chan K-F, Yan S-C,
Leung Y-C, et al. 2014. Rational design of berberine-based FtsZ inhibitors with broad-
spectrum antibacterial activity. *PLoS ONE* **9**: e97514.

Sun N, Lu Y-J, Chan F-Y, Du R-L, Zheng Y-Y, Zhang K, So L-Y, Abagyan R, Zhuo C,
Leung Y-C, et al. 2017. A thiazole orange derivative targeting the bacterial protein ftsz
shows potent antibacterial activity. *Front Microbiol* **8**: 855.

Tan CM, Therien AG, Lu J, Lee SH, Caron A, Gill CJ, Lebeau-Jacob C, Benton-Perdomo
L, Monteiro JM, Pereira PM, et al. 2012. Restoring methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus
aureus susceptibility to β-lactam antibiotics. *Sci Transl Med* **4**: 126ra35.

TAXIS Pharmaceuticals. 2020. TAXIS secures NIH Funding – TAXIS Pharmaceutical.
https://www.taxispharma.com/news/taxis-secures-nih-funding/ (Accessed February 8,
2022).

TerBush AD, MacCready JS, Chen C, Ducat DC, Osteryoung KW. 2018. Conserved
dynamics of chloroplast cytoskeletal ftsz proteins across photosynthetic lineages. *Plant*
*Physiol* **176**: 295–306.

TerBush AD, Osteryoung KW. 2012. Distinct functions of chloroplast FtsZ1 and FtsZ2 in Z-
ring structure and remodeling. *J Cell Biol* **199**: 623–637.

Tripathy S, Sahu SK. 2019. FtsZ inhibitors as a new genera of antibacterial agents. *Bioorg*
*Chem* **91**: 103169.

van den Ent F, Löwe J. 2006. RF cloning: a restriction-free method for inserting target
genes into plasmids. *J Biochem Biophys Methods* **67**: 67–74.

Vaughan S, Wickstead B, Gull K, Addinall SG. 2004. Molecular evolution of FtsZ protein
sequences encoded within the genomes of archaea, bacteria, and eukaryota. *J Mol Evol*
**58**: 19–29.

Vaux DL. 2014. Basic statistics in cell biology. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* **30**: 23–37.

Vollmer W. 2006. The prokaryotic cytoskeleton: a putative target for inhibitors and
antibiotics? *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* **73**: 37–47.

von Chamier L, Laine RF, Jukkala J, Spahn C, Krentzel D, Nehme E, Lerche M,
Hernández-Pérez S, Mattila PK, Karinou E, et al. 2021. Democratising deep learning for
microscopy with ZeroCostDL4Mic. *Nat Commun* **12**: 2276.

Wang J, Galgoci A, Kodali S, Herath KB, Jayasuriya H, Dorso K, Vicente F, González A,
Cully D, Bramhill D, et al. 2003. Discovery of a small molecule that inhibits cell division
by blocking FtsZ, a novel therapeutic target of antibiotics. *J Biol Chem* **278**: 44424–
44428.

Wang J, Ma W, Fang Y, Liang H, Yang H, Wang Y, Dong X, Zhan Y, Wang X. 2021. Core
Oligosaccharide Portion of Lipopolysaccharide Plays Important Roles in Multiple
Antibiotic Resistance in Escherichia coli. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **65**: e0034121.

Wang X, Tanaka M, Krstin S, Peixoto HS, Wink M. 2016. The Interference of Selected
Cytotoxic Alkaloids with the Cytoskeleton: An Insight into Their Modes of Action.
*Molecules* **21**.

Wang Y, Yan M, Ma R, Ma S. 2015. Synthesis and antibacterial activity of novel 4-bromo-
1H-indazole derivatives as FtsZ inhibitors. *Arch Pharm (Weinheim)* **348**: 266–274.

Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, Heer FT, de
Beer TAP, Rempfer C, Bordoli L, et al. 2018. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of
protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**: W296–W303.

Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DMA, Clamp M, Barton GJ. 2009. Jalview Version 2--
a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1189–
1191.

Weiss DS, Chen JC, Ghigo JM, Boyd D, Beckwith J. 1999. Localization of FtsI (PBP3) to
the septal ring requires its membrane anchor, the Z ring, FtsA, FtsQ, and FtsL. *J
Bacteriol* **181**: 508–520.

Weiss DS. 2004. Bacterial cell division and the septal ring. *Mol Microbiol* **54**: 588–597.

Wolff J, Knipling L. 1993. Antimicrotubule properties of benzophenanthridine alkaloids.
*Biochemistry* **32**: 13334–13339.

Yoshida Y, Mogi Y, TerBush AD, Osteryoung KW. 2016. Chloroplast FtsZ assembles into
a contractible ring via tubulin-like heteropolymerization. *Nat Plants* **2**: 16095.

Zorrilla S, Monterroso B, Robles-Ramos M-Á, Margolin W, Rivas G. 2021. FtsZ
interactions and biomolecular condensates as potential targets for new antibiotics.
*Antibiotics (Basel)* **10**.

**Figure Legends:**

1111

**Figure 1. Polymerization of SaFtsZ, EcFtsZ and HpFtsZ in fission yeast.** The

medium-strength *nmt42* promoter was used for the expression of different bacterial FtsZ-

GFP fusion constructs in *S. pombe*. *S. pombe* cultures expressing FtsZ-GFP fusions were

grown for 16–20 h at 30°C in the absence of thiamine to allow the expression of the

proteins, mounted onto 1.6% agarose slide, and imaged using an **(A, B, E and D)**

epifluorescence microscope or **(C and F)** super-resolution using 3D-SIM. **(A)** SaFtsZ and

**(B)** EcFtsZ assembles into spots and cable-like structures in fission yeast (panels ii and

iii). Arrows indicate ring-like structures. **(C)** 3D-SIM images of SaFtsZ. **(C i)** Maximum

intensity projection image and **(C ii)** a single plane image reveal ring-like structures of

SaFtsZ. Arrows indicate visible SaFtsZ rings. **(D)** HpFtsZ forms cable-like structures.

Spiral filaments of HpFtsZ are indicated by white arrowheads, and linear cables are

marked with yellow arrowheads. DIC or phase-contrast images are shown in **Ai, Bi & Di**.

**(C)** Deconvolved image of HpFtsZ and **(F)** 3D-SIM images of HpFtsZ show the assembly

of HpFtsZ into helical filaments in fission yeast. Scale bar represents 5 µm for all images

**(A, B, D and F)** except for the image in **C** and **E**, where it represents 2.5 µm.

1127

1128

**Figure 2. Inhibition of FtsZ polymerisation by sanguinarine and berberine.** Fission

yeast cultures expressing different bacterial FtsZ-GFP fusions were grown at 30°C in the

absence of thiamine for 10 – 12 hours before DMSO or drugs were added to the cultures.

The cultures were further grown at 30°C for another 10 – 12 hours, either in the absence

or presence of the drug before imaging or counting. Cells were mounted onto 1.6%

agarose slides, and an epifluorescence microscope was used for the imaging. In control

(untreated) cultures, an equivalent amount of DMSO was added. **(A i – C i)** Control

(DMSO-treated) cells showed normal assembly of FtsZ polymers in fission yeast. **(A i)**

SaFtsZ **(B i)** EcFtsZ and **(C i)** HpFtsZ. **(A ii – C ii)** Sanguinarine (20 µM) treated cells

showed a significant reduction in **(A ii)** SaFtsZ, **(B ii)** EcFtsZ and **(C ii)** HpFtsZ. **(A iii – C**

**iii)** Berberine (53.79 µM) inhibited the polymerization of **(A iii)** SaFtsZ, **(B iii)** EcFtsZ and

**(C iii)** HpFtsZ. Scale bar represents 5 µm. **(D – G)** Quantification of the effects of

sanguinarine **(D and E)** and berberine **(F and G)** on polymerization of SaFtsZ **(i)**, EcFtsZ

**(ii)** and HpFtsZ **(iii)**, respectively. **(D and F)** show the percentage of cells having polymers

in the presence or absence of sanguinarine **(D)** or berberine **(F)**. Light gray bars and dark

gray bars represent cells having diffuse fluorescence and cells containing FtsZ polymers,

respectively. **(E and G)** Superplots showing the quantification of the number of spots per

cell and density, which is a measure for the amount of assembled cytoskeleton per unit

area in a given cell for **(i)** SaFtsZ or **(ii)** EcFtsZ or total polymer length per cell for and

density for **(iii)** HpFtsZ when treated with **(E)** sanguinarine or **(G)** berberine. For the

quantification of spots in **(E)**, the number of cells counted for each replicate for SaFtsZ and

EcFtsZ were ≥ 44 and ≤ 72; N = 3 and ≥ 64 and ≤ 130; N = 3, respectively. In the case of

HpFtsZ, polymer length per cell was measured from ≥ 112 and ≤ 147 cells for each

replicate (N = 4). For density measurement, the number of cells counted for each replicate

for SaFtsZ, EcFtsZ, and HpFtsZ was ≥ 68 and ≤ 167; N = 3, ≥ 64 and ≤ 130; N = 3 and ≥

112 and ≤ 147; N = 4 respectively. For the quantification of spots in **(G)**, the number of

cells counted for each replicate for SaFtsZ and EcFtsZ were ≥ 42 and ≤ 82; N = 4 and ≥ 48

and ≤ 136; N = 4, respectively.  In the case of HpFtsZ, polymer length per cell was

measured from ≥ 91 and ≤ 182 cells for each replicate (N = 3).  For density measurement,

the number of cells counted for each replicate for SaFtsZ, EcFtsZ, and HpFtsZ was ≥ 75

and ≤ 151; N = 4, ≥ 48 and ≤ 136; N = 4 and ≥ 91 and ≤ 182; N = 3 respectively.  The

black bars represent the mean values derived from independent biological replicates, the

large markers represent the mean values of each replicate, and the small markers

represent individual cells.  The error bars shown are inferential and represent Mean ± 95%

CI.  Asterix ***, ** and * indicate P-values ≤ 0.001, ≤ 0.01 and < 0.05 respectively.  P-

values were calculated using the two-sided proportions z-test **(D and F)** or a two-sided

unpaired Student's t-test **(E and G)**.  The difference in the means between the untreated

and treated populations is also indicated as effect size [95% CI, lower bound, upper

bound].  Biological replicates are independent liquid cultures starting from a fresh patch of

yeast cells grown from frozen glycerol stocks.  **(H)** Berberine and sanguinarine, but not

PC190723, affect yeast cell morphology at high concentrations.  *S. pombe* cultures were

grown **(i)** in the absence of the drug or in the presence of **(ii)** sanguinarine (135.95 µM) or

**(iii)** berberine (134.45 µM) or **(iv)** PC190723 (140.6 µM) and mounted onto 1.6% agarose

slides and imaged.  In control (untreated) cultures, an equivalent amount of DMSO was

added.  Fission yeast cells formed bulges at the poles, as indicated by arrowheads.  Scale

bar represents 5 µm.

1175

**Figure 3. PC190723 induces polymerization of SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ in fission yeast.**

*S. pombe* cells expressing SaFtsZ, HpFtsZ or EcFtsZ were grown at 30°C in the absence

of thiamine for 8 – 10 hours before DMSO or PC190723 was added to the cultures. The

cultures were further incubated at 30°C for 4 hours before imaging or counting. **(A)** Dose-

dependent effect of PC190723 on polymerization of SaFtsZ. Graph showing percentage

of cells having diffuse (light gray) and polymerized (dark gray) SaFtsZ in fission yeast in

the absence (DMSO) or in the presence of PC190723 ranging from 14.06 – 70.27 μM

concentration. **(B)** Images showing the effect of PC190723 at 56.2 μM on SaFtsZ. At a

time-point when control (DMSO treated) cells expressing SaFtsZ-GFP show diffuse

fluorescence (panel ii), cells grown with PC190723 (56.2 μM) result in the early

appearance of SaFtsZ assemblies (panel iv). **(C)** 3D-SIM images of SaFtsZ showing ring-

like structures in both the absence **(i)** and presence **(ii)** of PC190723. **(D)** Dose-

dependent effect of PC190723 on polymerization of HpFtsZ. Graph showing the

percentage of cells having diffuse (light gray) and polymerized (dark gray) HpFtsZ in

fission yeast in a range of PC190723 (14.06 – 70.27 μM) concentrations. **(E)** Images

showing the effect of PC190723 at 56.2 μM on HpFtsZ. At a time-point when control

(DMSO treated) cells expressing HpFtsZ-GFP show diffuse fluorescence (panel ii), cells

grown with PC190723 (56.2 μM) result in the early appearance of HpFtsZ polymers (panel

iv). **(F)** 3D-SIM images of HpFtsZ polymers showing spiral structures in both the absence

**(i)** or presence **(ii)** of PC190723. **(G)** Superplots showing the quantification of the number

of spots per cell and density (SaFtsZ), which is a measure for the amount of assembled

cytoskeleton in a given cell in the presence or absence of PC190723. For the

quantification of spots, the number of cells counted for each replicate was ≥ 18 and ≤ 85;

N = 4, and for density measurement, the number of cells counted for each replicate was ≥

86 and ≤ 176; N = 4. **(H)** Superplots for HpFtsZ showing the quantification and the effect

of PC190723 on total polymer length per cell and density, a measure for the amount of

assembled cytoskeleton per unit area in a given cell.  For the quantification of polymer

length and density measurement, the number of cells counted for each replicate was ≥ 104

and ≤ 159; N = 4.  **(I) and (J)**  Images showing the effect of PC190723 at 56.2 µM on

EcFtsZ.  **(I)**  Unlike Sa or HpFtsZ, cells expressing EcFtsZ-GFP and grown in the presence

of PC190723 (56.2 µM) exhibit diffuse fluorescence similar to that of control (DMSO

treated) cells at early time points.  *S. pombe* cells expressing EcFtsZ were grown at 30ºC

in the absence of thiamine for 4 – 6 hours before DMSO or PC190723 was added to the

cultures.  The cultures were further incubated for 4 hours at 30ºC before imaging or

counting.  **(J)**  At longer induction times (20 hours), both control (DMSO) and PC190723

treated cells formed EcFtsZ structures.  DMSO or PC190723 was added to the cultures

after 6 - 8 hours of growth in the absence of thiamine and incubated further at 30ºC.  The

black bars represent the mean values derived from independent biological replicates, the

large markers represent the mean values of each replicate, and the small markers

represent individual cells.  The error bars shown in **A** and **D** are inferential and represent

the 95% CI.  ****, ***, ** and * indicate P-values ≤ 0.0001, ≤ 0.001, ≤ 0.01 and < 0.05

respectively.  P-values were calculated using the two-sided proportions z-test in **A** and **D**.

A two-sided unpaired Student's t-test was used to calculate P-values in **G** and **H**.  The

difference in the means between the untreated and treated populations is also indicated as

effect size [95% CI, lower bound, upper bound].  Scale bar represents 5 µm.

1221

1222

**Figure 4. PC190723 reduces the polymer turnover rates of SaFtsZ and HpFtsZ.**

Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) of **(A)** control (DMSO treated) and **(B)**

PC190723 (56.2 µM) treated cells expressing SaFtsZ. Fission yeast cultures expressing

SaFtsZ-GFP were mounted onto agarose slides and imaged with an epifluorescence

microscope. Fluorescence bleaching at selected ROIs were carried out using a 488 nm

laser line. Fluorescence intensities during recovery was quantified, and a representative

graph plotting the normalized intensities is shown in **(C)** for control (DMSO treated) cells

and **(D)** PC190723 treated cells. **(E)** Quantification of the half-time ($t_{1/2}$) values for control

(N = 10) and PC190723 treated (N = 10) cells. Fluorescence recovery after

photobleaching (FRAP) of **(F)** control (DMSO treated) and **(G)** PC190723 (56.2 µM)

treated cells expressing HpFtsZ. Fission yeast cultures expressing HpFtsZ-GFP were

imaged as mentioned above. Normalized fluorescence intensities of representative

experiments are plotted for **(H)** control (DMSO treated) cells and **(I)** PC190723 treated

cells. **(J)** Quantification of the half-time ($t_{1/2}$) values for control (N = 10) and PC190723

treated (N = 10) cells. The $t_{1/2}$ values mentioned in the text were obtained by fitting the

fluorescence recovery curves to a single exponential curve $F(t) = C(1 - e^{-kt})$ using Excel's

Solver function and calculated from $t_{1/2} = \ln(2)/k$.

An unpaired two-tailed Student's t-test was used to assess the statistical significance. P-

values ≤ 0.0001 and ≤ 0.001 are indicated by **** and ***, respectively. The mean

difference between the proportion of cells carrying FtsZ polymers in the untreated and

treated populations is also indicated as effect size [95% CI, lower bound, upper bound].

Scale bar represents 5 µm.

1245

1246

**Figure 5. Determinants of resistance to PC190723 in HpFtsZ.** *S. pombe* cultures

carrying HpFtsZ$^{WT}$, HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$ or HpFtsZ$^{T216E}$ were grown for 8 – 10 hours in the

absence of PC190723 and then sub-cultured in the presence of the drug at 30°C for 4

hours to allow the sufficient expression of the proteins and imaged using an

epifluorescence microscope. While G213A is predicted to act as a steric block to

PC190723, T216E would result in salt-bridge interactions with R326 (R307 in EcFtsZ$^{WT}$).

**(A)** Effect of PC190723 on polymerization of HpFtsZ mutants G213A and T216E. The

graph shows the percentage of cells having diffuse (light gray) and polymerized (dark

gray) HpFtsZ in fission yeast in the absence (control) or presence of PC190723 (56.2 µM).

Images showing the effect of PC190723 at 56.2 µM on **(B)** HpFtsZ$^{WT}$ (panel iv), **(C)**

HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$ (panel iv) and **(D)** HpFtsZ$^{T216E}$ (panel iv). At a time-point when control

(DMSO treated) cells expressing HpFtsZ$^{WT}$ show short polymers (panel ii), cells grown

with PC190723 (56.2 µM) show stabilization of longer HpFtsZ polymers (panel iv).

However, cells expressing **(C)** HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$ (panel iv) and **(D)** HpFtsZ$^{T216E}$ (panel iv)

exhibit short polymers or diffuse fluorescence in the presence of 56.2 µM of PC190723 like

in DMSO-treated cells expressing **(C)** HpFtsZ$^{G213A}$ (panel ii) and **(D)** HpFtsZ$^{T216E}$ (panel

ii). The error bars shown are inferential and represent the 95% CI (N = 3). **** and ns

indicate P-values ≤ 0.0001 and > 0.05 respectively. P-values were calculated from the

two-sided proportions z-test. The mean difference between the proportion of cells carrying

FtsZ polymers in the untreated and treated populations is also indicated as effect size

[95% CI, lower bound, upper bound]. Scale bar represents 5 µm.

1268

1269

**Figure 6.  PC190723 can bind to the T state conformation of HpFtsZ.  (A)** Overall

structure of SaFtsZ bound to PC190723 (PDB ID: 4DXD; NBD - dark blue, H7 helix-

magenta, T7 loop- purple and CTD- blue) with a zoomed-in view of PC190723 binding cleft

(PDB ID: 4DXD; T state, open cleft) as inset. Residues of FtsZ, which interact with

PC190723 (PDB ID- 4DXD), are shown in stick representation. **(B)** PC190723 binding cleft

in R state conformation (PDB ID: 5H5I; sea green) is closed, and the residues clash with

the drug (highlighted in black boxes) and prevent the binding of the drug. **(C)** HpFtsZ

modelled in T state structure (light pink) and superimposed on PDB 4DXD. There are no

clashes with the drug, and F281 could stack against the benzamide ring of PC190723.

HpFtsZ does not possess any interaction that could potentially block the cleft. **(D)**

PC190723 placed (by superposition of PDB 4DXD onto EcFtsZ T state model) in the

pocket in EcFtsZ modelled in T state structure (green) highlight that there are two salt

bridges, R307 and E198; D299 and R202 (shown in magenta and purple respectively) and

a hydrogen bond between OH group of S227 and the main chain of G191 in H7 helix

(shown in dark pink) which could block the cleft.  **(E)** Residues in HpFtsZ and SaFtsZ

corresponding to residues of EcFtsZ, which might block the PC binding cleft due to the

three interaction types highlighted in the figure panels.  In all panels, residues which are

labelled in dark pink, magenta and purple fonts and boxed are the corresponding residues

which form a salt bridge and hydrogen bonds in EcFtsZ.  Dotted lines represent

interactions at distances ≤ 4Å.

# Figure 1

**A** SaFtsZ-GFP

   Wide-Field      Deconvolved

i    ii    iii

**B** EcFtsZ-GFP

DIC    ii    iii

**C** SaFtsZ-GFP

3D - SIM   Projection Image   i

3D - SIM   Single plane Image   ii

2.5 µm

# Figure 1

**D**

HpFtsZ-GFP

i

ii

iii

**E** Deconvolution

HpFtsZ-GFP

2.5 μm

**F** 3D-SIM

5 μm

# Figure 2

**A** SaFtsZ-GFP
(22 – 24 hours without thiamine)

**B** EcFtsZ-GFP
(22 – 24 hours without thiamine)

**C** HpFtsZ-GFP
(22 – 24 hours without thiamine)

i

ii

iii

Control (DMSO)

Sanguinarine

Berberine

# Figure 2

**D** **i**

SaFtsZ

** 16.9 [95% CI 9.5, 24.2]

Percentage of Cells

Diffuse / Polymerised — Control
Diffuse / Polymerised — Sanguinarine

**ii**

EcFtsZ

** 17.5 [95%CI 13.7, 21.4]

Percentage of Cells

Diffuse / Polymerised — Control
Diffuse / Polymerised — Sanguinarine

**iii**

HpFtsZ

*** 23 [95% CI 20.6, 25.4]

Percentage of Cells

Diffuse / Polymerised — Control
Diffuse / Polymerised — Sanguinarine

**E** **i**

SaFtsZ

*** -4.82 [95% CI -5.7, -3.9]
No. of spots per cell — Control / Sanguinarine

** -1.72 [95% CI -2.5, -0.9]
Density (AU) — Control / Sanguinarine

**ii**

EcFtsZ

* -5.4 [95% CI -9.7, -1.1]
No. of spots per cell — Control / Sanguinarine

* -2.6 [95% CI -4.5, -0.6]
Density (AU) — Control / Sanguinarine

**iii**

HpFtsZ

**** -45.3 [95% CI -60, -30]
Polymer length per cell (µm) — Control / Sanguinarine

*** -1.1 [95% CI -1.5, -0.5]
Density (AU) — Control / Sanguinarine

# Figure 2

**F**

**i** SaFtsZ

*** 22.4 [95% CI 15.8, 29.0]

Percentage of Cells

Diffuse Polymerised | Diffuse Polymerised
Control | Berberine

**ii** EcFtsZ

** 18.9 [95% CI, 16.1, 21.6]

Percentage of Cells

Diffuse Polymerised | Diffuse Polymerised
Control | Berberine

**iii** HpFtsZ

*** 22.1 [95% CI 18.6, 25.6]

Percentage of Cells

Diffuse Polymerised | Diffuse Polymerised
Control | Berberine

**G**

**i** SaFtsZ

*** -5.6 [95% CI -7.9, -3.3]

No. of spots per cell

Control | Berberine

*** -1.8 [95% CI -2.5, -1.1]

Density (AU)

Control | Berberine

**ii** EcFtsZ

* -12.4 [95% CI -19.5, -5.4]

No. of spots per cell

Control | Berberine

** -3.4 [95 %CI -5.3, -1.5]

Density (AU)

Control | Berberine

**iii** HpFtsZ

* -92 [95% CI -151, -33.5]

Polymer length per cell (µm)

Control | Berberine

* -1.6 [95% CI -2.5, -0.6]

Density (AU)

Control | Berberine

# Figure 2

**H**

| Control | Sanguinarine (135.95 µM) | Berberine (134.45 µM) | PC190723 (140.6 µM) |
|---|---|---|---|

*S. pombe*

# Figure 3

**A**

Percentage of Cells

Diffuse ☐  Polymerised ■

**** 69.7 [95%CI 66.2, 73.2]
**** 36.7 [95%CI 32.0, 41.3]
** 15.1 [95%CI 6.1, 24.1]

DMSO    14.06 µM    35.14 µM    56.22 µM    70.27 µM

PC190723

**B**

SaFtsZ-GFP
12 – 14 hours without thiamine

Control
i    ii

PC190723
iii    iv

**C**

3D-SIM

i    Single-plane    Projection

Control

ii    Single-plane    Projection

PC190723

# Figure 3

**D**

HpFtsZ-GFP
12 – 14 hours without thiamine

# Figure 3

**Figure 3**

# Figure 4

# Figure 4

**F** Control

HpFtsZ-GFP

Pre-bleach | 0 sec | 0.8 sec
1.3 sec | 2.7 sec | 6.3 sec
13.0 sec | 18 sec | 24.7 sec

**G** PC190723

HpFtsZ-GFP

Pre-bleach | 0 sec | 2.5 sec
5.8 sec | 10.8 sec | 19.2 sec
25.8 sec | 32.5 sec | 42.5 sec

**H** Control

Normalized Fluorescence vs Time (Seconds)
○ Single Normalisation
● Double Normalisation

**I** PC190723

Normalized Fluorescence vs Time (Seconds)
○ Single Normalisation
● Double Normalisation

**J**

Hp_FtsZ

Control
PC190723

Time (Seconds)

5.9 [95% CI 3.5, 8.3]
****

**Figure 5**

**A**

# Figure 5

**WT-HpFtsZ**

**HpFtsZ G213A**

**HpFtsZ T216E**

# Figure 6

**A** SaFtsZ T state (PDB: 4DXD)

M226
I311
I228
G196
T309
L200
N263
V307
D199
L209
N208
V297
V203
N299
PC190723

**B** SaFtsZ R state (PDB: 5H5I)

M226
I311
I228
T309
G196
L200
V307
N263
D199
L209
V203
V297
N208
N299
PC190723 (superposed)

**C** Hp_FtsZ T state (Model)

M243
I330
I245
G213
T328
R326
I217
F281
V226
T216
H315
N225
S317
K220
PC190723 (superposed)

**D** Ec_FtsZ T state (Model)

M225
V311
S227
G195
T309
R307
L199
N263
E198
V208
S297
N207
D299
R202
PC190723 (superposed)

**E**

**Interaction 1 (Hydrogen bond)**

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *H.pylori* | G | I | G | 246 | No |
| *E.coli* | G | S | G | 228 | Yes |
| *S.aureus* | G | I | G | 229 | No |
| *B.subtilis* | G | I | G | 229 | No |

**Interaction 2 (Salt Bridge)**

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *H.pylori* | S | T | I | 217 | | V | R | V | 327 | No |
| *E.coli* | A | E | L | 199 | | L | R | V | 308 | Yes |
| *S.aureus* | S | D | L | 200 | | I | V | V | 308 | No |
| *B.subtilis* | S | D | L | 200 | | I | V | V | 308 | No |

**Interaction 3 (Salt bridge)**

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| *H.pylori* | T | K | P | 221 | | T | S | D | 318 | No |
| *E.coli* | T | R | P | 203 | | L | D | P | 300 | Yes |
| *S.aureus* | A | V | S | 204 | | I | N | P | 300 | No |
| *B.subtilis* | A | T | P | 204 | | I | N | E | 300 | No |