A multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium DT104 lineage

circulating among humans and cattle in the United States lost the ability to produce

pertussis-like toxin ArtAB in close temporal proximity to the global DT104 epidemic

Laura M. Carroll[1,*], Nicolo Piacenza[2], Rachel A. Cheng[1], Martin Wiedmann[1], Claudia

Guldimann[2,#]

[1]Department of Food Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

[2] Chair for Food Safety and Analytics, Ludwig-Maximillians-University Munich, Munich,
Germany.

[#]Corresponding author: Claudia Guldimann, c.guldimann@lmu.de

*Current address: Structural and Computational Biology Unit, European Molecular Biology
Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany

19 **Keywords:** *Salmonella* Typhimurium, DT104, ArtAB, GogB, Gifsy-1, prophage

24 **Repositories:** Not applicable

Abstract

Salmonella enterica subspecies *enterica* serotype Typhimurium phage type DT104 (DT104) can

infect both humans and animals and is often multidrug-resistant (MDR). Previous studies have

indicated that, unlike most *S.* Typhimurium, the overwhelming majority of DT104 strains

produce a pertussis-like toxin, ArtAB, via prophage-encoded *artAB*; however, DT104 that lack

artAB have been described on occasion. Here, we identify a MDR DT104 lineage circulating

among humans and cattle in the United States, which lacks *artAB* (i.e., the "U.S. *artAB*-negative

major clade"; $n = 42$ genomes). Unlike most other bovine- and human-associated DT104 strains

from the U.S. ($n = 230$ total genomes), which harbor *artAB* on prophage Gifsy-1 ($n = 177$),

members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade lack Gifsy-1, as well as anti-inflammatory

effector *gogB*. The U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade was predicted to have lost *artAB*, Gifsy-1,

and *gogB* circa 1985-1987 (95% highest posterior density interval 1979.0-1992.1), in close

temporal proximity to a predicted rapid increase in the U.S. DT104 effective population size

(circa 1983-1989). When compared to DT104 genomes from other world regions ($n = 752$ total

genomes), several additional, sporadic *artAB*, Gifsy-1, and/or *gogB* loss events among clades

encompassing ≤5 genomes were observed. In phenotypic assays that simulate conditions

encountered during human and/or bovine digestion, members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major

clade did not differ from closely related Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-harboring U.S. DT104 strains

(ANOVA raw $P > 0.05$); thus, future research is needed to elucidate the roles that *artAB*, *gogB*,

and Gifsy-1 play in DT104 virulence in humans and animals.

Impact Statement

Multi-drug resistant (MDR) *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium phage type DT104 (DT104) was responsible for a global epidemic among humans and animals throughout the 1990s and continues to circulate worldwide. Previous studies have indicated that the vast majority of DT104 produce a pertussis-like toxin, ArtAB, via prophage-encoded *artAB*. However, here we identify a DT104 lineage circulating among cattle and humans across ≥11 U.S. states, which lacks the ability to produce ArtAB (i.e., the "U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade"). The common ancestor of all U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade members lost the ability to produce ArtAB in close temporal proximity to the global MDR DT104 epidemic; however, the reason for this loss-of-function event within this well-established pathogen remains unclear. The role that ArtAB plays in DT104 virulence remains elusive, and phenotypic assays conducted here indicate that members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade do not have a significant advantage or disadvantage relative to closely related Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-harboring U.S. DT104 strains when exposed to stressors encountered during human and/or bovine digestion *in vitro*. However, ArtAB heterogeneity among DT104 suggest clade-specific selection for or against maintenance of ArtAB. Thus, future studies querying the virulence potential of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade are needed.

INTRODUCTION

Prophages, which are viruses located within the genomes of bacteria, play important roles in the evolution of their microbial hosts [1-4]. In addition to possessing machinery that is antagonistic to host cell survival (e.g., virion production, lysis of host cells), many prophages encode accessory genes, which may provide the host with a selective advantage [1, 3, 5], including stress tolerance, resistance to antimicrobials and phage, biofilm formation, increased virulence, and evasion of the host immune system [1, 2, 4-8]. While they may persist within a lineage through vertical transmission [5, 6, 9], prophages can undergo gain and loss events within a population over time [1, 3]. Furthermore, integrated prophages can be hotspots for horizontal gene transfer (HGT) and genomic recombination, allowing their bacterial hosts to gain, lose, and exchange genetic information [4]. Thus, prophage-mediated HGT may confer novel functions, which allow the bacterial host to survive and compete in its environment, potentially contributing to the emergence of novel epidemic lineages [4, 10].

Salmonella enterica subsp. *enterica* serotype Typhimurium (*S.* Typhimurium) is among the *Salmonella* serotypes most commonly isolated from human and animal salmonellosis cases worldwide [11, 12] and is known to host a range of prophages within its chromosome [10]. Of particular concern is *S.* Typhimurium phage type DT104 (DT104), a lineage within *S.* Typhimurium that is known for its typical ampicillin-, chloramphenicol-, streptomycin-, sulfonamide-, and tetracycline-resistant (ACSSuT) phenotype, although its antimicrobial resistance (AMR) profile may vary [13]. Multidrug-resistant (MDR) DT104 is predicted to have emerged circa 1972 [13] and rapidly disseminated around the world in the following decades [13-15], culminating in a global epidemic among animals and humans in the 1990s [13-15].

However, despite its rapid global dissemination, DT104 does not appear to be more virulent than

non-DT104 *S.* Typhimurium in a classical mouse model [16].

In addition to its characteristic MDR phenotype, DT104 is notable for its ability to

produce ArtAB, a pertussis-like toxin that catalyzes ADP-ribosylation of host G proteins [17-19].

Treatment of various cell lines with purified ArtAB from DT104 recapitulates some of the

phenotypes established for pertussis toxin cytotoxicity [20-22], such as the characteristic "cell

clustering" phenotype in CHO-K1 cells [23], increased levels of intracellular cAMP in RAW

264.7 macrophage-like cells [18], and increased serum insulin levels (e.g., insulinemia); further,

intraperitoneal injection of purified toxin in neonatal mice was fatal [18]. *artAB,* which encodes

ArtAB, shares a strong association with DT104 relative to other *S.* Typhimurium lineages, as the

overwhelming majority of DT104 possess *artAB* [18]. However, occasionally, DT104 strains that

lack *artAB* and, thus, the ability to produce ArtAB toxin, have been described [18]; *artAB* is

located on prophages within the DT104 genome [18, 19], indicating that it may be possible for

artAB to be lost or gained as a prophage integrates or excises from a genome, or via HGT within

an integrated prophage.

In a previous study of *S.* Typhimurium isolated from dairy cattle and human clinical

cases in New York State (United States; U.S.), we identified three bovine- and human-associated

DT104 strains, which did not possess *artAB* (referred to hereafter as "*artAB*-negative" strains)

[24]. Interestingly, these three *artAB*-negative strains were closely related and formed a clade

within the largely *artAB*-positive New York State DT104 phylogeny [24]. Here, we investigate

this lineage further: using (i) 230 human- and bovine-associated DT104 genomes collected

across the U.S., plus (ii) 752 DT104 genomes collected from numerous sources worldwide, we

identify a major DT104 lineage circulating among cattle and humans across the U.S., which lost

artAB, as well as a co-occurring anti-inflammatory effector encoded by *gogB*, via a Gifsy-1

prophage loss event that occurred in close temporal proximity to the global MDR DT104

epidemic.

METHODS

Acquisition of U.S. DT104 genomic data and metadata. Genomic data from human- and

bovine-associated DT104 isolates from the U.S. were acquired as described previously [24].

Briefly, paired-end Illumina short reads associated with 223 *S.* Typhimurium genomes meeting

the following criteria were downloaded via Enterobase (accessed November 29, 2018) [25, 26]

and the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) Toolkit version 2.9.3 [27, 28]: (i) genomes were

serotyped as *S.* Typhimurium *in silico* using the implementation of SISTR [29] in Enterobase;

(ii) the country of isolation was the United States; (iii) the isolation source was reported as either

"Human" or "Bovine" in the "Source Niche" and "Source Type" fields in Enterobase,

respectively; (iv) genomes had an isolation year reported in Enterobase; (v) genomes were

assigned to the same well-supported cluster within the larger bovine- and human-associated U.S.

S. Typhimurium phylogeny using RhierBAPS [30] and clustered among known DT104 genomes

from other countries (see Supplementary Figures S2 and S5 of Carroll, et al.) [24]. These

genomes were supplemented with an additional 14 *S.* Typhimurium genomes from bovine and

human sources in New York State (U.S.) that belonged to the same DT104 cluster (members of

the *S.* Typhimurium Lineage III cluster described in Supplementary Figures S2 and S5 of

Carroll, et al.) [24]. Trimmomatic version 0.36 [31] was used to trim low quality bases and

Illumina adapters from all read sets using the default settings for paired-end reads, and SPAdes

version 3.13.0 [32] was used to assemble all genomes using default settings plus the "careful"

option. FastQC version 0.11.5 [33] and QUAST version 4.0 [34] were used to assess the quality

of each read pair set and assembly, respectively, and MultiQC version 1.6 [35] was used to

aggregate all FastQC and QUAST results. Trimmed paired-end read sets/assemblies that were

flagged by MultiQC as meeting any of the following conditions were excluded: (i) Illumina

adapters present after trimming ($n = 2$), (ii) an abnormal per sequence GC content distribution (n

$= 3$), (iii) an assembly with over 200 contigs ($n = 11$), and (iv) a sequence quality histogram

flagged as poor quality ($n = 2$). After excluding genomes that met these conditions, a set of 219

DT104 genomes was produced for use in subsequent steps (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2).

Acquisition of global DT104 genomic data and metadata. To contextualize the 219 U.S.

bovine- and human-associated DT104 genomes identified via the initial genome search (see

section "Acquisition of U.S. DT104 genomic data and metadata" above) within the larger global

DT104 population, genomic data associated with the following studies were downloaded via

Enterobase: (i) Illumina reads associated with 243 bovine- and human-associated DT104 isolates

from a study of between-host transmission within Scotland [36] (referred to hereafter as the

"Scottish DT104" data set); genomes were pre-processed and assembled as described above (see

section "Acquisition of U.S. DT104 genomic data and metadata" above); (ii) assembled genomes

associated with 290 DT104 isolates from a variety of sources and countries from a study

describing the global spread of DT104 [13] (referred to hereafter as the "global DT104" data set;

Supplementary Table S1). Overall, these searches resulted in two data sets, which were used in

subsequent steps: (i) a 230-genome human- and bovine-associated U.S. DT104 data set (i.e., 219

genomes identified in this study, plus 11 additional U.S. bovine- and human-associated DT104

strains from the 290-genome "global DT104" data set, which did not have metadata available in

Enterobase at the time and were thus not included in the initial set of bovine- and human-

associated U.S. DT104 genomes; see section "Acquisition of U.S. DT104 genomic data and

metadata" above); (ii) a 752-genome data set, composed of genomes from all three data sets (i.e.,

219 genomes identified in this study, 243 Scottish DT104 genomes, and 290 global DT104

genomes, referred to hereafter as the "combined DT104" data set; Supplementary Tables S1 and

S2). QUAST version 4.5 was used to assess the quality of all 752 genomes (Supplementary

Tables S1 and S2).

***In silico* detection of antimicrobial resistance genes, plasmid replicons, virulence factors,**

and prophage. To identify putative prophage regions in all 752 genomes, each assembly was

submitted to the PHASTER web server via the URL API [37, 38] with the "contigs" option set to

"1". ABRicate version 0.8 [39] was used to detect antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes,

plasmid replicons, and virulence factors in each assembled DT104 genome using NCBI's

National Database of Antibiotic Resistant Organisms (NDARO) [40], the PlasmidFinder

database [41], and the Virulence Factor Database (VFDB) [42], respectively, using minimum

nucleotide identity and coverage thresholds of 75 and 50%, respectively (all databases accessed

December 10, 2020; Supplementary Table S3). The aforementioned ABRicate analyses were

repeated, using a minimum coverage threshold of 0% (e.g., to confirm that virulence factors

discussed in the manuscript were absent from genomes in which they were not initially detected).

Each assembled genome was additionally queried for the presence of selected virulence

factors, which have previously been associated with prophage in *Salmonella* [43]: (i) *artAB*

(NCBI Nucleotide Accession AB104436.1), (ii) *gogA* (European Nucleotide Archive [ENA]

Accession EAA7850902.1), (iii) *gtgA* (ENA Accession PVI70081.1), and (iv) *gipA* (ENA

Accession CAI93790.1). Assembled genomes were queried for selected virulence factors using

the command-line implementation of nucleotide BLAST (blastn) version 2.11.0 [44], using

default settings plus a minimum coverage threshold of 40% (Supplementary Table S3). To

confirm that the aforementioned genes were absent from genomes in which they were not

initially detected, all genomes were queried again (i) as described above, with the coverage

threshold lowered to 0%; (ii) using translated nucleotide BLAST (tblastx; e.g., to confirm that all

genomes in the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade did not possess remote *artAB* and *gogB*

homologs; Supplementary Tables S4 and S5).

Variant calling and maximum likelihood phylogeny construction within the U.S. DT104

data set. Core SNPs were identified among genomes within the 230-genome human- and

bovine-associated U.S. DT104 data set using the default pipeline implemented in Snippy version

4.6.0 [45] and the following dependencies: BWA version 0.7.17-r1188 [46, 47], Minimap2

version 2.23-r1111 [48], SAMtools version 1.14 [49], BEDtools version 2.30.0 [50, 51],

BCFtools version 1.14 [52], FreeBayes version 1.3.2-dirty [53], vcflib version 1.0.0-rc0-349-

g45c6-dirty [54], vt version 0.5 [55], SnpEff version 5.0e [56], samclip version 0.4.0 [57], seqtk

version 1.3-r106 [58], and snp-sites version 2.5.1 [59]. For the 219 U.S. genomes initially

identified in this study, the trimmed Illumina paired-end reads associated with each genome were

treated as input; for the remaining genomes, the assembled contigs were used as input (see

sections "Acquisition of U.S. DT104 genomic data and metadata" and "Acquisition of global

DT104 genomic data and metadata" above; Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). The closed

DT104 chromosome (NCBI Nucleotide Accession NC_022569.1) was treated as a reference.

Core SNPs identified in regions of the DT104 chromosome predicted to belong to phage were

masked (see section "*In silico* detection of antimicrobial resistance genes, plasmid replicons,

virulence factors, and prophage" above). Gubbins version 2.4.1 [60] was used to identify and

remove recombination events among all genomes using default settings, and snp-sites was used

to query the resulting recombination-free alignment for core SNPs (i.e., using the "-c" option).

211   A maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny was constructed with IQ-TREE version 1.5.4

[61], using (i) the resulting core SNPs as input, (ii) the optimal nucleotide substitution model

determined using Bayesian information criteria (BIC) values produced with ModelFinder [62]

(i.e., the K3Pu+I model) [63], (iii) an ascertainment bias correction to account for the use of

solely variant sites (corresponding to constant sites identified relative to the DT104 reference

chromosome; -fconst 1092869,1195194,1193287,1094079), and (iv) 1,000 replicates of the

ultrafast bootstrap approximation [64, 65].

218   TempEst version 1.5.3 [66] was used to assess the temporal structure of the resulting

unrooted ML phylogeny, using the best-fitting root and the R^2 function ($R^2 = 0.33$, slope =

3.05×10^{-7} substitutions/site/year, X-intercept = 1988.1). The unrooted ML phylogeny was

additionally rooted and time scaled using LSD2 version 1.4.2.2 [67] and the following

parameters: (i) tip dates corresponding to the year of isolation associated with each genome; (ii)

an estimated substitution rate; (iii) constrained mode (-c), with the root estimated using

constraints on all branches (-r as); (iv) variances calculated using input branch lengths (-v 1); (v)

1,000 samples for calculating confidence intervals for estimated dates (-f 1000); (vi) a sequence

length of 4,500,000. The resulting rooted, time-scaled ML phylogeny was viewed using FigTree

version 1.4.4 [68] (Supplementary Data).

Variant calling and maximum likelihood phylogeny construction within the combined

DT104 data set. Parsnp and HarvestTools version 1.2 [69] were used to detect core SNPs among

all 752 assembled DT104 genomes within the combined DT104 data set (see section

"Acquisition of global DT104 genomic data and metadata" above; Supplementary Tables S1 and

S2), using the closed DT104 chromosome as a reference (NCBI Nucleotide Accession

NC_022569.1) and Parsnp's implementation of PhiPack [70] to filter recombination. Core SNPs

detected among all 752 assembled genomes were supplied as input to IQ-TREE version 1.5.4,

which was used to construct a ML phylogeny as described above (the corresponding

ascertainment bias correction here was "-fconst 1181208,1285673,1280769,1179580"; see

section "Variant calling and maximum likelihood phylogeny construction within the U.S. DT104

data set" above). The resulting ML phylogeny was rooted and time-scaled using LSD2 as

described above (see section "Variant calling and maximum likelihood phylogeny construction

within the U.S. DT104 data set" above). A range of 1900-2017 was supplied for four genomes,

which were part of the global DT104 data set, but did not have a reported year of isolation. The

resulting time-scaled ML phylogeny was annotated using the Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL)

version 6 webserver (https://itol.embl.de/; accessed March 7, 2022) [71].

U.S. DT104 Bayesian time-scaled phylogeny construction. Due to the overrepresentation of

genomes of DT104 strains reportedly isolated in 2007 from bovine sources in Washington state

within the 230-genome human- and bovine-associated U.S. DT104 data set (Figure 1 and

Supplementary Table S1), all aforementioned SNP calling and phylogeny construction steps

were repeated among genome sets downsampled to (i) 25, (ii) 10, and (iii) 5 randomly selected

bovine DT104 genomes collected in Washington state in 2007 (n = 161, 146, and 141 total

genomes in each downsampled genome set, respectively; see section "Variant calling and

maximum likelihood phylogeny construction within the U.S. DT104 data set" above,

Supplementary Data). For each of the three downsampled U.S. DT104 data sets, BEAST2

version 2.5.1 [72, 73] was used to construct a tip-dated phylogeny using core SNPs detected

among the genomes within the respective data set as input (see section "Variant calling and

maximum likelihood phylogeny construction within the U.S. DT104 data set" above), an initial

clock rate of 2.79×10^{-7} substitutions/site/year [13], and an ascertainment bias correction to

account for the use of solely variant sites [74]. bmodeltest [75] was used to infer a substitution

model using Bayesian model averaging, with transitions and transversions split. A relaxed

lognormal molecular clock [76] and a coalescent Bayesian skyline population model [77] were

used, as these models have been selected as the optimal clock/population model combination for

DT104 previously [13]. A log-normal distribution with a mean of 4.6×10^{-7} and standard

deviation of 1 (median of 2.79×10^{-7}) was used as the prior on the uncorrelated log-normal

relaxed molecular clock mean rate parameter (ucld.mean; Supplementary Data).

For each of the three downsampled U.S. DT104 data sets, five independent BEAST2 runs

were performed, using chain lengths of at least 100 million generations, sampling every 10

thousand generations. For each downsampled data set, LogCombiner-2 was used to aggregate the

resulting log and tree files with 10% of the states treated as burn-in, and TreeAnnotator-2 was

used to produce a maximum clade credibility (MCC) tree using Common Ancestor node heights

(Supplementary Data). The resulting phylogenies was displayed and annotated using R version

4.1.2 [78] and the following packages: ggplot2 version 3.3.5 [79], ggtree version 3.2.1 [80, 81],

phylobase version 0.8.10 [82], and treeio version 1.18.1 [83].

All three downsampled U.S. DT104 data sets resulted in similar BEAST2 parameter

estimates (Supplementary Figure S1, Supplementary Table S6, and Supplementary Data). Thus,

the final Bayesian time-scaled phylogeny and associated parameter estimates reported in the

main manuscript correspond to those obtained using the U.S. DT104 data set, which was

downsampled to 10 randomly selected bovine DT104 genomes collected in Washington state in

2007 ($n = 146$ genomes, Figures 2 and 3). Results are available for the U.S. DT104 data sets

downsampled to 25 and 5 bovine DT104 genomes collected in Washington state in 2007

(Supplementary Figures S2-S5, Supplementary Table S6, and Supplementary Data).

***artAB* ancestral state reconstruction.** To estimate ancestral character states of internal nodes in

the (i) U.S. DT104 and (ii) combined DT104 data set phylogenies as they related to *artAB*

presence/absence (i.e., whether a node in the tree represented an ancestor that was more likely to

be *artAB*-positive or *artAB*-negative), the presence or absence of *artAB* within each genome was

treated as a binary state. The following phylogenies were each used as input: (i) the BEAST2

time-scaled Bayesian U.S. DT104 phylogenies (n = 161, 146, and 141 total genomes in each

downsampled data set; see section "U.S. DT104 Bayesian time-scaled phylogeny construction"

above); (ii) the LSD2 time-scaled ML combined DT104 data set phylogeny (n = 752; see section

"Variant calling and maximum likelihood phylogeny construction within the combined DT104

data set" above). Stochastic character maps were simulated on each phylogeny using the

make.simmap function in the phytools version 1.0-1 R package [84] and the all-rates-different

(ARD) model in the ape version 5.6-1 package. For each phylogeny, either (i) equal root node

prior probabilities for *artAB*-positive and *artAB*-negative states (i.e., $P(artAB$ present) =

$P(artAB$-absent) = 0.5), or (ii) estimated root node prior probabilities for *artAB*-positive and

artAB-negative states obtained using the make.simmap function were used. For each root node

prior/phylogeny combination (eight total combinations of two root node priors and four

phylogenies), an empirical Bayes approach was used, in which a continuous-time reversible

Markov model was fitted, followed by 10,000 simulations of stochastic character histories using

the fitted model and tree tip states. The resulting phylogenies were plotted using the densityMap

function in the phytools R package. For the U.S. DT104 data set, the final ancestral state results

reported in the main manuscript correspond to those obtained using the U.S. DT104 data set,

which was downsampled to 10 randomly selected bovine DT104 genomes collected in

Washington state in 2007 ($n = 146$ genomes, Figure 2). Results are available for the U.S. DT104

data sets downsampled to 25 and 5 bovine DT104 genomes collected in Washington state in

2007 (Supplementary Figures S6-S10 and Supplementary Data).

Pan-genome characterization. Prokka version 1.13.3 [85] was used to annotate all 752 DT104

genomes, using the "Bacteria" database (see section "Genomic comparison of U.S. DT104 to the

global DT104 population" above; Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). GFF files produced by

Prokka were supplied as input to Panaroo version 1.2.7 [86], which was used to identify core-

and pan-genome orthologous gene clusters among (i) the 230 U.S. DT104 genomes and (ii) all

752 DT104 genomes in the combined data set, using the following parameters: (i) "strict" mode

(--clean-mode strict); (ii) MAFFT as the sequence aligner (--aligner mafft) [87, 88]; (iii) a core

genome threshold of 98% (i.e., genes present in at least 98% of genomes were considered to be

core genes; --core_threshold 0.98); (iv) a protein family sequence identity threshold of 70% (-f

0.7, the default). The LSD2 time-scaled ML phylogenies for the (i) 230-genome U.S. DT104 and

(ii) combined DT104 data sets (see sections "Variant calling and maximum likelihood phylogeny

construction within the U.S. DT104 data set" and "Variant calling and maximum likelihood

phylogeny construction within the combined DT104 data set" above) were supplied as input to

Panaroo's "panaroo-img" and "panaroo-fmg" commands, which were used to estimate the pan-

genome size under the Infinitely Many Genes (IMG) [89, 90] and Finite Many Genes (FMG)

models (with 100 bootstrap replicates) [91], respectively (Supplementary Figure S11). Reference

pan-genome coding sequences (CDS) identified by Panaroo for both the (i) U.S. and (ii)

combined DT104 data sets underwent functional annotation using the eggNOG-mapper version 2

webserver (http://eggnog-mapper.embl.de/; accessed July 24, 2022) using default settings [92,

93]. Among genomes within the U.S. DT104 data set (n = 230), the "table" function in R was

used to identify genes associated with (i) Gifsy-1 presence/absence (Supplementary Table S7)

and (ii) major clade membership (Supplementary Table S8); the "fisher.test" function in R's stats

package was used to conduct two-sided Fisher's exact tests, and the "p.adjust" function was used

to control the false discovery rate (i.e., p.adjust method = "fdr") [94].

The treewas version 1.0 R package [95] was additionally used to identify potential gene-

host associations among the 230-genome U.S. DT104 data set (i.e., whether a gene identified

with Panaroo was human- or bovine-associated while accounting for population structure), using

the following: (i) the isolation source treated as a discrete phenotype (i.e., a vector of "human" or

"bovine", supplied to the treeWAS function's "phen" argument; phen.type = "discrete"); (ii)

unique gene presence/absence profiles of genes detected in ≥10 and ≤220 of 230 total U.S.

DT104 genomes, treated as the genotypes to test (supplied to the treeWAS function's "snps"

argument); (iii) the time-scaled ML phylogeny constructed using LSD2 for the treeWAS

function's "tree" argument (see section "Variant calling and maximum likelihood phylogeny

construction within the U.S. DT104 data set" above); (iv) the number of simulated loci for

estimating the null distribution set to five million (i.e., n.snps.sim = 5000000); (v) ancestral state

reconstruction performed using ML methods (i.e., snps.reconstruction = "ML",

snps.sim.reconstruction = "ML", and phen.reconstruction = "ML"); (vi) a P-value significance

threshold of 0.1, after controlling the FDR (p.value.correct = "fdr"). The analysis was re-run,

using parsimony approaches in place of ML approaches for ancestral state reconstruction.

Regardless of approach, no genes were found to be significantly associated with isolation source

via any of the treeWAS association tests (FDR-corrected $P > 0.1$).

Strain selection for phenotypic stress assays. Thirteen DT104 strains isolated from a previous

study of *S.* Typhimurium in New York State [24], which were each available in the Cornell

University Food Safety Laboratory (CUFSL) culture collection [96], were additionally

characterized separately so that Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-positive and -negative DT104 strains from

bovine and human sources in the U.S. could be selected to undergo phenotypic characterization

(Supplementary Table S9). Parsnp and HarvestTools version 1.2 [69] were used to identify core

SNPs among all 13 assembled genomes, using the closed DT104 chromosome as a reference

(NCBI Nucleotide Accession NC_022569.1) and Parsnp's implementation of PhiPack [70] to

remove recombination. IQ-TREE version 1.5.4 was used to construct a ML phylogeny, using (i)

the resulting core SNPs as input, (ii) an ascertainment bias correction, based on the GC content

of the DT104 reference chromosome (-fconst 1182070,1287912,1283169,1180480), (iii) the

optimal nucleotide substitution model (-m MFP), selected using ModelFinder (i.e., the TIM+I

model) , and (iv) 1,000 replicates of the ultrafast bootstrap approximation (-bb 1000). Prokka

version 1.13 was used to annotate each genome (using the "Bacteria" database), and the resulting

GFF files were supplied to Roary version 3.13.0 [97], which was used to identify orthologous

gene clusters among the 13 DT104 genomes (using default thresholds, e.g., 95% BLASTP

identity).

The New York State DT104 isolates differed little in terms of their core and pan-genome

compositions (Supplementary Figure S12 and Supplementary Table S9). A total of 336 core

SNPs were identified among the 13 DT104 genomes; pairwise core SNP distances between all

13 genomes ranged from 12-113 core SNPs (median and mean of 85 and 80.8 core SNPs,

respectively, calculated using the "dist.gene" function in the ape R package). Based on gene

presence/absence of pan-genome elements, the Jaccard distance between all 13 genomes ranged

from 0.0036-0.0820 (median and mean of 0.0359 and 0.0380, respectively; calculated in R using

the "vegdist" function in vegan version 2.5-7) [98].

All available Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-negative strains in the CUFSL culture collection were

selected to undergo phenotypic testing ($n = 3$, two human isolates and one bovine isolate;

Supplementary Table S9); all three strains were members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade

(discussed in detail below). Considering both core and pan-genome distances relative to all three

available Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-negative strains, three Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-positive DT104 strains

were additionally selected to undergo phenotypic testing (one from human and two from bovine

sources; Supplementary Figure S12 and Supplementary Table S9). The three selected Gifsy-

1/*artAB*/*gogB*-positive DT104 strains differed from the three available Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-

negative strains by (i) 64-83 (HUM_TYPH_NY_04_S5_0370), 74-93

(BOV_TYPH_NY_99_A4_0023), and 65-84 (BOV_TYPH_NY_99_S3_0910) core SNPs and

(ii) Jaccard distances (based on pan-genome element presence/absence) of 0.0148-0.0610

(HUM_TYPH_NY_04_S5_0370), 0.0174-0.0622 (BOV_TYPH_NY_99_A4_0023) and 0.0163-

0.0620 (BOV_TYPH_NY_99_S3_0910; Supplementary Figure S12).

Phenotypic assays. All strain stocks (Supplementary Table S9) were maintained in

CRYOBANK® tubes (Mast Ltd., Reinfeld, Germany) at -80°C. Strains were streaked out from

stocks on tryptic soy agar (TSA; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated overnight at

37°C. Single colonies from those plates were inoculated in 5 mL of tryptic soy broth (TSB;

Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and incubated for 16 - 18 h at 37°C with shaking at 200

rpm. The resulting overnight cultures were diluted 1/100 into 5 mL of fresh, pre-warmed TSB,

followed by incubation at 37°C with shaking at 200 rpm to allow cultures to reach mid log phase

(defined as OD_{600} of 0.4; 1-2 x10^8 CFU/mL). These cultures were used as input into the three

different phenotypic assays (exposure to ruminal fluid, acid stress, and bile stress; discussed in

detail below). Bacterial enumeration before and after stress exposure was performed by direct

colony counts of tilt plates according to Kühbacher et al. [99].

To evaluate exposure to ruminal fluid (RF), approximately 2 L of RF was acquired from

a Jersey cow with a ruminal fistula on each experimental day prior to the experiments (same

collection time was used for each experiment). The RF was immediately filtered through a

cellulose filter (Labsolute® Type 80, Th. Geyer GmbH& Co. KG., Renningen, Germany) to

remove any large debris, and the pH was measured, ranging from 7.20 to 7.62. Mid-log phase

cultures were prepared and inoculated into the RF at two different concentrations. One hundred

µl of culture suspensions were inoculated into 5mL of the RF at final concentrations of 10^8

(high) and 10^5 (low) CFU/mL and incubated for 1 h at 37°C without shaking with enumeration

by direct colony counting on XLT-4 agar (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, UK) prior and after RF

exposure (Supplementary Table S10). The absence of *Salmonella* in the RF at the start of the

experiments was confirmed by plating on XLT-4 agar.

Acid stress resistance of the different strains at pH 3.5 with and without prior adaption

was tested using an adopted protocol from Horlbog et al. [100]. The pH of the TSB was adjusted

with hydrochloric acid solution (1M and 6 M HCL; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany)

immediately prior to the experiment. 1 mL aliquots of mid log phase cultures were transferred to

reaction tubes and centrifuged at 14,000 x g for 10 min. For the non-adapted acid stress

experiments, the pellets were resuspended in 1mL TSB pH 3.5 and incubated for 1 h at 37°C

without shaking. For acid adaption, 1 mL of the same cultures were pelleted, resuspended in 1

mL TSB adjusted to pH 5.5 and incubated for 1h at 37°C (without shaking). Afterwards, the

cultures were centrifuged again, resuspended in 1mL TSB pH 3.5, and incubated for 1 h at 37°C

without shaking. Bacteria enumeration was performed before and after the one-hour incubation

at pH 3.5 (Supplementary Table S11).

Susceptibility to bile salts (cholic acid and deoxycholic acid in a mixture of 1:1, Bile

Salts No.3, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, USA) was tested in two different

concentrations: 14.5 mmol/L corresponding to 0.6% [101] and 26.0 mmol/L corresponding to

1.1% [102] were chosen to represent reasonable physiological states in the duodenum. Bile salts

were added, and the pH of the TSB was adjusted to 5.5 (TSB-bile) immediately prior to the

experiment. Mid log phase cultures were centrifuged, resuspended in TSB-bile, incubated for 1h

37°C without shaking, and enumerated by direct colony counting prior and after bile exposure

(Supplementary Table S12).

For each stress assay, base-ten logarithmic fold change (FC) values were calculated as

follows: FC = log CFU/g at the start of the experiments – log CFU/g after the stress assay.

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the interpretation of the phenotypic assays were conducted

using the "aov" function in R's "stats" package, with the FC values for the respective assay

treated as a response. Figures were designed using the ggplot2 package.

Data availability. Strain metadata, genome quality metrics, and Enterobase accession numbers

for all publicly available genomes queried in this study are available in Supplementary Table S1.

Strain metadata, genome quality metrics, Food Microbe Tracker IDs, and NCBI BioSample

accession numbers for the 13 NYS DT104 strains queried in this study (including those queried

via phenotypic assays) are available in Supplementary Table S2. LSD2 results (for the U.S. and

combined DT104 data sets) and BEAST2 results (for the U.S. DT104 data set) are available as

Supplementary Data.

RESULTS

Human- and bovine-associated DT104 from the U.S. harbor *artAB* on prophage Gifsy-1.

Within the set of 230 human- and bovine-associated DT104 genomes derived from strains

isolated in the U.S. (62 and 168 genomes from human and bovine sources, respectively; Figure

1A) [24], *artAB* was present in over 75% of genomes (177 of 230, 77.0%; Figure 2, Table 1, and

Supplementary Figures S2-S4). *artAB* presence and absence was strongly associated with the

presence and absence of anti-inflammatory effector *gogB* (two-sided Fisher's Exact Test raw $P <$

2.2×10^{-16}, infinite odds ratio [OR]), as co-occurrence was observed in all 177 *artAB*-harboring

genomes (100.0%; Figure 2, Table 1, and Supplementary Figures S2-S4). Additionally, *artAB*

and *gogB* presence was strongly associated with the presence of prophage Gifsy-1 (NCBI

Nucleotide Accession NC_010392.1; two-sided Fisher's Exact Test raw $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, infinite

OR; Figure 2, Table 1, and Supplementary Figures S2-S4). Subsequent investigation confirmed

that, for all 177 *artAB*-harboring U.S. DT104 genomes, *artAB* was located within the Gifsy-1

prophage region (classified as "intact" via PHASTER; Table 2 and Supplementary Table S5).

452     *gogB* was largely harbored within regions annotated as Gifsy-1 (126 of 180 *gogB*-

harboring genomes; 70.0%), although only 51 of these Gifsy-1 regions were annotated as intact

prophage (via PHASTER, 28.3% of *gogB*-harboring genomes; Table 2 and Supplementary Table

S5). Occasionally, *gogB* was detected elsewhere in the genome: three genomes harbored *gogB*

within regions annotated as prophage Gifsy-2 (3 of 180 *gogB*-harboring genomes, 1.7%; Table 2

and Supplementary Table S5), while *gogB* was detected outside of annotated prophage regions

within the remaining 51 *gogB*-harboring genomes (28.3% of *gogB*-harboring genomes; Table 2

and Supplementary Table S5).

Only three genomes (bovine-associated BOV_TYPH_Washington_2007_SRR1519881,

BOV_TYPH_Minnesota_2010_SRR1089590, and

BOV_TYPH_Minnesota_2008_SRR1177378) possessed an intact Gifsy-1 prophage but did not

possess *artAB* (1.7% of genomes in which an intact Gifsy-1 was detected), although all three

genomes possessed *gogB* (*gogB* was detected within an incomplete Gifsy-1 prophage region in

the two genomes from Minnesota, while the genome from Washington did not harbor *gogB*

within an annotated prophage region; Figure 2, Tables 1 and 2, Supplementary Figures S2-S4,

and Supplementary Table S5). Of the 168 bovine-associated DT104 genomes from the U.S., 150

(89.3%) possessed *artAB, gogB*, and Gifsy-1, while 153 (91.1%) possessed *gogB* and Gifsy-1

(Figure 2, Table 1, and Supplementary Figures S2-S4). Interestingly, of 62 human-associated

genomes, only 27 (43.5%) possessed *artAB, gogB,* and Gifsy-1 (Figure 2, Table 1, and

Supplementary Figures S2-S4), indicating that Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* may share a negative

association with human-associated DT104 from the U.S. (two-sided Fisher's Exact Test raw $P <$

4.1×10^{-12}, OR = 10.6; Table 1); however, no genes within the U.S. DT104 pan-genome shared a

significant association with bovine or human host when accounting for population structure

(treeWAS FDR-corrected $P > 0.10$).

Overall, 90 genes within the U.S. DT104 pan-genome were associated with Gifsy-1

presence or absence (two-sided Fisher's exact test FDR-corrected P-value < 0.05; Supplementary

Figure S11 and Supplementary Table S7). The presence and absence of 30 genes shared a perfect

association with Gifsy-1 presence and absence (i.e., these genes were absent from all U.S.

DT104 genomes that did not possess Gifsy-1 and were present in all U.S. DT104 genomes that

did possess Gifsy-1; FDR-corrected $P < 0.05$ and OR of infinity); in addition to *gogB*, these

genes included numerous phage-associated proteins (Supplementary Table S7).

A MDR DT104 lineage circulating among cattle and humans across the U.S. lost prophage

Gifsy-1 in close temporal proximity to a period of rapid DT104 population growth in the

1980s. To gain insight into the evolutionary relationships of *artAB*-negative U.S. DT104 strains,

a time-scaled phylogeny was constructed using human- and bovine-associated U.S. DT104

genomes (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures S2-S4). The common ancestor shared by all

MDR U.S. bovine- and human-associated DT104 isolates included in this study was predicted to

have existed circa 1975 (estimated node age 1974.9, node height 95% highest posterior density

[HPD] interval [1958.1, 1986.4]; Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures S2-S4), which is

consistent with observations in previous studies [13, 103], in which DT104 was predicted to have

acquired its MDR phenotype in the 1970s. The mean evolutionary rate estimated for the U.S.

DT104 queried here was 1.75×10^{-7} substitutions/site/year (95% HPD interval [1.38×10^{-7},

2.11×10^{-7}]), which is similar to evolutionary rates estimated in previous studies of DT104

isolates from other world regions [13, 36] (Supplementary Figure S1, Supplementary Table S6,

and Supplementary Data).

Notably, over 75% of all U.S. DT104 *artAB*-negative genomes (42 of 53 *artAB*-negative

genomes, 79.2%) were members of a single, well-supported clade (posterior probability = 1.0,

referred to hereafter as the "U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade"; Figure 2 and Supplementary

Figures S2-S4). In addition to lacking *artAB*, all members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major

clade lacked Gifsy-1 and 50 additional genes, which were present in over half of U.S. DT104

genomes not included in the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade, including *gogB*, a chitinase, and

many phage-associated proteins (Figure 2, Supplementary Figures S2-S4, and Supplementary

Table S8). Strains within the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade were reported to have been

isolated between 1997 and 2018 (the most recent year included in this study) from at least 11

different states across the U.S. (for two isolates, the U.S. state in which the strain was isolated

was unknown; Figures 1B and 2 and Supplementary Figures S2-S4). Most strains within the U.S.

artAB-negative major clade were isolated from human clinical cases ($n = 30$ of 42 U.S. *artAB*-

negative major clade strains, 71.4%), and nearly half of all U.S. DT104 strains isolated from

human sources were members of this clade ($n = 30$ of 62 U.S. DT104 strains from human

sources, 48.4%); bovine strains within the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade were isolated from

bovine clinical cases or beef products ($n = 12$ of 42 strains, 28.6%; Figure 2, Supplementary

Figures S2-S4, and Supplementary Table S1).

Based on results of ancestral state reconstruction using *artAB* presence/absence, the loss

of Gifsy-1, *artAB*, *gogB*, and other Gifsy-1-associated genes among members of the U.S. *artAB*-

negative major clade was estimated to have occurred between 1985 and 1987 (estimated node

ages 1985.0 and 1987.2, node height 95% HPD intervals [1979.0, 1990.2] and [1981.7, 1992.1],

respectively; Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures S6-S8). Interestingly, this predicted loss event

occurred in close temporal proximity to a rapid increase in the effective population size of U.S.

DT104, which occurred in the mid-to-late 1980s (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S5).

Following this predicted rapid increase in the 1980s, the U.S. DT104 effective population size

was predicted to have increased again in the mid-to-late 1990s, peaking circa 2000 (Figure 3 and

Supplementary Figure S5).

Loss of *artAB* and *gogB* within the global DT104 population occurs sporadically. The

absence of Gifsy-1, *artAB*, and/or *gogB* among DT104 strains was not strictly a U.S.

phenomenon: Gifsy-1, *artAB*, and *gogB* were not detected in 19 and three genomes out of (i) 290

DT104 strains collected from numerous sources around the world [13] and (ii) 243 DT104

strains isolated from cattle and humans in Scotland [36], respectively (representing 6.6% and

1.2% of strains in their respective study that were included in our analysis here; Figure 4 and

Supplementary Figures S9 and S10). However, the Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* loss event associated

with the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade represented the single largest Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* loss

event (n = 42; Figure 4 and Supplementary Figures S9 and S10).

Among all 752 DT104 genomes queried here, the presence and absence of *artAB* and

gogB was correlated with that of Gifsy-1 (two-sided Fisher's Exact Test raw $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ for

each, ORs of 2069.8 and infinity, respectively), as well as each other (two-sided Fisher's Exact

Test raw $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, infinite OR; Figure 4, Table 1, and Supplementary Figure S9). However,

unlike the 177 *artAB*-harboring U.S. genomes queried here, *artAB* were not always detected

within prophage regions annotated as Gifsy-1 in the other genomes (Table 2 and Supplementary

Table S5).

***In vitro* response to human- and bovine-associated gastrointestinal stress factors is not**

correlated with the presence of *artAB, gogB*, and Gifsy-1 in U.S. DT104. The (i) loss of

Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* associated with the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade in close temporal

proximity to a predicted rapid increase in the DT104 effective population size, plus (ii) the over-

representation of human strains in this clade led us to hypothesize that ArtAB and/or GogB

production (or some other genomic element harbored on Gifsy-1) may influence the dynamics of

DT104 in the digestive tracks of human and animal hosts. Thus, we used phenotypic assays that

simulate human and/or bovine digestion-associated stress conditions to compare the phenotypes

of Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-negative members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade to those of the

most closely related Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-positive U.S. DT104 strains available (Supplementary

Table S9).

As the first three compartments of the bovine digestive tract differ massively from that in

the human gut, the phenotype of Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-positive and -negative strains was

investigated in fresh bovine ruminal fluid (RF) obtained from a donor cow (Supplementary Table

S10). DT104 concentrations were reduced by 3.4 log CFU (SD=0.2) when inoculated into RF at

a final concentration of 10^5 CFU/mL, whereas DT104 numbers were reduced by 1.3 log CFU

(SD = 0.2) when inoculated at a final concentration of 10^8 CFU/mL. While the inoculation

density did significantly affect survival (ANOVA raw $P < 0.001$), the phenotype in RF was not

associated with the presence or absence of Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* (ANOVA raw $P > 0.05$; Figure

5).

The presence of Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* also did not significantly influence acid stress

survival at pH 3.5 (ANOVA raw $P > 0.05$; Figure 5 and Supplementary Table S11). While prior

adaptation at an intermediate pH 5.5 significantly increased survival at pH 3.5 as expected

(ANOVA raw $P < 0.01$), there was no significant difference in acid adaptation between Gifsy-

1/*artAB*/*gogB*-positive and -negative strains (ANOVA raw $P > 0.05$; Figure 5). Both groups

showed a concentration-dependent reduction in growth/survival at the two tested bile

concentrations of 0.6% and 1.1% (ANOVA raw $P = 0.01$ for the difference in fold change at the

two concentrations), but there was no Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-dependent phenotype in the response

of DT104 strains to bile stress (ANOVA raw $P > 0.05$; Figure 5 and Supplementary Table S12).

DISCUSSION

A DT104 lineage distributed across multiple U.S. states lost its ability to produce toxin

ArtAB in close temporal proximity to the global DT104 epidemic. Bacterial ADP-

ribosylating toxins play important roles in the virulence of numerous pathogens [19, 104]. While

the illness caused by *Salmonella enterica* is not considered to be a toxin-mediated disease in the

classical sense (e.g., as is the case for *Clostridium botulinum* or *Vibrio cholerae*) [19], some

Salmonella lineages are capable of producing ADP-ribosylating toxins, allowing them to alter

host immune responses and promote pathogenesis [17, 19, 105-107]. ArtAB is one such toxin

with a variable presence among *Salmonella* lineages; genes encoding ArtAB have been detected

in at least 45 different serotypes and are correlated with the presence of typhoid toxin genes,

although in DT104 this is not the case [108]. Additionally, in the majority of these serotypes,

artA is predicted to be a pseudogene and the selective advantage of maintaining *artB* appears to

be related to its use as an alternative binding subunit for the typhoid toxin [19, 109].

A previous study of ArtAB-producing DT104 strains [18] found that ArtAB production

among DT104 appears to be the norm rather than the exception, as 237 of 243 strains (97.5%) in

the study were *artAB*-positive [18]. We observed similar findings here, as *artAB* was detected in

678 of 752 DT104 genomes (90.2%). However, we additionally showed that *artAB* loss events

appear sporadically throughout the DT104 phylogeny (Figures 2 and 4 and Supplementary

Figures S9 and S10). Among U.S. DT104, these loss events usually coincided with Gifsy-1

excision, although not exclusively (i.e., three strains did not possess *artAB*, but possessed Gifsy-

1; Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures S2-S4).

Most notably, we observed a MDR DT104 clade circulating among cattle and humans

across 11 U.S. states, which lost Gifsy-1, along with the ability to produce ArtAB and GogB,

(i.e., the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade; Figure 2). The U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade was

predicted to have lost Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* circa 1985-1987, which is in close temporal proximity

to the predicted rapid increase in the U.S. DT104 effective population size, which occurred in the

mid-to-late 1980s (Figure 3). Our results are consistent with a previous study of DT104 from

multiple world regions, which also identified periods of dramatic population growth in the 1980s

and 1990s [13]. This rapid increase in population size is notable, as it coincides with the global

MDR DT104 epidemic, which occurred among humans and animals throughout the 1990s [13,

14, 36]. However, it is essential to note that any potential association between the virulence

and/or fitness of MDR DT104 and Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* loss among DT104 is merely speculative

at this point (discussed in detail below); while previous studies of DT104 have shown that

prophage excision and *artAB* loss occur in response to DNA damage and other stressors [17, 19],

future studies are needed to better understand the roles that Gifsy-1, *artAB*, and *gogB* play in

DT104 evolution.

Members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade do not have a phenotypic advantage

relative to other U.S. DT104 when exposed to ruminal fluid-, acid-, and bile-associated

stressors *in vitro*. *Salmonella enterica* encounters numerous stressors within the gastrointestinal

tracts of humans and animals, including (but not limited to) low pH, low oxygen, exposure to

bile, and the host immune system [110-112]. Furthermore, the gastrointestinal environment that

Salmonella enterica encounters can differ between hosts; for example, the first three

compartments of the bovine digestive tract differ massively from those of the human gut, as they

essentially serve as massive microbial fermentation chambers [113]. Here, we evaluated DT104

survival when exposed to three stressors encountered in the human and/or bovine gastrointestinal

tracts: (i) ruminal fluid (RF; bovine rumen), (ii) low pH (bovine abomasum and human stomach),

and (iii) exposure to bile (bovine and human duodenum); we discuss each step in detail below.

In the bovine digestion process, the RF, including the complex community of ruminal

microbiota [114], presents an early line of defense against potential pathogens, like *Salmonella*

spp. In RF, the kill rate of DT104 strains was dependent on the inoculation density. The high

inoculation rate (10^8 CFU/mL) was chosen to test the ability of the ruminal microbiota to

efficiently kill or impede *Salmonella*. The lower inoculation rate of 10^5 CFU/mL was chosen for

its dynamic range to measure either growth or decrease of *Salmonella* concentration. An

interaction of the complex ruminal microbiota with the inoculated *Salmonella* is conceivable in

two ways: either the microbiota exhibit strategies to produce antimicrobial compounds against

Salmonella species [115, 116], or through competition for nutrients, e.g., iron [117]. The fact that

the ruminal microbiota was less effective at killing DT104 at the high inoculation rate suggests

that their defense mechanisms against DT104 are limited and/or the system started to be overrun

by the high numbers of DT104.

Gastric acids in the stomach (or abomasum) are the next line of host defense, which

Salmonella must overcome during gastrointestinal passage [118]. Like most enteric pathogens,

Salmonella enterica is well adapted to the acid conditions of the stomach [119]. Our experiments

confirmed that acid adaptation with HCl at pH 5.5 lead to much higher survival rates at pH 3.5.

Well-known mechanisms such as decreased membrane conductivity for H^+, increased proton

extrusion or changes in the cell envelope composition [120-122] could be responsible for this.

Upon leaving the stomach, enteric pathogens are confronted with bile. Bile salts show

antimicrobial activity by dissolving membrane lipids, dissociating integral membrane proteins

[123], and lead to general cell damage by misfolding and denaturation of proteins [124, 125] and

DNA damage [126, 127]. *Salmonella enterica* is able to survive duodenal bile salt concentrations

through DNA repair mechanisms [127], multiple changes in gene expression [128], and

increased production of anti-oxidative enzymes [129]. Here, selected DT104 strains were able to

survive at both tested bile salt concentrations (14.5 mmol/L and 26.0 mmol/L); however, no

significant differences were observed between strains that harbored Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* and

those that did not (Figure 5).

In summary, all tested strains were able to adapt to and survive in the *in vitro*

gastrointestinal conditions tested here, while the presence or absence of Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*

showed no fitness effects (Figure 5). However, it is important to note that we have only tested

the most obvious stress conditions associated with the gastrointestinal tract; thus, it is

conceivable that Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* loss among members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major

clade confer an advantage in conditions associated with the infection chain that were not tested

here, including interactions with different host cell types.

Future research is needed to understand the roles that Gifsy-1, ArtAB, and GogB play in

DT104 virulence. The results presented here indicate that prophage-mediated ArtAB production

among DT104 can undergo temporal changes. Most notably, we identified the U.S. *artAB*-

negative major clade, the common ancestor of which lost the ability to produce ArtAB in close

temporal proximity to the global MDR DT104 epidemic. However, the ecological and/or

evolutionary significance of this loss-of-function event remains unclear. Although phenotypic

assessments have demonstrated a role for DT104-encoded ArtAB in both cell culture and a

mouse model [18], the true benefit of this toxin in the context of human and bovine

salmonellosis has not been investigated. It has been previously shown that reactive oxygen

species (ROS) induce production of ArtAB [23], which may suggest that *artAB* is expressed in

response to immune cell derived ROS. Furthermore, as treatment with ArtA increases

intracellular levels of cAMP in macrophage-like cells [18], ArtAB may play a role in delaying

Salmonella clearance by altering the activity of host immune cells [19]. Hence, future studies,

including in tissue culture and animal models, will be needed to determine whether *artAB*

presence or absence confers a selective advantage among human- and animal-associated DT104.

666   The *in vitro* stress assays performed in this study aimed to mimic the stressors that

DT104 encounters in the gastrointestinal tracts of humans and ruminants. Given the over-

representation of human-associated Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-negative strains observed here, one may

be tempted to speculate that Gifsy-1, *artAB*, and/or *gogB* absence may confer members of the

U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade with a competitive advantage in the human host gastrointestinal

tract; however, no Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-dependent phenotype of DT104 was observed under the

tested conditions (Figure 5). Despite this, it may be possible that Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* absence

may confer some advantage(s) to U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade strains in environmental

conditions, which were not tested in this study, including those outside of the host (e.g., high

osmotic pressure and competitive microbiota in manure or wastewater, food safety measures like

disinfectants, antimicrobials and food processing) [111]. However, at the present, this is merely

speculation; future studies are needed to evaluate whether Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* loss among

members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade is merely coincidental or indicative of some

evolutionarily advantageous phenotype.

AUTHOR STATEMENTS

Conflicts of interest. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Funding information. This material is based on work supported by the National Science

Foundation (NSF) Graduate Research Fellowship Program under grant no. DGE-1650441, with

additional funding provided by an NSF Graduate Research Opportunities Worldwide (GROW)

grant through a partnership with the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF).

Acknowledgments. Figure 1 was created with BioRender.com.

REFERENCES

1.   **Bobay LM, Touchon M, Rocha EP**. Pervasive domestication of defective prophages by
bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014;111(33):12127-12132.
2.   **Fortier LC, Sekulovic O**. Importance of prophages to evolution and virulence of bacterial
pathogens. *Virulence* 2013;4(5):354-365.
3.   **Pleska M, Lang M, Refardt D, Levin BR, Guet CC**. Phage-host population dynamics
promotes prophage acquisition in bacteria with innate immunity. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2018;2(2):359-
366.
4.   **Ramisetty BCM, Sudhakari PA**. Bacterial 'Grounded' Prophages: Hotspots for Genetic
Renovation and Innovation. *Front Genet* 2019;10:65.
5.   **Owen SV, Canals R, Wenner N, Hammarlöf DL, Kröger C et al.** A window into lysogeny:
Revealing temperate phage biology with transcriptomics. *bioRxiv* 2019:787010.
6.   **Haaber J, Leisner JJ, Cohn MT, Catalan-Moreno A, Nielsen JB et al.** Bacterial viruses
enable their host to acquire antibiotic resistance genes from neighbouring cells. *Nat Commun*
2016;7:13333.
7.   **Wang X, Kim Y, Ma Q, Hong SH, Pokusaeva K et al.** Cryptic prophages help bacteria
cope with adverse environments. *Nat Commun* 2010;1:147.
8.   **Liu B, Knirel YA, Feng L, Perepelov AV, Senchenkova SyN et al.** Structural diversity in
Salmonella O antigens and its genetic basis. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 2014;38(1):56-89.
9.   **Czajkowski R**. May the Phage be With You? Prophage-Like Elements in the Genomes of
Soft Rot Pectobacteriaceae: *Pectobacterium* spp. and *Dickeya* spp. *Frontiers in Microbiology,*
Original Research 2019;10(138).
10.   **Hiley L, Fang NX, Micalizzi GR, Bates J**. Distribution of Gifsy-3 and of variants of ST64B
and Gifsy-1 prophages amongst *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium isolates: evidence
that combinations of prophages promote clonality. *PLoS One* 2014;9(1):e86203.
11.   **World Health Organization**. 2018. *Salmonella* (non-typhoidal).
https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/salmonella-(non-typhoidal) [accessed 5
May 2021 2021].
12.   **Wang X, Biswas S, Paudyal N, Pan H, Li X et al.** Antibiotic Resistance in *Salmonella*
Typhimurium Isolates Recovered From the Food Chain Through National Antimicrobial
Resistance Monitoring System Between 1996 and 2016. *Front Microbiol* 2019;10:985.
13.   **Leekitcharoenphon P, Hendriksen RS, Le Hello S, Weill FX, Baggesen DL et al.** Global
Genomic Epidemiology of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium DT104. *Appl Environ*
Microbiol 2016;82(8):2516-2526.
14.   **Threlfall EJ**. Epidemic *salmonella* typhimurium DT 104--a truly international
multiresistant clone. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2000;46(1):7-10.
15.   **Helms M, Ethelberg S, Molbak K, Group DTS**. International *Salmonella* Typhimurium
DT104 infections, 1992-2001. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005;11(6):859-867.

16.   **Allen CA, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Vazquez-Torres A, Suyemoto M, Altier C et al.** *In Vitro* and *In*
Vivo Assessment of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium DT104 Virulence. *Infection and*
Immunity 2001;69(7):4673-4677.
17.   **Saitoh M, Tanaka K, Nishimori K, Makino SI, Kanno T et al.** The *artAB* genes encode a
putative ADP-ribosyltransferase toxin homologue associated with *Salmonella enterica* serovar
Typhimurium DT104. *Microbiology* 2005;151(Pt 9):3089-3096.
18.   **Tamamura Y, Tanaka K, Uchida I.** Characterization of pertussis-like toxin from
Salmonella spp. that catalyzes ADP-ribosylation of G proteins. *Sci Rep* 2017;7(1):2653.
19.   **Cheng RA, Wiedmann M.** The ADP-Ribosylating Toxins of *Salmonella*. *Toxins (Basel)*
2019;11(7).
20.   **Weiss AA, Hewlett EL.** Virulence factors of *Bordetella pertussis*. *Annu Rev Microbiol*
1986;40:661-686.
21.   **Hewlett EL, Sauer KT, Myers GA, Cowell JL, Guerrant RL.** Induction of a novel
morphological response in Chinese hamster ovary cells by pertussis toxin. *Infection and*
immunity 1983;40(3):1198-1203.
22.   **Carbonetti NH.** Pertussis toxin and adenylate cyclase toxin: key virulence factors of
Bordetella pertussis and cell biology tools. *Future microbiology* 2010;5(3):455-469.
23.   **Uchida I, Ishihara R, Tanaka K, Hata E, Makino S et al.** *Salmonella enterica* serotype
Typhimurium DT104 ArtA-dependent modification of pertussis toxin-sensitive G proteins in the
presence of [32P]NAD. *Microbiology* 2009;155(Pt 11):3710-3718.
24.   **Carroll LM, Huisman JS, Wiedmann M.** Twentieth-century emergence of antimicrobial
resistant human- and bovine-associated *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium lineages in
New York State. *Sci Rep* 2020;10(1):14428.
25.   **Zhou Z, Alikhan N-F, Mohamed K, Fan Y, Achtman M.** The user's guide to comparative
genomics with EnteroBase, including case studies on transmissions of micro-clades of
Salmonella, the phylogeny of ancient and modern *Yersinia pestis* genomes, and the core
genomic diversity of all *Escherichia*. *bioRxiv* 2019:613554.
26.   **Alikhan NF, Zhou Z, Sergeant MJ, Achtman M.** A genomic overview of the population
structure of *Salmonella*. *PLoS Genet* 2018;14(4):e1007261.
27.   **Leinonen R, Sugawara H, Shumway M, International Nucleotide Sequence Database C.**
The sequence read archive. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011;39(Database issue):D19-21.
28.   **Kodama Y, Shumway M, Leinonen R, International Nucleotide Sequence Database C.**
The Sequence Read Archive: explosive growth of sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res*
2012;40(Database issue):D54-56.
29.   **Yoshida CE, Kruczkiewicz P, Laing CR, Lingohr EJ, Gannon VP et al.** The *Salmonella In*
Silico Typing Resource (SISTR): An Open Web-Accessible Tool for Rapidly Typing and Subtyping
Draft *Salmonella* Genome Assemblies. *PLoS One* 2016;11(1):e0147101.
30.   **Tonkin-Hill G, Lees JA, Bentley SD, Frost SDW, Corander J.** RhierBAPS: An R
implementation of the population clustering algorithm hierBAPS. *Wellcome Open Res*
2018;3:93.
31.   **Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B.** Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. *Bioinformatics* 2014;30(15):2114-2120.

32.   **Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M et al.** SPAdes: a new genome
assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* 2012;19(5):455-
477.
33.   **Andrews S**. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data version
0.11.5. 2019. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
34.   **Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G**. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome
assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 2013;29(8):1072-1075.
35.   **Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Kaller M**. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for
multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* 2016;32(19):3047-3048.
36.   **Mather AE, Reid SW, Maskell DJ, Parkhill J, Fookes MC et al.** Distinguishable epidemics
of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104 in different hosts. *Science*
2013;341(6153):1514-1517.
37.   **Arndt D, Marcu A, Liang Y, Wishart DS**. PHAST, PHASTER and PHASTEST: Tools for
finding prophage in bacterial genomes. *Brief Bioinform* 2019;20(4):1560-1567.
38.   **Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A et al.** PHASTER: a better, faster version of
the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016;44(W1):W16-21.
39.   **Seemann T**. ABRicate: Mass screening of contigs for antimicrobial resistance or
virulence genes. 2018. https://github.com/tseemann/abricate.
40.   **Feldgarden M, Brover V, Haft DH, Prasad AB, Slotta DJ et al.** Validating the AMRFinder
Tool and Resistance Gene Database by Using Antimicrobial Resistance Genotype-Phenotype
Correlations in a Collection of Isolates. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2019;63(11).
41.   **Carattoli A, Zankari E, Garcia-Fernandez A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O et al.** *In silico*
detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2014;58(7):3895-3903.
42.   **Chen L, Yang J, Yu J, Yao Z, Sun L et al.** VFDB: a reference database for bacterial
virulence factors. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2005;33(Database issue):D325-328.
43.   **Owen SV, Wenner N, Canals R, Makumi A, Hammarlof DL et al.** Characterization of the
Prophage Repertoire of African *Salmonella* Typhimurium ST313 Reveals High Levels of
Spontaneous Induction of Novel Phage BTP1. *Front Microbiol* 2017;8:235.
44.   **Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J et al.** BLAST+: architecture
and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009;10:421.
45.   **Seemann T**. Snippy: Rapid haploid variant calling and core genome alignment version
4.3.6. 2019. https://github.com/tseemann/snippy.
46.   **Li H**. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
arXiv 2013:1303.3997.
47.   **Li H, Durbin R**. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* 2009;25(14):1754-1760.
48.   **Li H**. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*
2018;34(18):3094-3100.
49.   **Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J et al.** The Sequence Alignment/Map
format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 2009;25(16):2078-2079.
50.   **Quinlan AR, Hall IM**. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. *Bioinformatics* 2010;26(6):841-842.

51.   **Quinlan AR**. BEDTools: The Swiss-Army Tool for Genome Feature Analysis. *Curr Protoc*
Bioinformatics 2014;47:11 12 11-34.
52.   **Li H**. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping
and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*
2011;27(21):2987-2993.
53.   **Garrison E, Marth G**. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing.
arXiv 2012:1207.3907.
54.   **Cleary JG, Braithwaite R, Gaastra K, Hilbush BS, Inglis S et al**. Comparing Variant Call
Files for Performance Benchmarking of Next-Generation Sequencing Variant Calling Pipelines.
bioRxiv 2015:023754.
55.   **Tan A, Abecasis GR, Kang HM**. Unified representation of genetic variants. *Bioinformatics*
2015;31(13):2202-2204.
56.   **Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang le L, Coon M, Nguyen T et al**. A program for annotating and
predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of
Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. *Fly (Austin)* 2012;6(2):80-92.
57.   **Seemann T**. samclip: Filter SAM file for soft and hard clipped alignments version 0.2.
2019. https://github.com/tseemann/samclip.
58.   **Li H**. Seqtk: a fast and lightweight tool for processing sequences in the FASTA or FASTQ
format version 1.2-r102-dirty 2019. https://github.com/lh3/seqtk.
59.   **Page AJ, Taylor B, Delaney AJ, Soares J, Seemann T et al**. SNP-sites: rapid efficient
extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. *Microb Genom* 2016;2(4):e000056.
60.   **Croucher NJ, Page AJ, Connor TR, Delaney AJ, Keane JA et al**. Rapid phylogenetic
analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins.
Nucleic Acids Res 2015;43(3):e15.
61.   **Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ**. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol*
2015;32(1):268-274.
62.   **Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS**. ModelFinder: fast
model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* 2017;14(6):587-589.
63.   **Kimura M**. Estimation of evolutionary distances between homologous nucleotide
sequences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1981;78(1):454-458.
64.   **Minh BQ, Nguyen MA, von Haeseler A**. Ultrafast approximation for phylogenetic
bootstrap. *Mol Biol Evol* 2013;30(5):1188-1195.
65.   **Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS**. UFBoot2: Improving the
Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* 2018;35(2):518-522.
66.   **Rambaut A, Lam TT, Max Carvalho L, Pybus OG**. Exploring the temporal structure of
heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). *Virus Evol* 2016;2(1):vew007.
67.   **To T-H, Jung M, Lycett S, Gascuel O**. Fast Dating Using Least-Squares Criteria and
Algorithms. *Systematic Biology* 2015;65(1):82-97.
68.   **Rambaut A**. FigTree: a graphical viewer of phylogenetic trees version 1.4.4. 2016.
http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.
69.   **Treangen TJ, Ondov BD, Koren S, Phillippy AM**. The Harvest suite for rapid core-genome
alignment and visualization of thousands of intraspecific microbial genomes. *Genome Biol*
2014;15(11):524.

70.   **Bruen TC, Philippe H, Bryant D**. A simple and robust statistical test for detecting the
presence of recombination. *Genetics* 2006;172(4):2665-2681.
71.   **Letunic I, Bork P**. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree
display and annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2021;49(W1):W293-W296.
72.   **Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kuhnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH et al**. BEAST 2: a software platform
for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2014;10(4):e1003537.
73.   **Bouckaert R, Vaughan TG, Barido-Sottani J, Duchene S, Fourment M et al**. BEAST 2.5:
An advanced software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Comput Biol*
2019;15(4):e1006650.
74.   **Bouckaert R**. 2014. Correcting for constant sites in BEAST2.
https://groups.google.com/forum/#!topic/beast-users/QfBHMOqImFE [accessed July 26, 2022].
75.   **Bouckaert RR, Drummond AJ**. bModelTest: Bayesian phylogenetic site model averaging
and model comparison. *BMC Evol Biol* 2017;17(1):42.
76.   **Drummond AJ, Ho SY, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A**. Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with
confidence. *PLoS Biol* 2006;4(5):e88.
77.   **Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, Shapiro B, Pybus OG**. Bayesian coalescent inference of past
population dynamics from molecular sequences. *Mol Biol Evol* 2005;22(5):1185-1192.
78.   **R Core Team**. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing version 4.1.2. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; 2021. https://www.R-project.org/.
79.   **Wickham H**. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*: Springer-Verlag New York;
2016.
80.   **Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TT-Y**. ggtree: an r package for visualization and
annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods in*
Ecology and Evolution 2017;8(1):28-36.
81.   **Yu G, Lam TT, Zhu H, Guan Y**. Two Methods for Mapping and Visualizing Associated
Data on Phylogeny Using Ggtree. *Mol Biol Evol* 2018;35(12):3041-3043.
82.   **R Hackathon**. phylobase: Base Package for Phylogenetic Structures and Comparative
Data version 0.8.10. 2021. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=phylobase.
83.   **Yu G**. treeio: Base Classes and Functions for Phylogenetic Tree Input and Output version
1.18.1. 2021. https://guangchuangyu.github.io/software/treeio.
84.   **Revell LJ**. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 2012;3(2):217-223.
85.   **Seemann T**. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics*
2014;30(14):2068-2069.
86.   **Tonkin-Hill G, MacAlasdair N, Ruis C, Weimann A, Horesh G et al**. Producing polished
prokaryotic pangenomes with the Panaroo pipeline. *Genome Biol* 2020;21(1):180.
87.   **Katoh K, Standley DM**. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 2013;30(4):772-780.
88.   **Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T**. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple
sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002;30(14):3059-3066.
89.   **Collins RE, Higgs PG**. Testing the infinitely many genes model for the evolution of the
bacterial core genome and pangenome. *Mol Biol Evol* 2012;29(11):3413-3425.
90.   **Baumdicker F, Hess WR, Pfaffelhuber P**. The infinitely many genes model for the
distributed genome of bacteria. *Genome Biol Evol* 2012;4(4):443-456.

91.   **Zamani-Dahaj SA, Okasha M, Kosakowski J, Higgs PG**. Estimating the Frequency of
Horizontal Gene Transfer Using Phylogenetic Models of Gene Gain and Loss. *Mol Biol Evol*
2016;33(7):1843-1857.
92.   **Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernandez-Plaza A, Forslund SK et al.** eggNOG
5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based on
5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019;47(D1):D309-D314.
93.   **Cantalapiedra CP, Hernandez-Plaza A, Letunic I, Bork P, Huerta-Cepas J**. eggNOG-
mapper v2: Functional Annotation, Orthology Assignments, and Domain Prediction at the
Metagenomic Scale. *Mol Biol Evol* 2021;38(12):5825-5829.
94.   **Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y**. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful
Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B (Methodological)*
1995;57(1):289-300.
95.   **Collins C, Didelot X**. A phylogenetic method to perform genome-wide association
studies in microbes that accounts for population structure and recombination. *PLoS Comput*
Biol 2018;14(2):e1005958.
96.   **Vangay P, Fugett EB, Sun Q, Wiedmann M**. Food microbe tracker: a web-based tool for
storage and comparison of food-associated microbes. *J Food Prot* 2013;76(2):283-294.
97.   **Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S et al.** Roary: rapid large-scale
prokaryote pan genome analysis. *Bioinformatics* 2015;31(22):3691-3693.
98.   **Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P et al.** vegan: Community
Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-7. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan. 2021.
99.   **Kuhbacher A, Cossart P, Pizarro-Cerda J**. Internalization assays for *Listeria*
monocytogenes. *Methods Mol Biol* 2014;1157:167-178.
100.   **Horlbog JA, Kent D, Stephan R, Guldimann C**. Surviving host - and food relevant
stresses: phenotype of *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from food and clinical sources. *Sci Rep*
2018;8(1):12931.
101.   **Fausa O**. Duodenal bile acids after a test meal. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1974;9(6):567-570.
102.   **Guariglia-Oropeza V, Orsi RH, Guldimann C, Wiedmann M, Boor KJ**. The *Listeria*
monocytogenes Bile Stimulon under Acidic Conditions Is Characterized by Strain-Specific
Patterns and the Upregulation of Motility, Cell Wall Modification Functions, and the PrfA
Regulon. *Front Microbiol* 2018;9:120.
103.   **Carroll LM, Pierneef R, Mathole M, Matle I**. Genomic Characterization of Endemic and
Ecdemic Non-typhoidal *Salmonella enterica* Lineages Circulating Among Animals and Animal
Products in South Africa. *Front Microbiol* 2021;12:748611.
104.   **Simon NC, Aktories K, Barbieri JT**. Novel bacterial ADP-ribosylating toxins: structure and
function. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2014;12(9):599-611.
105.   **Guiney DG, Fierer J**. The Role of the *spv* Genes in *Salmonella* Pathogenesis. *Front*
Microbiol 2011;2:129.
106.   **Spano S, Ugalde JE, Galan JE**. Delivery of a *Salmonella* Typhi exotoxin from a host
intracellular compartment. *Cell Host Microbe* 2008;3(1):30-38.
107.   **Pollard DJ, Young JC, Covarelli V, Herrera-Leon S, Connor TR et al.** The Type III
Secretion System Effector SeoC of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *salamae* and *S. enterica* subsp.
arizonae ADP-Ribosylates Src and Inhibits Opsonophagocytosis. *Infect Immun*
2016;84(12):3618-3628.

108. **Gaballa A, Cheng RA, Harrand AS, Cohn AR, Wiedmann M**. The Majority of Typhoid
Toxin-Positive *Salmonella* Serovars Encode ArtB, an Alternate Binding Subunit. *mSphere*
2021;6(1).
109. **Fowler CC, Stack G, Jiao X, Lara-Tejero M, Galan JE**. Alternate subunit assembly
diversifies the function of a bacterial toxin. *Nat Commun* 2019;10(1):3684.
110. **Flint A, Butcher J, Stintzi A**. Stress Responses, Adaptation, and Virulence of Bacterial
Pathogens During Host Gastrointestinal Colonization. *Microbiol Spectr* 2016;4(2).
111. **Burgess CM, Gianotti A, Gruzdev N, Holah J, Knochel S et al.** The response of foodborne
pathogens to osmotic and desiccation stresses in the food chain. *Int J Food Microbiol*
2016;221:37-53.
112. **Horn N, Bhunia AK**. Food-Associated Stress Primes Foodborne Pathogens for the
Gastrointestinal Phase of Infection. *Front Microbiol* 2018;9:1962.
113. **Hofmann RR**. Evolutionary steps of ecophysiological adaptation and diversification of
ruminants: a comparative view of their digestive system. *Oecologia* 1989;78(4):443-457.
114. **McCann JC, Wickersham TA, Loor JJ**. High-throughput Methods Redefine the Rumen
Microbiome and Its Relationship with Nutrition and Metabolism. *Bioinform Biol Insights*
2014;8:109-125.
115. **Kalmokoff ML, Cyr TD, Hefford MA, Whitford MF, Teather RM**. Butyrivibriocin AR10, a
new cyclic bacteriocin produced by the ruminal anaerobe *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* AR10:
characterization of the gene and peptide. *Can J Microbiol* 2003;49(12):763-773.
116. **Oyama LB, Girdwood SE, Cookson AR, Fernandez-Fuentes N, Prive F et al.** The rumen
microbiome: an underexplored resource for novel antimicrobial discovery. *NPJ Biofilms*
Microbiomes 2017;3:33.
117. **Deriu E, Liu JZ, Pezeshki M, Edwards RA, Ochoa RJ et al.** Probiotic bacteria reduce
salmonella typhimurium intestinal colonization by competing for iron. *Cell Host Microbe*
2013;14(1):26-37.
118. **Singh A, Barnard TG**. Adaptations in the physiological heterogeneity and viability of
Shigella dysenteriae, *Shigella flexneri* and *Salmonella* typhimurium, after exposure to simulated
gastric acid fluid. *Microb Pathog* 2017;113:378-384.
119. **Smith JL**. The role of gastric acid in preventing foodborne disease and how bacteria
overcome acid conditions. *J Food Prot* 2003;66(7):1292-1303.
120. **Foster JW, Hall HK**. Adaptive acidification tolerance response of *Salmonella*
typhimurium. *J Bacteriol* 1990;172(2):771-778.
121. **Koutsoumanis KP, Sofos JN**. Comparative acid stress response of *Listeria*
monocytogenes, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* Typhimurium after habituation at
different pH conditions. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2004;38(4):321-326.
122. **Torres MA, Terraf MCL, Minahk CJ, Delgado MA**. Stability of the *Salmonella*
Typhimurium rcsC11 mutant under different stress conditions. *Microbiology (Reading)*
2020;166(2):157-168.
123. **Hofmann AF, Hagey LR**. Bile acids: chemistry, pathochemistry, biology, pathobiology,
and therapeutics. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2008;65(16):2461-2483.
124. **Begley M, Gahan CG, Hill C**. The interaction between bacteria and bile. *FEMS Microbiol*
Rev 2005;29(4):625-651.

125.   **Merritt ME, Donaldson JR**. Effect of bile salts on the DNA and membrane integrity of
enteric bacteria. *J Med Microbiol* 2009;58(Pt 12):1533-1541.
126.   **Prieto AI, Ramos-Morales F, Casadesus J**. Bile-induced DNA damage in *Salmonella*
enterica. *Genetics* 2004;168(4):1787-1794.
127.   **Prieto AI, Ramos-Morales F, Casadesus J**. Repair of DNA damage induced by bile salts in
Salmonella enterica. *Genetics* 2006;174(2):575-584.
128.   **Hernandez SB, Cota I, Ducret A, Aussel L, Casadesus J**. Adaptation and preadaptation of
Salmonella enterica to Bile. *PLoS Genet* 2012;8(1):e1002459.
129.   **Walawalkar YD, Vaidya Y, Nayak V**. Response of *Salmonella* Typhi to bile-generated
oxidative stress: implication of quorum sensing and persister cell populations. *Pathogens and*
Disease 2016;74(8):ftw090.
130.   **Di Lorenzo P**. usmap: US Maps Including Alaska and Hawaii version R package version
0.6.0. 2022. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=usmap.
1000

TABLES

Table 1. Presence and absence of *artAB*, *gogB*, and Gifsy-1 among the U.S., Scottish, and global DT104 data sets, plus all data sets combined.

Data Set(s)	Host(s)	Total # of Genomes	*artAB* Present (%)[a]	*gogB* Present (%)[a]	Gifsy-1 Present (%)[b]
U.S. [c]					
	All	230	177 (77.0%)	180 (78.3%)	180 (78.3%)
	Bovine	168	150 (89.3%)	153 (91.1%)	153 (91.1%)
	Human	62	27 (43.5%)	27 (43.5%)	27 (43.5%)
Scottish [d]					
	All	243	240 (98.8%)	240 (98.8%)	144 (59.3%)
	Bovine	82	82 (100.0%)	82 (100.0%)	48 (58.5%)
	Human	161	158 (98.1%)	158 (98.1%)	96 (59.6%)
Global [e]					
	All	290	271 (93.4%)	271 (93.4%)	265 (91.4%)
Combined					
	All	752	678 (90.2%)	681 (90.6%)	579 (77.0%)

[a] Identified using nucleotide BLAST (blastn; default settings, with no minimum identity or coverage threshold employed).

[b] Identified using the PHASTER webserver; Gifsy-1 regions annotated as "intact", "incomplete" or "questionable" were considered to be "present" in a genome.

[c] Refers to the set of 219 U.S. human- and bovine-associated DT104 genomes acquired as described previously [24] and characterized here, plus 11 bovine- and human-associated U.S. DT104 genomes from the global DT104 data set.

[d] Refers to a set of 243 Scottish human- and bovine-associated DT104 genomes sequenced and characterized previously [36].

[e] Refers to a set of 290 DT104 genomes collected from various sources around the world, which were sequenced and characterized previously [13].

Table 2. Location of *artAB* and *gogB* in DT104 genomes within the U.S., Scottish, and global DT104 data sets, plus all data sets combined.

Genes[a]	Data Set (# of Genomes with Gene/ Total # of Genomes; %)	Within Gifsy-1[b]		Within Gifsy-2[b]		Within *Salmonella* phage 118970_sal3[b]		Outside of Annotated Prophage Regions (%)[b,c]
		Intact (%)[c]	Incomplete (%)[c]	Intact (%)[c]	Incomplete (%)[c]	Intact (%)[c]	Incomplete (%)[c]	
artAB								
	U.S.[d] (177/230; 77.0%)	177 (100.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
	Scottish[e] (240/243; 98.8%)	21 (8.8)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	219 (91.3)
	Global[f] (271/290; 93.4%)	263 (97.0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	6 (2.2)
	Combined (678/752; 90.2%)	451 (66.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0.3)	0 (0)	225 (33.2)
gogB								
	U.S.[d] (180/230; 78.3%)	51 (28.3)	75 (41.7)	1 (0.6)	2 (1.1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	51 (28.3)
	Scottish[e] (240/243; 98.8%)	50 (20.8)	85 (35.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	105 (43.8)
	Global[f] (271/290; 93.4%)	11 (4.1)	67 (24.7)	2 (0.7)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	190 (70.1)
	Combined (681/752; 90.6%)	112 (16.4)	223 (32.7)	3 (0.4)	3 (0.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	340 (49.9)

[a] Identified using nucleotide BLAST (blastn; default settings, with no minimum identity or coverage threshold employed).

[b] Identified using the PHASTER webserver; "intact" refers to prophage classified by PHASTER as "intact", while "incomplete" encompasses prophage classified as "incomplete" or "questionable".

[c] Percentages in parentheses were calculated relative to the "# of genomes with gene" value in the "Data Set" column used as a denominator.

[d] Refers to the set of 219 U.S. human- and bovine-associated DT104 genomes acquired as described previously [24] and characterized here, plus 11 bovine- and human-associated U.S. DT104 genomes from the global DT104 data set.

[e] Refers to a set of 243 Scottish human- and bovine-associated DT104 genomes sequenced and characterized previously [36].

[f] Refers to a set of 290 DT104 genomes collected from various sources around the world, which were sequenced and characterized previously [13].

FIGURES

Figure 1. Geographic and source origins (i.e., human or bovine) of (A) all 230 human- and bovine-associated United States (U.S.) DT104 genomes queried in this study, and (B) 42 Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*-negative genomes assigned to the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade. U.S. states shown in gray did not contribute any genomes to the respective data set. The U.S. state that contributed the most genomes to its respective data set is labeled. The figure was created using BioRender (https://biorender.com/) and the "plot_usmap" function in the usmap version 0.6.0 R package [130].

Figure 2. Bayesian time-scaled phylogeny constructed using 146 human- and bovine-associated DT104 genomes collected in the United States (U.S.). Tip label colors denote the isolation source reported for each genome (human or bovine in pink and blue, respectively). The heatmap to the right of the phylogeny denotes the presence and absence of (i) selected virulence factors (dark and light pink, respectively) and (ii) prophage (dark and light green, respectively). The U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade is denoted by the bright purple bar; light purple shading around the node of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade denotes the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) interval, in which Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* were predicted to have been lost. The phylogeny was constructed and rooted using BEAST2. Time in years is plotted along the X-axis, while branch labels correspond to posterior probabilities of branch support (selected for readability). For extended versions of this figure, see Supplementary Figures S2-S4.

Figure 3. Coalescent Bayesian Skyline plot constructed using 146 U.S. bovine- and human-associated *S.* Typhimurium DT104 genomes. Effective population size and time in years are plotted along the Y- and X-axes, respectively. The median effective population size estimate is denoted by the solid black line, with upper and lower 95% highest posterior density (HPD) interval bounds denoted by gray shading. The interval shaded in light blue and bounded by dashed vertical lines denotes the time interval in which Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* were predicted to have been lost by the common ancestor of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade (corresponding to the years 1985.0 and 1987.2, denoted by turquoise and pink dashed lines, respectively). The dotted turquoise and pink vertical lines correspond to the 95% HPD interval lower and upper bounds for Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* loss among members of the U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade (corresponding to the years 1979.0 and 1992.1, respectively).

Figure 4. Time-scaled maximum likelihood (ML) phylogeny constructed using the combined 752-genome DT104 data set. Tip label colors denote the study with which each genome is affiliated. The heatmap encompassing the phylogeny denotes the presence and absence of selected virulence factors and intact prophage. The ML phylogeny was constructed using IQ-TREE and rooted and time-scaled using LSD2. Branch lengths are reported in years. For an extended version of this figure, see Supplementary Figure S9.

Figure 5. Response of DT104 isolates ($n = 6$) to environmental stress factors correlated with the presence of Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB*. Base-ten logarithmic fold change (FC) was calculated as follows: FC = log CFU/g at the start of the experiments – log CFU/g after the stress assay. (A) (A) Log FC of DT104 inoculated into ruminal fluid at high (10^8 CFU/mL; "High") or low (10^5 CFU/mL; "Low") bacterial numbers. (B) Log FC of DT104 isolates exposed to inorganic acid stress (pH 3.5) with or without a prior adaption step with an intermediate pH (pH 5.5). (C) Log FC of DT104 isolates after exposure to bile salt at two concentrations.

A.

U.S. DT104 genomes
(full data set; *n* = 230)

Washington (*n* = 99)

Number of Genomes

75
50
25

n = 62   *n* = 168

B.

U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade
(*n* = 42)

Pennsylvania (*n* = 22)

Number of Genomes

20
15
10
5

n = 30   *n* = 12

Clade Annotations

U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade

U.S. *artAB*-negative major clade Gifsy-1/*artAB*/*gogB* loss event

Tip Labels: Source

Bovine

Human

Heatmap

Virulence Factor Absent

Virulence Factor Present

Prophage Absent

Prophage Present

artA   *artB*   *gogB*   Gifsy-1   Gifsy-2

Year

Tree scale: 10

artAB
Absent
Present

gogB
Absent
Present

Gifsy-1
Absent
Present

Gifsy-2
Absent
Present

***Salmonella* phage 118970_sal3**
Absent
Present

Tip Labels: Study
U.S. (This study)
Scotland
Global

Year

118970_sal3
Gifsy-2
Gifsy-1
gogB
artAB

A. Ruminal Fluid

B. Acid Stress
Prior Adaptation

C. Bile Stress