Influence of circular RNA topology on Target RNA-Directed MicroRNA Degradation

Federico Fuchs Wightman[1, 2*], Jerónimo Lukin[3, 4*], Sebastián Giusti[3], Michael Soutschek[5, 6], Laureano Bragado[1, 2], Berta Pozzi[1, 2, 7], Paula González[8], Juan P. Fededa[8], Gerhard Schratt[5, 6], Rina Fujiwara[9], Jeremy E. Wilusz[9], Damián Refojo[3#] & Manuel de la Mata[2, 10#]

[1]Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales.

[2]CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires, Instituto de Fisiología, Biología Molecular y Neurociencias (IFIBYNE), Buenos Aires, Argentina.

[3]Instituto de Investigación en Biomedicina de Buenos Aires (IBioBA) - CONICET - Partner Institute of the Max Planck Society, Godoy Cruz 2390, C1425FQD Buenos Aires, Argentina.

[4]Present address: Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA.

[5]Lab of Systems Neuroscience, D-HEST Institute for Neuroscience, ETH Zürich, Switzerland.

[6]Neuroscience Center Zurich, ETH Zurich and University of Zurich, Switzerland.

[7]Previous address: Institute of Cell Biology, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

[8]Instituto de Investigaciones Biotecnológicas "Dr. Rodolfo A. Ugalde", IIB-UNSAM, IIBIO-CONICET, Universidad Nacional de San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

[9]Verna and Marrs McLean Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Therapeutic Innovation Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas, USA.

[10]Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales. Departamento de Fisiología, Biología Molecular y Celular.

[*]Contributed equally.

[#]Correspondence: drefojo@ibioba-mpsp-conicet.gov.ar, mmata@fbmc.fcen.uba.ar.

Abstract

A subset of circular RNAs (circRNAs) and linear RNAs have been proposed to "sponge" or block microRNA activity. Additionally, certain RNAs induce microRNA destruction through the process of

Target RNA-Directed MicroRNA Degradation (TDMD), but whether both linear and circular transcripts are equivalent in driving TDMD is unknown. Here we show that RNA topology significantly influences TDMD. Consistent with previous knowledge that *Cdr1as (ciRS-7)* circular RNA protects miR-7 from Cyrano-mediated TDMD, we demonstrate that depletion of *Cdr1as* reduces miR-7 abundance. In contrast, overexpression of an artificial linear version of *Cdr1as* drives miR-7 degradation. Using plasmids that express a circRNA with minimal co-expressed cognate linear RNA, we show differential effects on TDMD that cannot be attributed to the nucleotide sequence, as the TDMD properties of a sequence often differ between its circular and linear forms. By analysing RNA sequencing data of a neuron differentiation system, we further detect potential effects of circRNAs on microRNA stability. Our results support a model in which circRNAs have a topology-dependent effect on TDMD, either avoiding or triggering it on specific microRNAs.

Keywords: circRNA/microRNA/TDMD

Introduction

Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are long known regulatory RNAs that have gained increasing attention since the first reports that highlighted their high diversity and abundance (Memczak *et al*, 2013; Hansen *et al*, 2013; Salzman *et al*, 2013; Jeck *et al*, 2013). CircRNAs are covalently closed structures that originate from pre-mRNA backsplicing and therefore lack a poly-A tail and 5'-cap. As these terminal modifications are the entry points for the microRNA (miRNA) effector machinery (Bartel, 2018; Jonas & Izaurralde, 2015), circRNAs seem largely immune to posttranscriptional degradation by miRNAs. Current knowledge points towards a diversity of both nuclear and cytoplasmic functions for different individual circRNAs, and several findings have suggested that some circRNAs regulate gene expression by working as miRNA "sponges" (Chen, 2020; Xiao *et al*, 2020; Hanan *et al*, 2020).

Whether circRNAs act on miRNAs by blocking their ability to bind linear mRNA targets, affecting their stability, or a combination of both remains to be determined. In particular, it is unclear whether some circRNAs are active in driving Target Directed MicroRNA Degradation (TDMD), a mechanism that has emerged as central in affecting miRNA turnover (Ameres *et al*, 2010; Cazalla *et al*, 2010; Marcinowski *et al*, 2012; Li *et al*, 2021b; Fuchs Wightman *et al*, 2018). During TDMD, targets with

extensive base-pair complementarity towards the 3' end of the miRNA –i.e. displaying no more than 3

mismatches in addition to a central bulge– lead to miRNA degradation, reversing the logic of

canonical miRNA target silencing in which the target RNA is degraded. TDMD induces a

conformational change on Argonaute (AGO) proteins that leads to their poly-ubiquitination and

degradation, rendering the loaded miRNAs unprotected and susceptible to degradation by general

nucleases (Shi *et al*, 2020; Han *et al*, 2020). Unlike sponging, TDMD-inducing targets act catalytically

even at sub-stoichiometric levels, resulting in the most selective and potent miRNA degradation

mechanism described to date. This phenomenon indeed seems to explain the half-lives of most

naturally unstable miRNAs (de la Mata *et al*, 2015; Denzler *et al*, 2016; Kleaveland *et al*, 2018; Shi *et al*,

2020). A priori, the circular topology of circRNAs should not represent an impediment to regulating

miRNAs through TDMD, and the high stability of circRNAs could even be an advantage for this activity.

On the other hand, previous reports have shown that extended miRNA:target basepairing *per se* is not

enough to trigger TDMD and that miRNA binding must also occur within a conformationally flexible

region of the target for TDMD to be active (Pawlica *et al*, 2016; Li *et al*, 2021b; Fuchs Wightman *et al*,

2018). This property could be affected by RNA topology. However, to date, no circRNA has been

described to drive TDMD and the only available evidence indicates that circRNAs might instead lead

to miRNA stabilization (Piwecka *et al*, 2017; Chen *et al*, 2019).

CircRNAs are typically coexpressed with their cognate linear RNAs from a common host gene.

However, the circRNA:linear RNA ratio occurs in different proportions, with a subset of circRNAs

reaching higher levels than their cognate linear isoforms (Chen, 2020; Yang *et al*, 2022; Xiao *et al*,

2020). To reveal insights into circRNA-specific functions and mechanisms, a plethora of publications

have relied on circRNA overexpression using various inverted repeat-containing vectors (Zhang *et al*,

2014; Conn *et al*, 2015; Liang & Wilusz, 2014; Kramer *et al*, 2015; Li *et al*, 2017, 90; Liu *et al*, 2019;

Guarnerio *et al*, 2019; Memczak *et al*, 2013; Hansen *et al*, 2013). Yet, the capability of plasmid-based

methods to overexpress exogenous circRNAs free from overlapping, "leaky" linear RNA expression

remains questionable. Thus, attributing any observed effects to the overexpressed circRNA while not

rigorously controlling for the potential role of the undesired coexpressed linear transcripts represents

a potential pitfall (Pamudurti *et al*, 2017; Kristensen *et al*, 2019; Dodbele *et al*, 2021; Chen, 2020; Chu *et*

al, 2021; Ho-Xuan *et al*, 2020).

A key remaining question in the field is whether the circular nature of circRNAs is intrinsically or

mechanistically linked to their molecular functions. In this study, we aimed to elucidate whether linear

and circular target topologies function differently to affect miRNA stability and function. We initially

examined the well described *Cdr1as (ciRS-7)*-miR-7-Cyrano network of noncoding RNAs, where the

lncRNA Cyrano destabilizes miR-7-5p through TDMD (Kleaveland *et al*, 2018). We confirmed that the

circRNA *Cdr1as* protects miR-7-5p (Piwecka *et al*, 2017), while expression of an artificially linear version

of *Cdr1as* surprisingly triggers TDMD. We found that linear *Cdr1as* is unable to rescue loss of the

endogenous circRNA, suggesting that the circular topology of *Cdr1as* is crucial for avoiding TDMD.

Using a strategy that allows expression of an artificial circRNA with limited expression of the

counterpart linear transcript, we show that circular and linear transcripts differ in their TDMD

properties. Analogous to *Cdr1as*, one of the tested artificial circular RNAs failed to induce TDMD in

neurons while a different artificial circRNA induced TDMD in a cell line, with their respective

counterpart linear RNAs each behaving differently in both cases. Our data also present the first

evidence that circRNAs are capable of effectively triggering TDMD. Moreover, through a

computational analysis, we propose that certain circRNA-miRNA interactions might lead to topology-

dependent effects on miRNA stability, representing a potentially active mechanism during neuron-like

differentiation.

Results

Circular topology of *Cdr1as* is necessary to protect miR-7 from TDMD

In order to study whether circular topology plays a role in TDMD, we studied the case of the

Cdr1as-miR-7 interaction (Hansen *et al*, 2011, 2013; Memczak *et al*, 2013). To that end, we designed

tools to manipulate endogenous *Cdr1as* levels in rodent primary neurons (Fellmann *et al*, 2013). A

lentiviral vector was engineered to express a shRNAmiR (sh*Cdr1as*) against *Cdr1as* (Figure EV1A) that

was then transduced into primary neurons at high efficiency. *Cdr1as* was effectively knocked down

(Figure 1A, EV1B) and miR-7 levels were reduced (Figure 1B), which is in line with previous evidence

(Piwecka *et al*, 2017). *Cdr1as* therefore does not induce TDMD but instead helps stabilize this miRNA.

To determine whether the observed effect was dependent on the circular topology of *Cdr1as*, we

attempted to rescue *Cdr1as* knockdown with the expression of an artificial linear version of *Cdr1as* –

linCdr1as– lacking the shCdr1as target site. Interestingly, the decrease in miR-7 abundance caused by the knockdown of endogenous *Cdr1as* could be neither rescued nor significantly enhanced by co-expressing the linear *Cdr1as*, even though the artificial lin*Cdr1as* reached expression levels similar to those of endogenous *Cdr1as* in control cells (Figure 1A-B). Remarkably, expressing lin*Cdr1as* alone – without knocking down endogenous *Cdr1as*– caused a significant destabilization of miR-7 (Figure 1C-D), consistent with TDMD being driven by the RNA expressed in an artificial linear topology. Importantly, the linear lin*Cdr1as* did not induce significant variation in the abundance of endogenous circular *Cdr1as* (Figure EV1C). To exclude the possibility that miR-7 downregulation was a consequence of changes in its transcription and/or maturation upon transduction of lin*Cdr1as*, we measured the abundance of its primary transcript (pri-miR-7a-1), passenger strands (miR-7a-1-3p and miR-7a-2-3p) and two (2) additional control miRNAs (miR-9 and -132). Our results confirmed that the guide strand miR-7-5p, but neither the primary transcript/passenger strands nor the other control miRNAs, undergo degradation upon introduction of the linear version of Cdr1as (Figure 1D, EV1D). This result also confirms that the reduction in miR-7 is a post-transcriptional effect occurring after miRNA processing and is therefore compatible with active TDMD triggered by the linCdr1as construct (Figure EV1D). Careful inspection of the miR-7 sites present in *Cdr1as* showed that some of them indeed exhibit base pairing complementarity compatible with a TDMD-competent architecture (Figure EV1E) (Fuchs Wightman *et al*, 2018).

As an orthogonal strategy to reduce *Cdr1as* levels and rule out potential off target or indirect effects caused by the shCdr1as, we used CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing to mutate the splicing sites of the endogenous *Cdr1as* gene (Figure EV1F). Despite an overall lower efficacy in *Cdr1as* knockdown compared to the shCdr1as, we observed a similar effect on miR-7 levels, consistent with *Cdr1as* being unable to induce TDMD on miR-7 and instead leading to its stabilization (Figure EV1G-H).

Overall, our results show that endogenous *Cdr1as* is unable to trigger TDMD on miR-7 but rather stabilizes this miRNA. Nonetheless, when expressed as an artificially linear RNA, it can engage in miR-7 degradation through TDMD, supporting the notion that the natural circular/linear topology, and not just the nucleotide sequence of a RNA target, is a crucial determinant for engaging in such type of regulation.

Addition of microRNA perfect target sites in flanking introns improves the specificity of artificial circRNA expression from expression vectors

In order to study whether the differences in efficacy of circular and linear RNAs on TDMD are a general phenomenon, we sought to set up a system capable of expressing either artificial linear transcripts or circRNAs of identical primary sequences (Figure 2A). Both the linear and circular RNAs contain binding sites against candidate miRNAs with TDMD-competent sequence complementarity or seed-mutant controls (Figure EV2A). To express the circRNA, we flanked the region to be circularized with splice sites and flanking introns from a naturally circularized RNA (human ZKSCAN1 exons 2 and 3) that contain Alu reverse complementary sequences (RCS) that drive backsplicing (Figure 2A) (Liang & Wilusz, 2014). In order to preferentially enrich the expression of the circular over the linear variant in neurons, we further introduced perfectly matched sites against a highly expressed neuron-specific miRNA (miR-124) in the downstream intron that is lost after production of the backspliced circular product (Figure 2A). These miRNA sites remain in the linear RNA isoform, thus rendering the linear – but not the circular– RNA form susceptible to AGO2 slicing. In parallel, we expressed a linear transcript with identical sequence to the circRNA-expressing construct, but lacking the splice sites and flanking introns that induce circularization (Liang & Wilusz, 2014) (Figures 2A and EV2A). The latter constructs have proved effective in triggering TDMD in primary neurons (de la Mata *et al*, 2015). All constructs were packed into lentiviral vectors and used to transduce mouse primary neurons.

In order to validate that the constructs expressed a single circRNA species with covalently linked ends, we performed Northern blot analysis of RNase R treated or untreated samples from HEK293T transfected cells –a cell line where miR-124 is not endogenously expressed (Figure EV2B). We observed that circRNA-expressing constructs bearing either perfect or seed-mutant sites for miR-124 produced two bands: an RNase R-resistant circRNA and an RNase R-sensitive linear RNA form. This result confirms the circular topology for the artificially expressed circRNA (Figure EV2B and D). Importantly, quantification of northern blot bands correlated well with RT-qPCR measurements using primers that detected the circular isoform exclusively (Figure 2A, primer pair #1) or both the linear and circular isoforms combined –hereafter referred to as Total Output (TO)– (Figure 2A, primer pair #2), further validating the latter method for subsequent analysis (Figure EV2C). We confirmed usage of the appropriate back-splicing junction with two reverse transcriptase enzymes (MMLV-RT and Superscript

II), thereby further ruling out the likelihood of artifacts due to template switching during cDNA

synthesis (Figure EV2E).

To validate that our strategy was successful at expressing a circRNA while selectively degrading its

counterpart linear transcript by means of miR-124, we transduced the circRNA-expressing constructs

into mouse primary neurons. We observed a potent (3-4 fold) degradation of "leak" linear RNA

without affecting circRNA abundance (Figure 2B). Furthermore, circRNAs were produced exclusively

from the circRNA-expressing construct and not from the linear RNA-expressing construct (TDMD

inducer), while both constructs produced equivalent total output levels (Figure 2C). A similar result

was observed in HEK293T cells when the miR-124 sites were replaced with a perfect match against

miR-92a, a highly expressed miRNA in this cell line. Under these conditions, a 2-fold reduction of the

linear RNA was observed without affecting circRNA levels (Figure EV2F). Altogether, these results

confirm that our system is effective in expressing circRNAs while reducing the abundance of their

cognate linear RNAs, making it a generally useful tool in experiments aimed at dissecting circRNA

function.

CircRNA-expressing constructs reveal topology-dependent effects on TDMD

Using the constructs described above, we next explored whether linear and circular target

topologies differently affect miRNA stability through TDMD in neurons, a cell type with potent TDMD

activity (de la Mata *et al*, 2015). To this end, we transduced primary neurons with either linear or

circRNA expression constructs bearing TDMD-competent (bulged) or seed-mutant binding sites

against miR-132. The linear TDMD inducer was capable of effectively destabilizing miR-132, but the

circRNA-expressing construct showed no effect on miRNA stability (Figure 3A) even when both

constructs were expressed at similar overall levels (Figure 2C). Based on the performance of the

circRNA-expressing construct in neurons (i.e. 3-4 fold specific reduction of linear RNA by-products and

similar total transcript levels), we estimate that circRNA levels account for up to 30-50% of the total

RNA expressed (compared to the ca. 25% in HEK293T cells with no endogenous miR-124). With this

technical limitation in mind, we conclude that the artificial circRNA appears unable to contribute to

TDMD and may even antagonize the TDMD effect driven by its co-expressed linear counterpart

(Figures 2C and 3A). We confirmed that miR-132 downregulation by the linear TDMD inducer was not

a consequence of changes in transcription and/or maturation of the targeted miRNA by showing that

the abundance of the primary transcript (pri-miR-132) and passenger strand (miR-132-5p) of miR-132

remained unchanged (Figure EV3A). The reduction of the miR-132 guide strand was thus a bona fide

post-transcriptional effect occurring after miR-132 processing and is therefore consistent with TDMD.

We further examined the specificity of the linear TDMD inducer and confirmed that the levels of four

additional unrelated mature miRNAs (miR-124, -128, -138 and -409) were not significantly affected

(Figure EV3A).

Since the lack of TDMD could be attributed to the inability of the circular RNA to bind to the RISC

complex, we performed an RNA immunoprecipitation experiment (RIP) by specifically pulling-down

AGO2 and isolating all copurifying RNA species. We co-transfected HEK293T cells with FLAG/HA-

AGO2, the different circRNA-expressing constructs and a vector for pri-miR-132 (otherwise absent in

HEK293T cells), followed by anti-FLAG RIP and RT-qPCR analysis. The artificial circRNA was effectively

pulled down with AGO2 when carrying bulged sites for miR-132, but not when the sites were mutated

at the miRNA seed-binding region (Figures 3B and EV3B-C). This thus demonstrated that the circular

RNA is indeed able to specifically bind to the RISC complex.

We next reasoned that the observed differences could be the trivial consequence of differential

localization of the circRNA relative to the linear isoform. To exclude this possibility, we performed

subcellular fractionation and observed that the artificial circRNA accumulated in the cytoplasm at

similar proportions relative to the linear control, with the former being only slightly lower in the

nuclear compartment (Figures 3C and EV3D-E). We conclude that the inability of the circRNA to trigger

TDMD is not related to it being retained in the nucleus.

The behaviour observed for the circRNA tested up to this point led us to ask whether avoiding

TDMD was a general phenomenon. To answer this, we generated additional constructs that express

circRNAs of different sizes from a different backbone based on *Drosophila laccase2* introns which have

been previously shown to produce high levels of circRNAs (Kramer *et al*, 2015) (Figure 4A). Following a

similar approach as described above (Figure 2A), we introduced a perfectly matched site in the

downstream intron against a highly expressed miRNA in HEK293T cells (miR-92a) in order to

selectively degrade the linear RNA isoform that can be co-expressed from the vector. In parallel, we

also generated the corresponding linear RNA-expressing controls. Within both the circularized region

and linear RNAs, we introduced TDMD-competent (bulged) or seed-mutant binding sites against miR-

218, a miRNA of low/intermediate endogenous expression in HEK293T cells. Due to the presence of a

segment from the poly A signal, the linear RNAs are expected to be slightly longer than their circular

equivalents.

When transfected into HEK293T cells, the respective constructs conveniently produced essentially

only circular or linear RNAs (Figures 4B and EV4A). The different gel migration patterns observed were

consistent with differences in both topology and size, with the linear RNA consisting of a slightly

longer sequence due to the polyadenylation signal and the poly-A tail. Remarkably, while both

circular and linear long RNAs (1408 and ca. 1570 nt respectively) induced miR-218 degradation, only

the circular –but not the linear– short RNA (367 and ca. 480 nt respectively) induced TDMD (Figure 4C).

Importantly, neither construct significantly affected the primary transcripts of miR-218 (pri-miR-218-1

and -2) nor an unrelated miRNA (miR-9-5p), consistent with a post-transcriptional TDMD effect of the

construct acting on miR-218 (Figure EV4B).

Overall, this result was in clear contrast to the previous one shown in neurons, where only the

linear RNA –but not the circular RNA– induced TDMD (Figure 3). Nevertheless, despite the different

effect directions observed for the circRNA-miRNA pairs in the different cell types, our results strongly

favour the idea that target RNA topology influences TDMD efficacy.

CircRNAs potentially stabilize dozens of microRNAs across neuron-like differentiation

Based on our results, we hypothesized that circRNAs might possess the ability to influence miRNA

stability by either driving or evading TDMD. Yet, whether this type of regulation could be a

widespread phenomenon was unclear. To explore this possibility, we analysed available sequencing

data of miRNA, circRNA and mRNA expression from hESC H9 cells in the undifferentiated state as well

as when they have differentiated into forebrain (FB) neuron progenitor cells (Chen *et al*, 2015; Zhang

et al, 2016). A significant proportion of circRNAs are known to be regulated along neuron

differentiation –with upregulation being more frequent than downregulation (You *et al*, 2015; Rybak-

Wolf *et al*, 2015). Concomitantly, neuron-specific miRNAs are known to become more susceptible to

degradation in more mature neurons (Krol *et al*, 2010), thus enabling a potential scenario in which

circRNAs act by selectively regulating miRNA stability. In order to consider only biochemically

supported circRNA-miRNA interactions, we used CLIP-Seq experimentally supported mRNA-miRNA,

lncRNA-miRNA and circRNA-miRNA interaction networks catalogued in the STARBASE v3/ENCORI

database (Yang *et al*, 2011; Li *et al*, 2014) as a proxy for bona fide interactions.

We first analyzed whether the abundance of specific miRNAs across the neuron-like differentiation

process was dependent on the extent of pairing offered by circRNAs. To this end, we initially

computed the total number of specific miRNA sites contributed by all circRNAs weighed by circRNA

levels before differentiation (hereafter referred to as "miRNA-specific effective sites" on circRNAs, see

materials and methods and Dataset EV1). We observed that miRNA fold changes across differentiation

show no apparent correlation with the number of miRNA-specific effective sites on all circRNAs (Figure

5A). To then obtain a stoichiometrically relevant estimate of the relative circRNA pairing to which each

miRNA is subjected at the onset of differentiation, we further weighted the miRNA-specific effective

sites to each miRNA's abundance before differentiation (Figure 5B, ranked in Dataset EV1). According

to this analysis, the most highly paired miRNAs, corresponding to those potentially interacting with

multiple and/or highly expressed circRNAs, are significantly upregulated across differentiation relative

to the least paired miRNAs (Figure 5B-C).

We next searched for additional evidence in support of a stabilizing effect of circRNAs which could

account for the observed differences in mature miRNA fold changes across quartiles of increasing

relative pairing to circRNAs. We estimated changes in pri-miRNA abundance as a proxy for changes in

transcription levels of the respective miRNAs across differentiation. Interestingly, while we observed a

positive correlation between mature miRNA and pri-miRNA fold changes, the correlation became

weaker for the most highly paired miRNAs (Figure 5D). By fitting the data to a multiple regression

model with interaction, we found that the fold change in mature miRNA levels for the more highly

paired miRNAs (quartile "+++ paired") seems differentially dependent on the fold change of pri-

miRNA levels relative to the least paired miRNAs (quartile paired) (i.e., the slope changes by ≈2 fold, p-

value for the interaction term = 0.0354) (Figure 5D). While this analysis showed that changes in mature

miRNA levels are to a large extent explained by changes in transcription of their host genes, the

impaired correlation and difference in slope observed for miRNAs in the most highly paired quartile

are consistent with an effect on stability that cannot be solely explained by changes in their

transcription rates. In sum, although we cannot rule out the possibility that the more highly

upregulated miRNAs are more efficiently processed from their pri-miRNAs than the less upregulated

ones, our analysis argues for a post-transcriptional effect of circRNAs on miRNA stability acting in

parallel to –but independently of– changes in miRNA transcription. This effect may occur through the

concerted interaction of individual miRNAs with highly expressed circRNAs (one or multiple) across

differentiation.

We next followed a complementary approach from a circRNA perspective, asking whether the

extent of pairing offered by each circRNA, namely their ability to simultaneously interact with different

miRNAs across differentiation, could impact their own abundance. To that end, we calculated a

"circRNA-specific pairing capacity" index of each individual circRNA based on the total number of sites

(for all miRNAs) present on the circRNA weighed by circRNA levels before differentiation (see materials

and methods and Dataset EV1). We observed that an increasing "pairing capacity" index did not

correlate with changes in circRNA fold changes across differentiation, which is consistent with the

notion that circRNAs are not subjected to regulation by miRNAs (Figure 5E). The collection of miRNAs

and circRNAs interacting across this neuron-like differentiation process includes more than a hundred

miRNAs and dozens of circRNAs. In fact, by focusing on the circRNAs predicted to be most highly

paired, this analysis suggests that circRNAs with the highest pairing capacity for miRNAs lie at highly

connected nodes within a complex network, further supporting the view that some individual

circRNAs might act as potential scaffolds for multiple miRNAs (Figure EV5A).

Predicted binding of miRNAs to circRNAs through TDMD-like interactions

Previous knowledge indicates that a limiting number of bona fide TDMD sites –present even at

sub-stoichiometric levels– can act catalytically to outcompete the tens of thousands of canonical

miRNA binding sites present in the transcriptome (de la Mata *et al*, 2015; Denzler *et al*, 2016;

Kleaveland *et al*, 2018; Shi *et al*, 2020). This might seem at odds with our results indicating those TDMD

sites can be either antagonized or outcompeted by a few thousand binding sites present on a subset

of circRNAs. Conceivably, this conundrum could be explained if TDMD-like architectures were

enriched on circRNAs themselves. In this scenario, the ability of circRNAs to resist canonical miRNA-

mediated target degradation would render the TDMD-like sites present on circRNAs more effective in

either competing against or triggering TDMD compared to other sites present on linear RNAs. To test

this hypothesis, we searched for TDMD-like architectures within circRNAs (Figure EV6A) and linear

mRNAs using a TDMD-site prediction tool (Soutschek *et al*, 2022). Considering that most circRNAs are

expressed from protein coding genes (Guo *et al*, 2014), we analysed the overlapping genomic regions

(5'UTR, CDS, 3'UTR) of such genes only. Since most natural circRNAs are considered to be either not

translated or only poorly translated via low efficiency cap-independent mechanisms (Yang *et al*, 2022),

we assumed that they would be in principle largely available for miRNA pairing irrespective of the

genomic region of origin due to little interference by translating ribosomes. This was further

supported by the fact that we found no association between overall miRNA-pairing and the genomic

region circRNAs originate from (Figure EV5D). We therefore analyzed circRNAs originating from exons

of all genomic regions within genes. On the other hand, for linear RNAs, we considered only 3'-UTRs

within the corresponding protein-coding genes as those are the gene regions where most productive

miRNA pairing occurs. Sites in 5'-UTRs and ORFs are ineffective as miRNAs appear to quickly dissociate

due to displacement by the ribosome during scanning and elongation, respectively (Grimson *et al*,

2007; Gu *et al*, 2009). According to our analysis, the most highly paired miRNAs are predicted to

interact through TDMD-like interactions with both linear and circRNA at a higher proportion than the

least paired miRNAs, and with an overrepresented proportion of interactions on circRNAs. From this

analysis, we conclude that TDMD-like sites on circRNAs may be particularly effective in affecting

miRNA stability across differentiation (Figure 6A-B and EV6B-C).

Discussion

A largely unresolved question in the field is whether circRNA topology –i.e., their circular nature– is

intrinsically relevant for them to exert their molecular actions. Alternatively, circRNAs might have been

evolutionarily selected merely based on their resistance to exonucleases that confer them special

stability properties. Most experimental approaches have largely relied on knocking down or

overexpressing circRNAs in different organisms or cultured cells, and undesirable artifacts can

commonly act as confounding factors in the interpretation of the results. In particular, overexpression

of circRNAs often suffers from a major caveat related to the co-expression of unwanted linear RNA

species from commonly used expression vectors. This type of problem can easily lead to conclusions

where functions are wrongly assigned to circRNAs under circumstances where the associated linear

transcripts are the true functional molecules (Dodbele *et al*, 2021; Ho-Xuan *et al*, 2020; Chu *et al*, 2021).

We have attempted to address this issue by designing a strategy that allows us to express circRNAs

while keeping expression of the counterpart linear product to a minimum. A similar strategy was

previously reported in a different context with the goal of restricting expression of transgenes to

specific tissues (Brown *et al*, 2007). In our hands, the approach proved to work as an efficient tool to

deliver high levels of circRNAs while limiting the expression of the linear RNA derived from the

circRNA construct (Figures 2, EV2F).

We have exploited this tool to gain further insights into the well-known role of circRNAs in

affecting miRNA function. Despite being neither prevalent nor unique to circRNAs as a class, the

capacity to inhibit or "sponge" miRNA silencing activity has been the most extensively documented

function of circRNAs (Chen, 2020; Dodbele *et al*, 2021). In spite of this, a clear picture of the mechanism

by which some circRNAs act on miRNAs is still missing. For instance, it remains unclear whether

circRNAs act on miRNAs simply by blocking their function and/or by affecting their stability. It has

been speculated that circRNAs might trigger TDMD similarly to some linear RNAs, but this premise

was never formally and experimentally tested to date (Gasparini *et al*, 2020). Our data suggest that

circular and linear RNAs carrying identical sequences differ in their TDMD properties. This is largely

recapitulated by the endogenous circRNA *Cdr1as* which cannot trigger TDMD on miR-7 except when

artificially expressed as a linear RNA.

Although our results do not formally prove it, the topology effects of *Cdr1as* on miR-7 stability

might be explained by the properties of the *Cdr1as*-miR-7-Cyrano network. Accordingly, the

stabilization of miR-7 might emerge from the inability of *Cdr1as* to drive TDMD in combination with its

ability to bind and compete for miR-7, ultimately shielding the exceptionally potent TDMD activity

driven by Cyrano on miR-7 (Kleaveland *et al*, 2018). A similar reasoning might apply to the fact that the

artificial (linear) lin*Cdr1as*, when expressed in combination with knockdown of endogenous (circular)

Cdr1as, does not produce any additional reduction of miR-7 abundance. Under these conditions, an

unleashed Cyrano-driven TDMD activity acting on miR-7 might reach a limit in miR-7 degradation rate

which cannot be further enhanced by an additional TDMD-competent target such as lin*Cdr1as* (Figure

1). In contrast, in the presence of the *Cdr1as* circular RNA, the system would be set to intermediate

miR-7 degradation rates which could be further enhanced by other TDMD-competent RNAs such as

lin*Cdr1as* (see model in Appendix Figure S1). Notably, a similar protection phenomenon has been

observed in a prostate cancer cell line, where knockdown of circ*CSNK1G3* bearing TDMD-like binding

sites for miR-181b/d decreased the abundance of these microRNAs, while circ*CSNK1G3* overexpression

increased it (Chen *et al*, 2019) (Figure S2F).

The molecular basis explaining the functional differences between circRNAs and their cognate

linear transcripts in triggering TDMD might relate to structural properties affected by the circular-

linear topology. Previous work has shown that circRNAs possess a greater tendency to fold into more

stable short local secondary structures compared to their linear counterpart transcripts (Liu *et al*,

2019). This may affect the flexibility of the miRNA binding region, a key factor for TDMD activity

(Pawlica *et al*, 2016; Li *et al*, 2021b; Fuchs Wightman *et al*, 2018), either enhancing or limiting TDMD

efficacy in different sequence contexts. In line with this idea, binding of TDMD-competent target RNAs

appears to drive a conformational change on AGO proteins (loaded with specific miRNAs) that leads to

their poly-ubiquitination by the ubiquitin ligase ZSWIM8 and its subsequent degradation. This would

leave the specific miRNAs unprotected and susceptible to degradation by general nucleases (Shi *et al*,

2020; Han *et al*, 2020). The more stable folding of circRNAs could either preclude or enhance the

conformational change of AGO, thus bypassing or boosting TDMD, respectively. Such folding might

also limit the length-dependent effects of circRNA relative to linear RNA (Figure 4) by reducing their

range of possible adopted conformations. This would be in line with our results showing that circRNA

length had no influence on their impact on miRNA stability (Figure 4) or the degree of pairing to

miRNAs (Figure EV5C) and with a recent report suggesting that circRNAs might bind to miRNAs more

efficiently than their cognate linear RNAs (Petkovic *et al*, 2021). Alternatively, whether the effect of

topology leads to reduced or enhanced TDMD activity might relate to specific sequence elements that

are located outside of the miRNA site *per se* for efficient triggering of TDMD (Lee *et al*, 2013;

Kleaveland *et al*, 2018; Han *et al*, 2020; Li *et al*, 2021b). Such sequences might be absent, more exposed

or simply occluded within the more highly structured circRNAs, possibly explaining the differences in

TDMD relative to their linear counterparts.

The topology-dependent effects on TDMD could also relate to the presence of a 5'-cap and a poly-

A tail. However, the latter seems dispensable for TDMD based on the fact that HSUR-1, which lacks a

poly-A tail, effectively drives TDMD on miR-27 (Cazalla *et al*, 2010; Pawlica *et al*, 2016). Likewise, by

showing that circRNAs can induce TDMD, our data present the first evidence that the 5'-cap is also

dispensable for this activity and that TDMD is not an exclusive property of linear RNAs.

Interactions between miRNAs and competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) have received broad

attention in recent years as they might represent a mechanism of miRNA inhibition (Tay *et al*, 2014).

However, due to stoichiometry considerations, the likelihood that individual ceRNAs titrate the total

amount of miRNA available for target repression seems limited (Denzler *et al*, 2014; Jens & Rajewsky,

2015; Bosson *et al*, 2014; Pinzón *et al*, 2017; Denzler *et al*, 2016). Instead, models where multiple

ceRNAs regulate single miRNAs have been favoured (Ameres & Zamore, 2013; Dodbele *et al*, 2021).

The case of *Cdr1as*-miR-7 pairing might represent an outstanding example that functions in an

analogous way. *Cdr1as* is a highly expressed circRNA in human, rat and mouse brain with > 60

evolutionarily conserved miR-7 binding sites (Memczak *et al*, 2013; Hansen *et al*, 2013), significantly

exceeding the average number of sites annotated for circRNAs (based on Starbase/ENCORI database,

see Dataset EV2). On the other hand, miR-7 tends to display medium to low expression, resulting in a

Cdr1as-miR-7 stoichiometry that is compatible with *Cdr1as* protection of this miRNA. Therefore, our

results favour a model where the concerted interaction of multiple circRNAs with individual miRNAs

seems the most likely and relevant scenario in regulating miRNA stability. Interestingly, among the

miRNAs that are upregulated upon ZSWIM8 knockdown in mouse induced neurons (Shi *et al*, 2020),

two belong to the most highly circRNA-paired miRNAs according to our analysis (miR-7 and also miR-

409-3p), suggesting that such type of regulation might be acting in neuron differentiation and

possibly in pathophysiological conditions (Figure EV5B).

An increasing number of TDMD natural examples have arisen in the past few years, including both

endogenous and viral transcripts (Ameres *et al*, 2010; Cazalla *et al*, 2010; Bitetti *et al*, 2018; Ghini *et al*,

2018; Li *et al*, 2021b; Simeone *et al*, 2022; Kingston *et al*, 2022). Furthermore, the discovery that TDMD

is more widespread than initially thought suggests that more examples will be discovered (Shi *et al*,

2020; Han *et al*, 2020). In this scenario, the apparent immunity of circRNAs to miRNA-mediated

degradation could confer them an advantage in regulating miRNA stability even (or especially) when

involving highly complementary TDMD-compatible architectures that would lead to target

degradation in the context of linear RNAs. Our findings support this view by suggesting that the most

highly paired miRNAs seem to engage in TDMD-like interactions with circRNAs more frequently than

the least paired miRNAs. Accordingly, by binding miRNAs through TDMD-like architectures, certain

combinations of circRNAs might either stabilize or degrade specific miRNAs even when expressed at

an overall sub-stoichiometric level relative to the whole set of linear targets present in the cell. This

type of regulation could in turn be compatible with a potential reversibility of a subset of circRNA's

inhibitory function on miRNAs.

A yet unresolved aspect of the role of circRNAs in regulating miRNAs relates to our inability to

predict their outcome on canonical miRNA silencing activity. Different outcomes may be expected

depending on the binding site architectures and the relative stoichiometries of the molecules

involved. For instance, miR-7 stabilization by *Cdr1as* in primary neurons and mouse cortex leads to

greater average target repression (Appendix Figure S2A-C), which is consistent with increased miRNA

abundance. In contrast, miR-181b/d stabilization by circ*CSNK1G3* leads to the opposite outcome: a

decreased overall target repression despite increased miRNA abundance (Appendix Figure S2D-E).

Based on this, a direct correlation between the eventual stabilization of miRNAs by circRNAs and their

ensuing downstream effect on miRNA canonical silencing cannot be currently established,

highlighting the need for further dissecting the role of circRNAs on miRNA target repression.

Eventually, more in-depth knowledge of the players involved, their relative stoichiometries and

dynamics will help us understand the emergent properties arising from different systems and the full

potential and adaptive value of circRNAs in miRNA regulation.

Limitations of the study

In this study, we have generated a set of vectors capable of expressing a circRNA with reduced

levels of linear "leak" RNA that is otherwise inevitably produced from these kinds of constructs. The

principle works in our hands, but the net circRNA-to-linear RNA relative expression yield that we

obtain is still suboptimal for the circRNA-expressing construct bearing ZKSCAN1 introns tested in

neurons. Bearing this limitation in mind, our data support the notion that the circRNA levels that we

achieve with this construct are enough to prevent TDMD, either by not contributing to any additional

TDMD effect and/or by antagonizing the TDMD driven by its co-expressed linear counterpart. The

opposite is true for the circRNA-expressing construct bearing *Lacasse2* introns tested in HEK293T cells.

We are aware that both technical and biological differences might account for the observed

differences.

It is important to stress that the diverse properties of different circRNAs might determine their

ability to affect TDMD, including but not limited to circRNA modifications (RNA methylation, etc) and

specific secondary structures, none of which have been systematically addressed in our work.

Likewise, more studies will be required to determine if the predominant effect of circRNAs is towards

blocking or enhancing TDMD.

Furthermore, we have shown that a group of miRNAs with high predicted pairing to circRNAs is

preferentially upregulated across neuron-like differentiation. It is worth emphasizing that this

correlation does not imply causality and that functional experiments will be needed in the future to

validate this possibility. Finally, the enrichment of TDMD-like sites that we report in this study is based

solely on predicted –though not experimentally validated– sites using the scanMiR bioinformatic tool

(Soutschek *et al*, 2022).

Materials and methods

Plasmid construction

Unless otherwise specified, the lentiviral vectors are based on pRRLSIN.cPPT.SYN.WPRE (de la Mata

et al, 2015).

Linear *Cdr1as* (lin*Cdr1as*) was amplified by PCR from rat genomic DNA (see primers at Table EV1).

Following gel purification (QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit) and cloning into pCR II-Blunt-TOPO

(ThermoFisher), it was then subcloned into pRRLSIN.cPPT.SYN.WPRE. The linear *Cdr1as* version lacking

the sh*Cdr1as* target site –lin*Cdr1as*[671−] was generated by PCR amplification of a *Cdr1as* fragment

lacking a 174-bp 3'-terminal segment that encompasses the miR-671 binding site, and re-cloning it

into the original backbone's BamHI-SalI sites (Table EV1). The linear transcript used as a negative

control consists of GFP expressed from pRRLSIN.cPPT.PGK-GFP.WPRE (Addgene plasmid 12252).

The pri-miR-132 and pri-miR-124 expressing constructs were made by amplifying the pri-miRNA

fragments (Table EV1) from miRNASelectTM pEGP-mmu-mirna expression vectors (Cell Biolabs) and

subsequently cloning them into the BamHI-BsrGI sites of pRRLSIN.cPPT.SYN.WPRE.

The shRNAmiR (sh*Cdr1as*) is an engineered version miR-671 –previously described as a natural

Cdr1as regulator (Piwecka *et al*, 2017; Kleaveland *et al*, 2018)– designed to be fully complementary to

the circRNA and maximizing its slicing capacity (Figure EV1A). The sh*Cdr1as* lentiviral vector was

constructed by replacing the pri-miRNA fragments from the previously described vectors using

BamHI-NheI (Table EV1), and inserting a synthetic DNA (gBlock gene fragment, IDT) by Gibson

Assembly (Gibson *et al*, 2009).

CircRNA-expressing constructs were constructed inserting gBlocks (Integrated DNA Technologies)

encompassing the ZKSCAN1 upstream and downstream introns (Liang & Wilusz, 2014) flanking

mCherry and bulged or seed-mutant miR-132 sites (de la Mata *et al*, 2015) downstream of a

Tetracycline-inducible promoter (TREp, see Figure 2A and Table EV1). Perfect or seed-mutant sites for

miR-124 were subsequently inserted downstream or the circularizable region (Figure EV2A). Linear

TDMD inducers expressing mCherry upstream of bulged or seed-mutant miR-132 sites were

previously described (de la Mata *et al*, 2015). To replace the miR-124 sites by miR-92a sites, we

introduce a g-block with the relevant sequence into the BsrGI-SalI sites using Gibson Assembly

(Gibson *et al*, 2009) (for the g-block sequence see Table EV1).

Constructs expressing artificial circRNAs of different sizes are based on the pcDNA3.1(+) Laccase2

MCS Exon Vector (Addgene 69893). We inserted a perfectly matched or seed-mutant site for miR-92a

using the NotI and ApaI sites located between the Laccase2 intron and the poly(A) site (see oligos in

Table EV1). For the long circRNA, a BamHI-NotI fragment with split-GFP circRNA from the pcDNA3.1(+)

Laccase2 MCS-UNC circGFP (kindly provided by the Wilusz Lab) was inserted into the previous

constructs. Finally, an insert obtained by assembly PCR with miR-218 sites (TDMD-competent or seed-

mutant) was introduced into the BsrGI site located between the end of GFP and the IRES (see oligos in

Table EV1). The miR-218 site was based on the naturally occurring TDMD site in TRIM9, together with

the 20 nucleotides flanking it (Li *et al*, 2021a). The linear controls were generated by introducing the

corresponding PCR products into the NheI-XbaI sites of pcDNA3.1(+) (see oligos in Table EV1). To

generate the shorter circRNA and corresponding linear control, we amplified a shorter version of the

circRNA comprising half of GFP and a part of the IRES (see oligos in Table EV1) and inserted it into the

AgeI-SacII or NheI-XbaI sites of the circular and linear long constructs respectively. All constructs were

sequenced to verify splicing and miRNA binding sites integrity.

The lentiviral vector driving expression of FLAG/HA-AGO2 (human) from the Syn promoter (pLV-

FLAG-HA_AGO2) was generated by amplifying FLAG/HA-AGO2 from pIRESneo-FLAG/HA AGO2

(Addgene plasmid 10822).

The construct for CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing of *Cdr1as* splicing sites is based on lentiCRISPRv2

(Addgene plasmid 52961), following the Zhang Lab protocol (Sanjana *et al*, 2014; Shalem *et al*, 2014)

(Primers in Table EV1).

HEK293T culture and transient transfection

HEK293T cells were available in our institute. Cells were tested for mycoplasma contamination and

only clean stocks were further used for our experiments. Cells were grown in DMEM-F12 (Gibco)

supplemented with 10% (v/v) FCS and 25 U/mL Penicillin-Streptomycin (Gibco) and were plated for

transfection at 100,000 cells/well on 24-well plates. One day after plating, cells were transfected using

the PEI method as previously described (de la Mata *et al*, 2015).

Lentivirus production and transduction

Recombinant lentiviral particles were produced in HEK293T cell line. Cells were co-transfected

using the PEI method with the lentiviral expression vector and two 2nd generation lentiviral

packaging vectors: pMD2.G expressing the VSV-G envelope gene (Addgene plasmid 12259) and

pCMVR8.74 expressing the gag/pol genes (Addgene plasmid 22036). The supernatants containing the

viral particles were collected 48–72 h after transfection, concentrated using centrifugal filter units

(Amicon Ultra-15, molecular weight cutoff 100 kDa, Millipore Cat. #UFC910024) as further checked as

previously described (de la Mata *et al*, 2015).

Animals used in this study

All animal tissues used in this study were obtained under experiment protocol no. No.2020-04-DR

with the approval from the Comisión Institucional para el Cuidado y Uso de los Animales de

Laboratorio (CICUAL) at the Instituto de Investigación en Biomedicina de Buenos Aires (IBioBA) –

CONICET – Partner Institute of the Max Planck Society.

Neuronal cultures and lentiviral transduction

Cortical and hippocampal neurons were dissected from embryonic day 16.5 and 18.5 (E16.5 and

E18.5), respectively, CD1 embryos of mixed sex. Culture preparation was performed as previously

described (Giusti *et al*, 2014; Vogl *et al*, 2015). Briefly, cortex from CD1 mouse embryos were dissected

and a neuronal suspension was prepared through Trypsin digestion and mechanical disruption of the

tissue. Neurons were plated in 24 multi-well plates at a density of 80cells/mm2 (150.000 cells per well)

and maintained in Neurobasal-A media (ThermoFisher) with 2% B27 and 0.5 mMGlutaMAX-I

(ThermoFisher) at 37 °C and 5% CO2. CD1 mice for neuronal cultures were provided by our Specific

Pathogen Free Animal Facility.

The euthanasia of the animals to generate primary neuronal cultures was performed under

experiment protocol no. 2020-04-DR which was evaluated by the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee of the IBioBA-CONICET according to the Principles for Biomedical Research involving

animals of the Council for International Organizations for Medical Sciences and provisions stated in

the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Neurons were transduced 4-7 days after plating (DIV4-7) with lentiviral constructs: lin*Cdr1as*[671-],

sh*Cdr1as*, the linear control or a combination of these, appropriately described in Results and Figures.

The vectors driving each of the circRNA-expressing constructs were transduced in combination with a

lentiviral construct expressing the tetracycline-controlled transactivator protein (LV-Syn-tTA). RNA was

extracted at DIV11 as indicated below.

FLAG/HA-AGO2 transfection and immunoprecipitation (AGO2 RIP)

The FLAG/HA-AGO2 expressing plasmid was transfected into HEK293T cells as described above.

Immunoprecipitation of FLAG/HA-AGO2 was performed with Anti-FLAG M2 Magnetic Beads (Sigma

Cat # M8823). Beads were washed twice with TBS buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4). For

each immunoprecipitation (IP), one 6-cm plate with 50% confluency was used. Cells were washed

once with cold PBS and lyzed in 500 µl of lysis buffer [50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150mM NaCl, 1% (v/v)

TRITON X-100, 1 mM EDTA, containing protease inhibitors (cOmplete, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor

Cocktail, Roche) and RNase inhibitor (Invitrogen)]. The lysates were incubated 30 minutes on ice,

cleared by centrifugation at 16,000 g for 10 minutes at 4 degrees and mixed with the washed beads.

After 2 hours of rotation at 4 degrees, the beads were washed three times with TBS buffer. As a control

for the IPs, non-transfected HEK293T cells were used. FLAG/HA-AGO2 expression and

immunoprecipitation efficiency were determined by Western blot using anti-HA antibody (clone 3F10,

Roche). RNA was extracted by adding Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) directly on the beads.

Subcellular fractionation

Briefly, the circRNA-expressing construct and the linear control were transfected into HEK293T cells

as described above, in 6-well plates at 50% confluency. After 48 hours, cells were harvested using 500

µl of PBS, transferred to a microcentrifuge tube and centrifuged at 500 g for 5 minutes. The

supernatant was discarded, and the pellet resuspended in 350 µl of PBS plus 0.1% NP-40 (IGEPAL). 150

µl were separated and called TOTAL fraction. The remaining volume was centrifuged for 10 seconds

at 10,000 rpm. 150 µl of the supernatant were separated and called CYTOPLASM fraction. The pellet

was resuspended in 150 µl of PBS plus 0.1% NP-40 (IGEPAL) and centrifuged again at 10,000 rpm. The

supernatant was discarded, and the pellet resuspended in 150 µl of PBS plus 0.1% NP-40 (IGEPAL).

This was called the NUCLEAR fraction.

Out of the 150 µl of each fraction, 75 µl were used for Western Blotting and 75 µl for RNA

extraction followed by reverse transcription and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR).

RNA extraction

Total RNA extractions were made using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's

instructions.

RT-qPCR quantification

MiRNA and *U6* expression levels were determined by using Taqman® microRNA Assays (Applied

Biosystems) following the manufacturer's instructions or using SYBR Green and step-loop RT-qPCR

(see Table EV3 for oligo design). MicroRNA levels were normalized to *U6* RNA levels. Standard curves

for the analysed miRNAs and *U6* RNA were performed with serial dilutions of selected cDNAs, allowing

calculation of relative abundances. For quantification of target mRNAs, the artificial circRNA and

Cdr1as, total RNA was treated with RNase-free DNase I (DNA-freeTM Kit, Ambion) and reverse

transcribed using random hexamers and SuperScriptTM II Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) or MMLV-

RT (Sigma), following the manufacturer's instructions. The abundance of target mRNAs, artificial

circRNA and *Cdr1as* were determined by SYBR green qPCR using a custom-made qPCR mix (Table EV2)

and specific primers (detailed at Table EV3). Alternatively, INBIO highway qPCR SYBR green mix was

used (Ref M130). Standard curves for the analysed amplicons were performed with serial dilutions of

selected cDNAs, allowing calculation of relative abundances.

Northern blot analysis

Northern blot analysis was performed according to standard procedures (Roditi *et al*, 1987). A total

of 10 µg RNA was loaded in each lane. The radioactively labelled probe, corresponding to the mCherry

CDS fragment, was prepared using the Megaprime DNA labelling kit (Amersham Biosciences)

according to manufacturer's instructions. Alternatively, biotin-labeled oligonucleotide probes were

used together with chemiluminescent nucleic acid detection kits according to manufacturer's

instructions (Thermo Scientific). The 18S RNA from the agarose gel run was used as loading control.

Blots were hybridized at 65° and washed in 0.2× SSC/0.1% SDS. The blots were exposed to

Phosphorimager screens and scanned with Typhoon FLA 7000 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) or

exposed to X-ray film. The relative intensities of the bands were measured by densitometry using

ImageJ.

Western blot analysis

Protein samples were separated on 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred to PVDF

membranes. Membranes were incubated with primary antibodies: anti-HA 3F10 (Rat, 1:2500), anti-

Tubulin (polyclonal rabbit anti β Tubulin H-235 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, 1:2500) and Histone-3

(polyclonal rabbit anti H3 H-0164 from Merk, 1:2500). After washing, membranes were incubated with

IRDye® 800CW (LI- COR Biosciences) secondary antibodies. Bound antibody was detected an Odyssey

imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences).

Statistical analysis

R programming language was used to process information, visualize and design graphs, and

perform statistical tests. Data was normalized and scaled across experiments using Unit Length

Normalization. Bar, line, scatter, dot and boxplots were designed using the ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016)

and ggpubr packages. Statistical tests were done using base R and/or ggpubr (and its complement

rstatix) (https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/index.html). For experiments where two conditions

were compared, we performed unpaired t-tests, and for those where multiple comparisons were

made, we used the Bonferroni correction (ns: $p > 0.05$, *: $p <= 0.05$, **: $p <= 0.01$, ***: $p <= 0.001$, ****:

$p <= 0.0001$). For comparisons involving multiple groups we used either ANOVA followed by Tukey

multiple   comparisons,   Generalized   Linear   Models   (GLM)   with   emmeans

(https://github.com/rvlenth/emmeans), or Wilcoxon rank sum test p-values (corrected with the

Hochberg method for multiple comparisons) as indicated in the text. When comparing several miR-7

targets across conditions, we performed a two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey multiple comparisons,

showing the adjusted p-value.

Bioinformatic analysis of circRNA-miRNA interactions

Two publicly available datasets produced by the Chen Lab were combined to generate a

comprehensive analysis taking into account miRNA, circRNA and mRNA expression during

differentiation of H9 (hESC) cells to H9 forebrain neurons. From GEO Accession GSE73325 (Zhang *et al*,

2016), we obtained data for circRNA and mRNA expression. From GEO Accessions GSE56152 and

GSE63709 (Chen *et al*, 2015), we obtained data for microRNA expression. Noteworthy, although the

same procedure for differentiation was followed (Zhang *et al*, 2016; Chen *et al*, 2015), sequencing was

done on different days (D26 and D35, respectively). We realize this is suboptimal, nevertheless we

proceeded with the analysis considering this the best data available to approach our questions.

Data of the interactions between circRNAs and miRNAs was retrieved from Starbase/ENCORI v3

database (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn; (Yang *et al*, 2011; Li *et al*, 2014)). This particular source was

selected because it combines site prediction by several programs with experimental validation (e.g.,

by CLIP). The main parameters chosen for downloading through their API were: assembly = hg19,

geneType= circRNA & miRNA, clipExpNum=1, program= all, programNum= 2, target= all and

cellType= all.

A condensed spreadsheet summarizing all the previously mentioned data can be found at Dataset

EV3.

The analysis was done in R, briefly:

•   The expression tables were loaded and merged using the Tidyverse package (Wickham *et al*,

2019) and/or the readxl package (Wickham & Bryan, 2022), while the circRNA-miRNA interactions

table was joined using the Fuzzyjoin package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=fuzzyjoin) to

consider genomic locations of both the circRNAs and the miRNA sites.

• Log_2 fold changes across differentiation were calculated for each type of RNA.

Analysis from a miRNA perspective:

•   An absolute number of validated miRNA-specific sites on every circRNA (when present) was

calculated.

•   A relative number of effective miRNA-specific sites was calculated by weighing the above

number (i.e. the absolute number of miRNA-specific sites on every circRNA) to the number of

backspliced junction reads as an estimate of the contribution of each circRNA.

•   A total number of effective sites was calculated per miRNA (referred to as "miRNA-specific

effective sites" later on) by summing all the effective miRNA-specific sites among all circRNAs,.

•   A miRNA-specific Pairing coefficient was defined by dividing the above number ("miRNA-specific

effective sites") by the miRNA abundance pre-differentiation. To simplify the analysis, we

separated miRNAs in quartiles of increasing pairing coefficients and performed the downstream

analysis based on them.

Analysis from a circRNA perspective:

• The sum of all (i.e. pooling all miRNAs) absolute numbers of validated miRNA sites on each

circRNA (when present) was calculated.

• The "pairing capacity" index for each circRNA was calculated by multiplying the above number to

the number of junction reads pre-differentiation.

All graphs were programmed and illustrated using the packages ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016), ggrepel

(https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggrepel)   and/or   viridis   (https://CRAN.R-

project.org/package=viridis). The network diagram (Figure EV5A) was done using IGraph package

(https://igraph.org/r/). Statistical analysis of the boxplots was done and added to the graphs using

ggpubr (https://rpkgs.datanovia.com/ggpubr/index.html).

For pri-miRNA analysis we used the Galaxy platform (The Galaxy platform for accessible,

reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2022 update., 2022). Briefly, raw reads extracted

from GSE73325 were trimmed using Trim Galore! (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) and

mapped to the human reference genome (hg38) with the RNA-STAR aligner (Dobin *et al*, 2013). Pri-

miR counts were obtained from the mapped BAM files using featureCounts (Liao *et al*, 2014) and the

annotation file (has.gff3) retrieved from miRBase (Kozomara *et al*, 2019).

Bioinformatic prediction of TDMD-like sites on circRNAs

To predict TDMD-like sites on circRNAs, we used the scanMiR package (Soutschek *et al*, 2022).

Briefly, all reported human circRNA sequences were retrieved from circBase (Glažar *et al*, 2014) and

used as an input for the *findSeedMatches* function from scanMiR to predict TDMD-like sites for every

miRNA present. The data was filtered to keep only those sites on circRNAs that had at least one

junction read in the neuron-like differentiation data (see above). Finally, we calculated the proportion

of miRNAs with predicted TDMD-like sites (computed as those with at least one TDMD-like site on

circRNAs/linear RNAs) within each of the quartiles of increasing pairing coefficients. Fisher's Exact

tests between each quartile and the least paired quartile ("- paired") were performed to assess

enrichment. The ggpie and rstatix packages were used to generate the pie charts and the ggpubr

package to do the violin plot and t-tests.

Bioinformatic analysis of miR-7 and miR-181b/d targets

Targets for miR-7 were retrieved from TargetScan 7.1 mouse (Agarwal *et al*, 2015) while the ones for miR-181b/d from TargetScan 7.2 human (Agarwal *et al*, 2015). Raw RNA-seq counts were downloaded for *Cdr1as* KO data (Piwecka *et al*, 2017) and circCSNK1G3 KD (Chen *et al*, 2019), accessions GSE93130 and GSE113124, respectively. A differential expression analysis was performed using the DESeq2 package (Love *et al*, 2014). Graphs were made using the results of the analysis and the packages mentioned above.

Data availability

Scripts and functions used to produce the results and plots shown in this paper can be found at:

https://github.com/mmataLab/ciR-miR-stability

Acknowledgements

We thank Isabel Roditi for providing materials and discussing experiments, Helge Grosshans**,** Alberto R. Kornblihtt, and Javier Cáceres for a critical reading of the manuscript, Pierre-Luc Germain for a critical reading and general discussion of the manuscript, Valeria Buggiano for technical assistance and Luciana Giono for creating figure illustrations. M.d.l.M. is funded by grants from Agencia Nacional de Promocion Cientifica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT) of Argentina (PICT- 2016-0499**,** PICT-2018-0478_PRH 2016-0002 and PICT-2020-SERIEA-03100). D.R. acknowledges the support of the Volkswagen, Stiftung, the Max Planck Society, the Fondo para la Convergencia Estructural de Mercosur (COF 03/11), the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT) of Argentina (PICT- 2019-0499 and PICT-PRH 2014-3782) and the Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva of Argentina (MinCyT-BMBF AL15/10). J.P.F. is funded by grants from Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva of Argentina (MINCyT) (BMBF/MINCYT MIGRAMIRNA AI/17/05), Agencia Nacional de Promocion Cientifica y Tecnologica (ANPCyT) of Argentina (PICT-2017-2401, PCE-GSK-2017-0052), Glaxo-SmithKline (PCE-GSK-2017-0052) and Fundación Progreso de la Medicina (GF N03/2017). J.E.W. is supported by National Institutes of Health grant R35-GM119735 and Cancer Prevention & Research Institute of Texas grant RR210031. J.E.W. is a CPRIT Scholar in Cancer Research. F.F.W. received a Ph.D.

744 fellowship from the National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET) of Argentina and an

745 IUBMB Wood-Whelan short-term research fellowship to visit the Wilusz lab.

Author contributions

F.F.W., D.R. and M.d.l.M. conceived the project and designed and interpreted the experiments.

F.F.W. and J.L. designed, performed and interpreted most of the experiments. F.F.W. conceived,

performed and interpreted most of the computational analysis. M.d.l.M. conceived, performed and

interpreted some of the computational analysis. G.S. and M.S. contributed with the use of the scanMiR

package to obtain the TDMD-like site enrichment results and discussed strategies for data analysis. J.L.

and S.G. handled animals and prepared the neuron primary cultures. L.B. performed the subcellular

fractionation experiments. F.F.W., B.P. and R.F. performed the Northern blot analysis. P.G. performed

the subcloning of some of the constructs used in the study. J.P.F. designed some of the experiments

and discussed experimental strategies. J.E.W., D.R. and M.d.l.M. supervised the whole project. The

manuscript was written by F.F.W., D.R. and M.d.l.M.

Conflict of interest

760 J.E.W. serves as a consultant for Laronde.

References

Agarwal V, Bell GW, Nam JW & Bartel DP (2015) Predicting effective microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *eLife* 4: 1–38

Ameres SL, Horwich MD, Hung J-H, Xu J, Ghildiyal M, Weng Z & Zamore PD (2010) Target RNA-directed trimming and tailing of small silencing RNAs. *Science* 328: 1534–1539

Ameres SL & Zamore PD (2013) Diversifying microRNA sequence and function. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 14: 475–488

Bartel DP (2018) Metazoan MicroRNAs. *Cell* 173: 20–51

Bitetti A, Mallory AC, Golini E, Carrieri C, Carreño Gutiérrez H, Perlas E, Pérez-Rico YA, Tocchini-Valentini GP, Enright AJ, Norton WHJ, *et al* (2018) MicroRNA degradation by a conserved target RNA regulates animal behavior. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 25: 244–251

Bosson AD, Zamudio JR & Sharp PA (2014) Endogenous miRNA and target concentrations determine susceptibility to potential ceRNA competition. *Mol Cell* 56: 347–359

Brown BD, Gentner B, Cantore A, Colleoni S, Amendola M, Zingale A, Baccarini A, Lazzari G, Galli C & Naldini L (2007) Endogenous microRNA can be broadly exploited to regulate transgene expression according to tissue, lineage and differentiation state. *Nat Biotechnol* 25: 1457–1467

Cazalla D, Yario T & Steitz JA (2010) Down-regulation of a host microRNA by a Herpesvirus saimiri noncoding RNA. *Science* 328: 1563–1566

Chen L-L (2020) The expanding regulatory mechanisms and cellular functions of circular RNAs. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 21: 475–490

Chen S, Huang V, Xu X, Livingstone J, Soares F, Jeon J, Zeng Y, Hua JT, Petricca J, Guo H, *et al* (2019) Widespread and Functional RNA Circularization in Localized Prostate Cancer. *Cell* 176: 831-843.e22

Chen T, Xiang J-F, Zhu S, Chen S, Yin Q-F, Zhang X-O, Zhang J, Feng H, Dong R, Li X-J, *et al* (2015) ADAR1 is required for differentiation and neural induction by regulating microRNA processing in a catalytically independent manner. *Cell Res* 25: 459–476

Chu J, Robert F & Pelletier J (2021) Trans-spliced mRNA products produced from circRNA expression vectors. *RNA* 27: 676–682

Conn SJ, Pillman KA, Toubia J, Conn VM, Salmanidis M, Phillips CA, Roslan S, Schreiber AW, Gregory PA & Goodall GJ (2015) The RNA binding protein quaking regulates formation of circRNAs. *Cell* 160: 1125–1134

de la Mata M, Gaidatzis D, Vitanescu M, Stadler MB, Wentzel C, Scheiffele P, Filipowicz W & Großhans H (2015) Potent degradation of neuronal miRNAs induced by highly complementary targets. *EMBO Rep* 16: 500–511

Denzler R, Agarwal V, Stefano J, Bartel DP & Stoffel M (2014) Assessing the ceRNA hypothesis with quantitative measurements of miRNA and target abundance. *Mol Cell* 54: 766–776

Denzler R, McGeary SE, Title AC, Agarwal V, Bartel DP & Stoffel M (2016) Impact of MicroRNA Levels, Target-Site Complementarity, and Cooperativity on Competing Endogenous RNA-Regulated Gene Expression. *Mol Cell* 64: 565–579

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M & Gingeras TR (2013)
STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29: 15–21

Dodbele S, Mutlu N & Wilusz JE (2021) Best practices to ensure robust investigation of circular RNAs:
pitfalls and tips. *EMBO Rep* 22: e52072

Fellmann C, Hoffmann T, Sridhar V, Hopfgartner B, Muhar M, Roth M, Lai DY, Barbosa IAM, Kwon JS,
Guan Y, *et al* (2013) An optimized microRNA backbone for effective single-copy RNAi. *Cell Rep*
5: 1704–1713

Fuchs Wightman F, Giono LE, Fededa JP & de la Mata M (2018) Target RNAs Strike Back on MicroRNAs.
Front Genet 9: 435

Gasparini S, Licursi V, Presutti C & Mannironi C (2020) The Secret Garden of Neuronal circRNAs. *Cells* 9:
1815

Ghini F, Rubolino C, Climent M, Simeone I, Marzi MJ & Nicassio F (2018) Endogenous transcripts
control miRNA levels and activity in mammalian cells by target-directed miRNA degradation.
Nat Commun 9: 3119

Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA 3rd & Smith HO (2009) Enzymatic assembly
of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods* 6: 343–345

Giusti SA, Vogl AM, Brockmann MM, Vercelli CA, Rein ML, Trümbach D, Wurst W, Cazalla D, Stein V,
Deussing JM, *et al* (2014) MicroRNA-9 controls dendritic development by targeting REST. *Elife*
3: e02755

Glažar P, Papavasileiou P & Rajewsky N (2014) circBase: a database for circular RNAs. *RNA* 20: 1666–
1670

Grimson A, Farh KK-H, Johnston WK, Garrett-Engele P, Lim LP & Bartel DP (2007) MicroRNA Targeting
Specificity in Mammals: Determinants beyond Seed Pairing. *Mol Cell* 27: 91–105

Gu S, Jin L, Zhang F, Sarnow P & Kay MA (2009) Biological basis for restriction of microRNA targets to
the 3' untranslated region in mammalian mRNAs. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 16: 144–150

Guarnerio J, Zhang Y, Cheloni G, Panella R, Mae Katon J, Simpson M, Matsumoto A, Papa A, Loretelli C,
Petri A, *et al* (2019) Intragenic antagonistic roles of protein and circRNA in tumorigenesis. *Cell
Res* 29: 628–640

Guo JU, Agarwal V, Guo H & Bartel DP (2014) Expanded identification and characterization of
mammalian circular RNAs. *Genome Biol* 15: 409

Han J, LaVigne CA, Jones BT, Zhang H, Gillett F & Mendell JT (2020) A ubiquitin ligase mediates target-
directed microRNA decay independently of tailing and trimming. *Science* 370: eabc9546

Hanan M, Simchovitz A, Yayon N, Vaknine S, Cohen-Fultheim R, Karmon M, Madrer N, Rohrlich TM,
Maman M, Bennett ER, *et al* (2020) A Parkinson's disease CircRNAs Resource reveals a link
between circSLC8A1 and oxidative stress. *EMBO Mol Med* 12: e11942

Hansen TB, Jensen TI, Clausen BH, Bramsen JB, Finsen B, Damgaard CK & Kjems J (2013) Natural RNA
circles function as efficient microRNA sponges. *Nature* 495: 384–388

Hansen TB, Wiklund ED, Bramsen JB, Villadsen SB, Statham AL, Clark SJ & Kjems J (2011) miRNA-
dependent gene silencing involving Ago2-mediated cleavage of a circular antisense RNA.
EMBO J 30: 4414–4422

Ho-Xuan H, Glažar P, Latini C, Heizler K, Haase J, Hett R, Anders M, Weichmann F, Bruckmann A, Van
den Berg D, *et al* (2020) Comprehensive analysis of translation from overexpressed circular
RNAs reveals pervasive translation from linear transcripts. *Nucleic Acids Res* 48: 10368–10382

Jeck WR, Sorrentino JA, Wang K, Slevin MK, Burd CE, Liu J, Marzluff WF & Sharpless NE (2013) Circular
RNAs are abundant, conserved, and associated with ALU repeats. *RNA* 19: 141–157

Jens M & Rajewsky N (2015) Competition between target sites of regulators shapes post-
transcriptional gene regulation. *Nat Rev Genet* 16: 113–126

Jonas S & Izaurralde E (2015) Towards a molecular understanding of microRNA-mediated gene
silencing. *Nat Rev Genet* 16: 421–433

Kingston ER, Blodgett LW & Bartel DP (2022) Endogenous transcripts direct microRNA degradation in
Drosophila, and this targeted degradation is required for proper embryonic development.
Mol Cell: S1097-2765(22)00849–8

Kleaveland B, Shi CY, Stefano J & Bartel DP (2018) A Network of Noncoding Regulatory RNAs Acts in
the Mammalian Brain. *Cell* 174: 350-362.e17

Kozomara A, Birgaoanu M & Griffiths-Jones S (2019) miRBase: from microRNA sequences to function.
Nucleic Acids Res 47: D155–D162

Kramer MC, Liang D, Tatomer DC, Gold B, March ZM, Cherry S & Wilusz JE (2015) Combinatorial control
of Drosophila circular RNA expression by intronic repeats, hnRNPs, and SR proteins. *Genes Dev*
29: 2168–2182

Kristensen LS, Andersen MS, Stagsted LVW, Ebbesen KK, Hansen TB & Kjems J (2019) The biogenesis,
biology and characterization of circular RNAs. *Nat Rev Genet* 20: 675–691

Krol J, Busskamp V, Markiewicz I, Stadler MB, Ribi S, Richter J, Duebel J, Bicker S, Fehling HJJ, Schubeler
D, *et al* (2010) Characterizing light-regulated retinal microRNAs reveals rapid turnover as a
common property of neuronal microRNAs. *Cell* 141: 618–631

Lee S, Song J, Kim S, Kim J, Hong Y, Kim Y, Kim D, Baek D & Ahn K (2013) Selective degradation of host
MicroRNAs by an intergenic HCMV noncoding RNA accelerates virus production. *Cell Host*
Microbe 13: 678–690

Li J-H, Liu S, Zhou H, Qu L-H & Yang J-H (2014) starBase v2.0: decoding miRNA-ceRNA, miRNA-ncRNA
and protein-RNA interaction networks from large-scale CLIP-Seq data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:
D92-97

Li L, Sheng P, Li T, Fields CJ, Hiers NM, Wang Y, Li J, Guardia CM, Licht JD & Xie M (2021a) Widespread
microRNA degradation elements in target mRNAs can assist the encoded proteins. *Genes &*
development: 1595–1609

Li L, Sheng P, Li T, Fields CJ, Hiers NM, Wang Y, Li J, Guardia CM, Licht JD & Xie M (2021b) Widespread
microRNA degradation elements in target mRNAs can assist the encoded proteins. *Genes Dev*
35: 1595–1609

Li X, Liu C-X, Xue W, Zhang Y, Jiang S, Yin Q-F, Wei J, Yao R-W, Yang L & Chen L-L (2017) Coordinated
circRNA Biogenesis and Function with NF90/NF110 in Viral Infection. *Mol Cell* 67: 214-227.e7

Liang D & Wilusz JE (2014) Short intronic repeat sequences facilitate circular RNA production. *Genes*
and Development 28: 2233–2247

Liao Y, Smyth GK & Shi W (2014) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning
sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30: 923–930

Liu CX, Li X, Nan F, Jiang S, Gao X, Guo SK, Xue W, Cui Y, Dong K, Ding H, *et al* (2019) Structure and
Degradation of Circular RNAs Regulate PKR Activation in Innate Immunity. *Cell* 177: 865-
880.e21

Love MI, Huber W & Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 15: 550

Marcinowski L, Tanguy M, Krmpotic A, Rädle B, Lisnić VJ, Tuddenham L, Chane-Woon-Ming B, Ruzsics
Z, Erhard F, Benkartek C, *et al* (2012) Degradation of cellular mir-27 by a novel, highly
abundant viral transcript is important for efficient virus replication in vivo. *PLoS Pathog* 8:
e1002510

Memczak S, Jens M, Elefsinioti A, Torti F, Krueger J, Rybak A, Maier L, Mackowiak SD, Gregersen LH,
Munschauer M, *et al* (2013) Circular RNAs are a large class of animal RNAs with regulatory
potency. *Nature* 495: 333–338

Pamudurti NR, Bartok O, Jens M, Ashwal-Fluss R, Stottmeister C, Ruhe L, Hanan M, Wyler E, Perez-
Hernandez D, Ramberger E, *et al* (2017) Translation of CircRNAs. *Mol Cell* 66: 9-21.e7

Pawlica P, Moss WN & Steitz JA (2016) Host miRNA degradation by Herpesvirus saimiri small nuclear
RNA requires an unstructured interacting region. *RNA* 22: 1181–1189

Petkovic S, Graff S, Feller N, Berghaus J, Ruppert V-P, Dülfer J & Sczakiel G (2021) Circular versus linear
RNA topology: different modes of RNA-RNA interactions in vitro and in human cells. *RNA Biol*
18: 674–683

Pinzón N, Li B, Martinez L, Sergeeva A, Presumey J, Apparailly F & Seitz H (2017) microRNA target
prediction programs predict many false positives. *Genome Res* 27: 234–245

Piwecka M, Glažar P, Hernandez-Miranda LR, Memczak S, Wolf SA, Rybak-Wolf A, Filipchyk A,
Klironomos F, Cerda Jara CA, Fenske P, *et al* (2017) Loss of a mammalian circular RNA locus
causes miRNA deregulation and affects brain function. *Science* 357: eaam8526

Roditi I, Carrington M & Turner M (1987) Expression of a polypeptide containing a dipeptide repeat is
confined to the insect stage of Trypanosoma brucei. *Nature* 325: 272–274

Rybak-Wolf A, Stottmeister C, Glažar P, Jens M, Pino N, Giusti S, Hanan M, Behm M, Bartok O, Ashwal-
Fluss R, *et al* (2015) Circular RNAs in the Mammalian Brain Are Highly Abundant, Conserved,
and Dynamically Expressed. *Mol Cell* 58: 870–885

Salzman J, Chen RE, Olsen MN, Wang PL & Brown PO (2013) Cell-type specific features of circular RNA
expression. *PLoS genetics* 9: e1003777

Sanjana NE, Shalem O & Zhang F (2014) Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR
screening. *Nat Methods* 11: 783–784

Shalem O, Sanjana NE, Hartenian E, Shi X, Scott DA, Mikkelson T, Heckl D, Ebert BL, Root DE, Doench
JG, *et al* (2014) Genome-scale CRISPR-Cas9 knockout screening in human cells. *Science* 343:
84–87

Shi CY, Kingston ER, Kleaveland B, Lin DH, Stubna MW & Bartel DP (2020) The ZSWIM8 ubiquitin ligase
mediates target-directed microRNA degradation. *Science* 370: eabc9359

Simeone I, Rubolino C, Noviello TMR, Farinello D, Cerulo L, Marzi MJ & Nicassio F (2022) Prediction and
pan-cancer analysis of mammalian transcripts involved in target directed miRNA degradation.
Nucleic Acids Res 50: 2019–2035

Soutschek M, Gross F, Schratt G & Germain P-L (2022) scanMiR: a biochemically-based toolkit for
versatile and efficient microRNA target prediction. *Bioinformatics*: btac110

Tay Y, Rinn J & Pandolfi PP (2014) The multilayered complexity of ceRNA crosstalk and competition.
Nature 505: 344–352

The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2022 update.
(2022) *Nucleic Acids Res* 50: W345-351

Vogl AM, Brockmann MM, Giusti SA, Maccarrone G, Vercelli CA, Bauder CA, Richter JS, Roselli F, Hafner
A-S, Dedic N, *et al* (2015) Neddylation inhibition impairs spine development, destabilizes
synapses and deteriorates cognition. *Nat Neurosci* 18: 239–251

Wickham H (2016) Data Analysis. In *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*, Wickham H (ed) pp
189–201. Cham: Springer International Publishing

Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, Chang W, McGowan LD, François R, Grolemund G, Hayes A, Henry L,
Hester J, *et al* (2019) Welcome to the Tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software* 4: 1686

Wickham H & Bryan J (2022) readxl: Read Excel Files. *https://readxl.tidyverse.org,*
https://github.com/tidyverse/readxl

Xiao M-S, Ai Y & Wilusz JE (2020) Biogenesis and Functions of Circular RNAs Come into Focus. *Trends*
Cell Biol 30: 226–240

Yang J-H, Li J-H, Shao P, Zhou H, Chen Y-Q & Qu L-H (2011) starBase: a database for exploring
microRNA-mRNA interaction maps from Argonaute CLIP-Seq and Degradome-Seq data.
Nucleic Acids Res 39: D202-209

Yang L, Wilusz JE & Chen L-L (2022) Biogenesis and Regulatory Roles of Circular RNAs. *Annu Rev Cell*
Dev Biol 38: 263–289

You X, Vlatkovic I, Babic A, Will T, Epstein I, Tushev G, Akbalik G, Wang M, Glock C, Quedenau C, *et al*
(2015) Neural circular RNAs are derived from synaptic genes and regulated by development
and plasticity. *Nat Neurosci* 18: 603–610

Zhang XO, Wang HB, Zhang Y, Lu X, Chen LL & Yang L (2014) Complementary sequence-mediated
exon circularization. *Cell* 159: 134–147

Zhang Y, Xue W, Li X, Zhang J, Chen S, Zhang J-L, Yang L & Chen L-L (2016) The Biogenesis of Nascent
Circular RNAs. *Cell Rep* 15: 611–624

Figure legends

Figure 1.

The topology of *Cdr1as* determines the outcome of its effect on miR-7's stability.

A   *Cdr1as* total output levels (linear plus circular) measured by RT-qPCR upon transduction of either a scrambled shRNA or sh*Cdr1as* alone, or sh*Cdr1as* rescued with a linear version of *Cdr1as* (lin*Cdr1as*) lacking the *shCdr1as* site, in cortical primary neurons. n = 6 culture wells (from 3 independent primary cultures) for control and cirCDR1as KD; n = 4 culture wells (from 2 independent primary cultures) for lin*Cdr1as* rescue.

B   MiR-7 abundance measured by Taqman RT-qPCR in the same samples as in A. n = 6 culture wells (from 3 independent primary cultures) for control and cirCDR1as KD; n = 4 culture wells (from 2 independent primary cultures) for lin*Cdr1as* rescue.

C   *Cdr1as* total output levels measured by RT-qPCR upon over-expression of linear *Cdr1as* (lin*Cdr1as*) in primary hippocampal neurons. Control corresponds to a non-related (GFP-expressing) linear transcript. n = 6 culture wells (from 2 independent primary cultures) for each condition.

D   MiR-7 abundance measured by Taqman RT-qPCR in the same samples as in C. n = 6 culture wells (from 2 independent primary cultures) for each condition.

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired Student's *t tests* (ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **: p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001).

Figure 2.

System to artificially express circRNAs reducing their overlapping, cognate linear RNA expression.

A.   Top: Illustration of the linear RNA expressing construct used as a positive control for TDMD (TDMD inducer). Bottom: Illustration of the circRNA-expressing construct; depicted with coloured arrows are the sets of primers used to measure the different transcript variants (circular [1], Total Output-TO [2] and linear [3]).

B.   RT-qPCR measuring Total output (TO, primer pair #2), linear (primer pair #3) and circular

(divergent primer pair #1) RNA levels upon expression of the circRNA-expressing constructs from

the tetracycline-inducible promoter (TREp) bearing perfectly matched or seed-mutant miR-124

sites for selective linear RNA degradation (see Figure EV2A). Primers are depicted in Figure 2A. n

= 5 culture wells (from 3 independent primary cultures) of cortical neurons for each condition;

and n = 7 culture wells (from 4 independent primary cultures) of hippocampal neurons for each

condition.

C.   Total reporter output (left) and circRNA levels (right) upon expression of the circRNA-expressing

construct or the linear RNA construct (TDMD inducer). The constructs were expressed from the

tetracycline-inducible promoter (TREp) and the synapsin (Syn) promoter respectively in order to

achieve similar total output levels for both constructs n = 9 culture wells (from 3 independent

primary cultures) of cortical neurons for each condition; n = 9 culture wells (from 4 independent

primary cultures) of hippocampal neurons for each condition. Missing points are failed culture

wells/RT-qPCR reactions.

In (B–C), data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired

Student's *t* tests (ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **: p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001).

Figure 3.

Artificial circRNA-expressing constructs are unable to trigger TDMD in primary neurons.

A   RT-qPCR Taqman assay showing miR-132 abundance upon transduction of the linear control

(left) or the circRNA-expressing construct (right) carrying bulged (TDMD-compatible) or seed-

mutant miR-132 sites. n = 9 culture wells (from 3 independent primary cultures) of cortical

neurons for each condition; n = 9 culture wells (from 4 independent primary cultures) of

hippocampal neurons for each condition. Missing points are failed culture wells/RT-qPCR

reactions.

B   AGO2-Flag immunoprecipitation (RIP) followed by RT-qPCR in HEK293T cells. MiR-27a was used

to normalize expression. Relative circRNA abundance was measured using circRNA-backspliced-

junction specific divergent primers and normalized to miR-27a levels as an unrelated RISC-loaded

miRNA not expected to be affected by the circRNA. Levels of non-specific *U6* background

binding to Ago2 are shown. As an IP quality control, FLAG/HA-AGO2 input levels were shown to

be similar across transfected conditions and efficiently pulled-down using anti-FLAG beads

(Figure EV3B). Accordingly, miR-27, but not *U6* RNA, was efficiently co-immunoprecipitated,

showing an even recovery of Ago-bound RNA across transfected conditions (Figure EV3C). n = 4

culture wells (from 2 experiments) of HEK293T cells for each condition.

C   Subcellular fractionation showing total vs. cytoplasmic (left) and total vs. nuclear (right) fractions,

followed by RT-qPCR of the circRNA and linear RNA isoforms, normalized by *GAPDH* (for

cytoplasm) or *U6* (for nucleus). Fractionation efficiency was assessed via Western Blot and RT-

qPCR (Figure EV3D-E). n = 4 culture wells (from 2 experiments) of HEK293T cells for each

condition.

In (A–C), data are presented as mean ± SEM . Statistical significance was determined by unpaired

Student's *t tests* (ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **: p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001).

1022

1023

Figure 4.

Artificial circRNA-expressing constructs trigger TDMD in HEK293T cells.

A   Illustration of the constructs expressing linear or circular RNA of different sizes from a backbone

containing *Drosophila laccase2* introns (Kramer *et al*, 2015).

B   Left: Northern blot analysis of samples from HEK293T cells expressing the constructs of the

indicated length. n = 6 culture wells (from 2 independent experiments) of HEK293T cells for each

condition. Right: Quantification by different methods of the linear and circular isoforms from

samples of HEK293T cells expressing the indicated constructs. In red, the mean is indicated. NT

are non-transfected cells.

C   MiR-218-5p abundance upon transduction of the linear controls (left) or the circRNA-expressing

constructs (right) carrying bulged (TDMD-compatible) or seed-mutant miR-218-5 sites. n = 6

culture wells (from 2 independent experiments) of HEK293T cells for each condition.

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired Student's *t*

tests (ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **: p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001).

1038

Figure 5.

CircRNAs potentially stabilize dozens of microRNAs across neuron-like differentiation.

A Scatter plot of miRNA expression fold changes (log2) across differentiation of hESC H9 cells into

forebrain (FB) neuron progenitor cells plotted against the number of effective sites, coloured by

quartiles of increasing number of "effective" sites within circRNAs.

B Scatter plot of miRNA fold changes (log2) across differentiation plotted against miRNA-specific

Pairing coefficient (log10) coloured by quartiles in a scatter plot. The predicted most highly

paired miRNAs show the highest fold changes across differentiation.

C Boxplot depicting the fold changes (log2) across differentiation of miRNA separated by quartiles

of increasing miRNA-specific Pairing coefficients.

D Scatter plots of miRNA fold changes (log2) plotted against pri-miRNA fold changes (log2) faceted

by miRNA-specific Pairing coefficient quartiles. Indicated are the slopes (b) and p-values for the

interaction terms of each quartile's curve against the reference ("- paired") quartile within a

multiple regression model with interaction.

E Boxplot showing circRNA expression fold changes (log2) across differentiation separated by

quartiles of increasing "pairing capacity" index.

The analysis includes 236 miRNAs. For panels C and E, GLM with emmeans statistics are shown

between the least paired and the remaining groups (ns: $p > 0.05$, *: $p <= 0.05$, **: $p <= 0.01$, ***: $p <=$

0.001, ****: $p <= 0.0001$).

1058

Figure 6.

Predicted TDMD-like site architectures within circRNAs are more frequent for the most highly

paired miRNAs.

A Pie charts showing the proportion of linear RNA- and circRNA-miRNA interactions involving at

least one predicted TDMD-like site against miRNAs within quartiles of increasing miRNA-specific

Pairing coefficient. Shown are the p-values for the Fisher's Exact test between each quartile and

the least paired quartile ("- paired").

B Violin plots depicting the number of predicted TDMD-like sites within circRNAs against miRNAs

belonging to quartiles of increasing miRNA-specific Pairing coefficient. GLM with emmeans

statistics are shown between the least paired and the other quartiles (ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **:

p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001).

1070

1071   Expanded View Figure Legends

Figure EV1.

MicroRNA site illustrations, quality controls and primer design for *Cdr1as*.

A   Scheme depicting the sequences of the miR-671 binding site within *Cdr1as*, miR-671 and the

engineered sh*Cdr1as*. The latter is based on miR-671 with two nucleotide changes that make it

fully complementary to the circRNA to maximize its slicing capacity.

B   Illustration of the primer designs for measuring the different *Cdr1as* isoforms (detailed in

Materials and Methods).

C   Expression levels of *Cdr1as* total output, circular *Cdr1as* and unrelated gene (*Actb*) upon over-

expression of linear *Cdr1as* (lin*Cdr1as*). Levels were normalized to *Gapdh*. n = 6 culture wells

(from 2 independent primary cultures) for each condition.

D   Expression levels of miR-7 guide strand (miR-7-5p), passenger strands (miR-7a-1-3p and miR-7a-

2-3p), primary RNA (pri-miR-7a-1) and two unrelated miRNAs (miR-9-5p and miR-132-3p). All

miRNAs levels were normalized to *U6*, while the pri-miR level was normalized to *Gapdh*. n = 6

culture wells (from 2 independent primary cultures) for each condition.

E   Three examples of potential TDMD-competent binding sites for miR-7 present in *Cdr1as*, aligned

and illustrated using scanMiR.

F   Illustration of the strategy designed to mutate *Cdr1as* splicing sites by CRISPR/Cas9.

G   *Cdr1as* total output levels upon CRISPR/Cas9 editing of the *Cdr1as* splicing sites, measured by RT-

qPCR in primary hippocampal neurons. Control corresponds to a transduced linear transcript

(GFP-expressing). n = 3 culture wells (from 1 independent primary culture) for each condition.

H   MiR-7 abundance measured by Taqman RT-qPCR in the same samples as in F. n = 3 culture wells

(from 1 independent primary culture) for each condition.

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired Student's *t*

tests (ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **: p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001). In panels C and D,

Bonferroni's correction for multiple testing was applied. For the F and G panels, equal variance was

assumed.

1098

Figure EV2.

CircRNA-expressing constructs and quality controls.

A   Table summarizing the generated constructs.

B   Northern blot analysis of RNase R treated or untreated samples from HEK293T cells expressing

the indicated constructs with either perfect or seed-mutant miR-124 binding sites. CircRNAs are

resistant to RNase R digestion, confirming the circular topology of the artificial circRNA. All

constructs were expressed from the tetracycline-inducible promoter (TREp). The asterisk (*)

marks a putative mechanically linearized product of the corresponding circRNA. Linear/circRNA

ratio quantification was performed by digital densitometry using ImageJ.

C   Quantification by different methods of the linear and circular isoforms from RNase R untreated

samples of HEK293T cells (naturally lacking miR124) expressing the indicated constructs. Top

panels: digital densitometry quantification of Northern blot bands by ImageJ. Bottom panels: RT-

qPCR quantification using primers specific for the circular isoform or for both the linear and

circular isoforms combined (Total output) as depicted in Figure 2A. In red, the mean is indicated.

n = 2 culture wells (from 1 experiment) of HEK293T cells for each condition.

D   RT-qPCR measurement of the circRNA-to-linear RNA ratio of RNase R treated vs. untreated

samples in cortical neurons or HEK293T cells using divergent primers depicted in Figure 2. Values

reflect relative rather than absolute ratios of the measured isoforms. n = 3 culture wells (from 1

primary culture/experiment) of each cell type and for each condition.

E   Top: Agarose gel showing triplicates of the RT-qPCR amplicons obtained with divergent primers

against the backsplicing junction of the artificial circRNA, after retro-transcription with two

different reverse transcriptases (MMLV-RT & Superscript II) in order to rule out artifacts due to

template switching during cDNA synthesis. Bottom: Sanger sequencing of the amplicons shown

above confirming backsplicing junction in HEK293 cells.

F   Left: Northern blot analysis of in HEK293T cells expressing the indicated constructs bearing either

perfect or seed-mutant miR-92a binding sites. Right: digital densitometry quantification of

Northern blot bands by ImageJ.

In (F), data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired

Student's *t tests* (ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **: p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001).

1128

Figure EV3.

TDMD specificity, HA-FLAG/AGO2 RIP and cellular fractionation quality controls.

A   RT-qPCR quantification of the indicated RNA species confirms specific degradation of miR-132

guide strand (miR-132-3p) and discards potential transcriptional effects. Levels of miR-132

passenger strand (miR-132-5p), primary transcript (pri-miR-132) and four unrelated miRNAs (miR-

124-3p, miR-128-3p, miR-138-5p and miR-409-3p) were normalized to *U6*. n = 9 culture wells

(from 3 independent primary cultures) of cortical neurons for each condition; n = 9 culture wells

(from 4 independent primary cultures) of hippocampal neurons for each condition. Missing

points are failed culture wells/RT-qPCR reactions.

B   Representative anti-HA Western Blot from inputs or anti-FLAG IPs in non-transfected HEK-293T

cells or cells co-transfected with HA-FLAG/AGO2 and the circRNA-expressing construct bearing

bulged (WT) or seed-mutant (mut) miR-132 sites.

C   *U6* and miR-27 levels were measured to verify the efficiency of HA-FLAG/AGO2

immunoprecipitation. n = 4 culture wells (from 2 experiments) of HEK293T cells for each

condition.

D   Representative Western Blot of HEK293T cells transfected with either the circRNA-expressing

construct or the linear control RNA, following subcellular fractionation. β-Tubulin and Histone-3

were used as cytoplasm and nucleus markers, respectively.

E   Boxplot depicting median values of *GAPDH/U6* ratio measured by RT-qPCR confirms proper

subcellular fractionation of samples either transfected with the circRNA-expressing construct or

the linear control. n = 4 culture wells (from 1 experiment) of HEK293T cells for each condition.

In (A, C), data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired

Student's *t tests* (ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **: p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001). In

panel A, Bonferroni's correction for multiple testing was applied.

1153

Figure EV4.

CircRNA-expressing constructs and quality controls.

A   Northern blot analysis of RNase R treated or untreated samples from HEK293T cells expressing

the constructs of the indicated length. CircRNAs are resistant to RNase R digestion, confirming

the circular topology of the artificial circRNA.

B   RT-qPCR quantification of the indicated RNA species confirms specific degradation of miR-218

guide strand (miR-218-5p) and discards potential transcriptional effects. Levels of primary

transcript (pri-miR-218) and one unrelated miRNA (miR-9-5p) were normalized to *U6*. n = 6

culture wells (from 2 independent experiments) of HEK293T cells for each condition.

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired Student's *t*

tests (ns: p > 0.05, *: p <= 0.05, **: p <= 0.01, ***: p <= 0.001, ****: p <= 0.0001).

1165

Figure EV5.

Illustrations of the predicted circRNA-miRNA networks and genomic features of the potentially

involved circRNAs.

A   Network diagram of biochemically supported interactions between circRNAs and miRNAs.

Depicted are the interactions involving the seven most "pairing" circRNAs.

B   Network diagram showing biochemically supported circRNA-miRNA interactions for miR-7 and

two other miRNAs with similar "pairing" coefficient (miR-670-3p and miR-409-3p). For all three

examples, the stoichiometries (i.e. ratios of circRNA-binding-site:miRNA) are similar, with CDR1as

making the largest contribution for miRNA-7. MiR-7 and miR-409 are also a demonstrated case

and strong candidate to undergo of TDMD, respectively (see main text).

C   Boxplot showing the size of circRNAs (log2 of the base pairs) that interact with miRNAs from each

of the different pairing quartiles estimated from data of hESC H9 into forebrain (FB) neuron

progenitor cell differentiation (Chen *et al*, 2015; Zhang *et al*, 2016). Shown are Wilcoxon rank sum

test p-values (corrected with the Hochberg method for multiple comparisons) between the least

paired and the remaining groups (ns: $p > 0.05$).

D Barplot representing the percentages of overlapping genomic regions (CDS, 5'UTR, 3'UTR, etc)

giving rise to the circRNAs with predicted binding sites against miRNAs within different quartiles

of miRNA-specific Pairing coefficient. No enrichment of genomics features for circRNAs

interacting with miRNAs within the different quartiles was observed (Pearson's Chi-squared test

p-value = 0.998).

1186

Figure EV6.

Examples of predicted TDMD-like sites on circRNAs.

A Examples of TDMD-like sites within circRNAs predicted and aligned using scanMiR. The five

miRNAs belong to the "+++ paired" quartile.

B-C Pie charts showing the proportion of and circRNA-miRNA (B) and linear RNA-miRNA (C)

interactions involving at least one predicted TDMD-like site against miRNAs within quartiles of

increasing miRNA-specific Pairing coefficient. Shown are the p-values for the Fisher's Exact test

between each quartile and the least paired quartile ("- paired").

1195

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

A

- ≥ 1 site on both circRNAs and linear RNAs (3'UTRs only)
- ≥ 1 site only on circRNAs
- ≥ 1 site only on linear RNAs (3'UTRs only)
- No predicted TDMD-like sites found

B

Figure EV1

Figure EV2

Figure EV3

Figure EV4

A

B

miR-218-5p, pri-miR-218-1, pri-miR-218-2, miR-9-5p quantification plots, each with long and short panels, Circular (top) and Linear (bottom) rows, comparing Seed-mut and Bulged (WT).

Figure EV5

A

B

C

D

Figure EV6

A

Examples of predicted TDMD-like sites within circRNAs

B

Circular RNAs

- paired   + paired   ++ paired   +++ paired

Fisher's Test, p = 0.279   Fisher's Test, p = 0.199   Fisher's Test, p = 0.025

■ ≥1 TDMD-like site   ■ No TDMD-like sites found

C

Linear RNAs (3' UTR)

- paired   + paired   ++ paired   +++ paired

Fisher's Test, p = 0.799   Fisher's Test, p = 0.075   Fisher's Test, p = 0.029

■ ≥1 TDMD-like site   ■ No TDMD-like sites found

Oligo name/DNA Fragments	Use	Sequence 5'->3'
CiRS7_lin_For1	Linear CDR1as	gggtttccagtggtgccagt
CiRS7_lin_Rev1	Linear CDR1as	ctggatacggcagacaccag
ciRS7_lin_For_BamHI	Linear CDR1as lacking miR-671 site	atccGGATCCgggtttccagtggtgccagt
ciRS7_lin_Rev_SalI	Linear CDR1as lacking miR-671 site	atccGTCGACtgaaggaagacacatgtact
rno-miR-671-gblock_mouse-Cir7-match	shCDR1as	atagccgattagttctcgaggatccgggggcagatttgacc gggcccggctggctggtgaactggcaggccaggaagag ggctccacctctttcgagccgtagagccagggctggtacag cgttgtcgcctcagcaacttggaggggctgctgctagctcgag
miR-7_Bulged_sites	Linear TDMD inducer miR-7 bulged	AGCTGTATAAGTAGGCTAGCCGTCACTGATAT(CGTCCCACAACAAAATCACCGTCTTCCATATGC AAACCTCACTACAATACAACAAAATCGCTGTCT
miR-7_Mut_sites	Linear TDMD inducer miR-7 mutated	AGCTGTATAAGTAGGCTAGCCGTCACTGATAT(CGTCCCACAACAAAATCACCGTACCACATATG(AAACCTCACTACAATACAACAAAATCGCTGTA(
SZSCAN1_Ups_intron	Artificial circRNA	gagcgcagtcgagaggatcctttttttttttttgacggagttta cctccacctcctgggttcaagcgtttctcctgcctcagctttcc tagtagagacggggtttcaccatgttggccaggctggtctt(ttacaggcgtgagccaccaccccggcctcaggagcgttct ttgtaaaggtacgtactaatgactttttttttatacttcaggaat
SZSCAN1_Downs_intron	Artificial circRNA	taggggaagggaaatgattcaggacgagagtctttgtgct(cggtagctcacacctgtaatcccagcactttgggaggctga gtgaaaccttgtctctactaaaaatatgaaaattagccaggc atcacttgaacccaggaggtggaggttgcagtaagccgag aaaaagtcgacaatcaacctctgga
pEGFP-miR_BclI_For	pri-miRs	CATCCTGATCATTTTTCGCAACGGGTTTGCC
GFP_Rev	pri-miRs	CTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATGC
TREp_XbaI_For	TRE promoter insert	CTACTtctagataggtttaccactccctatc
TREp_BamHI_Rev	TRE promoter insert	CATCCggatccCTAGGCGCGCCTTAATTAAG
miR-92a_perf oligo F	miR-92a perf site	ggccgcAGTCGAGACAGGCCGGGACAAGTGCA
miR-92a_perf oligo R	miR-92a perf site	cTGTCGACTATTGCACTTGTCCCGGCCTGTCTC(
miR-92a_mut oligo F	miR-92a mut site	ggccgcAGTCGAGACAGGCCGGGATGGTAATCT
miR-92a_mut oligo R	miR-92a mut site	CTTGTCGACTAGATTACCATCCCGGCCTGTCTC
miR-218-5p_TDMD site_Template	miR-218 TDMD site template	CACCCTAAAATGCTTCACATGGTTAAAAACAA(
miR-218-5p_TDMD site MUT_Template	miR-218 mut site template	CACCCTAAAATGCTTCAGTACCAAAAAAAGTA(
miR-218-5p_TDMD site_For	miR-218 cloning primer for with BsrGI	actgctgtacaagtaaCAGTCACCCTAAAATGCTTC
miR-218-5p_TDMD site_Rev	miR-218 cloning primer rev with BsrGI	tcatgtgtacaCCTTGCTGTAGGATTCAAAA
GFP Split_NheI_For	Producing the linear control for split-GF	CATCAGCTAGCgagcgcaccatcttcttcaa
GFP Split_XbaI_Rev	Producing the linear control for split-GF	catcgTCTAGActggacgtagccttcgggca
GFP_AgeI_For	Shorter circRNA	ggtcagACCGGTagaacggcatcaaggtgaac
IRES_SacII_Rev	Shorter circRNA	cgttgtCCGCGGcgtcgactgcagaattcaga
GFP_NheI_For	Shorter linear control	gtgcatGCTAGCagaacggcatcaaggtgaac
IRES_XbaI_Rev	Shorter linear control	cctatgTCTAGAcgtcgactgcagaattcaga
miR-92a_perf g-block	g-block for miR-92a perf site addition to the ZKSCAN1 circRNA expressing constructs	CTGTCTTAAAAAAAAAAAAAGTCGAGACAGGCC CGGGACAAGTGCAATATAACTTTTAACACAAC/ TAAAACAGGCCGGGACAAGTGCAATAGTCGAC
miR-92a_mut g-block	g-block for miR-92a mut site addition to the ZKSCAN1 circRNA expressing constructs	CTGTCTTAAAAAAAAAAAAAGTCGAGACAGGCC GGGATGGTAATCTATAACTTTTAACACAACAAA AACAGGCCGGGAGCGTAATCTAGTCGACAATC
sgCiRS7-1_For	sgRNA to mutate CDR1as splice sites	CACCGATGAAACAACTTTCAATACC
sgCiRS7-1_Rev	sgRNA to mutate CDR1as splice sites	AAACGGTATTGAAAGTTGTTTCATC
sgCiRS7-2_For	sgRNA to mutate CDR1as splice sites	CACCGATGATGTTTCCAATATCTAG
sgCiRS7-2_Rev	sgRNA to mutate CDR1as splice sites	AAACCTAGATATTGGAAACATCATC

ggcaggcatcagcagagggctgcttctacaacgctgactacctggcagccc
gaggaagccctggagatgctggaggtgatggatgttttcctccggtgttcag
gaagttctccctgagggactgcagcccccggctcagtgaggaactgtaccac
ctttttggagaatat

GCTCGAACAACAAAATCTCCGTCTTCCATACAATTTAAAACC
CCGTCCTCTCTCTTTACAACAAAATCTACGTCTTCCACTTGC
TTCCAGTCGACAATCAACCTCTGGA

GCTCGAACAACAAAATCTCCGTACCACATACAATTTAAAACC
CCGTCCTCTCTCTTTACAACAAAATCTACGTACCACACTTGC
CCACAGTCGACAATCAACCTCTGGA

acttcttgtctcccaggtaggaagtgcagtggcgtaatctcggctcactacaa
cgagtagctgggattacaggcgcctgccaccatgccctgctgacttttgtatttt
gaactcctgaccgcaggcgattggcctgcctcggcctcccaaagtgctgaga
tgatagtgcctcgatgtgctgcctcctataaagtgttagcagcacagatcacttt
tagtaaagaaacacatcataaaacctcccaccggtcgccaccatggtga

gctgagtgcctgtgatgaagaagcatgttagtgaaaagaattaggctcggca
ggcgggcagatcacttgaggtcaggagtttgagaccagcctggccaacatg
atggtggcacattcctgtaatcccagctactcgggaggctgaggcaggaga
gatcgtaccactgtgctctagccttggtgacagagcgagactgtcttaaaaaaa

ATAGTCGACAgggcc
GACTgc
TAGTCGACAAgggcc
GACTgc
GCACAATTTTGAATCCTACAGC
CGTCAATTTTGAATCCTACAGC

GGGGACAAGTGCAATATACAACATTTACATTTAACAACAGGC
AAAAACAGGCCGGGACAAGTGCAATATTATTCTTACTTTAGT
CAATCAACCTCTGGAttacaaaatt
GGGATCGTAATCTATACAACATTTACATTTAACAACAGGCC
AAACAGGCCGGGACGGTAATCTATTATTCTTACTTTAGTTAA
CAACCTCTGGATTACAAAATT

Custom-made qPCR Mix	µL per Reaction
10X Reaction Buffer *	2.5
MgCl2 25 mM	4
Triton x-100 10%	0.06
dNTP mix 10mM	0.5
Glycerol 60%	1.67
DMSO 100%	0.25
Betaine 5M	1.25
Taq Polymerase (5 U/µL) **	0.1
SYBR Green (1/90)***	0.03
Primer Forward 10 µM	0.5
Primers Reverse 10 µM	0.5
H20	8.64
cDNA	5
Final volume	25

* 10X TAS reaction buffer from INBIO Highway

** Taq Polymerase from INBIO Highway

***SYBR Green (Sigma S9430).

**qPCR program: 94°C-3'; (94°C-15''; 60°C-15''; 72°C-25'') 40x, melting curve. All reactions were run in either an ABI Qua

antstudio 3 or an ABI StepOnePlus.

qPCR

Oligo name	Comments	Sequence 5'->3'
circ_Cdr1as F	Circular CDR1as divergent	cctacaactgcccagtgtctc
circ_Cdr1as R	Circular CDR1as divergent	ggtactggcaccactggaaa
TO_Cdr1as F	Circular + linear CDR1as (total output)	tgtgtcttccttcacctccag
TO_Cdr1as R	Circular + linear CDR1as (total output)	ctggatacggcagacaccag
qWPRE_For	Linear CDR1as/artificial linRNA control exclusively	actgtgtttgctgacgcaac
qWPRE_Rev	Linear CDR1as/artificial linRNA control exclusively	caacaccacggaattgtcag
Artificial_circ F	Circular artificial circRNA divergent	TACAAGTAAAGCGGCCGCGTCG
Artificial_circ R	Circular artificial circRNA divergent	CTCGCCCTTGCTCACCATGGTG
Artificial_circ_TO F	Circular + linear artificial circRNA (Total output)	gtggaacagtacgaacgcgcc
Artificial_circ_TO R	Circular + linear artificial circRNA (Total output)	CTACTTGTACATATGATTAGTGGTTTAAA
Artificial_circ_lin F	Linear artificial leak exclusively (combined with Artificial_circ_For)	TGAGCTACCGTGCCGAGCCTAA
mmu_pri-miR-132 F	pri-miR-132 qPCR (only mouse)	GCACGCCTGTTCAAGGTCCCTG
mmu_pri-miR-132 R	pri-miR-132 qPCR (mouse and rat)	CCCCGCCTCCTCTTGCTCTGTA
mmu_pri-miR-7 F	pri-miR-7 qPCR (only mouse)	GCCTGTAGAAAATGTAGAAGAGAC
mmu_pri-miR-7 R	pri-miR-7 qpCR (mouse and rat)	TATGGCAGACTGTGATTTGTTG
hsa-miR-132-3p STEM	Conserved in rat and mouse	GTCTCCTCTGGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTAT
hsa-miR-132-3p Fw	Conserved in rat and mouse	GGCGGUAACAGUCUACAGCC
hsa-miR-9-5p Stem	Conserved in rat and mouse	GTCTCCTCTGGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTAT
hsa-miR-9-5p Fw	Conserved in rat and mouse	GGCGGUCUUUGGUUAUCUAGC
hsa-miR-7-5p Stem	Conserved in rat and mouse	GTCTCCTCTGGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTAT
hsa-miR-7-5p Fw	Conserved in rat and mouse	GGCGGUGGAAGACUAGUGAUUU
mmu-miR-7-1-3p Stem	Conserved in human	GTCTCCTCTGGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTAT
mmu-miR-7-1-3p Fw	Conserved in human	GGCGGCAACAAATCACAGTC
rno-miR-7a-2-3p Stem	Conserved in mouse	GTCTCCTCTGGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTAT
rno-miR-7a-2-3p Fw	Conserved in mouse	GGCGGCAACAAGTCCCAGTC
miR Rev Universal	-	TGGTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATT
ActB F	Conserved in rat and mouse	CTAAGGCCAACCGTGAAAAG
ActB R	Conserved in rat and mouse	ACCAGAGGCATACAGGGACA
Klf4f	miR-7 Target	aaaagaacagccaccacac
Klf4r	miR-7 Target	tcccagtcacagtggtaagg
Egr3f	miR-7 Target	cgcgctcaacctcttctc
Egr3r	miR-7 Target	attgggcttctcgttggtc
Irs2f	miR-7 Target	gagtgtgtgggggtccag
Irs2r	miR-7 Target	aatgtagaattgctcccgttg
Nr4a3f	miR-7 Target	tgcctgtcagcactgagtatg
Nr4a3r	miR-7 Target	agagcctgtcccttcctgt

Northern Probes

Oligo name	Comments	Sequence 5'->3'
GFP (-41 to -60 nt from stop)	Biotin label at the 5'end	gtcacgaactccagcaggac

AAT

TCGCACCAGAGGAGACCGACCA

TCGCACCAGAGGAGACTCATAC

TCGCACCAGAGGAGACAACAAC

TCGCACCAGAGGAGACTATGG(

TCGCACCAGAGGAGACTGTGG(