RNA-protein interactome at the Hepatitis E virus internal ribosome entry site

Shiv Kumar[1], Rohit Verma[1], Sandhini Saha[2], Ashish Agrahari[3], Shivangi Shukla[1],

Anurag[1], Tushar Kanti Maiti[2], Shailendra Asthana[3], C.T. Ranjith-Kumar[4], Milan Surjit[1]*

[1]Virology Laboratory, Translational Health Science and Technology Institute, NCR

Biotech Science Cluster, Faridabad, 121001, Haryana, India.

[2]Laboratory of functional proteomics, Regional Centre for Biotechnology, NCR Biotech

Science Cluster, Faridabad, 121001, Haryana, India.

[3]Noncommunicable disease group, Translational Health Science and Technology

Institute, NCR Biotech Science Cluster, Faridabad, 121001, Haryana, India.

[4]University School of Biotechnology, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, New

Delhi, 110078, India.

* Correspondence: milan@thsti.res.in

Abstract

Multiple processes exist in a cell to ensure continuous production of essential proteins either through cap-dependent or cap-independent translation processes. Viruses depend on the host translation machinery for viral protein synthesis. Therefore, viruses have evolved clever strategies to utilize the host translation machinery. Earlier studies have shown that genotype 1-Hepatitis E virus (g1-HEV) utilizes both cap-dependent and cap-independent translation machineries for its replication and proliferation. Cap-independent translation in g1-HEV is driven by an eighty seven nucleotide-long RNA element which acts as a noncanonical, internal ribosome entry site like (IRESl) element. Here, we have identified the RNA-protein interactome of the HEV IRESl element and characterized the functional significance of some of its components. Our study reveals indispensable roles of host ribosomal protein RPL5 and DHX9 (RNA helicase A) in mediating efficient translation from the IRESl element and establish the function of HEV IRESl as a bonafide internal ribosome entry site.

Author summary

Protein synthesis is a fundamental process for survival and proliferation of all living organisms. Majority of cellular proteins are produced through cap-dependent translation. Cells also utilize a variety of cap-independent translation processes to synthesize essential proteins during stress. Viruses depend on the host cell translation machinery to synthesize their own proteins. Hepatitis E virus is a major cause of hepatitis worldwide. The viral genome is a capped positive strand RNA. Viral non-structural and structural proteins are synthesized through a cap-dependent translation process. An earlier study from our laboratory reported the presence of a fourth ORF in genotype 1-HEV, which produced the ORF4 protein using a cap-independent internal ribosome entry site-like (IRESl) element. In the current study, we identified the host proteins that associate with the HEV-IRESl RNA and generated the RNA-protein interactome. Through a variety of experimental approaches, our data proves that HEV-IRESl is a bonafide internal ribosome entry site.

Introduction

Protein synthesis is an essential process for survival and growth of all organisms. Viruses depend on the host cell machinery for synthesis of their proteins. Proteins are synthesized by the process of translation, which involves four distinct steps such as initiation, elongation, termination and recycling of the ribosomes. In eukaryotes, under normal conditions, majority of mRNA are translated by recognition of the m^7G $(5')_{PPP}(5')N(cap)$ structure present at the 5'-end of the mRNA, by the process of cap-dependent translation. Many cellular and viral mRNAs are translated by the cap-independent mechanisms, by using distinct *cis*-acting regulatory elements, such as the cap-independent translational enhancers (CITEs), m^6A modification of the mRNAs or the internal ribosome entry sites (IRES). Initiation of the cap-dependent translation occurs through a ribosomal scanning mechanism, in which the 48S initiation complex moves along the mRNA from the m^7G cap in the 5' to 3' direction to locate a suitable AUG initiation codon. Following AUG recognition, through a series of steps, 80S ribosome complex is assembled on the mRNA with met-tRNAi bound to the AUG codon at the P-site, leading to translation elongation (1). The CITES are located in either 5'- or 3'- UTRs (untranslated regions) of the mRNAs and they initiate cap-independent translation by recruiting the initiation factors to the uncapped mRNAs, followed by 5'-end ribosomal scanning mediated identification of the initiation codon. Under conditions of stress, the cap-independent translation of many cellular mRNAs such as c-myc, Apaf-1, XIAP seem to occur through the above mechanism (2,3). A variant of the eukaryotic initiation factor 4G (eIF4G) has been shown to be important for the cap-independent translation of the c-myc mRNA (4). Recently, yeast eIF4G1 was shown to mediate the cap-independent translation from the black beatle virus 5'-untranslated region (UTR) (5). Translation of 5'- or 3'- UTR m^6A modified mRNAs also occur in a cap-independent manner (6,7,8).

Cap-independent, IRES-mediated translation has been reported in many positive-strand RNA viruses, which contain an uncapped genomic RNA. 5'-UTR in the genomic RNA of these viruses contain highly structured RNA elements, which directly recruit the initiation factors and promote translation through a scanning independent process, with the exception of the type I IRES, which depends on the ribosomal scanning process. IRES

have been divided into 5 major types based on their mode of ribosome recruitment and RNA structure. The type I and type II IRES are found in the Picorna viruses such as the Polio virus (PV) and the Foot and Mouth Disease virus (FMDV), respectively. The PV IRES harbors six stem loops designated as domain I to VI. The Domain I forms unique clover leaf structure and is critical for replication of both the positive and the negative sense RNA. The domains II to VI are responsible for the PV IRES activity. During PV infections, viral 2A^{pro} cleaves the eIF4E binding N-terminal domain of the eIF4G without affecting its eIF3/eIF4A binding property. Stable association of the eIF4G with the PV IRES domain V promotes binding of other initiation factors, leading to formation of the 43S preinitiation complex. The FMDV IRES is a well-studied example of the type II IRES. The domain IV of the FMDV IRES binds with scaffold protein eIF4G**.** The 3C^{pro} and L^{pro} of FMDV cleave the eIF4G similar to the 2A^{pro} of the type I IRES**.** Notably, the FMDV IRES skips ribosomal scanning, instead, IRES proximal stem loop formation brings 84 nucleotides downstream AUG, close to the first AUG to start the translation by direct ribosome transfer**.** Other identified cis-acting elements for the type II IRES activity are the GNRA, RAAA and C-rich loop in the domain III (9). The type III IRES is present in the 5'-UTR of the Hepatitis A virus genome (10). It requires eIF4E binding for translation initiation (11)**.** The type IV IRES have been reported in members of the Flaviviridae family, classified as the HCV (Hepatitis C virus)/ HCV-like IRES. The 5'-UTR of HCV contains four domains: the domain I and II are involved in the viral replication while the domains III and IV are involved in the IRES activity (12,13). The HCV/HCV-like IRES are shorter in comparison to the type I and II IRES. The domains II and III contain several subdomains for interaction with the 40S ribosomal subunit. The type V IRES includes the long intergenic region (IGR) IRES, found between two open reading frames in the viral genomes and conserved in the dicistroviridae family (12,13). The IGR IRES are the smallest IRES (~180 nt long) elements consisting of three pseudoknots. It directly binds to the ribosomes and initiates translation with the alanine-tRNAi (ala-tRNAi) instead of the met-tRNAi, without involving the eIFs (14,15).

Many viruses have evolved the ability to utilize both cap-dependent and cap-independent translation processes, depending on the state of the cell. Notably, although Dengue virus and Zika virus genomic RNA is usually translated through a cap-dependent mechanism,

their 5'-UTRs also contain IRES-elements, which maintain viral translation under
unfavorable conditions where cap-dependent translation is inhibited by the host
(16,17,18). Similarly, genomic RNA of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) type 1
and the Simian Immunodeficiency virus (SIV) contain IRES-elements in their 5'-leader
sequences, which maintain viral translation when cap-dependent translation is inhibited
(19,20,21,22). In addition, recent studies have identified functional IRES elements within
open reading frames of viral protein coding genes. For example, five functional IRES-
elements are located within the coding region of the non-structural and the structural
proteins of the human rhinovirus 16, which are active under conditions of endoplasmic
reticulum (ER) stress (23,24). The Human cytomegalovirus pUL138 protein is translated
by an IRES under conditions of stress (25). Further, coat protein of the Turnip crinkle virus
(TCV) is translated through an unstructured IRES (26).

The Hepatitis E virus is a positive strand RNA virus of the family Hepeviridae. The viral
genome is capped at the 5'-end followed by a short UTR of 25 nucleotides. The viral non-
structural proteins are synthesized from the ORF1 located between 26-5107 nucleotides.
Viral capsid protein is produced by the ORF2, located at the 3'-end between 5145-7127
nucleotides. A short 3'-UTR of 65 nucleotides is present downstream of the ORF2,
followed by a poly-A tail (27). A third ORF is present between the ORF1 and ORF2, which
produces ORF3 protein, an accessory protein that associates with the host Tumor
susceptibility gene 101 and facilitates release of the progeny virus (28-30). The above
genome organization is conserved among the 7 genotypes of the HEV. In contrast to
zoonotic nature of some HEV genotypes, g1-HEV infection is restricted to the human.
The g1-HEV does not replicate efficiently in mammalian cell lines (31).

Our earlier study demonstrated the coexistence of both cap-dependent and cap-
independent translation in the g1-HEV (32). Although HEV non-structural and structural
proteins are synthesized by a cap-dependent translation process, a protein essential for
g1-HEV replication (ORF4 protein) is synthesized from an overlapping ORF located within
the viral ORF1 through a cap-independent translation process. An 87-nucleotide
conserved RNA regulatory element located upstream of the ORF4 coding region was
found to drive cap-independent translation of the ORF4 protein. Interestingly, although

ORF4 protein production in g1-HEV infected cells was markedly enhanced upon
treatment of cells with ER stress-inducing compounds, HEV IRESI functioned efficiently
irrespective of the ER stress inducer treatment in *in-vitro* translation assays or bicistronic
reporter-based assays. Analysis of cap-independent translation driven by the HEV RNA
regulatory element by bicistronic reporter assays along with site directed mutagenesis
mediated mapping of the regulatory RNA sequence suggested the HEV RNA regulatory
element to be an IRES-element. However, considering the small size of the regulatory
element and its lack of strong homology with canonical IRES-elements, it was designated
as an IRES-like (IRESI) element. The current study was designed to further explore the
mechanism of HEV IRESI activity and verify its function as a bonafide internal translation
initiation site. Host interaction partners of the HEV IRESI were identified and their
importance in the IRESI-mediated translation was evaluated. Subsequent experiments
showed association of the HEV IRESI RNA with actively translating ribosomes, supporting
its role as a translation initiation element. Significance of these findings in validating the
role of HEV IRESI as a bonafide internal ribosome entry site is discussed.

Results

Identification of host proteins that interact with the HEV IRESI element

Two independent experimental approaches (RaPID assay and Yeast three hybrid assay)
were followed to identify the host proteins that interact with the HEV IRESI RNA. RNA -
protein interaction detection assay (RaPID assay) involves biotinylation of IRES
interacting proteins, followed by their identification by liquid chromatography with tandem
mass spectroscopy (LC-MS-MS) (33). RaPID assay was optimized in our laboratory (34).
87 nucleotides of the g1-HEV genome (Genbank ID: AF444002.1) corresponding to the
IRESI region was cloned into the pRMB vector between the BirA ligase binding stem-loop
(RMB-SLI, RMB-SLII) sequences (Fig. 1A, 1C). Analysis of the secondary structure of the
fusion RNA sequence using "Mfold" indicated that the HEV IRESI and the BirA ligase
binding stem-loops retained their distinct folding characteristics (Fig. 1A). An unrelated
sequence in the g1-HEV genome (618-738 nucleotides from the 5'-end, denoted as

control RNA) was also cloned into the pRMB vector to be used as a control to monitor

specificity of the assay. Biotin-untreated cells were used as an additional control and

experiments were performed two times and samples of each experiment were run in

triplicate.

Construction and analysis of the RPPI network

Figure 1. Identification of host interaction partners of the HEV IRESI element by RaPID and Yeast three hybrid assay.

(A) Schematic of predicted secondary structure of the HEV IRESI RNA (highlighted in blue) fused to the BirA-binding RNA motifs (RMB-SL1 and RMB-SL2). Stem-loops of the HEV IRESI are denoted as SL A, SL B, SL C (B) Schematic of predicted secondary structure of the HEV IRESI RNA (highlighted in blue) fused to the MS2 coat protein-binding RNA motifs (MS2-SL1 and MS2-SL2). Stem-loops of the HEV IRESI are denoted as SL A, SL B, SL C (C) Work-flow to identify the HEV IRESI-binding host proteins. B: Biotin, A: Agarose, S: Streptavidin, AD: GAL4-Activation domain, BD: GAL4-DNA Binding domain, L: Leucine, U: Uracil, H: Histidine, 3-AT: 3-Amino 1, 2, 4 Triazole, "−": Deficiency in the medium, "+": Supplemented in the medium.

Quality of the MS data between replicates of different samples was checked by Pearson correlation analysis, which showed good correlation (average range: 0.2-1.0). Proteins with at least one biotinylated peptide with PEP (posterior error probability) score ≥ the median value in the Gaussian smoothing curve of each sample were selected for further analysis. Specific interaction partners of the HEV IRESI RNA were selected by subtracting the biotinylated HEV IRESI data set from the biotinylated HEV (618-738), unbiotinylated HEV (618-738) and unbiotinylated HEV IRESI datasets (Fig. 2A, Fig. S1, Table S1). The HEV IRESI RNA-binding protein dataset thus obtained was further analyzed to select only those proteins that were represented by one or more unique peptides and a "prot score" of 30 or more. Thus, a total of 43 unique proteins were identified as interaction partners of the HEV IRESI RNA (Fig. 2B, Table 1).

Figure 2. Identification of HEV IRESI RNA-binding proteins by RaPID and Y3H assay.

(A) Venny analysis of the HEV IRESI RNA-binding proteins identified by the RaPID assay. (B) Summary of the HEV IRESI RNA-binding proteins identified by the RaPID and the Y3H assay. (C) Y3H assay mediated confirmation of the interaction between HEV IRESI RNA and the host proteins identified by screening of the human liver cDNA library. YBZ1 strain was transformed in the indicated combinations and plated on media lacking Leucine and Uracil (LU⁻). Four random colonies from each cotransformant plate were replica plated onto media lacking Leucine, Uracil, Histidine (LUH⁻) and supplemented with 5mM or 10mM 3-amino 1, 2, 4 Triazole (3-AT). Same colonies were used in liquid β-galactosidase assay. Relative β-galactosidase units are plotted as mean (± SEM).

Table 1. Host interaction partners of the HEV IRESI element

Gene name	Description	Prot_score	No. of biotinylated peptide	No. of unique biotinylated peptide
(A) HEV IRESI-interacting host proteins, identified by the RaPID assay[a]				
EEF2	Elongation factor 2	1568	8	6
TUBA3C	Tubulin alpha-3C/D chain	929	1	1
HNRNPA2B1	Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins A2/B1	338	2	2
DHX9	ATP-dependent RNA helicase A	285	8	7
TARS	Threonyl-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic	285	7	5
RPL7A	60S ribosomal protein L7a	262	4	3
BAT2L2	Protein BAT2-like 2	75	1	1
NUFIP2	Nuclear fragile X mental retardation-interacting protein 2	75	2	1
VARS	Valyl-tRNA synthetase	56	12	8
ARPP19	cAMP-regulated phosphoprotein 19	53	1	1
ENSA	Alpha-endosulfine	53	1	1
RPL24	60S ribosomal protein L24	53	1	1
TARSL2	Probable threonyl-tRNA synthetase 2, cytoplasmic	53	5	5
YTHDF2	YTH domain family protein 2	53	3	2
HSPH1	Heat shock protein 105 kDa	50	9	6
CAT	Catalase	49	2	2
DDX10	Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX10	42	15	9
LARP4	La-related protein 4	42	6	1
NDUFAF2	Mimitin, mitochondrial	41	1	1
DBNL	Drebrin-like protein	39	3	1
LBR	Lamin-B receptor	38	1	1
NSF	Vesicle-fusing ATPase	37	1	1
CEACAM16	Carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule 16	35	1	1
VWA5B1	von Willebrand factor A domain-containing protein 5B1	35	5	5
CCDC65	Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 65	34	5	5
PUM1	Pumilio homolog 1	34	4	2
ARSJ	Arylsulfatase J	33	2	1
RPA1	Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunit	33	4	4
TXLNG	Gamma-taxilin	33	17	10
AIMP1	Aminoacyl tRNA synthetase complex-interacting multifunctional protein 1	32	5	3
BIVM	Basic immunoglobulin-like variable motif-containing protein	32	7	3
CCDC67	Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 67	32	8	8
RSBN1L	Round spermatid basic protein 1-like protein	32	1	1
SH3PXD2A	SH3 and PX domain-containing protein 2A	32	1	1
UBAP2	Ubiquitin-associated protein 2	32	2	1
GLS	Glutaminase kidney isoform, mitochondrial	31	6	1
MRE11A	Double-strand break repair protein MRE11A	31	1	1
ARL6IP5	PRA1 family protein 3	31	2	1
CENPP	Centromere protein P	30	2	1
ERP29	Endoplasmic reticulum resident protein 29	30	2	1
LASP1	LIM and SH3 domain protein 1	30	1	1
TMEM59L	Transmembrane protein 59-like	30	2	1

(B) HEV IRESI-interacting host proteins, identified by the Y3H assay

Gene name	Description			
GREBP	cGMP-response Element–binding protein			
PPIG	Peptidylprolyl Isomerase G			
RPL5	Ribosomal protein L5			
RPL26	Ribosomal protein L26			
RPL41	Ribosomal protein L41			
RPS3A	Ribosomal protein S3A			
RPS7	Ribosomal protein S7			
RPS15A	Ribosomal protein S15A			

 ᵃRaPID data has been sorted on the basis of Prot score. "Bold" font represents proteins known to
interact with IRES elements.

Since RaPID assay may have the limitation of not identifying all proteins buried inside the

RNA-protein complex, yeast three hybrid (Y3H) assay was used as an alternate approach

to unbiasedly identify the direct interaction partners of the HEV IRESI RNA. A human liver

cDNA library was screened using the Y3H assay (Fig. 1C) (35, 36). In Y3H assay, the

bait RNA is flanked by two copies of the MS2-coat protein-binding RNA element. Analysis

of the RNA sequence containing fusion of the HEV-IRESI RNA and the MS2-coat protein

binding stem loop RNA sequences using "Mfold" indicated that their secondary structure

profiles remain unaltered in the fusion RNA (Fig. 1B). Self-activation of the *lacZ* and *HIS3*

reporter genes by the HEV IRESI RNA was checked by cotransforming the plasmids

encoding the HEV IRESI (p3HR2-HEV IRESI) and the GAL4 activation domain (pACT2)

into chemically competent YBZ1 yeast cells, followed by selection of the cotransformants

on the LUH⁻ medium and assay of the β-galactosidase activity. No growth of colonies was

seen on the LUH⁻ plates neither β-galactosidase activity was observed, indicating that

there was no self-activation of the reporter genes by the bait RNA (Fig. 2C). Next, the bait

RNA expressing YBZ1 cells were transformed with the human liver cDNA library. A total

of 4×10^6 clones were screened and cotransformants were selected on the LUH⁻ medium

supplemented with 10mM 3-amino 1,2,4 triazole(3-AT). Note that 3-AT is a competitive

inhibitor of the Histidine biosynthesis pathway. Therefore, addition of 3-AT allows growth

of the cotransformants that show strong interaction between the bait RNA and the prey

protein. 395 colonies were obtained in the primary screening, out of which human liver

cDNA insert could be detected in 285 colonies (Table S2). Restriction pattern analysis of

the prey plasmid DNA isolated from the 285 colonies showed that unique cDNA insert

was present in 75 plasmids. Analysis of the cDNA insert sequences identified 8 protein

coding genes, which were in frame with the GAL4 activation domain (Table S2).

Retransformation of those 8 plasmids along with the p3HR2-HEV IRESI plasmid showed

that all of them were able to interact with the HEV IRESI (Fig. 2C). The eight proteins

include 6 ribosomal proteins (RPL5, RPL26, RPL41, RPS3A and RPS7, RPS15A), PPIG

(peptidylprolyl isomerase G) and GREBP (cyclic-GMP response element binding protein)

(Table 1).

Further, specificity of the interaction of eight Y3H-identified proteins with the HEV IRESI

was evaluated in two ways: (a) by measuring the interaction of those proteins with an

RNA sequence complementary to the HEV IRESI (HEV IRESI⁻) (b) by measuring the

interaction of those proteins with the known IRES sequences from the genotype 3A

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) and the foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV). All sequences

were cloned into the p3HR2 vector, upstream of the MS2 coat protein-binding stem-loop

RNA sequences (Fig. S2). No significant interaction was observed between HEV IRESI⁻

RNA and the host proteins (Fig. 2C). Y3H analysis revealed that only RPS3A interacts

with equal strength with all the three RNA sequences (HEV IRESI, FMDV IRES, HCV

IRES) (Table S3 and Fig. 3). RPL41 and RPS15A interacts exclusively with the HEV

IRESI RNA. While, RPL5 and RPS7 were found to interact strongly with the HEV IRESI

RNA and weakly with the HCV IRES. RPL26 interacts moderately and strongly with the

HEV IRESI and the HCV IRES, respectively, whereas it did not interact with the FMDV

IRES. GREBP interacts strongly with the HEV IRESI and weakly with both FMDV IRES

and HEV IRESI. PPIG also showed a difference in the strength of interaction among the

three RNA sequences in the following order- HCV IRES: strong interaction, HEV IRESI:

weak interaction and FMDV IRES: no interaction (Table S3 and Fig. 3).

In order to further ascertain that HEV IRESI interaction partners identified by RaPID and

Y3H are bonafide candidates, some of the interactions were further validated by an *in*

vitro pull-down assay using *in vitro* transcribed biotinylated HEV IRESI RNA as bait. Non-

biotinylated HEV IRESI and biotinylated control RNA (618-738 nts in g1-HEV genome) as

well as only cell lysate (Mock) was used as controls to ensure specificity of the assay

(Fig. 4A, 4B). All 10 proteins tested by the pull-down assay showed interaction with the

HEV IRESI RNA (Fig. 4C). Six of these interactions were originally identified by Y3H

assay (RPS3a, RPS7, RPL26, RPL41, PPIG, GREBP) and four were identified by RaPID

assay (RPL5, RPL24, LARP4, DHX9) (Fig. 4C). These data further support that the

proteins identified by RaPID and Y3H assay are bonafide interaction partners of the HEV

IRESI RNA.

Figure 3. Assessment of the ability of the HEV IRESI-binding host proteins
(identified by the human liver cDNA library screening) to interact with the HCV IRES
and the FMDV IRES.

YBZ1 strain was transformed in the indicated combinations and plated on media lacking
Leucine and Uracil (LU$^-$). Four random colonies from each cotransformant plate were
replica plated onto media lacking Leucine, Uracil, Histidine (LUH$^-$) and supplemented with
5mM or 10mM 3-amino 1, 2, 4 Triazole (3-AT). Same colonies were used in liquid β-
galactosidase assay. Relative β-galactosidase units are plotted as mean (± SEM).

Figure 4. Confirmation of HEV IRESI RNA-protein interactions by biotinylated-RNA pull down assay and construction of the RNA-protein interaction network.

(A) Formaldehyde-agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining mediated visualization of *in vitro* transcribed and purified non-biotinylated IRSEI RNA [IRESI RNA (B⁻)], biotinylated IRSEI RNA [IRESI RNA (B⁺)] and biotinylated control RNA [control RNA (B⁺)], as indicated. RNA size marker was resolved in parallel (lane 1). (B) Formaldehyde-agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining mediated visualization of eluates from streptavidin-pull down of samples containing the control RNA (B⁺), IRESI RNA (B⁻) and IRESI RNA (B⁺), as indicated. (C) Western blot analysis of the indicated proteins using aliquots of eluates from biotinylated-RNA pull down of samples containing the control RNA (B⁺), IRESI RNA (B⁻) and IRESI RNA (B⁺), as indicated. 5% input denotes 5% of the cell lysate used for incubation with the RNAs during the pull-down assay. (D) Schematic of the HEV IRESI RNA-protein interaction network. Black node denotes HEV IRESI RNA, blue and red nodes denote host proteins identified by the RaPID and the Y3H assay, respectively. Edges are represented by blue lines (E) Graphical representation of the top 10 Biological processes (sorted by *P*-values) enriched in the HEV IRESI RNA-protein interactome (F) Graphical representation of the top 10 Reactome pathways (sorted by *P*-values) enriched in the HEV IRESI RNA-protein interactome.

Construction of the HEV IRESI RNA-host protein interaction network and analysis of the interactome.

43 and 8 host proteins identified as interaction partners of the HEV-IRESI RNA by RaPID and Y3H assay, respectively, were clubbed and imported to Cytoscape to generate the RNA-Protein-Protein interaction (RPPI) network, as described earlier (29). Analysis of the different network characteristics revealed that the HEV IRESI-RPPI network contained 51 nodes and 74 edges, the average node degree being 3.36. Average clustering coefficient was 0.44 and the PPI enrichment P-value was $1.11e^{-16}$ (Fig. 4D). Above network parameters suggest that the HEV IRESI-RPPI network is highly connected and suitable for further downstream studies.

Next, Gene ontology (GO) and Reactome pathway analysis of the HEV IRESI-RPPI

network was performed using the "Enrichr" tool to determine the significantly enriched

processes/pathways. Proteins involved in translation and mRNA catabolic processes

were enriched in the GO biological processes category, supporting involvement of the

HEV IRESI element in the protein synthesis process (Fig. 4E, top 10 biological processes

are shown). Similarly, top Reactome pathways include translation, eukaryotic translation

elongation and seleno animo acid metabolism (Fig. 4F, top 10 Reactome pathways are

shown). Analysis of the dataset using the gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) tool also

showed translation and peptide metabolic process as top hits, in agreement with the

"Enrichr" output (Fig. S3A, S3B). Components of both small and large subunits of the

ribosome such as RPS7, RPS3A, RPS15A and RPL5, RPL24, RPL26, RPL41 interact

with the HEV IRESI. Components of the tRNA synthetase complex such as Threonyl-

tRNA synthetase (TARS), Valyl-tRNA synthetase (VARS), Aminoacyl tRNA synthetase

complex-interacting multifunctional protein 1 (AIMP1) and a component of the translation

elongation complex (EEF2, eukaryotic elongation factor 2) also interact with the HEV

IRESI, further supporting its involvement in the protein synthesis process (Table 1). In

addition, a literature search of IRES-binding host proteins revealed that four proteins

identified in our study are known to bind other IRES. DHX9 and RPA1 bind to the IRES

of HCV and FMDV; RPS3A associates with the HCV IRES, while RPL26 interacts with

the p53 IRES (Table 1, highlighted in bold font) (37, 38, 39).

Interrogation of the interaction between the HEV IRESI RNA and the host ribosomal

proteins by *in silico* analyses.

In silico molecular docking has been used in determining the complex structures of RNA-

proteins interactions (40). We used HDOCK, a web-based tool which is a hybrid docking

algorithm of template-based modeling for determining the interaction between the HEV

IRESI RNA and four of the identified proteins (RPL5, RPL 26, RPS3A and RPS7) (41).

HEV IRESI showed direct interaction with RPL5, RPL26, RPS3A and RPS7 proteins (Fig.

5A-5D). All four complexes were analyzed for identification of the hot-spot residues and

was compared based on interaction mapping and binding energy analysis. All four

complexes were quantified in terms of their interaction by mapping the critical polar contacts. The key nucleotides of each complex were mapped and, notably, RPS3A, RPL26 and RPL5 were found to interact with the HEV IRESI through a combination of common and unique nucleotides. The nucleotides U60, U29, G30 and U59 were common in at least two complexes. The unique nucleotides which contribute significantly in RPL5 are G50 and C44; U22, G51 and C68 in RPS3A; A38, C41 and U66 in RPL26; U9, C10, G12, G53 and G56 in RPS7 (Fig. 5E-5H). We also quantified the overall contributions by measuring the surface contacts between the HEV IRESI nucleotides and proteins (Fig. S3C). Here, the main focus was to identify the common nucleotides, which were involved in contacts with the amino acids of the different ribosomal proteins. Interestingly, we found that nucleotides A8, U9, C28, G51, G52, U59, U60, G61, U67, C68 and C69 were common in at least three proteins. Nucleotides U29, G30, G31, A38, C41, A42, G50, C55, A57, U62 and A85 were common among two proteins (Fig. S3C). Among the identified nucleotides, some are engaged with two or more amino acids such as C10, U22, U29, G30, U59 and U60, indicating their importance in mediating the interaction of the HEV IRESI RNA with the respective ribosomal protein (Fig. 5E-5H). Further investigation of the binding energy of each complex, the order of binding affinity between the four complexes are RPL5 (-391.48 kcal/mol), RPL26 (-363.43 kcal/mol), RPS3A (-341.19 kcal/mol) and RPS7 (-302.44 kcal/mol) (Fig. 5I). Physico-chemical analysis of the interacting amino acids, it was observed that most of the interacting residues were either basic (K/R) or aromatic (Y/F/H) in nature and each complex involves at least one serine residue.

Figure 5. *In silico* mapping of the interaction between the HEV IRESI RNA and the ribosomal proteins.

(A) The interaction between the HEV IRESI and RPS3A is shown in the cartoon and rendered in cyan and lime, respectively. The interacting nucleotides and amino acids are rendered in licorice and colored in atom-wise mode like C: cyan/white, O: red, N: blue and S: yellow. (B) The interaction between the HEV IRESI and RPS7 is shown in the cartoon and rendered in cyan and lime, respectively. The interacting nucleotides and amino acids are rendered as shown in (A). (C) The interaction between the HEV IRESI and RPL26 is shown in the cartoon and rendered in cyan and lime, respectively. The interacting nucleotides and amino acids are rendered as shown in (A). (D) The interaction between the HEV IRESI and RPL5 is shown in the cartoon and rendered in cyan and lime, respectively. The interacting nucleotides and amino acids are rendered as shown in (A). (E-H) The quantitative polar contacts between nucleotide and amino acids are measured for the indicated complexes and shown in bar-graph (Y-axis: hydrogen bond distance, X-axis interacting pair of nucleotides@aminoacids). (I) The binding free energy for the indicated complexes are plotted in the bar graph.

RPL5 and DHX9 (RNA helicase A) proteins are essential for the function of the HEV IRESI.

In order to further examine the functional significance of host interaction partners of the HEV IRESI, we used siRNA against some of the host factors to deplete them in the Huh7 cells, followed by measurement of HEV IRESI activity and g1-HEV replication. 72 hours treatment of Huh7 cells with the siRNAs against RPL5, RPL24, RPL26, RPL41, RPS3A and DHX9 depleted the corresponding proteins (Fig. 6A). Note that in the case of RPS3A siRNA, there was a significant reduction in the GAPDH protein level at 72 hours treatment period (Fig. 6A). Effect of siRNA treatment on viability of the Huh7 cells was measured at 72 hours post treatment. RPS3A siRNA treatment led to a significant loss of cell viability (Fig. 6B). Therefore, RPS3A siRNA was excluded from further studies.

Next, a bicistronic reporter construct (pRL-FF/Luc dual luciferase construct) was used to

simultaneously measure the HEV IRESI activity (by measuring Firefly-Luc activity) and

cap-dependent translation activity (by measuring Renilla-Luc activity) in siRNA treated

Huh7 cells, as described earlier (32) (Fig. 6C). Among the ribosomal proteins, siRNAs

against RPL5 and RPL26 significantly reduced the activity of both Firefly-Luc (measure

HEV IRESI activity) and Renilla-Luc (measure cap-dependent translation activity) (Fig.

6D, 6E). Lack of RPL24 and RPL41 did not affect the Firefly-Luc or Renilla-Luc activity

(Fig. 6D, 6E). DHX9 siRNA significantly decreased the activity of both Firefly-Luc and

Renilla-Luc (Fig. 6D, 6E). Next, g1-HEV expressing Huh7 cells were treated with different

siRNAs, followed by measurement of the level of viral sense strand RNA. Lack of RPL5

and DHX9 proteins significantly reduced the level of g1-HEV RNA (Fig. 6F). However,

lack of RPL24 and RPL26 did not affect g1-HEV RNA level and lack of RPL41 marginally

enhanced the level of g1-HEV RNA (Fig. 6F).

Figure 6. Essential roles of the ribosomal protein RPL5 and RNA helicase DHX9 in mediating the function of the HEV IRESl element.

(A) Western blot analysis of the indicated proteins after 48- and 72-hours treatment with the indicated siRNAs (lanes 2 and 3). Lane 1 shows protein from cells treated for 72 hours with a non-target siRNA (NT) (B) Percent viability of Huh7 cells treated with the indicated siRNAs for 72 hours. The value for the non-target siRNA treated cells was considered to be 100% and other values were calculated relative to that. Values are the mean (± SEM) of triplicate samples (C) Schematic of the pRL-FF/Luc bicistronic reporter plasmid (D) Firefly-Luciferase activity of Huh7 cells transfected with the indicated siRNAs and the pRL-FF/Luc plasmid for 72 hours. The Firefly-Luc values were divided by that of the cell viability and represented as mean (± SEM) of triplicate samples (E) The Renilla-Luciferase activity of the Huh7 cell lysate used in (C). The Renilla-Luc values were divided by that of the cell viability and represented as mean (± SEM) of triplicate samples (F) RT q-PCR measurement of intracellular level of the g1-HEV genomic RNA in the Huh7 cells transfected with the indicated siRNAs for 72 hours. Values for the g1-HEV RNA was normalized to that of the GAPDH RNA and represented as mean (± SEM) of triplicate samples.

HEV IRESl RNA is associated with the polysomes in translationally active cells.

A ribosomal fractionation assay was performed to verify the localization of the HEV IRESl element in actively translating ribosomes (Fig. 7A). A construct expressing the Firefly-Luc reporter under control of the HEV IRESl in a cap-independent manner (pSuper-HEV IRESl) was used to distinctly identify the presence of the HEV IRESl in the actively translating ribosomes. Note that HEV IRESl RNA transcription is driven by the H1 RNA polymerase III promoter in the pSuper vector. A Renilla-Luc reporter driven by cap-dependent translation was used as a positive control for the assay (pRL-TK). HEK 293T cells were cotransfected with the pSuper-HEV IRESl and the pRL-TK plasmids. 48 hours post transfection, cells were lysed and Firefly, Renilla-Luc activity was measured. As expected, significant activity of both Firefly and Renilla-Luc was observed (Fig. 7B). In

order to verify if Firefly-Luc was produced through a cap-independent process, total RNA

was isolated from the cotransfected cells, followed by immunoprecipitation of capped

RNAs using the anti-m^{7}G cap antibody, which specifically recognizes the m^{7}G in the cap

structure (42). Level of the Firefly or the Renilla-Luc encoding RNA was quantified by

Real-Time PCR. As expected, the Renilla-Luc RNA was detected in the anti-m^{7}G cap

antibody immunoprecipitated sample whereas the Firefly-Luc RNA was not detectable in

aliquots of the same sample (Fig. 7C). In contrast, the Firefly-Luc RNA was detected in

the unbound fraction only (Fig. 7C).

Next, cytoplasmic fractions of HEK 293T cells cotransfected with the pSuper-HEV IRESI

and the pRL-TK plasmids were subjected to linear (10-50%) sucrose density gradient

centrifugation, followed by measurement of both Firefly and Renilla-Luc RNAs in different

fractions. Cells were lysed after treatment with cycloheximide (CHX) or puromycin (Puro),

which stalls translating ribosomes or prevents the polysome assembly, respectively (43,

44). Ribosome profiles of cycloheximide and puromycin treated cells showed an expected

pattern, in agreement with the earlier studies (Fig. S4A) (44). Total RNA was isolated from

aliquots of the alternate fractions from the density gradient samples and resolved by

formaldehyde-agarose gel electrophoresis, followed by visualization of 28S and 18S RNA

by ethidium bromide staining. As expected, 28S and 18S RNA was majorly present in the

fractions corresponding to the polysomes in the cycloheximide treated samples (Fig. S4B,

upper panel), which was significantly reduced in the puromycin treated samples (Fig.

S4B, lower panel). RT-qPCR revealed that in cycloheximide treated cells both Firefly and

Renilla-Luc RNA were predominantly detected in the fractions 9 onwards, indicating their

association with the polysomes (Fig. 7D). On the other hand, control RNA was mostly

present in fractions 1 and 3 (representing free RNA), indicating its inability to associate

with the polysome, which further confirms the specificity of the ribosome fractionation

assay (Fig. 7D). Next, aliquots of the density gradient fractions were used for western blot

analysis of the different ribosomal proteins, DHX9 and PPIG. In cycloheximide treated

cells, RPS3A, RPL26, RPS7, RPL5 and RPL24 were predominantly present in the

polysome fractions (Fig. 7E). DHX9 was found in both 40S-80S ribosome-containing

fractions and polysome fractions (Fig. 7E). PPIG was predominately found in the free

mRNA or 40S-80S ribosome complex-containing fractions (Fig. 7E). PPIG was used as

a control to monitor purity of the polysome fractions as it is not known to be present in the

polysomes. Note that PPIG is a peptidyl-prolyl isomerase of the cyclophilin family, which

catalyze the conversion between *cis* and *trans* isomers of proline (45). Collectively, these

findings confirm that the HEV IRESl RNA is complexed with actively translating

ribosomes.

Figure 7. The HEV IRESl element associates with actively translating ribosomes.

(A) Schematic of the ribosome fractionation assay. CHX: Cycloheximide, Puro: Puromycin

(B) Measurement of the Firefly and the Renilla-Luciferase activities in the Huh7 cells, cotransfected with the pSuper-HEV IRESl and the pRL-TK plasmids. Mock shows pSuper vector transfected Huh7 cells, processed in parallel. Values are represented as mean (± SEM) of triplicate samples (C) RT-qPCR analysis of the Firefly-Luc and the Renilla-Luc RNAs obtained by the RNA-IP assay using anti- m^7G-cap antibody. Mock: RNA from the pSuper vector transfected Huh7 cells, FF+Renilla: RNA from the Huh7 cells cotransfected with the pSuper-HEV IRESl and pRL-TK plasmids, Input: amount of RNA used for RNA-IP, Unbound: RNA isolated from supernatant of the IP samples before washing, Bound: RNA isolated from the elution fraction of the IP samples. Values are shown as mean (± SEM) of triplicate samples. (D) RT-qPCR analysis of the Control RNA, Firefly-Luc RNA and the Renilla-Luc RNA levels in aliquots of the total RNA isolated from the sucrose density gradient fractions of the Huh7 cells, cotransfected with the pSuper-control RNA plasmid, pSuper-HEV IRESl plasmid and pRL-TK plasmid and treated with cycloheximide. Values are mean (± SEM) of triplicate samples. (E) Western blot analysis of the level of indicated proteins present in the density gradient fractions, as shown in (D). "*": non-specific band detected by the anti-DHX9 antibody.

Importance of the HEV IRESl RNA-binding translation-related proteins in driving the association of the HEV IRESl RNA with the polysomes was further assessed by a ribosome fractionation assay in Firefly and Renilla-Luc expressing, different siRNA and cycloheximide-treated HEK 293T cells. Fractions 1, 5, 9 and 13 from the density gradient centrifugation samples were analyzed to quantify the levels of Firefly and Renilla-Luc RNAs as free RNA (fraction 1), in 40S-60S ribosome complex (fraction 5) or the polysome complex (fractions 9 and 13). Treatment of cells with siRNAs against RPL5, RPL26 and DHX9 significantly reduced the HEV IRESl RNA association with the polysomes whereas siRNAs against RPL24 and RPL41 did not affect that (Fig. 8A). However, in the case of Renilla-Luc RNA, in addition to RPL5 and RPL26, treatment with RPL24 siRNA also significantly reduced the association of the Renilla-Luc RNA with the polysomes. Further, treatment with DHX9 or RPL41 siRNAs did not affect the association of the Renilla-Luc

RNA with the polysomes (Fig. 8B). Next, aliquots of the above samples were analyzed

for detection of the RPL5 protein (an indicator of polysome-containing fractions). As

expected, RPL5 was predominately detected in the polysome-containing fractions (Fig.

8C, non-Target siRNA). Treatment with RPL5 siRNA significantly reduced its level.

Treatment with RPL24 siRNA also decreased the level of polysomes whereas RPL26,

RPL41 and DHX9 siRNA treatment did not affect the polysomes (Fig. 8C). Collectively,

these findings confirm the association of the HEV IRESl RNA with actively translating

ribosomes and suggest that RPL5 and DHX-9 help in HEV IRESl-mediated translation by

holding the RNA in the polysomes whereas DHX9 is dispensable for holding the Renilla-

Luc RNA (cap-dependent translation) in the polysomes.

A

B

C

Figure 8. RPL5, RPL26 and RNA helicase A are important for association of the HEV

IRESI element with the polysome.

(A) RT-qPCR analysis of the Firefly-Luc RNA level in the density gradient fractions 1, 5, 9 and 13 of the Huh7 cells, transfected with the indicated siRNAs and the pSuper-HEV IRESl and pRL-TK plasmids for 72 hours and treated with Cycloheximide for 30 minutes. Values are mean (± SEM) of triplicate samples. (B) RT-qPCR analysis of the Renilla-Luc RNA level in the density gradient fractions 1, 5, 9 and 13 of the Huh7 cells, transfected with the indicated siRNAs and the pSuper-HEV IRESl and the pRL-TK plasmids for 72 hours and treated with Cycloheximide for 30 minutes. Values are mean (± SEM) of triplicate samples. (C) Western blot analysis of the RPL5 protein level in the indicated density gradient fractions of the Huh7 cells, transfected with the indicated siRNAs and the pSuper-HEV IRESl and the pRL-TK plasmids for 72 hours and treated with Cycloheximide for 30 minutes.

Discussion

While investigating the cause of poor replication of the g1-HEV in the Huh7 cells, we observed better viral replication upon treatment of cells with ER stress-inducing compounds such as tunicamycin and thapsigargin. Subsequently, we identified a fourth ORF in the viral genome, which produced the ORF4 protein that played a key role in the assembly of the viral replication complex (32). We also showed that ORF4 was synthesized by an IRES-like (HEV IRESl) element. Sequence analysis of the HEV IRESl indicated its weak homology with the Equine Rhinitis A virus (ERAV) IRES (a type II IRES) (32,46). The HEV IRESl element is remarkably smaller in size than other canonical IRES elements and it requires only 87 nucleotides to drive the cap-independent translation of the ORF4 protein in its native context as well as to drive the translation of a heterologous protein such as the Firefly-Luciferase, when inserted into a bicistronic reporter construct. In contrast, function of known IRES elements depend on double the number of nucleotides or more. Smaller size and relatively simple secondary structure of the HEV IRESl element offers the advantage of dissecting out the molecular mechanisms that are crucial for initiation of cap-independent internal translation. Therefore, the current study was undertaken to further substantiate the claim that HEV IRESl element is a bonafide internal ribosome entry site.

Two independent approaches were followed to identify the host interaction partners of the
HEV IRESI RNA: RaPID assay and Y3H assay. The RaPID assay identifies stable and
transient interactions of all proteins associated with the RNA of interest that includes both
direct and indirect interaction partners of the RNA. Several controls were used to
avoid/subtract non-specific interaction partners, thus ensuring generation of high
confidence mass spectrometry data for downstream studies. For example, good quality
of our HEV IRESI RaPID assay-LC-MS-MS data is evident from the fact that comparison
of the HEV IRESI-interacting proteins with the SARS-CoV2 5'- and 3'-UTR RNA-
interacting proteins (reported by our laboratory using the same technique) identified only
RPL7a as the common protein (34). RPL7a is an essential component of the large subunit
of ribosome and known to directly bind with RNA through its two distinct RNA binding
domains (47). Presence of RPL7a in both the datasets seems to be in agreement with its
required function rather than being an experimental artefact.

A limitation of the RaPID assay is attributed to its dependence on biotinylation of lysines
exposed on the surface of RNA-binding proteins present within 10 nm distance from the
BirA ligase. Thus, it is possible to lose some of the RNA binding proteins if they are buried
deep inside the complex and inaccessible to BirA ligase for biotinylation. Therefore, a
conventional Y3H assay-based human liver cDNA library screening was conducted in
parallel to identify the interaction partners of the HEV IRESI RNA. Y3H assay offers the
advantage of identifying the direct interaction partners of a bait RNA. Y3H assay identified
ribosomal proteins (RPL5, RPL26, RPL41, RPS7, RPS15A and RPS3A), a known DNA
binding protein (GREBP) and a cyclophilin family protein (PPIG) as interaction partners
of the HEV IRESI (48,45). Specificity of the Y3H data was further ensured by assessing
the interaction of the eight HEV IRESI RNA-interacting proteins with two well-known IRES
sequences such as the FMDV IRES (Type II IRES) and the HCV IRES (Type IV IRES).
Distinct patterns of interaction were observed for these RNA sequences, supporting the
specificity of the interactions detected in Y3H assay. Although both RaPID and Y3H
assays identified specific interaction partners of HEV IRESI, no common proteins were
detected in the two assays. A search of the initial RaPID data of HEV IRESI sample
identified   RPL26 as a common protein present in both RaPID and Y3H data sets.
However, RPL26 was not present in the final list of HEV IRESI interaction partners as it

had a PEP score and Prot score of 7 and 29, respectively, whereas the cutoff threshold

was set to 17 and 30, respectively. Note that RPL26 was not present in the negative

control sample RaPID data.

In order to further confirm the interaction between the HEV IRESl RNA and the host

proteins, *in vitro* biotinylated-RNA pull down assay and molecular docking analysis was

performed for some of the HEV IRESl interacting proteins, which supported their

interaction. Docking analysis also demonstrated that the complex between the HEV IRESl

and RPL5 was the most stable with respect to the others. Moreover, comparative

interaction mapping showed that the interaction of the HEV IRESl element with the

ribosomal proteins majorly involved the SL A (nucleotides U9, C10, G12, U22), SL B

(nucleotides U29, G30, A38, C41, C44, G50) and the unpaired region between the SL B

and SL C (G51, G53, G56, U59, U60, U66, C68) of the former (refer to the HEV IRESl

secondary structure in Fig. 1A). In summary, multiple validation steps ensured that the

HEV IRESl RNA interaction partners identified in our experiments are of high confidence.

Bioinformatics analysis of the 51 HEV IRESl RNA-binding proteins revealed enrichment

of proteins involved in the translation process. Eight ribosomal proteins and six translation

regulatory factors interact with the HEV IRESl RNA, in support of its function as a

translation initiation site**.** Four proteins identified in our study are known to interact with

the IRES of other viruses.

Silencing of RPL5 significantly inhibited the HEV IRESl activity as well as the g1-HEV

replication. RPL5 strongly interacts with the HEV IRESl, weakly interacts with HCV IRES

and does not interact with FMDV IRES in Y3H assay. RPL5 showed lowest binding free

energy (ΔG) for interaction with the HEV IRESl RNA, *in silico*. RPL5 is also a component

of the polysome and lack of RPL5 significantly affected the polysome stability and

dissociated both the HEV IRESl and the Renilla-Luc RNAs from the polysome. Hence, it

is clear that RPL5 is an essential factor for both cap-dependent and cap-independent

translation process. RPL26 showed a pattern similar to RPL5 by inhibiting the HEV IRESl-

Luc and Renilla-Luc activities. Moreover, the HEV IRESl-Luc and the Renilla-Luc RNA

association with the polysome was significantly reduced upon treatment of cells with the

RPL26 siRNA. However, RPL26 differed from RPL5 in the following parameters: it bound

moderately with the HEV IRESl RNA both in Y3H assay and *in silico* analysis, lack of

RPL26 did not affect g1-HEV replication and lack of RPL 26 did not affect the polysome

stability. Earlier studies have shown that lack of RPL26 does not affect cap-dependent

translation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and RPL26 is a known ITAF, which has been

shown to control DNA damage induced cap-independent translation of the p53 mRNA

(49, 50, 51). Based on our data and available literature, we propose that RPL26 may be

involved in mediating efficient function of the HEV IRESl though it is not an essential

factor. Ablation of both RPL5 and RPL26 may show a more profound effect on the HEV

IRESl activity. Further studies are required to clarify the role of RPL26 in mediating the

function of HEV IRESl. Silencing of RPL24 and RPL41 did not inhibit either the HEV IRESl

activity or the Renilla-Luc activity. Lack of RPL24 reduced the stability of the polysomes,

which is in agreement with earlier reports (52). However, lack of RPL24 did not affect the

HEV IRESl RNA association with the polysomes although it reduced the association of

the Renilla-Luc RNA with the polysomes, indicating its importance in cap-dependent

translation. Lack of RPL41 did not affect the polysome stability or HEV IRESl and Renilla

RNA association with the polysomes. Interestingly, lack of RPL41 significantly increased

the g1-HEV replication, possibly due to extra-translational function of RPL41. Note that

RPL41 knockdown has been shown to arrest the cell-cycle in the G2/M phase and it acts

by stabilizing the microtubule (53). Our earlier study has shown that HEV replication is

significantly high in the G2/M phase of the cell-cycle (54).

Apart from the ribosomal proteins, DHX9/RNA helicase A (RHA), HNRNPA2/B1,

YTHDF2, EEF2 and LARP4 were also identified as interaction partners of the HEV IRESl

RNA. RHA is known to associate with the IRES of FMDV and HCV and acts as an ITAF.

It also promotes translation from the IRES of retroviral RNA and jun D mRNA and

regulates the replication of several RNA viruses, including the porcine reproductive and

respiratory syndrome virus, bovine viral diarrhea virus, classical swine fever virus and

dengue virus (55-58). It binds to the 3'-UTR of HEV; however, significance of the

interaction is not known (59). RHA is a member of the DEx H-box family of superfamily II

(SF2) helicases, which unwind duplex RNA possessing a 3'-single stranded RNA tail by

hydrolyzing the nucleoside triphosphates (60, 61). In our experiments, lack of RHA

significantly reduced both the HEV IRESl and the Renilla-Luc activities as well as reduced

the g1-HEV replication. It was found to be associated with the 40S-80S ribosomes and
polysomes, however, its absence did not affect the polysome stability. Lack of RHA
resulted in reduced recruitment of the HEV IRESI RNA to the polysomes, however,
Renilla-Luc RNA association with the polysomes was not affected. Thus, it is clear that
RHA is involved in controlling the activity of the HEV IRESI. HNRNPA2B1 is reported to
be involved in mRNA processing. It has been shown to bind to the 3'-UTR of CDK6 and
recruit RHA, leading to miRNA mediated silencing of CDK6 (62). An earlier study has
shown that HNRNPA2B1 binds to the genomic and sub genomic promoters of HEV and
plays an essential role in the g1-HEV replication. Further, intracellular localization of
HNRNPA2B1 is altered in the HEV infected cells (63). YTHDF2 is known to accelerate
mRNA decay by targeting the mRNAs to cytoplasmic processing bodies (P-bodies) and
by recruiting the CCR4-NOT deadenylase complex (64, 65). EEF2 (eukaryotic elongation
factor 2) is a GTP binding translation elongation factor, which plays an essential role in
translation by promoting GTP dependent translocation of the ribosome (66). LARP4 binds
to the poly-A tract of mRNA, associates with the 40S ribosomal subunit as well as
polysomes and plays a role in translation regulation by preventing deadenylation at poly-
A tail (67-69). Presence of these factors in the HEV IRESI RNA-associated protein
complex suggests their potential role(s) in regulating the HEV IRESI-mediated translation.
Further studies are warranted to clarify their mode of action.

An important aspect of the HEV IRESI function pertains to upregulation of its activity by
the ER stress inducing agents in the g1-HEV infected cells (32). The RaPID assay
identified four proteins involved in the ER stress response pathway such as the heat
shock protein 105 kDa (HSP 105), the luminal ER protein of 29 kDa (ERp29), γ-taxilin and
Pumilio homolog 1 (PUM1) as interaction partners of the HEV IRESI. HSP 105 is known
to regulate the ER stress induced caspase-3 activation (70). ERP29 is induced by the ER
stress. It is ubiquitously expressed and implicated in the biosynthesis and trafficking of
many proteins (71). γ-taxilin belongs to the syntaxin-binding protein family, it interacts with
ATF4 and regulates the ER stress response (72). PUM1 is known to be phosphorylated
by the IRE1 kinase under conditions of ER stress, it binds spliced XBP1 RNA and
prevents its degradation (73). Upon ER stress, interaction between PUM1 and HEV IRESI

might be protecting the later from degradation by the regulated IRE1-dependent decay
(RIDD) pathway.

In conclusion, we have identified 51 host proteins, which associate with the HEV IRESl
RNA in mammalian cells. Four of the identified proteins have been reported to associate
with known IRES elements of viral and cellular origin and two of the identified proteins
are known ITAFs. Several ribosomal proteins, translation regulatory factors, components
of the tRNA synthetase complex were found to be associated with the HEV IRESl RNA.
Taken together, above data unequivocally demonstrate that the HEV IRESl is a bonafide
internal ribosome entry site capable of driving cap-independent translation. These
findings significantly advance our understanding of the molecular mechanisms that
control the synthesis of the ORF4 protein in the g1-HEV infected cells. Moreover,
considering the small size and simplicity of the secondary structure of the HEV IRESl
element compared to other well-known IRES elements, it is a useful tool for recombinant
protein expression as well as for investigating the molecular details of the cap-
independent IRES-mediated translation process.

Materials and Methods

Plasmids and reagents

The RNA motif plasmid cloning backbone [(pRMB) (Addgene plasmid # 107253;
http://n2t.net/addgene:107253; RRID: Addgene_107253)] and the BASU RaPID plasmid
(Addgene plasmid # 107250; http://n2t.net/addgene:107250; RRID:Addgene_107250)
were gifted by Prof. Paul A. Khavari (School of Medicine, Stanford University, Clifornia ,
USA) and reported earlier (29). To clone the HEV IRESl in the pRMB vector, 2701 to 2787
nucleotides of the g1-HEV genome (Genbank ID: AF444002.1) was PCR amplified from
the pSK HEV2 plasmid (32) with the following primers: IRESl87 BASU CBB FP (5'
GCTTGGTGCCGATCGGTCCC   3')   and   IRESl87   BASU   CBB   RP
(5'AGCTCATCTGGCAGCAAGCTCAG 3') and ligated with the pRMB vector backbone
generated by BSMB1 restriction enzyme digestion, followed by blunting. For hybrid RNA

generation for Y3H assay, HEV IRESI, FMDV IRES and HCV IRES sequences were PCR

amplified from the pSKHEV2 plasmid, pVitro2-neo-mcs plasmid (InvivoGen, San Diego,

USA) and S52/SG-Feo(AI) replicon [gifted by Prof. Charles M. Rice, (74)], respectively,

using the following primers: HEV IRESI FP: (5'

ACTATCTCGAGGGTGCCGATCGGTCCC 3'), HEV IRESI RP: (5'

ACTATCCATGGCATCTGGCAGCAAGCTCAG 3'); FMDV IRES FP: (5'

ACTATCTCGAGAGCAGGTTTCCCCAATGACACA 3'), FMDV IRES RP: (5'

ACTATCCATGGAAAGGAAAGGTGCCGACCTCCG 3'); HCV IRES FP: (5'

ACTATCTCGAGACCTGCCTCTTACGAGGC 3') and HCV IRES RP: (5'

ACTATCCATGGTTCTTTGAGGTTTAGGAAGTGTGCT 3'). PCR products were digested

with the Xho1 restriction enzyme and ligated with the XhoI and SmaI digested p3HR2

vector backbone. To clone the negative sense HEV IRESI (HEVIRESI⁻) fragment in the

p3HR2 vector, 87 nucleotides of IRESI sequence was PCR amplified from the pSK HEV

plasmid using HEV IRESI FP and HEV IRESI RP primers and ligated with the SmaI

digested p3HR2 vector. To clone the HEV IRESI sequence in the pSuper vector,

pRLFF/Luc87 and pSuper plasmids were restriction digested with the BamHI and KpnI

enzymes, respectively, followed by treatment with Klenow (end-filled by Klenow) and

digestion of both plasmids with XhoI and ligation. To clone the control RNA (618-738 nt

in g1-HEV genome) into pGL3 vector (for use in ribosome fractionation assay), pGL3

vector was digested with HindIII and NcoI followed by blunting to generate the vector

backbone. Next, control RNA sequence was amplified by PCR from pSKHEV2 (Genbank

ID: AF444002.1) using Control RNA FP: 5' GCCCCCTGGCACATA 3' and Control RNA

RP: 5' GAGCGCAGGTTGGAAA 3'. Linearized pGL3 vector backbone and control RNA

sequence insert was ligated and positive clones were selected by restriction digestion.

For biotinylated RNA synthesis, HEV IRESI and control RNA sequences were PCR

amplified using primers as described above and cloned into pJET1.2 vector, following

manufacturer's instruction (Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). All clones were

confirmed by DNA sequencing. All plasmids are available upon request.

Human liver cDNA library was obtained from Clontech (California, USA). Dual Luciferase

reporter assay kit and CellTiter96 Aqueous one solution cell proliferation assay kits were

from Promega (Madison, USA). Non-targeting siRNA (Catalog no. D-001810-10-20), Human RPL5 (6125) siRNA (Catalog no. L-013611-00-0005), Human RPL26 (6154) siRNA (Catalog no. L-011132-01-0005), Human RPL24 (6152) siRNA (Catalog no. L-011144-02-0005), Human RPL41 (6171) siRNA (Catalog no. L-011160-01-0005), Human RPS3A (6188) siRNA (Catalog no. L-013607-00-0005) Human DHX9 (1660) siRNA (Catalog no. L-009950-00-0005) were from Ge Healthcare Dharmacon (Colorado, USA). Antibodies against RPL5 (Catalog no. A303-933A) and RPL26 (Catalog no. A300-686A) were from Bethyl laboratories (Texas, USA). Anti-RPL24 (Catalog No. ITT09128), anti-RPL41 (Catalog No. ITT09136) and anti-DHX9 (Catalog No. ITT12266) antibodies were from Geno Technology Inc. (St Louis, USA); anti-RPS3A (catalog No. STJ28158), anti-RPS7 (catalog No. STJ28814) and anti-PPIG (catalog No. STJ191133) antibodies were from St. John's laboratory (London, UK). Anti-GAPDH (catalog no. sc-25778) antibody was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Texas, USA). Goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (Cat No. 4030-05) was from Southern Biotech (Alabama, USA). 3-Amino 1, 2, 4 triazole (3-AT), Ortho-Nitrophenyl-β-galactoside (ONPG), Cycloheximide and Puromycin was from Sigma (Missouri, USA). Cycloheximide and puromycin were used at a working concentration of 100μg/ml and 0.5μg/ml, respectively.

Mammalian cell-culture, transfection, *in vitro* transcription and cell viability assay

HEK 293T cells were obtained from ATCC (Virginia, USA). Huh7 cells were as described previously (32). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) containing 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 50 I.U./mL Penicillin and Streptomycin at 37^0C in a 5% CO_2 incubator. Cells were maintained in antibiotic free medium before starting the experiments. For plasmid transfection, cells were seeded at 70-80% confluency in DMEM + 10% FBS and incubated overnight at 37^0C in a 5% CO_2 incubator. Next day, cells were transfected with the desired plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagent (Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, USA), at 1:1 ratio, following manufacturer's instruction. 6-8 hours post-transfection, culture medium was replaced with fresh DMEM+10% FBS.

For experiments involving siRNA mediated gene silencing, cells were seeded at 70-80%
confluency on 12 well TC dishes and incubated overnight at 37^0C with 5% CO2. Next
day, 25nmol siRNA was transfected into each well using $0.35\mu l$ Dharmafect transfection
reagent, following manufacturer's instruction (GE Healthcare Dharmacon Inc., Colorado,
USA). 12 hours post-transfection, culture medium was replaced with fresh medium
(DMEM + 10% FBS) and cells were maintained at 37^0C in a 5% CO_2 incubator.

The HEV genomic RNA was *in vitro* synthesized as capped RNA, using mMessage
mMachine kit (Thermo Scientific, Massachusetts, USA), as described (32). Size and
integrity of the *in vitro* synthesized RNA was monitored by formaldehyde agarose gel
electrophoresis. *In vitro* synthesized RNA was transfected using Lipofectamine 2000
transfection reagent, at 1:1 ratio, following manufacturer's instruction (Thermo Scientific,
Massachusetts, USA). 6-8 hours post-transfection, the culture medium was replaced with
fresh medium (DMEM+10% FBS) and maintained until further manipulation.

For biotinylated-RNA pull down assay, pJET1.2 HEV-IRESI and pJET1.2 Control RNA
plasmids were linearized by restriction digestion with NcoI enzyme and column purified.
One microgram of purified linearized DNA was used for *in vitro* transcription reaction
using Maxi-script T7 transcription kit, following manufacturer's instruction (Thermo
Scientific, Massachusetts, USA). 0.2mM Biotin-16-UTP (Sigma-Aldrich,
REF:11388908910) and 0.3mM unlabeled-UTP were added to the reaction mixture to
produce biotinylated RNA. Non-biotinylated RNAs were transcribed in parallel in the
presence of 0.5mM unlabeled UTP. *In vitro* transcribed RNA was purified as per the
instruction of the manufacturer of Maxi-script kit.

Cell viability was measured using a commercially available kit [CellTiter 96 Aqueous One
Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega, Madison, USA)], which utilizes Tetrazolium
salt based colorimetric assay. Details are as described (32).

Yeast three Hybrid (Y3H) Assay and screening of the human liver cDNA library

A GAL4 based Y3H assay system, gifted by Prof. Marvin Wickens (University of
Wisconsin, Madison, USA) was used to determine the RNA-protein interactions and

identification of the HEV IRESI RNA-binding proteins by screening of the human liver
cDNA library using the YBZ1 Yeast strain (35).

The YBZ1 competent cells were prepared following Lithium Acetate method, as described
(75). To examine self-activation by the p3HR2-HEV IRESI, 2μg each of the p3HR2-HEV
IRESI and pACT2 plasmids or p3HR2 and pACT2 plasmids were cotransformed into the
YBZ1 competent cells and plated on LU$^-$ media, as described (75). After 3 days of
incubation in a humidified incubator at 30^{0}C, 8 random colonies were replica plated onto
LUH$^-$ as well as 3-AT (0.1-1 mM) supplemented LUH$^-$ plates, followed by 4 days
incubation at 30^{0}C in a humidified incubator. Colonies were monitored on the 4th day. The
p3HR2-HEV IRESI-containing colonies showed very little/no growth on the LUH$^-$ and LUH$^-$
+3-AT media (Figure S2).

Next, 2μg of the p3HR2-HEV IRESI plasmid DNA was transformed into the YBZ1
competent cells and plated on the U$^-$ media. After 3 days of incubation at 30^{0}C, a single
colony was inoculated in the U$^-$ media and grown at 30^{0}C to prepare the primary culture
(YBZ1-p3HR2-HEV IRESI) and subsequently, the secondary culture. Aliquots of the
culture were used for RNA isolation and verification of the HEV-IRESI RNA expression
by RT-PCR. Next, the secondary culture grown from the primary culture was used for
preparation of competent cells of the YBZ1-p3HR2-HEV IRESI.

The human liver Matchmaker cDNA library was procured in the *E.coli BNN132* strain with
a titer of ≥10^8 cfu/ml. The cDNA library contained 3.5*10^6 independent clones, with ~93%
colonies containing the cDNA insert. The cDNA library was amplified and a stock titer of
≥10^7 cfu/ml was prepared and stored at -80^{0}C until further use, following the
manufacturer's instruction. Plasmid DNA was isolated from the retitered stock and used
for transformation into the YBZ1-p3HR2-HEV IRESI competent cells (YBZ1 strain
containing the p3HR2-HEV IRESI plasmid). A pilot transformation was performed to
estimate the efficiency of the cDNA library and optimize the ideal quantity of DNA required
for screening, following the instructions of the manufacturer. Approximately, 4*10^6
independent library clones were screened on the LUH$^-$ + 0.5mM 3-AT media-containing
plates (0.5mM 3-AT was added to enhance the stringency of the screening), leading to

growth of 395 colonies. Subsequent replica plating of those 395 colonies on LUH⁻ plates

containing an increasing concentration of 3-AT (2mM, 5mM, 10mM and 20mM) showed

that all colonies could grow on 10mM 3-AT or more. These colonies were inoculated in 5

ml LU⁻ media and incubated overnight at 200 RPM, 30^0C, in an incubator-shaker. Next

day, cells were harvested by centrifugation at 7000×g, 4^0C, 10 minutes. Plasmid DNA

was isolated using the Qiaprep miniprep kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Briefly, cell pellet

was resuspended in the buffer P1 (supplied in the kit), followed by 6 cycles of freeze-thaw

in liquid nitrogen and ambient temperature, respectively. Next, the buffer P2 was added,

samples were mixed thoroughly and incubated for 5 minutes at ambient temp. Next, buffer

N3 was added, samples were mixed thoroughly and centrifuged at 18000×g, 4^0C, 10

minutes. Supernatant was loaded onto the kit-supplied columns and plasmid DNA was

eluted after a series of washing, as per the instruction of the manufacturer. Plasmid DNA

isolated from the YBZ1 transformants were transformed into the *E.coli Top$_{10}$* strain and

colonies were grown on the LB agar media containing ampicillin (100 μg/ml). Presence

of the pACT2 plasmid with a cDNA insert sequence in the *E.coli* transformants was

verified by a colony PCR using the following primers: pACT2 AD-LT seq FP: (5' ATT

CGATGATGAAGATACCCCA   3')   and   pACT2   AD-LT   seq   RP: (5'

GTGAACTTGCGGGGTTTTTCAGTATCTACGA 3'). 285 colonies were positive in the

PCR, indicating that they contained a library clone with a cDNA insert. Plasmid DNA was

isolated from these colonies and digested with HindIII, XhoI and EcoRI restriction

enzymes to sort them into different categories based on their restriction patterns. 75

clones were found to contain unique cDNA insert. The insert and its flanking region was

sequenced   using   the   following   primer: pACT2   AD   LT   SEQ   Primer:

TGGTGGGGTATCTTCATCATCGAATAG. Analysis of the sequencing data identified 8

unique protein coding gene sequences in frame with the GAL4 AD. These clones were

cotransformed along with the p3HR2-HEV IRESI plasmid into the YBZ1 competent cells

with appropriate controls to ensure that the interaction is reproducible and there is no self-

activation by the prey protein. Liquid β-galactosidase assay of the colonies were done as

described earlier (75).

RaPID assay

The RaPID assay was done as described, following similar conditions (34). To identify the HEV IRESl-interacting host proteins, HEK 293T cells were co-transfected with the pRMB HEV IRESl and BASU plasmids (in 6:1 ratio) using lipofectamine 2000 (1:1 ratio). 42 hours post-transfection, 200µM biotin supplemented media (DMEM+10%FBS) was added to the cells and maintained for 18 hours.

Cell lysate preparation: Cells were washed thrice with ice-cold PBS and lysed in prechilled radio-immunoprecipitation (RIPA) buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50mM Tris, pH 8.0), supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. The lysate was centrifuged at 14000×g for 40 min and a Macrosep advanced filter (3000 Da MW cutoff, 20 ml; catalogue no. 89131-974; VWR, USA) was used to remove the free biotin. Clarified supernatant was precipitated in acetone, first at -20°C for 10 minutes and then at -80°C for 20 minutes. Protein precipitate was solubilized in 8M urea and Bicinchoninic (BCA) protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) was used to determine the protein concentrations of each sample.

Digestion and peptide preparation: 10mg protein for each sample was treated with 10mM DTT (Dithiothreitol), for 30 min at 56^0C and alkylated with 20mM iodoacetamide (IAA) at room temperature for 1 hour in dark. Trypsin (catalog no. T1426; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) was added to the samples at a 1: 20 (wt/wt) ratio and incubated at 37^0C for 24 hours. Next, 1% formic acid was added to the samples and desalting of the peptides was done using a Sep-Pak C18 cartridge (catalog no. WAR020515; Waters, MA, USA) followed by lyophilization in a SpeedVac. Lyophilized peptides were solubilized in 1ml PBS and incubated with 150µl pre-washed streptavidin agarose beads (catalog no. 20361; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) for 2 hours at ambient temperature. The beads were washed in PBS, followed by washing in wash buffer (5% acetonitrile in PBS) and finally with ultrapure water. Excess liquid was completely removed from beads, and biotinylated peptides were eluted by adding 0.3 ml of a solution containing 0.1% formic acid and 80% acetonitrile in water by boiling at 95°C for 5 min. A total of 10 elutions were collected and dried together in a Speed Vac. Enriched peptides were desalted with C18 tips (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), and reconstituted with solvent A (2%, v/v, acetonitrile, 0.1% v/v, formic acid in water) for LC-MS/MS analysis.

LC-MS/MS acquisition: A Sciex 5600$^+$ triple time of flight (TOF) mass spectrometer was used for LC-MS/MS analysis. It was coupled with a chromXP reversed-phase 3-µm C_{18}-CL trap column (350 µm by 0.5 mm, 120 Å; Eksigent; AB Sciex, MA, USA) and a nanoViper C_{18} separation column (75 µm by 250 mm, 3 µm, 100 Å; Acclaim PepMap; Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) in an Eksigent nanoLC (ultra 2D plus) system. A binary mobile solvent system was applied, with the following composition: solvent C, 2% (v/v) acetonitrile, 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in water; solvent B, 98% (v/v) acetonitrile, 0.1% (v/v) formic acid. To separate the peptides, a 60 minutes gradient was run with a total run time of 90 minutes at a flow rate of 200 nl/minute and MS data was acquired in information dependent-acquisition (IDA) with high sensitivity mode. Each cycle was run for 2.3 seconds with 250- and 100-ms acquisition times for MS1 (*m/z* 350 to 1,250 Da) and MS/MS (100 to 1,800 *m/z*) scans, respectively. Every experimental condition was run in quadruplets.

Protein identification and quantification: All raw files (.wiff) were searched in protein Pilot software (version 4.5; Sciex) with Mascot algorithm for protein identification and semi quantitation against Swiss-Prot_57.15 database (20,266 sequences after application of *Homo sapiens* taxonomy filter). Following parameters were applied to search biotinylated peptides: (a) Trypsin as a proteolytic enzyme with maximum of two missed cleavages allowed; (b) Allowed tolerance limit for peptide mass error was 20ppm;(c) Mass error tolerance for fragments was taken up to 0.20 Da; (d) Carbamido-methylation of cysteine (+ 57.02146 Da), oxidation of methionine (+15.99491 Da), deamination of NQ (+ 0.98416), and biotinylation of lysine (+ 226.07759 Da) were taken as variable modifications. Pearson correlation plot of peptide intensity was used to monitor the quality of data between each run and replicates.

Data analysis: A protein was considered to be identified if it corresponds to one or more biotinylated peptide, with PEP (posterior error probability) score ≥ the median value in the Gaussian smoothing curve of each sample. Note that PEP score refers to the probability that the observed peptide spectrum matches are incorrect. A web-based tool, Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Genomics (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/Venn/) was used to identify host proteins that are specific to HEV IRESl RNA

and formation of Venn diagram. Data set with a minimum of 1 unique peptide and a "prot

score" of 30 or more was considered in the final list of host proteins for further studies

(Table1). "Prot Score" refers to the overall protein score generated by Mascot,

considering all the observed mass spectrums, which match amino acid sequences of a

certain protein.

Data availability: The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the

ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier

PXD031009.

Bioinformatics analysis

RNA secondary structures were analyzed using the Mfold program

(http://www.unafold.org/mfold/applications/rna-folding-form.php), based on a minimum

free energy calculation at 25^0C (76). The host-virus RPPI data set was visualized using

Cytoscape (version 3.1.0). Network Analyzer plug-in in Cytoscape was used to compute

the topological parameters and centrality measures of the network. Gene ontology (GO)

and Reactome pathway analysis were performed using the Gene Set Enrichment

(https://www.gseamsigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp) and Enrichr

(https://maayanlab.cloud/Enrichr) (77) tools.

In silico **analysis of the RNA-protein interactions**

The protein structures of the four targets RPS3A, RPS7, RPL5, RPL26 are not reported,

therefore, their sequences were retrieved from the "uniport" by using their id numbers

P61247, P62081, P46777, and P61254, respectively. Furthermore, the i-tasser module

was used to build their 3D structures. Based on their c-score, the best model was selected

and evaluated through Ramachandran plots. Furthermore, the energy minimization of

each protein was carried out using AMBER tool. The 3dRNA tool is used to generate the

3D of RNA sequence. For Protein-RNA docking, the HDOCK was used with the standard

cut-offs. In each docking the top complex was picked for further analysis. The energy

minimized complex structures were used for their quantitative and thermodynamic

analysis.

Luciferase reporter assay, Western blot analysis, RNA isolation and quantitative real time PCR (RTq-PCR)

To perform the dual luciferase reporter assay, the HEK 293T cells were seeded at 70% confluency and next day, 25nM siRNA were transfected in respective wells using 0.35μl of Dharmafect transfection reagent as per manufacturer's instructions (GE Healthcare Dharmacon Inc. Co, USA). 12 hours post transfection of siRNA, media was changed followed by transfection of the dual luciferase reporter plasmids (pRLFF/luc87, 1μg/well) using lipofectamine 2000 at 1:1 (wt/v) ratio. 8 hours later, media was changed and cells were incubated for 48 hours. Cells were washed with ice cold PBS and used for luciferase assay using the dual glow Luciferase assay kit, following manufacturer's protocol (Promega, Wisconsin, USA). The Firefly-Luc values were divided by that of the Renilla-Luc or divided by the percentage cell-viability, as specified in the results and graphs were plotted in GraphPad prism or Microsoft Excel. Values are mean (± SEM) of three independent experiments done in triplicates. Western blot was done as described (32). Total cellular RNA was isolated using the TRI reagent (MRC Inc., Cincinnati, USA) as per manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized using random hexamers and a commercial cDNA synthesis kit, following manufacturer's protocol (Firescript cDNA synthesis kit, Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia). RTq-PCR was done by SYBR green detection method using a commercially available kit (5X Hot Firepol Evagreen qPCR mix plus, Solis BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia). Following primers were used to detect the levels of g1-HEV RNA, HEV IRESI RNA, Renilla-Luc RNA and control RNA (618-738 nt in g1-HEV genome): g1-HEV FP: (5' CGGCCCAGTCTATGTCTCTG 3'), g1-HEV RP: (TAGTTCCTGCCTCCCAAAAG 3'); HEV IRESI FP (5' TATACTCGAGGGTGCCGATCGGTCCC 3'), HEV IRESI RP (5' TATACCATGGCATCTGGCAGCAAGCTCAG 3'); R-Luc FP (5' CTTCTTATTTATGGCGACATGTT 3') and R-Luc RP (5' GCCTGATTTGCCCATACC AATA 3'); Control RNA FP (5' GCCCCCTGGCACATA 3') and Control RNA RP (5' GAGCGCAGGTTGGAAA 3').

Ribosome fractionation assay

Ribosome fractionation was done as described (43, 44). HEK 293T cells were seeded at 70% confluency in 100 mm dishes and transfected with the pGL3-control RNA, pSuper-HEV IRESI and pRL-TK plasmid DNA (12µg/dish) using lipofectamine 2000 (1:1 ratio). 48 hours post-transfection, 100 µg/ml of cycloheximide (CHX) or 0.5µg/ml of puromycin (Puro) was added to the culture medium and incubation was continued for 30 minutes at 37^0C, with 5% CO_2. Next, cells were washed three times with ice cold PBS (containing 100 µg/ml CHX, in case of CHX treatment) followed by trypsinization and centrifugation for 5 minutes at 500×g. Supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet was resuspended in 1ml polysome extraction buffer (PEB, 20mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100mM KCl, 5mM $MgCl_2$, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 100µg/ml CHX, 1X protease inhibitors and 1:1000 dilution of RiboLock RNase inhibitor), incubated on ice for 30 minutes with occasional mixing, centrifuged at 12000×g for 20 minutes at 4^0C. Total protein and RNA concentration in the clarified lysate was measured by Bradford assay or Nanodrop spectrophotometry, respectively. Aliquots of the lysate were used for cDNA synthesis, western blot analysis and ribosome fractionation.

A linear 10% - 50% sucrose density gradient was prepared for ribosome fractionation, one day before the polysome fractionation experiment. Stock solutions of 10%, 20%, 30%, 40% and 50% sucrose were prepared using 2.2M sucrose and 10x salt solution (1000 mM NaCl, 200mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM $MgCl_2$) in Diethyl Pyrocarbonate (DEPC)-treated water. 2.2 ml of 10% stock solution of sucrose was added to the bottom of a thin-wall 12.5 ml ultracentrifuge tube, followed by addition of 2.2 ml each of 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50% sucrose solutions. Tubes were stored at 4^0C, overnight for linearization. Next day, equal amount of clarified lysate was loaded to the top of the tubes and weights were equalized. Tubes were run in a SW40Ti swinging bucket rotor at 190000×g for 90 minutes at 4^0C, using a Beckman ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter, California, USA). After centrifugation, tubes were set for fractionation in the automated fraction collection unit and 24 fractions of 500 µl each were collected. Profile of RNA in the fractions was captured as an indicator of different ribosome fractions (shown in Fig.

S4A). Alternate fractions (1-23) were selected for further analysis of RNA and protein
distribution profiles.

M^{7}G capped-RNA and biotinylated-RNA pull down assay

For m^{7}G capped RNA pulldown, HEK 293T cells were seeded in 60 mm dishes at a
confluency of 70%. Next day, cells were cotransfected with 2µg each of the pSuper-HEV
IRESI and pRL-TK plasmids and cells were incubated for 48 hours in DMEM media
supplemented with 10% FBS in a 37^{0}C incubator supplied with 5% CO_2. Next, cells were
lysed in 1ml TRI reagent and RNA was extracted as per manufacturer's instructions (MRC
Inc, Cincinnati, USA). 20µg of total RNA was added to the binding buffer [1% Triton X-
100, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 20mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)], 20 µl monoclonal anti-
m^{7}G cap antibody (Merck, Massachusetts, USA) and 1µl RNAse inhibitor (RNAsin,
Promega, Wisconsin, USA) was added to that and samples were incubated overnight at
4°C with continuous mixing. 25 µl Protein G-PLUS-Agarose beads (Santa Cruz
Biotechnology, Santacruz, USA) were washed twice in the binding buffer and added to
the samples, followed by one hour incubation. Samples were centrifuged at 1000 rpm for
1 minute at 4^{0}C. Supernatant containing unbound RNA was collected, RNA was extracted
using TRI reagent and stored at -80^{0}C. Beads were washed four times in ice cold low salt
wash buffer [1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 20mM Tris-
HCl (pH 8.0)] and once in high salt wash buffer [1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 500mM NaCl,
2mM EDTA (pH 8.0) 20mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)] and twice with Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 8.0).
Finally, beads were re-suspended in the elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1M NaHCO3),
incubated for 5 minutes at 4^{0}C on a rocker, followed by centrifugation at 1000 rpm for 1
minute at 4^{0}C and collection of the supernatant. RNA was isolated from the supernatant
using TRI reagent and all samples were simultaneously processed for RT-qPCR.

For biotinylated RNA pulldown assay, 10^7 Huh7 cells were lysed in the binding buffer [1%
Triton X-100, 0.5% NP-40, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 20mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0),
1X complete protease inhibitor cocktail, 40 units RNAse inhibitor] and clarified lysate were
incubated with biotinylated control RNA [control RNA (B$^+$)], non-biotinylated HEV IRESI
RNA [HEV IRESI RNA (B$^-$)], biotinylated HEV IRESI RNA [HEV IRESI RNA (B$^+$)] or mock

lysate (Cell lysate without RNA) for 1 hour on a flip-flop rocker at 4^0C. Streptavidin
magnetic beads were washed twice in binding buffer and added to the RNA-protein
mixture, followed by incubation for 1 hour, under similar condition. Streptavidin bound
RNA-protein complex were washed two times in ice cold low salt wash buffer [1% SDS,
1% Triton X-100, 150mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 20mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)] and once
in high salt wash buffer [1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 250mM NaCl, 2mM EDTA (pH 8.0)
20mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)] and once with Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 8.0). 50% of the beads were
re-suspended in the elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1M $NaHCO_3$), incubated for 5 minutes at
4^0C on a rocker, followed by collection of the supernatant for checking the presence of
RNA by formaldehyde-agarose gel electrophoresis. Rest of the beads were mixed with
2X Laemmli buffer, incubated at 95^0C for 5 minutes, followed by collection of the
supernatant for western blot analysis using various antibodies.

Statistical analysis

1073 Data are shown as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM) of three independent
1074 experiments. *P*-values were calculated by two tailed Student t-test (paired two samples
1075 for means).

Acknowledgments

RNA motif plasmid cloning backbone (pRMB) and BASU RaPID plasmid were kindly
gifted by Prof. Paul Khavari. We thank Prof. Marvin Wickens for providing the Y3H assay
system. We thank Prof Charles M. Rice for providing the g3A HCV replicon. We thank
Mr. Dipanka Tanu Sarmah and Dr. Samrat Chatterjee for data analysis using "Enrich R".
We thank Dr. Saumya Anang and Dr. Chandru Subramani for helping in the initial
experiments. We appreciate continuous support by the technical staff of RCB mass
spectrometry facility in data collection. This study was funded by the Science and
Engineering Research Board (SERB), Govt of India, grant to MS and THSTI core grant
to MS. SK is supported by a senior research fellowship from the Department of
Biotechnology, Govt of India, RV and SS are supported by a senior research fellowship
from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Govt of India.

1088

References

1. Merrick WC, Pavitt GD. Protein synthesis initiation in eukaryotic cells. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology. 2018;10(12): a033092.

2. Shatsky IN, Terenin IM, Smirnova VV, Andreev DE. Cap-independent translation: what's in a name?. Trends in biochemical sciences. 2018;43(11):882-95.

3. Shatsky IN, Dmitriev SE, Terenin IM, Andreev DE. Cap-and IRES-independent scanning mechanism of translation initiation as an alternative to the concept of cellular IRESs. Molecules and cells. 2010 ;30(4):285-93.

4. Kaiser C, Dobrikova EY, Bradrick SS, Shveygert M, Herbert JT, Gromeier M. Activation of cap-independent translation by variant eukaryotic initiation factor 4G in vivo. RNA. 2008;14(10):2170-82.

5. Trainor BM, Ghosh A, Pestov DG, Hellen CU, Shcherbik N. A translation enhancer element from black beetle virus engages yeast eIF4G1 to drive cap-independent translation initiation. Scientific reports. 2021;11(1):1-8.

6. Meyer KD, Patil DP, Zhou J, Zinoviev A, Skabkin MA, Elemento O, Pestova TV, Qian SB, Jaffrey SR. 5′ UTR m6A promotes cap-independent translation. Cell. 2015;163(4):999-1010.

7. Meyer KD, Saletore Y, Zumbo P, Elemento O, Mason CE, Jaffrey SR. Comprehensive analysis of mRNA methylation reveals enrichment in 3′ UTRs and near stop codons. Cell. 2012;149(7):1635-46.

8. Wang X, Zhao BS, Roundtree IA, Lu Z, Han D, Ma H, Weng X, Chen K, Shi H, He C. N6-methyladenosine modulates messenger RNA translation efficiency. Cell. 2015;161(6):1388-99.

9. Lee KM, Chen CJ, Shih SR. Regulation mechanisms of viral IRES-driven translation. Trends in microbiology. 2017;25(7):546-61.

10. Brown EA, Zajac AJ, Lemon SM. In vitro characterization of an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) present within the 5'nontranslated region of hepatitis A virus RNA: comparison with the IRES of encephalomyocarditis virus. Journal of virology. 1994;68(2):1066-74.

11. Ali IK, McKendrick L, Morley SJ, Jackson RJ. Activity of the hepatitis A virus IRES requires association between the cap-binding translation initiation factor (eIF4E) and eIF4G. Journal of virology. 2001;75(17):7854-63.

12. Kerr CH, Jan E. Commandeering the ribosome: Lessons learned from dicistroviruses about translation. Journal of virology. 2016;90(12):5538-40.

13. Khawaja A, Vopalensky V, Pospisek M. Understanding the potential of hepatitis C virus internal ribosome entry site domains to modulate translation initiation via their structure and function. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: RNA. 2015;6(2):211-24.

14. Pestova TV, Hellen CU. Translation elongation after assembly of ribosomes on the
Cricket paralysis virus internal ribosomal entry site without initiation factors or initiator
tRNA. Genes & development. 2003;17(2):181-6.
15. Wilson JE, Pestova TV, Hellen CU, Sarnow P. Initiation of protein synthesis from the
A site of the ribosome. Cell. 2000;102(4):511-20.
16. Song Y, Mugavero J, Stauft CB, Wimmer E. Dengue and Zika virus 5′ untranslated
regions harbor internal ribosomal entry site functions. MBio. 2019;10(2):e00459-19.
17. Fernández-García L, Angulo J, Ramos H, Barrera A, Pino K, Vera-Otarola J, López-
Lastra M. The Internal Ribosome Entry Site of Dengue Virus mRNA Is Active When
Cap-Dependent Translation Initiation Is Inhibited. Journal of virology.
2020;95(5):e01998-20.
18. Edgil D, Polacek C, Harris E. Dengue virus utilizes a novel strategy for translation
initiation when cap-dependent translation is inhibited. Journal of virology.
2006;80(6):2976-86.
19. Brasey A, Lopez-Lastra M, Ohlmann T, Beerens N, Berkhout B, Darlix JL, Sonenberg
N. The leader of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 genomic RNA harbors an
internal ribosome entry segment that is active during the G2/M phase of the cell cycle.
Journal of virology. 2003;77(7):3939-49.
20. Vallejos M, Deforges J, Plank TD, Letelier A, Ramdohr P, Abraham CG, Valiente-
Echeverría F, Kieft JS, Sargueil B, Lopez-Lastra M. Activity of the human
immunodeficiency virus type 1 cell cycle-dependent internal ribosomal entry site is
modulated by IRES trans-acting factors. Nucleic acids research. 2011;39(14):6186-
200.
21. Ohlmann T, Lopez-Lastra M, Darlix JL. An internal ribosome entry segment promotes
translation of the simian immunodeficiency virus genomic RNA. Journal of Biological
Chemistry. 2000;275(16):11899-906.
22. Barrera A, Olguín V, Vera-Otarola J, López-Lastra M. Cap-independent translation
initiation of the unspliced RNA of retroviruses. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-
Gene Regulatory Mechanisms. 2020;1863(9):194583.
23. Shi B, Song Q, Luo X, Song J, Xia D, Xia Z, Liu M, Wang W, Wang R, Du H, Han J.
Identification of cryptic putative IRESs within the ORF encoding the nonstructural
proteins of the human rhinovirus 16 genome. Archives of virology.
2021;166(12):3373-86.
24. Shi B, Song Q, Luo X, Song J, Xia D, Xia Z, Liu M, Wang W, Wang R, Du H, Wei Q.
Identification of an IRES within the coding region of the structural protein of human
rhinovirus 16. Journal of Medical Virology. 2021.
25. Grainger L, Cicchini L, Rak M, Petrucelli A, Fitzgerald KD, Semler BL, Goodrum F.
Stress-inducible alternative translation initiation of human cytomegalovirus latency
protein pUL138. Journal of virology. 2010;84(18):9472-86.

26. May J, Johnson P, Saleem H, Simon AE. A sequence-independent, unstructured internal ribosome entry site is responsible for internal expression of the coat protein of Turnip crinkle virus. Journal of Virology. 2017;91(8):e02421-16.

27. Nimgaonkar I, Ding Q, Schwartz RE, Ploss A. Hepatitis E virus: advances and challenges. Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2018;15(2):96-110.

28. Surjit M, Liu B, Chow VT, Lal SK. The nucleocapsid protein of severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus inhibits the activity of cyclin-cyclin-dependent kinase complex and blocks S phase progression in mammalian cells. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2006;281(16):10669-81.

29. Nagashima S, Takahashi M, Tanaka T, Yamada K, Nishizawa T, Okamoto H. A PSAP motif in the ORF3 protein of hepatitis E virus is necessary for virion release from infected cells. Journal of general virology. 2011;92(2):269-78.

30. Ding Q, Heller B, Capuccino JM, Song B, Nimgaonkar I, Hrebikova G, Contreras JE, Ploss A. Hepatitis E virus ORF3 is a functional ion channel required for release of infectious particles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2017;114(5):1147-52.

31. Xu LD, Zhang F, Peng L, Luo WT, Chen C, Xu P, Huang YW. Stable expression of a hepatitis E Virus (HEV) RNA replicon in two mammalian cell lines to assess mechanism of innate immunity and antiviral response. Frontiers in microbiology. 2020:3093.

32. Nair VP, Anang S, Subramani C, Madhvi A, Bakshi K, Srivastava A, Nayak B, CT RK, Surjit M. Endoplasmic reticulum stress induced synthesis of a novel viral factor mediates efficient replication of genotype-1 hepatitis E virus. PLoS pathogens. 2016;12(4):e1005521.

33. Ramanathan M, Majzoub K, Rao DS, Neela PH, Zarnegar BJ, Mondal S, Roth JG, Gai H, Kovalski JR, Siprashvili Z, Palmer TD. RNA–protein interaction detection in living cells. Nature methods. 2018;15(3):207-12.

34. Verma R, Saha S, Kumar S, Mani S, Maiti TK, Surjit M. RNA-Protein Interaction Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 5′ and 3′ Untranslated Regions Reveals a Role of Lysosome-Associated Membrane Protein-2a during Viral Infection. mSystems. 2021;6(4):e00643-21.

35. Koh YY, Wickens M. Determining the RNA specificity and targets of RNA-binding proteins using a three-hybrid system. Methods in enzymology. 2014; (Vol. 539:163-181). Academic Press.

36. Cho SK, Kang IH, Carr T, Hannapel DJ. Using the yeast three-hybrid system to identify proteins that interact with a phloem-mobile mRNA. Frontiers in Plant Science. 2012;3:189.

37. Pacheco A, Reigadas S, Martínez-Salas E. Riboproteomic analysis of polypeptides interacting with the internal ribosome-entry site element of foot-and-mouth disease viral RNA. Proteomics. 2008;8(22):4782-90.

38. Otto GA, Lukavsky PJ, Lancaster AM, Sarnow P, Puglisi JD. Ribosomal proteins
mediate the hepatitis C virus IRES–HeLa 40S interaction. RNA. 2002;8(7):913-23.
39. Godet AC, David F, Hantelys F, Tatin F, Lacazette E, Garmy-Susini B, Prats AC.
IRES trans-acting factors, key actors of the stress response. International Journal of
Molecular Sciences. 2019;20(4):924.
40. Kappel K, Jarmoskaite I, Vaidyanathan PP, Greenleaf WJ, Herschlag D, Das R. Blind
tests of RNA–protein binding affinity prediction. Proceedings of the National Academy
of Sciences. 2019;116(17):8336-41.
41. Yan Y, Zhang D, Zhou P, Li B, Huang SY. HDOCK: a web server for protein–protein
and protein–DNA/RNA docking based on a hybrid strategy. Nucleic acids research.
2017;45(W1):W365-73.
42. Jia D, Cai L, He H, Skogerb G, Li T, Aftab MN, Chen R. Systematic identification of
non-coding RNA 2, 2, 7-trimethylguanosine cap structures in Caenorhabditis elegans.
BMC molecular biology. 2007;8(1):1-9.
43. Panda AC, Martindale JL, Gorospe M. Polysome fractionation to analyze mRNA
distribution profiles. Bio-protocol. 2017;7(3).
44. Chassé H, Boulben S, Costache V, Cormier P, Morales J. Analysis of translation
using polysome profiling. Nucleic acids research. 2017;45(3):e15-e15.
45. Davis TL, Walker JR, Campagna-Slater V, Finerty Jr PJ, Paramanathan R, Bernstein
G, MacKenzie F, Tempel W, Ouyang H, Lee WH, Eisenmesser EZ. Structural and
biochemical characterization of the human cyclophilin family of peptidyl-prolyl
isomerases. PLoS biology. 2010;8(7):e1000439.
46. Hinton TM, Li F, Crabb BS. Internal ribosomal entry site-mediated translation initiation
in equine rhinitis A virus: similarities to and differences from that of foot-and-mouth
disease virus. Journal of Virology. 2000;74(24):11708-16.
47. Russo G, Cuccurese M, Monti G, Russo A, Amoresano A, Pucci P, Pietropaolo C.
Ribosomal protein L7a binds RNA through two distinct RNA-binding domains.
Biochemical Journal. 2005;385(1):289-99.
48. Martel G, Hamet P, Tremblay J. GREBP, a cGMP-response element-binding protein
repressing the transcription of natriuretic peptide receptor 1 (NPR1/GCA). Journal of
Biological Chemistry. 2010;285(27):20926-39.
49. Babiano R, Gamalinda M, Woolford Jr JL, de la Cruz J. Saccharomyces cerevisiae
ribosomal protein L26 is not essential for ribosome assembly and function. Molecular
and cellular biology. 2012;32(16):3228-41.
50. Chen J, Guo K, Kastan MB. Interactions of nucleolin and ribosomal protein L26
(RPL26) in translational control of human p53 mRNA. Journal of Biological Chemistry.
2012;287(20):16467-76.
51. Takagi M, Absalon MJ, McLure KG, Kastan MB. Regulation of p53 translation and
induction after DNA damage by ribosomal protein L26 and nucleolin. Cell.
2005;123(1):49-63.

52. Wilson-Edell KA, Kehasse A, Scott GK, Yau C, Rothschild DE, Schilling B, Gabriel
BS, Yevtushenko MA, Hanson IM, Held JM, Gibson BW. RPL24: a potential
therapeutic target whose depletion or acetylation inhibits polysome assembly and
cancer cell growth. Oncotarget. 2014;5(13):5165.
53. Wang S, Huang J, He J, Wang A, Xu S, Huang SF, Xiao S. RPL41, a small ribosomal
peptide deregulated in tumors, is essential for mitosis and centrosome integrity.
Neoplasia. 2010;12(3):284-IN8.
54. Subramani C, Nair VP, Anang S, Mandal SD, Pareek M, Kaushik N, Srivastava A,
Saha S, Shalimar, Nayak B, Ranjith-Kumar CT. Host-virus protein interaction network
reveals the involvement of multiple host processes in the life cycle of hepatitis E virus.
MSystems. 2018;3(1):e00135-17.
55. Hartman TR, Qian S, Bolinger C, Fernandez S, Schoenberg DR, Boris-Lawrie K. RNA
helicase A is necessary for translation of selected messenger RNAs. Nature structural
& molecular biology. 2006;13(6):509-16.
56. Bolinger C, Sharma A, Singh D, Yu L, Boris-Lawrie K. RNA helicase A modulates
translation of HIV-1 and infectivity of progeny virions. Nucleic acids research.
2010;38(5):1686-96.
57. Bolinger C, Yilmaz A, Hartman TR, Kovacic MB, Fernandez S, Ye J, Forget M, Green
PL, Boris-Lawrie K. RNA helicase A interacts with divergent lymphotropic retroviruses
and promotes translation of human T-cell leukemia virus type 1. Nucleic acids
research. 2007;35(8):2629-42.
58. Shi RZ, Pan YQ, Xing L. RNA Helicase A Regulates the Replication of RNA Viruses.
Viruses. 2021;13(3):361.
59. Paingankar MS, Arankalle VA. Identification and characterization of cellular proteins
interacting with Hepatitis E virus untranslated regions. Virus research. 2015;208:98-
109.
60. Koh HR, Xing L, Kleiman L, Myong S. Repetitive RNA unwinding by RNA helicase A
facilitates RNA annealing. Nucleic acids research. 2014;42(13):8556-64.
61. Zhang S, Grosse F. Domain structure of human nuclear DNA helicase II (RNA
helicase A). Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1997;272(17):11487-94.
62. Yin M, Cheng M, Liu C, Wu K, Xiong W, Fang J, Li Y, Zhang B. HNRNPA2B1 as a
trigger of RNA switch modulates the miRNA-mediated regulation of CDK6. Iscience.
2021;24(11):103345.
63. Pingale KD, Kanade GD, Karpe YA. Heterogeneous Nuclear Ribonucleoproteins
Participate in Hepatitis E Virus Replication. Journal of Molecular Biology. 2020 Mar
27;432(7):2369-87.
64. Wang X, Lu Z, Gomez A, Hon GC, Yue Y, Han D, Fu Y, Parisien M, Dai Q, Jia G,
Ren B. N6-methyladenosine-dependent regulation of messenger RNA stability.
Nature. 2014;505(7481):117-20.

65. Du H, Zhao Y, He J, Zhang Y, Xi H, Liu M, Ma J, Wu L. YTHDF2 destabilizes m6A-
containing RNA through direct recruitment of the CCR4–NOT deadenylase complex.
Nature communications. 2016;7(1):1-1.
66. Kaul G, Pattan G, Rafeequi T. Eukaryotic elongation factor-2 (eEF2): its regulation
and peptide chain elongation. Cell biochemistry and function. 2011;29(3):227-34.
67. Mattijssen S, Arimbasseri AG, Iben JR, Gaidamakov S, Lee J, Hafner M, Maraia RJ.
LARP4 mRNA codon-tRNA match contributes to LARP4 activity for ribosomal protein
mRNA poly (A) tail length protection. Elife. 2017;6:e28889.
68. Mattijssen S, Iben JR, Li T, Coon SL, Maraia RJ. Single molecule poly (A) tail-seq
shows LARP4 opposes deadenylation throughout mRNA lifespan with most impact
on short tails. Elife. 2020;9:e59186.
69. Yang R, Gaidamakov SA, Xie J, Lee J, Martino L, Kozlov G, Crawford AK, Russo AN,
Conte MR, Gehring K, Maraia RJ. La-related protein 4 binds poly (A), interacts with
the poly (A)-binding protein MLLE domain via a variant PAM2w motif, and can
promote mRNA stability. Molecular and cellular biology. 2011;31(3):542-56.
70. Meares GP, Zmijewska AA, Jope RS. HSP105 interacts with GRP78 and GSK3 and
promotes ER stress-induced caspase-3 activation. Cellular signalling.
2008;20(2):347-58.
71. Mkrtchian S, Fang C, Hellman U, Ingelman-Sundberg M. A stress-inducible rat liver
endoplasmic reticulum protein, ERp29. European journal of biochemistry.
1998;251(1-2):304-13.
72. Hotokezaka Y, Katayama I, van Leyen K, Nakamura T. GSK-3β-dependent
downregulation of γ-taxilin and αNAC merge to regulate ER stress responses. Cell
death & disease. 2015;6(4):e1719-.
73. Cairrao F, Santos CC, Le Thomas A, Marsters S, Ashkenazi A, Domingos PM.
Pumilio protects Xbp1 mRNA from regulated Ire1-dependent decay. Nature
Communications. 2022;13(1):1-3.
74. Saeed M, Scheel TK, Gottwein JM, Marukian S, Dustin LB, Bukh J, Rice CM. Efficient
replication of genotype 3a and 4a hepatitis C virus replicons in human hepatoma
cells. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy. 2012;56(10):5365-73.
75. Surjit M, Jameel S, Lal SK. The ORF2 protein of hepatitis E virus binds the 5′ region
of viral RNA. Journal of virology. 2004;78(1):320-8.
76. Zuker M. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction.
Nucleic acids research. 2003;31(13):3406-15.
77. Kuleshov MV, Jones MR, Rouillard AD, Fernandez NF, Duan Q, Wang Z, Koplev S,
Jenkins SL, Jagodnik KM, Lachmann A, McDermott MG. Enrichr: a comprehensive
gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. Nucleic acids research.
2016;44(W1):W90-7.

1322

Supplementary Figures and Tables

Figure S1

1325 **Figure S1. Normalized distribution plots of the peptides identified by LC-MS/MS.**

1326 (A-H) Normalized distribution plots showing all peptides identified in LC-MS/MS against their

1327 respective PEP scores. B1 and B2 denote biological replicates of the same samples. PEP score

1328 range considered for protein identification is shown by a dotted-lined box.

1329

1330

Figure S2. Predicted secondary structure of the FMDV and HCV IRES RNA.

(A) Predicted secondary structure of the FMDV IRES RNA (shown in blue) fused to the MS2-

coat protein-binding RNA. MS2 SL-1 and MS2 SL-2 represent MS2 coat proteinbinding RNA

motifs. Five SLs of the IRES are numbered H-L (1-5) and span positions 26113, 114-344, 343-

418 and 417- 470, respectively. GNRA, RAAA, GC-rich motifs are as indicated. (B) Predicted

secondary structure of the HCV IRES RNA (shown in blue) fused to the MS2-RNA. MS2 SL-1

and MS2 SL-2 represent MS2 coat protein-binding RNA motifs. Four stem-loops (SL) of the

IRES are numbered as I, II, III (a-e) and IV.

Figure S3

1339

1340 **Figure S3. Bioinformatics analysis of the HEV IRESl RNA-host protein interaction.**

1341 (A) Graphical representation of the top 10 Biological processes (sorted by P-values) enriched in

1342 the HEV IRESl RNA-protein interactome, analyzed by the GSEA tool (B) Graphical

 representation of the top 10 Reactome pathways (sorted by P-values) enriched in the HEV

 IRESl RNA-protein interactome, analyzed by the GSEA tool. (C) Quantitative pair-wise contact

 interface distance calculation between the HEV IRESl RNA and the indicated ribosomal

 proteins. The pair-wise interface residues contacts (measured in the form of distance in Å) was

 calculated for each complex. The common contact nucleotides of the HEV IRESl RNA are

 shown in the upper and lower panels, respectively.

1349

1350

Figure S4. Ribosome fractionation profiles of cycloheximide or puromycin treated Huh7 cells.

(A) HEK 293T cells were treated with cycloheximide for 30 min, followed by preparation of the cytosolic extract and ultracentrifugation on 10-50% sucrose gradients. Equal volume fractions

were collected from the top in an automated fraction collector. Real-time profile of the RNA content

in each fraction was measured at A_{254nm} and the plot generated by the application software is

shown. Peaks corresponding to free mRNAs, 40S, 60S, 80S ribosome and polysome fractions

are indicated based on earlier reports (upper panel). HEK 293T cells were treated with puromycin

for 30 min, followed by preparation of the cytosolic extract and ultracentrifugation on 10-50%

sucrose gradients. Equal volume fractions were collected from the top in an automated fraction

collector. Real-time profile of the RNA content in each fraction was measured at A_{254nm} and the

plot generated by the application software is shown (lower panel). (B) Formaldehyde-agarose gel

electrophoresis of total RNA isolated from the sucrose density gradient fractions of the Huh7 cells,

cotransfected with the pSuper-control RNA plasmid, pSuper-HEV IRESI plasmid and pRL-TK

plasmid and treated with cycloheximide (upper panel) or puromycin (lower panel).

1366

1367

1368

Table S1. List of the HEV IRESI RNA interaction partners, identified by RaPID-LC-MS-MS

Protein name	prot_desc	prot_score	No. of unique peptide	pep_score
EF2	Elongation factor 2	1568	6	59.63
TBA3C	Tubulin alpha-3C/D chain	929	1	24.2
SYTC	Threonyl-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic	285	5	17.17
ROA2	Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins A2/B1	285	2	35.14
RL7A	60S ribomal protein L7a	262	3	19
BA2L2	Protein BAT2-like 2	75	1	74.55
NUFP2	Nuclear fragile X mental retardation-interacting protein 2	75	1	46.91
SYVC	Valyl-tRNA synthetase	56	8	18.02
DHX9	ATP-dependent RNA helicase A	53	7	42.62
SYTC2	Probable threonyl-tRNA synthetase 2, cytoplasmic	53	5	17.17
ARP19	cAMP-regulated phphoprotein 19	53	1	52.79
ENSA	Alpha-endulfine	53	1	52.79

RL24	60S ribomal protein L24	53	1	35.28
HS105	Heat shock protein 105 kDa	50	6	20.75
CATA	Catalase	49	2	49.14
DDX10	Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX10	42	9	42.18
LARP4	La-related protein 4	42	1	41.97
MIMIT	Mimitin, mitochondrial	41	1	41.09
DBNL	Drebrin-like protein	39	1	36.56
LBR	Lamin-B receptor	38	1	37.78
AIMP1	Aminoacyl tRNA synthetase complex-interacting multifunctional protein 1	37	3	20.08
NSF	Vesicle-fusing ATPase	37	1	19
VW5B1	von Willebrand factor A domain-containing protein 5B1	35	5	40.75
CEA16	Carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule 16	35	1	34.88
CCD65	Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 65	34	5	33.67
CN043	Uncharacterized protein C14orf43	34	4	33.93
PUM1	Pumilio homolog 1	34	2	34.22
TXLNG	Gamma-taxilin	33	10	33.34
RFA1	Replication protein A 70 kDa DNA-binding subunit	33	4	32.54
ARSJ	Arylsulfatase J	33	1	32.32
CCD67	Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 67	32	8	32.5
BIVM	Basic immunoglobulin-like variable motif-containing protein	32	3	32.47
YTHD2	YTH domain family protein 2	32	2	32.45
RSBNL	Round spermatid basic protein 1-like protein	32	1	32.09
SPD2A	SH3 and PX domain-containing protein 2A	32	1	31.88
UBAP2	Ubiquitin-associated protein 2	32	1	32.35
GLSK	Glutaminase kidney isoform, mitochondrial	31	1	30.81
MRE11	Double-strand break repair protein MRE11A	31	1	31.09
PRAF3	PRA1 family protein 3	31	1	20.25

CENPP	Centromere protein P	30	1	29.73
ERP29	Endoplasmic reticulum resident protein 29	30	1	23.18
LASP1	LIM and SH3 domain protein 1	30	1	29.73
TM59L	Transmembrane protein 59-like	30	1	29.73
COL10	Collectin-10	29	3	29.32
ZYX	Zyxin	29	2	29.49
GATS	Putative protein GATS	29	1	29.38
PPN	Papilin	29	1	28.77
SC31A	Protein transport protein Sec31A	29	1	29.47
SYQ	Glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase	29	1	29.38
PAPS2	Bifunctional 3~-phphoadenine 5~-phphulfate synthase 2	28	3	27.81
K0649	Protein KIAA0649	28	2	27.59
NUP88	Nuclear pore complex protein Nup88	28	2	25.32
NALDL	N-acetylated-alpha-linked acidic dipeptidase-like protein	28	1	27.97
VPS39	Vam6/Vps39-like protein	28	1	28.29
ZC12C	Probable ribonuclease ZC3H12C	28	1	27.67
LRRF2	Leucine-rich repeat flightless-interacting protein 2	27	5	27.36
M4K4	Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase kinase 4	27	5	19.92
F195A	Protein FAM195A	27	2	26.9
MDN1	Midasin	26	2	17.57
ACRBP	Acrin-binding protein	26	1	26.38
UBP7	Ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase 7	26	1	26.35
GRM7	Metabotropic glutamate receptor 7	25	3	25.19
CHMP5	Charged multivesicular body protein 5	25	1	25.45
MGAP	MAX gene-associated protein	24	13	21.31
ATP7A	Copper-transporting ATPase 1	24	6	24.32
TRM1	N(2),N(2)-dimethylguanine tRNA methyltransferase	24	4	24.25
CND3	Condensin complex subunit 3	24	1	24.31
K2C74	Keratin, type II cytkeletal 74	24	1	23.82
QTRD1	Queuine tRNA-ribyltransferase subunit QTRTD1	24	1	24.1
S28A3	Solute carrier family 28 member 3	24	1	23.51

ZN469	Zinc finger protein 469	24	1	24.29
DYRK3	Dual specificity tyrine-phphorylation-regulated kinase 3	23	1	23.42
EZH1	Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase EZH1	23	1	23.02
EZH2	Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase EZH2	23	1	23.02
FA81A	Protein FAM81A	23	1	22.56
MMP12	Macrophage metalloelastase	23	1	23.36
FA98A	Protein FAM98A	22	5	22.05
XPO4	Exportin-4	22	3	20.68
TEX15	Testis-expressed sequence 15 protein	22	2	21.88
CF050	Uncharacterized protein C6orf50	22	1	22.14
NIN	Ninein	22	1	22.37
VP37A	Vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein 37A	22	1	21.61
CB067	Uncharacterized protein C2orf67	21	10	21.12
EDRF1	Erythroid differentiation-related factor 1	21	8	19.38
YE031	Transmembrane protein ENSP00000382582	21	5	21.06
TT21A	Tetratricopeptide repeat protein 21A	21	4	17.03
ABCG2	ATP-binding cassette sub-family G member 2	21	2	21.29
NMD3B	Glutamate [NMDA] receptor subunit 3B	21	2	20.88
TEX28	Testis-specific protein TEX28	21	2	20.57
ABHD6	Monoacylglycerol lipase ABHD6	21	1	21.27
ACBG2	Long-chain-fatty-acid--CoA ligase ACSBG2	21	1	20.91
COLQ	Acetylcholinesterase collagenic tail peptide	21	1	21.16
GMFB	Glia maturation factor beta	21	1	21.34
NIT1	Nitrilase homolog 1	21	1	21.38
S26A7	Anion exchange transporter	21	1	20.95
YE015	Ankyrin repeat and death domain-containing protein	21	1	24.63
ZN236	Zinc finger protein 236	21	1	20.51
AGAP2	Arf-GAP with GTPase, ANK repeat and PH domain-containing protein 2	20	4	20.09
SYLC	Leucyl-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic	20	4	18.18

DC1I1	Cytoplasmic dynein 1 intermediate chain 1	20	2	19.91
HPHL1	Hephaestin-like protein 1	20	2	20.04
MYPC2	Myin-binding protein C, fast-type	20	1	20.25
PRAF2	PRA1 family protein 2	20	1	20.25
CO4A1	Collagen alpha-1(IV) chain	19	9	19.05
PGS2	Decorin	19	5	19.27
RASA1	Ras GTPase-activating protein 1	19	5	19.15
RBL1	Retinoblastoma-like protein 1	19	5	19.69
CO033	Uncharacterized protein C15orf33	19	4	22.99
DYH9	Dynein heavy chain 9, axonemal	18	18	18.01
LKAP	Limkain-b1	18	14	17.68
TRPM3	Transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily M member 3	18	9	22.95
AKT1	RAC-alpha serine/threonine-protein kinase	18	1	17.93
CCD34	Coiled-coil domain-containing protein 34	16	1	20.12
CNN1	Calponin-1	16	1	20.87
KNTC1	Kinetochore-associated protein 1	15	8	18.24

1369

1370

Table S2. Summary of human fetal liver library screening by the Y3H 1371
assay to identify the host factors that interact with the HEV IRESI element

Description	No. of Colonies
Number of clones screened	4×10^6 1373
Number of colonies on (LUH⁻+10mM 3AT)	395 1374
Number of colonies containing human liver cDNA insert	285
	1375
Number of colonies containing unique cDNA insert	75
	1376
Unique protein coding sequences in frame with the AD	8
Number of positive clones upon retransformation of the p3HR2-HEV IRESI and the isolated liver library clone	8 1377

1378

Table S3. Analysis of interaction of the HEV IRESI RNA-interacting host proteins (found in Y3H library screening) with the FMDV and HCV IRES RNA[a]

Name of the host protein	HEV IRESI	FMDV IRES	HCV IRES
GREBP	+++	+	+
PPIG	+	-	+++
RPL5	+++	-	+
RPL26	++	-	+++
RPL41	+++	-	-
RPS3A	+++	+++	+++
RPS7	+++	-	+
RPS15A	+++	-	-

[a] +++ : Strong Interaction; + : weak interaction; - : No interaction