Karyorelict ciliates use an ambiguous genetic code with context-dependent stop/sense codons

Brandon Kwee Boon Seah, Aditi Singh, Estienne Carl Swart

Max Planck Institute for Biology, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

Correspondence:

Brandon Kwee Boon Seah, kb.seah@tuebingen.mpg.de

Estienne Carl Swart, estienne.swart@tuebingen.mpg.de

Abstract

In ambiguous stop/sense genetic codes, the stop codon(s) not only terminate translation but can also encode amino acids. Such codes have evolved at least four times in eukaryotes, twice among ciliates (*Condylostoma magnum* and *Parduczia* sp.). These have appeared to be isolated cases whose next closest relatives use conventional stop codons. However, little genomic data have been published for the Karyorelictea, the ciliate class that contains *Parduczia* sp., and previous studies may have overlooked ambiguous codes because of their apparent rarity. We therefore analyzed single-cell transcriptomes from four of the six karyorelict families to determine their genetic codes. Reassignment of canonical stops to sense codons was inferred from codon frequencies in conserved protein domains, while the actual stop codon was predicted from full-length transcripts with intact 3'-UTRs. We found that all available karyorelicts use the *Parduczia* code, where canonical stops UAA and UAG are reassigned to glutamine, and UGA encodes either tryptophan or stop. Furthermore, a small minority of transcripts may use an ambiguous stop-UAA instead of stop-UGA. Given the ubiquity of karyorelicts in marine coastal sediments, ambiguous genetic codes are not mere marginal curiosities but a defining feature of a globally distributed and abundant group of eukaryotes.

Introduction

In addition to the "standard" genetic code used by most organisms, there are numerous variant codes across the tree of life, and new ones continue to be discovered [1–3]. The differences lie in which amino acids are coded by which codon, as well as which codons are used to start and terminate translation (stop codons). Much of the variation is concentrated in a small number of codons, particularly the canonical stop codons UAA, UAG, and UGA, which have repeatedly been reassigned to encode amino acids. The most striking variants are ambiguous codes where one codon can have multiple meanings. This can be stochastic, such as in stop codon readthrough [4], or translation of CUG as either leucine or serine by *Candida* spp. [5]. Alternatively, they can be context-dependent, such as UGA encoding selenocysteine only in selenoproteins [6], meaning that the translation system is able to interpret the codon correctly as either an amino acid or a stop.

Other context-dependent stop/sense codes have been discovered where all the stop codons used by the cell are potentially also sense codons. These have evolved independently several times among the eukaryotes [7–10]: parasitic trypanosomes of the genus *Blastocrithidia* (three different species) use UAA and UAG to encode stop/glutamate (NCBI Genetic Codes ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/entrez/misc/data/gc.prt, table 31); a strain of the marine parasitic alveolate *Amoebophrya* and a marine karyorelict ciliate, *Parduczia* sp., have convergently evolved to use UGA for stop/tryptophan (table 27); and the marine heterotrich ciliate *Condylostoma magnum* uses UGA for stop/tryptophan and UAA/UAG for stop/glutamine (table 28).

The ciliates are a clade with an unusual propensity for variant genetic codes [11]. At least eight different nuclear genetic codes are used by ciliates [10], including some of the first examples of variant codes documented in nuclear genomes [12–16]. At first glance, organisms that use these ambiguous stop/sense codes appear to be isolated single species or strains embedded among relatives with conventional codes. For example, other heterotrichs related to *Condylostoma* use the standard code (e.g. *Stentor*) or the *Blepharisma* code. Additionally, a previous survey of genetic codes across the ciliate tree, including numerous uncultivated heterotrichs and karyorelicts, did not report any new examples of organisms that use ambiguous stop/sense codes, nor appeared to have accounted for such a possibility in their methods [17]. However our own preliminary studies appeared to contradict this, finding other karyorelicts that use the same genetic code as *Parduczia*.

The karyorelicts are a class-level taxon within the ciliates, and sister group to the
heterotrichs. They are globally distributed and abundant in the sediment interstitial habitat of
marine coastal environments [18]. At least ~150 species have been formally described but
this is believed to be a severe underestimate of the true diversity [19,20], and they are also
poorly represented in sequence databases.

We therefore sequenced additional karyorelict transcriptomes and reanalyzed published
data to assess whether karyorelicts other than *Parduczia* could be using ambiguous genetic
codes.

Results

Ten new single-cell RNA-seq libraries from karyorelicts and heterotrichs were sequenced in this study, representing interstitial species from marine sediment at Roscoff, France. These were analyzed alongside 33 previously published RNA-seq libraries (Table S1). After filtering for quality and sufficient data, 25 transcriptome assemblies were used to evaluate stop codon reassignment (15 previously published), vs. 26 assemblies (16 previously published) for inferring the actual stop codon(s) (Supplementary Information).

Reassignment of all three canonical stop codons to sense codons in karyorelicts

Codon frequencies in protein-coding sequences were calculated from sequence regions that aligned to conserved Pfam domains, in transcripts with poly-A tails. Transcriptomes and genomic coding sequences (CDSs) from ciliates with known genetic codes were used as a comparison to estimate the false positive rate of stop codons being found in these alignments, e.g. because of misalignments, misassembly, or pseudogenes.

Among karyorelicts, all three canonical stop codons (UAA, UAG, UGA) were observed in conserved protein domains, with frequencies between 0.08-2.9%, which fell within the range observed for coding codons in organisms with known genetic codes (0.03-6.8%, excluding the outlier CGG in *Tetrahymena thermophila* with only 0.003%). This range was also similar to frequencies of the ambiguous stops in *Parduczia* and the heterotrich *Condylostoma* (Figure 1). UGA was generally less frequent than UAA/UAG in all karyorelicts, but the frequencies varied between taxa, reflecting their individual codon usage biases or which genes are assembled in the transcriptome because of sequencing depth. UGA was the least-frequent codon in most Trachelocercidae and Geleiidae, but was more frequent in Loxodidae and Kentrophoridae than some other codons, especially C/G-rich ones like CGG (Figure 1). Nonetheless, these were all still one to two orders of magnitude higher than the observed frequencies of in-frame actual stops from other ciliate species in the reference set.

Figure 1. Codon frequencies of canonical stop codons (UGA: blue, UAA: orange, UAG:

green) and other codons (gray) in conserved protein domains found by hmmscan search in

six-frame translations of transcriptome assemblies (Table S1) or genomic CDSs (Table S2)

vs. Pfam. (**left**) Assignments of canonical stops for organisms with known genetic codes,

following Swart et al., 2016. Names of libraries from this study are highlighted in bold.

In-frame UGAs were found in 10.5 to 76.9% of transcripts with putative coding regions

predicted by full-length Blastx hits per karyorelict library (Figure 4D). This verified that in-

frame UGAs were not concentrated in a small fraction of potentially spurious sequences but

in fact found in many genes. Conserved 'marker' genes that were generally expected to be

present in ciliate genomes (BUSCO orthologs, Alveolata marker set) also contained in-frame

UGAs. The karyorelict transcriptome assemblies were relatively incomplete, with 1.8% to

20.5% (median 12.0%) estimated completeness based on the BUSCO markers, and a total

of 91 of 171 BUSCO orthologs were found in these assemblies (Figure 2A). Nonetheless, 46

BUSCO orthologs from 14 karyorelict assemblies were found with in-frame UGAs in

conserved alignment positions (e.g. Figure 2B, 2C), verifying that they are not limited to

poorly characterized or hypothetical proteins.

In comparison, the heterotrich *Anigsteinia*, for which two new sequence libraries were also

produced and which was found in the same habitats as karyorelicts, had in-frame

frequencies of ≤0.011% for all three canonical stop codons, which were comparable to

frequencies of the known stop codons in *Blepharisma* and *Stentor* (max. 0.09%). Hence

Anigsteinia probably does not have ambiguous sense/stop codons.

All karyorelicts had the same inferred amino acid reassignments for the three canonical

stops: glutamine (Q) for UAA and UAG, and tryptophan (W) for UGA (Figure 3), matching

previous predictions for *Parduczia* sp. and *Condylostoma magnum* [9,10].

Figure 2. In-frame coding UGAs in conserved marker genes. (**A**) Completeness estimates of heterotrich and karyorelict transcriptomes (library names in green and blue respectively), compared with genomic reference sequences from other ciliates (Table S3); BUSCO Alveolata marker set. (**B, C**) Two examples of alignments (excerpts) for conserved orthologous protein-coding genes (orthologs 20320at33630 and 23778at33630), which contain in-frame UGAs translated as W in karyorelict sequences, flanked by conserved alignment blocks.

Figure 3. Weblogos representing the likely amino acid assignment of each codon in selected
libraries (library with most coverage per taxon of interest). Heights of each letter represent
the relative frequencies (all scaled to 100%) of each amino acid in conserved residues
aligning to that codon. The observed codon frequency (in %) is indicated below. Codons with
frequencies <0.02% are highlighted in red, representing either non-ambiguous stops or
unassigned codons. Assignment of cysteine (C) for UGA in *Anigsteinia* is based on only 16
alignments, of which 14 are to a likely selenoprotein (Pfam domain GSHPx).

Stop codons in karyorelicts and heterotrichs

129
Frequency of a codon in coding regions can be used to infer if it is a sense codon but not
whether it can terminate translation, especially for ambiguous codes where codons that can
terminate translation also frequently appear in coding sequences. Therefore we used full
length transcripts with both a high quality Blastx alignment to a reference protein and a poly-
A tail to predict the likely stop codon(s) used in each sample. To avoid double counting, only
one isoform was used per gene. We assumed that the true stop codon(s) were one or more
of the three canonical stops UGA, UAA, UAG, and that if a contig has a high quality Blastx
hit to a reference protein sequence, the true stop should lie somewhere between the last
codon at the 3' end of the hit region and the beginning of the poly-A. We reasoned that if the
true stop codon set was used for annotation, (i) the number of transcripts without a putative
true stop should be minimized; (ii) the variance of the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) length
should also be minimized because ciliate 3'-UTRs are known to be short (mostly <100 bp);
and (iii) if there was more than one stop codon, the length distributions of the putative 3'-
UTRs for each stop codon should be centered on the same value.

With these criteria, the candidate stop codons for karyorelicts could be narrowed to two
possibilities: UGA alone or UGA + UAA. If only UGA was permitted as a stop codon, 84-98%
of transcripts per library had a putative true stop, but if both UGA and UAA were permitted
as stop codons, the proportion was over 98% (Figure 4A). This was at the expense of
somewhat more variance in the 3'-UTR length distribution, although we found that this metric
was of limited usefulness because UGA was always the majority in all stop codon
combinations where it was present (Figures 4B, 4C). Both karyorelicts and heterotrichs in
this study had short and narrowly distributed 3'-UTR lengths (median 28 nt, interquartile
range 18 nt) (Figure 4C). The heterotrichs were shortest overall, with median lengths per
taxon between 21 nt (*Condylostoma*) and 26 nt (*Stentor*), followed by the karyorelict families
Trachelocercidae (33 nt), Geleiidae (31 nt), Kentrophoridae (37 nt), and Loxodidae (43 nt).

Figure 4. Effect of different stop codon combinations on assembly metrics. Predicted stop

codon usage for each taxon from this study or previous publications highlighted in gray. (**A**) Strip plots for the fraction of full length contigs per transcriptome that have a putative stop codon from that specific combination (rows), i.e. in-frame, downstream of full-length Blastx hit vs. reference, and upstream of poly-A tail. Each point corresponds to one transcriptome assembly, grouped by taxonomic family (columns). (**B**) Scatterplots for standard deviation of 3'-UTR lengths. (**C**) Histograms for 3'-UTR lengths, colored by putative stop codon (UGA: blue, UAA: orange, UAG: green), one representative library per family. (**D**) Fraction of full-length transcripts that have at least one canonical stop codon in the putative coding region, grouped by family (further split to genus for Loxodidae and Geleiidae).

Figure 5. Depletion of in-frame coding "stop" codons in the coding sequence (negative coordinates) immediately before the putative true stop codon (position 0) and their enrichment in the 3'-UTR (positive coordinates). Representative library with highest number of assembled full length contigs chosen per taxon. (**A**) Codon counts for UGA (blue), UAA (orange), and UAG (green) before and after putative true stop in *Condylostoma magnum* (uses all three as ambiguous stops), and three heterotrichs with unambiguous stops. (**B**) Codon counts for karyorelicts if only UGA is permitted as a stop codon. (**C**) Codon counts for karyorelicts if both UGA and UAA are permitted as stop codons.

In previous analyses of the ambiguous stop codons in *Condylostoma* and *Parduczia*, a

distinct depletion of in-frame coding "stop" codons immediately upstream of the actual

terminal stop was observed [10]. We could reproduce this depletion of all three canonical

stops in *Condylostoma* and of UGA in *Parduczia*, about 10 to 20 codon positions before the

putative terminal stop, in our reanalysis of the same data (Figure 5A). For the karyorelicts, if

only UGA was permitted as a stop codon, we observed depletion of coding-UGA but also of

coding-UAAs before the terminal stop-UGA (Figure 5B). If UGA + UAA were permitted as

stops, the depletion of coding-UGA before terminal stops was still observed, while the

depletion of coding-UAA was even more pronounced (Figure 5C). Unfortunately, there were

only a limited number of full-length karyorelict transcripts with putative stop-UAAs (max. 47

contigs per library). We, therefore, concluded that UGA is the predominant stop codon in

karyorelicts, but UAA may also function as a stop codon for about 1-10% of transcripts.

UAA and UAG were predicted as stop codons of *Anigsteinia* (Spirostomidae), consistent with

their near-absence from coding regions in this genus (see above, Figure 1). UGA was not

only near-absent from coding regions, but also rarely encountered as a putative stop codon,

although it was not uncommon in 3'-UTRs. Similar rarity of UGAs as putative stops was also

observed in *Stentor* and other heterotrichs that are said to use the standard code. Either (i)

these heterotrichs use the standard genetic code with all three canonical stop codons but a

strong bias against using UGA for stop, or (ii) UGA is an unassigned codon in these

organisms.

Discussion

We have found that the codon UGA is used as both a stop codon and to code for tryptophan by karyorelictean ciliates. The taxa sampled represent four of the six families of karyorelicts: Loxodidae, Trachelocercidae, Geleiidae, and Kentrophoriidae. When this distribution is mapped to an up-to-date phylogeny [19], we can infer that this ambiguous code, formerly reported only for *Parduczia* sp. (Geleiidae) among ciliates, was actually acquired at the root of the karyorelict clade (Figure 6).

Figure 6. Genetic code diversity among karyorelict and heterotrich ciliates. (**Left**) Diagrammatic karyorelict + heterotrich tree with predicted stop codon reassignments mapped to each family. Subtree topologies are from Ma et al. (2022) and Fernandes et al. (2016) respectively. Branch lengths are not representative of evolutionary distances. (**Right**) Photomicrographs of ciliates (incident light) collected in this study from Roscoff, France; height of each panel 50 μm.

Available data for *Cryptopharynx* (Karyorelictea: Cryptopharyngidae) were not conclusive.

The canonical stop codons had frequencies between 0.02 and 0.07%, lower than for other

karyorelicts, but higher than true stop codons, but this family was represented by a single

library that had high contamination from other eukaryotes (Supplementary Text) and there

were too few high-confidence, full length transcripts for a reliable conclusion on its genetic

code. No sequence data beyond rRNA genes were publicly available for the remaining

family, Wilbertomorphidae, whose phylogenetic position in relation to the other karyorelicts is

unclear because of long branch lengths [21].

Ambiguous stop/sense codes are hence not just isolated phenomena, but are used by a

major taxon that is diverse, globally distributed, and abundant in its respective habitats. In

contrast, the heterotrichs, which constitute the sister group to Karyorelictea and are hence of

the same evolutionary age, use at least three different genetic codes, including one with

ambiguous stops (Figure 6). If organisms with ambiguous codes were isolated single

species whose nearest relatives have conventional stops, as appears to be the case for

Blastocrithidia spp. and *Amoebophrya* sp., we might conclude that these are uncommon

occurrences that do not persist over longer evolutionary time scales. However, the

karyorelict crown group diversified during the Proterozoic (posterior mean 455 Mya) and the

stem split from the Heterotrichea even earlier, in the Neo-Proterozoic [22].

This study has benefited from several technical improvements. A highly complete,

contiguous genome assembly with gene predictions is now available for the heterotrich

Blepharisma stoltei [23]. Because *Blepharisma* is more closely related to the karyorelicts

than other ciliate model species, which are mostly oligohymenophorans and spirotrichs, it

improved the reference-based annotation of the assembled transcriptomes. Single-cell RNA-

seq libraries in this study were also sequenced to a greater depth, with a lower fraction of

contamination from rRNA, and hence yielded more full length mRNA transcripts for analysis.

One proposed mechanism for how the cell correctly recognizes whether an ambiguous

codon is coding or terminal is based on the proximity of translation stops to the poly-A tail of

transcripts. In this model, tRNAs typically bind more efficiently to in-frame coding "stops"

than eukaryotic translation release factor 1 (eRF1), hence allowing these codons to be

translated. At the true termination stop codon, however, the binding of eRF1 can be

stabilized by interactions with poly-A interacting proteins like PABP bound to the nearby

poly-A tail, allowing it to outcompete tRNAs and hydrolyze the peptidyl-tRNA bond [10,24].

Consistent with this model, we found that karyorelict 3'-UTRs are also relatively short, and

that in-frame UGAs are depleted immediately before the putative true stop codon.

Nonetheless, karyorelict 3'-UTRs are actually about 10 nt longer on average than those of
heterotrichs.

Our results also raised the possibility that UAA is also used as an ambiguous stop codon for
~1-10% of karyorelict transcripts, in addition to the main stop codon UGA. eRF1 may retain
a weak affinity for UAA, and recognize UAA for terminating translation albeit with lower
efficiency. In *Blepharisma japonicum*, where UAA and UAG are non-ambiguous stops and
UGA encodes tryptophan (albeit at low frequency, 0.13%), heterologously expressed eRF1
could still recognize all three codons in an *in vitro* assay, although efficiency of peptidyl-tRNA
hydrolysis was lower with UGA than for UAA and UAG [25]. In species with non-ambiguous
stop codon reassignment, the effect of such "weak" ambiguity on the total pool of translated
protein may be negligible, but it shows that there is a latent potential that could account for
the repeated evolution of stop codon reassignments in ciliates. Furthermore, UAAs were
even more abundant than UGAs in ciliate 3'-UTRs, which can be attributed to the low GC%
of 3'-UTRs compared to coding sequences; other A/U-only codons were also enriched in 3'-
UTRs. Therefore, UAAs in the 3'-UTRs of karyorelicts may be a 'backstop' mechanism that
prevents occasional stop-codon readthrough, as proposed for tandem stop codons (TSCs) in
other species with reassigned stop codons [26]. In the minority of transcripts where in-frame
stop-UGA is absent, the backstop may be adequate to terminate translation before the poly-
A tail and produce a functional protein most of the time.

What selective pressures might favor the evolution and maintenance of an ambiguous
genetic code? One possibility is that they confer mutational robustness by eliminating
substitutions that cause premature stop codons. They do not appear to be linked to a
specific habitat: *Blastocrithidia* spp. and *Amoebophrya* sp. are both parasites of eukaryotic
hosts, but of insects and free-living dinoflagellates respectively; whereas the karyorelict
ciliates and *Condylostoma* are both found in marine interstitial environments, but live
alongside other ciliates that have conventional codes, such as *Anigsteinia*. Having short 3'-
UTRs may predispose ciliates to adopt ambiguous codes by facilitating interactions between
eRF1 and PABPs that could enable stop recognition, but it is not the only deciding factor
because the 3'-UTRs of ciliates with conventional stop codons are also comparably short,
particularly among the heterotrichs.

Any adaptationist hypothesis for alternative and ambiguous codes will have to contend with
the existence of related organisms with conventional codes that have similar lifestyles.
Furthermore, once a stop codon has been reassigned to sense, it becomes increasingly
difficult to undo without the deleterious effects of premature translation termination, and may

function like a ratchet. Like the origins of the genetic code itself [27], we may have to be

content with the null hypothesis that they are "frozen accidents" that reached fixation

stochastically, and which are maintained because they do not pose a significant selective

disadvantage.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

Surface sediment was sampled in September 2021 from two sites in the bay at Roscoff,
France when exposed at low tide. Site A: shallow swimming enclosure, 48.72451 N,
3.992294 W; Site B: adjacent to green algae tufts near freshwater outflow, 48.716169 N,
3.995626 W. Upper 1-2 cm of sediment was skimmed into glass beakers, and stored under
local seawater until use. Interstitial ciliates were collected by decantation: a spoonful of
sediment was stirred in seawater in a beaker. Sediment particles were briefly allowed to
settle out, and the overlying suspended organic material was decanted into Petri dishes.
Ciliate cells were identified by morphology under a dissection microscope and picked by
pipetting with sterile, filtered pipette tips. Selected cells were imaged with incident light under
a stereo microscope (Olympus SZX10, Lumenera Infinity 3 camera).

NEBNext cell lysis buffer (NEB, E5530S) was premixed and filled into PCR tubes; per tube:
0.8 µL 10x cell lysis buffer, 0.4 µL murine RNAse inhibitor, 5.3 µL nuclease-free water.
Picked ciliate cells were transferred twice through filtered local seawater (0.22 µm, Millipore
SLGP033RS) to wash, then transferred with 1.5 µL carryover volume to 6.5 µL of cell lysis
buffer (final volume 8 µL), and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were stored at -80 °C
before use.

Single-cell RNAseq sequencing

Samples collected in cell lysis buffer (Table S1) were used for RNAseq library preparation
with the NEBNext Single Cell / Low Input RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (NEB, E6420S),
following the manufacturer's protocol for single cells, with the following parameters adjusted:
17 cycles for cDNA amplification PCR, cDNA input for library enrichment normalized to 3 ng
(or all available cDNA used for libraries where total cDNA was <3 ng), 8 cycles for library
enrichment PCR. Libraries were dual-indexed (NEBNext Dual Index Primers Set 1, NEB
E7600S), and sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 2000 instrument with P3 300 cycle
reagents, with target yield of 10 Gbp per library.

RNA-seq library quality control and transcriptome assembly

Previously published karyorelict transcriptome data [17,28–30] were downloaded from the
European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) (Table S1). Contamination from non-target organisms
was evaluated by mapping reads to an rRNA reference database and summarizing the hits

by taxonomy. Although RNAseq library construction enriches mRNAs using poly-A tail

selection, there is typically still sufficient rRNA present in the final library to evaluate the

taxonomic composition of the sample. All RNAseq read libraries (newly sequenced and

previously published) were processed with the same pipeline: The taxonomic composition of

each library was evaluated by mapping 1 M read pairs per library against the SILVA SSU

Ref NR 132 database [31], using phyloFlash v3.3b1 [32]. Newly sequenced libraries were

assigned to a genus or family using the mapping-based taxonomic summary, or full-length

18S rRNA gene if it was successfully assembled.

Reads were trimmed with the program bbduk.sh from BBmap v38.22 (http://sourceforge.net/

projects/bbmap/) to remove known adapters (right end) and low-quality bases (both ends),

with minimum Phred quality 24 and minimum read length 25 bp. Trimmed reads were then

assembled with Trinity v2.12.0 [33] using default parameters. Assembled contigs were

aligned against the *Blepharisma stoltei* ATCC 30299 proteome [23] with NCBI Blastx v2.12.0

[34] using the standard genetic code and E-value cutoff 10^{-20}, parallelized with GNU Parallel

[35].

Read pre-processing, quality control, and assembly were managed with a Snakemake

v6.8.1 [36] workflow (https://github.com/Swart-lab/karyocode-workflow). Scripts for data

processing described below were written in Python v3.7.3 using Biopython v1.74 [37],

pandas v0.25.0 [38], seaborn v0.11.0 [39] and Matplotlib v3.1.1 [40] libraries unless

otherwise stated.

Prediction of stop codon reassignment to sense

Only contigs with poly-A tails ≥7 bp were used for genetic code prediction, to exclude

potential bacterial contaminants, especially because several species (*Kentrophoros* spp.,

Parduczia sp., Supplementary Text) are known to have abundant bacterial symbionts.

Presence and lengths of poly-A tails in assembled transcripts were evaluated with a Python

regular expression. Library preparation was not strand-specific, hence contigs starting with

poly-T were reverse-complemented, and contigs with both a poly-A tail and a poly-T head

(presumably fused contig) were excluded.

Codon frequencies and their corresponding amino acids were predicted with an updated

version of PORC (v2.1, https://github.com/Swart-lab/PORC, managed with a Snakemake

workflow, https://github.com/Swart-lab/karyocode-analysis-porc); the method has been

previously described [10,41]. Briefly: a six-frame translation was produced for each contig in

the transcriptome assembly, and searched against conserved domains in the Pfam-A

database v32 [42] with hmmscan from HMMer v3.3.2 (http://hmmer.org/). Overall codon

frequencies were counted from alignments with E-value ≤ 10^{-20}. To ensure that there was

sufficient data underlying the codon and amino acid frequencies, only those libraries with at

least 100 observations for each of the coding codons in the standard genetic code were

used for comparison of codon frequencies and for prediction of amino acid assignments.

Frequencies of amino acids aligning to a given codon were counted from columns where the

HMM model consensus was ≥50% identity in the alignment used to build the model (upper-

case positions in the HMM consensus). Sequence logos of amino acid frequencies per

codon for each library were drawn with Weblogo v3.7.5 [43].

In addition to the transcriptomes, genomic CDSs of selected model species with different

genetic codes [23,44–48] were also analyzed with PORC to obtain a reference baseline of

coding-codon frequencies (Table S2). These model species have non-ambiguous codes so

they were not expected to have stop codons in the CDSs, except for the terminal stop.

Prediction of coding frame in full-length transcripts

"Full-length" transcripts (with poly-A tail, intact 3'-UTR, and complete coding sequence) were

desirable to predict the stop codon, characterize 3'-UTR metrics, and verify genetic code

predictions. Contigs were therefore filtered with the following criteria: (i) poly-A tail ≥7 bp,

criterion following [10], (ii) contig contains a Blastx hit vs. *B. stoltei* protein sequence with E-

value ≤10^{-20} and where the alignment covers ≥80% of the reference *B. stoltei* sequence, (iii)

both poly-A tail and Blastx hit agree on the contig orientation. For contigs with multiple

isoforms assembled by Trinity, the isoform with the longest Blastx hit was chosen; in case of

a Blastx hit length tie, then the longer isoform was chosen. Only libraries with >100

assembled "full-length" transcripts were used for downstream analyses (Supplementary

Text).

Metrics for evaluating potential stop codon combinations

For each of the 7 possible combinations of the 3 canonical stop codons (UGA, UAA, UAG),

we treated the first in-frame stop downstream of the Blastx hit in each full-length transcript

(including the last codon of the hit) as the putative stop codon, and recorded the number of

full-length transcripts with a putative stop, the length of the 3'-UTR (distance from stop to

beginning of the poly-A tail), as well as the codon frequencies for each position from 150

codons upstream of the putative stop to the last in-frame three-nucleotide triplet before the

poly-A tail.

Delimitation of putative coding sequences using Blastx hits

The start codon was more difficult to evaluate because the 5' end of the transcript may not

have been fully assembled, and there was no straightforward way to recognize its

boundaries, unlike the 3'-poly-A tail. We used the following heuristic criteria to define the

start of the CDS: first in-frame ATG upstream of the Blastx hit (including first codon of the

hit), or first in-frame stop codon encountered upstream (to avoid potential problems with

ORFs containing in-frame stops), whichever comes first. Otherwise, the transcript was

assumed to be incomplete at the 5'-end and simply truncated with the required 1 or 2 bp

offset to keep the CDS in frame.

Verification of in-frame UGAs in conserved marker genes

Full-length CDSs (see above) were translated with the karyorelict code (NCBI table 27).

Conserved marker genes were identified with BUSCO v5.2.2 (protein mode,

alveolata_odb10 marker set) [49], managed with a Snakemake workflow (https://github.com/

Swart-lab/karyocode-analysis-busco). Markers for additional ciliate species where relatively

complete genome assemblies and gene predictions were available were also identified

(Table S3) [45,47,50–57]. For each BUSCO marker, the ciliate homologs were aligned with

Muscle v3.8.1551 [58]. Alignment columns corresponding to in-frame putatively coding

UGAs of karyorelict sequences were identified. These positions were considered to be

conserved if ≥50% of residues were W or another aromatic amino acid (Y, F, or H).

Data availability

395 RNA-seq libraries sequenced for this study have been deposited at the European Nucleotide

396 Archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/) under accession PRJEB50648.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary Text. Quality metrics of single-cell transcriptome assemblies.

Table S1. Transcriptomic RNAseq libraries from karyorelict and heterotrich ciliates analyzed

in this project.

Table S2. Genomic CDS sequences of cultivated model ciliates with unambiguous stop

codons, used for baseline comparison of coding vs. stop codon frequencies in HMMer

searches of six-frame translations.

Table S3. High completeness proteomes of ciliate model organisms used for BUSCO

marker comparison and alignment.

Funding

407 This work was supported by the Max Planck Society. The research leading to these results
408 has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation
409 programme under grant agreement no. 730984, Assemble Plus project.

Acknowledgements

411 We thank S. Booker, C. Cabresin, E. Bourrigaud, and R. Garnier for facilitating our research
412 visit to the Station Biologique Roscoff; H. Budde for sequencing; H. Gruber-Vodicka for loan
413 of equipment; A. Peters for helpful suggestions and cake; A. Lemper for administrative
414 assistance; Y. Shulgina for thoughtful feedback on the manuscript, and members of the
415 Swart Lab for helpful feedback and support.

References

References

1. Ivanova NN, Schwientek P, Tripp HJ, Rinke C, Pati A, Huntemann M, et al. Stop
codon reassignments in the wild. Science. 2014;344: 909–913.
doi:10.1126/science.1250691

2. Shulgina Y, Eddy SR. A computational screen for alternative genetic codes in over
250,000 genomes. eLife. 2021;10. doi:10.7554/eLife.71402

3. Kollmar M, Mühlhausen S. Nuclear codon reassignments in the genomics era and
mechanisms behind their evolution. Bioessays. 2017;39. doi:10.1002/bies.201600221

4. Schueren F, Thoms S. Functional translational readthrough: A systems biology
perspective. PLoS Genet. 2016;12: e1006196. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006196

5. Suzuki T, Ueda T, Watanabe K. The "polysemous" codon--a codon with multiple amino
acid assignment caused by dual specificity of tRNA identity. EMBO J. 1997;16: 1122–
1134. doi:10.1093/emboj/16.5.1122

6. Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. How selenium has altered our understanding of the
genetic code. Mol Cell Biol. 2002;22: 3565–3576. doi:10.1128/MCB.22.11.3565-
3576.2002

7. Záhonová K, Kostygov AY, Ševčíková T, Yurchenko V, Eliáš M. An Unprecedented
Non-canonical Nuclear Genetic Code with All Three Termination Codons Reassigned
as Sense Codons. Curr Biol. 2016;26: 2364–2369. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.06.064

8. Bachvaroff TR. A precedented nuclear genetic code with all three termination codons
reassigned as sense codons in the syndinean *Amoebophrya* sp. ex *Karlodinium*
veneficum. PLoS ONE. 2019;14: e0212912. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0212912

9. Heaphy SM, Mariotti M, Gladyshev VN, Atkins JF, Baranov PV. Novel Ciliate Genetic
Code Variants Including the Reassignment of All Three Stop Codons to Sense Codons
in *Condylostoma magnum*. Mol Biol Evol. 2016;33: 2885–2889.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msw166

10. Swart EC, Serra V, Petroni G, Nowacki M. Genetic Codes with No Dedicated Stop
Codon: Context-Dependent Translation Termination. Cell. 2016;166: 691–702.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.020

11. Lozupone CA, Knight RD, Landweber LF. The molecular basis of nuclear genetic code
change in ciliates. Curr Biol. 2001;11: 65–74. doi:10.1016/s0960-9822(01)00028-8

12. Preer JR, Preer LB, Rudman BM, Barnett AJ. Deviation from the universal code shown

by the gene for surface protein 51A in *Paramecium*. Nature. 1985;314: 188–190.

doi:10.1038/314188a0

13. Horowitz S, Gorovsky MA. An unusual genetic code in nuclear genes of *Tetrahymena*.

Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1985;82: 2452–2455. doi:10.1073/pnas.82.8.2452

14. Meyer F, Schmidt HJ, Plümper E, Hasilik A, Mersmann G, Meyer HE, et al. UGA is

translated as cysteine in pheromone 3 of *Euplotes octocarinatus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci

USA. 1991;88: 3758–3761. doi:10.1073/pnas.88.9.3758

15. Tourancheau AB, Tsao N, Klobutcher LA, Pearlman RE, Adoutte A. Genetic code

deviations in the ciliates: evidence for multiple and independent events. EMBO J.

1995;14: 3262–3267. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1995.tb07329.x

16. Helftenbein E. Nucleotide sequence of a macronuclear DNA molecule coding for

alpha-tubulin from the ciliate *Stylonychia lemnae*. Special codon usage: TAA is not a

translation termination codon. Nucleic Acids Res. 1985;13: 415–433. doi:10.1093/nar/

13.2.415

17. Yan Y, Maurer-Alcalá XX, Knight R, Kosakovsky Pond SL, Katz LA. Single-Cell

Transcriptomics Reveal a Correlation between Genome Architecture and Gene Family

Evolution in Ciliates. MBio. 2019;10. doi:10.1128/mBio.02524-19

18. Fenchel T. The ecology of marine microbenthos IV. Structure and function of the

benthic ecosystem, its chemical and physical factors and the microfauna commuities

with special reference to the ciliated protozoa. Ophelia. 1969;6: 1–182.

doi:10.1080/00785326.1969.10409647

19. Ma M, Li Y, Maurer-Alcalá XX, Wang Y, Yan Y. Deciphering phylogenetic relationships

in class Karyorelictea (Protista, Ciliophora) based on updated multi-gene information

with establishment of a new order Wilbertomorphida n. ord. Mol Phylogenet Evol.

2022; 107406. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2022.107406

20. Foissner W. The karyorelictids (Protozoa: Ciliophora), a unique and enigmatic

assemblage of marine, interstitial ciliates: a review emphasizing ciliary patterns and

evolution. Evolutionary relationships among Protozoa. 1998; 305–325.

21. Xu Y, Li J, Song W, Warren A. Phylogeny and establishment of a new ciliate family,

Wilbertomorphidae fam. nov. (Ciliophora, Karyorelictea), a highly specialized taxon

represented by *Wilbertomorpha colpoda* gen. nov., spec. nov. J Eukaryot Microbiol.

2013;60: 480–489. doi:10.1111/jeu.12055

22. Fernandes NM, Schrago CG. A multigene timescale and diversification dynamics of
Ciliophora evolution. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2019;139: 106521.
doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2019.106521

23. Singh M, Seah BKB, Emmerich C, Singh A, Woehle C, Huettel B, et al. The
Blepharisma stoltei macronuclear genome: towards the origins of whole genome
reorganization. BioRxiv. 2021. doi:10.1101/2021.12.14.471607

24. Alkalaeva E, Mikhailova T. Reassigning stop codons via translation termination: How a
few eukaryotes broke the dogma. Bioessays. 2017;39. doi:10.1002/bies.201600213

25. Eliseev BD, Alkalaeva EZ, Kryuchkova PN, Lekomtsev SA, Wang W, Liang A-H, et al.
Translation termination factor eRF1 of the ciliate *Blepharisma japonicum* recognizes all
three stop codons. Mol Biol (NY). 2011;45: 614–618.
doi:10.1134/S0026893311040030

26. Fleming I, Cavalcanti ARO. Selection for tandem stop codons in ciliate species with
reassigned stop codons. PLoS ONE. 2019;14: e0225804.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0225804

27. Crick FH. The origin of the genetic code. J Mol Biol. 1968;38: 367–379.
doi:10.1016/0022-2836(68)90392-6

28. Seah BKB, Antony CP, Huettel B, Zarzycki J, Schada von Borzyskowski L, Erb TJ, et
al. Sulfur-Oxidizing Symbionts without Canonical Genes for Autotrophic CO2 Fixation.
MBio. 2019;10: e01112-19. doi:10.1128/mBio.01112-19

29. Keeling PJ, Burki F, Wilcox HM, Allam B, Allen EE, Amaral-Zettler LA, et al. The
Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project (MMETSP):
illuminating the functional diversity of eukaryotic life in the oceans through
transcriptome sequencing. PLoS Biol. 2014;12: e1001889.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001889

30. Kolisko M, Boscaro V, Burki F, Lynn DH, Keeling PJ. Single-cell transcriptomics for
microbial eukaryotes. Curr Biol. 2014;24: R1081-2. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.10.026

31. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA
ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based
tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41: D590-6. doi:10.1093/nar/gks1219

32. Gruber-Vodicka HR, Seah BKB, Pruesse E. phyloFlash: Rapid Small-Subunit rRNA
Profiling and Targeted Assembly from Metagenomes. mSystems. 2020;5. doi:10.1128/

mSystems.00920-20

33. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, et al. Full-length

transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat

Biotechnol. 2011;29: 644–652. doi:10.1038/nbt.1883

34. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al.

BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10: 421.

doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

35. Tange O. Gnu Parallel 2018. Zenodo. 2018. doi:10.5281/zenodo.1146014

36. Mölder F, Jablonski KP, Letcher B, Hall MB, Tomkins-Tinch CH, Sochat V, et al.

Sustainable data analysis with Snakemake. F1000Res. 2021;10: 33.

doi:10.12688/f1000research.29032.2

37. Cock PJA, Antao T, Chang JT, Chapman BA, Cox CJ, Dalke A, et al. Biopython: freely

available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics.

Bioinformatics. 2009;25: 1422–1423. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp163

38. McKinney W. Data structures for statistical computing in python. Proceedings of the

9th Python in Science Conference. SciPy; 2010. pp. 56–61. doi:10.25080/Majora-

92bf1922-00a

39. Waskom M. seaborn: statistical data visualization. JOSS. 2021;6: 3021.

doi:10.21105/joss.03021

40. Hunter JD. Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. Comput Sci Eng. 2007;9: 90–95.

doi:10.1109/MCSE.2007.55

41. Dutilh BE, Jurgelenaite R, Szklarczyk R, van Hijum SAFT, Harhangi HR, Schmid M, et

al. FACIL: Fast and Accurate Genetic Code Inference and Logo. Bioinformatics.

2011;27: 1929–1933. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr316

42. Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, Qureshi M, Salazar GA, Sonnhammer ELL, et al.

Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49: D412–

D419. doi:10.1093/nar/gkaa913

43. Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE. WebLogo: a sequence logo

generator. Genome Res. 2004;14: 1188–1190. doi:10.1101/gr.849004

44. Aury J-M, Jaillon O, Duret L, Noel B, Jubin C, Porcel BM, et al. Global trends of whole-

genome duplications revealed by the ciliate *Paramecium tetraurelia*. Nature. 2006;444:

171–178. doi:10.1038/nature05230

45. Slabodnick MM, Ruby JG, Reiff SB, Swart EC, Gosai S, Prabakaran S, et al. The
Macronuclear Genome of *Stentor coeruleus* Reveals Tiny Introns in a Giant Cell. Curr
Biol. 2017;27: 569–575. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.12.057

46. Arnaiz O, Meyer E, Sperling L. ParameciumDB 2019: integrating genomic data across
the genus for functional and evolutionary biology. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020;48: D599–
D605. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz948

47. Sheng Y, Duan L, Cheng T, Qiao Y, Stover NA, Gao S. The completed macronuclear
genome of a model ciliate *Tetrahymena thermophila* and its application in genome
scrambling and copy number analyses. Sci China Life Sci. 2020;63: 1534–1542.
doi:10.1007/s11427-020-1689-4

48. Stover NA, Krieger CJ, Binkley G, Dong Q, Fisk DG, Nash R, et al. Tetrahymena
Genome Database (TGD): a new genomic resource for Tetrahymena thermophila
research. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34: D500-3. doi:10.1093/nar/gkj054

49. Waterhouse RM, Seppey M, Simão FA, Manni M, Ioannidis P, Klioutchnikov G, et al.
BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction and phylogenomics.
Mol Biol Evol. 2018;35: 543–548. doi:10.1093/molbev/msx319

50. Chen X, Jiang Y, Gao F, Zheng W, Krock TJ, Stover NA, et al. Genome analyses of
the new model protist *Euplotes vannus* focusing on genome rearrangement and
resistance to environmental stressors. Mol Ecol Resour. 2019;19: 1292–1308.
doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13023

51. Coyne RS, Hannick L, Shanmugam D, Hostetler JB, Brami D, Joardar VS, et al.
Comparative genomics of the pathogenic ciliate *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis*, its free-
living relatives and a host species provide insights into adoption of a parasitic lifestyle
and prospects for disease control. Genome Biol. 2011;12: R100. doi:10.1186/gb-2011-
12-10-r100

52. Swart EC, Bracht JR, Magrini V, Minx P, Chen X, Zhou Y, et al. The *Oxytricha trifallax*
macronuclear genome: a complex eukaryotic genome with 16,000 tiny chromosomes.
PLoS Biol. 2013;11: e1001473. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001473

53. Xiong J, Wang G, Cheng J, Tian M, Pan X, Warren A, et al. Genome of the facultative
scuticociliatosis pathogen *Pseudocohnilembus persalinus* provides insight into its
virulence through horizontal gene transfer. Sci Rep. 2015;5: 15470.
doi:10.1038/srep15470

54. Aeschlimann SH, Jönsson F, Postberg J, Stover NA, Petera RL, Lipps H-J, et al. The

draft assembly of the radically organized *Stylonychia lemnae* macronuclear genome.
Genome Biol Evol. 2014;6: 1707–1723. doi:10.1093/gbe/evu139

55.   Hamilton EP, Kapusta A, Huvos PE, Bidwell SL, Zafar N, Tang H, et al. Structure of
580   the germline genome of *Tetrahymena thermophila* and relationship to the massively
581   rearranged somatic genome. eLife. 2016;5. doi:10.7554/eLife.19090

582   56.   McGrath CL, Gout J-F, Doak TG, Yanagi A, Lynch M. Insights into three whole-
583   genome duplications gleaned from the *Paramecium caudatum* genome sequence.
584   Genetics. 2014;197: 1417–1428. doi:10.1534/genetics.114.163287

585   57.   Arnaiz O, Van Dijk E, Bétermier M, Lhuillier-Akakpo M, de Vanssay A, Duharcourt S,
586   et al. Improved methods and resources for *Paramecium* genomics: transcription units,
587   gene annotation and gene expression. BMC Genomics. 2017;18: 483.
588   doi:10.1186/s12864-017-3887-z

589   58.   Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
590   throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32: 1792–1797. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340