Title

Differential methylation, epigenetic clocks, and island-mainland divergence in an insectivorous

small mammal

Authors

Marie-Laurence Cossette[1*], Donald T. Stewart[2], Amin Haghani[3], Joseph A. Zoller[4], Aaron B.A.

Shafer[1,5*], Steve Horvath[3,4]

Affiliations:

[1]Dept. of Environmental Life Sciences Graduate Program, Trent University, Peterborough, ON,

Canada

[2]Dept. of Biology, Acadia University, Wolfville, NS, Canada

[3]Dept. of Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Ange

les, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[4]Dept. of Biostatistics, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California Los Angeles, L

os Angeles, CA, USA

[5]Dept. of Forensic Science, Trent University, Peterborough, ON, Canada

[*]Corresponding authors: mcossette@trentu.ca; aaronshafer@trentu.ca

Abstract

Geographically isolated populations, including island-mainland populations, tend to exhibit

phenotypic variation in many species. The so-called island syndrome occurs when different

environmental pressures lead to insular divergence from mainland populations. This phenomenon

can be seen in an island population of Nova Scotia masked shrews (*Sorex cinereus*), which have

developed a specialized feeding habit and digestive enzyme compared to their mainland

counterparts. Epigenetic modifications, such as DNA methylation (DNAm), can impact

phenotypes by altering gene expression without changing the DNA sequence. Here, we used a *de*

novo masked shrew genome assembly and epigenome-wide assay to investigate morphological

and DNA methylation patterns between island and mainland populations. Island shrews were

morphologically and epigenetically different than their mainland counterparts, exhibiting a smaller

body size; remarkably, the gene ontology analyses mirrored these phenotypes including genes for

the digestive enzyme phenotypes. Moreover, island shrews appeared to age faster than their

mainland counterparts. This study provides an example counter to the prevailing island syndrome

morphological patterns, along with novel epigenomic insight into the drivers of island-mainland

divergence in mammals.

Keywords

epigenetic clock, DNA methylation, masked shrew, genome assembly, island divergence, island

rule

Introduction

Insular populations tend to exhibit distinctive traits compared to their continental counterparts (Adler & Levins, 1994; Foster, 1964; Goltsman et al., 2005; Meröndun et al., 2019; Novosolov et al., 2013; van Valen, 1973). This phenomenon is known as the island syndrome and refers to ecological, physiological, behavioural, and morphological differences between island and mainland populations (Baeckens & van Damme, 2020). Different pressures such as altered predation, competition, environment, and geographic isolation are thought to lead to phenotypic differences between mainland and insular populations (Baeckens & van Damme, 2020; Benítez-López et al., 2021; Lomolino et al., 2013). Body size varies in the context of island-mainland comparisons for many species, where some insular populations exhibit dwarfism or gigantism (Foster, 1964; Herridge & Lister, 2012; Thomson et al., 2018). The 'island rule' hypothesizes that smaller animals tend to increase in size, while larger ones tend to decrease in size on islands (Lomolino, 1985; Lomolino et al., 2013; van Valen, 1973). This pattern has been observed in mammoths (*Palaeoloxodon falconeri*) (Herridge & Lister, 2012) and deer mice (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) (Miller et al., 2021), but counter and ambiguous examples exist (Juette et al., 2020; Lokatis & Jeschke, 2018; Meiri et al., 2006, 2008).

Relatively little is known about the specific mechanisms causing phenotypic changes in each of these cases, whether it be genetic drift, natural selection, or plasticity (Baeckens & van Damme, 2020; Benítez-López et al., 2021). Miller et al. (2021) found heritable variations for deer mice for both mass and length associated with outlier loci between island-mainland populations, suggested that natural selection underlies such phenotypic divergence. Island-mainland populations, however, do not always exhibit clear signal of nuclear genetic divergence with divergent phenotypes (e.g., Meröndun et al., 2019; Vernaz, Malinsky, et al., 2021). In contrast,

different epigenetic signatures – defined as chemical modifications that regulate gene expression

(Al Aboud et al., 2021) – have been associated with substantial levels of divergence between

species and populations with different phenotypes (Meröndun et al., 2019; Vernaz, Malinsky, et

al., 2021) and unlike DNA, epigentic structures can respond quickly to environmental pressures

(Anastasiadi et al., 2021).

Epigenetic changes can be heritable and have been associated with early stages of

speciation (Quadrana & Colot, 2016; Vernaz, Hudson, et al., 2021; Vernaz, Malinsky, et al., 2021;

Vogt, 2017). Epigenetic modifications can regulate gene expression through chemical or structural

modifications without directly changing the DNA sequence (Razin & Riggs, 1980). DNA

methylation (DNAm) involves the transfer of a methyl group to a cytosine base, mostly occurring

on CpG sites (Moore et al., 2013). Some regions of the genome, called CpG islands (CGI), contain

a high frequency of CpG dinucleotides and these are known to overlap most promoter regions in

mammals (Gardiner-Garden & Frommer, 1987; P. A. Jones, 1999). These sites appear to be

evolutionarily important as they are highly conserved across vertebrates (Illingworth & Bird, 2009;

Ioshikhes & Zhang, 2000; McLain & Faulk, 2018). Mechanisms like DNAm allow individuals to

respond quickly to environmental changes. Over time, differential methylation can lead to

permanent heritable (cis) nuclear changes referred to as genetic assimilation (Anastasiadi et al.,

2021). This can happen when environmental conditions persist through generations favouring a

specific phenotype, and overtime resulting in selection for a permanent genetic basis (e.g., point

mutations) for the phenotype previously produced from the plastic epigenetically-influenced

phenotype (Anastasiadi et al., 2021).

Differential methylation patterns in wild and captive populations have been associated with

phenotypic traits such as body size in sheep (*Ovis ammon*) (Cao et al., 2015) and lynx (*Lynx*

canadensis) (Meröndun et al., 2019), pigmentation levels in rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) (Chen

et al., 2021) and breeding success in barn swallows (*Hirundo rustica*) (Saino et al., 2017). In

addition, the rate of DNAm, particularly hypermethylation, on specific CpG sites changes

throughout an individual's lifetime, making it possible to age individuals based on their

methylation levels (Horvath, 2013; Lu et al., 2021; Prado et al., 2021; Wilkinson et al., 2021).

These epigenetic *clocks*, while they can predict chronological age (Jasinska et al., 2021; Lu et al.,

2021; Prado et al., 2021; Raj et al., 2021; Schachtschneider et al., 2021), have also been shown to

be elevated in inbred individuals, suggesting that inbreeding can lead to aging at a faster rate

compared to non-inbred individuals (Larison et al., 2021). Likewise, metabolic syndrome in

humans (i.e., hypertension, obesity) also results in increased epigenetic aging (Lee & Park, 2020;

Nannini et al., 2019). It stands to reason that the differential selection pressures underlying island-

mainland patterns might also alter the DNAm aging rate, especially when body size differs

markedly.

The shrew family (Soricidae) appeared about 30 to 40 million years ago (Churchfield,

1990). Hundreds of extant shrew species are now found on most continents (Churchfield, 1990;

George, 1986, 1988). Some shrews have evolved unique traits such as echolocation (Chai et al.,

2020; Forsman & Malmquist, 1988; Tomasi, 1979), venomous saliva (Kita et al., 2004) and the

ability to dive underwater (Catania et al., 2008; Mendes-Soares & Rychlik, 2009). Shrews have

the fastest mass-specific metabolic rate of all mammals (Ochocińska & Taylor, 2005) and are one

of the smallest and shortest-lived mammals making them important to study from an aging

perspective (Lu et al., 2021). Shrews of the genus *Sorex* show differing trends in body size

variation across their range; populations of some species at higher latitudes have smaller body

sizes, which is counter to the predictions of Bergmann's rule (Ochocinska & Taylor, 2003; Yom-

Tov & Yom-Tov, 2005), whereas populations of some other species are larger at more northerly

latitudes (Huggins & Kennedy, 2018). *Sorex* shrews exhibit highly plastic phenotypes; the

Eurasian common shrew (*Sorex araneus*), for example, manifests seasonal and reversible changes

in skull morphology, body mass and organ size (Lázaro et al., 2017; Schaeffer et al., 2020; Taylor

et al., 2013). Whether plastic or genetic in nature, these phenotypic variations throughout winter

and latitude are generally explained as a response to limited resource availability (Lázaro et al.,

2017; Ochocińska & Taylor, 2003; Ochocinska & Taylor, 2003; Schaeffer et al., 2020; Taylor et

al., 2013; Yom-Tov & Yom-Tov, 2005).

Masked or Cinereus shrews (*Sorex cinereus*) are the most abundant shrew in North

America (Nagorsen, 1996). The range of this species spans much of the northern United States and

most of Canada (Nagorsen, 1996). Although they tend to eat a variety of invertebrates, the masked

shrew has a varied diet across its range (Bellocq et al., 1994; Churchfield, 1990; Whitaker John O.

Jr. & French, 1984). Of note, an isolated population of island dwelling masked shrews is known

to have developed a specialized feeding habit in response to their different environment and diet

(Stewart et al., 1989); masked shrews on Bon Portage Island (BPI) off the southern coast of Nova

Scotia are the only population of *Sorex* shrews known to mainly feed on sand fleas (*Platorchestia*

platensis) (MacPherson & Stewart, 2003; Stewart et al., 1989). *Platorchestia platensis* is a type of

amphipod typically not consumed by insectivores, although Churchfield (1990) notes that the

population of the lesser white-toothed shrew (*Crocidura suaveolens)* on St. Martin's, Isles of

Scilly, in the United Kingdom, also feed on amphidods. In the case of *S. cinereus*, it is hypothesized

that due to the importance of sand fleas on BPI, the shrew's digestive enzyme, Peptidase A, has

undergone an adaptive response (MacPherson & Stewart, 2003), though empirical support is

limited (MacPherson & Stewart, 2003; McAlpine, 2009). Here, using a *de novo* assembled and

annotated genome, we quantified differential methylation patterns between populations of masked

shrews to test whether insular masked shrews have epigenetically diverged from mainland

populations. We hypothesized that island shrews will exhibit different phenotypes than mainland

shrews based on the island syndrome and that divergent methylation patterns will underly this. We

further predicted that BPI shrews will have differential methylation patterns associated with

digestive enzymes compared to mainland shrews.

Materials and methods

Sampling, sexing, and aging shrews

Masked shrew samples were collected from five locations: Peterborough County (44°53′N,

78°05′W) in Ontario, Canada, and Sandy Cove (44°48′N, 66°08′W), North Mountain (45°13′N,

64°22′W), Long Island (44°19′N, 66°16′W) and Bon Portage Island (BPI) (43°28′N, 65°45′W) in

Nova Scotia, Canada. All shrews were trapped between mid-July and late August to limit seasonal

morphological differences, such as skull and body size (Lázaro et al., 2019, 2021). DNA was

extracted from liver, tail, and fetal tissue using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit from QIAGEN.

Shrews were left in dermestid beetle tanks for a week to remove all remaining tissue from the

skulls. A Leica EZ4 microscope was used to assess age class for each shrew based on teeth wear

according to the method of Pruitt (Pruitt, 1954; Rudd, 1955). Age in months was estimated based

on the trapping date, the age class, and the known reproductive season of masked shrews assuming

a maximum lifespan of ~17 months (Churchfield, 1990). Age class designations were confirmed

based on morphological features (Pruitt, 1954; Rudd, 1955). Shrews were sexed by gel

electrophoresis of PCR amplicons of the Y chromosome-linked SRY gene (Cervantes et al., 2013;

Matsubara et al., 2001) using custom primers (Table S1); sex assignments were subsequently

validated with the methylation assay (Wang et al., 2021).

Genome assembly and annotation

A single masked shrew from Peterborough County, Ontario had DNA and RNA extracted from

the heart and liver immediately after euthanasia. We used the MagAttract HMW DNA Kit from

QIAGEN and the Monarch Total RNA Miniprep Kit from New England BioLabs respectively and

sent the extracts to The Centre for Applied Genomics, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, for sequencing.

Short-read (SR) libraries were generated using Truseq PCR-free preparation and sequenced on two

lanes on an Illumina HiSeq X platform with 150 bp paired-end reads. Linked reads (LR) were

prepared using the 10X genome library after selecting fragments >20Kb using BluePippin. The

linked reads were sequenced on one Illumina HiSeq X Lane. Twenty-five million RNA reads were

sequenced on a HiSeq2500 with 126bp paired-end reads.

We used BBMap v. 35.8 (Bushnell, n.d.) to remove adapters and trim low-quality data

from the fastq files. Contaminant screening was performed using Kraken2 v. 2.0.9-beta (Wood &

Salzberg, 2014) and all unclassified sequences were kept. Kmergenie v. 1.7048 (Chikhi &

Medvedev, 2014) was used to find the kmer size for downstream analysis. The genome was

assembled using a tiered approach: i) using only the SR data and Meraculous v.2.2.4 (Chapman et

al., 2011); ii) with only the LR data using Supernova v.2.1.1 (Weisenfeld et al., 2018) using the --

maxreads filter set to "all". The pseudohap2 style was selected for the assembly output. Following

the assembly, both SR and LR assembly versions were merged via quickmerge v.0.3 (Chakraborty

et al., 2016) with the LR as the backbone. Scaff10x v.5.0 (Ning et al., n.d.) was used to further

polish the *S. cinereus* genome. Genome completeness was assessed using Benchmarking Universal

Single-copy Orthologs (BUSCO) v.3.0.2 (Simão et al., 2015) by comparing the hybrid genome to

highly conserved genes in mammals based on the *mammalia_odb9* dataset. The mitochondrial

genome was assembled using MitoZ v.2.4-alpha (Meng et al., 2019). Genome annotation was

conducted via GenSAS v.6.0 (Humann et al., 2019) using the assembled genome and RNAseq

data. Here, repeat regions of the genome were identified using RepeatModeler v.1.0.1.1 (Smit &

Hubley, n.d.-b) and RepeatMasker v.4.0.7 (Smit & Hubley, n.d.-a). Masked shrew liver and heart

RNA reads were mapped to the genome using HISAT2 v.2.1.0 (Kim et al., 2019). The resulting

BAM file was used by BRAKER v.2.1.1 (Hoff et al., 2019) and EVidenceModeler v1.1.1 (Haas

et al., 2008) to predict features in the genome. Functional annotation to find common protein

sequences between the masked shrew and the common shrew, *Sorex araneus* (GenBank assembly

accession: GCA_000181275.2), and other vertebrate mammals was done using BLAST v.2.11.0

(Altschup et al., 1990), SwissProt v.2.11.0 (Bairoch & Apweiler, 1998) and InterProScan v.5.44-

79.0 (P. Jones et al., 2014) databases.

Epigenetic clocks

DNA methylation profiles for each sample were assayed on the HorvathMammalMethylChip40,

a novel Infinium array (Arneson et al., 2022) that screens over 37,000 CpGs. The SeSaMe

normalization method was used to define beta values for each probe (Zhou et al., 2018). Only

probes that aligned to the masked shrew genome (bwa-mem) were retained for downstream

analysis. Elastic net regressions were applied to the DNAm data and the chronological age

estimates to construct the masked shrew epigenetic clock. Three different models were used as per

Lu et al. (2021); 1) no transformation to the chronological age, 2) log-transformed chronological

age (log(x+1)), and 3) square root transformed chronological age (sqrt(x+1)) with offsets of 1 year

to avoid negative values for our fetal samples. To assess the accuracy of our models we used a

leave-one-out (LOO) cross-validation with estimate outputs for the correlation as well as the

median absolute error between predicted DNAm age and actual chronological age (years).

Statistical analyses and functional gene enrichment

We ran a series of models in R v.1.3.959 (R Core Team, 2021). Using linear models, we separately

regressed body size, weight, and skull length against location, age and sex (i.e., phenotype ~

location + age + sex). Here, location was a categorical variable of island or mainland (see Table

1). Additionally, we extracted the residuals from the epigenetic clock for each sample and ran a

Welch Two Sample T-test using location and sex as groupings. Positive residuals were indicative

of an epigenetically older individual than the linear model would predict.

Epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) were conducted using the limma package

v.3.44 (Ritchie et al., 2015); note we removed the fetal samples from this analysis. Using the β

values of aligned probes, we ran the following model: DNAm ~ location + sex + age + tissue

including animal ID as a random effect. This model was run two times; once with BPI and Long

Island grouped together as 'Island' in the model with all other locations assigned as 'Mainland',

and once with BPI versus all other populations. The latter grouping was meant to isolate possible

unique dietary adaptations of BPI shrews. A *p* value ＜2e-6 was set according to the Bonferroni-

corrected threshold as the cutoff for significance to be conservative (Johnson et al., 2010).

Enriched pathways associated with the significant CpGs for the EWAS models were identified

with rGREAT v.1.20 (McLean et al., 2010) using the human Hg19 genome annotation to allow

for comparisons (Jasinska et al., 2021; Prado et al., 2021; Schachtschneider et al., 2021). We

accounted for bias resulting from the design of the mammalian array by using 14,290 CpGs that

mapped to our shrew annotations as background. Following Schachtschneider et al. (2021), from

these probes the top 500 hypermethylated and top 500 hypomethylated sites were used for the

enrichment analysis.

Results

Genome assembly and annotations

The SR and LR assembly statisitcs are provided in Table S2; the final hybrid genome was 2.66 Gb

with an N50 of 1.99 Mb and L50 of 291. The GC content was 42.88% with over 86% of the

genome being in scaffolds over 50 Kb and the largest scaffold being around 22 million base pairs.

Close to 95% of BUSCOs were identified in this assembly (Table S2): 3504 of 4101 were complete

single-copies, 74 were complete duplicates and 301 were fragmented. Repeats represented 38.60%

of the genome (Table S3) with long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs) and unclassified repeats

representing 20.17% and 15.39% of the genome respectively. The functional annotation pipeline

identified 20,067 protein coding genes (Table S2). The raw sequence data have been deposited in

the Short Read Archive (SRA) under accession number PRJNA826195. The assembly has been

deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank (accession no. pending).

Epigenetic clocks

The methylation data consisted of 48 high quality tail, liver, and fetal tissue DNA samples from

37 different individuals. The average age of our samples was seven months; the youngest shrews

being fetuses and the oldest one being an estimated 16 months (Table 1, Table S4). Out of the

37,000 probes, 29,609 were aligned to our masked shrew genome. We constructed and cross-

validated epigenetic clocks for different masked shrew tissue types, which included liver, tail,

fetus, and pan-tissue clock. The final clocks were based on the methylation profiles of 26 CpGs

and included the age correlation between the estimate DNAm age and the chronological age and

the median absolute error (MAE). Our clocks were highly accurate with correlation values of 0.91

and an average MAE of 0.13 years for the pan-tissue clock, representing approximately a 7-week

difference between the estimated DNAm age and the teeth-based chronological age. The liver

DNAm clock had the lowest MAE of 0.07 years (~3 weeks), followed by the pan-tissue and tail

clocks with MAE around 0.1 (~5 weeks) (Figure 1).

Island-mainland differences

Mass, body length and skull length differed between the mainland and island samples (Figure 2).

Our models including age, sex, and island-mainland covariates explained a high percentage of

variance: skull length ($R^2 = 0.81$), weight ($R^2 = 0.55$) and body length ($R^2 = 0.47$; Table 2). In all

models, location was the most important variable correlated to the change in body size and weight

(p value < 0.01; Table 2). All size metrics were negatively correlated to being on an island (Figure

2). Age was positively correlated to body size whereas sex did not have an effect (Table 2).

Epigenetic clocks were slightly elevated on islands (t = -1.95, df = 39.73, p value = 0.06); here the

residual variation was higher on the island (mean of 0.01) compared to the mainland (mean of -

0.02). There was no difference in residuals among the sexes (t = -0.97, df = 40.2, p value = 0.34)

or age groups (yearlings vs older) (t = -0.21, df = 11.79, p value = 0.84).

EWAS Island effects

A total of 1067 CpG sites (482 hypermethylated and 585 hypomethylated) were identified (p value

< 2e-6) between our island and mainland populations (Figure 3A). The most divergent CpG was

near the *EWS* exon (*p* value = 3.35e-21) a gene that encodes a multifunctional protein involved in

various cellular processes such as gene expression, cell signaling, and RNA processing and

transport. Top CpGs were proximal to genes associated to developmental and adipose-related

processes: (*MEST* exon (*p* value = 3.34e-14), *SIM1* intergene (*p* value = 3.79e-13), *PHIP* intergene

(*p* value = 1.03e-12)) and metabolism: *ZBTB7C* promotor (*p* value = 8.45e-14) and *ATPA* exon (*p*

value = 6.37e-16) (Table 3, Figure 3A, 3B). The top hypermethylated CpGs were near genes

associated with three different fibroblast growth factor receptors pathways (*p* value < 5e-16)

(Figure 3C). Other significantly enriched pathways included synaptic and adipose-related

processes (Figure 3C).

Isolating BPI from the other populations identified 485 significant CpGs (*p* value < 2e-6),

308 were hypomethylated and 177 were hypermethylated (Figure 4A). The top significant

hypomethylated CpG in BPI samples was near the *SMO* exon (*p* value = 1.42e-30; Table 3, Figure

4A, 4B). Top CpGs were most often hypomethylated in BPI island samples (Table 3, Figure 4A,

4B). Pathway analysis highlighted that differentially methylated sites in BPI shrews compared to

other populations seem to affect digestive and intestinal phenotypes and functions (Figure 4C).

Additionally, other phenotypes related to skull morphology, more specifically the mandible, teeth,

and ear canal showed pathway enrichment in BPI shrews (Fig 4C).

<u>Discussion</u>

The island syndrome describes the distinctive morphological differences between island-mainland

populations due to different ecological and environmental pressures (Baeckens & van Damme,

2020). We tested whether phenotypic divergence occurred via epigenetic modifications,

specifically DNA methylation, which alter gene expression without changing the DNA sequence

(Razin & Riggs, 1980). Over 29,000 probes aligned to our genome, consistent with other

mammalian studies (Larison et al., 2021; Pinho et al., 2021; Schachtschneider et al., 2021; Sugrue

et al., 2021), and a robust epigenetic clock was generated (Figure 1). The differential methylation

between island-mainland populations showed a remarkable overlap between enriched pathways

and measured phenotypic differences. While some association assessments suffer from false

positives and story-telling (Pavlidis et al., 2012), there was an unambiguous connection to the

measured phenotypes (Figure 2), dietary differencs (MacPherson & Stewart, 2003; McAlpine,

2009; Stewart et al., 1989), and the observed enriched pathways (Figure 3, 4). Thus, the clear

morphological and dietary island-mainland differences appear to be, in part, under epigenetic

influence.

Phenotypic divergence

DNA methylation regulates gene expression thereby contributing to phenotypic plasticity (al

Aboud et al., 2021; Kooke et al., 2015; Razin & Riggs, 1980), which can lead to phenotypic

variation among individuals subject to different environmental conditions (Anastasiadi et al., 2021;

Kooke et al., 2015; Meröndun et al., 2019). Here, insular masked shrews exhibited different

phenotypes than their mainland counterparts; morphologically, this presented as decreased body

length, mass, and skull length on islands. Body size is a polygenic trait (Posbergh & Huson, 2021)

with many underlying mechanisms, often making it difficult to clearly understand the role of each

gene or pathway involved (e.g., Anderson et al., 2022). DNA methylation has been suggested as a

universal mechanism that regulates body mass and morphological development in animals (Cao et

al., 2015; Haghani et al., 2021), and appears to help regulate intra-species differences in island-

mainland settings.

Differential methylation patterns between island and mainland shrew populations included

fibroblast growth factors (FGF), which are crucial for animal body development (Oulion et al.,

2012), and adipose-related genes and processes. Haghani *et al.* (2021) also found that across

mammals, species weight was correlated to development and adipose-related pathways. In masked

shrews, CpGs proximal to the homolog to mesoderm-specific transcript protein (*MEST*) and

single-minded homolog 1 (*SIM1*) genes were hypo and hypermethylated respectively in island

shrews. *MEST* is known to be correlated to adipocytes in humans (Karbiener et al., 2015) and mice

(Takahashi et al., 2005), while *SIM1* is associated with food intake regulation and obesity (Holder

et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2006), therefore both possibly affecting body mass in shrews. Further of

note, a hypomethylated CpG in island shrews was near the pleckstrin homology domain-

interacting protein gene (*PHIP*), a probable regulator of insulin-like growth factor signaling

pathways (Farhang-Fallah et al., 2002), which reinforces the idea that insulin growth factors are

involved in mammalian life-history variation (Swanson & Dantzer, 2014). No clear pattern of

methylation direction was detected, suggesting that transcriptional regulation in both directions is

important for island divergence.

Masked shrews do not follow the classic island rule (i.e., small-bodied mammals increase

in size on islands) since some insular populations appear to have decreased body mass compared

to their mainland counterparts (e.g., Folinsbee, 1971). BPI masked shrews occur in high densities

(Downie, 1986; Stewart et al., 1989; Telfer, 1984), which should lead to small animals having

larger body size to better compete for resources (Juette et al., 2020). However, the discrepancy

with this expected phenotype for island shrews might be due to their diet. Previous studies have

shown that food scarcity contributes to the selection of smaller body size in Palearctic shrews,

which might also be the case for Nearctic populations (Ochocińska & Taylor, 2003; Yom-Tov &

Yom-Tov, 2005). Churchfield (2002) found that in locations where higher biomass resources are

scarce, shrews tend be smaller in size and survive by consuming less nutritional but more abundant

sources of food. This might also be the case for BPI shrews feeding on sand fleas. Supporting this

idea, top EWAS genes involved in energy homeostasis and metabolism were differentially

methylated between mainland-island populations (Table 3). For example, *ATP5F1A* catalyzes the

synthesis of ATP, the main molecule used to store and transfer energy in cells (Schapira, 2006).

Similarly, the zinc finger and BTB domain–containing 7c (*ZBTB7C)* gene was also differentially

methylated in island shrews. *ZBTB7C* is an important metabolic regulating gene for blood-glucose

homeostasis during fasting (Choi et al., 2019).

BPI shrews also showed differentially methylated sites associated to various processes

related to the digestive system, including peptidase activity. This is of significance as previous

studies noted that masked shrews on BPI have developed specialized littoral feeding habits

(MacPherson & Stewart, 2003; Stewart et al., 1989). Allozyme studies showed that BPI shrews

possess a unique polymorphism for the digestive enzyme Peptidase A, which potentially allows

shrews to easily digest the sand fleas' exoskeleton (McAlpine, 2009). Digestive system related

pathways being in the top enrichment category indicate that these dietary habits seem to be one of

the most significant molecular differences between this insular population and others and support

earlier allozyme work (MacPherson & Stewart, 2003; McAlpine, 2009). These findings

demonstrate that DNA methylation is one of the underlying mechanisms associated with dietary

divergence between Nova Scotia island and mainland masked shrew populations.

Epigenetic age

DNA methylation and chronological age can be used to build epigenetic clocks to identify genes associated with aging and predict how methylation patterns change throughout an individual's lifetime (Larison et al., 2021; Lu et al., 2021; Prado et al., 2021; Wilkinson et al., 2021). Epigenetic clocks have been constructed for a wide range of species, showing consistent trends with CpGs associated to developmental genes and pathways (Lu et al., 2021; Pinho et al., 2021; Raj et al., 2021; Schachtschneider et al., 2021). Second generation clocks, such as the DNAm GrimAge, account for variables such as chronological age, health factors and mortality, to predict lifespan and healthspan (Bell et al., 2019; Lu et al., 2019). In humans, DNAm GrimAge has been studied in relation to various comorbidities and social factors (Lu et al., 2019; McCrory et al., 2021). Inbreeding has been associated with decreasing longevity and an increase in health concerns in various species (Keller & Waller, 2002; Skotarczak et al., 2020; Yordy et al., 2020) and recently was linked to accelerated DNAm aging in zebras (*Equus quagga*) (Larison et al., 2021). We used these patterns to explore accelerated aging as a component of island-mainland divergence.

Masked shrews tend to live on average 14 months; accurately aging small mammals such as shrews can be difficult since they are such small creatures and current methods rely on somewhat subjective classification based on teeth wear, body length measurements and weight (Pruitt, 1954; Rudd, 1955). Our epigenetic clock validated the current aging techniques used in the field, and overall, the clock was highly predictive (R = 0.96; MAE = 0.09) (Figure 1). Further, when we examined the clock model residuals, island shrews appeared to age slightly faster than mainland shrews. Parasites can affect hosts' life-history traits through the energetic investment of maintaining an immune system response (Cooper et al., 2012; Cowan et al., 2009; Morand & Harvey, 2000), possibly resulting in faster biological aging. Group size has been shown to be

correlated with prevalence and intensity of parasitism (Coté & Poulin, 1995). The population

density of shrews on BPI is extremely high, at least in some years (Downie, 1986; Stewart et al.,

1989; Telfer, 1984) possibly resulting in an increased incidence of various parasites and pathogens.

Overall, this study reports phenotypic trends that counter the prevailing island rule, and more

broadly support the role of epigenetics in shaping phenotypic divergence. Future research should

investigate epigenetic aging in insular populations, especially when inbreeding (Larison et al.,

2021) or phenotypic divergence (i.e., this study) is present.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by CanSeq150 (CGEn) (ABAS, DTS and MLC); Ontario Graduate

Scholarship (MLC), Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC)

Alexander Graham Bell Canada Graduate Scholarship-Master's (MLC) and NSERC Discovery

grants (ABAS and DTS); Compute Canada Resources for Research Groups (ABAS); Canadian

Foundation for Innovation: John R. Evans Leaders Fund (ABAS); Ontario Early Researcher

Awards (ABAS). SH was supported by the Paul G. Allen Frontiers Group.

References

Adler, G. H., & Levins, R. (1994). The island syndrome in rodent populations. *The Quaterly*
Review of Biology, *69*(4), 18–19.
al Aboud, N., Tupper, C., & Jialal, I. (2021). *Genetics, Epigenetic Mechanism*. StatPearls
Publishing.
Altschup, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., & Lipman, D. J. (1990). Basic Local
Alignment Search Tool. In *J. Mol. Biol* (Vol. 215).
Anastasiadi, D., Venney, C. J., Bernatchez, L., & Wellenreuther, M. (2021). Epigenetic
inheritance and reproductive mode in plants and animals. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*,
36(12), 1124–1140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.08.006
Anderson, S. J., Côté, S. D., Richard, J. H., & Shafer, A. B. A. (2022). Genomic architecture of
phenotypic extremes in a wild cervid. *BMC Genomics*, *23*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-022-08333-x

Arneson, A., Haghani, A., Thompson, M. J., Pellegrini, M., Kwon, S. bin, Vu, H., Maciejewski,
E., Yao, M., Li, C. Z., Lu, A. T., Morselli, M., Rubbi, L., Barnes, B., Hansen, K. D., Zhou,
W., Breeze, C. E., Ernst, J., & Horvath, S. (2022). A mammalian methylation array for
profiling methylation levels at conserved sequences. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1), 783.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28355-z
Baeckens, S., & van Damme, R. (2020). The island syndrome. *Current Biology*, *30*(8), R338–
R339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.03.029
Bairoch, A., & Apweiler, R. (1998). The SWISS-PROT protein sequence data bank and its
supplement TrEMBL in 1998. In *Nucleic Acids Research* (Vol. 26, Issue 1).
http://www.expasy.ch/
Bell, C. G., Lowe, R., Adams, P. D., Baccarelli, A. A., Beck, S., Bell, J. T., Christensen, B. C.,
Gladyshev, V. N., Heijmans, B. T., Horvath, S., Ideker, T., Issa, J. P. J., Kelsey, K. T.,
Marioni, R. E., Reik, W., Relton, C. L., Schalkwyk, L. C., Teschendorff, A. E., Wagner,
W., … Rakyan, V. K. (2019). DNA methylation aging clocks: Challenges and
recommendations. *Genome Biology*, *20*(1), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1824-
y
Bellocq, M. I., Bendell, J. F., Innes, D. G. L., & Innes', D. G. L. (1994). Diet of Sorex cinereus,
the Masked Shrew, in Relation to the Abundance of Lepidoptera Larvae in Northern
Ontario. *Source: The American Midland Naturalist*, *132*(1), 68–73.
Benítez-López, A., Santini, L., Gallego-Zamorano, J., Milá, B., Walkden, P., Huijbregts, M. A.
J., & Tobias, J. A. (2021). The island rule explains consistent patterns of body size
evolution in terrestrial vertebrates. *Nature Ecology and Evolution*, *5*(6), 768–786.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-01426-y
Bushnell, B. (n.d.). *BBTools*. https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools/
Cao, J., Wei, C., Liu, D., Wang, H., Wu, M., Xie, Z., Capellini, T. D., Zhang, L., Zhao, F., Li, L.,
Zhong, T., Wang, L., Lu, J., Liu, R., Zhang, S., Du, Y., Zhang, H., & Du, L. (2015). DNA
methylation Landscape of body size variation in sheep. *Scientific Reports*, *5*.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep13950
Catania, K. C., Hare, J. F., & Campbell, K. L. (2008). Water shrews detect movement, shape,
and smell to find prey underwater. In *PNAS* (Vol. 105, Issue 2).
Cervantes, F. A., Arcangeli, J., & Alonso Morales, R. A. (2013). The SRY gene of the y
chromosome successfully identifies gender of endemic Mexican shrews (Mammalia:
Soricomorpha). *Mammalia*, *77*(3), 335–339. https://doi.org/10.1515/mammalia-2011-0123
Chai, S., Tian, R., Rong, X., Li, G., Chen, B., Ren, W., Xu, S., & Yang, G. (2020). Evidence of
echolocation in the common shrew from molecular convergence with other echolocating
mammals. *Zoological Studies*, *59*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.6620/ZS.2020.59-4
Chakraborty, M., Baldwin-Brown, J. G., Long, A. D., & Emerson, J. J. (2016). Contiguous and
accurate de novo assembly of metazoan genomes with modest long read coverage. *Nucleic
Acids Research*, *44*(19), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw654
Chapman, J. A., Ho, I., Sunkara, S., Luo, S., Schroth, G. P., & Rokhsar, D. S. (2011).
Meraculous: De novo genome assembly with short paired-end reads. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(8).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023501
Chen, Y., Hu, S., Liu, M., Zhao, B., Yang, N., Li, J., Chen, Q., Zhou, J., Bao, G., & Wu, X.
(2021). Analysis of Genome DNA Methylation at Inherited Coat Color Dilutions of Rex
Rabbits. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *11*(January), 1–9.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.603528

Chikhi, R., & Medvedev, P. (2014). Informed and automated k-mer size selection for genome
assembly. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(1), 31–37. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt310
Choi, W. il, Yoon, J. H., Song, J. Y., Jeon, B. N., Park, J. M., Koh, D. I., Ahn, Y. ho, Kim, K. S.,
Lee, I. K., & Hur, M. W. (2019). Zbtb7c is a critical gluconeogenic transcription factor that
induces glucose-6-phosphatase and phosphoenylpyruvate carboxykinase 1 genes expression
during mice fasting. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Gene Regulatory Mechanisms*,
1862(6), 643–656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2019.04.001
Churchfield, S. (1990). *The Natural History of Shrews*. Cornell University Press.
Churchfield, S. (2002). Why are shrews so small? The costs and benefits of small size in
northern temperate Sorex species in the context of foraging habits and prey supply. *Acta*
Theriologica, *47*, 169–184.
Cooper, N., Kamilar, J. M., & Nunn, C. L. (2012). Host longevity and parasite species richness
in mammals. *PLoS ONE*, *7*(8). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042190
Coté, I. M., & Poulin, R. (1995). Parasitism and group size in social animals: a meta-analysis. In
Behavioral Ecology (Vol. 6). http://beheco.oxfordjournals.org/
Cowan, K. M., Shutler, D., Herman, T. B., & Stewart, D. T. (2009). Splenic mass of masked
shrews, sorex cinereus, in relation to body mass, sex, age, day of the year, and bladder
nematode, liniscus (=capillaria) maseri, infection. *Journal of Parasitology*, *95*(1), 228–230.
https://doi.org/10.1645/GE-1566.1
Downie, A. B. (1986). *Spatial distribution, movements and age structure in island and mainland*
populations of the masked shrew (Sorex cinereus Kerr).
Farhang-Fallah, J., Randhawa, V. K., Nimnual, A., Klip, A., Bar-Sagi, D., & Rozakis-Adcock,
M. (2002). The Pleckstrin Homology (PH) Domain-Interacting Protein Couples the Insulin
Receptor Substrate 1 PH Domain to Insulin Signaling Pathways Leading to Mitogenesis and
GLUT4 Translocation. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, *22*(20), 7325–7336.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.22.20.7325-7336.2002
Folinsbee, J. D. (1971). *Ecology of the masked shrew, Sorex cinereus, on the island of*
Newfoundland, Canada.
Forsman, K. A., & Malmquist, M. G. (1988). Evidence for echolocation in the common shrew,
Sorex araneus. *Journal of Zoology*, *216*(4), 655–662. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
7998.1988.tb02463.x
Foster, B. (1964). Evolution of Mammals on Islands. *Nature*, *202*, 234–235.
Gardiner-Garden, M., & Frommer, M. (1987). CpG Islands in Vertebrate Genomes. *Journal of*
Molecular Biology, *196*, 261–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4020(02)00396-4
George, S. B. (1986). Evolution and Historical Biogeography of Soricine Shrews. *Systematic*
Biology, *35*(2), 153–162.
George, S. B. (1988). Systematics, Historical Biogeography, and Evolution of the Genus Sorex.
Journal of Mammalogy, *69*(3), 443–461.
Goltsman, M., Kruchenkova, E. P., Sergeev, S., Volodin, I., & Macdonald, D. W. (2005). "Island
syndrome" in a population of Arctic foxes (Alopex lagopus) from Mednyi Island. *Journal of*
Zoology, *267*(4), 405–418. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0952836905007557
Haas, B. J., Salzberg, S. L., Zhu, W., Pertea, M., Allen, J. E., Orvis, J., White, O., Buell, C. R., &
Wortman, J. R. (2008). Automated eukaryotic gene structure annotation using
EVidenceModeler and the Program to Assemble Spliced Alignments. *Genome Biology*,
9(1), R7. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-1-r7

Haghani, A., Lu, A., Li, C., Robeck, T., Belov, K., Breeze, C., Brooke, R., Clarke, S., Faulkes, C., Fei, Z., Ferguson, S., Finno, C., Gladyshev, V., Gorbunova, V., Goya, R., Hogan, A., Hogg, C., Hore, T., Kiaris, H., … Horvath, S. (2021). DNA Methylation Networks Underlying Mammalian Traits. *BioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.16.435708

Herridge, V. L., & Lister, A. M. (2012). Extreme insular dwarfism evolved in a mammoth. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *279*(1741), 3193–3200. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.0671

Hoff, K. J., Lomsadze, A., Borodovsky, M., & Stanke, M. (2019). *Whole-Genome Annotation with BRAKER* (pp. 65–95). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9173-0_5

Holder, J. L., Zhang, L., Kublaoui, B. M., Dileone, R. J., Oz, O. K., Bair, C. H., Lee, Y.-H., Zinn, A. R., Lloyd, J., & Zinn, R. (2004). Sim1 gene dosage modulates the homeostatic feeding response to increased dietary fat in mice. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, *287*, 105–113. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00446.2003.-Haploinsufficiency

Horvath, S. (2013). DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. *Genome Biology*, *14*(10), 1–20.

Huggins, J. A., & Kennedy, M. L. (2018). Morphologic Variation in the Masked Shrew (Sorex cinereus) and the Smoky Shrew (S . fumeus). *The American Midland Naturalist*, *122*(1), 11–25.

Humann, J. L., Lee, T., Ficklin, S., & Main, D. (2019). *Structural and Functional Annotation of Eukaryotic Genomes with GenSAS. In: Kollmar M. (eds) Gene Prediction. Methods in Molecular Biology* (Humana, Ed.; Vol. 1962). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9173-0

Illingworth, R. S., & Bird, A. P. (2009). CpG islands - "A rough guide." *FEBS Letters*, *583*(11), 1713–1720. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2009.04.012

Ioshikhes, I. P., & Zhang, M. Q. (2000). Large-scale human promoter mapping using CpG islands. *Nature Genetics*, *26*(1), 61–63. https://doi.org/10.1038/79189

Jasinska, A. J., Haghani, A., Zoller, J. A., Li, C. Z., Arneson, A., Ernst, J., Kavanagh, K., Jorgensen, M. J., Mattison, J. A., Wojta, K., Choi, O.-W., DeYoung, J., Li, X., Rao, A. W., Coppola, G., Freimer, N. B., Woods, R. P., & Horvath, S. (2021). Epigenetic clock and methylation studies in vervet monkeys. *GeroScience*, *0123456789*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-021-00466-3

Johnson, R. C., Nelson, G. W., Troyer, J. L., Lautenberger, J. A., Kessing, B. D., Winkler, C. A., & O'Brien, S. J. (2010). Accounting for multiple comparisons in a genome-wide association study (GWAS). *BMC Genomics*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-11-724

Jones, P. A. (1999). The DNA methylation paradox. *Trends in Genetics*, *15*(1), 34–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9525(98)01636-9

Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H. Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., McAnulla, C., McWilliam, H., Maslen, J., Mitchell, A., Nuka, G., Pesseat, S., Quinn, A. F., Sangrador-Vegas, A., Scheremetjew, M., Yong, S. Y., Lopez, R., & Hunter, S. (2014). InterProScan 5: Genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(9), 1236–1240. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031

Juette, T., Garant, D., Jameson, J. W., & Réale, D. (2020). The island syndrome hypothesis is only partially validated in two rodent species in an inland–island system. *Oikos*, *129*(11), 1739–1751. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.07249

Karbiener, M., Glantschnig, C., Pisani, D. F., Laurencikiene, J., Dahlman, I., Herzig, S., Amri, E. Z., & Scheideler, M. (2015). Mesoderm-specific transcript (MEST) is a negative regulator

of human adipocyte differentiation. *International Journal of Obesity*, *39*(12), 1733–1741. https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2015.121

Keller, L. F., & Waller, D. M. (2002). Inbreeding effects in wild populations. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *17*(5), 230–241. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02489-8

Kim, D., Paggi, J. M., Park, C., Bennett, C., & Salzberg, S. L. (2019). Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nature Biotechnology*, *37*(8), 907–915. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4

Kita, M., Nakamura, Y., Okumura, Y., Ohdachi, S. D., Oba, Y., Yoshikuni, M., Kido, H., & Uemura, D. (2004). Blarina toxin, a mammalian lethal venom from the short-tailed shrew Blarina brevicauda: Isolation and characterization. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *101*(20), 7542–7547. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0402517101

Kooke, R., Johannes, F., Wardenaar, R., Becker, F., Etcheverry, M., Colot, V., Vreugdenhil, D., & Keurentjes, J. J. B. (2015). Epigenetic basis of morphological variation and phenotypic plasticity in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell*, *27*(2), 337–348. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.133025

Larison, B., Pinho, G. M., Haghani, A., Zoller, J. A., Li, C. Z., Finno, C. J., Farrell, C., Kaelin, C. B., Barsh, G. S., Wooding, B., Robeck, T. R., Maddox, D., Pellegrini, M., & Horvath, S. (2021). Epigenetic models developed for plains zebras predict age in domestic horses and endangered equids. *Communications Biology*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-02935-z

Lázaro, J., Dechmann, D. K. N., LaPoint, S., Wikelski, M., & Hertel, M. (2017). Profound reversible seasonal changes of individual skull size in a mammal. *Current Biology*, *27*(20), R1106–R1107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.08.055

Lázaro, J., Hertel, M., Muturi, M., & Dechmann, D. K. N. (2019). Seasonal reversible size changes in the braincase and mass of common shrews are flexibly modified by environmental conditions. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-38884-1

Lázaro, J., Nováková, L., Hertel, M., Taylor, J. R. E., Muturi, M., Zub, K., & Dechmann, D. K. N. (2021). Geographic patterns in seasonal changes of body mass, skull, and brain size of common shrews. In *Ecology and Evolution* (Vol. 11, Issue 6, pp. 2431–2448). John Wiley and Sons Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7238

Lee, H. S., & Park, T. (2020). The influences of DNA methylation and epigenetic clocks, on metabolic disease, in middle-aged Koreans. *Clinical Epigenetics*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-020-00936-z

Lokatis, S., & Jeschke, J. M. (2018). The island rule: An assessment of biases and research trends. *Journal of Biogeography*, *45*(2), 289–303. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13160

Lomolino, M. v. (1985). Body Size of Mammals on Islands: The Island Rule Reexamined. *The American Naturalist*, *125*(2), 310–316. https://doi.org/10.1086/284343

Lomolino, M. v., van der Geer, A. A., Lyras, G. A., Palombo, M. R., Sax, D. F., & Rozzi, R. (2013). Of mice and mammoths: Generality and antiquity of the island rule. *Journal of Biogeography*, *40*(8), 1427–1439. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12096

Lu, A. T., Fei, Z., Haghani, A., Robeck, T. R., Zoller, J. A., Li, C. Z., Zhang, J., Ablaeva, J., Adams, D. M., Almunia, J., Ardehali, R., Arneson, A., Baker, C. S., Belov, K., Blac, P., & Horvath, S. (2021). Universal DNA methylation age across mammalian tissues. *BioRxiv*, 1–28.

Lu, A. T., Quach, A., Wilson, J. G., Reiner, A. P., Aviv, A., Raj, K., Hou, L., Baccarelli, A. A.,
Li, Y., Stewart, J. D., Whitsel, E. A., Assimes, T. L., Ferrucci, L., & Horvath, S. (2019).
DNA methylation GrimAge strongly predicts lifespan and healthspan. *Aging*, *11*(2), 303–
327. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101684
MacPherson, I. S., & Stewart, D. T. (2003). Characterisation of a unique dipeptidase allele in an
insular population of masked shrews, Sorex cinereus (Insectivora: Soricidae). *Biochemical*
Systematics and Ecology, *31*(6), 573–580. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-1978(02)00218-1
Matsubara, K., Ishibashi, Y., Ohdachi, S., & Matsuda, Y. (2001). A new primer set for sex
identification in the genus Sorex (Soricidae, Insectivora). *Molecular Ecology Notes*, *1*(4),
241–242.
McAlpine, C. S. (2009). *Molecular characterization of the unique Peptidase A Allele within a*
population of Sorex cinereus on Bon Portage Island, Nova Scotia.
https://scholar.acadiau.ca/islandora/object/theses:571
McCrory, C., Fiorito, G., Hernandez, B., Polidoro, S., O'Halloran, A. M., Hever, A., Ni
Cheallaigh, C., Lu, A. T., Horvath, S., Vineis, P., & Kenny, R. A. (2021). GrimAge
Outperforms Other Epigenetic Clocks in the Prediction of Age-Related Clinical Phenotypes
and All-Cause Mortality. *Journals of Gerontology - Series A Biological Sciences and*
Medical Sciences, *76*(5), 741–749. https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/glaa286
McLain, A. T., & Faulk, C. (2018). The evolution of CpG density and lifespan in conserved
primate and mammalian promoters. *Aging*, *10*(4), 561–572.
https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.101413
McLean, C. Y., Bristor, D., Hiller, M., Clarke, S. L., Schaar, B. T., Lowe, C. B., Wenger, A. M.,
& Bejerano, G. (2010). GREAT improves functional interpretation of cis-regulatory
regions. *Nature Biotechnology*, *28*(5), 495–501. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1630
Meiri, S., Cooper, N., & Purvis, A. (2008). The island rule: Made to be broken? *Proceedings of*
the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *275*(1631), 141–148.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.1056
Meiri, S., Dayan, T., & Simberloff, D. (2006). The generality of the island rule reexamined.
Journal of Biogeography, *33*(9), 1571–1577. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
2699.2006.01523.x
Mendes-Soares, H., & Rychlik, L. (2009). Differences in swimming and diving abilities between
two sympatric species of water shrews: Neomys anomalus and Neomys fodiens (Soricidae).
Journal of Ethology, *27*(3), 317–325. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10164-008-0122-z
Meng, G., Li, Y., Yang, C., & Liu, S. (2019). MitoZ: A toolkit for animal mitochondrial genome
assembly, annotation and visualization. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *47*(11).
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz173
Meröndun, J., Murray, D. L., & Shafer, A. B. A. (2019). Genome-scale sampling suggests
cryptic epigenetic structuring and insular divergence in Canada lynx. In *Molecular Ecology*
(Vol. 28, Issue 13). https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15131
Miller, J. M., Garant, D., Perrier, C., Juette, T., Jameson, J. W., Normandeau, E., Bernatchez, L.,
& Réale, D. (2021). Linking genetic, morphological, and behavioural divergence between
inland island and mainland deer mice. *Heredity*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-021-00492-
z
Moore, L. D., Le, T., & Fan, G. (2013). DNA methylation and its basic function.
Neuropsychopharmacology, *38*(1), 23–38.

Morand, S., & Harvey, P. H. (2000). Mammalian metabolism, longevity and parasite species
richness. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *267*(1456), 1999–2003.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2000.1241
Nagorsen, D. (1996). *Opossums, Shrews and Moles of British Columbia*. UBC Press.
Nannini, D. R., Joyce, B. T., Zheng, Y., Gao, T., Liu, L., Yoon, G., Huan, T., Ma, J., Jacobs, D.
R., Wilkins, J. T., Ren, J., Zhang, K., Khan, S. S., Allen, N. B., Horvath, S., Lloyd-Jones, D.
M., Greenland, P., & Hou, L. (2019). Epigenetic age acceleration and metabolic syndrome
in the coronary artery risk development in young adults study. *Clinical Epigenetics*, *11*(1).
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-019-0767-1
Ning, Z., Giordano, F., & Harry, E. (n.d.). *Scaff10X*. https://github.com/wtsi-hpag/Scaff10X
Novosolov, M., Raia, P., & Meiri, S. (2013). The island syndrome in lizards. *Global Ecology*
and Biogeography, *22*(2), 184–191. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2012.00791.x
Ochocinska, D., & Taylor, J. R. E. (2003). Bergmann's rule in shrews: geographical variation of
body size in Palearctic Sorex species. In *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* (Vol.
78). https://academic.oup.com/biolinnean/article/78/3/365/2639823
Ochocińska, D., & Taylor, J. R. E. (2003). Bergmann's rule in shrews: Geographical variation of
body size in Palearctic Sorex species. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *78*(3),
365–381. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1095-8312.2003.00150.x
Ochocińska, D., & Taylor, J. R. E. (2005). Living at the physiological limits: Field and
maximum metabolic rates of the common shrew (Sorex araneus). *Physiological and*
Biochemical Zoology, *78*(5), 808–818. https://doi.org/10.1086/431190
Oulion, S., Bertrand, S., & Escriva, H. (2012). Evolution of the FGF Gene Family. *International*
Journal of Evolutionary Biology, *2012*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/298147
Pavlidis, P., Jensen, J. D., Stephan, W., & Stamatakis, A. (2012). A critical assessment of
storytelling: Gene ontology categories and the importance of validating genomic scans.
Molecular Biology and Evolution, *29*(10), 3237–3248.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss136
Pinho, G. M., Martin, J. G. A., Farrell, C., Haghani, A., Zoller, J. A., Zhang, J., Snir, S.,
Pellegrini, M., Wayne, R. K., Blumstein, D. T., & Horvath, S. (2021). Hibernation slows
epigenetic aging in yellow-bellied marmots. *BioRxiv*, 2021.03.07.434299.
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.03.07.434299v1%0Ahttps://www.biorxiv.or
g/content/10.1101/2021.03.07.434299v1.abstract
Posbergh, C. J., & Huson, H. J. (2021). All sheeps and sizes: a genetic investigation of mature
body size across sheep breeds reveals a polygenic nature. *Animal Genetics*, *52*(1), 99–107.
https://doi.org/10.1111/age.13016
Prado, N. A., Brown, J. L., Zoller, J. A., Haghani, A., Yao, M., Bagryanova, L. R., Campana, M.
G., E. Maldonado, J., Raj, K., Schmitt, D., Robeck, T. R., & Horvath, S. (2021). Epigenetic
clock and methylation studies in elephants. *Aging Cell*, *20*(7), 1–11.
https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13414
Pruitt, W. O. Jr. (1954). Aging in the Masked Shrew, Sorex cinereus cinereus Kerr. *Journal of*
Mammalogy, *35*(1), 35–39.
Quadrana, L., & Colot, V. (2016). Plant Transgenerational Epigenetics. *Annual Review of*
Genetics, *50*, 467–491. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-120215-035254
R Core Team. (2021). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation
for Statistical Computing.

Raj, K., Szladovits, B., Haghani, A., Zoller, J. A., Li, C. Z., Black, P., Maddox, D., Robeck, T.
R., & Horvath, S. (2021). Epigenetic clock and methylation studies in cats. *GeroScience*,
43(5), 2363–2378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-021-00445-8
Razin, A., & Riggs, D. (1980). DNA Methylation and Gene Function. *Science*, *210*(4470), 604–
610.
Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W., & Smyth, G. K. (2015).
Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray
studies. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *43*(7), e47. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv007
Rudd, R. L. (1955). Age, sex, and weight comparison in three species of shrews. *Journal of
Mammalogy*, *36*(3), 323–338.
Saino, N., Ambrosini, R., Albetti, B., Caprioli, M., de Giorgio, B., Gatti, E., Liechti, F., Parolini,
M., Romano, A., Romano, M., Scandolara, C., Gianfranceschi, L., Bollati, V., & Rubolini,
D. (2017). Migration phenology and breeding success are predicted by methylation of a
photoperiodic gene in the barn swallow. *Scientific Reports*, *7*(January), 1–10.
https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45412
Schachtschneider, K. M., Schook, L. B., Meudt, J. J., Shanmuganayagam, D., Zoller, J. A.,
Haghani, A., Li, C. Z., Zhang, J., Yang, A., Raj, K., & Horvath, S. (2021). Epigenetic clock
and DNA methylation analysis of porcine models of aging and obesity. *GeroScience*, *43*(5),
2467–2483. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-021-00439-6
Schaeffer, P. J., O'Mara, M. T., Breiholz, J., Keicher, L., Lázaro, J., Muturi, M., & Dechmann,
D. K. N. (2020). Metabolic rate in common shrews is unaffected by temperature, leading to
lower energetic costs through seasonal size reduction. *Royal Society Open Science*, *7*(4).
https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.191989
Schapira, A. H. (2006). Mitochondrial disease. *The Lancet*, *368*(9529), 70–82.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68970-8
Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. v., & Zdobnov, E. M. (2015).
BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy
orthologs. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(19), 3210–3212.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351
Skotarczak, E., Szwaczkowski, T., & Ćwiertnia, P. (2020). Effects of inbreeding, sex and
geographical region on survival in an American bison (Bison bison) population under a
captive breeding program. *European Zoological Journal*, *87*(1), 402–411.
https://doi.org/10.1080/24750263.2020.1797194
Smit, A., & Hubley, R. (n.d.-a). *RepeatMasker Open-4.0*. Retrieved February 3, 2022, from
http://www.repeatmasker.org
Smit, A., & Hubley, R. (n.d.-b). *RepeatModeler Open-1.0*. Retrieved February 3, 2022, from
http://www.repeatmasker.org
Stewart, D. T., Herman, T. B., & Teferi, T. (1989). Littoral feeding in a high-density insular
population of Sorex cinereus. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *67*(8), 2074–2077.
https://doi.org/10.1139/z89-295
Sugrue, V. J., Zoller, J. A., Narayan, P., Lu, A. T., Ortega-Recalde, O. J., Grant, M. J., Bawden,
C. S., Rudiger, S. R., Haghani, A., Bond, D. M., Hore, R. R., Garratt, M., Sears, K. E.,
Wang, N., Yang, X. W., Snell, R. G., Hore, T. A., & Horvath, S. (2021). Castration delays
epigenetic aging and feminizes dna methylation at androgen-regulated loci. *ELife*, *10*, 1–20.
https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64932

Swanson, E. M., & Dantzer, B. (2014). Insulin-like growth factor-1 is associated with life-history variation across Mammalia. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *281*(1782). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2458

Takahashi, M., Kamei, Y., Ezaki, O., Takahashi, Y., & Kamei, O. E. (2005). Mest/Peg1 imprinted gene enlarges adipocytes and is a marker of adipocyte size. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab*, *288*, 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo

Taylor, J. R. E., Rychlik, L., & Churchfield, S. (2013). Winter reduction in body mass in a very small, nonhibernating mammal: Consequences for heat loss and metabolic rates. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, *86*(1), 9–18. https://doi.org/10.1086/668484

Telfer, K. A. (1984). *Microhabitat associations, morphopology, and demography of shrews (Soricidae) in Nova Scotia*.

Thomson, V. A., Mitchell, K. J., Eberhard, R., Dortch, J., Austin, J. J., & Cooper, A. (2018). Genetic diversity and drivers of dwarfism in extinct island emu populations. *Biology Letters*, *14*(4). https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2017.0617

Tomasi, T. E. (1979). Echolocation by the Short-Tailed Shrew Blarina brevicauda. In *Source: Journal of Mammalogy* (Vol. 60, Issue 4).

van Valen, L. (1973). Body Size and Numbers of Plants and Animals. *Evolution*, *27*(1), 27–35.

Vernaz, G., Hudson, A. G., Santos, M. E., Fischer, B., Carruthers, M., Shechonge, A. H., Gabagambi, N. P., Tyers, A. M., Ngatunga, B. P., Malinsky, M., Durbin, R., Turner, G. F., Genner, M. J., & Miska, E. A. (2021). Epigenetic Divergence during Early Stages of Speciation in an African Crater Lake Cichlid Fish. *BioRxiv*, *doi:10.1101/2021.07.30.435319*, 1–12.

Vernaz, G., Malinsky, M., Svardal, H., Du, M., Tyers, A. M., Santos, M. E., Durbin, R., Genner, M. J., Turner, G. F., & Miska, E. A. (2021). Mapping epigenetic divergence in the massive radiation of Lake Malawi cichlid fishes. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26166-2

Vogt, G. (2017). Facilitation of environmental adaptation and evolution by epigenetic phenotype variation: Insights from clonal, invasive, polyploid, and domesticated animals. *Environmental Epigenetics*, *3*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1093/eep/dvx002

Wang, Y., Hannon, E., Grant, O. A., Gorrie-Stone, T. J., Kumari, M., Mill, J., Zhai, X., McDonald-Maier, K. D., & Schalkwyk, L. C. (2021). DNA methylation-based sex classifier to predict sex and identify sex chromosome aneuploidy. *BMC Genomics*, *22*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-021-07675-2

Weisenfeld, N. I., Kumar, V., Shah, P., Church, D. M., & Jaffe, D. B. (2018). Corrigendum: Direct determination of diploid genome sequences. *Genome Research*, *28*(4), 757–767. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.235812.118

Whitaker John O. Jr., & French, T. W. (1984). Foods of six species of sympatric shrews from New Brunswick. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *62*(4), 622–626.

Wilkinson, G. S., Adams, D. M., Haghani, A., Lu, A. T., Zoller, J., Breeze, C. E., Arnold, B. D., Ball, H. C., Carter, G. G., Cooper, L. N., Dechmann, D. K. N., Devanna, P., Fasel, N. J., Galazyuk, A. V., Günther, L., Hurme, E., Jones, G., Knörnschild, M., Lattenkamp, E. Z., … Horvath, S. (2021). DNA methylation predicts age and provides insight into exceptional longevity of bats. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21900-2

Wood, D. E., & Salzberg, S. L. (2014). Kraken: Ultrafast metagenomic sequence classification using exact alignments. *Genome Biology*, *15*(3). https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2014-15-3-r46

Yang, C., Gagnon, D., Vachon, P., Tremblay, A., Levy, E., Massie, B., & Michaud, J. L. (2006).
Adenoviral-mediated modulation of Sim1 expression in the paraventricular nucleus affects
food intake. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *26*(26), 7116–7120.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0672-06.2006
Yom-Tov, Y., & Yom-Tov, J. (2005). Global warming, Bergmann's rule and body size in the
masked shrew Sorex cinereus Kerr in Alaska. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *74*(5), 803–808.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2005.00976.x
Yordy, J., Kraus, C., Hayward, J. J., White, M. E., Shannon, L. M., Creevy, K. E., Promislow, D.
E. L., & Boyko, A. R. (2020). Body size, inbreeding, and lifespan in domestic dogs.
Conservation Genetics, *21*(1), 137–148. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-019-01240-x
Zhou, W., Triche, T. J., Laird, P. W., & Shen, H. (2018). SeSAMe: Reducing artifactual
detection of DNA methylation by Infinium BeadChips in genomic deletions. *Nucleic Acids*
Research, *46*(20), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky691
794
795

Data accessibility statement

Raw sequence reads are deposited in the SRA: PRJNA826195

Genome is deposited in NCBI: Pending

Scripts and normalized β values for each probe and sample uploaded on GitLab:

https://gitlab.com/WiDGeT_TrentU/graduate_theses/-/tree/master/Cossette.

Benefit-sharing statement

Benefits Generated: Benefits from this research accrue from the sharing of our data and results on

public databases as described above.

Author contribution:

Marie-Laurence Cossette: Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data

curation, Writing – original draft preparation, Visualization, Project administration, Funding

acquisition. **Don T. Stewart:** Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing – review and

editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition. **Amin Haghani:** Writing – review and editing,

Software, Data curation. **Joseph Zoller:** Writing – review and editing, Software, Data curation.

Aaron B.A. Shafer: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Resources,

Writing – original draft preparation, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Steve Horvath: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Validation, Resources, Data curation,

Writing – review and editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

Tables and figures

818
819   **Figure 1.** Cross-validation study of pan-tissue epigenetic clock for masked shrews. Leave-one-sample-out (LOO)
820   estimate (y-axis, in units of years) versus chronological age (x-axis, in unit of years). The linear regression of
821   epigenetic age is indicated by a solid line while the diagonal line (y = x) is depicted by a dashed line. "MAE" represents
822   the mean absolute error and "cor" represents the correlation coefficient

823
824

825
826 **Figure 2.** Boxplot of **A** weight (g), **B** body length (mm) and **C** skull length (mm) between mainland and island
827 masked shrew populations.

Figure 3. Epigenome-wide association (EWAS) of the multivariate regression model (location, age, sex and tissue)
for masked shrew. **A** Manhattan plots of the EWAS for location (island vs mainland). The coordinates are estimated
based on the alignment of Mammalian array probes to our masked shrew genome assembly. The direction of
associations with < 2e-6 (red dotted line) is highlighted by red (hypermethylated) and blue (hypomethylated) colors.
Top 15 CpGs are indicated by their neighboring genes. **B** DNAm levels of island samples versus mainland for the
top 10 significant CpGs associated to location. **C** Enrichment analysis of the top CpGs with positive
(hypermethylated) and negative (hypomethylated) correlations to island populations. The gene-level enrichment
analysis was carried out using the GREAT software. Background probes were limited to 14290 probes that had
shrew gene annotations. The top ontologies with most significance pathways for island samples were selected based
on Bonferroni corrected p-values.

841
Figure 4. Epigenome-wide association (EWAS) of the multivariate regression model (location, age, sex and tissue)
for masked shrew. **A** Manhattan plots of the EWAS for location (Bon Portage Island (BPI) vs others). The
coordinates are estimated based on the alignment of Mammalian array probes to our masked shrew genome
assembly. The direction of associations with < 2e-6 (red dotted line) is highlighted by red (hypermethylated) and
blue (hypomethylated) colors. Top 15 CpGs are indicated by their neighboring genes. **B** DNAm levels of BPI
samples versus others for the top 10 significant CpGs associated to location. **C** Enrichment analysis of the top CpGs
with positive (hypermethylated) and negative (hypomethylated) correlations to BPI. The gene-level enrichment
analysis was carried out using the GREAT software. Background probes were limited to 14290 probes that had
shrew gene annotations. The top 4 ontologies with most significance pathways for BPI were selected based on
Bonferroni corrected p-values.

852
853 **Table 1.** Trapping location of samples, N = total number of samples, number of females, minimum and maximum
854 age. Age is represented as the estimated age in months divided by 12. Note, age of 0.00 represents fetus.
855

Location	Island	N	No. of females	Age (min/max)
Bon Portage Island, NS	Yes	16	6	0.167/1.33
Long Island, NS	Yes	9	4	0.00/1.25
Sandy Cove, NS	No	4	3	0.250/0.375
North Mountain, NS	No	2	1	0.417/0.417
Peterborough County, ON	No	6	1	0.167/1.08

863 **Table 2.** Summary statistics for the linear regression models for weight, body length and skull length of mainland vs
864 island masked shrews. Sex values are relative to females and location relative to mainland populations. The β-
865 coefficient (estimate), 95% confidence interval (CI), p-value and adjusted R^2 are provided.
866

	Estimate	95% CI	P value	Adj. R^2
Model 1: Weight ~ Age + Sex + Location				0.55
Age	1.20	0.39 to 2.01	**0.005**	
Sex	– 0.09	– 0.60 to 0.43	0.738	
Location	– 2.14	– 2.74 to – 1.53	**<0.001**	
Model 2: Body length ~ Age + Sex + Location				0.47
Age	6.15	1.60 to 10.69	**0.009**	
Sex	– 0.04	– 2.94 to 2.86	0.980	
Location	– 10.35	– 13.75 to – 6.94	**<0.001**	
Model 3: Skull length ~ Age + Sex + Location				0.81
Age	– 0.24	– 0.78. to 0.29	0.367	
Sex	– 0.25	– 0.59 to 0.09	0.146	
Location	– 1.93	– 2.33 to – 1.52	**<0.001**	

867
868
869 **Table 3.** Top 5 genes near outlier CpGs for island vs mainland EWAS and BPI vs all other populations. Functions
870 of each gene are abbreviated from Uniprot.
871

Gene name	CpG	Direction	Location (p)	Putative Function
Island-mainland				
EWS exon	cg11703808	pos	3.35e-21	Transcriptional repressor and might play a role in the tumorigenic process.
PO4F3 intron	cg00152260	pos	1.23e-19	Transcriptional activator. Involved in the auditory system development.
UBP54 intron	cg22063749	neg	3.27e-19	Has no peptidase activity.
TRI47 exon	cg25115601	neg	3.12e-16	Mediates the ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of CYLD.
ATPA exon	cg24102071	neg	6.37e-16	Produces ATP from ADP
BPI				
SMO exon	cg20625795	neg	1.03e-30	Associates with the patched protein (PTCH) to transduce the hedgehog's proteins signal.
ZO1 exon	cg03673751	neg	2.00e-21	Related to movement of substances through the paracellular space. Plays a role in the regulation of cell migration.
PRP8 promoter	cg25476805	neg	7.60e-19	Plays role in pre-mRNA splicing.
CBX5 intergenic	cg23036219	neg	1.12e-18	Associated with epigenetic repression. Involved in the formation of functional kinetochore.
ZBT20 intergenic	cg00903722	neg	3.32e-17	Transcription factor that may be involved in hematopoiesis, oncogenesis, and immune responses. Plays a role in postnatal myogenesis.