Manuscript

Microsatellite Density Landscapes Illustrate Short Tandem Repeats Aggregation in The Complete Reference Human Genome

Yun Xia[1,#], Douyue Li[1#], Tingyi Chen[1#], Saichao Pan[1#], Hanrou Huang[1#], Wenxiang Zhang[1#], Yulin Liang[1#],

Yongzhuo Fu[1], Zhuli Peng[1], Hongxi Zhang[1], Liang Zhang[1], Shan Peng[1], Ruixue Shi[1], Xingxin He[1], Siqian

Zhou[1], Weili Jiao[1], Xiangyan Zhao[1], Xiaolong Wu[1], Lan Zhou[1], Jingyu Zhou[1], Qingjian Ouyang[1], You Tian[1],

Xiaoping Jiang[1], Yi Zhou[1], Shiying Tang[1], Junxiong Shen[1], Kazusato Ohshima[2] and Zhongyang Tan[1*]

[1] Bioinformatic Center, College of Biology, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China

[2] Faculty of Agriculture, Saga University, 840-8502 Saga, Japan

[#] Co-First Author, contributed equally to this work

[*] Corresponding author

E-mail: zhongyangtan@yeah.net

Address: Lushan Road (S), Yuelu District, Changsha, Hunan Province, China

Postal Code: 410082

Abstract

Microsatellites density landscapes of all 24 chromosomes were built here for the first complete reference sequence of human genome T2T-CHM13. These landscapes showed that short tandem repeats (STRs) are prone to aggregate characteristically to form a large number of STRs density peaks. We classified 8,823 high microsatellites density peaks (HMDPs), 35,257 middle microsatellites density peaks (MMDPs) and 199, 649 low microsatellites density peaks (LMDPs) on the 24 chromosomes; and also classified the motif types of every microsatellites density peak. And 514 genomic regions were characterized

by microsatellite density feature in the full T2T-CHM13 genome. The landscape maps revealed that the local microsatellites density varies enormously and rhythmically along the every chromosome of T2T-CHM13.

Keywords: Human genome; Microsatellite density; STRs aggregation; landscape map.

1. Introduction

Tandem repeat biology is causing a revolution in genetics in the past two decades as many studies of understanding the evolution and biological functions of tandem repeats in the genomes of thousand species and also human diseases (Ellegren 2004; Zhao et al. 2012; Hannan 2018; Hannan 2021). Indeed, more than half of the human genome is constituted of repetitive sequences making them the most challenging genomic regions to study, and repetitive sequences are generally classified into interspersed repeats and tandem repeats (Hoyt and Storer 2022). The short tandem repeats (STRs), also called microsatellites, happen ubiquitously in human genome scattered in coding and non-coding regions, which commonly occur with repeat units of 1-6 base pairs and own the highest mutational rate in genome (Hartl 2000; Ellegren 2004; Hannan 2018). Microsatellites are increasingly realized to have biological significance in human genome and health, and are reported to involve in more than 30 disorders and several cancers (Kim et al. 2013; Hause et al. 2016; Priestley et al. 2019; van Wietmarschen et al. 2020), regulate gene expression in healthy genomes (Gymrek et al. 2016; Quilez et al. 2016), and also be related to genetic plasticity and missing heritability (Verstrepen et al. 2005; Fondon et al. 2008; Hannan 2010; Nasrallah et al. 2012; Willems et al. 2014; Mallick et al. 2016; Gymrek et al. 2017; Willems et al. 2017). Slipped-strand mispairing was suggested as a major mechanism for tandem repeat occurrence (Levinson and Gutman 1987; Schlötterer and Tautz 1992), and we formerly presented a folded slippage model for short tandem repeats occurring mechanism, predicting that micro-disturbing in the process of replication may provide a lot of chances for the template chain folded to produce short tandem repeats, which are possibly selected and fixated for different biological significance in the long-history evolution (Zhang et al. 2020).

The recent assembly of T2T-CHM13 reference removes the gap filled regions of euchromosomes and Chromosome X (Aganezov et al. 2022; Altemose et al. 2022; Gershman et al. 2022; Hoyt and Storer 2022;

Nurk et al. 2022; Vollger et al. 2022), and over 50% gaps in Chromosome Y (Rhie et al. 2023), therefore it represents a truly complete human genome, this complete reference sequence of human genome T2T-CHM13 will certainly bring great helps for comprehensive study short tandem repeats in human genome. And so far, there is still no unified threshold for microsatellites studies, the repeats in animal genomes are generally considered to be longer than 12 bp (Qi et al. 2016; Lei et al. 2021), and 3% of human genomes was reported consist of microsatellites under that standard (Subramanian et al. 2003). And microsatellites of human sequences comprised of GA/TC/GC/AT bases are investigated using seq-requester microsatellite (Nurk et al. 2022). However, we applied a threshold of 6, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 iterations for mono- to hexa-nucleotide repeat motifs for analyzing microsatellites in the complete reference human genome T2T-CHM13, the threshold was widely used to study microsatellites in the genome sequences of viruses, mitochondrial and chloroplast (Chen et al. 2010; Zhao et al. 2012; de Freitas et al. 2022; Sahu et al. 2022). And we have first applied the threshold to investigate microsatellites in the Y-DNA of the human reference genome (GRCh38, NC_000024.10) at 1 Kbp resolution by Differential Calculator of Microsatellite version 2.0 (DCM 2.0) method, revealing an exact distributional feature of STRs in every local bins of 1 Kbp sequence of the chromosome Y (Li et al. 2021). Herein, we built 24 Microsatellite landscape maps in all 24 chromosomes of the first complete human genome T2T-CHM13 (CHM13), including the positions and motif types of all classified high microsatellite density peaks (HMDPs), middle microsatellite density peaks (MMDPs), and low microsatellite density peaks (LMDPs).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Genome sequences

The complete 24 chromosome sequences of the human reference genome T2T-CHM13v2.0 the were collected from Genbank, and the accession No. of the complete 24 chromosome sequences were listed in Table S2. All chromosome sequences of human reference genome GRCh38.p14 were also obtained from Genbank (Table S2).

2.2. STRs identification

The Imperfect Microsatellite Extractor (IMEx 2.1) (Mudunuri and Nagarajaram 2007) was applied to identify STRs in all the sequences of T2T-CHM13v2.0 and GRCh38.p14 genomes. The threshold for extract

81 perfect tandem repeats was set at iterations of 6, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3 for mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa-

82 repeat motif respectively.

2.3. Calculate local microsatellite densety at 1 kb resolution

The local microsatellite density of 24 complete chromosome sequences of T2T-CHM13 were counted by the

new promoting program of Differential Calculator of Microsatellites version 3.0

(https://github.com/zhongyangtan/DCM.git), which calculate the local STRs density by divided the large

sequence segment into many differential units, improve the counting resolution by altering differential unit

size (D_n); the D_1 have differential unit size (D_n) of 1kb, which was prove to be a better resolution to calculate

local STRs density of human genomic sequence (Li et al. 2021). So STRs position-related D1-Relative

Density (pD_1RD) was calculated for every 1 kb sequence of the 24 chromosome sequences of T2T-CHM13,

and also for GRCh38.p14.

2.4. Building the STRs density landscape maps

A series of pD_1RD values were obtained by T2T-CHM13 chromosome sequences by the DCM v3.0. Maps

displayed the series of pD_1RD values from telomere of p-arm to telomere of p-arm of every chromosome by

using the UCSC Genome Browser's bedGraph format. Then, 24 landscape maps of STRs density for

T2T-CHM13 were built in the viewer of UCSC Genome Browser window, in which a full chromosomal

view of local STRs density is shown for every bin of 1 kb sequence of the chromosome from telomere of

p-arm to telomere of p-arm. 24 landscape maps of STRs density for GRCh38.p14 were also built.

2.5. Sorting Microsatellite Density Peaks

Microsatellite Density Peaks classified into the three categories of High Microsatellite Density Peaks

(HMDPs) ($pD_1RD \cong 300$), Middle Microsatellite Density Peaks (MMDPs) ($300 > pD_1RD \cong 150$) and Low

Microsatellite Density Peaks (LMDPs) ($150 > pD_1RD \cong 90$) by the new developed program Microsatellite

Density Peaks Sorter version 1.0 (MDPS.v1.0, https://github.com/zhongyangtan/MDPS.git). And the

MDPS.v1.0 also further sorted HMDPs, MMDPs and LMDPs into different Main Motif Type (MMT) and

sub-Main Motif Type (sub-MMT). (Tables S5, S6).

2.6. Mapping Microsatellite Density Peaks

108 The sorted data of exact position, corresponding motif type and peak name of HMDPs, MMDPs and LMDPs

109 in every chromosome were transferred by the UCSC Genome Browser's bed format and displayed under the

110 landscapes with three tracks of HMDPs, MMDPs and LMDPs in the viewer of UCSC Genome Browser

111 window.

2.7. Comparison of HMDPs betweem T2T-CHM13.v2.0 and GRC38.p14

113 The similar HMDPs between T2T-CHM13.v2.0 and GRC38.p14, were firstly determined allelic position by

114 MUMmer v3.23 (Kurtz et al. 2004), then comparing motif type by new developed program Genome

115 HMDPs Comparator version 1.0 (GHC.v1.0, https://github.com/zhongyangtan/GHC.git), finally, aligned by

116 ClustalX v2.1 (Larkin et al. 2007).

3. Result

3.1. Microsatellite Density landscapes

The recently released complete assembles of T2T-CHM13 including all 22 autosomes, chromosome X

and Y, comprise of 3,117,275,501 bp of DNA; and we obtained 22,198,470 microsatellites with total size of

183,133,984 bp and microsatellites relative density (RD) is 58.75 in the full genome (Table 1-a, Table S2 &

S3). The widely used method of relative density for analyzing microsatellites was proved limited for

analyzing STRs in big sequence like human genome; therefore, to explore the exact distributing feature, the

microsatellites landscapes at 1 Kbp resolution, were formerly comprehensively surveyed in the Y-DNA of

reference human GRCh38 by the differential calculator of microsatellites (Li et al. 2021). Herein, STRs of

the complete reference human genomeT2T-CHM13 including all chromosomes were investigated by the

differential calculate method, in which the values of position related relative density at 1 kilo-base resolution (pD_1RD) were calculated in every bin unit of 1kb DNA sequence, and the pD_1RD value was suggested to be possibly a better way to estimate the local microsatellite density variation in human genome (Li et al. 2021). The bedgraph format tool of UCSC Genome Browser was used to map the exact STRs distribution features with the pD_1RD data of the full T2T-CHM3 genome, a series of panoramic landscapes of microsatellites density were obtained to precisely exhibit the local microsatellites density in every bin of 1 kb sequence for the 22 autosome, chromosome X and Y of T2T-CHM13 in viewers of Genome browser window (Fig. 1, Fig. S1, Table S1). The 24 landscapes revealed that the relative density in every 1 kb sequence (the pD_1RD value) varies enormously in different site along the 24 chromosomes even from 0 to 970, and the STRs is prone to accumulate to a large numbers of different extent micro-satellite density peaks; these density peaks are found genome-wide, and were classified into 8,823 high microsatellites density peaks (HMDPs) ($pD_1RD \geqq$ 300), 35,257 middle microsatellites density peaks (MMDPs) (300>$pD_1RD \geqq$ 150) and 199, 649 low microsatellites density peaks (LMDPs) (150>$pD_1RD \geqq$ 90) (Table 1-b, Table S4.01-S4.06); and the bed format tool of UCSC Genome Browser was used to display the exact position and motif types of these HMDPs, MMDPs and LMDPs (Fig. 1, Fig. S2). Similarly, the microsatellites landscapes were comprehensively surveyed in the reference human genome GRCh38 as comparison (Table 1-b, Table S14 & S15, Fig. S12).

3.2. High Microsatellite Density Peaks (HMDPs)

The local relative density values ($pD_1RD \geqq$ 300) of those HMDPs are approximately six times or more of the average relative density (RD=58.75) of the full T2T-CHM13 genome, the significant statistical bias of micro-satellites relative density in local genome region hints their importance to human genome (Fig. 2-A, Fig. S2.01). It was found that all these HMDPs occur genome widely in all the 24 chromosomes of the T2T-CHM13, especially pervade in the two arms of all chromosomes at different intervals, except absent at

most centromeric region and some pericentromeiric region. The largest quantity of HMDPs were found in ChrY with 2,697 HMDPs, secondly in Chr13, the minimum number of HMDPs in Chr19 with 127 HMDPs identified, and only 305 HMDPs were found on chr1 with longest sequence; therefore, the distribution of HMDPs is not related to chromosome size directly. Furthermore, 89.26% of the HMDPs are identified in intergenic, 10.74% in intron region, but no HMDPs in exon region (Fig. 2-B-a, Table S10.01).

3.3. Main Motif Types (MMTs) of HMDPs

Analysis of the composition of the motifs in every HMDPs demonstrated that same type of motif is prone to accumulate in the same HMDP, and therefore, we classified these HMDPs by the main motif composition in each HMDP; for example, when the AT motif account for the main motif composition in a HMDP, it was named $(AT)_n$ Main Motif Type (MMT) HMDP (Table S4.). And the MMT HMDPs can also be further classified into three sub-types: the high-percentage Main Motif Type (hMMT) HMDPs (main motif $\geqq$ 66.7%), the middle-percentage Main Motif Type (mMMT) HMDPs (66.7%>main motif $\geqq$ 50%), and the low-percentage Main Motif Type (lMMT) HMDPs (50%>main motif $\geqq$ 33.4%) (Table 1-c, Table S4-S8). All the 8,823 HMDPs be classified into Main Motif Type (MMT) class and Mix Motif Type class. Though the microsatellites generally comprising tandem repeats with motifs of 1-6 bp makes that there are possible total 964 motif types (Table S16), we actually identified only 87 MMTs from 8819 HMDPs belong to MMT class, and the 87 MMTs was further classified into 8 subclass : mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, hexa-, AG- and TC-MMT subclass. The di-MMT subclass includes 6944 HMDPs is the most abundant HMDPs subclass, secondly is the penta-MMT subclass. Notably, 86.1% of the total 8823 HMDPs are the hMMT HMDPs (Fig.2-B-b,Table S10.01), suggesting that high percentage of same repeat motif is prone to accumulated into same high microsatellites density peak (HDMP) in the complete reference human genome T2T-CHM13. Moreover, many MMT HMDPs usually appears in pairs with motif reverse complementary in the complete genome like the example of 386 (AATGG)n MMT HMDPs reversely complementary pairing with 311

 (CCATT)n MMT HMDPs (Table 1-c).

3.4. $[AT]_n^h$ motifs type are the most abundant HMDPs

Among the all hMMT HMDPs, 4828 HMDPs are $[AT]_n^h$ motif type, representing 63.5% of the di-MMT subclass HMDPs and also 54.7% of all 8823 HMDPs, therefore, it is the most hMMT HMDPs HDMP (Table 1-c, Fig. 2-C, Table S10.02). These $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs were classified into two distributional patterns by average distance (AD): sparse-distributional (AD: 1,434,206bp) and dense-distributional (AD: 4,476bp) patterns, there are 1886 $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs scatter sparsely and 2942 $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs array densely in the full T2T-CHM13 genome (Fig. 2-D-a & b, Table S10.03, Fig. S3.01). As a whole, the distributional features can be summed up to 3 $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs chromosomal distribution models, one model is that only $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs sparse-distribution appear in the two arm of the 19 chromosomes, another is that $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs dense-distribution occur in the short p-arm and sparse-distribution in the long q-arm as found in the five acrocentric chromosome, the other is the $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs distribution in the Chr Y which 35 $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs with sparse-distributions are found in Chr Y euchromatin region and 2575 $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs with dense-distributions in Chr Y heterochromatin region. However, the $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs is abundantly representing 54.7% of all HMDPs, but 21.3% of all MMDPs and only 2.7% of all LMDPs (Fig. 2-D-c), suggesting that AT motif tandem repeats is easy to accumulate at high density in the complete reference genome.

3.5. The $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$ penta- hMMT HMDPS account for about half sequence size of all hMMT HMDPs

There are 773 penta- motif HMDPs identified in the full T2T-CHM13 genome, and ranks secondly in all 8 subclass of the MMT HMDP class; however, the reverse complementary $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$ hMMT HMDPs are found 331 and 252 in numbers accounting for 75.4% of all penta- MMT HMDPs (Table 1-C, Table S4 & S5). Though the large majority of the two type of are small in size that is lower than 5 bins (5

kbp); the HMDPs with size that is larger than 5 bin, are mainly $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$ hMMT HMDPs

(Fig. 2-C-b & c, Table S10.02); therefore, total size of $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$ hMMT HMDPs are 3789

and 3362 bins respectively, and combination of two hMMT HMDPs accounting for about 43.55% the size of

all hMMT HMDPs, implied their importance to human genome structure. Analysis of occurred locations of

the $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$ hMMT HMDPs reveals 4 distributional models about the two hMMT

HMDPs in the T2T-CHM13 genome: (a) no $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$ hMMT HMDPs distribution occur

in Chr 6, 8, 11, 12, 18, 19,and X, (b) both the two hMMT HMDPs appear in short arm of the five acrocntric

chromosomes, (c) pericentric distribution of the two hMMT HMDPs in Chr 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 17 and Y,

(d) the $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$ hMMT HMDPs are found on the main arms of the chromosomes (on

arm distribution) that are majorly in Chr 1, 2, 16, 20 and 22) (Fig. 2-E-a, Table S10.04, Fig. S3.02).

Remarkably, Chr 9 is clustered a large segment of $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$ hMMT HMDPs at the

pericentromeric region of p-arm (Fig. 2-E-b).

3.6. Comparison of HMDPs between T2T-CHM13 and GRCh38

The micro-satellite density landscapes of 24 chromosomes of the reference human genome GRCh38.p14

were also built here, As the reference genome GRCh38 contained gaps and collapsed tandem repeats (Table

1-a, Table S14), the total sequence assembly of GRCh38.p14 is 2,937,639,396 bp, with un-sequenced gap

size of 150,630,436 bp. And only 3,480 HMDPs, 26,310 MMDPs and 178,848 LMDPs were identified in

the microsatellite density landscapes of GRCh38.p14 genome (Table 1-b, Table S14); so HMDPs in

GRCh38 genome are shown to be greatly different from that of T2T-CHM13, but MMDPs and LMDPs in

GRCh38 genome are relatively close to those in T2T-CHM13 (Table 1-b). A detailed comparison of HMDPs

in micro-satellite density landscapes between full T2T-CHM13 and GRCh38 full genome, revealed that

1233 and 1290 are high and low-middle similar corresponding to those HMDPs of GRCh38 respectively

(Fig. 2-F-b,c,d, Table S15, Fig. S12), above 2,000 HMDPs correspond to middle/low microsatellite density

peaks (M/LMDPs) and no peaks region of GRCh38, more than half HMDPs of T2T-CHM13 are corresponding to un-sequenced gaps region of GRCh38 (Fig. 2-F-d, Table S15); suggesting that HMDPs contribute a lot to the individual diversity of human genome in spite of that the no-sequenced gap region may influence the comparing result.

3.7. Genomic regions divided by local microsatellites density features

Because the landscapes graphed by the differential calculating microsatellites density method, the STRs density distribution feature can be visualized in every 1kb sequence local genomic region; we discovered from the landscapes that the full genome of T2T-CHM13 may be divided into 514 different microsatellites density related genomic regions (Table 1-d, Fig. 3-A, Fig. S4, Table S9). These genomic regions comprise of 4 genomic region class: Variable microsatellite densty (V-) region class, Even microsatellite densty (E-) region class, Peaks Cluster (PC-) region class and Telomere repeat (T-) region class. The V-region class was further divided into High Variable Microsatellite Densty Region (HVMD-R), Middle Variable Microsatellite Densty Region (MVMD-R) and Low Variable Microsatellite Densty Region (LVMD-R) subclass, the 154 regions of this class principally correspond to gene coding regions and also some satellite distribution region (Table 1-d). The E-region class includes relatively Even and Average Microsatellite Density Region (EAMD-R) subclass and relatively Even and extreme Low Microsatellite Density Region (EeLMD-R) subclass, 67 regions of this class mainly match α satellites and other satellites. The PC-region class are subdivided into di-, tri-, tetra-, and penta- sub-classes, regions of this class showed that special motif type of Microsatellite Density Peaks (MDPs) usually gather in proximity to form peaks cluster. And the hexa-nucleotide motif microsatellite cluster region class was discovered only locating in the telomeric region of T2T-CHM13, the 24 $(TTAGGG)_n$-Rs were found in the telomere of q-arm of all chromosomes, as well as the reverse complementary 23 $(CCCTAA)_n$-Rs were found in the telomere of p-arm of all chromosomes except the $H(AATGG)_n$-R in the p-arm of Chr13 (For simplicity, the region name abbreviation

are only shown here and below, region name nomenclature please refer to Table S9).

3.8. The broad High Variable Microsatellite Density Region

The microsatellite density landscape maps display that the density of microsatellites on the main arms of most chromosomes has a huge rhythmic change, showing that HMDPs, MMDPs and LMDPs appear alternately, such regions are summarized as broad High Variable Microsatellite Density Regions (b-HVMD-Rs) with every genomic region size more than 10,000,000 bp (Fig. 3-A,Table S9). Total 42 b-HVMD-Rs were classified in the HVMD-R sun-class, all chromosomes contain two b-HVMD-Rs in main central part of two arms except that the five acrocentric chromosomes include only one b-HVMD-R in each of their q-arm and ChrY include one in p-arm (Fig 3-B-a, Table S9). Comparing all b-HVMD-Rs with CAT/Liftoff Genes, RefSeq mRNAs and CenSat Anotation tracks, demonstrated that the b-HVMD-Rs well corresponding to broad gene coding regions in every chromosomes (Table 1-d, Table S9). The sum size of the 42 b-HVMD-Rs is 2,850,947,000 bp, representing 91.46% of the full sequence size of T2T-CHM13 genome; but only 4118 of the 8823 HMDPs located in the intergenic and intron region of all b-HVMD-Rs (Fig. 3-B-c, Table S10 & S11).

3.9. Microsatellite density Peaks Clustering (PC-) regions

In the 246 genomic regions of PC-region class (Table 1-d, Fig. 3-C, Fig. S6-S9); we clarified 70 regions in the di-sub-region-class , these regions were classed into 4 region types as $HML(CT^{\#})_n$-cR, $HML(AT)_n$-cR, $M(AT)_n$-cR and $ML(AT)_n$-CR; the 5 $HML(CT^{\#})_n$-cR are found in the near central part of short arm of every the five acrocentric chromosomes and correspond to the five rRNA genes coding regions; the 53 $HML(AT)_n$-cR occur in the five short arm of the five acrocentric chromosomes and the hetro-chromatin region of ChrY; and the 3 $M(AT)_n$-cR and 9 $ML(AT)_n$-CR appear mainly in the five short arm of the five acrocentric chromosomes and centromeric regions of Chr 3 and 4. Secondly, 13 regions in the tri-sub-class were clarified into 4 region types as $ML(ATC)_n$-cR, $L(ATC)_n$-cR, $ML(GAT)_n$-cR and $L(GAT)_n$-cR, which are

mainly presenting at the pericentrometic regions of chr1, 2, 7, 10, 16, and 17. Then, only 5 regions were identified in the tetra-sub-class as 4 region types as $ML(AAGG)_n$-cR, $L(AAGG)_n$-cR, $HML(AGCC)_n$-cR and $HML(GGCT)_n$-cR, occurring at Chr2, 3 and 22. At last, we sorted out 158 regions in the penta-sub-class as 10 region types; therein 8 region types include 156 regions containing the reverse complementary motif of $(AATGG)_n$ or $(CCATT)_n$, were found at almost all chromosomes except chr6, 8, 11, 12, 16, 19, and X, and this is consistent with the observation of aforesaid no $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$ motif type HMDPs distribution; the other two region types include only two regions also containing another two reverse complementary motif of $(ACTCC)_n$ and $(GGAGT)_n$, are only found at the short arm of the acrocentric chromosome 14 and 15. The comparing with CenSat Anotation tracks in UCSC genome browser reveals that di-, tri-, tetra-, and penta- sub-region-classes mainly correspond to divers classical human satellite sequences region (Table 1-d, Table S9).

3.10. $HML(AT)_n$-cR and $ML(AATGG)_n$-cR alternatively connecting to form the Y heterochromatin

Previously we have constructed the landscapes of the in complete Y-DNA sequence of human genome GRCh38, which includes many unsequenced gaps especially a big gap of more than 30,000,000 bps in the heterochromatin (Li et al. 2021). Herein, we made the landscape of Y-DNA again but for the first complete sequence of human genome T2T-CHM13 (Rhie et al. 2023), illustrating the local microsatellite relative density feature of the Y heterochromotin (Fig. 3-D), in which genomic region $HML(AT)_n$-cR clustering of $(AT)_n$ HMDPs, MMDPs and LMDPs alternatively link to region $ML(AATGG)_n$-cR clustering $(AATGG)_n$ MMDPs and LMDPs; therefore, the Y heterochromotin contains 43 $HML(AT)_n$-cRs and 36 $ML(AATGG)_n$-cRs alternatively connected at intervals (Fig. 3-D), and only 2 $ML(CCATT)_n$-cRs and 5 $L(AATGG)_n$-cRs replace $ML(AATGG)_n$-cRs to separate $HML(AT)_n$-cRs. The above-mentioned densely distributing 2575 $(AT)_n^h$ HMDPs scatter among the 43 $HML(AT)_n$-cRs and jaggedly separated by $(AATGG)_n$ MMDPs and LMDPs. Copmparing the landscape track with CenSat Anotation track in UCSC genome

browser showed that HML(AT)$_n$-cRs and ML(AATGG)$_n$-cRs well correspond to alternating pattern of classical human satellite 1B (hsat1B) and classical human satellite 3 (hsat3) in the heterochromatin Yq12 region (Rhie et al. 2023) (Fig. 3-D, Fig. S10).

3.11. Similar local microsatellites density features in short arms of the five acrocentric chromosomes

The landscapes showed that local microsatellites density features in the five short arm of the five acrocentric chromosomes is more complicate than other autosomes. A palisade arranging HML(CT$^\#$)$_n$ cluster region situates in central or near central part of the short arm of these chromosomes, a set of HML(CCATT)$_n$-cR, H(AATGG)$_n$-cR, M(AT)$_n$-cR, HML(AATGG)$_n$-cR array in left side, 2HML(CCATT)$_n$-cR, one HML(AT)$_n$-cR and several other regions lie orderly at the right side of the central HML(CT$^\#$)$_n$-cR; though these regions are different in length, they arrange in similar order (Fig. 3-E, Fig. S4 & S5). Comparing with CAT/Liftoff Genes, RefSeq mRNAs and CenSat Anotation tracks, revealed that the HML(CT$^\#$)$_n$-cR well correspond to the rRNA gene cluster regions. Moreover, there are also other PC- regions arraying in either side of the HML(CT$^\#$)$_n$-cR. In a ward, the local microsatellites density features arraying in the landscapes are very similar in the five short arms of the acrocentric chomosomes.

3.12. Even and Low microsatellites density mainly distribute in the centromere

Although the centromeres are known as comprised of large arrays of tandam repeated alpha satellite (Altemose et al. 2022) and most genomic regions of the T2T-CHM13 genome exhibit large variations local STRs density (ie. the pD$_1$RD value) in the microsatellite density landscape maps, the centromeric regions showed that the feature of local relative microsatellite density is relatively even and low (Fig. 3-F, Fig. S10); the average pD$_1$RD of microsatellite in all centromeric regions is 42 and far lower than average relative microsatellite density with value of 58.7 in the full genome, the lowest average pD$_1$RD is only 12.3 in centromere of Chr2, the average pD$_1$RD value of centromeres in11 chromosomes (chr18, 11, 15,17, X, 8, 22,

20, 9, 14) are lower than 30, the average pD_1RD value of centromeres in 6 chromosomes (chr19, 1, 6, 12, 13,

21) are between 30 to 42 (the average pD_1RD of all centromeres), and 7 centromeres in chromosomes (chr 4,

3, 7, 5, 10, 16) with the average pD_1RD value higher than 42. Two $M(AT)_n$-cRs are embedded in the

landscapes of centromere in Chr 4 and 3, and cause the average pD_1RD of centromeres rise to 138.4 and

60.9 respectively.

3.13. $(CT^{\#})_n$ HMDPs groups separate rRNA genes in the five acrocentric short arms

As aforesaid, the five short arm of the acrocentric chromosomes contain five $HML(CT^{\#})_n$-cR regions in their

central part, series HMDPs group with $(CT)_n$ as main motif were found clustering palisadingly and followed

by several MMDPs and LMDPs, forming a tendamly duplicating segmental unit (DSU), these DSUs

connects tandemly composing these $HML(CT^{\#})_n$-cR regions. The size of these DSUs are between

43000-47000 bp considered as rDNA array (Nurk et al. 2022), and there are 76 DSUs found in the short arm

of Chr13, 16 DSUs in Chr14, 50 DSUs in Chr15, 56 DSUs in Chr21, 21 DSUs in Chr22, and total 219

DSUs. Further comparison show that every $HML(CT^{\#})_n$-cR comprise of a rRNA genes groups region and a

the $[CT^{\#}]_n$ HMDPs groups region, remarkably, the $[CT^{\#}]_n$ HMDPs groups region well one-by-one separate

every the rRNA genes group in every DSUs of the five $HML(CT)_n$-cR regions (Fig. 3-G-a, Fig. S5).

Alignment results showed that the identities among the 219 DSUs, 219rRNA genes groups and 219 HMDPs

groups are higher than 0.82, 0.99 and 0.68 respectively (Fig. 3-G-b & c, Fig. S5). suggesting the $[CT^{\#}]_n$

HMDPs groups region may functionally separate the rRNA genes region but be more variable than rRNA

genes region.

4. Discussion

This work built the first comprehensive genome wide microsatellite density landscape maps of the first

complete human reference genome T2T-CHM13 (Nurk et al. 2022; Rhie et al. 2023). These landscape maps

exhibited that microsatellites aggregate in many genomic positions to form a large number of microsatellite

density peaks, and these peaks array rhythmically along the every human chromosomes arranged like notes of a beautiful piece of music; therefore, the 24 microsatellite density landscapes together look like to form a symphony of human life (Fig. 1). Though transcriptional and epigenetic state of human repeat elements were comprehensive analyzed (Hoyt and Storer 2022), we mainly focus on the short tandem repeats of human genome, and our works revealed that the microsatellite density landscapes are compatible with human chromosome structure at a high extent, possibly highlight the importance of microsatellites aggregation to human genome structure, variation, evolution and so on.

The high microsatellites density peak (HMDP) aggregated predominantly by a single motif, is the most statistically significant short tandem repeats aggregation phenomenon. We identified 8823 HMDPs in T2T-CHM13, much more than the number of 3480 HMDPs in GRCh38; the HMDP number was substantially increased in T2T-CHM13, mainly corresponding to unassembled regions in GRCh38, especially the hetero-chromatin of Chr Y and the five short arms of the acrocentric Chromosomes. Almost all the HMDPs are single motif dominated, particularly, 86.1% of the 8823 HMDPs are high percentage dominated by a single motif. And there should be total 964 Main Motif Type of HMDPs ranging from mono- to hexa- main motif types, actually, we only identified 87 single motif dominated Main Motif Types (MMTs); these statistical significances are strongly implied the importance of aggregation of short tandem repeats on gene expression, regulation, epigenetics, genetic architecture and evolution of human genome. What is more, the $(AT)_n$ single motif dorminated Main Motif Types (MMTs) account for more than half of the total number of HMDPs, and the reverse complementary motif $(AATGG)_n$ and $(CCATT)_n$ dorminated two Main Motif Types (MMTs) account for approximately half of the total bins of HMDPs; suggesting that the three MMT HMDPs are worthy to be further explored for their roles in gene function and chromosome structure of human genome.

In addition, we classified 514 characterized by microsatellite density in the complete reference genome,

the Variable microsatellite density region (V-) class almost overlap the gene coding region, the Even microsatellite density region (E-） class mainly cover centromere, pericentromere and P-arm of acrocentric chromosomes, especially, the m-EAMD-R and m-EeLMD-R) well correspond to centromeres (Table S9.01); the 246 PC- regions generally locate in pericentromere, p-arm of acrocentric chromosomes and heterochromatin of Chr Y; and the reverse complementary repeat motif $(CCCTAA)_n$ and $(TTAGGG)_n$ telomere repeat regions almost dominate in p-arms and q-arms respectively. Meanwhile, similar genomic regions structural characters were observed in the five acrocentric short arms by the view of microsatellite density features, and alternative microsatellite density regions structure observed in heterochromatin Chr Y (Rhie et al. 2023). all these indicate that local regional microsatellite density variation may be related to human genome structure. Furthermore, most (microsatellite density) peaks cluster (PC-) regions correspond to different Classical human satellites (hsat); but most the even microsatellite density regions especially in centromere, where microsatellite density are limited to very low and even, correspond to different α satellite higher-order repeats (αSat hor) (Table 1-d); suggesting that although microsatellites (STRs) are highly related to satellites (long tandem repeats), the mechanism of their occurrence are likely completely different.

The 219 near identical duplicational segment units (DSUs) of approximately 45-kbp that contain segments of encoding 45S rRNAs, are enbedded in the short arms of the 5 acrocentric chromosomes (Hoyt and Storer 2022); we identified that the HMDPs also well separate every 45S rRNA gene of about 13300 bp. All the HMDPs were classified here in the intergenic and intron regions, implying that the HMDPs possibly own the basic role of separating genes and exons in the genome and may be very worthwhile to further explore. The first complete reference T2T-CHM13 provided us the opportunity to study short tandem repeat sequences in human genome comprehensively, our results of microsatellite density landscape maps revealed that short tandem repeats are tend to aggregate characteristically throughout the full genome of T2T-CHM13, it may be very helpful to deepen the exploring of the mysterious roles of tandem repeats to human genome's

structure, evolution, regulation, variation and also human diseases.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data Availability

The microsatellite density landscap maps of 24 chromosomes of T2T-CHM13v2.0 can be accessed in

(http://genome.ucsc.edu/s/zhongyangtan/CHM13v2.0).

The microsatellite density landscape maps of 24 chromosomes of GRCh38.p14 can be accessed in

(http://genome.ucsc.edu/s/zhongyangtan/GRCh38.p14).

All of the Supplementary Figures and Tables can be accessed in

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1VCetOopxhYf7L6ErvvOYfh-5IjpF5WvL/view?usp=sharing

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by funding from the "National Key Research and Development Program of China"

(grant No. 19-163-12-ZT-005-004-04, Recipient: Zhongyang Tan). We thank Yongliang Wu (The Hong

Kong Polytechnic University), Jingchen Xie, Junyu Zeng and Wenjing Zhou (Hunan University), Yasha He

(South Central University), Puxi Tan (Xi'an Jiaotong University) for helping in data analysis.

Author Contributions

Zhongyang Tan designed and directed this study. Zhongyang Tan, Kazusato Ohshima, Yun Xia, Douyue Li,

Tingyi chen, Saichao Pan Hanrou Huang, Wenxing Zhang and Yuling Liang prepared the manuscript.

Tingyi Chen, Douyue Li, Saichao Pan, Hanrou Huang, Yongzhuo Fu, Wenxing Zhang and Zhongyang Tan

developed the statistical method and programs. Yun Xia, Douyue Li, Tingyi chen, Saichao Pan Hanrou

Huang, Wenxing Zhang, Yuling Liang, Zhuli Peng, Hongxi, Zhang, Liang Zhang, San Peng Ruixue Shi,

Xinxin He, Siqian Zhou, Weili Jiao, Xiangyan Zhao, Xiaolong Wu, lan Zhou, Jingyu Zhou, Qingjian

Ouyang, You Tian, Xiaoping Jiang, Yi Zhou, Shiying Tang, Junxiong, Shen and Zhongyang Tan performed

the data analysis, Kazusato Ohshima and Zhongyang Tan edited this manuscript.

References

Aganezov S, Yan SM, Soto DC, Kirsche M, Zarate S, Avdeyev P, Taylor DJ, Shafin K, Shumate A, Xiao C et al. 2022. A complete reference genome improves analysis of human genetic variation. *Science* **376**: eabl3533. doi:10.1126/science.abl3533

Altemose N, Logsdon GA, Bzikadze AV, Sidhwani P, Langley SA, Caldas GV, Hoyt SJ, Uralsky L, Ryabov FD, Shew CJ et al. 2022. Complete genomic and epigenetic maps of human centromeres. *Science* **376**: eabl4178. doi:10.1126/science.abl4178

Chen M, Tan Z, Zeng G, Peng J. 2010. Comprehensive analysis of simple sequence repeats in pre-miRNAs. *Molecular biology and evolution* **27**: 2227-2232. doi:10.1093/molbev/msq100

de Freitas KEJ, Busanello C, Viana VE, Pegoraro C, de Carvalho Victoria F, da Maia LC, Costa de Oliveira A. 2022. An empirical analysis of mtSSRs: could microsatellite distribution patterns explain the evolution of mitogenomes in plants? *Funct Integr Genomics* **22**: 35-53. doi:10.1007/s10142-021-00815-7

Ellegren H. 2004. Microsatellites: simple sequences with complex evolution. *Nature reviews Genetics* **5**: 435-445. doi:10.1038/nrg1348

Fondon JW, 3rd, Hammock EA, Hannan AJ, King DG. 2008. Simple sequence repeats: genetic modulators of brain function and behavior. *Trends in neurosciences* **31**: 328-334. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2008.03.006

Gershman A, Sauria MEG, Guitart X, Vollger MR, Hook PW, Hoyt SJ, Jain M, Shumate A, Razaghi R, Koren S et al. 2022. Epigenetic patterns in a complete human genome. *Science* **376**: eabj5089. doi:10.1126/science.abj5089

Gymrek M, Willems T, Guilmatre A, Zeng H, Markus B, Georgiev S, Daly MJ, Price AL, Pritchard JK, Sharp AJ et al. 2016. Abundant contribution of short tandem repeats to gene expression variation in humans. *Nature genetics* **48**: 22-29. doi:10.1038/ng.3461

Gymrek M, Willems T, Reich D, Erlich Y. 2017. Interpreting short tandem repeat variations in humans using mutational constraint. *Nature genetics* **49**: 1495-1501. doi:10.1038/ng.3952

Hannan AJ. 2010. Tandem repeat polymorphisms: modulators of disease susceptibility and candidates for 'missing heritability'. *Trends in genetics : TIG* **26**: 59-65. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2009.11.008

Hannan AJ. 2018. Tandem repeats mediating genetic plasticity in health and disease. *Nature reviews Genetics* **19**: 286-298. doi:10.1038/nrg.2017.115

Hannan AJ. 2021. Repeat DNA expands our understanding of autism spectrum disorder. *Nature* **589**: 200-202. doi:10.1038/d41586-020-03658-7

Hartl DL. 2000. Molecular melodies in high and low C. *Nature reviews Genetics* **1**: 145-149. doi:10.1038/35038580

Hause RJ, Pritchard CC, Shendure J, Salipante SJ. 2016. Classification and characterization of microsatellite instability across 18 cancer types. *Nature medicine* **22**: 1342-1350. doi:10.1038/nm.4191

Hoyt SJ, Storer JM. 2022. From telomere to telomere: The transcriptional and epigenetic state of human repeat elements. *Science* **376**: eabk3112. doi:10.1126/science.abk3112

Kim TM, Laird PW, Park PJ. 2013. The landscape of microsatellite instability in colorectal and endometrial cancer genomes. *Cell* **155**: 858-868. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.015

Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg SL. 2004. Versatile and open software for

comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol* **5**: R12. doi:10.1186/gb-2004-5-2-r12

Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, McWilliam H, Valentin F, Wallace IM, Wilm A, Lopez R et al.
2007. Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics* **23**: 2947-2948. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm404

Lei Y, Zhou Y, Price M, Song Z. 2021. Genome-wide characterization of microsatellite DNA in fishes: survey and analysis of their
abundance and frequency in genome-specific regions. *BMC genomics* **22**: 421. doi:10.1186/s12864-021-07752-6

Levinson G, Gutman GA. 1987. High frequencies of short frameshifts in poly-CA/TG tandem repeats borne by bacteriophage
M13 in Escherichia coli K-12. *Nucleic Acids Res* **15**: 5323-5338. doi:10.1093/nar/15.13.5323

Li D, Pan S, Zhang H, Fu Y, Peng Z, Zhang L, Peng S, Xu F, Huang H, Shi R et al. 2021. A comprehensive microsatellite
landscape of human Y-DNA at kilobase resolution. *BMC genomics* **22**: 76. doi:10.1186/s12864-021-07389-5

Mallick S, Li H, Lipson M, Mathieson I, Gymrek M, Racimo F, Zhao M, Chennagiri N, Nordenfelt S, Tandon A et al. 2016. The
Simons Genome Diversity Project: 300 genomes from 142 diverse populations. *Nature* **538**: 201-206.
doi:10.1038/nature18964

Mudunuri SB, Nagarajaram HA. 2007. IMEx: Imperfect Microsatellite Extractor. *Bioinformatics* **23**: 1181-1187.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm097

Nasrallah MP, Cho G, Simonet JC, Putt ME, Kitamura K, Golden JA. 2012. Differential effects of a polyalanine tract expansion in
Arx on neural development and gene expression. *Human molecular genetics* **21**: 1090-1098. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddr538

Nurk S, Koren S, Rhie A, Rautiainen M, Bzikadze AV, Mikheenko A, Vollger MR, Altemose N, Uralsky L, Gershman A et al.
2022. The complete sequence of a human genome. *Science* **376**: 44-53. doi:10.1126/science.abj6987

Priestley P, Baber J, Lolkema MP, Steeghs N, de Bruijn E, Shale C, Duyvesteyn K, Haidari S, van Hoeck A, Onstenk W et al.
2019. Pan-cancer whole-genome analyses of metastatic solid tumours. *Nature* **575**: 210-216.
doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1689-y

Qi WH, Yan CC, Li WJ, Jiang XM, Li GZ, Zhang XY, Hu TZ, Li J, Yue BS. 2016. Distinct patterns of simple sequence repeats
and GC distribution in intragenic and intergenic regions of primate genomes. *Aging* **8**: 2635-2654.
doi:10.18632/aging.101025

Quilez J, Guilmatre A, Garg P, Highnam G, Gymrek M, Erlich Y, Joshi RS, Mittelman D, Sharp AJ. 2016. Polymorphic tandem
repeats within gene promoters act as modifiers of gene expression and DNA methylation in humans. *Nucleic Acids Res*
44: 3750-3762. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw219

Rhie A, Nurk S, Cechova M, Hoyt SJ, Taylor DJ, Altemose N, Hook PW, Koren S, Rautiainen M, Alexandrov IA et al. 2023. The
complete sequence of a human Y chromosome. *Nature* **621**: 344-354 doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06457-y

Sahu BP, Majee P, Singh RR, Sahoo N, Nayak D. 2022. Genome-wide identification and characterization of microsatellite
markers within the Avipoxviruses. *3 Biotech* **12**: 113. doi:10.1007/s13205-022-03169-4

Schlötterer C, Tautz D. 1992. Slippage synthesis of simple sequence DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* **20**: 211-215.
doi:10.1093/nar/20.2.211

Subramanian S, Mishra RK, Singh L. 2003. Genome-wide analysis of microsatellite repeats in humans: their abundance and
density in specific genomic regions. *Genome Biol* **4**: R13. doi:10.1186/gb-2003-4-2-r13

van Wietmarschen N, Sridharan S, Nathan WJ, Tubbs A, Chan EM, Callen E, Wu W, Belinky F, Tripathi V, Wong N et al. 2020.
Repeat expansions confer WRN dependence in microsatellite-unstable cancers. *Nature* **586**: 292-298.
doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2769-8

Verstrepen KJ, Jansen A, Lewitter F, Fink GR. 2005. Intragenic tandem repeats generate functional variability. *Nature genetics* **37**:
986-990. doi:10.1038/ng1618

Vollger MR, Guitart X, Dishuck PC, Mercuri L, Harvey WT, Gershman A, Diekhans M, Sulovari A, Munson KM, Lewis AP et al.
2022. Segmental duplications and their variation in a complete human genome. *Science* **376**: eabj6965.
doi:10.1126/science.abj6965

Willems T, Gymrek M, Highnam G, Genomes Project C, Mittelman D, Erlich Y. 2014. The landscape of human STR variation.
Genome research **24**: 1894-1904. doi:10.1101/gr.177774.114

Willems T, Zielinski D, Yuan J, Gordon A, Gymrek M, Erlich Y. 2017. Genome-wide profiling of heritable and de novo STR
variations. *Nature methods* **14**: 590-592. doi:10.1038/nmeth.4267

Zhang H, Li D, Zhao X, Pan S, Wu X, Peng S, Huang H, Shi R, Tan Z. 2020. Relatively semi-conservative replication and a
folded slippage model for short tandem repeats. *BMC genomics* **21**: 563. doi:10.1186/s12864-020-06949-5
Zhao X, Tian Y, Yang R, Feng H, Ouyang Q, Tian Y, Tan Z, Li M, Niu Y, Jiang J et al. 2012. Coevolution between simple
sequence repeats (SSRs) and virus genome size. *BMC genomics* **13**: 435. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-13-435

Figure legends

 Figure 1. Landscape map of STR Density of 24 chromosomes of T2T-CHM13.
 Landscape of each chromosome, first track displayed landscape of STR Density, second track displayed HMDP (High
 Microsatellite Density Peak), third track displayed MMDP (Middle Microsatellite Density Peak), last track displayed LMDP
 (Low Microsatellite Density Peak).

 Figure 2. Summary of High Microsatellite Density Peaks (HMDPs) in T2T-CHM13. A. An $[AT]_n^h$ HMDP in the
 T2T-CHM13.   **B.** (a) Ratio of HMDP corresponding to intron and intergenic regions. (b) Ratio of hMMT, mMMT and
 lMMT HMDPs and other HMDPs. **C.** Statistic of HMDP number and bin, (a) The percentage of peak number of mono-, di-,
 tri-, tetra-, penta- and hexa- hMMT HMDPs in all hMMT HMDPs; (b, c) The number and total bin number of hMMT
 HMDP in each Peak sequence size (bins) group. **D.** $AT]_n^h$ HMDP distribution, (a) The 3 $[AT]_n^h$ HMDP distribution models;
 (b) The number of $[AT]_n^h$ Sparse distribution (S-distribution) and Dense distribution (D-distribution) HMDPs; (c) The
 percentage of $[AT]_n^h$ HMDPs, MMDPs and LMDPs to all HMDPs, MMDPs and LMDPs. **E.** $[AATGG]_n^h$ and $[CCATT]_n^h$
 HMDP distribution, (a) The 4 distributional models of $[CCATT]_n^h$ and $[AATGG]_n^h$ HMDPs; (b) A big cluster of $[AATGG]_n^h$
 and $[CCATT]_n^h$ motif type HMDPs at the p-arm of Chr9 . **F.** Comparison of landscapes T2T-CHM13.v2.0 and GRCh38.p14,
 (a) Comparison in Chr 1; (b) identity of a pair low similar HMDPs. (c) identity of a pair high similar HMDPs.; (d)
 corresponding HMDPs of the T2T-CHM13 corresponding to MDPs of GRCh38.p14.

 Figure 3. Summary of genomic regions charcterized by microsatellite density in T2T-CHM13. A. Chromosome 1 of
 the T2T-CHM13 characterized into 14 genomic regions. **B.** Broad high variable microsatellite density region (b-HVMD-R),
 (a) example of a b-HVMD-R (chromosome 11, inluding RefSeq mRNAs and CAT / Liftoff Genes Track). (b) HMDPs
 corresponding to gene intron and intergenic region; (c) the number of HMDPs in b-HVMD-Rs and other regions. **C.** Feature
 maps of peaks cluster (PC) regions (a: di-, b: tri-, c: tetra- and d: penta-subclass). **D.** HML(AT)n-cRs and
 ML(AATGG)n-cRs alternatively connecting in the Chr Y heterochromatin. **E.** Similar local microsatellites density features
 in the short arms of acrocentric chromosomes (HML(CT$^\#$)n-cRin in center). **F.** Microsatellite density feature of centromeres.
 The ordinate shown average pD_1RD value, the large font number：the average value of pD_1RD of each centromere (colors
 showing different value ranges), 58.75: the average pD_1RD of full genome. **G.** The (CT#)n HDMPs groups separate rRNA
 genes in the five HML(CT$^\#$)n-cR, (a) Partial enlarged view of HML(CT$^\#$)n-cR in Chr15; (b) Sequence size of Duplication
 segmental Units (DSUs), rRNA-Groups (rRNA-Gs) and HMDP-Groups (HMDP-Gs) in HML(CT$^\#$)n-cRs; (c) Comparison
 of identity of DSUs, rRNA-Gs and HMDP-Gs.

Table 1-a. The assembly information and microsatellite statistics of T2T-CHM13v2.0 and GRCh38.p14.

Genome	Assembled size (bp)	Sequenced size (bp)	Gap number	Gap size (bp)	Microsatellite number	Microsatellite size (bp)	Microsatellite Relative Density (bp/Kbp)
CHM13	**3,117,275,501**	**3,117,275,501**	**0**	**0**	**22,198,470**	**183,133,984**	**58.75**
GRCh38	3,088,269,832	2,937,639,396	783	150,630,436	19,672,293	151,222,113	51.48

Table 1-b. The list of microsatellites density peaks in T2T-CHM13v2.0 and GRCh38.p14.

Chr No.	HMDPs CHM13	HMDPs GRCh38	MMDPs CHM13	MMDPs GRCh38	LMDPs CHM13	LMDPs GRCh38	Chr No.	HMDPs CHM13	HMDPs GRCh38	MMDPs CHM13	MMDPs GRCh38	LMDPs CHM13	LMDPs GRCh38
01	**305**	199	**2,703**	1,968	**16,891**	14,086	13	**587**	100	**1,682**	825	**7,098**	5,976
02	**357**	294	**2,015**	1,972	**14,802**	14,395	14	**342**	83	**1,205**	728	**6,005**	5,405
03	**231**	180	**1,475**	1,404	**11,798**	11,624	15	**507**	67	**2,638**	637	**7,772**	4,968
04	**234**	230	**1,955**	1,579	**11,889**	11,421	16	**130**	105	**1,000**	989	**7,688**	5,509
05	**258**	198	**1,455**	1,372	**10,580**	10,572	17	**135**	89	**880**	786	**5,771**	5,681
06	**200**	158	**1,379**	1,365	**10,172**	10,128	18	**125**	91	**643**	645	**4,429**	4,420
07	**249**	197	**1,581**	1,506	**10,002**	9,978	19	**127**	105	**1,046**	956	**5,102**	4,869
08	**188**	156	**1,291**	1,313	**8,481**	8,352	20	**184**	75	**806**	650	**3,691**	3,753
09	**237**	121	**1,058**	997	**7,239**	7,199	21	**449**	87	**1,088**	505	**3,009**	2,392
10	**208**	167	**1,328**	1,203	**8,226**	8,143	22	**378**	99	**1,039**	462	**3,074**	2,403
11	**191**	143	**1,125**	1,060	**7,581**	7,706	X	**313**	276	**1,673**	1,648	**9,465**	9,470
12	**191**	155	**1,215**	1,203	**8,470**	8,433	Y	**2,697**	105	**3,977**	537	**10,414**	1,965
							Total	**8,823**	3,480	**36,257**	26,310	**199,649**	178,848

Table 1-c. The motif types of HMDP in T2T-CHM13v2.0.

Main Motif Type (MMT) class

di-MMT subclass

MMT [a]	sub-MMT [b]	
$(AT)_n$ 5063	$[AT]_n^h$	4,828
	$[AT]_n^m$	188
	$[AT]_n^l$	47
$(CT)_n$ 905	$[CT]_n^h$	748
	$[CT]_n^m$	95
	$[CT]_n^l$	62
$(AG)_n$ 101	$[AG]_n^h$	77
	$[AG]_n^m$	15
	$[AG]_n^l$	9
$(GT)_n$ 443	$[GT]_n^h$	414
	$[GT]_n^m$	15
	$[GT]_n^l$	14
$(AC)_n$ 431	$[AC]_n^h$	394
	$[AC]_n^m$	25
	$[AC]_n^l$	12
$(CG)_n$ 1	$[CG]_n^h$	1
	$[CG]_n^m$	0
	$[CG]_n^l$	0
6 [d]		6,944 [e]

tri-MMT subclass

MMT	num [c]
$(GGT)_n$	64
$(ACC)_n$	56
$(AGG)_n$	39
$(CCT)_n$	37
$(CTT)_n$	25
$(AAG)_n$	23
$(ATC)_n$	12
$(GAT)_n$	12
$(CCG)_n$	3
$(CGG)_n$	2
$(ACT)_n$	3
$(AGT)_n$	1
$(AGC)_n$	2
$(ATT)_n$	1
$(GTT)_n$	1
15	281

tetra-MMT subclass

MMT	num	MMT	num
$(CTTT)_n$	215	$(GGCT)_n$	6
$(AAAG)_n$	130	$(AGCC)_n$	3
$(ATCC)_n$	69	$(ACTC)_n$	3
$(GGAT)_n$	59	$(GAGT)_n$	3
$(AAGG)_n$	54	$(ACCC)_n$	2
$(CCTT)_n$	35	$(GGGT)_n$	1
$(AGGG)_n$	28	$(ACCT)_n$	1
$(CCCT)_n$	27	$(AGGT)_n$	1
$(AGAT)_n$	16	$(AATG)_n$	3
$(ATCT)_n$	10	$(ATGT)_n$	3
		$(CTGT)_n$	1
		21	670

penta-MMT subclass

MMT	sub-MMT		MMT	num
$(AATGG)_n$ 386	$[AATGG]_n^h$	331	$(AAAAT)_n$	4
	$[AATGG]_n^m$	50	$(ATTTT)_n$	2
	$[AATGG]_n^l$	5	$(ATATT)_n$	2
$(CCATT)_n$ 311	$[CCATT]_n^h$	252	$(AATAT)_n$	1
	$[CCATT]_n^m$	56	$(CCTCT)_n$	2
	$[CCATT]_n^l$	3	$(AGAGG)_n$	1
			$(AAAAG)_n$	1
	MMT	num	$(CTTTT)_n$	1
	$(ACTCC)_n$	10	$(AGCCC)_n$	2
	$(GGAGT)_n$	8	$(ATCCT)_n$	2
	$(AAAAG)_n$	8	$(GGGAT)_n$	1
	$(CCTTT)_n$	5	$(ACACC)_n$	1
	$(AGGGG)_n$	8	$(ATAGT)_n$	1
	$(CCCCT)_n$	4	$(GCCCT)_n$	1
	$(AAGGG)_n$	5	$(CTGGT)_n$	1
	$(CCCTT)_n$	3	$(GGTTT)_n$	1
			26	773

hexa-MMT subclass

MMT	num
$(CCCTAA)_n$	26
$(TTAGGG)_n$	25
$(CCCTCT)_n$	8
$(AGAGGG)_n$	4
$(ACCATC)_n$	1
$(GATGGT)_n$	1
$(AGATAT)_n$	1
$(GAGGGT)_n$	1
$(ATAGGT)_n$	1
$(GGAGGT)_n$	1
$(CCATCT)_n$	1
$(ATCTCT)_n$	1
$(GGATGT)_n$	1
$(CCCCCT)_n$	1
14	73

mono-MMT subclass

MMT	num
$(G)_n$	8
$(C)_n$	6
$(T)_n$	1
3	15

AG-MMT subclass

MMT	num
$(AG_{nt})_n$	33
1	33

TC-MMT subclass

MMT	num
$(TC_{nt})_n$	30
1	30

MMTs/HMDPs in MMT class: 87/8819 (mono-: 3/15, di-: 6/6944, tri-: 15/281, tetra-: 21/670, penta-: 26/773, hexa-: 14/73, AG-: 1/33, TC-: 1/30)

Mix Motif Type (Mix) class

Motif type	num
Mix	4

HMDPs in Mix class: 4

Total HMDPs: 8823 (HMDPs in MMT class: 8819 (h-: 7600, m-: 749, l-: 407, AG-: 33, TC-: 30); HMDPs in Mix class: 4)

a-c: Main Motif Type (MMT), sub-Main Motif Type (sub-MMT), and HMDP number (num); d: MMT number in each subclass, e: HMDP number in each subclass. The brace represents the sub-MMT corresponding to MMT, Reverse complementary MMT in dotted box.

Table 1-d. Genomic regions characterized by microsatellite density in T2T-CHM13v2.0.

Region class	Region subclass	Region type	Region num	Corresponding annotation	Located chr	Region class	Region subclass	Region type	Region num	Corresponding annotation	Located chr
V- [a] (154)	HVMD-R (78)	b-HVMD-R	42	Broad GCR; (ct, censat, etc) [e]	all chr		tetra- (5)	ML(AAGG)$_n$-cR	1	censat, ct	22
		m-HVMD-R	7	Medium GCR; (censat, ct, etc)	13,14,16,20,21,Y			L(AAGG)$_n$-cR	1	censat	3
		s-HVMD-R	29	Short GCR; (ct, censat, etc)	2,9,12-15,21,22,Y			HML(AGCC)$_n$-cR	2	censat	2
	MVMD-R	s-MVMD-R	37	Sparse GCR; (ct, censat, etc)	1-4,7,10,13-15,17,21,22,Y			HML(GGCT)$_n$-cR	1	censat	2
	LVMD-R (39)	m-LVMD-R	1	bsat	3	PC- [c] (246)	penta- (158)	ML(AATGG)$_n$-cR	44	hsat3	14,15,17,Y
		s-LVMD-R	38	Sparse GCR; (ct, censat, etc)	1,3,5-7,10,12-15,17,19,21,22			HML(AATGG)$_n$-cR	39	hsat3, αSat (mon), hsat2, ct	1-3,7,10,13-15,17,20-22,Y
E- [b] (67)	EAMD-R (31)	m-EAMD-R	9	αSat (hor, dhor, mon), bsat, ct	1,5-7,10,12,13,16,19			HM(AATGG)$_n$-cR	10	hsat3, ct	1,9,10,14,15,21,22
		s-EAMD-R	22	αSat (mon, hor, dhor), ct	3,6,7,14,15,19,21,22,Y			H(AATGG)$_n$-R	4	hsat3, censat; (p-TRR [f])	13,14,21,22
	EeLMD-R (36)	m-EeLMD-R	12	αSat (hor, dhor, mon), ct	2,4,8,9,11,14,15,17,18,20,22,X			HL(AATGG)$_n$-R	1	hsat3	7
		s-EeLMD-R	24	bsat, αSat (hor, mon), censat, ct	3-5,9,10,13-15,21,22,Y			HML(CCATT)$_n$-cR	44	hsat3, αSat (mon, dhor), etc	1,2,4,7,9,10,13-15,21,22,Y
PC- [c] (246)	di- (70)	HML(CT#)$_n$-cR	5	rRNA GCR; (ct, censat)	13,14,15,21,22			HM(CCATT)$_n$-cR	10	hsat3	2,5,7,13,14,15,17,22,Y
		HML(AT)$_n$-cR	53	hsat1B, hsat1A, hsat3, ct, bsat	13,14,15,21,22,Y			ML(CCATT)$_n$-cR	4	hsat3	15,22,Y
		M(AT)$_n$-cR	3	hsat1A	3,14,15			M(ACTCC)$_n$-cR	1	hsat3	15
		ML(AT)$_n$-cR	9	hsat1A, bsat	3,4,13,14,15,21,22			M(GGAGT)$_n$-cR	1	hsat3	14
	tri- (13)	ML(ATC)$_n$-cR	2	hsat2, ct	1,2	T- [d] (47)	hexa- (47)	H(CCCTAA)$_n$-R	16	p-TRR; (censat)	1-3,6,7,9-12,16,18-21,X,Y
		L(ATC)$_n$-cR	5	hsat2	2,7,10			HM(CCCTAA)$_n$-R	7	p-TRR; (censat)	4,5,8,14,15,17,22
		ML(GAT)$_n$-cR	4	hsat2	1,2,17			H(TTAGGG)$_n$-R	18	q-TRR [g]; (censat)	1-7,11-14,17-19,21,22,X,Y
		L(GAT)$_n$-cR	2	hsat2	7,16			HM(TTAGGG)$_n$-R	6	q-TRR	8,9,10,15,16,20
								Total regions	514		

*a: Variable microsatellite density (V-) region class; *b: Even microsatellite density (E-) region class; *c: Peaks Cluster (PC-) region class; *d: Telomere repeat (T-) region class.
*e: Gene Coding Region (GCR), Outside the parentheses were dominant annotations, inside the parentheses were secondary annotations; *f: Telomere Repeat Region in chromosome p-arm (p-TRR); *g: Telomere Repeat Region in chromosome q-arm (q-TRR). Reverse complementary motif region type in dotted box.

Motif Type of Microsatellite Density Peak : ▮ mono ▮ di ▮ tri ▮ tetra ▮ penta ▮ hexa ▮ [AG_nt]_n ▮ [TC_nt]_n ▮ [MOTIF_mix]_n