Title:

Biofilms preserve transmissibility of a multi-drug resistance plasmid

Authors:

Genevieve A. Metzger[1,2,3], Benjamin J. Ridenhour[2,3,4], Michael France[2,3], Karol Gliniewicz[1,2], Jack

Millstein[1,2], Matthew L. Settles[2], Larry J. Forney[1,2,3], Thibault Stalder[1,2], Eva M. Top[1,2,3] *

Authors Affiliations:

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA

[2] Institute for Interdisciplinary Data Sciences, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA

[3] Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Graduate Program (BCB), Moscow, Idaho, USA

[4] Department of Mathematics and Statistical Science, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho, USA

<u>* Corresponding Author</u>:

Department of Biological Sciences

Life Sciences South 258

University of Idaho

Moscow, ID 83844-3051

Phone: +01 (208) 885-5015

email: evatop@uidaho.edu

Keywords: in *Acinetobacter baumannii*, antibiotic resistance, horizontal gene transfer, conjugation,

plasmid

ABSTRACT:

Self-transmissible multidrug resistance (MDR) plasmids are a major health concern because they

can spread antibiotic resistance to pathogens. Even though most pathogens form biofilms, little is

known about how MDR plasmids persist and evolve in biofilms. We hypothesize that (i) biofilms

act as refugia of MDR plasmids by retaining them in the absence of antibiotics longer than well-

mixed planktonic populations, and that (ii) the evolutionary trajectories that account for the

improvement of plasmid persistence over time differ between biofilms and planktonic populations.

In this study, we evolved *Acinetobacter baumannii* with an MDR plasmid in biofilm and planktonic

populations with and without antibiotic selection. In the absence of selection biofilm populations

were better able to maintain the MDR plasmid than planktonic populations. In planktonic

populations plasmid persistence improved rapidly but was accompanied by a loss of genes required

for the horizonal transfer of plasmids. In contrast, in biofilms most plasmids retained their transfer

genes, but on average plasmid persistence improved less over time. Our results showed that

biofilms can act as refugia of MDR plasmids and favor the horizontal mode of plasmid transfer,

which has important implications for the spread of MDR.

INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic resistance is widely recognized as one of the most serious problems facing healthcare today. Resistance to antibiotics, including those of last resort, often results from the acquisition of resistance genes by horizontal gene transfer (HGT) [1,2]. When present as part of mobile genetic elements such as plasmids, resistance genes can rapidly spread to various species or strains of bacteria [3,4]. This makes multidrug resistance (MDR) plasmids, particularly those with a broad host range, a major problem in fighting the spread of antibiotic resistance.

Understanding how these plasmids evolve in the presence and absence of antibiotics is critically important. In the presence of antibiotics, MDR plasmids are maintained in bacterial populations via positive selection [5], but when antibiotics are removed the fitness cost of plasmid carriage [6–8] is no longer offset by the benefit of antibiotic resistance [9]. Therefore, plasmids are expected to be lost from bacterial populations via purifying selection unless (i) partitioning and post-segregational mechanisms limit the formation of plasmid-free cells, (ii) reacquisition of plasmids counteracts plasmid loss and cost, (iii) co-residing plasmids and other bacteria in the community can prevent plasmid loss [10,11], or (iv) the cost of plasmid carriage is reduced, eliminated or reversed by evolution [12]. The rate at which plasmids are lost from a population probably depends on a combination of these factors and is inversely proportional to 'plasmid persistence' – the ability of a plasmid to maintain itself in the absence of known positive selection for the plasmid. Previous studies from our and other research groups have repeatedly shown that plasmid persistence can improve during growth in the presence or absence of antibiotics (for example, [13–24]). However, almost all these studies used planktonic populations. Much less is known about how plasmid persistence evolves in spatially structured populations such as biofilms, even though they represent the most common form of bacterial growth and are the causes of many recalcitrant infections [25–28].

Due to spatial structure, bacteria that grow in biofilms only compete locally with their neighbors, which protracts selective sweeps and leads to increased genotypic and phenotypic variation within a population [29–39]. Additionally, the gradients of nutrients and electron acceptors cause habitat heterogeneity that results in local adaptation of subpopulations. These biofilm features allow bacteria to access more fitness peaks in rugged adaptive landscapes. This can result in evolutionary outcomes that may not be observed in planktonic populations that routinely experience strong selective sweeps [40,41]. Previously we showed that under antibiotic selection, evolution in biofilms results in a higher diversity of plasmid persistence phenotypes as compared to planktonic

populations [42]. In a separate study we have found that biofilms of plasmid-bearing cells maintain a

greater diversity of mutations than planktonic populations. Among these diverse biofilm genotypes

were clones that better retained their plasmid than any clone evolved in planktonic populations [43].

These seminal studies showed how biofilm growth can affect the evolution of plasmids persistence.

Because many bacterial pathogens that can acquire resistance genes via plasmid transfer [44,45] form

biofilms, we need to study the evolution of their resistance plasmids under these growth conditions.

The biofilm-forming bacterium *Acinetobacter baumannii* is an emerging threat in the United

States and worldwide because it causes wound infections, ventilator-associated pneumonia, and

sepsis [46,47]. Further, it is known to rapidly acquire new forms of antibiotic resistance by HGT [48–50]

and pan-drug resistant strains have been documented [51]. Despite the medical importance of *A.*

baumannii and the role of HGT in its acquisition of antibiotics resistance, little information is

available on how this organism and recently acquired MDR plasmids co-evolve.

In our previous study we found that the persistence of a broad-host range MDR plasmids

pB10 in *A. baumannii* increased over time in both biofilm and planktonic populations treated with

antibiotics, but this phenotype was more diverse after evolution in biofilms [42]. Building on this

previous study we sought to determine the effect of biofilm growth (i) on plasmid persistence in the

absence of antibiotics, and (ii) on the evolutionary trajectories of this plasmid in the presence and

absence of antibiotics. Our findings can be summarized as follows. First, in the absence of selection,

biofilm populations maintained the MDR plasmid longer than planktonic populations. Second,

biofilms hampered the evolution of plasmid persistence in the absence of antibiotic selection. Third,

large deletions of the plasmid conjugative transfer regions were a common evolutionary outcome in

planktonic populations but less so in biofilms, indicating that growth in biofilms conserved the

plasmid's ability to transfer horizontally. Finally, plasmid adaptation to one bacterial species can

either promote or decrease its persistence in other bacteria, including other opportunistic pathogens.

RESULTS

Experimental set-up of biofilm and planktonic populations.

96   To investigate the effects of biofilm growth and the presence of a plasmid-selective

antibiotic on the persistence and evolution of MDR plasmid pB10 in *A. baumannii,* we set up an

experiment with a full factorial design with two growth environments and two treatments. As shown

in Fig. 1, the growth environment was either a biofilm flow cell or serial batch culture; the

treatments were the presence (Tet+) or absence (Tet-) of tetracycline in the growth medium. In

order to initiate all four environment/treatment combinations with equivalent populations, replicate

biofilm populations were first established in flow cells for a period of four days ($t_{-4} - t_0$). To avoid

plasmid loss during this initial phase, the medium was supplemented with Tet for four days,

regardless of the subsequent treatment (Tet+ or Tet-). After this biofilm establishment phase at t_0,

triplicate flow cells were harvested and used to inoculate triplicate serial batch cultures per

treatment. From here on we refer to these serial batch cultures as planktonic populations. The

remainder of the growth and sampling scheme is depicted in Fig. 1 (see Materials and Methods for

more details).

Biofilms retain the plasmid in the absence of selection.

110   To assess the ability of bacterial biofilms to retain an MDR plasmid in the absence of

antibiotics, we compared the proportion of plasmid-containing cells present in biofilm and

planktonic populations at t_0 and after 14 days of growth in Tet-free media (t_{14}) (Table 1). This was

done by plating samples of these populations on selective and non-selective media. The plasmid

was lost more slowly from biofilms than from planktonic populations. After 14 days 12.3 ($\pm$ 3.5)%

of the viable cells from the biofilms still carried the plasmid. In contrast, plasmid-bearing cells

could no longer be detected in two of the three planktonic populations (i.e., their frequency in these

populations was $<10^{-8}$), and were present in only 0.016% of the third. This observation

demonstrates the importance of biofilms in the persistence of plasmid-mediated antibiotic

resistance.

Biofilms protracted the evolution of plasmid persistence in the absence of selection.

121   We previously showed that after 28 days of evolution in the presence of Tet, bacteria

isolated from biofilms showed a higher diversity in plasmid persistence dynamics than their

counterparts evolved in planktonic populations, and on average, a smaller increase in plasmid

persistence [42]. In the current study we compared the evolution of plasmid persistence in clones

evolved over 14 days in the presence and absence of Tet (Tet+ and Tet- populations). Because the

plasmid was rapidly lost in Tet- planktonic populations, we ended the entire Tet- experiment on day

14. In one of these three Tet- populations, plasmid-containing clones could no longer be detected

after day 6, and in another after day 10. Therefore the plasmid-containing clones from Tet-

planktonic populations were isolated from samples archived on t_6, t_{10}, and t_{14}, whereas all the clones

from Tet- biofilm populations were isolated at t_{14}. For each of the triplicate growth

environment/treatment combinations plasmid persistence was tested for six clones. First, we

verified that clones isolated at t_0 showed the same plasmid persistence profile as the ancestor (Fig.

2A). Based on linear regression there was no significant change in the rate of plasmid loss, meaning

the plasmid persistence in the populations used to start the experiment did not change during the 4-

day biofilm establishment phase (for t_0, Tet+ $p = 0.65$; for t_0, Tet- $p = 0.55$). Therefore, the t_0 clones

were used for the comparisons of plasmid persistence described below.

After 6–14 days of evolution in the absence of antibiotics, plasmid pB10 showed improved

persistence in *A. baumannii* clones from planktonic populations but not in clones from biofilms

(Fig. 2B; $p = 0.0324$ and $p = 0.2107$, respectively). These results are in contrast to the clones

evolved in the same conditions but in the presence of Tet, where plasmid persistence improved in

clones isolated from both biofilm and planktonic populations after 14 days (Fig. 2C; $p = 0.0053$ and

$p < 0.0001$, respectively). The latter result is consistent with our previously published findings after

28 days of biofilm and planktonic growth [42]. Thus on average, plasmid persistence always improved

in planktonic populations regardless of antibiotic treatment, but only improved in biofilms grown

with Tet.

Plasmid transfer genes were mostly retained in biofilms but completely lost in planktonic

populations.

To determine the genotypic changes that occurred during the experiment, we sequenced all

18 clones per environment/treatment at each time point (6 per triplicate population, Fig. 1), for a

total of 144 strains. Here we focus on genetic changes in the plasmid pB10. We identified three

types of mutations, as shown by a visual summary in Fig. 3 and a list in Table S1. By far the most

common genetic changes were large deletions in the plasmid regions encoding conjugative transfer

(*tra*), mating pair formation (*trb*), and an intervening class 1 integron containing sulfonamide and

amoxicillin resistance genes. These deletions also often included a few genes thought to be involved

in plasmid maintenance and central control, adjacent to the *tra* region. We also identified nine

deletions smaller than 1,000 bp located in maintenance/control genes *kfrA*, *klcB*, or one of the *trb*

genes. Finally, there were four single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs): two in *kfrA*, one in a *trb*

gene, and one in an intergenic region near the *tetR* gene. In summary, large deletions that remove

virtually all the genes required for horizontal transfer were by far the most common genetic

changes.

The size of the large deleted plasmid regions varied drastically between clones, ranging

from 21,755 bp to 34,594 bp. The number of genes deleted per plasmids ranged from 23 to 35, but a

common set of 19 genes (from *trbF* through *traC*) were deleted or truncated in every deletion

variant (Fig. 3, Table S1). As plasmid pB10 has 65 complete coding sequences (CDS) in addition to

a few truncated transposases [52], all large deletions represented more than a third of the gene content

of the plasmid. Whereas the boundaries of these deletions were all different, most contained short

flanking direct repeats (typically 8-12 bp, with one being only 6 bp, data not shown). One of these

repeats was always eliminated during deletion of the intervening region. Deletions between these

direct repeats are indicative of recombination events [53].

There were striking differences in the kinds of plasmid genotypes observed in the biofilm

versus planktonic populations (Fig. 3, Table S1). Most of the clones from planktonic populations

showed the large deletions described above. Only 2 of the 54 clones had retained the ancestral pB10

sequence, both from the Tet- population sampled at t_6. In five of the six Tet+ planktonic populations

sampled at either 14 or 28 days, all six clones contained plasmids with identical large deletions.

Moreover, two of the three planktonic populations showed the same large deletion at both time

points. These results indicate strong selective sweeps of large deletion mutants in planktonic

populations under antibiotic selection for the plasmid. Even in the absence of Tet, all six clones

from the population that still retained plasmids by day 14 showed an identical large deletion. In

stark contrast, all clones from the three Tet+ biofilms at t_{14} still contained the ancestral plasmid

genotype. Even two weeks later, one of the three Tet+ biofilm populations still showed intact

plasmids in 100% of the clones, and in the other two populations around half the clones still had

intact plasmids. In the Tet- biofilms the deletions were more frequent at t_{14} but still only found in

half or less than half of the clones per population (Table S1 and Fig. 3). Moreover, of the 54

evolved biofilm clones only two pairs showed an identical deletion. These results clearly show that

there were no sweeps of large deletion mutants in the biofilms. In conclusion, biofilms still

contained a large proportion of transmissible plasmids after 14 or 28 days, whereas transmissible

plasmids were no longer detected at these time points in planktonic populations.

Loss of plasmid transfer genes improved plasmid persistence.

To determine the effect of the large plasmid sequence deletions, we compared the

persistence of truncated and full-length plasmids in two ways. First, we compared the persistence of

these plasmids in their co-evolved hosts. On average, clones with truncated plasmids showed a

significantly higher plasmid persistence than clones with full-length plasmids (Fig. 4A, $p < 0.001$).

However, a few biofilm clones with full-length plasmids identical in sequence to ancestral pB10,

also demonstrated high plasmid persistence (Fig. 4A), suggesting this change was caused by

chromosomal mutations. Next, to ensure that the observed improvement in persistence of the

truncated plasmids could entirely be explained by the plasmid deletions and not chromosomal

changes, we transformed the ancestral host with one full-length pB10 and several truncated

plasmids from t_{28} of the Tet$^+$ treatments. Plasmid persistence was higher for the truncated plasmids

than for the full-length plasmid ($p < 0.0001$) or ancestral pB10 (Fig. 4B; $p = 0.015$). Thus, the large

deletions in plasmid pB10 were a major driver of improved plasmid persistence in *A. baumannii*.

Persistence of evolved pB10 in other naive hosts.

To understand the broader effects of the large deletions in pB10 on plasmid persistence, we

tested one evolved truncated plasmid from a t_{28} clone in three bacterial strains: *Pseudomonas*

moraviensis R28, *Pseudomonas sp. nov.* H2, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* P21 (see footnote 3

in Table S1). All three strains were previously shown to rapidly lose pB10 [54]. The evolved plasmid

was even less persistent than ancestral pB10 in the two *Pseudomonas* hosts, but it was more

persistent in *S. maltophilia* P21 (Fig. 5). Our data demonstrate that even though deletions that

eliminate conjugative transfer of the plasmid may be beneficial in some species, that benefit may

not extend to all.

Some chromosomal mutations are also responsible for improved plasmid persistence.

While the mutations found in the plasmids explained most of the increased persistence in the

isolated clones, we identified a few clones where chromosomal mutations must be responsible for

the improved persistence (Figure 4). In the genome sequences of the 144 clones, we identified many

chromosomal mutations when compared to the ancestral sequence (Table S2). However, none of

these mutations were in genes previously shown to be involved in plasmid persistence. Due to the

high number of unique mutations, mutation reconstruction in the ancestor would be required to test
their effects, which is outside the scope of this study.

218
219

DISCUSSION

We showed that growth in biofilms can promote the retention of MDR plasmids in the
absence of selection, and better preserve the horizontal mode of plasmid transmission than
planktonic populations. These findings have several important implications. First, they demonstrate
the positive effect of biofilm growth on MDR plasmid persistence in the absence of antibiotics. This
is significant given most bacteria in clinical and environmental habitats grow in biofilms [25]. Our
findings are consistent with previous studies that showed that the deeper layers of biofilms can act
as refugia for plasmids even in the absence of selection for the plasmid [55,56]. A plausible explanation
is that bacteria in biofilm layers farthest away from the bulk medium grow slowly or not at all. This
allows plasmids to be better retained in these subpopulations as plasmid loss requires cells to divide.
Our results thus suggest that reduced use of antibiotics may help lower the relative abundance of
resistance genes in biofilms but does not necessarily eliminate these genes when they are plasmid
encoded. Second, evolution in biofilms conserved the horizontal mode of transmission of a MDR
plasmid, while growth in well-mixed systems resulted in sweeps of much smaller, non-self-
transmissible plasmids that persist better through vertical transmission. Finally, this study cautions
against indiscriminately extrapolating results from experimental evolution in planktonic cultures to
more complex environments such as bacterial biofilms.

Perhaps the most important finding of our study was the striking difference in evolutionary
trajectories between the biofilm and planktonic populations. Independent of the presence of
selection for the plasmid, virtually every tetracycline resistant *A. baumannii* clone analyzed from
planktonic populations had lost a very large segment of plasmid pB10 that contained horizontal
transfer and a few antibiotic resistance genes. The loss of these genes resulted in the inability of the
plasmid to transfer by conjugation (data not shown). These findings are consistent with previous
studies that observed loss of plasmid transmissibility due to deletion or mutations of transfer genes
during bacteria-plasmid evolution in planktonic populations [21,43,57,58]. In contrast, the majority of
plasmids in evolved biofilm clones remained intact and thus self-transmissible. In only one of the
nine evolved biofilm populations did plasmid genotypes with deletions in transfer genes outnumber

the ancestral genotype (a Tet+ biofilm at t_{28}). Biofilms thus protract the emergence of non-

transmissible plasmid mutants.

There are a few possible explanations for these contrasting results between biofilms and

planktonic populations. The most parsimonious one is that the spatial structure of biofilms

protracted selective sweeps, which is in line with previous studies [29–33,43]. Although we did not

compare plasmid fitness cost, the higher persistence of the much smaller evolved plasmids can

almost certainly be explained by a reduced fitness cost on their host. First, loss of the two transfer

regions would be expected to reduce plasmid cost as horizontal transfer (conjugation) is known to

be costly [21,57,58]. Moreover, in the class 1 integron region that was always deleted together with the

transfer regions, the integrase gene could have added to the cost of the plasmid [59,60]. Since this gene

is not repressed by LexA in *Acinetobacter* spp., a highly active integrase expressed from pB10

could have had a toxic effect on *Acinetobacter* spp. [61]. Regardless of which genes conferred the

highest cost, losing these large plasmid segments allowed the hosts of truncated plasmids to rapidly

sweep through the planktonic Tet resistant populations. In contrast, due to the absence of global

competition in biofilms, clones with wild-type plasmids were not as readily outcompeted by clones

with deletion variants. An argument could be made that the bacteria in biofilms possibly underwent

fewer doublings than those in planktonic populations [62,63], and that this is what slowed evolution.

Unfortunately, while the number of doublings in the planktonic populations is largely homogenous

and can be estimated (140 after 14 days), the number of doublings in biofilm populations varies

according to depth and is impossible to estimate accurately. Table 1 shows an increase in biofilm

cell density over time, but it is unknown what fraction of the population was washed from the flow

cells. Even though the number of generations differed between the two environments, what matters

is that in most infections pathogens grow in biofilms, where we showed evolution is protracted

during the course of antibiotic treatment. Our data suggest that during such an antibiotic course

MDR plasmids lose their ability to transfer less rapidly than expected based on results from well-

mixed systems.

An additional hypothesis that can explain the increased retention of plasmid transfer genes

in bacteria evolving in biofilms is that a tradeoff exists between horizontal and vertical transmission

[57]. It is known that in biofilms close cell-to-cell contact and stabilized mating pair formation can

improve the efficiency of conjugative transfer to neighboring cells relative to planktonic

populations [64–67]. This makes horizontal transfer a more important mechanism of plasmid 'survival'

in biofilms [68]. It would then follow that selection on plasmid transferability may be stronger in

biofilms than in axenic planktonic populations, where it would present a cost rather than a benefit.

This is especially true for IncP-1 plasmids like pB10, which do not transfer efficiently in shaken

liquid cultures due to their short rigid sex pili [69,70]. In such a well-mixed environment, smaller non-

self-transmissible plasmids with a lower fitness cost could thus outcompete transmissible ones and

propagate through vertical transmission only.

Another plausible explanation for higher persistence of self-transmissible plasmids in

biofilms is the positive selection for retention of the sex pilus genes in this environment, which are

part of the transfer gene region *trb* that was deleted in the planktonic clones. Previous studies have

shown that conjugative plasmids can stabilize biofilms during the early stages of biofilm formation

due to the formation of sex pili [64,71]. If pilus expression was favorable for biofilm formation in our

flow cells, loss of the pilus could have been selected against in this environment.

Overall, our results suggest that in planktonic populations, the cost of maintaining the

transfer regions and integron is apparently higher than the benefit of retaining the plasmid through

horizontal transfer. The stark difference in plasmid evolutionary trajectories between planktonic and

biofilm populations and the higher diversity in plasmid persistence phenotypes reported by us

previously [42,43] suggests that it is critical to study bacteria-plasmid coevolution in biofilms to fully

understand plasmid population biology.

The deletions of the large plasmid segments in *A. baumannii* may result from homology-

facilitated illegitimate recombination due to short direct repeats that flanked the boundaries[53].

Illegitimate recombination due to short repeats is well understood in *E. coli,* and has also been

reported in *Acinetobacter baylyi* [72]. The same plasmid pB10 was previously shown by us to lose its

tetracycline resistance operon in *E. coli* through recombination between much larger direct repeats

[73]. Interestingly Porse *et al.* (2016) [21] identified a similar pattern of large deletions of a plasmid's

conjugative transfer region in an IncN plasmid from *Klebsiella pneumoniae* that was evolved in

strains of *Escherichia coli*. In that study the observed deletions were very similar to one another and

corresponded to the location of IS26, whereas the pB10 deletions in our study were not adjacent to

any IS element. Given the very short length of the flanking repeats on plasmid pB10 and the very

large deleted fragments, it would be interesting in future studies to determine the rate at which these

deletions occur in this *A. baumannii* strain.

In our previous studies we never observed loss of the entire plasmid transfer regions of pB10

or other plasmids of the same IncP-1 group [13,17,18]. Therefore we examined the persistence of one of

our pB10 deletion variants in three other bacterial species. The deletion caused a large increase in

plasmid persistence in *S. maltophilia*, but not in two environmental *Pseudomonas* isolates. *S.*

maltophilia is increasingly found to be an important nosocomial pathogen[74]. Our findings thus show

that deletion of plasmid transfer genes could also contribute to the persistence of MDR plasmids in

distantly related bacterial pathogens.

The persistence of plasmids that remained in the planktonic populations had always

improved within 14 days (140 generations) or less, regardless of the presence or absence of Tet.

This finding is consistent with previous work that showed a reduction in plasmid cost or

improvement of persistence over time in the absence of plasmid-selective antibiotics[15,19,75]. Thus

even when MDR plasmids are in bacteria that are not exposed to antibiotics, they can undergo

genetic changes that rapidly improve their persistence. Upon later exposure to antibiotics, these

deletion variants would sweep through the population, as they can outcompete ancestral or plasmid-

free clones. In contrast, in biofilms the average plasmid persistence only improved significantly in

the Tet+ biofilms. The observed discrepancy between biofilm and planktonic populations may be

due to the same variety of factors described above.

Our findings suggest that bacterial biofilms play an important role in the maintenance and

spread of MDR plasmids in natural bacterial populations in several ways. First, growth in biofilms

can increase MDR plasmid persistence in the absence of antibiotics. Second, evolution in biofilms

may facilitate the preservation of plasmid transferability. So far this has not been considered as a

possible positive effect of biofilm growth on the spread of MDR plasmids. These findings point out

that while experimental evolution of bacteria in planktonic populations have addressed important

basic evolutionary questions, these growth conditions are far removed from those of naturally

occurring bacterial populations. It is thus vital that future research on the ecology and evolution of

MDR plasmids includes studies on bacterial biofilms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria, plasmid, and growth media.

The ancestor used in our study was derived from *Acinetobacter baumannii* strain ATCC 17978 (Accession #CP000521) and is the same as used in our previous study [42]. Here we refer to this strain simply as *A. baumannii*. The plasmid used was pB10, a 64.5-kbp broad-host-range IncP-1 plasmid from a German wastewater treatment plant [52] that is poorly maintained in naïve *A. baumannii*. It encodes resistance to tetracycline, streptomycin, amoxicillin, sulfonamides, and $HgCl_2$. Upon whole-genome sequencing of the ancestral strain after electroporation with pB10 we observed the loss of a large DNA segment (~140-kbp). This region was previously thought to be a chromosomal island [76] but more recently shown to be part of a 150-kbp plasmid pAB3 in this strain [77]. We determined that upon introduction of plasmid pB10 in ATCC 17978 by electroporation, our ancestor lost pAB3 and is thus a derivative of ATCC 17978 without that mobile genetic element. In addition to pB10, our ancestor retained the two small native plasmids, pAB1 and pAB2. Other strains used in this study were *Pseudomonas* sp. nov. H2 [78], *Pseudomonas moraviensis* R28 [79], and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* P21 [54].

For information regarding the construction of the ancestral host, the MBMS medium with and without tetracycline (Tet, 10 mg/l), and the culture conditions, we refer to Ridenhour et al. [42].

Experimental evolution protocol.

A timeline of our experimental plan is shown in Fig. 1. The evolution experiment consisted of a full factorial design with two growth environments and two treatments. The growth environment was either a biofilm flow cell or serial batch culture. In one treatment (Tet+) the plasmid-selective antibiotic Tet was added during the entire length of the experiment, whereas in the Tet- treatment Tet was only present during the 4-day biofilm establishment period ($t_{-4} - t_0$). This antibiotic selection phase was necessary to avoid establishing biofilms that would consist of a mixture of plasmid-containing and plasmid-free cells. In all treatments, time point t_0 represents the end of the 'biofilm establishment phase', which occurred four days after the flow of MBMS-Tet through the flow cells was initiated following strain inoculation. As the set-up and growth conditions of the Tet+ treatments have been previously described in detail [42], we focus here on conditions that differed between treatments. The ancestral strain, grown overnight in MBMS-Tet, was inoculated in multiples of three flow cells, as biofilm sampling was destructive, and triplicate populations were harvested at each time point. This harvesting was done at t_0 and after 14 and 28

days (t_{14}, t_{28}) for the Tet+ treatment, and at t_0 and t_{14} for the Tet- treatment. The Tet- treatment was

ended at t_{14} instead of t_{28}, due to rapid plasmid loss in the parallel Tet- planktonic populations. The

harvesting procedures are detailed in Ridenhour *et* al. [42].

The Tet+ and Tet- planktonic populations were initiated by inoculating three replicate test

tubes containing five ml of MBMS-Tet or MBMS with cell suspensions from the triplicate t_0

biofilms of the Tet+ and Tet- treatments, respectively (Fig. 1). Each of these planktonic populations

were then grown in parallel to the remaining biofilm populations. We used this inoculation

approach to control for the level of diversity already present in the biofilms at t_0, due to evolution

that had taken place during the biofilm establishment phase. Following inoculation, the planktonic

populations were serially passaged every 24 ($\pm$ 1) hours to obtain approximately 10 generations per

day, as described previously [42]. For the Tet+ treatment, the planktonic populations were archived at

t_{14} and t_{28}. For the Tet- treatment, the planktonic populations were archived daily because we did not

know how long plasmid-containing (Tet-resistant) cells would be maintained in these populations.

Appropriate dilutions were plated at t_5, t_{10}, and t_{14} on lysogeny broth agar (LBA) and LBA

supplemented with tetracycline (10 mg/l) (LBA$_{Tet}$). When plasmid-containing cells were no longer

detected on LBA$_{Tet}$, the populations archived at previous time points were plated until we could

identify the last time point at which the population still contained plasmid-bearing cells.

For both biofilm and planktonic populations at each sampling point (see Fig. 1), serial

dilutions of cell suspensions were plated on LBA$_{Tet}$ and plates were incubated overnight. To isolate

evolved clones for plasmid persistence tests and whole-genome sequencing, six resistant clones per

replicate population were purified by restreaking twice and archived. The procedures used for

archiving clones and for plasmid extractions were described by us previously [42].

We also transferred plasmid DNA extracted from five resistant clones obtained from t_{28} of

the Tet+ treatment into the ancestral *A. baumannii* host to determine the effect of large plasmid

deletions on plasmid persistence. This was done by electroporation, essentially as described

previously, except for washing the cells in cold deionized water instead of glycerol or sucrose [80].

Plasmid fate in biofilms versus planktonic populations in the absence of antibiotics.

To determine the loss of the plasmid in the Tet- biofilms and planktonic populations

between t_0 and t_{14}, cell suspensions were diluted and plated on LBA and LBA$_{Tet}$. From these

colony-forming unit (cfu) counts, we determined the total number of culturable cells and the

proportion of plasmid-containing cells. In addition to the number of culturable (=viable) cells, we

also determined the total number of cells in the Tet- biofilms using phase-contrast microscopy and a

Petroff-Hausser counting chamber.

Plasmid persistence assays.

To determine plasmid persistence, we performed triplicate plasmid persistence assays on six

clones per time point per treatment, as described in Ridenhour *et al.* [42]. Briefly, each clone was

grown overnight in MBMS-Tet and used to inoculate three test tubes with MBMS, which were

incubated for 24 hours (+/- 1 hour) before passage into new media. This was repeated for a total of

8 days. To estimate the fraction of plasmid-bearing cells on days 5 and 8 by real-time quantitative

PCR (qPCR), cell pellets obtained after centrifugation of population samples were frozen at -20°C.

DNA extractions and qPCR done to determine the copy number of pB10 relative to the number of

16S rRNA copies, and qPCR-based estimates of the plasmid:chromosome ratio were used as a

measure of the fraction of plasmid-bearing cells. As described by us previously [42], we used the log-

linear model to estimate the rate of plasmid loss over time.

Plasmid persistence in other hosts.

We extracted ancestral pB10 and one evolved truncated pB10 variant from a clone obtained

from a t_{28} biofilm (Table S1) and used electroporation to insert each into three additional bacterial

strains. Plasmid persistence assays for these clones were performed as described above, but for a

total of seven days. They were sampled at days 0, 4, 7, and plasmid presence was determined using

serial dilutions and plate counting on LBA and LBA_Tet instead of qPCR.

Sequencing, and sequence analysis.

For DNA sequencing, total genomic DNA from each clone was extracted from 2mL of an

overnight culture using the GenElute Bacterial Genomic DNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich) and the quality

and integrity of the DNA was assessed on a 1% agarose gel and the concentrations were determined

fluorometrically using Quant-iT™ PicoGreen® dsDNA Reagent (ThermoFisher Scientific,

Waltham, MA, USA) with the TBS-380 Mini-Fluorometer (Turner BioSystems) (Molecular

Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Samples were submitted to the University of Idaho IBEST

Genomic Resources Core facility for library preparation and whole-genome sequencing using

Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and associated chemistry.

Following sequencing, the sequence data were screened to remove low-quality reads,

sequencing adapters, and duplicate read pairs using the software package htstream

(https://s4hts.github.io/HTStream/). To identify mutations in the genome, cleaned reads were

mapped against the reference sequence for pB10 (AJ564903.1) and *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978

(GCA_000015425.1) using *breseq* v0.35.7 [81]. Prior mapping discrepancy between the reference

sequence of *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 and our ancestral seed stock were corrected using the

gdtools APPLY command from *breseq*. The command was applied iteratively until no more

mutations were detected between the ancestor reads and the reference sequence. Mutations between

strains were compared using the gdtools COMPARE from *breseq* and the presence of undetected

deletions was manually screened by searching for Missing Coverage Evidence and the presence of

corresponding New-Junction Evidence, indicating reads spanning the area where no reads were

mapped.

Statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis of the qPCR data was done using a linear mixed-effect model approach

in R with the nlme package. The log plasmid:chromosome ratio of each group was predicted using

the origin of the clones (i.e., t_0, biofilm, or planktonic population) as fixed effect and the clone

replicate measure as random effect. Each parameter was nested within the day of the experiment

(Days 0, 5, 8) as a continuous variable.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All sequencing data pertaining to this project will be made available at the National Center for

Biotechnology Information upon publication. All other data that support the findings of this study

will be made available on open-source platforms.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was funded by grant DOD-DM110149. G.A.M. was also in part supported by the

Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Program at the University of Idaho in partnership with

the Institute for Bioinformatics and Evolutionary Studies (now Institute for Interdisciplinary Data

Sciences, IIDS). T.S. and E.M.T. were also partially supported by the National Institute of Allergy

and Infectious Diseases at the National Institute of Health (grant number R01 AI084918). The

genome sequencing was carried out by the IIDS Genomic and Bioinformatic Resources Core, and

made possible thanks to NIH National Institute of General Medical Sciences Award No. P30

GM103324. We would like to thank Wesley Loftie-Eaton, and Silvia Smith for helpful discussion

on the design of this project, and Rachana Regmi, Andrew Avery, Sean West and Taylor Wilkinson

for their roles in data collection.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

E.M.T. and L.J. F., conceived the project; G.A.M., E.M.T., L.J. F., B.J.R., and M.L.S., designed the

study; G.A.M., M.F., K.G., and J.M. performed experiments and collected the data; G.A.M. and

T.S. performed the genomic analysis; G.A.M. and B.J.R. performed the statistical analyses; G.A.M.,

T.S. and E.M.T. wrote the manuscript; All authors helped revising the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

1. Davies, J. Inactivation of antibiotics and the dissemination of resistance genes. *Science* **264**,

375–382 (1994).

2. Schwarz, S. & Johnson, A. P. Transferable resistance to colistin: a new but old threat. *J.*

Antimicrob. Chemother. **71**, 2066–2070 (2016).

3. Mazel, D. & Davies, J. Antibiotic resistance in microbes. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* **56**, 742–754

(1999).

4. Mathers, A. J. *et al.* Molecular dissection of an outbreak of carbapenem-resistant

Enterobacteriaceae reveals intergenus KPC carbapenemase transmission through a

promiscuous plasmid. *mBio* **2**, e00204-11 (2011).

5. Dionisio, F., Conceição, I. C., Marques, A. C. R., Fernandes, L. & Gordo, I. The evolution of a

conjugative plasmid and its ability to increase bacterial fitness. *Biol. Lett.* **1**, 250–252

(2005).

6. Stewart, F. M. & Levin, B. R. The population biology of bacterial plasmids: a PRIORI

conditions for the existence of conjugationally transmitted factors. *Genetics* **87**, 209–228

(1977).

7. Bergstrom, C. T., Lipsitch, M. & Levin, B. R. Natural selection, infectious transfer and the

existence conditions for bacterial plasmids. *Genetics* **155**, 1505–1519 (2000).

8. Baltrus, D. A. Exploring the costs of horizontal gene transfer. *Trends. Ecol. Evol.* **28**, 489–

495 (2013).

9. San Millan, A. & MacLean, R. C. Fitness costs of plasmids: a limit to plasmid transmission.

Microbiol Spectr. **5**, (2017).

10. Gama, J. A., Zilhão, R. & Dionisio, F. Plasmid interactions can improve plasmid persistence

in bacterial populations. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, 2033 (2020).

11. Brockhurst, M. A. & Harrison, E. Ecological and evolutionary solutions to the plasmid

paradox. *Trends Microbiol.* S0966-842X(21)00267–5 (2021)

doi:10.1016/j.tim.2021.11.001.

12. Bouma, J. E. & Lenski, R. E. Evolution of a bacteria/plasmid association. *Nature* **335**, 351–

352 (1988).

13. De Gelder, L., Williams, J. J., Ponciano, J. M., Sota, M. & Top, E. M. Adaptive plasmid

evolution results in host-range expansion of a broad-host-range plasmid. *Genetics* **178**,

2179–2190 (2008).

14. Sota, M. *et al.* Shifts in the host range of a promiscuous plasmid through parallel evolution

of its replication initiation protein. *ISME J.* **4**, 1568–1580 (2010).

15. San Millan, A. *et al.* Positive selection and compensatory adaptation interact to stabilize

non-transmissible plasmids. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5208 (2014).

16. Harrison, E., Guymer, D., Spiers, A. J., Paterson, S. & Brockhurst, M. A. Parallel

compensatory evolution stabilizes plasmids across the parasitism-mutualism continuum.

Curr. Biol. **25**, 2034–2039 (2015).

17. Loftie-Eaton, W. *et al.* Compensatory mutations improve general permissiveness to

antibiotic resistance plasmids. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 1354–1363 (2017).

18. Stalder, T. *et al.* Emerging patterns of plasmid-host coevolution that stabilize antibiotic

resistance. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 4853 (2017).

19. Wein, T., Hülter, N. F., Mizrahi, I. & Dagan, T. Emergence of plasmid stability under non-

selective conditions maintains antibiotic resistance. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2595 (2019).

20. Lopatkin, A. J. *et al.* Persistence and reversal of plasmid-mediated antibiotic resistance.

Nat. Commun. **8**, 1689 (2017).

21. Porse, A., Schønning, K., Munck, C. & Sommer, M. O. A. Survival and evolution of a large

multidrug resistance plasmid in new clinical bacterial hosts. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 2860–2873

(2016).

22. Hall, J. P. J. *et al.* Plasmid fitness costs are caused by specific genetic conflicts enabling

resolution by compensatory mutation. *PLoS Biol.* **19**, e3001225 (2021).

23. Kloos, J., Gama, J. A., Hegstad, J., Samuelsen, Ø. & Johnsen, P. J. Piggybacking on niche

adaptation improves the maintenance of multidrug-resistance plasmids. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **38**,

3188–3201 (2021).

24. Kawano, H. *et al.* A novel small RNA on the *Pseudomonas putida* KT2440 chromosome is

involved in the fitness cost imposed by IncP-1 plasmid RP4. *Front. Microbiol.* **11**, (2020).

25. Vlamakis, H., Chai, Y., Beauregard, P., Losick, R. & Kolter, R. Sticking together: building a

biofilm the *Bacillus subtilis* way. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **11**, 157–168 (2013).

26. Flemming, H.-C. & Wuertz, S. Bacteria and archaea on Earth and their abundance in

biofilms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17**, 247–260 (2019).

27. Costerton, J. W., Stewart, P. S. & Greenberg, E. P. Bacterial biofilms: a common cause of

persistent infections. *Science* **284**, 1318–1322 (1999).

28. Parsek, M. R. & Singh, P. K. Bacterial biofilms: an emerging link to disease pathogenesis.

Annu. Rev. Microbiol. **57**, 677–701 (2003).

29. Boles, B. R., Thoendel, M. & Singh, P. K. Self-generated diversity produces "insurance

effects" in biofilm communities. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **101**, 16630–16635 (2004).

30. Korona, R., Nakatsu, C. H., Forney, L. J. & Lenski, R. E. Evidence for multiple adaptive peaks

from populations of bacteria evolving in a structured habitat. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*

91, 9037–9041 (1994).

31. Ponciano, J. M., La, H.-J., Joyce, P. & Forney, L. J. Evolution of diversity in spatially

structured *Escherichia coli* populations. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **75**, 6047–6054 (2009).

32. Rainey, P. B. & Travisano, M. Adaptive radiation in a heterogeneous environment. *Nature*

394, 69–72 (1998).

33. Tyerman, J. G., Ponciano, J. M., Joyce, P., Forney, L. J. & Harmon, L. J. The evolution of

antibiotic susceptibility and resistance during the formation of *Escherichia coli* biofilms in

the absence of antibiotics. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **13**, 22 (2013).

34. Steenackers, H. P., Parijs, I., Foster, K. R. & Vanderleyden, J. Experimental evolution in

biofilm populations. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **40**, 373–397 (2016).

35. Santos-Lopez, A., Marshall, C. W., Scribner, M. R., Snyder, D. J. & Cooper, V. S. Evolutionary

pathways to antibiotic resistance are dependent upon environmental structure and

bacterial lifestyle. *eLife* **8**, e47612 (2019).

36. Martin, M., Hölscher, T., Dragoš, A., Cooper, V. S. & Kovács, Á. T. Laboratory evolution of

microbial interactions in bacterial biofilms. *J. Bacteriol.* **198**, 2564–2571 (2016).

37. France, M. T., Ridenhour, B. J. & Forney, L. J. Effects of spatial structure and reduced

growth rates on evolution in bacterial populations. in *Molecular Mechanisms of Microbial*

Evolution (ed. Rampelotto, P. H.) 175–197 (Springer International Publishing, 2018).

doi:10.1007/978-3-319-69078-0_8.

38. Gordo, I. & Campos, P. R. A. Adaptive evolution in a spatially structured asexual population.

Genetica **127**, 217 (2006).

39. Habets, M. G. J. L., Czárán, T., Hoekstra, R. F. & de Visser, J. A. G. M. Spatial structure inhibits

the rate of invasion of beneficial mutations in asexual populations. *Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol.*

274, 2139–2143 (2007).

40. Nahum, J. R. *et al.* A tortoise-hare pattern seen in adapting structured and unstructured

populations suggests a rugged fitness landscape in bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*

112, 7530–7535 (2015).

41. France, M. T. & Forney, L. J. The relationship between spatial structure and the

maintenance of diversity in microbial populations. *Am. Nat* **193**, 503–513 (2019).

42. Ridenhour, B. J. *et al.* Persistence of antibiotic resistance plasmids in bacterial biofilms.

Evol. Appl. **10**, 640–647 (2017).

43. Stalder, T. *et al.* Evolving populations in biofilms contain more persistent plasmids. *Mol.*

Biol. Evol. **37**, 1563–1576 (2020).

44. Galimand, M. *et al.* Multidrug resistance in *Yersinia pestis* mediated by a transferable

plasmid. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **337**, 677–680 (1997).

45. Gay, K. *et al.* Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in non-Typhi serotypes of *Salmonella*

enterica. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **43**, 297–304 (2006).

46. Richet, H. & Fournier, P. E. Nosocomial infections caused by *Acinetobacter baumannii*: a

major threat worldwide. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* **27**, 645–646 (2006).

47. Sunenshine, R. H. *et al.* Multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter* infection mortality rate and

length of hospitalization. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **13**, 97–103 (2007).

48. Perez, S. *et al.* Increase in hospital-acquired carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter*

baumannii infection and colonization in an acute care hospital during a surge in COVID-19

Admissions — New Jersey, February–July 2020. *MMWR Morb. Mortal Wkly Rep.* **69**, 1827–

1831 (2020).

49. Ma, F. *et al.* Identification of a novel plasmid carrying *mcr-4.3* in an *Acinetobacter*

baumannii strain in China. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **63**, e00133-19 (2019).

50. Ibrahim, S., Al-Saryi, N., Al-Kadmy, I. M. S. & Aziz, S. N. Multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter*

baumannii as an emerging concern in hospitals. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **48**, 6987–6998 (2021).

51. Karakonstantis, S., Ioannou, P., Samonis, G. & Kofteridis, D. P. Systematic review of

antimicrobial combination options for pandrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*.

Antibiotics **10**, 1344 (2021).

52. Schlüter, A. *et al.* The 64 508 bp IncP-1beta antibiotic multiresistance plasmid pB10

isolated from a waste-water treatment plant provides evidence for recombination

between members of different branches of the IncP-1beta group. *Microbiology* **149**, 3139–

3153 (2003).

53. Albertini, A. M., Hofer, M., Calos, M. P. & Miller, J. H. On the formation of spontaneous

deletions: The importance of short sequence homologies in the generation of large

deletions. *Cell* **29**, 319–328 (1982).

54. De Gelder, L., Ponciano, J. M., Joyce, P. & Top, E. M. Stability of a promiscuous plasmid in

different hosts: no guarantee for a long-term relationship. *Microbiology* **153**, 452–463

(2007).

55. Røder, H. L. *et al.* Biofilms can act as plasmid reserves in the absence of plasmid specific

selection. *npj Biofilms Microbiomes* **7**, 1–6 (2021).

56. Ma, H., Katzenmeyer, K. N. & Bryers, J. D. Non-invasive in situ monitoring and

quantification of TOL plasmid segregational loss within *Pseudomonas putida* biofilms.

Biotechnol. Bioeng. **110**, 2949–2958 (2013).

57. Turner, P. E., Cooper, V. S. & Lenski, R. E. Tradeoff between horizontal and vertical modes

of transmission in bacterial plasmids. *Evolution* **52**, 315–329 (1998).

58. Dahlberg, C. & Chao, L. Amelioration of the cost of conjugative plasmid carriage in

Eschericha coli K12. *Genetics* **165**, 1641–1649 (2003).

59. Starikova, I. *et al.* A trade-off between the fitness cost of functional integrases and long-

term stability of integrons. *PLoS Pathog.* **8**, e1003043 (2012).

60. Lacotte, Y., Ploy, M.-C. & Raherison, S. Class 1 integrons are low-cost structures in

Escherichia coli. ISME J. **11**, 1535–1544 (2017).

61. Couvé-Deacon, E. *et al.* Class 1 integrons in *Acinetobacter baumannii*: a weak expression of

gene cassettes to counterbalance the lack of LexA-driven integrase repression. *Int. J.*

Antimicrob. Agents **53**, 491–499 (2019).

62. Poulsen, L. K., Ballard, G. & Stahl, D. A. Use of rRNA fluorescence in situ hybridization for

measuring the activity of single cells in young and established biofilms. *Appl. Environ.*

Microbiol. **59**, 1354–1360 (1993).

63. Wang, L., Fan, D., Chen, W. & Terentjev, E. M. Bacterial growth, detachment and cell size

control on polyethylene terephthalate surfaces. *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 15159 (2015).

64. Molin, S. & Tolker-Nielsen, T. Gene transfer occurs with enhanced efficiency in biofilms

and induces enhanced stabilisation of the biofilm structure. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **14**,

255–261 (2003).

65. Hausner, M. & Wuertz, S. High rates of conjugation in bacterial biofilms as determined by

quantitative *in situ* analysis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **65**, 3710–3713 (1999).

66. Krol, J. E. *et al.* Increased transfer of a multidrug resistance plasmid in *Escherichia coli*

biofilms at the air-liquid interface. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **77**, 5079–5088 (2011).

67. Stalder, T. & Top, E. Plasmid transfer in biofilms: a perspective on limitations and

opportunities. *npj Biofilms Microbiomes* **2**, (2016).

68. Zwanzig, M. *et al.* Mobile compensatory mutations promote plasmid survival. *mSystems* **4**,

e00186-18 (2019).

69. Zhong, X., Krol, J. E., Top, E. M. & Krone, S. M. Accounting for mating pair formation in

plasmid population dynamics. *J. Theor. Biol.* **262**, 711–719 (2010).

70. Bradley, D. E., Taylor, D. E. & Cohen, D. R. Specification of surface mating systems among

conjugative drug resistance plasmids in *Escherichia coli* K-12. *J. Bacteriol.* **143**, 1466–1470

(1980).

71. Ghigo, J. M. Natural conjugative plasmids induce bacterial biofilm development. *Nature*

412, 442–445 (2001).

72. Vries, J. de & Wackernagel, W. Integration of foreign DNA during natural transformation of

Acinetobacter sp. by homology-facilitated illegitimate recombination. *PNAS* **99**, 2094–

2099 (2002).

73. De Gelder, L. *et al.* Combining mathematical models and statistical methods to understand

and predict the dynamics of antibiotic-sensitive mutants in a population of resistant

bacteria during experimental evolution. *Genetics* **168**, 1131–1144 (2004).

74. Senol, E. *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*: the significance and role as a nosocomial

pathogen. *J. Hosp. Infect.* **57**, 1–7 (2004).

75. Hall, J. P. J., Wright, R. C. T., Guymer, D., Harrison, E. & Brockhurst, M. A. Y. 2020. Extremely

fast amelioration of plasmid fitness costs by multiple functionally diverse pathways.

Microbiology **166**, 56–62 (2019).

76. Smith, M. G. *et al.* New insights into *Acinetobacter baumannii* pathogenesis revealed by

high-density pyrosequencing and transposon mutagenesis. *Genes Dev.* **21**, 601–614

(2007).

77. Weber, B. S., Ly, P. M., Irwin, J. N., Pukatzki, S. & Feldman, M. F. A multidrug resistance

plasmid contains the molecular switch for type VI secretion in *Acinetobacter baumannii*.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A **112**, 9442–9447 (2015).

78. Loftie-Eaton, W. *et al.* Draft Genome Sequence of *Pseudomonas* sp. nov. H2. *Genome*

Announc. **3**, e00241-15 (2015).

79. Hunter, S. S. *et al.* Draft genome sequence of *Pseudomonas moraviensis* R28-S. *Genome*

Announc. **2**, (2014).

80. Yano, H., Deckert, G. E., Rogers, L. M. & Top, E. M. Roles of long and short replication

initiation proteins in the fate of IncP-1 plasmids. *J. Bacteriol.* **194**, 1533–1543 (2012).

81. Deatherage, D. E. & Barrick, J. E. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved

microbes from next-generation sequencing data using breseq. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1151**,

165–188 (2014).

FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1: Timeline of the evolution experiments. Red represents time periods when media contained

tetracycline (Tet+ treatment); blue represents the absence of antibiotics (Tet- treatment). Large ticks

represent inoculation and harvest/sampling events. Four days prior to initiation of the evolution

experiments (-4) the biofilm flow cells were inoculated with the ancestral strain. Four days later, at

day 0 (t_0), the first set of randomly selected flow cells (n=3) were harvested. A subsample of the cell

suspensions from each of these replicates was used to inoculate each of the three planktonic

populations (grey curved arrow). Small tick marks indicate the daily serial passage of planktonic

populations. Asterisks on days 6 and 10 in the timeline of the Tet- planktonic populations indicate

the last day at which plasmid-containing cells were detected in two of the three populations due to

rapid plasmid loss; these time points were the final sampling points for these two populations (t_6

and t_{10}). The Tet- experiment was terminated after 14 days and the Tet+ experiment after 28. For

more details on the actual protocol, see Materials and Methods and Ridenhour *et al.* [42].

Figure 2: Plasmid persistence shown as the estimated ratio of *trfA*/16S rRNA genes over a period of

eight days in liquid serial batch cultures in the absence of selection; (A) the ancestor used to

inoculate the flow cells at *t-4* compared to clones isolated from all the biofilms harvested after four

days of growth with tetracycline, *t0*, showing similar plasmid persistence dynamics (Tet+ and Tet-:

populations treated with and without tetracycline after t_0); (B) clones isolated from the Tet-

populations, showing a drastic increase in plasmid persistence after evolution in planktonic but not

in biofilm populations (note that two of the three planktonic populations no longer contained

detectable plasmids after day 6 and 10, respectively, requiring analysis on these days instead of $t_{14}-$

the final sampling times are therefore referred to as t_{final}); (C) clones isolated at t_0 and t_{14} of the Tet+

evolution experiment, showing an increase in plasmid persistence over time for all populations.

Lines are the output of the log-linear model for each group. * denotes a significant difference

between the groups. The plasmid persistence data from t_0 in the Tet+ experiment were reported by

us previously [42].

Figure 3: Maps of evolved plasmids with major segment functions identified, showing all plasmid mutations observed in each sequenced clone evolved under different treatments (Tet+ and Tet-) in different environments (biofilm and planktonic populations). Evolved clones are grouped by treatment, environment, and time point, as shown by different colors and shades and identified in the open wedge of the circle. The plasmid genome of each clone is shown as a single band – at each time point, 18 clones were analyzed per treatment and environment (six clones from each triplicate population). Tet+,

Tet-: evolved with and without Tet. Black areas in the plasmid maps represent deletions (note that small indels are shown as small spots) and the dots represent SNPs; see Table S1 for details. Note that the tetracycline resistance operon is located in the 'accessory region'.

Figure 4: Persistence of plasmids evolved in Tet+ biofilms and planktonic populations tested in (A) their co-evolved hosts (92 full-length and 49 truncated plasmids), and (B) in the ancestral host (2 full-length and 4 truncated plasmids). In both panels the ancestral plasmid was tested in the ancestral host. Plasmid persistence is shown as the estimated ratio of *trfA*/16S rRNA genes over time. Lines are the results of the log-linear model for each group.

Figure 5: Plasmid persistence of ancestral plasmid pB10$_{Anc}$ and truncated plasmid pB10$_{ev}$ in three additional hosts, as determined by plate counting after 8 days, showing that the plasmid deletion was only beneficial to persistence in *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. (P= *Pseudomonas*)

Table 1: Total cell counts (microscopy), viable cell counts (colony forming units, cfu), and absolute counts and fraction of plasmid-containing (P+) cells for each replicate biofilm or planktonic population of the Tet- treatment. P+ fractions were calculated as the ratio of Tet^R over total cfu counts, obtained by plating on selective and non-selective media. Note that total cell counts estimated by microscopy were only determined for biofilms. n/a: count not performed.

	Total cells (per mL)	Total viable cells (per mL)	P+ cells (per mL)	Fraction P+ cells
t 0				
Rep 1	9.6×10^9	6.1×10^6	5.9×10^6	0.98
Rep 2	3.9×10^9	4.7×10^6	3.8×10^6	0.82
Rep 3	3.5×10^9	3.4×10^6	3.4×10^6	1.00
t0 Avg	5.6×10^9	4.7×10^6	4.4×10^6	*0.93*
t 14 Biofilm				
Rep 1	1.3×10^{11}	2.9×10^6	5.5×10^5	0.19
Rep 2	1.3×10^{10}	3.1×10^6	3.6×10^5	0.11
Rep 3	1.1×10^{10}	1.8×10^6	1.3×10^5	0.07
Biofilm Avg	5.1×10^{10}	2.6×10^6	3.5×10^5	*0.12*
t 14 Planktonic				
Rep 1	n/a	2.0×10^8	3.2×10^4	0.00016
Rep 2	n/a	1.6×10^8	<1	0
Rep 3	n/a	2.0×10^8	<1	0
Planktonic Avg	*n/a*	1.9×10^8	1.1×10^4	*0.00005*

Table S1: Summary of evolved plasmid genotypes with their affected genes and gene functions, found in all 144 sequenced clones.

Table S2: List of all sequenced strains with their predicted mutations