Sorting of secretory proteins at the trans-Golgi network by TGN46

Pablo Lujan[1], Carla Garcia-Cabau[2,#], Yuichi Wakana[3,#], Javier Vera Lillo[1], Carmen Rodilla-Ramírez[1], Vivek Malhotra[4,5,6], Xavier Salvatella[2,6], Maria F. Garcia-Parajo[1,6], and Felix Campelo[1,*]

[1] ICFO-Institut de Ciencies Fotoniques, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 08860, Castelldefels (Barcelona), Spain.

[2] Institute for Research in Biomedicine (IRB Barcelona), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Baldiri Reixac 10, 08028, Barcelona, Spain.

[3] School of Life Sciences, Tokyo University of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0392, Japan.

[4] Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain.

[5] Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain.

[6] Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Passeig Lluís Companys 23, 08010, Barcelona, Spain.

[#] These authors contributed equally

[*] **Correspondence:**

Felix Campelo

ICFO-Institut de Ciencies Fotòniques

Av. Carl Friedrich Gauss, 3. 08860 Castelldefels, Barcelona - SPAIN

Phone: + 34 93 554 2225

e-mail: felix.campelo@icfo.eu

ABSTRACT

Secretory proteins are sorted at the trans-Golgi network (TGN) for export into specific transport carriers. However, the molecular players involved in this fundamental process remain largely elusive. Here, we identified the transmembrane protein TGN46 as a receptor for the export of secretory cargo protein PAUF in CARTS – a class of protein kinase D-dependent TGN-to-plasma membrane carriers. We show that TGN46 is necessary for cargo sorting and loading into nascent carriers at the TGN. By combining quantitative fluorescence microscopy and mutagenesis approaches, we further discovered that the lumenal domain of TGN46 encodes for its cargo sorting function. In summary, our results define a cellular function of TGN46 in sorting secretory proteins for export from the TGN.

KEYWORDS: Golgi apparatus, transport carrier, protein kinase D, export kinetics, membrane trafficking.

INTRODUCTION

Secretory proteins synthesized into the lumen of the ER are transported to the Golgi apparatus, where they are further processed and eventually sorted at the *trans*-Golgi network (TGN) for delivery to their respective destinations (Stalder and Gershlick, 2020; Wakana and Campelo, 2021; Spang, 2015; von Blume and Hausser, 2019; Di Martino et al., 2019). The accurate and timely export of cargo proteins – such as collagens, hormones or neurotransmitters – is essential for the maintenance of cell and tissue homeostasis. Accordingly, errors in this process can have severe physiological and pathophysiological consequences (Zappa et al., 2018). Despite the importance of this process, the molecular machinery and the mechanisms of cargo sorting at the TGN remain largely elusive (Guo et al., 2014; Boncompain and Weigel, 2018; Ford et al., 2021; Ramazanov et al., 2021). In mammalian cells, lysosomal hydrolases bearing a mannose 6-phosphate are sorted by the mannose 6-phosphate receptors into clathrin-coated vesicles for their transport to the endo-lysosomal system (Kienzle and von Blume, 2014; Braulke and Bonifacino, 2009). By contrast, no protein coats have been identified for most export routes from the TGN – especially for those destined to the cell surface (Stalder and Gershlick, 2020; Wakana and Campelo, 2021) –, which has hampered the identification of cargo receptors or of other sorting modules. The discovery by Malhotra and colleagues of a Ca^{2+}-dependent mechanism for the sorting of a subset of soluble secretory cargoes is beginning to reveal the intricacies of this supposedly receptor-independent process (von Blume et al., 2009; Curwin et al., 2012). This mechanism relies on the coordinated action of various cellular components, including the actin filament-severing protein cofilin (von Blume et al., 2009; Curwin et al., 2012), the Ca^{2+} pump SPCA1 (von Blume et al., 2011; Kienzle et al., 2014), the oligomeric lumenal Ca^{2+}-binding protein Cab45 (Crevenna et al., 2016; von Blume et al., 2012), and the sphingolipid sphingomyelin (SM) (Deng et al., 2016, 2018). The export from the Golgi by this pathway is independent of any coat proteins identified thus far. However, how other soluble cargo proteins are sorted for their export from the TGN remains unknown.

The single-pass type I transmembrane (TM) protein TGN46 – or TGN38 in rodents (Ponnambalam et al., 1996) – has been proposed to function as a secretory cargo receptor (Stanley and Howell, 1993; Jones et al., 1993; Wang et al., 1995; Banting and Ponnambalam, 1997; McNamara et al., 2004; Wang and Howell, 2000; Ramazanov et al., 2021). However, direct evidence for a role of TGN46 in Golgi export is lacking. TGN38 was first identified in rat cells and shown to have a well-defined steady-state localization at the TGN (Luzio et al., 1990). As a result, TGN38 and its orthologues have become extensively employed as TGN marker proteins. Remarkably, the amino acid sequence of rat TGN38 is largely conserved amongst other species, including humans (>80% amino acid identity between rat TGN38 and human TGN46), with highly-conserved cytosolic and TM domains and a somewhat less

conserved lumenal domain (>60% overall identity but only ~25% in the bulk of the lumenal domain) (Ponnambalam et al., 1996). Despite having a steady-state TGN localization, TGN38/TGN46 rapidly cycles between the TGN and the plasma membrane (PM), exiting the TGN in specific carriers called CARTS (carriers of the TGN to the cell surface) (Wakana et al., 2012) and recycling from the PM back to the Golgi via early endosomes (Banting and Ponnambalam, 1997; Bos et al., 1993; Rajasekaran et al., 1994; Mallet and Maxfield, 1999; Humphrey et al., 1993). CARTS were first identified by immuno-isolation of TGN46-containing transport carriers from the TGN to the PM (Wakana et al., 2012). CARTS are formed in a protein kinase D (PKD)-dependent manner and contain the Rab6/Rab8 GTPases. CARTS traffic specific secretory cargoes, such as pancreatic adenocarcinoma upregulated factor (PAUF) and LyzC , while exclude others, such as collagen I or VSVG (Wakana et al., 2012, 2013; Wakana and Campelo, 2021; Lujan et al., 2021). However, how secretory cargoes are sorted and specifically packed into CARTS is yet to be understood. Furthermore, whether TGN46 plays an active role in CARTS biogenesis or it is just a passive transmembrane cargo of this pathway is still unknown.

Here, we demonstrate that TGN46 plays a key role in constitutive protein secretion, specifically in the sorting of the soluble cargo protein PAUF into CARTS for TGN export. Our data reveal that the topological determinants that describe the proper intracellular and intra-Golgi localization of TGN46, as well as its own incorporation in CARTS, are mainly contained in its lumenal domain. Notably, we find that the lumenal domain of TGN46 is both necessary and sufficient for the export of cargo proteins into CARTS and, moreover, that this domain mediates the cargo sorting function of TGN46. Altogether, our data suggest an essential role for TGN46 in the sorting of cargo proteins into transport carriers (CARTS) at the TGN.

RESULTS

TGN46 is required for cargo sorting into CARTS

As TGN46 has been suggested, but not shown, to function in cargo sorting at the TGN, we decided to test this hypothesis. We generated a TGN46-lacking line of Hela cells by CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knock out of *TGOLN2* – the gene encoding for human TGN46 (TGN46-KO cell line) (***Fig. 1A*** and ***Fig. S1A***). To test if TGN46 is required for CARTS-mediated secretion, we transiently expressed a CARTS-specific soluble cargo protein, PAUF-MycHis, in both parental and TGN46-KO cells. Both the medium and cell lysates were collected and analyzed by western blotting with an anti-Myc antibody to detect the secreted and internal PAUF-MycHis pools, respectively. In TGN46-KO cells, PAUF-MycHis secretion was reduced by ~75% as compared to control cells (***Fig. 1A***). We noticed the appearance of a lower

molecular weight form of PAUF-MycHis in the lysate of TGN46-KO cells (***Fig. 1A***), suggesting that the processing (e.g., glycosylation) of intracellularly accumulated PAUF-MycHis is incomplete, and opening the possibility of a role for TGN46 in facilitating cargo glycosylation. Immunofluorescence microscopy of cells expressing PAUF-mRFP revealed its presence in a perinuclear compartment in both parental and TGN46-KO cell lines, indicating that PAUF-mRFP efficiently exits the ER in the absence of TGN46 (***Fig. S1A***).

To test whether TGN46 is specifically involved in the secretion of CARTS-mediated cargoes or rather plays a general role in TGN export, we simultaneously monitored Golgi export of PAUF-mRFP (a CARTS cargo) and VSVG-HA (a non-CARTS cargo) (Wakana et al., 2012; Wakana and Campelo, 2021) in parental and TGN46-KO cells. We used the standard temperature-induced block in cargo export at the TGN to monitor synchronous exit of VSV-G or PAUF in control and TGN46-lacking cells. Cells were first incubated at 20ºC for 2h to block TGN export, and subsequently shifted to 37ºC for 15 min to allow for synchronized export from the TGN, after which cells were fixed and the number of cargo-containing vesicular structures per unit area was analyzed by confocal fluorescence microscopy (***Fig. 1B*** and ***Fig. S1B***). Our data show that the number density of PAUF-mRFP-positive punctate structures – which define CARTS (Wakana et al., 2012) – is significantly reduced by ~50% in TGN46-KO cells as compared to parental cells (***Fig. 1B***). These results agree with and complement the observed inhibition of PAUF secretion in the absence of TGN46 (***Fig. 1A***). By contrast, the number density of VSVG-containing carriers was unaltered upon TGN46 depletion (***Fig. 1B*** and ***Fig. S1B***), indicating that TGN46 selectively functions in the export of a subset of cargo proteins at the TGN. In addition, TGN46 depletion did not increase the proportion of CARTS (defined as carriers positive for PAUF) that also contained VSVG (***Fig. S1C***). These data strongly suggest that, in the absence of TGN46, PAUF is not re-routed towards alternative TGN export routes (particularly to that of VSV-G-containing transport carriers), and therefore bona fide CARTS are still formed, although at a strongly reduced rate. Hence, we will use PAUF as a marker protein for CARTS throughout this article.

We next assessed by fluorescence loss in photobleaching (FLIP) microscopy the residence time of PAUF in the Golgi apparatus, which is a dynamic measure of the Golgi export rate of this cargo (***Fig. S1D***) (Hirschberg et al., 1998; Patterson et al., 2008). In our FLIP experiments, we systematically photobleached by using a high intensity laser the entire pool of fluorescent protein (e.g., PAUF-mRFP) located outside of the perinuclear Golgi area, and measured the decay of the fluorescence intensity of the Golgi area as function of time (***Fig. S1D***). Thus, for cargo proteins that are rapidly exported from the Golgi, the fluorescence intensity in the Golgi area is expected to rapidly decay, whereas for resident Golgi proteins, the fluorescence should decay more slowly. Our results showed that PAUF-mRFP has a longer Golgi residence time in

Figure 1. TGN46 is required for cargo sorting and loading into CARTS. (A) PAUF secretion assay in parental (WT) and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated TGN46 knockout (KO) HeLa cells. Cells expressing PAUF-MycHis were incubated for 4 h in fresh medium without serum in the absence (Ctrl) or presence of Brefeldin A (BFA), after which the cells were lysed. Equal amounts of cell lysates and their corresponding secreted fraction (medium) were analyzed by western blotting (left) using an anti-Myc antibody (top blot) and anti-TGN46 antibody (bottom blot). BFA was included as a positive control of secretion inhibition. Quantification (right) of the ratio of secreted/internal PAUF signal normalized (norm.) as a percentage to the average value of the WT, Ctrl condition. n=4 independent experiments. Individual values shown, with mean ± stdev. **(B)** Number of carriers (CARTS, black symbols; VSVG carriers, gray symbols) per unit area observed in WT or TGN46-KO HeLa cells expressing either PAUF-mRFP (CARTS marker) and VSVG-HA (VSVG carrier marker). At least 10 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments were quantified. Individual values shown, with mean ± stdev. **(C)** Relative fluorescence intensity average time trace (mean ± s.e.m.) of FLIP experiments performed in WT or TGN46-KO HeLa cells expressing PAUF-mRFP. **(D)** Quantification of the PAUF-mRFP Golgi residence time as obtained from the FLIP experiments, as the one shown in **(C)**. Between 7–12 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments were quantified. Individual values shown, with mean ± stdev. **(E)** Left panels are confocal fluorescence microscopy images of HeLa cells transfected with control (Ctrl) or TGN46 siRNA, which were also transfected with PKD2-KD-Flag and PAUF-mRFP. Cells were fixed at steady state and labelled with anti-TGN46 (cyan) and anti-Flag (magenta) antibodies. PAUF-mRFP fluorescence signal is shown in green. Scale bars are 10 μm, magnifications of the boxed regions are shown. In the right panel, the quantification of the percentage of PKD2-KD-induced tubules that containing PAUF-mRFP in control (Ctrl) or TGN46 siRNA-treated cells. At least 10 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments were quantified. Individual values shown, with mean ± stdev.

149 TGN46-KO cells (~40 min) as compared to control cells (~25 min), and therefore TGN46 is

150 necessary for an efficient and fast export of PAUF (***Fig. 1C,D*** and ***Fig. S1D***). Taken together,

151 our results indicate that TGN46 is necessary for CARTS-mediated PAUF export from the TGN.

TGN46 is required for cargo loading into CARTS

Cargo-loaded CARTS detach from the TGN once the neck of the nascent carrier is severed

through a membrane fission reaction, a process regulated by the kinase activity of PKD

(Wakana et al., 2012). Accordingly, expression of a kinase-dead mutant of PKD (PKD-KD)

leads to the formation of cargo-loaded tubules (fission-defective CARTS precursors) at the

TGN (Malhotra and Campelo, 2011; Wakana and Campelo, 2021). We took advantage of this

to test if TGN46 is needed for cargo loading into CARTS and/or for membrane bending. We

co-transfected PAUF-mRFP and PKD2-KD-Flag in control and TGN46-depleted HeLa cells,

after which cells were fixed and processed for immunofluorescence microscopy. We first

observed that TGN46 depletion did not affect the number or appearance of PKD2-KD-positive

TGN tubules per cell (***Fig. 1E*** and ***Fig. S1E***), suggesting that TGN46 is not necessary for

membrane bending. Next, we observed that in control (TGN46-positive) cells, a large fraction

of Golgi tubules contained both TGN46 and PAUF-mRFP (~75±15% of tubules containing

PAUF) (***Fig. 1E***), as previously described (Liljedahl et al., 2001; Wakana et al., 2012). In

contrast, and remarkably, PAUF-mRFP was absent from the majority of the tubules induced by

PKD-KD in TGN46-depleted cells (~45±10% of tubules containing PAUF) (***Fig. 1E*** and ***Fig.***

S1E). These findings strongly indicate that TGN46 is required for sorting and loading the

secretory cargo protein PAUF into nascent CARTS at the TGN.

TGN46 export in CARTS is not dependent on TGN46 cytosolic tail signals

Although a number of sequence motifs have been reported to serve as retention signals for

Golgi-resident proteins (Welch and Munro, 2019; Lujan and Campelo, 2021), less is known

about how cargoes are selectively sorted into transport carriers budding from the TGN.

Particularly, sorting mechanisms for CARTS-specific cargoes remain elusive (Wakana and

Campelo, 2021). To investigate how TGN46 sorts cargoes into CARTS, we employed a

mutagenesis approach to examine whether TGN46 possesses specific topological determinants

(such as domains, regions, or motifs) responsible for its cargo sorting and packaging function.

As TGN46 is a single-pass type I TM protein of 437 amino acids (human TGN46, UniProt

identifier O43493-2), we investigated whether functional cargo-packaging modules can be

found in *(i)* the N-terminal lumenal domain (amino acids 22–381), *(ii)* the single TM domain

(TMD; amino acids 382–402), and/or *(iii)* the short C-terminal cytosolic tail (amino acids 403–

437). In particular, we generated a battery of mutant proteins and evaluated how they behave

in terms of *(i)* their fine intra-TGN protein localization, *(ii)* their specific sorting into CARTS,

and *(iii)* their Golgi export rate.

We start by investigating the existence of sorting signals in the cytosolic tail of TGN46. This

tail region contains a tyrosine-based cytosolic motif (YXXΦ; where X represents any amino

acid, and Φ is an amino acid with bulky hydrophobic side chains (Ohno et al., 1996); in TGN46:

YQRL, amino acids 430-433). This motif acts as an endocytic signal for PM internalization

and trafficking back to the TGN, and is therefore crucial for the steady-state localization of

TGN46 at TGN (Banting and Ponnambalam, 1997; Stanley and Howell, 1993; Rajasekaran et

al., 1994; Bos et al., 1993; Humphrey et al., 1993; Banting et al., 1998). We expressed in HeLa

cells a GFP-tagged deletion mutant of TGN46 lacking the cytosolic domain but maintaining

the lumenal domain and TMD (GFP-TGN46-Δcyt) (***Fig. 2A***) and analyzed its intracellular

localization by fluorescence microscopy. As previously reported (Bos et al., 1993; Humphrey

et al., 1993), GFP-TGN46-Δcyt was partially present at the *trans*-Golgi membranes/TGN and

partially at the plasma membrane, as qualitatively seen in the micrographs (***Fig. S2***). We and

others have previously shown that the *trans*-Golgi/TGN membranes are laterally

compartmentalized into export domains (positive for TGN46) and processing domains (positive

for the late-Golgi glycosylation enzyme sialyltransferase, ST) (van Galen et al., 2014; Patterson

et al., 2008; Tie et al., 2022). We therefore quantitatively measured whether GFP-TGN46-Δcyt

partitions into export or processing subdomains. To that end, we co-expressed either GFP-

TGN46 WT or GFP-TGN46-Δcyt together with TGN46-mRFP or ST-mCherry, and measured

the degree of perinuclear colocalization between the different protein pairs (Pearson's

correlation coefficient (Dunn et al., 2011)) (***Fig. 2B*** and ***Fig. S2A***). Our data show that GFP-

TGN46-Δcyt has a lower degree of intra-Golgi colocalization with respect to TGN46-mRFP

and a higher one with respect to ST-mCherry, as compared to its WT counterpart (***Fig. 2B*** and

Fig. S2A). Hence, GFP-TGN46-Δcyt shows an intra-Golgi localization pattern more closely

resembling that of ST-GFP-positive processing domains (***Fig. 2B*** and ***Fig. S2A***). These data

indicate that the cytosolic domain of TGN46 confers an overall TGN localization by

contributing not only to the recycling from the PM, as previously reported (Bos et al., 1993;

Humphrey et al., 1993), but also to its fine steady-state partitioning into TGN export domains

and exclusion from processing domains. We next tested how GFP-TGN46-Δcyt is exported

from the TGN. We first monitored the incorporation of GFP-TGN46-Δcyt into CARTS. HeLa

cells co-overexpressing GFP-TGN46-Δcyt together with either PAUF-mRFP (CARTS marker)

or VSVG-HA (a CARTS-independent TM cargo protein) were incubated at 20ºC for 2 h to

inhibit TGN export, shifted to 37ºC for 15 min to re-initiate cargo export, fixed, and imaged by

fluorescence microscopy. We then analyzed the fraction of cargo-containing carriers (for three

different cargoes: GFP-TGN46 WT, VSVG-HA, and GFP-TGN46-Δcyt) that were also

Figure 2. TGN46 export in CARTS is not dependent on cytosolic tail signals. (A) Schematic representation of construct domain topology. Notice that type I proteins (e.g., GFP-TGN46) have a lumenal N-terminal domain, whereas type II proteins (e.g., ST-GFP) have a cytosolic N-terminal domain. TMD: transmembrane domain. **(B)** Pearson's correlation coefficient between the perinuclear fluorescence signal of the x-axis indicated proteins with respect to TGN46-mRFP (black symbols) or ST-mCherry (gray symbols), measured from confocal micrographs of HeLa cells expressing the indicated proteins. Results are from at least 10 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev). **(C)** Percentage of transport carriers containing each of the cargoes described on the x-axis that are also positive for PAUF (CARTS, black symbols) or VSVG (VSVG carriers, gray symbols), as measured from confocal micrographs of HeLa cells expressing the indicated proteins. Results are from at least 10 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev). **(D)** Relative fluorescence intensity average time trace (mean ± s.e.m.) of FLIP experiments for the indicated proteins. Symbols correspond to actual measurements, solid lines to the fitted exponential decays. **(E)** Residence time in the perinuclear area measured as the half time of the FLIP curves. Results are from 7–12 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev).

positive for PAUF-mRFP (CARTS) or VSVG-HA (VSVG carriers). Our results indicated that

GFP-TGN46-Δcyt is preferentially exported in CARTS and not in VSVG carriers, although

this mutant cargo protein showed a modest but statistically significant reduction in its CARTS

specificity as compared to GFP-TGN46 WT (*Fig. 2C* and *Fig. S2B*). We next performed FLIP

experiments to measure the Golgi residence time of GFP-TGN46-Δcyt, and compare it with

that of GFP-TGN46 WT. Interestingly, GFP-TGN46-Δcyt exits the Golgi at a rate that is

indistinguishable from that of GFP-TGN46 WT (*Fig. 2D,E* and *Fig. S2C*). Taken together, our

results suggest that, although the cytosolic tail of TGN46 is important for PM recycling, it does

not play a major role in mediating TGN46 incorporation into CARTS for export out of the

TGN.

TGN46 intra-Golgi localization and CARTS specificity are insensitive to the length and

composition of its TMD

We next investigated if the information encoded in the amino acid sequence of the TGN46

TMD determines its incorporation into CARTS. The hydrophobic matching hypothesis is a

TMD-based sorting mechanism that has been proposed to contribute to the retention of Golgi-

resident TM proteins (Welch and Munro, 2019; Lujan and Campelo, 2021). This mechanism is

based on the hypothesis that single-pass TM proteins preferably partition into membrane

regions of a thickness that best matches the hydrophobic length of the protein TMD (Munro,

1991; Sharpe et al., 2010; Munro, 1995). The membrane thickness increases along the secretory

pathway (Mitra et al., 2004), which correlates with the average TMD length of the proteins of

these organelles (Sharpe et al., 2010). Hence, according to the TMD-based retention hypothesis,

the separation between Golgi-resident proteins and cargoes destined for export from the TGN

is attributed to the distinct physical properties of these domains (Sharpe et al., 2010; Munro,

1995; Quiroga et al., 2013; Cosson et al., 2013). TGN46 is a type I protein with a single TMD

of 21 amino acids, whereas ST (ST6Gal-I) is a type II protein with a shorter TMD of 17 amino

acids (*Fig. 3A*). Thus, we generated two different TGN46 mutants by altering its TMD

sequence to test whether the length and/or amino acid composition of the TMD of TGN46 is

important for its characteristic intra-Golgi localization, selective CARTS-mediated export, and

Golgi residence time. The first mutant (TGN46-shortTMD) is a TGN46 deletion that lacks 4

amino acids (AAIL) in the central region of the TMD. For the second mutant (TGN46-ST

TMD), we replaced the TGN46 TMD by that of ST, while keeping the topology and, therefore,

the correct TMD orientation with respect to the bilayer (*Fig. 3A*). As compared to TGN46 WT,

both mutants have shorter TMDs (17 amino acids) and while TGN46-shortTMD maintains the

overall amino acid composition, TGN46-ST TMD has a completely altered TMD composition

(*Fig. 3A*).

First, we expressed different combinations of these proteins in HeLa cells, and monitored their intracellular localization by fluorescence microscopy. Altering the TMD of TGN46 had no discernible impact on intra-Golgi localization (*Fig. 3B* and *Fig. S3A*), suggesting that the hydrophobic matching mechanism is not a major determinant for the fine intra-Golgi localization of TGN46. Interestingly, even in cells treated with short-chain ceramide (D-ceramide-C6), a treatment that causes morphological changes and the physical segregation of the Golgi membranes into distinct ST- and TGN46-positive Golgi subdomains (Duran et al., 2012; van Galen et al., 2014; Campelo et al., 2017; Capasso et al., 2017), we did not observe any apparent change in the localization of the TGN46 mutants with short TMD with respect to TGN46 WT (*Fig. S4*). However, because our results were obtained using diffraction-limited confocal microscopy (with a spatial lateral resolution of ~250 nm), it is still possible that more subtle alterations in the intra-Golgi localization of these mutants exist at shorter length scales. To address this possibility, we used super-resolution single molecule localization microscopy (SMLM) with a localization precision (resolution) of ~15–30 nm. Our results show that, even with this enhanced spatial resolution, the intra-Golgi localization of the different TGN46 mutants with shorter TMD was indistinguishable from that of TGN46 WT (*Fig. S5*).

Second, we asked whether the TGN46 TMD sequence plays a role in dragging this protein into CARTS. Interestingly, the two mutants with shorter TMDs (TGN46-shortTMD and TGN46-ST TMD) lost their preference for being co-packaged with PAUF into CARTS, and partially redirected into other transport carriers such as VSVG carriers (*Fig. 3C* and *Fig. S3B*).

Finally, we investigated how fast these TGN46 mutants with shorter TMDs are exported out of the Golgi apparatus. FLIP experiments indicated that the chimeric protein TGN46-ST TMD-GFP has a slower Golgi export rate (longer Golgi residence time) as compared to TGN46-GFP. In addition, TGN46-shortTMD-GFP was exported at a slightly slower rate, although this difference was not statistically significant (*Fig. 3D, E* and *Fig. S3C*). Next, we co-overexpressed TGN46-GFP together with TGN46-ST TMD-mRFP or TGN46-mRFP, as a control, and performed FLIP experiments tso measure the rate of TGN46 WT-GFP export. The aim of these experiments was to test if the expression of these mutants leads to defects in CARTS biogenesis. Our results show that the Golgi residence time of TGN46-GFP was not affected by the co-expression of either the WT or the chimeric proteins (*Fig. S6A,B*). These data provide further support to the idea that the slower Golgi export rate of TGN46 mutants with short TMDs is a consequence of their compromised selective sorting into CARTS.

It has been reported that some medial Golgi enzymes can dimerize or oligomerize and that this property (kin recognition) is important for TM protein localization along the Golgi stack (Welch and Munro, 2019; Banfield, 2011; Lujan and Campelo, 2021). However, there are

Figure 3. TGN46 intra-Golgi localization and CARTS specificity are insensitive to TMD length and composition. (A) Schematic representation of construct domain topology. The amino acid sequence (in the correct topology) of the different transmembrane domains (TMD) is indicated. **(B)** Pearson's correlation coefficient between the perinuclear fluorescence signal of the x-axis indicated proteins with respect to TGN46-mRFP (black symbols) or ST-mCherry (gray symbols), measured from confocal micrographs of HeLa cells expressing the indicated proteins. Results are at least 3 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev). **(C)** Percentage of transport carriers containing each of the cargoes described on the x-axis that are also positive for PAUF (CARTS, black symbols) or VSVG (VSVG carriers, gray symbols), as measured from confocal micrographs of HeLa cells expressing the indicated proteins. Results are from at least 10 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev). **(D)** Relative fluorescence intensity average time trace (mean ± s.e.m.) of FLIP experiments for the indicated proteins. Symbols correspond to actual measurements, solid lines to the fitted exponential decays. **(E)** Residence time in the perinuclear area measured as the half time of the FLIP curves. Results are from 7–12 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev).

reports showing that kin recognition is not relevant for ST or for other *trans*-Golgi membrane proteins (Munro, 1995). To examine if the unaltered intra-Golgi localization of TGN46-shortTMD and TGN46-ST TMD compared to WT was due to protein dimerization/oligomerization, we tested whether TGN46-GFP and TGN46-mRFP co-immunoprecipitated when expressed in HeLa cells. Our results show no obvious dimerization between TGN46-GFP and TGN46-mRFP (**Fig. S6C**).

One of the possible consequences of shortening the TMD of TGN46 might be the partial burial of the highly charged residues of TGN46 cytosolic tail into the cytosolic leaflet of the TGN membrane, which, concomitantly, could negatively hamper its CARTS-mediated export. If so, the observed phenotype would follow from the unnatural proximity of the cytosolic tail to the membrane rather than from a direct effect due to hydrophobic mismatch. To test this hypothesis, we deleted the cytosolic tail of TGN46-ST TMD – which, as we showed earlier (**Fig. 2**), has no major effect in TGN46 export in CARTS – to generate a mutant with TGN46 lumenal domain, ST TMD, and no cytosolic tail (TGN46-Δcyt-ST TMD, **Fig. 2A**). This chimeric protein phenocopies GFP-TGN46-Δcyt regarding its *(i)* intra-Golgi localization (**Fig. 2B** and **Fig. S2A**), and *(ii)* CARTS specificity (**Fig. 2C** and **Fig. S2B**), with only a milder reduction in the Golgi export rate (**Fig. 2D,E** and **Fig. S2C**). Taken together, these results strongly indicate that the hydrophobic matching mechanism (as well as the information encoded in the TMD) does not play a primary role in driving the intra-Golgi localization and Golgi export of TGN46.

The lumenal domain of TGN46 is necessary and sufficient for CARTS-mediated export from the TGN

Finally, we enquired if the lumenal domain of TGN46 plays a role in intra-Golgi localization, Golgi export rate (residence time), and selective sorting into CARTS. First, we aimed to test whether the lumenal domain of TGN46 is *necessary* for its sorting and packaging into CARTS. We thus generated a deletion mutant of TGN46 lacking its lumenal domain (GFP-TGN46-Δlum) (**Fig. 4A**), expressed it in parental HeLa cells, and monitored its intra-Golgi localization by fluorescence microscopy. Although still perinuclear, GFP-TGN46-Δlum lost the characteristic intra-Golgi localization of the TGN46 WT and rather localized into ST-mCherry-positive processing domains of the *trans*-Golgi membranes (**Fig. 4B** and **Fig. S5A**). Notably, this exclusion from TGN export domains paralleled a striking reduction in the selective incorporation of GFP-TGN46-Δlum into CARTS (**Fig. 4D** and **Fig. S6A**), as well as in the rate of Golgi export (**Fig. 4F** and **Fig. S7A,C**), as compared to the full length protein. These results consistently indicate that the lumenal domain of TGN46 is *necessary* for CARTS-mediated export of TGN46, therefore suggesting that this domain contains a signal for sorting and loading into CARTS.

Next, we asked whether the TGN46 lumenal domain is not only necessary but also *sufficient*
for CARTS-mediated TGN exit. We started by generating a soluble cargo protein that only
contains the lumenal domain of TGN46, tagged with GFP at the N terminus just following the
signal sequence (GFP-TGN46lum) (*Fig. 4A*). Upon expression in HeLa cells, GFP-TGN46lum
localizes to the Golgi apparatus where it only shows a partial colocalization with TGN46-mRFP
or with ST-mCherry (*Fig. 4B* and *Fig. S7A*). This low degree of colocalization could be due to
the fact that we are comparing the location of soluble and TM proteins. Nonetheless, when we
used our synchronized cargo release assay to measure selective incorporation into CARTS,
GFP-TGN46lum maintained specificity for CARTS-mediated export (*Fig. 4D* and *Fig. S8A*).
Moreover, FLIP experiments revealed that GFP-TGN46lum exits as fast as GFP-TGN46 WT,
or even faster (*Fig. 4E* and *Fig. S9A,B*). We next asked if the signal encoded in the TGN46
lumenal domain can direct otherwise unrelated proteins into CARTS. In particular, we tested
whether TGN46 lumenal domain can lead to CARTS-mediated export of *(i)* a Golgi-resident
TM protein (ST), and *(ii)* a CARTS-independent TM cargo protein (VSVG). To that end, we
exchanged the lumenal domains (while maintaining the correct topology) of ST and VSVG for
that of TGN46, thereby generating two chimeric constructs: GFP-ST-TGN46lum, and GFP-
VSVG-TGN46lum, respectively (*Fig. 4A*). We then studied the intra-Golgi localization,
CARTS-mediated export selectivity, and Golgi export rate of these proteins in relation to their
WT counterparts. Our results indicate that GFP-ST-TGN46lum maintained a good degree of
colocalization with ST-mCherry and additionally it increased its colocalization with TGN46-
mRFP (*Fig. 4B* and *Fig. S7A*). Similar results were obtained for the intra-Golgi localization of
GFP-VSVG-TGN46lum with respect to TGN46-mRFP and VSVG-HA (*Fig. 4C* and *Fig.
S7B*). As an additional control to eliminate any sources of possible intra-Golgi sorting signals
residing in the lumenal region of VSVG, we also included GFP-VSVG-Δlum – a deletion
mutant of VSVG lacking the lumenal domain (*Figure 4A*). This construct shows a reduced
colocalization with respect to both TGN46-mRFP and VSVG-HA, possibly indicating a tug-
of-war between lumenal signals – driving localization towards TGN46-positive export domains
– and TM/cytosolic signals – driving localization towards VSVG-positive export domains (*Fig.
4C* and *Fig. S7B*). Despite these subtle differences in their intra-Golgi localization, the GFP-
VSVG-TGN46lum chimera specifically exits the TGN in CARTS, with a similar specificity to
that of TGN46-GFP, whereas GFP-VSVG-Δlum exits in VSVG carriers, similarly to VSVG-
GFP (*Fig. 4D* and *Fig. S8A*). In line with these observations, FLIP microscopy showed a fast
Golgi export rate of GFP-VSVG-TGN46lum, indistinguishable from that of GFP-TGN46 WT,
and a somewhat slower export rate for both GFP-VSVG-Δlum and VSVG-GFP (*Fig. 4F,G* and
Fig. S9A,C,D). These results indicate that the lumenal domain of TGN46 can re-direct other
TM cargo proteins into CARTS, thereby acting as a gain-of-function domain for fast export in
CARTS out of the Golgi apparatus. Similarly, fusing the lumenal domain of TGN46 to ST also

Figure 4. The lumenal domain of TGN46 is necessary and sufficient for its CARTS-mediated export from the TGN.
(A) Schematic representation of construct domain topology. TMD: transmembrane domain. **(B)** Pearson's correlation coefficient between the perinuclear fluorescence signal of the x-axis indicated proteins with respect to TGN46-mRFP (black symbols) or ST-mCherry (gray symbols), measured from confocal micrographs of HeLa cells expressing the indicated proteins. Results are from at least 10 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev). **(C)** Pearson's correlation coefficient between the perinuclear fluorescence signal of the x-axis-indicated proteins with respect to TGN46-mRFP (black symbols) or VSVG-HA (gray symbols; detected by immunofluorescence using an Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated secondary antibody), measured from confocal micrographs of HeLa cells expressing the indicated proteins. Results are from at least 10 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev). **(D)** Percentage of transport carriers containing each of the cargoes described on the x-axis that are also positive for PAUF (CARTS, black symbols) or VSVG (VSVG carriers, gray symbols), as measured from confocal micrographs of HeLa cells expressing the indicated proteins. Results are from at least 10 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev). **(E–G)** Relative fluorescence intensity average time trace (mean ± s.e.m.) of FLIP experiments for the indicated proteins. Symbols correspond to actual measurements, solid lines to the fitted exponential decays.

created an export-competent protein that exits the TGN by being specifically sorted into
CARTS (*Fig. 4D* and *Fig. S8A*). Remarkably, GFP-ST-TGN46lum has a shorter Golgi
residence time as compared to ST-GFP, with an export rate slightly slower but comparable to
that of GFP-TGN46 WT (*Fig. 4F* and *Fig. S9A,C*). Altogether, these results indicate that, as
long as the protein is correctly localized within the Golgi membranes, signals encoded in the
lumenal domain of TGN46 are not only necessary, but also *sufficient* for cargo sorting into
CARTS.

Correlation between CARTS specificity and Golgi export rate of cargo proteins

Our data thus far suggest that proeins with a high specificity for the CARTS export pathway
have a relatively fast Golgi export rate (*Figs. 2–4*). To quantitatively illustrate these findings,
we plotted for each of the tested cargo proteins their CARTS specificity as a function of their
Golgi residence time. The plots reveal the existence of a negative correlation between CARTS
specificity and Golgi residence time (slope~$-0.9\pm0.4\%$ min^{-1}, r^2~0.4) (*Fig. 5A*). Both TGN46
WT (*Fig. 5A*, dark green) and the different chimeric cargo proteins that contain the lumenal
domain but not the cytosolic tail of TGN46 (*Fig. 5A*, light green and light blue) are selectively
sorted into CARTS (>50% specificity) and rapidly exported out of the Golgi (short Golgi
residence times ~10–30 min). Interestingly, in the absence of the lumenal domain of TGN46
(*Fig. 5A*, red) or when only the TMD sequence of TGN46 is altered (while keeping the WT
cytosolic tail) (*Fig. 5A*, yellow), cargoes lost CARTS specificity (<35%) with the concomitant
decrease in the Golgi export rate (larger Golgi residence times ~30–50 min), consistent with
the values found for VSVG (*Fig. 5A*, blue), a cargo that is excluded from CARTS (Wakana et
al., 2012) and shows a slower Golgi export rate (Golgi residence time ~30 min). Taken together,
these data indicate that VSVG-containing carriers mediate a relatively slower Golgi export
route as compared to CARTS, thereby underscoring the different export kinetics of distinct
Golgi export routes.

The cargo sorting function of TGN46 is mediated by its lumenal domain

TGN46 is a bona fide CARTS component (Wakana and Campelo, 2021), and our data indicate
that it is required for PAUF sorting into CARTS for secretion (*Fig. 1*). Furthermore, we have
shown that the lumenal domain of TGN46 is necessary and sufficient for the incorporation of
this TM protein into CARTS (*Fig. 4*). Thus, it is plausible that TGN46 facilitates the sorting of
client cargoes via its lumenal domain. To test this hypothesis, we investigated whether the
expression of different mutants of TGN46 rescues the sorting and export defect we have
observed in TGN46-KO cells. In particular, we studied the following TGN46-based proteins:
GFP-TGN46 (wild type protein), GFP-TGN46-Δcyt (no cytosolic tail), GFP-TGN46-ST TMD
(TGN46 with the shorter TMD of the Golgi resident ST), and GFP-TGN46-Δlum (no lumenal

Figure 5. The cargo sorting function of TGN46 is mediated by its lumenal domain. (A) CARTS specificity of cargo proteins correlates with their Golgi export rate. Plot of the percentage of cargo-positive vesicles that are also positive for PAUF (CARTS marker) as a function of the Golgi residence time as measured from FLIP experiments, for the different indicated cargo proteins (color coding explained in the legend on the right). Dashed black line represents a linear fit of the data points (shown as mean ± s.e.m.), where the slope is statistically different from zero (extra sum-of-squares F test, p value= 0.04). **(B)** Percentage of transport carriers containing each of the cargoes described on the x-axis that are also positive for PAUF (CARTS, black symbols) or VSVG (VSVG carriers, gray symbols), as measured from confocal micrographs of HeLa cells (either WT or TGN46-KO cell lines) expressing the indicated proteins. Results are at least 10 cells from each of n=3 independent experiment (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev). **(C)** Relative fluorescence intensity average time trace (mean ± s.e.m.) of FLIP experiments for the indicated proteins expressed in HeLa WT or HeLa TGN46-KO cells, as detailed in the legend. Symbols correspond to actual measurements, solid lines to the fitted exponential decays. **(D)** Residence time of PAUF-mRFP in the perinuclear area of HeLa cells (WT or KO), expressing the different proteins as labeled in the x axis, and measured as the half time of the FLIP curves. Results are from 7–12 cells from each of n=3 independent experiments (individual values shown, with mean ± stdev).

domain). First, TGN46-KO cells co-expressing any of these TGN46 constructs together with PAUF-mRFP or VSVG-HA were synchronized by a 20° block and 15 min release at 37°, after which the cells were fixed and prepared for fluorescence microscopy (*Fig. S10A*). We quantified the percentage of carriers containing the different TGN46 mutants that were also positive for either PAUF-mRFP (CARTS marker) or VSVG-HA (non-CARTS marker) and compared those to the distributions found when the same proteins were expressed in the parental HeLa cells (*Fig. 5B*; see also *Fig. 2C, 3C, 4D*). Our results indicate that CARTS selectivity of these cargo proteins was not severely altered in the TGN46 KO cells as compared to the parental cell line. Next, we carried rescue experiments by performing FLIP in TGN46-KO cells expressing PAUF-mRFP together with GFP-TGN46, GFP-TGN46-Δcyt, TGN46-ST TMD-GFP, or GFP-TGN46-Δlum to measure the rate of PAUF-mRFP export from the Golgi. Interestingly, overexpression of GFP-TGN46 WT or GFP-TGN46-Δcyt – but not of TGN46-ST TMD-GFP or GFP-TGN46-Δlum – was able to rescue PAUF-mRFP export from the Golgi in TGN46-KO cells (*Figs. 5C,D* and *S11*). Taken our data together, we propose a model in which the proper partitioning of TGN46 into TGN export domains (sites of CARTS biogenesis) is required for its lumenal domain to efficiently recruit and sort PAUF and possibly other CARTS cargoes into nascent transport carriers for secretion.

DISCUSSION

TGN46 is necessary for cargo loading into CARTS

Here, we revealed a novel role for TGN46 in the sorting of the secretory cargo protein PAUF into nascent transport carriers at the TGN. CRISPR/Cas9-edited HeLa cells lacking TGN46 showed *(i)* a drastic inhibition in PAUF secretion (*Fig. 1A*); *(ii)* a reduction in the number of cytoplasmic CARTS – but not of other TGN-to-PM carriers such as VSVG carriers – (*Fig. 1B*); and *(iii)* a decrease in the Golgi export rate of PAUF (*Fig. 1C,D*). To investigate the role of TGN46 in TGN export, we took advantage of the phenotype created by the exogenous expression of PKD-KD, which consists in the presence of long, cargo-containing tubules emanating from the TGN membranes (Liljedahl et al., 2001). Because these tubules are fission-defective transport carriers, and membrane fission is downstream of cargo sorting, we could use this assay as a useful approach to investigate cargo sorting into PKD-dependent transport carriers at the TGN. Our results showed that TGN46 is not necessary for membrane tubulation but it is essential to sort and package the secretory cargo PAUF into PKD-KD-induced TGN tubules (*Fig. 1E*), therefore suggesting a prime role of TGN46 in cargo sorting. Indeed, TGN46 (and its rodent orthologs TGN38/TGN41) had previously been suggested to act as a cargo receptor, based on the fact that this protein shares the characteristics of a typical cargo receptor:

it is a type-I single-pass TM protein that cycles between the TGN and the PM (Stanley and Howell, 1993). Although future work will be necessary to pinpoint the mechanism that TGN46 uses for cargo sorting and loading into carriers, we believe that the data reported here shed some light into the actual role of human TGN46 for the sorting and loading of cargo proteins into TGN-derived transport carriers destined to the cell surface.

Although in here we have focused on the study of the model CARTS cargo protein PAUF, it seems reasonable to expect that TGN46 is responsible for the sorting of other CARTS cargoes. Interestingly, the lumenal domain of TGN38 has been shown to interact with the adhesion receptor integrin β_1 and to partially co-localize with integrins α_5 and β_1, but not α_V or β_3 (Wang and Howell, 2000). In addition, TGN38 regulates cell adhesion, as the overexpression of TGN38 leads to cell detachment from the substrate (Luzio et al., 1990; McNamara et al., 2004; Lee and Banting, 2002; Humphrey et al., 1993; Reaves et al., 1993). Remarkably, integrin β_1 is transported from the TGN to the cell surface in a Rab6-mediated (Huet-Calderwood et al., 2017) and PKD-dependent manner (Yeaman et al., 2004). Because TGN46 binds a cytosolic protein complex made of 62 kDa protein (p62) and Rab6 (Jones et al., 1993), and CARTS are PKD-dependent transport carriers that share many similarities with Rab6-positive carriers (Wakana and Campelo, 2021), we propose that the observed role of TGN46 as a cargo receptor for the sorting of the soluble CARTS-specific cargo PAUF is quite general, and can include a long list of both soluble and TM cargo proteins, such as $\alpha_5\beta_1$ integrins. In addition, TGN46 has been recently reported to assist in the trafficking of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), as this protein is upregulated in SARS-CoV-2-infected cells and cells depleted of TGN46 showed a reduced SARS-CoV-2 infection rate (Zhang et al., 2022). In summary, further work will be required to grasp the full magnitude of the role of TGN46 in protein sorting for export and secretion out of the TGN, which can provide ideas to help in the rational design of new therapeutic tools to target human pathologies associated with secretion defects.

TGN46 localization to *trans*-Golgi membranes is independent of TMD length and composition

Different mechanisms that mediate the spatial and temporal organization of Golgi residents (e.g., glycosylation enzymes) and their respective substrates (e.g., secretory cargoes) have been proposed (Banfield, 2011; Welch and Munro, 2019; Lujan and Campelo, 2021). Amongst these, a lipid-based retention/sorting mechanism has been proposed for Golgi TM proteins (Patterson et al., 2008; Munro, 1991; Sharpe et al., 2010; Munro, 1995; Lippincott-Schwartz and Phair, 2010). This mechanism builds on the observation that the levels of certain lipids, such as cholesterol and SM, are enriched along the *cis*- to *trans*- axis of the Golgi stack (Van Meer et

al., 2008; Bretscher and Munro, 1993). This lipid gradient correlates with an increase in the thickness of those membranes (Mitra et al., 2004). In addition, a comprehensive bioinformatic study using large datasets of TM proteins from different organisms showed a correlation between the intracellular localization of these proteins and different specific features of their TMDs, such as their length, which on average is shorter for proteins of the early secretory pathway as compared to those of the late secretory pathway (Sharpe et al., 2010). Furthermore, it has been proposed that not only the TMD length but also the distribution of bulky and highly hydrophobic amino acids within the TMD affect the specific location of TM proteins (Sharpe et al., 2010; Quiroga et al., 2013). Altogether, these studies have led to the suggestion of the hydrophobic matching model, which proposes that gradients in bilayer thickness along the membranes of the secretory pathway contribute/drive the sorting and retention of TM proteins across the secretory pathway. Although there is compelling evidence for a TMD-based mechanism for targeting certain TM proteins to specific cell surface domains (Milovanovic et al., 2015), to localize type-II resident proteins of the cell surface and the Golgi membranes (Munro, 1995; Quiroga et al., 2013), and also to sort the type-III TM protein linker for activation of T-cells (LAT) by raft-mediated partitioning (Diaz-Rohrer et al., 2014; Lorent et al., 2017; Castello-Serrano et al., 2023), it remained unclear how this mechanism contributed to the retention, sorting and lateral segregation of Golgi resident enzymes and their substrates. Interestingly, by using a coarse-grained mathematical model of intra-Golgi transport, Dmitrieff et al. showed that experimental data on the Golgi dynamics of secretory cargoes and Golgi residents cannot be explained if the hydrophobic matching mechanism is the sole retention mechanism for Golgi residents (Dmitrieff et al., 2013). In agreement with this latter proposal, our results revealed that hydrophobic mismatch between TMDs and bilayer thickness is not sufficient to drive the sorting of a TM Golgi-resident enzymes from substrate cargoes along the *trans*-Golgi/TGN membranes. Specifically, we studied the role of TMD length and amino acid composition in intra-Golgi localization of the type-I single-pass TM cargo glycoprotein TGN46, and observed that localization and sialylation of TGN46 is not affected when its TMD is shortened or even replaced by that of the Golgi-resident type-II TM enzyme ST (***Fig. 3A,B*** and ***Fig. S3A***). These data suggest that the hydrophobic length and amino acid composition of the TMD of TGN46 is not a major contributing factor to localize this TM protein into Golgi export domains for its function in sorting and packaging of soluble cargoes into nascent CARTS.

A role for the cytosolic tail of TGN46 in driving its proper intra-Golgi localization

Our results indicate that the cytosolic tail of TGN46 contains a specific signal allowing the perinuclear pool of TGN46 to localize in the right sub-compartment within the TGN membranes. In particular, the localization of a TGN46 mutant lacking the cytosolic tail was

shifted towards Golgi processing domains (ST enzyme-positive regions) rather than in export

domains (TGN46 WT-positive regions) (***Fig. 2B*** and ***Fig. S2A***). It has been well documented

that the cytosolic tail of TGN38 – the rodent ortholog of TGN46 – contains a tyrosine-based

motif for internalization and recycling of the protein back to the TGN (Bos et al., 1993;

Humphrey et al., 1993). Adding to these earlier reports, our data suggest that the cytosolic tail

of TGN46 also determines its fine intra-Golgi localization. Factors such as Vps74/GOLPH3 or

coat proteins such as COPI (Tu et al., 2008; Wood et al., 2009; Welch et al., 2021), influence

enzyme-cargo interaction/segregation by recognizing cytoplasmic signals and thereby inducing

protein retention by fast recycling. In addition, SM metabolism can also contribute to laterally

organize the Golgi membranes at the nanoscale for efficient cargo-enzyme interactions (Duran

et al., 2012; van Galen et al., 2014).

Cargoes destined for secretion exit the Golgi with different export rates

We observed that the Golgi residence time of different TGN46-based chimeric proteins was

different depending on the specific export route they use to exit the TGN (***Fig. 5A***). In

particular, when we compared the export rate of two different PKD-dependent TM proteins

(TGN46, which is exported in CARTS; and VSVG, which is not), we found that CARTS appear

to be a faster export route (~15 min Golgi residence time) than VSVG carriers (~30 min Golgi

residence time) (***Fig. 5A***). Remarkably, when we generated a series of chimeras combining

different domains from different proteins, we observed that loss of CARTS specificity

correlated with larger Golgi residence times (***Fig. 5A***). These results could provide an

explanation as to why cells use different routes (with different machineries) for the export of

secretory or TM cargo proteins to the same destination, *i.e.*, different cargoes might require

longer/shorter residence times in the Golgi and/or faster transport kinetics to the cell surface. It

is possible that developing various TGN-to-PM export routes of different kinetics might have

endowed cells with beneficial mechanisms to cope with their secretory needs under stress

conditions.

CARTS have been suggested to be enriched in cholesterol and SM (Wakana et al., 2021;

Wakana and Campelo, 2021), and their biogenesis to require intact ER-Golgi membrane

contact sites (MCS) supplying the TGN with these lipids (or their precursors) (Wakana et al.,

2015, 2021). Notably, data from Deng, Pakdel et al. suggested that TGN46 is a native cargo of

the SM-dependent TGN export pathway, as it was identified by mass spectrometry to be co-

packaged in carriers containing the lumenal SM sensor EQ-SM-APEX2 (Deng et al., 2018).

Given the similarities between the SM/Cab45 and CARTS export pathways (Stalder and

Gershlick, 2020; Wakana and Campelo, 2021), it is tempting to speculate that TGN46 could be

enriched in ER-Golgi MCS and perhaps complement the sorting function of Cab45 for a subset

of secretory cargo proteins.

Further elaborating on these specific needs/characteristics of different cargoes/export routes

will be of outmost importance in the future to better understand the intricate relationships

between physiological needs and the regulation of the secretory machinery of the cell (Di

Martino et al., 2019; Luini and Parashuraman, 2016; Cancino et al., 2013; Wakana and

Campelo, 2021).

IDEAS AND SPECULATIONS

In the following, we would like to propose some ideas and speculate on a possible mechanism

by which TGN46 could serve as a cargo sorting factor at the TGN.

The lumenal domain of TGN46 can form liquid condensates *in vitro*

Our data suggest that the lumenal domain of TGN46 might have a propensity to self-associate,

because *(i)* the steady-state intra-Golgi localization of TGN46 is lost when the lumenal domain

is deleted (***Fig. 4B*** and ***Fig. S7A***); and *(ii)* although the soluble lumenal domain of TGN46

showed an intermediate intra-Golgi localization phenotype, anchoring this domain to the

membrane by fusing it to the *trans*-Golgi-targeting sequence of ST conferred this chimera a

localization pattern indistinguishable from that of full length TGN46 (***Fig. 4B*** and ***Fig. S7A***).

To obtain insights on how this might occur, we analyzed the sequence features of the lumenal

domain of TGN46 (***Fig. S12A***). On the one hand, TGN46 is a heavily glycosylated protein,

including 9 predicted *N*-linked glycosylation sites, multiple *O*-linked glycosylation sites, as

well as 5 phosphosites (***Fig. S12B***), all of them located at the lumenal domain. Structurally,

this region is highly disordered, as evidenced by a high PONDR score (in the range 0.5–1) for

most of its amino acid sequence (***Fig. S12C***), indicating with high probability that the lumenal

domain of TGN46 is an essentially intrinsically disordered region (IDR). In recent years, IDR

of various proteins have been shown to have the capacity to form biomolecular condensates

(Banani et al., 2017; Garcia-Cabau and Salvatella, 2021; Shin and Brangwynne, 2017; Hyman

et al., 2014; Brangwynne et al., 2009; Case et al., 2019; Mittag and Pappu, 2022). Due to their

liquid nature, these condensates take spherical shapes, and their components are mobile within

the condensate (dense phase) and can also exchange with those present, at a lower

concentration, in the external solution (light phase). We thus tested whether the TGN46 lumenal

domain has the capacity to form liquid droplets *in vitro*. We purified the protein domain (amino

acids 1–437) fused to GFP in *E. coli*, as this renders the simplest form of TGN46 lumenal

domain (without glycosylations). We assessed by fluorescence microscopy the formation of

liquid droplets at different protein concentrations and with or without the addition of Ficoll – a
well-known crowding agent used to mimic the crowded environment of the Golgi lumen. Our
results indicate that, when present at a high concentration (100 µM) and in the presence of
Ficoll, TGN46-lumenal is able to form spherical structures of a range of sizes (~1 µm),
characteristic of biomolecular condensates (*Fig 6A*). Notably, time-lapse fluorescence
microscopy imaging revealed fusion events between droplets, which is indicative of their liquid
nature (*Fig. 6B*). In addition, we carried out fluorescence recovery after photobleaching
(FRAP) experiments to determine whether protein molecules could diffuse inside the droplets.
Our results indicate that, indeed, protein molecules diffuse within the dense phase and that the
fluorescence recovers with a characteristic half-time of 4.46±1.54 s, and a mobile fraction (MF)
of 0.70±0.11 (*Fig. 6C*); we also performed FRAP experiments where an entire droplet was
bleached and observed fluorescence recovery indicating that protein molecules do exchange
between the light and dense phases (*Fig. 6D*). Together, these results show the capacity of the
TGN46 lumenal domain to form liquid droplets *in vitro*. We further characterized the driving
forces for this process by assessing the effect of solution conditions on phase separation. We
first studied the effect of addition of NaCl to the samples (*Fig. 6E*), and found that this
decreases the propensity of the domain to phase separate, indicating that droplet formation is
favored at low ionic strength. These data suggest that the interactions stabilizing the droplets
formed by TGN46 are of electrostatic nature, between amino acid side chains of opposite
charge or hydrogen bonds. This behavior is in agreement with a clear bias in the residue type
composition of the domain, that is highly enriched in Lys and Glu relative to a typical ID
domain as defined by the DisProt 3.4 database (*Fig. S12D–F*). Finally, we assessed the effect
of temperature on droplet formation by measuring the apparent absorbance, *i.e.,* the turbidity,
of the same samples at 20, 40 and 60 ºC (*Fig. 6F*), and obtained that the formation of liquid
droplets is promoted at high temperatures, in the lower critical solution temperature (LCST)
regime, indicating that *in vitro* droplet formation is entropy-driven. Taken together, our data
reveal that the lumenal domain of TGN46, which is crucial for its cargo sorting function, has
the capacity to form liquid droplets *in vitro*. This observation suggests a possible biophysical
mechanism for how this TM protein, by forming condensates in a cellular context, could
mediate the sorting of secretory cargoes into transport carriers for their secretion. Naturally,
these ideas still remain highly speculative but they help us ask a number of interesting
questions. First, what is the role of TGN46 lumenal domain glycosylation in the regulation of
droplet/condensate formation? Also, we observed that phase separation of non-glycosylated
TGN46 lumenal domain is promoted at relatively high protein concentration (100 µM) and in
the presence of the crowding agent Ficoll. These conditions might seem at first glance
somewhat extreme, however, we need to stress the fact that TGN46 is a TM protein and that

Figure 6. *In vitro* characterization of TGN46 lumenal domain condensate formation. (A) Fluorescence microscopy images of 50 and 100 µM protein concentration with 0 and 15 % (w/v) Ficoll 70 at 40 ºC. Scale bar represents 10 µm. **(B)** Example of a fusion event. Scale bar represents 2 µm. **(C)** FRAP experiment showing recovery after photobleaching within a single droplet. Scale bar represents 2 µm. The FRAP curve shown as the mean and standard deviation of 20 independent measurements and the corresponding fitted values are shown in the bottom plot. **(D)** FRAP experiment showing recovery after photobleaching of an entire droplet. Scale bar represents 5 µm. **(E)** Fluorescence microscopy images at different ionic strengths (0, 100, 200 and 300 mM NaCl) of a 100 µM TGN46lum sample with 15 %(w/v) Ficoll 70 at 40 ºC. Scale bar represents 5 µm. **(F)** Apparent absorbance measurements at 350 nm of the same samples as **(E)** at temperatures of 20, 40 and 60 ºC.

condensation of TM proteins by can be assisted by a local nucleation that locally increases the protein concentration (Garcia-Cabau and Salvatella, 2021; Case et al., 2019). Interestingly, the levels of the cation-dependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor – a well-known cargo receptor at the TGN for the sorting of lysosomal hydrolases into clathrin-coated vesicles – are about 10-fold higher than those of TGN46: ~200,000 copies vs. ~30,000 copies, respectively, per HeLa cell (Kulak et al., 2014). Of notice, our co-immunoprecipitation experiments showed no evidence for strong TGN46-TGN46 protein interactions (**Fig. S6C**), which agrees with our suggestion that TGN46 forms biomolecular condensates that are stabilized by weak interactions. It is therefore tempting to speculate that the mechanism of cargo sorting by TGN46 might be different from that of a classical cargo receptor, such as the mannose 6-phosphate receptor, which binds its clients in an ~1:1 stoichiometry. It still remains unknown whether PAUF is recruited to nascent CARTS by the lumenal domain of TGN46. PAUF is a putative lectin, so it is possible that it interacts with TGN by recognizing the glycans on its lumenal domain (**Fig. S12B**). Alternatively, or in parallel, TGN46 condensates could be liquid droplets with a specific chemical microenvironment (pH, Ca^{2+} concentration, etc.), which might serve to recruit certain cargoes. Future endeavors along these lines will help elucidate the mechanistic details of cargo sorting by TGN46.

Remarkably, Parchure et al. recently showed that liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) might also play a dominant role in the formation of secretory insulin-containing granules for regulated exocytosis (Parchure et al., 2022). The authors showed that the chromogranins – key regulators of secretory granule formation – can undergo LLPS *in vitro* in conditions of low pH, such as the one found in the TGN. Notably, cargoes such as proinsulin or LyzC do co-partition with chromogranins in liquid droplets, and put forward a "client sponge" model in which secretory cargoes would be sorted into liquid biomolecular condensates based on their size. It will be interesting to investigate whether the TM protein TGN46 and soluble chromogranins use two parallel condensation-based pathways for the sorting of different cargoes for constitutive and regulated secretion, respectively.

CONCLUSION

In summary, we presented here experimental results that support a model in which TGN46 serves as a sorting receptor for a subset of cargoes at the TGN. Thanks to TGN46, these cargoes are sorted packaged into nascent CARTS for their fast delivery to the cell surface or for secretion outside the cell. The sorting capabilities of TGN46 are ascribed to its lumenal domain, an IDR prone to undergo phase separation. Indeed, our *in vitro* results showed that this domain has the capability to form liquid droplets. Based on our findings, we propose a working model

in which TGN46 forms small biomolecular condensates at the TGN that serve to recruit secretory cargoes in the lumen of the TGN for their sorting and fast export to the cell surface by CARTS.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank members of the Single Molecule Biophotonics lab at ICFO, Chris Burd, Ivan Castello-Serrano, and Ilya Levental for valuable discussions. We thank Hideaki Sugiura (Tokyo University of Pharmacy and Life Science) for performing preliminary experiments. We thank Nathalie Brouwers and the Centre for Genomic Regulation/Universitat Pompeu Fabra FACS Unit (Barcelona) for help with cell sorting and the IRB Barcelona Advanced Digital Microscopy (ADM) Core Facility for help with microscopy. We also thank Jordi Andilla and Maria Marsal for technical support at the Super-resolution Light Nanoscopy (SLN) facility at ICFO. The authors acknowledge the Protein Technologies Unit, Centre for Genomic Regulation (CRG) (Barcelona, Spain) for providing the protein used in this study. C.G.-C. acknowledges a FPI fellowship awarded by MINECO in the 2018 call. P.L., J.V.L., X.S., M.F.G.-P. and F.C. acknowledge support from the Government of Spain (FIS2015-63550-R, BFU2015-73288-JIN, FIS2017-89560-R, RYC-2017–22227, PID2019-106232RB-I00/10.13039/501100011033/110198RB-I00 and PID2020-113068RB-I00 / 10.13039/501100011033; Severo Ochoa CEX2019-000910-S), Fundació Privada Cellex, Fundació Privada Mir-Puig, and Generalitat de Catalunya (CERCA, AGAUR), ERC Consolidator Grant CONCERT (GA 648201) and Advanced Grants NANO-MEMEC (GA 788546) and LaserLab 4 Europe (GA 654148).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, P.L. and F.C.; Methodology, P.L., C.G.-C., Y.W., J. V. L., C.R, X.S., and F.C.; Software, C.R.; Formal analysis, P.L., C.G.-C., Y.W., C.R., X.S., V.M., M.F.G.-P., and F.C.; Investigation, P.L., C.G.-C., Y.W., J. V. L., and F.C.; Writing – Original Draft, P.L. and F.C.; Writing – Review & Editing, all authors; Funding Acquisition, V.M., X.S., M.F.G.-P., and F.C.; Supervision, X.S., M.F.G.-P., and F.C.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests

    METHODS

Reagents and antibodies

Brefeldin-A (BFA), from Sigma-Aldrich, was dissolved in Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO)

(Sigma-Aldrich) as a 10 mg/mL stock solution, and used at 5 µg/ml concentration. N-

Hexanoyl-D-erythro-sphingosine (D-cer-C6), from Matreya, was dissolved in pure ethanol

(Merck) as a 10 mM stock solution, and used at 20 µM concentration. Cycloheximide was

purchased from A.G. Scientific, diluted to 1M in DMSO as a stock solution, and used at 100

µM concentration. Ficoll 70 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and dissolved in water to a

64% (w/v) as a stock solution. NaCl was obtained from Melford. For the coating of the slides,

toluene   was   used   from   PanReac,   ethanol   from   Scharlau   and   3-

[methoxy(polyethyleneoxy)propyl]trimethoxysilane (CAS 65994-07-2) from acbr GmbH.

Sheep anti-human TGN46 was from AbD Serotec. Mouse monoclonal against HA was from

Biolegend. Mouse monoclonal against Myc was from Sigma-Aldrich. Rabbit polyclonal

antibody against Flag was from Sigma-Aldrich. Rabbit polyclonal antibody against GFP was

from Abcam. Alexa Fluor-labeled secondary antibodies were from Invitrogen and HRP-

conjugated secondary antibodies from Sigma-Aldrich. For STORM, the activator/reporter dye-

conjugated secondary antibodies were in-house-labelled donkey-anti-mouse and donkey-anti-

rabbit obtained from ImmunoResearch, used at a final concentration of 20 µg/ml. The dyes

used for labelling were NHS ester derivatives: Alexa Fluor 405 Carboxylic Acid Succinimidyl

Ester (Invitrogen), Cy3 mono-Reactive Dye Pack (GE HealthCare), and Alexa Fluor 647

Carboxylic Acid succinimidyl Ester (Invitrogen). Antibody labelling reactions were performed

as previously reported (Borgman et al., 2020).

Cell culture, plasmids and siRNA

HeLa cells were cultured in DMEM (Lonza and Capricorn Scientific) containing 10% fetal

bovine serum (FBS) (ThermoFisher and Capricorn Scientific), 1% penicillin/streptomycin

(Biowest), and 2 mM L-Glutamine (Biowest). Cells were transfected with DNA using Xtreme-

GENE 9 DNA (Roche) or with TransIT-HeLaMonster (Mirus) following manufacturer's

recommendations. The TGN46-GFP plasmid, which was kindly provided by Dr. S.

Ponnambalam (Leeds University, Leeds, England, UK), was generated by inserting human

TGN46 cDNA into a pEGFP-N1 vector using the BamHI restriction site. TGN46 ΔAAIL-GFP,

TGN46-ST TMD-GFP, GFP-TGN46, GFP-TGN46 LT, GFP-TGN46 TC, GFP-TGN46lum,

GFP-TGN46lum-ST TMD, GFP-TGN46lum-ST TC, GFP-TGN46lum-VSVG TC, and GFP-

VSVG TC were sub-cloned by Gibson assembly (Gibson et al., 2009) and/or DNA digestion

and ligation using TGN46-GFP as a backbone and TGN46-GFP, ST-GFP, or VSVG-GFP as

DNA sequence providers. TGN46-mRFP was generated by Gibson assembly of the KpnI- and

EcoRI-digestion of the previously described plasmid PAUF-mRFP (Wakana et al., 2012). TGN46-ST TMD-mCherry was sub-cloned by Gibson assembly using TGN46-mRFP as the backbone and ST-GFP as DNA sequence providers. PAUF-MycHis and PAUF-mRFP plasmids were described earlier (Wakana et al., 2012). ST-GFP, which encodes for the initial 45 amino acids of the ST6Gal-I ST, comprising the cytosolic domain, the TMD and 20 amino acids of the lumenal domain, was cloned into pEGFP-N1 as previously described (Duran et al., 2012). The ST-mCherry plasmid was generated from the previously described plasmid ST-FKBP-mCherry (Pecot and Malhotra, 2004) by Gibson assembly of the BglII- and BamHI-digested plasmid. The VSVG-GFP plasmid was described previously (von Blume et al., 2009). VSVG-HA was generated by Gibson assembly from VSVG-GFP. PKD2-KD-Flag plasmid was described earlier (Bossard et al., 2007). pETM14-6his-mGFP -TGN46lum plasmid for bacterial expression was cloned using a synthetic fragment of bacterial optimized TGN46 (gblock IDT), meGFP amplified from GFP-TGN46-lum and assembled in pETM14 backbone vector using Gibson assembly. All plasmids were verified by sequencing (STAB vida). SnapGene software (obtained from GSL Biotech) and ApE software (by M. Wayne Davis) were used for molecular cloning procedures.

For TGN46 transient silencing, HeLa cells were transfected using HiPerFect Transfection Reagent (QIAGEN) with 5 nM of TGN46 siRNA (oligo name: SASI_HS01_00080765, from Sigma-Aldrich), following the manufacturer's recommendations. MISSION siRNA Universal Negative Control #1 was used as a control siRNA. 48h after transfection, corresponding experiments were performed.

Single-clone TGN46 KO HeLa cells were generated by CRISPR/Cas9. HeLa cells were transfected with U6-gDNA (5'-AAAGACGTCCCTAACAAGT-3'; clone ID es: HSPD0000063884): CMV-eCas9-2a-tGFP (Sigma-Aldrich). 48h after transfection, GFP-positive cells were sorted as individual cells by FACS using a BD Influx cell sorter (BD Biosciences). Upon clone expansion, KO effectiveness of the different clones was checked by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting and also by immunofluorescence microscopy.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

Samples were fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 15 min and permeabilized and blocked with 0.2% Triton X-100, 3% BSA in PBS for 30 min prior to antibody staining. Fixed samples were analyzed with a TCS SP8 STED 3X system (Leica) in confocal mode using a 100x 1.4NA objective and HyD detectors. Images were acquired using the Leica LAS X software and converted to TIFF files using ImageJ (version 1.43; National Institutes of Health). Two-channel colocalization analysis was performed using the ImageJ software and the Pearson's correlation coefficient was calculated in a ROI using the "Manders Coefficients" plugin developed at the

Wright Cell Imaging Facility (Toronto, Canada). The ROI was determined as a mask created
on the Golgi region formed upon Binary transformation (using the Default Thresholding given
by the software) of the sum of both channels. Vesicle colocalization analysis was performed
using ImageJ and was calculated using the "Spots colocalization (ComDet)" plugin developed
by Eugene A. Katrukha (Utrecht University, Netherlands). Golgi-derived tubules were
quantified manually upon Z-projection (maximum intensity) performed using the ImageJ
software.

FLIP microscopy

Growth media of the HeLa cells seeded on Nunc Lab-Tek 8-wells 1.0 bottom glass chamber
slides was supplemented with 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) prior to experiment. FLIP experiment
was performed on a TCS STED CW system (Leica) in confocal mode using a 63x XNA
objective and HyD detectors. Using the Live Data Mode tool of Las AF software (Leica),
intermittent bleaching steps were combined with imaging of the whole cell during ~35 min.

When the FLIP experiments were performed on a GFP-containing protein, the series consisted
of the following steps: (1) Image 512x512 pixels and pixel size ~75 nm, 200 Hz acquisition
speed, 5% nominal power of an Argon Laser (488 nm). (2) Do 6x bleaching steps, keeping the
same parameters as in step 1, but with a 100% laser power on a manually selected region
encompassing the entire cell except the Golgi region. (3) Repeat step 1. (4) Pause for 40 s. (5)
Repeat step 1. (6) Repeat steps 2–5 for 35 times.

When the FLIP experiments were performed on a mRFP-containing protein, the series
consisted of the following steps: (1) Image 512x512 pixels and pixel size ~75 nm, 200 Hz
acquisition speed, 15% nominal power of a HeNe Laser (543 nm). (2) Do 5x bleaching steps,
keeping the same parameters as in step 1, but with a 100% laser power on a manually selected
region encompassing the entire cell except the Golgi region. (3) Repeat step 1. (4) Pause for 42
s. (5) Repeat step 1. (6) Repeat steps 2–5 for 35 times.

To measure Golgi export rate of the protein of interest, the mean intensity of the region left by
the photobleaching mask (Golgi-including region), $I(t)$, was measured over time and
normalized to the initial intensity, $I_0 = I(t = 0)$, using ImageJ and plotted using Prism 9.1.2
(GraphPad). Data was finally fitted to a one-phase decay curve fixing the "plateau" to 0, that is
$I(t)/I_0 = exp(-t/\tau)$, from where the half-life, $t_{1/2} = ln(2)\,\tau$, is extracted, and used to
compare the Golgi residence time among different proteins.

STORM imaging and data analysis

For STORM imaging, HeLa cells were cultured on 8-chambered glass bottom dishes
(Thermoscientific) at 37 °C with 5% CO_2. Plasmids containing the sequence of the gene of

interest were transfected and 24 hours after, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at room temperature for 15 minutes. After fixation, cells were washed, permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Fisher Scientific) (v/v) in PBS for 10 minutes, washed again, and blocked in 3% BSA (Sigma Aldrich) (w/v) in PBS for 60 minutes. Then, cells were labeled with primary antibodies, as detailed in the "Immunofluorescence microscopy" section. Donkey-anti-rabbit conjugated with AF405/AF647 (3:1) and donkey-anti-mouse conjugated with Cy3/AF647 (7:1) were used as secondary antibodies at 2 µg/mL final concentration.

STORM was performed in an imaging buffer containing 10 mM Cysteamine MEA (SigmaAldrich), 0.5 mg/mL glucose oxidase (Sigma Aldrich), 40 µg/ml catalase (Sigma-Aldrich), and 6.25% glucose (Sigma Aldrich) in PBS (Gómez-García et al., 2018). Two-colour images were acquired on a commercial microscope system (Nikon Eclipse Ti N-STORM system), from Nikon Instruments, equipped with a 100x oil objective with NA 1.49 using oblique illumination. The detector was an ANDOR technology EMCCD iXon 897 camera, with a 256x256 pixel ROI and a pixel size of 160 nm. Fluorophore excitation was done using an Agilent technologies laser box with laser lines 405 nm, 561 nm, and 647 nm. NIS software (Nikon) was used for acquiring the data. In a pre-acquisition step the sample was irradiated with the 647 nm laser at 70% (~107 mW) power to bring a large majority of the dyes into a dark (off) state. STORM acquisition was then performed sequentially using 20 ms frames by maintaining the 647 nm laser at a constant power and using low power activator (405 nm or 561 nm) excitation (gradually increasing during acquisition from 0.5% to 100%). The acquisitions were stopped after ~70,000 frames.

STORM image reconstruction was performed in Insight3 software (Huang et al., 2008). The generated images were also corrected for cross-talked from images obtained from samples only labelled with 1 pair of antibodies. For the image reconstruction, a list containing the localization data for each channel were obtained. The degree of colocalization between both lists of localization was measured within a Golgi- containing region of interest (ROI) using the Coloc-Tesseler software (Levet et al., 2019). First, the software partition the image area into regions per localization where any given point within that region will be closer to that localization than to any other (Voronoi tessellation). Then, a first-rank density value, obtained from the area of the neighbour Voronoi areas, is assigned for each localization. Subsequently, these first-rank densities are represented in a logarithmic scaled scatterplot for each channel, always keeping channel A in x-axis and channel B in y-axis. The values in the scatterplot for channel A (x^A, y^A) correspond to the first-rank density of a localization in channel A (x^A) against the first-rank density of the localization of channel B in whose Voronoi area the point in channel A landed (y^A). Vice versa for the scatterplot associated to channel B.

Finally, the Spearman's rank correlation coefficients are quantified as a measure of the trength

and direction of the ranked variables plotted in each scatterplot. The Spearman's rank

correlation coefficient is defined as $S^A = 1 - \frac{6\sum_i\left(r(x_i^A)-r(y_i^A)\right)^2}{n_A(n_A{}^2-1)}$, and $S^B = 1 -$

$\frac{6\sum_i\left(r(x_i^B)-r(y_i^B)\right)^2}{n_B(n_B{}^2-1)}$, where $r\left(x_i^A\right)$ and $r\left(x_i^B\right)$ are the ranks of the first-rank density for channel A

in the scatterplots A and B respectively; $r\left(y_i^A\right)$ and $r\left(y_i^B\right)$ are the ranks of the first-rank density

of the channel B for the scatterplots A and B respectively; and n_A and n_B are the average

number of neighbours per channel. As the Pearson's correlation coefficient, the Spearman's

rank correlation coefficient varies from 1 (perfect localization) to -1 (perfect antilocalization)

and 0 representing a random distribution of localizations.

Immunoprecipitation

HeLa cells were lysed with lysis buffer (0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS) containing protease

inhibitors (Roche) for 15 min on ice, after which the samples were centrifuged at 16.000×g for

10 min at 4ºC. The resulting supernatants were incubated for 16 h while rotating at 4ºC with 8

µg/ml anti-GFP antibody (Roche). Protein A/G PLUS-Agarose (Santa Cruz) was then added to

the samples and incubated with rotation for 2 h at 4ºC. Immunoprecipitated fractions were

washed two times with lysis buffer and two more times with PBS, and heated to 95ºC for 5 min

with 1x Laemmli SDS sample buffer. The samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western

blotting with anti-GFP (Santa Cruz) and anti-RFP (Abcam) antibodies.

Secretion assay

The growth media of HeLa WT and HeLa TGN46 KO cells seeded on a well of 6-wells plate -

and transfected with PAUF-Myc-His 48 h previous to the experiment performance- was

exchanged by pre-warmed (37ºC) DMEM containing 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 2 mM L-

Glutamine. Cells were then incubated for 4 h in an incubator at 37ºC and 5% CO_2 to collect

secreted PAUF-Myc-His protein. Then, media was collected in a 1.5 mL tube and centrifuged

to avoid floating dead cells that may interfere. Protease inhibitor was added to sample and

sample was concentrated down to 150 µL using Amicon Ultra-0.5 10 kDa Centrifugal Filter

Units (Merck). On the other hand, cells were lydes on ice with 150 uL of ice-cold lysis buffer

(1% SDS Tris-HCl pH 7.4). Samples were collected in a 1.5 mL tube using a scraper and

centrifuged at maximum speed for 20 min at 4ºC to remove cell pellet. Finally, 6x Laemmli

SDS sample buffer was added to both, supernatant and cell samples, and incubated for 10 min

at 95ºC. The samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and Western blotting with anti-Myc (X)

and anti-TGN46 (AbD Serotec) antibodies. When cells were treated with BFA, the compound

was added to the cells to a final concentration of 5 µg/mL 15 min before media was exchanged

by FBS-deprived media and also to the collection media for the 4 h incubation time of the

secretion experiment.

Protein production

E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells transformed with pETM14-6his-mGFP -TGN46lum were grown at 37 °C to a density of OD600 0.6 in 2xYT medium containing Kanamycin (50 mg/l) with shaking. Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) was added to induce protein expression at a final concentration of 0.2 mM, and the temperature was lowered to 18 °C. Cells were harvested after 16 h by centrifugation and resuspended in TRIS buffer supplemented with 300 mM NaCl, protease inhibitors (Roche), and lysed by French press cell disruptor. Lysate was clarified by centrifugation. The supernatant was loaded onto HisTrap HP 5 ml columns (Cytiva), washed and eluted by a linear gradient of 0–500 mM imidazole. The fractions containing the POI were pooled, concentrated using Amicon Ultra-15 30 kDa MWCO centrifugal filter unit (EMD Millipore), diluted in a TRIS buffer with 50ml NaCl and loaded onto a Hitrap Q 5ml column (Cytiva) washed and eluted by a linear gradient of 50–700 mM NaCl. The fractions containing the protein were collected, dialyzed again the storage buffer (20 mM TRIS pH7.4, 100 mM NaCl and 10% glycerol), concentrated, and stored at −80 °C after flash freezing by liquid nitrogen.

In vitro characterization of biomolecular condensate formation

The protein samples were dialyzed against 20 mM Tris-HCl and 1 mM TCEP at pH 7.5 and concentrated to approximately 150 μM. All samples were prepared on ice as follows. First, a buffer stock solution consisting of 20 mM Tris-HCl and 1mM TCEP was pH adjusted to 7.5 and filtered using 0.22 μm sterile filters (Buffer Stock). A 5 M NaCl solution in the same buffer was also pH adjusted to 7.5 and filtered (Salt Stock). Then, the protein samples were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 15,000 rpm at 5 ºC. The supernatant (Protein Stock) was transferred to a new Eppendorf tube and the protein concentration was determined by its absorbance at 280 nm. A 64% (w/v) Ficoll 70 in water solution was prepared (Ficoll Stock). The final samples were prepared by mixing the right amounts of Buffer Stock, Protein Stock, Salt Stock and Ficoll Stock to reach the desired final protein, NaCl and Ficoll concentrations.

For microscopy experiments, 1.5 μl of sample was deposited in a sealed chamber comprising a slide and a coverslip sandwiching double sided tape (3M 300 LSE high-temperature double-sided tape of 0.17 mm thickness). The used coverslips were previously coated with PEG-silane following the published protocol in Alberti et al (Alberti et al., 2018). Fluorescence microscopy images and FRAP experiments were recorded using a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope system with a Plan ApoChromat 63x 1.4 oil objective. For the FRAP experiment within a single droplet, 20 droplets of similar size were selected and the bleached region was 30% of their diameter. The intensity values were monitored for ROI1 (bleached area), ROI2 (entire droplet) and ROI3 (background signal), and the data was fitted using the EasyFrap software

(Rapsomaniki et al., 2012) to extract the kinetic parameters.

For the turbidity assay, the absorbance of the samples was measured at 350 nm at the indicated

temperatures using 1 cm pathlength cuvettes and a Cary100 ultraviolet–visible

spectrophotometer equipped with a multicell thermoelectric temperature controller.

Statistics

Statistical significance was tested using One-Way ANOVA using GraphPad Prism 9.1.2, unless

otherwise stated in the figure legend. Different data sets were considered to be statistically

significant when the p-value was <0.05 (*); p-value<0.01 (**); p-value<0.001 (***); p-

value<0.0001 (****).

REFERENCES

Alberti, S., S. Saha, J.B. Woodruff, T.M. Franzmann, J. Wang, and A.A. Hyman. 2018. A
User's Guide for Phase Separation Assays with Purified Proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.*
430:4806–4820. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2018.06.038.

Banani, S.F., H.O. Lee, A.A. Hyman, and M.K. Rosen. 2017. Biomolecular condensates:
Organizers of cellular biochemistry. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 18:285–298.
doi:10.1038/nrm.2017.7.

Banfield, D.K. 2011. Mechanisms of protein retention in the Golgi. *Cold Spring Harb.*
Perspect. Biol. 3:1–14. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a005264.

Banting, G., R. Maile, and E.P. Roquemore. 1998. The steady state distribution of
humTGN46 is not significantly altered in cells defective in clathrin-mediated
endocytosis. *J. Cell Sci.* 111:3451–3458. doi:10.1242/jcs.111.23.3451.

Banting, G., and S. Ponnambalam. 1997. TGN38 and its orthologues: Roles in post-TGN
vesicle formation and maintenance of TGN morphology. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol.*
Cell Res. 1355:209–217. doi:10.1016/S0167-4889(96)00146-2.

von Blume, J., A.M. Alleaume, G. Cantero-Recasens, A. Curwin, A. Carreras-Sureda, T.
Zimmermann, J. van Galen, Y. Wakana, M.A. Valverde, and V. Malhotra. 2011.
ADF/cofilin regulates secretory cargo sorting at the TGN via the Ca2+ ATPase SPCA1.
Dev. Cell. 20:652–662. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2011.03.014.

von Blume, J., A.M. Alleaume, C. Kienzle, A. Carreras-Sureda, M. Valverde, and V.
Malhotra. 2012. Cab45 is required for Ca2+;-dependent secretory cargo sorting at the
trans-Golgi network. *J. Cell Biol.* 199:1057–1066. doi:10.1083/jcb.201207180.

von Blume, J., J.M. Duran, E. Forlanelli, A.M. Alleaume, M. Egorov, R. Polishchuk, H.
Molina, and V. Malhotra. 2009. Actin remodeling by ADF/cofilin is required for cargo
sorting at the trans-Golgi network. *J. Cell Biol.* 187:1055–1069.
doi:10.1083/jcb.200908040.

von Blume, J., and A. Hausser. 2019. Lipid-dependent coupling of secretory cargo sorting
and trafficking at the trans-Golgi network. *FEBS Lett.* 593:2412–2427.
doi:10.1002/1873-3468.13552.

Boncompain, G., and A. V. Weigel. 2018. Transport and sorting in the Golgi complex:
multiple mechanisms sort diverse cargo. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 50:94–101.
doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2018.03.002.

Borgman, K.J.E., G. Flórez-Grau, M.A. Ricci, C. Manzo, M. Lakadamyali, A. Cambi, D.
Benítez-Ribas, F. Campelo, and M.F. Garcia-Parajo. 2020. Membrane receptor MerTK
is a newly identified transcriptional regulator that associates to chromatin as
nanoclusters during human DC differentiation. *bioRxiv*. 2020.04.16.044974.
doi:10.1101/2020.04.16.044974.

Bos, K., C. Wraight, and K.K. Stanley. 1993. TGN38 is maintained in the trans-Golgi
network by a tyrosine-containing motif in the cytoplasmic domain. *EMBO J.* 12:2219–
2228. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1993.tb05870.x.

Bossard, C., D. Bresson, R.S. Polishchuk, and V. Malhotra. 2007. Dimeric PKD regulates
membrane fission to form transport carriers at the TGN. *J. Cell Biol.* 179:1123–1131.
doi:10.1083/jcb.200703166.

Brangwynne, C.P., C.R. Eckmann, D.S. Courson, A. Rybarska, C. Hoege, J. Gharakhani, F.
Jülicher, and A.A. Hyman. 2009. Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize
by controlled dissolution/condensation. *Science (80-.).* 324:1729–1732.
doi:10.1126/science.1172046.

Braulke, T., and J.S. Bonifacino. 2009. Sorting of lysosomal proteins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*
- Mol. Cell Res. 1793:605–614. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2008.10.016.

Bretscher, M.S., and S. Munro. 1993. Cholesterol and the golgi apparatus. *Science (80-.).*
261:1280–1281. doi:10.1126/science.8362242.

Campelo, F., J. van Galen, G. Turacchio, S. Parashuraman, M.M. Kozlov, M.F. García-
Parajo, and V. Malhotra. 2017. Sphingomyelin metabolism controls the shape and
function of the golgi cisternae. *Elife*. 6. doi:10.7554/eLife.24603.

Cancino, J., J.E. Jung, and A. Luini. 2013. Regulation of Golgi signaling and trafficking by
the KDEL receptor. *Histochem. Cell Biol.* 140:395–405. doi:10.1007/s00418-013-1130-
9.

Capasso, S., L. Sticco, R. Rizzo, M. Pirozzi, D. Russo, N.A. Dathan, F. Campelo, J. Galen,
M. Hölttä-Vuori, G. Turacchio, A. Hausser, V. Malhotra, I. Riezman, H. Riezman, E.
Ikonen, C. Luberto, S. Parashuraman, A. Luini, and G. D'Angelo. 2017. Sphingolipid
metabolic flow controls phosphoinositide turnover at the trans -Golgi network . *EMBO*
J. 36:1736–1754. doi:10.15252/embj.201696048.

Case, L.B., J.A. Ditlev, and M.K. Rosen. 2019. Regulation of Transmembrane Signaling by
Phase Separation. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 48:465–494. doi:10.1146/annurev-biophys-
052118-115534.

Castello-Serrano, I., F.A. Heberle, B. Diaz-Rohrer, R. Ippolito, C.R. Shurer, P. Lujan, F.

Campelo, K.R. Levental, and I. Levental. 2023. Partitioning to ordered membrane

domains regulates the kinetics of secretory traffic. *bioRxiv*. 2023.04.18.537395.

doi:10.1101/2023.04.18.537395.

Cosson, P., J. Perrin, and J.S. Bonifacino. 2013. Anchors aweigh: Protein localization and

transport mediated by transmembrane domains. *Trends Cell Biol.* 23:511–517.

doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2013.05.005.

Crevenna, A.H., B. Blank, A. Maiser, D. Emin, J. Prescher, G. Beck, C. Kienzle, K. Bartnik,

B. Habermann, M. Pakde, H. Leonhardt, D.C. Lamb, and J. von Blume. 2016. Secretory

cargo sorting by Ca2+-dependent Cab45 oligomerization at the trans-Golgi network. *J.*

Cell Biol. 213:305–314. doi:10.1083/jcb.201601089.

Curwin, A.J., J. von Blume, and V. Malhotra. 2012. Cofilin-mediated sorting and export of

specific cargo from the Golgi apparatus in yeast. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 23:2327–2338.

doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-09-0826.

Deng, Y., M. Pakdel, B. Blank, E.L. Sundberg, C.G. Burd, and J. von Blume. 2018. Activity

of the SPCA1 Calcium Pump Couples Sphingomyelin Synthesis to Sorting of Secretory

Proteins in the Trans-Golgi Network. *Dev. Cell*. 47:464-478.e8.

doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2018.10.012.

Deng, Y., F.E. Rivera-Molina, D.K. Toomre, and C.G. Burd. 2016. Sphingomyelin is sorted

at the trans Golgi network into a distinct class of secretory vesicle. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. U. S. A. 113:6677–6682. doi:10.1073/pnas.1602875113.

Diaz-Rohrer, B.B., K.R. Levental, K. Simons, and I. Levental. 2014. Membrane raft

association is a determinant of plasma membrane localization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*

S. A. 111:8500–8505. doi:10.1073/pnas.1404582111.

Dmitrieff, S., M. Rao, and P. Sens. 2013. Quantitative analysis of intra-Golgi transport shows

intercisternal exchange for all cargo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110:15692–15697.

doi:10.1073/pnas.1303358110.

Dunn, K.W., M.M. Kamocka, and J.H. McDonald. 2011. A practical guide to evaluating

colocalization in biological microscopy. *Am. J. Physiol. - Cell Physiol.* 300:C723.

doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00462.2010.

Duran, J.M., F. Campelo, J. Van Galen, T. Sachsenheimer, J. Sot, M. V. Egorov, C. Rentero,

C. Enrich, R.S. Polishchuk, F.M. Goñi, B. Brügger, F. Wieland, and V. Malhotra. 2012.

Sphingomyelin organization is required for vesicle biogenesis at the Golgi complex.

EMBO J. 31:4535–4546. doi:10.1038/emboj.2012.317.

Ford, C., A. Parchure, J. von Blume, and C.G. Burd. 2021. Cargo sorting at the trans-Golgi
network at a glance. *J. Cell Sci.* 134. doi:10.1242/jcs.259110.

van Galen, J., F. Campelo, E. Martínez-Alonso, M. Scarpa, J.Á. Martínez-Menárguez, and V.
Malhotra. 2014. Sphingomyelin homeostasis is required to form functional enzymatic
domains at the trans-Golgi network. *J. Cell Biol.* 206:609–618.
doi:10.1083/jcb.201405009.

Garcia-Cabau, C., and X. Salvatella. 2021. Regulation of biomolecular condensate dynamics
by signaling. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 69:111–119. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2021.01.002.

Gibson, D.G., L. Young, R.Y. Chuang, J.C. Venter, C.A. Hutchison 3rd, and H.O. Smith.
2009. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat*
Methods. 6:343–345. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1318.

Gómez-García, P.A., E.T. Garbacik, J.J. Otterstrom, M.F. Garcia-Parajo, and M.
Lakadamyali. 2018. Excitation-multiplexed multicolor superresolution imaging with fm-
STORM and fm-DNA-PAINT. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115:12991–12996.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1804725115.

Guo, Y., D.W. Sirkis, and R. Schekman. 2014. Protein sorting at the trans-Golgi network.
Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 30:169–206. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013012.

Hirschberg, K., C.M. Miller, J. Ellenberg, J.F. Presley, E.D. Siggia, R.D. Phair, and J.
Lippincott-Schwartz. 1998. Kinetic analysis of secretory protein traffic and
characterization of Golgi to plasma membrane transport intermediates in living cells. *J.*
Cell Biol. 143:1485–1503. doi:10.1083/jcb.143.6.1485.

Huang, B., W. Wang, M. Bates, and X. Zhuang. 2008. Three-dimensional super-resolution
imaging by stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy. *Science (80-.).* 319:810–813.
doi:10.1126/science.1153529.

Huet-Calderwood, C., F. Rivera-Molina, D. V. Iwamoto, E.B. Kromann, D. Toomre, and
D.A. Calderwood. 2017. Novel ecto-tagged integrins reveal their trafficking in live cells.
Nat. Commun. 8:1–13. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00646-w.

Humphrey, J.S., P.J. Peters, L.C. Yuan, and J.S. Bonifacino. 1993. Localization of TGN38 to
the trans-Golgi network: Involvement of a cytoplasmic tyrosine-containing sequence. *J.*
Cell Biol. 120:1123–1136. doi:10.1083/jcb.120.5.1123.

Hyman, A.A., C.A. Weber, and F. Jülicher. 2014. Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology.

Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 30:39–58. doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100913-013325.

Jones, S.M., J.R. Crosby, J. Salamero, and K.E. Howell. 1993. A cytosolic complex of p62
and rab6 associates with TGN38/41 and is involved in budding of exocytic vesicles
from the trans-Golgi network. *J. Cell Biol.* 122:775–788. doi:10.1083/jcb.122.4.775.

Kienzle, C., N. Basnet, A.H. Crevenna, G. Beck, B. Habermann, N. Mizuno, and J. von
Blume. 2014. Cofilin recruits F-actin to SPCA1 and promotes Ca2+-mediated secretory
cargo sorting. *J. Cell Biol.* 206:635–654. doi:10.1083/jcb.201311052.

Kienzle, C., and J. von Blume. 2014. Secretory cargo sorting at the trans-Golgi network.
Trends Cell Biol. 24:584–593. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2014.04.007.

Kulak, N.A., G. Pichler, I. Paron, N. Nagaraj, and M. Mann. 2014. Minimal, encapsulated
proteomic-sample processing applied to copy-number estimation in eukaryotic cells.
Nat. Methods. 11:319–324. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2834.

Lee, S.S., and G. Banting. 2002. Characterisation of the lumenal domain of TGN38 and
effects of elevated expression of TGN38 on glycoprotein secretion. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.*
81:609–621. doi:10.1078/0171-9335-00279.

Levet, F., G. Julien, R. Galland, C. Butler, A. Beghin, A. Chazeau, P. Hoess, J. Ries, G.
Giannone, and J.B. Sibarita. 2019. A tessellation-based colocalization analysis approach
for single-molecule localization microscopy. *Nat. Commun.* 10:1–12.
doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10007-4.

Li, Romero, Rani, Dunker, and Obradovic. 1999. Predicting Protein Disorder for N-, C-, and
Internal Regions. *Genome Inform. Ser. Workshop Genome Inform.* 10:30–40.

Liljedahl, M., Y. Maeda, A. Colanzi, I. Ayala, J. Van Lint, and V. Malhotra. 2001. Protein
Kinase D regulates the fission of cell surface destined transport carriers from the trans-
Golgi network. *Cell*. 104:409–420. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00228-8.

Lippincott-Schwartz, J., and R.D. Phair. 2010. Lipids and cholesterol as regulators of traffic
in the endomembrane system. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 39:559–578.
doi:10.1146/annurev.biophys.093008.131357.

Lorent, J.H., B. Diaz-Rohrer, X. Lin, K. Spring, A.A. Gorfe, K.R. Leventa, and I. Leventa.
2017. Structural determinants and functional consequences of protein affinity for
membrane rafts. *Nat. Commun.* 8. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01328-3.

Luini, A., and S. Parashuraman. 2016. Signaling at the Golgi: Sensing and controlling the
membrane fluxes. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 39:37–42. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2016.01.014.

Lujan, P., J. Angulo-Capel, M. Chabanon, and F. Campelo. 2021. Interorganelle
communication and membrane shaping in the early secretory pathway. *Curr. Opin. Cell*
Biol. 71:95–102. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2021.01.010.

Lujan, P., and F. Campelo. 2021. Should I stay or should I go? Golgi membrane spatial
organization for protein sorting and retention. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 707:108921.
doi:10.1016/j.abb.2021.108921.

Luzio, J.P., B. Brake, G. Banting, K.E. Howell, P. Braghetta, and K.K. Stanley. 1990.
Identification, sequencing and expression of an integral membrane protein of the trans-
Golgi network (TGN38). *Biochem. J.* 270:97–102. doi:10.1042/bj2700097.

Malhotra, V., and F. Campelo. 2011. PKD regulates membrane fission to generate TGN to
cell surface transport carriers. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 3:1–9.
doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a005280.

Mallet, W.G., and F.R. Maxfield. 1999. Chimeric forms of furin and TGN38 are transported
from the plasma membrane to the trans-Golgi network via distinct endosomal pathways.
J. Cell Biol. 146:345–359. doi:10.1083/jcb.146.2.345.

Di Martino, R., L. Sticco, and A. Luini. 2019. Regulation of cargo export and sorting at the
trans-Golgi network. *FEBS Lett.* 593:2306–2318. doi:10.1002/1873-3468.13572.

McNamara, J.O., J.C. Grigston, H.M.A. VanDongen, and A.M.J. VanDongen. 2004. Rapid
dendritic transport of TGN38, a putative cargo receptor. *Mol. Brain Res.* 127:68–78.
doi:10.1016/j.molbrainres.2004.05.013.

Van Meer, G., D.R. Voelker, and G.W. Feigenson. 2008. Membrane lipids: Where they are
and how they behave. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 9:112–124. doi:10.1038/nrm2330.

Milovanovic, D., A. Honigmann, S. Koike, F. Göttfert, G. Pähler, M. Junius, S. Müllar, U.
DIederichsen, A. Janshoff, H. Grubmüller, H.J. Risselada, C. Eggeling, S.W. Hell, G.
Van Den Bogaart, and R. Jahn. 2015. Hydrophobic mismatch sorts SNARE proteins
into distinct membrane domains. *Nat. Commun.* 6:5984. doi:10.1038/ncomms6984.

Mitra, K., I. Ubarretxena-Belandia, T. Taguchi, G. Warren, and D.M. Engelman. 2004.
Modulation of the bilayer thickness of exocytic pathway membranes by membrane
proteins rather than cholesterol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 101:4083–4088.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0307332101.

Mittag, T., and R. V. Pappu. 2022. A conceptual framework for understanding phase
separation and addressing open questions and challenges. *Mol. Cell.* 82:2201–2214.

doi:10.1016/J.MOLCEL.2022.05.018.

Munro, S. 1991. Sequences within and adjacent to the transmembrane segment of α-2, 6-
sialyltransferase specify Golgi retention. *EMBO J.* 10:3577–3588. doi:10.1002/j.1460-
2075.1991.tb04924.x.

Munro, S. 1995. An investigation of the role of transmembrane domains in Golgi protein
retention. *EMBO J.* 14:4695–4704. doi:10.1002/j.1460-2075.1995.tb00151.x.

Ohno, H., M.C. Fournier, G. Poy, and J.S. Bonifacino. 1996. Structural determinants of
interaction of tyrosine-based sorting signals with the adaptor medium chains. *J. Biol.*
Chem. 271:29009–29015. doi:10.1074/jbc.271.46.29009.

Parchure, A., M. Tian, D. Stalder, C.K. Boyer, S.C. Bearrows, K.E. Rohli, J. Zhang, F.
Rivera-Molina, B.R. Ramazanov, S.K. Mahata, Y. Wang, S.B. Stephens, D.C.
Gershlick, and J. von Blume. 2022. Liquid–liquid phase separation facilitates the
biogenesis of secretory storage granules. *J. Cell Biol.* 221. doi:10.1083/jcb.202206132.

Patterson, G.H., K. Hirschberg, R.S. Polishchuk, D. Gerlich, R.D. Phair, and J. Lippincott-
Schwartz. 2008. Transport through the Golgi Apparatus by Rapid Partitioning within a
Two-Phase Membrane System. *Cell*. 133:1055–1067. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.04.044.

Pecot, M.Y., and V. Malhotra. 2004. Golgi membranes remain segregated from the
endoplasmic reticulum during mitosis in mammalian cells. *Cell*. 116:99–107.

Ponnambalam, S., M. Girotti, M.L. Yaspo, C.E. Owen, A.C.F. Perry, T. Suganuma, T.
Nilsson, M. Fried, G. Banting, and G. Warren. 1996. Primate homologues of rat
TGN38: Primary structure, expression and functional implications. *J. Cell Sci.* 109:675–
685. doi:10.1242/jcs.109.3.675.

Quiroga, R., A. Trenchi, A.G. Montoro, J.V. Taubas, and H.J.F. Maccioni. 2013. Short
transmembrane domains with high-volume exoplasmic halves determine retention of
type II membrane proteins in the golgi complex. *J. Cell Sci.* 126:5344–5349.
doi:10.1242/jcs.130658.

Rajasekaran, A.K., J.S. Humphrey, M. Wagner, G. Miesenböck, A. Le Bivic, J.S. Bonifacino,
and E. Rodriguez-Boulan. 1994. TGN38 recycles basolaterally in polarized Madin-
Darby canine kidney cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 5:1093–1103. doi:10.1091/mbc.5.10.1093.

Ramazanov, B.R., M.L. Tran, and J. von Blume. 2021. Sending out molecules from the TGN.
Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 71:55–62. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2021.02.005.

Rapsomaniki, M.A., P. Kotsantis, I.E. Symeonidou, N.N. Giakoumakis, S. Taraviras, and Z.

Lygerou. 2012. EasyFRAP: An interactive, easy-to-use tool for qualitative and

quantitative analysis of FRAP data. *Bioinformatics*. 28:1800–1801.

doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts241.

Reaves, B., M. Horn, and G. Banting. 1993. TGN38/41 recycles between the cell surface and

the TGN: Brefeldin A affects its rate of return to the TGN. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 4:93–105.

doi:10.1091/mbc.4.1.93.

Romero, Obradovic, and Dunker. 1997. Sequence Data Analysis for Long Disordered

Regions Prediction in the Calcineurin Family. *Genome Inform. Ser. Workshop Genome*

Inform. 8:110–124.

Sharpe, H.J., T.J. Stevens, and S. Munro. 2010. A Comprehensive Comparison of

Transmembrane Domains Reveals Organelle-Specific Properties. *Cell*. 142:158–169.

doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.05.037.

Shin, Y., and C.P. Brangwynne. 2017. Liquid phase condensation in cell physiology and

disease. *Science (80-.)*. 357. doi:10.1126/science.aaf4382.

Sickmeier, M., J.A. Hamilton, T. LeGall, V. Vacic, M.S. Cortese, A. Tantos, B. Szabo, P.

Tompa, J. Chen, V.N. Uversky, Z. Obradovic, and A.K. Dunker. 2007. DisProt: The

database of disordered proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35. doi:10.1093/nar/gkl893.

Spang, A. 2015. The road not taken: Less traveled roads from the TGN to the plasma

membrane. *Membranes (Basel)*. 5:84–98. doi:10.3390/membranes5010084.

Stalder, D., and D.C. Gershlick. 2020. Direct trafficking pathways from the Golgi apparatus

to the plasma membrane. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 107:112–125.

doi:10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.04.001.

Stanley, K.K., and K.E. Howell. 1993. TGN38/41: a molecule on the move. *Trends Cell Biol.*

3:252–255. doi:10.1016/0962-8924(93)90046-4.

Tie, H.C., D. Mahajan, and L. Lu. 2022. Visualizing intra-Golgi localization and transport by

side-averaging Golgi ministacks. *J. Cell Biol.* 221. doi:10.1083/jcb.202109114.

Tu, L., W.C.S. Tai, L. Chen, and D.K. Banfield. 2008. Signal-mediated dynamic retention of

glycosyltransferases in the Golgi. *Science (80-.)*. 321:404–407.

doi:10.1126/science.1159411.

Vacic, V., V.N. Uversky, A.K. Dunker, and S. Lonardi. 2007. Composition Profiler: A tool

for discovery and visualization of amino acid composition differences. *BMC*

Bioinformatics. 8. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-8-211.

Wakana, Y., and F. Campelo. 2021. The PKD-dependent biogenesis of TGN-to-plasma
membrane transport carriers. *Cells*. 10:1618. doi:10.3390/cells10071618.

Wakana, Y., J. Van Galen, F. Meissner, M. Scarpa, R.S. Polishchuk, M. Mann, and V.
Malhotra. 2012. A new class of carriers that transport selective cargo from the trans
Golgi network to the cell surface. *EMBO J.* 31:3976–3990.
doi:10.1038/emboj.2012.235.

Wakana, Y., K. Hayashi, T. Nemoto, C. Watanabe, M. Taoka, J. Angulo-Capel, M.F. Garcia-
Parajo, H. Kumata, T. Umemura, H. Inoue, K. Arasaki, F. Campelo, and M. Tagaya.
2021. The ER cholesterol sensor SCAP promotes CARTS biogenesis at ER–golgi
membrane contact sites. *J. Cell Biol.* 220. doi:10.1083/jcb.202002150.

Wakana, Y., R. Kotake, N. Oyama, M. Murate, T. Kobayashi, K. Arasaki, H. Inoue, and M.
Tagaya. 2015. CARTS biogenesis requires VAP-lipid transfer protein complexes
functioning at the endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi interface. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 26:4686–
4699. doi:10.1091/mbc.E15-08-0599.

Wakana, Y., J. Villeneuve, J. van Galen, D. Cruz-Garcia, M. Tagaya, and V. Malhotra. 2013.
Kinesin-5/Eg5 is important for transport of CARTS from the trans-Golgi network to the
cell surface. *J. Cell Biol.* 202:241–250. doi:10.1083/jcb.201303163.

Wang, J., and K.E. Howell. 2000. The luminal domain of TGN38 interacts with integrin β1
and is involved in its trafficking. *Traffic*. 1:713–723. doi:10.1034/j.1600-
0854.2000.010904.x.

Wang, J., M.S. Ladinsky, and K.E. Howell. 1995. Molecules and vesicle coats involved in the
budding of exocytotic vesicles from the trans-Golgi network. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp.*
Quant. Biol. 60:139–146. doi:10.1101/SQB.1995.060.01.017.

Welch, L.G., and S. Munro. 2019. A tale of short tails, through thick and thin: investigating
the sorting mechanisms of Golgi enzymes. *FEBS Lett.* 593:2452–2465.
doi:10.1002/1873-3468.13553.

Welch, L.G., S.Y. Peak-Chew, F. Begum, T.J. Stevens, and S. Munro. 2021. Golph3 and
golph3l are broad-spectrum copi adaptors for sorting into intra-golgi transport vesicles.
J. Cell Biol. 220. doi:10.1083/jcb.202106115.

Wood, C.S., K.R. Schmitz, N.J. Bessman, T.G. Setty, K.M. Ferguson, and C.G. Burd. 2009.
PtdIns4P recognition by Vps74/GOLPH3 links PtdIns 4-kinase signaling to retrograde
Golgi trafficking. *J. Cell Biol.* 187:967–975. doi:10.1083/jcb.200909063.

Yeaman, C., M.I. Ayala, J.R. Wright, F. Bard, C. Bossard, A. Ang, Y. Maeda, T. Seufferlein,
I. Mellman, W.J. Nelson, and V. Malhotra. 2004. Protein kinase D regulates basolateral
membrane protein exit from trans-Golgi network. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 6:106–112.
doi:10.1038/ncb1090.

Zappa, F., M. Failli, and M.A. De Matteis. 2018. The Golgi complex in disease and therapy.
Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 50:102–116. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2018.03.005.

Zhang, J., A. Kennedy, L. Xing, S. Bui, W. Reid, J. Joppich, E. Ahat, M. Rose, Q. Tang,
A.W. Tai, and Y. Wang. 2022. SARS-CoV-2 triggers Golgi fragmentation via down-
regulation of GRASP55 to facilitate viral trafficking. *bioRxiv Prepr. Serv. Biol.*
2022.03.04.483074. doi:10.1101/2022.03.04.483074.

1191