Working Title:

Combined measurements of proteome and cell turnover reveal the influences of tissue context and

pathological aging on protein and organelle lifetimes

Authors: John Hasper[1], Kevin Welle[2], Jennifer Hryhorenko[2], Sina Ghaemmaghami[2,3], Abigail

Buchwalter[1,4,5]

Affiliations:

[1]Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

[2]University of Rochester Mass Spectrometry Resource Laboratory, Rochester, NY

[3]Department of Biology, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY

[4]Department of Physiology, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

[5]Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, San Francisco, CA

Abstract

The lifespans of proteins can range from moments to years within mammalian tissues. Protein lifespan is

relevant to organismal aging, as long-lived proteins can accrue damage over time. It is unclear how protein

lifetime is shaped by tissue context, where both cell division and proteolytic degradation contribute to

protein turnover. Here, we develop turnover and replication analysis by ^{15}N isotope labeling (TRAIL) for

parallel quantification of protein and cell lifetimes. We deploy TRAIL over 32 days in 4 mouse tissues to

quantify cell proliferation with high precision and no toxicity and determine that protein lifespan varies

independently of cell lifespan. Variation in protein lifetime is non-random: multiprotein complexes such as

the ribosome have consistent lifetimes across tissues, while mitochondria, peroxisomes, and lipid droplets

have variable lifetimes across tissues. To model the effects of aging on tissue homeostasis, we apply

TRAIL to progeroid mice and uncover fat-specific alterations in cell lifetime and proteome composition, as

well as a broad decrease in protein turnover flux. These data indicate that environmental factors influence

protein turnover *in vivo* and provide a framework to understand proteome aging in tissue context.

30
31
32
33

Introduction

The cellular proteome undergoes constant cycles of synthesis, folding, and degradation. Proteostasis (protein homeostasis) is achieved by the balance of these processes. When these systems function properly, the health of the proteome is ensured by the efficient degradation of misfolded or damaged proteins and replacement with properly folded and functional copies. When proteostasis breaks down due to aging or disease, proteome disruptions including accumulation of oxidative damage, misfolding, and aggregation result[1,2]. Measurements of protein turnover have revealed that protein lifetimes range from minutes to years within mammalian tissues[3–7]. The functional consequences of age-linked proteostasis collapse are most evident for extremely long-lived proteins in post-mitotic tissues. For instance, crystallin proteins of the eye lens misfold and aggregate over decades, causing cataracts[8], while the extremely long-lived nuclear pore complex becomes leaky and dysfunctional in the aging brain[9]. These striking examples raise several questions, including: what factors control protein lifetime in healthy tissues? What is the relationship between protein longevity and cellular longevity? Why do age-linked declines in long-lived protein function manifest only in some tissues?

Protein lifetime is influenced by sequence-encoded features[10]. For instance, proteins with long disordered segments are generally more short-lived than proteins that adopt a stable structure[11,12]. Post-translational modifications have varied effects on protein stability[13,14], while higher buried surface area correlates with longer lifetime[15]. There are many exceptions that break these rules, however, and it is unclear to what extent structural features alone can predict protein lifetime.

Protein lifetime also appears to be influenced by tissue context. Protein turnover rates vary widely across mammalian tissues, and the rate of cell division is thought to accelerate protein turnover by dilution[3,5] (Fig. 1A). However, recent work hints that cell cycle-independent factors also modulate protein lifetime. For instance, the proteome of neurons is more long-lived than the proteome of glia, in spite of the fact that both of these cell types are post-mitotic[5,16]. Protein lifespan also appears to be linked to organismal lifespan, as proteins turn over more slowly in cultured cells isolated from longer-lived animals[17,18]. Altogether, these observations suggest that interactions between proteins and the cellular environment influence protein lifetime.

To define the relationship between protein longevity and cellular longevity, a method that can quantify both of these parameters in parallel is needed. This would make it possible to quantify the contribution of cell proliferation to protein turnover *in vivo*, and could be used to explore how environment, aging, and disease affect tissue homeostasis. While labeling with non-toxic ^{13}C or ^{15}N isotopes has become

the gold standard for the quantification of protein turnover rates[3,19], this methodology has not been

integrated with cell turnover measurements. Instead, cell turnover rates are frequently measured by partial

labeling with nucleotide analogs (e.g. ^{3}H-thymidine or BrdU) or isotopes (e.g. D_2O[20]), which are limited by

label toxicity[21–23]. Here, we describe methods to decipher both protein turnover and cell division within

mammalian tissues using a single source of label: the stable isotope ^{15}N (Fig. 1B). We name this suite of

methods "turnover and replication analysis by isotope labeling", or TRAIL. We apply TRAIL to proliferative

and non-proliferative tissues and generate a rich dataset that reveals tissue-specific features of

proteostasis. We report that protein and organelle lifetimes vary widely across healthy tissues even after

correcting for cell proliferation rates. While the molecular control of these differences in protein and

organelle stability across tissues is unknown, this observation implies that aging affects proteostasis in

protein- and tissue-specific ways. To model the effects of aging on tissue homeostasis, we applied TRAIL

to a mouse model of the pathological accelerated aging disease Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome

Figure 1. (A) The rate of protein turnover (k_t) is affected both by proteolytic degradation processes within cells (degradation rate, k_{deg}) and by dilution during cell division (cell division rate, k_{div}). (B) Diagram of turnover and replication analysis by isotope labeling (TRAIL) approach for quantifying protein clearance rate (k_t) by tracking protein isotope incorporation and cell division rate (k_{div}) by tracking genomic DNA isotope incorporation. These two measurements are then used to determine the cell-cycle-corrected degradation rates (k_{deg}) for tissue proteomes.

(HGPS)[24] and uncover both tissue-specific changes to cell lifetime and a proteome-wide decline in protein

turnover flux. This work demonstrates how paired protein and cell turnover measurements can reveal basic

gaps in our understanding of proteostasis and reveal consequences of pathological aging on the proteome.

Results

Increasing throughput of stable isotope labeling timecourses by tandem mass tag multiplexing

Proteome-wide quantification of protein stability can be achieved *in vivo* by feeding mice a food

source containing ~100% abundance of the stable, non-toxic isotope ^{15}N, a method referred to as stable

isotope labeling in mammals (SILAM)[3,19]. Labeled tissues are then analyzed by tandem mass spectrometry

(LC-MS/MS) to quantify the incorporation of labeled amino acids into the proteome over time[3,19]. Broader

application of SILAM has been limited by the investment of resources and time required to complete these

types of analyses. One major bottleneck is mass spectrometer run time, which rapidly multiplies when

each sample must be analyzed in a separate LC-MS/MS run. Further, protein "dropout" due to missing

values limits the number of proteins whose turnover kinetics can be precisely determined. We have

previously used tandem mass tagging (TMT) to "hyperplex" pools of isotope-labeled samples in a single

LC-MS/MS run, which decreases cost while increasing speed and sensitivity[25]. Here, we adapt this

approach to ^{15}N-labeled samples from mouse tissue (TMT-SILAM, Fig. 2A). Hyperplexed analysis of ^{15}N-

labeled samples presents a unique challenge due to the high complexity of the labeled peptide spectra.

The gradual labeling of the *in vivo* amino acid precursor pool by ^{15}N results in broadened MS1 spectra

whose average mass to charge ratios increase as a function of labeling time[3], creating a challenging

analysis problem that requires specialized data analysis workflows[26,27]. However, we previously

demonstrated that hyperplexed sample analysis can be simplified by quantifying the relative decay of

unlabeled peaks as a function of time rather than the fractional population of unlabeled and labeled

peaks[25]. Here, by quantifying the rate of loss of ^{14}N peptides over time of ^{15}N pulse-labeling, we were able

to directly measure the turnover rate (k_t) of pre-existing unlabeled proteins (Fig. 2A).

We performed a 32-day TMT-SILAM time course on young adult (9-week-old) mice and analyzed

the labeling kinetics of thousands of proteins per tissue (Supplementary Fig. 1). We focused our analyses

on selected tissues that are thought to be either proliferative or post-mitotic[28]: the large intestine (a

proliferative tissue); the liver (a quiescent tissue that can proliferate in response to injury); and the heart

and white adipose tissue, which are mostly post-mitotic. We filtered these data at several levels to ensure

high quality. Experimental replicates were first filtered based on coverage: only proteins that were detected

with a minimum of 3 peptide spectral matches (PSMs) in all channels were retained for further analysis.

Second, aggregated replicate data were used to determine k_t by least squares fitting to a first order kinetic

Figure 2. (A) Schematic of TMT-SILAM hyperplexed determination of k_t by tracking the rate of loss of unlabeled peptides over time. Samples from multiple timepoints are hyperplexed by conjugation with unique TMT tags and combined into a single sample before LC-MS/MS. A peptide detected in multiple time points will have a single m/z in MS1, but will fragment into reporter ions with distinct masses in MS2/MS3. Quantification of SILAM-unlabeled MS3 peaks reveals the kinetics of loss of the unlabeled peptides, which can be fit to determine k_t. (B) Schematic of SILAM mono and dinucleotide PRM. The relative isotope abundance (RIA) of the nucleotide precursor pool reflects the rates of precursor uptake, biosynthesis, and recycling of pre-existing nucleotides. By quantifying the abundances of unlabeled, partially labeled, and fully labeled dinucleotides in genomic DNA, the extent of unlabeled nucleotide recycling can be inferred and can be used to determine corrected cell division rates (k_{div}).

model. Only k_t values that were fit to data arising from at least 2 replicates with a high goodness of fit (t-statistic > 3) were considered in downstream analyses.

Features of protein turnover across tissues

Altogether, we defined high-confidence k_t values (Fig. 3A) and corresponding predicted half-life ($t_{1/2}$) values (Fig. 3B) for thousands of proteins per tissue: 2719 in the large intestine, 2099 in liver, 1610 in white adipose tissue, and 1635 in the heart (Supplementary Fig. 1). The distributions of k_t values were unique for each tissue; proteins were more short-lived in the intestine (median $t_{1/2}$ 1.7 days) and liver (median $t_{1/2}$ 2.4 days), but more long-lived in the fat (median $t_{1/2}$ 6.1 days) and heart (median $t_{1/2}$ 5.7 days). Protein abundance and protein lifetime were not correlated with each other (Supplementary Fig. 2). Specific protein features have been previously reported to correlate with more rapid protein turnover. For instance, a greater extent of protein disorder correlates with shorter protein lifetime in yeast and in mammalian cell culture[11,12]. We evaluated the turnover rates of an experimentally validated list of disordered proteins from the DisProt database[29] and saw that in some cases, disordered proteins turned over significantly faster than the proteome median (Supplementary Fig. 3A). However, disordered proteins as a class do not turn over significantly faster than the proteome median (Supplementary Fig. 3B). Instead, disordered proteins may be rapidly degraded in one tissue but relatively stable in another, suggesting that the interplay of sequence features and environmental factors influences protein lifetime.

We explored and excluded several potential explanations for tissue-specific differences in protein stability. (1) These differences persist among the subset of proteins whose lifetimes were quantified across all four tissues (902 proteins total; Supplementary Fig. 3C,D), indicating that differences in protein lifetime are not due simply to differences in proteome composition. (2) These differences also persist if secreted proteins are excluded from analyses (Supplementary Fig. 3E-G); secreted proteins are a unique class of proteins whose turnover is difficult to accurately profile by pulse-chase experiments, as their sites of synthesis, function, and degradation can be quite disparate. Even after controlling for these factors, differences in protein lifetimes across tissues are readily apparent. For example, many intracellular proteins have days-long lifetimes in the liver but weeks-long lifetimes in the heart (Fig. 3C).

We looked more closely at intracellular proteins at the extremes of stability by identifying gene ontology (GO) terms that were over-represented in either the top decile (Fig. 3D) or bottom decile (Fig. 3E) of k_t values in each tissue. The identity of the most short-lived and most long-lived proteins varies widely. For instance, proteins involved in intestinal nutrient absorption are enriched among the most short-lived proteins of the large intestine, while proteins involved in alcohol and fatty acid metabolism are found among the most short-lived proteins of the liver (Fig. 3D). At the other extreme, components of chromatin are enriched in the most long-lived proteins of the liver and intestine, while proteins involved in various mitochondrial functions are enriched in the most long-lived proteins of the heart and adipose tissue (Fig.

Figure 3. Proteome turnover measurements for four reference tissues. (A) Clearance rates (k_t) and (B) predicted half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) determined by SILAM-TMT of proteins extracted from intestine (n = 2719; median $t_{1/2}$ 1.7 days), liver (n = 2099, median $t_{1/2}$ 2.4 days), fat (n = 1610, median $t_{1/2}$ 6.1 days), and heart (n = 1635, median $t_{1/2}$ 5.7 days). Box (Tukey) plot center line indicates median; box limits indicate 25th to 75th percentiles; whiskers indicate 1.5x interquartile range; points indicate outlier values. **** indicates that all medians are significantly different (p < 0.0001, Kruskal-Wallis test). See also Supplementary Table 1 for full dataset. (C) Predicted half-lives ($t_{1/2}$) for 1102 intracellular proteins in the heart versus the liver. (D-E) Heatmaps of GO term enrichment in the top 10% (least stable, D) and the bottom 10% (most stable, E) of k_t values for intracellular proteins detected in each tissue.

3E). Interestingly, while components of chromatin and mitochondria have been found to be long-lived in

other protein turnover studies[3,5,30,31], our data suggest that their stability varies from tissue to tissue.

Rates of protein turnover are influenced both by proteolytic degradation of proteins and by dilution

of proteins during cell division (Fig. 1A). Differences in protein stability have been previously reported

between mammalian tissues, such as the brain and the liver[3,5]. Variation in the extent of cell proliferation

across tissues could underlie this difference, as protein dilution during cell division would accelerate the

apparent protein turnover rate. However, proteome lifetimes also differ significantly between non-dividing

cell types[5,16], as well as in the same cell type (fibroblasts) isolated from different mammals[17]. These

observations suggest that protein lifetime may be influenced by cellular and/or tissue context independently

of cell proliferation. However, to define the relationship between protein lifetime and cellular proliferation,

a method that can quantify both of these parameters in parallel is needed.

168   Turnover and replication analysis by isotope labeling (TRAIL) to profile cell and protein turnover

We sought to develop a method to quantify cell proliferation rates in parallel with protein turnover

measurements. While ^{15}N-labeled amino acids supplied via SILAM chow have been widely used to label

proteins, ^{15}N-labeled nucleic acids are also present in SILAM chow (Supplementary Fig. 4), and diet-

supplied ^{15}N robustly incorporates into genomic DNA[32]. We therefore reasoned that tracking the rate of ^{15}N

incorporation into the genome via DNA replication would yield cell division rates (k_{div}) (Fig. 1B, Fig. 2).

Importantly, ^{15}N labeling is tolerated at a far higher dose and for a far longer time (over multiple

generations[19]) than other widely used labels that incorporate into replicating DNA, such as ^{3}H-thymidine[22],

BrdU[21], or D_2O[23]. This opens the possibility of precise measurement of cell lifetimes over long timescales.

This measurement can also be used to control for the influence of cell proliferation on protein turnover

rates by subtracting k_{div} from k_t to determine corrected protein degradation rates (k_{deg}). We refer to this

group of methods as turnover and replication analysis by isotope labeling (TRAIL).

To develop this approach, we first needed to address a technical barrier. The fractional labeling of

genomic DNA during a pulse-labeling experiment is influenced both by the rate of replication and by the

relative isotope abundance (RIA) of the precursor nucleotide pool (Fig. 2B). The latter is strongly influenced

by precursor uptake from the diet, nucleotide biosynthesis, and nucleotide recycling *in vivo*[33]. Incomplete

labeling of the precursor pool due to low precursor uptake, slow *de novo* biosynthesis, or extensive

recycling of pre-existing nucleic acids would decrease the extent of ^{15}N incorporation into newly replicated

genomic DNA[32]. Further, the relative contributions of each of these factors may vary across tissues.

Altogether, this means that one must define the RIA of the precursor nucleotide pool in each tissue in order

to accurately determine the rate of replication by measuring the fractional labeling of genomic DNA.

We reasoned that we could deconvolute the RIA of the precursor nucleotide pool by analyzing the

combinatorics of labeling in contiguous stretches of *dinucleotides* obtained from the same strand of

Figure 4. (A-B) Comparison of cell division rate (k_{div}, (A)) and cell doubling time (B) in intestine, liver, fat, and heart, determined by TRAIL (our study); by Histone H3.1 protein turnover (our study); and previously published reports by a range of methods, including ^{3}H-thymidine labeling, BrdU pulse-labeling, D_2O water labeling, and Ki67 staining. Error bars indicate SEM. Data reproduced from meta-analysis by Sender & Milo Nature Medicine 2021. * indicates $p < 0.05$ for k_{div} determined by TRAIL versus H3.1 turnover in the liver (unpaired t-test). See also Supplementary Table 3 for full dataset. (C) Only the proliferative intestine has a significant number of proteins whose k_t is equivalent to k_{div}, suggesting that these proteins are diluted by cell division. (D) A subset of Gene Ontology (GO) terms (cellular component) over-represented in proteins that are diluted out by cell division in the intestine are shown in a bubble plot. Redundant GO terms were removed and non-redundant GO terms were organized based on semantic similarity by REViGO. Bubble size corresponds to number of proteins associated with GO term. (E) Cell-cycle-corrected degradation rates (k_{deg}) and (F) half-lives ($t_{1/2corr}$) for 967 proteins detected in liver (median $t_{1/2}$ 2.9 days), fat (median $t_{1/2}$ 6.8 days), and heart (median $t_{1/2}$ 5.9 days). Box (Tukey) plot center line indicates median; box limits indicate 25th to 75th percentiles; whiskers indicate 1.5x interquartile range; points indicate outlier values. **** indicates that all medians are significantly different ($p < 0.0001$, Kruskal-Wallis test). (G) Predicted cell-cycle-corrected half-lives ($t_{1/2corr}$) for 1102 intracellular proteins in the heart versus the liver. See also Supplementary Table 4 for full dataset.

genomic DNA (Fig. 2B, Supplementary Fig. 5; see Methods). A strand of DNA that has been synthesized

in the presence of label will contain labeled nucleotides at a frequency that is contingent on the RIA of the

precursor pool. Analysis of the isotopologue distribution within dinucleotides enables the calculation of the
prevalence of recycled unlabeled nucleotides within the precursor pool. Thus, we can determine what
fraction of the observed fully unlabeled dinucleotide population was derived from pre-existing unlabeled
DNA strands, and what fraction was derived from newly synthesized strands that incorporated unlabeled
recycled nucleotides. Through this deconvolution, we can measure the relative ratio of old and newly
synthesized DNA and determine the rate of cell proliferation.

We digested genomic DNA from SILAM-labeled mouse tissue to short oligonucleotides using the
enzyme benzonase[34], then quantified $^{15}N/^{14}N$ isotope abundance ratios in dinucleotides by mass
spectrometry. We found that the ^{15}N RIA of the precursor pool was very high in all tissues, and that diet-
derived ^{15}N-labeled nucleic acids were preferentially incorporated into newly synthesized genomic DNA
(Supplementary Fig. 5C). This is in line with the fact that nucleotide salvage pathways are repressed in S
phase while *de novo* nucleotide synthesis is upregulated, so that cells primarily rely on the latter source of
nucleotides for DNA replication[35]. This outcome is also consistent with observations from other modes of
DNA pulse labeling[20].

Our finding that diet-derived and de novo synthesized nucleic acids are preferred for DNA
replication implies that we can make an accurate measurement of DNA replication rates by tracking ^{15}N
incorporation into either mononucleosides or dinucleotides isolated from genome DNA. We tested this by
isolating free dA, dC, dT, and dG mononucleosides from genomic DNA by digestion with a cocktail of
benzonase, phosphodiesterase, and alkaline phosphatase[36] and quantifying $^{15}N/^{14}N$ isotope ratios by mass
spectrometry (see Methods). Decay curves for all four mononucleosides were in close alignment both with
each other (Supplementary Fig. 6A) and with dinucleotide curves (Supplementary Fig. 5D), indicating that
TRAIL is a highly precise and reproducible method for quantifying DNA replication rate.

With these important controls established, we then applied TRAIL to the large intestine. We
determined a doubling time of ~3 days for this proliferative epithelial tissue, in close agreement with
previous analyses by orthogonal methods[28,37] (Fig. 4A-B). We then applied this method to the liver, fat,
and heart and found that cells of these tissues have very long average doubling times indicating low
proliferative capacity (Fig. 4A-B). These data are qualitatively consistent with previous reports of slow
proliferation in these tissues[38–40](Fig. 4A-B). Notably, however, analysis of isotope incorporation into both
nucleosides and dinucleotides provides far greater precision and accuracy than methods that infer cell
doubling time from pulse-labeling with a single nucleotide analog or immunostaining with a cell-cycle-linked
antigen.

The turnover of Histone H3.1 has been used as a proxy for cell division in protein turnover studies[5,16] because Histone H3.1 is incorporated into nucleosomes as a heterodimer with Histone H4 solely after DNA replication[41], leading to the expectation that this protein's levels would decrease by dilution over successive cell divisions. However, we noted that the lifetime of Histone H3.1 was often shorter than the cell doubling time determined by TRAIL. This distinction was most apparent in the slowly proliferative liver, heart, and fat (Fig. 4A-B). We speculate that this difference reflects DNA replication-independent processes that regulate the lifetime of H3.1. For instance, H3.1/H4 dimers can be evicted from DNA during transcription and are replaced with heterodimers of Histone H3.3 and Histone H4[42]. Separately, histones can also be found in cytosolic pools in complex with chaperones, where they may be more rapidly turned over[43]. We speculate that each of these factors contributes to the turnover of this histone isoform in postmitotic tissues over long timescales. TRAIL thus provides a superior measurement of cell proliferation rates over long timescales and suggests contextual variability in the rate of turnover of the replication-dependent Histone H3.1 across tissues.

Protein degradation rates vary across tissues after cell cycle correction

Cellular k_{div} rates can be subtracted from protein k_t values to extrapolate corrected protein degradation rates (k_{deg}) (Fig. 1A). The extreme case where a k_{deg} value approaches zero indicates that dilution by cell division is the major contributor to protein turnover; that is, protein k_t and cellular k_{div} are approximately equal. When we compared these values across tissues, we found that k_{div} was roughly equivalent to k_t for approximately 15% of the intestine proteome (Fig. 4C; Supplementary Fig. 6B). These proteins are components of diverse cellular structures including the cytoskeleton, the extracellular matrix, cell-cell junctions, mitochondria, and chromatin (Fig. 4D). Notably, many of these proteins are also long-lived in postmitotic tissues but turn over at a slow rate that exceeds the rate of cell division. Histone H3.1 is one such protein (Fig. 4A-B). This observation implies that long-lived proteins are subject to distinct regimes of protein turnover in proliferative *versus* post-mitotic tissues.

We next examined k_{deg} values in the slowly proliferative liver, heart, and fat. If variable cell proliferation rates underlie the variability in protein k_t values across these tissues, k_{deg} values should be largely invariant after correcting for k_{div}. We did not observe this outcome. Instead, the range of k_{deg} values remained distinct from tissue to tissue even after correcting for cell proliferation rates, and persisted when we restricted our analysis only to proteins that were detected in all tissues (967 proteins; Fig. 4E-F). Overall, the liver proteome (median $t_{1/2corr}$ 2.9 days) turns over significantly more rapidly than the fat proteome (median $t_{1/2corr}$ 6.8 days) or heart proteome (median $t_{1/2corr}$ 5.8 days) after cell cycle correction (Fig. 4G). These data indicate that protein lifetime is broadly influenced by other environmental factors beyond cell proliferation rate. One potential explanation for these differences could be variation in the

composition and activity of protein folding chaperones, the ubiquitin-proteasome system, and/or the
autophagy machinery across tissues[44–46].

Peroxisomes, lipid droplets, and mitochondria have highly variable lifetimes across tissues

To evaluate the extent of variability in k_{deg} across tissues, we determined the normalized cross-
tissue dispersion *(D)* of k_{deg} for the 967 proteins shared across the liver, fat, and heart datasets(see
Methods; Supplementary Fig. 7). We then used this metric to dissect variability in protein lifetime across
tissues, focusing on constituents of cellular organelles (Fig. 5), multiprotein complexes (Fig. 6) and
pathways (Supplementary Fig. 8).

Figure 5. (A) Cross-tissue dispersion analysis of k_{deg} values across heart, liver, and fat by cellular organelle. Blue indicates a subset has a significantly elevated median dispersion of k_{deg} values across tissues; red indicates a subset has a significantly decreased dispersion of k_{deg} values across tissues. Significance determined by Mann-Whitney test. The peroxisomal enzyme catalase, the mitochondrial resident protein Fth1, and the lipid droplet enzyme MGL1 are highlighted as residents of each organelle with the most extremely variable lifetimes across tissues. (B-D) Analysis of k_{deg} rates across mitochondrial sub-compartments. MOM: mitochondrial outer membrane; IMS: intermembrane space; MIM: mitochondrial inner membrane. Numbers indicate total proteins detected in each subcompartment. Proteins of the MOM and IMS turn over significantly faster than proteins of the MIM and matrix in the heart (C) and liver (D). In contrast, all mitochondrial subcompartments turn over at similar rates in the white adipose tissue (E). Significance determined by Kruskal-Wallis test.See also Supplementary Table 5 for full dataset. All box (Tukey) plots: center line indicates median; box limits indicate 25th to 75th percentiles; whiskers indicate 1.5x interquartile range; points indicate outlier values.

Among proteins that are residents of specific subcellular organelles, components of the actin
cytoskeleton and residents of the nucleus have much less variable lifetimes than the proteome as a whole
(Fig. 5A-B). In contrast, constituents of peroxisomes, lipid droplets, and mitochondria have significantly
more variable lifetimes across tissues than the proteome as a whole (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. 9),
implying that the degradative flux of these organelles varies from tissue to tissue. These organelles can
be degraded by specialized variants of autophagy termed pexophagy[47], lipophagy[48], and mitophagy[49],
respectively.
283
Peroxisomes play major roles in lipid catabolism, and their biogenesis is induced by signaling
through peroxisome proliferator agonist receptors (PPARs) and other mechanisms. Upon removal of
biogenesis-promoting signals, excess peroxisomes are degraded by pexophagy[50]. This process was first
documented in the liver; consistently, we observe rapid turnover of peroxisomal proteins in this tissue. Our
data indicate that peroxisomes are also degraded rapidly in the intestine but are degraded more slowly in
the heart and adipose tissue (Supplementary Fig. 9).
290
Lipid droplets (LDs) are the major intracellular sites of lipid storage. In response to nutrient
deprivation, LDs mobilize lipids either by lipolysis to generate fatty acids or by lipophagy, which involves
delivery of both the protein and lipid components of LDs to the lysosome[51]. Lipophagic flux is high in the
liver[48], and we observe rapid degradation of LD proteins in this organ (Supplementary Fig. 9). In contrast,
LD proteins are longer-lived in the white adipose tissue and in the heart. This is somewhat unexpected, as
adipose tissue rapidly mobilizes free fatty acids when nutrients are low[52], while heart tissue depends on
fat oxidation for energy[53]. This outcome indicates that lipophagic flux is lower in these tissues and suggests
that fatty acids are instead mobilized from LDs by lipolysis while sparing LD resident proteins from turnover.
299
Mitochondria are long-lived organelles in many tissues, including the brain[3,6], heart[54], and skeletal
muscle[30,31]. Consistent with these recent studies, we find that mitochondria are long-lived in the heart
(median mitochondrial protein $t_{1/2}$ of 18.1 days vs. 5.8 days for total proteome). Mitochondria are also long-
lived in the white adipose tissue (median mitochondrial protein $t_{1/2}$ of 10.5 days vs. 6.8 days for total
proteome) (Fig. 5C,E). Surprisingly, however, mitochondria turn over more rapidly in the liver (median
mitochondrial protein $t_{1/2}$ of 3.5 days vs. 2.9 days for total proteome) (Fig. 5D). This finding suggests major
differences in mitochondrial regulation and function in this organ but is consistent with a previous report of
high mitophagy flux in the liver using an *in vivo* reporter system[55].
308
309   We achieved high coverage of the mitochondrial proteome, making it possible to inspect the
310   turnover of mitochondrial subcompartments across tissues (Fig. 5B-E). Proteins of the mitochondrial outer

membrane (MOM) and the intermembrane space (IMS) generally turn over more rapidly than proteins of internal compartments such as the mitochondrial inner membrane (MIM) and the matrix. This disparity is most apparent in the heart and liver (Fig. 5C,D). A previous analysis of protein lifetimes in the brain similarly reported more rapid turnover of MOM proteins[6]. Notably, the MOM and IMS are accessible to the cytosol while the MIM and matrix are sequestered. These data suggest that selective degradation of MOM/IMS proteins occurs at a significant rate in many tissues, including the heart, liver, and brain[6]. This could be achieved by extraction and delivery to the proteasome, piecemeal autophagy, and/or sequestration into mitochondrial-derived vesicles[56]. In contrast, all mitochondrial subcompartments exhibit coherent turnover in white adipose tissue (Fig. 5E). This could indicate that mitochondria are degraded more frequently by organellar autophagy (mitophagy) than by selective degradation of mitochondrial components in this tissue. Interestingly, mitophagy plays major roles in the differentiation and maintenance of white adipocytes, which characteristically have lower numbers of mitochondria[57]. Altogether, our data indicate that both the overall flux of mitochondrial turnover and the mechanism(s) used to achieve turnover vary across tissues.

Multiprotein complex subunits have coherent lifetimes within and across tissues

It has been suggested that participation in stable multiprotein complexes might protect proteins from degradation and extend protein half-life[15,58]. We evaluated the degradation kinetics of 12 multiprotein complexes for which at least 5 subunits were detected in all four tissues and found that in general, multiprotein complex subunits do not exhibit significantly lower degradation rates than the proteome median (Fig. 6A-B). This indicates that participation in a stable multiprotein complex is not sufficient to dramatically extend protein lifetime. It is important to note, however, that this steady-state measurement cannot determine whether complex subunits are selectively degraded if they fail to assemble correctly after synthesis[58].

Some multiprotein complexes have been reported to exhibit coherent subunit turnover, perhaps reflecting their stable association from biogenesis to degradation[7]. To determine whether complex subunits exhibit more similar turnover rates than would be expected by random chance, we calculated the intra-tissue k_{deg} dispersion *(d)* for multiprotein complexes for which at least 5 subunits were detected (12-24 complexes per tissue). For comparison, we calculated *d* for an equivalent number of randomly chosen proteins (Fig. 6C,D). Comparing these values indicated that most multiprotein complexes turn over coherently in the liver, heart, and fat, while this difference was not significant in the intestine. Consistent with a previous report[7], we also find that the CCT/TriC chaperonin complex is an outlier whose subunits have extremely consistent k_{deg} values (low *d,* Fig. 6C, solid blue). Other multiprotein complexes with coherent turnover include the ribosome, proteasome, oligosaccharyltransferase (OST) complex, and the

 mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes (Fig. 6D). In striking contrast, the spliceosome is an outlier

Figure 6. (A) The median decile of k_{deg} for each multiprotein complex with at least 5 subunits detected. *indicates complexes that turn over significantly more slowly, and #indicates complexes that turn over significantly faster than the proteome median in all tissues. (B) Median decile k_{deg} for multiprotein complexes (black bar) does not deviate from the proteome median (5th decile). (C) Intra-complex dispersion was computed for complexes with at least 5 subunits detected (blue) and a random dispersion value was calculated by computing dispersion for an equivalent number of randomly chosen proteins (gray).Black bar, median. In liver, heart, and fat, complexes exhibit a significantly lower intra-complex dispersion than would be expected by chance (** p<0.01; *** p < 0.001, Mann-Whitney test). The spliceosome (red) is an outlier with high intra-complex dispersion; the TriC/chaperonin complex (solid blue) is an outlier with low intra-complex dispersion. (D) heatmap of intra-complex dispersion across tissues. (E) Analysis of normalized cross-tissue dispersion of k_{deg} by multiprotein complex. P-values indicate significance of deviation from proteome mean (Mann-Whitney test). While the small and large subunits of the ribosome have extremely low cross-tissue dispersion, outlier subunits Rps25 and Rpl10 have more variability across tissues (solid red). Box (Tukey) plot center line indicates median; boxes indicate 25th to 75th percentiles; whiskers indicate 1.5x interquartile range; points indicate outlier values. (F) k_{deg} values of ribosome subunits. See also Supplementary Table 5 for full dataset.

whose components have widely varying k_{deg} values (high d; Fig. 6C, solid red; Fig. 6D). We speculate that

this may reflect the cyclical, activity-coupled nature of spliceosomal assembly[59], and may indicate that

individual spliceosome subcomplexes undergo quality control / degradation at various rates.

We next asked whether multiprotein complex lifetimes are consistent or variable across tissues by

calculating the cross-tissue k_{deg} dispersion *(D)* of individual subunits across the liver, heart, and fat.

Components of mitochondrial respiratory chain complexes were the only complex subunits that had

significantly higher D than the proteome median (Fig. 6E), which is likely due to the dramatic differences

in mitochondrial lifetime across tissues (Fig. 5). Apart from these outliers, other multiprotein complex

subunits had average or significantly lower than average values of D. We noted that the small and large

subunits of the ribosome had extremely low D values (Fig. 6E), and that the stability of the small and large

subunits tracked very closely with each other (Fig. 6F). The ribosome also has a very consistent half-life

across fibroblasts derived from a range of mammalian species[17], indicating that ribosome turnover is very

tightly controlled. However, Rpl10 and Rps25 had much more variable k_{deg} values than other ribosomal

proteins (Fig. 6E,F). Interestingly, Rpl10 association is a key late regulatory step in large subunit

biogenesis[60], and Rps25 is incorporated only in a subset of ribosomes that are endowed with unique

translational specificity[61]. Rpl10 turns over faster than other large subunit components in the liver and

intestine, while Rps25 turns over faster than most small subunit components in the heart (Fig. 6F). These

data suggest nodes of ribosome biogenesis control that vary across tissues.

Analysis of the effect of pathological aging on protein and cell homeostasis

As aging progresses, the robustness of the proteostasis network declines[1] and proliferative cell

types undergo senescence and/or death. To model how aging affects tissue homeostasis, we applied

TRAIL to a mouse model of Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS), an accelerated aging disorder

that recapitulates many phenotypes of the aging process at a rapid rate[24,62]. HGPS is caused by an

autosomal dominant mutation to the *LMNA* gene, which encodes the broadly expressed nuclear structural

protein Lamin A[63]. Prominent symptoms of HGPS include loss of subcutaneous fat and hair, bone

weakening, and rapidly advancing cardiovascular disease[64].

We reprised our 6-timepoint, 32-day SILAM labeling timecourse in 9-week-old mice harboring the

HGPS-causative *LMNA*$^{G609G/+}$ mutation[62]. *LMNA*$^{G609G/+}$ mice exhibit progressive weight loss, bone

abnormalities, atherosclerosis, and cardiac abnormalities before succumbing to the disease between 9

and 12 months of age[62]. We focused our analyses on young adult (9-week-old) mice to evaluate early

changes to tissue homeostasis, and quantified cell lifetimes (Fig. 7A,B) and protein lifetimes (Fig. 7C,D) in

the intestine, liver, fat, and heart. Cell doubling times were similar in progeroid and healthy tissues (Fig.

7A, B), with one notable exception: the adipose tissue, where progeroid cells had a doubling time of ~55

days while age-matched wild type cells had a doubling time of ~78 days (Fig. 7B). In healthy fat, adipocyte

progenitors proliferate infrequently to produce long-lived fat-storing adipocytes. Our data indicate that the

proportion of proliferative cells is increased in progeroid fat, which could reflect more frequent proliferation

of progenitor cells and/or death of terminally differentiated cells. Notably, over-expression of the HGPS-

causative "progerin" mutant protein blocks the terminal differentiation of adipocyte precursors[65], and HGPS

patients suffer from severe lipodystrophy[64]. We speculate that $LMNA^{G609G/+}$ mice are in the early stages of

lipodystrophy at 9 weeks of age, as young adult animals are indistinguishable in weight compared to

littermates[62] although fat depots were modestly decreased in size (not shown).

We defined protein k_t values and corresponding predicted half-life ($t_{1/2}$) values for 2744 proteins in

the large intestine, 2098 proteins in the liver, 1429 proteins in the white adipose tissue, and 1608 proteins

in the heart (Supplementary Fig. 10). We then determined cell cycle-corrected k_{deg} values and predicted

$t_{1/2corr}$ values for the 849 proteins that we detected in the progeroid liver, heart, and fat (Fig. 7C,D). As we

previously observed in wild type animals, proteins generally turn over more rapidly in the progeroid liver

(median $t_{1/2corr}$ 3.3 days) than in the progeroid heart (median $t_{1/2corr}$ 6.8 days) or fat (median $t_{1/2corr}$ 8.2 days).

Interestingly, these data indicate global changes in protein stability in diseased *versus* healthy tissue:

proteins turn over significantly more slowly in diseased liver, fat, and heart than in age-matched healthy

tissue (Fig. 7D, WT data for the same 849 proteins reproduced in gray). This effect is most pronounced in

the progeroid heart and fat, where the median half-life is extended by over a day compared to age-matched

wild-type animals. Decreased proteasome activity has been reported in fibroblasts derived from HGPS

patients[66,67], leading us to speculate that decreased flux through the proteasome could underlie the

extension of protein lifetimes that we observe.

We took advantage of our hyperplexed experimental design to explore a third dimension of tissue

homeostasis in progeria: proteome composition. This was accomplished by hyperplexing entire SILAM

timecourse sample sets from each genotype, including unlabeled "day 0" samples, in a single 16-plex TMT

experiment. By comparing the reporter tag ion intensities between unlabeled samples, relative steady-

state protein abundances can be defined in healthy *versus* progeroid tissue. We have previously applied

this approach to TMT-SILAC timecourses[25] and use this approach here to profile relative protein

abundance in the intestine, liver, heart, and fat of healthy and progeroid animals (Fig. 7E, F).

Overall, we found that the progeroid liver, intestine, and heart had modest changes in proteome

composition, while the fat was much more dramatically affected (Fig. 7E, F). The small group of proteins

that change dramatically in the progeroid heart include myosin subunits (MYL1, MYH1, MYH2) and

 associated regulators (cMLCK, MYLPF) (Fig. 7F, cluster 4; Supplementary Fig. 11D). Cardiac myosin light

Figure 7. (A) Cell division rates (k_{div}) and (B) cell doubling times determined by SILAM-nucleotide SRM in labeled progeroid intestine, liver, fat, and heart. Wild type data from same tissues reproduced from Figure 3 in gray. Error bars, SEM. * indicates that progeroid fat cells have a significantly shorter doubling time than wild type fat cells (p < 0.01, t-test). See also Supplementary Table 7. (C) Cell-cycle-corrected degradation rates (k_{deg}) and (D) half-lives ($t_{1/2corr}$) for 849 proteins detected in both wild type and progeroid liver, fat, and heart. Box (Tukey) plot center line indicates median; boxes indicate 25th to 75th percentiles; whiskers indicate 1.5x interquartile range; points indicate outlier values. **** indicates that median proteome k_{deg} is lower in each progeroid tissue than in each wild type tissue (p < 0.0001, Kruskal-Wallis test). See also Supplementary Table 6 and 8 for complete datasets. (E) Number of proteins differentially expressed with more than a 1.5-fold difference (hashed bars) or a 2-fold difference (solid bars) in the progeroid liver, intestine, heart, and fat. (F) Heatmap of proteins exhibiting at least a 2-fold change in expression in liver, intestine, heart, or fat. Gray boxes indicate missing values (protein undetected). Proteins of interest in four major clusters indicated. See also Supplementary Table 9 for complete dataset.

chain kinase (cMLCK) is a key regulator of myosin-controlled contractility in the heart, and loss-of-function

mutations cause dilated cardiomyopathy in humans and mice[68,69]. While cMLCK loss of function has not

been previously linked to HGPS, progeroid mice and patients do exhibit cardiac conduction and contraction

defects[70]. Fat-specific disruptions included moderately decreased expression of chromatin factors such as

the linker histones H1.1 and H1.5, the chromatin-binding proteins HP1γ and HMGB2, and the nuclear

structural protein Lamin B1 (Fig. 7F, cluster 1; Supplementary Fig. 11A). Decreased HP1γ and Lamin B1

expression has also been reported in HGPS patient fibroblasts[71,72] and human iPSC models of HGPS[73,74].

We also noted that several proteins with major roles in regulating adiposity and/or body weight are potently

downregulated in progeroid fat, including lactadherin/MFGE8[75] and stanniocalcin-2 (STC2)[76] (Fig. 7F,

cluster 2; Supplementary Fig. 11B). On the other hand, neural and muscular proteins are more abundant

in progeroid fat, including the neurofilament proteins (NEFL, NEFM, and NEFH); myelin basic protein

(MBP), and troponin I (TNNI2) (Fig. 7F, cluster 3; Supplementary Fig. 11C). We speculate that these

dramatic changes may reflect the specific loss of adipocytes and proportional increase in the content of

peripheral nerves and muscular tissue in progeroid fat. Altogether, these protein abundance analyses

suggest that HGPS-linked disruption to nuclear organization also occurs in the fat (cluster 1), highlight a

novel progeria-linked change in cardiac tissue (cMLCK) and indicate major changes to adipose tissue

composition and function even at this early stage in 9-week-old progeroid animals (cluster 3).

Discussion

We have developed TRAIL, a multi-omic ^{15}N isotope labeling workflow that delivers protein

abundance, protein lifetime, and cellular lifetime data. To our knowledge, this is the first study to advance

a method for deriving cell turnover rates from ^{15}N labeling. While estimation of cellular turnover is commonly

achieved by pulse-labeling with nucleotide analogs such as ^{3}H-thymidine or BrdU or by D_2O incorporation

into the deoxyribose backbone of genomic DNA[20], each of these labels exerts some inherent toxicity and

interferes with the proliferation of some cell types[21–23]. This toxicity has enforced limits on both the length

of pulse-labeling that can be achieved and the tissues that can be accurately profiled[28]. ^{15}N, in contrast,

has no detectable toxicity even through multiple generations of labeling in mice[4,19], opening the possibility

of extending TRAIL to define turnover rates of slowly proliferating cell types. A second advantage of TRAIL

is its high precision; we track turnover of both purine and pyrimidine nucleosides using a label source at

100% isotope abundance, while other methods rely on pulse-labeling with lower doses of label[28].

By making parallel measurements of protein and cell turnover within tissues, we have been able to

evaluate protein homeostasis in tissue context. We have unambiguously determined that protein lifetimes

vary widely across tissues, and that both cell division and additional environmental factors shape protein

lifetime. Our data suggest that long-lived proteins experience a very different life cycle in post-mitotic

versus proliferative tissues. We found that dilution by cell division contributes extensively to the turnover

of about 15% of the proteome in the proliferative intestine, such that these proteins are renewed roughly

every 3 days as the epithelium renews. In contrast, these long-lived proteins turn over at slow rates in post-

mitotic tissues (the replication-dependent Histone H3.1 is an example). We speculate that only in this

context would long-lived proteins meaningfully "age" - meaning that they accumulate oxidative damage,

misfold, and lose their function. We have also uncovered evidence that the rate of organelle degradation,

perhaps by autophagy of peroxisomes, lipid droplets, and mitochondria, varies widely across tissues. Why

do some proteins turn over at such variable rates? It is possible that protein damage occurs more rapidly

in some tissues than in others, perhaps linked to the variable rate of production of reactive oxygen species

and other damaging agents during normal cellular metabolism. A second, non-exclusive possibility is that

the activity and/or selectivity of protein folding and/or degradation machineries varies across cell types and

tissues[45]. Intriguingly, *in vivo* reporters of the proteasome and of autophagy do suggest variable flux across

tissues[44,46].

We applied TRAIL to Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS) and uncovered disease-linked

disruptions to cell lifetime, proteome turnover, and proteome composition. We found that proteome

turnover rates are significantly decreased in progeroid tissues, and speculate that decreased proteasomal

activity could underlie this phenomenon. We took advantage of our hyperplexed experimental design to

evaluate the relative abundance of proteins in healthy *versus* progeroid tissues and uncovered a range of

tissue-specific differences, only some of which have been previously reported. For instance, Lamin B1 and

HP1γ moderately decrease in progeroid fat, as has been previously reported in patient fibroblasts[71,72] and

hiPSC models of HGPS[73,74]. However, this phenotype was only apparent in the fat tissue (Fig. 7). In the

heart, in contrast, we found alterations in proteins involved in cardiomyocyte contractility. One of these

proteins, cMLCK, has recently been linked to cardiomyopathy in humans[69]. Overall, these findings highlight

both global and tissue-specific features of HGPS.

There are some limitations of our approach to consider. Our pulse-labeling approach must assume

maintenance of homeostatic balance over the time frame of the experiment- an assumption that is more

likely to be valid over shorter timescales and in healthy tissues, but may not prove to be true over longer

timescales or in diseased tissues. In fact, comparison of cell turnover rates across healthy and progeroid

tissues detected a clear signature of homeostatic disruption in progeroid fat (Fig. 7). The accelerated cell

turnover observed could result from increased cell death and/or increased cell proliferation. While

progressive lipodystrophy is a well-described phenotype in HGPS[64], we anticipate that TRAIL could be

used to reveal the consequences of other diseases on tissue homeostasis.

Our study does not address the contribution of different cell types to our bulk measurements of
protein lifetime or protein abundance. We surmise that our data most accurately reflect the turnover and
abundance of proteins that are broadly expressed in most cell types of the tissues analyzed. Overall, we
were able to profile the 1500-3000 most abundant proteins per tissue, which tend to be broadly expressed
and not cell-type-specific. Nevertheless, tissue atlases generated by single cell sequencing can provide a
useful framework for thinking about the likely cell types of origin for the proteins profiled in this study[77].
Future studies may involve sorting individual abundant cell types from tissues of interest in order to
generate cell type-resolved maps of cell and proteome lifetime.

497
Methods

Metabolic labeling of mice and tissue isolation
We designed a 6-timepoint, 32-day SILAM labeling timecourse (0, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 days of labeling) with
a total of 3 animals of both sexes per labeled timepoint, and 2 animals for the day 0 (unlabeled) timepoint.
Timecourses were performed in both wild type and *LMNA*[G609G/+] C57Bl/6 mice[62] at approximately 9 weeks
of age. ^{14}N and ^{15}N mouse chow was obtained from Silantes. Animals were first habituated to the chow
formulation by feeding ^{14}N (normisotopic) food for 1 week and monitoring animal weight. Animals
maintained normal weight through the duration of the timecourse. Animals were then transitioned to ^{15}N
chow throughout the labeling period (roughly 3 grams / animal / day). Animals were then sacrificed by CO_2
inhalation followed by cervical dislocation, followed by tissue dissection and flash freezing by submersion
in liquid nitrogen. These animal experiments were performed in compliance with relevant ethical
regulations and with approval by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at UCSF (IACUC
protocol number AN178187, PI: A.B.).

Protein extraction and sample preparation for LC-MS/MS
Protein extraction: Approximately 30 milligrams of frozen tissue was excised on dry ice with a clean
razorblade and placed in a fresh tube. 100 uL of protein extraction buffer (PEB: 5% SDS, 100 mM TEAB,
protease and phosphatase inhibitors, pH ~7) was added to the tube. The tissue was rapidly minced with
clean dissection scissors on ice for 30-60 seconds until no large pieces remained. PEB was added to bring
the final volume to 600 uL, then the sample was transferred to a Dounce homogenizer. The sample was
homogenized for ~40 strokes with the tight pestle, then was transferred to a clean microcentrifuge tube.
The sample was then probe sonicated at 4C (10% amplitude, 10 seconds, 2 cycles) before being
centrifuged (21,000 x g, 11 minutes, 4C). The supernatant was transferred to a clean tube, and aliquots
were separated for proteomics and protein quantification by microBSA assay (Pierce).

Trypsinization: Samples were diluted to 1 mg/mL in 5% SDS, 100 mM TEAB, and 25 µg of protein from
each sample was reduced with dithiothreitol to 2 mM, followed by incubation at 55°C for 60 minutes.
Iodoacetamide was added to 10 mM and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 minutes to
alkylate the proteins. Phosphoric acid was added to 1.2%, followed by six volumes of 90% methanol, 100
mM TEAB. The resulting solution was added to S-Trap micros (Protifi), and centrifuged at 4,000 x g for 1
minute. The S-Traps containing trapped protein were washed twice by centrifuging through 90% methanol,
100 mM TEAB. 1 µg of trypsin was brought up in 20 µL of 100 mM TEAB and added to the S-Trap, followed
by an additional 20 µL of TEAB to ensure the sample did not dry out. The cap to the S-Trap was loosely
screwed on but not tightened to ensure the solution was not pushed out of the S-Trap during digestion.
Samples were placed in a humidity chamber at 37°C overnight. The next morning, the S-Trap was
centrifuged at 4,000 x g for 1 minute to collect the digested peptides. Sequential additions of 0.1% TFA in
acetonitrile and 0.1% TFA in 50% acetonitrile were added to the S-trap, centrifuged, and pooled. Samples
were frozen and dried down in a Speed Vac (Labconco) prior to TMTpro labeling.

TMT labeling: Samples were reconstituted in TEAB to 1 mg/mL, then labeled with TMTpro 16plex reagents
(Thermo Fisher) following the manufacturers protocol. Briefly, TMTpro tags were removed from the -20°C
freezer and allowed to come to room temperature, after which acetonitrile was added. Individual TMT tags
were added to respective samples, and the reaction was allowed to occur at room temperature for 1 hour.
5% hydroxylamine was added to quench the reaction, after which the samples for each experiment were
combined into a single tube. Since we performed quantitation on the unlabeled peptides, 0 day samples
were added to four of the unused channels, increasing the signal for the unlabeled peptides. TMTpro
tagged samples were frozen, dried down in the Speed Vac, and then desalted using homemade C18 spin
columns to remove excess tag prior to high pH fractionation.

High pH Fractionation: Homemade C18 spin columns were activated with two 50 µL washes of acetonitrile
via centrifugation, followed by equilibration with two 50 µL washes of 0.1% TFA. Desalted, TMTpro tagged
peptides were brought up in 50 µL of 0.1% TFA and added to the spin column. After centrifugation, the
column was washed once with water, then once with 10 mM ammonium hydroxide. Fractions were eluted
off the column with centrifugation by stepwise addition of 10 mM ammonium hydroxide with the following
concentrations of acetonitrile: 2%, 3.5%, 5%, 6.5%, 8%, 9.5%, 11%, 12.5%, 14%, 15.5%, 17%, 18.5%,
20%, 21.5%, 27%, and 50%. The sixteen fractions were concatenated down to 8 by combining fractions 1
and 9, 2 and 10, 3 and 11, etc. Fractionated samples were frozen, dried down in the Speed Vac, and
brought up in 0.1% TFA prior to mass spectrometry analysis.

LC-MS/MS Analysis

Data collection: Peptides from each fraction were injected onto a homemade 30 cm C18 column with 1.8 um beads (Sepax), with an Easy nLC-1200 HPLC (Thermo Fisher), connected to a Fusion Lumos Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher). Solvent A was 0.1% formic acid in water, while solvent B was 0.1% formic acid in 80% acetonitrile. Ions were introduced to the mass spectrometer using a Nanospray Flex source operating at 2 kV. The gradient began at 3% B and held for 2 minutes, increased to 10% B over 7 minutes, increased to 38% B over 94 minutes, then ramped up to 90% B in 5 minutes and was held for 3 minutes, before returning to starting conditions in 2 minutes and re-equilibrating for 7 minutes, for a total run time of 120 minutes. The Fusion Lumos was operated in data-dependent mode, employing the MultiNotch Synchronized Precursor Selection MS3 method to increase quantitative accuracy[78]. The cycle time was set to 3 seconds. Monoisotopic Precursor Selection (MIPS) was set to Peptide. The full scan was done over a range of 400-1500 m/z, with a resolution of 120,000 at m/z of 200, an AGC target of 4e5, and a maximum injection time of 50 ms. Peptides with a charge state between 2-5 were picked for fragmentation. Precursor ions were fragmented by collision-induced dissociation (CID) using a collision energy of 35% and an isolation width of 1.0 m/z. MS2 scans were collected in the ion trap with an AGC target of 1e4 and a maximum injection time of 35 ms. MS3 scans were performed by fragmenting the 10 most intense fragment ions between 400-2000 m/z, excluding ions that were 40 m/z less and 10 m/z greater than the precursor peptide, using higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD). MS3 ions were detected in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 50,000 at m/z 200 over a scan range of 100-300 m/z. The isolation width was set to 2 Da, the collision energy was 60%, the AGC was set to 1e5, and the maximum injection time was set to 100 ms. Dynamic exclusion was set to 45 seconds.

*Data analysis***:** Raw data was searched using the SEQUEST search engine within the Proteome Discoverer software platform, version 2.4 (Thermo Fisher), using the Uniprot mouse database (downloaded January 2020). Trypsin was selected as the enzyme allowing up to 2 missed cleavages, with an MS1 mass tolerance of 10 ppm, and an MS2 mass tolerance of 0.6 Da. Carbamidomethyl on cysteine, and TMTpro on lysine and peptide N-terminus were set as a fixed modifications, while oxidation of methionine was set as a variable modification. Percolator was used as the FDR calculator, filtering out peptides which had a q-value greater than 0.01. Reporter ions were quantified using the Reporter Ions Quantifier node, with an integration tolerance of 20 ppm, and the integration method being set to "most confident centroid". Protein abundances were calculated by summing the signal to noise of the reporter ions from each identified peptide, while excluding any peptides with an isolation interference of greater than 30%, or SPS matches less than 65%.

Kinetic model: The kinetic model applied in this study has been previously described[25]. Briefly, we are assuming that protein synthesis is a zero order process, occurs at a constant fractional rate, and that that the total protein concentration of each cell does not change during the experimental time-course. The

dilution of the protein pool due to cell division can be modeled as a first order exponential process. Thus,

the fractional turnover of unlabeled proteins during the labeling time course can be regarded as a first order

kinetic process that can be modelled based on the following exponential equation:

$\text{fraction unlabeled protein}(t) = e^{-k_t * t}$ $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ (1)

And:

$k_t = k_{deg} + k_{div}$

Where:

k_t is the clearance rate (observed rate of fractional labeling), k_{deg} is the rate of protein degradation and

k_{div} is the rate of cell division.

The determination of k_t values were conducted as previously described[25] using the decay of the TMT

reporter signals of unlabeled proteins. Protein-level TMT reporter abundances for unlabeled proteins for

each replicate experiment were first normalized by dividing by the intensity of the t0 reporter and then the

replicate experiments were aggregated in a single kinetic curve. In fitting the exponential decay curves of

the unlabeled protein signals, a constant fractional baseline at infinite time was incorporated in the fitting

equation. The equation used for fitting the curves was therefore: $intensity = baseline + (1 - baseline) *$

$e^{-k_t * time}$. The goodness of fit for least squares fits were assessed by determining the R^2, P-value and t-

statistic of the fits (see Supp Table 1). For subsequent analyses, only protein k_t measurements that were

obtained from all three replicate experiments, incorporated data from 4 or more peptide spectral matches

(PSMs), and had t-statistic values greater than three were considered.

Nucleic acid extraction and sample preparation for LC-MS/MS

Genomic DNA extraction: Approximately 30 milligrams of frozen tissue was excised on dry ice with a clean

razorblade and placed in a fresh tube. 100 uL of TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) was added and the tissue was

rapidly minced with clean dissection scissors on ice for 30-60 seconds until no large pieces remained. An

additional 400 uL of TRIzol was added, and the sample was then transferred to a Dounce homogenizer.

The tissue was subjected to ~40 strokes with the tight pestle until smooth, then transferred back to the

original tube. The sample was incubated for at least 5 minutes before the addition of 100 uL chloroform

followed by mixing and a further 3 minutes of incubation. The sample was then centrifuged (12,000 x g, 15

minutes, 4C) and the upper RNA-containing aqueous layer was discarded. 150 uL of absolute ethanol was

added to the remaining sample, then inverted several times to mix. After 3 minutes of incubation at room

temperature, the sample was centrifuged (2,000 x g, 5 minutes, 4C). The protein-containing supernatant

was removed, then the DNA-containing pellet was resuspended in 500 uL of absolute ethanol and
incubated for 30 minutes. The sample was then centrifuged (2,000 x g, 5 minutes, 4C), and the supernatant
discarded. Sequential washes were then repeated with 95%, 85%, and 75% ethanol, after which the pellet
was air-dried for 5-10 minutes. The pellet was then resuspended in 200 uL nuclease-free water (Ambion)
at 56C, then incubated at 56C with shaking for 30 minutes to resuspend the pure DNA. The sample was
centrifuged (12,000 x g, 10 minutes, 4C), then the supernatant containing pure DNA was moved to a clean
tube. DNA concentration was determined with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer.

Digestion of genomic DNA to short oligonucleotides: 3-5 micrograms of pure genomic DNA was diluted to
a 50 uL volume in nuclease-free water, then combined with 50 uL of 2x Dinucleotide Buffer (DB: 5 mU/uL
benzonase, 40 mU/uL shrimp alkaline phosphatase, 20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl2).
Samples were incubated overnight at 37C. Spin-X UF Concentrators (Corning) were rinsed with 200 uL
buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl2), then samples were applied and centrifuged
through (12,000 x g, 5 min, RT). The eluate was collected for analysis.

Digestion of genomic DNA to mononucleosides: We extracted mononucleosides from genomic DNA
similarly to a previously described method[36] with some modifications. 1-3 micrograms of pure genomic
DNA was diluted to a 50 uL volume in nuclease-free water, then combined with 50 uL of 2x Mononucleoside
Buffer (MB: 5 mU/uL benzonase, 40 mU/uL shrimp alkaline phosphatase, 60 uU/uL phosphodiesterase I,
20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl, and 20 mM MgCl2). Samples were incubated overnight at 37C. Spin-X
UF Concentrators (Corning) were rinsed with 200 uL buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM
MgCl2), then samples were applied and centrifuged through (12,000 x g, 5 min, RT). The eluate was
collected for analysis.

Mononucleoside and Dinucleoside LC-MS/MS

Mononucleotide analyses were carried out by adapting a previously described method[79] using a Dionex
Ultimate 3000 UHPLC coupled to a Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). After
purification, analytes were separated on a Hypersil Gold 2.1 x 150 mm column, protected by a 2.1 x 10
mm Hypersil Gold guard column (Thermo Scientific). The mobile phases were A: 0.1% formic acid in water,
and B: 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile. The flow rate was set to 400 µL/min, and the column oven was set
to 36°C. 10 µL of each sample was injected, and the analytes were eluted using the following gradient: 0
min- 0% B, 6 min- 0% B, 8.5 min- 80% B, 9.5 min- 80% B, 10 min- 0% B, 13 min- 0% B. The Q Exactive
Plus was operated in positive mode with a heated electrospray ionization (HESI) source. The spray voltage
was set to 3.5 kV, the sheath gas flow rate was set to 40, and the auxiliary gas flow rate set to 7, while the
capillary temperature was set to 320°C. A parallel reaction monitoring (PRM) method was used to quantify

the unlabeled nucleotide, along with all of its N15 isotopes in a single scan. This was accomplished by

using wide (8 m/z) isolation widths when selecting the nucleotides for fragmentation. By employing this

method, we were able to quantify the level of labeling by looking at the intensity of each N15 labeled base

in the MS2 scan. Fragment ions were detected in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 70,000 at m/z 200. Using

a high resolution MS2 scan allowed us to resolve N15 and C13 isotopes. Peak areas from the fragment

ions were extracted with a 10 ppm mass tolerance using the LC Quan node of the XCalibur software

(Thermo Scientific).

Dinucleotide analyses were carried out using the same instrumentation, column, mobile phases, column

temperature, and flow rate employed by the mononucleotide experiments. The gradient was changed to

optimize dinucleotide separation as follows: 0 min- 5% B, 0.5 min- 5% B, 2.5 min- 90% B, 3.25 min- 90%

B, 3.5 min- 5% B, 5.5 min- 5% B. The Q Exactive Plus was operated using the same tune settings as the

mononucleotide experiment. However, instead of a PRM method, a full scan method from 500-650 m/z

was developed to quantify the dinucleotides dCdC, TT, dAdA, and dGdG, along with their corresponding

N15 isotopes. Precursor ions were detected in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 140,000 at m/z 200, using

the high resolution MS1 scan to try to separate N15 and C13 isotopes as much as possible. Peak areas

from the fragment ions were extracted with a 10 ppm mass tolerance using the LC Quan node of the

XCalibur software (Thermo Scientific).

Measurement of kdiv

To accurately measure rates of cell division (k_{div}) while factoring in the effects of incomplete labeling and

nucleotide recycling, we considered the time-dependent labeling patterns of mononucleotides and

dinucleotides derived from genomic DNA. Upon initiation of ^{15}N labeling, newly synthesized DNA strands

can incorporate nucleotides from a precursor pool with potentially complex mixture of partially labeled

species (Supplementary Fig. 5A). For example, a newly incorporated deoxyadenosine (dA) can be derived

from fully ^{15}N-labeled nucleotides derived from the dietary source, partially labeled species (containing one

to four ^{15}N atoms) derived by biosynthesis from incompletely labeled ^{15}N precursors, and completely

unlabeled nucleotides derived from recycling. As an example, a typical labeling pattern for dA from one of

our intestine samples is shown in Supplementary Fig. 5B showing the shift in the isotopologue distribution

over time. After correcting for the natural isotopic distribution, the peaks with heavier non-monoisotopic

masses (+1, +2, +3, etc.) can be assumed to have been derived from newly synthesized strands. However,

the monoisotopic peak (0) can potentially have been derived from both the original unlabeled strand, as

well as newly synthesized strands that had incoroporated recycled unlabeled nucleotides. Therefore, it

may not be possible to accurately determine the ratio of new to old strands (and hence k_{div}) from the

mononucleotide data alone. The labeling pattern of dinucleotides (dAdA) resolves this ambiguity. The

isotopologue distribution of labeled (non-monoisotopic) peaks in the dinucleotides spectra are dependent

on the composition of the nucleotide precursor pool. The red envelope depicted in the dAdA spectra is the

pattern that would be expected if the precursor pool was composed solely of the labeled (non-

monoisotopic) species observed in the corresponding mononucleotide spectra (i.e. new strands did not

contain any recycled unlabeled nucleotides and the monoisotopic peaks observed in the mononucleotide

spectra were derived solely from old strands). If a significant fraction of the monoisotopic peaks observed

in the mononucleotide spectra represents recycled nucleotides within new strands, then the isotopologue

distribution of labeled nucleotides would shift accordingly (Supplementary Fig. 5C). Through regression

analyses, we determined that within all tissues and timepoints analyzed in this study, the isotopologue

distributions of the dinucleotide data could be best modeled based on the assumption that newly

synthesized strands had very low levels of fully unlabeled nucleotides. Hence, the fractional population of

labeled non-monoisotopic peaks within dinucleotide and mononucleotide data were consistent with each

other (Supplementary Fig. 5D) and could be used to determine the fractional population of new strands.

For each tissue, fractional labeling of mononucleotide and dinucleotides for all 4 bases were combined

and the aggregated dataset was fit to a single exponential equation to determine first order rate constant

for division (k_{div}) (Supplementary Figure 5).

Analysis of Proteomic Data

Quality filtering and analysis of k_t values: Proteomic data was acquired in the form of 16-plex TMT

replicates containing 2 full 6-timepoint timecourses (one from wild type animals and one from progeroid

animals). For each genotype within each TMT replicate, proteins were filtered to retain only those detected

with at least 3 peptide spectral matches (PSMs) in all timepoints. Proteins that met these criteria were then

filtered per genotype within each TMT replicate based on goodness of fit using the t-statistic (cutoff t-

statistic value of at least 3). Filtered k_t values for each tissue were separated into deciles. Proteins in the

top decile (fastest k_t) and bottom decile (slowest k_t) were subjected to gene ontology analysis to identify

biological processes (GO:BP) and cellular components (GO:CC) that were over-represented, using the

Gprofiler tool[80]. Redundant GO terms were filtered using ReVIGO[81], then subjected to hierarchical

clustering and presented in heatmap format with cell values corresponding to the significance of

enrichment for each term.

Determination and analysis of cell-cycle corrected k_{deg} values: Cell-cycle-corrected protein k_{deg} values were

determined by subtracting the cell doubling time *(k_{div})* for each tissue from the apparent protein turnover

rate *(k_t)* determined in that tissue. In the intestine, a significant proportion of the proteome had *Kdeg* rates

very similar to k_{div}. Gene ontology analyses of this subset of ~400 proteins was performed to identify

biological processes (GO:BP) and cellular components (GO:CC) that were over-represented, using

Gprofiler[80]. Redundant GO terms were filtered using ReVIGO[81], and a bubble plot of significance of

enrichment vs. similarity (semantic space) was generated using Prism (GraphPad), where bubble sizes

correspond to the number of proteins mapped to a term. To analyze trends in turnover for intrinsically

disordered proteins (IDPs), a list of curated and experimentally validated IDPs from the DisProt database[29]

was cross-referenced to k_{deg} values.

Cross-tissue dispersion of k_{deg} values: Cross-tissue dispersion *(D)* was calculated on a protein-by-protein

basis for all proteins detected in the liver, heart, and fat tissues. *D = variance / mean*, where variance (V)

is the average of the squared differences of each k_{deg} value from the mean k_{deg} value. Because *D* is

normalized to the mean k_{deg} value, it is independent of the magnitude of k_{deg} (see Supplementary Figure

6). Analysis of *D* by organelle was performed using annotations from MitoCarta[82] for mitochondrial proteins,

from a recent proximity labeling study for lipid droplets[83], and manually curated annotations from UniProt

for all other organelles. Only UniProt annotations that listed a specific organelle as the first affiliation were

retained to limit multi-localizing proteins.

Intra-complex dispersion of k_{deg} values for multiprotein complexes: A mouse proteome multiprotein

complex subunit annotation set from ComplexPortal[84] was used to search for multiprotein complexes with

at least 5 subunits detected in the liver, heart, intestine, or fat. Intra-complex dispersion for these

complexes was determined by calculating the dispersion *(d = variance / mean)* of k_{deg} values for all subunits

detected in a tissue.

Determination of relative protein abundance within tissues: To evaluate relative protein abundance within

tissues, technical replicate unlabeled wild type (WT) samples from each multiplexed TMT run were first

channel normalized, then the geometric mean was calculated to determine mean normalized intensities

for each biological replicate. Protein abundance was then length-normalized by dividing each protein's

normalized intensity by the number of amino acids. Finally, samples were normalized for comparison

across biological replicates by normalizing each channel to the maximum value detected. The geometric

mean abundance was calculated by determining the geometric mean of the length- and channel-

normalized protein abundance. These relative abundance values were used to explore the relationship

between protein abundance and protein half-life (see Supplementary Fig. 2).

Identification of differentially expressed proteins in wild type versus progeroid tissues: To compare protein

abundance in unlabeled wild type (WT) versus progeroid (HGPS) tissues, technical replicate unlabeled

WT and HGPS samples from each multiplexed TMT run were first channel normalized, then the geometric

mean was calculated to determine mean normalized intensities for each biological replicate. Fold change

values for each biological replicate were determined by dividing the mean HGPS normalized intensity by

the mean WT normalized intensity. Proteins were then quality filtered based on sequence coverage and
replicate detection: a minimum of 3 peptide-spectral matches (PSMs) was required for each protein, and
detection in a minimum of 2 replicates was required. The geometric mean fold change was determined for
proteins passing these quality filters. P-values and q-values were then calculated based on replicate fold
change values. For identification of significantly differentially expressed proteins, proteins detected in all 3
replicates were filtered to include only those proteins with a minimum 2-fold change and a minimum q-
value of 0.05 in at least one tissue. Proteins detected in only 2 replicates were separately filtered to identify
proteins with a reproducible minimum 2-fold change in at least one tissue. This subset of proteins was
subjected to hierarchical clustering and plotted in heatmap format with cell values corresponding to the
magnitude of the fold change in protein expression.
768
769   **Data Availability**
770   LC-MS/MS data have been deposited in the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE partner
771   repository, accessible at www.ebi.ac.uk/pride. The accession codes will be included in the final manuscript.
772
773   **Author Contributions**
774
775   A.B. and S.G. conceptualized the TRAIL approach. J.Hasper performed in vivo labeling experiments,
776   tissue isolations, and protein and DNA extractions. J. Hryhorenko and K. W. prepared and ran samples for
777   LC-MS/MS. A.B., J.Hasper, K.W., and S.G. analyzed data. A.B. and S.G. prepared the figures. A.B., J.
778   Hasper, and S.G. wrote the manuscript.
779

780   **Acknowledgements**
781
782   We would like to acknowledge the Progeria Research Foundation (A.B.), the Chan Zuckerberg Biohub
783   (A.B.), and the National Institutes of Health (R35 GM119502 and S10 OD025242, S.G.) for funding
784   support. We thank Biao Wang, Esther Paolo Mirasol, Balyn Zaro, Regan Volk, Carlos Lizama Valenzuela,
785   Yana Blokhina, Galina Schmunk, and Gabrielle Servito for assistance with tissue dissections.
786

787   **References**
788

1. Taylor, R. C. & Dillin, A. Aging as an Event of Proteostasis Collapse. *Csh Perspect Biol* 3, a004440 (2011).

2. Koyuncu, S. *et al.* Rewiring of the ubiquitinated proteome determines ageing in C. elegans. *Nature* 596, 285–290
(2021).

3. Price, J. C., Guan, S., Burlingame, A., Prusiner, S. B. & Ghaemmaghami, S. Analysis of proteome dynamics in
the mouse brain. *Proc National Acad Sci* 107, 14508–14513 (2010).

4. Savas, J. N., Toyama, B. H., Xu, T., III, J. R. Y. & Hetzer, M. W. Extremely Long-Lived Nuclear Pore Proteins
in the Rat Brain. *Science* 335, 942–942 (2012).

5. Toyama, B. H. *et al.* Identification of Long-Lived Proteins Reveals Exceptional Stability of Essential Cellular
Structures. *Cell* 154, 971–982 (2013).

6. Fornasiero, E. F. *et al.* Precisely measured protein lifetimes in the mouse brain reveal differences across tissues
and subcellular fractions. *Nature Communications* 9, 71–17 (2018).

7. Mathieson, T. *et al.* Systematic analysis of protein turnover in primary cells. *Nature Communications* 1–10
(2018).

8. Taylor, A. & Davies, K. J. A. Protein oxidation and loss of protease activity may lead to cataract formation in the
aged lens. *Free Radical Bio Med* 3, 371–377 (1987).

9. D'Angelo, M. A., Raices, M., Panowski, S. H. & Hetzer, M. W. Age-Dependent Deterioration of Nuclear Pore
Complexes Causes a Loss of Nuclear Integrity in Postmitotic Cells. *Cell* 136, 284–295 (2009).

10. Marrero, M. C. & Barrio-Hernandez, I. Toward Understanding the Biochemical Determinants of Protein
Degradation Rates. *Acs Omega* 6, 5091–5100 (2021).

11. Lee, R. van der *et al.* Intrinsically Disordered Segments Affect Protein Half-Life in the Cell and during
Evolution. *Cell Reports* 8, 1832–1844 (2014).

12. Fishbain, S. *et al.* Sequence composition of disordered regions fine-tunes protein half-life. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*
22, 214–221 (2015).

13. Wu, C. *et al.* Global and Site-Specific Effect of Phosphorylation on Protein Turnover. *Dev Cell* 56, 111-124.e6
(2021).

14. Zecha, J. *et al.* Linking post-translational modifications and protein turnover by site-resolved protein turnover
profiling. *Nat Commun* 13, 165 (2022).

15. Mallik, S. & Kundu, S. Topology and Oligomerization of Mono- and Oligomeric Proteins Regulate Their Half-
Lives in the Cell. *Structure* 26, 869-878.e3 (2018).

16. Dörrbaum, A. R., Kochen, L., Langer, J. D. & Schuman, E. M. Local and global influences on protein turnover
in neurons and glia. *eLife* 7, 489 (2018).

17. Swovick, K. *et al.* Cross-species Comparison of Proteome Turnover Kinetics*. *Journal Title Molecular and
Cellular Proteomics* 17, 580–591 (2020).

18. Matsuda, M. *et al.* Species-specific segmentation clock periods are due to differential biochemical reaction
speeds. *Science* 369, 1450–1455 (2020).

19. McClatchy, D. B., Dong, M.-Q., Wu, C. C., Venable, J. D. & Yates, J. R. 15N Metabolic Labeling of
Mammalian Tissue with Slow Protein Turnover. *J Proteome Res* 6, 2005–2010 (2007).

20. Macallan, D. C. *et al.* Measurement of cell proliferation by labeling of DNA with stable isotope-labeled
glucose: studies in vitro, in animals, and in humans. *P Natl Acad Sci Usa* 95, 708–13 (1998).

21. Reome, J. B. *et al.* The Effects of Prolonged Administration of 5-Bromodeoxyuridine on Cells of the Immune
System. *J Immunol* 165, 4226–4230 (2000).

22. Asher, E., Payne, C. M. & Bernstein, C. Evaluation of Cell Death in EB V-Transformed Lymphocytes Using
Agarose Gel Electrophoresis, Light Microscopy and Electron Microscopy: II. Induction of Non-Classic Apoptosis
("Para-Apoptosis") by Tritiated Thymidine. *Leukemia Lymphoma* 19, 107–119 (2009).

23. Adams, W. H. & Adams, D. G. Effects of deuteration on hematopoiesis in the mouse. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther*
244, 633–9 (1988).

24. Gordon, L. B., Rothman, F. G., López-Otín, C. & Misteli, T. Progeria: A Paradigm for Translational Medicine.
Cell 156, 400–407 (2014).

25. Welle, K. A. *et al.* Time-resolved Analysis of Proteome Dynamics by Tandem Mass Tags and Stable Isotope
Labeling in Cell Culture (TMT-SILAC) Hyperplexing*. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 15, 3551–3563 (2016).

26. Guan, S., Price, J. C., Ghaemmaghami, S., Prusiner, S. B. & Burlingame, A. L. Compartment Modeling for
Mammalian Protein Turnover Studies by Stable Isotope Metabolic Labeling. *Anal Chem* 84, 4014–4021 (2012).

27. Guan, S., Price, J. C., Prusiner, S. B., Ghaemmaghami, S. & Burlingame, A. L. A Data Processing Pipeline for
Mammalian Proteome Dynamics Studies Using Stable Isotope Metabolic Labeling*. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 10,
M111.010728 (2011).

28. Sender, R. & Milo, R. The distribution of cellular turnover in the human body. *Nature Medicine* 1–15 (2021).

29. Quaglia, F. *et al.* DisProt in 2022: improved quality and accessibility of protein intrinsic disorder annotation.
Nucleic Acids Res 50, D480–D487 (2021).

30. Krishna, S. *et al.* Identification of long-lived proteins in the mitochondria reveals increased stability of the
electron transport chain. *Dev Cell* (2021) doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2021.10.008.

31. Bomba-Warczak, E., Edassery, S. L., Hark, T. J. & Savas, J. N. Long-lived mitochondrial cristae proteins in
mouse heart and brain. *J Cell Biol* 220, e202005193 (2021).

32. Drigo, R. A. e *et al.* Age Mosaicism across Multiple Scales in Adult Tissues. *Cell Metab* 30, 343-351.e3 (2019).

33. Neese, R. A. *et al.* Measurement in vivo of proliferation rates of slow turnover cells by 2H2O labeling of the
deoxyribose moiety of DNA. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 99, 15345–15350 (2002).

34. Liao, Q., Chiu, N. H. L., Shen, C., Chen, Y. & Vouros, P. Investigation of Enzymatic Behavior of
Benzonase/Alkaline Phosphatase in the Digestion of Oligonucleotides and DNA by ESI-LC/MS. *Anal Chem* 79,
1907–1917 (2007).

35. Reichard, P. Interactions Between Deoxyribonucleotide And DNA Synthesis. *Annu Rev Biochem* 57, 349–374
(1988).

36. Quinlivan, E. P. & III, J. F. G. DNA digestion to deoxyribonucleoside: A simplified one-step procedure.
Analytical Biochemistry 373, 383–385 (2008).

37. Darwich, A. S., Aslam, U., Ashcroft, D. M. & Rostami-Hodjegan, A. Meta-Analysis of the Turnover of
Intestinal Epithelia in Preclinical Animal Species and Humans. *Drug Metab Dispos* 42, 2016–2022 (2014).

38. MacDONALD, R. A. Lifespan of Liver Cells: Autoradiographic Study Using Tritiated Thymidine in Normal,
Cirrhotic, and Partially Hepatectomized Rats. *Arch Intern Med* 107, 335–343 (1961).

39. Malliaras, K. *et al.* Cardiomyocyte proliferation and progenitor cell recruitment underlie therapeutic
regeneration after myocardial infarction in the adult mouse heart. *Embo Mol Med* 5, 191–209 (2013).

40. Rigamonti, A., Brennand, K., Lau, F. & Cowan, C. A. Rapid Cellular Turnover in Adipose Tissue. *Plos One* 6,
e17637 (2011).

41. Wu, R. S., Tsai, S. & Bonner, W. M. Patterns of histone variant synthesis can distinguish go from G1 cells. *Cell*
31, 367–374 (1982).

42. Ahmad, K. & Henikoff, S. The Histone Variant H3.3 Marks Active Chromatin by Replication-Independent
Nucleosome Assembly. *Mol Cell* 9, 1191–1200 (2002).

43. Cook, A. J. L., Gurard-Levin, Z. A., Vassias, I. & Almouzni, G. A Specific Function for the Histone Chaperone
NASP to Fine-Tune a Reservoir of Soluble H3-H4 in the Histone Supply Chain. *Mol Cell* 44, 918–927 (2011).

44. Mizushima, N., Yamamoto, A., Matsui, M., Yoshimori, T. & Ohsumi, Y. In vivo analysis of autophagy in
response to nutrient starvation using transgenic mice expressing a fluorescent autophagosome marker. *Molecular*
Biology of the Cell 15, 1101–1111 (2004).

45. Vonk, W. I. M. *et al.* Differentiation Drives Widespread Rewiring of the Neural Stem Cell Chaperone Network.
Mol Cell 78, 329-345.e9 (2020).

46. Jenkins, E. C. *et al.* Proteasome mapping reveals sexual dimorphism in tissue-specific sensitivity to protein
aggregations. *Embo Rep* 21, e48978 (2020).

47. Jr, W. A. D. *et al.* Pexophagy: The Selective Autophagy of Peroxisomes. *Autophagy* 1, 75–83 (2005).

48. Singh, R. *et al.* Autophagy regulates lipid metabolism. *Nature* 458, 1131–1135 (2009).

49. Youle, R. J. & Narendra, D. P. Mechanisms of mitophagy. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 12, 9–14 (2011).

50. Monastyrska, I. & Klionsky, D. J. Autophagy in organelle homeostasis: Peroxisome turnover. *Mol Aspects Med*
27, 483–494 (2006).

51. Zechner, R., Madeo, F. & Kratky, D. Cytosolic lipolysis and lipophagy: two sides of the same coin. *Nat Rev*
Mol Cell Bio 18, 671–684 (2017).

52. Lafontan, M. & Langin, D. Lipolysis and lipid mobilization in human adipose tissue. *Prog Lipid Res* 48, 275–
297 (2009).

53. Pascual, F. & Coleman, R. A. Fuel availability and fate in cardiac metabolism: A tale of two substrates.
Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta Bba - Mol Cell Biology Lipids 1861, 1425–1433 (2016).

54. Lau, E. *et al.* A large dataset of protein dynamics in the mammalian heart proteome. *Scientific Data* 3, 160015–
15 (2016).

55. McWilliams, T. G. *et al.* mito-QC illuminates mitophagy and mitochondrial architecture in vivo. *J Cell Biol*
214, 333–345 (2016).

56. Winter, D. & Becker, T. Surveying the mitochondrial proteome. *Nat Cell Biol* 23, 1216–1217 (2021).

57. Altshuler-Keylin, S. *et al.* Beige Adipocyte Maintenance Is Regulated by Autophagy-Induced Mitochondrial
Clearance. *Cell Metab* 24, 402–419 (2016).

58. McShane, E. *et al.* Kinetic Analysis of Protein Stability Reveals Age-Dependent Degradation. *Cell* 167, 803-
815.e21 (2016).

59. Matera, A. G. & Wang, Z. A day in the life of the spliceosome. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Bio* 15, 108–121 (2014).

60. Bussiere, C., Hashem, Y., Arora, S., Frank, J. & Johnson, A. W. Integrity of the P-site is probed during
maturation of the 60S ribosomal subunit. *J Cell Biol* 197, 747–759 (2012).

61. Shi, Z. *et al.* Heterogeneous Ribosomes Preferentially Translate Distinct Subpools of mRNAs Genome-wide.
Mol Cell 67, 71-83.e7 (2017).

62. Osorio, F. G. *et al.* Splicing-directed therapy in a new mouse model of human accelerated aging. *Science*
translational medicine 3, 106ra107-106ra107 (2011).

63. Eriksson, M. *et al.* Recurrent de novo point mutations in lamin A cause Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome.
Nature 423, 293–298 (2003).

64. Merideth, M. A. *et al.* Phenotype and course of Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome. *The New England*
journal of medicine 358, 592–604 (2008).

65. Scaffidi, P. & Misteli, T. Lamin A-dependent misregulation of adult stem cells associated with accelerated
ageing. *Nature Cell Biology* 10, 452–459 (2008).

66. Gabriel, D., Roedl, D., Gordon, L. B. & Djabali, K. Sulforaphane enhances progerin clearance in Hutchinson-
Gilford progeria fibroblasts. *Aging Cell* 14, 78–91 (2014).

67. Buchwalter, A. & Hetzer, M. W. Nucleolar expansion and elevated protein translation in premature aging.
Nature Communications 1–13 (2017).

68. Massengill, M. T. *et al.* Acute heart failure with cardiomyocyte atrophy induced in adult mice by ablation of
cardiac myosin light chain kinase. *Cardiovasc Res* 111, 34–43 (2016).

69. Tobita, T. *et al.* Identification of MYLK3 mutations in familial dilated cardiomyopathy. *Sci Rep-uk* 7, 17495
(2017).

70. Benedicto, I., Dorado, B. & Andrés, V. Molecular and Cellular Mechanisms Driving Cardiovascular Disease in
Hutchinson-Gilford Progeria Syndrome: Lessons Learned from Animal Models. *Cells* 10, 1157 (2021).

71. Scaffidi, P. & Misteli, T. Lamin A-Dependent Nuclear Defects in Human Aging. *Science* 312, 1059–1063
(2006).

72. Kubben, N., Brimacombe, K. R., Donegan, M., Li, Z. & Misteli, T. A high-content imaging-based screening
pipeline for the systematic identification of anti-progeroid compounds. *Methods* 96, 46–58 (2016).

73. Liu, G.-H. *et al.* Recapitulation of premature ageing with iPSCs from Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome.
Nature 472, 221–225 (2011).

74. Zhang, J. *et al.* A Human iPSC Model of Hutchinson Gilford Progeria Reveals Vascular Smooth Muscle and
Mesenchymal Stem Cell Defects. *Cell Stem Cell* 8, 31–45 (2011).

75. Khalifeh-Soltani, A. *et al.* Mfge8 promotes obesity by mediating the uptake of dietary fats and serum fatty
acids. *Nat Med* 20, 175–183 (2014).

76. Jiao, Y. *et al.* Stanniocalcin2 acts as an anorectic factor through activation of STAT3 pathway. *Oncotarget* 8,
91067–91075 (2017).

77. Neff, N. F. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomics of 20 mouse organs creates a Tabula Muris. *Nature* 1–25 (2018).

78. McAlister, G. C. *et al.* MultiNotch MS3 Enables Accurate, Sensitive, and Multiplexed Detection of Differential
Expression across Cancer Cell Line Proteomes. *Anal Chem* 86, 7150–7158 (2014).

79. Su, D. *et al.* Quantitative analysis of ribonucleoside modifications in tRNA by HPLC-coupled mass
spectrometry. *Nat Protoc* 9, 828–841 (2014).

80. Raudvere, U. *et al.* g:Profiler: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversions of gene lists
(2019 update). *Nucleic Acids Res* 47, W191–W198 (2019).

81. Supek, F., Bošnjak, M., Škunca, N. & Šmuc, T. REVIGO Summarizes and Visualizes Long Lists of Gene
Ontology Terms. *Plos One* 6, e21800 (2011).

82. Rath, S. *et al.* MitoCarta3.0: an updated mitochondrial proteome now with sub-organelle localization and
pathway annotations. *Nucleic Acids Res* 49, gkaa1011- (2020).

83. Bersuker, K. *et al.* A Proximity Labeling Strategy Provides Insights into the Composition and Dynamics of
Lipid Droplet Proteomes. *Dev Cell* 44, 97-112.e7 (2018).

84. Meldal, B. H. M. *et al.* Complex Portal 2018: extended content and enhanced visualization tools for
macromolecular complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47, gky1001- (2018).